

NEIGHBORHOOD OF A RATIONAL CURVE WITH AN ORDINARY CUSP

TAKAYUKI KOIKE¹ AND TAKATO UEHARA²

ABSTRACT. We investigate complex analytic properties of a neighborhood of a reduced rational curve with an ordinary cusp embedded in a non-singular complex surface whose self-intersection number is zero.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we investigate complex analytic properties of a neighborhood of a compact reduced complex curve C of a non-singular complex surface S when it is a rational curve with an ordinary cusp. As it is known that C admits a fundamental system of strongly pseudoconvex neighborhoods when the self-intersection number (C^2) of C is negative [G] and of strongly pseudoconcave neighborhoods when (C^2) is positive [U3], we investigate the case of $(C^2) = 0$: i.e. when the normal bundle $N_{C/S} := j^*[C]$ is topologically trivial, where $[C]$ is the holomorphic line bundle on S which corresponds to the divisor C and $j: C \rightarrow S$ is the inclusion.

Neighborhoods of a curve $C \subset S$ with $(C^2) = 0$ is first investigated by Ueda for C non-singular in [U1]. When C is non-singular, any topologically trivial holomorphic line bundle on C admits a unitary flat structure (a theorem of Kashiwara, see [U1, §1] for example). By focusing on this fact, he classified the situation in accordance with the unitary-linearizability of the transition functions of local defining functions of C , whose obstruction in n -jet is represented by n -th Ueda class $u_n(C, S) \in H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C(N_{C/S}^{-n}))$ for an integer $n > 0$. When $u_n(C, S) \neq 0$ for a positive integer n (i.e. the transition functions of local defining functions of C cannot be linearized even formally), the pair (C, S) is said to be of *finite type*. Otherwise, the pair (C, S) is said to be of *infinite type*. In [U1], (strongly) plurisubharmonic functions on $V \setminus C$ are closely investigated for a neighborhood V of C when (C, S) is of finite type, and some sufficient conditions for the unitary-linearizability of the transition functions of local defining functions of C is given when (C, S) is of infinite type. He also showed the existence of (C, S) of infinite type such that the transition functions of local defining functions of C are *not* unitary-linearizable. See [GS] and [K2] for studies towards higher (co-)dimensional generalizations of Ueda's results for infinite type pairs.

For C singular, $N_{C/S}$ need not be unitary flat even under the assumption that it is topologically trivial. For the case where C admits only nodes as singular points, neighborhoods of a rational curve with a node was first investigated by Ueda in [U2]. In [K1],

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32C25, 32J15.

Key words and phrases. Neighborhood of subvarieties, Ueda theory, a rational curve with an ordinary cusp.

some generalizations of the results in [U1] and [U2] were made by the first author mainly for nodal curves when the dual graphs are either trees or cycles. On the other hand, the case where C has singular points other than nodes does not seem to be well-understood.

In the present paper, we investigate the case where C is a rational curve with a cusp. More precisely, we let S be a non-singular surface and $C \subset S$ be a reduced compact curve with topologically trivial normal bundle which is a *rational curve with an ordinary cusp*: i.e. it is isomorphic to the curve $\{[x; y; z] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid x^3 - y^2z = 0\}$, where \mathbb{P}^2 denotes the complex projective plane. Note that the group $\text{Pic}^0(C)$ of topologically trivial holomorphic line bundles on C is isomorphic to \mathbb{C} , and that $N_{C/S} \in \text{Pic}^0(C)$ admits a unitary flat structure if and only if it is holomorphically trivial (see Lemma 2.5). When $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial, we will see that Ueda classes $u_n(C, S) \in H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ can be defined in almost the same manner as in [U1], by using which we classify the pair (C, S) into *finite type* and *infinite type* (see §3 in the present paper for the precise definition). In accordance with this classification, we show the following:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let S be a non-singular surface and $C \subset S$ be a (reduced) rational curve with an ordinary cusp whose normal bundle is topologically trivial. Then the following holds.*

- (i) *If $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial and the pair (C, S) is infinite type, there exists a neighborhood V of C in S and an elliptic fibration $f: V \rightarrow \Delta$ onto the unit disc $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that C is a fiber of f .*
- (ii) *If either $N_{C/S}$ is not holomorphically trivial or ($N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial and) the pair (C, S) is finite type, there exists a positive rational number $\ell \in \frac{1}{6}\mathbb{Z}$ such that the following assertions (1) and (2) hold:*
 - (1) *For each real number $\lambda > 1$, there exists a neighborhood V of C and a strongly plurisubharmonic function $\Phi_\lambda: V \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Phi_\lambda(p)$ increases with the same order as $|f_C(p)|^{-\lambda\ell}$ when p approaches C on a neighborhood of each point of C , where f_C is a local (holomorphic) defining function of C . In particular, C has a fundamental system of strongly pseudoconcave neighborhoods.*
 - (2) *Let V be a neighborhood of C and Ψ be a plurisubharmonic function on $V \setminus C$. If there exists a real number $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that $\Psi(p) = o(|f_C(p)|^{-\lambda\ell})$ holds on a neighborhood of each point of C , then $\Psi|_{V_0 \setminus C}$ is a constant function for a neighborhood V_0 of C in V .*

The assertion (i) of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 4.1 in the present paper, which is needed to show this theorem, can be regarded as an analogue of [U1, Theorem 3] for non-singular curves and [K1, Theorem 1.4] for nodal curves. The assertion (ii) can be regarded as an analogue of [U1, Theorem 1, 2] at least when $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial. See §6 for further discussions on comparison with previous results.

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we have the following for the case where S is compact Kähler.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let S be a connected compact Kähler surface and $C \subset S$ be a (reduced) rational curve with an ordinary cusp whose normal bundle is topologically trivial. Then either of the following (i) or (ii) holds:*

- (i) *There exists an elliptic fibration $f: S \rightarrow R$ onto a compact Riemann surface R such that C is a fiber of f , or*
- (ii) *The complement $S \setminus C$ is strongly 1-convex: i.e there exists an exhaustion function on $S \setminus C$ which is strongly plurisubharmonic outside a compact subset.*

In particular, the complement $S \setminus C$ is holomorphically convex. Moreover, the following are equivalent:

- (1) *The assertion (i) holds.*
- (2) *The normal bundle $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial and the pair (C, S) is of infinite type.*
- (3) *The line bundle $[C]$ admits a C^∞ Hermitian metric h such that the Chern curvature $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h$ is positive semi-definite at any point of S .*

To prove Theorem 1.1, we first show an Ueda-type linearization result (Theorem 4.1) for neighborhoods of a rational curve with an ordinary cusp. Theorem 1.1 is shown by combining this result and an argument in [K1, §7] on some concrete examples (the argument to reduce the problem to that on a neighborhood of a non-singular elliptic curve by changing the model by taking a blow-up, a suitable branched covering, and a blow-down).

The organization of the paper is as follows. §2 is a preliminary section. In §3, we give the definition of obstruction classes and types for the pair of a non-singular surface and a rational curve with an ordinary cusp. In §4, we show a Ueda-type linearization result for neighborhoods of a rational curve with an ordinary cusp. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are shown in §5. In §6, we give an example and make further discussions.

Acknowledgment. The first author is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C (23K03119) from JSPS. The second author is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research C (23K03148) from JSPS.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Open coverings and local coordinates. Here we explain our choice of open coverings of (a neighborhood of) a rational curve with an ordinary cusp, and also fix notation concerning local coordinates that we will use throughout this paper.

Unless otherwise specified, C denotes the rational cuspidal curve $\{[x; y; z] \in \mathbb{P}^2 \mid x^3 - y^2z = 0\}$, which has $p_0 := [0; 0; 1]$ as an ordinary cusp. Let U_0 be the intersection of C and a sufficiently small polydisc of \mathbb{P}^2 centered at p_0 . Denote by U_{reg} the complement

of a sufficiently small open neighborhood of p_0 in U_0 . Taking a finite open covering $\{U_1, U_2, \dots, U_N\}$ of U_{reg} , we use $\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N$ as an open Stein covering of C in what follows.

