

JETS AND PRINCIPAL COMPONENTS OF MONOMIAL IDEALS, AND VERY WELL-COVERED GRAPHS

FEDERICO GALETTO, NICHOLAS IAMMARINO, AND TERESA YU

ABSTRACT. Motivated by using combinatorics to study jets of monomial ideals, we extend a definition of jets from graphs to clutters. We offer some structural results on their vertex covers, and show an interesting connection between the cover ideal of the jets of a clutter and the symbolic powers of the cover ideal of the original clutter. We use this connection to prove that jets of very well-covered graphs are very well-covered. Next, we turn our attention to principal jets of monomial ideals, describing their primary decomposition and minimal generating sets. Finally, we give formulas to compute various algebraic invariants of principal jets of monomial ideals, including their Hilbert series, Betti numbers, multiplicity and regularity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The tangent bundle of a scheme X can be viewed as a collection of pairs (p, v) where p is a point of X and v is a tangent vector to X at p . A vector v is tangent to X at p if it satisfies some algebraic condition that can be expressed in terms of first-order differentials. As one knows from calculus, it is possible to improve upon a linear approximation by using higher order derivatives, and this is roughly the idea behind jet schemes. An s -jet of X can be thought of as a collection (p, v_1, \dots, v_s) where p is a point of X , v_1 is a tangent vector to X at p , and v_s is a “vector” providing an order s differential approximation of X compatible with lower order approximations p, v_1, \dots, v_{s-1} . The collection of all s -jets, denoted $\mathcal{J}_s(X)$, has a natural scheme structure and can therefore be studied with geometric tools. The concept of jets is attributed to C. Ehresmann [Ehr53] (see also [Olv95, Chapter 4]) and was first introduced in the context of differential geometry. J. Nash was among the proponents of jets as a tool to study singularities in algebraic geometry [Nas95]. Since then, jets have found applications to a range of topics from motivic integration to birational geometry [DL01, Mus01, Mus02, EM09].

If X is a nonsingular variety, then the jet schemes $\mathcal{J}_s(X)$ are nonsingular varieties (see [EM09, Corollary 2.11]). However, if X has singularities, then its jet schemes are often reducible (see [EM09, Example 2.13]). A general description for the components of jet schemes is unknown, but significant progress has been achieved for specific families of varieties. Thanks to the work of several authors [KS05a, KS05b, Yue07a, Doc13, DNS19], we have some understanding of jet

Date: June 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05E40; Secondary 14E18, 05C65, 05C69, 13F55.

Key words and phrases. jet, principal component, monomial ideal, graph, clutter, very well-covered, Hilbert series, Betti numbers.

F. Galetto was partially supported by the grant NSF DMS-2200844.

T. Yu was supported by the grant NSF DGE-2241144.

schemes for many determinantal varieties of generic and symmetric matrices. This includes knowing when the jet schemes are reducible, the number of components and their dimension, and possibly additional information such as the equations or the isomorphism class of these components as algebraic varieties. Another case where partial information is available is that of monomial schemes. R.A. Goward and K.E. Smith [GS06] show that the reduced scheme structure on the jets of monomial schemes is a monomial scheme, and they explicitly describe monomial generators for the ideals corresponding to these schemes. In addition, they identify the components of the jets of a monomial hypersurface.

Motivated by the work of Goward and Smith, F. Galetto, E. Helmick, and M. Walsh [GHW21] defined the notion of *jet graphs* in order to use combinatorics to further study jets of monomial ideals. The idea is the following: consider a graph G , and construct its edge ideal $I(G)$; the radical of the order s jets of $I(G)$ is the edge ideal of a graph $\mathcal{J}_s(G)$, the graph of s -jets of G . The minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{J}_s(G)$ correspond to the minimal primes of its edge ideal, which are also the minimal primes of the jets of the ideal $I(G)$. Thus, one can use combinatorial data (the vertex covers of a graph) to study components of the jet scheme of a monomial ideal. The three authors offer preliminary results on vertex covers of jet graphs [GHW21, Propositions 5.2 and 5.3], including a complete description for the vertex covers of the jets of a complete bipartite graph $K_{n,n}$ [GHW21, Theorem 5.5]. This last result provides evidence for their conjecture that the jets of very well-covered graphs are very well-covered [GHW21, Conjecture 6.5].

The first part of this manuscript builds upon and expands on the earlier work of Galetto, Helmick, and Walsh by:

- extending the definition of jets to clutters (i.e., simple hypergraphs) (§2);
- establishing structural results on vertex covers of jets of clutters (§3);
- showing an interesting connection between the cover ideals of the jets of a clutter and the symbolic powers of the cover ideal of the clutter (§4);
- and proving the conjecture on jets of very well-covered graphs (§5).

After we presented a preliminary version of this work, Tàì Huy Hà and Keri Sather-Wagstaff informed us of potential overlap with work of S.A. Seyed Fakhari [SF18]. Motivated by the study of ideals with linear resolutions whose (symbolic) powers also have linear resolutions, the author starts from a graph G and constructs, without any apparent awareness of the relation to jets, a family of graphs G_k which are in fact the jet graphs $\mathcal{J}_{k-1}(G)$. Where Seyed Fakhari shows that polarizing the k -th symbolic power of the cover ideal of G gives the cover ideal of G_k [SF18, Lemma 3.4], we independently prove Theorem 4.3, which achieves the same result for all clutters and establishes a bijection between the minimal generators of the two ideals. Moreover, Seyed Fakhari directly shows that when G is very well-covered so are the graphs G_k [SF18, Proposition 3.1(a)], thus also verifying the conjecture of Galetto, Helmick, and Walsh. Meanwhile, our Theorem 5.4 offers an independent proof of the conjecture based on a procedure that allows one to recover the vertex covers of the jets of an arbitrary graph (see Example 5.2). We believe that our article contains significant generalizations on Seyed Fakhari's work, and that it provides an interesting new perspective by uncovering a previously unknown connection between jets and symbolic powers.

Another object of interest concerning jet schemes is the so-called *principal component*. For a variety X , the principal component $\mathcal{P}_s(X)$ is the closure of the set

of jets supported over the smooth locus of X . When X is irreducible, the principal component $\mathcal{P}_s(X)$ is an irreducible component of the jet scheme $\mathcal{J}_s(X)$ of the same dimension as $\mathcal{J}_s(X)$ (see the discussion following [EM09, Corollary 2.11]). Moreover, the natural projection $\mathcal{J}_s(X) \rightarrow X$ restricts to a dominant map $\mathcal{P}_s(X) \rightarrow X$. Thus, $\mathcal{P}_s(X)$ is the “principal” component of $\mathcal{J}_s(X)$ in the sense that it covers a large and significant portion of the jet scheme. When X is the determinantal variety defined by the 2×2 minors of a generic matrix, T. Košir and B.A. Sethuraman proved that $\mathcal{J}_1(X)$ has only two components: the principal component $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ and a component isomorphic to an affine space [KS05b, Proposition 3.3]. In this case, S. Ghorpade, B. Jonov, and B.A. Sethuraman showed that Hilbert series of $\mathcal{P}_1(X)$ is the square of the Hilbert series of X [GJS14, Theorem 18]. Inspired by these results, the second part of our manuscript contains:

- a description for the principal components of monomial ideals (§6);
- and formulas to compute the Hilbert series and Betti numbers of the principal components of monomial ideals (§7).

More specifically, Theorem 6.7 describes the primary decomposition of the principal components of a squarefree monomial ideal in terms of the vertex covers of the corresponding clutter. Then, Corollary 6.8 gives a minimal generating set for the ideals of those principal components which is similar to Goward and Smith’s result for jets of monomial schemes. To compute Hilbert series of principal components of monomial ideals, we rely upon the connection between the Hilbert series of a squarefree monomial ideal and the f -vector of the simplicial complex associated to that ideal via Stanley–Reisner theory. Theorem 7.3 shows that f -vectors coming from principal components of monomial ideals depend linearly upon the f -vector coming from the original ideals. This shows that, although the simple squaring formula of Ghorpade, Jonov, and Sethuraman does not apply to monomial ideals, the Hilbert series of principal components can still be easily computed from the same invariant of the original ideal. We show a similar linear dependence also holds for the Betti numbers of principal components of monomial ideals in Theorem 7.6.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful for the feedback on this work that we received from many mathematicians, including Jack Huizenga, Tâi Huy Hà, Keri Sather-Wagstaff, Alexandra Seceleanu, Joseph Skelton, Ivan Soprunov, Zach Teitler, and Adam Van Tuyl. Part of this work began during the 2021 Michigan Research Experience for Graduates (MREG), which was partially supported by the University of Michigan Rackham Graduate School through its Faculty Allies for Diversity program.

2. BACKGROUND ON CLUTTERS AND JETS

In this section, we provide preliminaries on clutters and introduce their jets, which are the main combinatorial objects of study in this paper. We also provide background on edge ideals and ideals of covers for clutters. We refer the reader to [Vil15] for further background on these topics.

A *clutter* with vertex set X is a family of subsets of X , called edges, none of which is included in another. The set of vertices and edges of a clutter C are denoted $V(C)$ and $E(C)$, respectively. Clutters are also referred to as simple hypergraphs in the literature.

Let $\mathbb{k}[X]$ denote the ring of polynomials with variables given by the elements of X , and coefficients in the field \mathbb{k} . Given a clutter C with vertex set X , the *edge ideal* of C , denoted $I(C)$, is the ideal of $\mathbb{k}[X]$ generated by all monomials $x_1 \cdots x_r$ such that $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \in E(C)$; note that the given generating set is minimal. The assignment $C \mapsto I(C)$ establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the family of clutters and the family of squarefree monomial ideals. It is worth mentioning that squarefree monomial ideals are also in bijection with simplicial complexes, which constitutes the basis for Stanley–Reisner theory; we will make use of this connection in §7.

The notion of jets originates in geometry. For an introduction in the context of algebraic geometry, the reader may consult [EM09, §2]. We present a definition of jets for ideals in a polynomial ring. Our definition is compatible with the construction of jet schemes of an affine variety starting from its defining ideal.

Given a set X and $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{J}_s(X) := \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x_0, \dots, x_s\}$$

and define a ring homomorphism $\phi_s: \mathbb{k}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)][t]/\langle t^{s+1} \rangle$ by setting

$$\phi_s(x) := \sum_{j=0}^s x_j t^j$$

for every $x \in X$.

Definition 2.1. Let $I = \langle f_1, \dots, f_r \rangle$ be an ideal of $\mathbb{k}[X]$. The *ideal of s -jets of I* , denoted $\mathcal{J}_s(I)$, is the ideal of $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)]$ generated by the polynomials $f_{i,j}$ determined by the equalities

$$\phi_s(f_i) = \sum_{j=0}^s f_{i,j} t^j$$

for every $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$.

Example 2.2. Consider the ideal $I = \langle xy, yz \rangle$ of $\mathbb{k}[x, y, z]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_2(xy) &= (x_0 + x_1 t + x_2 t^2)(y_0 + y_1 t + y_2 t^2) \\ &= x_0 y_0 + (x_0 y_1 + x_1 y_0) t + (x_0 y_2 + x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_0) t^2, \\ \phi_2(yz) &= (y_0 + y_1 t + y_2 t^2)(z_0 + z_1 t + z_2 t^2) \\ &= y_0 z_0 + (y_0 z_1 + y_1 z_0) t + (y_0 z_2 + y_1 z_1 + y_2 z_0) t^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the ideals of first and second jets of I are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_1(I) &= \langle x_0 y_0, y_0 z_0, x_0 y_1 + x_1 y_0, y_0 z_1 + y_1 z_0 \rangle, \\ \mathcal{J}_2(I) &= \langle x_0 y_0, y_0 z_0, x_0 y_1 + x_1 y_0, y_0 z_1 + y_1 z_0, \\ &\quad x_0 y_2 + x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_0, y_0 z_2 + y_1 z_1 + y_2 z_0 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

△

The following theorem paraphrases a result of R.A. Goward and K. Smith [GS06, Theorem 2.1].

