

*Dedicated to Professor T. Mabuchi
on the occasion of his 75th birthday.*

MABUCHI KÄHLER SOLITONS VERSUS EXTREMAL KÄHLER METRICS AND BEYOND

VESTISLAV APOSTOLOV, ABDELLAH LAHDILI, AND YASUFUMI NITTA

ABSTRACT. Using the Yau-Tian-Donaldson type correspondence for v -solitons established by Han-Li, we show that a smooth complex n -dimensional Fano variety admits a Mabuchi soliton provided it admits an extremal Kähler metric whose scalar curvature is strictly less than $2(n+1)$. Combined with previous observations by Mabuchi and Nakamura in the other direction, this gives a characterization of the existence of Mabuchi solitons in terms of the existence of extremal Kähler metrics on Fano manifolds. An extension of this correspondence to v -solitons is also obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

A number of different notions of *special* Kähler metrics have emerged in the last 20 years or so, in connection with Calabi’s seminal program [9] of finding a canonical representative of a given deRham class $\alpha \in H_{dR}^2(X, \mathbb{R})$ of Kähler metrics on a smooth compact Kähler manifold X . Lead by a natural variational approach, Calabi himself proposed the notion of an *extremal* Kähler metric as a candidate for such a representative. Recall that a Kähler $\omega \in \alpha$ is extremal if the flow of the gradient vector of its scalar curvature preserves the complex structure of X . Kähler metrics with constant scalar curvature (cscK) are a special case of extremal Kähler metrics.

In the case of a smooth Fano variety X a cscK metric in $\alpha = 2\pi c_1(X)$ is necessarily a Kähler–Einstein metric with scalar curvature equal to $2n$. The existence problem for Kähler–Einstein metrics is now understood in terms of the Yau–Tian–Donaldson (YTD) conjecture [46, 41, 20] which states that X admits a Kähler–Einstein metric in $2\pi c_1(X)$ if and only if the anticanonical polarization (X, K_X^{-1}) is K-polystable. There are, by now, many different proofs of this conjecture [42, 15, 47, 5, 30], following the initial work of Chen–Donaldson–Sun [12, 13, 14] (who proved K-polystability implies existence) and Tian and Berman (who proved existence implies K-stability)[41, 4].

Beyond the study of Kähler–Einstein metrics on $(X, 2\pi c_1(X))$, other notions of canonical Kähler metrics have been considered. These allow to treat cases where a Kähler–Einstein metric do not exist due to obstructions in terms of the automorphisms of X [35, 32, 22]. Tian-Zhu [44, 43] initiated the study of the so-called *Kähler–Ricci solitons* (KRS) on $(X, 2\pi c_1(X))$. Martelli–Sparks–Yau [34] developed the theory of Calabi–Yau cones (or, equivalently, Sasaki–Einstein structures) defined on the the affine cone K_X^\times associated to X . Mabuchi [33] introduced the notion of an *M-soliton* (or *Mabuchi soliton*) and related it to the existence of extremal Kähler metrics in $\alpha = 2\pi c_1(X)$. These works prompted separated investigations of the corresponding existence theories, and the formulation and

V. Apostolov was supported by an NSERC Discovery grant and a “Connect Talent” grant of the Region de la Pays de la Loire. A. Lhdili was supported by Aarhus University and the UQAM. Y. Nitta was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21K03234. The authors thank LMJL of Nantes University and the CIRGET of UQAM for hospitality during the preparation of this work.

proofs of appropriate modifications of the YTD conjecture in each case, see respectively [18, 16, 28].

More recently, there have been developments providing a framework to treat the existence problems mentioned above all together: Building on a foundational work by Berman–Witt Nyström [7], Han–Li [26] studied the existence problem for the so-called *v-soliton* Kähler metric $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X)$ (called *g-solitons* in [26]). A *v-soliton* is defined in terms of a fixed maximal compact torus $\mathbb{T} \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ with associated canonical polytope $P_X \subset (\text{Lie}(\mathbb{T}))^*$, and a positive smooth function $v(x) > 0$ on P_X , via the equation

$$\text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega = \frac{1}{2} dd^c \log v(\mu_\omega).$$

In the above formula, ω is a \mathbb{T} -invariant Kähler metric in $2\pi c_1(X)$, $\text{Ric}(\omega) \in 2\pi c_1(X)$ is its Ricci form and $\mu_\omega : X \rightarrow P_X$ is the canonically normalized \mathbb{T} -momentum map. Thus, Kähler–Einstein metrics correspond to 1-solitons, KRS metrics to e^ℓ -solitons [44], Calabi–Yau cone structures on K_X^\times to $\ell^{-(n+2)}$ -solitons [1] and Mabuchi solitons to ℓ -solitons, where $\ell(x)$ is a suitably defined (and in general different for each case) affine-linear function on P_X . As an outcome, the work [26] gives a YTD type correspondence for the existence of a *v-soliton* on $(X, \mathbb{T}, 2\pi c_1(X))$, expressed in terms of a suitable notion of *uniform v-weighted Ding stability* of $(X, \mathbb{T}, 2\pi c_1(X))$ on $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$ -equivariant test-configurations.

In this short paper, we use the Han–Li YTD type correspondence for *v-solitons* combined with a few elementary observations in order to establish the following result.

Theorem 1. *[see Theorem 6] Let (X, \mathbb{T}) be a smooth complex n -dimensional Fano manifold and v a smooth positive weight function on P_X normalized so that $\text{vol}_v(X) = \text{vol}(X)$. Then X admits a \mathbb{T} -invariant *v-soliton* metric in $2\pi c_1(X)$ if and only if there exists a (different in general) \mathbb{T} -invariant Kähler metric $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X)$ whose scalar curvature satisfies*

$$\text{Scal}(\omega) = 2 \left((n+1) - v(\mu_\omega) \right).$$

Futaki–Mabuchi [23] observed that there exists a unique affine-linear function $\ell_{\text{ext}}(x)$ on P_X such that a \mathbb{T} -invariant Kähler metric in $2\pi c_1(X)$ is extremal iff $\text{Scal}(\omega) = \ell_{\text{ext}}(\mu_\omega)$. Furthermore, Mabuchi [33] proved that if $\tilde{\omega} \in 2\pi c_1(X)$ is an ℓ -soliton for a positive affine-linear function ℓ on P_X , then

$$\ell = (n+1) - \frac{1}{2} \ell_{\text{ext}}.$$

He thus obtained that the condition

$$(1) \quad \max_{P_X} \ell_{\text{ext}} < 2(n+1)$$

is a necessary condition for the existence of an ℓ -soliton. On the other hand, Nakamura (see [33]) proved, using the result of Chen–Cheng [11] and the complement by He [27] that if X admits a Mabuchi soliton then it also admits an extremal Kähler metric satisfying the above condition. This observation was recently extended for the weights corresponding to the so-called *σ -solitons* by Nakagawa and Nakamura ([36]). Finally, in [38, 37], the authors constructed examples of smooth Fano manifolds admitting extremal Kähler metrics in $2\pi c_1(X)$ for which the necessary condition (1) fails (and thus they do not admit Mabuchi solitons). As a direct corollary of Theorem 1 above, we close this circle of ideas by establishing

Corollary 1. *A smooth Fano manifold (X, \mathbb{T}) admits a Mabuchi soliton if and only if the extremal affine linear function ℓ_{ext} satisfies (1) and $2\pi c_1(X)$ admits an extremal metric.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Fano manifolds: notation and normalization. In what follows, X denotes a smooth compact complex manifold of complex dimension n , for which the anti-canonical bundle K_X^{-1} is ample. Such an X is called a *smooth Fano variety*. The Fano condition implies that X is projective, and that the deRham class $\alpha = 2\pi c_1(X) = 2\pi c_1(K_X^{-1})$ contains Kähler metrics.

