

DEFORMATIONS OF CURVES WITH CONSTANT CURVATURE

MOHAMMAD GHOMI AND MATTEO RAFFAELLI

ABSTRACT. We prove that curves of constant curvature satisfy the parametric \mathcal{C}^1 -dense relative h -principle in the space of immersed curves with nonvanishing curvature in Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^{n \geq 3}$. It follows that two knots of constant curvature in \mathbf{R}^3 are isotopic, resp. homotopic, through curves of constant curvature if and only if they are isotopic, resp. homotopic, and their self-linking numbers, resp. self-linking numbers mod 2, are equal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The homotopy and isotopy classes of closed curves with nonvanishing curvature in Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^n have been classified in terms of their self-linking number [5, 11, 20]. In this work we obtain parallel results for curves of constant curvature by an approximation argument.

To state our main result, let Γ be an interval $[a, b] \subset \mathbf{R}$ or the topological circle $\mathbf{R}/((b-a)\mathbf{Z})$, and $\mathcal{C}^k(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n)$ be the space of \mathcal{C}^k maps $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ with its standard topology induced by the \mathcal{C}^k -norm $|\cdot|_k$. We say that $f_0, f_1 \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n)$ are \mathcal{C}^k -homotopic if there is a family $f_t \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n)$, for $t \in [0, 1]$, such that $t \mapsto f_t$ is continuous. Then f_t is called a \mathcal{C}^k -homotopy. The space of (immersed) curves $\text{Imm}^k(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{C}^k(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n)$ consists of locally injective maps with nonvanishing derivative when $k \geq 1$. The curvature of $f \in \text{Imm}^2(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n)$ is given by $\kappa := |T'|/|f'|$, where $T := f'/|f'|$ is the *tantrix* of f .

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f_t \in \text{Imm}^{k \geq 2}(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^{n \geq 3})$ be a \mathcal{C}^2 -homotopy of curves with nonvanishing curvature. Suppose that f_0 and f_1 have constant curvature. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a \mathcal{C}^2 -homotopy $\tilde{f}_t \in \text{Imm}^k(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n)$ of curves with constant curvature such that $\tilde{f}_0 = f_0$, $\tilde{f}_1 = f_1$, and $|\tilde{f}_t - f_t|_1 \leq \varepsilon$. Furthermore, \tilde{f}_t may be tangent to f_t at any finite set of points prescribed in Γ .*

In the terminology of Gromov [12] or Eliashberg-Mishachev [4], the result above establishes a parametric \mathcal{C}^1 -dense relative h -principle for curves of constant curvature in the space of curves with nonvanishing curvature. The nonparametric version, which states that curves of constant curvature are dense in $\text{Imm}^{k \geq 2}(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n)$ with respect to the \mathcal{C}^1 -norm, had been obtained earlier in [8].

We say that $f \in \mathcal{C}^k(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n)$ is *closed* when Γ is a circle. If closed curves $f_0, f_1 \in \text{Imm}^{k \geq 2}(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^3)$ with nonvanishing curvature are \mathcal{C}^2 -homotopic through curves with nonvanishing curvature, then their tantrices T_0, T_1 are \mathcal{C}^1 -homotopic. Feldman [5] proved the converse of this phenomenon, via Smale's extension [23] of Whitney-Graustein theorem [28] to spherical curves. Furthermore, Rosenberg [20] showed that

Date: Last revised on July 3, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53A04, 57K10; Secondary 58C35, 53C21.

Key words and phrases. Parametric h -principle, Convex integration, Regular homotopy, Isotopy of knots, Self-linking number, Tantrix of space curves, Center of mass.

T_0 and T_1 are \mathcal{C}^1 -homotopic if and only if $\text{SL}_2(f_0) = \text{SL}_2(f_1)$, where SL_2 denotes the self-linking number mod 2. Thus Theorem 1.1 yields:

Corollary 1.2. *A pair of closed curves $f_0, f_1 \in \text{Imm}^{k \geq 2}(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^3)$ of constant curvature are \mathcal{C}^2 -homotopic through curves of constant curvature if and only if $\text{SL}_2(f_0) = \text{SL}_2(f_1)$.*

Let $\text{Emb}^k(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n) \subset \text{Imm}^k(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n)$ denote the space of injective or embedded curves. A pair of curves $f_0, f_1 \in \text{Emb}^k(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n)$ are \mathcal{C}^k -isotopic if they can be joined by a \mathcal{C}^k -homotopy $f_t \in \text{Emb}^k(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n)$. A *knot* is an embedded closed curve $f \in \text{Emb}^0(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^3)$. Gluck and Pan [11] showed that two knots of nonvanishing curvature $f_0, f_1 \in \text{Emb}^{k \geq 2}(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^n)$ are \mathcal{C}^2 -isotopic through knots of nonvanishing curvature if and only if they are \mathcal{C}^0 -isotopic and $\text{SL}(f_0) = \text{SL}(f_1)$, i.e., they have the same self-linking number. If f_t in Theorem 1.1 is an isotopy, then (choosing ε sufficiently small) we may assume that \tilde{f}_t is an isotopy as well. Thus we obtain:

Corollary 1.3. *A pair of knots $f_0, f_1 \in \text{Emb}^{k \geq 2}(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^3)$ of constant curvature are \mathcal{C}^2 -isotopic through knots of constant curvature if and only if they are \mathcal{C}^0 -isotopic and $\text{SL}(f_0) = \text{SL}(f_1)$.*

So the \mathcal{C}^2 homotopy and isotopy classes of closed curves of constant curvature in \mathbf{R}^3 mirror those of closed curves with nonvanishing curvature. In other words, a loop of constant curvature is just as flexible as one without inflection points. The self-linking number of a knot $f \in \text{Emb}^2(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^3)$ with nonvanishing curvature is the linking number of f with a perturbation of f along its principal normal $N := T/\kappa$. The self linking number mod 2 of a closed curve $f \in \text{Imm}^2(\Gamma, \mathbf{R}^3)$ with nonvanishing curvature is the self-linking number mod 2 of a perturbation of f to an embedded curve. See [11, 19, 20] for more background and references on self-linking number.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 utilizes the fact that, when $|f'_t| = 1$, the curvature of f_t is the speed of its tantrix T_t . Thus we approximate T_t with a homotopy \tilde{T}_t of curves with constant speed, and set $\tilde{f}_t(s) := \int_a^s \tilde{T}_t(u) du$. For \tilde{f}_t to be closed when f_t is closed, we need to have $\int_\Gamma \tilde{T}_t = \int_\Gamma T_t$. To this end we construct \tilde{T}_t by creating sinusoidal bumps near suitably chosen points along T_t , via parametric versions of classical theorems of Carathéodory and Steinitz. The bumps have to be long enough to control $\int_\Gamma \tilde{T}_t$, while vanishing sufficiently fast as $t \rightarrow 0, 1$ to ensure that \tilde{T}_t is a \mathcal{C}^1 -homotopy. Implementing this plan is particularly subtle when the convex hull of T_0 or T_1 has no interior points, as we will discuss below.

Constructing closed curves of constant curvature, by integrating spherical curves, goes back to Fenchel [6], and belongs to the convex integration theory [4, 7, 12, 24], which includes works by Whitney [28] and Nash-Kuiper [16, 18]. A nonparametric version of the above argument had been developed in [8]; however, the perturbation method there is not suitable for obtaining a \mathcal{C}^1 -homotopy of tantrices, and our present construction is fundamentally different. See [1, 10] for some other examples of parametric h -principle in Riemannian geometry.

