

GVDIFF: Grounded Text-to-Video Generation with Diffusion Models

Huanzhang Dou Ruixiang Li Wei Su Xi Li
College of Computer Science & Technology, Zhejiang University




Figure 1. Grounded text-to-Video (GVDIFF) generation aims to integrate text-to-video generation with grounded generation capacity under both discrete and continuous grounding conditions, including layout, keypoint, depth map, normal map, HED map, and canny map, etc.

Abstract

In text-to-video (T2V) generation, significant attention has been directed toward its development, yet unifying discrete and continuous grounding conditions in T2V generation remains under-explored. This paper proposes a Grounded text-to-Video generation framework, termed

GVDIFF. First, we inject the grounding condition into the self-attention through an uncertainty-based representation to explicitly guide the focus of the network. Second, we introduce a spatial-temporal grounding layer that connects the grounding condition with target objects and enables the model with the grounded generation capacity in the spatial-temporal domain. Third, our dynamic gate network adapts

tively skips the redundant grounding process to selectively extract grounding information and semantics while improving efficiency. We extensively evaluate the grounded generation capacity of GVDIFF and demonstrate its versatility in applications, including long-range video generation, sequential prompts, and object-specific editing.

1. Introduction

Text-to-image (T2I) generation has witnessed significant success, propelled by GAN [20, 52, 71, 78, 86] and diffusion models (DMs) [18, 21, 37, 85]. Pioneering efforts such as DALL-E2 [17], Imagen [56], Cogview [16], and Latent Diffusion [53] have set new benchmarks. Besides, controllable technology [38, 45, 81] has expanded the horizons of generation, allowing for precise manipulation in image composition under specific conditions.

Building upon the above progress, text-to-video (T2V) generation [3, 27, 68] has gained increasing attention. T2V generation poses challenges such as data scarcity, complex temporal dynamics, and substantial computational demands. Approaches like CogVideo [28] and Make-A-Video [57] utilize T2I models by freezing or fine-tuning their weights. Then, Control-A-Video [10] and ControlVideo [83] leverage ControlNet [81] to achieve controllable T2V generation. However, unifying discrete grounding (*e.g.*, bounding box) and continuous grounding (*e.g.*, canny map) in T2V generation remains under-explored.

In this paper, we introduce a framework termed **Grounded text-to-Video generation (GVDIFF)**, designed to facilitate grounded T2V generation under discrete and continuous grounding conditions. GVDIFF harnesses pre-trained T2I models to capitalize on their established proficiency in photorealistic content generation and language understanding, thereby circumventing the need for extensive training from scratch. Specifically, we inject grounding conditions into the self-attention mechanism by transforming them into uncertainty-based representations, which could explicitly direct the focus of the network. We then empower the grounded generation capacity via an introduced spatial-temporal grounding layer (STGL), which is engineered to connect the grounding condition with the targeted object, facilitate the interaction between grounded features and visual tokens, and ensure temporal consistency. Further, we propose a dynamic gate network (DGN) that selectively bypasses redundant grounding operations in STGL to improve efficiency since shallow and deep layers are responsible for dealing with low-level details (*e.g.*, grounding information) and high-level semantics respectively.

Our experimental evaluation of GVDIFF is conducted under both discrete and continuous grounding conditions as depicted in Fig. 1—attest to its capability to generate videos with high temporal consistency and precise

grounded control. With its grounded generation capacity, GVDIFF demonstrates three practical applications, including long-range video generation, sequential prompts, and object-specific editing.

The main contributions are summarized as follows:

- We propose a **Grounded text-to-Video Generation (GVDIFF)** framework. This approach incorporates a proposed spatial-temporal grounding layer, enabling both discrete and continuous grounding control over the video generation process.
- We propose a dynamic gate network (DGN), which aims to adaptively bypass redundant grounding operations to selectively process low-level grounding information and high-level semantics while improving efficiency.
- We evaluate the effectiveness of grounded T2V generation capacity of GVDIFF. Further, GVDIFF has three practical applications, including long-range video generation, sequential prompts, and object-specific editing.

2. Related Work

2.1. Diffusion Model

The generative AI [4, 59–61, 77] has undergone rapid development from the generative adversarial network (GAN) [20] to the latest diffusion models (DMs) [26]. Denoising diffusion probabilistic model (DDPM) [26, 58] has achieved promising success in generation and editing tasks, such as text-to-image (T2I), text-to-speech [8, 9, 35], text-to-3D [67], and text-to-video (T2V) [23, 27, 28, 69]. Especially in T2I task, the latent diffusion model (LDM) [53] and the successor Stable Diffusion (SD) are at the forefront of generative research. LDMs consist of two main components, *i.e.*, autoencoder and DDPM, which aims to remove the noise added to the sampled data. The DDPM is implemented via UNet [54], which takes a noised latent z , time step t , and caption c as input. Besides, a BERT-like [15] network encodes each text description into a sequence of text embeddings. Specifically, the caption feature is derived from a frozen text encoder of the CLIP ViT-L/14 [51] in Stable Diffusion. The caption c interacts with UNet through the cross-attention layer.

The diffusion forward process commences with an image x_0 , which is transformed into $z_0 = \mathcal{E}(x_0)$ by a frozen encoder $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$. Subsequently, a predefined Markov process introduces noise perturbation until reaching z_T :

$$q(z_t|z_{t-1}) = \mathcal{N}(z_t; \sqrt{1 - \beta_t}z_{t-1}, \beta_t I). \quad (1)$$

The objective of DMs is to learn the denoising process (reversed diffusion). Given a sampled random noise z_t , the model learns to predict the added noise at the next timestep z_{t-1} until z_0 :

$$p_\theta(z_{t-1}|z_t) = \mathcal{N}(z_{t-1}; \mu_\theta(z_t, t), \Sigma_\theta(z_t, t)). \quad (2)$$

LDM enhances computational and memory efficiency over pixel-space diffusion models through a two-stage training paradigm. First, LDM trains an autoencoder to map the input image into a spatially low-dimensional latent space of reduced complexity. The encoder $\mathcal{E}(\cdot)$ and decoder $\mathcal{D}(\cdot)$ reconstructs input \mathbf{x} with $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{x})) \approx \mathbf{x}$. Second, the diffusion model is trained on the latent \mathbf{z} . During the training process, LDM f_θ is optimized to gradually remove the noise ε , which is added to the latent \mathbf{z}_t :

$$\min \mathcal{L}_{LDM} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}, \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I), t, c} [\|\varepsilon - f_\theta(\mathbf{z}_t, t, c)\|_2^2], \quad (3)$$

where t is uniformed sampled from the time steps, mapped to time embedding $\phi(t)$, and then fed into the UNet.