Any Kähler metric $\omega \in \alpha$ is deRham cohomologous with the corresponding Ricci form $\text{Ric}(\omega)$, and thus we can write

$$\text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega = \frac{1}{2} dd^c h_\omega,$$

for a smooth function h_ω which (by the maximum principle) is unique up to an additive constant. Such a function will be referred to as a *Ricci potential* of ω ; we can further fix the additive constant by requiring that

$$(2) \quad \int_X e^{\dot{h}_\omega} \omega^{[n]} = \int_X \omega^{[n]} =: \text{vol}(X),$$

where $\omega^{[n]} := \omega^n/n!$ stands for the Riemannian volume form of the Kähler metric ω . We shall then refer to this uniquely defined Ricci potential \dot{h}_ω as the *normalized Ricci potential* of ω . In these terms, the *Kähler–Einstein* condition

$$(3) \quad \text{Ric}(\omega) = \omega$$

is equivalent to $\dot{h}_\omega = 0$.

We shall next fix once for all a maximal compact real torus \mathbb{T} inside the connected component of identity $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ of the group of complex automorphisms of X . The corresponding complex torus will be denoted by $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$. There is a canonical lift (still denoted by \mathbb{T}) of the action of \mathbb{T} on X to an action on the canonical bundle K_X . The latter bundle has a further \mathbb{S}^1 -extension of the lifted \mathbb{T} action, given by fibre-wise multiplications with complex numbers $e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{S}^1$. We denote by $\hat{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ the resulting $(\dim(\mathbb{T}) + 1)$ -dimensional torus acting on K_X . We shall respectively denote by \mathfrak{t} and $\hat{\mathfrak{t}}$ the Lie algebras of \mathbb{T} and $\hat{\mathbb{T}}$.

We consider the space $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^\mathbb{T}(X)$ of \mathbb{T} -invariant Kähler metrics ω on X , belonging to α ; by a standard averaging argument, $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^\mathbb{T}(X) \neq \emptyset$. Introducing a base-point $\omega_0 \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha^\mathbb{T}(X)$, we will identify $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^\mathbb{T}(X)$ with the Fréchet space $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_0}^\mathbb{T}(X)/\mathbb{R}$, where

$$\mathcal{H}_{\omega_0}^\mathbb{T}(X) := \left\{ \varphi \in C^\infty(X)^\mathbb{T} \mid \omega_\varphi := \omega_0 + dd^c \varphi > 0 \right\}$$

is the space of smooth \mathbb{T} -invariant Kähler potentials with respect to ω_0 .

For each $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha^\mathbb{T}(X)$, we let H_ω denote the Hermitian metric on K_X whose Chern curvature is $R^{H_\omega} = -i\omega$, and by ∇^{H_ω} the H_ω -Chern connection on K_X . A basic fact in the theory [24] is that for any $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$, and any smooth section $s \in C^\infty(X, K_X)$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi s = \nabla_\xi^{H_\omega} s - i\mu_\omega^\xi s,$$

where the smooth function μ_ω^ξ satisfies

$$\omega(\xi, \cdot) = -d\mu_\omega^\xi.$$

This gives rise to a *canonically normalized* momentum map $\mu_\omega : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ whose image P_X is a compact convex polytope [2, 25]; one can further show (see e.g. [7, 29]) that $\mu_\omega(P_X)$ is independent of the choice of $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha^\mathbb{T}(X)$. In this paper, we shall refer to P_X as the *canonical polytope* of (X, \mathbb{T}) .

Remark 1. In general, the \mathbb{T} -momentum map $\mu_\omega : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$ is defined only up to a translation with an element of \mathfrak{t}^* ; the fact that in the Fano case there is a canonical normalization for μ_ω follows from the existence of a canonical lift of the \mathbb{T} -action on X to K_X .

An alternative way to define the canonical normalization for μ_ω (see e.g. [1, 44]) is to require that for any $\zeta \in \mathfrak{t}$, the function $\mu_\omega^\zeta := \langle \mu_\omega, \zeta \rangle$ satisfies

$$(4) \quad \int_X \mu_\omega^\zeta e^{h_\omega} \omega^{[n]} = 0,$$

where h_ω is any Ricci potential of ω .

Once we have suitably normalized P_X , we can define the *Duistermaat-Heckman* measure [21] $d\mu_{\text{DH}}$ on P_X as the push-forward via μ_ω of the Riemannian measure of (X, ω) : for any continuous function $f(x)$ on P_X , we let

$$(5) \quad \int_{P_X} f(x) d\mu_{\text{DH}} := \int_X f(\mu_\omega) \omega^{[n]}.$$

The fact that the LHS is independent of the choice of $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha^\mathbb{T}(X)$ follows for instance from the \mathbb{T} -equivariant Moser's lemma (see also [23]).

2.2. Kähler–Ricci solitons. Following [44], a *Kähler Ricci soliton* (KRS for short) is a Kähler metric $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X)$ which satisfies

$$(6) \quad \text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{J\tau} \omega,$$

where τ is a Killing vector field for the Kähler structure ω . In the case $\tau = 0$, (6) reduces to the Kähler–Einstein condition (3). Tian–Zhu [44] have extended the Matsushima's theorem to the case of a KRS, which in turn yields that any Kähler metric satisfying (6) must be invariant by the action of a maximal torus in $\text{Aut}_0(X)$, containing the flow of τ . Up to a pull back by an element of $\text{Aut}_0(X)$, we can and will assume that a KRS on X belongs to $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^\mathbb{T}(X)$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{t}$. Thus, similarly to the Kähler–Einstein case, the KRS condition can be rewritten as

$$(7) \quad h_\omega = \mu_\omega^\tau$$

or, equivalently,

$$(8) \quad \text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega = \frac{1}{2} dd^c \mu_\omega^\tau, \quad \tau \in \mathfrak{t}.$$

By Remark 1, (5) and (7), if X admits a KRS in $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^\mathbb{T}(X)$, then for any $\zeta \in \mathfrak{t}$, we have

$$\int_{P_X} \langle \zeta, x \rangle e^{\langle \tau, x \rangle} d\mu_{\text{DH}} = 0.$$

The above condition means that τ is a critical point of the function $F : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$F(\zeta) := \int_{P_X} e^{\langle \zeta, x \rangle} d\mu_{\text{DH}}.$$

Tian–Zhu [44] further show that F admits a unique critical point, τ , independent of the existence of a KRS on X . We shall refer to τ as the *KRS vector field* of (X, \mathbb{T}) and to the positive smooth function $v(x) := e^{\langle \tau, x \rangle}$ on \mathfrak{t}^* as the *KRS weight function*.

2.3. v -solitons. The notion of KRS extends to the following more general geometric situation, studied by Berman–Witt Nyström in [7] and, more recently, by Han–Li in [26]. We follow the notation of [1, Sect.2].

