The α -induction of Superconformal Nets

ZIYUN XU

Graduate School of Mathematical Sciences
The University of Tokyo, Komaba, Tokyo, 153-8914, Japan
e-mail: zyxu0805@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp

July 11, 2024

Abstract

The successful application of α -induction to the conformal field theory has given rise to many amazing results. For example, the classification of conformal nets in the discrete series. In this paper, however, we study α -induction of graded local conformal nets. The main difference lies between locality in the conformal net cases and graded locality in the graded local conformal net cases. We show that the inclusions of graded local conformal nets give braided subfactors. We check that the α -induction is still valid in terms of graded local conformal nets. Moreover, the simple current extension originally defined in terms of conformal nets still works for graded local conformal nets. Consequently, compared to [11], we give a shorter proof of classification of N=2 superconformal nets in the discrete series according to Gannon's list [14].

1 Introduction

The subfactor theory initiated by Jones [17] has opened many surprising connections of operator algebras to various branches of mathematics and physics, such as 3-dimensional topology, quantum groups and statistical mechanics. The conformal net [13], [23] is a central aspect of subfactor theory concerning two dimensional conformal field theory. Methods of subfactor theory have turned out to be powerful in the operator algebraic approach to conformal field theory. α -induction was first introduced in [22] and studied in [24], with a more general setting studied in [1], [2], [3]. In [4], α -induction was defined and studied in a fully general setting. By applying α -induction to certain subfactors [18], they gave a classification of conformal nets with central charge less than one and two dimensional full conformal nets [19].

A superconformal net is an operator algebraic approach to two dimensional superconformal field theory. The N=1 superconformal nets were first introduced and studied in [8] and N=2 superconformal nets were introduced and studied in [11]. In [11] they studied the α -induction of the bose subnet of a given N=2 superconformal net. By analyzing fermionization of the inclusion of bose subnets, they gave a classification of N=2 superconformal nets in the discrete series.

In this paper, we directly study the α -induction of a given graded local conformal net and give a shorter classification of N=2 superconformal nets in the discrete series. We show that the general idea of [11] is still valid for the α -induction of graded local conformal nets

and their classification process can be simplified. More precisely, the general idea of classifying N=2 superconformal nets in the discrete series is similar to classifying conformal nets in the discrete series. Given an N=2 superconformal net \mathcal{A} in the discrete series, we have a net of subfactors $\mathcal{A}_c(I) \subset \mathcal{A}(I)$, where \mathcal{A}_c is the N=2 super-Virasoro net. From one of those subfactors, we can get a modular invariant (i.e., a matrix $Z_{\lambda,\mu}$) by α -induction. On the other hand, given a modular invariant, we can construct the dual canonical endomorphism as $\theta = \bigoplus Z_{0,\lambda}\lambda$. Then we get a subfactor associated with the dual canonical endomorphism. We show that the map $\mathcal{A} \to Z$ is a bijection.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Braided subfactors and α -induction

Let N, M be infinite factors. We denote by Mor(N, M) the set of unital *-homomorphisms from N to M. The statistical dimension of $\lambda \in \text{Mor}(N, M)$ is defined as $d_{\lambda} := [M : \lambda(N)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, where $[M:\lambda(N)]$ is the minimal index [17], [21]. A morphism $\lambda \in Mor(N,M)$ is called irreducible if $\lambda(N) \subset M$ is irreducible, i.e. $\lambda(N)' \cap M = \mathbb{C}$. Two morphisms $\lambda, \lambda' \in \operatorname{Mor}(N, M)$ are called unitary equivalent if there is a unitary $u \in M$ such that $\lambda' = u^* \lambda u$. The unitary equivalence class $[\lambda]$ of a morphism $\lambda \in Mor(N, M)$ is called an M-N sector. For sectors, we have a notion of direct sums, products and conjugates(cf. and the references therein for more details). For $\lambda, \mu \in Mor(N, M)$ we denote $Hom(\lambda, \mu) = \{m \in M : m\lambda(n) = 1\}$ $\mu(n)m, n \in N$ and $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(\lambda, \mu)$. Let N be a type III factor equipped with a system $\Delta \subset \operatorname{Mor}(N,N)$ of endomorphisms in the sense of ([4], definition 2.1). This means morphisms in Δ are irreducible and have finite statistical dimensions and they are different as sectors. In addition, they form a closed fusion algebra. Then $\Sigma(\Delta) \subset \operatorname{Mor}(N,N)$ denotes the set of morphisms which decompose as sectors into finite sums of elements in Δ . We assume that Δ is braided in the sense of [4] and we extend the braiding to $\Sigma(\Delta)$. We then consider a braided subfactor $N \subset M$, i.e. they are both type III factors and that the dual canonical endomorphism sector $[\theta]$ decomposes in a finite sum of sectors of morphisms in Δ , i.e. $\theta \in \Sigma(\Delta)$. Here $\theta = \bar{\iota}\iota$ with $\iota : N \to M$ being the injection homomorphism and $\bar{\iota} \in \mathrm{Mor}(M,N)$ being a conjugate morphism. Note that this forces the statistical dimension of θ and thus the index of the subfactor $N \subset M$ to be finite. Then we can define α -induction by

$$\alpha_{\lambda}^{\pm} = \overline{\iota}^{-1} \circ \operatorname{Ad}(\epsilon(\lambda, \theta)^{\pm}) \circ \lambda \circ \overline{\iota}$$

for $\lambda \in \Sigma(\Delta)$. Then $\alpha_{\lambda}^{\pm} \in \operatorname{Mor}(M,M)$ and satisfy $\alpha_{\lambda}^{\pm}|_{N} = \lambda$. The ambichiral system ${}_{M}\mathcal{X}_{M}^{0} \subset \operatorname{Mor}(M,M)$ is the subset corresponding to subsectors of α_{λ}^{+} and α_{μ}^{-} when λ and μ vary in Δ . By theorem 4.2 in [5], there is a non-degenerating braiding on the ambichiral structure ${}_{M}\mathcal{X}_{M}^{0}$ satisfying braided fusion equations in the sense of corollary 2.8 in [1].