When C is embedded in a non-singular complex surface S as a reduced subvariety, we take an open neighborhood V_0 of p_0 in S which can be regarded as a polydisc by suitable local coordinates (x, y) , and an open neighborhood V_j of U_j in $S \setminus \{p_0\}$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. We shrink the radii of the polydisc to ε_0^2 and $2\varepsilon_0^3$ for a sufficiently small positive number ε_0 , i.e. $V_0 = \{|x| < \varepsilon_0^2\} \times \{|y| < 2\varepsilon_0^3\}$, and assume that

$$U_0 = V_0 \cap C = \{(x, y) \in V_0 \mid y^2 = x^3\}$$

holds. The choice of radii ε_0^2 and $2\varepsilon_0^3$ is used in the latter argument (see §4). Denote by $\iota: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C$ the normalization, and put $\tilde{U}_j := \iota^{-1}(U_j)$ for $0 \leq j \leq N$. Note that $i := \iota|_{\tilde{U}_0}: \Omega := \tilde{U}_0 \rightarrow U_0$ can be realized by letting

$$\Omega = \Delta_{\varepsilon_0} := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\zeta| < \varepsilon_0\}$$

and $i(\zeta) := (\zeta^2, \zeta^3) \in V_0$. As is well-known (see e.g. [HM], 5B),

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{O}_{C, p_0} \cong \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \zeta^n \in \mathbb{C}\{\zeta\} \mid a_1 = 0 \right\}$$

holds via the pull-back by i .

For $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we take coordinates (z_j, w_j) on a neighborhood of $\overline{V_j}$, by slightly shrinking V_j if necessary, by which V_j can be identified with $U_j \times \{|w_j| < \varepsilon_1\}$ for a positive number ε_1 and

$$U_j = V_j \cap C = \{(z_j, w_j) \in V_j \mid w_j = 0\}$$

holds. On each U_j with $j > 0$, we use z_j as a coordinate.

REMARK 2.1. By Siu's theorem [S], we can take a Stein neighborhood V_{reg} of U_{reg} which admits coordinates $(z_{\text{reg}}, w_{\text{reg}})$ such that

$$U_{\text{reg}} = V_{\text{reg}} \cap C = \{(z_{\text{reg}}, w_{\text{reg}}) \in V_{\text{reg}} \mid w_{\text{reg}} = 0\}$$

holds. Apparently, this fact supports an idea that it is natural to take $N = 1$, $U_1 = U_{\text{reg}}$, and $V_1 = V_{\text{reg}}$. However, in actual arguments (mainly in the proof of Theorem 1.1, see §4.1), we need to refine U_{reg} in order to closely compare some functions defined on V_0 and V_j with $j > 0$.

In what follows, the comparison of the defining functions w_0 of U_0 in V_0 ($w_0 := y^2 - x^3$, for example) and w_j 's plays an important role for classifying the neighborhoods of C in S . If $w_j = w_k$ holds on any $V_{jk} := V_j \cap V_k$, it is clear that, by shrinking V_j 's if necessary, these functions glue up to define a holomorphic surjection $f: V := \cup_{j=0}^N V_j \rightarrow \Delta_\varepsilon$ onto a disc $\Delta_\varepsilon := \{\eta \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\eta| < \varepsilon\}$ for a small positive number ε . Then f is proper and surjective due to the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let Y be a topological space that is locally compact, namely, any point of Y has an open neighborhood with compact closure, and $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous open map such that $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is contained in the image $f(Y)$ and its preimage $f^{-1}(0)$ is compact in Y . Then there exists an open neighborhood $V \subset Y$ of $f^{-1}(0)$ and an open neighborhood $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ of 0 such that $f|_V: V \rightarrow \Delta$ is proper and surjective.*

Proof. From the assumption, there exists an open neighborhood U of $f^{-1}(0)$ such that its closure \bar{U} is compact and thus so is its boundary $\partial U = \bar{U} \setminus U$. Since f is continuous, the image $f(\partial U)$ is compact in \mathbb{C} with $0 \notin f(\partial U)$. Fix $\delta > 0$ so that $\delta < \min\{|z| \mid z \in f(\partial U)\} (> 0)$ and

$$\Delta := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| < \delta\} \subset f(U),$$

and put $V := U \cap f^{-1}(\Delta)$. Then $f|_V : V \rightarrow \Delta$ is surjective, and also proper since the inverse image $f|_V^{-1}(K)$ of any compact subset $K \subset \Delta$ is closed in \bar{U} as $f|_V^{-1}(K) \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ and thus compact. \square

Note that the following holds for such a case.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let C and S be as above. Assume that there exists a proper holomorphic surjection $f: V \rightarrow \Delta_\varepsilon$ onto a disc Δ_ε for a small positive number ε , and that C is a (set-theoretical) fiber of f . Then the normal bundle $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.5 (ii). \square

The notations given in this subsection are used in the following (sub)sections.

2.2. Topologically trivial holomorphic line bundles on C . In this subsection, we will prepare the basic facts related to topologically trivial holomorphic line bundles on C .

For $\tilde{U}_j := \iota^{-1}(U_j)$ with the normalization $\iota: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C$, one has an isomorphism

$$\iota|_{\tilde{U}_j} : \tilde{U}_j \rightarrow U_j$$

for $1 \leq j \leq N$. On the other hand, if $j = 0$, then isomorphism (1) means that $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\Omega)$ is realized as $\tilde{\alpha} = i^* \alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_C(U_0)$ if and only if $d\tilde{\alpha}/d\zeta(0) = 0$. Any 1-cocycle

$$\{(U_{jk}, \beta_{jk})\} \in \check{Z}^1(\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N, \mathcal{O}_C)$$

admits a 0-cochain

$$\{(U_j, \tilde{\alpha}_j)\} \in \check{C}^0(\{\tilde{U}_j\}_{j=0}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \quad \text{with} \quad \delta\{(\tilde{U}_j, \tilde{\alpha}_j)\} = \iota^*\{(U_{jk}, \beta_{jk})\},$$

which is unique up to an additive constant since

$$\check{H}^q(\{\tilde{U}_j\}_{j=0}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) = H^q(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & (q = 0) \\ 0 & (q = 1) \end{cases}$$

for a Stein open covering $\{\tilde{U}_j\}_{j=0}^N$ of \mathbb{P}^1 , and thus induces

$$S(\{(U_{jk}, \beta_{jk})\}) := \frac{d\tilde{\alpha}_0}{d\zeta}(0)$$

on $\tilde{U}_0 = \Omega$, which defines a linear map $S: \check{Z}^1(\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N, \mathcal{O}_C) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then, we have

$$\text{Ker } S = \check{B}^1(\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N, \mathcal{O}_C).$$

In particular, it follows that $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \cong \mathbb{C}$. Moreover by applying the result in [KS, Lemma 2] (= [U1, Lemma 3]), we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. *There exists a positive constant $K_0 > 0$ such that any 1-coboundary*

$$\{(U_{jk}, \beta_{jk})\} \in \check{B}^1(\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N, \mathcal{O}_C)$$

admits a 0-cochain

$$\{(U_j, \alpha_j)\} \in \check{C}^0(\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \quad \text{with} \quad \delta\{(U_j, \alpha_j)\} = \{(U_{jk}, \beta_{jk})\}$$

that satisfies

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq N} \sup_{U_j} |\alpha_j| \leq K_0 \cdot \max_{0 \leq j, k \leq N} \sup_{U_j \cap U_k} |\beta_{jk}|.$$

Note that the exponential sheaf homomorphism $\exp : \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^*$ gives the (exponential) exact sequence $0 \rightarrow 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^* \rightarrow 0$, which induces the long exact sequence

$$H^1(C, 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \longrightarrow H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C^*) \xrightarrow{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}c_1} H^2(C, 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}),$$

where \mathcal{O}_C^* is the sheaf of non-vanishing holomorphic functions on C , and c_1 stands for the first Chern class. Then $\text{Pic}^0(C) := \text{Ker}(c_1)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Pic}(C) := H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C^*)$ consisting of (isomorphism classes of) topologically trivial line bundles. Moreover, the inclusion map $\text{U}(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C^*$ induces a homomorphism $H^1(C, \text{U}(1)) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(C) = H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C^*)$, each element $L \in \text{Pic}(C)$ of whose image is said to have a *unitary flat structure*.