Definition 1 (v -soliton). In the setup as above, let $v(x)$ be a given positive function defined on P_X . A Kähler metric $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha^\mathbb{T}(X)$ is called a v -soliton if it satisfies

$$(9) \quad \text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega = \frac{1}{2} dd^c \log v(\mu_\omega).$$

Clearly, Kähler–Einstein metrics are 1-solitons whereas KRS are $v = e^{\langle \tau, x \rangle}$ -solitons. Notice that if ω is a v -soliton it is also a λv -soliton for any $\lambda > 0$. To read off this ambiguity, we shall sometimes consider *normalized* weight functions $\mathring{v} := \left(\frac{\int_{P_X} d\mu_{\text{DH}}}{\int_{P_X} v d\mu_{\text{DH}}} \right) v$, i.e. such that

$$(10) \quad \text{vol}_{\mathring{v}}(X) := \int_X \mathring{v}(\mu_\omega) \omega^{[n]} = \int_X \omega^{[n]} =: \text{vol}(X).$$

We also notice that for any v -soliton, $h_\omega = \log(v(\mu_\omega))$, so by Remark 1, the linear function

$$(11) \quad \text{Fut}_v : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad F_v(\zeta) := \int_{P_X} \langle \zeta, x \rangle v(x) d\mu_{\text{DH}}$$

identically vanishes.

Definition 2 (v -Futaki invariant). The linear function defined by (11) is called the v -Futaki invariant of (X, \mathbb{T}) .

We next define a functional \mathbf{I}_v on the space $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_0}^\mathbb{T}(X)$ of \mathbb{T} -invariant Kähler potentials (see [29, 26]):

$$(12) \quad d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v(\dot{\varphi}) = \int_X v(\mu_{\omega_\varphi}) \dot{\varphi} \omega_\varphi^{[n]}, \quad \mathbf{I}_v(0) = 0.$$

Following [26], we introduce

Definition 3 (v -Ding functional). The v -Ding functional is the map $\mathbf{D}_v : \mathcal{H}_{\omega_0}^\mathbb{T}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\mathbf{D}_v(\varphi) := - \left(\frac{\mathbf{I}_v(\varphi)}{\text{vol}_v(X)} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\int_M e^{\mathring{h}_{\omega_0} - 2\varphi} \frac{\omega_0^{[n]}}{\text{vol}(X)} \right),$$

where we have set $\text{vol}_v(X) := \int_{P_X} v(x) d\mu_{\text{DH}} = \int_X v(\mu_\omega) \omega^{[n]}$ and \mathring{h}_{ω_0} stands for the normalized Ricci potential of the base point ω_0 , see (2).

Notice that \mathbf{D}_v does not change if we add a constant to φ , so it actually descends to a functional, denoted $\mathbf{D}_v(\omega_\varphi)$, on the space $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_0}^\mathbb{T}(X)/\mathbb{R} \cong \mathcal{K}_\alpha^\mathbb{T}(X)$.

It is not hard to see that the differential of \mathbf{D}_v is given by

$$(13) \quad (d_{\omega_\varphi} \mathbf{D}_v)(\dot{\varphi}) = \int_X \dot{\varphi} \left(\frac{e^{\mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi}}}{\text{vol}(X)} - \frac{v(\mu_{\omega_\varphi})}{\text{vol}_v(X)} \right) \omega_\varphi^{[n]},$$

so that the critical points of \mathbf{D}_v are precisely the Kähler metrics ω_φ for which

$$e^{\mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi}} = \text{vol}(X) \mathring{v}(\mu_{\omega_\varphi})$$

i.e. the v -solitons.

Another consequence of the formula (13) is the following

Lemma 1. *The v -Ding functional is $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$ -invariant, i.e. satisfies*

$$\mathbf{D}_v(\sigma^*(\omega)) = \mathbf{D}_v(\omega) \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C},$$

iff the v -Futaki invariant $\text{Fut}_v \equiv 0$.

Proof. \mathbf{D}_v is clearly \mathbb{T} invariant. For any $\zeta \in \mathfrak{t}$, we consider the flow of $-J\zeta$ $\sigma_t \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and take derivative at $t = 0$ of $\mathbf{D}_v(\sigma_t^*(\omega))$. By (13)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \mathbf{D}_v(\sigma_t^*(\omega)) = \int_X \mu_{\omega}^{\zeta} \left(\frac{e^{\hbar\omega}}{\text{vol}(X)} - \frac{v(\mu_{\omega})}{\text{vol}_v(X)} \right) \omega^{[n]} = -\text{Fut}_v(\zeta),$$

where we have used (4) for the canonically normalized momentum map μ_{ω} . The claim follows from the above by a standard argument. \square

We end-up this section with stating one of the main results of [26] (see Theorem 6), which gives an analytic criterion for the existence of a v -soliton on (X, \mathbb{T}) in terms of \mathbf{D}_v . Recall the definition [3] of the Aubin functional $\mathbf{J} : \mathcal{H}_{\omega_0}^{\mathbb{T}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\mathbf{J}(\varphi) := \int_X \varphi \omega_0^{[n]} - \mathbf{I}_1(\varphi).$$

The functional \mathbf{J} descends to $\mathcal{H}^{\mathbb{T}}(X)/\mathbb{R}$ (so we denote it by $\mathbf{J}(\omega_{\varphi})$), and has the property that $\mathbf{J}(\omega_{\varphi}) \geq 0$ with $\mathbf{J}(\omega_{\varphi}) = 0$ iff $\omega_{\varphi} = \omega_0$.

Theorem 2. [26] *Let X be a Fano manifold, $\mathbb{T} \subset \text{Aut}_0(X)$ a maximal compact torus with canonical momentum polytope $P_X \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$ and v a positive smooth function on P_X . Then X admits a \mathbb{T} -invariant v -soliton in $2\pi c_1(X)$ if and only if the v -weighted Ding functional \mathbf{D}_v is invariant and coercive with respect to the complex torus $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e. there exist constants $\Lambda > 0$ and C such that for any $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}^{\mathbb{T}}(X)$,*

$$\mathbf{D}_v(\omega) \geq \Lambda \inf_{\sigma \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathbf{J}(\sigma^*(\omega)) - C.$$

Versions of the above theorem have been known for KRS by the works of Cao–Tian–Zhu (see [10, Theorems 0.1 and 0.2]) and Darvas–Rubinstein (see [17, Theorem 2.11]).

3. v -SOLITONS AS WEIGHTED CONSTANT SCALAR CURVATURE METRICS

In [1, Prop.1], it is observed that any v -soliton $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X)$ can be equivalently described as a \mathbb{T} -invariant Kähler metric in $2\pi c_1(X)$ with (v, \tilde{v}) -constant scalar curvature in sense of Lahdili [29], where the smooth function $\tilde{v}(x)$ on P_X is defined by

$$(14) \quad \tilde{v}(x) := 2 \left(n + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} (\log v)_{,i} x_i \right) v(x).$$

To recall the construction in [1], we consider the notion of a (v, w) -cscK metric [29], introduced by the equation

$$(15) \quad \text{Scal}_v(\omega) := v(\mu_{\omega}) \text{Scal}(\omega) + 2\Delta_{\omega} v(\mu_{\omega}) + \langle g_{\omega}, \mu_{\omega}^*(\text{Hess}(v)) \rangle = w(\mu_{\omega}),$$

where g_{ω} is the riemannian metric associated to ω and the contraction $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is taken between the smooth $\mathfrak{t}^* \otimes \mathfrak{t}^*$ -valued function g_{ω} on X (the restriction of the riemannian metric g_{ω} to $\mathfrak{t} \subset C^{\infty}(X, TX)$) and the smooth $\mathfrak{t} \otimes \mathfrak{t}$ -valued function $\mu_{\omega}^*(\text{Hess}(v))$ on X (given by the pull-back by μ_{ω} of $\text{Hess}(v) \in C^{\infty}(P_X, \mathfrak{t} \otimes \mathfrak{t})$); equivalently, if $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1, \dots, \ell}$ is a basis of \mathfrak{t} , we have

$$\langle g_{\omega}, \mu_{\omega}^*(\text{Hess}(v)) \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{\ell} v_{,ij}(\mu_{\omega}) g_{\omega}(\xi_i, \xi_j).$$

In this terms, we have

Proposition 1. [1] *$\omega \in \mathcal{K}_{2\pi c_1}^{\mathbb{T}}(X)$ is a v -soliton if and only if it is a (v, \tilde{v}) -cscK metric, i.e. satisfies (15) with $w = \tilde{v}$.*

Notice that when $v = 1$, we recover the basic fact that the Kähler–Einstein metrics in $2\pi c_1(X)$ are the Kähler metrics of constant scalar curvature equal to $2n$.