2.2 Q-systems and classification

Let M be an infinite factor. A Q-system [20] is a triple of an endomorphism of M and isometries $v \in \text{Hom}(\mathrm{id}, \gamma), w \in \text{Hom}(\gamma, \gamma^2)$ satisfying the following identities:

$$v^*w = \gamma(v^*)w \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}, \gamma(w)w = w^2.$$

If $N \subset M$ is a finite index subfactor, the associated canonical endomorphism gives rise to a Q-system. Conversely, any Q-system determines an extension $N \subset M$ such that γ is the canonical endomorphism: N is given by $N = \{x \in M : wx = \gamma(x)w\}$.

3 Graded Local Conformal Nets

The concept of graded local conformal nets is a generalization of the notion of local conformal nets and has been explicitly introduced and studied in [8].

Let $S^1 = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ be the unit circle. Let $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$ be the infinite dimensional real Lie group of orientation-preserving smooth diffeomorphisms of S^1 and denote by $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ the corresponding n-cover. In particular, $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)^{(\infty)}$ is the universal covering group of $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$. By identifying $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ with the group of $\mathrm{M\"obius}$ transformations on S^1 we can consider it as a three dimensional Lie subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$. We denote by $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})^{(n)} \subset \mathrm{Diff}(S^1)^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ the corresponding n-cover so that $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})^{(\infty)}$ is the universal covering group of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$. We denote by $\dot{g} \in \mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$ the image of $g \in \mathrm{Diff}(S^1)^{(\infty)}$ under the covering map.

Let \mathcal{I} denote the set of all connected non-dense open intervals in S^1 . For $I \in \mathcal{I}$, I' denotes the interior of $S^1 \setminus I$. Given $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the subgroup $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)_I$ of diffeomorphisms localized in I is defined as the stabilizer of I in $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$, namely the subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)$ whose elements are the diffeomorphisms acting trivially on I'.

Definition 1. A graded local conformal net A on S^1 is a map $I \mapsto A(I)$ from the set of intervals(connected, non dense) I to the set of von Neumann algebras acting on a common infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space H which satisfies the following properties:

- 1. Isotony. $A(I) \subset A(J)$ if $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ and $I \subset J$.
- 2. Möbius covariance. There is a strongly continuous unitary representation U of $PSL(2,\mathbb{R})^{(\infty)}$ such that

$$U_q \mathcal{A}(I) U_q^* = \mathcal{A}(\dot{g}I), g \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})^{(\infty)}, I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

- 3. Positive energy. The conformal Hamiltonian L_0 is positive.
- 4. Existence and uniqueness of the vacuum. There exists a U-invariant vector $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}$ which is unique up to a phase and cyclic for $\bigvee_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{A}(I)$, the von Neumann algebra generated by the algebras $\mathcal{A}(I), I \in \mathcal{I}$.
- 5. Graded locality. There exists a self adjoint unitary (the grading unitary) Γ on \mathcal{H} satisfying $\Gamma \mathcal{A}(I)\Gamma = \mathcal{A}(I)$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\Gamma \Omega = \Omega$ and such that $\mathcal{A}(I') \subset Z\mathcal{A}(I)'Z^*$, $I \in \mathcal{I}$, where $Z := \frac{1-i\Gamma}{1-\Gamma}$.
- 6. Diffeomorphism covariance. There is a strongly continuous projective unitary representation of $\mathrm{Diff}(S^1)^{(\infty)}$, denoted again by U, extending the unitary representation of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})^{(\infty)}$ and such that

$$U_g \mathcal{A}(I)U_g^* = \mathcal{A}(\dot{g}I), \ g \in \text{Diff}(S^1)^{(\infty)}, \ I \in \mathcal{I},$$

and such that

$$U_g x U_g^* = x, x \in \mathcal{A}(I'), \ g \in \text{Diff}(S^1)_I^{(\infty)}, \ I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

A local conformal net is a graded local conformal net with trivial gauge unitary $\Gamma = 1$. The bose subnet of a graded local conformal net \mathcal{A} is defined as the fixed point subnet \mathcal{A}^{γ} with $\gamma = \operatorname{Ad} \Gamma$. The restriction of \mathcal{A}^{γ} to the bose subspace of \mathcal{H} is a local conformal net with respect to the restriction to this subspace of the projective representation U of $\operatorname{Diff}(S^1)^{(\infty)}$. Some consequences [8],[13], [15], [10] of the preceding definition are:

- 1. Reeh-Schlieder Property. Ω is cyclic and separating for every $\mathcal{A}(I), I \in \mathcal{I}$.
- 2. Bisognano-Wichmann Property. Let $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and let Δ_I, J_I be the modular operator and the modular conjugation of $(\mathcal{A}(I), \Omega)$. Then we have

$$U(\delta_I(-2\pi t)) = \Delta_I^{it}, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, the unitary representation $U: \mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})^{(\infty)} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ extends to an (anti-)unitary representation of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/2$ determined by

$$U(r_I) = ZJ_I$$
,

acting covariantly on \mathcal{A} . Here $(\delta_I(t))_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ is the one-parameter dilation subgroup of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ with respect to I and r_I the reflection of the interval onto the complement I'.