Theorem 2.3. If I is a squarefree monomial ideal in $\mathbb{k}[X]$, then, for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sqrt{\mathcal{J}_s(I)}$ is a squarefree monomial ideal of $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)]$. Moreover, the ideal $\sqrt{\mathcal{J}_s(I)}$ is

minimally generated by the monomials $x_{1,i_1} \cdots x_{r,i_r}$ such that $x_1 \cdots x_r$ is a minimal generator of I , and $i_1, \dots, i_r \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $i_1 + \cdots + i_r \leq s$.

Remark 2.4. With the notation of Theorem 2.3, applying the construction of Definition 2.1 to a minimal generator $x_1 \cdots x_r$ of I gives

$$\phi_s(x_1 \cdots x_r) = \sum_{j=0}^s \left(\sum_{i_1 + \cdots + i_r = j} x_{1,i_1} \cdots x_{r,i_r} \right) t^j.$$

Therefore, the minimal generators of $\sqrt{\mathcal{J}_s(I)}$ are exactly the terms of the polynomials in the generating set of $\mathcal{J}_s(I)$ described in Definition 2.1.

Given the correspondence between squarefree monomial ideals and clutters, one can associate a clutter to this radical of the ideal of s -jets. Generalizing the definition of jet graphs given in [GHW21, §2], we define the jets of a clutter as follows.

Definition 2.5. Let C be a clutter with vertex set X . For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the *clutter of s -jets of C* , denoted $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$, is the clutter with vertex set $\mathcal{J}_s(X)$ whose edge ideal is given by $\sqrt{\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))}$.

Example 2.6. Consider the graph G with vertex set $\{x, y, z\}$ whose edge ideal is given by $I(G) = \langle xy, yz \rangle$ (the same ideal as in Example 2.2). By Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{J}_1(I(G))} = \langle x_0y_0, y_0z_0, x_0y_1, x_1y_0, y_0z_1, y_1z_0 \rangle,$$

$$\sqrt{\mathcal{J}_2(I(G))} = \langle x_0y_0, y_0z_0, x_0y_1, x_1y_0, y_0z_1, y_1z_0, x_0y_2, x_1y_1, x_2y_0, y_0z_2, y_1z_1, y_2z_0 \rangle.$$

The graphs $G, \mathcal{J}_1(G)$, and $\mathcal{J}_2(G)$ are pictured in Figure 1. △




FIGURE 1. A path graph, and its first and second jets.

The following result describes the edges of a jet clutter, and is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.3.

Proposition 2.7. Let C be a clutter with vertex set X , and let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \subseteq X$ and $i_1, \dots, i_r \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\{x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{r,i_r}\}$ is an edge of the clutter $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$ if and only if $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ is an edge of C and $i_1 + \cdots + i_r \leq s$.

Let C be a clutter with vertex set X . A subset $W \subseteq X$ is a *vertex cover* of C if every edge of C contains at least one vertex of W ; if no proper subset of W is a vertex cover of C , then we say W is *minimal*. We recall some ideas from combinatorial commutative algebra that will be used throughout this work in relation to vertex

covers of a clutter. The *Alexander dual* of a clutter C with vertex set X , denoted C^\vee , is the clutter with the same vertex set whose edges are the minimal vertex covers of C . The edge ideal of C^\vee , denoted $I_c(C)$, is called the *ideal of covers* of C . The irredundant irreducible decomposition of $I_c(C)$ can be expressed in terms of the edges of C [Vil15, Theorem 6.3.39]; namely, we have

$$I_c(C) = \bigcap_{\{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \in E(C)} \langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle.$$

In addition to the ideal of covers $I_c(C)$, we will be interested in its symbolic powers. The symbolic powers of $I_c(C)$ have a concrete description that follows from [Vil15, Proposition 4.3.25] and the above decomposition of $I_c(C)$; namely, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad I_c(C)^{(k)} = \bigcap_{\{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \in E(C)} \langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle^k.$$

Similar to how monomials in $I_c(C)$ correspond to vertex covers of C , monomials in $I_c(C)^{(k)}$ have an interesting combinatorial interpretation. If $m \in I_c(C)^{(k)}$ is a monomial, then Equation (2.1) implies that, for every edge $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ of C , there are natural numbers i_1, \dots, i_r such that $i_1 + \dots + i_r \geq k$ and $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_r^{i_r}$ divides m . One can imagine a multiset W containing each vertex $x \in X$ a number of times equal to the exponent of x in m ; every edge of C intersects this multiset in at least k elements, i.e., the multiset “covers” each edge at least k times. Rather than working with multisets, we say a k -cover of C is the exponent vector of a monomial $I_c(C)^{(k)}$ (see [Vil15, Definition 13.2.5] and preceding discussion for more details). In particular, a *minimal k -cover* of C is the exponent vector of a minimal generator of $I_c(C)^{(k)}$.

A k -cover of C is called *reducible* if there exist positive integers k_1, k_2 with $k = k_1 + k_2$, and monomials $m_1 \in I_c(C)^{(k_1)}, m_2 \in I_c(C)^{(k_2)}$ such that $m = m_1 m_2$. An *irreducible k -cover* is one that is not reducible. The irreducible k -covers are the minimal generators of the symbolic Rees algebra of $I_c(C)$

$$R_s(I_c(C)) = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} I_c(C)^{(k)} t^k \subset \mathbb{k}[X][t]$$

considered as a \mathbb{k} -algebra (see [Vil15, Corollary 13.2.19]). This is a special case of the finite generation of symbolic Rees algebras of monomial ideals due to J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and N.V. Trung [HHT07].

3. VERTEX COVERS OF JETS

We use minimal vertex covers of a clutter C to describe certain minimal vertex covers of the jets of the clutter C , as well as certain primary components of the ideal of s -jets $\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))$.

We begin with a basic structural property that all minimal vertex covers of the jets of a clutter must satisfy.

Lemma 3.1. Let C be a clutter with vertex set X , and let W be a minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $x \in X$ and $x_i \in W$ for some $i \in \{0, \dots, s\}$, then $x_j \in W$ for every $j \in \{0, \dots, i\}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on s . For $s = 0$, the statement is trivial. Consider $s > 0$ and assume the statement is true for all minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{J}_{s-1}(C)$.

Let W be a minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$. We will prove that if $x_i \in W$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, then $x_{i-1} \in W$; this is equivalent to the statement of the lemma.

The set $W \cap \mathcal{J}_{s-1}(X)$ is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_{s-1}(C)$ since $E(\mathcal{J}_{s-1}(C)) \subseteq E(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$. Let $W' \subseteq W \cap \mathcal{J}_{s-1}(X)$ be a minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_{s-1}(C)$. If $x_i \in W'$, then $x_{i-1} \in W' \subseteq W$ by the inductive hypothesis. If $x_i \notin W'$, assume $x_{i-1} \notin W$. Every edge of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$ containing the vertex x_i has the form $\{x_i, y_{1,j_1}, \dots, y_{r,j_r}\}$, where $\{x, y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ is an edge of C and $i + j_1 + \dots + j_r \leq s$. Since $(i-1) + j_1 + \dots + j_r \leq s-1$, we deduce that $\{x_{i-1}, y_{1,j_1}, \dots, y_{r,j_r}\}$ is an edge of $\mathcal{J}_{s-1}(C)$. Because we assumed that $x_{i-1} \notin W$ and $W' \subseteq W$ is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_{s-1}(C)$, we must have $y_{k,j_k} \in W$ for some index k . Hence, the edge $\{x_i, y_{1,j_1}, \dots, y_{r,j_r}\}$ is covered by the vertex y_{k,j_k} . Therefore, $W \setminus \{x_i\}$ is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$, which contradicts the minimality of W . We conclude that $x_{i-1} \in W$. \square

Remark 3.2. The work of Goward and Smith includes a complete description of the minimal vertex covers of the jets of a clutter with a single edge [GS06, Theorem 2.2 (1)]. We note that the condition imposed by Lemma 3.1 is an implicit component of their description.

Recall that given a set X and $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we define

$$\mathcal{J}_s(X) := \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x_0, \dots, x_s\}.$$

The next result shows that applying this construction to a minimal vertex cover of a clutter C yields a minimal vertex cover of its jets, as well as describes certain primary components of the ideal of jets $\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))$. This generalizes the graph-theoretic statements of [GHW21, Proposition 5.3] and [Iam22, Theorem 2.7] to clutters.

Theorem 3.3. Let C be a clutter with vertex set X , and let W be a minimal vertex cover of C .

- (1) For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\mathcal{J}_s(W)$ is a minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$. Equivalently, for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the ideal $\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W) \rangle$ of $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)]$ is a minimal prime of $\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))$.
- (2) For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the ideal $\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W) \rangle$ of $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)]$ is a primary component of $\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))$.

Proof. (1) Fix $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider an edge $\{x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{r,i_r}\}$ of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$. By Proposition 2.7, $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ is an edge of C and $i_1 + \dots + i_r \leq s$. Since W is a vertex cover of C , we have $x_j \in W$ for some j . It follows that $x_{j,i_j} \in \mathcal{J}_s(W)$. Hence, $\mathcal{J}_s(W)$ is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$.

Next, we show that $\mathcal{J}_s(W)$ is minimal. Suppose that a subset $W' \subseteq \mathcal{J}_s(W)$ is also a vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$. Since W is a minimal vertex cover of C , for every $x \in W$, there is an edge e of C such that $e \cap W = \{x\}$. Writing $e = \{x, y_1, \dots, y_r\}$, we deduce that $\{x_s, y_{1,0}, \dots, y_{r,0}\}$ is an edge of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$ by Proposition 2.7. Since W' is a vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$ contained in $\mathcal{J}_s(W)$ and none of the vertices $y_{j,0}$ belong to $\mathcal{J}_s(W)$, we deduce that $x_s \in W'$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $x_i \in \mathcal{J}_s(W)$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, s\}$. In other words, $\mathcal{J}_s(W) \subseteq W'$. This implies that $W' = \mathcal{J}_s(W)$ and $\mathcal{J}_s(W)$ is minimal.

Knowing that $\mathcal{J}_s(W)$ is a minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$, it immediately follows that $\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W) \rangle$ is a minimal prime of $I(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$, the edge ideal of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$ [Vil15, Lemma 6.3.37]. By Definition 2.5, we have $I(\mathcal{J}_s(C)) = \sqrt{\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))}$. Therefore, $\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W) \rangle$ is

a minimal prime of $\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))$ by well-known results in commutative algebra (see, for example, [AM69, Propositions 1.14 and 4.6]).

(2) For the remainder of this proof, let $R_s = \mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)]$, $J_s = \mathcal{J}_s(I(C))$ and $\mathfrak{p}_s = \langle \mathcal{J}_s(W) \rangle$. Let $\psi_s: R_s \rightarrow R_{s, \mathfrak{p}_s}$ be the canonical map to the localization of R_s at the prime \mathfrak{p}_s . Since \mathfrak{p}_s is a minimal prime of J_s , the ideal $\mathfrak{q}_s = \psi_s^{-1}(J_s R_{s, \mathfrak{p}_s})$ is the \mathfrak{p}_s -primary component of J_s (see, for example, [Mat89, Theorem 6.8 (iii)]). Clearly, we have $\mathfrak{q}_s \subseteq \sqrt{\mathfrak{q}_s} = \mathfrak{p}_s$. We need to show that $\mathfrak{p}_s \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_s$.

