

GROUPOID HOMOLOGY AND K-THEORY FOR ALGEBRAIC ACTIONS FROM NUMBER THEORY

CHRIS BRUCE, YOSUKE KUBOTA, AND TAKUYA TAKEISHI

ABSTRACT. We compute the groupoid homology for the ample groupoids associated with algebraic actions from rings of algebraic integers and integral dynamics. We derive results for the homology of the topological full groups associated with rings of algebraic integers, and we use our groupoid homology calculation to compute the K-theory for ring C^* -algebras of rings of algebraic integers, recovering the results of Cuntz and Li and of Li and Lück without using Cuntz–Li duality. Moreover, we compute the K-theory for C^* -algebras attached to integral dynamics, resolving the conjecture by Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier in full generality.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Context. Renault pioneered the study of groupoid C^* -algebras over 40 years ago [49]. Today, groupoid C^* -algebras play a central role in C^* -algebra theory and many of the most important classes of C^* -algebras have groupoid models, e.g., by recent work of Li, every classifiable simple C^* -algebra can be realized as the reduced (twisted) C^* -algebra of an étale groupoid [35]. A homology theory for étale groupoids was introduced by Crainic and Moerdijk [10], which has seen a great deal of interest from operator algebraists since work of Matui, where a striking connection between groupoid homology and K-theory of C^* -algebras was discovered [41]. Indeed, Matui made the following conjecture:

Conjecture (Matui’s HK conjecture). *If \mathcal{G} is a topologically principal minimal Hausdorff ample groupoid with compact unit space, then there are isomorphisms*

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{2i}(\mathcal{G}) \cong K_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{G})) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H_{2i+1}(\mathcal{G}) \cong K_1(C_r^*(\mathcal{G})).$$

Scarparo showed that the HK conjecture does not hold in general [51] (see also [20]), but it has led to a great deal of important work, and a refined rational version has recently been proposed, see [21]. It is an interesting question whether or not any given class of groupoids satisfies the conclusion of the HK conjecture. In this article, we shall say that a groupoid \mathcal{G} has the *HK property* if the conclusion of Matui’s HK conjecture holds for \mathcal{G} .

The topological full group of an ample groupoid is the group $[[\mathcal{G}]]$ of homeomorphisms of the unit space of the groupoid whose elements are locally given by the action of some groupoid element. Such groups were introduced by Giordano, Putnam, and Skau for Cantor minimal systems [24] and then for ample groupoids by Matui [39]. Topological full groups turn out to be very interesting from the point of view of group theory, and they have provided several celebrated example classes of groups [28, 29, 44, 45, 53].

Matui conjectured the existence of a three-term short exact sequence relating the groupoid homology of an ample groupoid \mathcal{G} with the first homology (i.e., abelianization) of the topological full group $[[\mathcal{G}]]$, see [41, Conjecture 2.9]. This conjecture was recently resolved in a very strong sense for all groupoids with comparison by Li [36], which means that one can access certain group-theoretic properties of topological full groups by computing groupoid homology. Moreover, topological full groups often witness strong rigidity phenomena in the sense that the abstract isomorphism class of the group characterizes the groupoid up to isomorphism, see [40] and [50].

Date: July 3, 2024.

C. Bruce has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 101022531 and the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 817597).

Y. Kubota is supported by RIKEN iTHEMS and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 22K13910, JPMJCR19T2.

T. Takeishi is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19K14551 and JP24K06780.

1.2. Groupoids from algebraic actions. A particularly interesting setting in which the aforementioned interactions between groupoids, groups, and C^* -algebras play out is that of algebraic actions of semigroups, which have been recently studied by the first-named author and Xin Li [8, 9]. One of the more surprising theorems in this setting is the following complete rigidity result for algebraic actions from number theory, which served as the motivation for the present article. If R is the ring of algebraic integers in a number field K , then the multiplicative monoid $R^\times := R \setminus \{0\}$ acts on the additive group of R by multiplication, giving rise to an ample groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}$ which models the ring C^* -algebra of R as defined by Cuntz and Li [13] (see also [33]).

Theorem ([9, Corollary E]). *Let K_1 and K_2 be algebraic number fields with rings of algebraic integers R_1 and R_2 , respectively. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) K_1 and K_2 are isomorphic (equivalently, $R_1 \cong R_2$);
- (ii) the groupoids $\mathcal{G}_{R_1^\times \curvearrowright R_1}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{R_2^\times \curvearrowright R_2}$ are isomorphic;
- (iii) the groups $[\mathcal{G}_{R_1^\times \curvearrowright R_1}]$ and $[\mathcal{G}_{R_2^\times \curvearrowright R_2}]$ are isomorphic;
- (iv) the commutator subgroups $[\mathcal{G}_{R_1^\times \curvearrowright R_1}]'$ and $[\mathcal{G}_{R_2^\times \curvearrowright R_2}]'$ are isomorphic;
- (v) the ring C^* -algebras $\mathfrak{A}[R_1]$ and $\mathfrak{A}[R_2]$ are isomorphic via a Cartan-preserving $*$ -isomorphism.

1.3. Main results. The above rigidity theorem is grounds for a systematic study of the groups $[\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]$. As explained above, we can use [36] to pass from homological information about the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}$ to group-theoretic properties of $[\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]$. Informed by this, our first result is on the homology of the groupoids $\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}$:

Theorem A (Theorem 5.6). *For a number field K of degree $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ with ring of integers R , we have $H_n(\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}) = 0$ for $0 \leq n < d$. Moreover,*

- (i) *If K is totally imaginary, then*

$$H_n(\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq n < d, \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = d, \\ \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \infty} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/|\mu|\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = d + 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \infty} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/|\mu|\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \infty} & \text{if } n \geq d + 2, \end{cases}$$

where $\mu = \mu_K$ is the group of roots of unity in K .

- (ii) *If K has a real embedding, then*

$$H_n(\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq n < d, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = d, \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \infty} & \text{if } n \geq d + 1. \end{cases}$$

Our second theorem, building on Theorem A, is on the homology of $[\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]$. In particular, we characterize when $[\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]$ is simple; interestingly, this occurs for all number fields except \mathbb{Q} :

Theorem B (Theorem 5.7). *Let K be a number field of degree $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ with ring of integers R . We have $H_n([\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]) = 0$ for $0 < n < d$, and*

$$H_d([\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } K \text{ is totally imaginary,} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } K \text{ has a real embedding.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $[\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]$ is simple if and only if $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$, and if $K = \mathbb{Q}$, then $[\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]^{\text{ab}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

The proof of Theorem A—which is the groupoid homology analogue of the K-theory computation for ring C^* -algebras—involves analysing the Lyndon–Hochschild–Serre (LHS) spectral sequence attached to the action $K \rtimes K^* \curvearrowright \mathbb{A}_{K,f}$, where $\mathbb{A}_{K,f}$ is the ring of finite adeles of K . This spectral sequence collapses at the E^2 -page, which parallels the vanishing of the maps for iterated Pimsner–Voiculescu exact sequences in the K-theory setting [14, 37]. However, in groupoid homology, we can show collapsing directly without using any Cuntz–Li duality type results.

Following the mind of the HK conjecture, this groupoid homology computation can be used as a reference to the computation of K-theory of the ring C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}[R]$. The K-theory of the ring C^* -algebras $\mathfrak{A}[R]$ from ring of algebraic integers was computed over a six year period in increasing levels of generalities by Cuntz [11], Cuntz–Li [14, 15], and Li–Lück [37] in the following way.

Theorem ([37, Theorem 1.2]). *For a ring of integers R in a number field K , we have*

$$K_*(\mathfrak{A}[R]) \cong \begin{cases} K_*(C^*(\mu)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \wedge^* \Gamma & \text{if } K \text{ is totally imaginary,} \\ \wedge^* \Gamma & \text{if } K \text{ has a real embedding.} \end{cases}$$

A crucial ingredient in these proofs is Cuntz–Li duality [14, Theorem 4.1], which allows one to pass between finite and infinite adèle rings. Our approach given in Theorem 5.17, which recovers this computation, uses only more common methods and simplifies the iterated Pimsner–Voiculescu arguments of [14, 37] by using spectral sequences. It may have applications to more general dynamical systems.

The strategy is as follows. First, decompose the multiplicative group K^* as $\mu \times \Gamma$ by a free abelian subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq K^*$, and regard the groupoid C^* -algebra $C_r^*((K \times K^*) \rtimes \mathbb{A}_{K,f})$ as the crossed product $C_r^*((K \times \mu) \rtimes \mathbb{A}_{K,f}) \rtimes \Gamma$. In general, there is a spectral sequence computing the K-groups of the crossed product C^* -algebra with a free abelian group Γ . This, called (homological) Kasparov’s spectral sequence [30, 54, 2, 3] or the ABC spectral sequence [42, 47] in the literature, comes from the fact that the Baum–Connes assembly map is an isomorphism for such Γ . This spectral sequence is compared to the LHS spectral sequence in groupoid homology, via *Raven’s bivariant equivariant Chern character* [48], which is recently revisited by Deeley–Willett [21] for proving the rational HK-conjecture.

Raven’s Chern character is a vast generalization of the Chern character comparing topological K-theory and cohomology theory, whose domain group is identified with the K-group of the crossed product via the Baum–Connes isomorphism and the range group is identified with the groupoid homology with coefficients in \mathbb{C} . It is an isomorphism after tensoring with \mathbb{C} . As a consequence of its functoriality, it is natural to be able to compare the LHS spectral sequences of the domain and the range groups. The LHS spectral sequence of the domain is nothing but Kasparov’s spectral sequence. This enables us to apply the concentration of the E^2 -page of the LHS spectral sequence for groupoid homology in Theorem A to determine the higher differential of the K-theory LHS spectral sequence. Note that the K-theory LHS spectral sequence never concentrates in a single degree due to the Bott periodicity.

In this paper, we shall consider more general algebraic actions of semigroups on torsion-free finite rank abelian groups; by duality, these correspond to actions on compact connected abelian groups of finite dimension by local homeomorphisms.

Let S be a cancellative reversible monoid. Assume we have an action $S \curvearrowright A$ be injective endomorphisms, where A is a torsion-free finite rank abelian group (see Section 4.1 for the precise definitions). The action $S \curvearrowright A$ naturally gives rise to an ample groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright A}$ and to a concrete C^* -algebra $\mathfrak{A}[S \curvearrowright A]$ (see [8]). By [8], the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright A}$ is minimal, effective, and purely infinite.

Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier considered C^* -algebras from *integral dynamics*, which are certain algebraic actions on \mathbb{Z} arising from families of pairwise coprime natural numbers [3]: Given a set $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ of pairwise coprime integers, let S be the multiplicative monoid generated by Σ . Then, the multiplication action $S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}$ is an algebraic action. Our next result is the homology analogue of a K-theory conjecture by Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier:

Theorem C (Theorem 6.6 and Theorem 6.8). *If $|\Sigma| = N < \infty$, then we have*

$$H_n(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/g_{\Sigma}\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \binom{N}{n-1}} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/g_{\Sigma}\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \binom{N-1}{n}} & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq N-1, \\ \mathbb{Z}^N & \text{if } n = N, \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = N+1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \geq N+2. \end{cases}$$

If $|\Sigma| = \infty$, then we have

$$H_p(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/g_{\Sigma}\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } p = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \infty} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/g_{\Sigma}\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \infty} & \text{if } p \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier considered the so-called torsion subalgebra $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}})$ of $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}})$ (see Section 6.2.1 for details), and they proved that the inclusion $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}) \rightarrow C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}})$ splits in K-theory ([3, Corollary 4.7]). We prove an analogous result in groupoid homology using a LHS spectral

sequence, which we then use to compute the homology of $\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}$. This splitting result in homology requires several technical steps, including a functoriality result for LHS spectral sequences for groupoid homology (see Section 3).

The homology of \mathcal{G}_{tor} is relatively easy to compute (see Section 6.2.3), whereas Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier conjectured a formula for the K-theory of the C*-algebra $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}})$, which they were able to prove only for a few special cases [3, Conjecture 6.5]. This conjecture is particularly interesting for two reasons: first, it has an equivalent formulation in terms of the K-theory of $C^*(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}})$; second, it is related to a conjecture for K-theory of higher-rank graph C*-algebras, see [3, Section 5]. Our final result resolves [3, Conjecture 6.5] in complete generality:

Theorem D (Theorem 6.10). *For any set $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ of pairwise relatively coprime numbers with $|\Sigma| \geq 2$, the C*-algebra $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}})$ is isomorphic to $\bigotimes_{s \in \Sigma} \mathcal{O}_s$.*

Combining Theorems D and E shows that the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}$ has the HK property.

Here we use the cohomological Kasparov spectral sequence, which is a dual of the one used in the study of ring C*-algebras. This spectral sequence is nothing but the Atiyah–Hirzebruch (AH) spectral sequence for the mapping torus continuous field $\mathcal{MT}(B, \beta)$ of C*-algebras (Definition 6.12). Indeed, the mapping torus and the crossed product have the same K-theory via the Baum–Connes isomorphism. Unfortunately, the comparison with Raven’s Chern character no longer works here, since the E_2 -terms are the torsion groups $\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$. Instead we use a projection-valued global section in $\mathcal{MT}(B, \beta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1}$ (which is indeed a nonunital continuous field), which is shown to exist in Lemma 6.16. It gives a *-homomorphism $C(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathcal{MT}(B, \beta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1})$, which compares their K-theory AH spectral sequences. This gives a strong constraint in the K-group of the $C(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathcal{MT}(B, \beta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1})$, but this is consistent with the classification theory of C*-algebra bundles by Dadarlat [17, 18, 19] (see Remark 6.20 for more detail).

1.4. Structure of the paper. Section 2 contains preliminaries on groupoid homology and étale correspondences, and Section 3 establishes a general functoriality result for LHS type spectral sequences for groupoid homology. Section 4 contains general results on homology for the groupoids attached to algebraic actions. In Section 5, we specialize to the case of rings, e.g., rings of algebraic integers, and give several complete homology and K-theory calculations. The final subsection of Section 5 contains the new K-theory computation for ring C*-algebras. The final Section 6 contains the homology and K-theory computations for integral dynamics.

Acknowledgement. We thank Jamie Gabe and Xin Li for helpful comments. C. Bruce and T. Takeishi gratefully acknowledge support from a research-in-groups programme at the ICMS in Edinburgh.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Group homology. For background on group homology, we refer the reader to [6, 55]. Let G and H be groups, and suppose we have a G -module M and an H -module N . If $j: G \rightarrow H$ and $\pi: M \rightarrow N$ are group homomorphisms such that $\pi(gm) = j(g)\pi(m)$ for all $g \in G$ and $m \in M$, then we let $(j, \pi)_*: H_*(G, M) \rightarrow H_*(H, N)$ be the induced map in homology, see [6, Section III.8].

We shall need the following well-known version of the Künneth formula, which we state here for convenience.

Theorem 2.1 (cf. [55, Theorem 3.6.3]). *Let G and H be groups, M a G -module, and N an H -module. View $M \otimes N$ as a $G \times H$ -module in the canonical way. If either M or N is torsion-free, then there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(G, M) \otimes H_q(H, N) \rightarrow H_n(G \times H, M \otimes N) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p+q=n-1} \text{Tor}(H_p(G, M), H_q(H, N)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, this exact sequence splits if either M or N is \mathbb{Z} -free.

2.2. Ample groupoids and groupoid homology. In this subsection, we collect some well-known definitions, notation, and terminology around ample groupoids and their homology. Groupoid homology was introduced in [10]. For ample groupoids – as defined below – groupoid homology is particularly interesting, as shown in [39].

Let \mathcal{G} be a topological groupoid with unit space $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ and range and source maps $r, s: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$, respectively. The groupoid \mathcal{G} is said to be *étale* if r and s are local homeomorphisms. An *ample groupoid* is an étale groupoid whose unit space is a totally disconnected locally compact Hausdorff space. In this paper, we will only consider Hausdorff ample groupoids.

For a locally compact Hausdorff space X , we let $\mathbb{Z}[X] := C_c(X, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the group of compactly supported \mathbb{Z} -valued continuous functions on X . We write $\mathbb{Z}X$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ when the meaning is clear. Recall that a \mathcal{G} -module is a nondegenerate $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{G}$ -module, where the ring structure on $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{G}$ is given by the convolution product (see, e.g., [4, 43]). Note that although $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{G}$ is usually a nonunital ring, any free $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{G}$ -module is projective ([4, Remark 2.9]).

In this paper, we shall work with the following definition of groupoid homology from [4, 36].

Definition 2.2. *Let \mathcal{G} be a Hausdorff ample groupoid. The groupoid homology of \mathcal{G} is*

$$H_*(\mathcal{G}) := \mathrm{Tor}_*^{\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{G}}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}], \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}])$$

By [4, Theorem 2.10] in the case where $\mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is σ -compact and [36, Theorem 2.5] in general, $H_n(\mathcal{G})$ is canonically isomorphic to the n -homology group of the chain complex defined in [39, Section 3], so Definition 2.2 agrees with the definition in [39, Definition 3.1].

2.3. Groupoid correspondences. Let \mathcal{G} be a Hausdorff ample groupoid. For the terminology and notation related to \mathcal{G} -modules and groupoid correspondences, we refer to [43], where it is shown that groupoid homology is functorial for groupoid correspondences.

A left action of \mathcal{G} on a space X consists of a continuous map $r_X: X \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ – called the *anchor map* – and a continuous map $\mathcal{G} \times_{s, r_X} X \rightarrow X$, $(\alpha, x) \mapsto \alpha.x$, where

$$\mathcal{G} \times_{s, r_X} X := \{(\alpha, x) \in \mathcal{G} \times X : s(\alpha) = r_X(x)\}.$$

Right actions are defined similarly. We write $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright X$ to denote an action of \mathcal{G} on a space X . The notions of free, basic, proper, and étale are defined in [1, Section 2].

Definition 2.3 (cf. [1, Definition 3.1]). *Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} be ample groupoids. A space Ω is called an étale correspondence from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{H} if Ω carries right and left actions by \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} , respectively, with anchor maps r_Ω and s_Ω , respectively, such that*

- the actions of \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} commute;
- the right action of \mathcal{H} on Ω is free, proper, and étale (cf. [1]).

An étale correspondence Ω from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{H} is *proper* if the map $\Omega/\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ induced from r_Ω is proper.

By [43, Corollary 3.6], each proper étale correspondence Ω from \mathcal{G} to \mathcal{H} induces a homomorphism in homology $H_*(\Omega): H_*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H_*(\mathcal{H})$.

Let \mathcal{D} be the category whose objects are given by all triples (Γ, X, θ) , where Γ is a discrete group, X is a compact Hausdorff space, and $\theta: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{Homeo}(X)$ is a group homomorphism, and whose morphisms are defined as follows: A morphism from (Γ, X, θ) to (Λ, Y, η) is given by a pair (j, p) , where $p: Y \rightarrow X$ is a surjective continuous map and $j: \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a group homomorphism such that $p(j(g)y) = gp(y)$ for all $g \in \Gamma$ and $y \in Y$. Composition of morphisms is defined via composition of functions.

There are two canonical functors from \mathcal{D} to the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded abelian groups: The first is given on objects by $(\Gamma, X, \theta) \mapsto H_*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}X)$ and on morphisms by sending a pair (j, p) as above to the map $H_*(j, p^*): H_*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}X) \rightarrow H_*(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}Y)$, where p^* is given via pre-composition. The second functor is given on objects by $(\Gamma, X, \theta) \mapsto H_*(\Gamma \ltimes X)$ and on morphism by $(j, p) \mapsto H_*(\Omega(j, p))$, where $\Omega(j, p): \Gamma \ltimes X \rightarrow \Lambda \ltimes Y$ is the correspondence given by $\Omega(j, p) := \Lambda \ltimes Y$ with canonical right action by $\Lambda \ltimes Y$ and left action given by $(g, p(hy))(h, y) = (j(g)h, y)$ for $g \in \Gamma, h \in \Lambda, y \in Y$.

The next result is likely well-known to experts. Following the idea from [38, Lemma 3.52], a proof can be given by combining Theorem 3.10 and Lemma 3.12.

Proposition 2.4. *The functors $(X, \Gamma, \theta) \mapsto H_*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}X)$ and $(X, \Gamma, \theta) \mapsto H_*(\Gamma \ltimes X)$ are isomorphic.*

3. THE LYNDON–HOCHSCHILD–SERRE TYPE SPECTRAL SEQUENCE FOR GROUPOID HOMOLOGY

Let \mathcal{G} be an ample groupoid, Γ a discrete group, and $\varphi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$ a cocycle (i.e., a groupoid homomorphism). The skew product $\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma$ is the transformation groupoid $\mathcal{G} \ltimes (\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma)$ via the \mathcal{G} -action given by the product of the multiplication and φ . More explicitly, the groupoid which is $\mathcal{G} \times \Gamma$ as a topological space with groupoid operations defined as follows:

- (α, g) and (β, h) are composable if α and β are composable and $h = g\varphi(\alpha)$, in which case the product is given by $(\alpha, g)(\beta, g\varphi(\alpha)) := (\alpha\beta, g)$.
- $(\alpha, g)^{-1} := (\alpha^{-1}, g\varphi(\alpha))$.

Note that $(\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma)^{(0)} = \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma$, $r(\alpha, g) = (r(\alpha), g)$, and $s(\alpha, g) = (s(\alpha), g\varphi(\alpha))$. The group Γ acts on $\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma$ by $g \cdot (\alpha, g') = (\alpha, gg')$.

By [39, Theorem 3.8], there is an *LHS type spectral sequence*

$$E_{pq}^2(\mathcal{G}, \varphi) = H_p(\Gamma, H_q(\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma)) \implies H_{p+q}(\mathcal{G}).$$

In this section, we show that the above spectral sequence is functorial for equivariant groupoid correspondences.

Definition 3.1. *Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} be ample groupoids equipped with cocycles $\varphi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $\rho: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Lambda$. Let $j: \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ be a group homomorphism. Let $\Omega: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be an étale correspondence and let $\tau: \Omega \rightarrow \Lambda$ be a locally constant map. We say that the pair (Ω, τ) is a (Γ, Λ) -equivariant correspondence if*

$$\tau(\alpha\omega) = j(\varphi(\alpha))\tau(\omega) \text{ and } \tau(\omega\beta) = \tau(\omega)\rho(\beta)$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}, \omega \in \Omega, \beta \in \mathcal{H}$ such that they are composable appropriately.

We shall fix the following notation for the remainder of this section. Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} be ample groupoids equipped with cocycles $\varphi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $\rho: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Lambda$, where Γ and Λ are discrete groups. Moreover, assume there is a group homomorphism $j: \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$.

We first define the functor $M \mapsto M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma$ from the category of left \mathcal{G} -modules to $\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma$ -modules. Let M be a left \mathcal{G} -module. Put $M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma := M \otimes \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ as a \mathbb{Z} -module. We define the action of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma] = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}] \otimes \mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ (this equality is just as \mathbb{Z} -modules) by

$$(\xi \otimes 1_g)(m \otimes 1_h) = \xi|_{\varphi^{-1}(g^{-1}h)} m \otimes 1_g,$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{G}, m \in M$ and $g, h \in \Gamma$. Here, 1_g denotes the characteristic function on $\{g\}$.

Remark 3.2. *We can see that the multiplication in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma]$ is given by*

$$(\xi \otimes 1_g)(\eta \otimes 1_h) = (\xi|_{\varphi^{-1}(g^{-1}h)} * \eta) \otimes 1_g$$

for $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}]$ and $g, h \in \Gamma$.

Let M and N be left \mathcal{G} -modules and $\kappa: M \rightarrow N$ be a \mathcal{G} -module map. Then, we can see that $\kappa \times_{\varphi} \Gamma := \kappa \otimes \text{id}: M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma \rightarrow N \times_{\varphi} \Gamma$ is a $\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma$ -module map, so that $M \mapsto M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma$ is functorial. The functor $M \mapsto M \times_{\rho} \Gamma$ is exact because $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ is \mathbb{Z} -flat.