- 3. Graded Haag Duality. $\mathcal{A}(I') = Z\mathcal{A}(I)'Z^*$, for $I \in \mathcal{I}$.
- 4. Outer regularity. $\mathcal{A}(I_0) = \bigcap_{I \in \mathcal{I}, I \supset \bar{I}_0} \mathcal{A}(I), I_0 \in \mathcal{I}.$
- 5. Additivity. If $I = \bigcup_{\alpha} I_{\alpha}$ with $I, I_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{I}$, then $\mathcal{A}(I) = \bigvee_{\alpha} \mathcal{A}(I_{\alpha})$.
- 6. Factoriality. $\mathcal{A}(I)$ is a type III₁-factor for $I \in \mathcal{I}$.
- 7. Irreducibility. $\bigvee_{I \in \mathcal{I}} \mathcal{A}(I) = B(\mathcal{H})$.
- 8. Vacuum Spin-Statistics theorem. $e^{i2\pi L_0} = \Gamma$.
- 9. Uniqueness of Covariance. For fixed Ω , the strongly continuous projective representation U of Diff $(S^1)^{(\infty)}$ making the net covariant is unique.

4 Representations of Graded Local Conformal Nets

In this section, we assume that A is a graded local conformal net, although certain notions and results are valid in the Möbius covariance case.

Definition 2. A Doplicher-Haag-Roberts (DHR) representation λ of \mathcal{A} is a map $I \to \lambda_I$ that associates to an interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$ a normal representation λ_I of $\mathcal{A}(I)$ on a fixed Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{λ} such that

$$\lambda_{\tilde{I}}|_{\mathcal{A}(I)} = \lambda_I, \ I \subset \tilde{I},$$

for $\tilde{I} \in \mathcal{I}$.

We say that a representation λ on \mathcal{H}_{λ} is diffeomorphism covariant if there exists a projective unitary representation U_{λ} of Diff $(S^1)^{\infty}$ on \mathcal{H}_{λ} such that

$$\lambda_{\dot{g}I}(U_g x U_g^*) = U_{\lambda}(g)\lambda_I(x)U_{\lambda}^*(g), \ x \in \mathcal{A}(I), \ g \in \mathrm{Diff}(S^1)^{\infty}.$$

Here \dot{g} denotes the image of g in Diff (S^1) . A Möbius covariant representation is analogously defined.

Definition 3. A representation λ on \mathcal{H}_{λ} is graded if there exists a self adjoint unitary Γ_{λ} on \mathcal{H}_{λ} such that

$$\lambda_I(\Gamma x \Gamma) = \Gamma_\lambda \lambda_I(x) \Gamma_\lambda, \ x \in \mathcal{A}(I),$$

for $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

We shall say a representation λ on \mathcal{H}_{λ} is graded if there exists a selfadjoint unitary Γ_{λ} on \mathcal{H}_{λ} such that

$$\lambda_I(\Gamma x \Gamma) = \Gamma_\lambda \lambda_I(x) \Gamma_\lambda, \ x \in \mathcal{A}(I),$$

for $I \in \mathcal{I}$. The following results are due to proposition 12 in [8], :

Proposition 1. Let λ be an irreducible representation of A. Then λ is graded and diffeomorphism covariant.

Proposition 2. Let π be a representation of the graded local conformal net, and suppose the Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_{π} to be separable. Given an interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$, there exists a localized endomorphism ρ of \mathcal{A} unitarily equivalent to π , $\pi = \operatorname{Ad} U \circ \rho$. Moreover, the unitary U satisfies $U^*\Gamma_{\pi} = \Gamma U^*$.

Fix an interval $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Denote by $\operatorname{Rep}^I(\mathcal{A})$ the category of graded endomorphisms of \mathcal{A} localized in I, whose object set $\Delta_I(\mathcal{A})$ consists of endomorphisms of \mathcal{A} localized in I and morphisms between objects are intertwiners, i.e. $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(I)}(\lambda,\mu) = \{x \in Z\mathcal{A}(I)Z^* : x\lambda(a) = \mu(a)x, a \in \mathcal{A}(I)\}$. Denote by $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle_{\mathcal{A}(I)}$ the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(I)}(\lambda,\mu)$. Denote by $\operatorname{DHR}(\mathcal{A})$ the category of DHR representations of \mathcal{A} , whose objects are DHR representations and morphisms are intertwiners between representations. By the above proposition, we get the equivalence $\operatorname{DHR}(\mathcal{A}) \cong \operatorname{Rep}^I(\mathcal{A})$.

Definition 4. A conformal net $\{M(I)\}_{I\in\mathcal{I}}$ is completely rational if its representation category $\operatorname{Rep}^I(M)$ is a modular tensor category.

Proposition 3. Let \mathcal{A} be a graded local conformal net whose bose subnet \mathcal{A}_b is completely rational. Then $\operatorname{Rep}^I(\mathcal{A})$ is a braided tensor category.

Proof. Consider the subfactor $\mathcal{A}_b(I) \subset \mathcal{A}(I)$, where \mathcal{A}_b is the Bose subnet of \mathcal{A} . For any $\lambda \in \Delta_I(\mathcal{A})$, its restriction $\lambda_b := \lambda|_{\mathcal{A}_b}$ gives a DHR representation of the Bose subnet. By proposition 26 in [8], we have $\lambda = \alpha_{\lambda_b}^+ = \alpha_{\lambda_b}^-$, so λ is ambichiral in the sense of [5], section 2. By [5] section 2, there is a unitary braiding structure satisfying braided fusion equations on the ambichiral system which gives the braiding structure on $\operatorname{Rep}^I(\mathcal{A})$.

Remark 1. The braided tensor category structure on vertex operator (super)algebras is known and studied in section 2 of [9]. In our case, the structure morphisms of the Q-system are even morphisms satisfying identities in definition 2.25 of [9]. However, in this paper, we mainly focus on the graded locality and its influence on counting multiplicities of the dual canonical endomorphism hence we do not study the supercateogry structure in detail.