LEMMA 2.5. *As to the group $\text{Pic}^0(C)$, the following assertions hold.*

- (i) $\text{Pic}^0(C) \cong \mathbb{C}$.
- (ii) *If $L \in \text{Pic}^0(C)$ satisfies $L^n := L^{\otimes n} = 1$ (i.e. L^n is holomorphically trivial) for some $n \geq 1$, then L is itself holomorphically trivial.*
- (iii) *If $L \in \text{Pic}^0(C)$ has a unitary flat structure, then L is holomorphically trivial.*

Proof. (i) follows from the above long exact sequence and the facts that $H^1(C, 2\pi\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C) \cong \mathbb{C}$. (ii) follows since $\text{Pic}^0(C) \cong \mathbb{C}$ is torsion-free. (iii) follows from

$$H^1(C, \text{U}(1)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}), \text{U}(1))$$

by the universal coefficient theorem and the fact that $H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. □

2.3. Extension of holomorphic functions from U_0 to V_0 . In this subsection, we will prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.6. *For any holomorphic function $f \in \mathcal{O}_C(U_0) \cap L^\infty(U_0)$ on U_0 , there exists a holomorphic function $F \in \mathcal{O}_S(V_0) \cap L^\infty(V_0)$ on V_0 such that $F|_{U_0} = f$ and*

$$(2) \quad \sup\{|F(x, y)| \mid (x, y) \in V_0\} \leq 3 \cdot \sup\{|f(p)| \mid p \in U_0\}.$$

Proof. For any holomorphic function f on U_0 , isomorphism (1) shows that the Taylor expansion of $i^*f := f \circ i : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ at 0 is given by

$$i^*f(\zeta) = a_0 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n \zeta^n$$

with $a_0, a_2, a_3, \dots \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we define holomorphic functions g, h on Ω by

$$g(\zeta) := \frac{i^*f(\zeta) + i^*f(-\zeta)}{2}, \quad h(\zeta) := \frac{i^*f(\zeta) - i^*f(-\zeta)}{2\zeta^3},$$

which are even functions and satisfy $i^*f = g + \zeta^3 \cdot h$. Thus a function $F: V_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$F(x, y) := g(\sqrt{x}) + y \cdot h(\sqrt{x}) = a_0 + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{2m}x^m + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} a_{2m+1}x^{m-1}y$$

is well-defined and holomorphic on V_0 . Since $i^*x = \zeta^2, i^*y = \zeta^3$, one has $i^*F = i^*f$, and $F|_{U_0} = f$.

Now we will give an estimate of the L^∞ -norm of F . Put $M := \sup\{|f(p)| \mid p \in U_0\}$. From the definition of g, h , one has

$$\begin{aligned} |g(\zeta)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} (|i^*f(\zeta)| + |i^*f(-\zeta)|) \leq M, \\ |\zeta^3 \cdot h(\zeta)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} (|i^*f(\zeta)| + |-i^*f(-\zeta)|) \leq M \end{aligned}$$

for each $\zeta \in \Omega$. The maximum principle says that

$$\sup\{|h(\zeta)| \mid |\zeta| < r\} = \max \left\{ \left| \frac{|\zeta^3 \cdot h(\zeta)|}{|\zeta|^3} \right| \mid |\zeta| = r \right\} \leq \frac{M}{r^3}$$

for any $r \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. By letting $r \nearrow \varepsilon_0$, we have

$$\sup\{|h(\zeta)| \mid \zeta \in \Omega\} \leq \frac{M}{\varepsilon_0^3},$$

and thus

$$|F(x, y)| \leq |g(\sqrt{x})| + |y| \cdot |h(\sqrt{x})| \leq M + 2\varepsilon_0^3 \cdot \frac{M}{\varepsilon_0^3} = 3M$$

for any $(x, y) \in V_0 = \{|x| < \varepsilon_0^2\} \times \{|y| < 2\varepsilon_0^3\}$. The lemma is established. \square

3. OBSTRUCTION CLASSES

In this section, we use notations given in §2.1. Namely,

- the rational curve C with an ordinary cusp is embedded in a non-singular complex surface S as a reduced subvariety,
- $\{U_k\}_{k=0}^N$ is an open Stein covering of C with $p_0 \in U_0$ and $p_0 \notin U_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq N$, where p_0 is the cusp of C ,
- w_0 is a defining function of U_0 in an open Stein neighborhood V_0 , and
- for $1 \leq k \leq N$, an open Stein neighborhood V_k of U_k in S is chosen so that $p_0 \notin V_k$ and

$$U_k = V_k \cap C = \{(z_k, w_k) \in V_k \mid w_k = 0\},$$

where (z_k, w_k) are local coordinates on V_k .

Moreover, we assume that $N_{C/S} \in H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C^*)$ is holomorphically trivial, and give a similar discussion given in [U1, §2]. As $N_{C/S} = [\{(U_{jk}, dw_j/dw_k|_{U_{jk}})\}] = 1$ with $U_{jk} := U_j \cap U_k$, one may assume that $dw_j = dw_k$ on U_{jk} by multiplying each defining function w_k by a non-vanishing holomorphic function. Then for $j > 0$ and $k \geq 0$, the defining function $w_k|_{V_{jk}}$ on V_{jk} can be expressed in terms of the coordinates (z_j, w_j) as

$$w_k = w_j + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} f_{kj,\nu}(z_j) \cdot w_j^\nu,$$

where $f_{kj,\nu}$ is a holomorphic function on U_{jk} . Moreover we put $f_{j0,\nu} := -f_{0j,\nu}$ for $j > 0$.

Let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer. A system $\{(V_j, w_j)\}_j$ is said to be of type n if $f_{kj,\nu} \equiv 0$ for any $2 \leq \nu \leq n$. Note that any system is of type 1. Then the n -th obstruction class (or Ueda class) $u_n(C, S) \in H^1(C, \mathcal{O}_C)$ (see [U1, §2], [N, §1]) is given by

$$u_n(C, S) := [\{(U_{jk}, f_{kj,n+1})\}] \in \check{H}^1(\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N, \mathcal{O}_C),$$

which is well-defined due to the following lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *Any system $\{(U_{jk}, f_{kj,n+1})\} \in \check{C}^1(\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N, \mathcal{O}_C)$ satisfies $\delta\{(U_{jk}, f_{kj,n+1})\} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\{(U_{jk}, f_{kj,n+1})\}$ be a system of type n . Then, it follows that

$$w_k = w_j + f_{kj,n+1}(z_j) \cdot w_j^{n+1} + O(w_j^{n+2})$$

on V_{jk} for $j > 0$ and $k \geq 0$, and thus

$$\frac{1}{w_k^n} = \frac{1}{w_j^n} \cdot (1 + f_{kj,n+1}(z_j) \cdot w_j^n + O(w_j^{n+1}))^{-n} = \frac{1}{w_j^n} - n \cdot f_{kj,n+1}(z_j) + O(w_j).$$

Therefore we have

$$f_{kj,n+1} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{w_j^n} - \frac{1}{w_k^n} \right) \Big|_{U_{jk}},$$

which proves the lemma. \square

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer. Then, the choice of a system $\{(V_j, w_j)\}$ of type n does not affect whether the n -th obstruction class $u_n(C, S)$ is 0 or not.*

Proof. It is enough to compare the obstruction classes given by two systems $\{(V_j, w_j)\}, \{(V_j, v_j)\}$ of type n , by taking a common refinement of two open coverings. For $j > 0$ and $k \geq 0$, we put

$$\begin{aligned} w_k &= w_j + f_{kj,n+1}(z_j) \cdot w_j^{n+1} + O(w_j^{n+2}), \\ v_k &= v_j + g_{kj,n+1}(z_j) \cdot v_j^{n+1} + O(v_j^{n+2}), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{kj,n+1}$ and $g_{kj,n+1}$ are holomorphic functions on U_{jk} . Since $(v_k/w_k)|_{U_k}$ is a holomorphic function without zeros and the above two equations yield

$$\frac{v_k}{w_k} = \frac{v_j}{w_j} (1 + O(v_j, w_j)),$$

the system $\{(v_k/w_k)|_{U_k}\}$ defines a global holomorphic function on C , which is constant as C is compact. So one may assume that $(v_k/w_k)|_{U_k} \equiv 1$ by multiplying each v_k by a

common nonzero constant, by which whether the obstruction class is 0 or not remains unchanged. Then we have $v_k/w_k = 1 + h_{k,2} \cdot w_k$, or

$$(3) \quad v_k = w_k + h_{k,2} \cdot w_k^2$$

for any $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$, where $h_{k,2}$ is a holomorphic function on V_k . In particular, it follows that

$$(4) \quad v_k - v_j = g_{kj,n+1}(z) \cdot v_j^{n+1} + O(v_j^{n+2}) = g_{kj,n+1}(z) \cdot w_j^{n+1} + O(w_j^{n+2}).$$