The ideal \mathfrak{p}_s is generated by the variables x_0, \dots, x_s with $x \in W$. Fixing x , we show that $x_0, \dots, x_s \in \mathfrak{q}_s$ by induction on s . When $s = 0$, we have $x_0 \in \mathfrak{p}_0 = \mathfrak{q}_0$, since $J_0 \cong I(C)$ is a squarefree monomial ideal (hence radical) and $\mathfrak{p}_0 \cong \langle W \rangle$ is one of its minimal primes. Next, assume that for some $s \geq 0$, we have $x_0, \dots, x_s \in \mathfrak{q}_s$; we show that $x_0, \dots, x_s, x_{s+1} \in \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}$. The natural embedding of R_s into R_{s+1} sends \mathfrak{q}_s into \mathfrak{q}_{s+1} , so $x_0, \dots, x_s \in \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}$. Since W is a minimal vertex cover of C , there is an edge e of C such that $e \cap W = \{x\}$. Write $e = \{x, y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ and consider the minimal generator $xy_1 \cdots y_r$ of $I(C)$. As observed in Remark 2.4, the polynomial

$$f = \sum_{i+j_1+\dots+j_r=s+1} x_i y_{1, j_1} \cdots y_{r, j_r}$$

is a generator of J_{s+1} , and therefore $f \in \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}$. We can rewrite f as

$$f = x_{s+1} y_{1,0} \cdots y_{r,0} + \sum_{i=0}^s x_i \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_r=s+1-i} y_{1, j_1} \cdots y_{r, j_r},$$

so $x_{s+1} y_{1,0} \cdots y_{r,0} \in \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}$ because $x_0, \dots, x_s \in \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}$. Since $y_1, \dots, y_r \notin W$, we have $y_{1,0}, \dots, y_{r,0} \notin \mathfrak{p}_{s+1}$, hence the elements $y_{1,0}, \dots, y_{r,0}$ are invertible in $R_{s+1, \mathfrak{p}_{s+1}}$. We deduce that the fraction

$$\frac{1}{y_{1,0} \cdots y_{r,0}} \psi_{s+1}(x_{s+1} y_{1,0} \cdots y_{r,0}) = \frac{x_{s+1}}{1}$$

belongs to $J_{s+1} R_{s+1, \mathfrak{p}_{s+1}}$, and $x_{s+1} \in \mathfrak{q}_{s+1}$. This concludes the proof of the inductive step. Therefore, for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{p}_s$. Using the notation in the statement of the theorem, for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the primary component of $\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))$ corresponding to the minimal prime $\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W) \rangle$ is exactly $\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W) \rangle$. \square

Let C be a clutter with vertex set X . For any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the ideal $\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))$ defines a closed subscheme $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{J}_s(I(C)))$ of the projective space $\mathbb{P}^{|\mathcal{J}_s(X)|-1}$. By Theorem 3.3 (1), if W is a minimal vertex cover of C , then $\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W) \rangle$ is a minimal prime of $\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))$ and it defines a closed subscheme $\mathcal{V}(\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W) \rangle)$ that is an irreducible component of $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{J}_s(I(C)))$. Recall that the *multiplicity* of $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{J}_s(I(C)))$ along $\mathcal{V}(\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W) \rangle)$ is the length of $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)]/\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))$ localized at $\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W) \rangle$ (see, for example, [Ful98, §4.3]). Then, Theorem 3.3 (2) immediately implies the following result.

Corollary 3.4. Let C be a clutter, and let W be a minimal vertex cover of C . For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the multiplicity of $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{J}_s(I(C)))$ along $\mathcal{V}(\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W) \rangle)$ is 1.

Remark 3.5. When the clutter C contains a single edge, the scheme defined by the ideal $I(C)$ is called a *monomial hypersurface*. The components of the jets of a monomial hypersurface were described by Goward and Smith (see Remark 3.2), and the multiplicities of the jet schemes of a monomial hypersurface along their components were computed by C. Yuen [Yue07b].

4. COVER IDEALS OF JETS AND SYMBOLIC POWERS

In this section, we describe a connection between the cover ideals of the jets of a clutter and the symbolic powers of the cover ideal of the clutter. To some extent, this connection allows one to translate results about symbolic powers into results about jets and vice versa.

Definition 4.1. Let C be a clutter with vertex set X . For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, define a homomorphism of \mathbb{k} -algebras $\delta_s: \mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[X]$ by setting $\delta_s(x_i) = x$ for every $x \in X$ and $i \in \{0, \dots, s\}$. We call δ_s a *depolarization map*.

Remark 4.2. The function δ_s in the statement of Definition 4.1 reverses the operation known as *polarization* of monomials (see e.g. [Far06]), hence the name depolarization. We find depolarization more convenient to work with because it defines a ring homomorphism. Consider a monomial $m \in \mathbb{k}[X]$, and assume that for every $x \in X$ there exists a natural number $i \leq s+1$ such that m is divisible by x^i but not by x^{i+1} . In this case, the polarization of m is the unique monomial in $\delta_s^{-1}(\{m\})$ that, for every $x \in X$, is divisible by x_j for all $j \in \{0, \dots, i-1\}$.

Theorem 4.3. Let C be a clutter with vertex set X . For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\delta_s(I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))) = I_c(C)^{(s+1)}$, where $\delta_s: \mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[X]$ is the depolarization map. Moreover, δ_s induces a bijection between the set of minimal generators of $I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$ and the set of minimal generators of $I_c(C)^{(s+1)}$.

Proof. We start by showing that $\delta_s(I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))) \subseteq I_c(C)^{(s+1)}$. To prove this inclusion, it is enough to show that $\delta_s(m) \in I_c(C)^{(s+1)}$ for every minimal monomial generator m of $I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$, because $I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$ is a monomial ideal. Fix a minimal monomial generator m of $I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$, and let W be the corresponding minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$. To show that $\delta_s(m) \in I_c(C)^{(s+1)}$, we show that $\delta_s(m) \in \langle e \rangle^{s+1}$ for every edge e of C . Fix an edge $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ of C , and define, for every $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, the quantities

$$u_i = \begin{cases} \max\{j \in \mathbb{N}: x_{i,j} \in W\}, & \text{if } x_{i,j} \in W \text{ for some } j \in \mathbb{N}, \\ -1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.1, the monomial

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=0}^{u_i} x_{i,j} \in \mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)]$$

divides m , and hence the monomial

$$(4.1) \quad \prod_{i=1}^r x_i^{u_i+1} \in \mathbb{k}[X],$$

obtained by applying δ_s , divides $\delta_s(m)$. Suppose $\delta_s(m) \notin \langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle^{s+1}$; then the monomial in (4.1) does not belong to $\langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle^{s+1}$. It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^r (u_i + 1) \leq s,$$

and, therefore, $\{x_{1,u_1+1}, \dots, x_{r,u_r+1}\}$ is an edge of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$ that is not covered by W . Since this is a contradiction, we conclude that $\delta_s(m) \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle^{s+1}$, as desired.

Next, we show that for every minimal monomial generator m' of $I_c(C)^{(s+1)}$ there exists a minimal monomial generator m of $I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$ such that $\delta_s(m) = m'$. Given m' , we let m be the polarization of m' (see Remark 4.2) so that $\delta_s(m) = m'$ holds true. To show $m \in I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$, we show that $m \in \langle e \rangle$ for every edge e of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$. Fix an edge $\{x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{r,i_r}\}$ of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$, where $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ is an edge of C and $i_1 + \dots + i_r \leq s$. For every $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, define the quantities

$$v_i = \max\{j \in \mathbb{N} : x_i^j \text{ divides } m'\}.$$

Since $x_1^{v_1} \dots x_r^{v_r}$ divides m' and $m' \in \langle x_1, \dots, x_r \rangle^{s+1}$, we get $v_1 + \dots + v_r \geq s+1$. If $x_{j,i_j} \nmid m$ for some $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, then $x_j^{i_j+1} \nmid m'$ by Remark 4.2; hence $i_j + 1 > v_j$ or, equivalently, $i_j \geq v_j$. Thus, if none of the variables $x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{r,i_r}$ divide m , then we have the inequalities

$$\sum_{j=1}^r i_j \geq \sum_{j=1}^r v_j \geq s+1,$$

which is a contradiction. This implies that $m \in \langle x_{1,i_1}, \dots, x_{r,i_r} \rangle$, and therefore $m \in I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$, which concludes the proof of the equality $\delta_s(I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))) = I_c(C)^{(s+1)}$.

Now, if m is not a minimal generator of $I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$, then there is a monomial $\tilde{m} \in I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$ not equal to m that divides m . It follows that $\delta_s(\tilde{m}) \in I_c(C)^{(s+1)}$, $\delta_s(\tilde{m})$ divides $\delta_s(m) = m'$, and $\delta_s(\tilde{m}) \neq m'$, contradicting the fact that m' is a minimal generator of $I_c(C)^{(s+1)}$. Thus, m is a minimal generator of $I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$, and the restriction of δ_s between the set of minimal generators of $I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$ and the set of minimal generators of $I_c(C)^{(s+1)}$ is surjective. The injectivity follows from Lemma 3.1. \square

For the combinatorially-minded reader, we offer the following restatement of the bijection in Theorem 4.3.

Corollary 4.4. Let C be a clutter. For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$ are in bijection with the minimal $(s+1)$ -covers of C via (de)polarization.

Example 4.5. Consider the graph G of Example 2.6. The ideal of covers of G is

$$I_c(G) = \langle x, y \rangle \cap \langle y, z \rangle = \langle xz, y \rangle,$$

and its symbolic square is

$$I_c(G)^{(2)} = \langle x, y \rangle^2 \cap \langle y, z \rangle^2 = \langle x^2 z^2, xyz, y^2 \rangle,$$

where the given generators are minimal. The polarization of $I_c(G)^{(2)}$ is the ideal

$$\langle x_0 x_1 z_0 z_1, x_0 y_0 z_0, y_0 y_1 \rangle,$$

and its generators correspond to the minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{J}_1(G)$. \triangle

Remark 4.6. If a generating set for the symbolic Rees algebra $R_s(I_c(C))$ is known, one can use it in combination with Theorem 4.3 to find the vertex covers of the clutter of s -jets $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$ for any given $s \in \mathbb{N}$. We illustrate this idea for graphs in §5.

The literature contains results that explicitly describe generating sets for symbolic Rees algebras of squarefree monomial ideals. For example, [HHT07, Proposition 4.6] applies to the edge ideal of the skeleton of a simplex, while [GHOS20, Theorem 3.4] applies to the edge ideal of a unicyclic graph. These results are for the symbolic Rees algebra $R_s(I(C))$ of the edge ideal of a clutter C , rather than its ideal of covers $I_c(C)$. Recalling that $I_c(C) = I(C^\vee)$, one may then use known

generating sets for $R_s(I(C))$ in combination with Theorem 4.3 to find the vertex covers of the jets of the Alexander dual of C .

5. JETS OF VERY WELL-COVERED GRAPHS

Let G be a graph with vertex set X and assume G has no isolated vertex. We say G is *well-covered* (or *unmixed*) if all its minimal vertex covers have the same cardinality [Vil15, Definition 7.2.8]. Furthermore, if this cardinality equals $\frac{1}{2}|X|$, then G is called *very well-covered*. There has been interest from the point of view of graph and complexity theory in recognition of (very) well-covered graphs [Fav82, CS93, CR13]. Such graphs have also been well-studied in the context of combinatorial commutative algebra [CRT11, MMC⁺11, KPSF⁺22]. Our goal is to show that the jets of very well-covered graphs are very well-covered, which was conjectured in [GHW21, Conjecture 6.5].