Remark 2. In [7], to find a graded local extension $A \subset B$ for a given graded local conformal net A, they first fix a Q-system Θ_b in $Rep(A_b)$. Θ_b determines the inclusion $A_b \subset B_b$. Then they search for another Q-system Θ_f which is a Θ_b -module in $Rep(A_b)$ with twist -1. Θ_f corresponds to the extension $B_b \subset B$. The expected extension $A \subset B$ is given by the Q-system $\Theta_b \oplus \Theta_f$, which is the graded conformal net analogous to the superalgebra object in the sense of definition 2.25 of [9]. In addition, as in our case, we directly carry out α -induction on $A_b \subset B$. Therefore, our approach and [7] are two different points of view of the same Q-system.

5 Simple Current Extensions of Graded Local Conformal Nets

In this section, we study simple current extensions of graded local conformal nets. Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}_0, \Omega_0, \Gamma_0)$ be a graded local net on \mathcal{H}_0 . Assume σ is an order n automorphism in DHR(\mathcal{A}). We also extend $\sigma(\Gamma_0) = \Gamma_0$. Define a representation $\pi : \mathcal{A} \to B(\mathcal{H})$ by:

$$\pi(a)\xi := \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n-1} \sigma^p(a)\xi_p \text{ and } \pi(\Gamma_0)\xi := \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n-1} \Gamma_0\xi_p, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0^{\oplus n}$ and $\xi = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n-1} \xi_p$. Denote $\mathcal{B}(I) := \pi(\mathcal{A}(I))$, $\Omega_p := \delta_{p,0}\Omega_0$, $\Gamma := \bigoplus_{p=0}^{n-1}\Gamma_0$, $Z := \frac{1-i\Gamma}{1-i}$. Then $\Gamma\pi(x)\Gamma = \pi(\Gamma_0x\Gamma_0)$. Assume $\sigma \in \Delta(I_0)$. For any interval I, we can take unitary u_I such that $[u_I, \Gamma_0] = 0$ and $\mathrm{Ad}(u_I) \circ \sigma$ localized in I. Define $f_{u_I} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, by

$$(f_{u_I}\xi)_p := \sigma^{p-1}(u_I^*)\xi_{p-1}, \ p \in \mathbb{Z}_n. \tag{2}$$

It is easy to check

$$(f_{u_I}^*\xi)_p = \sigma^p(u_I)\xi_{p+1}.$$

Then, we have $f_{u_I}^*\pi(a)f_{u_I} = \pi \circ \sigma_I(a)$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Note that $f_{u_I} \in \mathcal{B}(I')'$ since $\mathcal{B}(I)$ may not be Haag dual. Now we define the extended net \mathcal{C} on \mathcal{H} as

$$C(I) := \langle \mathcal{B}(I), Z^* f_{u_I} Z \rangle''.$$

Note that $f_{u_I} = f_{id}\pi(u_I^*)$, where f_{id} is defined by replacing u_I with identity in (2). The definition of the extended net is independent of the choice of f_{u_I} . Indeed, if $Ad(\hat{u_I}) \circ \sigma \in \Delta(I)$, for another $\hat{u_I}$, we have $u_I\hat{u_I}^* \in \mathcal{A}(I')' = Z_0\mathcal{A}(I)Z_0^*$. Then $Z^*f_{u_I}Z$ and $Z^*f_{\hat{u_I}}Z$ only differ by an element of $\mathcal{B}(I)$ because $\pi(Z_0aZ_0^*) = Z\pi(A)Z^*$. In addition, we have:

$$\Gamma f_{u_I} \Gamma = \Gamma f_{id} \pi(u_I^*) \Gamma = f_{id} \pi(\Gamma_0 u_I^* \Gamma_0) = f_{u_I}.$$
(3)

where we use the fact that $[\Gamma, f_{id}] = [\Gamma_0, u_I] = 0$. Therefore $[Z, f_{u_I}] = 0$ and we can rewrite $\mathcal{C}(I) = \langle \mathcal{B}(I), f_{u_I} \rangle''$.

Lemma 1. In the above setting, we have, $f_{id}\pi(u_I^*) = \pi(\sigma_0^{-1}(u_I))f_{id}$.

Proof. Take
$$\xi \in \mathcal{H}$$
. Then $(f_{\mathrm{id}}\pi(u_I^*))\xi)_p = (\pi(u_I^*\xi)_{p-1} = \sigma^{p-1}(u_I)\xi_{p-1}$. On the other hand, $(\pi(\sigma_0^{-1}(u_I))f_{\mathrm{id}}\xi)_p = \sigma^{p-1}(u_I)(f_{\mathrm{id}}\xi)_p = \sigma^{p-1}(u_I)\xi_{p-1}$.

Lemma 2 ([2] lemma 3.6). In the above setting, we have, $f_{u_{I_2}}f_{u_{I_1}} = \epsilon^{\pm}(\sigma,\sigma)f_{u_{I_1}}f_{u_{I_2}}$, if $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$.

Proof. First notice that $f_{u_I} = f_{id}\pi(u_I^*)$ for $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

$$\begin{split} f_{u_{I_2}}f_{u_{I_1}} &= f_{\mathrm{id}}\pi(u_{I_2}^*)f_{\mathrm{id}}\pi(u_{I_1}^*) \\ &= \pi(\sigma^{-1}(u_{I_2}^*)\sigma^{-2}(u_{I_1}^*))f_{\mathrm{id}}^2 \\ &= \pi(\sigma^{-1}(u_{I_2}^*)\sigma^{-2}(u_{I_1}^*)\sigma^{-2}(u_{I_2})\sigma^{-1}(u_{I_1}))f_{\mathrm{id}}\pi(u_{I_1})^*f_{\mathrm{id}}\pi(u_{I_2})^* \\ &= \sigma^{-2} \circ \pi(\sigma(u_{I_2}^*)u_{I_1}^*u_{I_2}\sigma(u_{I_1}))f_{u_{I_1}f_{u_{I_2}}} \\ &= \sigma^{-2}(\epsilon^{\pm}(\sigma,\sigma))f_{u_{I_1}f_{u_{I_2}}} \end{split}$$

where we repeatedly use lemma 1.