We observe the following description for the canonical right $\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma$ -module structure of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma]$. For $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}], f \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}], g, h \in \Gamma$, we have

$$(f \otimes 1_g)(\xi \otimes 1_h) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k \in \Gamma} f\xi|_{\varphi^{-1}(g^{-1}k)} \otimes 1_k & \text{if } g = h, \\ 0 & \text{if } g \neq h. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let M be a left \mathcal{G} -module. Then, the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma] \otimes_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma} (M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma)$ is spanned by*

$$\{(f \otimes 1_g) \otimes (m \otimes 1_g): f \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}], m \in M, g \in \Gamma\}.$$

In addition, $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma] \otimes_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma} (M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma)$ is a Γ -module with the Γ -action determined by

$$k \cdot (f \otimes 1_g) \otimes (m \otimes 1_g) = (f \otimes 1_{kg}) \otimes (m \otimes 1_{kg})$$

for $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}], m \in M$ and $k, g \in \Gamma$.

Proof. Let $N = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma] \otimes_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma} (M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma)$. By definition, N is spanned by

$$\{(f \otimes 1_g) \otimes (m \otimes 1_h): f \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}], m \in M, g, h \in \Gamma\}.$$

For the first claim, it suffices to show that $(f \otimes 1_g) \otimes (m \otimes 1_h) = 0$ when $g \neq h$. To see this, use nondegeneracy to take an idempotent $e \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}]$ such that $em = m$. Then, by Equation (1),

$$(f \otimes 1_g) \otimes (m \otimes 1_h) = (f \otimes 1_g) \otimes ((e \otimes 1_h)(m \otimes 1_h)) = ((f \otimes 1_g)(e \otimes 1_h)) \otimes (m \otimes 1_h) = 0,$$

so that the first claim holds. To see the second claim, it suffices to show that the bilinear map

$$(f \otimes 1_g, m \otimes 1_h) \mapsto (f \otimes 1_{kg}) \otimes (f \otimes 1_{kh})$$

is balanced. This follows similarly. \square

Recall that a (possibly non-Hausdorff) topological space is said to be locally LCH if every point has a locally compact Hausdorff neighbourhood.

Lemma 3.4. *Let X be a totally disconnected locally LCH space equipped with a left \mathcal{G} -action. Suppose the action $\mathcal{G} \curvearrowright X$ is basic and étale. Then, the action $\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma \curvearrowright X \times \Gamma$ is basic and étale, so that the left $\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma$ -module $\mathbb{Z}[X \times \Gamma] = \mathbb{Z}[X] \times_{\varphi} \Gamma$ is flat by [43, Proposition 2.8].*

Proof. First, the action $\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma \curvearrowright X \times \Gamma$ is defined by

$$(\gamma, g)(x, g\varphi(\gamma)) = (\gamma x, g),$$

where $g \in \mathcal{G}, x \in X, g \in \Gamma$ and (γ, x) is a composable pair.

It is clear that the action $\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma \curvearrowright X \times \Gamma$ is free, and the anchor map $X \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma$ is a local homeomorphism. Hence, to show that action is basic, it suffices to show that the quotient map $q: X \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma \backslash X \times \Gamma$ is a local homeomorphism. It is always open, so it suffices to show that q is locally injective. Let $\bar{q}: X \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \backslash X$ is the quotient map, which is a local homeomorphism by assumption. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times \Gamma & \xrightarrow{q} & \mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma \backslash X \times \Gamma \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}} & \mathcal{G} \backslash X. \end{array}$$

The projection $X \times \Gamma \rightarrow X$ and \bar{q} are both local homeomorphisms, so that their composition is locally injective. Hence q is locally injective. \square

Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} be ample groupoids equipped with cocycles $\varphi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma$ and $\rho: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Lambda$. Then, a (Γ, Λ) -equivariant étale correspondence $(\Omega, \tau): \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ induces the étale correspondence

$$\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma: \mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times_{\rho} \Lambda$$

in the following way: Put $\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma = \Omega \times \Gamma$ as a topological space. The left action is defined by

$$(\alpha, g)(\omega, g\rho(\alpha)) = (\alpha\omega, g)$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{G}, \omega \in \Omega, g \in \Gamma$ such that (α, ω) is a composable pair. Similarly, the right action is defined by

$$(\omega, g)(\beta, j(g)\tau(\omega)) = (\omega\beta, g)$$

for $\beta \in \mathcal{H}, \omega \in \Omega, g \in \Gamma$ such that (ω, β) is a composable pair. From the definition of equivariant correspondences, we see that $\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma$ is a \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{H} -bispaces. The space $\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma$ is indeed an étale correspondence because of the following lemma:

Lemma 3.5. *The right action $\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma \curvearrowright \mathcal{H} \times_{\rho} \Lambda$ is free, proper, and étale. If Ω is proper, then so is $\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma$.*

Proof. By definition, the right action is free and the anchor map $\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{(0)} \times \Lambda$ is a local homeomorphism. Moreover, there is a homeomorphism

$$(\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma) / (\mathcal{H} \times_{\rho} \Lambda) \rightarrow (\Omega / \mathcal{H}) \times \Gamma, [\omega, g]_{\mathcal{H} \times_{\rho} \Lambda} \mapsto ([\omega]_{\mathcal{H}}, g)$$

which makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma & \\ \swarrow q & & \searrow \bar{q} \times \text{id} \\ (\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma) / (\mathcal{H} \times_{\rho} \Lambda) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & (\Omega / \mathcal{H}) \times \Gamma, \end{array}$$

where q and \bar{q} are quotient maps. Hence, $(\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma) / (\mathcal{H} \times_{\rho} \Lambda)$ is Hausdorff and q is a local homeomorphism, which implies that the right action is proper. Finally, suppose Ω is proper, i.e., $f: \Omega / \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$ is a proper map. Then, the map

$$(\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma) / (\mathcal{H} \times_{\rho} \Lambda) \cong (\Omega / \mathcal{H}) \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma$$

is equal to $f \times \text{id}$, which is a proper map. Hence $\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma$ is proper. \square

For a (Γ, Λ) -equivariant correspondence (Ω, τ) , let $\Omega_h := \tau^{-1}(h)$ for $h \in \Lambda$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}\Omega = \bigoplus_h \mathbb{Z}\Omega_h$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let M be a left \mathcal{H} -module. Then, there is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma$ -modules*

$$(\text{Ind}_\Omega M) \times_\varphi \Gamma \cong \text{Ind}_{\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma}(M \times_\rho \Lambda), \quad (f \otimes m) \otimes 1_g \mapsto (f \otimes 1_g) \otimes (m \otimes 1_{j(g)h})$$

for all $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Omega_h, m \in M, g \in \Gamma, h \in \Lambda$.

Proof. For $g \in \Gamma$, define a bilinear map

$$\Phi_g: \mathbb{Z}\Omega \times M \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma}(M \times_\rho \Lambda), \quad (f, m) \mapsto (f \otimes 1_g) \otimes (m \otimes 1_{j(g)h})$$

for $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Omega_h, m \in M, h \in \Lambda$. Then, for $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{H}$ and $k \in \Lambda$ with $\text{supp } \xi \subseteq \rho^{-1}(k)$, we have $f\xi \in \mathbb{Z}\Omega_{hk}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_g(f\xi, m) &= (f\xi \otimes 1_g) \otimes (m \otimes 1_{j(g)hk}) = ((f \otimes 1_g)(\xi \otimes 1_{j(g)h})) \otimes (m \otimes 1_{j(g)hk}), \text{ and} \\ \Phi_g(f, \xi m) &= (f \otimes 1_g) \otimes (\xi m \otimes 1_{j(g)h}) = (f \otimes 1_g) \otimes ((\xi \otimes 1_{j(g)h})(m \otimes 1_{j(g)hk})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the map $\Phi_g: \mathbb{Z}\Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{H}} M \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma}(M \times_\rho \Lambda)$ is well-defined. Now, let

$$\Phi: (\text{Ind}_\Omega M) \times_\varphi \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma}(M \times_\rho \Lambda).$$

be the direct sum of the maps induced from the maps Φ_g for all $g \in \Gamma$. A calculation shows that Φ is a $\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma$ -module map. A similar argument shows that the bilinear map

$$(\mathbb{Z}\Omega \otimes \mathbb{Z}\Gamma) \times (M \otimes \mathbb{Z}\Lambda) \rightarrow (\text{Ind}_\Omega M) \times_\varphi \Gamma, \quad (f \otimes 1_g, m \otimes 1_l) \mapsto \delta_{j(g)h,l}(f \otimes m) \otimes 1_g$$

for $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Omega_h$ is balanced, which induces the inverse of Φ . \square

Definition 3.7. *A left \mathcal{G} -module M is said to be Γ -graded if there is a grading $M = \bigoplus_{g \in \Gamma} M_g$ as \mathbb{Z} -modules and satisfy $fM_h \subseteq M_{gh}$ for $g, h \in \Gamma$ and $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}]$ with $\text{supp } f \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(g)$.*

Let us mention an example class of graded modules that will play a role in our proof below. An equivariant correspondence Ω gives rise to a Λ -graded left \mathcal{G} -module $M = \mathbb{Z}[\Omega]$ with grading $M_h = \mathbb{Z}[\Omega_h]$ with respect to the cocycle $j \circ \varphi: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Lambda$. In particular, $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(n)}]$ is a Γ -graded left \mathcal{G} -module for $n \geq 1$, where $\mathcal{G}^{(n)}$ denotes the space of composable n -tuples, since $\mathcal{G}^{(n)}$ is a \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{G} equivariant correspondence with $\tau(g_1, \dots, g_n) = \varphi(g_1 \cdots g_n)$. On the other hand, $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}]$ does not have a natural Γ -grading.

Fix a projective resolution $P_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}]$ over $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{G}$ and a projective resolution $Q_\bullet \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}^{(0)}]$ over $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{H}$, where P_n is of the form $\mathbb{Z}[X_n]$ and is Γ -graded, and Q_n is of the form $\mathbb{Z}[Y_n]$ and is Λ -graded. We can always choose such a resolution because the bar resolution is a projective resolution (note that we do not require that differentials preserve the grading). Then, $\text{Ind}_\Omega Q_\bullet$ is a flat resolution of $\text{Ind}_\Omega \mathcal{H}^{(0)}$ by [43, Proposition 2.8]. Now suppose Ω is proper, and let $\kappa: \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\Omega/\mathcal{H}] \cong \text{Ind}_\Omega \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}^{(0)}]$ be the map induced by the proper map $\Omega/\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{(0)}$. By projectivity of P_\bullet , we can choose a lift $\tilde{\kappa}_\bullet: P_\bullet \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\Omega Q_\bullet$. By applying the skew product functor (which is exact), we obtain the resolutions $P_\bullet \times_\varphi \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma]$ and $\text{Ind}_\Omega Q_\bullet \times_\varphi \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\Omega \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}^{(0)}] \times_\varphi \Gamma \cong \text{Ind}_{\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}^{(0)} \times_\rho \Lambda]$ by Lemma 3.6, together with the chain map

$$\tilde{\kappa}_\bullet \times_\varphi \Gamma: P_\bullet \times_\varphi \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Ind}_\Omega Q_\bullet \times_\varphi \Gamma \cong \text{Ind}_{\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma}(Q_\bullet \times_\rho \Lambda)$$

which is a lift of $\kappa \times_\varphi \Gamma: \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times_\varphi \Gamma] \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}^{(0)} \times_\rho \Lambda]$. They are flat resolutions by Lemma 3.4. Now we can apply [43, Theorem 3.5] to obtain the homomorphism

$$H_*(\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma): H_*(\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma) \rightarrow H_*(\mathcal{H} \times_\rho \Lambda).$$

In order to obtain the functoriality of the LHS type spectral sequence, let $C_\bullet = (P_\bullet \times_\varphi \Gamma)_{\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma}$ and $C'_\bullet = (Q_\bullet \times_\rho \Lambda)_{\mathcal{H} \times_\rho \Lambda}$. By Lemma 3.3, C and C' are complexes of Γ -modules, where Γ acts diagonally. The map $H_*(\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma)$ is induced by the chain map

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\kappa}: (P_\bullet \times_\varphi \Gamma)_{\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma} &\xrightarrow{(\tilde{\kappa} \times_\varphi \Gamma)_{\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma}} (\text{Ind}_\Omega Q_\bullet \times_\varphi \Gamma)_{\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Ind}_{\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma}(Q_\bullet \times_\rho \Lambda))_{\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma} \\ &\xrightarrow{\delta_{\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma} \otimes \text{id}} (Q_\bullet \times_\rho \Lambda)_{\mathcal{H} \times_\rho \Lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma implies that $\bar{\kappa}$ is (Γ, Λ) -equivariant:

Lemma 3.8. *The canonical map*

$$\delta = \delta_{\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma}: \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma] \otimes_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma} \mathbb{Z}[\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}^{(0)} \times \Lambda]$$

from [43, Proposition 3.3] is (Γ, Λ) -equivariant.

Proof. By definition, we have $s_{\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma}(\omega, g) = (s_{\Omega}(\omega), j(g)\tau(\omega))$ for $(\omega, g) \in \Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma$. Hence, for $g \in \Gamma, h \in \Lambda$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}\Omega_h$, we have

$$(s_{\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma})_*(\xi \otimes 1_g) = (s_{\Omega})_*(\xi) \otimes 1_{j(g)h}.$$

By Lemma 3.3, the \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma] \otimes_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma} \mathbb{Z}[\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma]$ is spanned by

$$\{(f \otimes 1_g) \otimes (\xi \otimes 1_g): f \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}], \xi \in \mathbb{Z}\Omega_h, g \in \Gamma, h \in \Lambda\}.$$

By definition of δ , we have

$$\delta((f \otimes 1_{kg}) \otimes (\xi \otimes 1_{kg})) = (s_{\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma})_*((f|_{\varphi^{-1}(e)} \xi) \otimes 1_{kg}) = (s_{\Omega})_*(f\xi) \otimes 1_{j(k)j(g)h} \quad (2)$$

for $k \in \Gamma$, since $f\xi \in \mathbb{Z}\Omega_h$. Thus we have equivariance. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let $M = \bigoplus_g M_g$ be a Γ -graded left \mathcal{G} -module. Then, the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes (\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}] \otimes_{\mathcal{G}} M) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma] \otimes_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma} M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma, \\ 1_g \otimes f \otimes m &\mapsto (f \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}}) \otimes (m \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

for $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}], g, h \in \Gamma$, and $m \in M_h$ is an isomorphism of Γ -modules. In particular, the Γ -module $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma] \otimes_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma} M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma$ is Γ -acyclic and

$$((M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma)_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma})_{\Gamma} \cong M_{\mathcal{G}}.$$

Proof. First, we show that Φ is well-defined. Fix $g \in \Gamma$. Then, the bilinear map

$$\Phi_g: \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}] \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma] \otimes_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma} M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma, \quad (f, m) \mapsto (f \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}}) \otimes (m \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}})$$

for $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}], h \in \Gamma$, and $m \in M_h$ is well-defined because $M = \bigoplus_h M_h$. Let $h, k \in \Gamma, f \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}], m \in M_h$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}]$ with $\text{supp } \eta \subseteq \varphi^{-1}(k)$. Then, by Equation (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_g(f\eta, m) &= (f\eta \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}}) \otimes (m \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}}) = ((f \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}k^{-1}})(\eta \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}k^{-1}})) \otimes (m \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}}), \text{ and} \\ \Phi_g(f, \eta m) &= (f \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}k^{-1}}) \otimes (\eta m \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}k^{-1}}) = (f \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}k^{-1}}) \otimes ((\eta \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}k^{-1}})(m \otimes 1_{gh^{-1}})), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that Φ_g is balanced and thus induces a map from $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes (\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}] \otimes_{\mathcal{G}} M)$. Hence, Φ is the direct sum of the maps induced from Φ_g for all $g \in \Gamma$. Exactly the same argument shows that the bilinear map

$$\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma] \times (M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Gamma \otimes (\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}] \otimes_{\mathcal{G}} M), \quad (f \otimes 1_g, m \otimes 1_{g'}) \mapsto \delta_{g,g'} 1_{gh} \otimes f \otimes m$$

for $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}], g, g', h \in \Gamma$, and $m \in M_h$ is balanced, so that it induces a map from the tensor product $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma] \otimes_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma} M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma$, which is the inverse of Φ . The latter claim follows from [6, Corollary III.6.6]. \square

The isomorphism $M_{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow ((M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma)_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma})_{\Gamma}$ in Lemma 3.9 is given by

$$f \otimes m \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}] \otimes_{\mathcal{G}} M \mapsto 1 \otimes (f \otimes 1_e) \otimes (m \otimes 1_e) \in \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\Gamma} (\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times \Gamma] \otimes_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma} M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma).$$

Hence, if $F: M \rightarrow N$ is a \mathcal{G} -module map between Γ -graded left \mathcal{G} -modules (we do not assume that F preserves the gradings), then the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{\mathcal{G}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & ((M \times_{\varphi} \Gamma)_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma})_{\Gamma} \\ \downarrow F_{\mathcal{G}} & & \downarrow ((F \times_{\varphi} \Gamma)_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma})_{\Gamma} \\ N_{\mathcal{G}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & ((N \times_{\varphi} \Gamma)_{\mathcal{G} \times_{\varphi} \Gamma})_{\Gamma}. \end{array}$$

In particular, we have $(C_{\bullet})_{\Gamma} \cong (P_{\bullet})_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $(C'_{\bullet})_{\Gamma} = (Q_{\bullet})_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Theorem 3.10. *An equivariant correspondence $(\Omega, \tau): \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ induces a morphism of LHS type spectral sequences $E(\mathcal{G}, \varphi) \rightarrow E(\mathcal{H}, \rho)$ such that*

(i) the map between E^2 -pages

$$\mathrm{H}_p(\Gamma, \mathrm{H}_q(\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma)) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_p(\Lambda, \mathrm{H}_q(\mathcal{H} \times_\rho \Lambda))$$

is induced from the (Γ, Λ) -equivariant map

$$\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma): \mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{H} \times_\rho \Lambda);$$

(ii) the map between E^∞ -pages is $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega): \mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. The LHS type spectral sequence is obtained by applying [6, Proposition VII.5.6] to C_\bullet and C'_\bullet . Since $\bar{\kappa}$ is (Γ, Λ) -equivariant, we see from the proof of this proposition that $\bar{\kappa}$ induces a map between the spectral sequences in [6, Proposition VII.5.6] for C_\bullet and C'_\bullet . Moreover, the maps $\mathrm{H}_q(C_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_q(C'_\bullet)$ between coefficient modules of the homology groups on the E^2 -page are induced from $\bar{\kappa}$. Since $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma)$ is induced from $\bar{\kappa}$, this shows (i).

It remains to show that the map $\mathrm{H}_*((C_\bullet)_\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_*((C'_\bullet)_\Lambda)$ induced by $\bar{\kappa}$ is identified with $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega)$ via the natural transformation in Lemma 3.9. To see this, it suffices to show the map

$$(\mathrm{Ind}_\Omega Q_\bullet)_\mathcal{G} \rightarrow (Q_\bullet)_\mathcal{H}$$

induced by $\mathrm{id}_\mathbb{Z} \otimes \delta \otimes \mathrm{id}_{Q_\bullet \times_\rho \Lambda}$ is equal to $\delta_\Omega \otimes \mathrm{id}_{Q_\bullet}$. It is the composition

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{Ind}_\Omega Q_\bullet)_\mathcal{G} &\xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 3.9}} (((\mathrm{Ind}_\Omega Q_\bullet) \times_\varphi \Gamma)_{\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma})_\Gamma \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 3.6}} ((\mathrm{Ind}_{\Omega \times_\tau \Gamma} (Q_\bullet \times_\rho \Lambda))_{\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma})_\Gamma \\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \otimes \delta \otimes \mathrm{id}} ((Q_\bullet \times_\rho \Lambda)_{\mathcal{H} \times_\rho \Lambda})_\Lambda \xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 3.9}} (Q_\bullet)_\mathcal{H}. \end{aligned}$$

For $f \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{G}^{(0)}]$, $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}\Omega_h$, $h \in \Lambda$, and $m \in Q_\bullet$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f \otimes (\xi \otimes m) &\mapsto 1 \otimes (f \otimes 1_e) \otimes ((\xi \otimes m) \otimes 1_e) \mapsto 1 \otimes (f \otimes 1_e) \otimes ((\xi \otimes 1_e) \otimes (m \otimes 1_h)) \\ &\mapsto 1 \otimes ((s_\Omega)_*(f\xi) \otimes 1_h) \otimes (m \otimes 1_h) \mapsto (s_\Omega)_*(f\xi) \otimes m, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Equation (2). Hence, this map is equal to $\delta_\Omega \otimes \mathrm{id}_{Q_\bullet}$. \square

We conclude this section with an example setting where we shall utilize Theorem 3.10 and a technical lemma that will be used in our proof of Theorem 6.8.

Example 3.11 (étale homomorphisms). *Let $f: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be an étale homomorphism. The correspondence Ω_f associated to f is $\Omega_f = \mathcal{G}^{(0)} \times_{\mathcal{H}^{(0)}} \mathcal{H}$, and the map $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{H})$ induced by f coincides with $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega_f)$, see [43, Example 3.8]. Suppose f is equivariant in the sense that $j \circ \varphi = \rho \circ f$. Then, Ω_f is an equivariant correspondence via*

$$\tau: \Omega_f \rightarrow \Lambda, (x, \beta) \mapsto \rho(\beta).$$

Then, we can see that $\Omega_f \times_\tau \Gamma \cong \Omega_{f \times j}$. In particular, the map $\mathrm{H}_(\Omega_f \times_\tau \Gamma): \mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{G} \times_\varphi \Gamma) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{H} \times_\rho \Lambda)$, which gives the map between E^2 -pages, is induced by the étale homomorphism $f \times j$.*

Lemma 3.12. *Let X and Y be compact spaces and suppose we have partial actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ and $\Lambda \curvearrowright Y$ (in the sense of, e.g., [34, Definition 2.1]). Assume there is a morphism between short exact sequences of groups*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \ker \bar{\varphi} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \Gamma'' \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow j & & \downarrow j'' \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \ker \bar{\rho} & \longrightarrow & \Lambda & \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} & \Lambda'' \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

and there is a continuous surjective map $p: Y \rightarrow X$ which satisfies the following condition:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For all } y \in Y \text{ and } g \in \Gamma, \text{ if } p(y) \text{ is contained in the domain of } g, \\ \text{then } y \text{ is contained in the domain of } j(g) \text{ and } p(j(g)y) = gp(y). \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

Define cocycles

$$\varphi: \Gamma \times X \rightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} \Gamma'' \quad \text{and} \quad \rho: \Lambda \times Y \rightarrow \Lambda \xrightarrow{\bar{\rho}} \Lambda''.$$

Assume that there are subgroups $\Gamma' \leq \ker \bar{\varphi}$ and $\Lambda' \leq \ker \bar{\rho}$ such that the restricted actions $\Gamma' \curvearrowright X$ and $\Lambda' \curvearrowright Y$ are globally defined and

$$\ker \bar{\varphi} \times X = \Gamma' \times X \quad \text{and} \quad \ker \bar{\rho} \times Y = \Lambda' \times Y.$$

Suppose we have $j(\Gamma') \subseteq \Lambda'$.

Let $\Omega = \Lambda \times Y$ be the (Γ'', Λ'') -equivariant correspondence from $\Gamma \times X$ to $\Lambda \times Y$ with canonical right action by $\Lambda \times Y$, left action given by $(g, p(hy))(h, y) = (j(g)h, y)$ for $g \in \Gamma, h \in \Lambda, y \in Y$, and locally constant map given by $\tau = \rho: \Omega \rightarrow \Lambda''$. Let $\Omega' = \Omega(j, p): \Gamma' \times X \rightarrow \Lambda' \times Y$.