The advantage of this point of view is that it leads to a weighted version of the Mabuchi energy, which we shall denote by $\mathbf{M}_{v,w}(\omega_\varphi)$, defined on $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_0}^{\mathbb{T}}(X)/\mathbb{R}$ by (see [29]):

$$(d_{\omega_\varphi} \mathbf{M}_{v,w})(\dot{\varphi}) := - \int_X \dot{\varphi} (\text{Scal}_v(\omega_\varphi) - w(\mu_\varphi)) \omega_\varphi^{[n]}, \quad \mathbf{M}_{v,w}(\omega_0) = 0.$$

The critical points of $\mathbf{M}_{v,w}$ are the \mathbb{T} -invariant (v, w) -cscK metrics in a given Kähler class $\alpha = [\omega_0]$, and by Proposition 1, in the special case when $\alpha = 2\pi c_1(X)$ and $w = \tilde{v}$, the critical points of $\mathbf{M}_{v,\tilde{v}}$ are the v -solitons. We also have a Futaki type invariant obstructing the existence of a (v, w) -cscK metric (see [29]):

$$\text{Fut}_{v,w} : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{Fut}_{v,w}(\zeta) := - \int_X \mu_\omega^\zeta (\text{Scal}_v(\omega) - w(\mu_\omega)) \omega^{[n]},$$

which is independent of $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha^{\mathbb{T}}(X)$ and thus vanishes should a (v, w) -cscK metric exists in α . It is clear from the definitions that $\mathbf{M}_{v,w}$ is invariant under the natural action of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^{\mathbb{T}}(X)$ by pull-backs if and only if $\text{Fut}_{v,w} = 0$; furthermore

Theorem 3. [1] *If (X, \mathbb{T}, α) admits a (v, w) -cscK metric, then $\mathbf{M}_{v,w}$ is coercive relative to $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e. $\mathbf{M}_{v,w}$ is invariant under the action of $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$ on $\mathcal{K}_\alpha^{\mathbb{T}}(X)$ and there exist constants $\Lambda > 0, C$ such that for any $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha^{\mathbb{T}}(X)$*

$$\mathbf{M}_{v,w}(\omega) \geq \Lambda \inf_{\sigma \in \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}} \mathbf{J}(\sigma^*(\omega)) - C.$$

In [29, Thm. 5], it is shown that $\mathbf{M}_{v,w}$ admits a Chen–Tian decomposition as the sum of energy and entropy parts:

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_{v,w}(\omega_\varphi) = \int_X \log \left(\frac{v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]}}{\omega_0^{[n]}} \right) v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} - 2\mathbf{I}_v^{\text{Ric}(\omega_0)}(\varphi) + \mathbf{I}_w(\varphi) \\ - \int_X \log(v(\mu_0)) v(\mu_0) \omega_0^{[n]}, \end{aligned}$$

where the functional $\mathbf{I}_w : \mathcal{H}_{\omega_0}^{\mathbb{T}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined in (12) and for a fixed \mathbb{T} -invariant closed $(1, 1)$ -form ρ on X with momentum $\mu_\rho : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$, the functional $\mathbf{I}_v^\rho : \mathcal{H}_{\omega_0}^{\mathbb{T}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$(d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v^\rho)(\dot{\varphi}) := \int_X \dot{\varphi} \left(v(\mu_\varphi) \rho \wedge \omega_\varphi^{[n-1]} + \langle (dv)(\mu_\varphi), \mu_\rho \rangle \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \right), \quad \mathbf{I}_v^\rho(0) = 0.$$

In order to link $\mathbf{M}_{v,\tilde{v}}$ with the weighted Mabuchi energy introduced in [26] (see Definition 18 and the second identity in the proof of Lemma 20 in that reference) we show the following

Lemma 2. *On a Fano manifold $(X, \mathbb{T}, \alpha = 2\pi c_1(X))$, the weighted Mabuchi energy $\mathbf{M}_{v,\tilde{v}}$ corresponding to v -solitons has the following equivalent expression*

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{M}_{v,\tilde{v}}(\omega_\varphi) = \int_X \log \left(\frac{v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]}}{e^{h_0} \omega_0^{[n]}} \right) v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} - \int_X \log(e^{-h_0} v(\mu_0)) v(\mu_0) \omega_0^{[n]} \\ + 2 \left(\int_X \varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} - \mathbf{I}_v(\varphi) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where h_0 is a Ricci potential of ω_0 .

Proof. To simplify notation, we index by φ the geometric quantities depending on the Kähler structure ω_φ . We fix a basis of \mathbb{S}^1 generators $\{\xi_j\}_{j=1,\dots,\ell}$ of \mathfrak{t} . Using the relations $\text{Ric}(\omega_0) = \omega_0 + \frac{1}{2}dd^c h_0$, we have that the momentum map with respect to $\text{Ric}(\omega_0)$ is

$$\mu_{\text{Ric}(\omega_0)}^\zeta = \mu_0^\zeta - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_{J\zeta}h_0, \quad \zeta \in \mathfrak{t}.$$

We further normalize h_0 by $\int_X e^{h_0}\omega_0^{[n]} = 1$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} (d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v^{\text{Ric}(\omega_0)})(\dot{\varphi}) &= \int_X \dot{\varphi} \left(v(\mu_\varphi) \text{Ric}(\omega_0) \wedge \omega_\varphi^{[n-1]} + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} v_{,j}(\mu_\varphi) \mu_{\text{Ric}(\omega_0)}^{\xi_j} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_X \dot{\varphi} \left(-v(\mu_\varphi) \Delta_\varphi(h_0) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} v_{,j}(\mu_\varphi) (\mathcal{L}_{J\xi_j} h_0) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \right) + (d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v^{\omega_0})(\dot{\varphi}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_X \dot{\varphi} \left(-\langle d(v(\mu_\varphi)), dh_0 \rangle_{\omega_\varphi} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} v_{,j}(\mu_\varphi) (\mathcal{L}_{J\xi_j} h_0) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_X \langle dh_0, d\dot{\varphi} \rangle_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} + (d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v^{\omega_0})(\dot{\varphi}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_X \dot{\varphi} \left(-\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} v_{,j}(\mu_\varphi) \langle d\mu_\varphi^{\xi_j}, dh_0 \rangle_{\omega_\varphi} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} v_{,j}(\mu_\varphi) (\mathcal{L}_{J\xi_j} h_0) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_X \langle dh_0, d\dot{\varphi} \rangle_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} + (d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v^{\omega_0})(\dot{\varphi}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_X \langle dh_0, d\dot{\varphi} \rangle_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} + (d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v^{\omega_0})(\dot{\varphi}), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the identity $\langle d\mu_\varphi^{\xi_j}, dh_0 \rangle_{\omega_\varphi} = -\mathcal{L}_{J\xi_j} h_0$. On the other hand, noting that

$$(18) \quad d_\varphi[v(\mu_\varphi)](\dot{\varphi}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} v_{,i}(\mu_\varphi) (d^c \dot{\varphi})(\xi_i) = \langle d[v(\mu_\varphi)], d\dot{\varphi} \rangle_\varphi,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\varphi \left[\int_X h_0 v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \right] &= \int_X h_0 \langle d[v(\mu_\varphi)], d\dot{\varphi} \rangle_\varphi \omega_\varphi^{[n]} - \int_X h_0 v(\mu_\varphi) \Delta_\varphi(\dot{\varphi}) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \\ &= - \int_X \langle dh_0, d\dot{\varphi} \rangle_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(19) \quad \mathbf{I}_v^{\text{Ric}(\omega_0)}(\varphi) = \mathbf{I}_v^{\omega_0}(\varphi) + \frac{1}{2} \int_X h_0 v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} - \frac{1}{2} \int_X h_0 v(\mu_0) \omega_0^{[n]}.$$