2.2. Text-to-Video Models

Text-to-Video Generation. Existing approaches aim to leverage transformers and diffusion models for video generation [11, 42, 46, 57, 64, 74, 84]. NUWA [68] introduces a 3D transformed encoder-decoder framework, supporting both T2I and T2V generation. Imagen Video [27] constructs spatial-temporal super-resolution models to generate temporally consistent video with high resolution. Animate-Diff [22] injects a motion module to animate off-the-shelf T2I models without the need for model-specific tuning.

Text-to-Video Editing. Compared to image editing, video editing [14, 19, 49, 65, 66, 84] is more challenging for geometric and temporal consistency. Tune-A-Video [69] proposes one-shot video editing by extending and tuning T2I models on a single reference video. Dreammix [44] develops a text-to-video backbone from motion editing while preserving temporal consistency with high fidelity. Fate-zero [49] and Text2Video-zero [33] explore generate videos only using pre-trained T2I models. Layered neural atlases [2, 6, 32] address editing by decomposing the video into a set of unified 2D atlases layer for each target, allowing contents to be applied to the global summarized 2D atlases and mapping back to the video with temporal consistency.

2.3. Grounded Diffusion Models

Grounding conditions are primarily divided into discrete (*e.g.*, layout) and continuous (*e.g.*, depth map) conditions.

For discrete conditions, prior works [30, 39, 62, 63, 72, 73] aim to generate images from bounding boxes labeled with object descriptions, performing the inverse of object detection [5]. Recently, zero-shot layout2image generation [7, 13] is proposed to save the training costs. Text2layout [50, 82] is also proposed to add more spatial prior. GLIGEN [38] extends the grounded entities to be open-world. Then, MOVGAN [70] pioneers the extension from layout2image generation to layout2video generation but only with the layout of the first frame, limiting the controllability over the entire video.

For continuous conditions, ControlNet [81] and T2I-adaptor [45] add spatial grounding conditions to T2I DMs by introducing additional modules to represent the conditions, such as canny map, depth map, and human pose. Then, Control-A-Video [10] and ControlVideo [83] extend ControlNet to enable controllable video generation though they fall short in addressing discrete grounding conditions.

In this paper, we introduce a general framework to incorporate grounding conditions for T2V generation. Further, based on the grounded generation capacity, we develop three practical applications, including long-range video generation, sequential prompt, and object-specific editing.

3. Method

The overview of GVDIFF is illustrated in Fig. 2. In this section, we elucidate the modified self-attention with uncertainty-based grounding injection, the spatial-temporal grounding layer, and the dynamic gate network. Then, we introduce three practical applications with the capacity of grounded T2V generation. We take layout-conditioned T2V generation for example if there are no special instructions, owing to its flexibility in adapting to continuous conditions

3.1. Uncertainty-based Grounding Injection

Grounded video generation strives for enhanced controllability with additional grounding conditions. Previous methods [10, 38] overlook the injection of grounding conditions during the feature extraction phase, which makes the visual token integration process unaware of the grounding conditions. To address this, we propose an uncertainty-based grounding injection for the self-attention of UNet to explicitly constrain the focused region of the network.

Specifically, we enhance the attention region with grounding conditions via a non-parametric Gaussian transform. Given a grounding condition $\mathbf{l} = [\mathbf{l}_0, \dots, \mathbf{l}_{M-1}]$ with M target objects, the coordinate value of corresponding two-dimension Gaussian probability distribution \mathbf{M}_k of each object could be formulated as:

$$\mathbf{M}_k(i, j) = \text{Gauss}(\mathbf{l}_k) = \frac{1}{2\pi\sigma_{x_k}\sigma_{y_k}} e^{-\left(\frac{(i-\mu_{x_k})^2}{2\sigma_{x_k}^2} + \frac{(j-\mu_{y_k})^2}{2\sigma_{y_k}^2}\right)}. \quad (4)$$

The averaged uncertainty-based grounding map $\mathbf{M} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \mathbf{M}_k$ is injected into the self-attention layer of the UNet to guide the visual token integration as:

$$\mathbf{z} = \text{SelfAttn}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{M}) = \text{Softmax}\left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T}{\sqrt{d}} + \mathbf{M}\right) \cdot \mathbf{V}. \quad (5)$$

This strategy is applied to sparse grounding conditions, including layout, keypoint, and depth. For dense grounding conditions, we leverage Gaussian blur to emphasize the prior.




Figure 2. Overview of GVDIFF. (a) Connecting grounding conditions with target objects into grounded features, which are then smoothed by temporal attention. (b) Generation with Grounded-UNet, where the transformer layer of UNet is replaced with the following spatial-temporal grounding layer. (c) Spatial-temporal grounding layer. First, the uncertain-based grounding is injected into the self-attention. Then, spatial-temporal grounding attention (STGA) facilitates the interaction between the grounded features and visual tokens. An additional temporal attention layer ensures temporal consistency. Dynamic Gate Network (DGN) adaptively skips the redundant STGA.

3.2. Spatial-Temporal Grounding Layer

Training a spatial-temporal UNet from scratch has the following drawbacks. First, 3D ResNet and Transformer introduce heavy parameter/computation costs. Second, since pre-trained Stable Diffusion contains abundant content synthesizing and language understanding capability, training another model is inefficient. Besides, finetuning diffusion model may cause catastrophic forgetting issues [55].

To empower grounded generation capacity to the T2V model while improving training efficiency, we modify the transformer layer of pre-trained UNet with the proposed spatial-temporal grounding layer (STGL) to compose Grounded-UNet, instead of training from scratch. Inspired by [22], this training paradigm has the potential to be applied to any personalized models, *i.e.*, DreamBooth [55] or LoRA [29] models.