We begin with a result characterizing irreducible k -covers for graphs; equivalently, it describes generators of the symbolic Rees algebra $R_s(I_c(G))$. Let G be a graph with vertex set X . Given a set of vertices $Y \subseteq X$, the *neighbor set* of Y , denoted $N(Y)$, is the set of vertices of G that are adjacent to at least one vertex in Y . Also, the *induced subgraph* $G[Y]$ is the graph with vertex set Y whose edge set consists of all edges of G with both endpoints in Y . The following result is a restatement of [Vil15, Theorem 13.4.5].

Theorem 5.1. Let G be a simple graph with vertex set X . The irreducible k -covers of G are the exponent vectors of the monomials $m \in I_c(G)^{(k)}$ with the following descriptions:

- (1) $k = 0$ and $m = x$ for some $x \in X$;
 - (2) $k = 1$ and $m = \prod_{w \in W} w$ for some minimal vertex cover W of G ;
- and, if G is not bipartite,
- (3) $k = 2$ and $m = \prod_{x \in X} x$;
 - (4) $k = 2$ and

$$m = \prod_{v \in N(U)} v^2 \prod_{z \in X \setminus (U \cup N(U))} z$$



for some nonempty independent set U of G such that

- $N(U)$ is not a vertex cover of G ,
- $X \neq U \cup N(U)$, and
- the induced subgraph $G[X \setminus (U \cup N(U))]$ has no isolated vertex and is not bipartite.

Example 5.2. Let G be the graph in Figure 2. The vertex covers of G are $\{u, w, x\}$, $\{v, w, x\}$, $\{u, w, y\}$, $\{v, w, y\}$, $\{v, x, y\}$. Since G is not bipartite, it has the irreducible 2-cover of type (3) corresponding to the monomial $uvwxy$. It also has the irreducible 2-cover of type (4) with independent set $U = \{u\}$ and corresponding to the monomial v^2wxy . Polarizing these two monomials gives minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{J}_1(G)$. Then, for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{J}_s(G)$ are obtained by “stacking” these two vertex covers of $\mathcal{J}_1(G)$ and the minimal vertex covers of G .

Figure 3 shows a minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_2(G)$ obtained by stacking the polarization of v^2wxy (in black) and $uvwxy$ (in gray). \triangle

Next, we present some equivalent characterizations of very well-covered graph. Recall that a *perfect matching* of G is a set of pairwise disjoint edges of G whose

FIGURE 2. A non-bipartite graph G .FIGURE 3. A reducible vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_2(G)$.

union is X . Given a perfect matching M and a vertex x of G , we denote $M(x)$ the unique vertex of G adjacent to x such that $\{x, M(x)\} \in M$. Favaron declares that M satisfies the *property (P)* if, for every $x \in X$, every neighbor of x other than $M(x)$ is not adjacent to $M(x)$, but is adjacent to all neighbors of $M(x)$. The following result of O. Favaron [Fav82, Theorem 1.2] characterizes very well-covered graphs in terms of the property (P).

Theorem 5.3. The following are equivalent.

- (1) G is very well-covered.
- (2) G has a perfect matching that satisfies the property (P).
- (3) G has a perfect matching, and every perfect matching of G satisfies the property (P).

We finally come to the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.4. Let G be a very well-covered graph. Then, for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the graph of s -jets of G is also very well-covered.

Proof. Let X be the vertex set of G . Since G is very well-covered, $|X| = 2n$ for some positive integer n . For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the graph $\mathcal{J}_s(G)$ of s -jets of G has vertex set $\mathcal{J}_s(X)$ with cardinality $(s+1)|X| = 2n(s+1)$. To show $\mathcal{J}_s(G)$ is very well-covered, we need to prove that every minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_s(G)$ has cardinality $n(s+1)$. Following from Theorem 4.3 (and as illustrated in Example 5.2), every minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_s(G)$ can be obtained by stacking vertex covers of $\mathcal{J}_0(G) \cong G$ and $\mathcal{J}_1(G)$ corresponding to irreducible 1-covers and 2-covers of G . The cardinality of

these vertex covers is equal to the degree of the corresponding monomials in $\mathbb{k}[X]$. Based on Theorem 5.1, it is enough to show that, for a very well-covered graph G with $2n$ vertices, the monomials of type (2) have degree n , and the monomials of types (3) and (4) have degree $2n$. This is quickly verified for types (2) and (3), so we concentrate on monomials of type (4).

Let U be a nonempty independent set of G such that

- $N(U)$ is not a vertex cover of G ,
- $X \neq U \cup N(U)$, and
- the induced subgraph $G[X \setminus (U \cup N(U))]$ has no isolated vertex and is not bipartite.

We need to show that the monomial

$$m = \prod_{v \in N(U)} v^2 \prod_{z \in X \setminus (U \cup N(U))} z$$

has degree $2n$. Since U is an independent set, no two vertices in U are adjacent, which implies that $U \cap N(U) = \emptyset$. It follows that

$$|X \setminus (U \cup N(U))| = 2n - |U| - |N(U)|,$$

so the degree of m is

$$2|N(U)| + |X \setminus (U \cup N(U))| = 2n + |N(U)| - |U|.$$

Thus, to show that m has degree $2n$, we need to prove that $|N(U)| = |U|$.

By Theorem 5.3, G has a perfect matching M that satisfies the property (P). Using again the fact that $U \cap N(U) = \emptyset$, we deduce that, for every $u \in U$, $M(u) \in N(U)$. Hence, we have $|N(U)| \geq |U|$ by definition of perfect matching. If $|N(U)| > |U|$, then there is a vertex $v \in N(U)$ with match $M(v) \notin U$ and adjacent to some $u \in U$. Suppose for contradiction that $M(v) \in N(U)$. Then, $M(v)$ is adjacent to some $u' \in U$. By the property (P), $u \neq u'$, since u is not adjacent to $M(v)$, and u is adjacent to u' , which contradicts the assumption that U is an independent set. Since $X \neq U \cup N(U)$, we deduce that $M(v) \in X \setminus (U \cup N(U))$.


Note that $X \setminus (U \cup N(U))$ contains at least two elements, otherwise $N(U)$ would be a vertex cover of G , which is explicitly forbidden. Because the induced subgraph $G[X \setminus (U \cup N(U))]$ has no isolated vertex, there is a vertex $z \in X \setminus (U \cup N(U))$ such that $z \neq M(v)$ and $M(v)$ is adjacent to z . Recall that v is adjacent to $u \in U$. By the property (P), u must also be adjacent to z , which contradicts the choice of $z \notin N(U)$. Thus, we cannot have that $|N(U)| > |U|$ and deduce that $|N(U)| = |U|$, which concludes the proof. \square

Example 5.5. The graph in Figure 4 is an example of a very well-covered graph from [Fav82, §0]; we refer to it as Favaron's graph G_1 .

This graph is not bipartite because it contains the triangle $\{c, f, h\}$. Moreover, G_1 has a single perfect matching $M = \{\{a, e\}, \{b, f\}, \{c, g\}, \{d, h\}\}$, consisting of all the vertical edges in the figure. Following Theorem 5.1, there is a unique irreducible 2-cover of type (4); it arises by taking $U = \{a\}$, so $N(U) = \{e\}$ and the corresponding monomial is $bcd e^2 fgh$. \triangle

6. PRINCIPAL JETS OF CLUTTERS

In their work on jets of determinantal varieties [GJS14], S. Ghorpade, B. Jonov, and B.A. Sethuraman study a geometric object described as “the closure of the

FIGURE 4. Favaron's graph G_1 .

set of jets supported over the smooth points of the base scheme" and call it the *principal component* of the jet scheme. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first instance in the literature where the principal component is named, although it had previously appeared in [KS05a, KS05b]. We define an analog of the principal component for ideals in a polynomial ring in a way that is compatible with this geometric notion. We avoid using the word "component" since the object we define is not necessarily a prime or primary ideal.

For an ideal I in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}[X]$, let Z denote the vanishing locus of I in the affine space $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^{|X|}$ and let Z_{sing} denote its singular locus. Consider the natural projection $\pi_s: \mathcal{J}_s(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^{|X|}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{k}}^{|X|}$ (see [EM09, Example 2.1]). The s -jets of Z supported over the smooth locus of Z are the elements of the set $\mathcal{J}_s(Z) \setminus \pi_s^{-1}(Z_{\text{sing}})$. The polynomials vanishing on the Zariski closure of this set form an ideal

$$\mathbb{I}(\overline{\mathcal{J}_s(Z) \setminus \pi_s^{-1}(Z_{\text{sing}})}) \subset \mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)].$$

Definition 6.1. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. With the notation above, the *ideal of principal s -jets of I* is the ideal

$$\mathcal{P}_s(I) := \mathbb{I}(\overline{\mathcal{J}_s(Z) \setminus \pi_s^{-1}(Z_{\text{sing}})}) \subset \mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)].$$

Remark 6.2. $\mathcal{P}_s(I) = \mathcal{P}_s(\sqrt{I})$ because I and \sqrt{I} have the same vanishing locus.

The next result offers an equivalent characterization of principal jets that is more useful in computations.

Proposition 6.3. Let I be an ideal of $\mathbb{k}[X]$. For any $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\iota_s: \mathbb{k}[X] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)]$ denote the inclusion of \mathbb{k} -algebras sending the variable $x \in X$ to $x_0 \in \mathcal{J}_s(X)$. There is an equality of ideals

$$\mathcal{P}_s(I) = \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{J}_s(Z)) : \iota_s(\mathbb{I}(Z_{\text{sing}})).$$

Proof. We have equalities

$$\mathcal{P}_s(I) = \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{J}_s(Z) \setminus \pi_s^{-1}(Z_{\text{sing}})) = \mathbb{I}(\mathcal{J}_s(Z)) : \mathbb{I}(\pi_s^{-1}(Z_{\text{sing}})),$$

the first following from properties of the Zariski closure (see [CLO15, Chapter 4, §4, Lemma 3 (i)]), and the second from properties of quotient ideals (see [CLO15, Chapter 4, §4, Exercise 8]). Finally, use the equivalence between affine varieties and affine \mathbb{k} -algebras sending π_s to ι_s (see, for example, [Har77, Chapter 1, Corollary 3.8]) or a direct computation to conclude that $\mathbb{I}(\pi_s^{-1}(Z_{\text{sing}})) = \iota_s(\mathbb{I}(Z_{\text{sing}}))$. \square

Remark 6.4. The `Jets` package [GI22, GI] for the software Macaulay2 [GS] includes a `principalComponent` method to compute principal jets which uses a description similar to the one offered in Proposition 6.3.

We proceed to study principal jets of monomial ideals, with the ultimate goal to describe their irreducible components. Based on Remark 6.2, it is enough to consider squarefree monomial ideals, i.e., edge ideals of clutters. The case of edge ideals of graphs was studied by N. Iammarino [Iam22, §3].

Proposition 6.5. Let C be a clutter with vertex set X , and let W_1, \dots, W_n be the minimal vertex covers of C . For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{P}_s(I(C)) = I(\mathcal{J}_s(C)) : \bigcap_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \langle \mathcal{J}_0(W_i \cup W_j) \rangle.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{P}_s(I(C))$ is a squarefree monomial ideal.

Proof. Let Z be the vanishing locus of the edge ideal $I(C)$. By Definition 2.5, we have

$$\mathbb{I}(\mathcal{J}_s(Z)) = \sqrt{\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))} = I(\mathcal{J}_s(C)).$$

Observe that Z decomposes as the union of the linear spaces defined by the ideals $\langle W_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle W_n \rangle$. Hence, Z_{sing} consists of those points that belong to the intersection of at least two components of Z . For $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, the intersection of the components $\langle W_i \rangle$ and $\langle W_j \rangle$ is defined by the ideal $\langle W_i \rangle + \langle W_j \rangle = \langle W_i \cup W_j \rangle$. Therefore, we have

$$\mathbb{I}(Z_{\text{sing}}) = \bigcap_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \langle W_i \cup W_j \rangle.$$

The description of $\mathcal{P}_s(I(C))$ follows from Proposition 6.3.