Then, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}_*((\Gamma \times X) \times_{\varphi} \Gamma'') & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma'')} & \mathrm{H}_*((\Lambda \times Y) \times_{\rho} \Lambda'') \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathrm{H}_*(\Gamma' \times X) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega')} & \mathrm{H}_*(\Lambda' \times Y). \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $\iota_1: \Gamma' \times X \rightarrow (\Gamma \times X) \times_{\varphi} \Gamma''$ and $\iota_2: \Lambda' \times Y \rightarrow (\Lambda \times Y) \times_{\rho} \Lambda''$ be the inclusion maps. Let Ω_{ι_1} and Ω_{ι_2} denote the correspondences associated to the étale maps ι_1 and ι_2 , respectively. By [43, Proposition 3.7], it suffices to show $\Omega_{\iota_2} \circ \Omega' = (\Omega \times_{\tau} \Gamma'') \circ \Omega_{\iota_1}$. We can directly see that the both sides are isomorphic to the correspondence $\Lambda \times Y: \Gamma' \times X \rightarrow (\Lambda \times Y) \times_{\rho} \Lambda''$. \square

We shall use the following continuity property for groupoid homology:

Proposition 3.13. *Let $X = \varprojlim_{k \geq 1} \{X_k; \pi_{k,k-1}\}$ be the projective limit of compact totally disconnected spaces X_k , and suppose we have discrete groups Γ_k for $k \geq 1$ together with injective group homomorphisms $j_{k,k+1}: \Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_{k+1}$ such that $\{\Gamma_k; j_{k,k+1}\}$ is a directed system. Let $\Gamma := \varinjlim_k \{\Gamma_k; j_{k,k+1}\}$. Moreover, assume that for each k we have a partial action $\Gamma_k \curvearrowright X_k$ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright X$ a partial action such that the pairs of maps $(\pi_{k+1,k}, j_{k,k+1})$ satisfy the condition (3). Let $\Omega_k: \Gamma_k \times X_k \rightarrow \Gamma_{k+1} \times X_{k+1}$ be the correspondence from Lemma 3.12. Then, there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{H}_*(\Gamma \times X) = \varinjlim_k \{\mathrm{H}_*(\Gamma_k \times X_k); \mathrm{H}_*(\Omega_k)\}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{H}_k := \Gamma_k \times X_k$ and let $\Phi_k: \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{H}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{H}_{k+1}$ be the \mathbb{Z} -module map defined by $\xi \mapsto \xi 1_{X_{k+1}}$. Here, the product is defined via the left action $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{H}_k \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{H}_{k+1} = \mathbb{Z}\Omega_k$. Concretely, for $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{H}_k$ and $(h, y) \in \mathcal{H}_{k+1}$, we have

$$(\Phi_k(\xi))(h, y) = \begin{cases} \xi(g, \pi_{k+1,k}(y)) & \text{if } h = j(g) \text{ for some } g \in \Gamma_k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, we used the condition (3). Let $C_{\bullet}^k = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}_k^{\bullet}] = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}_k] \otimes_{X_k} \cdots \otimes_{X_k} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}_k]$ be the chain complex obtained by taking coinvariants of the bar resolution. Then, we have $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{H}_k) = \mathrm{H}_*(C_{\bullet}^k)$. We first claim that $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega_k): \mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{H}_k) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{H}_{k+1})$ is induced from the chain map

$$\Phi_k^{\otimes n} = \Phi_k \otimes \cdots \otimes \Phi_k: \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}_k^n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}_{k+1}^n]$$

for each n . Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $j = j_{k,k+1}$, $\pi = \pi_{k+1,k}$. Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}_k \times X_{k+1}$ be the action groupoid, where the action $\mathcal{H}_k \curvearrowright X_{k+1}$ is defined by

$$(g, \pi(y)) \cdot y = j(g)y$$

for $y \in X_{k+1}$ and $g \in \Gamma_k$. Note that this groupoid action is well defined because of the condition (3). We identify $\mathcal{K} \cong \Gamma_k \times X_{k+1}$, where the partial action $\Gamma_k \curvearrowright X_{k+1}$ is defined using j , via the isomorphism given by

$$\mathcal{H}_k \times X_{k+1} \rightarrow \Gamma_k \times X_{k+1}, \quad (g, \pi(y), y) \mapsto (g, y).$$

Let $\varphi: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{k+1}$ be the étale homomorphism defined by $(g, y) \mapsto (j(g), y)$ for $(g, y) \in \Gamma_k \times X_{k+1}$. Let Ω_{φ} be the étale correspondence associated to φ . Then, we can see that $\Omega_{\varphi} \circ \mathcal{K} = \Omega_k$, where \mathcal{K} is considered as an étale correspondence $\mathcal{H}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$. By [43, Example 3.9], $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{K})$ is induced from $\tau_n^*: \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}_k^n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{K}^n]$, where

$$\tau_n: \mathcal{K}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k^n, \quad ((g_1, j(g_2 \cdots g_n)y), \dots, (g_n, y)) \mapsto ((g_1, g_2 \cdots g_n \pi(y)), \dots, (g_n, \pi(y))).$$

On the other hand, by [43, Example 3.8], $\mathrm{H}_*(\Omega_{\varphi})$ is induced from $\varphi_*^n: \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{K}^n] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}_{k+1}^n]$ defined by

$$\varphi_*^n(f)((h_1, h_2 \cdots h_n y), \dots, (h_n, y)) = \begin{cases} f((h_1, h_2 \cdots h_n y), \dots, (h_n, y)) & \text{if } h_i \in j(\Gamma_k) \text{ for all } i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we can see that $\varphi_*^n \circ \tau_n^* = \Phi_k^{\otimes n}$, so that $H_*(\Omega_k)$ is induced from $\Phi_k^{\otimes \bullet}$. Note that Φ_k is multiplicative, and we can directly see that $\Phi_k^{\otimes \bullet}$ is indeed a well-defined chain map by using this property.

Next, we claim that $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{H} = \varinjlim (\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{H}_k, \Phi_k)$, where the inductive limit is taken in the category of abelian groups. To see this, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{H}$ is spanned by $\{\eta 1_{\mathcal{H}} : \eta \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{H}_k\}$. Clearly $\mathbb{Z}\mathcal{H}$ is spanned by the functions supported on $\{j_k(g)\} \times X$ for some k and $g \in \Gamma_k$, where $j_k: \Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma$ is the canonical map. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}]$ be such a function. Then, there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $g \in \Gamma_k$, and $\tilde{\xi} \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that

$$\xi(h, x) = \begin{cases} \tilde{\xi}(x) & \text{if } h = j_k(g), \\ 0 & \text{if } h \neq j_k(g). \end{cases}$$

Then, there exist $k' \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tilde{\eta} \in X_{k'}$ such that $\tilde{\xi} = \tilde{\eta} \circ \pi_k$. We may assume that $k = k'$. Let $\eta := 1_g \times \tilde{\eta} \in \mathbb{Z}\mathcal{H}_k$, and then we have $\xi = \eta 1_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Let $C_{\bullet} = \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{H}^{\bullet}]$. Then, we have $C_{\bullet} = \varinjlim (C_{\bullet}^k, \Phi_k^{\otimes \bullet})$ because tensor products preserve inductive limits. Therefore, continuity for homology of chain complexes yields the desired property. \square

4. GROUPOID HOMOLOGY FOR ALGEBRAIC ACTIONS

4.1. Groupoids from algebraic actions. In this subsection, we recall some ideas from [8]. Let S be a nontrivial cancellative reversible monoid. Recall that S is said to be left (resp. right) reversible if $sS \cap tS \neq \emptyset$ (resp. $S_s \cap St \neq \emptyset$) for all $s, t \in S$, and S is reversible if it is both left and right reversible.

Let A a torsion-free abelian group of finite rank $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ (i.e., A is isomorphic to a subgroup of \mathbb{Q}^d and $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}^d$). Let $\sigma: S \curvearrowright A$ be a faithful algebraic action in the sense of [8, Definition 2.1], i.e., $\sigma: S \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ is an injective (unital) monoid homomorphism such that σ_s is injective for all s .

Since S is right reversible, we can form the group of quotients $\mathcal{S} := S^{-1}S$, which is unique up to canonical isomorphism. Moreover, since S is reversible, it is directed with respect to the partial orders defined by $s \mid_r t$ if $t \in sS$ and $s \mid_l t$ if $t \in sS$ (see [12, Section 2.3] for a discussion of this).

Remark 4.1. *The partial order \mid_r is used when one take inductive limits over S and the partial order \mid_l is used when one takes projective limits over S .*

The action of S on A generates a semilattice of subgroups: Let

$$\mathcal{C} := \{\sigma_{s_1}^{-1} \sigma_{t_1} \cdots \sigma_{s_m}^{-1} \sigma_{t_m} A : s_i, t_i \in S, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\},$$

where, given $s \in S$ and a subset $X \subseteq A$, we write $\sigma_s^{-1}X := \{y \in A : \sigma_s(y) \in X\}$ for the set-theoretic inverse image of X under σ_s . Members of \mathcal{C} are called *S -constructible subgroups*, see [8, Definition 3.6]. By [8, Proposition 3.9], \mathcal{C} is a closed under taking finite intersections.

Example 4.2. *Let R be the ring of integers in a number field K , and let $R^{\times} := R \setminus \{0\}$ be the multiplicative monoid of R . For the multiplication action $R^{\times} \curvearrowright R$, the semilattice \mathcal{C} is the family of all nonzero ideals of R .*

We shall assume that our action $\sigma: S \curvearrowright A$ is exact in the sense of [8, Definition 4.11], i.e., $\bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C = \{0\}$. Since A is torsion-free and of finite rank, it satisfies the finite index property from [8, Definition 7.1], i.e., $[A : \sigma_s A] < \infty$ for all $s \in S$ (see, e.g., [23, Exercise 92.5]). By [8, Proposition 7.2], this implies that $[A : C] < \infty$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$, so that $\bar{A} := \varprojlim_{C \in \mathcal{C}} A/C$ is a compact abelian group. Since S is left reversible, the family $\{\sigma_s A : s \in S\}$ is cofinal in \mathcal{C} , so that $\bar{A} \cong \varprojlim_{s \in S} A/\sigma_s A$. The canonical map $A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ is injective by exactness of $\sigma: S \curvearrowright A$, and we shall identify A with its image in \bar{A} . Each σ_s uniquely extends to a continuous injective group endomorphism $\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}$, which we also denote by σ_s .

Let $\overline{\mathcal{A}} := \varinjlim_{s \in S} \{\bar{A}; \sigma_s\}$. Then, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is a locally compact abelian group. For each $s \in S$, let $\iota_s: \bar{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ be the canonical (continuous, injective) map associated with the direct limit, so that $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{s \in S} \iota_s(\bar{A})$. The maps ι_s satisfy the following: If $s \mid_r t$, i.e., $t = rs$ for some $r \in S$, then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{A} & \xrightarrow{\sigma_r} & \bar{A} \\ & \searrow \iota_s & \swarrow \iota_t \\ & & \overline{\mathcal{A}} \end{array}$$

It is easy to see that $\iota_1(\overline{A})$ is a compact open subgroup of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. We shall identify \overline{A} with $\iota_1(\overline{A})$.

Define $\mathcal{A} := \varinjlim_{s \in S} \{A; \sigma_s\}$. There is a canonical injective homomorphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ with dense image equal to $\bigcup_{s \in S} \iota_s(A)$. We shall identify \mathcal{A} with its dense image in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. By universality of inductive limits, the action $\sigma: S \curvearrowright \overline{A}$ extends to an action $S \curvearrowright \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ by automorphisms. Since \mathcal{S} is the group of quotients of S , this gives rise to an action $\tilde{\sigma}: \mathcal{S} \curvearrowright \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ by automorphisms. This action leaves \mathcal{A} invariant, and restricts to an action by automorphisms $\mathcal{S} \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$, which we also denote by $\tilde{\sigma}$. Note that $\tilde{\sigma}: \mathcal{S} \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}$ is a globalization in the sense of [8, Definition 2.3] and $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{s \in S} \tilde{\sigma}_s^{-1}(A)$.

We have a partial action $\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S} \curvearrowright \overline{A}$ characterized by $(a, s).x = a + \sigma_s(x)$ for all $x \in A$ and $(a, s) \in A \times S \subseteq \mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ (cf. [8, Section 3]).

Definition 4.3 (cf. [8, Definition 3.29]). *The groupoid attached to the algebraic action $\sigma: S \curvearrowright A$ is the partial transformation groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright A} := (\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}) \ltimes \overline{A}$.*

Before we turn to groupoid homology, we need to make some observations.

Remark 4.4. *Since $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \bigcup_{s \in S} \iota_s(\overline{A})$, the compact open subset $\overline{A} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is full for the groupoid $(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}) \ltimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}$, i.e., $(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}).\overline{A} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$.*

We now turn to fullness of \overline{A} viewed as a subset of (the unit space of) the groupoid $\mathcal{A} \ltimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}$.

Lemma 4.5. *We have $\mathcal{A} \cap \overline{A} = A$ (intersection taken inside $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$).*

Proof. Clearly, we have $A \subseteq \mathcal{A} \cap \overline{A}$. Let $a \in \mathcal{A} \cap \overline{A}$. Then, there exists $r \in S$ and $b \in A$ such that $a = \tilde{\sigma}_r^{-1}(b)$. Hence, $b = \sigma_r(a) \in \sigma_r \overline{A} = \overline{\sigma_r A}$ (where $\overline{\sigma_r A}$ denotes the closure of $\sigma_r A$). Thus, $b \in A \cap \overline{\sigma_r A} = \sigma_r A$. Now we can find $c \in A$ such that $b = \sigma_r(c)$, which gives $a = c \in A$. \square

Lemma 4.6. *We have $\mathcal{A} + \overline{A} = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ (sum taken inside $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$).*

Proof. Consider the inclusion $\mathcal{A}/A \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}/\overline{A}$ induced from the inclusion $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}$. It has dense image because \mathcal{A} is dense in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Since \overline{A} is compact open in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, the quotient $\overline{\mathcal{A}}/\overline{A}$ is discrete. Hence, $\mathcal{A}/A \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{A}}/\overline{A}$ is surjective; this map injective by Lemma 4.5 and is thus an isomorphism. The claim now follows. \square

Proposition 4.7. *The inclusion $A \ltimes \overline{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A} \ltimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is an equivalence of groupoids. Consequently, the canonical map $H_*(\text{incl}, \text{incl}): H_*(A, \mathbb{Z}\overline{A}) \rightarrow H_*(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.6, \overline{A} is a full subset of the unit space of $\mathcal{A} \ltimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Thus, it suffices to show that $A \ltimes \overline{A} = (\mathcal{A} \ltimes \overline{\mathcal{A}})_{\overline{A}}$, which follows from Lemma 4.5. The second claim follows from Proposition 2.4 combined with [39, Proposition 3.5] (see also [22, Lemma 4.3.]). \square

4.2. Homology computations. We want to compute the groupoid homology $H_*(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright A})$, which is canonically identified with the group homology $H_*(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ (see Proposition 2.4). The general strategy is to compute $H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ and $H_p(\mathcal{S}, H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}))$ and then use the Lyndon–Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence. Here, the \mathcal{S} -module structure on $H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ is defined as follows: For $s \in \mathcal{S}$ let $\alpha_s: \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ by $\alpha_s(f) := f \circ \tilde{\sigma}_s^{-1}$; then,

$$\mathcal{S} \ni s \mapsto H_*(\tilde{\sigma}_s, \alpha_s) \in \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})),$$

see [6, Theorem VII.6.3]; the action is defined in [6, Corollary III.8.2].

Let $\mathbb{I} := \{[A : C] : C \in \mathcal{C}\}$, and let $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]$ be the unital subring of \mathbb{Q} generated by $\{\frac{1}{k} : k \mid n \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{I}\}$. Note that since S is reversible, it suffices to invert the indices $[A : \sigma_s A]$ for $s \in S$. By [9, Lemma 3.21], the canonical map $A \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]$ is an isomorphism.

The main result of this subsection is the following.

Theorem 4.8. *For every $q \geq 0$, there is a canonical embedding of $H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ as an intermediate subgroup*

$$\bigwedge^q A \leq H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}) \leq (\bigwedge^q A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}],$$

and under this identification, the action $\theta: \mathcal{S} \curvearrowright H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ is characterized by the property that

$$\theta_s \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]} = \bigwedge^q(\sigma_s) \otimes \frac{1}{[A : \sigma_s A]} \quad \text{for all } s \in S.$$

Note that $\bigwedge^q A = 0$ for $q > d$.

For each $s \in S$, let $M_s := \mathbb{Z}[A/\sigma_s A]$. The projection map $\pi_s: \bar{A} \rightarrow A/\sigma_s A$ induces an inclusion map $\pi_s^*: M_s \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\bar{A}$ by $\pi_s^*(f) = f \circ \pi_s$. We shall often identify M_s with its image in $\mathbb{Z}\bar{A}$. For $s, t \in S$ with $s \mid t$, let $\pi_{s,t}: A/\sigma_t A \rightarrow A/\sigma_s A$ be the canonical projection map, and let $\pi_{s,t}^*: M_s \rightarrow M_t$ by $\pi_{s,t}^*(f) := f \circ \pi_{s,t}$. Then,

$$\mathrm{H}_*(A, \mathbb{Z}\bar{A}) \cong \varinjlim_{s \in S} \{ \mathrm{H}_*(A, M_s); \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{id}_A, \pi_{s,t}^*) \}. \quad (4)$$

For $s \in S$, the canonical map $\mathrm{H}_*(A, M_s) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_*(A, \mathbb{Z}\bar{A})$ associated with the direct limit decomposition in (4) is given by $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{id}_A, \pi_s^*)$. In order to understand the limit in (4) better, we shall use Shapiro's lemma as is done in a more general setting in [51].

For each $s \in S$, let $\mathrm{ind}_s: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\bar{A}$ by $\mathrm{ind}_s(1) = 1_{\sigma_s \bar{A}}$. Note that the image of ind_s is contained in M_s . Given $s, t \in S$ with $s \mid t$, we also let $\rho_{s,t}: \mathrm{H}_*(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_*(A)$ be the unique group homomorphism such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{H}_*(A) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{s,t}} & \mathrm{H}_*(A) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_s |^{\sigma_s A}, \mathrm{id}) & & \downarrow \mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_t |^{\sigma_t A}, \mathrm{id}) \\ \mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_s A) & & \mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_t A) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{incl}, \mathrm{ind}_s |^{M_s}) & & \downarrow \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{incl}, \mathrm{ind}_t |^{M_t}) \\ \mathrm{H}_*(A, M_s) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{id}, \pi_{s,t}^*)} & \mathrm{H}_*(A, M_t). \end{array} \quad (5)$$

Here, the vertical maps in the bottom half are the isomorphisms from Shapiro's lemma. Then, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_*(A, \mathbb{Z}\bar{A}) \cong \varinjlim_{s \in S} \{ \mathrm{H}_*(A); \rho_{s,t} \}. \quad (6)$$

For each $s \in S$, the canonical map $\rho_{s,\infty}: \mathrm{H}_*(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_*(A, \mathbb{Z}\bar{A})$ arising from the direct limit decomposition in (6) is given by

$$\rho_{s,\infty} = \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{id}, \pi_s^*) \circ \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{incl}, \mathrm{ind}_s |^{M_s}) \circ \mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_s |^{\sigma_s A}, \mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_s, \mathrm{ind}_s). \quad (7)$$

Lemma 4.9. *For all $s \in S$, we have $\mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_s, \mathrm{id}) \circ \rho_{1,s} = [A : \sigma_s A] \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{H}_*(A)}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathrm{res}_{\sigma_s A}^A: \mathrm{H}_*(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_s A)$ be the transfer map (see, e.g., [6, Section III.9]). By (5), we have

$$\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{incl}, \mathrm{ind}_s |^{M_s}) \circ \mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_s |^{\sigma_s A}, \mathrm{id}) \circ \rho_{1,s} = \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{id}, \pi_{1,s}^*) = \mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{incl}, \mathrm{ind}_s |^{M_s}) \circ \mathrm{res}_{\sigma_s A}^A,$$

where the second equality is from the proof of [51, Proposition 2.4]. Since $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathrm{incl}, \mathrm{ind}_s)$ is invertible, the above equation implies that

$$\mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_s |^{\sigma_s A}, \mathrm{id}) \circ \rho_{1,s} = \mathrm{res}_{\sigma_s A}^A. \quad (8)$$

We have $\mathrm{cor}_{\sigma_s A}^A \circ \mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_s |^{\sigma_s A}, \mathrm{id}) = \mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_s, \mathrm{id})$, so applying $\mathrm{cor}_{\sigma_s A}^A$ to both sides of (8) and using [6, Proposition III.9.5(ii)] gives us $\mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_s, \mathrm{id}) \circ \rho_{1,s} = [A : \sigma_s A] \mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{H}_*(A)}$. \square

Remark 4.10. *For the case $S = \mathbb{N}$, Lemma 4.9 is a homology analogue of [16, Lemma 3.2]. In addition, up to this point, we have not used that A is torsion-free in any essential way.*

For each $s \in S$, put $\tilde{\rho}_{s,\infty} := \rho_{s,\infty} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]}$, and for $s, t \in S$ with $s \mid t$, define $\tilde{\rho}_{s,t} := \rho_{s,t} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]}$.

Proposition 4.11. *The map $\tilde{\rho}_{1,\infty}: \mathrm{H}_*(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_*(A, \mathbb{Z}\bar{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since tensor products commute with direct limits, we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathrm{H}_*(A, \mathbb{Z}\bar{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}] \cong \varinjlim_{s \in S} \{ \mathrm{H}_*(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]; \tilde{\rho}_{s,t} \}.$$

By Lemma 4.9, $\mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_s, \mathrm{id}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]}$ and $\tilde{\rho}_{1,s}$ are invertible with

$$\tilde{\rho}_{1,s}^{-1} = \frac{1}{[A : \sigma_s A]} \mathrm{H}_*(\sigma_s, \mathrm{id}) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]} \quad (9)$$

for every $s \in S$. Hence, every connecting map $\tilde{\rho}_{s,t}$ is invertible, so that $\tilde{\rho}_{1,\infty}$ must be invertible. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.8. Let $\iota := H_*(\text{incl}, \text{incl}) : H_*(A, \mathbb{Z}\overline{A}) \rightarrow H_*(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ be the isomorphism from Proposition 4.7. The canonical inclusion $H_*(A) \hookrightarrow H_*(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ is given by the composition

$$\bigwedge^*(A) \cong H_*(A) \xrightarrow{\rho_{1,\infty}} H_*(A, \mathbb{Z}\overline{A}) \xrightarrow{\iota} H_*(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}).$$

Using Proposition 4.11, we have canonical inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}) &\xrightarrow{\iota^{-1}} H_*(A, \mathbb{Z}\overline{A}) \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} H_*(A, \mathbb{Z}\overline{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}] \\ &\xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_{1,\infty}^{-1}} H_*(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}] \cong \bigwedge^*(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}], \end{aligned}$$

where the last isomorphism is from [6, Theorem V.6.4(i)].