Proposition 4. The extension net $\{C(I)\}_{I\in\mathcal{I}}$ is graded local if and only if $\epsilon(\sigma,\sigma)=1$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A}(I)$ is relatively graded local in $\mathcal{B}(I)$, it only suffices to check

$$f_{u_{I_2}} f_{u_{I_1}} = f_{u_{I_1}} f_{u_{I_2}}, (4)$$

which is guaranteed by the above lemma.

To sum up the preceding argument, we have the following result:

Theorem 1. The net $\{C(I)\}_{I\in\mathcal{I}}$ is a graded local conformal net.

6 From Inclusion of Nets to Modular Invariants

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be an inclusion of graded local conformal nets on (\mathcal{H}, Ω) with the same gauge unitary Γ . Let $\gamma : \mathcal{B}(I) \to \mathcal{A}(I)$ be the canonical endomorphism and $\theta = \gamma|_{\mathcal{A}(I)}$ be the dual canonical endomorphism. Then $\mathcal{B}(I) = \mathcal{A}(I)v$, where

 $v \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}(I)}(\operatorname{id}, \gamma)$ and $w \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(I)}(\operatorname{id}, \theta)$ are isometries such that w induces the conditional expectation $E(b) = w^* \gamma(b) w, b \in \mathcal{B}(I)$ and $w^* v = |\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{A}|^{\frac{1}{2}} = w^* \gamma(v)$. Here, $|\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{A}|$ is the index of the subfactor $\mathcal{A}(I) \subset \mathcal{B}(I)$. The triple (θ, v, w) as above with some compatible conditions defines a Q-system.

Proposition 5 ([1] corollary 2.8). (Braided fusion equation)For $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho \in \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(I)$, and $f \in Hom_{\mathcal{A}(I)}(\lambda \circ \mu, \nu)$ we have:

$$\rho(f)\epsilon^{\pm}(\lambda,\rho)\lambda(\epsilon^{\pm}(\mu,\rho)) = \epsilon^{\pm}(\nu,\rho)f.$$
$$f\lambda(\epsilon^{\pm}(\rho,\mu))\epsilon^{\pm}(\rho,\lambda) = \epsilon^{\pm}(\rho,\nu)\rho(f).$$

In the following, we set $\epsilon(\lambda, \mu) = \epsilon^+(\lambda, \mu)$.

Lemma 3 ([1] lemma 3.1). For $\lambda \in \Delta_I(A)$, we have

$$Ad(\epsilon(\lambda, \theta)) \circ \lambda \circ \gamma(v) = \theta(\epsilon(\lambda, \theta)^*)\gamma(v).$$

Proposition 6. Take θ, γ, v as above. We have

$$\epsilon(\theta, \theta)v^2 = \epsilon(\theta, \theta)^*v^2 = v^2.$$

$$\epsilon(\theta, \theta)\gamma(v) = \epsilon(\theta, \theta)^*\gamma(v) = \gamma(v).$$

Proof. Take I_+ another interval disjoint with I. Take $u \in \mathcal{A}$ which intertwines γ and γ_+ . Particularly, uv intertwines θ and θ_+ . Moreover, uv intertwines $\mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$ and θ_+ which implies $uv \in \mathcal{B}(I'_+)'$ and [uv, v] = 0. $uvv = vuv = \theta(u)v^2$ with $\epsilon(\theta, \theta) = u^*\theta(u)$, we get $\epsilon(\theta, \theta)v^2 = u^*\theta(u)v^2 = v^2$. By the fact that $v^2 = \gamma(v)v$, we have $\epsilon(\theta, \theta)\gamma(v)vv^* = \gamma(v)vv^*$, taking conditional expectation on both sides, we get $\epsilon(\theta, \theta)\gamma(v) = \gamma(v)$.

Lemma 4. Let $t \in Z\mathcal{B}(I)Z^*$ such that $t\lambda(a) = \mu(a)t$ for any $a \in \mathcal{A}(I)$, then $t \in Hom_{\mathcal{B}(I)}(\alpha_{\lambda}^+, \alpha_{\mu}^+)$.

Proof. Let $s = \gamma(t)$. Then clearly $s \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(I)}(\theta \circ \lambda, \theta \circ \mu)$. By proposition 5, we have

$$\epsilon(\theta \circ \mu, \theta)s = \theta(s)\epsilon(\theta, \theta)\theta(\epsilon(\lambda, \theta)).$$

Since $\epsilon(\theta \circ \mu, \theta) = \epsilon(\theta, \theta)\theta(\epsilon(\lambda, \theta))$, we have

$$s\theta(\epsilon(\lambda,\theta)^*) = \theta(\epsilon(\mu,\theta)^*)\epsilon(\theta,\theta)^*\theta(s)\epsilon(\theta,\theta).$$

By repeatedly using proposition 6 and lemma 3 we have,

$$s \operatorname{Ad}(\epsilon(\lambda, \theta)) \circ \lambda \circ \gamma(v) = s\theta(\epsilon(\lambda, \theta)^*)\gamma(v)$$

$$= \theta(\epsilon(\mu, \theta)^*)\epsilon(\theta, \theta)^*\theta(s)\epsilon(\theta, \theta)\gamma(v)$$

$$= \theta(\epsilon(\mu, \theta)^*)\epsilon(\theta, \theta)^*\theta(s)\gamma(v)$$

$$= \theta(\epsilon(\mu, \theta)^*)\epsilon(\theta, \theta)^*\gamma(v)s$$

$$= \theta(\epsilon(\mu, \theta)^*)\gamma(v)s$$

$$= \theta(\epsilon(\mu, \theta)^*)\gamma(v)s.$$

Taking γ^{-1} on both sides of the above identity, we obtain $t\alpha_{\lambda}^{+}(v) = \alpha_{\mu}^{+}(v)t$.