Now, for a given integer $1 \leq \mu \leq n$, we assume that

$$(A)_\mu \quad v_k = w_k + c_2 \cdot w_k^2 + \dots + c_\mu \cdot w_k^\mu + h_{k,\mu+1} \cdot w_k^{\mu+1}$$

for any $k = 0, 1, \dots, N$, where $c_2, \dots, c_\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ are constants independent of k , and $h_{k,\mu+1}$ is a holomorphic function on V_k (relation (3) means that assertion $(A)_1$ holds). Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} v_k &= w_k + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\mu} c_\nu \cdot w_k^\nu + h_{k,\mu+1} \cdot w_k^{\mu+1} \\ &= \{w_j + f_{kj,n+1}(z) \cdot w_j^{n+1} + O(w_j^{n+2})\} + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\mu} c_\nu \cdot \{w_j + f_{kj,n+1}(z) \cdot w_j^{n+1} + O(w_j^{n+2})\}^\nu \\ &\quad + h_{k,\mu+1} \cdot \{w_j + f_{kj,n+1}(z) \cdot w_j^{n+1} + O(w_j^{n+2})\}^{\mu+1} \\ &= w_j + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\mu} c_\nu \cdot w_j^\nu + \begin{cases} h_{k,\mu+1} \cdot w_j^{\mu+1} + O(w_j^{\mu+2}) & \text{if } \mu < n \\ (h_{k,n+1} + f_{kj,n+1}) \cdot w_j^{n+1} + O(w_j^{n+2}) & \text{if } \mu = n \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$v_k - v_j = \begin{cases} (h_{k,\mu+1} - h_{j,\mu+1}) \cdot w_j^{\mu+1} + O(w_j^{\mu+2}) & \text{if } \mu < n \\ (h_{k,n+1} + f_{kj,n+1} - h_{j,n+1}) \cdot w_j^{n+1} + O(w_j^{n+2}) & \text{if } \mu = n. \end{cases}$$

By comparing the above relation to (4), we have the following. If $\mu < n$, then $\{h_{k,\mu+1}|_{U_k}\}_k$ defines a global holomorphic function on C , which is constant: $h_{k,\mu+1}|_{U_k} \equiv c_{\mu+1} \in \mathbb{C}$, and thus satisfies $h_{k,\mu+1} = c_{\mu+1} + h_{k,\mu+2} \cdot w_k$, which means that $(A)_{\mu+1}$ holds. On the other hand, if $\mu = n$, then we have

$$\{(U_{jk}, g_{kj,n+1})\} - \{(U_{jk}, f_{kj,n+1})\} = \delta\{(U_j, h_{j,n+1}|_{U_j})\},$$

which proves the lemma. \square

LEMMA 3.3. *Let $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer, and assume that there exists a system of type n . Then there exists a system of type $n + 1$ if and only if $u_n(C, S) = 0$.*

Proof. It is trivial that if there exists a system of type $n + 1$ then $u_n(C, S) = 0$. So assume that $u_n(C, S) = 0$. Let $\{(V_j, w_j)\}$ be a system of type n with

$$w_k = w_j + f_{kj,n+1}(z_j) \cdot w_j^{n+1} + O(w_j^{n+1})$$

on V_{jk} for $j > 0$ and $k \geq 0$. From the assumption, there exists a holomorphic function g_k on U_k such that $-g_j + g_k = f_{kj,n+1}$ holds on U_{jk} for any $j, k \geq 0$. Since V_k is Stein,

there exists a holomorphic function G_k on V_k such that $g_k = G_k|_{U_k}$. By putting $v_k := w_k - G_k \cdot w_k^{n+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_k &= w_k - G_k \cdot w_k^{n+1} \\ &= w_j + f_{kj,n+1}(z) \cdot w_j^{n+1} - g_k \cdot w_j^{n+1} + O(w_j^{n+2}) \\ &= w_j - g_j \cdot w_j^{n+1} + O(w_j^{n+2}) \\ &= w_j - G_j \cdot w_j^{n+1} + O(w_j^{n+2}) = v_j + O(v_j^{n+2}) \end{aligned}$$

on V_{jk} for $j > 0$ and $k \geq 0$. The lemma is proved. \square

The above lemma shows that the following dichotomy holds:

- There exists a positive integer n , depending only on (C, S) from Lemma 3.2, such that (C, S) admits a system of type n but $u_n(C, S) \neq 0$.
- For any positive integer n , (C, S) admits a system of type n and $u_n(C, S) = 0$.

In the former case, (C, S) is said to be of *finite type*, while in the latter case, it is said to be of *infinite type*.

4. LINEARIZATION OF A NEIGHBORHOOD

In this section, we will prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let C be a rational curve with an ordinary cusp embedded in a non-singular complex surface S , and assume that $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial and (C, S) is of infinite type. Then there exist an open covering $\{W_j\}$ of a neighborhood of C and a defining function u_j of $C \cap W_j$ on each W_j such that $u_k = u_j$ on $W_{jk} = W_j \cap W_k$ for any j and k .*

This theorem can be shown by the similar argument in [U1, §4], although there is a difficulty coming from the fact that w_0 is not a coordinate function. This difficulty can be avoided by basically the same argument as in [K1, §4.2] by using carefully constructed local coordinates on neighborhoods of the ordinary cusp, which will be explained in §4.1.

4.1. A suitable covering and coordinates. Let us use the notations $U_0, V_0, U_{\text{reg}}, V_{\text{reg}}$ and $(x, y), (z_{\text{reg}}, w_{\text{reg}})$ given in §2.1, and consider the holomorphic function $w_{\text{sing}} := y^2 - x^3$ on V_0 . Under the assumption that $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial, there exist non-vanishing holomorphic functions $e_{\text{reg}} : U_{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $e_{\text{sing}} : U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $w_0 := e_{\text{sing}} \cdot w_{\text{sing}}$ and $w_1 := e_{\text{reg}} \cdot w_{\text{reg}}$ satisfy $dw_0 = dw_1$ on $U_{\text{reg}} \cap U_0$, which defines a global section of $N_{C/S}$ on C .

First fix finitely many (sufficiently small) simply connected domains U_2, U_3, \dots, U_N and relatively compact ones $U_j^* \Subset U_j$ for $j = 2, \dots, N$ so that $\{U_2^*, U_3^*, \dots, U_N^*\}$ covers the boundary ∂U_0 of U_0 , which is homeomorphic to a circle. For each $j = 2, \dots, N$, by using coordinates

$$(5) \quad z_j := \sqrt{x}, \quad w_j := w_0 \quad (j = 2, 3, \dots, N)$$

around U_j , where a branch of the square roots is fixed for each j , we define V_j to be the connected component of $\{(z_j, w_j) \mid (z_j, 0) \in U_j, |w_j| < \varepsilon_1\}$ containing U_j with $\varepsilon_1 \ll \varepsilon_0$. Moreover, simply connected domains $U_1^* \Subset U_1$ in C and an open neighborhood V_1 of U_1 in S are chosen so that U_1 is relatively compact in $C \setminus \overline{U_0}$, $\{U_0^*, U_1^*, U_2^*, \dots, U_N^*\}$ is an open Stein covering of C with $U_0^* := U_0$, $V_0 \cap V_1 = \emptyset$ and

$$V_1 = \{(z_1, w_1) \mid (z_1, 0) \in U_1, |w_1| < \varepsilon_1\}$$

for coordinates $(z_1, w_1) = (z_{\text{reg}}, e_{\text{reg}} \cdot w_{\text{reg}})$. Finally, fix $R > 0$ so that

$$(6) \quad \left\{ (z_j, w_j) \in V_j \mid (z_j, 0) \in U_k^* \cap U_j, |w_j| = \frac{1}{R} \right\} \subset V_k$$

for each $(j, k) \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots, N\}^2$ and $(j, k) \in \{2, 3, \dots, N\} \times \{0\}$. Note that the choice of radii ε_0^2 and $2\varepsilon_0^3$ in V_0 is used here.