It remains to compute the S -action on $H_*(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ given by $\theta_s = H_*(\tilde{\sigma}_s, \alpha_s)$ for $s \in S$. For each $s \in S$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_*(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{H_*(\tilde{\sigma}_s, \alpha_s) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]}} & H_*(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}] \\ \uparrow \iota \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]} & & \uparrow \iota \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]} \\ H_*(A, \mathbb{Z}\overline{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}] & & H_*(A, \mathbb{Z}\overline{A}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}] \\ \uparrow \tilde{\rho}_{1,\infty} & & \uparrow \tilde{\rho}_{1,\infty} \\ H_*(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\theta_s \otimes \text{id}} & H_*(A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]. \end{array}$$

Observe that

$$H_*(\tilde{\sigma}_s, \alpha_s) \circ \iota \circ H_*(\sigma_1, \text{ind}_1) = \iota \circ H_*(\sigma_s, \text{ind}_s).$$

Since $\rho_{t,\infty} = H_*(\sigma_t, \text{ind}_t)$ for all $t \in S$ by (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_s \otimes \text{id} &= \tilde{\rho}_{1,\infty}^{-1} \circ (\iota \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]})^{-1} \circ H_*(\tilde{\sigma}_s, \alpha_s) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]} \circ (\iota \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]}) \circ \tilde{\rho}_{1,\infty} \\ &= (\tilde{\rho}_{s,\infty} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{1,s})^{-1} \circ (\iota \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]})^{-1} \circ (\iota \circ H_*(\sigma_s, \text{ind}_s) \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]}) \\ &= (\tilde{\rho}_{s,\infty} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{1,s})^{-1} \circ \tilde{\rho}_{s,\infty} = \tilde{\rho}_{1,s}^{-1} = \frac{1}{[A : \sigma_s A]} H_*(\sigma_s, \text{id}). \end{aligned}$$

For the last equality, we have used Equation (9). It remains to observe that under the identification $H_*(A) \cong \bigwedge^*(A)$, $H_*(\sigma_s, \text{id})$ is taken to $\bigwedge^*(\sigma_s)$, see [6, Theorem V.6.4(i)]. \square

4.3. The case $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$. Let us specialize to the case $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$ to prepare for Sections 5 and 6. We identify S with its image in $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong M_d(\mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 4.12. *In addition to the standing assumptions of this section, assume $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$ for some $d \geq 1$, so that $H_*(A) = \bigwedge^* A$. Then,*

(i) *For each $0 \leq q < d$, we have*

$$\bigwedge^q A \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/n] \leq H_q(A, \mathbb{Z}\overline{A}) = H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$$

for all $n \in S \cap \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. In particular, if $n \in S$ for all $n \in \mathbb{I}$, then $H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}) = (\bigwedge^q A) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{I}^{-1}]$.

(ii) *For $q > d$, we have $H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}) = 0$.*

(iii) *If $S \cap \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is cofinal in S with respect to $|_r$, then $\rho_{1,\infty} : H_d(A) \rightarrow H_d(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The equality $H_q(A, \mathbb{Z}\overline{A}) = H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ follows from Proposition 4.7.

(i): We have $[A : nA] = n^d$ and $\bigwedge^q(n) = n^q$, so Lemma 4.9 implies that $\rho_{1,n} = n^{d-q} \text{id}_{H_q(A)}$ for all $0 \leq q \leq d$. The claim now follows from Theorem 4.8 and the isomorphisms $H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}) \cong \varinjlim_{s \in S} \{H_q(A); \rho_{s,t}\}$. (ii) is immediate from $H_q(A, \mathbb{Z}\overline{A}) = H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$.

(iii): By Lemma 4.9, we have $\rho_{1,n} = n^{d-d} \text{id}_{H_d(A)} = \text{id}_{H_d(A)}$ for all $n \in S \cap \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Thus, $H_d(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}) \cong \varinjlim_{s \in S} \{H_d(A); \rho_{s,t}\} \cong \varinjlim_{n \in S \cap \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \{H_d(A); \rho_{n,m}\} = \mathbb{Z}$. \square

Let us close this section by showing that the conclusion in part (iii) of Proposition 4.12 holds whenever S contains $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Proposition 4.13. *In addition to the standing assumptions of this section, assume $A \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$ for some $d \geq 1$. If $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \subseteq S$, then the canonical map $\varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \{H_q(A); \rho_{n,m}\} \rightarrow \varinjlim_{s \in S} \{H_q(A); \rho_{s,t}\}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. For $a \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) \cong M_d(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\det(a) \neq 0$, let $\tilde{a} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ be such that $a\tilde{a} = \tilde{a}a = |\det(a)|$.

Put $T := \mathcal{S} \cap \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$. First, we claim that for any $a \in T$, we have $\tilde{a} \in T$. To see this, we see that $\tilde{a} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}} A$ by definition, and we have $\tilde{a} = a^{-1}|\det(a)| \in \mathcal{S}$ by assumption. In particular, T is a reversible monoid: Given $a, b \in T$, we have $|\det(a)| \in aT$ and $|\det(b)| \in bT$, so that $|\det(ab)| \in aT \cap bT$. Similarly, we can show $|\det(ab)| \in Ta \cap Tb$.

Since $|\det(a)| \in Ta$ for any $a \in T$, the set of natural numbers $\{|\det(t)| : t \in T\}$ is cofinal in T , so that $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is cofinal in T . Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \varinjlim_{s \in S} \{H_q(A); \rho_{s,t}\} & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & \varinjlim_{s \in T} \{H_q(A); \rho_{s,t}\} \\ & \swarrow \quad \searrow & \\ & \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}} \{H_q(A); \rho_{n,m}\} & \end{array}$$

The arrow on the lower right is an isomorphism by cofinality of $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ in T . Since $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \subseteq S \subseteq T$, S is cofinal in T , so that the top arrow is also an isomorphism. \square

5. ALGEBRAIC ACTIONS FROM FINITE RANK RINGS

5.1. Rings of finite rank. Let R be a unital ring whose additive group is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^d for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For instance, R could be the ring of algebraic integers in a number field, matrices over such a ring, or the integral group ring of a finite group. Let

$$R^\times := \{a \in R : ax = x \text{ implies } x = 0 \text{ for all } x \in R\}$$

be the multiplicative monoid of left regular elements in R . Note that R^\times is automatically cancellative because R is of finite rank. Let $S \subseteq R^\times$ be a reversible submonoid such that $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \subseteq S$. Then, $S \curvearrowright R$ is a faithful, exact algebraic action satisfying the finite index property. To see exactness, note that nR is an R^\times -constructible subgroup for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, and $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} nR = \{0\}$.

We shall identify R with its image in the \mathbb{Q} -algebra $\mathcal{A} := R \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. Under this identification, S is a submonoid of the group of units \mathcal{A}^* of \mathcal{A} . Put $\mathcal{S} := S^{-1}S$. For every $a \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $na \in R$. Thus, $(R^\times)^{-1}R^\times = R^\times(R^\times)^{-1} = \mathcal{A}^*$. In particular, the monoid R^\times is reversible.

We shall apply our general results to $A = R$ and our action $S \curvearrowright R$. For $a \in \mathcal{S}^*$, let $N_{\mathbb{Q}}(a) \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ be the determinant of the \mathbb{Q} -linear isomorphism $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ given by $x \mapsto ax$.

Theorem 4.8, Proposition 4.12, and Proposition 4.13 give the following:

Theorem 5.1. *For every $q \geq 0$, we have a canonical identification*

$$H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}) \cong \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A} & \text{if } q < d, \\ \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^d R \cong \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } q = d, \\ 0 & \text{if } q > d, \end{cases}$$

and under this identification, the action $\theta: \mathcal{S} \curvearrowright H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ is characterized by

$$\theta_a = \frac{1}{|N_{\mathbb{Q}}(a)|} \bigwedge^q(a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{S}),$$

where $\bigwedge^q(a)$ is the exterior power of the multiplication-by- a map on \mathcal{A} .

Let $\text{sign}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ be given by $\text{sign}(a) := \frac{N_{\mathbb{Q}}(a)}{|N_{\mathbb{Q}}(a)|}$, and denote by \mathbb{Z}_{sign} the \mathcal{S} -module \mathbb{Z} on which \mathcal{S} acts via sign .

Proposition 5.2. *We have*

$$H_p(\mathcal{S}, H_q(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq q < d, \\ H_p(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) & \text{if } q = d, \\ 0 & \text{if } q > d. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, suppose $0 \leq q < d$. Choose $n \in \mathcal{S} \cap \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$. Then, $\theta_n(x) = n^{q-d}x$ for all $x \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A}$. Note that $0 < n^{q-d} < 1$ because $q < d$. We have

$$\mathrm{H}_k(\langle n \rangle, \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A}) = \begin{cases} (\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A})_{\langle n \rangle} & \text{if } k = 0, \\ (\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A})^{\langle n \rangle} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } k > 1, \end{cases}$$

(see, for instance, [55, Example 6.1.4]). Here, $\langle n \rangle = n^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The group

$$(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A})_{\langle n \rangle} = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A} / \langle (1 - n^{k(q-d)})x : x \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$$

is trivial because $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A}$ is divisible and $(1 - n^{q-d})\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A} \leq \langle (1 - n^{k(q-d)})x : x \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A}, k \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$. The group

$$(\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A})^{\langle n \rangle} = \{x \in \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A} : \theta_n(x) = x\}$$

is trivial because θ_n has no fixed points except 0. Since $\langle n \rangle \leq \mathcal{S}$ is a normal subgroup, the Lyndon–Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence now implies that $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{S}, \Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}}^q \mathcal{A}) = 0$ for all $0 \leq q < d$.

By Theorem 5.1, we have $\mathrm{H}_d(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}) = \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}}^d \mathcal{A} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\theta_a = \text{sign}(a)$. \square

Corollary 5.3. *The Lyndon–Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence for $\mathrm{H}_*(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ collapses at the E^2 -page, and for all $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$\mathrm{H}_n(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Z}\overline{\mathcal{A}}) \cong \mathrm{H}_{n-d}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}).$$

5.2. Rings of algebraic integers. Let us now specialize to the case of rings of algebraic integers in number fields.

Lemma 5.4. *Let K be a number field. For $a \in K^*$, we have*

$$\text{sign}(a) = \frac{N_{\mathbb{Q}}(a)}{|N_{\mathbb{Q}}(a)|} = \prod_{w \in V_{K, \mathbb{R}}} \text{sign}(w(a)),$$

where $V_{K, \mathbb{R}}$ is the set of real embeddings of K .

Proof. By [46, Proposition I.2.6], we have $N_{\mathbb{Q}}(a) = \prod_{w \in V_K} w(a)$, where V_K is the set of field embeddings of K into \mathbb{C} . Let $V_{K, \mathbb{C}}$ denote the set of field embeddings w of K into \mathbb{C} such that $w(K) \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Now we have

$$\frac{N_{\mathbb{Q}}(a)}{|N_{\mathbb{Q}}(a)|} = \prod_{w \in V_{K, \mathbb{C}}} \frac{w(a)}{|w(a)|} \prod_{w \in V_{K, \mathbb{R}}} \frac{w(a)}{|w(a)|} = \prod_{w \in V_{K, \mathbb{R}}} \frac{w(a)}{|w(a)|},$$

where we define the empty product to be 1. Here, we used that for each complex embedding $w \in V_{K, \mathbb{C}}$, there is a unique conjugate embedding $\bar{w} \in V_{K, \mathbb{C}}$ satisfying $w(a)\bar{w}(a) = |w(a)|^2$ for all $a \in K$. \square

Lemma 5.5. *Given a number field K that has an odd number of real embeddings, there exists $a \in K^*$ such that $\text{sign}(a) = -1$ and $a^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a summand of K^* .*

Proof. Fix a nonzero prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of the ring of integers of K , and let $v_{\mathfrak{p}} : K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be the associated valuation map. By [7, Lemma 3.5], there exists $b \in K^*$ such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(b) = 1$ and b is totally positive in the sense that $w(b) > 0$ for all $w \in V_{K, \mathbb{R}}$. Put $a := -b$. Then, $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) = -1$, so that $a^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a summand of K^* . Since $|V_{K, \mathbb{R}}|$ is odd, we have $\text{sign}(a) = -1$ by Lemma 5.4. \square

Theorem 5.6. *For a number field K of degree $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ with ring of integers R , we have the following:*

(i) *If K is totally imaginary, then*

$$\mathrm{H}_n(\mathcal{G}_{R \times \circlearrowleft R}) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq n < d, \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = d, \\ \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \infty} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/|\mu|\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = d + 1, \\ \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \infty} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/|\mu|\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \infty} & \text{if } n \geq d + 2, \end{cases}$$

where $\mu = \mu_K$ is the group of roots of unity in K .

(ii) If K has a real embedding, then

$$H_n(\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq n < d, \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = d, \\ (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \infty} & \text{if } n \geq d + 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, suppose K is totally imaginary. In this case, the action $K^* \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}$ is the trivial action by Lemma 5.4. Since K^*/μ is free abelian, we have $K^* \cong \mu \times (K^*/\mu)$. Hence, by the Künneth formula (Theorem 2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_n(K^*, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) &= H_n(\mu \times (K^*/\mu)) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(\mu) \otimes H_q(K^*/\mu) \\ &= H_n(K^*/\mu) \oplus \bigoplus_{p: \text{ odd}} (\mathbb{Z}/|\mu|\mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_{n-p}(K^*/\mu), \end{aligned}$$

so that the claim (i) holds by Corollary 5.3. Next, suppose the number of real embeddings of K is odd. In this case, $\mu = \{\pm 1\}$ and $\text{sign}(-1) = -1$. Let $\Gamma = \ker \text{sign} \subseteq K^*$. Then, $\Gamma \cong K^*/\mu$ and $K^* = \mu \times \Gamma$. By Künneth's formula (Theorem 2.1), we have

$$H_n(K^*, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(\mu, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) \otimes H_q(K^*/\mu).$$

By [55, Theorem 6.2.2], we can see that

$$H_p(\mu, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

so that the claim (ii) is true in this case by Corollary 5.3. Finally, suppose the number of real embeddings of K is even and nonzero. In this case, $\mu = \{\pm 1\}$ and $\text{sign}(-1) = 1$. By Lemma 5.5, there exists $a \in K^*$ with $\text{sign}(a) = -1$ such that $a^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a summand of K^* . Let $\Lambda = \{\pm 1\} \times a^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then, there exists a free abelian subgroup of infinite rank $\Gamma \subseteq K^*$ such that $K^* = \Lambda \times \Gamma$. We can assume that $\text{sign}(\Gamma) = 1$. To see this, let $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a basis of Γ . For each i , let $b_i = a_i$ if $\text{sign}(a_i) = 1$, and let $b_i = aa_i$ if $\text{sign}(a_i) = -1$. Then, $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ still generates a free abelian subgroup $\tilde{\Gamma}$ such that $K^* = \Lambda \times \tilde{\Gamma}$, and we have $\text{sign}(\tilde{\Gamma}) = 1$. We calculate $H_p(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}})$. By the Künneth formula (Theorem 2.1), we have the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{s+t=p} H_s(\mu) \otimes H_t(a^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) \rightarrow H_p(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{s+t=p-1} \text{Tor}(H_s(\mu), H_t(a^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}})) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since

$$H_t(a^{\mathbb{Z}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } t = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } t > 0, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$H_p(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) \cong \begin{cases} H_0(\mu) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } p = 0, \\ H_p(\mu) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ \text{Tor}(H_{p-1}(\mu), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) & \text{if } p \text{ is even and } p > 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus, $H_p(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $p \geq 0$. Since

$$H_n(K^*, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} H_p(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) \otimes H_q(K^*/\Lambda)$$

by Künneth's formula (Theorem 2.1), the claim (ii) is true in this case by Corollary 5.3. \square

5.3. Application to topological full groups. We now combine our results on homology with [36]. First, we consider integral group homology.

Theorem 5.7. *Let K be a number field of degree $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ with ring of integers R . We have $H_n(\llbracket \mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R} \rrbracket) = 0$ for $0 < n < d$, and*

$$H_d(\llbracket \mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R} \rrbracket) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } K \text{ is totally imaginary,} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } K \text{ has a real embedding.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $[[\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]]$ is simple if and only if $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$, and if $K = \mathbb{Q}$, then $[[\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]]^{\text{ab}} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. By Corollary 5.3, we have $H_n(\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}) = 0$ for $0 \leq n < d$ and

$$\begin{aligned} H_d(\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}) &= H_0(K^*, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/\langle 1 - \text{sign}(a) : a \in K^* \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } K \text{ is totally imaginary,} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } K \text{ has a real embedding.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By [8, Theorem 4.22], the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}$ is purely infinite in the sense of [40, Definition 4.9], so in particular, $\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}$ has comparison. Now the claim follows from Theorem 5.6 and [36, Corollary D].

Since $\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}$ is purely infinite and minimal, the commutator subgroup of $[[\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]]$ is simple by [40, Theorem 4.16], which yields the second claim. \square

Now we turn to rational group homology.

Theorem 5.8. *Let K be a number field of degree $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ with ring of integers R .*

(i) *If K is totally imaginary, then*

$$H_n([[\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]], \mathbb{Q}) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq n < d, \\ \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } n = d, \\ \mathbb{Q}^{\oplus \infty} & \text{if } n > d. \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If K has a real embedding, then $H_n([[\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]], \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$.*

In particular, the group $[[\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}]]$ is rationally acyclic if and only if K has a real embedding.

Proof. As explained in the proof of Theorem 5.7, the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}$ has comparison. By [8, Theorem 4.10], the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{R^\times \curvearrowright R}$ is minimal. The statement now follows from Theorem 5.6 and [36, Corollary C]. \square

5.4. Comparison of K-theory and groupoid homology. In this section, we study some spectral sequences associated to the dynamical system $\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S} \curvearrowright \overline{\mathcal{A}}$. As a consequence, we get an isomorphism between groupoid homology and K-theory of the reduced crossed product. This section recovers the computation of Cuntz–Li in [14, Section 5] and Li–Lück in [37, Theorem 1.2] without using the Cuntz–Li duality of finite and infinite adeles from [14].

5.4.1. Raven’s bivariate Chern character. We start with a summary of Raven’s Chern character [48, 21]. For a pair of proper cocompact G -spaces (Z, W) , let $K_G^0(Z, W)$ denote the Gröthendieck group of the triples (E_0, E_1, u) , where E_0, E_1 are G -vector bundles on Z and $u: E_0|_W \rightarrow E_1|_W$ is a G -isomorphism. Let (X, A) be a pair of proper cocompact G -CW-complexes and let Y be a locally compact Hausdorff G -space. The topological KK-group $\text{KK}^G((X, A), Y)$ is defined by the set of equivalence classes of equivariant KK-cycles ([48, Section 4]). Here, an even (resp. odd) topological KK G -cycle is a triple (M, f, ξ) , where M is an even (resp. odd) dimensional proper cocompact G -Spin c -manifold with possibly non-empty boundary, $f: (M, \partial M) \rightarrow (X, A)$ is a proper G -map, and $\xi \in K_G^0(M \times Y^+, M \times \text{pt})$. In [48, Corollary 4.7.9], this group is shown to be isomorphic to Kasparov’s equivariant KK-group $\text{KK}^G(C_0(X), C_0(Y))$. We remark that Raven does not deal with the case that Y is not compact directly, but the same proof works for the non-compact setting (cf. [48, Corollary 7.3.12]).

On the other hand, for such (X, A) and Y , the Baum–Schneider bivariate homology group $\text{HH}_G^*(X, Y)$ is a kind of sheaf cohomology theory defined as

$$\text{HH}_G^n((X, A), Y) := \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{D}^+(\mathbb{C}[G])}(R\Gamma_c \mathbb{C}_{X \setminus A}, R\Gamma_c \mathbb{C}_Y[n]).$$

Here, $\mathbf{D}_G^+(X; \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathbf{D}^+(\mathbb{C}[G])$ denote the derived categories of nonnegative chain complexes of G -equivariant sheaves on X and those of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ -modules respectively, \mathbb{C}_X denotes the locally constant sheaf on X , and $\Gamma_c: \mathbf{D}_G^+(X; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}^+(\mathbb{C}[G])$ denotes the compactly supported global section functor. We remark that in [48] the coefficient ring is arbitrary, but in this paper we only use \mathbb{C} and abbreviate it. In [48, Definition 7.3.1], Raven introduced the bivariate Chern character at $\gamma \in G_{\text{tor}}$ as

$$\text{ch}_R^\gamma: \text{KK}_n^G((X, A), Y) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{HH}_{Z(\gamma)}^{-n+2k}((X^\gamma, A^\gamma), Y^\gamma),$$

where $Z(\gamma) := \{g \in G \mid g\gamma = \gamma g\}$ denote the centralizer group of γ and $X^\gamma := \{x \in X \mid \gamma \cdot x = x\}$ denote the γ -fixed point set. The case of $\gamma = e$ is called the localized Chern character, while others are called delocalized. Let

$$\widehat{\mathrm{HH}}_G^*((X, A), Y) := \bigoplus_{[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}(G_{\mathrm{tor}})} \mathrm{HH}_{Z(\gamma)}^*((X^\gamma, A^\gamma), Y^\gamma).$$

Here, $\mathcal{C}(G_{\mathrm{tor}})$ denotes the set of conjugacy classes of torsion elements in G . Then

$$\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_R := \bigoplus_{[\gamma] \in \mathcal{C}(G_{\mathrm{tor}})} \mathrm{ch}_R^\gamma: \mathrm{KK}_n^G(X, Y) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathrm{HH}}_G^{-n+2k}(X, Y)$$

is shown to be an isomorphism after tensoring with \mathbb{C} . In particular, if G is torsion-free, then the right hand side is $\bigoplus_k \mathrm{HH}_G^{*+2k}((X, A), Y)$.

Remark 5.9. *The above definition is for X cocompact. For non-cocompact G -CW-pair (X, A) , the groups are defined as*

$$\mathrm{F}^G((X, A), Y) \cong \varinjlim_{(Z, B) \subset (X, A)} \mathrm{F}^G((Z, B), Y)$$

for both $\mathrm{F} = \mathrm{KK}$ and HH , where (Z, B) runs over all pairs of cocompact G -CW-subcomplexes of (X, A) (cf. [21, Definition 2.15]). Note that the KK -group of this definition is called the representable KK -group and is denoted by $\mathrm{RKK}((X, A), Y)$ in the literature. For example, for an infinite family of proper cocompact G -CW-complexes $\{X_j\}_{j \in J}$,

$$\bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathrm{F}^G(\iota_j, Y): \mathrm{F}^G\left(\bigsqcup_{j \in J} X_j, Y\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathrm{F}^G(X_j, Y) \quad (10)$$

are isomorphisms for both $\mathrm{F} = \mathrm{KK}$ and HH , where $\iota_j: X_j \rightarrow \bigsqcup X_j$ denotes the inclusion.

By its naturality, Raven's Chern character is defined for of non-cocompact (X, A) ([21, Corollary 2.21]). Moreover, a continuous map $f: (X_1, A_1) \rightarrow (X_2, A_2)$, even if it is not proper, induces

$$\mathrm{F}^G(f, Y): \mathrm{F}^G(X_2, Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{F}^G(X_1, Y).$$

Indeed, for any cocompact G -CW-subcomplex $(Z_1, W_1) \subset (X_1, A_1)$, the restriction $f|_{(Z_1, W_1)}$ becomes a proper map to some cocompact G -CW-subcomplex $(Z_2, W_2) \subset (X_2, A_2)$.

Hereafter we focus on localized part ch_R^e of Raven's Chern character.

Lemma 5.10. *Let H be a subgroup of G . Then, for any proper H -CW-complex X and any G -space Y , there are isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{KK}_*^G(X \times_H G, Y) \cong \mathrm{KK}_*^H(X, Y), \quad \mathrm{HH}_G^*(X \times_H G, Y) \cong \mathrm{HH}_H^*(X, Y),$$

that are compatible with localized Chern character.