The last integral in the RHS arises from the normalization $\mathbf{I}_v^{\text{Ric}(\omega_0)}(0) = \mathbf{I}_v^{\omega_0}(0) = 0$. Using $\mu_0^\zeta = \mu_\varphi^\zeta + \mathcal{L}_{J\zeta}\varphi$ for $\zeta \in \mathfrak{t}$, we compute further

$$\begin{aligned} (d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v^{\omega_0})(\dot{\varphi}) &= \int_X \dot{\varphi} \left(v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_0 \wedge \omega_\varphi^{[n-1]} + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} v_{,j}(\mu_\varphi) \mu_{\omega_0}^{\xi_j} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \right) \\ &= \int_X \dot{\varphi} \left(v(\mu_\varphi) (\omega_\varphi - dd^c \varphi) \wedge \omega_\varphi^{[n-1]} + \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} v_{,j}(\mu_\varphi) (\mu_\varphi^{\xi_j} + \mathcal{L}_{J\xi_j} \varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating by parts further leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
(d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v^{\omega_0})(\dot{\varphi}) &= n(d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v)(\dot{\varphi}) + \int_X \dot{\varphi} \langle d(v(\mu_\varphi)), d\varphi \rangle_\varphi \omega_\varphi^{[n]} + \int_X \langle d\dot{\varphi}, d\varphi \rangle_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \\
&\quad + \int_X \dot{\varphi} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} v_{,j}(\mu_\varphi) (\mu_\varphi^{\xi_j} + \mathcal{L}_{J\xi_j} \varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \\
&= n(d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v)(\dot{\varphi}) - \int_X \dot{\varphi} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} v_{,j}(\mu_\varphi) (\mathcal{L}_{J\xi_j} \varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} + \int_X \langle d\dot{\varphi}, d\varphi \rangle_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \\
&\quad + \int_X \dot{\varphi} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} v_{,j}(\mu_\varphi) (\mu_\varphi^{\xi_j} + \mathcal{L}_{J\xi_j} \varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \\
&= n(d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v)(\dot{\varphi}) + \int_X \langle d\dot{\varphi}, d\varphi \rangle_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} + \int_X \dot{\varphi} \langle (dv)(\mu_\varphi), \mu_\varphi \rangle \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \\
&= n(d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v)(\dot{\varphi}) + \int_X \langle d\dot{\varphi}, d\varphi \rangle_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} + \int_X \dot{\varphi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \tilde{v}(\mu_\varphi) - nv(\mu_\varphi) \right) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \\
&= \int_X \langle d\dot{\varphi}, d\varphi \rangle_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} + \frac{1}{2} (d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_{\tilde{v}})(\dot{\varphi}).
\end{aligned}$$

By (18) we have

$$\int_X \langle d\dot{\varphi}, d\varphi \rangle_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} = -d_\varphi \left[\int_X \varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \right] + (d_\varphi \mathbf{I}_v)(\dot{\varphi}),$$

and hence

$$(20) \quad \mathbf{I}_v^{\omega_0}(\varphi) = \mathbf{I}_v(\dot{\varphi}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}_{\tilde{v}}(\varphi) - \int_X \varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]}.$$

Substituting (19) and (20) in (16) we obtain the formula (17). \square

Using Lemma 2, we obtain

Lemma 3. *Let $(X, \mathbb{T}, \alpha = 2\pi c_1(X))$ be a Fano manifold and $v > 0$ a smooth positive weight function on P_X . Then the weighted Ding functional \mathbf{D}_v is related to the weighted Mabuchi energy $\mathbf{M}_{v, \tilde{v}}$ by*

$$\mathbf{M}_{v, \tilde{v}}(\omega_\varphi) = 2 \text{vol}_v(X) \mathbf{D}_v(\omega_\varphi) - \int_X \dot{h}_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} + \int_X \dot{h}_0 v(\mu_0) \omega_0^{[n]}.$$

Proof. Using the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
dd^c \log \left(\frac{v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^m}{\omega_0^m} \right) &= dd^c \log v(\mu_\varphi) + 2(\text{Ric}(\omega_0) - \text{Ric}(\omega_\varphi)) \\
\text{Ric}(\omega_0) - \text{Ric}(\omega_\varphi) &= -dd^c \left(\varphi + \frac{1}{2} (h_\varphi - h_0) \right),
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$(21) \quad \log \left(\frac{v(\mu_\varphi) \omega_\varphi^{[n]}}{\omega_0^{[n]}} \right) = \log v(\mu_\varphi) - h_\varphi + h_0 - 2\varphi + C(\varphi) / \text{vol}_v(X),$$

where $C(\varphi)$ is a constant depending on φ to be determined below. Integrating both sides with respect to the measure $v(\mu_\varphi)\omega_\varphi^{[n]}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} C(\varphi) &= \int_X \log \left(\frac{v(\mu_\varphi)\omega_\varphi^{[n]}}{\omega_0^{[n]}} \right) v(\mu_\varphi)\omega_\varphi^{[n]} + \int_X h_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi)\omega_\varphi^{[n]} - \int_X h_0 v(\mu_\varphi)\omega_\varphi^{[n]} \\ &\quad + 2 \int_X \varphi v(\mu_\varphi)\omega_\varphi^{[n]} - \int_X \log v(\mu_0)v(\mu_0)\omega_0^{[n]}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 we thus have

$$C(\varphi) = (\mathbf{M}_{v,\tilde{v}} + 2\mathbf{I}_v)(\varphi) + \int_X h_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi)\omega_\varphi^{[n]} - \int_X h_0 v(\mu_0)\omega_0^{[n]}.$$

Substituting back in (21) gives

$$-h_\varphi + \frac{1}{\text{vol}_v(X)} \left((\mathbf{M}_{v,\tilde{v}} + 2\mathbf{I}_v)(\varphi) + \int_X h_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi)\omega_\varphi^{[n]} - \int_X h_0 v(\mu_0)\omega_0^{[n]} \right) = \log \left(\frac{\omega_\varphi^{[n]}}{e^{-2\varphi+h_0}\omega_0^{[n]}} \right).$$

Exponentiating and integrating the both sides with respect to the measure $\omega_\varphi^{[n]}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_X e^{h_\varphi}\omega_\varphi^{[n]} \right) \exp \left(-\frac{1}{\text{vol}_v(X)} \left((\mathbf{M}_{v,\tilde{v}} + 2\mathbf{I}_v)(\varphi) + \int_X h_\varphi v(\mu_\varphi)\omega_\varphi^{[n]} - \int_X h_0 v(\mu_0)\omega_0^{[n]} \right) \right) \\ &= \int_X e^{-2\varphi+h_0}\omega_0^{[n]}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the log of both sides and comparing with the Definition 3, we obtain the claim. \square

