

Descent spectral sequences through synthetic spectra

Christian Carrick*, Jack Morgan Davies† and Sven van Nigtevecht‡

July 1, 2024

Abstract

The synthetic analogue functor ν from spectra to synthetic spectra does not preserve all limits. In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient criterion for ν to preserve the global sections of a derived stack. Even when these conditions are not satisfied, our framework still yields synthetic spectra that implement the descent spectral sequence for the structure sheaf, thus placing descent spectral sequences on good footing in the ∞ -category of synthetic spectra. As an example, we introduce a new MU-synthetic spectrum Smf .

Contents

1	Synthetic spectra and spectral sequences	5
1.1	The synthetic analogue functor	5
1.2	Filtered spectra	7
1.3	Bousfield–Kan spectral sequences	7
1.4	The signature of a synthetic analogue	11
2	The synthetic lift of an even-periodic refinement	12
2.1	Descent spectral sequences in filtered spectra	13
2.2	Descent spectral sequences in synthetic spectra	14
3	The homology of synthetic global sections	17
3.1	The synthetic descent spectral sequence	17
3.2	Identifying the abutment	18
3.3	Comparing the DSS and the ANSS	23
4	Applications	25
4.1	Homotopy fixed-point spectral sequences	25
4.2	Synthetic modular forms	27
	References	31

*c.d.carrick@uu.nl

†davies@math.uni-bonn.de

‡s.vannigtevecht@uu.nl

Introduction

Real K-theory KO is the homotopy fixed-points KU^{hC_2} of complex K-theory under its complex-conjugation action. It is well known that the Adams–Novikov spectral sequence (ANSS) of KO is isomorphic to the homotopy fixed-point spectral sequence (HFPSS) of KU . Such identifications are a valuable bridge connecting equivariant and chromatic homotopy theory. For example, there is an analogous identification of spectral sequences for so-called higher real K-theories EO_n which plays an essential role in the *Detection Theorem* of Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel [HHR16].

The introduction of synthetic spectra by Pstrągowski [Pst23] has allowed for a streamlined categorical study of Adams spectral sequences. In particular, the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Syn}_{\mathrm{MU}}$ roughly forms a “category of Adams–Novikov spectral sequences” and comes equipped with a functor $\nu: \mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow \mathrm{Syn}_{\mathrm{MU}}$, which sends a spectrum to its usual ANSS. In this article, we use synthetic spectra to prove a much more structured generalization of this identification for a wide range of spectral sequences; see Th.A. In the context of the example for KO , this result gives an equivalence of \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebras in $\mathrm{Syn}_{\mathrm{MU}}$ (henceforth *synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings*)

$$\nu(KO) \xrightarrow{\cong} \nu(KU)^{hC_2}. \quad (0.1)$$

This is not a tautology, as ν rarely preserves limits.

In this article, we view such an equivalence through the lens of *spectral algebraic geometry*. For example, the *descent spectral sequence* (DSS) for the quotient stack $\mathrm{Spec}(KU)/C_2$ is equivalent to the HFPSS for KU ; we revisit this in Cor.4.2. In general, we consider *even-periodic refinements*, which are stacks that are locally constructed from even-periodic affine schemes, such as $\mathrm{Spec} KU$; see [MM15, §2.2] or Df.2.5 below. In particular, such spectral stacks come with a flat morphism $X \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$ from the underlying stack to the moduli stack of formal groups.

Theorem A (Th.3.21). *Let $(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}})$ be an even-periodic refinement. Suppose that the map $X \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$ is tame (see Df.3.3). Then the natural map of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings*

$$\nu(\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}(X)) \rightarrow \lim_{\substack{\mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow X \\ \text{étale}}} \nu(\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{Spec} A))$$

is an equivalence if and only the cohomology groups

$$H^s(X \times_{\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}} \mathrm{Spec} L, \omega^{\otimes t})$$

vanish for all $t \in \mathbf{Z}$ and all $s > 0$. This condition is satisfied, for example, if $X \rightarrow \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$ is affine.

An equivalence as above identifies the ANSS for $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}(X)$ and the DSS for $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}$ in a highly structured manner. In particular, this recovers unpublished results of Devalapurkar [Dev18, Th.4] and Meier [Mei17, Th.4.6] equating these two spectral sequences.

When the equivalent conditions of the above theorem fail, the DSS and ANSS for $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}(X)$ do not usually agree. In this case, the DSS is often preferable. We turn this failure of ν to

preserve limits into a definition, resulting in a synthetic spectrum that implements the DSS. Specifically, we define a *synthetic spectral Deligne–Mumford stack* $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{syn}})$ out of the data of an even-periodic refinement $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}})$; see Df.2.10. Its synthetic \mathbf{E}_{∞} -ring of global sections $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ is equivalent to the right-hand side of Th.A. This construction categorifies the DSS, even when the conditions of Th.A fail.

Theorem B (Cor.2.12). *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}})$ be an even periodic refinement. Then the synthetic \mathbf{E}_{∞} -ring of global sections $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ implements the descent spectral sequence for the classical global sections $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(\mathbf{X})$. Moreover, this identification is one of \mathbf{E}_{∞} -algebras in filtered spectra.*

An important example is the one of topological modular forms, in both its periodic and projective variants.

Theorem C (Cors.4.13 and 4.15). *The signatures of the synthetic \mathbf{E}_{∞} -rings $\text{SMF} := \mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{M}_{\text{Ell}})$ and $\text{Smf} := \mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\text{Ell}})$ are the DSS for TMF and Tmf , respectively. There is an equivalence of synthetic \mathbf{E}_{∞} -rings $\text{SMF} \cong \nu \text{TMF}$, but the synthetic spectra Smf and νTmf are **not** equivalent.*

The equivalence $\text{SMF} \cong \nu \text{TMF}$ follows from Th.A, and recovers the well-known result that the ANSS for TMF is isomorphic to its DSS. For Tmf , these spectral sequences differ. In fact, we can quantify the difference between Smf and νTmf ; see Cor.4.15 for a detailed comparison.

The framework of Th.B allows the fruits of synthetic spectra to be brought to bear upon DSSs. For example, one can now think of the DSS for Tmf as a modified Adams–Novikov spectral sequence for Tmf . As a result, tools such as localisations, power operations, and Toda brackets, along with versions of Moss’s theorem, may be used more naturally in this context. These ideas will be used in [CDN24] to calculate the homotopy groups of Tmf whilst avoiding the circular logic in the current literature.

Outline

In §1, we collect some facts about E -synthetic spectra and their associated spectral sequences, viewed as filtered spectra. The main result that powers later constructions is Th.1.18, which gives a way to encode the Bousfield–Kan spectral sequence of a cosimplicial spectrum as an E -synthetic spectrum. We also review the spectral sequence associated to the synthetic analogue of a spectrum, identifying it with the E -Adams spectral sequence; see Pr.1.25. Except for Th.1.18, this material is well known; we review it to establish notation to be used later on.

From §2 onwards, we specialise to MU -synthetic spectra. In §2, we show that the synthetic global sections $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ of an even-periodic stack $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}})$ implement the DSS for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}$, proving Th.B. We show that the synthetic spectrum $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ has connective cover $\nu(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(\mathbf{X}))$ (Pr.2.14), so that studying the difference comparison of the DSS for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}$ and the ANSS for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(\mathbf{X})$ comes down to studying synthetic connectivity of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$.

In §3, we compute the connectivity of the global sections $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$. The connectivity of a general MU -synthetic spectrum S is controlled by the homology $\nu \text{MU}_{*,*}(S)$, and accordingly, the goal of this section is to set up a spectral sequence to compute this homology. The difficult

part is identifying the abutment of this spectral sequence; we do this in the more technical §3.2. Using this, in §3.3 we prove Th.A, or a more precise version in Th.3.21.

In §4, we apply the above theory in the two examples mentioned in the introduction. First, in §4.1, we consider the fixed points of the action of a finite group G on the a Landweber exact \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring. This includes the action of a finite subgroup of the Morava stabiliser group on Morava E-theory, and also the case of K-theory mentioned in the introduction. Second, we study topological modular forms in §4.2. We introduce the synthetic spectra SMF and Smf in Df.4.8, and then show that $\nu\mathrm{TMF} \cong \mathrm{SMF}$ and $\nu\mathrm{Tmf} \not\cong \mathrm{Smf}$, proving Th.C.

Conventions

- The language of ∞ -categories à la [Lur09] and [Lur18b] will be used. Our limits and colimits will only be taken over small ∞ -categories with respect to some fixed Grothendieck universe.
- What Lurie calls a nonconnective qc separated spectral Deligne–Mumford stack whose underlying ∞ -topos is 1-localic, we will simply refer to as a *spectral Deligne–Mumford stack*.
- We assume that all classical Deligne–Mumford stacks are qc and separated. Consequently, by [Mei22, Lm.B.2], we can write a spectral Deligne–Mumford stack as a pair $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})$, where \mathbf{X} is a classical Deligne–Mumford stack, and where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}$ is an étale hypersheaf of \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings on the small étale site of \mathbf{X} .
- The homotopy groups of a sheaf of \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings will always implicitly be sheafified.
- The small étale site of a Deligne–Mumford stack \mathbf{X} will be denoted by $\mathrm{DM}_{/\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{ét}}$, and we write $\mathrm{Aff}_{/\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{ét}}$ for the subsite spanned by affine stacks.
- Spectral sequences will always use Adams indexing, meaning that in $\mathbf{E}_r^{k,s}$, the variable k denotes the stem, s denotes the filtration, and the differential d_r has bidegree $(-1, r)$.

Acknowledgements

We thank Shaul Barkan, Sil Linskens, Lennart Meier, and Lucas Piessevaux for their stimulating discussions around these topics, and Lennart Meier for his feedback on earlier drafts of this article.

The first author was supported by NSF grant DMS-2401918. The first and third author were supported by the NWO grant VI.Vidi.193.111. The second author is an associate member of the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics at the University of Bonn (DFG GZ 2047/1, project ID 390685813).

1 Synthetic spectra and spectral sequences

For this section, let E be a homotopy associative ring spectrum of Adams type ([Pst23, Df.3.14]), and write Syn_E for the ∞ -category of E -based synthetic spectra of [Pst23]. For an introduction to synthetic spectra, we refer to [Pst23] and [BHS23, §9], and we further follow much of the notation from [CD24, §1–2].

Notation 1.1. We use “stem–filtration” grading for synthetic spectra, so that $\pi_{k,s}$ corresponds to a (k, s) -location in an Adams chart. Formally, this means that we write $\mathbf{S}^{a,b} := \Sigma^{-b}\nu\mathbf{S}^{a+b}$. In particular, τ has bidegree $(0, -1)$ and the ∞ -categorical suspension has bidegree $(1, -1)$. Note that this differs from the grading used in [Pst23].

We will refer to an \mathbf{E}_∞ -object in Syn_E as a *synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring*.

Notation 1.2. The stable ∞ -category Syn_E has a natural t-structure [Pst23, Pr.4.16]. Following [BHS23], we call this the *homological t-structure*. In this t-structure, a synthetic spectrum X is n -connective if and only if $\nu E_{*,s}(X) = 0$ for all $s > -n$; see [Pst23, Th.4.18].

1.1 The synthetic analogue functor

In this subsection we list a few standard facts about the *synthetic analogue* functor $\nu: \mathrm{Sp} \rightarrow \mathrm{Syn}_E$ of [Pst23, Df.4.3].

Proposition 1.3. *Let M be a spectrum that admits the structure of a homotopy E -module, meaning an E -module object in hSp .*

- (1) *We have a natural isomorphism of bigraded abelian groups*

$$\pi_{*,*}\nu M \cong \pi_*M[\tau],$$

where $\pi_k M$ is placed in bidegree $(k, 0)$.

- (2) *For any spectrum X , the natural map*

$$\nu M \otimes \nu X \rightarrow \nu(M \otimes X)$$

coming from the lax monoidal structure on ν is an equivalence.

Proof. The first item is [Pst23, Pr.4.60]. For the second item, note that this map is a νE -equivalence by [Pst23, Lm.4.24]. However, both the source and target are νE -local. Indeed, using the lax monoidal structure on ν from [Pst23, Lm.4.4], we see that they both admit the structure of a homotopy νE -module, and in particular are νE -local. \square

Example 1.4. As in most of this paper, consider the case where $E = \mathrm{MU}$, so that $\mathrm{Syn}_{\mathrm{MU}}$ is the categorification of the Adams–Novikov spectral sequence. If R is a complex-orientable ring spectrum, then R in particular admits the structure of a homotopy MU -module. Hence the above results apply to νR . In particular, this applies to all Landweber-exact ring spectra.