Proof. Following [48, Definitions 4.7.2, 6.5.10], let

$$\begin{aligned} i_H^G: \mathrm{KK}_*^H(X, Y) &\rightarrow \mathrm{KK}_*^G(X \times_H G, Y), & i_H^G[M, f, \xi] &= [M \times_H G, f \times_H \mathrm{id}_G, \mathrm{Ind}_H^G \xi], \\ i_H^G: \mathrm{HH}_H^*(X, Y) &\rightarrow \mathrm{HH}_G^*(X \times_H G, Y), & i_H^G[\varphi] &= \mu_Y \circ [\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{C}[G]} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} \varphi], \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu_Y: \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[H]} \mathbb{C}_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_Y$ is the G -action on Y . When H is a finite subgroup of G , it is proved in [48, Propositions 4.7.4, 6.5.11] that they are isomorphic and in [48, Proposition 7.3.9] that they are compatible with Raven's Chern character (under an additional assumption that $X = \mathrm{pt}$). These proofs can be verified to work when H is an arbitrary subgroup and (X, A) is a proper H -CW-pair. Indeed, in both cases, i_H^G is given by sending an H -invariant object, a KK^H -cycle or a morphism in $\mathbf{D}_H^+(X; \mathbb{C})$, to the collection of its G/H copies, which is regarded as G -invariant with respect to the induced G -action. \square

When $X = \underline{E}G$, the bivariant K -group $\mathrm{KK}_*^G(\underline{E}G, Y) \cong \mathrm{KK}_*^G(C_0(\underline{E}G), Y)$ is the domain of the Baum–Connes assembly map, and hence is isomorphic to $\mathrm{K}_*(C_0(Y) \rtimes G)$ if G satisfies $\gamma = 1$. Moreover, it is proved in [21, Corollary 3.2, Appendix A] that, if Y is totally disconnected, the group $\mathrm{HH}_G^*(X, Y)$ is isomorphic to the groupoid homology $\mathrm{H}_*(Y \rtimes G; \mathbb{C})$. Hence the isomorphism of ch_R is nothing else than the rational HK isomorphism.

5.4.2. *Comparison of Lyndon–Hochschild–Serre spectral sequences.* We will compare the Lyndon–Hochschild–Serre spectral sequences of bivariant K-theory and homology groups via Raven’s Chern character. Let $G = \mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}$, where the groups \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{S} are torsion-free countable groups, $X = EG$ and $Y = \overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $E_n \mathcal{S}$ denote the n -skeleton of the fat geometric realization $\|\mathcal{E}\mathcal{S}\|$ of the simplicial set $\mathcal{E}\mathcal{S}$, which is homotopy equivalent to the standard geometric realization $|\mathcal{E}\mathcal{S}|$ (see e.g. [52, Appendix A]). More explicitly,

$$E_n \mathcal{S} = \left(\bigsqcup_{0 \leq k \leq n} \mathcal{S}^{k+1} \times \Delta_k \right) / ((d_i(s_0, \dots, s_k), (t_0, \dots, t_{k-1})) \sim ((s_0, \dots, s_k), \delta_i(t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}))),$$

where $\Delta_k := \{(t_0, \dots, t_k) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k+1} : \sum t_i = 1\}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d_i : \mathcal{S}^{k+1} &\rightarrow \mathcal{S}^k, & d_i(s_0, \dots, s_k) &= (s_0, \dots, s_i s_{i+1}, \dots, s_k) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq k-1, \\ \delta_i : \Delta_{k-1} &\rightarrow \Delta_k, & \delta_i(t_0, \dots, t_{k-1}) &= (t_0, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_i, \dots, t_{k-1}) \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq k, \end{aligned}$$

and $d_k(s_0, \dots, s_k) = (s_0, \dots, s_{k-1})$. We remark that

$$E_n \mathcal{S} \setminus E_{n-1} \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^{n+1} \times \text{int } \Delta_n.$$

The group \mathcal{S} acts on \mathcal{S}^{k+1} by $s \cdot (s_0, \dots, s_k) = (ss_0, s_1, \dots, s_k)$. Since d_i is \mathcal{S} -equivariant, each $E_n \mathcal{S}$ is a free proper \mathcal{S} -CW-complex.

If a G -CW-complex EG models the universal example of free proper G -spaces, so does the direct product $EG \times \mathcal{E}\mathcal{S}$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{DK}_{pq}^1 &:= \text{KK}_{p+q}^G(EG \times E_p \mathcal{S}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}), \\ \text{EK}_{pq}^1 &:= \text{KK}_{p+q}^G(EG \times (E_p \mathcal{S}, E_{p-1} \mathcal{S}), \overline{\mathcal{A}}), \\ \text{DH}_{pq}^1 &:= \text{HH}_G^{-p-q}(EG \times E_p \mathcal{S}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}), \\ \text{EH}_{pq}^1 &:= \text{HH}_G^{-p-q}(EG \times (E_p \mathcal{S}, E_{p-1} \mathcal{S}), \overline{\mathcal{A}}). \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Then the long exact sequences for pairs $(EG \times E_p \mathcal{S}, EG \times E_{p-1} \mathcal{S})$ make both $(\text{DK}_{pq}^1, \text{EK}_{pq}^1)$ and $(\text{DH}_{pq}^1, \text{EH}_{pq}^1)$ exact couples. Moreover, Raven’s localized Chern character is now a morphism of exact couples

$$\text{ch}_R^e : (\text{DK}_{pq}^1, \text{EK}_{pq}^1) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (\text{DH}_{p+2k, q}^1, \text{EH}_{p+2k, q}^1). \tag{12}$$

The following identification follows the line of [47, Proposition 3.2].

Lemma 5.11. *The group \mathcal{S} acts by \mathcal{S}^{k+1} by $s \cdot (s_0, \dots, s_k) = (ss_0, s_1, \dots, s_k)$. Then, for any proper G -CW-complex X and any G -space Y , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{KK}_n^G(X \times \mathcal{S}^{k+1}, Y) &\cong \text{KK}_n^{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{S}]} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{S}^{k+1}], \\ \text{HH}_G^n(X \times \mathcal{S}^{k+1}, Y) &\cong \text{HH}_{\mathcal{A}}^n(X, Y) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}]} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}^{k+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any \mathcal{S} -equivariant map $f : \mathcal{S}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{l+1}$, the induced maps $\text{KK}^G(f, Y)$ and $\text{HH}_G^n(f, Y)$ are identical with $\text{id} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{S}]} f_*$ and $\text{id} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}]} f_*$ respectively.

Proof. In the proof, let the pair (F, R) be either $(\text{KK}_n, \mathbb{Z})$ or $(\text{HH}^n, \mathbb{C})$. Let $\lambda_s : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ denote the multiplication by s from the right, which is an \mathcal{S} -equivariant map with respect to the left multiplication action $\mathcal{S} \curvearrowright \mathcal{S}$. By using the isomorphism $i_{\mathcal{A}}^G : F^{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \rightarrow F^G(X \times \mathcal{S}, Y)$ in Lemma 5.10, we impose the right \mathcal{S} -module structure on $F^{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ by $s \mapsto F^G(\text{id}_X \times \lambda_s, Y)$. Let $\mu_{s_0, \dots, s_n} : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{n+1}$ denote the map $s \mapsto (ss_0, \dots, s_n)$. Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} F^{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \otimes R[\mathcal{S}^{n+1}] &\rightarrow F^G(X \times \mathcal{S}^{n+1}, Y), \\ \xi \otimes \delta_{(s_0, \dots, s_n)} &\mapsto F^G(\text{id}_X \times \mu_{s_0, \dots, s_n}, Y)(i_{\mathcal{A}}^G \xi), \end{aligned}$$

factors through the quotient $F^{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \otimes_{R[\mathcal{S}]} R[\mathcal{S}^{n+1}]$ since

$$F^G(\text{id}_X \times \mu_{ss_0, s_1, \dots, s_n}, Y)(i_{\mathcal{A}}^G \xi) = F^G(\text{id}_X \times \mu_{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n}, Y) \circ F^G(\text{id}_X \times \lambda_s, Y)(i_{\mathcal{A}}^G \xi).$$

This is an isomorphism by (10). Moreover, if $f: \mathcal{S}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{m+1}$ is an \mathcal{S} -equivariant map, then

$$F^G(\text{id}_X \times f, Y) \circ F^G(\text{id}_X \times \mu_{(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n)}, Y)(i_{\mathcal{A}}^G \xi) = F^G(\text{id}_X \times \mu_{f(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n)}, Y)(i_{\mathcal{A}}^G \xi),$$

which means that $F^G(\text{id}_X \times f, Y)$ is identified with $\text{id} \otimes_{R[\mathcal{S}]} f_*$. \square

Lemma 5.12. *The E^2 -page EH_{pq}^2 of the spectral sequence associated to the exact couple $(\text{DH}_{pq}^1, \text{EH}_{pq}^1)$ is isomorphic to $\text{H}_p(\mathcal{S}, \text{H}_q(\overline{\mathcal{A}} \rtimes \mathcal{A}; \mathbb{C}))$.*

Proof. Let $K_p := \{(t_0, \dots, t_p) \in \Delta_p : t_i \geq 1/(2p+2)\} \cong \Delta_p$ and let $U_p := E_p \mathcal{S} \setminus (\mathcal{S}^{p+1} \times K_p)$. By the excision axiom for the pair $(EG \times E_p \mathcal{S}, EG \times \overline{U}_p)$ and an open subset $EG \times U_p \subset EG \times \overline{U}_p$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EH}_{pq}^1 &\cong \text{HH}_G^{-p-q}(EG \times \mathcal{S}^{p+1} \times (K_p, \partial K_p), \overline{\mathcal{A}}) \\ &\cong \text{HH}_{\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}}^{-q}(EG \times \mathcal{S}^{p+1}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}) \\ &\cong \text{HH}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-q}(EG, \overline{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}]} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}^{p+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

The derivation $d_{pq}^1: \text{EH}_{p,q}^1 \rightarrow \text{EH}_{p-1,q}^1$ is the boundary map δ of the long exact sequence for the triple $(E_p \mathcal{S}, E_{p-1} \mathcal{S}, E_{p-2} \mathcal{S})$. By definition of $E_n \mathcal{S}$, the following diagram commutes;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{HH}_G^{-p-q}(EG \times (E_p \mathcal{S}, E_{p-1} \mathcal{S}), \overline{\mathcal{A}}) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & \text{HH}_G^{-p-q+1}(EG \times (E_{p-1} \mathcal{S}, E_{p-2} \mathcal{S}), \overline{\mathcal{A}}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \text{HH}_G^{-q}(EG \times \mathcal{S}^{p+1}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}) & \xrightarrow{\sum_i (-1)^i (\text{id}_{EG} \times (d_i)_*)} & \text{HH}_G^{-q}(EG \times \mathcal{S}^p, \overline{\mathcal{A}}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \text{HH}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-q}(EG, \overline{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}]} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}^{p+1}] & \xrightarrow{\sum_i (-1)^i (\text{id} \otimes (d_i)_*)} & \text{HH}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-q}(EG, \overline{\mathcal{A}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}]} \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}^{p+1}]. \end{array}$$

The horizontal map below is nothing else than the differential of the group chain complex with coefficient in $\text{HH}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-q}(EG, \overline{\mathcal{A}}) \cong \text{H}_q(\overline{\mathcal{A}} \rtimes \mathcal{A}; \mathbb{C})$. \square

Note that the resulting spectral sequence EH_{pq}^r is nothing else than the Lyndon–Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence for the semi-direct product group $\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}$.

5.4.3. K -theory computation: torsion-free case. Let us go back to the example coming from number fields. Let R be the ring of algebraic integers in a number field K . We again assume that $A = R$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} \subseteq S \subseteq R^\times$ is an abelian submonoid. We first work in the case that R^\times is torsion-free. In this case, Raven’s localized Chern character ch_R^e is an isomorphism after tensoring with \mathbb{C} .

Theorem 5.13 ([14, Section 5]). *The K -theory of $C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}) \rtimes \overline{\mathcal{A}})$ is isomorphic to*

$$K_*(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}) \rtimes \overline{\mathcal{A}})) \cong \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^* \mathcal{S}, & \text{if } \text{sign}(S) = \{1\}, \\ \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^* \mathcal{S}^\perp \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}), & \text{if } \text{sign}(S) = \{\pm 1\}. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, in [14, Section 5], the K -group computation is divided into 4 cases; (1) $|V_{K, \mathbb{R}}| = 0$, (2) $|V_{K, \mathbb{R}}|$ odd and $|\{w \in V_{K, \mathbb{R}} : w(b) < 0\}|$ even for any $b \in \mathcal{S}$, (3) $|V_{K, \mathbb{R}}|$ odd and $|\{w \in V_{K, \mathbb{R}} : w(b) < 0\}|$ odd for some $b \in \mathcal{S}$, and (4) $|V_{K, \mathbb{R}}| \geq 2$ even. By Lemma 5.4, $\text{sign}(\mathcal{S}) = \{+1\}$ in cases (1), (2) and $\text{sign}(\mathcal{S}) = \{\pm 1\}$ in cases (3), (4).

Lemma 5.14. *The spectral sequence $\text{EK}_{pq}^r \otimes \mathbb{C}$ collapses at the E^2 -page.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.2 and Lemma 5.12, the spectral sequence EH_{pq}^r collapses at the E^2 -page. This inherits to $\text{EK}_{pq}^r \otimes \mathbb{C}$ via Raven’s Chern character (12). \square

Lemma 5.15. *Raven’s localized Chern character*

$$\text{ch}_R^e: K_q(C_r^*(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \overline{\mathcal{A}})) \cong \text{KK}_{\mathcal{A}}^q(E\mathcal{A}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{HH}_{\mathcal{A}}^{-q+2k}(E\mathcal{A}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}) \cong \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{H}_{q+2k}(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})$$

is injective and has the image $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{H}_{q+2k}(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. First, by the groupoid equivalence $A \times \bar{A} \sim \mathcal{A} \times \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ from Proposition 4.7, Raven's Chern character under consideration is identified with $\text{ch}_R^e: \text{KK}_q^A(EA, \bar{A}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{HH}_A^{-q+2k}(EA, \bar{A})$, and hence the inductive limit of

$$\text{ch}_R^e: \text{KK}_q^A(EA, A/\sigma_s(A)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{HH}_A^{-q+2k}(EA, A/\sigma_s(A)).$$

This Chern character is isomorphic to $\text{ch}_R^e: \text{KK}_q^{\sigma_s(A)}(EA, \text{pt}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{HH}_{\sigma_s(A)}^{-q+2k}(EA, \text{pt})$, which is nothing else than the ordinary Chern character (in homology) of the torus $\mathbb{T}^d = EA/\sigma_s(A)$. This shows the lemma since the Chern character for \mathbb{T}^d restricts to the isomorphism $\text{K}_*(\mathbb{T}^d) \rightarrow \text{H}_*(\mathbb{T}^d; \mathbb{Z})$ due to the triviality of the Todd class of \mathbb{T}^d . \square

Lemma 5.16. *If $\text{sign}(\mathcal{S}) = \{+1\}$, then there is an isomorphism*

$$\text{K}_*(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}})) \cong \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^* \mathcal{S}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.15 and Proposition 5.2, the E^2 -page EK_{pq}^2 is determined as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{EK}_{pq}^2 &\cong \text{H}_p(\mathcal{S}; \text{K}_q(C_0(\bar{\mathcal{A}}) \times \mathcal{A})) \cong \text{H}_p\left(\mathcal{S}; \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{H}_{q+2k}(\mathcal{A} \times \bar{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{Z})\right) \\ &\cong \begin{cases} \text{H}_p(\mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) & \text{if } q-d \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } q-d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of $\text{sign}(S) = \{+1\}$, the group \mathcal{S} acts on the coefficient \mathbb{Z}_{sign} trivially, and hence the right hand side becomes the free abelian group $\text{H}_p(\mathcal{S}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigwedge^p \mathcal{S}$. This implies that the map of spectral sequences $\text{EK}_{pq}^2 \rightarrow \text{EK}_{pq}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ is injective. Since the spectral sequence $\text{EK}_{pq}^r \otimes \mathbb{C}$ collapses at E^2 -page by Theorem 5.14, an iterated diagram chase argument shows that $d_{pq}^r = 0$ for EK_{pq}^r . Moreover, since each component EK_{pq}^2 is a free \mathbb{Z} -module, there is no extension problem. This shows the desired isomorphism

$$\text{K}_n(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}})) \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \text{EK}_{pq}^2 \cong \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge^{n-d+2k} \mathcal{S}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 5.13. The remaining part is the same as [14]. The case of $\text{sign}(S) = \{+1\}$ is already proved in Lemma 5.16. In the case of $\text{sign}(S) = \{-1\}$, pick a basis $\{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ of \mathcal{S} in the way that $\text{sign}(a_i) = 1$ for any $i \geq 2$ and $\text{sign}(a_1) = -1$. Set $\mathcal{S}^\perp := \langle a_2, a_3, \dots \rangle \subset \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{S}' := \langle a_1^2, a_2, \dots \rangle$. Apply Lemma 5.16 to the dynamical system $(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}^\perp) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}}$ and $(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}') \times \bar{\mathcal{A}}$. Then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{K}_n(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}^\perp) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}})) &\cong \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{H}_{n-d+2k}(\mathcal{S}^\perp; \mathbb{Z}), \\ \text{K}_n(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}') \times \bar{\mathcal{A}})) &\cong \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{H}_{n-d+2k}(\mathcal{S}'; \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, since the morphism $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{H}_{n-d+2k}(\mathcal{S}^\perp; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{H}_{n-d+2k}(\mathcal{S}'; \mathbb{Z})$ is injective, the morphism $\text{K}_n(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}^\perp) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}})) \rightarrow \text{K}_n(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}') \times \bar{\mathcal{A}}))$ induced from the inclusion is injective (recall that a filtered map inducing the injective map of the subquotients is itself injective). Now, the Pimsner–Voiculescu exact sequence for the restriction of $\alpha: a_1^{\mathbb{Z}} \curvearrowright C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}^\perp) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}})$ to $a_1^{2\mathbb{Z}}$ is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{K}_0(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}^\perp) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}})) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} - \alpha_*^2} & \text{K}_n(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}^\perp) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}})) & \hookrightarrow & \text{K}_n(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}') \times \bar{\mathcal{A}})) \\ \uparrow & & & & \downarrow \\ \text{K}_1(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}') \times \bar{\mathcal{A}})) & \longleftarrow & \text{K}_n(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}^\perp) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}})) & \xleftarrow{\text{id} - \alpha_*^2} & \text{K}_n(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}^\perp) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}})). \end{array}$$

Hence $\text{id} - \alpha_*^2 = 0$, that is, a_1^2 acts on $\text{K}_*(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}^\perp) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}}))$ by the identity. In other words, the action of $a_1^{\mathbb{Z}}$ on $\text{K}_*(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}^\perp) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}}))$ factors through $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, the isomorphism

$$\text{Gr}(\text{K}_*(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S}^\perp) \times \bar{\mathcal{A}}))) \cong E_{pq}^\infty$$

is equivariant under the $a_1^{\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}$ -action, and the right hand side is the direct sum of copies of \mathbb{Z}_{sign} as $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}]$ -modules. Since any extension of \mathbb{Z}_{sign} by \mathbb{Z}_{sign} is trivial, i.e., $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}]}^2(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) = 0$, we

obtain that $\alpha_* = -\text{id}$. Finally, the Pimsner–Voiculescu exact sequence for $\alpha: a_1^{\mathbb{Z}} \curvearrowright C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}^\perp) \rtimes \overline{\mathcal{A}})$ shows the desired isomorphism. \square

5.4.4. *K-theory computation: torsion case.* Next we consider the case that $\mathcal{S} = \mu \times \Gamma$, where Γ is free abelian and μ is the group of roots of unity. Here we revisit the result of Li–Lück [37] from our standpoint.

Theorem 5.17 ([37, Theorem 1.2]). *If $\mathcal{S} = \mu \times \Gamma$, we have*

$$K_*(C_r^*((\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}) \rtimes \overline{\mathcal{A}})) \cong \begin{cases} K_*(C_r^*(\mu)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge^* \Gamma & \text{if } K \text{ is totally imaginary,} \\ \bigwedge^* \Gamma & \text{if } K \text{ has a real embedding.} \end{cases}$$

In this case, the localized Chern character is no longer rationally isomorphic, but the delocalized part is well controlled.

Lemma 5.18. *For $G = \mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ or $\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mu$, we have*

$$\widehat{\text{HH}}_G^*(\underline{EG}, \overline{\mathcal{A}}) \cong H_{-*}(\overline{\mathcal{A}} \rtimes G; \mathbb{C}) \oplus \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}[\mu \setminus \{e\}] & \text{if } * = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. First, the localized part of $\widehat{\text{HH}}_G^*(\underline{EG}, \overline{\mathcal{A}})$, i.e., $\text{HH}_G^*(\underline{EG}, \overline{\mathcal{A}})$, is isomorphic to $H_{-*}^G(\overline{\mathcal{A}} \rtimes G; \mathbb{C})$ by [21, Corollary 3.2].

Any torsion element $g = a \cdot s$ in $\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mu$ or $\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}$ is conjugate to some $\zeta \in \mu$ since the element $\zeta = (-b) \cdot g \cdot b$, where $b = a(1-s)^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$, fixes $0 \in \mathcal{A}$. The centralizer subgroup $Z(\zeta)$ of $\zeta \in \mu \setminus \{e\}$ is μ (this follows from the fact that μ acts on $A \setminus \{0\}$ freely since μ is a multiplicative group of an integral domain) and $(\underline{EG})^\zeta$ is $\mu/\langle \zeta \rangle$ -equivariantly contractible, we have

$$\bigoplus_{\zeta \in \mu \setminus \{e\}} \text{HH}_{Z(\zeta)}^*(\underline{EG}^\zeta, \overline{\mathcal{A}}^\zeta) \cong \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \mu \setminus \{e\}} \text{HH}_\mu^*(\text{pt}, \text{pt}) \cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{\zeta \in \mu \setminus \{e\}} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } * = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.19. *We have*

$$H_n((\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C}) \cong (\bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^n (A \otimes \mathbb{C}))^\mu.$$

Proof. The LHS spectral sequence for the semidirect product $(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}) \rtimes \mu$ converges to $H_n((\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{Z})$ and its E^2 -page is

$$E_{pq}^2 \cong H_p(\mu, H_q(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})) \cong \begin{cases} H_q(\mathcal{A} \rtimes \overline{\mathcal{A}}; \mathbb{C})^\mu & \text{if } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This, together with Theorem 5.1, shows the lemma. \square

Hereafter, we write $G = \mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}$. Let $\widehat{\text{EH}}_{pq}^r$ denote the LHS spectral sequence (cf. (11)) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\text{DH}}_{pq}^1 &:= \widehat{\text{HH}}_G^{-p-q}(\underline{EG} \times E_p \Gamma, \overline{\mathcal{A}}), \\ \widehat{\text{EH}}_{pq}^1 &:= \widehat{\text{HH}}_G^{-p-q}(\underline{EG} \times (E_p \Gamma, E_{p-1} \Gamma), \overline{\mathcal{A}}). \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Lemma 5.20. *The spectral sequence (13) collapses at the E^2 -page to $\widehat{\text{EH}}_{pq}^2$ for $q \neq 0, d$ and*

$$\widehat{\text{EH}}_{p0}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}[\mu \setminus \{e\}] \otimes \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^p (\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}), \quad \widehat{\text{EH}}_{pd}^2 \cong \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{C}}^p (\Gamma \otimes \mathbb{C}) & \text{if } K \text{ is totally imaginary,} \\ 0 & \text{if } K \text{ has a real embedding.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.19, the E^2 -pages are obtained by the same computation as Proposition 5.2. This is consistent with the groups obtained in Theorem 5.6, which means the desired collapsing. \square

Based on the K-theory computation of the group C*-algebra of the groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/m$ by Langer–Lück [32], Li–Lück shows in [37, Corollary 4.10] that $K_1(C_r^*(A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \overline{A}) \cong 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} K_0(C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \overline{A})) &\cong K_{\text{fin}}^\mu \oplus K_{\text{inf}}, \\ K_{\text{inf}} &\cong \bigoplus_{0 \leq 2k < d} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Q}}^{2k} \mathcal{A} \oplus \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^d A \cong \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Here, $K_{\text{inf}}, K_{\text{fin}}^\mu \subset K_0(C_r^*(\mu \rtimes A))$ are the subgroups defined in [37, Section 2]. The group K_{inf} consisting of K_0 -classes ξ such that there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ such that $n\xi$ is contained in the image of the map of K-groups induce by the inclusion $C_r^*(A \rtimes \overline{A}) \rightarrow C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \overline{A})$. The group K_{fin} is a free abelian group whose rank is equal to that of $\widetilde{R}(\mu)$, the complement of the trivial representation in the representation ring $R(\mu)$. By definition, the action of Γ on this K-group preserves the subgroup K_{inf} . Hereafter, we regard K_{fin}^μ as a Γ -space through the identification

$$K_{\text{fin}}^\mu \cong K_0(C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \overline{A}))/K_{\text{inf}}.$$

For the latter use, we introduce a different decomposition of the K-group. Let $K_{\text{div}} \subset K_0(C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \overline{A}))$ denote the subgroup of divisible elements, which is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{0 \leq 2k < d} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Q}}^{2k} \mathcal{A}$ and is obviously preserved by the Γ -action, and let $K_{\text{free}} := K_0(C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \overline{A}))/K_{\text{div}}$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_{\text{div}} \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \overline{A})) \rightarrow K_{\text{free}} \rightarrow 0$ non-equivariantly splits.