The above link between $\mathbf{M}_{v,\tilde{v}}$ and \mathbf{D}_v is the key for the following

Theorem 4. [26, Thm.30] *On a smooth Fano manifold (X, \mathbb{T}) with Kähler class $\alpha = 2\pi c_1(X)$, the weighted Mabuchi energy $\mathbf{M}_{v,\tilde{v}}$ is coercive with respect to $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$ iff the weighted Ding functional \mathbf{D}_v is coercive with respect to $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$.*

4. PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

4.1. Mabuchi's observation for v -solitons. Let $\omega \in 2\pi c_1(X)$ be a \mathbb{T} -invariant Kähler metric and $\mathfrak{t}_\omega := \{\mu_\omega^\zeta \mid \zeta \in \mathfrak{t}\}$ be the space of canonically normalized Killing potentials satisfying $\int_X \mu_\omega^\zeta e^{h_\omega} \omega^{[n]} = 0$, where h_ω is a \mathbb{T} -invariant ω -relative Ricci potential, i.e.

$$\text{Ric}(\omega) - \omega = \frac{1}{2} dd^c h_\omega.$$

Taking trace w.r.t. ω and interior product with ζ in the above relation gives

$$\text{Scal}(\omega) - 2n = -\Delta_\omega h_\omega, \quad \Delta_\omega \mu_\omega^\zeta - 2\mu_\omega^\zeta - \langle dh_\omega, d\mu_\omega^\zeta \rangle_\omega = 0.$$

Notice that the second equality reads as $\mathfrak{t}_\omega \subset \ker(\Delta_{e^{h_\omega}} - 2)$. Taking the L_2 -product of the first relation with μ_ω^ζ , integrating by parts and using the second identity gives

$$(22) \quad \int_X (\text{Scal}(\omega) - 2(n+1)) \mu_\omega^\zeta \omega^{[n]} = 0.$$

As we also have $\int_X \mu_\omega^\zeta e^{h_\omega} \omega^{[n]} = 0$ by virtue of the definition of \mathfrak{t}_ω , we derive the following

Lemma 4. *Suppose \mathring{h}_ω is a \mathbb{T} -invariant ω -relative Ricci potential normalized by $\int_X e^{\mathring{h}_\omega} \omega^{[n]} = \int_X \omega^{[n]}$. Then the L_2 -orthogonal projection $\text{proj}_\omega(e^{\mathring{h}_\omega})$ of $e^{\mathring{h}_\omega}$ to the space \mathfrak{t}_ω of Killing potentials of elements in \mathfrak{t} is given by*

$$\text{proj}_\omega(e^{\mathring{h}_\omega}) = (n+1) - \frac{1}{2} \ell_{\text{ext}}(\mu_\omega),$$

where ℓ_{ext} is the extremal affine-linear function of $(X, \mathbb{T}, 2\pi c_1(X))$.

Proof. As $\text{proj}_\omega(\text{Scal}(\omega)) = \ell_{\text{ext}}(\mu_\omega)$ and $\text{proj}_\omega(e^{h_\omega}) = \lambda \text{proj}_\omega((n+1) - \frac{1}{2}\text{Scal}(\omega))$ by the orthogonality to $\mathring{\mathfrak{k}}_\omega$ established above, the claim follows by the normalization of \mathring{h}_ω . \square

In particular, if v is affine linear, i.e. if ω is a Mabuchi soliton, then $v = (n+1) - \frac{1}{2}\ell_{\text{ext}}$ is the corresponding positive weight function.

4.2. Nakamura's argument for v -solitons. We first extend [36, Lemma 2.3] for an arbitrary weight function v .

Lemma 5. *Let v be a positive smooth weight function on P_X such that*

$$\text{vol}_v(X) := \int_X v(\mu_\omega) \omega^{[n]} = \text{vol}(X).$$

Then, for any \mathbb{T} -invariant Kähler metric $\omega \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha^\mathbb{T}(X)$ we have

$$(23) \quad \mathbf{M}_{1,2[(n+1)-v]}(\omega) = \int_X \mathring{h}_{\omega_0} \omega_0^{[n]} - \int_X \mathring{h}_\omega \omega^{[n]} + 2\text{vol}(X) \mathbf{D}_v(\omega).$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2\text{vol}(X)} \mathbf{M}_{1,2[(n+1)-v]}(\omega) \geq \mathbf{D}_v(\omega) + C$$

where C is a constant depending only on X and v .

Proof. We shall use the identification $\mathcal{H}_{\omega_0}^\mathbb{T}(X)/\mathbb{R} \cong \mathcal{K}_\alpha^\mathbb{T}(X)$ and for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}_{\omega_0}^\mathbb{T}(X)$ we use the under-script φ to denote the geometric quantities with respect to the Kähler metric ω_φ . In the computations below, we also let

$$w := 2[(n+1) - v].$$

Using the very definition of the $(1, w)$ -Mabuchi energy in [29], we have

$$\begin{aligned} (d_{\omega_\varphi} \mathbf{M}_{1,w})(\dot{\varphi}) &= - \int_X (\text{Scal}(\omega_\varphi) - w(\mu_{\omega_\varphi})) \dot{\varphi} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \\ &= - \int_X (-\Delta_{\omega_\varphi} h_{\omega_\varphi} + 2n - w(\mu_{\omega_\varphi})) \dot{\varphi} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \\ &= \int_X (h_{\omega_\varphi} \Delta_{\omega_\varphi} \dot{\varphi} + (w(\mu_{\omega_\varphi}) - 2n) \dot{\varphi}) \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \\ &= -d_{\omega_\varphi} \left(\int_X h_{\omega_\varphi} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \right) (\dot{\varphi}) + \int_X \mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} + \int_X (w(\mu_{\omega_\varphi}) - 2n) \dot{\varphi} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \end{aligned}$$

where we used that $\text{Scal}(\omega_\varphi) - 2n = -\Delta_{\omega_\varphi} h_{\omega_\varphi}$. We further assume that the Ricci potential is normalized, i.e. $h_{\omega_\varphi} = \mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi}$, see (2). We then have

$$\mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi} = \mathring{h}_{\omega_0} - 2\varphi - \log \left(\frac{\omega_\varphi^m}{\omega_0^m} \right) - \log \int_X e^{h_{\omega_0} - 2\varphi} \frac{\omega_0^{[n]}}{\text{vol}(X)}.$$

The variation with respect to φ of both sides of the above equation gives

$$(d_{\omega_\varphi} \mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi})(\dot{\varphi}) = (\Delta_{\omega_\varphi} - 2) \left(\dot{\varphi} - \int_X \dot{\varphi} e^{\mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi}} \frac{\omega_\varphi^{[n]}}{\text{vol}(X)} \right).$$

It follows that for $h_{\omega_\varphi} = \mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi}$

$$\int_X \mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} = 2 \int_X \dot{\varphi} \left(e^{\mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi}} - 1 \right) \omega_\varphi^{[n]}.$$

Substituting back gives

$$(d_{\omega_\varphi} \mathbf{M}_{1,w})(\dot{\varphi}) = -d_{\omega_\varphi} \left(\int_X \mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \right) (\dot{\varphi}) + \int_X \dot{\varphi} \left(2e^{\mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi}} + w(\mu_{\omega_\varphi}) - 2(n+1) \right) \omega_\varphi^{[n]}.$$