Next, we discuss the relationship between ν and the τ -inversion functor $\tau^{-1}: \text{Syn}_E \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ of [Pst23, Df.4.39]. Let us introduce some terminology.

Definition 1.5. Let X be a spectrum. A *synthetic lift* of X is a synthetic spectrum S such that $\tau^{-1}S$ is equivalent to X .

The functor τ^{-1} is a left inverse to ν by [Pst23, Pr.4.40], so the synthetic analogue is an example of a synthetic lift. More generally, the following fact often allows for the construction of a much broader class of lifts.

Proposition 1.6. *Let $X: I \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ be a diagram of spectra. Then we have an equivalence*

$$\nu \left(\lim_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha \right) \cong \tau_{\geq 0} \left(\lim_{\alpha \in I} \nu(X_\alpha) \right),$$

and the natural limit-comparison map

$$\tau^{-1} \left(\lim_{\alpha \in I} \nu(X_\alpha) \right) \rightarrow \lim_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$$

is an equivalence. In particular, the synthetic spectrum $\lim_{\alpha \in I} \nu(X_\alpha)$ is a synthetic lift of the spectrum $\lim_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$.

Proof. Recall from [Pst23, Pr.4.21] that ν lands in connective synthetic spectra, so that we can restrict it to a functor $\text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{Syn}_E^{\geq 0}$. By [Pst23, Pr.4.36], the functor ν is then equivalent to the composite

$$\text{Sp} \cong \text{Syn}_E[\tau^{-1}] \hookrightarrow \text{Syn}_E \rightarrow \text{Syn}_E^{\geq 0}$$

of the equivalence between spectra and τ -invertible synthetic spectra [Pst23, Th.4.37], the inclusion into all synthetic spectra, and the connective cover functor. By [Pst23, Pr.4.33], the inclusion $\text{Syn}_E[\tau^{-1}] \hookrightarrow \text{Syn}_E$ is right adjoint to $\tau^{-1}: \text{Syn}_E \rightarrow \text{Syn}_E[\tau^{-1}]$. We see that $\nu: \text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{Syn}_E^{\geq 0}$ is right adjoint to the composite

$$\text{Syn}_E^{\geq 0} \hookrightarrow \text{Syn}_E \rightarrow \text{Syn}_E[\tau^{-1}] \cong \text{Sp}$$

of the inclusion and τ -inversion, i.e., $\nu: \text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{Syn}_E^{\geq 0}$ is right adjoint to $\tau^{-1}: \text{Syn}_E^{\geq 0} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$.

In particular, ν sends a limit $\lim_{\alpha \in I} X_\alpha$ of spectra to the synthetic spectrum

$$\tau_{\geq 0} \left(\lim_{\alpha \in I} \nu(X_\alpha) \right).$$

Since τ^{-1} is a left inverse to ν , it suffices now to observe that if S is any synthetic spectrum, then the connective cover $\tau_{\geq 0}S \rightarrow S$ becomes an equivalence upon inverting τ . This fact follows from [Pst23, Lm.4.35], which says that any bounded-above synthetic spectrum becomes zero after inverting τ . \square

Remark 1.7. Because ν is fully faithful, the above corollary in fact says that τ^{-1} preserves the limit of any diagram that takes values in synthetic analogues.

Warning 1.8. The functor τ^{-1} does not necessarily preserve limits when the values of the diagram are not synthetic analogues. For example, if X is an E -nilpotent complete spectrum, then νX is the limit of its τ -adic tower $\{\nu X / \tau^n\}_n$, but every term in this tower becomes zero after inverting τ .

1.2 Filtered spectra

In this paper, we view the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Fil}(\mathrm{Sp}) = \mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Sp})$ of *filtered spectra* as a more structured version of a ‘category of spectral sequences’. For an explanation of how a filtered spectrum gives rise to a spectral sequence, see, e.g., [Lur17, §1.2.2] or [Hed20, §II], or [CD24, §1] for a summary more aligned with the notation we use here. The additional structure of a filtered spectrum allows one, for instance, to talk about coherently commutative multiplicative structures on a spectral sequence.

Meanwhile, for E an Adams-type homology theory, the ∞ -category Syn_E should be thought of as an ∞ -category of E -based Adams spectral sequences. These perspectives fit together via a functor $\mathrm{Syn}_E \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}(\mathrm{Sp})$ which we now recall. This construction is well-known: in [PP21, Def.5.58] this is used on synthetic analogues to construct the Adams filtration (see §1.4 below for a further discussion). The following form of this construction we recall from [CD24].

Definition 1.9. Let $\sigma: \mathrm{Syn}_E \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}(\mathrm{Sp})$ be the functor given by applying the mapping spectrum functor $\mathrm{map}(\mathbf{S}, -)$ level-wise to the τ -tower of a synthetic spectrum. More explicitly, the map $\sigma(X)^n \rightarrow \sigma(X)^{n-1}$ is of the form

$$\sigma(X)^n = \mathrm{map}_{\mathrm{Syn}_E}(\mathbf{S}, \Sigma^{0,-n} X) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{map}(\mathbf{1}, \tau)} \mathrm{map}_{\mathrm{Syn}_E}(\mathbf{S}, \Sigma^{0,-n+1} X) = \sigma(X)^{n-1}.$$

The functor σ comes equipped with a natural lax symmetric monoidal structure: see [CD24, Th.1.4(4)], where the notation Γ is used for σ .

Definition 1.10. Let X be a synthetic spectrum. Then the *signature* of X is the spectral sequence associated to the filtered spectrum σX .

The functor σ is a right adjoint¹ and, in many cases, part of a monadic adjunction [Law24, Cor.6.1]. For now, we will just need the following.

Lemma 1.11. *The functor σ preserves limits.*

Proof. This is clear from the universal property of limits and the formula for σ :

$$\sigma(\lim X_\alpha)^n = \mathrm{map}_{\mathrm{Syn}_E}(\mathbf{S}, \Sigma^{0,-n} \lim X_\alpha) \cong \lim \mathrm{map}_{\mathrm{Syn}_E}(\mathbf{S}, \Sigma^{0,-n} X_\alpha) = \lim \sigma(X_\alpha)^n. \quad \square$$

In [CD24], the first two authors study spectral sequences arising in this way which have no obvious other interpretation. In the remainder of this section on the other hand, we want to identify these spectral sequences with a variety of classical ones.

1.3 Bousfield–Kan spectral sequences

The goal of this subsection is to construct a filtered spectrum that encodes the Bousfield–Kan spectral sequence (BKSS) of a cosimplicial spectrum. In practise, such a cosimplicial spectrum comes about as a choice of resolution of a certain spectrum. The E_1 -page of the BKSS depends

¹The left adjoint to σ is the universal extension of the filtered object $\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{Syn}_E$ defined by the τ -tower of the unit.

on this choice, but the E_2 -page does not. To ensure that our filtered spectra do not depend on this choice of resolution, we will apply a *décalage* functor by definition. This construction is well-known, and is essentially the same as the one in [GIKR22, §3]. We end this subsection with a new result on when these filtered spectra can be lifted to an E -based synthetic spectrum; see Th.1.18.

To define the *décalage*, let us recall a definition of the Whitehead tower functor $\text{Wh}: \text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{Fil}(\text{Sp})$. There is a t-structure on $\text{Fil}(\text{Sp})$ whose connective objects are those filtered spectra X such that X^n is n -connective for each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$; this is called the *canonical t-structure* by Hedenlund [Hed20, Pr.II.1.22]. Moreover, this t-structure is compatible with the symmetric monoidal structure on $\text{Fil}(\text{Sp})$ given by Day convolution; see [Hed20, Pr.II.1.23]. As a result, the connective cover functor $\tau_{\geq 0}$ is lax symmetric monoidal.

Definition 1.12. Define a functor $\text{Wh}: \text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{Fil}(\text{Sp})$, the *Whitehead tower* functor, to send a spectrum X to the filtered spectrum $\tau_{\geq 0}\text{Const}(X)$ where $\text{Const}: \text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{Fil}(\text{Sp})$ is the constant functor. More explicitly, $\text{Wh}(X)$ can be written as

$$\cdots \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n+1}X \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n}X \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n-1}X \rightarrow \cdots .$$

By [Hed20, Pr.II.1.26], and the arguments above, the functor Wh is lax monoidal.

Using this, we can define the filtered spectrum encoding the BKSS. In what follows, and the remainder of this article, we give the ∞ -category Sp^Δ of cosimplicial spectra the levelwise symmetric monoidal structure.

Definition 1.13. Define the *cosimplicial décalage* functor $\text{Déc}: \text{Sp}^\Delta \rightarrow \text{Fil}(\text{Sp})$ by the formula

$$\text{Déc}(X^\bullet) = \lim_{\Delta} (\text{Wh}(X^\bullet)).$$

Notice Déc is lax symmetric monoidal as it is the composition

$$\text{Sp}^\Delta = \text{Fun}(\Delta, \text{Sp}) \xrightarrow{\text{Fun}(\Delta, \text{Wh})} \text{Fun}(\Delta, \text{Fil}(\text{Sp})) \xrightarrow{\lim_{\Delta}} \text{Fil}(\text{Sp})$$

where Df.1.12 states the first functor is lax symmetric monoidal, and the second is too as a limit.

Remark 1.14. Since totalisation only depends on the underlying semicosimplicial object, the functor Déc factors through the forgetful functor $\text{Sp}^\Delta \rightarrow \text{Sp}^{\Delta_{\text{inj}}}$ to semicosimplicial spectra.

In order to ensure (conditional) convergence, it is important to note that these filtered spectra are complete in the following sense.

Proposition 1.15. *Let X^\bullet be a cosimplicial spectrum. Then the filtered spectrum $\text{Déc}(X^\bullet)$ is a complete filtration of $\lim_{\Delta} X^\bullet$, meaning that $\lim_k \text{Déc}(X^\bullet)^k$ vanishes and $\text{colim}_k \text{Déc}(X^\bullet)^k \cong \lim_{\Delta} X^\bullet$.*

Proof. The limit of the Whitehead tower of a spectrum vanishes, so the claim about the limit follows since limits commute with limits.

To see that $\operatorname{colim} \operatorname{Déc}(X^\bullet) \cong \lim_{\Delta} X^\bullet$, note that, for any $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, one has a fibre sequence

$$\tau_{\geq k+1} X^\bullet \rightarrow X^\bullet \rightarrow \tau_{\leq k} X^\bullet.$$

Applying \lim_{Δ} , one therefore has a fibre sequence

$$\lim_{\Delta} \tau_{\geq k+1} X^\bullet \rightarrow \lim_{\Delta} X^\bullet \rightarrow \lim_{\Delta} \tau_{\leq k} X^\bullet.$$

Applying colim_k , one has a cofibre sequence

$$\operatorname{colim}_k \lim_{\Delta} \tau_{\geq k+1} X^\bullet \rightarrow \lim_{\Delta} X^\bullet \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_k \lim_{\Delta} \tau_{\leq k} X^\bullet.$$

By definition, the lefthand term gives the colimit of $\operatorname{Déc}(X^\bullet)$, so it suffices to show the righthand term vanishes. Since coconnectivity is preserved by limits, we see that for all $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, the spectrum $\lim_{\Delta} \tau_{\leq k} X^\bullet$ is k -truncated. Letting k tend to ∞ , we obtain a spectrum that is k -truncated for all k , which is therefore zero. \square

The relationship between this definition of décalage and the “page-turning” intuition is given by the following.

Proposition 1.16. *Let X^\bullet be a cosimplicial spectrum, and let $\{E_r^{k,s}(X^\bullet)\}_{r \geq 1}$ denote the Bousfield–Kan spectral sequence for X^\bullet . Then there is an isomorphism of spectral sequences (where $r \geq 2$)*

$$E_r^{k,s}(\operatorname{Déc}(X^\bullet)) \cong E_{r+1}^{k,s-k}(X^\bullet).$$

Proof. See [Lev15, Pr.6.3], but note that Levine uses a different indexing from the Adams indexing used above. \square

Notation 1.17. The spectral sequence associated to a filtered spectrum is usually indexed to start on the E_1 -page. Using the reindexing of the previous result, we will instead reindex the spectral sequence arising from the décalage construction of Df.1.13 to begin on the E_2 -page.

In particular, if X^\bullet is a cosimplicial spectrum, then the BKSS computing the homotopy groups of the limit of X^\bullet is the spectral sequence associated with the filtered spectrum $\operatorname{Déc}(X^\bullet)$. If the resolution X^\bullet is nice enough, then we can package this BKSS as a synthetic spectrum, or more precisely as a synthetic lift of $\lim X^\bullet$. Moreover, this synthetic spectrum recovers the filtered spectrum $\operatorname{Déc}(X^\bullet)$, together with its multiplicative structure.