Since intersections and quotients of squarefree monomial ideals are squarefree monomial ideals, it follows that $\mathcal{P}_s(I(C))$ is also a squarefree monomial ideal. \square

In order to prove our main result, we need the following technical lemma. The proof is inspired by [DDSG⁺18, Discussion 4.11]. Recall that the *support* of a monomial m in a polynomial ring, denoted $\text{supp}(m)$, is the set of variables dividing m .

Lemma 6.6. Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal in the polynomial ring $R_n = \mathbb{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and let s be any positive integer. If M is a minimal monomial generator of $I^{(s)}$, then the support of M is the union of the supports of some minimal monomial generators of I .

Proof. If $I = \langle 1 \rangle$, then $I^{(s)} = \langle 1 \rangle$ and the result is true.

Assume I is proper and proceed by induction on n . If $n = 1$, then $I = \langle x_1 \rangle$. Hence, we have $I^{(s)} = I^s = \langle x_1^s \rangle$ and the result is true.

Now consider an integer $n \geq 1$ and assume the result is true for squarefree monomial ideals in R_n . Let I be a squarefree monomial ideal in R_{n+1} . Let J be the ideal of R_{n+1} generated by all monomials in I not divisible by x_{n+1} , and let $K = I : \langle x_{n+1} \rangle$. The ideals J and K are squarefree monomial ideals whose minimal monomial generators are not divisible by x_{n+1} . Moreover, we have $I = (J + \langle x_{n+1} \rangle) \cap K$, which implies

$$I^{(s)} = (J + \langle x_{n+1} \rangle)^{(s)} \cap K^{(s)}$$

for every positive integer s . Using [BCG⁺16, Theorem 7.8] or the more general [HNTT20, Theorem 3.4], we deduce that

$$(J + \langle x_{n+1} \rangle)^{(s)} = \sum_{i=0}^s J^{(s-i)} \langle x_{n+1} \rangle^{(i)} = \sum_{i=0}^s J^{(s-i)} \langle x_{n+1}^i \rangle.$$

Altogether, for every positive integer s , we get an equality

$$(6.1) \quad I^{(s)} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^s J^{(s-i)} \langle x_{n+1}^i \rangle \right) \cap K^{(s)}.$$

Now, let M be a minimal monomial generator of $I^{(s)}$. We have the following two cases.

Case 1: M is not divisible by x_{n+1} . Then, Equation (6.1) implies that M is divisible by a minimal monomial generator M' of $J^{(s)}$. By definition, we have $J \subseteq K$. Hence, $M' \in J^{(s)} \subseteq K^{(s)}$, and so $M' \in I^{(s)}$. We deduce that $M = M'$, as otherwise M would not be a minimal generator of $I^{(s)}$. Since M is also a minimal monomial generator of the ideal $J^{(s)} \cap R_n = (J \cap R_n)^{(s)}$ of R_n , the inductive hypothesis allows us to conclude that

$$\text{supp}(M) = \bigcup_{j=1}^p \text{supp}(f_j)$$

for some minimal monomial generators f_1, \dots, f_p of J .

Case 2: M is divisible by x_{n+1} . Then, Equation (6.1) implies that M is divisible by:

- $M_1 x_{n+1}^h$, for some integer $h \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ and a minimal monomial generator M_1 of $J^{(s-h)}$;
- a minimal monomial generator M_2 of $K^{(s)}$.

Using the inductive hypothesis as in the previous case, we obtain that

$$\text{supp}(M_1) = \bigcup_{j=1}^p \text{supp}(f_j)$$

for some minimal monomial generators f_1, \dots, f_p of J , and

$$\text{supp}(M_2) = \bigcup_{k=1}^q \text{supp}(g_k)$$

for some minimal monomial generators g_1, \dots, g_q of K . Given the minimality of M , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(M) &= \text{supp}(M_1 x_{n+1}^h) \cup \text{supp}(M_2) \\ &= \text{supp}(M_1) \cup \{x_{n+1}\} \cup \text{supp}(M_2) \\ &= \bigcup_{j=1}^p \text{supp}(f_j) \cup \{x_{n+1}\} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^q \text{supp}(g_k) \\ &= \bigcup_{j=1}^p \text{supp}(f_j) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^q \text{supp}(g_k x_{n+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the two cases, for every minimal monomial generator M of $I^{(s)}$ we have

$$\text{supp}(M) = \bigcup_{j=1}^p \text{supp}(f_j) \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^q \text{supp}(g_k x_{n+1}),$$

for some minimal monomial generators f_1, \dots, f_p of J and some minimal monomial generators g_1, \dots, g_q of K , where $q = 0$ when M is not divisible by x_{n+1} . To conclude, observe that $I = J + K\langle x_{n+1} \rangle$ and, therefore, a minimal monomial generator of I is a minimal monomial generator f of J or it is equal to $g x_{n+1}$ where g is minimal monomial generator of K . This shows that the result is true in R_{n+1} . \square

We come to the main result of this section on the irreducible components of the principal jets of a monomial ideal.

Theorem 6.7. Let C be a clutter with vertex set X , and let W_1, \dots, W_n be the minimal vertex covers of C . For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{P}_s(I(C)) = \bigcap_{k=1}^n \langle \mathcal{J}_s(W_k) \rangle$$

is the irredundant primary decomposition of $\mathcal{P}_s(I(C))$.

Proof. Since $I(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$ is a squarefree monomial ideal, and therefore radical, it is equal to the intersection of its minimal primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$. By Proposition 6.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_s(I(C)) &= I(\mathcal{J}_s(C)) : \bigcap_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \langle \mathcal{J}_0(W_i \cup W_j) \rangle \\ &= \bigcap_{h=1}^r \left(\mathfrak{p}_h : \bigcap_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \langle \mathcal{J}_0(W_i \cup W_j) \rangle \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from properties of quotient ideals (see [CLO15, Chapter 4, §4, Exercise 17]). We claim that the quotients in the intersection above are either equal to the whole ring or to one of the ideals $\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W_k) \rangle$, and that all such ideals appear in the intersection. Since the ideals $\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W_k) \rangle$ are prime and incomparable under inclusion, the result would then follow.

If \mathfrak{p} is a minimal prime of $I(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$, then there is a minimal vertex cover V of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = \langle V \rangle$. Equivalently, if M is the product of the elements in V , then M is a minimal generator of $I_c(\mathcal{J}_s(C))$, the ideal of covers of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$. By Theorem 4.3, the monomial $\delta_s(M)$ is a minimal generator of $I_c(C)^{(s+1)}$, where $\delta_s : \mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[X]$ is the depolarization map. By Lemma 6.6, the support of $\delta_s(M)$ is the union of the supports of some minimal monomial generators m_1, \dots, m_t of $I_c(C)$. In turn, the support of a minimal monomial generator m_j of $I_c(C)$ is a minimal vertex cover W_{i_j} of C . Altogether, we have

$$(6.2) \quad \delta_s(V) = \delta_s(\text{supp}(M)) = \text{supp}(\delta_s(M)) = \bigcup_{j=1}^t \text{supp}(m_j) = \bigcup_{j=1}^t W_{i_j}$$

for some $i_1, \dots, i_t \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. We now consider the following two cases, depending on whether $t = 1$ or $t > 1$.

Case 1: $\delta_s(V) = W_k$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. This implies $V \subseteq \delta_s^{-1}(W_k) = \mathcal{J}_s(W_k)$. Since $\mathcal{J}_s(W_k)$ is a minimal vertex cover of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$ by Theorem 3.3, we deduce that $V = \mathcal{J}_s(W_k)$ or, equivalently, $\mathfrak{p} = \langle \mathcal{J}_s(W_k) \rangle$.

The minimality of the vertex covers W_1, \dots, W_n implies that, for any pair of distinct indices $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $(W_i \cup W_j) \setminus W_k \neq \emptyset$. Hence, we can introduce the monomial

$$F_k = \prod_{x \in X \setminus W_k} x_0 \in \bigcap_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \langle \mathcal{J}_0(W_i \cup W_j) \rangle.$$

Since \mathfrak{p} is prime, the assumption $\delta_s(V) = W_k$ implies that $F_k \notin \mathfrak{p} = \langle V \rangle$. If m is any monomial in $\mathfrak{p} : \langle F_k \rangle$, then $mF_k \in \mathfrak{p}$ and, therefore, $m \in \mathfrak{p}$. Because $\mathfrak{p} : \langle F_k \rangle$ is a monomial ideal, we deduce that $\mathfrak{p} : \langle F_k \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Using basic properties of quotient ideals, we conclude that

$$\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} : \bigcap_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \langle \mathcal{J}_0(W_i \cup W_j) \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{p} : \langle F_k \rangle \subseteq \mathfrak{p},$$

and therefore

$$\mathfrak{p} : \bigcap_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \langle \mathcal{J}_0(W_i \cup W_j) \rangle = \mathfrak{p} = \langle \mathcal{J}_s(W_k) \rangle.$$

Notice also that by taking $V = \mathcal{J}_s(W_k)$ we can guarantee that $\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W_k) \rangle$ will appear among the primes in the decomposition of $\mathcal{P}_s(I(C))$.

Case 2: $\delta_s(V) \supseteq W_k \cup W_l$ for some $k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $k \neq l$. This implies $V \supseteq \mathcal{J}_0(W_k \cup W_l)$ or, equivalently, $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \langle \mathcal{J}_0(W_k \cup W_l) \rangle$. We conclude that

$$\mathfrak{p} : \bigcap_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \langle \mathcal{J}_0(W_i \cup W_j) \rangle \supseteq \mathfrak{p} : \langle \mathcal{J}_0(W_k \cup W_l) \rangle = \mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)].$$

Since Equation (6.2) forces one of the two cases above to occur, the claim in the opening paragraph of the proof holds true. \square

An explicit description for the generators of the principal jets of the edge ideal of a clutter is now within easy reach.

Corollary 6.8. Let C be a clutter with vertex set X . For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{P}_s(I(C)) = \langle x_{1,i_1} \cdots x_{r,i_r} : \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \in E(C), 0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_r \leq s \rangle,$$

and this generating set is minimal.

Proof. Let W_1, \dots, W_n be the minimal vertex covers of C , and consider a monomial $m \in \mathcal{P}_s(I(C))$. Applying the depolarization map δ_s to the decomposition of $\mathcal{P}_s(I(C))$ in Theorem 6.7, we get

$$\delta_s(m) \in \bigcap_{k=1}^n \delta_s(\langle \mathcal{J}_s(W_k) \rangle) \subseteq \bigcap_{k=1}^n \langle W_k \rangle = I(C).$$

Hence, there is an edge $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ of C such that $x_1 \cdots x_r$ divides $\delta_s(m)$. This implies that $x_{1,i_1} \cdots x_{r,i_r}$ divides m for some integers $i_1, \dots, i_r \in \{0, \dots, s\}$. On the other hand, a minimal vertex cover W_k of C intersects the edge $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ in some vertex, say x_j . Thus, we have $x_{j,i_j} \in \mathcal{J}_s(W_k)$ for every $i_j \in \{0, \dots, s\}$, which allows us to conclude that $x_{1,i_1} \cdots x_{r,i_r} \in \mathcal{P}_s(I(C))$ for any choice of indices $i_1, \dots, i_r \in \{0, \dots, s\}$. We conclude that the monomials $x_{1,i_1} \cdots x_{r,i_r}$ such that $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \in E(C)$ and $i_1, \dots, i_r \in \{0, \dots, s\}$ are the minimal generators of $\mathcal{P}_s(I(C))$. \square

In light of this result, we introduce the following.