After using grounding condition to guide the focus region of self-attention, the relation between target objects and corresponding grounding conditions is established as [38]. Given M target objects $e = [e_0^0, \dots, e_{M-1}^{N-1}]$ and its corresponding grounding conditions $l = [l_0^0, \dots, l_{M-1}^{N-1}]$ with N frames, the grounded features $g = [g_0^0, \dots, g_{M-1}^{N-1}]$ could be obtained following [38]:

$$g_i^j = \text{MLP}([f_{text}(e_i^j), \text{Fourier}(l_i^j)]), \quad (6)$$

where Fourier refers to the Fourier embedding [43] and

$\text{MLP}(\cdot)$ is a multi-layer perceptron to align the feature dimension. The features of the target objects are extracted by the text encoder f_{text} of CLIP [51].

Simply introducing grounded T2I methods into T2V generation is suboptimal. For grounded T2I generation [38], only one frame should be conditioned on the grounding condition. However, the content of every frame of the generated video using grounded T2I generation may adhere to the grounding condition but completely temporal discrete, particularly under sparse grounding conditions like layout and depth maps. Therefore, we plug temporal attention atop the grounded features to enhance their temporal consistency and then perform the spatial-temporal grounding attention (STGA) in [38] as:

$$z = z + \beta \cdot \tanh(\gamma) \cdot \text{TS}(\text{SelfAttn}([z, \text{TempAttn}(g)])), \quad (7)$$

where γ is a learnable parameter and β affects the controllability. TS signifies the token selection operation to consider visual tokens and exclude grounded tokens. Then, caption feature c interacts with the visual tokens via cross attention:

$$z = z + \text{CrossAttn}(z, c). \quad (8)$$

Following the mainstream practice [3, 22] to improve temporal consistency, we introduce an additional temporal attention layer. This layer learns to align each frame within

a temporal consistent paradigm. We adopt a zero initialization strategy to prevent disturbances in the initial feature distribution of the output. The architecture of STGL is illustrated in Fig. 2(c).

3.3. Dynamic Gate Network

After enhancing the T2V model with the capacity of grounded generation, an important question emerges: Is it essential for grounding conditions to engage with visual tokens at every layer? This consideration stems from the understanding that low-level and high-level information is predominantly processed by the shallow and deep layers[24, 47, 75, 80], respectively. Therefore, excessive grounding interaction can not only impede grounding/semantic extraction but also diminish overall efficiency.

To tackle this issue, we propose the Dynamic Gate Network (DGN), which could adaptively bypass the spatial-temporal grounding attention upon specific grounding conditions. We establish layer-wise grounding-aware embedding v_i to represent the i^{th} layer’s sensitivity to specific grounding conditions. Then, the relevance score is deduced through token-wise attention by the inner product of v_i and pooled grounded features $\mathbf{p} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mathbf{g}^j$. Each attention weights α_i of layer i are derived as $\alpha_i = \text{Softmax}([v_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_0, \dots, v_i \cdot \mathbf{p}_{M-1}])$. Then, the weighted sum of \mathbf{p} is fed to the two layer low-rank MLP to produce the relevance r_i between \mathbf{p} and v_i . This relevance signifies the likelihood of the necessity of the grounded feature at the current layer.

Then, we employ the Gumbel-Softmax [31] to calculate the probability of gate activation while maintaining differentiability. Considering the binary decision, we replace the Softmax operation with Logistic. Besides, we use dual gate mechanisms (*i.e.*, hard and soft) to stabilize the training, inspired by [87]. For the i^{th} layer, the dual gate is:

$$\mathbf{d}_i^s = \text{Sigmoid}(\hat{r}_i), \quad \mathbf{d}_i^h = \mathcal{I}(\hat{r}_i \geq 0), \quad (9)$$

$$\mathbf{d}_i = \mathcal{I}(n_i \geq 0.5) \cdot \mathbf{d}_i^s + \mathcal{I}(n_i < 0.5) \cdot \mathbf{d}_i^h, \quad (10)$$

where $\hat{r}_i = r_i + \epsilon$, with ϵ sampled from $\text{Logistic}(0, 1)$. $\mathcal{I}(\cdot)$ is the indicator function. $\mathbf{d}_i^s \in [0, 1]$ and $\mathbf{d}_i^h \in \{0, 1\}$ correspond to the soft gate and hard gate of layer i , respectively. During training, n_i is randomly sampled from $\text{Uniform}(0, 1)$ to determine the activation of either the soft or hard gate at layer i . The architecture is depicted as Fig. 3.

3.4. Applications

Based on the grounded generation capacity of GVDIFF, we have extended our method to three practical applications.

Long-range Generation. Most of T2V methods [57, 69] typically generate 8-24 frames, which may be limited by hardware and software optimization. Our method predicts




Figure 3. Illustration of Dynamic Gate Network (DGN), which adaptively skips redundant spatial-temporal grounding attention.

future frames conditioned on specific window sizes of preceding context frames. This approach theoretically facilitates video generation of infinite length through an autoregressive process, amalgamating discrete video segments via context frames.

Non-uniform Sequential Prompt. To meet the increasing demand for longer videos, a single textual prompt is often insufficient for generating satisfactory results. Therefore, we propose using non-uniform sequential prompts, thereby enabling fine-grained control over the content generation process. Sequential prompts are injected into specific frames, supplemented by the interpolation of adjacent prompts for intermediary frames to ensure the semantic consistency of the generated video.

Object-specific Editing. Capitalizing on the grounded generation capacity of GVDIFF and advanced grounding methods, such as SAM [34] and GroundingDINO [41], we facilitate object-specific editing while maintaining background consistency. We use SAM with a textual prompt to segment the target object, allowing the generated content to be restricted to the mask region. The edited video is composed of the inpainted background and the generated object.

4. Experiments

4.1. Implementation Details

Training. We utilize GLIGEN [38], a powerful grounded T2I model as our baseline. We train GVDIFF on WebVid-2M [1]. The video clips are sampled with 16 frames at the stride of 4. Missing objects and grounding are represented with the corresponding learnable null embeddings. GVDIFF is initialized the pre-trained models from Stable Diffusion v1.5, AnimateDiff, and GLIGEN. The frame-wise caption and layout are obtained by BLIPv2 [36] and GLIPv2 [79], respectively. The other continuous grounding conditions are extracted by its corresponding detector. We use the multi-stage training paradigm to train spatial-




Figure 4. Comparison with state-of-the-art-methods, including Control-A-Videl (CAV) [10], ControlVideo (CV) [83], and Gen-1 [17].

temporal grounding attention, temporal attention, and the dynamic gate network. For the dynamic gate network, the soft gate is only to stabilize training and is discarded at the inference stage.