Theorem 1.18. *Let X^\bullet be a cosimplicial spectrum, and write S for the limit of the cosimplicial synthetic spectrum νX^\bullet . If each X^i admits the structure of a homotopy E -module, then there is an equivalence of filtered spectra*

$$\sigma(S) \cong \operatorname{Déc}(X^\bullet).$$

In particular, if each X^i admits a homotopy E -module structure, then S has the signature of the BKSS for X^\bullet . Moreover, the above equivalence is natural in the following way: it is a symmetric monoidal equivalence between the lax symmetric monoidal functors $\sigma \circ \lim_{\Delta} \circ \nu$ and $\operatorname{Déc}$ when restricted to the cosimplicial spectra of the above kind.

For the proof, we require the following lemma. Note that a similar technique is employed to prove [BHS22, Pr.C.22].

Lemma 1.19. *Fix a homotopy associative ring spectrum E of Adams type. Let X be a spectrum admitting a homotopy E -module structure. Then there is an equivalence of filtered spectra*

$$\sigma(\nu X) \cong \text{Wh}(X).$$

This equivalence is natural in the following sense: it is a symmetric monoidal equivalence between the lax symmetric monoidal functors $\sigma \circ \nu$ and Wh when restricted to spectra admitting a homotopy E -module structure.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ there is a natural map of spectra

$$(\sigma\nu X)^n = \text{map}_{\text{Syn}_E}(\mathbf{S}, \Sigma^{0,-n}\nu X) \xrightarrow{\tau^{-1}} \text{map}_{\text{Sp}}(\mathbf{S}, X) \cong X$$

induced by τ -inversion. This lifts to a monoidal natural transformation $\sigma(\nu X) \rightarrow \text{Const}(X)$ as τ -inversion itself is symmetric monoidal; see [Pst23, Pr.4.40]. We now observe that this transformation naturally factors through the connective cover of $\text{Const}(X)$ with respect to the canonical t-structure discussed prior to Df.1.12. Indeed, to calculate

$$\pi_k(\sigma\nu X)^n \cong \pi_0 \text{map}_{\text{Syn}_E}(\mathbf{S}^{k, n-k}, \nu X) = \pi_{k, n-k} \nu X$$

we appeal to [Pst23, Pr.4.60], which states that the group $\pi_{k,s}\nu X$ vanishes for $s > 0$, so the above group vanishes for $k < n$. This factorisation yields our desired natural symmetric monoidal comparison map

$$\sigma(\nu X) \rightarrow \tau_{\geq 0}(\text{Const}(X)) = \text{Wh}(X).$$

To see this map is an equivalence, it suffices to show that $\sigma(\nu X)^n \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n} X$ is an equivalence for all n , and this follows from another application of [Pst23, Pr.4.60]. \square

Proof of Th.1.18. We have the natural monoidal equivalences of filtered spectra

$$\text{Déc}(X^\bullet) = \lim(\text{Wh}(X^\bullet)) \cong \lim \sigma(\nu X^\bullet) \cong \sigma \lim(\nu X^\bullet) = \sigma(S)$$

from Lms.1.11 and 1.19, respectively. \square

Observe that Pr.1.6 implies that the synthetic spectrum S in the above theorem is a synthetic lift of $\lim X^\bullet$.

Remark 1.20. If X^\bullet is an \mathbf{E}_n -algebra in Sp^Δ , then the above proposition in particular results in an \mathbf{E}_n -structure on the synthetic spectrum S as in the proposition. This stands in contrast to an important application of synthetic spectra, in that they allow for multiplicative structures even when cosimplicial models are not known to have such structures; see the discussion in §1.4. Nevertheless, one of the main perspectives of this article is that a synthetic refinement of a filtered spectrum has many benefits, such as allowing for a comparison of its underlying spectral sequence to Adams spectral sequences.

1.4 The signature of a synthetic analogue

Classically, one can define a filtered model of the Adams filtration for any spectrum E with a map $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow E$; see Rmk.1.24 below. However, it is difficult to upgrade this functor to a lax monoidal functor. As recalled in, e.g., [PP21, §5.5], if E has the structure of an \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring, then this classical definition of the Adams filtration can also be made into a lax symmetric monoidal functor. The E -Adams spectral sequence itself however does not depend on this multiplicative structure on E . This makes it infeasible as a general approach to define a monoidal Adams filtration functor, as one would also like to do this for E that cannot be given an \mathbf{E}_∞ -structure.

One of the great breakthroughs of synthetic spectra is that they allow one to define a lax monoidal structure on the Adams filtration in the most general case. Specifically, Patchkoria and Pstrągowski [PP21, §5.4] show that (to use the language of the present paper) the filtered spectrum $\sigma\nu(X)$ captures the Adams filtration on $\pi_*(X)$; see [PP21, Th.5.60]. As the functor $\sigma \circ \nu$ is canonically lax symmetric monoidal, this results in a coherently monoidal version of the Adams filtration. Importantly, this construction requires barely any multiplicative structure on E : the ∞ -category Syn_E is symmetric monoidal for all homotopy associative ring spectra E of Adams type.

Remark 1.21. More precisely, Patchkoria and Pstrągowski [PP21] introduce and use a more general type of synthetic category than the one originally introduced in [Pst23]. This is done to allow for much more general E than homotopy ring spectra of Adams type. In the end, they construct a lax symmetric monoidal functor $\text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{Fil}(\text{Sp})$ encoding the E -Adams filtration whenever E is a spectrum that admits right-unital multiplication; see [PP21, Th.5.64].

For most of this article, we find ourselves in the situation where E has an \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring structure: our comparison results happen in the case where $E = \text{MU}$. For the sake of completeness, we will in this section compare this classical definition of the Adams filtration with the modern definition $\sigma \circ \nu$, and show that the monoidal structures also agree. This is certainly well known: see [Pst23, Rmks.4.62–65] and [BHS23, §A.1], but we include it for completeness and to establish notation to be used later.

Definition 1.22. Let E be an \mathbf{E}_1 -ring. Via the construction of [MNN17, Constr.2.7], the \mathbf{E}_1 -structure on E gives rise to a cosimplicial spectrum $E^{\otimes \bullet + 1}$. Define the functor $\text{ASS}_E: \text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{Sp}^\Delta$ to send a spectrum X to its *cosimplicial Adams tower*

$$\text{ASS}_E(X)^\bullet := X \otimes E^{\otimes \bullet + 1}.$$

If E moreover has an \mathbf{E}_∞ -structure, then by [Kra18, Lm.2.39] this functor has a canonical lax symmetric monoidal structure.

Remark 1.23. If E is an \mathbf{E}_k -ring for $k \geq 1$, then the functor ASS_E is lax \mathbf{E}_k -monoidal by the same [Kra18, Lm.2.39]. In what follows, we will for simplicity focus only on the case where E is an \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring, but all results hold when E is an \mathbf{E}_k -rings as well, provided “symmetric monoidal” is replaced by “ \mathbf{E}_k -monoidal”.

Remark 1.24. As noted before, one does not need an \mathbf{E}_1 -structure on E to define a filtered model for the E -Adams spectral sequence. Indeed, to construct the *semicosimplicial* object underlying $X \otimes E^{\otimes \bullet + 1}$, one only needs the map $\mathbf{S} \rightarrow E$, without any other multiplicative structure on E .

The functor Déc only depends on the underlying *semicosimplicial* object (see Rmk.1.14), so applying it to $X \otimes E^{\otimes \bullet + 1}$ results in a functorial filtered model for the Adams spectral sequence. Alternatively, as noted in [PP21, Rmk.2.27], one can also define a *cosimplicial* spectrum giving rise to the E -Adams spectral sequence for X , without any multiplicative structure on E (as explained in loc. cit., this can be done for any Adams spectral sequence in the sense of Miller). However, such a cosimplicial spectrum will be far from canonical, and importantly, will not be functorial in X ; cf. [PP21, Rmk.5.62]. An \mathbf{E}_1 -structure on E meanwhile allows one to construct the particular cosimplicial tower of Df.1.22, which is functorial in X by construction.

If E is an \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring, then the functor $\text{Déc} \circ \text{ASS}_E$ is a lax symmetric monoidal functor. We can then compare the lax symmetric monoidal functors $\text{Déc} \circ \text{ASS}_E$ and $\sigma \circ \nu$.

Proposition 1.25. *Fix a homotopy associative ring spectrum E of Adams type. Let X be an E -nilpotent complete spectrum. Then there is an equivalence of filtered spectra*

$$\sigma\nu(X) \cong \text{Déc}(\text{ASS}_E(X)).$$

This equivalence is natural in the following sense: it is an equivalence between the functors $\sigma \circ \nu$ and $\text{Déc} \circ \text{ASS}_E$ when restricted to E -nilpotent complete spectra. If E is moreover an \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring, then this equivalence is canonically symmetric monoidal.

Proof. Consider the natural (in X) equivalences of filtered spectra

$$\text{Déc}(\text{ASS}_E(X)) = \lim (\text{Wh}(X \otimes E^{\otimes \bullet + 1})) \cong \lim \sigma (\nu(X \otimes E^{\otimes \bullet + 1})) \cong \sigma \lim (\nu(X \otimes E^{\otimes \bullet + 1})) \tag{1.26}$$

from Lm.1.19 and Lm.1.11, respectively. By Pr.1.3, for any $k \geq 1$ we can identify $\nu(X \otimes E^{\otimes k})$ with $\nu X \otimes (\nu E)^{\otimes k}$, and hence identify (1.26) with $\sigma \lim((\nu X) \otimes (\nu E)^{\otimes \bullet})$. As the νE -nilpotent completion of νX is ν applied to the E -nilpotent completion of X by [BHS23, Pr.A.13], we see that (1.26) is naturally equivalent to

$$\sigma \lim (\nu(X \otimes E^{\otimes \bullet + 1})) \cong \sigma \lim (\nu X \otimes (\nu E)^{\otimes \bullet + 1}) \cong \sigma\nu(X_E^\wedge) \cong \sigma(\nu X).$$

If E is an \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring, then the equivalences of (1.26) are symmetric monoidal in X . \square

2 The synthetic lift of an even-periodic refinement

If \mathbf{X} is a stack equipped with a sheaf of spectra \mathcal{F} , then the *descent spectral sequence* (DSS) for \mathcal{F} attempts to compute the homotopy groups of the global sections $\Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F})$ by means of the homotopy sheaves $\pi_* \mathcal{F}$. We study the DSS from a synthetic point of view in the context of an *even-periodic refinement* of a classical Deligne–Mumford stack. We show that, in this case, the DSS is most naturally viewed as the signature of a certain MU-synthetic spectrum. First, we define a filtered model for the DSS in §2.1, and then construct this MU-synthetic spectrum in §2.2.

2.1 Descent spectral sequences in filtered spectra

We will consider the descent spectral sequence for sheaves \mathcal{F} of spectra defined on the small étale site of a classical Deligne–Mumford stack \mathbf{X} .

Construction 2.1. Let \mathbf{X} be a classical Deligne–Mumford stack, $\mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ an affine étale cover of \mathbf{X} , and \mathcal{F} be a sheaf² of spectra on the small étale site of \mathbf{X} . Let $\mathrm{Spec} A^\bullet \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ be the Čech nerve associated to the cover $\mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$;³ note that this is an augmented simplicial object over \mathbf{X} . We define the *descent spectral sequence* (DSS) for the pair $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F})$ as the filtered spectrum

$$\mathrm{DSS}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{Déc}(\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec} A^\bullet)).$$

As $\mathrm{Déc}$ is lax symmetric monoidal by Df.1.13, the filtered spectrum $\mathrm{DSS}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F})$ inherits any \mathbf{E}_n -structure from \mathcal{F} . As \mathcal{F} is an étale sheaf, the spectral sequence associated to this filtered spectrum then abuts to the global sections of \mathcal{F} :

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \lim_{\Delta} \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec} A^\bullet).$$

The following two lemmata justify this definition.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F})$ be as in Con.2.1. Then the E_2 -page of the spectral sequence associated to $\mathrm{DSS}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F})$ takes the form*

$$E_2^{k,s} \cong H^s(\mathbf{X}, \pi_{k+s}\mathcal{F}).$$

Proof. The complex computing the sheaf cohomology of $\pi_{k+s}\mathcal{F}$ can be constructed taking an affine étale cover of \mathbf{X} and then evaluating \mathcal{F} on the Čech nerve of this cover. By definition, this is precisely the E_1 -page of the spectral sequence associated to $\mathrm{DSS}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F})$, and the result follows. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F})$ be as in Con.2.1, choose two affine étale covers $\mathrm{Spec} A_i \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ of \mathbf{X} for $i = 1, 2$, and write $\mathrm{DSS}_i(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F})$ for the associated filtered spectra à la Con.2.1. Then there is a preferred monoidal equivalence of filtered spectra*

$$\mathrm{DSS}_1(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathrm{DSS}_2(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F}),$$

meaning a chosen equivalence, which is one of \mathbf{E}_n -objects if \mathcal{F} has an \mathbf{E}_n -structure.