LEMMA 4.2. *There exists a positive constant $K \geq 1$ such that for any 1-coboundary*

$$\{(U_{jk}, h_{jk})\} \in \check{B}^1(\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N, \mathcal{O}_C),$$

there exist holomorphic functions $F_j \in \mathcal{O}_S(V_j)$ with $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$, depending only on z_j for $j > 0$, such that

$$\delta\{(U_j, F_j|_{U_j})\} = \{(U_{jk}, h_{jk})\}$$

and

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq N} \sup_{V_j} |F_j| \leq K \cdot \max_{0 \leq j, k \leq N} \sup_{U_j \cap U_k} |h_{jk}|.$$

Proof. Lemma 2.4 says that there exists a positive constant $K_0 > 0$ such that any 1-coboundary

$$\{(U_{jk}, h_{jk})\} \in \check{B}^1(\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N, \mathcal{O}_C)$$

admits holomorphic functions $g_j \in \mathcal{O}_C(U_j)$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, N$) that satisfy

$$\delta\{(U_j, g_j)\} = \{(U_{jk}, h_{jk})\} \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{0 \leq j \leq N} \sup_{U_j} |g_j| \leq K_0 \cdot \max_{0 \leq j, k \leq N} \sup_{U_j \cap U_k} |h_{jk}|.$$

We extend g_j to a holomorphic function F_j on V_j , by using Lemma 2.6 for $j = 0$, and by putting $F_j(z_j, w_j) := g_j(z_j)$ for $j > 0$. Then the lemma follows for $K := \max\{3K_0, 1\}$. \square

4.2. Extensions of holomorphic functions with estimates. Under the assumptions in Theorem 4.1 and the notations given in §4.1, the system $\{(V_j, w_j)\}_j$ satisfies

$$w_k = w_j + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} f_{k,j,\nu}(z_j) \cdot w_j^\nu,$$

for $j > 0$ and $k \geq 0$, where $f_{k,j,\nu}$ is a holomorphic function on U_{jk} . In this subsection, we will prove the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 4.3. *There exists a sequence $\{A_\nu\}_{\nu \geq 2}$ of positive real numbers and holomorphic functions $F_{j,\nu}: V_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$ and $\nu = 2, 3, \dots$, which depend only on z_j for $j \geq 1$, such that the following hold:*

- (i) *The power series $X + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} A_\nu X^\nu \in \mathbb{R}[[X]]$ has positive radius of convergence.*

(ii) $\sup\{|F_{j,\nu}(p)| \mid p \in V_j\} \leq A_\nu$ holds for any $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$ and $\nu = 2, 3, \dots$

(iii) For any $n \geq 2$, let $\{u_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ be holomorphic functions in neighborhoods of U_j 's obtained as solutions of the functional equations

$$(7) \quad w_j = u_j + \sum_{\nu=2}^n F_{j,\nu} \cdot u_j^\nu \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, N)$$

on V_j . Then $\{u_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ satisfy $u_k = u_j + O(u_j^{n+1})$ for any $j, k \geq 0$.

Proof. First we notice that from the choice of coordinates given in (5), that of R given in (6) and the Cauchy estimates, there exists a positive number $M > 0$ such that

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \sup\{|f_{kj,\nu}(z_j)| \mid (z_j, 0) \in U_j \cap U_k^*\} \leq MR^\nu & \text{if } k > 0 \\ f_{kj,\nu} \equiv 0 & \text{if } k = 0 \end{cases}$$

holds for any $\nu \geq 2$. With the constant $K \geq 1$ given in Lemma 4.2, choose a sequence $\{A_\nu\}_{\nu=2}^\infty$ of positive numbers so that the series

$$A(X) := X + \sum_{\nu=2}^\infty A_\nu \cdot X^\nu$$

is a solution of the functional equation

$$(9) \quad A(X) - X = 2KR(1 + MR) \cdot \frac{(A(X))^2}{1 - RA(X)}.$$

From inductive arguments, it is easily seen that functional equation (9) uniquely determines A_ν , which is a positive number (e.g. $A_2 = 2KR(1 + MR)$). Moreover, it follows from the implicit function theorem that $A(X)$ has positive radius of convergence. This proves assertion (i).

Lemma 4.2 shows that there exist holomorphic functions $F_{j,2} \in \mathcal{O}_S(V_j)$ with $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$ that satisfy $-F_{j,2} + F_{k,2} = f_{kj,2}$ on U_{jk} and

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq N} \sup_{V_j} |F_{j,2}| \leq K \cdot \max_{0 \leq j, k \leq N} \sup_{U_j \cap U_k} |f_{kj,2}|.$$

Since $\{U_0^*, U_1^*, \dots, U_N^*\}$ is an open covering of C , for each point $p \in U_j \cap U_k$, there exists $\ell \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ such that $p \in U_\ell^*$. Then estimate (8) shows that

$$|f_{kj,2}(p)| = | -f_{\ell k,2}(p) + f_{\ell j,2}(p) | \leq \sup_{U_k \cap U_\ell^*} |f_{\ell k,2}| + \sup_{U_j \cap U_\ell^*} |f_{\ell j,2}| \leq 2MR^2,$$

which proves assertion (ii) for $\nu = 2$. Moreover it follows from the implicit function theorem that the functional equation (7) with $n = 2$ has solutions $\{u_j\}_{j \geq 0}$, which satisfy $u_k = u_j + O(u_j^3)$ for any $j, k \geq 0$. This proves assertion (iii) for $n = 2$.

Now, for a positive integer $n \geq 2$, assume that assertions (ii) for $\nu = 2, \dots, n$ and (iii) for n hold. The implicit function theorem says that there exist holomorphic functions $\{v_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ in neighborhoods of U_j 's obtained as solutions of the functional equations

$$w_j = v_j + \sum_{\mu=2}^n F_{j,\mu} \cdot v_j^\mu \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, N)$$

on V_j . Then $\{v_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ satisfy

$$(10) \quad v_k = v_j + O(v_j^{n+1})$$

on U_{jk} for any $j, k \geq 0$ from assertion (iii). By expanding $F_{k,\nu}$ on V_{jk} in terms of coordinates (z_j, w_j) as

$$F_{k,\nu} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} G_{kj,\nu,m}(z_j) \cdot w_j^m$$

for $j \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_k &= v_k + \sum_{\nu=2}^n F_{k,\nu} \cdot v_k^\nu = v_k + \sum_{\nu=2}^n F_{k,\nu} \cdot v_j^\nu + O(v_j^{n+2}) \\ &= v_k + \sum_{\nu=2}^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} G_{kj,\nu,m}(z_j) \cdot w_j^m \cdot v_j^\nu + O(v_j^{n+2}) \\ &= v_k + \sum_{\nu=2}^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} G_{kj,\nu,m}(z_j) \cdot \left(v_j + \sum_{\mu=2}^n F_{j,\mu}(z_j) \cdot v_j^\mu \right)^m \cdot v_j^\nu + O(v_j^{n+2}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} w_k &= w_j + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} f_{kj,\nu}(z_j) \cdot w_j^\nu \\ &= v_j + \sum_{\nu=2}^n F_{j,\nu}(z_j) \cdot v_j^\nu + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} f_{kj,\nu}(z_j) \cdot \left(v_j + \sum_{\mu=2}^n F_{j,\mu}(z_j) \cdot v_j^\mu \right)^\nu, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad -v_k + v_j &= \sum_{\nu=2}^n \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} G_{kj,\nu,m}(z_j) \cdot \left(v_j + \sum_{\mu=2}^n F_{j,\mu}(z_j) \cdot v_j^\mu \right)^m \cdot v_j^\nu \\ &\quad - \sum_{\nu=2}^n F_{j,\nu}(z_j) \cdot v_j^\nu - \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} f_{kj,\nu}(z_j) \cdot \left(v_j + \sum_{\mu=2}^n F_{j,\mu}(z_j) \cdot v_j^\mu \right)^\nu + O(v_j^{n+2}) \\ &= \sum_{\nu=2}^n F_{k,\nu}(z_j) \cdot v_j^\nu + \sum_{\nu=2}^n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} G_{kj,\nu,m}(z_j) \cdot \left(v_j + \sum_{\mu=2}^n F_{j,\mu}(z_j) \cdot v_j^\mu \right)^m \cdot v_j^\nu \\ &\quad - \sum_{\nu=2}^n F_{j,\nu}(z_j) \cdot v_j^\nu - \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} f_{kj,\nu}(z_j) \cdot \left(v_j + \sum_{\mu=2}^n F_{j,\mu}(z_j) \cdot v_j^\mu \right)^\nu + O(v_j^{n+2}), \end{aligned}$$

since $G_{kj,\nu,0}(z_j) = F_{k,\nu}(z_j)$. Put

$$H_{kj,\ell}(z_j) := \left[\sum_{\nu=2}^n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} G_{kj,\nu,m}(z_j) \cdot \left(X + \sum_{\mu=2}^n F_{j,\mu}(z_j) \cdot X^\mu \right)^m \cdot X^\nu \right]_\ell,$$

$$I_{kj,\ell}(z_j) := \left[\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} f_{kj,\nu}(z_j) \cdot \left(X + \sum_{\mu=2}^n F_{j,\mu}(z_j) \cdot X^\mu \right)^\nu \right]_\ell,$$