Evaluation. We use 25-step DDIM sampler and utilize classifier-free guidance [25] with the guidance scale of 7.5. We use a linear beta scheduler. Following the popular protocol [10, 66], we conduct the comparison on 200 videos from DAVIS [48] and web, which are cropped and resized into the square format for better visualization. We also validate the grounded generation capacity on personalized models in the supplementary material. For quantitative comparison, we use two metrics to evaluate the generation quality. First, Temporal Consistency is derived from the average cosine similarity between all pairs of the CLIP embedding of neighborhood frames. Second, Prompt Consistency is obtained by computing the average CLIP Score between generated videos and the driven text p .

4.2. Main Results

Grounded Generation Capacity. We qualitatively evaluate the grounded generation capacity of GVDIFF under discrete and continuous grounding conditions as illustrated in Fig. 1, which indicates that GVDIFF could effectively generate high-fidelity and temporally consistent videos under both discrete and continuous grounding conditions. First, the well-trained T2I model ensures the generation

Table 1. Quantitative comparison of grounded generation capacity.

Method	Layout(AP)	Depth	Normal	HED	Canny
Control-A-Video	–	96.7	96.0	96.3	96.5
ControlVideo	–	97.3	96.7	97.1	96.9
Ours	26.3	99.0	98.1	98.6	98.2

of photorealistic content. Second, the proposed spatial-temporal grounding layer could precisely control the position of generated content and improve temporal consistency. Third, with the increasing details of grounding conditions ranging from the sparse layout to the dense canny map, the controllability becomes more fine-grained.

Further, we quantitatively evaluate the grounded generation capacity shown in Tab. 1. For discrete layout, we compute AP following GLIGEN [38]. For other continuous grounding conditions, we compute averaged CLIP similarity between the condition of the source and generated videos to validate whether the grounding information in the generated video meets expectations. All conditions are extracted by the corresponding extractor. Results indicate that GVDIFF could effectively outperform previous grounded T2V methods.

Qualitative comparison. In a qualitative comparison with current state-of-the-art methods, presented in Fig. 4, GVD-




Figure 5. Skipping percentage of each layer with Dynamic Gate Network (DGN).

IFF demonstrates superior capability in generating content-rich and temporally consistent videos of high fidelity under identical grounding conditions of the depth map. The methods compared include Control-A-Video (CAV) [10], ControlVideo (CV) [83], and Gen-1 [17]. In the first sample, CV and Gen-1 fail to recognize the face direction of the bear. In the second challenging sample featuring high dynamics motion, other methods tend to generate distorted dog or cannot generate content in the desirable position, while GVDIFF could generate smooth video and the content of each frame is more photo-realistic. The third example reveals that while CAV effectively renders color information, it loses detail in content representation. Gen-1 and CV, influenced by the description “pink”, erroneously colorize the entire scene pink rather than just the targeted object. Besides, GVDIFF could smooth low-quality grounding condition, since we perform temporal attention to the grounding condition. For example, the generated dog in Fig. 4 is even clearer than the dog in the source video. Additional qualitative comparisons are available in the supplementary materials.

Quantitative Comparison. We quantitatively compare GVDIFF and leading methods with the same prompt and depth map grounding conditions as shown in Tab. 3. GLIGEN [38] accommodates both continuous and discrete grounding conditions, but falls short in grounded video generation. Other methods exhibit limitations in video generation with discrete grounding conditions while GVDIFF can support both two types of grounding conditions. Further, GVDIFF outperforms the other approaches in both temporal consistency and prompt consistency.

4.3. Ablation study

Individual Effectiveness. To evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed modules, we perform an ablation study on temporal consistency and prompt consistency in Tab. 2, consisting of grounding injection, spatial-temporal grounding

layer, and dynamic gate network. First, grounding injection could explicitly guide the focus of the visual token integration to improve the performance. With STGL, GVDIFF effectively achieves grounded generation with high temporal and prompt consistency. Further, dynamic gate network adaptively bypasses redundant STGA to selectively extract low-level grounding and high-level semantics, which could slightly improve performance while boosting efficiency. Besides, generating videos with sparse conditions (*e.g.*, layout) could achieve higher quantitative performance, albeit at a coarser granularity. However, we observe that the extracted dense condition like the canny map may be unreliable, which may mislead video generation in the wrong direction.

Dynamic Gate Network. To validate the efficiency of the proposed dynamic gate network, we analyze the frequency at which each layer is bypassed. The results, illustrated in Fig. 5, indicate that deeper layers are often skipped. This trend suggests that shallow layers primarily address low-level grounding conditions and deeper layers handle more abstract semantics in downsample blocks. A similar pattern emerges in the upsample blocks. Therefore, DGN could effectively skip the redundant layer to use the corresponding layer to process the corresponding grounding or semantic information while improving efficiency.

4.4. Applications

Long-range Generation. We evaluate GVDIFF on long-range generation via an auto-regressive paradigm as shown in Fig. 6. To preserve more details, we generate 1200 frames at 30 FPS of complex natural scenery with the grounding conditions of the canny map, which indicates that GVDIFF could effectively generate long-range videos with high fidelity and temporal consistency. Besides, GVDIFF also has the theoretical capability to generate an infinite length of video controlled by grounding conditions.

Non-uniform Sequential Prompts. As depicted in Fig. 7, GVDIFF enables the video generation controlled by sequential prompts, akin to the story-telling mode. The grounded generation capacity with sequential prompt could achieve fine-grained textual-based controllability over video content. Further, prompt interpolation facilitates smooth transitions between specific frames in the generated videos, enhancing the natural flow of the visual narrative.

Object-specific Editing. The effectiveness of object-specific editing using GVDIFF is showcased in Fig. 8. GVDIFF effectively edits the specific object via text prompt into SAM, *i.e.*, car and human into the anime style car and Iron Man while preserving the overall background in high consistency. These two challenging scenarios are accompanied by high dynamic motion, which further validates the effectiveness of GVDIFF.

Table 2. Ablation of GVDIFF framework, including uncertainty-based grounding injection (GJ), spatial-temporal grounding layer (STGL), and dynamic gate network (DGN).