Nontrivial \mathcal{C}^2 knots of constant curvature were first constructed by Koch and Engelhardt [15] gluing helical segments. Using the same method, McAtee [17]

obtained \mathcal{C}^2 knots in every isotopy class. Existence of \mathcal{C}^∞ knots of constant curvature, in every isotopy class, was first established in [8] via convex integration. This approach was also used later by Wasem [26] to obtain an h -principle for \mathcal{C}^2 curves with prescribed curvature.

2. REDUCTION TO A LOCAL PROBLEM

We start by showing that Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of the following result which supplies additional information on the curvature of the desired homotopy \tilde{f}_t and can be applied to subintervals in any partition of Γ . Let $I := [a, b]$. It is always assumed that $t \in [0, 1]$ unless specified otherwise.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $f_t \in \text{Imm}^{k \geq 2}(I, \mathbf{R}^{n \geq 3})$ be a \mathcal{C}^2 -homotopy of unit speed curves with nonvanishing curvature κ_t . Suppose that κ_0 and κ_1 are constant. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a \mathcal{C}^2 -homotopy $\tilde{f}_t \in \text{Imm}^k(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ of unit speed curves with constant curvature $\tilde{\kappa}_t$ such that*

- (1) $\tilde{f}_0 = f_0$ and $\tilde{f}_1 = f_1$,
- (2) $|\tilde{f}_t - f_t|_1 \leq \varepsilon$,
- (3) $\tilde{f}_t = f_t$ on ∂I ,
- (4) $\tilde{T}_t(\tilde{U}_t) = T_t(U_t)$ for some neighborhoods \tilde{U}_t, U_t of ∂I .

Furthermore, $\tilde{\kappa}_t$ may be set equal to any continuous function $c(t)$ such that $c(0) = \kappa_0$, $c(1) = \kappa_1$, $c(t) > \max(\kappa_t)$ for $t \in (0, 1)$, and $c(t)$ is sufficiently large on $[\eta, 1 - \eta]$ where $\eta > 0$ is sufficiently small.

Suppose that Theorem 2.1 holds. Then Theorem 1.1 is established as follows. We may identify Γ with I by noting that $f_t \in \text{Imm}^k(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ is closed provided that $f_t(a) = f_t(b)$ and the derivatives $f_t^{(i)}(a) = f_t^{(i)}(b)$ for $i \leq k$. We may also assume that f_t in Theorem 1.1 has unit speed. Indeed after replacing f_t with the rescalings $|I|f_t/\text{length}(f_t)$, where $|I| = b - a$, we may assume that f_t have constant length $|I|$. Then there exists a continuous family of diffeomorphisms $\theta_t: I \rightarrow I$ such that $f_t \circ \theta_t$ has unit speed. Now if we obtain a homotopy \tilde{f}_t with $|\tilde{f}_t - f_t \circ \theta_t|_1$ sufficiently small, then it is easily seen that $|\tilde{f}_t \circ \theta_t^{-1} - f_t|_1$ will also be small; see the proof of [8, Prop. 5.4]. Hence $\tilde{f}_t \circ \theta_t^{-1}$ will be the desired homotopy.

Let $a =: s_0 < \dots < s_N := b$ be any partition of I which includes the points prescribed in Γ , and set $I_i := [s_i, s_{i+1}]$. Let f_t^i be the restriction of f_t to I_i , and $c_i(t)$ be an admissible curvature function for deforming f_t^i as described in Theorem 2.1. Then $c(t) := \sum_i c_i(t)$ will also be admissible. Applying Theorem 2.1 to f_t^i with respect to $c(t)$ and ε , we obtain homotopies \tilde{f}_t^i such that $|(\tilde{f}_t^i)'| = 1$, $|\tilde{f}_t^i - f_t^i|_1 \leq \varepsilon$, and \tilde{f}_t^i have uniform constant curvature. Set $\tilde{f}_t := \tilde{f}_t^i$ on I_i . We only need to check that \tilde{f}_t is \mathcal{C}^k . Then it follows immediately that $|\tilde{f}_t - f_t|_1 \leq \varepsilon$ and \tilde{f}_t has constant curvature as desired.

To see that \tilde{f}_t is \mathcal{C}^k , first note that by item (3) in Theorem 2.1, $\tilde{f}_t^i = f_t^i = f_t$ on ∂I_i . Thus \tilde{f}_t is \mathcal{C}^0 . Furthermore, since $|(\tilde{f}_t^i)'| = 1$, $\tilde{T}_t^i = (\tilde{f}_t^i)'$. So by item (4) in Theorem 2.1, $(\tilde{f}_t^i)' = (f_t^i)' = f_t'$ on ∂I_i , which shows that \tilde{f}_t is \mathcal{C}^1 . In particular

$\tilde{T}_t = \tilde{f}'_t$ is well-defined. So it suffices to check that \tilde{T}_t is \mathcal{C}^{k-1} . Note that \tilde{T}_t is piecewise \mathcal{C}^{k-1} since $\tilde{T}_t|_{I_i} = \tilde{T}_t^i = (\tilde{f}_t^i)'$ and \tilde{f}_t^i is \mathcal{C}^k by Theorem 2.1. Furthermore, by item (4) of Theorem 2.1, if s_i lies in the interior of I , then there are neighborhoods U_{i-1} and U_i of s_i in I_{i-1} and I_i respectively such that $\tilde{T}_t(U_{i-1}) = T_t(U_{i-1})$ and $\tilde{T}_t(U_i) = T_t(U_i)$. Hence \tilde{T}_t is reparametrization of T_t with constant speed on the neighborhood $U_{i-1} \cup U_i$ of $s_i \in I$. Since T_t is \mathcal{C}^{k-1} , it follows that \tilde{T}_t is \mathcal{C}^{k-1} near s_i . So \tilde{T}_t is \mathcal{C}^{k-1} as claimed.

3. APPROXIMATION WITH NONFLAT CURVES

Here we show that homotopies $f_t \in \text{Imm}^k(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ may be perturbed to assume a generic property, which we need in order to establish Theorem 2.1. First we record the following fact, which is a standard application of Thom's jet transversality theorem [4, 13].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^{k \geq 1}(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\bar{f} \in \text{Imm}^\infty(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ such that $|\bar{f} - f|_k \leq \varepsilon$ and the first n derivatives of \bar{f} are linearly independent except possibly at finitely many points of I .*

Proof. Let $J^n(I, \mathbf{R}^n) = I \times \mathbf{R}^{n(n+1)}$ be the space of the n -jets of maps $f \in \mathcal{C}^n(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$. The n -jet $j^n f: I \rightarrow J^n(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ is given by $j^n f(s) = (s, f(s), f'(s), \dots, f^{(n)}(s))$. Let $A \subset J^n(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ consist of elements whose last n -coordinates are linearly dependent. The set of last n elements of A may be identified with $n \times n$ matrices of rank less than n , which form a stratified space of dimension $n^2 - 1$ [4, p. 16]. So $\dim(A) = 1 + n + (n^2 - 1)$ or $\text{codim}(A) = 1$. By the jet transversality theorem, for an open dense set of maps $\bar{f} \in \mathcal{C}^n(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$, $j^n \bar{f}$ is transversal to A . Since $\dim(I) = \text{codim}(A)$, $j^n \bar{f}$ may intersect A only at a discrete set. Finally, since $\text{Imm}^\infty(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}^n(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ [13, Thm. 2.12], we may assume that $\bar{f} \in \text{Imm}^\infty(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$. \square