Proof. Given two affine étale covers of \mathbf{X} with Čech nerves $\mathrm{Spec} A_1^\bullet$ and $\mathrm{Spec} A_2^\bullet$, we define a third affine étale cover $\mathrm{Spec} B$ as the common pullback of stacks:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec} B & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Spec} A_1 \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec} A_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{X}. \end{array}$$

²All of the results of this paper hold if one only assumes our spectral Deligne–Mumford stacks are *quasi-separated* rather than separated, if all sheaves are taken to be hypersheaves.

³Note that such an affine étale cover and an affine étale Čech nerve exist as we assume our Deligne–Mumford stacks are qc and separated, respectively.

We also have an identification of Čech nerves

$$\mathrm{Spec} B^\bullet \cong \mathrm{Spec} A_1^\bullet \times_{\mathbf{X}} \mathrm{Spec} A_2^\bullet.$$

Every such pullback is indeed affine because all of our stacks \mathbf{X} are assumed to be separated. As \mathcal{F} is a functor we obtain morphisms of cosimplicial spectra

$$\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec} A_1^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec} B^\bullet) \leftarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec} A_2^\bullet)$$

respecting any \mathbf{E}_n -structure on \mathcal{F} . The desired comparison morphisms of filtered spectra come from applying the décalage functor to the above:

$$\mathrm{DSS}_1(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F}) = \mathrm{Déc}(\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec} A_1^\bullet)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Déc}(\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec} B^\bullet)) \leftarrow \mathrm{Déc}(\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec} A_2^\bullet)) = \mathrm{DSS}_2(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{F}).$$

To see the left morphism is an equivalence, it suffices to prove it is an isomorphism on bigraded homotopy groups of the associated graded; see [Hed20, Pr.II.1.9], using that these filtrations are complete by Pr.1.15. Recall that the bigraded homotopy groups of the associated graded a filtered spectrum are precisely the entries of the first page of its associated spectral sequence. By Lm.2.2, the homotopy groups of the associated graded of both the source and target of $\mathrm{Déc}(\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec} A_1^\bullet)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Déc}(\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec} B^\bullet))$ are naturally isomorphic to the sheaf cohomology of \mathcal{F} . The same argument applies to the map $\mathrm{Déc}(\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec} A_2^\bullet)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Déc}(\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{Spec} B^\bullet))$. \square

2.2 Descent spectral sequences in synthetic spectra

In this section, we define the synthetic sheaf $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{syn}}$ advertised in the introduction. We show that it encodes descent spectral sequences, proving Th.B. We begin with a discussion of the spectral stacks that our construction applies to: the *even-periodic refinements*.

First, recall that associated to a formal group law F over a ring R , the *associated formal group* $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_F$ represents the functor sending an R -algebra to its set of nilpotent elements. This set becomes a group under the multiplication given by $x +_F y = F(x, y)$. Using this notation, one can write down the modern interpretation of the Landweber exact functor theorem, as can be found in [Lur18a, Th.0.0.1], for example. We let \mathbf{M}_{FG} denote the moduli stack of formal groups and let ω denote the line bundle defined on \mathbf{M}_{FG} that sends a formal group $p: G \rightarrow X$ over a scheme X to the line bundle $p_*\Omega_{G/X}^1$.

Theorem 2.4 (Landweber exact functor theorem). *Let R be a classical commutative ring with a formal group law F ; this determines a map of stacks $\mathrm{Spec} R \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$. If this map is flat, then there exists an even-periodic homotopy commutative ring spectrum A equipped with an isomorphism of rings $\pi_0 A \cong R$ and an isomorphism of formal groups over R*

$$\mathrm{Spf} A^0(\mathbf{CP}^\infty) \cong \widehat{\mathbf{G}}_F.$$

Moreover, $\pi_{2k} A$ can be naturally identified with the line bundle $\omega_R^{\otimes k}$ associated to $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_F$ over $\mathrm{Spec} R$.

The sheaves of \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings we study in this article locally look like they came from this theorem.

Definition 2.5. Let \mathbf{X} be a classical Deligne–Mumford stack⁴ equipped with a flat map of stacks $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\text{FG}}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}$ be a sheaf of \mathbf{E}_{∞} -rings on the small étale site of \mathbf{X} . We say that $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}})$ is an *even-periodic refinement* (of \mathbf{X} and f) if $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}$ is *locally Landweber exact*, meaning that for each étale map of stacks $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, the \mathbf{E}_{∞} -ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(\text{Spec } A)$ represents the Landweber-exact even-periodic cohomology theory associated to the composite

$$\text{Spec } A \longrightarrow \mathbf{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbf{M}_{\text{FG}}.$$

There are many examples of even-periodic refinements. In this article, we will focus on two main applications.

Example 2.6. Let E be a complex-oriented \mathbf{E}_{∞} -ring, with an action of a finite group G . Then the quotient $\text{Spec}(E)/G$ represents an even-periodic refinement of $\text{Spec}(\pi_0 E)/G$. Common examples include the Morava E-theories E_n and the action by various finite subgroups of the Morava stabiliser group. An integral example of this form is $\text{Spec}(\text{KU})/C_2$ from the introduction.

Example 2.7. Let $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\text{Ell}}$ be the compactification of the moduli stack of elliptic curves. Then the sheaf \mathcal{O}^{top} is an even-periodic refinement of $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\text{Ell}}$ by design; see [Goe10]. More general examples of this flavour include anything coming out of *Lurie’s theorem*, see [Dav20, §5], such as the spectra of topological automorphic forms of Behrens–Lawson [BL10].

To lift the structure sheaf of an even periodic refinement $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}$ to synthetic spectra, we first show that ν preserves the sheaf condition on affines.

Proposition 2.8. *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}})$ be an even-periodic refinement. Then the composite*

$$(\text{Aff}_{/\mathbf{X}}^{\text{ét}})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}} \text{Sp} \xrightarrow{\nu} \text{Syn}_{\text{MU}}$$

is an étale sheaf.

Proof. Let $\text{Spec } B^{\bullet} \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$ be a Čech nerve of an étale cover $\text{Spec } B \rightarrow \text{Spec } A$. We know $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}$ is an étale sheaf, so the natural map of \mathbf{E}_{∞} -rings

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \lim \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(B^0) \rightrightarrows \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(B^1) \rightrightarrows \dots \right)$$

is an equivalence, where $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(\text{Spec } A) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(A)$ and likewise for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(B^{\bullet})$. To see that the natural map of synthetic spectra

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{syn}}(A) = \nu \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(A) \rightarrow \lim \left(\nu \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(B^0) \rightrightarrows \nu \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(B^1) \rightrightarrows \dots \right) \quad (2.9)$$

is an equivalence, we will calculate the bigraded homotopy groups of both sides from the cellularity of Syn_{MU} ; see [Pst23, Th.6.2]. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}$ on affine schemes is complex orientable, it follows from Pr.1.3 that $\pi_{*,*} \nu \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(A) \cong \pi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(A)[\tau]$, and similarly for $\nu \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}(B^{\bullet})$. To

⁴Some of what we do here could be generalised to a larger class of stacks or more general sheaves. We restrict ourselves to Deligne–Mumford stacks here to more easily reference [Lur18b]. Generalisations are definitely possible.

calculate the homotopy groups of $\lim \nu \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}}(B^\bullet)$, we will use a BKSS internal to synthetic spectra. The E_1 -page of this spectral sequence is given by $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}}(B^\bullet)[\tau]$, which is a resolution for the cohomology of $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}}(A)[\tau]$ as $\mathbf{Z}[\tau]$ is flat over \mathbf{Z} . The E_2 -page of this BKSS is then given by the cohomology of the quasi-coherent sheaf $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}}[\tau]$; this is a quasi-coherent sheaf by [Lur18b, Pr.2.2.6.1] or by inspection. However, as $\text{Spec } A$ is affine, the higher cohomology groups of the quasi-coherent sheaf $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}}$ vanish and we see that the edge map

$$\pi_* \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}}(A)[\tau] \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\text{Spec } A, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}}[\tau])$$

induced by (2.9), is an isomorphism. \square

We can extend this sheaf on affines to a sheaf on all étale covers of \mathbf{X} ; by the above, its value on affine schemes does not change.

Definition 2.10. Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}})$ be an even-periodic refinement. We write $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{syn}}$ for the right Kan extension of the sheaf $\nu \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}}$ of Pr.2.8 to the small étale site of \mathbf{X} . As $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}}$ is a sheaf of \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings, the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{syn}}$ is naturally a sheaf of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings.

Our main object of study is the global sections of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{syn}}$, denoted by either $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ or $\Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{syn}})$. First, we collect some basic properties of this sheaf of synthetic spectra.

Proposition 2.11. *The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{syn}}$ of Pr.2.8 takes values in νMU -local (a.k.a. hypercomplete) and τ -complete MU-synthetic spectra.*

Proof. The classes of νMU -local and τ -complete synthetic spectra are both closed under limits, so it suffices to check the statement on affine schemes. For every étale map $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, the synthetic spectrum $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{syn}}(\text{Spec } A)$ is the synthetic analogue of a homotopy MU-module. It is therefore a homotopy νMU -module, and in particular νMU -local. It is τ -complete by [BHS23, Pr.A.13]. \square

Being τ -complete, these synthetic spectra are to some extent fully captured by their signature (or more precisely, their associated filtered spectra). We can compute their signature using the results in §1; this gives us Th.B.

Corollary 2.12. *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}})$ be an even-periodic refinement. Then there is an equivalence of \mathbf{E}_∞ -algebras in filtered spectra*

$$\sigma \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{X}) \cong \text{DSS}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}}).$$

In particular, the signature of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ is naturally equivalent to the DSS for $\mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}}(\mathbf{X})$.

Proof. This is a special case of Th.1.18, using Pr.2.8. \square

Remark 2.13. The equivalence above is also natural in \mathbf{X} . More specifically, if $f: \mathbf{Y} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ is a morphism of Deligne–Mumford stacks, then there is a commutative diagram of filtered spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{X}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{DSS}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}}) \\ f^* \downarrow & & \downarrow f^* \\ \sigma (f^* \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}})^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{Y}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{DSS}(\mathbf{Y}, f^* \mathcal{O}_X^{\text{top}}) \end{array}$$

induced from the base-change along f of an affine étale cover $\mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow X$. Indeed, by Th.1.18, we have a natural monoidal equivalence

$$\mathrm{DSS}(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}) = \mathrm{Déc}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{Spec} A^\bullet)) \cong \sigma \lim(\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathrm{Spec} A^\bullet)) = \sigma \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{syn}}(X)$$

where $\mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow X$ is an étale cover.

Further, Pr.1.6 tells us that $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{syn}}$ is levelwise a synthetic lift of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}$. We can be more precise about their relationship, as follows. In the following proposition, we use the notation $\nu \circ \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}$ to denote the composition of functors; this is not to be confused with the sheafification thereof, which we denote by $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{syn}}$.

Proposition 2.14. *Let $(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}})$ be an even-periodic refinement.*

- (1) *The natural map $\nu \circ \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{syn}}$ factors through an equivalence $\nu \circ \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}} \cong \tau_{\geq 0} \circ \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{syn}}$.*
- (2) *The natural map $\nu \circ \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{syn}}$ becomes an equivalence after applying the functor $\tau^{-1}: \mathrm{Syn}_{\mathrm{MU}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$.*

Proof. This is a special case of Pr.1.6, using Pr.2.8. □

In particular, the functor ν preserves the limit defining $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}(X)$ if and only if $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{syn}}(X)$ is connective. While this property of being connective may first appear to be a purely abstract condition on a synthetic spectrum, the preceding discussion shows that it has concrete, non-synthetic implications. Indeed, combining Prs.1.25 and 2.14 with Cor.2.12, we learn that if $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{syn}}(X)$ is connective, then there is a natural monoidal equivalence of filtered spectra

$$\mathrm{Déc}(\mathrm{ASS}_{\mathrm{MU}}(\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}(X))) \cong \mathrm{DSS}(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}).$$

In §3.3, we determine when $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{syn}}(X)$ is connective; see Th.3.21 and Cor.3.22.