As the derivative of the v -Ding functional is given by (13), and using that $v := \frac{1}{2}(2(n+1) - w)$ satisfies $\text{vol}_v(X) = \text{vol}(X)$, we get

$$(d_{\omega_\varphi} \mathbf{M}_{1,w})(\dot{\varphi}) = -d_{\omega_\varphi} \left(\int_X \mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} \right) (\dot{\varphi}) + 2\text{vol}(X)(d_{\omega_\varphi} \mathbf{D}_v)(\dot{\varphi}).$$

Using that $\mathbf{M}_{1,w}(\omega_0) = 0 = \mathbf{D}_v(\omega_0)$, we thus obtain (23). Using $e^x > x + 1$ we can write

$$\int_X \mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} + 1 \leq \int_X e^{\mathring{h}_{\omega_\varphi}} \omega_\varphi^{[n]} = \text{vol}(X).$$

Letting $C := \left(\frac{1}{\text{vol}(X)} \int_X \mathring{h}_{\omega_0} \omega_0^{[n]} \right) - 1$, we obtain the claimed inequality. \square

The following is a straightforward generalization for an arbitrary weight function v of an observation originally made by Nakamura for Mabuchi solitons [33] (see also [36] for the more general σ -soliton case):

Theorem 5. *Let v be a smooth positive weight function on P_X such that $\text{vol}_v(X) = \text{vol}(X)$. If $(X, 2\pi c_1(X))$ admits a v -soliton then it also admits $(1, 2[(n+1) - v])$ -cscK metric. In particular, the existence of a Mabuchi soliton implies the existence of a Calabi extremal metric ω_E in $2\pi c_1(X)$ whose scalar curvature satisfies $\text{Scal}(\omega_E) < 2(n+1)$.*

Proof. We let again $w := 2[(n+1) - v]$. If $(X, 2\pi c_1(X))$ admits a v -soliton, then by [26] \mathbf{D}_v is coersive with respect to $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$, and so it is $\mathbf{M}_{1,w}$ by Lemma 5, where we used that both \mathbf{D}_v and $\mathbf{M}_{1,w}$ are $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$ -invariant (see Lemma 1 and (23)). Now, we can conclude by using He's extension [27] of Chen–Cheng's result [11], which holds for the $(1, w)$ -cscK problem as $\text{Fut}_{1,w} = 0$ and the term $w(\mu_{\omega_\varphi})$ stays $C^0(X)$ -bounded as w is a fixed smooth function on P_X . \square

4.3. The converse. We provide a converse of Theorem 5, as a consequence the YTD correspondence established in [26].

Theorem 6. *Let (X, \mathbb{T}) be a smooth Fano manifold and v a smooth positive weight function on P_X such that $\text{vol}_v(X) = \text{vol}(X)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) (X, \mathbb{T}) admits a $(1, 2[(n+1) - v])$ -cscK metric in $2\pi c_1(X)$;
- (ii) $(X, K_X^{-1}, \mathbb{T})$ is uniformly $(1, 2[(n+1) - v])$ - K -stable relative to $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$, in the sense of Lahdili [29].
- (iii) $(X, K_X^{-1}, \mathbb{T})$ is uniformly v -Ding stable relative to $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$, in the sense of Han–Li [26].
- (iv) $(X, K_X^{-1}, \mathbb{T})$ is uniformly v -Ding stable relative to $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$ on special $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$ -equivariant test configurations.
- (v) (X, \mathbb{T}) admits a v -soliton in $2\pi c_1(X)$.

Proof. The implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is established in [1, Cor.1]. As a matter of fact, in this reference and in [29] the authors consider the class of *smooth* $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$ -equivariant test configurations with reduced central fibre in order to define the asymptotic slope $\mathbf{M}_{v,w}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ of the weighted Mabuchi energy $\mathbf{M}_{v,w}$. However, in the case $v = 1$, which is of interest here, we have that $\mathbf{M}_{1,w}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = \mathbf{M}_{1,2n}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) + \mathbf{I}_{2n-w}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ where the quantities at the RHS are well-defined for arbitrary $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$ -equivariant test configurations: $\mathbf{M}_{1,2n}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ is computed in [8, 19, 39] whereas the computation of $\mathbf{I}_{2n-w}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ for general test configuration appears in see [26] (see also [45]). Thus, the proof of Cor. 1 in [1] extends to yield the desired result.

Below we outline (ii) \Rightarrow (iv), noting that (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv) \Leftrightarrow (v) is established in [26]. By (23), for any $\mathbb{T}_\mathbb{C}$ -equivariant test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$,

$$\mathbf{M}_{1,2[(n+1)-v]}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) - 2\text{vol}(X)\mathbf{D}_v^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = \mathbf{M}_{1,2n}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) - 2\text{vol}(X)\mathbf{D}_1^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}).$$

By [4, Theorem 1.3] (which computes $\mathbf{D}_1^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$) and [8, Theorem A] or [39, Theorem 5.1] (which gives $\mathbf{M}_{1,2n}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$), if $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ is a *special* test configuration, we have the equality

$$\mathbf{M}_{1,2n}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = 2\text{vol}(X)\mathbf{D}_1^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}),$$

which yields $\mathbf{D}_v^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{2\text{vol}(X)}\mathbf{M}_{1,2(n+1)-v}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$, hence the validity of (ii) \Rightarrow (iv).

Finally, Theorem 5 gives (v) \Rightarrow (i). \square

The above result yields

Corollary 2. *Suppose (X, \mathbb{T}) is a smooth Fano manifold for which the extremal Futaki–Mabuchi affine-linear function ℓ_{ext} satisfies on P_X*

$$\ell_{\text{ext}} < 2(n+1).$$

Then X admits an extremal Kähler metric in $2\pi c_1(X)$ iff $(X, K_X^{-1}, \mathbb{T})$ is uniform relatively K -stable with respect to special $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -equivariant test configurations in the sense of Székelyhidi [40].

Proof. Hisamoto [28] showed that $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -relative Donaldson–Futaki invariant of a special $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -equivariant test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ in the sense of [40] equals $\mathbf{D}_{((n+1)-\frac{1}{2}\ell_{\text{ext}})}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ (and also to $\mathbf{M}_{1,\ell_{\text{ext}}}^{\text{NA}}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ by the arguments in Theorem 6). The results then follows from Theorem 6. \square

Remark 2. The normalization condition for v is necessary for having $\text{Fut}_{1,2[(n+1)-v]} \equiv 0$, see [1, 29].

Corollary 3. *Suppose X is a smooth Fano variety. Then X admits a Mabuchi soliton $\omega_M \in 2\pi c_1(X)$ iff X admits an extremal Kähler metric $\omega_E \in 2\pi c_1(X)$ such that*