A subset of \mathbf{R}^n is *nonflat* if its convex hull has interior points. By abuse of notation, for any mapping $f \in \mathcal{C}^k(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$, we may write f to refer to $f(I)$, when the meaning is clear from context, e.g., we say f is nonflat, if $f(I)$ is nonflat. Note that if derivatives of $f \in \mathcal{C}^n(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ are linearly independent up to order n , at some point $s \in I$, then f is nonflat.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $f_t \in \text{Imm}^{k \geq 2}(I, \mathbf{R}^{n \geq 3})$ be a \mathcal{C}^k -homotopy with nonvanishing curvature. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a \mathcal{C}^k homotopy $\bar{f}_t \in \text{Imm}^k(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ with nonvanishing curvature such that $\bar{f}_0 = f_0$, $\bar{f}_1 = f_1$, $|f_t - \bar{f}_t|_k \leq \varepsilon$, \bar{f}_t is nonflat for $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\bar{f}_t = f_t$ on a neighborhood of ∂I .*

Proof. For $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ choose $t_i \in (0, 1)$ with $t_i < t_{i+1}$ and $t_i \rightarrow 1$ or 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty$ or $-\infty$ respectively. By Lemma 3.1, there are curves $g_{t_i} \in \text{Imm}^\infty(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ such that every segment of g_{t_i} is nonflat, and $|g_{t_i} - f_{t_i}|_k \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \pm\infty$. For $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, set

$$(1) \quad \bar{f}_{(1-\lambda)t_i + \lambda t_{i+1}} := (1-\lambda)g_{t_i} + \lambda g_{t_{i+1}}.$$

This defines a homotopy $\bar{f}_t \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ with $|\bar{f}_t - f_t|_k \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 1, 0$. So setting $\bar{f}_0 := f_0$ and $\bar{f}_1 := f_1$ yields a \mathcal{C}^k -homotopy $\bar{f}_t \in \mathcal{C}^k(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$.

Since $t \mapsto |f_t|_k$ is continuous, by Lemma 3.1 we may choose t_i and g_{t_i} so that

$$(2) \quad |f_t - f_{t'}|_k \leq \delta, \text{ for } t, t' \in [t_i, t_{i+1}], \quad \text{and} \quad |g_{t_i} - f_{t_i}|_k \leq \delta,$$

for any given $\delta > 0$. For $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ we may rewrite (1) as $\bar{f}_t = (1 - \lambda_t)g_{t_i} + \lambda_t g_{t_{i+1}}$, where $\lambda_t \in [0, 1]$ is the number such that $t = (1 - \lambda_t)t_i + \lambda_t t_{i+1}$. Then,

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} |\bar{f}_t - f_t|_k &\leq (1 - \lambda_t)|g_{t_i} - f_t|_k + \lambda_t|g_{t_{i+1}} - f_t|_k \\ &\leq |g_{t_i} - f_{t_i}|_k + |f_{t_i} - f_t|_k + |g_{t_{i+1}} - f_{t_{i+1}}|_k + |f_{t_{i+1}} - f_t|_k \leq 4\delta. \end{aligned}$$

So setting $\delta \leq \varepsilon/4$, we obtain $|\bar{f}_t - f_t|_k \leq \varepsilon$ as desired. Then choosing ε sufficiently small yields that $\bar{f}_t \in \text{Imm}^k(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ and \bar{f}_t has nonvanishing curvature.

To make \bar{f}_t nonflat, let $\phi: I \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a C^∞ step function with $\phi = 0$ near 0 and $\phi = 1$ near 1. Set $\bar{t}_i := (t_i + t_{i+1})/2$, and $g_{\bar{t}_i} := \phi g_{t_i} + (1 - \phi)g_{t_{i+1}}$. Then $g_{\bar{t}_i}$ is nonflat, since it shares a segment with g_{t_i} . We have

$$|g_{\bar{t}_i} - f_{\bar{t}_i}|_k = |\phi(g_{t_i} - g_{t_{i+1}}) + g_{t_{i+1}} - f_{\bar{t}_i}|_k \leq C|g_{t_i} - g_{t_{i+1}}|_k + |g_{t_{i+1}} - f_{\bar{t}_i}|_k,$$

where C is a constant which depends only on $|\phi|_k$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{t_i} - g_{t_{i+1}}|_k &\leq |g_{t_i} - f_{t_i}|_k + |f_{t_i} - f_{t_{i+1}}|_k + |f_{t_{i+1}} - g_{t_{i+1}}|_k \leq 3\delta, \\ |g_{t_{i+1}} - f_{\bar{t}_i}|_k &\leq |g_{t_{i+1}} - f_{t_{i+1}}|_k + |f_{t_{i+1}} - f_{\bar{t}_i}|_k \leq 2\delta. \end{aligned}$$

So $|g_{\bar{t}_i} - f_{\bar{t}_i}|_k \leq (3C + 2)\delta$. Set $\delta \leq \varepsilon/(4(3C + 2))$, replace $\{t_i\}$ by a reindexing of $\{t_i, \bar{t}_i\}$, and let \bar{f}_t be the corresponding homotopy given by (1). Then $|g_{t_i} - f_{t_i}|_k \leq \varepsilon/4$ by (2), which yields $|\bar{f}_t - f_t|_k \leq \varepsilon$ by (3). Furthermore, \bar{f}_t is now nonflat, since it shares a segment with g_{t_i} or $g_{t_{i+1}}$, when $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$. Finally, using a partition of unity on I , we may glue \bar{f}_t to f_t near ∂I . \square

4. THE LENGTH AND CENTER OF MASS OF SPHERICAL CURVES

Here we reduce Theorem 2.1 to a geometric result for nonflat spherical curves, which is applied to the tantrix of f_t . The *center of mass* and *average* of a curve $f \in \text{Imm}^1(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ are defined as

$$\text{cm}(f) := \frac{1}{\text{length}(f)} \int_I f |f'|, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{ave}(f) := \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I f.$$

Note that $\text{cm}(f)$ is invariant under reparametrizations of f , and when $|f'|$ is constant, $\text{cm}(f) = \text{ave}(f)$. Let $\text{conv}(f)$ denote the convex hull of f . If f is nonflat, then $\text{cm}(f)$ and $\text{ave}(f)$ lie in the interior of $\text{conv}(f)$ [8, Lem. 2.3] which is denoted by $\text{int conv}(f)$. We say $f \in \mathcal{C}^k(I, \mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ is nonflat if f is nonflat in \mathbf{R}^n . Let $U_\varepsilon(f)$ denote the open neighborhood of f of radius ε .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f_t \in \text{Imm}^{k \geq 1}(I, \mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ be a \mathcal{C}^1 -isotopy of nonflat curves of length ℓ_t , and $x: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be a continuous map with $x(t) \in \text{int conv}(f_t)$. Suppose that $x(0) = \text{cm}(f_0)$ and $x(1) = \text{cm}(f_1)$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and natural number m , there exists a \mathcal{C}^1 -isotopy $\tilde{f}_t \in \text{Imm}^k(I, \mathbf{S}^{n+m-1})$ such that*

- (1) $\tilde{f}_0 = f_0$ and $\tilde{f}_1 = f_1$,
- (2) $\tilde{f}_t \subset U_\varepsilon(f_t)$,

- (3) $\text{cm}(\tilde{f}_t) = x(t)$,
- (4) $\tilde{f}_t = f_t$ on a neighborhood of ∂I ,
- (5) \tilde{f}_t is nonflat in \mathbf{R}^{n+m} for $t \in (0, 1)$.