Lemma 5 ([1] lemma 3.8). For $a \in \mathcal{A}(I)$, av = 0 implies a = 0. For $b \in \mathcal{B}(I)$, $w^*\gamma(b) = 0$ implies b = 0.

Theorem 2. For $\lambda, \mu \in \Delta_{\mathcal{A}}(I)$, $\langle \alpha_{\lambda}^+, \alpha_{\mu}^+ \rangle_{\mathcal{B}(I)} = \langle \theta \circ \lambda, \mu \rangle_{\mathcal{A}(I)}$.

Proof. Let $x \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}(I)}(\alpha_{\lambda}, \alpha_{\mu})$. Then, for $a \in \mathcal{A}(I)$,

$$w^*\gamma(x)\theta \circ \lambda(a) = w^*\gamma(x\lambda(a)) = w^*\gamma(\mu(a)x) = w^*\theta \circ \mu(a)x = \mu(a)w^*\gamma(x).$$

Here, we use the intertwining property of x and w. The above identity means $w^*\gamma(x) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(I)}(\theta \circ \lambda, \mu)$ and the map $x \to w^*\gamma(x)$ is injective by lemma 5. On the other hand, let $y \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}(I)}(\theta \circ \lambda, \mu)$. Then, for $a \in \mathcal{A}(I)$,

$$yv\lambda(a) = y\theta \circ \lambda(a)v = \mu(a)yv.$$

Here, we use the intertwining property of v and y. By lemma 4, we have $yv \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}(I)}(\alpha_{\lambda}, \alpha_{\mu})$ and by lemma 5, the map $y \to yv$ is injective.

Corollary 1. In the above setting, define the modular invariant matrix $Z_{\lambda,\mu} = \langle \alpha_{\lambda}^+, \alpha_{\mu}^- \rangle_{\mathcal{B}(I)}$, where $\lambda, \mu \in \Delta_I(\mathcal{A})$. Then, we have $Z_{0,\mu} = \langle \theta, \mu \rangle_{\mathcal{A}(I)}$ for any $\mu \in \Delta_I(\mathcal{A})$, where 0 denotes the identity(vacuum representation).

7 N=2 Super-Virasoro Nets and Their Representations

Definition 5. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the N=2 super-Virasoro algebra $\mathrm{SVir}^{N=2,t}$ is the infinite dimensional Lie superalgebra generated by linearly independent even elements L_n, J_n and odd elements G_r^{\pm} where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $r, s \in \frac{1}{2} \mp t + \mathbb{Z}$, together with an even central element c and with commutation relations:

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n,0},$$

$$[L_m, J_n] = -nJ_{n+m},$$

$$[J_m, J_n] = \frac{c}{3}m\delta_{m+n,0},$$

$$[L_n, G_r^{\pm}] = (\frac{n}{2} - r)G_{n+r}^{\pm},$$

$$[J_n, G_s^{\pm}] = \pm \frac{1}{2}G_{n+s}^{\pm},$$

$$[G_r^+, G_s^+] = [G_r^-, G_s^-] = 0,$$

$$[G_r^+, G_s^-] = 2L_{r+s} + (r-s)J_{r+s} + \frac{c}{3}(r^2 - \frac{1}{4})\delta_{r+s,0}.$$

The Neveu-Schwarz(NS) N=2 super-Virasoro algebra is the super-Virasoro algebra with t=0, while the Ramond(R) super-Virasoro algebra is the one with $t=\frac{1}{2}$.

We are interested in irreducible unitary representations. Here, we mainly consider Neveu-Schwarz super-Virasoro algebra since it has the vacuum representation. In this case, the algebra of zero modes is abelian and the irreducibility implies that the lowest energy space is one dimensional and it is spanned by a single unit vector $\Omega_{c,h,q}$ such that $L_0\Omega_{c,h,q} = h\Omega_{c,h,q}$ and $J_0\Omega_{c,h,q} = q\Omega_{c,h,q}$. The real numbers c,h,q completely determine the representation up to unitary equivalence.

Theorem 3 ([6], [12], [16]). For any irreducible unitary representation of the Neveu-Schwarz N=2 super-Virasoro algebra the corresponding values of c, h, q satisfy one of the following conditions:

- 1. $NS1 \ c \geq 3 \ and \ 2h 2nq + (\frac{c}{3} 1)(n^2 \frac{1}{4}) \geq 0 \ for \ all \ n \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}.$
- 2. $NS2 \ c \ge 3$ and $2h 2hq + (\frac{c}{3} 1)(n^2 \frac{1}{4}) = 0$, $2h 2(n + \operatorname{sgn}(n))q + (\frac{c}{3} 1)[(n + \operatorname{sgn}(n))^2 \frac{1}{4}] < 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ and $2(\frac{c}{3} 1)h q^2 + \frac{c}{3} \ge 0$.
- 3. $c = \frac{3n}{n+2}, h = \frac{l(l+2)-m^2}{4(n+2)}, q = \frac{-m}{n+2}, \text{ where } n, l, m \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ satisfy } n \geq 0, 0 \leq l \leq n, l+m \in 2\mathbb{Z} \text{ and } |m| \leq l.$

Conditions NS1, NS2, NS3, are also sufficient, namely if values c, h, q satisfy one of them then there exists a corresponding irreducible unitary representation. In particular, all the values in the discrete series of representations (NS3) with $c = \frac{3n}{n+2}$ are realized by the coset construction for the inclusion $U(1)_{2n+4} \subset SU(2)_n \otimes CAR^{\otimes 2}$ for every nonnegative integer n. Here $CAR^{\otimes 2}$ denotes the theory generated by two real chiral free Fermi fields.