3 The homology of synthetic global sections

Recall from Pr.2.14 that the natural map $\nu \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{syn}}(X)$ is a connective cover inside $\mathrm{Syn}_{\mathrm{MU}}$. To check when this map is an equivalence, we need to study the $\nu\mathrm{MU}$ -homology of $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{syn}}(X)$. In this section, we set up a spectral sequence to compute this homology. It is an example of a synthetic version of the descent spectral sequence, which we introduce in §3.1. Most of the work is in identifying the abutment of this spectral sequence with $\nu\mathrm{MU} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{syn}}(X)$; this is the subject of §3.2. Once this is set up, we use this spectral sequence to prove Th.A in §3.3.

3.1 The synthetic descent spectral sequence

The synthetic descent spectral sequence is set up in the exact same way as in §2.1, but using filtered synthetic spectra and sheafified bigraded homotopy groups instead.

Construction 3.1. Fix a homotopy commutative ring spectrum E of Adams type. Let \mathbf{X} be a Deligne–Mumford stack with \mathcal{F} be a sheaf of E -synthetic spectra on the small étale site of \mathbf{X} . For a fixed E -synthetic spectrum S , let $S \otimes \mathcal{F}$ denote the sheafification of the presheaf

$$(\mathrm{DM}_{/\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{ét}})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Syn}_E, \quad (Y \rightarrow \mathbf{X}) \mapsto S \otimes \mathcal{F}(Y).$$

The E -synthetic descent spectral sequence (E -SDSS, or SDSS when the role of E is clear) for $S \otimes \mathcal{F}$ is the DSS for the sheaf $S \otimes \mathcal{F}$ and takes the form

$$E_2^{k,w,s} = H^s(\mathbf{X}, \pi_{k+s,w-s}(S \otimes \mathcal{F})) \implies \pi_{k,w} \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, S \otimes \mathcal{F}),$$

with differentials d_r^{syn} of tridegree $(-1, 1, r)$. Note that multiplication by τ is a map of tridegree $(0, -1, 0)$.

In the case we care about, the E_2 -page can be made more explicit.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})$ be an even-periodic refinement and T a spectrum. Then we have an isomorphism of bigraded sheaves of abelian groups on \mathbf{X}*

$$\pi_{*,*}(\nu T \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}) \cong \pi_*(T \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})[\tau],$$

with $\pi_k(T \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})$ placed in bidegree $(k, 0)$.

Proof. By Pr.1.3 and Ex.1.4, for every étale map $\mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\pi_{*,*}(\nu T \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathrm{Spec} A)) \cong \pi_*(T \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{Spec} A))[\tau].$$

Sheafifying this yields the desired isomorphism. \square

3.2 Identifying the abutment

The goal of this section is to compute the abutment of the SDSS for $\nu\mathrm{MU} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}$. To state the main result, we need some terminology.

Definition 3.3. An even-periodic refinement $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}})$ is *tame* if the underlying map $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$ is tame; see [MM15, Df.2.28] for this relative definition and [AOV08] for further discussion.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})$ be a tame even-periodic refinement. Then the natural limit-comparison map*

$$\nu\mathrm{MU} \otimes \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \nu\mathrm{MU} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}) \tag{3.5}$$

is an equivalence.

Remark 3.6. The previous proposition describes an instance when the synthetic global sections functor preserves a colimit. Mathew–Meier study the spectral global sections functor

$$\Gamma^{\mathrm{top}}: \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})}(\mathrm{Sp}).$$

One of their main results [MM15, Th.4.14] states that if \mathbf{X} is tame, then the functor Γ^{top} preserves *all* colimits. They moreover give a sufficient condition for Γ^{top} to be an equivalence; see [MM15, Th.4.1].

These affineness results do **not** hold synthetically, even in the simplest examples. Consider, as in the introduction, the even-periodic refinement $\text{Spec}(\text{KU})/C_2$ of the affine map $BC_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\text{FG}}$. The corresponding ∞ -category of quasicohherent synthetic sheaves may be identified with $\text{Mod}_{\nu\text{KU}}(\text{Syn}_{\text{MU}})^{hC_2}$, as in [MM15, Pr.2.16]. We claim that the global sections functor

$$\Gamma: \text{Mod}_{\nu\text{KU}}(\text{Syn}_{\text{MU}})^{hC_2} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\nu\text{KO}}(\text{Syn}_{\text{MU}})$$

does not preserve all colimits, as can be seen by the non-nilpotence of $\eta \in \pi_{1,1} \nu\text{KO}$. Indeed, the unit $\mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}} \in \text{Mod}_{\nu\text{KU}}(\text{Syn}_{\text{MU}})^{hC_2}$ has a class $\eta \in \pi_{1,1} \mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}$ lifting $\eta \in \pi_{1,1} \nu\text{KO}$ along the global sections functor, by linearity over the synthetic sphere $\mathbf{S} \in \text{Syn}_{\text{MU}}$. The forgetful functor

$$\text{Mod}_{\nu\text{KU}}(\text{Syn}_{\text{MU}})^{hC_2} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\nu\text{KU}}(\text{Syn}_{\text{MU}})$$

is conservative, preserves colimits, and sends η to 0, hence

$$\mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}[\eta^{-1}] = 0 \quad \text{in } \text{Mod}_{\nu\text{KU}}(\text{Syn}_{\text{MU}})^{hC_2}.$$

The colimit-comparison map

$$\Gamma(\mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}[\eta^{-1}]) = (\nu\text{KO})[\eta^{-1}] \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}[\eta^{-1}])$$

therefore cannot be an equivalence, since $\eta \in \pi_{1,1} \nu\text{KO}$ is not nilpotent.

Remark 3.7. A similar analysis shows that the synthetic global sections functor

$$\Gamma: \text{QCoh}(\mathbf{M}_{\text{Ell}}, \mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{M}_{\text{Ell}})}(\text{Syn}_{\text{MU}})$$

is **not** an equivalence. Again, the reason is that $\pi_{1,1} \mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{M}_{\text{Ell}})$ contains the nonnilpotent element η . Indeed, in §4.2, it is shown that $\mathcal{O}^{\text{syn}}(\mathbf{M}_{\text{Ell}}) \cong \nu\text{TMF}$, from which it follows that this synthetic spectrum contains the nonnilpotent element η .

To prove Pr.3.4, we will check that it is an equivalence after inverting (Lm.3.8) and killing (Cor.3.17) the distinguished element τ . The first case reduces to the spectral global sections considered by Mathew–Meier.

Lemma 3.8. *The map (3.5) becomes, after τ -inversion, the limit-comparison map*

$$\text{MU} \otimes \Gamma^{\text{top}}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}) \rightarrow \Gamma^{\text{top}}(\mathbf{X}, \text{MU} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}).$$

Moreover, if $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}})$ is tame, then the above map is an equivalence.

Proof. The first claim follows from Pr.1.6, using that the functor $\tau^{-1}: \text{Syn} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ is symmetric monoidal. The second claim follows from [MM15, Th.4.14]. \square

The case of killing τ is more delicate, as the synthetic global sections do not preserve all colimits by Rmks.3.6 and 3.7. Nevertheless, our proof for the mod τ version of Pr.3.4 is inspired by the proofs of [MM15, Prs.3.9, 4.11].

We begin by identifying the map obtained by tensoring (3.5) with $C\tau$. We will consider tensoring with $C\tau$ as a functor

$$- \otimes C\tau : \text{Syn} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{C\tau}(\text{Syn}) \cong \text{Stable}_{\text{MU}_*\text{MU}},$$

where the equivalence comes from [Pst23, Pr.4.53]. Let us introduce some notation.

Definition 3.9. Write \mathcal{G} for the functor

$$\mathcal{G} : \text{Sp} \rightarrow \text{Comod}_{\text{MU}_*\text{MU}}, \quad X \mapsto \text{MU}_*(X).$$

We can consider $\mathcal{G}(X)$ as an object of $\text{Stable}_{\text{MU}_*\text{MU}}$, and we will do so without altering the notation. If \mathcal{O} is a sheaf of spectra on a stack \mathbf{X} , then we denote by $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O})$ the sheafification in $\text{Stable}_{\text{MU}_*\text{MU}}$ of the composite

$$(\text{DM}_{/\mathbf{X}}^{\text{ét}})^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{O}} \text{Sp} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}} \text{Stable}_{\text{MU}_*\text{MU}}.$$

Lemma 3.10. *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}})$ be an even-periodic refinement. Then the functors $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}})$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{syn}} \otimes C\tau$ are naturally equivalent. Moreover, the map (3.5) after tensoring with $C\tau$ can be identified (as a map in $\text{Stable}_{\text{MU}_*\text{MU}}$) with the limit-comparison map*

$$\mathcal{G}(\text{MU}) \otimes \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}})) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{G}(\text{MU}) \otimes \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}})).$$

Proof. Note that the functor

$$- \otimes C\tau : \text{Syn} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{C\tau}(\text{Syn}) \cong \text{Stable}_{\text{MU}_*\text{MU}}$$

is symmetric monoidal and preserves limits (as $C\tau$ is dualizable), and that by [Pst23, Rmk.4.55] we have a natural equivalence $\nu(-) \otimes C\tau \cong \mathcal{G}$. Hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{syn}} \otimes C\tau$ is a sheaf, and we can identify it with $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}})$ because the two sheaves agree on affines. This then implies the second claim. \square

To prove that (3.5) induces an equivalence mod τ , we will actually work with the subcategory $\mathcal{D}(\text{Comod}_{\text{MU}_*\text{MU}})$ of $\text{Stable}_{\text{MU}_*\text{MU}}$, the full subcategory of νMU -local objects; see [Pst23, Th.4.54]. This is sufficient as both sides of (3.5) are νMU -local (the first as it is a νMU -module, the second by Pr.2.11). To avoid confusion, let us introduce some notation.

Notation 3.11. For $M, N \in \mathcal{D}(\text{Comod}_{\text{MU}_*\text{MU}})$, write

$$M \hat{\otimes} N := L_{\nu\text{MU}}(M \otimes N)$$

for the νMU -local tensor product, formed in $\text{Stable}_{\text{MU}_*\text{MU}}$. This is again an object of the subcategory $\mathcal{D}(\text{Comod}_{\text{MU}_*\text{MU}})$; in fact, this results in a symmetric monoidal structure on the derived ∞ -category.

Let $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\text{FG}}$ be the map underlying our even-periodic refinement, let L denote the Lazard ring, and let $q: \text{Spec } L \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\text{FG}}$ denote the cover. We form the pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Y} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & \text{Spec } L \\ \bar{q} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow q \\ \mathbf{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbf{M}_{\text{FG}}. \end{array} \quad (3.12)$$

We remind the reader that q is a faithfully flat and affine map of stacks. The map \bar{q} is therefore faithfully flat and affine as well. In the following statement, the pullback and pushforward functors denote their derived variants, landing in the derived ∞ -category of comodules.

For the following lemma, let ω be the line bundle on \mathbf{M}_{FG} , defined to send some $\text{Spec } R \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\text{FG}}$ defining a (1-dimensional) formal group \mathbf{G} over R to the dual of $\ker(\mathbf{G}(R[t]/t^2) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}(R))$, i.e., the dual to the Lie algebra of \mathbf{G} . We then write $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } L}$ for the sheaf of graded abelian groups given by the pullback $q^*\omega^{\otimes*}$.

Lemma 3.13. *With \mathbf{X} as above, there are equivalences of quasi-coherent sheaves on the classical Deligne–Mumford stack \mathbf{X}*

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}}) \cong f^*q_*\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } L} \cong \bar{q}_*\bar{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } L} \cong \bar{q}_*\bar{q}^*\omega^{\otimes*/2}.$$

In particular, for every $s \geq 0$ and every t , we have isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{H}^s(\mathbf{X}, \pi_t(\mathrm{MU} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}})) \cong \mathrm{H}^s(\mathbf{Y}, \bar{q}^*\omega_{\mathbf{X}}^{\otimes t/2}).$$

Proof. For the first equivalence, both sides are sheaves, so it suffices to show they agree on affines. As f is flat and q is flat and affine, f^* and q_* are exact functors, so the derived sheaf $f^*q_*\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } L}$ sends an affine $\text{Spec } A_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{X}$ to the (2-periodic) $\mathrm{MU}_*\mathrm{MU}$ -comodule algebra appearing as the pullback

$$\text{Spec } A_0 \times_{\mathbf{M}_{\text{FG}}} \text{Spec } L$$

with its comodule structure from the pullback descent datum for the cover q , regarded as a cochain complex concentrated in cohomological degree zero. This pullback is computed as MU_*A by [MM15, Pr.2.4] where A is the Landweber theory associated to the flat map $\text{Spec } A_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\text{FG}}$.