Definition 6.9. Let C be a clutter with vertex set X . For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the *clutter of principal s -jets of C* , denoted $\mathcal{P}_s(C)$, is the clutter with vertex set $\mathcal{J}_s(X)$ whose edge ideal is given by $\mathcal{P}_s(I(C))$.

Example 6.10. Consider the graph G with vertex set $\{x, y, z\}$ whose edge ideal is given by $I(G) = \langle xy, yz \rangle$ (the same ideal as in Example 2.2). By Corollary 6.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_1(I(G)) &= \langle x_0y_0, y_0z_0, x_0y_1, x_1y_0, y_0z_1, y_1z_0, x_1y_1, y_1z_1 \rangle, \\ \mathcal{P}_2(I(G)) &= \langle x_0y_0, y_0z_0, x_0y_1, x_1y_0, y_0z_1, y_1z_0, x_0y_2, x_1y_1, x_2y_0, \\ &\quad y_0z_2, y_1z_1, y_2z_0, x_1y_2, x_2y_1, y_1z_2, y_2z_1, x_2y_2, y_2z_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The graphs $G, \mathcal{P}_1(G)$, and $\mathcal{P}_2(G)$ are pictured in Figure 5. Moreover, since $I(G) = \langle x, z \rangle \cap \langle y \rangle$, we have the following decompositions:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_1(I(G)) &= \langle x_0, z_0, x_1, z_1 \rangle \cap \langle y_0, y_1 \rangle, \\ \mathcal{P}_2(I(G)) &= \langle x_0, z_0, x_1, z_1, x_2, z_2 \rangle \cap \langle y_0, y_1, y_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

△




FIGURE 5. A path graph, and its first and second principal jets.

Remark 6.11. For a graph G , the graph $\mathcal{P}_s(G)$ is isomorphic to the *disjunction* (also known as *disjunctive product* or *co-normal product*) of G and a graph with $s + 1$ vertices and no edges (see, for example, [Hui08, Definition 10] and references therein). We are grateful to Jack Huizenga and Zach Teitler for mentioning this connection with the existing literature.

As is the case in the geometric setting, principal jets of clutters are better behaved than jets. The following result, which is an immediate consequence of Theorem 6.7, illustrates this behavior by completely describing the minimal vertex covers of the principal jets of a clutter.

Corollary 6.12. Let C be a clutter, and let W_1, \dots, W_n be the minimal vertex covers of C . For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the sets $\mathcal{J}_s(W_1), \dots, \mathcal{J}_s(W_n)$ are the minimal vertex covers of the clutter of principal s -jets $\mathcal{P}_s(C)$. In particular, C is (very) well-covered if and only if, for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{P}_s(C)$ is (very) well-covered.

7. INVARIANTS OF PRINCIPAL JETS OF CLUTTERS

In this section, we study Hilbert series and other invariants of principal jets of squarefree monomial ideals. This is inspired by S. Ghorpade, B. Jonov, and B.A. Sethuraman's result on a simple formula for the Hilbert series of the first order principal component of the 2×2 minors of a generic matrix [GJS14, Theorem 18].

We begin with a technical result on the depolarization map δ_s (Definition 4.1) that will later be used to express our formulas.

Lemma 7.1. Let X be a finite set. For every $s \in \mathbb{N}$ and every subset $U \subseteq X$, the number of subsets $V \subseteq \mathcal{J}_s(X)$ of cardinality k such that $\delta_s(V) = U$ depends only on the cardinality j of U and is given by

$$(7.1) \quad \ell_s(j, k) = \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^j \\ |a|=k}} \prod_{h=1}^j \binom{s+1}{a_h},$$

where \mathbb{Z}_+ denotes the set of positive integers, and $|a| = a_1 + \dots + a_j$ for every $a = (a_1, \dots, a_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^j$.

Proof. If $U = \emptyset$, then the only subset $V \subseteq \mathcal{J}_s(X)$ with $\delta_s(V) = \emptyset$ is $V = \emptyset$, so $\ell_s(0, 0) = 1$. In this case, the summation in Equation (7.1) is indexed by the singleton \mathbb{Z}_+^0 , and the only summand is an empty product which is equal to 1. Therefore, the formula holds when $U = \emptyset$.

Now, consider a nonempty subset $U = \{x_1, \dots, x_j\} \subseteq X$ and a subset $V \subseteq \mathcal{J}_s(X)$. For every $h \in \{1, \dots, j\}$, let $a_h = |\delta_s^{-1}(\{x_h\}) \cap V|$, i.e., the number of preimages of x_h contained in V . If V has cardinality k and satisfies $\delta_s(V) = U$, then a_1, \dots, a_j are positive and add up to k , i.e., $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^j$ and $|a| = k$. Since $|\delta_s^{-1}(\{x_h\})| = s+1$, there are $\binom{s+1}{a_h}$ different ways to select the elements of $\delta_s^{-1}(\{x_h\}) \cap V$. Given that preimages can be selected independently for each x_h , we multiply these binomial coefficients to count the number of subsets V corresponding to a particular choice of $a \in \mathbb{Z}_+^j$ with $|a| = k$. Note that a subset V cannot correspond to two different a 's. Therefore, the total number of subsets V is obtained by adding the products of binomial coefficients over the different possible a 's. \square

The function $\ell_s : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ counts the number $\ell_s(j, k)$ of ways a subset $U \subseteq X$ of cardinality j lifts to a subset $V \subseteq \mathcal{J}_s(X)$ of cardinality k along the restriction $\mathcal{J}_s(X) \rightarrow X$ of the depolarization map δ_s . We will enter the values of $\ell_s(j, k)$ in a matrix L_s with row index $j \geq 0$ and column index $k \geq 0$ (see Example 7.5 and Example 7.7). We refer to ℓ_s as the *order s lifting function*, and to L_s as the *order s lifting matrix*. Note that ℓ_s does not depend on $|X|$.

Remark 7.2. The lifting function ℓ_s can be computed by setting

$$\ell_s(j, k) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k < j \text{ or } k > (s+1)j, \\ 1, & \text{if } k = (s+1)j, \\ (s+1)^j, & \text{if } k = j, \end{cases}$$

and then using the recursive formula

$$\ell_s(j, k) = \sum_{i=1}^{\min\{k, s+1\}} \binom{s+1}{i} \ell_s(j-1, k-i).$$

This approach may be more efficient than using the explicit formula of Lemma 7.1. We have implemented methods to compute lifting functions and lifting matrices in version 1.2 of the Jets package for Macaulay2.

Recall that, for a homogeneous ideal I in a standard graded polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}[X]$, the *Hilbert series* of $\mathbb{k}[X]/I$ is defined as

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{k}[X]/I)_i t^i,$$

where the coefficient of t^i is the dimension of the graded component of degree i in $\mathbb{k}[X]/I$ as a vector space over \mathbb{k} . When $I \subseteq \mathbb{k}[X]$ is a squarefree monomial ideal, one may associate to I a simplicial complex Δ with vertex set X by taking as faces the supports of all the squarefree monomials not contained in I . The ideal I is called the *Stanley–Reisner ideal* of Δ , and we set $\mathbb{k}[\Delta] = \mathbb{k}[X]/I$. The *f-vector* $f(\Delta) = (f_{-1}(\Delta), f_0(\Delta), \dots, f_i(\Delta), \dots)$ of Δ has components $f_i(\Delta)$ counting the number of i -dimensional faces in Δ , where the dimension of a face is one less than its cardinality. We note that $f_{-1}(\Delta) = 1$, since the empty set \emptyset is the only face of dimension -1 . There is a well-known connection between the Hilbert series of $\mathbb{k}[\Delta]$ and the *f-vector* of Δ : if $d = \dim(\Delta) + 1$, then the Hilbert series of $\mathbb{k}[\Delta]$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{(1-t)^d} \sum_{i=0}^d f_{i-1}(\Delta) t^i (1-t)^{d-i}.$$

Other algebraic invariants of interest can be conveniently read off the combinatorial data. For example, d is the *Krull dimension* of $\mathbb{k}[\Delta]$, and $f_{d-1}(\Delta)$ is the *multiplicity* of $\mathbb{k}[\Delta]$ (or the *degree* of the projective variety defined by I). We refer the reader to [BH93, §5] or [MS05, §1] for Stanley–Reisner ideals and *f-vectors*, [BH93, Appendix] for a review of Krull dimension, and [BH93, §4.1] for Hilbert series and multiplicity.

The *f-vector* of a finite dimensional simplicial complex has only a finite number of nonzero entries but, for our next statement, we find it more convenient to extend such an *f-vector* with infinitely many zeros.

Theorem 7.3. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{k}[X]$ be the Stanley–Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex Δ with vertex set X and *f-vector* $f(\Delta)$. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the ideal $\mathcal{P}_s(I)$ of principal s -jets of I is the Stanley–Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex Γ_s with vertex set $\mathcal{J}_s(X)$ and *f-vector*

$$f(\Gamma_s) = f(\Delta)L_s,$$

where L_s is the order s lifting matrix. In particular,

- if $\mathbb{k}[X]/I$ has Krull dimension d , then $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)]/\mathcal{P}_s(I)$ has Krull dimension $(s+1)d$;
- $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)]/\mathcal{P}_s(I)$ has the same multiplicity $f_{d-1}(\Delta)$ as $\mathbb{k}[X]/I$.

Proof. A subset $V \subseteq \mathcal{J}_s(X)$ is a k -dimensional face of Γ_s if and only if V is the support of a squarefree monomial not contained in $\mathcal{P}_s(I)$. By Corollary 6.8, this is the case if and only if $\delta_s(V)$ is the support of a squarefree monomial not contained

in I , i.e., if and only if $\delta_s(V)$ is a face of Δ of dimension at most k . Hence, we can count faces of Γ_s by counting lifts of faces of Δ .

Let U be a j -dimensional face of Δ , i.e., a subset $U \subseteq X$ of cardinality $j + 1$. Lemma 7.1 gives the number $\ell_s(j + 1, k + 1)$ of ways U lifts to a subset $V \subseteq \mathcal{J}_s(X)$ of cardinality $k + 1$ along the depolarization map, i.e., the number of ways U lifts to a k -dimensional face of Γ_s . Since different subsets U necessarily have different lifts, we deduce that the number of k -dimensional faces of Γ_s that are lifts of some j -dimensional face of Δ is $f_j(\Delta)\ell_s(j + 1, k + 1)$. Therefore, the number of k -dimensional faces of Γ_s is

$$(7.2) \quad f_k(\Gamma_s) = \sum_{j \geq -1} f_j(\Delta) \ell_s(j + 1, k + 1),$$

where the sum is finite because $\ell_s(j + 1, k + 1) = 0$ when $j > k$. The right hand side of Equation (7.2) is the product of $f(\Delta)$ as a row vector with the $k + 1$ column of the lifting matrix L_s . Therefore, $f(\Gamma_s) = f(\Delta)L_s$ as stated.

If $\mathbb{k}[\Delta]$ has Krull dimension d , then Δ is a simplicial complex of dimension $d - 1$. If U is a face of Δ of dimension $d - 1$, then $\mathcal{J}_s(U)$ is the only lift of U to a face of Γ_s of dimension $(s + 1)d - 1$. Moreover, every face of Δ of dimension $j - 1$ lifts to a face of Γ_s of dimension at most $(s + 1)j - 1$, so Γ_s has no face of dimension $(s + 1)d$ or higher. Therefore, Γ_s has dimension $(s + 1)d - 1$, and $\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_s]$ has Krull dimension $(s + 1)d$, as claimed.