Furthermore, we may set $\text{length}(\tilde{f}_t)$ equal to any continuous function $\tilde{\ell}_t$ such that $\tilde{\ell}_0 = \ell_0$, $\tilde{\ell}_1 = \ell_1$, $\tilde{\ell}_t > \ell_t$ for $t \in (0, 1)$, and $\tilde{\ell}_t$ is sufficiently large on $[\eta, 1 - \eta]$ where $\eta > 0$ is sufficiently small.

To show that Theorem 2.1 follows from Theorem 4.1, first we record:

Corollary 4.2. *Let $f_0 \in \text{Imm}^{k \geq 2}(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ be a curve with constant positive curvature, and I_i be subintervals in a partition of I . Then there exists a \mathcal{C}^2 -homotopy $\tilde{f}_t \in \text{Imm}^k(I, \mathbf{R}^n)$ with constant curvature such that $\tilde{f}_0 = f_0$, $\tilde{f}_t = f_0$ on ∂I , $\tilde{T}_t(\tilde{U}_t) = T_0(U_0)$ for some neighborhoods \tilde{U}_t, U_0 of ∂I , and \tilde{f}_t is nonflat on I_i for $t \in (0, 1)$.*

Proof. We may assume that $|f'_0| = 1$. Then $|T'_0|$ is the curvature of f_0 . So T_0 has constant speed. Let $T_t := T_0$, T_t^i be the restriction of T_t to I_i , and set $x_i(t) := \text{ave}(T_0^i)$. Let $c: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a continuous function such that $c(0) = 1$, $c(t) \geq 1$, and $c(t)$ is so large outside a small neighborhoods of 0, 1 that $\tilde{\ell}_t^i := c(t)|I_i|$ forms admissible length functions for deforming T_t^i as described in Theorem 4.1. Note that if f_0 is flat, then T_0 lies in a subsphere of \mathbf{S}^{n-1} , i.e., the intersection of \mathbf{S}^{n-1} with a subspace of \mathbf{R}^n , where it is nonflat. Thus we may apply Theorem 4.1 to T_t^i with respect to $x_i(t)$ and $\tilde{\ell}_t^i$ to obtain a homotopy $\tilde{T}_t^i \in \text{Imm}^k(I, \mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ such that \tilde{T}_t^i is nonflat in \mathbf{R}^n for $t \in (0, 1)$, $\tilde{T}_t^i = T_0$ on a neighborhood of ∂I_i , $\text{cm}(\tilde{T}_t^i) = \text{ave}(T_0^i)$, and $\text{length}(\tilde{T}_t^i) = c(t)|I_i|$. The last condition allows us to parametrize \tilde{T}_t^i with constant speed $c(t)$. Define \tilde{T}_t by setting it equal to \tilde{T}_t^i on each I_i . Then $\tilde{T}_t(\tilde{U}_t) = T_0(U_0)$ for some open neighborhoods \tilde{U}_t and U_0 of ∂I , because we had $\tilde{T}_t^i = T_0$ on a neighborhood of ∂I_i before we reparametrized \tilde{T}_t^i with constant speed. Now set $\tilde{f}_t(s) := f_0(a) + \int_a^s \tilde{T}_t$. Since \tilde{T}_t is nonflat on I_i for $t \in (0, 1)$, so is \tilde{f}_t . Furthermore, since \tilde{T}_t^i has constant speed $\text{ave}(\tilde{T}_t^i) = \text{cm}(\tilde{T}_t^i) = \text{ave}(T_0^i)$. So $\int_{I_i} \tilde{T}_t = \int_{I_i} T_0$, which yields that $\int_I \tilde{T}_t = \int_I T_0$. Thus $\tilde{f}_t = f_0$ on ∂I , which completes the proof. \square

The last observation shows that in Theorem 2.1 we may assume that f_0 and f_1 are nonflat on any given partition I_i of I . Indeed, by Corollary 4.2, f_0 and f_1 are \mathcal{C}^2 -homotopic through curves of constant curvature to a pair of curves, say \bar{f}_0 and \bar{f}_1 , which are nonflat on I_i . Concatenating these homotopies with f_t , we obtain a \mathcal{C}^2 -homotopy between \bar{f}_0 and \bar{f}_1 through curves of nonvanishing curvature, which after a perturbation we may assume to be nonflat by Proposition 3.2. Now if Theorem 2.1 holds when f_0 and f_1 are nonflat on I_i , then \bar{f}_0 and \bar{f}_1 may be joined by a \mathcal{C}^2 -homotopy of curves of constant curvature. Concatenating this homotopy with the homotopies between f_0, \bar{f}_0 and f_1, \bar{f}_1 , we obtain a \mathcal{C}^2 -homotopy between f_0 and f_1 through curves of constant curvature, as desired.

Suppose now that Theorem 4.1 holds. Then we prove Theorem 2.1 as follows. Since f_t in Theorem 2.1 has unit speed, $T_t = f'_t$. So to have $|f_t - \tilde{f}_t|_1 \leq \varepsilon$ we must have $|T_t - \tilde{T}_t|_0 \leq \varepsilon$. After subdividing I into finitely many subintervals I_i we may

assume that $\text{length}(T_t(I_i)) < \varepsilon$. Then $T_t(I_i)$ is contained in a ball B of radius ε centered at its midpoint. Now if $\tilde{T}_t(I_i) \subset U_{\varepsilon/2}(T_t(I_i))$, then $\tilde{T}_t(I_i)$ is contained in B as well. So $|\tilde{T}_t - T_t|_0 \leq \varepsilon$ on I_i . Furthermore, by Proposition 3.2, we may assume that f_t is nonflat on each I_i for $t \in (0, 1)$, which yields that so is T_t . As discussed above, we may also assume that f_0 and f_1 are nonflat on I_i via Corollary 4.2. Then so are T_0 and T_1 . Hence we may assume that T_t is nonflat on I_i .

Similar to the proof of Corollary 4.2, let T_t^i be the restriction of T_t to I_i . We may apply Theorem 4.1 to T_t^i with respect to $x_i(t) := \text{ave}(T_t^i)$ and $\tilde{\ell}_t^i = c(t)|I_i|$ to obtain the homotopy \tilde{T}_t^i with $\text{cm}(\tilde{T}_t^i) = \text{ave}(T_t^i)$ and $\text{length}(\tilde{T}_t^i) = c(t)|I_i|$. So we may assume that \tilde{T}_t^i has constant speed $c(t)$, and define \tilde{T}_t on I by setting it equal to \tilde{T}_t^i on I_i . Next we set $\tilde{f}_t(s) := f_t(a) + \int_a^s \tilde{T}_t$. Then $|\tilde{f}_t'| = |\tilde{T}_t| = 1$. So $\tilde{\kappa}_t = |\tilde{T}_t'| = c(t)$ as desired. Furthermore, since \tilde{T}_t^i has constant speed, $\text{ave}(\tilde{T}_t^i) = \text{cm}(\tilde{T}_t^i) = \text{ave}(T_t^i)$. So $\int_{I_i} \tilde{T}_t = \int_{I_i} T_t$, which yields that $\int_I \tilde{T}_t = \int_I T_t$. Thus $\tilde{f}_t = f_t$ on ∂I . Next note that $|\tilde{f}_t(s) - f_t(s)| \leq \int_a^s |\tilde{T}_t - T_t| \leq \int_I |\tilde{T}_t - T_t| = \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{I_i} |\tilde{T}_t - T_t| \leq N\varepsilon|I|$. Thus $|f_t - \tilde{f}_t|_0 \leq N\varepsilon|I|$. We also have $|f_t' - \tilde{f}_t'|_0 = |T_t(u) - \tilde{T}_t(u)|_0 \leq \varepsilon$. Hence $|f_t - \tilde{f}_t|_1$ can be made arbitrarily small.