and

$$J_{kj,\ell} := -H_{kj,\ell} + I_{kj,\ell},$$

where for $B(X) \in \mathbb{C}[[X]]$, $[[B(X)]]_\ell$ stands for the coefficient of X^ℓ in $B(X)$. Note that $H_{kj,\ell}$, $I_{kj,\ell}$ and $J_{kj,\ell}$ are holomorphic functions on U_{jk} depending only on $\{F_{j,\nu}\}_{\nu < \ell}$ for $\ell = 2, 3, \dots, n+1$. Relations (10) and (11) mean that

$$(12) \quad v_k = v_j + J_{kj,n+1}(z_j) \cdot v_j^{n+1} + O(v_j^{n+2}),$$

and assumption $u_n(C, S) = 0$ shows that

$$\{(U_{jk}, J_{kj,n+1})\} \in \check{B}^1(\{U_j\}_{j=0}^N, \mathcal{O}_C).$$

It follows from Lemma 4.2 and the following Lemma 4.4 that there exist holomorphic functions $F_{n+1,j} \in \mathcal{O}_S(V_j)$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, N$, which depend only on z_j for $j \geq 1$, such that

$$\delta\{(U_j, F_{n+1,j}|_{U_j})\} = \{(U_{jk}, J_{kj,n+1})\}$$

and

$$\max_{0 \leq j \leq N} \sup_{V_j} |F_{n+1,j}| \leq A_{n+1},$$

which proves assertion (ii) for $\nu = n+1$. Moreover, it follows from the discussion inducing relation (12) that assertion (iii) for $n+1$ holds. \square

LEMMA 4.4. *We have the estimate*

$$\max_{0 \leq j, k \leq N} \sup_{U_{jk}} |J_{kj,n+1}| \leq \frac{A_{n+1}}{K}.$$

Proof. First note that it follows from the choice of R given in (6) and the Cauchy estimates that

$$\sup\{|G_{kj,\nu,m}(p)| \mid p \in U_j \cap U_k^*\} \leq A_\nu R^m.$$

On $U_j \cap U_k^*$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} |H_{kj,n+1}| &\leq \left[\sum_{\nu=2}^n \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_\nu R^m \cdot \left(X + \sum_{\mu=2}^n A_\mu \cdot X^\mu \right)^m \cdot X^\nu \right]_{n+1} \\ &= \left[\left(\sum_{\nu=2}^n A_\nu X^\nu \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} R^m \cdot \left(X + \sum_{\mu=2}^n A_\mu \cdot X^\mu \right)^m \right) \right]_{n+1} \\ &\leq \left[\left(\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} A_\nu X^\nu \right) \cdot \left(\frac{RA(X)}{1 - RA(X)} \right) \right]_{n+1} \leq \left[\frac{R(A(X))^2}{1 - RA(X)} \right]_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and from (8), one has $I_{0j,n+1} \equiv 0$ when $k = 0$, and

$$|I_{kj,n+1}| \leq \left[\sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} MR^\nu \cdot (A(X))^\nu \right]_{n+1} = \left[\frac{MR^2(A(X))^2}{1 - RA(X)} \right]_{n+1}$$

when $k > 0$. Therefore, we have

$$|J_{kj,n+1}| \leq |H_{kj,n+1}| + |I_{kj,n+1}| \leq \left[\frac{R(1 + MR)(A(X))^2}{1 - RA(X)} \right]_{n+1}$$

on $U_j \cap U_k^*$. Since $\{U_0^*, U_1^*, \dots, U_N^*\}$ is an open covering of C , for each point $p \in U_j \cap U_k$, there exists $\ell \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ such that $p \in U_\ell^*$. Then the above estimate shows that

$$|J_{kj,n+1}(p)| = |J_{\ell j,n+1}(p) - J_{\ell k,n+1}(p)| \leq 2 \cdot \max_{a,b} \sup_{U_a \cap U_b^*} |J_{ab,n+1}| \leq \frac{A_{n+1}}{K},$$

which proves the lemma. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 4.1. For each $j \geq 0$, it follows from Proposition 4.3 (i), (ii) that there exists an open neighborhood $W_j^0 \subset V_j$ of U_j such that the function

$$H_j: W_j^0 \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad H_j(p, Y) := -w_j(p) + Y + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} F_{j,\nu}(p) \cdot Y^\nu.$$

is holomorphic. Moreover for each $p \in U_j$, one has $H_j(p, 0) = 0$ and

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} H_j(p, Y) \right) \Big|_{Y=0} = 1 \neq 0.$$

Hence the implicit function theorem says that there exists a (Stein) open neighborhood $W_j \subset W_j^0$ of U_j and a holomorphic function $u_j: W_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $u_j(p) = 0$ for each $p \in U_j$ and $H_j(p, u_j(p)) \equiv 0$, which means that

$$w_j = u_j + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} F_{j,\nu} \cdot u_j^\nu$$

holds. Proposition 4.3 (iii) shows that $u_k = u_j$ holds on $W_j \cap W_k$ for any $j \geq 1$ and $k \geq 0$, which prove the theorem. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

5.1. A conclusion from an argument by changing the model. In this subsection, we show the following:

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let C and S be as in §2.1. Assume that $N_{C/S}$ is topologically trivial. Then one (and only one) of the following holds:*

- (a) *There exists a neighborhood V of C in S and an elliptic fibration $f: V \rightarrow \Delta$ onto the unit disc $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that C is a fiber of f .*
- (b) *There exists a positive rational number $\ell \in \frac{1}{6}\mathbb{Z}$ such that the following assertions (1) and (2) hold:*
 - (1) *For each real number $\lambda > 1$, there exists a neighborhood V of C and a strongly plurisubharmonic function $\Phi_\lambda: V \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Phi_\lambda(p)$ increases with the same order as $|f_C(p)|^{-\lambda\ell}$ when p approaches C on a neighborhood of each point of C , where f_C is a local defining function of C .*

- (2) Let V be a neighborhood of C and Ψ be a plurisubharmonic function on $V \setminus C$. If there exists a real number $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that $\Psi(p) = o(|f_C(p)|^{-\lambda\ell})$ holds on a neighborhood of each point of C , then $\Psi|_{V_0 \setminus C}$ is a constant function for a neighborhood V_0 of C in V .

Although the proof of this proposition is essentially given in [K1, p. 875], we here explain it again for the convenience of readers (See also the appendix to the present paper). The proof is done by applying Ueda's theorems [U1] to a new pair $(\overline{D}, \overline{W})$ of a surface \overline{W} and a non-singular curve $\overline{D} \subset \overline{W}$ obtained from the original pair (C, S) by taking a blowing-up, a finite (ramified) covering, and a blowing-down.

Denote by $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ the (minimal) resolution of the ordinary cusp to a normal crossing divisor obtained by blowing-up three times, and by D the pull-back π^*C . Then one has

$$D = 6C_1 + 3E_1 + 2E_2 + E_3$$

for irreducible divisors C_1, E_1, E_2 , and E_3 , where E_3 is the strict transform $(\pi^{-1})_*C$ of C . By [K1, Proposition 7.6, 7.7], one can construct a $6 : 1$ covering map $p: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow W$ on a neighborhood W of the support $\text{supp } D$ of D in X and a simple normal crossing divisor

$$\widetilde{D} = p^{-1}(C_1) + \widetilde{E}_1^{(1)} + \widetilde{E}_1^{(2)} + \widetilde{E}_1^{(3)} + \widetilde{E}_2^{(1)} + \widetilde{E}_2^{(2)} + \widetilde{E}_3^{(1)}$$

of \widetilde{W} such that $p^*D = 6\widetilde{D}$ and that each $\widetilde{E}_\nu^{(\lambda)}$ is a (-1) -curve. By Castelnuovo's contraction theorem, we have a morphism $\overline{p}: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \overline{W}$ onto a non-singular surface \overline{W} which coincides with the blow-up morphism centered at 6 points in the (smooth) elliptic curve $\overline{D} := \overline{p}(p^{-1}(C_1))$. Note that, by construction, the normal bundle $N_{\overline{D}/\overline{W}}$ is holomorphically trivial.

Proof of Proposition 5.1. The proof is by cases (based on the type of not $(\widetilde{D}, \widetilde{W})$ but $(\overline{D}, \overline{W})$, see also the appendix to the present paper). Denote by \overline{n} the type of the pair $(\overline{D}, \overline{W})$.