Finally, observe that $f_j(\Delta) = 0$ for $j \geq d$, while

$$\ell_s(j + 1, (s + 1)d) = \begin{cases} 0, & j < d - 1, \\ 1, & j = d - 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, Equation (7.2) implies that the multiplicity of $\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_s]$ is $f_{(s+1)d-1}(\Gamma_s) = f_{d-1}(\Delta)$. \square

Remark 7.4. It is already known that the s -jets of an affine variety of dimension d form an affine variety of dimension $(s + 1)d$ (see [EM09, Corollary 2.11]). Since principal jets are supported on the smooth locus of the variety, they also have dimension $(s + 1)d$. The proof of Theorem 7.3 offers an alternative for monomial ideals.

Example 7.5. Consider the ideal $I = \langle vwx, xy, yz \rangle$ in the standard graded polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}[v, w, x, y, z]$. This is the Stanley–Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex Δ with facets (i.e., maximal faces) $\{v, w, y\}$, $\{v, w, z\}$, $\{v, x, z\}$, and $\{w, x, z\}$, which is pictured in Figure 6. The f -vector of Δ is $(1, 5, 8, 4)$. In particular, $\mathbb{k}[\Delta]$ has




FIGURE 6. The simplicial complex Δ .

dimension 3 and multiplicity 4.

By Theorem 7.3, $\mathcal{P}_1(I)$ is the Stanley–Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex Γ_1 with f -vector:

$$(1 \ 5 \ 8 \ 4) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 12 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (1 \ 10 \ 37 \ 64 \ 56 \ 24 \ 4).$$

We deduce that $\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_1]$ has dimension 6 and multiplicity 1. Similarly, $\mathcal{P}_2(I)$ is the Stanley–Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex Γ_2 with f -vector:

$$(1 \ 5 \ 8 \ 4) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 & 18 & 15 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 27 & 81 & 108 & 81 & 36 & 9 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ = (1 \ 15 \ 87 \ 257 \ 444 \ 480 \ 332 \ 144 \ 36 \ 4).$$

We deduce that $\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_2]$ dimension 9 and multiplicity 4. \triangle

Recall that, for a homogeneous ideal I in the standard graded polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}[X]$, the *Betti numbers* of $\mathbb{k}[X]/I$ are defined as

$$\beta_{i,j}(\mathbb{k}[X]/I) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \operatorname{Tor}_i(\mathbb{k}[X]/I, \mathbb{k})_j,$$

i.e., $\beta_{i,j}(\mathbb{k}[X]/I)$ is the dimension of the degree j component of $\operatorname{Tor}_i(\mathbb{k}[X]/I, \mathbb{k})$ as a vector space over \mathbb{k} . One often assembles the Betti numbers into the *Betti diagram*, where the i -th column for any $i \geq 0$ consists of the Betti numbers $(\beta_{i,i+j}(\mathbb{k}[X]/I))_{j \geq 0}$.

For our results on Betti numbers of principal s -jets, it is also convenient to assemble the Betti numbers into an upper-triangular matrix, where the i -th row of the corresponding Betti diagram is slid i units to the right:

$$[\beta_{j-i,j}(\mathbb{k}[X]/I)]_{0 \leq i \leq j} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{0,0} & \beta_{1,1} & \beta_{2,2} & \beta_{3,3} & \dots \\ & \beta_{0,1} & \beta_{1,2} & \beta_{2,3} & \dots \\ & & \beta_{0,2} & \beta_{1,3} & \dots \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

The first column of the Betti diagram becomes the main diagonal of the matrix, and the subsequent columns of the Betti diagram become the subsequent diagonals of the matrix.

An invariant that can be easily obtained from the Betti numbers of $\mathbb{k}[X]/I$ is the (*Castelnuovo–Mumford*) *regularity* of $\mathbb{k}[X]/I$, which is defined as

$$\max\{j - i : \beta_{i,j}(\mathbb{k}[X]/I) \neq 0\}.$$

When I is the Stanley–Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex Δ , the Betti numbers of $\mathbb{k}[\Delta] = \mathbb{k}[X]/I$ can be computed in terms of the homology groups of certain subcomplexes of Δ via *Hochster’s Formula* (see [BH93, §5] or [MS05, §1, 5]).

Theorem 7.6. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{k}[X]$ be the Stanley–Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex Δ with vertex set X . For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the ideal $\mathcal{P}_s(I)$ of principal s -jets of I is the Stanley–Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex Γ_s with vertex set $\mathcal{J}_s(X)$. The Betti numbers of $\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_s]$ and $\mathbb{k}[\Delta]$ are related by

$$[\beta_{k-i,k}(\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_s])]_{0 \leq i \leq k} = [\beta_{j-i,j}(\mathbb{k}[\Delta])]_{0 \leq i \leq j} L_s,$$

where L_s is the order s lifting matrix. In particular, $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)]/\mathcal{P}_s(I)$ has the same regularity as $\mathbb{k}[X]/I$.

Proof. Given a subset $V \subseteq \mathcal{J}_s(X)$, let $\Gamma_{s,V}$ denote the simplicial complex obtained by restricting Γ_s to V . If $x \in \delta_s(V)$, then the restriction of $\Gamma_{s,V}$ to $\delta_s^{-1}(\{x\})$ is a simplex because $x_0 \cdots x_s \notin \mathcal{P}_s(I)$, and therefore it is contractible. Repeating the same argument with all elements of $\delta_s(V)$, we deduce that $\Gamma_{s,V}$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Delta_{\delta_s(V)}$, the restriction of Δ to $\delta_s(V)$. It follows that $\Gamma_{s,V}$ and $\Delta_{\delta_s(V)}$ have the same reduced homology.

We apply Hochster's formula [MS05, Corollary 5.12], as well as the fact that we are working with \mathbb{k} -coefficients so cohomology and homology spaces have the same dimension. Then for $0 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$\beta_{k-i,k}(\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_s]) = \sum_{\substack{V \subseteq \mathcal{J}_s(X), \\ |V|=k}} \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Gamma_{s,V}; \mathbb{k}) = \sum_{\substack{V \subseteq \mathcal{J}_s(X), \\ |V|=k}} \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Delta_{\delta_s(V)}; \mathbb{k}).$$

The simplicial complex $\Delta_{\delta_s(V)}$ only depends on the image $U = \delta_s(V)$ of the depolarization map. It then suffices to count the number of V with the same image, and this is given exactly by the lifting function $\ell_s(j, k)$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{k-i,k}(\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_s]) &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq X, \\ |U|=j}} \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \tilde{H}_{i-1}(\Delta_U; \mathbb{k}) \ell_s(j, k) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \ell_s(j, k) \sum_{\substack{U \subseteq X, \\ |U|=j}} \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \tilde{H}_{j-(j-i)-1}(\Delta_U; \mathbb{k}) \\ &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \beta_{j-i,j}(\mathbb{k}[\Delta]) \ell_s(j, k), \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is finite because $\ell_s(j, k) = 0$ when $j > k$. Therefore, the entry in row i and column k of the matrix $[\beta_{k-i,k}(\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_s])]$ is the product of the i -th row of $[\beta_{j-i,j}(\mathbb{k}[\Delta])]$ with the k -th column of the lifting matrix L_s . This proves the equality of matrices in the statement of the theorem.

If $\mathbb{k}[\Delta]$ has regularity r , then all entries of $[\beta_{j-i,j}(\mathbb{k}[\Delta])]$ with row index $i > r$ are zero, and there is an integer $j' \geq 0$ corresponding to a nonzero entry $\beta_{j'-r,j'}(\mathbb{k}[\Delta])$ in row r and column j' . In light of the matrix equality proved above, all entries of $[\beta_{k-i,k}(\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_s])]$ with row index $i > r$ are also zero. Moreover, we have

$$\beta_{j'-r,j'}(\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_s]) \geq \beta_{j'-r,j'}(\mathbb{k}[\Delta]) \ell_s(j', j') = \beta_{j'-r,j'}(\mathbb{k}[\Delta]) \neq 0,$$

which shows $[\beta_{k-i,k}(\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_s])]$ has a nonzero entry in row r and column j' . Therefore, the regularity of $\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_s]$ is r . \square

Example 7.7. Consider the ideals and simplicial complexes of Example 7.5. The ring $\mathbb{k}[\Delta]$ has the following Betti diagram.

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} & & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ \text{total:} & 1 & 3 & 2 & \\ 0: & 1 & . & . & \\ 1: & . & 2 & 1 & \\ 2: & . & 1 & 1 & \end{array}$$

Multiplying the corresponding matrix of Betti numbers with the lifting matrix of the appropriate size gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 12 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 16 & 32 & 24 & 8 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 16 & 14 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 8 & 28 & 38 & 25 & 8 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the ring $\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_1]$ has the following Betti diagram.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \text{total:} & 1 & 16 & 44 & 52 & 31 & 9 & 1 \\ 0: & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1: & \cdot & 8 & 16 & 14 & 6 & 1 & \cdot \\ 2: & \cdot & 8 & 28 & 38 & 25 & 8 & 1 \end{array}$$

Similarly, multiplying the matrix of Betti numbers with the second order lifting matrix of the appropriate size gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 9 & 18 & 15 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 27 & 81 & 108 & 81 & 36 & 9 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 81 & 324 & 594 & 648 & 459 & 216 & 66 & 12 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 18 & 63 & 111 & 120 & 83 & 36 & 9 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 27 & 162 & 432 & 675 & 684 & 468 & 217 & 66 & 12 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the ring $\mathbb{k}[\Gamma_2]$ has the following Betti diagram.

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccccc} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\ \text{total:} & 1 & 45 & 225 & 543 & 795 & 767 & 504 & 226 & 67 & 12 & 1 \\ 0: & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1: & \cdot & 18 & 63 & 111 & 120 & 83 & 36 & 9 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot \\ 2: & \cdot & 27 & 162 & 432 & 675 & 684 & 468 & 217 & 66 & 12 & 1 \end{array}$$

△

Let I be a homogeneous ideal in a standard graded polynomial ring $\mathbb{k}[X]$. The quotient $\mathbb{k}[X]/I$ is said to have a *d-linear resolution* for some positive integer d if its only nonzero Betti numbers $\beta_{i,j}(\mathbb{k}[X]/I)$ are those with indices $i = j = 0$, or $i > 0$ and $j = i + d - 1$ (see [BH93, Exercise 4.1.17]). Equivalently, $\mathbb{k}[X]/I$ has a *d-linear resolution* if and only if I has a minimal set of generators all of degree d and $\mathbb{k}[X]/I$ has regularity $d - 1$.

Corollary 7.8. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{k}[X]$ be a squarefree monomial ideal. Then $\mathbb{k}[X]/I$ has a *d-linear resolution* if and only if $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)]/\mathcal{P}_s(I)$ has a *d-linear resolution* for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{P}_0(I) = I$, the backwards implication is immediate. In the forward direction, observe that for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the ideal $\mathcal{P}_s(I)$ is minimally generated in degree d by Corollary 6.8, and $\mathbb{k}[\mathcal{J}_s(X)]/\mathcal{P}_s(I)$ has regularity $d - 1$ by Theorem 7.6. \square

We conclude this section with a combinatorial application to principal jets of graphs. Recall that a graph G is *chordal* if it contains no induced cycle of length four or higher. We say a graph G is *cochordal* if its complement is chordal. A result of Fröberg [Frö90] characterizes cochordal graphs as those whose edge ideals have a linear resolution. We invite the reader to consult [VT13] for an introduction to this material. Corollary 7.8 immediately implies the following result.

Corollary 7.9. A graph G is cochordal if and only if, for every $s \in \mathbb{N}$, the graph $\mathcal{P}_s(G)$ of principal s -jets of G is cochordal.

8. OPEN QUESTIONS

We conclude with some questions related to the work of the previous sections. The first two are concerned with the primary components of jets of squarefree monomial ideals and the corresponding associated primes.