For the second equivalence, we refer to [Lur18b, Cor.2.5.4.6], which says that the canonical Beck–Chevalley transformation $f^*q_* \rightarrow \bar{q}_*\bar{f}^*$ is an isomorphism. The third equivalence is simply the observations that the sheaves $\pi_t\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}} \cong \omega_{\mathbf{X}}^{\otimes t/2}$ are isomorphic by design, and the consequence that $\pi_*\bar{q}^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\text{top}} \cong \pi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\text{top}} \cong \bar{f}^*\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } L}$, as all of these sheaves pull back from $\omega^{\otimes*}$ over \mathbf{M}_{FG} . The isomorphism on cohomology follows as \bar{q} is affine and flat; see, e.g., [Car22, Lm.6.2.10]. \square

Definition 3.14. Let \mathcal{C} be a presentably symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, \mathbf{X} a classical Deligne–Mumford stack, and \mathcal{O} a sheaf on the small étale site of \mathbf{X} with values in $\mathcal{C}\text{Alg}(\mathcal{C})$. We say that a module M over \mathcal{O} is *quasi-coherent* if for every map of affine schemes $U \rightarrow V$ in the small étale site of \mathbf{X} , the natural map of $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -modules

$$M(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(V)} \mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow M(U)$$

is an equivalence. Let us write $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O})$ for the full ∞ -subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{X}))$ spanned by the quasi-coherent sheaves.

In the next lemma, we consider $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})$ as a sheaf valued in $\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Comod}_{\mathrm{MU}_* \mathrm{MU}})$.

Lemma 3.15. *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})$ be as above. If $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})$ is tame, then the functor*

$$\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Comod}_{\mathrm{MU}_* \mathrm{MU}}), \quad F \mapsto \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, F \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}))$$

preserves small colimits.

Proof. The functor is an exact functor between stable ∞ -categories, so it preserves finite colimits. It suffices therefore to show that it preserves small coproducts. We follow closely the argument of [MM15, Pr.3.9], and we make use of the SDSS

$$\mathrm{E}_2^{k,w,s} = \mathrm{H}^s(\mathbf{X}, \pi_{k+s, w-s}(F \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}))) \implies \pi_{k,w} \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, F \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})). \quad (3.16)$$

The E_2 -page of this SS commutes with coproducts in F since \mathbf{X} is quasi-compact and separated (see [MM15, Lm.3.10]), hence so does the E_{∞} -page. If the E_{∞} -page is concentrated in finitely many rows, then the filtrations are finite, and it follows that the natural map

$$\bigoplus_i \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, F_i \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \bigoplus_i F_i \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}))$$

induces an isomorphism on bigraded homotopy groups and is therefore an equivalence.

It suffices to show that the E_2 -page of (3.16) is concentrated in finitely many rows $s < N$ for fixed N independent of F . This follows from the chain of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{H}^s(\mathbf{X}, \pi_{*,*}(F \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}))) &\cong \mathrm{H}^s(\mathbf{X}, \pi_{*,*}(F \hat{\otimes} \bar{q}_* \bar{f}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec} L})) \\ &\cong \mathrm{H}^s(\mathbf{X}, \pi_{*,*}(\bar{q}_*(\bar{q}^* F \hat{\otimes} \bar{f}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec} L}))) \\ &\cong \mathrm{H}^s(\mathbf{X}, \bar{q}_* \pi_{*,*}(\bar{q}^* F \hat{\otimes} \bar{f}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec} L})) \\ &\cong \mathrm{H}^s(\mathbf{Y}, \pi_{*,*}(\bar{q}^* F \hat{\otimes} \bar{f}^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec} L})). \end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism is Lm.3.13, the second is the projection formula, and the third and fourth follow since \bar{q} is affine and flat (see [Car22, Lm.6.2.10] for example). The claim now follows from the fact that \mathbf{Y} has finite cohomological dimension. \square

Corollary 3.17. *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})$ be a tame even-periodic periodic refinement. Then the map (3.5) becomes an equivalence after tensoring with $C\tau$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{Comod}_{\mathrm{MU}_* \mathrm{MU}})$ on those M such that the limit-comparison map

$$M \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, M \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})) \quad (3.18)$$

is an equivalence. Clearly \mathcal{C} contains all dualisable objects; in particular, it contains the bigraded spheres $\mathbf{S}^{k,s} \otimes C\tau$. Moreover, we claim that \mathcal{C} is closed under small ($\nu\mathrm{MU}$ -local) colimits. Indeed,

suppose that we are given a diagram M_α in \mathcal{C} . Then for $M = \operatorname{colim}_\alpha M_\alpha$, the map (3.18) may be factored as

$$\operatorname{colim}_\alpha M_\alpha \hat{\otimes} \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{top}})) \rightarrow \operatorname{colim}_\alpha \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, M_\alpha \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{top}})) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \operatorname{colim}_\alpha M_\alpha \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{top}})).$$

The first of these maps is an equivalence, being a colimit of equivalences, and the second is an equivalence as a consequence of Lm.3.15.

The ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}(\operatorname{Comod}_{\operatorname{MU}_* \operatorname{MU}})$ is cellular in the sense that it is generated under ($\nu\operatorname{MU}$ -local) colimits by the bigraded spheres. Hence \mathcal{C} is equal to all of $\mathcal{D}(\operatorname{Comod}_{\operatorname{MU}_* \operatorname{MU}})$. In particular, the map (3.18) for $M = \mathcal{G}(\operatorname{MU})$ is an equivalence. For this choice of M , the $\nu\operatorname{MU}$ -local tensor products coincide with the ordinary ones formed in $\operatorname{Stable}_{\operatorname{MU}_* \operatorname{MU}}$. Therefore, by Lm.3.10, the map (3.18) for $M = \mathcal{G}(\operatorname{MU})$ coincides with the map (3.5) after tensoring with $C\tau$, showing that the latter is an equivalence. \square

Proof of Pr.3.4. The desired map (3.5) is an equivalence after inverting τ and tensoring with $C\tau$ by Lm.3.8 and Cor.3.17, respectively, which implies that it is an equivalence. \square

Remark 3.19. The same proof of Pr.3.4 would work with $\nu\operatorname{MU}$ replaced by a homotopy $\nu\operatorname{MU}$ -module M in synthetic spectra. Indeed, this condition ensures that $M/\tau \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{top}}) \cong M/\tau \otimes \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{top}})$ as objects of $\operatorname{Stable}_{\operatorname{MU}_* \operatorname{MU}}$. For example, this applies when M is the synthetic analogue of a complex-orientable ring spectrum. For a general synthetic spectrum M in the place of $\nu\operatorname{MU}$, our proof shows that the map (3.5) is $\nu\operatorname{MU}$ -localisation.

3.3 Comparing the DSS and the ANSS

To use the SDSS for $\nu\operatorname{MU} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{syn}}$ to prove Th.A, we will need more precise information about this spectral sequence. The following is in the vein of [HKO11, Lm.15] and [BHS23, Th.A.8].

Proposition 3.20. *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{top}})$ be a tame even-periodic refinement. Write $\{E_r^{k,w,s}, d_r^{\operatorname{syn}}\}_r$ for the SDSS for $\nu\operatorname{MU} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{syn}}$, and write $\{E_r^{k,s}, d_r^{\operatorname{top}}\}_r$ for the DSS for $\operatorname{MU} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{top}}$.*

- (1) *The SDSS for $\nu\operatorname{MU} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{syn}}$ converges strongly to $\nu\operatorname{MU}_{k,w}(\mathcal{O}_\mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{syn}}(\mathbf{X}))$.*
- (2) *Using the notation of (3.12), we have an isomorphism of graded abelian groups⁵*

$$E_2^{k,*,s} \cong E_2^{k,s} \otimes \mathbf{Z}[\tau] \cong H^s(\mathbf{Y}, \omega_\mathbf{Y}^{\otimes(k+s)/2}) \otimes \mathbf{Z}[\tau],$$

where $E_2^{k,s}$ is placed in tridegree (k, s, s) , and τ has tridegree $(0, -1, 0)$.

- (3) *The differentials in the SDSS are determined by those in the DSS for $\operatorname{MU} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\mathbf{X}^{\operatorname{top}}$ in the following way. Given a differential $d_r^{\operatorname{top}}(x) = y$ in the DSS, there is a differential $d_r^{\operatorname{syn}}(x) = \tau^{r-1}y$ in the SDSS, and all differentials arise in this way.*

⁵As usual, we set $\omega^{n/2} = 0$ for odd n .

Proof. Part (2) is the combination of Lm.3.2 with Lm.3.13. Part (3) is proved in the exact same way as [BHS23, Th.A.8]. This leaves us with the claim about convergence. The spectral sequence converges conditionally to the global sections of $\nu\mathrm{MU} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}$, which by Pr.3.4 is equivalent to $\nu\mathrm{MU} \otimes \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}})$. This gives us conditional convergence to the desired abutment. Moreover, because $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$ is tame, the stack \mathbf{Y} has finite cohomological dimension by [MM15, Pr.2.29]. This means that the SDSS has a horizontal vanishing line (in the s variable) on the E_2 -page, so that the convergence is in fact strong. This gives us part (1). \square

The connection to the algebraic geometry of \mathbf{X} allows us to answer the question of the connectivity of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ in purely algebro-geometric terms. More specifically, the fact that \mathbf{Y} has finite cohomological dimension implies that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ is bounded below, and we can in fact determine the precise bound, as follows.

Theorem 3.21. *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})$ be a tame even-periodic refinement, and let \mathbf{Y} denote the pullback as in (3.12). Then for every $n \geq 0$, the following are equivalent:*

- (a) *the MU-synthetic spectrum $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ is $(-n)$ -connective;*
- (b) *the sheaf cohomology groups $H^s(\mathbf{Y}, \omega_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\otimes t})$ vanish for all $t \in \mathbf{Z}$ and all $s > n$.*

In particular, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ is $(-N)$ -connective, where N is the cohomological dimension of \mathbf{Y} .

Proof. Recall that an MU-synthetic spectrum S is $(-n)$ -connective if and only if $\nu\mathrm{MU}_{*,s}(S)$ vanishes for all $s > n$. We compute the $\nu\mathrm{MU}$ -homology of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ using the SDSS from Pr.3.20. As a result, we learn that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ is $(-n)$ -connective if and only if the E_{∞} -page of the SDSS vanishes in those tridegrees (k, w, s) where $w > n$.

Using part (2) of Pr.3.20, we see that assumption (b) is equivalent to the analogous vanishing condition for the E_2 -page, so (b) certainly implies (a). To prove the converse, suppose that the group $H^s(\mathbf{Y}, \omega_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\otimes t})$ does not vanish for certain s, t . By part (2) of Pr.3.20, this group appears on the E_2 -page of the SDSS in tridegree $(2t - s, s, s)$. We claim that by part (3) of Pr.3.20, this tridegree cannot support nor be the target of any differentials. Indeed, it cannot be the target of a d_r -differential for $r \geq 2$, for such a differential only hits elements that are τ^{r-1} -divisible on the E_2 -page, and this tridegree is not τ -divisible. Note that by (2), the E_2 -page of the SDSS is concentrated in tridegrees (x, y, z) where $y \leq z$. This means that the tridegree $(2t - s, s, s)$ cannot support any d_r -differentials for $r \geq 2$, as such a differential has tridegree $(-1, 1, r)$. We therefore find that this tridegree gives a nonzero contribution to $\nu\mathrm{MU}_{2t-s, s}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X}))$. If $s > n$, this means that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ is not $(-n)$ -connective. \square

There are some practical situations when the conditions of Th.3.21 are satisfied.

Corollary 3.22. *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})$ be an even-periodic refinement such that the underlying map $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$ is affine. Then the natural map of synthetic \mathbf{E}_{∞} -rings*

$$\nu(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathbf{X})) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$$

is an equivalence. In particular, this induces an equivalence of \mathbf{E}_{∞} -algebras in filtered spectra

$$\mathrm{D}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{ASS}_{\mathrm{MU}}(\Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}))) \cong \mathrm{DSS}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}).$$

Proof. As the map $\mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$ is affine, the fibre product $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} \times_{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}} \mathrm{Spec} L$ is also affine. In particular, \mathbf{Y} has cohomological dimension zero. Affine maps are tame, so Th.3.21 applies, showing that the synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ is connective. By Pr.2.14, we see that the natural map of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings is an equivalence. Applying $\sigma: \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Syn}_{\mathrm{MU}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Fil}(\mathrm{Sp}))$ to this map, we obtain the middle equivalence of \mathbf{E}_∞ -objects in filtered spectra

$$\mathrm{D}\acute{\mathrm{e}}\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{ASS}_{\mathrm{MU}}(\Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}))) \cong \sigma\nu(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathbf{X})) \cong \sigma \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X}) \cong \mathrm{DSS}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}),$$

the other two equivalences following from Pr.1.25 and Cor.2.12, respectively. \square

This identification of spectral sequences, and other similar statements, have appeared in the literature before:

- In [Mat16, Cor.5.3], Mathew shows that the ANSS for tmf takes the form of a descent spectral sequence (or rather, its E_2 -page is given by the cohomology of a particular Hopf algebroid).
- In unpublished works, Meier [Mei17, Th.4.6] and Devalapurkar [Dev18, Cor.5] prove the above statement as an isomorphism of spectral sequences, but without addressing the multiplicative structures.