GJ	STGL	DGN	Temporal Consistency				Prompt Consistency			
			Layout	Canny	Depth	Norm	Layout	Canny	Depth	Norm
			79.83	78.76	78.95	77.40	24.68	22.29	24.48	21.71
✓			83.47	82.13	82.51	81.06	24.91	22.54	24.88	22.18
✓	✓		98.02	96.94	97.45	96.30	26.16	24.91	26.82	24.49
✓	✓	✓	98.33	97.42	97.83	96.84	26.84	25.39	27.07	24.78




Figure 6. Results of long-range video generation conditioned on canny map. *Prompt*: A natural landscape of mountains and jungle on a sunny day, as viewed from a drone.

Table 3. Quantitative comparison between preceding methods and GVDIFF on temporal consistency and prompt consistency.

Method	Grounding Condition		Video	TC.	PC.
	Discrete	Continuous			
GLIGEN	✓	✓	–	78.95	24.48
Control-A-Video	–	✓	✓	94.67	23.08
ControlVideo	–	✓	✓	96.91	25.28
Gen-1	–	✓	✓	94.57	25.95
Ours	✓	✓	✓	97.83	27.07

5. Conclusion and Limitations

In this paper, we present the Grounded Text-to-Video Generation framework (GVDIFF), enabling grounded video generation capacity under both discrete and continuous grounding conditions. The proposed grounding injection explicitly provides the grounding prior to guide the focus region of the network. Spatial-temporal grounding layer facilitates grounded generation in the spatial-temporal domain. Further, our dynamic gate network adaptively skips the unnecessary grounding module to selectively extract low-level grounding and high-level semantics while improving efficiency. Extensive experiments validate the ability of GVDIFF with promising grounded generation, temporal, and prompt consistency. Based on the grounded generation ability, we extend GVDIFF on three practical applications, including long-range video generation, non-uniform

sequential prompts, and object-specific editing.

We also observe some failure cases. A major challenge for GVDIFF is understanding complex interactions between objects. This issue partly stems from the coarse-grained text-image alignment of the CLIP training [51]. We hypothesize that integrating a stronger language model like LLM or recaption technology [76] may mitigate this issue.

References

- [1] Max Bain, Arsha Nagrani, Gül Varol, and Andrew Zisserman. Frozen in time: A joint video and image encoder for end-to-end retrieval. In *ICCV*, pages 1728–1738, 2021. 5
- [2] Omer Bar-Tal, Dolev Ofri-Amar, Rafail Fridman, Yoni Kashtan, and Tali Dekel. Text2live: Text-driven layered image and video editing. In *ECCV*, pages 707–723, 2022. 3
- [3] Andreas Blattmann, Robin Rombach, Huan Ling, Tim Dockhorn, Seung Wook Kim, Sanja Fidler, and Karsten Kreis. Align your latents: High-resolution video synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *CVPR*, pages 22563–22575, 2023. 2, 4
- [4] Yihan Cao, Siyu Li, Yixin Liu, Zhiling Yan, Yutong Dai, Philip S Yu, and Lichao Sun. A comprehensive survey of ai-generated content (aigc): A history of generative ai from gan to chatgpt. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.04226*, 2023. 2
- [5] Nicolas Carion, Francisco Massa, Gabriel Synnaeve, Nicolas Usunier, Alexander Kirillov, and Sergey Zagoruyko. End-to-end object detection with transformers. In *ECCV*, pages 213–229. Springer, 2020. 3
- [6] Wenhao Chai, Xun Guo, Gaoang Wang, and Yan Lu. Stable-




Figure 7. Results of the grounded T2V generation with non-uniform sequential prompts, which are simplified into natural language for better understanding.




Figure 8. Results of object-specific editing, which edits the target object while maintaining the background fixed.

video: Text-driven consistency-aware diffusion video editing. In *ICCV*, pages 23040–23050, 2023. 3

- [7] Minghao Chen, Iro Laina, and Andrea Vedaldi. Training-free layout control with cross-attention guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03373*, 2023. 3
- [8] Nanxin Chen, Yu Zhang, Heiga Zen, Ron J Weiss, Mohammad Norouzi, and William Chan. Wavegrad: Estimating gradients for waveform generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.00713*, 2020. 2
- [9] Nanxin Chen, Yu Zhang, Heiga Zen, Ron J Weiss, Mohammad Norouzi, Najim Dehak, and William Chan. Wavegrad 2: Iterative refinement for text-to-speech synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09660*, 2021. 2
- [10] Weifeng Chen, Jie Wu, Pan Xie, Hefeng Wu, Jiashi Li, Xin Xia, Xuefeng Xiao, and Liang Lin. Control-a-video: Controllable text-to-video generation with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13840*, 2023. 2, 3, 6, 7, 12
- [11] Xin Chen, Biao Jiang, Wen Liu, Zilong Huang, Bin Fu, Tao

Chen, and Gang Yu. Executing your commands via motion diffusion in latent space. In *CVPR*, pages 18000–18010, 2023. 3

- [12] Civitai. Civitai. <https://civitai.com/>, 2022. 12, 18
- [13] Guillaume Couairon, Marlène Careil, Matthieu Cord, Stéphane Lathuilière, and Jakob Verbeek. Zero-shot spatial layout conditioning for text-to-image diffusion models. In *ICCV*, pages 2174–2183, 2023. 3
- [14] Paul Couairon, Clément Rambour, Jean-Emmanuel Haugeard, and Nicolas Thome. Videdit: Zero-shot and spatially aware text-driven video editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.08707*, 2023. 3
- [15] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*, 2018. 2
- [16] Ming Ding, Zhuoyi Yang, Wenyi Hong, Wendi Zheng, Chang Zhou, Da Yin, Junyang Lin, Xu Zou, Zhou Shao, Hongxia Yang, et al. Cogview: Mastering text-to-image generation via transformers. *NeurIPS*, 34:19822–19835, 2021. 2
- [17] Patrick Esser, Johnathan Chiu, Parmida Atighehchian, Jonathan Granskog, and Anastasis Germanidis. Structure and content-guided video synthesis with diffusion models. In *ICCV*, pages 7346–7356, 2023. 2, 6, 7, 12
- [18] Zhida Feng, Zhenyu Zhang, Xintong Yu, Yewei Fang, Lanxin Li, Xuyi Chen, Yuxiang Lu, Jiayang Liu, Weichong Yin, Shikun Feng, et al. Ernie-vilg 2.0: Improving text-to-image diffusion model with knowledge-enhanced mixture-of-denoising-experts. In *CVPR*, pages 10135–10145, 2023. 2
- [19] Michal Geyer, Omer Bar-Tal, Shai Bagon, and Tali Dekel. Tokenflow: Consistent diffusion features for consistent video editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.10373*, 2023. 3
- [20] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. Generative adversarial nets. *NeurIPS*, 27, 2014. 2