The action of Γ on K_{inf} and K_{div} comes from that of $K_0(C_r^*(A \rtimes \overline{A}))$, which is already determined in Lemma 5.16 (essentially in Theorem 5.1). In particular, we have $H^p(\Gamma, K_{\text{div}}) \cong 0$. To end the proof of Theorem 5.17, we determine the action of Γ on K_{fin}^μ . When $|V_{K, \mathbb{R}}|$ is odd, we have $\mu = \{\pm 1\}$ and hence $\widetilde{R}(\mu) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. In this case, Γ acts on $K_{\text{fin}}^\mu \cong \mathbb{Z}$ identically, since K_{fin}^μ contains the image of $\widetilde{R}(\mu) \subset K_0(C_r^*(\mu))$ via the inclusion $C_r^*(\mu) \rightarrow C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \overline{A})$ as a finite index subgroup ([32, Theorem 0.1 (ii)]). We need an additional discussion only in the case that $|V_{K, \mathbb{R}}|$ is even.

Lemma 5.21. *Assume that $|V_{K, \mathbb{R}}|$ is even. Let $U \subset \hat{A} \cong \mathbb{T}^d$ be an open neighborhood of the origin that is μ -equivariantly homeomorphic to the open disk. Then the map $(\iota \rtimes \mu)_*: K_0(C_0(U) \rtimes \mu) \rightarrow K_0(C(\overline{A}) \rtimes (A \rtimes \mu))$ induced from the inclusion $\iota := C_0(U) \hookrightarrow C(\hat{A}) = C_r^*A \hookrightarrow C(\overline{A}) \rtimes A$ is split injective.*

Proof. Let us fix a basis $A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_d \rangle$ and consider the μ -equivariant KK-class

$$\beta := [\ell^2(A, S), \pi, C(1 + C^2)^{-1}] \in \text{KK}^\mu(C(\overline{A}) \rtimes A, \mathbb{C}), \text{ where } C := \sum_{j=1}^d \mathbf{c}_j \otimes iX_j.$$

Here, S denotes the spinor representation of the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell(A_{\mathbb{C}}^*) \cong \mathbb{M}_{2^{d/2}}$ where $A_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ denotes the dual vector space of $A \otimes \mathbb{C}$, \mathbf{c}_j denotes its Clifford generators coming from the dual basis of $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ (note that $d = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ is even and μ preserves the orientation of \overline{A} by assumption), and X_j denotes the multiplication operators on $\ell^2(A)$ with the (unbounded) coefficient function $X_j: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $X_j(\sum_k c_k a_k) = c_j$ on A . Then the Kasparov product induces

$$\cdot \otimes (\beta \rtimes \mu): K_0(C(\overline{A}) \rtimes (A \rtimes \mu)) \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*(\mu)).$$

Passing through the Pontrjagin duality, the Kasparov product $\iota'_* \otimes_{C(\overline{A}) \rtimes A} \beta \in \text{KK}^\mu(C(\hat{A}), \mathbb{C})$ with the inclusion $\iota': C(\hat{A}) \rightarrow C(\overline{A}) \rtimes A$ is identified with the Dirac operator on the even dimensional torus \hat{A} , and hence its restriction to $U \cong \mathbb{R}^d$ induces a μ -equivariant KK-equivalence by the equivariant Bott periodicity. This shows that the Kasparov product with $\beta \rtimes \mu$ is the left inverse of ι'_* . \square

Since $K_0(C_0(U) \rtimes \mu) \cong R(\mu) \cong \widetilde{R}(\mu) \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ by the equivariant Bott periodicity, Lemma 5.21, equation (14), and a rank comparison shows that

$$K_0(C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \overline{A})) \cong \text{Im}(\iota \rtimes \mu)_* \oplus K_{\text{div}}.$$

This is indeed a Γ -equivariant splitting. For $s \in \Gamma$, we write $\alpha_s: \sigma_s^*: C_0(\overline{\mathcal{A}}) \rightarrow C_0(\overline{\mathcal{A}})$ (the Γ -action on $K_0(C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \overline{A}))$ is given by $\theta_s := (\alpha_s \rtimes \sigma_s \times \text{id}_\mu)_*$).

Lemma 5.22. *The following hold.*

- (i) *If K is totally imaginally, then Γ acts trivially on K_{free} .*
- (ii) *If $|V_{K, \mathbb{R}}|$ is nonzero and even, then there is $s \in \Gamma$ such that s generates a direct summand of Γ , $\text{sign}(s) = -1$ and satisfies $\ker(\theta_s - \text{id}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{coker}(\theta_s - \text{id}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let us choose an open neighborhood $U \subset \hat{A}$ of the origin. By identifying the universal covering of \hat{A} with \mathbb{R}^d , the following diagram commutes μ -equivariantly;

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
C_0(\mathbb{R}^d) & \longleftarrow & C_0(U) & \longrightarrow & C(\hat{A}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & C_r^* A & \longrightarrow & C(\bar{A}) \rtimes A \\
\downarrow \hat{\sigma}_s^* & & \downarrow \hat{\sigma}_s^* & & \downarrow \hat{\sigma}_s^* & & \downarrow \sigma_s & & \downarrow \alpha_s \rtimes \sigma_s \\
C_0(\mathbb{R}^d) & \longleftarrow & C_0(\sigma_s U) & \longrightarrow & C(\widehat{\sigma_s A}) & \xlongequal{\quad} & C_r^*(\sigma_s A) & \longrightarrow & C(\sigma_s \bar{A}) \rtimes (\sigma_s A) \\
& & & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & & & & & C(A/\sigma_s A) \rtimes A & \longrightarrow & C(\bar{A}) \rtimes A.
\end{array}$$

The right vertical map is the endomorphism σ_s acting on $C(\bar{A}) \rtimes A$ and the lower vertical maps are all full-corner embeddings. The left vertical map is the pull-back by a linear representation $\hat{\sigma}_s^*$. Since $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ becomes a complex representation of $\Gamma \times \mu$ by assumption, $\hat{\sigma}_s$ is μ -equivariantly homotopic to the identity. Thus the automorphism $(\hat{\sigma}_s)_* \rtimes \text{id}_\mu$ on $K_0(C_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \rtimes \mu)$ is the identity. This and 5.21 shows (1).

To see (2), we use the Pimsner–Voiculescu exact sequence. First, let us take $s \in \Gamma$ generating a direct summand such that $\text{sign}(s) = -1$. By replacing s with $m \cdot s$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\theta_s - \text{id}$ acts on \mathcal{A} , and hence on K_{div} , by an automorphism. On the other hand, the left vertical map is the pull-back by a linear representation $\hat{\sigma}_s^*$ such that $\det(\hat{\sigma}_s^*) < 0$, and hence is linearly (especially μ -equivariantly) homotopic to $\text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -1)$. This enables us to apply [15, Lemma 2.1] to get $\ker((\hat{\sigma}_s^* \rtimes \text{id}_\mu)_* - \text{id}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{coker}((\hat{\sigma}_s^* \rtimes \text{id}_\mu)_* - \text{id}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. This and Lemma 5.21 concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.23. *Assume that $|V_{K, \mathbb{R}}|$ is nonzero and even. Then we have*

$$H_m(\Gamma; K_0(C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \bar{A}))) \cong \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^m \Gamma.$$

Proof. Let s be as in Lemma 5.22 (2). Pick a complement Γ^\perp of $s^{\mathbb{Z}}$ in Γ such that $\text{sign}(\Gamma^\perp) = \{+1\}$. Then, by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.22, any $t \in \Gamma^\perp$ acts on $M := \text{Im}(\iota \rtimes \mu)_*$ by the identity.

Let us consider the LHS spectral sequences for the group homology $E_{pq}^2 = H_p(\Gamma^\perp; H_q(s^{\mathbb{Z}}; M)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(\Gamma; M)$ for $M = \text{Im}(\iota \rtimes \mu)_*$ and $M = K_0(C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \bar{A}))$. Since the inclusion $\text{Im}(\iota \rtimes \mu)_* \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \bar{A}))$ induces an isomorphism of E^2 -pages, we obtain $H_*(\Gamma; \text{Im}(\iota \rtimes \mu)_*) \cong H_*(\Gamma; K_0(C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \bar{A})))$. Now, since Γ^\perp acts on $M = \text{Im}(\iota \rtimes \mu)_*$ trivially, the Künneth theorem (see e.g. [6, Section V.2]) shows that the spectral sequence collapses at the E^2 -page to

$$E_{pq}^2 = H_p(\Gamma^\perp, H_q(s^{\mathbb{Z}}; \text{Im}(\iota \rtimes \mu)_*)) \cong H_p(\Gamma^\perp; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_q(s^{\mathbb{Z}}; \text{Im}(\iota \rtimes \mu)_*) \cong \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^p \Gamma^\perp & \text{if } q = 0 \text{ or } 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus we obtain that

$$H_m(\Gamma; K_0(C_r^*((A \rtimes \mu) \rtimes \bar{A}))) \cong H_m(\Gamma; \text{Im}(\iota \rtimes \mu)_*) \cong \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^m \Gamma^\perp \oplus \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^{m-1} \Gamma^\perp \cong \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^m \Gamma. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 5.17. Here we consider the spectral sequence associated to the exact couple

$$\begin{aligned}
DK_{pq}^1 &:= \text{KK}_{p+q}^G(\underline{EG} \times E_p \Gamma, \overline{\mathcal{A}}), \\
EK_{pq}^1 &:= \text{KK}_{p+q}^G(\underline{EG} \times (E_p \Gamma, E_{p-1} \Gamma), \overline{\mathcal{A}}).
\end{aligned}$$

By (14) and $H^p(\Gamma; K_{\text{div}}) \cong 0$, we have $EK_{p0}^2 \cong H^p(\Gamma, K_{\text{free}})$ and $EK_{p1}^2 \cong 0$. If K is totally imaginary, by Lemma 5.22 (1) we have

$$EK_{pq}^2 \cong H^p(\Gamma, K_{\text{free}}) \cong \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^p \Gamma \oplus \tilde{R}(\mu) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^p \Gamma = R(\mu) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^p \Gamma & \text{if } q \equiv 0 \equiv d \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $|V_{K, \mathbb{R}}|$ is odd, Γ acts on K_{fin}^μ trivially as is remarked above, and hence

$$EK_{pq}^2 \cong \begin{cases} \tilde{R}(\mu) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^p \Gamma = \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^p \Gamma & \text{if } q \equiv d \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $|V_{L,\mathbb{R}}|$ is nonzero even, then by Lemma 5.23 we have

$$\mathrm{EK}_{pq}^2 \cong \begin{cases} \bigwedge_{\mathbb{Z}}^p \Gamma & \text{if } q \equiv d \pmod{2}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In any cases, the groups EK_{pq}^2 are torsion-free, and hence is sent to $\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathrm{EH}}_{p,q+2k}^2$ injectively by Raven's Chern character $\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_R$. Now Lemma 5.20 concludes that EK_{pq}^r collapses at the E^2 -page. Since there is no extension problem, we obtain the desired isomorphism. \square

6. INTEGRAL DYNAMICS AND THE BARLAK–OMLAND–STAMMEIER CONJECTURE

In this section, we compute the groupoid homology of the groupoids underlying the C*-algebras from [3] (Subsection 6.2), and we prove [3, Conjecture 6.5] (Subsection 6.3). Combining these results, we see that the groupoids satisfy Matui's HK property.

6.1. Integral dynamics. Let us recall the definition of integral dynamics from [3] and fix some notation that will be used throughout this section. Let $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ be a set of pairwise relatively coprime numbers, and let $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be the monoid generated by Σ . Then, S acts on $A = \mathbb{Z}$ by multiplication, yielding an algebraic action $S \curvearrowright A$. Let $g_\Sigma := \gcd\{s-1 : s \in \Sigma\}$, and put $\mathcal{P} := \{p \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{Q}} : p \mid s \text{ for some } s \in \Sigma\}$. Applying Section 4, we obtain the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}} = (\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{S}) \times \overline{\mathcal{A}}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &= \mathbb{Z}[1/s : s \in S] = \mathbb{Z}[1/p : p \in \mathcal{P}] \cong \bigotimes_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}[1/p], \\ \mathcal{S} &= S^{-1}S = \langle s : s \in \Sigma \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \Sigma}, \text{ and } \overline{A} \cong \Delta := \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_p. \end{aligned}$$

The C*-algebra $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}})$ is isomorphic to the C*-algebra from [3, Definition 2.1].

Our general results Theorem 4.8 and Proposition 4.12 imply that

$$\mathrm{H}_0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta) = \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathrm{H}_1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad (15)$$

and $\mathrm{H}_q(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta) = 0$ for $q > 1$. Note that these special cases also follow from, e.g., [51].

6.2. Calculation of homology. First, we assume that $|\Sigma| < \infty$ and let $N = |\Sigma|$. The case $|\Sigma| = \infty$ will be treated in Section 6.2.4.

6.2.1. The torsion subgroupoid. It is proven in [3, Theorem 6.1] that the K-theory of $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}})$ decomposes as a direct sum of a free abelian part and a torsion part, where the torsion part is isomorphic to the K-theory of a C*-subalgebra of $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}})$, called the *torsion subalgebra* (see [3, Definition 5.3]). Moreover, it is observed in [3, Remark 5.7] and [3, Corollary 5.8] that this torsion subalgebra can be realized as the C*-algebra of a k -graph as defined in [26]. It was proven in [26] that such C*-algebras have canonical groupoid models, and the homology of such groupoids has been computed for several specific classes of k -graphs in [22].

Here, we recall the construction from [3, Remark 5.7] and observe that the groupoid underlying the torsion subalgebra embeds into $\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}$. This allows us to compute the homology of $\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}$ (Theorem 6.6) using the functoriality for LHS type spectral sequences for groupoids as established in Theorem 3.10.

For $a = (a_s)_{s \in \Sigma} \in \mathbb{N}^\Sigma \cong S$, let $s^a := \prod_{s \in \Sigma} s^{a_s}$ in order to simplify notation. Let

$$\Lambda := \bigsqcup_{a \in \mathbb{N}^\Sigma} \Lambda^a, \text{ where } \Lambda^a := \{0, \dots, s^a - 1\}.$$

We consider Λ as a category with a single object (i.e., a monoid) and composition rule given by

$$\Lambda^a \times \Lambda^b \rightarrow \Lambda^{a+b}, \quad (m, n) \mapsto m + s^a n.$$

Note that this map is bijective. This composition rule is associative and defines the same category as that constructed in [3, Remark 5.7].

We consider the category Λ as a k -graph whose length function is the canonical map $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^\Sigma$. Let $\Pi = \{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^\Sigma \times \mathbb{N}^\Sigma : m \leq n\}$. Then, the infinite path space Λ^∞ is defined to be the set of functions $x: \Pi \rightarrow \Lambda$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x(m, m) &= x(0, 0) \in \Lambda^0 && \text{for } m \in \mathbb{N}^\Sigma, \\ x(m, n) &\in \Lambda^{n-m} && \text{for } m \leq n, \\ x(m, n)x(n, k) &= x(m, k) && \text{for } m \leq n \leq k. \end{aligned}$$

For $a \in \mathbb{N}^\Sigma$, define

$$P_a: \Lambda^\infty \rightarrow \Lambda^a, \quad x \mapsto x(0, a).$$

Then, the topology of Λ^∞ is generated by clopen sets $P_a^{-1}(m)$ for $m \in \Lambda^a$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}^\Sigma$. The space Λ^∞ can be written as the inverse limit

$$\Lambda^\infty = \varprojlim (\Lambda^a, P_{a,b}),$$

where $P_{a,b}: \Lambda^a \rightarrow \Lambda^b$ for $b \leq a$ are the appropriate connecting maps which induce $P_a: \Lambda^\infty \rightarrow \Lambda^a$ as the limit map. We first compute the concrete form of $P_{a,b}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}^\Sigma$ with $b \leq a$. Under the identification $\Lambda^a \cong \mathbb{Z}/s^a\mathbb{Z}$, the map $P_{a,b}$ coincides with the canonical projection $\mathbb{Z}/s^a\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/s^b\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \Lambda^\infty$. Then, we have $x(0, b)x(b, a) = x(0, a)$, so that $P_b(x)x(b, a) = P_a(x)$. Hence, we have $P_b(x) + s^b x(b, a) = P_a(x)$ in \mathbb{Z} , which means that $P_b(x)$ coincides with the image of $P_a(x)$ under the canonical projection $\mathbb{Z}/s^a\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/s^b\mathbb{Z}$. \square

By Lemma 6.1, Λ^∞ is identified with $\Delta = \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_p$, and P_a coincides with the projection $\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/s^a\mathbb{Z}$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}^\Sigma$. Next, we compute the shift map

$$\sigma^a: \Lambda^\infty \rightarrow \Lambda^\infty, \quad (\sigma^a x)(m, n) = x(m + a, n + a)$$

for $a \in \mathbb{N}^\Sigma$.

Lemma 6.2. *For $x \in \Delta$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}^\Sigma$, we have*

$$\sigma^a(x) = \frac{x - P_a(x)}{s^a}.$$

In particular, if $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\sigma^a(x)$ is the quotient of x after division by s^a with remainder.

Note that $P_a(x) \in \Lambda^a = \{0, \dots, s^a - 1\}$, so that the right hand side makes sense.

Proof. It suffices to show the desired equation only for $x \in \mathbb{N}$ because \mathbb{N} is dense in Δ . Take $l \in \mathbb{N}^\Sigma$ sufficiently large so that $x \leq s^l$. Then, we have $P_m(x) = x$ for $m \geq l$. From the equation $x(0, a)x(a, l + a) = x(0, l + a)$, we have

$$P_a(x) + s^a(P_l(\sigma^a x)) = P_{l+a}(x) = x,$$

so that we have

$$P_l(\sigma^a x) = \frac{x - P_a(x)}{s^a}.$$

The right hand side does not depend on l . Hence, we have $\sigma^a x \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma^a x = P_l(\sigma^a x)$, which completes the proof. \square

The Deaconu–Renault groupoid associated with the k -graph Λ is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}} := \{(x, a - b, y) \in \Delta \times \mathbb{Z}^\Sigma \times \Delta : \sigma^a(x) = \sigma^b(y), a, b \in \mathbb{N}^\Sigma\}. \quad (16)$$

The C^* -algebra $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}})$ is the torsion subalgebra from [3, Definition 5.3.], see [3, Remark 5.7].

For $(x, a - b, y) \in \mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}$, we have

$$\frac{x - P_a(x)}{s^a} = \frac{y - P_b(y)}{s^b},$$

so that $x = s^{a-b}(y - P_b(y)) + P_a(x)$. Therefore, we can embed $\alpha: \mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{S \cap \mathbb{Z}}$ by

$$(x, a - b, y) \mapsto ((-s^{a-b}P_b(y) + P_a(x), s^{a-b}), y).$$

Note that α is an étale homomorphism.

Remark 6.3. *The category Λ is a monoid isomorphic to the right LCM monoid U from [3, Section 5], and the embedding α is essentially the groupoid version of [3, Corollary 5.8].*

By [22, Theorem 7.11], we have

$$H_p(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \binom{N-1}{p}} & \text{if } 0 \leq p \leq N-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

6.2.2. Homology of the integral dynamics groupoid. In this subsection, we perform the homology analogue of the K-theory calculation for the C*-algebras from [3]. In particular, the key step is showing that the exact sequence in (19) splits by using the LHS spectral sequence and its functoriality, which is the homology analogue of [3, Corollary 4.7].

Let $\rho: \mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the cocycle defined by $\rho((a, s), x) = s$ for all $((a, s), x) \in \mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}$. Define a cocycle $\varphi: \mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ by $\varphi = \rho \circ \alpha$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \ker \varphi & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}} \times_\varphi \mathcal{S} \\ \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \\ \ker \rho & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}} \times_\rho \mathcal{S}, \end{array} \quad (18)$$

where β is the restriction of α and ι is the canonical inclusion. The top horizontal line in diagram (18) is a groupoid equivalence by [22, Lemma 6.1].

Remark 6.4. *The groupoid $\ker \varphi$ is an AF-groupoid in the sense of [39, Definition 2.2] (see, e.g., the proof of [22, Lemma 6.2]). In particular, $H_p(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}} \times_\varphi \mathcal{S}) = H_p(\ker \varphi) = 0$ for all $p > 0$ by [39, Theorem 4.11].*

Lemma 6.5. *The map $H_0(\beta): H_0(\ker \varphi) \rightarrow H_0(\ker \rho)$ is an isomorphism. In addition, the bottom horizontal map in diagram (18) induces an isomorphism in homology.*

Proof. Since $\ker \rho = \mathbb{Z} \times \Delta$, we have $H_0(\ker \rho) = H_0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta) = \mathbb{Z}[1/n]$ (see Equation (15)), where $n := s_1 \cdots s_N$. For $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, we have $(k, 0, 0) \in \ker \varphi$ because $k - 0 = P_n(k) - P_n(0)$, so that $[1_{k+n\Delta}]_0 = [1_{n\Delta}]_0$ in $H_0(\ker \varphi)$. Hence, $[1_\Delta]_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [1_{k+n\Delta}]_0 = n[1_{n\Delta}]_0$, so that there is a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[1/n] \rightarrow H_0(\ker \varphi)$ such that $1/n \mapsto [1_\Delta]_0$. It is easy to see that this is the inverse of $H_0(\beta)$.