4 Applications

We return now to the examples discussed in the introduction.

4.1 Homotopy fixed-point spectral sequences

Let E be an even-periodic Landweber-exact \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring with an action by a finite group G , that is, E is a functor $BG \rightarrow \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$. Then there is a canonical morphism of stacks

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_0 E)/G \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$$

that admits a canonical even-periodic refinement given by $\mathrm{Spec}(E)/G$; see [MM15, Pr.2.15]. It is well known that the homotopy fixed-point spectral sequence (HFPSS) for E can be naturally identified with the DSS for the global sections of this even-periodic refinement, which we write as $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})$ for a moment. Indeed, the cobar resolution computing the homotopy fixed points E^{hG} takes the form of the cosimplicial object

$$E^\bullet = \left(E \rightrightarrows \prod_G E \rightrightarrows \prod_{G^2} E \cdots \right), \quad (4.1)$$

so E^{hG} is given by $\lim E^\bullet$. Notice that we have $E = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{Spec} \pi_0 E)$ by definition, and that there are identifications

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}} \left(\prod_{G^n} \mathrm{Spec} \pi_0 E \right) \cong \prod_{G^n} E \quad \text{and} \quad \prod_G \mathrm{Spec} \pi_0 E \cong \mathrm{Spec} \pi_0 E \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \pi_0 E/G} \mathrm{Spec} \pi_0 E,$$

the second coming from the fact that $\mathrm{Spec} \pi_0 E \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\pi_0 E)/G$ is an étale G -torsor. Inductively, we now see that (4.1) is naturally equivalent to the cosimplicial \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring $\mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_0 E)^\bullet)$ used to define the DSS for the global sections of $(X, \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}})$, where $(\pi_0 E)^\bullet$ comes from the Čech nerve of above G -torsor.

In particular, combining this discussion with Ex.1.4 and Th.1.18 we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4.2. *Let G be a finite group and let $E \in \mathrm{Fun}(BG, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}))$ whose underlying ring spectrum is Landweber exact. Then, using the notation above, there is a natural equivalence of cosimplicial \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings $E^\bullet \cong \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_0 E)^\bullet)$. In particular, the HFPSS for E^{hG} and the DSS for X are isomorphic, and the signature of the synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring $(\nu E)^{hG}$ is the HFPSS for E .*

Our framework gives a straightforward criterion that allows one to often identify this DSS (and hence also the HFPSS) with the ANSS of E^{hG} .

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a finite group and let $E \in \mathrm{Fun}(BG, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp}))$ whose underlying ring spectrum is Landweber exact. If, for every field-valued point x of $\mathrm{Spec} \pi_0 E$, the subgroup of G stabilising x acts faithfully on the formal group associated to x , then the canonical map*

$$\nu(E^{hG}) \rightarrow (\nu E)^{hG}$$

is an equivalence of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings. In particular, the signature of $(\nu E)^{hG}$ is the ANSS of E^{hG} .

Proof. As remarked in [MM15, §6.2], the morphism

$$\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_0 E)/G \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$$

is affine precisely when the conditions of the theorem hold. The claim now follows immediately from Th.A. \square

This theorem is a highly structured lift of the following useful corollary, which we now see is immediate from Th.A.

Corollary 4.4. *Under the conditions of Th.4.3, the ANSS of E^{hG} is isomorphic to the HFPSS of E from the \mathbf{E}_2 -page on.*

Example 4.5. Fix a height n formal group Γ over a perfect field k of characteristic p , and fix a finite subgroup G of the corresponding Morava stabilizer group $\mathbf{G} := \mathrm{Gal}(k/\mathbf{F}_p) \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(\Gamma)$. By the Goerss–Hopkins–Miller theorem, see [Lur18a, §5], the even-periodic Landweber-exact \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring \mathbf{E}_n admits an action of G by \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring maps. The conditions of Th.4.3 apply, and we get an equivalence of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings

$$\nu(\mathbf{E}O_n(G)) \cong \nu(\mathbf{E}_n)^{hG},$$

where $\mathbf{E}O_n(G) := \mathbf{E}_n^{hG}$ is a higher real K-theory.

Remark 4.6. In general, regardless of whether or not $\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_0 E)/G \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$ is affine, the signature of the synthetic spectrum $\nu(E)^{hG}$ is the HFPSS of E by Cor.4.2. This will not generally coincide with the ANSS of E^{hG} , making $\nu(E)^{hG}$ often preferable over $\nu(E^{hG})$ as a synthetic lift of E^{hG} . The unit of the synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring $\nu(E)^{hG}$ still provides a map of multiplicative spectral sequences

$$\mathrm{ANSS}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HFPSS}(E)$$

suitable for detection arguments, for example. In this sense, the HFPSS for E is a *modified ANSS* à la [CD24, Df.1.5]. From this point of view, the ANSS of E^{hG} has no advantage over the HFPSS.

Example 4.7. Consider KU with a trivial C_2 -action. The spectral Deligne–Mumford stack $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{KU})/C_2$ is an even-periodic refinement of the morphism

$$f: BC_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$$

that picks out $\widehat{\mathbf{G}}_m$ with a trivial C_2 -action. The morphism f is not faithful, and hence does not satisfy the conditions of Th.4.3.

In fact, the corresponding homotopy fixed-point spectral sequence does not coincide with the Adams–Novikov spectral sequence of $\mathrm{KU}^{hC_2} \cong \mathrm{KU} \otimes BC_{2+}$. Indeed, the latter is complex-oriented as a KU -module, and hence its ANSS is concentrated in filtration zero. On the other hand, the cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^s(C_2, \pi_* \mathrm{KU})$ are nontrivial for positive values of s , hence the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence is nontrivial in positive filtrations.

Since the ANSS of $\mathrm{KU} \otimes BC_{2+}$ collapses on the zero-line, the ANSS provides no computational leverage; computing the E_2 -page already requires knowing $\pi_*(\mathrm{KU} \otimes BC_{2+})$. The HFPSS, however, has an E_2 -page that is algebraically computable using only $\pi_* \mathrm{KU}$ as input.

4.2 Synthetic modular forms

Finally, we would like to apply the techniques of this paper to the theory of *topological modular forms*. These objects motivated much of this article, and provide both examples and counterexamples to Th.A.

Recall from [DFHH14, §12] that there exists an even-periodic refinement $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{top}}$ on the *compactification of the moduli stack of elliptic curves* $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{Ell}}$. In particular, we have the classical definitions of the \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings

$$\mathrm{Tmf} = \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{top}}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{Ell}}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{TMF} = \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Ell}}),$$

where $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Ell}} \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{Ell}}$ is the moduli stack of elliptic curves; see [Lur18a, §7] for an alternative construction of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{top}}$ on $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Ell}}$.

Definition 4.8. Define $\mathrm{Smf} = \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{Ell}})$ and $\mathrm{SMF} = \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Ell}})$.

By Pr.2.14, the synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings Smf and SMF are synthetic lifts of Tmf and TMF , and by Cor.2.12, their signatures are the DSS for Tmf and TMF .

Remark 4.9. We will ignore the case of tmf , as there is no clear way to define this object from the spectral algebraic geometry used here. The first main problem is that the stack $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{cubic}}$ associated to tmf is not Deligne–Mumford, as the automorphism group of the cuspidal cubic given by $y^2 = x^3$ is not an étale group scheme. The second problem is that the map $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{cubic}} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$ is not flat. To see this, we notice that if it were flat, then $\mathrm{MU}_*\mathrm{tmf}$ would be flat over $\pi_*\mathrm{MU}$, which we can see fails once we know that

$$\mathrm{MU}_*\mathrm{tmf} \cong \mathbf{Z}[a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, e_n \mid n \geq 4];$$

see [Rez, Pr.20.4]. One can of course simply *define* smf to be $\nu \mathrm{tmf}$. This definition is partially justified by the observation that the map $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{cubic}} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$ is affine, so that Cor.3.22 suggests that $\nu \mathrm{tmf}$ should be the preferred synthetic lift of tmf . The MU-synthetic spectrum $\nu \mathrm{tmf}$ has already been studied by Gheorge–Isaksen–Krause–Ricka [GIKR22] under the guise of *motivic modular forms*, reinterpreted as an MU-synthetic statement via [Pst23, Th.7.34].

Remark 4.10. In a similar vein, $\nu \mathrm{ko}$ is a preferred MU-synthetic model for ko as the map $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{quad}} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$ (where $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{quad}}$ is the stack associated to ko ; see [DFHH14, §9]) is also affine. In this case, the first two authors previously showed [CD24, Pr.3.14] by direct computation that there are equivalences

$$\nu \mathrm{KO} \cong (\nu \mathrm{KU})^{hC_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu \mathrm{ko} \cong \tau_{\geq 0}^{\uparrow} \nu \mathrm{KO},$$

which further confirm this suspicion that $\nu \mathrm{ko}$ is the preferred synthetic lift of ko . We repeat the warning from [CD24, Warn.3.16] that these equivalences do **not** hold in $\mathrm{Syn}_{\mathbf{F}_2}$.

Our formalism also extends to synthetic modular forms with level structures.

Variante 4.11. It is also possible to alter Df.4.8 to accommodate moduli stacks of elliptic curves with *level structures*. Indeed, for a congruence subgroup $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbf{Z})$, write \mathbf{M}_{Γ} for the moduli stack of elliptic curves with Γ -level structure. The map $\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Ell}}$ is étale, and we define

$$\mathrm{SMF}_{\Gamma} := \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{M}_{\Gamma}).$$

This is a synthetic \mathbf{E}_{∞} -ring that lifts TMF_{Γ} and whose signature is the DSS for TMF_{Γ} . For compactifications of \mathbf{M}_{Γ} , we need to go to the *log étale* site of $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{Ell}}$ as in [HL16]. This is due to the structure map $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{Ell}}$ generally not being étale, but only log étale. In particular, replacing the small étale site of a Deligne–Mumford stack \mathbf{X} with its *log étale site*, for a chosen log structure on \mathbf{X} , all of the results of this article follow *mutatis mutandis*. This leads to a synthetic \mathbf{E}_{∞} -ring

$$\mathrm{Smf}_{\Gamma} := \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\Gamma})$$

that, likewise, lifts Tmf_{Γ} and whose signature is the DSS for Tmf_{Γ} .

The following is simply Pr.2.11.

Corollary 4.12. *The synthetic \mathbf{E}_{∞} -rings SMF and Smf are both $\nu\mathrm{MU}$ -local (a.k.a. hypercomplete) and τ -complete.*

Our earlier results let us understand SMF in more familiar terms.

Corollary 4.13. *The canonical limit-comparison map of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings*

$$\nu \mathrm{TMF} = \nu(\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Ell}})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Ell}}) = \mathrm{SMF}$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. The map $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Ell}} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$ defined by the formal group associated to the universal elliptic curve over $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Ell}}$ is affine: see the first paragraph in the proof of [MM15, Th.7.2]. The above equivalence then follows from Cor.3.22. \square

In other words, ν preserves the limit $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Ell}})$ defining TMF . While the synthetic spectrum SMF is therefore not new, we will continue to denote it by SMF rather than $\nu \mathrm{TMF}$, in order to emphasise its construction and the fact that its signature naturally gives the DSS for TMF .

Remark 4.14. The map of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings $\nu \mathrm{TMF}_\Gamma \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{SMF}_\Gamma$ is also an equivalence, for any congruence subgroup Γ . Indeed, the map of stacks $\mathbf{M}_\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Ell}, \mathbf{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]}$ is finite étale, and hence affine, so Cor.3.22 applies again.

Although the affine map $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Ell}} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}$ extends over the compactification $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{Ell}}$, the resulting map is **not** affine, but merely quasi-affine. We can then use Th.3.21 to determine how far away the map $\nu \mathrm{Tmf} \rightarrow \mathrm{Smf}$ is from being an equivalence, or in other words, how far away the ANSS for Tmf is from its DSS.

Corollary 4.15. *The synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -ring Smf is (-1) -connective but not connective. In particular, there is a cofibre sequence of synthetic spectra*

$$\nu \mathrm{Tmf} \rightarrow \mathrm{Smf} \rightarrow \Sigma^{-1}(\pi_{-1}^\heartsuit \mathrm{Smf}).$$

Moreover, viewing $\pi_{-1}^\heartsuit(\mathrm{Smf})$ as an element of $\mathrm{Comod}_{\mathrm{MU}_* \mathrm{MU}}$, we have an isomorphism of graded abelian groups

$$\pi_{-1}^\heartsuit(\mathrm{Smf}) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{Ell}} \times_{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}} \mathrm{Spec} L, \omega^{*/2}).$$

In particular, Smf is a synthetic lift of Tmf different from $\nu \mathrm{Tmf}$.