In fact, associating to the vacuum representation with central charge c of the Neveu-Schwarz N=2 super-Virasoro algebra for every allowed c, we can define a graded local conformal net, which leads to the definition of N=2 superconformal net. This is defined in [11] definition 3.5 by smearing certain fields operators.

Definition 6. The N=2 super-Virasoro net with central charge c is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_c(I) = \{e^{iJ(f)}, e^{iL(f)}, e^{iG^k(f)} : f \in C_c^{\infty}(I, \mathbb{R}), k = 1, 2\}'', I \in \mathcal{I}.$$

Here,

$$G_r^1 := \frac{G_r^+ + G_r^-}{\sqrt{2}}, \ G_r^2 := -i \frac{G_r^+ - G_r^-}{\sqrt{2}}, \ r \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}.$$

Definition 7. An N=2 superconformal net is a graded local conformal net \mathcal{A} with central charge c containing the N=2 super-Virasoro net \mathcal{A}_c as a covariant subnet and such that the corresponding representations of Diff $(S^1)^{(\infty)}$ agree.

For any allowed central charge $c=\frac{3n}{n+2}$ in the discrete series, $\mathcal{A}_c(I)=\mathcal{A}_{U(1)_{2n+4}}(S^1)^{'}\bigcap(\mathcal{A}_{SU(2)_n}(I)\otimes\mathcal{A}_{\operatorname{CAR}^{\otimes 2}}(I))$, by theorem 5.10 in [11]. DHR representations are labeled by (l,m) satisfying $l=0,1,2,...,n,\ m=0,1,2,...,2n+3\in\mathbb{Z}/(2n+4)\mathbb{Z}$, with $l-m\in 2\mathbb{Z}$ with the identification (l,m)=(n-l,m+n+2). Fusion rules:

$$(l_1, m_1)(l_2, m_2) = \bigoplus_{\substack{|l_1 - l_2| \le l \le \min\{l_1 + l_2, 2n - l_1 - l_2\}, l + l_1 + l_2 \in 2\mathbb{Z}}} (l, m_1 + m_2).$$

The restriction of (l, m) to the Bose subnet is given by $(l, m, 0) \oplus (l, m, 2)$, $(l, m) = \alpha_{(l, m, 0)}$. Statistics phases and dimensions:

$$\omega_{(l,m)} = \exp\left(\frac{l(l+2) - m^2}{4(n+2)} 2\pi i\right), \ d_{(l,m)} = \frac{\sin\frac{(l+1)\pi}{n+2}}{\sin\frac{\pi}{n+2}}.$$

8 Classification of N = 2 Superconformal Nets

According to Gannon's list [14], extensions of N=2 super-Virasoro nets split into two parts: simple current extensions of some subgroups of the maximal cyclic group and exceptional ones. Firstly, we discuss the set consisting of DHR representations with dimension 1 and statistics 1. Note that this set may not be a group in general.

- 1. Assume n is odd. Then $G = \mathbb{Z}_{2(k+2)}$, with the generator $\sigma = (n,1)$, and relations $(n,1)^{2N} = (0,2N), (n,1)^{2N+1} = (n,2N+1), N \in \mathbb{N}.$
- 2. Assume n is even. With relations $(n,0)^2 = (0,0), (n,0)(0,2N) = (n,2N)$, we have $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{n+2} \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, through the group homomorphism: $(n,2N) \mapsto (0,2N) \times (n,0), (0,2N) \mapsto (0,2N) \times (0,0)$. The generator for \mathbb{Z}_{n+2} is (0,2) and the generator for \mathbb{Z}_2 is (n,0).

Therefore, if we want to take a simple current extension for some subgroup H, this H must be a subgroup of one of the above groups. Furthermore, we have to make sure the generating representations have statistics phase 1.

(A) When k is odd, take the smallest positive integer p such that $\omega_{(k,1)^p} = 1$. The maximal cyclic group is $G = \langle (k,1)^p \rangle$, which is the cyclic group generated by $(k,1)^p$.

- (B) When $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $\omega_{(k,0)} = 1$. Let p be the smallest positive integer such that $\omega_{(0,2)^p} = 1$. The maximal cyclic group is $G = \langle (0,2)^p \rangle \times \langle (k,0) \rangle$.
- (C) When $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\omega_{(k,0)} = -1$, which implies that $\omega_{(0,\frac{M}{2})} \in \{-1,i,-i\}$. Let M be the smallest positive even integer such that $\omega_{(0,M)} = 1$. We have $\omega_{(0,\frac{M}{2})} = -1$, $\omega_{(k,\frac{M}{2})} = 1$. Indeed, by assumption, $\frac{M^2}{8(k+2)}$ and $\frac{k}{2}$ are odd then

$$\omega_{(k,\frac{M}{2})} = \exp(\frac{k}{2}\pi i - \frac{M^2}{8(k+2)}\pi i) = 1.$$
 (5)

Therefore, the maximal cyclic group G is

$$\{(0,0),(k,\frac{M}{2}),(0,M),\cdots\}=\langle (k,\frac{M}{2})\rangle \cong H\times \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

If $\omega_{(0,\frac{M}{2})}=\pm i$, we have $\omega_{(k,\frac{M}{2})}=\pm i$. Consequently, the maximal cyclic group is $H=\langle (0,M)\rangle$.