5. PERTURBATION OF GEODESICS

Here we prove a very special case of Theorem 4.1 by an explicit elementary argument. A curve $f \in \text{Emb}^\infty(I, \mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ is a *geodesic* if it has constant speed and lies in a plane through the origin of \mathbf{R}^n .

Lemma 5.1. *For $t \in (0, 1]$, let $f_t \in \text{Emb}^\infty(I, \mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ be a C^1 -isotopy of geodesics of length ℓ_t . Then, for any continuous family of constants $\tilde{\ell}_t > \ell_t$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a C^1 -isotopy $\tilde{f}_t \in \text{Emb}^\infty(I, \mathbf{S}^{n-1})$ such that $\tilde{f}_t \subset U_\varepsilon(f_t)$, $\tilde{f}_t = f_t$ on a neighborhood of ∂I , $\text{length}(\tilde{f}_t) = \tilde{\ell}_t$, $\text{cm}(\tilde{f}_t) = \text{cm}(f_t)$, and \tilde{f}_t is nonflat. Furthermore if $\tilde{\ell}_t/\ell_t \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, then $|\tilde{f}_t - f_t|_1 \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. We may assume that $I = [-1, 1]$. After composing f_t with a family of rotations we may also assume that f_t lies in the plane of the first two coordinates, and $f_t(0) = p := (1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Let e_n be the standard basis of \mathbf{R}^n . We construct \tilde{f}_t through a sequence of $n - 2$ perturbations of f_t in the directions e_3, \dots, e_n which increase the length by $(\tilde{\ell}_t - \ell_t)/(n - 2)$ at each step, and preserve $\text{cm}(f_t)$. This is achieved using the C^∞ bump function $\beta_t: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ given by

$$\beta_t(s) := \lambda_t \exp\left(\frac{-1}{\rho_t^2 - s^2}\right) \sin(\omega_t s),$$

if $-\rho_t \leq s \leq \rho_t$, and 0 otherwise. Set $\lambda_t := \min\{|\tilde{\ell}_t - \ell_t|, \varepsilon/4\}$, and $\rho_t := \min\{m_t, 1 - m_t\}$ for $m_t \in (0, 1)$. So β_t depends only on m_t and ω_t . For $s \in [0, 1]$ and appropriate values of m_t and ω_t specified below, we define

$$f_t^1(s) := \frac{f_t(s) + \beta_t(s)e_3}{|f_t(s) + \beta_t(s)e_3|},$$

if $m_t - \rho_t \leq s \leq m_t + \rho_t$, and we set $f_t^1(s) := f_t(s)$ otherwise. Then we extend f_t^1 to $[-1, 1]$ by letting $f_t^1(-s)$ be the reflection $f_t^1(s)$ in \mathbf{S}^{n-1} with respect to p . See Figure 1. Since f_t^1 is symmetric with respect to p , $\text{cm}(f_t^1) = r \text{cm}(f_t)$ for




FIGURE 1.

some $0 < r < 1$. Furthermore there exists a unique $\omega_t \geq 0$ for each m_t such that $\text{length}(f_t^1) = \ell_t + (\tilde{\ell}_t - \ell_t)/(n-2)$, since the length of β_t increases monotonically with ω_t . Finally note that if m_t is close to 0 then $|\text{cm}(f_t^1)| \geq |\text{cm}(f_t)|$ and when m_t is close to 1 then $|\text{cm}(f_t^1)| \leq |\text{cm}(f_t)|$. It follows that there exists a unique choice for m_t such that $|\text{cm}(f_t^1)| = |\text{cm}(f_t)|$. So we have specified a unique choice for f_t^1 . Since the parameters $\ell_t, \tilde{\ell}_t, \lambda_t, \omega_t, m_t$, and ρ_t all depend continuously on t , $t \mapsto |f_t^1|_1$ is continuous. Similarly, this procedure can be continued, for $2 \leq i \leq n-2$, by setting, for $s \in [0, 1]$,

$$f_t^i(s) := \frac{f_t^{i-1}(s) + \beta_t(s)e_{i+2}}{|f_t^{i-1}(s) + \beta_t(s)e_{i+2}|},$$

if $m_t - \rho_t \leq s \leq m_t + \rho_t$, and $f_t^i(s) := f_t^{i-1}(s)$ otherwise, with appropriate values of m_t and ω_t at each step, as described above. Then $\tilde{f}_t := f_t^{n-2}$ is the desired homotopy. Finally suppose that $\tilde{\ell}_t/\ell_t \rightarrow 1$. We want to show that then $|\tilde{f}_t - f_t|_1 \rightarrow 0$. To this end note that after composing f_t and \tilde{f}_t with local homotheties in \mathbf{S}^{n-1} centered at p , we may assume that ℓ_t is constant. Consequently, since $|\tilde{\ell}_t - \ell_t| \rightarrow 0$, both the amplitude λ_t and frequency ω_t of the bumps vanish at each step, while the width of the bumps ρ_t is bounded below by a positive constant. Hence $|\tilde{f}_t - f_t|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as desired. \square

6. PARAMETRIC CARATHÉODORY AND STEINITZ THEOREMS

Here we prove one-parameter versions of some classical results in convex geometry needed to establish Theorem 4.1. Steinitz [25] [21, Thm. 1.3.10] showed that any point in the interior of the convex hull of a set $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ lies in the interior of the convex hull of at most $2n$ points of X . We need the following analogue of that result. We say that a family of sets $S_t = \{s_1(t), \dots, s_N(t)\} \subset I$ is continuous if $s_i(t)$ is continuous.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $f_t \in \text{Emb}^0(I, \mathbf{R}^{n \geq 3})$ be a C^0 -homotopy, and $x: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ be a continuous map with $x(t) \in \text{int conv}(f_t)$. Then there exists a continuous family $S_t \subset I$ of sets of $4n$ distinct points such that $x(t) \in \text{int conv}(f_t(S_t))$.*

Proof. By Steinitz theorem, for each $t \in [0, 1]$ there is a collection $S_t \subset I$ of $2n$ distinct points such that $x(t) \in \text{int conv}(f_t(S_t))$. By continuity of $t \mapsto f_t$, for each $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ we have $x(t) \in \text{int conv}(f_t(S_{t_0}))$ for t close to t_0 . By Lebesgue number lemma, there

exists a partition $0 = t_0 < \dots < t_\ell = 1$ of $[0, 1]$ such that $x(t) \in \text{int conv}(f_t(S_{t_i}))$ for $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$. Let S_t^e be a continuous selection of $2n$ points such that $S_t^e = S_{t_i}$ whenever $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ and i is even. Similarly let S_t^o be a continuous selection of $2n$ points such that $S_t^o = S_{t_i}$ whenever $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ and i is odd. Then $S_t := S_t^e \cup S_t^o$ gives a continuous selection of $4n$ points which contain $x(t)$ within the interior of their convex hull; however, S_t may contain fewer than $4n$ points.