Proof. In [Mat16, Pr.5.1], Mathew computes the DSS for $\mathrm{MU} \otimes \mathcal{O}_X^{\mathrm{top}}$ for $X = \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{Ell}}$, finding that it is concentrated in filtrations 0 and 1. Therefore Pr.3.20 shows that $\nu \mathrm{MU}_{*,1}(\mathrm{Smf})$ is nonzero while $\nu \mathrm{MU}_{*,s}(\mathrm{Smf})$ vanishes for all $s \geq 2$. More specifically, it shows that we have an isomorphism

$$\nu \mathrm{MU}_{*,1}(\mathrm{Smf}) \cong \mathrm{H}^1(\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{Ell}} \times_{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{FG}}} \mathrm{Spec} L, \omega^{(*+1)/2}).$$

In particular, Smf is (-1) -connective but not connective.

By Pr.2.14, the cofibre of the map $\nu \mathrm{Tmf} \rightarrow \mathrm{Smf}$ is the (-1) -truncation $\tau_{\leq -1} \mathrm{Smf}$. Since Smf is (-1) -connective, this cofibre is therefore the desuspension of the discrete object $\pi_{-1}^\heartsuit(\mathrm{Smf})$, considered as an object of $\mathrm{Syn}_{\mathrm{MU}}^\heartsuit \simeq \mathrm{Comod}_{\mathrm{MU}_* \mathrm{MU}}$. The last claim follows from [Pst23, Th.4.18], which says that if S is a synthetic spectrum, then we have an isomorphism of graded abelian groups

$$\nu \mathrm{MU}_{*,s}(S) \cong \pi_{-s}^\heartsuit(S)[s],$$

where $[s]$ denotes the s -fold grading shift. \square

Classically, we have an equivalence of \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings $\mathrm{Tmf}[\Delta^{-24}] \simeq \mathrm{TMF}$. Proving a synthetic version of such a statement is more subtle, because the synthetic global sections functor does not preserve all colimits (see Rmks.3.6 and 3.7). Nevertheless, we can show that it does preserve the specific filtered colimits used to invert elements of filtration zero on the global sections of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{syn}}$. This in fact holds for more general even-periodic refinements in the place of $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{Ell}}$.

Proposition 4.16. *Let $(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})$ be a tame even-periodic refinement such that the underlying stack \mathbf{X} is noetherian, and let $f \in \pi_{2d,0} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ be an element detected on the 0-line of the SDSS for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}$. Write $\overline{f} \in \mathrm{H}^0(\mathbf{X}, \omega_{\mathbf{X}}^{\otimes d})$ for its image under the edge homomorphism, and write $D(\overline{f})$ for the nonvanishing locus of \overline{f} . Then the natural map of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ - $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ -algebras*

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})[f^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(D(\overline{f}))$$

is an equivalence.

In the proof below, we will identify the signature of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ with its DSS via Cor.2.12. We will moreover abuse notation, and refer to the element in $\pi_{2d} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathbf{X})$ obtained by τ -inverting $f \in \pi_{2d,0} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})$ by the same name.

Proof. It suffices to show the natural map above becomes an equivalence after τ -inversion and tensoring with $C\tau$. In the former case, this follows from [MM15, Th.4.14] (this is the only moment where we use the noetherian hypothesis), as this says that the global sections functor $\Gamma(\mathbf{X}, -): \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathbf{X})}(\mathrm{Sp})$ preserves colimits. In particular, we obtain the chain of equivalences

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathbf{X})[f^{-1}] = \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}})[f^{-1}] \cong \Gamma(\mathbf{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}[\overline{f}^{-1}]) \cong \Gamma(D(\overline{f}), \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}(D(\overline{f})).$$

It suffices to check that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(\mathbf{X})[f^{-1}] \otimes C\tau \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{syn}}(D(\overline{f})) \otimes C\tau$ is an equivalence, and the cellularity of $\mathrm{Syn}_{\mathrm{MU}}$ allows us to check this on bigraded homotopy groups. As tensoring with $C\tau$ preserves colimits and filtered colimits commute with bigraded homotopy groups, we calculate (using Cor.2.12) the left-hand side to be the E_2 -page of the DSS for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}(\mathbf{X})$ with f inverted. This agrees with the E_2 -page of the DSS for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}}^{\mathrm{top}}$ at $D(\overline{f})$. Indeed, sheaf cohomology commutes with filtered colimits in the abelian 1-category of quasi-coherent sheaves (see [Sta, 0GQV]). As f is of filtration zero, it comes from a map in the abelian 1-category of quasi-coherent sheaves. This E_2 -page in turn agrees with the homotopy groups of $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{syn}}(D(\overline{f})) \otimes C\tau$, and we see that the map induces the natural isomorphism on bigraded homotopy groups. \square

Let $\Delta^{24} \in \pi_{576,0} \mathrm{Smf}$ denote the unique element that τ -inverts to the usual periodicity element $\Delta^{24} \in \pi_{576} \mathrm{Tmf}$. Applying the above to Δ^{24} , we obtain a synthetic lift of the usual relationship between Tmf and TMF .

Corollary 4.17. *The natural map of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ - Smf -algebras $\mathrm{Smf}[\Delta^{-24}] \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{SMF}$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. This follows from Pr.4.16 and the fact that $\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{Ell}}$ is exactly the nonvanishing locus of $\overline{\Delta}^{24}$ inside $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_{\mathrm{Ell}}$. \square

Note that the proof of this statement does not depend on Cor.4.13, but only uses that SMF is a synthetic lift of TMF, and that its signature is the DSS for TMF.

We can also compare Df.4.8 with another possible definition of Smf, one originally proposed by the second author. Recall that $\mathrm{tmf} = \tau_{\geq 0}\mathrm{Tmf}$, and that $c_4 \in \pi_8 \mathrm{tmf}$ is the uniquely defined $\bar{\kappa}$ -torsion class detecting the normalised Eisenstein series of weight 4.

Proposition 4.18. *There is a pullback diagram of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Smf} & \longrightarrow & \nu \mathrm{TMF} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \nu \mathrm{tmf}[c_4^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & \nu \mathrm{tmf}[c_4^{-1}, \Delta^{-24}]. \end{array}$$

Proof. The following diagram of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Smf} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Smf}[\Delta^{-24}] \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Smf}[c_4^{-1}] & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Smf}[c_4^{-1}, \Delta^{-24}] \end{array}$$

is clearly a pullback, so we are left to identify this square with the square in the statement of the proposition. By Cor.4.17 and Cor.4.13, we see that $\mathrm{Smf}[\Delta^{-24}] \cong \mathrm{SMF} \cong \nu \mathrm{TMF}$, respectively. It suffices to now show that the composite morphism of synthetic \mathbf{E}_∞ -rings

$$\nu \mathrm{tmf} \rightarrow \nu \mathrm{Tmf} \rightarrow \mathrm{Smf}$$

becomes an equivalence after inverting c_4 . After inverting τ , this follows from the fact that $\mathrm{tmf} = \tau_{\geq 0}\mathrm{Tmf}$ by definition. After killing τ , we note that by [Mat16, Cor.5.3] and Cor.2.12, we can identify the bigraded homotopy groups of $\nu \mathrm{tmf} \otimes C\tau$ and $\mathrm{Smf} \otimes C\tau$ as the E_2 -page of a DSS for M_{cub} and \bar{M}_{Ell} , respectively. As \bar{M}_{Ell} can be written inside M_{cub} as the union of the open substacks $D(c_4) \cup D(\Delta)$, and filtered colimits commute with cohomology (see [Sta, 0GQV]), we obtain the desired equivalence after tensoring with $C\tau$. \square

References

- [AOV08] Dan Abramovich, Martin Olsson, and Angelo Vistoli. Tame stacks in positive characteristic. *Ann. Inst. Fourier*, 58(4):1057–1091, 2008.
- [BHS22] Robert Burklund, Jeremy Hahn, and Andrew Senger. Galois reconstruction of Artin–Tate \mathbb{R} -motivic spectra, 2022.
- [BHS23] Robert Burklund, Jeremy Hahn, and Andrew Senger. On the boundaries of highly connected, almost closed manifolds. *Acta Math.*, 231(2):205–344, 2023.
- [BL10] Mark Behrens and Tyler Lawson. *Topological automorphic forms*, volume 958. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2010.

- [Car22] Christian Daniel Carrick. *Stacks and Real-Oriented Homotopy Theory*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2022. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of California, Los Angeles.
- [CD24] Christian Carrick and Jack Morgan Davies. A synthetic approach to detecting v_1 -periodic families, 2024. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2401.16508>.
- [CDN24] Christian Carrick, Jack Morgan Davies, and Sven van Nigtevecht. Revisiting the homotopy groups of Tmf through Smf . In preparation, 2024.
- [Dav20] Jack Morgan Davies. On Lurie’s theorem and applications, 2020. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.00482>.
- [Dev18] Sanath Devalapurkar. Wood’s theorem (notes). Unpublished draft, available at <https://sanathdevalapurkar.github.io/files/wood.pdf>, 2018.
- [DFHH14] Christopher L. Douglas, John Francis, André G. Henriques, and Michael A. Hill, editors. *Topological modular forms. Based on the Talbot workshop, North Conway, NH, USA, March 25–31, 2007*, volume 201. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2014.
- [GIKR22] Bogdan Gheorghe, Daniel C. Isaksen, Achim Krause, and Nicolas Ricka. \mathbb{C} -motivic modular forms. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 24(10):3597–3628, 2022.
- [Goe10] Paul G. Goerss. Topological modular forms [after Hopkins, Miller and Lurie]. In *Séminaire Bourbaki. Volume 2008/2009. Exposés 997–1011*, pages 221–255, ex. Paris: Société Mathématique de France (SMF), 2010.
- [Hed20] Alice Petronella Hedenlund. *Multiplicative Tate spectral sequences*. PhD thesis, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway, 2020.
- [HHR16] M. A. Hill, M. J. Hopkins, and D. C. Ravenel. On the nonexistence of elements of Kervaire invariant one. *Annals of Mathematics*, 184(1):1–262, 2016.
- [HKO11] Po Hu, Igor Kriz, and Kyle Ormsby. Remarks on motivic homotopy theory over algebraically closed fields. *J. K-Theory*, 7(1):55–89, 2011.
- [HL16] Michael Hill and Tyler Lawson. Topological modular forms with level structure. *Invent. Math.*, 203(2):359–416, 2016.
- [Kra18] Achim Krause. *Periodicity in motivic homotopy theory and over BP_*BP* . PhD thesis, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Jul 2018.
- [Law24] Tyler Lawson. Synthetic spectra are (usually) cellular, 2024. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.03257>.
- [Lev15] Marc Levine. The Adams-Novikov spectral sequence and Voevodsky’s slice tower. *Geom. Topol.*, 19(5):2691–2740, 2015.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra. Available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/>, 2017.
- [Lur18a] Jacob Lurie. Elliptic Cohomology II: Orientations. Available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/>, 2018.
- [Lur18b] Jacob Lurie. Spectral Algebraic Geometry. Available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/>, 2018.
- [Mat16] Akhil Mathew. The homology of tmf . *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 18(2):1–29, 2016.
- [Mei17] Lennart Meier. Relatively free TMF -modules. Unpublished preprint, available at <https://webpace.science.uu.nl/~meier007/RelativelyFree4.pdf>, 2017.
- [Mei22] Lennart Meier. Topological modular forms with level structure: decompositions and duality. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 375(2):1305–1355, 2022.
- [MM15] Akhil Mathew and Lennart Meier. Affineness and chromatic homotopy theory. *J. Topol.*, 8(2):476–528, 2015.

- [MNN17] Akhil Mathew, Niko Naumann, and Justin Noel. Nilpotence and descent in equivariant stable homotopy theory. *Adv. Math.*, 305:994–1084, 2017.
- [PP21] Piotr Pstrągowski and Irakli Patchkoria. Adams spectral sequences and Franke’s algebraicity conjecture. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.03669v2>, 2021.
- [Pst23] Piotr Pstrągowski. Synthetic spectra and the cellular motivic category. *Invent. Math.*, 232(2):553–681, 2023.
- [Rez] Charles Rezk. Supplementary notes for Math 512 (version 0.17). lecture notes, available at <https://people.math.rochester.edu/faculty/doug/otherpapers/rezk512.pdf>.
- [Sta] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.