$$\text{Scal}(\omega_E) < 2(n+1).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Apostolov, S. Jubert and A. Lahdili, *Weighted K -stability and coercivity with applications to extremal Kähler and Sasaki metrics*, to appear in *Geometry & Topology*, arXiv:2104.09709. pages 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13
- [2] M.F. Atiyah, *Convexity and commuting hamiltonians*, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **14** (1982) 1–15. pages 3
- [3] Th. Aubin, *Réduction du cas positif de l'équation de Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes à la démonstration d'une inégalité*, *J. Funct. Anal.* **57** (1984), 143–153. pages 6
- [4] R. Berman, *K -polystability of Q -Fano varieties admitting Kähler-Einstein metrics*, *Invent. math.* **203** (2016), 973–1025. pages 1, 13
- [5] R. Berman, S. Boucksom and M. Jonsson, *A variational approach to the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **34** (2021), 605–652. pages 1
- [6] R. Berman, S. Boucksom, Ph. Eyssidieux, V. Guedj and M. Zeriahi, *Kähler-Einstein metrics and the Kähler-Ricci flow on log-Fano varieties*. *Journal für reine und ang. Math.* **751** (2019), 27–89. pages
- [7] R. Berman, D. Witt-Nystrom, *Complex optimal transport and the pluripotential theory of Kähler-Ricci solitons*, arXiv:1401.8264. pages 2, 3, 5
- [8] S. Boucksom, T. Hisamoto, M. Jonsson, *Uniform K -stability and asymptotics of energy functionals in Kähler geometry*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **21** (2019), 2905–2994. pages 12, 13
- [9] E. Calabi, *On Kähler manifolds with vanishing canonical class*, in *Algebraic Geometry and Topology : A symposium in honour of Lefschetz*, Princeton University Press (1955), 78–89. pages 1
- [10] H.-D. Cao, G. Tian and X. Zhu, *Kähler-Ricci solitons on compact complex manifolds with $c_1(M) > 0$* , *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **15** (2005), 697–719. pages 6
- [11] X.X. Chen and J. Cheng, *On the constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics (II) – Existence results*, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **34** (2021), 937–1009. pages 2, 12
- [12] X.X.Chen, S. Donaldson and S. Sun, *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, I: approximation of metrics with cone singularities*. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **28** (2015), 183–197. pages 1
- [13] X.X.Chen, S. Donaldson and S. Sun, *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, II: limits with cone angle less than 2π* . *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **28** (2015), 199–234. pages 1

- [14] X.X.Chen, S. Donaldson and S. Sun, *Kähler–Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds, III: limits as cone angle approaches 2π and completion of the main proof.* J. Am. Math. Soc. **28** (2015), 235–278. pages 1
- [15] X.X. Chen, S. Sun and B. Wang, *Kähler–Ricci flow, Kähler–Einstein metric, and K-stability*, Geometry and Topology **22** (2018), 3145–3173. pages 1
- [16] T. Collins and G. Székelyhidi, *Sasaki–Einstein metrics and K-stability*, Geom. Topol. **23** (2019), 1339–1413. pages 2
- [17] T. Darvas and Y. A. Rubinstein, *Tian’s properness conjectures and Finsler geometry of the space of Kähler metrics*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (2017), 347–387. pages 6
- [18] V. Datar and G. Székelyhidi, *Kähler–Einstein metrics along the smooth continuity method.* Geom. Funct. Anal. **26** (2016), 975–1010. pages 2
- [19] W.Y. Ding and G. Tian, *Kähler–Einstein metrics and the generalized Futaki invariant*, Invent. Math. **110** (1992), 315–335. pages 12
- [20] S. Donaldson, *Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties.* J. Differential Geom. **62** (2002), 289–349. pages 1
- [21] J. Duistermaat and G. J. Heckman, *On the variation in the cohomology of the symplectic form of the reduced phase space*, Invent. Math. **69** (1982), 259–268. pages 4
- [22] A. Futaki, *An obstruction to the existence of Einstein Kähler metrics*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983) 437–443. pages 1
- [23] A. Futaki and T. Mabuchi *Bilinear forms and extremal Kähler vector fields associated with Kähler classes*, Math. Ann. **301** (1995) 199–210. pages 2, 4
- [24] P. Gauduchon, *Calabi’s extremal metrics: An elementary introduction*, notes available online. pages 3
- [25] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Convexity properties of the moment mapping*, Invent. Math. **67** (1982), 491–513. pages 3
- [26] J. Han, C. Li, *On the Yau–Tian–Donaldson conjecture for generalized Kähler–Ricci soliton equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **76** (2023), 1793–1867. pages 2, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12
- [27] W. He, *On Calabi’s extremal metric and properness*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **372** (2019), 5595–5619. pages 2, 12
- [28] T. Hisamoto, *Mabuchi’s soliton metric and relative D-stability*, Amer. J. Math. **145**(2023), 765–806. pages 2, 13
- [29] A. Lahdili, *Kähler metrics with constant weighted scalar curvature and weighted K-stability*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **119** (2019), 1065–1114. pages 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13
- [30] C. Li, *G-uniform stability and Kähler–Einstein metrics on singular Fano varieties*, Invent. Math. **227** (2022), 661–744. pages 1
- [31] Y. Li, Z. Li, and F. Wang, *Weighted K-stability of \mathbb{Q} -Fano spherical varieties*, arXiv:2208.02708. pages
- [32] A. Lichnerowicz, *Géométrie des groupes de transformation*, Travaux et Recherches Mathématiques 3, Dunod (1958). pages 1
- [33] T. Mabuchi, *Test configurations, stabilities and canonical Kähler metrics-complex geometry by the energy method*, SpringerBriefs Math. Springer, Singapore (2021). pages 1, 2, 12
- [34] D. Martelli, J. Sparks and S.-T. Yau, *Sasaki–Einstein manifolds and volume minimisation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **280** (2008), 611–673. pages 1
- [35] Y. Matsushima, *Sur la structure du groupe d’homéomorphismes analytiques d’une certaine variété kählérienne*, Nagoya Math. J. **11** (1957), 145–150. pages 1
- [36] Y. Nakagawa and S. Nakamura, *Modified extremal Kähler metrics and multiplier Hermitian–Einstein metrics*, arXiv:2405.05604. pages 2, 11, 12
- [37] Y. Nitta and S. Saito, *Examples of relatively Ding unstable Calabi dream manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **152** (2024) 553–558. pages 2
- [38] Y. Nitta, S. Saito and N. Yotsutani, *Relative Ding and K-stability of toric Fano manifolds in low dimensions*, Eur. J. Math. **9** (2023) article number 29. pages 2
- [39] Z. Sjöström Dyrefelt, *K-semistability of cscK manifolds with transcendental cohomology class.* Journal Geom. Anal., **28** (2018), 2927–2960. pages 12, 13
- [40] G. Székelyhidi, *An introduction to extremal Kähler metrics*, Grad. Stud. Math., **152**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (2014). pages 13
- [41] G. Tian, *Kähler–Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature.* Inventiones Math. **130** (1997), 1–37. pages 1
- [42] G. Tian, *K-stability and Kähler–Einstein metrics.* Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **68** (2015), 1085–1156; Corrigendum, **68** (2015), 2082–2083. pages 1
- [43] G. Tian and X. Zhu, *Uniqueness of Kähler–Ricci solitons*, Acta Math. **184** (2000), 271–305. pages 1
- [44] G. Tian and X. Zhu, *A new holomorphic invariant and uniqueness of Kähler–Ricci solitons*, Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2002) 297–325. pages 1, 2, 4

- [45] Y. Yao, *Mabuchi solitons and relative Ding stability of toric Fano varieties*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2022), 19790–19853. pages 12
- [46] S. T. Yau, *Open problems in Geometry*. In: Differential Geometry: Partial Differential Equations on Manifolds. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 54, pp. 1–28. AMS, Los Angeles, CA (1990). pages 1
- [47] K. Zhang, *A quantization proof of the uniform Yau–Tian–Donaldson conjecture*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (2023), published online. pages 1

V. APOSTOLOV, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DU QUÉBEC À MONTRÉAL, AND,
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Email address: `apostolov.vestislav@uqam.ca`

A. LAHDILI, DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DU QUÉBEC À MONTRÉAL

Email address: `lahdili.abdellah@gmail.com`

Y. NITTA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE DIVISION II, TOKYO UNIVERSITY
OF SCIENCE

Email address: `nitta@rs.tus.ac.jp`