Finally, for exceptional cases, we have:

- (a) (E_6) for k = 10, $\theta = (0,0) \oplus (6,0)$. This is a dual canonical endomorphism because it arises from a conformal embedding $SU(2)_{10} \subset SO(5)_1$ as in [18]. The Q-system structure on θ is unique up to unitary equivalence.
- (b) (E_6) for k = 10, $\theta = (0,0) \oplus (0,12)$, This is a dual canonical endomorphism because it arises from a conformal embedding $U(1)_{12} \subset U(1)_3$. The DHR representation (0,12) has dimension 1 and statistics phase 1, so it is realized as a crossed product by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and hence the Q-system structure is unique up to unitary equivalence.
- (c) (E_6) for k = 10, $\theta = (0,0) \oplus (6,0) \oplus (0,12) \oplus (6,12)$. This is the combination of the above two extensions. Namely, we first consider the extension in (a) and make a crossed product extension by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ as in (b). For the above two reasons, the Q-system structure of θ is unique up to unitary equivalence.
- (d) (E_8) For k=28, $\theta=(0,0)\oplus(10,0)\oplus(18,0)\oplus(28,0)$. This is a dual canonical endomorphism because it arises from a conformal embedding $SU(2)_{28}\subset(G_2)_1$. As in (a), the Q-system structure of θ is unique up to unitary equivalence.

Theorem 4. The complete list of N=2 superconformal nets with c<3 in the discrete series is listed as follows:

- 1. A simple current extension arising from a subgroup of the maximal cyclic group appearing in the above (A), (B) and (C).
- 2. The exceptional cases related to E_6 and E_8 as in the above (a), (b), (c) and (d).

Acknowledgment

The author would thank Yasuyuki Kawahigashi for bringing about this question and for his constant support and advice. The author would thank Sebastiano Carpi and Roberto Longo for reading the draft and for their suggestions.

References

- [1] J. Böckenhauer and D.E. Evans, Modular Invariants, Graphs and α-Induction for Nets of Subfactors I, Communications in Mathematical Physics 197 (1998), 361–386.
- [2] ______, Modular Invariants, Graphs and α-Induction for Nets of Subfactors II, Communications in Mathematical Physics 200 (1999), 57-103.
- [3] ______, Modular Invariants, Graphs and α-Induction for Nets of Subfactors III, Communications in Mathematical Physics 205 (1999), 183–228.
- [4] J. Böckenhauer, D.E. Evans, and Y. Kawahigashi, On α-induction, chiral generators and modular invariants for subfactors, Communications in Mathematical Physics 208 (1999), 429–487.
- [5] ______, Chiral Structure of Modular Invariants for Subfactors, Communications in Mathematical Physics 210 (2000), 733–784.
- [6] W. Boucher, D. Friedan, and A. Kent, Determinant formulae and unitarity for the N = 2 superconformal algebras in two dimensions or exact results on string compactification, Physics Letter B 172 (1986), 316– 322
- [7] S. Carpi, T. Gaudio, L. Giorgetti, and R. Hillier, *Haploid Algebras in C*-Tensor Categories and the Schellekens List*, Communications in Mathematical Physics **402** (2023), 169–212.
- [8] S. Carpi, Y. Kawahigashi, and R. Longo, Structure and Classification of Superconformal Nets, Annales Henri Poincaré 9 (2008), 1069–1121.
- [9] T. Creutzig, S. Kanade, and R. McRae, Tensor categories for vertex operator superalgebra extensions, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society 295 (2024).
- [10] S. Carpi and M. Weiner, On the uniqueness of diffeomorphism symmetry in conformal field theory, Communications in Mathematical Physics 258 (2005), 203–221.
- [11] S. Carpi, R. Hilier, Y. Kawahigashi, R. Longo, and F. Xu, N=2 superconformal nets, Communications in Mathematical Physics **336** (2015), 1285–1328.
- [12] P. Di Vecchia, J.L. Peterson, M.J. Yu, and H. Zheng, Explicit consruction of unitary representations of the N = 2 superconformal alegbra, Physics Letter B 174 (1986), 280–284.
- [13] J. Fröhlich and K. Gabbiani, Operator algebras and conformal field theory, Communications in Mathematical Physics 155 (1993), 569–640.
- [14] T. Gannon, $U(1)^m$ modular invariants, N=2 minimal models, and the quantum Hall effect, Nuclear Physics B **491** (1997), 659–688.
- [15] D. Guido and R. Longo, The conformal spin and statistics theorem, Communications in Mathematical Physics 181 (1996), 11–35.
- [16] K. Iohara, Unitarizable highest weight modules of the N = 2 super-Virasoro algebras: Untwisted sectors, Letters in Mathematical Physics 91 (2010), 289–305.
- [17] V.F.R. Jones, Index for Subfactors, Inventiones mathematicae 72 (1983), 1–25.
- [18] Y. Kawahigashi and R. Longo, Classification of local conformal nets. Case c < 1, Annals of Mathematics 160 (2004), 493–522.
- [19] ______, Classification of two-dimensional local conformal nets with c < 1 and 2-cohomology vanishing for tensor categories, Communications in Mathematical Physics **244** (2004), 63–97.
- [20] R. Longo, A duality for Hopf algebras and for subfactors, Communications in Mathematical Physics 159 (1994), 133–150.
- [21] H. Kosaki, Extension of Jones theory on index to arbitrary factors, Journal of Functional Analysis 66 (1986), 123–140.
- [22] R. Longo and K.-H. Rehren, Nets of Subfactors, Reviews in Mathematical Physics 7 (1995), 567–597.
- [23] A. Wassermann, Operator algebras and conformal field theory III. Fusion of positive energy representations of LSU(N) using bounded operators, Inventiones mathematicae 133 (1998), 467–538.
- [24] F. Xu, New Braided Endomorphisms from Conformal Inclusions, Communications in Mathematical Physics 192 (1998), 349–403.