- [21] Shuyang Gu, Dong Chen, Jianmin Bao, Fang Wen, Bo Zhang, Dongdong Chen, Lu Yuan, and Baining Guo. Vector quantized diffusion model for text-to-image synthesis. In *CVPR*, pages 10696–10706, 2022. 2
- [22] Yuwei Guo, Ceyuan Yang, Anyi Rao, Yaohui Wang, Yu Qiao, Dahua Lin, and Bo Dai. Animatediff: Animate your personalized text-to-image diffusion models without specific tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.04725*, 2023. 3, 4, 12
- [23] Yingqing He, Tianyu Yang, Yong Zhang, Ying Shan, and Qifeng Chen. Latent video diffusion models for high-fidelity video generation with arbitrary lengths. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.13221*, 2022. 2
- [24] Zhenliang He, Meina Kan, and Shiguang Shan. Eigengan: Layer-wise eigen-learning for gans. In *ICCV*, pages 14408–14417, 2021. 5
- [25] Jonathan Ho and Tim Salimans. Classifier-free diffusion guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.12598*, 2022. 6
- [26] Jonathan Ho, Ajay Jain, and Pieter Abbeel. Denoising diffusion probabilistic models. *NeurIPS*, 33:6840–6851, 2020. 2
- [27] Jonathan Ho, William Chan, Chitwan Saharia, Jay Whang, Ruiqi Gao, Alexey Gritsenko, Diederik P Kingma, Ben Poole, Mohammad Norouzi, David J Fleet, et al. Imagen video: High definition video generation with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.02303*, 2022. 2, 3
- [28] Wenyi Hong, Ming Ding, Wendi Zheng, Xinghan Liu, and Jie Tang. Cogvideo: Large-scale pretraining for text-to-video generation via transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.15868*, 2022. 2
- [29] Edward J Hu, Phillip Wallis, Zeyuan Allen-Zhu, Yuanzhi Li, Shean Wang, Lu Wang, Weizhu Chen, et al. Lora: Low-rank adaptation of large language models. In *ICLR*, 2021. 4
- [30] Manuel Jahn, Robin Rombach, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution complex scene synthesis with transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.06458*, 2021. 3
- [31] Eric Jang, Shixiang Gu, and Ben Poole. Categorical reparameterization with gumbel-softmax. In *ICLR*, 2017. 5
- [32] Yoni Kasten, Dolev Ofri, Oliver Wang, and Tali Dekel. Layered neural atlases for consistent video editing. *ACM TOG*, 40(6):1–12, 2021. 3
- [33] Levon Khachatryan, Andranik Movsisyan, Vahram Tadevosyan, Roberto Henschel, Zhangyang Wang, Shant Navasardyan, and Humphrey Shi. Text2video-zero: Text-to-image diffusion models are zero-shot video generators. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.13439*, 2023. 3
- [34] Alexander Kirillov, Eric Mintun, Nikhila Ravi, Hanzi Mao, Chloe Rolland, Laura Gustafson, Tete Xiao, Spencer Whitehead, Alexander C. Berg, Wan-Yen Lo, Piotr Dollar, and Ross Girshick. Segment anything. In *ICCV*, pages 4015–4026, 2023. 5
- [35] Zhifeng Kong, Wei Ping, Jiaji Huang, Kexin Zhao, and Bryan Catanzaro. Diffwave: A versatile diffusion model for audio synthesis. In *ICLR*, 2021. 2
- [36] Junnan Li, Dongxu Li, Silvio Savarese, and Steven Hoi. Blip-2: Bootstrapping language-image pre-training with frozen image encoders and large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.12597*, 2023. 5
- [37] Wei Li, Xue Xu, Xinyan Xiao, Jiachen Liu, Hu Yang, Guohao Li, Zhanpeng Wang, Zhifan Feng, Qiaoqiao She, Yajuan Lyu, et al. Upainting: Unified text-to-image diffusion generation with cross-modal guidance. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.16031*, 2022. 2
- [38] Yuheng Li, Haotian Liu, Qingyang Wu, Fangzhou Mu, Jianwei Yang, Jianfeng Gao, Chunyuan Li, and Yong Jae Lee. Gligen: Open-set grounded text-to-image generation. In *CVPR*, pages 22511–22521, 2023. 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7
- [39] Zejian Li, Jingyu Wu, Immanuel Koh, Yongchuan Tang, and Lingyun Sun. Image synthesis from layout with locality-aware mask adaption. In *ICCV*, pages 13819–13828, 2021. 3
- [40] Jun Hao Liew, Hanshu Yan, Jianfeng Zhang, Zhongcong Xu, and Jiashi Feng. Magicedit: High-fidelity and temporally coherent video editing. In *arXiv*, 2023. 12
- [41] Shilong Liu, Zhaoyang Zeng, Tianhe Ren, Feng Li, Hao Zhang, Jie Yang, Chunyuan Li, Jianwei Yang, Hang Su, Jun Zhu, et al. Grounding dino: Marrying dino with grounded pre-training for open-set object detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.05499*, 2023. 5
- [42] Zhengxiong Luo, Dayou Chen, Yingya Zhang, Yan Huang, Liang Wang, Yujun Shen, Deli Zhao, Jingren Zhou, and Tieniu Tan. Videofusion: Decomposed diffusion models for high-quality video generation. In *CVPR*, pages 10209–10218, 2023. 3
- [43] Ben Mildenhall, Pratul P Srinivasan, Matthew Tancik, Jonathan T Barron, Ravi Ramamoorthi, and Ren Ng. Nerf: Representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. In *ECCV*, pages 405–421. Springer, 2020. 4
- [44] Eyal Molad, Eliahu Horwitz, Dani Valevski, Alex Rav Acha, Yossi Matias, Yael Pritch, Yaniv Leviathan, and Yedid Hoshen. Dreamix: Video diffusion models are general video editors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.01329*, 2023. 3
- [45] Chong Mou, Xintao Wang, Liangbin Xie, Yanze Wu, Jian Zhang, Zhongang Qi, Ying Shan, and Xiaohu Qie. T2i-adapter: Learning adapters to dig out more controllable ability for text-to-image diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.08453*, 2023. 2, 3
- [46] Haomiao Ni, Changhao Shi, Kai Li, Sharon X Huang, and Martin Renqiang Min. Conditional image-to-video generation with latent flow diffusion models. In *CVPR*, pages 18444–18455, 2023. 3
- [47] Yanwei Pang, Yazhao Li, Jianbing Shen, and Ling Shao. Towards bridging semantic gap to improve semantic segmentation. In *ICCV*, 2019. 5
- [48] Jordi Pont-Tuset, Federico Perazzi, Sergi Caelles, Pablo Arbeláez, Alex Sorkine-Hornung, and Luc Van Gool. The 2017 davis challenge on video object segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1704.00675*, 2018. 6
- [49] Chenyang Qi, Xiaodong Cun, Yong Zhang, Chenyang Lei, Xintao Wang, Ying Shan, and Qifeng Chen. Fatezero: Fusing attentions for zero-shot text-based video editing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.09535*, 2023. 3
- [50] Leigang Qu, Shengqiong Wu, Hao Fei, Liqiang Nie, and Tat-Seng Chua. Layoutllm-t2i: Eliciting layout guidance from llm for text-to-image generation. *ACM MM*, 2023. 3