To complete the proof it remains to show that there is a continuous selection of $4n$ distinct points of I arbitrarily close to S_t with respect to Hausdorff distance between subsets of I . Note that S_t may be viewed as a continuous mapping $[0, 1] \rightarrow I^{4n} \subset \mathbf{R}^{4n}$. We need to find a continuous map $\tilde{S}_t: [0, 1] \rightarrow I^{4n}$, which is arbitrarily close to S_t as a subset of I , and such that \tilde{S}_t does not intersect any of the hyperplanes H_{ij} where the i^{th} and j^{th} coordinates of \mathbf{R}^{4n} are equal, for $1 \leq i < j \leq 4n$. Let H_{ij}^\pm be the closed half-spaces determined by H_{ij} . If any segment of S_t lies in H_{ij}^- , we may reflect that with respect to H_{ij} to obtain a mapping \bar{S}_t which is contained in H_{ij}^+ (in other words, whenever two points of S_t cross each other, then we switch their indices). So \bar{S}_t and S_t coincide as subsets of I . Finally, we may perturb \bar{S}_t so that it lies in the interior of H_{ij}^+ which yields the desired mapping \tilde{S}_t . \square

Carathéodory's theorem [2] [21, Thm. 1.1.4] states that any point x in the convex hull of a set $X \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is a convex combination of at most $n + 1$ points p_i of X , i.e., there exist constants $a_i > 0$ with $\sum_i a_i = 1$ such that $x = \sum_i a_i p_i$. We need the following continuous version of Carathéodory's theorem:

Lemma 6.2. *Let $p_i: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, be \mathcal{C}^k maps. Suppose there exists a \mathcal{C}^k map $x: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $x(t) \in \text{int conv}(\{p_i(t)\})$. Then there are \mathcal{C}^k functions $a_i(t)$ such that $a_i > 0$, $\sum_i a_i(t) = 1$, and $\sum_i a_i(t)p_i(t) = x(t)$.*

Proof. We may assume that $x(t) = 0$ after replacing $p_i(t)$ with $p_i(t) - x(t)$. Then we need to find functions $a_i(t)$, such that $P(t)a(t) = 0$, where $P(t)$ is the $n \times N$ matrix with columns $p_i(t)$, and $a(t)$ is the vector with components $a_i(t)$. So $a(t) \in \ker(P(t))$. By Carathéodory's theorem, there are coefficients $a^0(t_0) := (a_1^0(t_0), \dots, a_N^0(t_0))$ fulfilling these properties at any given point $t_0 \in (0, 1)$. We may assume that $a_i^0(t_0) > 0$ since 0 lies in the interior of the convex hull. Note that $P(t)$ has constant rank n , since $\text{int conv}(\{p_i(t)\}) \neq \emptyset$. So $\ker(P(t))$ has dimension $N - n$. Since $p_i(t)$ are \mathcal{C}^k , we may choose a \mathcal{C}^k family of orthonormal bases $e_j(t)$ for $\ker(P(t))$ near t_0 , by a theorem of Doležal [3, 22, 27]. Then the projection $a^0(t) := \sum \langle a^0(t_0), e_j(t) \rangle e_j(t)$ of $a^0(t_0)$ into $\ker(P(t))$ will be \mathcal{C}^k . Furthermore, since $a_i^0(t_0) > 0$, we have $a_i^0(t) > 0$ for t near t_0 . So the desired coefficients can be found locally. Thus we may cover $[0, 1]$ by a finite number of open subsets where the desired coefficients exist and glue them together via a partition of unity. \square

Note 6.3. If $p_i(t)$ in the last result were constant, then the conclusion would follow immediately from a theorem of Kalman [14] who established existence of continuous barycentric coordinates inside convex polytopes.

Note 6.4. Lemma 6.1 does not hold, for any finite number of points, if we require that $x(t)$ lie only in the relative interior of $\text{conv}(f_t)$, i.e., allow f_t to be flat [9].

7. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

Here we prove Theorem 4.1, which yields Theorems 2.1 and 1.1 as discussed above. The proof proceeds in four stages: (I) we define a certain point $\bar{x}(t)$ associated to $x(t)$, and select points $p_i(t)$ of f_t which contain $\bar{x}(t)$ within their convex hull; (II) we perturb f_t to a curve \bar{f}_t which contains geodesic segments \bar{g}_t^i near $p_i(t)$, see Figure 2; (III) we perturb \bar{f}_t to the desired homotopy \tilde{f}_t by replacing \bar{g}_t^i with longer curves \tilde{g}_t^i via Lemma 5.1; (IV) we verify that \tilde{f}_t is a \mathcal{C}^1 -homotopy.




FIGURE 2.

(I) We may assume that $f_t = f_0$ for $t \in [0, \eta]$, and $f_t = f_1$ for $t \in [1 - \eta, 1]$ if $\eta > 0$ is sufficiently small. Accordingly, we set $x(t) = x(0)$ for $t \in [0, \eta]$ and $x(t) = x(1)$ for $t \in [1 - \eta, 1]$. So $x(t) = \text{cm}(f_t)$ for $t \in [0, \eta] \cup [1 - \eta, 1]$. Define $\bar{x}: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ by setting $\bar{x}(0) := x(0)$, $\bar{x}(1) := x(1)$, and

$$\bar{x}(t) := \frac{\tilde{\ell}_t x(t) - \ell_t \text{cm}(f_t)}{\tilde{\ell}_t - \ell_t}$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$. Since $x(t) = \text{cm}(f_t)$ near 0 and 1, \bar{x} is continuous. Next note that as $\tilde{\ell}_t$ grows large, $\bar{x}(t) \rightarrow x(t)$. So choosing $\tilde{\ell}_t$ sufficiently large on $[\eta, 1 - \eta]$, we can make sure that $\bar{x}(t) \in \text{int conv}(f_t)$. Now, by lemma 6.1, we may continuously choose $4n$ distinct points $s_i(t) \in \text{int}(I)$ such that if $p_i(t) := f_t(s_i(t))$ then $\bar{x}(t) \in \text{int conv}\{p_i(t)\}$. Thus, by Lemma 6.2, there are continuous functions $a_i(t) > 0$ with $\sum a_i(t) = 1$ such that

$$\sum a_i(t) p_i(t) = \bar{x}(t).$$

We assume that all sums range over $i = 1, \dots, 4n$.

(II) Let $\delta: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a continuous function with $\delta(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ and $\delta(0) = 0 = \delta(1)$. We may assume that $\max(\delta)$ is smaller than the smallest distance in f_t between the points $p_i(t)$, $f_t(a)$, $f_t(b)$. Then there exist points $r_i(t)$, $q_i(t)$ in f_t which are at distance $\delta(t)$ from $p_i(t)$, as measured in f_t , and lie on different sides of $p_i(t)$ when $\delta(t) > 0$. Let $\widehat{r_i(t)q_i(t)}$ be the segment of f_t between $r_i(t)$ and $q_i(t)$. So

$$|\widehat{r_i(t)q_i(t)}| = 2\delta(t).$$

Replacing $\widehat{r_i(t)q_i(t)}$ with the geodesic segment $r_i(t)q_i(t)$ in \mathbf{S}^{n-1} , and reparametrizing with constant speed, yields a \mathcal{C}^0 -homotopy $\hat{f}_t \in \text{Imm}^0(I, \mathbf{S}^n)$. Since f_t is \mathcal{C}^1 , $|\widehat{r_i(t)q_i(t)}|/|r_i(t)q_i(t)| \rightarrow 1$ as $\delta(t) \rightarrow 0$. Thus, if $\max(\delta)$ is sufficiently small, we can

make sure that $|r_i(t)q_i(t)| > \delta(t)$. Let \bar{g}_t^i be the subsegment of $r_i(t)q_i(t)$ of length $|\bar{g}_t^i| = a_i(t)\delta(t)$ centered at the midpoint of $r_i(t)q_i(t)$. So $\bar{g}_0^i = p_i(0)$. If we set $\bar{g}_t := \cup_i \bar{g}_t^i$, then we may record that

$$|\bar{g}_t| = \delta(t), \quad \text{and} \quad |\bar{g}_t^i| = a_i(t)|\bar{g}_t|.$$