In order to show the second claim, it suffices to show that $(\ker \rho)^{(0)} = \Delta \times \{e\}$ is full in $\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}} \times_\rho \mathcal{S}$ in the sense that $\Delta \times \{e\}$ intersects every $(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}} \times_\rho \mathcal{S})$ -orbit. This can be seen directly. \square

Theorem 6.6. *If $|\Sigma| = N < \infty$, then we have*

$$H_n(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \binom{N}{n-1}} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma\mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \binom{N-1}{n}} & \text{if } 1 \leq n \leq N-1, \\ \mathbb{Z}^N & \text{if } n = N, \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = N+1, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \geq N+2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Remark 6.4 implies that the LHS type spectral sequence

$$E_{pq}^2(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}, \varphi) = H_p(\mathcal{S}, H_q(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}} \times_\varphi \mathcal{S})) \implies H_{p+q}(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}),$$

collapses at the E^2 -page, so that we have

$$E_{p,0}^2(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}, \varphi) = E_{p,0}^\infty = H_p(\mathcal{S}, H_0(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}} \times_\varphi \mathcal{S})) = H_p(\mathcal{S}, H_0(\ker \varphi))$$

On the other hand, E^2 -page of the LHS type spectral sequence

$$E_{pq}^2(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}, \rho) = H_p(\mathcal{S}, H_q(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}} \times_\rho \mathcal{S})) \implies H_{p+q}(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}})$$

is

$$E_{pq}^2(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}, \rho) = H_p(\mathcal{S}, H_q(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}} \times_\rho \mathcal{S})) = H_p(\mathcal{S}, H_q(\mathbb{Z} \times \Delta))$$

for $q = 0, 1$, and is zero if $q > 1$ by Lemma 6.5. By Theorem 3.10 and Example 3.11, α induces a morphism $E^*(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}, \varphi) \rightarrow E^*(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}, \rho)$ of spectral sequences, which gives rise to a morphism between

long exact sequences as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
E_{p+1,0}^2 & \xrightarrow{0} & E_{p-1,1}^2(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}, \varphi) & \longrightarrow & H_p(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}) & \longrightarrow & E_{p,0}^2(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}, \varphi) & \xrightarrow{0} & E_{p-2,1}^2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
E_{p+1,0}^2 & \xrightarrow{d} & E_{p-1,1}^2(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}, \rho) & \longrightarrow & H_p(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}) & \longrightarrow & E_{p,0}^2(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}, \rho) & \xrightarrow{d} & E_{p-2,1}^2.
\end{array}$$

By Lemma 6.5, the above diagram becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & H_p(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_p(\mathcal{S}, H_0(\ker \varphi)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \\
\dots & \xrightarrow{d} & H_{p-1}(\mathcal{S}, H_1(\mathbb{Z} \times \Delta)) & \longrightarrow & H_p(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}) & \longrightarrow & H_p(\mathcal{S}, H_0(\mathbb{Z} \times \Delta)) & \xrightarrow{d} & \dots
\end{array}$$

In particular, the differential $d: E_{p,0}^2(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}, \rho) \rightarrow E_{p-2,1}^2(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}, \rho)$ is zero, so that we obtain the split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{p-1}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}) \rightarrow H_p(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow H_p(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 0. \quad (19)$$

In addition, the action $\mathcal{S} \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}_{\text{sign}}$ is trivial by Theorem 4.8 and Proposition 4.12(iii). Now the claim follows from Equation (17). \square

6.2.3. Computation of $H_p(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A})$. In the proof of Theorem 6.6, we saw that $H_*(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}) \cong H_*(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A})$. We now give an alternate direct proof of the calculation of $H_*(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A})$ without using Equation (17). We need this direct calculation to deal with the case $|\Sigma| = \infty$. We continue to assume $|\Sigma| = N$ and let $\Sigma = \{s_1, \dots, s_N\}$.

We let $\mathbb{Z}[T, T^{-1}]$ denote the Laurent polynomial ring with one variable T . Note that $\mathbb{Z}[T, T^{-1}]$ is isomorphic to the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}]$. Let F_\bullet be the standard projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[T, T^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\times(T-1)} \mathbb{Z}[T, T^{-1}] \xrightarrow{T \mapsto 1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

of \mathbb{Z} over $\mathbb{Z}[T, T^{-1}]$ (note that $F_0 = F_1 = \mathbb{Z}[T, T^{-1}]$). Let $s \in \Sigma$. Then, the tensor product $F_\bullet \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[T, T^{-1}]} \mathbb{Z}[1/s]$ is isomorphic to the complex

$$P_\bullet(s)[1/s]: 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1/s] \xrightarrow{\times(s-1)} \mathbb{Z}[1/s] \rightarrow 0,$$

so that $H_0(P_\bullet(s)[1/s]) = \mathbb{Z}/(s-1)\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_1(P_\bullet(s)[1/s]) = 0$. It is known that the tensor product $F_\bullet^{\otimes N}$ is a projective resolution of \mathbb{Z} over $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{Z}^N]$ (see [6, Section V.1]). In addition,

$$F_\bullet^{\otimes N} \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/s_1 \dots s_N] \cong F_\bullet^{\otimes N} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=1}^N \mathbb{Z}[1/s_i] \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^N (F_\bullet \otimes \mathbb{Z}[1/s_i]) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^N P_\bullet(s_i)[1/s_i].$$

Note that tensor products are always taken as chain complexes, and the coefficient modules $\mathbb{Z}[1/s_i]$ are regarded as chain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[1/s_i] \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathbb{Z}[1/s_i]$ sits at the 0-th degree. Therefore, we have

$$H_*(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}) \cong H_* \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^N P_\bullet(s_i)[1/s_i] \right).$$

Lemma 6.7. *The tensor product of chain complexes $\bigotimes_{s \in \Sigma} P_\bullet(s)$, where*

$$P_\bullet(s): 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{s-1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0,$$

has the cohomology

$$H_p \left(\bigotimes_{s \in \Sigma} P_\bullet(s) \right) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma \mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \binom{N-1}{p}} & \text{if } 0 \leq p \leq N-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $Q_\bullet := \bigotimes_{i=1}^{N-1} P_\bullet(s_i)$ and $P_\bullet := P_\bullet(s_N)$. Then, since $H_0(P_\bullet) \cong \mathbb{Z}/(s_N - 1)\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_1(P_\bullet) = 0$, the Künneth formula for chain complexes yields the following Künneth exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_p(Q_\bullet) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/(s_N - 1)\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow H_p(Q_\bullet \otimes P_\bullet) \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(H_{p-1}(Q_\bullet), \mathbb{Z}/(s_N - 1)\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

This exact sequence splits because each P_n is a free \mathbb{Z} -module. By induction, we see that

$$H_p(Q_\bullet) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/(s_N - 1)\mathbb{Z} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma \mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \binom{N-2}{p}} \text{ and } \mathrm{Tor}(H_{p-1}(Q_\bullet), \mathbb{Z}/(s_N - 1)\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma \mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \binom{N-2}{p-1}},$$

and hence $H_p(\bigotimes_{s \in \Sigma} P_\bullet(s)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{n-1}{p}}$. \square

This Lemma 6.7 and the universal coefficient theorem concludes that

$$H_p(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma \mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \binom{N-1}{p}} & \text{if } 0 \leq p \leq N-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

which recovers (17).

6.2.4. *The infinite case.* Now we consider the case that $|\Sigma| = \infty$.

Theorem 6.8. *If $|\Sigma| = \infty$, then we have*

$$H_p(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } p = 0, \\ \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \infty} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma \mathbb{Z})^{\oplus \infty} & \text{if } p \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Fix a finite subset $\Sigma_0 \subseteq \Sigma$ such that $g_{\Sigma_0} = g_\Sigma$. Let $\Sigma_k \subseteq \Sigma$ be an increasing sequence of finite subsets of Σ , starting with Σ_0 , such that $\Sigma_{k+1} = \Sigma_k \sqcup \{s_k\}$ and $\bigcup_k \Sigma_k = \Sigma$. Then, we have $g_{\Sigma_k} = g_\Sigma$ for all $k \geq 0$. For each k , let $\mathcal{A}_k, S_k, \mathcal{S}_k, \Delta_k, \mathcal{G}_k := \mathcal{G}_{S_k \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}$, and \mathcal{P}_k be associated with Σ_k as in Section 6.1. Let $\pi_k: \Delta_{k+1} \rightarrow \Delta_k$ be the canonical projection map and $j_k: \mathcal{A}_k \times \mathcal{S}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k+1} \times \mathcal{S}_{k+1}$ the canonical inclusion map. By Theorem 3.10, Lemma 3.12, and Proposition 2.4, we have the following morphism between the exact sequences from Equation (19):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{p-1}(\mathcal{S}_{k+1}, H_1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta_{k+1})) & \longrightarrow & H_p(\mathcal{G}_{k+1}) & \longrightarrow & H_p(\mathcal{S}_{k+1}, H_0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta_{k+1})) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow H_{p-1}(j_k, H_1(\mathrm{id}, \pi_k^*)) & & \uparrow H_p(\Omega_k) & & \uparrow H_p(j_k, H_0(\mathrm{id}, \pi_k^*)) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{p-1}(\mathcal{S}_k, H_1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta_k)) & \longrightarrow & H_p(\mathcal{G}_k) & \longrightarrow & H_p(\mathcal{S}_k, H_0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta_k)) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where $\Omega_k: \mathcal{G}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{k+1}$ is the étale correspondence associated with (j_k, π_k) as in Lemma 3.12. To see that the condition (3) in Lemma 3.12 is satisfied, notice that \mathcal{S}_k acts on $\prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1} \setminus \mathcal{P}_k} \mathbb{Z}_p$ by homeomorphisms.

Next, we need to check that, under the identification as in Equation (15), $H_0(\mathrm{id}, \pi_k^*)$ is identified with $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $H_1(\mathrm{id}, \pi_k^*)$ is identified with the inclusion map $\iota_k: \mathcal{A}_k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$. For $q = 1$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{1,\infty}} & H_1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta_k) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{id} & & \downarrow H_1(\mathrm{id}, \pi_k^*) \\ H_1(\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{1,\infty}} & H_1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta_{k+1}), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are from (7). They are isomorphisms by Proposition 4.12. For $q = 0$, consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_0(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_k & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_{1,\infty}} & H_0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta_k) \otimes \mathcal{A}_k = H_0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta_k) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{id} & & \downarrow H_0(\mathrm{id}, \pi_k^*) \\ H_0(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_k & \xrightarrow{\rho_{1,\infty} \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{A}_k}} & H_0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta_{k+1}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_k = H_0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta_{k+1}) \\ \downarrow \mathrm{incl} & & \downarrow \mathrm{id} \\ H_0(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{k+1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_{1,\infty}} & H_0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta_{k+1}) \otimes \mathcal{A}_{k+1} = H_0(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}\Delta_{k+1}), \end{array}$$

where the top and bottom horizontal maps are the isomorphisms from Proposition 4.11, and $\rho_{1,\infty}$ is the map from (7). Proposition 4.12 gives us the three equalities on the right hand side. It is easy to see that each of the smaller squares commutes, so the larger square also commutes.

Consequently, we have the following morphism of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{p-1}(\mathcal{S}_{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_p(\mathcal{G}_{k+1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_p(\mathcal{S}_{k+1}, \mathcal{A}_{k+1}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \mathrm{H}_p(j_k, \mathrm{id}) \uparrow & & \mathrm{H}_p(\Omega_k) \uparrow & & \mathrm{H}_p(j_k, \iota_k) \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_{p-1}(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_p(\mathcal{G}_k) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{H}_p(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{A}_k) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By continuity of group homology ([6, Exercise V.5.3] and continuity of Tor) combined with continuity of groupoid homology (Proposition 3.13), we obtain the following split exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{p-1}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_p(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_p(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We have $\mathrm{H}_{p-1}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Z}) = \bigwedge^{p-1} \mathcal{S} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus \infty}$. In addition, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}_p(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{A}_\sigma) &\cong \mathrm{H}_p(\mathcal{S}_k, (\mathcal{A}_k)_\sigma) \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{A}_k}(\mathrm{H}_p(\mathcal{S}_k, (\mathcal{A}_k)_\sigma), \mathcal{A}) \\ &\cong (\mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma \mathbb{Z})^{\binom{|\Sigma_k|-1}{p-1}} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_k} \mathcal{A} \oplus \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{A}_k}((\mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma \mathbb{Z})^{\binom{|\Sigma_k|-1}{p-1}}, \mathcal{A}) \\ &\cong (\mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma \mathbb{Z})^{\binom{|\Sigma_k|-1}{p-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

by the universal coefficient theorem (here \mathcal{A}_σ denotes the \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{A} on which \mathcal{S} acts nontrivially by σ) and hence

$$\mathrm{H}_p(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}_\sigma) \cong \varinjlim_k \mathrm{H}_p(\mathcal{S}_k, \mathcal{A}_\sigma) \cong \varinjlim_k (\mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma \mathbb{Z})^{\binom{n_k-1}{p-1}} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma \mathbb{Z})^\infty. \quad \square$$

Remark 6.9. *If g_Σ is odd, then the map $\zeta: \mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow [\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}]^{\mathrm{ab}}$ from [36, Corollary E] vanishes because $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = 0$, so that $[\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}]^{\mathrm{ab}} \cong \mathrm{H}_1(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}})$. If g_Σ is even, then the situation is similar to that for SFT groupoids in [40, Section 5.5], i.e., ζ sometimes vanishes, nevertheless $\mathrm{H}_0(\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is nontrivial.*

6.3. Calculation of K-theory. Barlak, Omland, and Stammeier conjectured the following result:

Theorem 6.10 ([3, Conjecture 6.5]). *For any set $\Sigma \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$ of pairwise relatively coprime numbers with $|\Sigma| \geq 2$, the C^* -algebra $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{tor}})$ is isomorphic to $\bigotimes_{s \in \Sigma} \mathcal{O}_s$, where $\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{tor}}$ is the groupoid from (16).*

Since it is already shown that $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{tor}})$ is a UCT Kirchberg algebra ([3, Corollary 5.2]), this theorem is equivalent to $\mathrm{K}_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{tor}})) \cong \mathrm{K}_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{tor}})) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/g_\Sigma \mathbb{Z})^{2^{|\Sigma|-1}}$ and $n[1] = 0$ if and only if $g_\Sigma \mid n$. By [3, Theorem 6.1] and Theorem 6.6, this means that the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_{S \curvearrowright \mathbb{Z}}$ has the HK property. In this subsection we prove this theorem. Hereafter, we assume that $N = |\Sigma| < \infty$, and the case of $|\Sigma| = \infty$ is dealt with in the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem 6.10 below.

First of all, we recall a presentation of the torsion subalgebra $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{tor}})$ from [3] by a semigroup crossed product $\mathbb{M}_{s^\infty} \rtimes \mathbb{N}^N$, where \mathbb{M}_{s^∞} is the UHF algebra (see [3, Corollary 5.4]). We first recall the definition of this \mathbb{N}^N -action on \mathbb{M}_{s^∞} . Let $\Sigma = \{s_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a finite set of mutually coprime positive integers. Set $\mathfrak{s} := \prod_{i=1}^N s_i$ and $g = \mathrm{gcd}(\{s_i - 1\})$. The space of \mathfrak{s} -adic integers is denoted by $\Delta := \varprojlim \Delta_{s^l}$, where $\Delta_m := \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. The inclusion $C(\Delta_{s^l}) \hookrightarrow C(\Delta_{s^{l+1}})$ extends to $\mathbb{K}(\ell^2 \Delta_{s^l}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(\ell^2 \Delta_{s^{l+1}})$ by sending the matrix unit $e_{x,y}$ to $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z}} e_{x+s^l a, y+s^l a}$ for any $k, l \in \Delta_{s^l}$. We write $B := \varprojlim \mathbb{K}(\ell^2 \Delta_{s^l})$. The product by s_i gives a (non-unital) $*$ -homomorphism $\beta_i: \mathbb{K}(\ell^2 \Delta_{s^l/s_i}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}(\ell^2 \Delta_{s^l})$, which is assembled to an endomorphism $\varphi_i: B \rightarrow B$. Let $\tilde{B} := \varinjlim_{\mathbb{N}^N} \{B; \varphi_i\}$ be the direct limit with connecting maps coming from the \mathbb{N}^d -action defined by φ_i . Then, $A = 1_B(\tilde{B} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^N)1_B$ is isomorphic to $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\mathrm{tor}})$ (cf. [31, Theorem 2.2.1]).

Following the line of [30] and [3], we rephrase the K-theory of the crossed product by \mathbb{Z}^N in terms of bundles (or continuous fields) of C^* -algebras over $\mathbb{T}^N = B\mathbb{Z}^N$. This enables us to apply the Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence (see e.g. [25]) for computing the K-group of $B \rtimes_{\beta} \mathbb{Z}^N$. This is the same thing as the spectral sequence of [3, Theorem 6.7] (we also refer to [54, 2]).

Lemma 6.11 ([2, Proposition 1.4]). *Let B be a \mathbb{Z}^N - C^* -algebra. Then, the C^* -algebra defined by*

$$\mathrm{MT}(B, \beta) = \{b \in C([0, 1]^N, B) : b(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 0, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n) = \beta_j(b(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 1, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n))\}$$

satisfies $\mathrm{K}_(B \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^N) \cong \mathrm{K}_{*-N}(\mathrm{MT}(B, \beta))$.*

Proof. Kasparov's Dirac–dual Dirac method [30] shows that B and $B \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ are \mathbb{Z}^N -equivariantly KK-equivariant. Hence $B \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^N$ and $(B \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2n})) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^N \cong (B \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^N \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)$ has the same K-theory. This proves the lemma. Indeed, we have an *-isomorphism

$$(B \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^N)) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^N \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{MT}(B \otimes \mathbb{K}(\ell^2 \mathbb{Z}^N), \beta \otimes \text{Ad}\lambda), \quad (b \otimes f)\lambda_g \mapsto \left(t \mapsto b \otimes \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^N} f(t+h) \cdot e_{g+h,h} \right),$$

where λ and e_{gh} denotes the left regular representation and the matrix unit on $\ell^2 \mathbb{Z}^N$ respectively, and the right hand side is Morita equivalent to $\text{MT}(B, \beta)$ via the imprimitivity bimodule $\text{MT}(B \otimes \ell^2 \mathbb{Z}^N, \beta \otimes \lambda)$ defined in the same way as the mapping torus of C*-algebras. \square

The C*-algebra $\text{MT}(B, \beta)$ is regarded as the continuous section algebra of the mapping torus C*-bundle

$$\mathcal{MT}(B, \beta) := [0, 1]^N \times B / \{(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 0, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_N, b) \sim (t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 1, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_N, \beta_j(b))\}.$$

The same C*-algebra can be defined even in the case that β is an endomorphism action of \mathbb{N}^N . In this case, the above $\mathcal{MT}(B, \beta)$ remains well-defined as a continuous field of C*-algebras, although it is no longer a C*-algebra bundle. Indeed, in general, a C*-algebra over a compact Hausdorff space (i.e., a C*-algebra A equipped with a non-degenerate *-homomorphism $C(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{ZM}(A)$) is always regarded as the continuous section algebra $C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B})$ of an upper-semicontinuous field of C*-algebras \mathcal{B} (cf. [5, Section 1]). In our case, the continuous field \mathcal{B} is explicitly given by the mapping torus field $\mathcal{MT}(B, \beta)$ as in the following definition.

Definition 6.12. *Let B be a C*-algebra. We call a triple $(\beta, D_\beta, R_\beta)$ (denoted by β in short) a partial *-automorphism if D_β, R_β are C*-subalgebras of B and $\beta: D_\beta \rightarrow R_\beta$ is a *-isomorphism. The composition of partial *-automorphisms is given by the triple $(\beta_2 \circ \beta_1, \beta_1^{-1}(D_{\beta_2}), \beta_2(D_{\beta_2} \cap R_{\beta_1}))$. For a mutually commutative n -tuple of partial *-automorphisms β_1, \dots, β_N , its mapping torus field is defined as*

$$\mathcal{MT}(B, \beta) := \left\{ (t, b) \in [0, 1]^N \times B : \begin{array}{l} b \in R_{\beta_{j_1} \circ \dots \circ \beta_{j_r}} \text{ if } t_{j_l} = 0 \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, r \\ b \in D_{\beta_{k_1} \circ \dots \circ \beta_{k_s}} \text{ if } t_{j_l} = 1 \text{ for } l = 1, \dots, s \end{array} \right\} / \sim,$$

where \sim is the equivalence relation given by

$$((t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 0, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_N), b) = ((t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 1, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_N), \beta_j(b)).$$

When $D_\beta \subsetneq B$, this definition would be seen as a C*-algebraic and higher-dimensional analogue of the HNN extension of groups.

Next, we recall some generalities of the AH spectral sequence for K-theory of C*-algebras over a compact Hausdorff space X . Let us fix a triangulation for X . Then the E_1 -page of the AH spectral sequence for a continuous field \mathcal{D} of C*-algebras over X is isomorphic to

$$E_1^{pq} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in X^{(p)}} K_{-p-q}(C_0(\sigma, \mathcal{D})).$$

Here, for a locally closed subspace $U \subset X$, $C_0(U, \mathcal{D})$ denotes the continuous section algebra on it vanishing at its boundary, i.e.,

$$C_0(U, \mathcal{D}) := \{f \in C(\overline{U}, \mathcal{D}) : f|_{\overline{U} \setminus U} \equiv 0\}.$$

Moreover, the first differential $d_1^{pq} : E_1^{pq} \rightarrow E_1^{p+1,q}$ is given by the sum of the boundary maps associated to the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow C_0(\tau, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow C_0(\sigma \cup \tau, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow C_0(\sigma, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow 0,$$

where (σ, τ) runs over all pairs of simplices such that a p -cell σ is a boundary of a $(p+1)$ -cell τ .

In the case of our interest, the case of $C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}) = \text{MT}(B, \beta)$, we can employ the standard triangulation of \mathbb{T}^N , i.e., a triangular subdivision of the square decomposition $\mathbb{T}^N = \bigsqcup_{I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}} \mathbb{T}^I$. For each p -cell σ , we have $K_{-p-q}(C_0(\sigma, \mathcal{B})) \cong K_{-q}(B)$. Moreover, the differential $d_{pq}^1 : K_{-q}(B) \rightarrow K_{-q}(B)$ is $-(\beta_i)_*$ if τ faces to the $t_i = 1$ side of σ , and $\pm \text{id}$ otherwise, where the signature depends on the orientation. The resulting E_1 -page is nothing else than the simplicial cohomology group of \mathbb{T}^N , with the coefficient $K_{-q}(B)$ twisted by the monodromy β_* .

Remark 6.13. *The above discussion is a special case of a general story: In general, the E_2 -page computed from the above E_1 -page is identical with the sheaf cohomology $H^p(X; \mathcal{K}_{-q}(\mathcal{D}))$, where the sheaf $\mathcal{K}_{-q}(\mathcal{D})$ is the sheafification of the presheaf $U \mapsto \mathcal{K}_{-q}(C_b(U, \mathcal{D}))$. In particular, this E_2 -page is independent of the choice of a triangulation T of X .*

When the continuous field \mathcal{D} comes from a C^* -bundle with the fiber D defined by the transition functions $g_{ij}: U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow \text{Aut}(D)$, the sheaf $\mathcal{K}_{-q}(\mathcal{D})$ comes from the local system (i.e., a flat $\mathcal{K}_{-q}(D)$ -bundle) whose transition functions are given by $(g_{ij})_*$. Especially, when $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{B}$ as above, the sheaf $\mathcal{K}_{-q}(\mathcal{B})$ is identical with the local system coming from the flat $\mathcal{K}_{-q}(B)$ -bundle

$$\underline{\mathcal{K}_{-q}(B)} := [0, 1]^N \times \mathcal{K}_{-q}(B) / (((t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_N), x) \sim ((t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, 1, t_{i+1}, \dots, t_N), (\beta_i)_*(x))).$$

Set $B_l := \mathbb{K}(\ell^2 \Delta_{s^l}) \subset B$. Then the restriction of endomorphisms β_i to B_l gives a mutually commuting n -tuple of partial $*$ -automorphism $(\beta_i, \beta_i^{-1}(B_l), \beta_i(\beta_i^{-1}(B_l)))$, denoted by β_i or $(\beta_i, D_{l, \beta_i}, R_{l, \beta_i})$ in short. To be more explicit, D_{l, β_i} is $\mathbb{K}(\ell^2 \Delta_{s^l/s_i})$ regarded as a subalgebra of B_l by an extension of $C(\Delta_{s^l/s_i}) \rightarrow C(\Delta_{s^l})$ induced from the projection $\Delta_{s^l} \rightarrow \Delta_{s^l/s_i}$, and $R_{l, \beta_i} = \mathbb{K}(\ell^2(s_i \Delta_{s^l/s_i}))$ regarded as a full corner subalgebra of B_l . We define

$$\mathcal{B}_l := \mathcal{MT}(B_l, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_N).$$

Lemma 6.14 ([3, Proposition 6.12]). *The E_2 -pages of the AH spectral sequence computing*

$$K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{MT}(\tilde{B}, \beta))) \cong K_*(\text{MT}(\tilde{B}, \beta)) \cong K_*(\tilde{B} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^N)$$

is isomorphic to the group cohomology

$$E_2^{pq} \cong H^p(\mathbb{T}^N; \underline{\mathcal{K}_{-q}(\tilde{B})}) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{n-1}{p-1}} & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for any $l \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$, the inclusions $\mathcal{B}_l \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{MT}(\tilde{B}, \beta)$ induce isomorphisms of E_r -pages of the AH spectral sequences. In particular, they induce the isomorphism of K -groups of the global section algebras.