- [51] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *ICML*, pages 8748–8763, 2021. 2, 4, 8
- [52] Scott Reed, Zeynep Akata, Xinchun Yan, Lajanugen Logeswaran, Bernt Schiele, and Honglak Lee. Generative adversarial text to image synthesis. In *ICML*, pages 1060–1069. PMLR, 2016. 2
- [53] Robin Rombach, Andreas Blattmann, Dominik Lorenz, Patrick Esser, and Björn Ommer. High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models. In *CVPR*, pages 10684–10695, 2022. 2
- [54] Olaf Ronneberger, Philipp Fischer, and Thomas Brox. U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In *Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention—MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, October 5–9, 2015, Proceedings, Part III 18*, pages 234–241, 2015. 2
- [55] Nataniel Ruiz, Yuanzhen Li, Varun Jampani, Yael Pritch, Michael Rubinstein, and Kfir Aberman. Dreambooth: Fine tuning text-to-image diffusion models for subject-driven generation. In *CVPR*, pages 22500–22510, 2023. 4
- [56] Chitwan Saharia, William Chan, Saurabh Saxena, Lala Li, Jay Whang, Emily L Denton, Kamyar Ghasemipour, Raphael Gontijo Lopes, Burcu Karagol Ayan, Tim Salimans, et al. Photorealistic text-to-image diffusion models with deep language understanding. *NeurIPS*, 35:36479–36494, 2022. 2
- [57] Uriel Singer, Adam Polyak, Thomas Hayes, Xi Yin, Jie An, Songyang Zhang, Qiyuan Hu, Harry Yang, Oron Ashual, Oran Gafni, Devi Parikh, Sonal Gupta, and Yaniv Taigman. Make-a-video: Text-to-video generation without text-video data. In *ICLR*, 2023. 2, 3, 5
- [58] Jascha Sohl-Dickstein, Eric Weiss, Niru Maheswaranathan, and Surya Ganguli. Deep unsupervised learning using nonequilibrium thermodynamics. In *ICML*, pages 2256–2265, 2015. 2
- [59] Wei Su, Peihan Miao, Huanzhang Dou, Yongjian Fu, and Xi Li. Referring expression comprehension using language adaptive inference. In *AAAI*, 2023. 2
- [60] Wei Su, Peihan Miao, Huanzhang Dou, Gaoang Wang, Liang Qiao, Zheyang Li, and Xi Li. Language adaptive weight generation for multi-task visual grounding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 10857–10866, 2023.
- [61] Wei Su, Peihan Miao, Huanzhang Dou, and Xi Li. Scanformer: Referring expression comprehension by iteratively scanning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 13449–13458, 2024. 2
- [62] Wei Sun and Tianfu Wu. Learning layout and style reconfigurable gans for controllable image synthesis. *IEEE TPAMI*, 44(9):5070–5087, 2021. 3
- [63] Tristan Sylvain, Pengchuan Zhang, Yoshua Bengio, R Devon Hjelm, and Shikhar Sharma. Object-centric image generation from layouts. In *AAAI*, pages 2647–2655, 2021. 3
- [64] Ruben Villegas, Mohammad Babaeizadeh, Pieter-Jan Kindermans, Hernan Moraldo, Han Zhang, Mohammad Taghi Saffar, Santiago Castro, Julius Kunze, and Dumitru Erhan. Phenaki: Variable length video generation from open domain textual descriptions. In *ICLR*, 2023. 3
- [65] Fu-Yun Wang, Wenshuo Chen, Guanglu Song, Han-Jia Ye, Yu Liu, and Hongsheng Li. Gen-l-video: Multi-text to long video generation via temporal co-denoising. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.18264*, 2023. 3
- [66] Wen Wang, kangyang Xie, Zide Liu, Hao Chen, Yue Cao, Xinlong Wang, and Chunhua Shen. Zero-shot video editing using off-the-shelf image diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.17599*, 2023. 3, 6
- [67] Daniel Watson, William Chan, Ricardo Martin-Brualla, Jonathan Ho, Andrea Tagliasacchi, and Mohammad Norouzi. Novel view synthesis with diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.04628*, 2022. 2
- [68] Chenfei Wu, Jian Liang, Lei Ji, Fan Yang, Yuejian Fang, Daxin Jiang, and Nan Duan. Nüwa: Visual synthesis pre-training for neural visual world creation. In *ECCV*, pages 720–736, 2022. 2, 3
- [69] Jay Zhangjie Wu, Yixiao Ge, Xintao Wang, Stan Weixian Lei, Yuchao Gu, Yufei Shi, Wynne Hsu, Ying Shan, Xiaohu Qie, and Mike Zheng Shou. Tune-a-video: One-shot tuning of image diffusion models for text-to-video generation. In *ICCV*, pages 7623–7633, 2023. 2, 3, 5
- [70] Yang Wu, Zhibin Liu, Hefeng Wu, and Liang Lin. Multi-object video generation from single frame layouts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.03983*, 2023. 3
- [71] Tao Xu, Pengchuan Zhang, Qiuyuan Huang, Han Zhang, Zhe Gan, Xiaolei Huang, and Xiaodong He. Attngan: Fine-grained text to image generation with attentional generative adversarial networks. In *CVPR*, pages 1316–1324, 2018. 2
- [72] Zuopeng Yang, Daqing Liu, Chaoyue Wang, Jie Yang, and Dacheng Tao. Modeling image composition for complex scene generation. In *CVPR*, pages 7764–7773, 2022. 3
- [73] Zhengyuan Yang, Jianfeng Wang, Zhe Gan, Linjie Li, Kevin Lin, Chenfei Wu, Nan Duan, Zicheng Liu, Ce Liu, Michael Zeng, et al. Reco: Region-controlled text-to-image generation. In *CVPR*, pages 14246–14255, 2023. 3
- [74] Sihyun Yu, Kihyuk Sohn, Subin Kim, and Jinwoo Shin. Video probabilistic diffusion models in projected latent space. In *CVPR*, 2023. 3
- [75] Yike Yuan, Huanzhang Dou, Fengjun Guo, and Xi Li. Semanticmim: Marring masked image modeling with semantics compression for general visual representation, 2024. 5
- [76] Lai Zeqiang, Zhu Xizhou, Dai Jifeng, Qiao Yu, and Wang Wenhai. Mini-dalle3: Interactive text to image by prompting large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.07653*, 2023. 8
- [77] Chaoning Zhang, Chenshuang Zhang, Sheng Zheng, Yu Qiao, Chenghao Li, Mengchun Zhang, Sumit Kumar Dam, Chu Myaet Thwal, Ye Lin Tun, Le Luang Huy, et al. A complete survey on generative ai (aigc): Is chatgpt from gpt-4 to gpt-5 all you need? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.11717*, 2023. 2
- [78] Han Zhang, Tao Xu, Hongsheng Li, Shaoting Zhang, Xiaogang Wang, Xiaolei Huang, and Dimitris N Metaxas. Stackgan: Text to photo-realistic image synthesis with stacked