Question 8.1. Let C be a clutter and let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Does the ideal $\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))$ have any embedded components?

Question 8.2. Let C be a clutter and let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that we have an explicit description for a minimal vertex cover W of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$. What is the primary component of $\mathcal{J}_s(I(C))$ corresponding to the minimal prime (W) ? What is the multiplicity of the scheme $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{J}_s(I(C)))$ along the irreducible component $\mathcal{V}(\langle W \rangle)$?

For the determinantal variety of a generic matrix, there is a correspondence between certain components of its jet scheme and those of the jet scheme of a smaller matrix [KS05a, Theorem 2.8]. We wonder if a recursive construction might exist for the components of jets of squarefree monomial ideals, which can be rephrased combinatorially.

Question 8.3. Let C be a clutter and let $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Can the minimal vertex covers of $\mathcal{J}_s(C)$ be described in terms of the minimal vertex covers of some subclutter of C ?

Consider a d -uniform clutter C with vertex set X , i.e., a clutter whose edges all contain d vertices. In analogy with the notion of very well-covered graph, one could say C is very well-covered if all its minimal vertex covers have cardinality $\frac{1}{d}|X|$. We could not find this definition in the literature, so its validity should be investigated before proceeding. Assuming this definition is acceptable, one might wonder if Theorem 5.4 extends to clutters.

Question 8.4. Are the jets of a very well-covered clutter also very well-covered?

Let I be the Stanley–Reisner ideal of a simplicial complex Δ . One can define the jets and principal jets of Δ via the simplicial complexes associated with the squarefree monomial ideals $\sqrt{\mathcal{J}_s(I)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_s(I)$.

Question 8.5. What properties of the original complexes do the (principal) jets preserve? Can the invariants of (principal) jets of complexes be computed in terms of the corresponding invariants of the original complexes?

C. Yuen developed the theory of truncated wedge schemes [Yue06], a higher dimensional analog of jet schemes. As is the case for jets, the reduced scheme structure of a truncated wedge scheme of any monomial scheme is itself a monomial scheme. Thus, one can define the clutter of s -wedges of any clutter in analogy with Definition 2.5.

Question 8.6. What do these clutters of wedges look like? What properties of the original clutters do they preserve? Can their invariants be computed in terms of the corresponding invariants of the original clutters?

REFERENCES

- [AM69] Michael F. Atiyah and Ian G. Macdonald. *Introduction to commutative algebra*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont., 1969. (Cited on p. 8)
- [BCG⁺16] Cristiano Bocci, Susan Cooper, Elena Guardo, Brian Harbourne, Mike Janssen, Uwe Nagel, Alexandra Seceleanu, Adam Van Tuyl, and Thanh Vu. The Waldschmidt constant for squarefree monomial ideals. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 44(4):875–904, 2016. (Cited on p. 16)
- [BH93] Winfried Bruns and Jürgen Herzog. *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, volume 39 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993. (Cited on p. 21, 23, 25)
- [CLO15] David A. Cox, John Little, and Donal O’Shea. *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, Cham, fourth edition, 2015. An introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra. (Cited on p. 14, 17)
- [CR13] Márcia R. Cappelle and Dieter Rautenbach. Extending Berge’s and Favaron’s results about well-covered graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 313(23):2742–2747, 2013. (Cited on p. 11)
- [CRT11] Marilena Crupi, Giancarlo Rinaldo, and Naoki Terai. Cohen-Macaulay edge ideal whose height is half of the number of vertices. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 201:117–131, 2011. (Cited on p. 11)
- [CS93] Václav Chvátal and Peter J. Slater. A note on well-covered graphs. In *Quo vadis, graph theory?*, volume 55 of *Ann. Discrete Math.*, pages 179–181. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1993. (Cited on p. 11)
- [DDSG⁺18] Hailong Dao, Alessandro De Stefani, Eloísa Grifo, Craig Huneke, and Luis Núñez Betancourt. Symbolic powers of ideals. In *Singularities and foliations. geometry, topology and applications*, volume 222 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 387–432. Springer, Cham, 2018. (Cited on p. 15)
- [DL01] Jan Denef and François Loeser. Geometry on arc spaces of algebraic varieties. In *European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. I (Barcelona, 2000)*, volume 201 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 327–348. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001. (Cited on p. 1)
- [DNS19] Emanuela De Negri and Enrico Sbarra. On jet schemes of pfaffian ideals. *Collect. Math.*, 70(3):479–491, 2019. (Cited on p. 1)
- [Doc13] Roi Docampo. Arcs on determinantal varieties. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 365(5):2241–2269, 2013. (Cited on p. 1)
- [Ehr53] Charles Ehresmann. Introduction à la théorie des structures infinitésimales et des pseudo-groupes de Lie. In *Géométrie différentielle. Colloques Internationaux du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Strasbourg, 1953*, pages 97–110. CNRS, Paris, 1953. (Cited on p. 1)
- [EM09] Lawrence Ein and Mircea Mustață. Jet schemes and singularities. In *Algebraic geometry—Seattle 2005. Part 2*, volume 80 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 505–546. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009. (Cited on p. 1, 3, 4, 14, 22)
- [Far06] Sara Faridi. Monomial ideals via square-free monomial ideals. In *Commutative algebra*, volume 244 of *Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math.*, pages 85–114. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006. (Cited on p. 9)
- [Fav82] Odile Favaron. Very well covered graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 42(2-3):177–187, 1982. (Cited on p. 11, 12, 13)

- [Frö90] Ralf Fröberg. On Stanley-Reisner rings. In *Topics in algebra, Part 2 (Warsaw, 1988)*, volume 26 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 57–70. PWN, Warsaw, 1990. (Cited on p. 26)
- [Ful98] William Fulton. *Intersection theory*, volume 2 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998. (Cited on p. 8)
- [GHOS20] Yan Gu, Huy Tài Hà, Jonathan L. O’Rourke, and Joseph W. Skelton. Symbolic powers of edge ideals of graphs. *Comm. Algebra*, 48(9):3743–3760, 2020. (Cited on p. 10)
- [GHW21] Federico Galetto, Elisabeth Helmick, and Molly Walsh. Jet graphs. *Involve*, 14(5):793–812, 2021. (Cited on p. 2, 5, 7, 11)
- [GI] Federico Galetto and Nicholas Iammarino. Jets: compute jets of various algebraic, geometric and combinatorial objects. Version 1.1. A *Macaulay2* package available at <https://github.com/Macaulay2/M2/tree/master/M2/Macaulay2/packages>. (Cited on p. 15)
- [GI22] Federico Galetto and Nicholas Iammarino. Computing with jets. *J. Softw. Algebra Geom.*, 12(1):43–49, 2022. (Cited on p. 15)
- [GJS14] Sudhir R. Ghorpade, Boyan Jonov, and Bharath A. Sethuraman. Hilbert series of certain jet schemes of determinantal varieties. *Pacific J. Math.*, 272(1):147–175, 2014. (Cited on p. 3, 13, 20)
- [GS] Daniel R. Grayson and Michael E. Stillman. Macaulay2, a software system for research in algebraic geometry. Available at <http://www.math.uiuc.edu/Macaulay2/>. (Cited on p. 15)
- [GS06] Russell A. Goward, Jr. and Karen E. Smith. The jet scheme of a monomial scheme. *Comm. Algebra*, 34(5):1591–1598, 2006. (Cited on p. 2, 4, 7)
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. (Cited on p. 14)
- [HHT07] Jürgen Herzog, Takayuki Hibi, and Ngô Việt Trung. Symbolic powers of monomial ideals and vertex cover algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 210(1):304–322, 2007. (Cited on p. 6, 10)
- [HNNT20] Huy Tài Hà, Hop Dang Nguyen, Ngo Viet Trung, and Tran Nam Trung. Symbolic powers of sums of ideals. *Math. Z.*, 294(3-4):1499–1520, 2020. (Cited on p. 16)
- [Hui08] Jack Huizenga. Chromatic capacity and graph operations. *Discrete Math.*, 308(11):2134–2148, 2008. (Cited on p. 19)
- [Iam22] Nicholas Iammarino. The principal component of the jets of a graph, 2022, arXiv:2201.04164. (Cited on p. 7, 15)
- [KPSF+22] Kyouko Kimura, Mohammad Reza Pournaki, Seyed Amin Seyed Fakhari, Naoki Terai, and Siamak Yassemi. A glimpse to most of the old and new results on very well-covered graphs from the viewpoint of commutative algebra. *Res. Math. Sci.*, 9(2):Paper No. 29, 18, 2022. (Cited on p. 11)
- [KS05a] Tomaž Košir and Bharath A. Sethuraman. Determinantal varieties over truncated polynomial rings. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 195(1):75–95, 2005. (Cited on p. 1, 14, 26)
- [KS05b] Tomaž Košir and Bharath A. Sethuraman. A Groebner basis for the 2×2 determinantal ideal mod t^2 . *J. Algebra*, 292(1):138–153, 2005. (Cited on p. 1, 3, 14)
- [Mat89] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory*, volume 8 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1989. Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. (Cited on p. 8)
- [MMC+11] Mohammad Mahmoudi, Amir Mousivand, Marilena Crupi, Giancarlo Rinaldo, Naoki Terai, and Siamak Yassemi. Vertex decomposability and regularity of very well-covered graphs. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 215(10):2473–2480, 2011. (Cited on p. 11)
- [MS05] Ezra Miller and Bernd Sturmfels. *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, volume 227 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005. (Cited on p. 21, 23, 24)
- [Mus01] Mircea Mustață. Jet schemes of locally complete intersection canonical singularities. *Invent. Math.*, 145(3):397–424, 2001. With an appendix by David Eisenbud and Edward Frenkel. (Cited on p. 1)

- [Mus02] Mircea Mustața. Singularities of pairs via jet schemes. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 15(3):599–615, 2002. (Cited on p. 1)
- [Nas95] John F. Nash, Jr. Arc structure of singularities. volume 81, pages 31–38 (1996). 1995. A celebration of John F. Nash, Jr. (Cited on p. 1)
- [Olv95] Peter J. Olver. *Equivalence, invariants, and symmetry*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. (Cited on p. 1)
- [SF18] Seyed Amin Seyed Fakhari. Symbolic powers of cover ideal of very well-covered and bipartite graphs. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 146(1):97–110, 2018. (Cited on p. 2)
- [Vil15] Rafael H. Villarreal. *Monomial algebras*. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, second edition, 2015. (Cited on p. 3, 6, 7, 11)
- [VT13] Adam Van Tuyl. A beginner’s guide to edge and cover ideals. In *Monomial ideals, computations and applications*, volume 2083 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 63–94. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. (Cited on p. 26)
- [Yue06] Cornelia Yuen. One higher dimensional analog of jet schemes, 2006, arXiv:math/0608633. (Cited on p. 27)
- [Yue07a] Cornelia Yuen. Jet schemes of determinantal varieties. In *Algebra, geometry and their interactions*, volume 448 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 261–270. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007. (Cited on p. 1)
- [Yue07b] Cornelia Yuen. The multiplicity of jet schemes of a simple normal crossing divisor. *Comm. Algebra*, 35(12):3909–3911, 2007. (Cited on p. 8)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY, 2121 EUCLID AVENUE, RT 1515, CLEVELAND, OH 44115-2215, USA

Email address: f.galetto@csuohio.edu

URL: <https://math.galetto.org>

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CLEVELAND STATE UNIVERSITY, 2121 EUCLID AVENUE, RT 1515, CLEVELAND, OH 44115-2215, USA

Email address: nickiammarino@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, 530 CHURCH STREET, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109-1043, USA

Email address: twyu@umich.edu

URL: <https://sites.google.com/view/teresayu>