First let us consider the case of $\overline{n} = \infty$. As $N_{\overline{D}/\overline{W}}$ is holomorphically trivial, there exists a holomorphic function h on \overline{W} , by shrinking W, \widetilde{W} , and \overline{W} if necessary, such that the zero divisor $\text{div}(h)$ of h coincides with \overline{D} by virtue of [U1, Theorem 3]. As $p^*\pi^*C = p^*D = 6\widetilde{D} = 6\overline{p}^*\overline{D}$, there exists a holomorphic function g on $V' := \pi(W)$ such that $\text{div}(g) = C$ and

$$g \circ \pi \circ p = \prod_{F \in \text{Aut}(\overline{p})} h \circ \overline{p} \circ F,$$

where $\text{Aut}(\overline{p})$ is the group of deck transformations of $\overline{p}: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow \overline{W}$. As the restriction of g to a neighborhood V of C is proper by Lemma 2.2, we obtain a fibration f on V by considering the Stein factorization. Since \overline{D} is an elliptic curve, connected components of a general fiber of h are also elliptic curves. Therefore f is an elliptic fibration, from which the assertion (a) follows.

Next let us consider the case of $\overline{n} < \infty$. In this case, we show the assertions (1) and (2) in (b) for $\ell := \overline{n}/6$. Take a positive integer λ . When $\lambda > 1$, by [U1, Theorem 1], there exists a strongly plurisubharmonic function φ_λ on $\overline{W} \setminus \overline{D}$ (by shrinking W, \widetilde{W} , and \overline{W} if

necessary) such that $\varphi_\lambda(p)$ increases with the same order as $|h(p)|^{-\lambda\bar{n}}$ when p approaches \bar{D} on a neighborhood of each point of \bar{D} , where h is a local defining function of \bar{D} . Then

$$\tilde{\varphi}_\lambda := \sum_{F \in \text{Aut}(\bar{p})} \varphi_\lambda \circ \bar{p} \circ F$$

is an $\text{Aut}(\bar{p})$ -invariant strongly plurisubharmonic function on $\widetilde{W} \setminus \widetilde{D}$ which increases with the same order as $|\tilde{h}(p)|^{-\lambda\bar{n}}$ when p approaches \widetilde{D} on a neighborhood of each point of \widetilde{D} , where \tilde{h} is a local defining function of \widetilde{D} . Therefore there exists a function Φ_λ as in the assertion (1) on a neighborhood of C , since $p^*\pi^*C = p^*D = 6\widetilde{D}$. When $\lambda < 1$, take a function Ψ as in the assertion (2). Then, by the same argument as above, we have a plurisubharmonic function ψ on $\bar{p}((\pi \circ p)^{-1}(V)) \setminus \bar{D}$ such that $\Psi \circ \pi \circ p = \varphi \circ \bar{p}$. Again by $p^*\pi^*C = p^*D = 6\widetilde{D}$ and $\widetilde{D} = \bar{p}^*\bar{D}$, we have $\varphi(p) = o(d(p, \bar{D})^{-\lambda\bar{n}})$ as $p \rightarrow \bar{D}$, where $d(p, \bar{D})$ is the (local) Euclidean distance from p to \bar{D} . Thus the assertion (2) follows from [U1, Theorem 2].

Note that the assertions (a) and (b) cannot hold simultaneously, since any plurisubharmonic function on $V \setminus C$ is f -fiberwise constant by the maximum principle if (a) holds. \square

5.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1. When $N_{C/S}$ is not holomorphically trivial, it follows from (the contraposition of) Lemma 2.3 that Proposition 5.1 (a) never holds. Thus Proposition 5.1 (b) holds in this case. In what follows, we show the theorem by assuming that $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial. Denote by n the type of the pair (C, S) . When $n = \infty$, it follows from Theorem 4.1 that Proposition 5.1 (a) holds. When $n < \infty$, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that Proposition 5.1 (a) never holds, from which we have the assertion (b). \square

5.3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. First let us consider the case where $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial and the pair (C, S) is of infinite type. In this case, by virtue of Theorem 1.1 (i), there exists an elliptic fibration $P: V \rightarrow \Delta$ on a neighborhood V of C in S . Take a general fiber $Y = P^{-1}(q)$ of P . Then Y is a non-singular compact connected hypersurface of S whose normal bundle is holomorphically trivial. Let r be a sufficiently small positive number and $\chi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-decreasing convex function of class C^∞ such that $\chi(t) = t$ for $t \in (-\log r, \infty)$ and that $\chi \equiv c$ holds for a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ on $(-\infty, -\frac{1}{2}\log r)$. Denote by ψ the function on $S \setminus Y$ defined by

$$\psi(x) := \begin{cases} \chi(-\log |P(x) - q|) & \text{if } x \in V \\ c & \text{if } x \notin V \end{cases}.$$

Then, by considering the function $x \mapsto \log(1 + e^{\psi(x)})$, it follows from [K3, Proposition 2.1] that the line bundle $[Y]$ on S is semi-positive: i.e. $[Y]$ admits a C^∞ Hermitian metric whose Chern curvature is positive semi-definite at any point of S . Thus, by [K3, Theorem 1.1 (i)], there exists a fibration $f: S \rightarrow R$ onto a compact Riemann surface R such that Y is a fiber of f . As the image $f(C)$ is a connected analytic subset of R , it follows that either $f(C)$ is a point or $f(C) = R$. As $C \cap Y = \emptyset$ and Y is a fiber of f , the image $f(C)$ never includes the image of Y , from which the assertion (i) follows. As $S \setminus C$ is the total space of an elliptic fibration over an open Riemann surface, we have that $S \setminus C$ is holomorphically convex in this case. In the other case, it follows from the assertion (1) of Theorem 1.1

(ii) that there exist a neighborhood V of C and a strongly plurisubharmonic function $\Phi: V \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\Phi(p) \rightarrow \infty$ as $p \rightarrow C$. Fix a relatively compact neighborhood V_0 of C in V . Set $c := \max\{1, \max_{\partial V_0} \Phi\}$ and denote by φ the function on $S \setminus C$ defined by

$$\varphi(x) := \begin{cases} \max\{2c, \Phi(x)\} & \text{if } x \in V_0 \setminus C \\ 2c & \text{if } x \in S \setminus V_0 \end{cases}.$$

Then, by construction, we have that φ is an exhaustion plurisubharmonic function on $S \setminus C$ which is strongly plurisubharmonic on a neighborhood of the boundary of $S \setminus C$. Thus $S \setminus C$ is strongly 1-convex, and it follows from Grauert's theorem [G] that $S \setminus C$ is a proper modification of a Stein space, from which it follows that it is holomorphically convex.

Finally let us show the equivalence of the assertions (1), (2), and (3). The implication (2) \implies (1) has been already proven. To show the implication (1) \implies (3), assume the assertion (i). Then $[C] = p^*L$ holds for a line bundle L on R of positive degree. As L has a Hermitian metric h_L whose Chern curvature is positive, the assertion (3) follows by considering p^*h_L . Finally we show the implication (3) \implies (2). We show this by contradiction. Assume that (2) does not hold and (3) holds. Then, by using a Hermitian metric h on $[C]$ with $\sqrt{-1}\Theta_h \geq 0$, one can construct a plurisubharmonic function $\varphi: S \setminus C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by letting

$$\varphi(x) := -\log |f_C(x)|_h^2,$$

where f_C is the canonical section of $[C]$. As φ increases with the logarithmic order along C , a contradiction follows from the assertion (2) of Theorem 1.1 (ii). \square

6. EXAMPLES AND FURTHER DISCUSSIONS

6.1. A rational curve with an ordinary cusp in the blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at 9 points.

In this subsection, we let S be a rational surface obtained by blowing-up \mathbb{P}^2 at 9 points such that the linear system of its anti-canonical divisor includes a rational curve C with an ordinary cusp. Note that $N_{C/S}$ is topologically trivial. For this example, we have a sufficient and necessary condition for the assertion (i) in Theorem 1.2 to hold as follows:

LEMMA 6.1. *Let S be a rational surface obtained by blowing-up \mathbb{P}^2 at 9 points such that the linear system of its anti-canonical divisor includes a rational curve C with an ordinary cusp. Then the assertion (i) in Theorem 1.2 holds if and only if $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial if the assertion (i) in Theorem 1.2 holds. Assume $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial. Then, from the short exact sequence obtained by tensoring $\mathcal{O}_S(C)$ with the defining short exact sequence of the defining ideal sheaf $I_C(\cong \mathcal{O}_S(-C))$ of C , the following exact sequence is induced:

$$(13) \quad H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_S(C)|_C) \rightarrow H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S).$$

Since it follows from the assumption that $\mathcal{O}_S(C)|_C = \mathcal{O}_C(N_{C/S}) \cong \mathcal{O}_C$, there exists a nowhere vanishing section $g \in H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_S(C)|_C)$. As S is rational, one has $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$. Thus, by the exactness of (13), one can find a section $G \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C))$ such that

$G|_C = g$. In particular, the zeros of G never intersect C . The fibration $f: S \rightarrow R$ as in Theorem 1.2 (i) can be constructed from the meromorphic function

$$S \ni x \mapsto \frac{\sigma_C(x)}{G(x)},$$

where $\sigma_C \in H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S(C))$ is the canonical section. \square

Motivated by the results and examples due to Kazama and Takayama [KT1] [KT2], $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -problem (whether $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma holds) on $S \setminus C$ was investigated in [K4] for the blow-up S of \mathbb{P}^2 at 9 points and an anti-canonical divisor C when C is non-singular. Related to this example, we believe it is worth asking the following question for (C, S) as in Lemma 6.1:

QUESTION 6.2. Let S and C be as in Lemma 6.1. Does $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -lemma hold (in the sense of [KT1]) on $S \setminus C$?