- generative adversarial networks. In *ICCV*, pages 5907–5915, 2017. [2](#)
- [79] Haotian Zhang, Pengchuan Zhang, Xiaowei Hu, Yen-Chun Chen, Liunian Li, Xiyang Dai, Lijuan Wang, Lu Yuan, Jenq-Neng Hwang, and Jianfeng Gao. Glipv2: Unifying localization and vision-language understanding. *NeurIPS*, 35: 36067–36080, 2022. [5](#)
- [80] Linfeng Zhang, Xin Chen, Junbo Zhang, Runpei Dong, and Kaisheng Ma. Contrastive deep supervision. In *ECCV*, 2022. [5](#)
- [81] Lvmin Zhang, Anyi Rao, and Maneesh Agrawala. Adding conditional control to text-to-image diffusion models. In *ICCV*, pages 3836–3847, 2023. [2, 3](#)
- [82] Tianjun Zhang, Yi Zhang, Vibhav Vineet, Neel Joshi, and Xin Wang. Controllable text-to-image generation with gpt-4. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.18583*, 2023. [3](#)
- [83] Yabo Zhang, Yuxiang Wei, Dongsheng Jiang, Xiaopeng Zhang, Wangmeng Zuo, and Qi Tian. Controlvideo: Training-free controllable text-to-video generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13077*, 2023. [2, 3, 6, 7, 12](#)
- [84] Daquan Zhou, Weimin Wang, Hanshu Yan, Weiwei Lv, Yizhe Zhu, and Jiashi Feng. Magicvideo: Efficient video generation with latent diffusion models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2211.11018*, 2022. [3](#)
- [85] Yufan Zhou, Ruiyi Zhang, Changyou Chen, Chunyuan Li, Chris Tensmeyer, Tong Yu, Jiuxiang Gu, Jinhui Xu, and Tong Sun. Towards language-free training for text-to-image generation. In *CVPR*, pages 17907–17917, 2022. [2](#)
- [86] Minfeng Zhu, Pingbo Pan, Wei Chen, and Yi Yang. Dm-gan: Dynamic memory generative adversarial networks for text-to-image synthesis. In *CVPR*, pages 5802–5810, 2019. [2](#)
- [87] Łukasz Kaiser and Samy Bengio. Discrete autoencoders for sequence models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.09797*, 2018. [5](#)

A. Additional Comparison with state-of-the-art Methods.

To comprehensively demonstrate the effectiveness of GVD-IFF, we extend our analysis with additional comparisons to contemporary state-of-the-art methods. These comparisons, depicted in Fig. 9 through Fig. 13, encompass a range of advanced techniques including Control-A-Video (CAV) [10], ControlVideo (CV) [83], and Gen-1 [17].

B. Results with Personalized Models.

Inspired by [22, 40], we use the multi-stage training paradigm to train the spatial-temporal grounding attention, dynamic gate network, and temporal attention. Therefore, each module focuses on its function alone rather than interfering with each other. We validate the effectiveness of GVDIFF on the popular personalized models from CivitAI [12] conditioned on web videos as shown in Fig. 14.




Figure 9. Comparison with previous methods.




Figure 10. Comparison with previous methods.




Figure 11. Comparison with previous methods.



Figure 12. Comparison with previous methods.




Figure 13. Comparison with previous methods.




"Turn dancing girls into anime style."



"Waves under the sun."




"Earth in concept art."



"Turn the girl into the ocean."

(a) Results with mistoonAnime.



"Turn the girl's face into a colorful style."



"Turn the blue stream transparent."



"Turning cities into anime cyberpunk."



"Turn the green leaves into pink."


(b) Results with realisticVision.



"Girl smiles in the forest."



"Fishes swims in the sea."




"Blue waves."



"Turn the girl's avatar into an ocean style."

(c) Results with majicmixRealistic.



"Nebula."



"Explosion cloud with fire."



"Turning the jeep into a concept car."



"Tsunami under the clouds."

(d) Result with xxmix9realistic.

Figure 14. Results conditioned on web videos with popular personalized models from CivitAI [12].