Furthermore, we set

$$\bar{p}_i(t) := \text{cm}(\bar{g}_t^i).$$

Next we smoothen \hat{f}_t by rounding off its corners at $r_i(t)$ and $q_i(t)$ as follows. Let $I' \subset \text{int}(I)$ be a subsegment so large that $\hat{f}_t(I')$ contains all segments $r_i(t)q_i(t)$, $\phi: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a \mathcal{C}^∞ (bump) function such that $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, $\phi > 0$ on I' and $\phi = 0$ near ∂I , and $\theta_t: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a continuous family of symmetric \mathcal{C}^∞ functions with $\theta_t \geq 0$, $\int_I \theta_t(s)ds = 1$ and compact support $\text{supp}(\theta_t)$. Now define

$$\bar{f}_t := \frac{\phi(\hat{f}_t * \theta_t) + (1 - \phi)\hat{f}_t}{|\phi(\hat{f}_t * \theta_t) + (1 - \phi)\hat{f}_t|}.$$

Then $\bar{f}_t \in \text{Imm}^k(I, \mathbf{S}^{n-1})$, and assuming $\text{supp}(\theta_t)$ is sufficiently small, we have $\bar{g}_t^i \subset \bar{f}_t$. Since $|\hat{f}_t - f_t|_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta(t) \rightarrow 0$, 1, $|\hat{f}_t * \theta_t - f_t|_1 \rightarrow 0$, which in turn yields that $|\bar{f}_t - f_t|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta(t) \rightarrow 0$, 1. Furthermore, if we set $\bar{\ell}_t := \text{length}(\bar{f}_t)$ and $\hat{\ell}_t := \text{length}(\hat{f}_t)$, then $\bar{\ell}_t \rightarrow \hat{\ell}_t$ as $\text{supp}(\theta_t) \rightarrow 0$. So assuming $\text{supp}(\theta_t)$ is sufficiently small, we have

$$(4) \quad |\bar{\ell}_t - \ell_t| \leq 2|\hat{\ell}_t - \ell_t| = 2 \sum (|\widehat{r_i(t)q_i(t)}| - |r_i(t)q_i(t)|).$$

(III) Now we construct the desired homotopy \tilde{f}_t by applying Lemma 5.1 to deform each segment \bar{g}_t^i of \bar{f}_t to a canonical curve \tilde{g}_t^i with appropriate length $|\tilde{g}_t^i|$ so that $\text{cm}(\tilde{f}_t) = x(t)$ and $\text{length}(\tilde{f}_t) = \tilde{\ell}_t$. Set $\tilde{g}_t := \cup_i \tilde{g}_t^i$. Then $\tilde{f}_t \setminus \tilde{g}_t = \bar{f}_t \setminus \bar{g}_t$, which yields

$$|\tilde{g}_t| = \tilde{\ell}_t - \bar{\ell}_t + |\bar{g}_t|.$$

Since $\bar{\ell}_t$ can be made arbitrarily close to $\hat{\ell}_t$ which is smaller than ℓ_t for $t \in (0, 1)$, we may assume that $\bar{\ell}_t < \ell_t$ for $t \in (0, 1)$ by choosing $\text{supp}(\theta_t)$ sufficiently small, which yields that $|\tilde{g}_t| > |\bar{g}_t|$ for $t \in (0, 1)$. So, by Lemma 5.1, we may require that \tilde{g}_t and consequently \tilde{f}_t be nonflat in \mathbf{S}^{n+m-1} for $t \in (0, 1)$, as desired. Next note that, by Lemma 5.1, $\text{cm}(\tilde{g}_t^i) = \text{cm}(\bar{g}_t^i) = \bar{p}_i(t)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cm}(\tilde{f}_t) &= \sum \frac{|\tilde{g}_t^i|}{\tilde{\ell}_t} \text{cm}(\tilde{g}_t^i) + \frac{\tilde{\ell}_t - |\tilde{g}_t|}{\tilde{\ell}_t} \text{cm}(\tilde{f}_t \setminus \tilde{g}_t) \\ &= \sum \frac{|\tilde{g}_t^i|}{\tilde{\ell}_t} \bar{p}_i(t) + \frac{\tilde{\ell}_t - |\tilde{g}_t|}{\tilde{\ell}_t} \text{cm}(\bar{f}_t \setminus \bar{g}_t). \end{aligned}$$

Setting the last expression above equal to $x(t)$ we obtain

$$(5) \quad \sum \frac{|\tilde{g}_t^i|}{|\tilde{g}_t|} \bar{p}_i(t) = \frac{\tilde{\ell}_t x(t) - (\tilde{\ell}_t - |\tilde{g}_t|) \text{cm}(\bar{f}_t \setminus \bar{g}_t)}{|\tilde{g}_t|}$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$. Thus $\text{cm}(\tilde{f}_t) = x(t)$ provided that we find values for $|\tilde{g}_t^i|$ so that (5) holds. Note that, as $\delta(t) \rightarrow 0$, $\text{cm}(\tilde{f}_t \setminus \tilde{g}_t) \rightarrow \text{cm}(f_t)$, $\tilde{\ell}_t - |\tilde{g}_t| \rightarrow \ell_t$, and $|\tilde{g}_t| \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}_t - \ell_t$. So the right hand side of (5) which we call $\tilde{x}(t)$ converges to $\bar{x}(t)$, and thus lies in $\text{int conv}\{p_i(t)\}$ if $\max(\delta)$ is sufficiently small. On the other hand $\tilde{p}_i(t) \rightarrow p_i(t)$. So assuming $\max(\delta)$ is sufficiently small, we have

$$\tilde{x}(t) \in \text{int conv}\{\tilde{p}_i(t)\}$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$. If we set $\tilde{x}(0) := x(0)$, $\tilde{x}(1) := x(1)$, then \tilde{x} is continuous on $[0, 1]$, and the above inclusion still holds at $t = 0, 1$, since $\tilde{p}_i(0) = \tilde{g}_0^i = p_i(0)$ and $\tilde{p}_i(1) = \tilde{g}_1^i = p_i(1)$ by definition. Thus, by Lemma 6.2, there are continuous functions $\tilde{a}_i(t) > 0$ with $\sum \tilde{a}_i(t) = 1$ such that $\sum \tilde{a}_i(t)\tilde{p}_i(t) = \tilde{x}(t)$. Hence if we set

$$|\tilde{g}_t^i| := \tilde{a}_i(t)|\tilde{g}_t|,$$

then (5) holds and we obtain $\text{cm}(\tilde{f}_t) = x(t)$ as desired.