Proof. By definition, we have that $E_1^{p1} \cong 0$ and the cochain complex (E_1^{p0}, d_1^{p0}) is decomposed into the tensor product of length 2 complexes as

$$\bigotimes_{s \in \Sigma} (0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[s^{-1}] \xrightarrow{s-1} \mathbb{Z}[s^{-1}] \rightarrow 0).$$

By inverting the degree, this chain complex $(E_1^{n-p,0}, d_1^{n-p,0})$ is identical with the one considered in Lemma 6.7, and hence the cohomology group E_2^{p0} is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{n-1}{n-p}} = (\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{n-1}{p-1}}$.

Similarly, if $\mathcal{MT}(\tilde{B}, \beta)$ is replaced to \mathcal{B}_l , then the E_1 -pages and the first differentials are the same as above, except that $\mathbb{Z}[s^{-1}]$ is replaced to \mathbb{Z} . Thus, by Lemma 6.7, the inclusion induces the isomorphism of E_2 -pages. \square

In order to determine the higher differential of the AH spectral sequence for \mathcal{B}_1 , we first study the continuous field $\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1} \otimes \mathbb{K}$.

Remark 6.15. *The continuous field $\mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1} \otimes \mathbb{K}$ is isomorphic to a C^* -bundle. In general, by [18, Theorem 1.1], the mapping torus $\mathcal{MT}(B, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_N)$ associated to a mutually commuting n -tuple of partial $*$ -automorphisms on a stable Kirchberg algebra is isomorphic to a C^* -bundle if the inclusions $D_{\beta_i} \subset B$ and $R_{\beta_i} \subset B$ induces isomorphism in K -theory. In our case, since β_s induces the multiplication by s in K -theory, the induced map $(\beta_s \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}_{g+1}})_*$ is the identity $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{g+1} \otimes \mathbb{K}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$.*

Lemma 6.16. *The continuous section algebra $C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1} \otimes \mathbb{K})$ has a full corner projection e whose restriction to a point $t \in \mathbb{T}^N$ represents the generator of $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{g+1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Let us decompose $B_1 = \mathbb{K}(\ell^2 \Delta_s)$ into the tensor product $\bigotimes_{s \in \Sigma} \mathbb{K}(\ell^2 \Delta_s)$ by the Chinese remainder theorem. Since $\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z} \cong \prod_{s \in \Sigma} (\mathbb{Z}/s\mathbb{Z})$ is a ring isomorphism, the multiplication with s acts on each tensor component individually. For $s, u \in \Sigma$, we write δ_s^u for the partial $*$ -automorphism induced from multiplication by s on Δ_u , and set

$$\mathcal{D}_u := \mathcal{MT}(\mathbb{K}(\ell^2 \Delta_u), \{\delta_s^u\}_{s \in \Sigma}).$$

Then, $\mathcal{D}_u|_{\mathbb{T}^N \setminus \{u\}}$ is the matrix bundle associated to the $\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma \setminus \{u\}}$ -action $\{\delta_s^u\}_{s \in \Sigma \setminus \{u\}}$ by automorphisms. Notice that the tuple $\{\delta_s^u\}_{s \in \Sigma \setminus \{u\}}$ is implemented by a mutually commuting tuple of unitaries v_s^u permuting the standard basis. These unitaries satisfy $e_u v_s^u e_u = e_u$, where e_u denotes the projection onto $\ell^2(u\Delta_u) \cong \mathbb{C}$. By the simultaneous diagonalization, we obtain that δ_s^u and the trivial action are homotopic as $\mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma \setminus \{u\}}$ -actions on $\mathbb{K}(\ell^2\Delta_u)$ fixing e_u . This concludes that there is a trivialization of the bundle $\mathcal{D}_u|_{\mathbb{T}^N \setminus \{u\}}$ making e_u a constant function.

Now, since e_u is a constant function with respect to a trivialization of \mathcal{D}_u , we have

$$u[e_u] = [1] \in K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^N \setminus \{u\}, \mathcal{D}_u)) \cong K_0(C(\mathbb{T}^N) \otimes \mathbb{K}(\ell^2\Delta_u)).$$

Hence there is a continuous path of projections $\tilde{e}_u(t) \in C(\mathbb{T}^N \setminus \{u\}, \mathcal{D}_u \otimes \mathcal{O}_u)$ connecting $\tilde{e}_u(0) = e_u$ and $\tilde{e}_u(1) = 1$. This determines a projection of $C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{D}_u \otimes \mathcal{O}_u)$.

By the above argument, we have an isomorphism of bundles

$$\mathcal{B}_1 \cong \mathcal{D}_{s_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{T}^N} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{T}^N} \mathcal{D}_{s_N} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^N.$$

Then

$$\tilde{e} := \tilde{e}_{s_1} \otimes_{\mathbb{T}^N} \cdots \otimes_{\mathbb{T}^N} \tilde{e}_{s_N} \in C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}_{s_N}))$$

is a full corner projection such that, for any $t \in \mathbb{T}^N$, we have

$$[\tilde{e}(t)] = [1] \in K_0(\mathbb{K}(\ell^2\Delta_N) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}_{s_N}).$$

Note that the unit 1 and the rank 1 projection in $\mathbb{K}(\ell^2\Delta_s)$ represents the same g -torsion element in this K-group. Now, by choosing a unital embedding $\iota: \mathcal{O}_{s_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{O}_{s_N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{g+1}$ by means of the Kirchberg–Phillips theorem, we get the desired projection $e := \iota(\tilde{e})$ of $C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1})$. \square

Lemma 6.17. *The Atiyah–Hirzebruch spectral sequence for the K_* -group of $C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1} \otimes \mathbb{K})$ collapses at the E_2 -page to*

$$E_\infty^{pq} \cong E_2^{pq} \cong H^p(\mathbb{T}^N; K_{-q}(\mathcal{O}_{g+1})) \cong \begin{cases} \bigwedge^p(\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^N & \text{if } q \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } q \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The E_2 -page is computed in the same way as Lemma 6.14. In this case, the coefficient sheaf $K_*(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1} \otimes \mathbb{K})$ is the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$. Thus we get $E_2^{pq} \cong H^q(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})$. This is isomorphic to $\bigwedge^p(\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^N$ via the universal coefficient theorem.

The remaining task is to show the convergence at the E_2 -page. By Lemma 6.16, there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\iota: C(\mathbb{T}^N) \rightarrow C(X, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1} \otimes \mathbb{K})$ sending $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^N)$ to $e \cdot f$. This induces the map of AH spectral sequences. Since the AH spectral sequence for $K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^N))$ collapses at E_2 -page, a diagram chase shows the vanishing of the higher differentials. \square

Remark 6.18. *There is another way to understand Lemma 6.17. Indeed, the group $E_r^{00} \subset E_2^{00} \cong H^0(\mathbb{T}^N, K_0(\mathcal{O}_{g+1})) \cong K_0(\mathcal{O}_{g+1})$ is by definition the subgroup consisting of elements that has an extension to the r -skeleton of \mathbb{T} . The differential $d_r^{00}([p])$ is given by the boundary map $\partial[p]$, which vanishes if and only if $[p]$ has an extension to the $(r+1)$ -skeleton. Therefore, a $\mathcal{O}_g \otimes \mathbb{K}$ -bundle has a global section by projection whose restriction to the point is a generator of $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{g+1})$ if and only if $d_r^{00} = 0$ for any $r \geq 2$, i.e., $E_2^{00} = E_\infty^{00}$. By using the structure of $K^*(\mathbb{T}^N)$ -modules on $K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{O}_g \otimes \mathbb{K}))$, one can see that $d_r^{00} = 0$ implies $d_r^{pq} = 0$ for any p, q , and hence the AH spectral sequence collapses at E_2 -page.*

Lemma 6.19. *We have $K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1} \otimes \mathbb{K})) \cong K_*(\text{MT}(B, \beta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1}) \cong \bigwedge^*(\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^N$.*

Proof. The remaining task is to show that $K_*(\text{MT}(B, \beta) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1})$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of E_∞ -terms, that is, there is no nontrivial extension. To see this, notice that an extension M of $(\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^m$ by $(\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^l$ is not trivial if and only if there is $x \in M$ such that $gx \neq 0$. Besides that, the Künneth theorem shows that $K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1})$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of abelian groups of the form $M_1 \otimes (\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{Tor}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(M_2, \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})$, where M_1 and M_2 are finitely generated. Thus, any element of this group is g -torsion. This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 6.20. *We discuss what has been proved in Lemmas 6.17 and 6.19 from the viewpoint of the classification theory of bundles of Kirchberg algebras by Dadarlat [17, 18, 19] and Izumi–Matui [27]. First, by [17, Theorem 5.9], there are as many isomorphism classes of $\mathcal{O}_{g+1} \otimes \mathbb{K}$ -bundles as the group*

$$K_0(C_0(\mathbb{T}^N \setminus \{0\}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1}) \oplus K_1(C(\mathbb{T}^N \setminus \{0\}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1}) \cong \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \Lambda^k(\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}).$$

Half of them are excluded if a bundle turns out to have a full corner \mathcal{O}_{g+1} -bundle since isomorphism classes of \mathcal{O}_{g+1} -bundles are classified by a smaller group

$$K_0(C_0(\mathbb{T}^N \setminus \{0\}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1}) \cong \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} \Lambda^{2k}(\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})$$

by [17, Theorem 5.9] (see also [27, Theorem 7.2]). Our conclusion from Lemmas 6.17 and 6.19 is that the bundle $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1} \otimes \mathbb{K}$ is trivial. This further restriction comes from its construction as a tensor product of a continuous field of matrix algebras \mathcal{B} and the Cuntz algebra \mathcal{O}_{g+1} .

Proof of Theorem 6.10. We first consider the case that $|\Sigma| < \infty$. We show that

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}})) = K_*(\tilde{B} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^N) \cong K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}))$$

is isomorphic to

$$K_*\left(\bigotimes_{s \in \Sigma} \mathcal{O}_s\right) \cong \bigoplus_{p \in *+2\mathbb{Z}} (\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{|\Sigma|-1}{p-1}}.$$

We have already observed in Lemma 6.14 that the E_2 -page of the AH spectral sequence for $K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}_1))$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{|\Sigma|-1}{p-1}}$ for even q and 0 for odd q . Hence it suffices to show that this spectral sequence collapses at the E_2 -page and there is no extension problem. This follows from Lemmas 6.17 and 6.19.

The inclusion $C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}_1) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1} \otimes \mathbb{K})$ induces injections of E_2 -pages. Therefore, by Lemma 6.17, a diagram chase shows that the higher derivatives d_r^{pq} for this spectral sequence vanish. Moreover, if $K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}_1))$ is a nontrivial extension of E_∞ -pages, then it must have an element of order $> g$, and hence the number of elements of $K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{g+1})$ is strictly smaller than the twice of the number of elements of $K_*(C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}_1))$. This contradicts to Lemma 6.19.

The remaining task is to show that $[1] \in K_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}))$ satisfies that $n[1] = 0$ if and only if $n \mid g_\Sigma$. Under the isomorphism of K-theory in Lemma 6.11, $[1_B]$ corresponds to the image of the Bott generator $\beta \in K_N(C_0(\mathbb{R}^N))$ via the inclusion

$$C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \cong C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \otimes \mathbb{C}1_B \hookrightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \otimes \tilde{B} \hookrightarrow (C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \otimes \tilde{B}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^N.$$

Up to homotopy and Morita equivalence, this $*$ -homomorphism is identified with the inclusion

$$C_0((0, 1)^N) \otimes \mathbb{C}1_B \hookrightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}).$$

Hence the Bott generator $\beta \in K_N(C_0(\mathbb{R}^N) \otimes \mathbb{C}1_B)$ is sent to the generator of $E_2^{N0} \cong \mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z}$, which is a subgroup of $K_N(C(\mathbb{T}^N, \mathcal{B}))$.

Finally, we consider the case that $|\Sigma| = \infty$. Even in this case, we have the same K-theory computation $K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}})) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^\infty$. To see this, let us choose an increasing sequence of finite subsets $\Sigma_1 \subset \Sigma_2 \subset \cdots \subset \Sigma$ such that $\bigcup \Sigma_n = \Sigma$, $N_n := |\Sigma_n|$ is even and $\gcd(\{s-1 : s \in \Sigma_1\}) = g$. Then we have

$$\tilde{B}_\Sigma = \varinjlim_{s^a \in \mathbb{N}^\Sigma} \bigotimes_{s \in \Sigma} M_{s^\infty} \cong \varinjlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\varinjlim_{s^a \in \mathbb{N}^{\Sigma_n}} \bigotimes_{s \in \Sigma} M_{s^\infty} \right) \cong \varinjlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\varinjlim_{s^a \in \mathbb{N}^{\Sigma_n}} \bigotimes_{s \in \Sigma_n} M_{s^\infty} \right) = \varinjlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{B}_{\Sigma_n}$$

and hence

$$C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}) \sim_{\text{Morita}} \tilde{B}_\Sigma \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^\Sigma = \varinjlim_n \tilde{B}_{\Sigma_n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma_n} \sim_{\text{Morita}} \varinjlim_n C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{n, \text{tor}}),$$

where $\mathcal{G}_{n, \text{tor}}$ denotes the torsion subgroupoid associated to Σ_n . Through the K-theory isomorphism in 6.11, the inclusion $\tilde{B}_{\Sigma_n} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma_n} \rightarrow \tilde{B}_{\Sigma_{n+1}} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma_{n+1}}$ corresponds to the inclusion

$$\text{MT}(\tilde{B}_{\Sigma_n}, \beta_n) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^{N_{n+1}-N_n}) \rightarrow (\text{MT}(\tilde{B}_{\Sigma_{n+1}}, \beta_n) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{R}^{N_{n+1}-N_n})) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}^{N_{n+1}},$$

where the left hand side is KK-equivalent to $\text{MT}(\tilde{B}_{\Sigma_n}, \beta_n)$ and the right hand side is Morita equivalent to $\text{MT}(\tilde{B}_{\Sigma_{n+1}}, \beta_{n+1})$. This inclusion is homotopic to

$$\begin{aligned} & C(\mathbb{T}^{N_n}, \mathcal{MT}(\tilde{B}_{\Sigma_n}, \beta_n)) \otimes C_0((0, 1)^{N_{n+1}-N_n}) \\ & \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^{N_n}, \mathcal{MT}(\tilde{B}_{\Sigma_{n+1}}, \beta_{n+1})) \otimes C_0((0, 1)^{N_{n+1}-N_n}) \cong C_0(\mathbb{T}^{N_{n+1}} \setminus \mathbb{T}^{N_n}, \mathcal{MT}(\tilde{B}_{\Sigma_{n+1}}, \beta_{n+1})) \\ & \subset C(\mathbb{T}^{N_{n+1}}, \mathcal{MT}(\tilde{B}_{\Sigma_{n+1}}, \beta_{n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.14, this inclusion induces the injective maps in the E_2 -terms. Thus the above proof of Theorem 6.10 concludes that

$$K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}})) \cong \varinjlim_n K_*(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{n, \text{tor}})) \cong \varinjlim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^{\binom{|\Sigma_n|-1}{p-1}} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/g\mathbb{Z})^\infty.$$

For any n , the unit of $C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{n, \text{tor}})$ satisfies $m[1] = 0 \in$ if and only if $m \mid g$. Thus so does $[1] \in K_0(C_r^*(\mathcal{G}_{\text{tor}}))$. This finishes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Antunes, J. Ko, and R. Meyer, *The bicategory of groupoid correspondences*, New York J. Math. **28** (2022), 1329–1364.
- [2] S. Barlak, *On the spectral sequence associated with the Baum-Connes Conjecture for \mathbb{Z}^n* , preprint, arXiv:1504.03298.
- [3] S. Barlak, T. Omland, and N. Stammeier, *On the K-theory of C^* -algebras arising from integral dynamics*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **38** (2018), no. 3, 832–862.
- [4] C. Bönicke, C. Dell’Aiera, J. Gabe, and R. Willett, *Dynamic asymptotic dimension and Matui’s HK conjecture*, Proc. London Math. Soc.(3) **126** (2023), no. 4, 1182–1253.
- [5] E. Blanchard, *A few remarks on $C(X)$ -algebras*, R Rev. Roum. Math. Pores et Appl. **45** (2001), 565–576.
- [6] K.S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 87. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [7] C. Bruce, *C^* -algebras from actions of congruence monoids on rings of algebraic integers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **373** (2020), no. 1, 699–726.
- [8] C. Bruce and X. Li, *Algebraic actions I. C^* -algebras and groupoids*, J. Funct. Anal. **286** (2024), no. 4, Paper No. 110263, 57 pp.
- [9] C. Bruce and X. Li, *Algebraic actions II. Groupoid rigidity*, preprint, arXiv:2301.04459.
- [10] M. Crainic and I. Moerdijk, *A homology theory for étale groupoids*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **521** (2000), 25–46.
- [11] J. Cuntz, *C^* -algebras associated with the $ax + b$ -semigroup over \mathbb{N}* , K-theory and noncommutative geometry, 201–215, EMS Ser. Congr. Rep., Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008.
- [12] J. Cuntz, S. Echterhoff, and X. Li, *On the K-theory of the C^* -algebra generated by the left regular representation of an Ore semigroup*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **17** (2015), no. 3, 645–687.
- [13] J. Cuntz and X. Li, *The regular C^* -algebra of an integral domain*, Quanta of maths, 149–170, Clay Math. Proc., 11, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [14] J. Cuntz and X. Li, *C^* -algebras associated with integral domains and crossed products by actions on adèle spaces*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **5** (2011), no. 1, 1–37.
- [15] J. Cuntz and X. Li, *Erratum to “ C^* -algebras associated with integral domains and crossed products by actions on adèle spaces”*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **6** (2012), no. 4, 819–821.
- [16] J. Cuntz and A. Vershik, *C^* -algebras associated with endomorphisms and polymorphisms of compact abelian groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **321** (2013), no. 1, 157–179.
- [17] M. Dadarlat, *The homotopy groups of the automorphism group of Kirchberg algebras*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **1** (2007), no. 1, 113–139.
- [18] M. Dadarlat, *Continuous fields of C^* -algebras over finite dimensional spaces*, Adv. Math. **222** (2009), no. 5, 1850–1881.
- [19] M. Dadarlat, *Fiberwise KK-equivalence of continuous fields of C^* -algebras*, J. K-theory **3** (2009), no. 2, 205–219.
- [20] R.J. Deeley, *A counterexample to the HK-conjecture that is principal*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **43** (2023), no. 6, 1829–1846.
- [21] R.J. Deeley and R. Willett, *The rational HK-conjecture: transformation groupoids and a revised version*, preprint, arXiv:2402.06837.
- [22] C. Farsi, A. Kumjian, D. Pask, and A. Sims, *Ample groupoids: equivalence, homology, and Matui’s HK conjecture*, Münster J. Math. **12** (2019), no. 2, 411–451.
- [23] L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups. Vol. II*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Vol. 36-II. Academic Press, New York-London, 1973.
- [24] T. Giordano, I.F. Putnam, and C.F. Skau, *Full groups of Cantor minimal systems*, Israel J. Math. **111** (1999), 285–320.
- [25] D. Husemoller, M. Joachim, B. Jurčo, and M. Schottenloher, *Basic bundle theory and K-cohomology invariants*, Lecture Notes in Physics **726**, With contributions by Siegfried Echterhoff, Stefan Fredenhagen and Bernhard Krötz, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [26] A. Kumjian and D. Pask, *Higher rank graph C^* -algebras*, New York J. Math. **6** (2000), 1–20.

- [27] M. Izumi, and H. Matui, *Poly- \mathbb{Z} group actions on Kirchberg algebras II*, Invent. Math. **224** (2021), 699–766.
- [28] K. Juschenko, V. Nekrashevych, and M. de la Salle, *Extensions of amenable groups by recurrent groupoids*, Invent. Math. **206** (2016), no. 3, 837–867.
- [29] K. Juschenko and N. Monod, *Cantor systems, piecewise translations and simple amenable groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **178** (2013), no. 2, 775–787.
- [30] G.G. Kasparov, *Equivariant KK-theory and the Novikov conjecture*, Invent. Math. **91** (1988), no. 1, 147–201.
- [31] M. Laca, *From endomorphisms to automorphisms and back: dilations and full corners*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **61** (2000), no. 3, 893–904.
- [32] M. Langer and W. Lück, *Topological K-theory of the group C^* -algebra of a semi-direct product $\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/m$ for a free conjugation action*, J. Topol. Anal. **4** (2012), no. 2, 121–172.
- [33] X. Li, *Ring C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann. **348** (2010), no. 4, 859–898.
- [34] X. Li, *Partial transformation groupoids attached to graphs and semigroups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2017, no. 17, 5233–5259.
- [35] X. Li, *Every classifiable simple C^* -algebra has a Cartan subalgebra*, Invent. Math. **219** (2020), no. 2, 653–699.
- [36] X. Li, *Ample groupoids, topological full groups, algebraic K-theory spectra and infinite loop spaces*, preprint, arXiv:2209.08087.
- [37] X. Li and W. Lück, *K-theory for ring C^* -algebras: the case of number fields with higher roots of unity*, J. Topol. Anal. **4** (2012), no. 4, 449–479.
- [38] A. Sánchez-Madriral, *On the relation between homology and K-theory of étale groupoids*, PhD thesis, <http://hdl.handle.net/10803/675281>.
- [39] H. Matui, *Homology and topological full groups of étale groupoids on totally disconnected spaces*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **104** (2012), no. 1, 27–56.
- [40] H. Matui, *Topological full groups of one-sided shifts of finite type*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **705** (2015), 35–84.
- [41] H. Matui, *Étale groupoids arising from products of shifts of finite type*, Adv. Math. **303** (2016), 502–548.
- [42] R. Meyer, *Homological algebra in bivariant K-theory and other triangulated categories. II*, Tbilisi Math. J. **1** (2008), 165–210.
- [43] A. Miller, *Ample groupoid homology and étale correspondences*, J. Noncommut. Geom. Published online first DOI 10.4171/JNCG/569.
- [44] V.V. Nekrashevych, *Cuntz-Pimsner algebras of group actions*, J. Operator Theory **52** (2004), no. 2, 223–249.
- [45] V. Nekrashevych, *Palindromic subshifts and simple periodic groups of intermediate growth*, Ann. of Math. (2) **187** (2018), no. 3, 667–719.
- [46] J. Neukirch, *Algebraic number theory*. 322. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [47] V. Proietti and M. Yamashita, *Homology and K-theory of dynamical systems I. Torsion-free ample groupoids*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **42** (2022), no. 8, 2630–2660.
- [48] J. Raven, *An equivariant bivariant Chern character*. PhD thesis, The Pennsylvania State University, 2004.
- [49] J. Renault, *A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 793. Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [50] M. Rubin, *On the reconstruction of topological spaces from their groups of homeomorphisms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **312** (1989), no. 2, 487–538.
- [51] E. Scarparo, *Homology of odometers*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **40** (2020), no. 9, 2541–2551.
- [52] G. Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), no. 3, 293–312.
- [53] R. Skipper, S. Witzel, and M.C.B. Zaremsky, *Simple groups separated by finiteness properties*, Invent. Math. **215** (2019), no. 2, 713–740.
- [54] J. Savinien and J. Bellissard, *A spectral sequence for the K-theory of tiling spaces*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **29** (2009), no. 3, 997–1031.
- [55] C.A. Weibel, *An introduction to homological algebra*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 38. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

(Chris Bruce) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND PHYSICS, HERSCHEL BUILDING, NEWCASTLE UNIVERSITY, NEWCASTLE UPON TYNE, NE1 7RU, UK

Email address, Bruce: chris.bruce@newcastle.ac.uk

(Yosuke Kubota) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KITASHIRAKAWA OIWAKE-CHO, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

Email address, Kubota: ykubota@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp

(Takuya Takeishi) FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES, KYOTO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, MATSUGASAKI, SAKYO-KU, KYOTO, JAPAN

Email address, Takeishi: takeishi@kit.ac.jp