6.2. Further discussions. First let us pose the following:

QUESTION 6.3. Does there exist an example (C, S) of a rational curve C with an ordinary cusp in a non-singular complex surface S such that $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial and the pair (C, S) is of finite type?

It seems worth asking this question also under the assumption that S is compact (and Kähler, or projective), since at least the rational surface S as in the previous subsection never admits a rational curve C with an ordinary cusp such that $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial and the pair (C, S) is of finite type by virtue of Lemma 6.1.

Next, using the notation in §5.1, let us compare the type n of the pair (C, S) , the type \tilde{n} of (\tilde{D}, \tilde{W}) (in the sense of [K1]), and \bar{n} of (\bar{D}, \bar{W}) .

Take an open covering $\{V_j\}$ of a neighborhood of C in S as in §2.1 and a defining function $w_j: V_j \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of $C \cap V_j$ for each j such that $\{(V_j, w_j)\}_j$ is of type n . Then, by considering the refinement of the open covering $\{(\pi \circ p)^{-1}(V_j)\}_j$ and the restrictions of branches of $(w_j \circ \pi \circ p)^{1/6}$, one can construct a system of local defining functions of \tilde{D} of type n (in the sense of [K1]). Therefore

$$n \leq \tilde{n}$$

holds. By applying the same argument to a system of local defining functions of \bar{D} of type \bar{n} and the morphism $\bar{p}: \tilde{W} \rightarrow \bar{W}$, we have

$$\bar{n} \leq \tilde{n}.$$

Based on the above discussion, let us pose the following:

QUESTION 6.4. How is the relation between n and \bar{n} ?

Finally, let us discuss the consequence of Theorem 1.1 from the viewpoint of Ueda's classification [U1, §5] of neighborhoods of compact non-singular curves whose normal bundles are topologically trivial. Let S and C be as in Theorem 1.1. We will restrict ourselves to the case where $N_{C/S}$ is holomorphically trivial in what follows (Recall that any topologically trivial line bundle on a compact non-singular curve is unitary flat (a

theorem of Kashiwara, see [U1, §1] for example). We refer to [U2, Theorem 1, 2] and [K1, Theorem 1.6] for the case where a curve has nodes and the normal bundle is topologically trivial and holomorphically non-trivial).

The case where the assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.1 hold can be naturally interpreted as an analogue of the classes (β') and (α) in Ueda's classification, respectively. From this viewpoint, The assertion (i) of Theorem 1.1 (and Theorem 4.1) can be regarded as an analogue of [U1, Theorem 3], and (ii) can be regarded as an analogue of [U1, Theorem 1, 2]. As any unitary flat line bundle on C is holomorphically trivial by Lemma 2.5, it can be said that there is no case which corresponds to the classes (β'') and (γ) in Ueda's classification for (C, S) .

QUESTION 6.5. How can we generalize Theorem 1.1 for a compact curve with (general) cusps embedded in a non-singular surface? Can one find an example which can be regarded as an analogue of the class (β'') or (γ) in Ueda's classification when C is a compact curve with cusps?

REFERENCES

- [GS] X. GONG, L. STOLOVITCH, Equivalence of Neighborhoods of Embedded Compact Complex Manifolds and Higher Codimension Foliations, *Arnold Math J.* **8** (2022), 61–145.
- [G] H. GRAUERT, Über Modifikationen und exzeptionelle analytische Mengen, *Math. Ann.*, **146** (1962), 331–368.
- [HM] J. HARRIS, I. MORRISON, *Moduli of curves*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **187**, Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [KT1] H. KAZAMA, S. TAKAYAMA, $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -problem on weakly 1-complete Kähler manifolds, *Nagoya Math. J.* **155** (1999), 81–94.
- [KT2] H. KAZAMA, S. TAKAYAMA, On the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -equation over pseudoconvex Kähler manifolds, *Manuscripta Math.* **102** (2000), 25–39.
- [KS] K. KODAIRA, D. C. SPENCER, A theorem of completeness of characteristic systems of complete continuous systems, *Amer. J. Math.*, **81** (1959), 477–500.
- [K1] T. KOIKE, Ueda theory for compact curves with nodes, *Indiana U. Math. J.*, **66**, 3 (2017), 845–876.
- [K2] T. KOIKE, Higher codimensional Ueda theory for a compact submanifold with unitary flat normal bundle, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **238** (2020), 104–136.
- [K3] T. KOIKE, On the complement of a hypersurface with flat normal bundle which corresponds to a semipositive line bundle, *Math. Ann.*, **383** (2022), 291–313.
- [K4] T. KOIKE, $\bar{\partial}$ cohomology of the complement of a semi-positive anticanonical divisor of a compact surface, arXiv:2308.03761.
- [N] A. NEEMAN, Ueda theory: theorems and problems, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **81**, no. 415 (1989).
- [S] Y. T. SIU, Every Stein subvariety admits a Stein neighborhood, *Invent. Math.*, **38** (1976), 89–100.
- [U1] T. UEDA, On the neighborhood of a compact complex curve with topologically trivial normal bundle, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, **22** (1983), 583–607.
- [U2] T. UEDA, Neighborhood of a rational curve with a node, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, **27** (1991), 681–693.
- [U3] T. UEDA, On the neighborhood of a compact complex curve with singularities, to appear in *RIMS kokyuroku* (in Japanese).

APPENDIX A. ERRATUM TO “UEDA THEORY FOR COMPACT CURVES WITH NODES”

This appendix aims to correct some errors in some statements and arguments in [K1] which are mainly needed to show [K1, Theorem 7.1]. The statements of all the theorems in this paper, including Theorem 7.1, remain unchanged.

In the proof of Lemma 7.6, $(L')^a \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(\sum_{\nu=1}^N a_\nu E_\nu)$ should read $(L')^{-a} \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(\sum_{\nu=1}^N a_\nu E_\nu)$. In the statements of Propositions 7.8 and 7.9, $(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{D})$ should read $(\overline{W}, \overline{D})$. The fourth and fifth sentences in the proof of Proposition 7.8 should be disregarded. In the assertion (i) of Proposition 7.9, “ $\Phi_\lambda(p) = O(d(p, \text{supp } D)^{-\lambda n/a})$ hold as $p \rightarrow \text{supp } D$, where $d(p, \text{supp } D)$ is the distance from p to $\text{supp } D$ calculated by using a local Euclidean metric on a neighborhood of a point of C in V ” should read “ $\Phi_\lambda(p) = O(|f_D(p)|^{-\lambda n/a})$ holds on a neighborhood of any point of C , where f_D is a local defining function of D ”. Similarly, “ $\Psi(p) = O(d(p, \text{supp } D)^{-\lambda n/a})$ as $p \rightarrow \text{supp } D$ ” in Proposition 7.9 (ii) should read “ $\Psi(p) = O(|f_D(p)|^{-\lambda n/a})$ holds on a neighborhood of any point of C ”. In p. 875 l. 22 and 27, $(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{D})$ should read $(\overline{W}, \overline{D})$.

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, 3-3-138 SUGIMOTO, OSAKA 558-8585, JAPAN

Email address: `tkoike@omu.ac.jp`

² DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY, 1-1-1, TSUSHI-MANAKA, OKAYAMA, 700-8530, JAPAN

Email address: `takaue@okayama-u.ac.jp`