(IV) It remains to check that \tilde{f}_t is a \mathcal{C}^1 -homotopy, i.e., $|\tilde{f}_t - f_0|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. To this end note that $|\tilde{f}_t - f_0|_1 \leq |\tilde{f}_t - \bar{f}_t|_1 + |\bar{f}_t - f_0|_1$ and $|\bar{f}_t - f_0|_1 \rightarrow 0$ as we discussed in Part II above. So we just need to show that $|\tilde{f}_t - \bar{f}_t|_1 \rightarrow 0$, which is the case provided that $|\tilde{g}_t^i - \bar{g}_t^i|_1 \rightarrow 0$. Thus, by Lemma 5.1, it suffices to check that $|\tilde{g}_t^i|/|\bar{g}_t^i| \rightarrow 1$, as $t \rightarrow 0, 1$. Note that, for $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$\frac{|\tilde{g}_t^i|}{|\bar{g}_t^i|} = \frac{\tilde{a}_i(t)|\tilde{g}_t|}{a_i(t)|\bar{g}_t|} = \frac{\tilde{a}_i(t)}{a_i(t)} \left(\frac{|\tilde{\ell}_t - \bar{\ell}_t|}{\delta(t)} + 1 \right).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\frac{\tilde{\ell}_t - \bar{\ell}_t}{\delta(t)} \leq \frac{|\tilde{\ell}_t - \ell_t|}{\delta(t)} + \frac{|\ell_t - \bar{\ell}_t|}{\delta(t)}.$$

We can make sure that $(\tilde{\ell}_t - \ell_t)/\delta(t)$ vanishes as $t \rightarrow 0, 1$, by setting $\delta(t) \geq (\tilde{\ell}_t - \ell_t)^{1/2}$ for t close to 0, 1. This is possible since $\tilde{\ell}_0 = \ell_0$, $\tilde{\ell}_1 = \ell_1$, and all other constraints for $\delta(t)$ mentioned above where positive upper bounds for $\max(\delta)$. Next note that by (4),

$$\frac{\ell_t - \bar{\ell}_t}{\delta(t)} = 2 \frac{\ell_t - \bar{\ell}_t}{|r_i(t)q_i(t)|} \leq 4 \sum \left(1 - \frac{|r_i(t)q_i(t)|}{|r_i(t)q_i(t)|} \right).$$

Since $\delta(0) = 0 = \delta(1)$, $|r_i(t)q_i(t)| \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0, 1$. Thus, since f_t is \mathcal{C}^1 , the right hand side of the last expression above vanishes as $t \rightarrow 0, 1$. Hence $|\tilde{g}_t|/|\bar{g}_t| \rightarrow 1$. Now it suffices to note that $\tilde{a}_i(t_0) = a_i(t_0)$, for $t_0 = 0, 1$. Indeed by definition $\tilde{a}_i(t_0)$ are barycentric coordinates of $\tilde{x}(t_0) = x(t_0) = \bar{x}(t_0)$, with respect to $p_i(t_0)$. Furthermore, $a_i(t)$ are the coordinates of $\bar{x}(t)$ with respect to $p_i(t)$, which completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Bleecker, *Isometric deformations of compact hypersurfaces*, Geom. Dedicata **64** (1997), no. 2, 193–227. [MR1436765](#) \uparrow 2
- [2] C. Carathéodory, *Über den Variabilitätsbereich der Fourier'schen Konstanten von positiven harmonischen Funktionen*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **32** (1911), 193–217. \uparrow 9

- [3] V. Doležal, *The existence of a continuous basis of a certain linear subspace of E_r which depends on a parameter*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. **89** (1964), 466–469. MR181644 ↑9
- [4] Y. Eliashberg and N. Mishachev, *Introduction to the h -principle*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 48, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. MR1909245 (2003g:53164) ↑1, 2, 4
- [5] E. A. Feldman, *Deformations of closed space curves*, J. Differential Geometry **2** (1968), 67–75. MR0232400 (38 #725) ↑1
- [6] W. Fenchel, *Geschlossene raumkurven mit vorgeschriebenem tangentialbild.*, Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung **39** (1930), 183–185. ↑2
- [7] H. Geiges, *h -principles and flexibility in geometry*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **164** (2003), no. 779, viii+58. MR1982875 ↑2
- [8] M. Ghomi, *h -principles for curves and knots of constant curvature*, Geom. Dedicata **127** (2007), 19–35. MR2338512 (2008j:53074) ↑1, 2, 3, 5
- [9] ———, *A continuous version of carathéodory’s convex hull theorem*, MathOverflow (2024), available at <https://mathoverflow.net/q/466202>. ↑10
- [10] M. Ghomi and M. Kossowski, *h -principles for hypersurfaces with prescribed principal curvatures and directions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **358** (2006), no. 10, 4379–4393 (electronic). MR2231382 ↑2
- [11] H. Gluck and L.-H. Pan, *Embedding and knotting of positive curvature surfaces in 3-space*, Topology **37** (1998), no. 4, 851–873. MR98m:57008 ↑1, 2
- [12] M. Gromov, *Partial differential relations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986. MR90a:58201 ↑1, 2
- [13] M. W. Hirsch, *Differential topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 33, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994. Corrected reprint of the 1976 original. MR1336822 ↑4
- [14] J. A. Kalman, *Continuity and convexity of projections and barycentric coordinates in convex polyhedra*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 1017–1022. MR133732 ↑9
- [15] R. Koch and C. Engelhardt, *Closed space curves of constant curvature consisting of arcs of circular helices*, J. Geom. Graph. **2** (1998), no. 1, 17–31. MR1647587 ↑2
- [16] N. H. Kuiper, *On C^1 -isometric imbeddings. I, II*, Indag. Math. **17** (1955), 545–556, 683–689. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A **58**. MR75640 ↑2
- [17] J. M. McAtee Ganatra, *Knots of constant curvature*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **16** (2007), no. 4, 461–470. MR2327737 ↑2
- [18] J. Nash, *C^1 isometric imbeddings*, Ann. of Math. (2) **60** (1954), 383–396. MR0065993 (16,515e) ↑2
- [19] W. F. Pohl, *The self-linking number of a closed space curve*, J. Math. Mech. **17** (1967/68), 975–985. MR222777 ↑2
- [20] H. Rosenberg, *Hypersurfaces of constant curvature in space forms*, Bull. Sci. Math. **117** (1993), no. 2, 211–239. MR1216008 ↑1, 2
- [21] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, expanded, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014. MR3155183 ↑8, 9
- [22] L. M. Silverman and R. S. Bucy, *Generalizations of a theorem of Doležal*, Math. Systems Theory **4** (1970), 334–339. MR276253 ↑9
- [23] S. Smale, *Regular curves on Riemannian manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1958), 492–512. MR20#1319 ↑1
- [24] D. Spring, *Convex integration theory*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 92, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998. Solutions to the h -principle in geometry and topology. MR1488424 ↑2
- [25] E. Steinitz, *Bedingt konvergente Reihen und konvexe Systeme*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **143** (1913), 128–176. MR1580879 ↑8
- [26] M. Wasem, *h -Principle for curves with prescribed curvature*, Geom. Dedicata **184** (2016), 135–142. MR3547785 ↑3
- [27] L. Weiss and P. L. Falb, *Doležal’s theorem, linear algebra with continuously parametrized elements, and time-varying systems*, Math. Systems Theory **3** (1969), 67–75. MR285547 ↑9

- [28] H. Whitney, *On regular closed curves in the plane*, *Compositio Math.* **4** (1937), 276–284. [↑1](#), [2](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30332

Email address: ghomi@math.gatech.edu

URL: www.math.gatech.edu/~ghomi

INSTITUTE OF DISCRETE MATHEMATICS AND GEOMETRY, TU WIEN, WIEDNER HAUPTSTRASSE
8-10/104, 1040 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

Email address: matteo.raffaelli@tuwien.ac.at

URL: matteoraffaelli.com