

Segal K -theory of vector spaces with an automorphism

Andrea Bianchi Florian Kranhold

2 July 2024

We describe the Segal K -theory of the symmetric monoidal category of finite-dimensional vector spaces over a perfect field \mathbb{F} together with an automorphism, or, equivalently, the group-completion of the E_∞ -algebra of maps from S^1 to the disjoint union of classifying spaces $\mathrm{BGL}_d(\mathbb{F})$, in terms of the K -theory of finite field extensions of \mathbb{F} . A key ingredient for this is a computation of the Segal K -theory of the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces with a nilpotent endomorphism, which we do over any field \mathbb{F} . We also discuss the topological cases of $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}$.

1. Introduction and overview

1.1. Setting and question

If (\mathbf{C}, \oplus) is a symmetric monoidal category, the core groupoid \mathbf{C}^\simeq is canonically an E_∞ -algebra in spaces, whose group-completion is the infinite loop space of a connective spectrum $K^\oplus(\mathbf{C})$, known as the *Segal K -theory of \mathbf{C}* [Seg74; May78; Tho79].

We are interested in the relation between the Segal K -theories of \mathbf{C} and of the functor category $\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{aut}} = \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{B}\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{C})$ of objects in \mathbf{C} with automorphism, together with the pointwise symmetric monoidal structure. Note that \mathbf{C} is a symmetric monoidal retract of $\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{aut}}$, comprising objects of \mathbf{C} together with the identity morphism. As a consequence, the spectrum $K^\oplus(\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{aut}})$ contains $K^\oplus(\mathbf{C})$ as a direct summand, and we are interested in determining the remaining summand. Furthermore, the E_∞ -algebra $\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{aut}, \simeq}$ is equivalent to the free loop space $\mathrm{Map}(S^1, \mathbf{C}^\simeq)$, see lemma 2.10, so our question can also be phrased in terms of the group-completion of the latter.

In this work, we focus on the case where $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is the symmetric monoidal category of finite-dimensional vector spaces over a perfect field \mathbb{F} , endowed with the symmetric monoidal structure given by direct sum.

1.2. Results

As mentioned above, the E_{∞} -algebra $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{aut}, \simeq}$ is equivalent to the space of maps from S^1 to $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\simeq} \simeq \coprod_{d \geq 0} \mathbf{BGL}_d(\mathbb{F})$. Using this description, the main result of this article can be phrased as follows: For each perfect field \mathbb{F} , there is an equivalence of infinite loop spaces

$$\Omega^{\infty} \mathbf{B}^{\infty} \text{Map} \left(S^1, \coprod_{d \geq 0} \mathbf{BGL}_d(\mathbb{F}) \right) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{(t) \neq \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{F}[t] \\ \text{maximal ideal}}} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega^{\infty} K(\mathbb{F}[t]/\mathfrak{m}). \quad (1)$$

Here $\mathbb{F}[t]$ is a polynomial algebra in one variable, (t) is the ideal generated by the monomial t , and $\mathbb{F}[t]/\mathfrak{m}$ is the residue field of \mathfrak{m} . Moreover, $K(\mathbb{F})$ is the usual algebraic K-theory spectrum of \mathbb{F} , which in the context of our work will be denoted by $K^{\oplus}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}})$. Its homotopy type has been determined in many cases, e.g. [Qui72; Bor81], see also [Wei13, § VI] for an overview.

The above equivalence is conceived in two main steps: In the first step, carried out in section 3, we employ the fact that each automorphism of a finite-dimensional vector space has a primary decomposition to get the following result:

Theorem A. *Let \mathbb{F} be a perfect field. Then we have an equivalence of connective spectra*

$$K^{\oplus}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{aut}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{(t) \neq \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{F}[t] \\ \text{maximal ideal}}} K^{\oplus}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]/\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{nil}}).$$

Here $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}$ is the abelian category of finite-dimensional vector spaces, together with a nilpotent endomorphism, which we endow with the symmetric monoidal structure given by direct sum. By admitting the formerly excluded maximal ideal (t) , the equivalence of theorem A can be extended to

$$K^{\oplus}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{end}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{F}[t] \\ \text{maximal ideal}}} K^{\oplus}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]/\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{nil}}),$$

where $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{end}} = \text{Fun}(\mathbf{BN}, \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}})$ is the category of \mathbb{F} -vector spaces together with an endomorphism, see remark 3.11 for details.

Under the equivalence of theorem **A**, the aforementioned summand $K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{F})$ is a retract of the summand $K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]/(t-1)}^{\text{nil}}) \simeq K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{F}^{\text{nil}})$; this retract is obtained by combining the functors $\mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{F}^{\text{nil}}$, endowing a given vector space with the zero endomorphism, and $\mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{F}^{\text{nil}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{F}$, forgetting the endomorphism.

In the second step, carried out in section **4**, we study, for an arbitrary field \mathbb{F} , the Segal K-theory of $\mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{F}^{\text{nil}}$. While the forgetful functor $\mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{F}^{\text{nil}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{F}$ induces an equivalence on Quillen K-theory, which we shall denote by K^Q , the same is far from being true for K^\oplus . We instead show the following:

Theorem B. *Let \mathbb{F} be a field. Then we have an equivalence of connective spectra*

$$K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{F}^{\text{nil}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{F}).$$

Roughly speaking, the index set corresponds to the fact that nilpotent endomorphisms can be decomposed into Jordan blocks of arbitrary size. Our proof of theorem **B** relies on an explicit description of the fibre of the comparison map between $K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{F}^{\text{nil}})$ and $K^Q(\mathbf{Mod}_\mathbb{F}^{\text{nil}})$ in terms of Quillen K-theory due to [She89]. The main equivalence (1) then follows by combining theorems **A** and **B** and applying Ω^∞ .

In the final section **5**, we discuss the topological cases of $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, where the natural topology on the automorphism groups is taken into account. More precisely, let $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{C},\text{top}}$ and $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{R},\text{top}}$ be the topologically enriched categories of finite-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{C} and \mathbb{R} , respectively; i.e. we have

$$\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{C},\text{top}}^{\simeq} \simeq \coprod_{d \geq 0} \text{BU}(d), \quad \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{R},\text{top}}^{\simeq} \simeq \coprod_{d \geq 0} \text{BO}(d).$$

Very generally, for any E_∞ -algebra A , there is a canonical map of infinite loop spaces $\Omega^\infty \text{B}^\infty \text{Map}(S^1, A) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S^1, \Omega^\infty \text{B}^\infty A)$. For $A = \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F},\text{top}}^{\simeq}$, we show:

Theorem C. *For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$, the canonical map of infinite loop spaces*

$$\Omega^\infty K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F},\text{top}}^{\text{aut}}) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S^1, \Omega^\infty K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F},\text{top}}))$$

is an equivalence. As a consequence, we have equivalences of connective spectra

$$K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{C},\text{top}}^{\text{aut}}) \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty S^1 \wedge \text{ku}, \quad K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{R},\text{top}}^{\text{aut}}) \simeq \text{colim}(\text{ko} \xleftarrow{r} \text{ku} \xrightarrow{r} \text{ko}).$$

Here ku and ko denote the connective complex and real K-theory spectra, respectively, and $r: \text{ku} \rightarrow \text{ko}$ is the ‘realification’, induced by restriction of scalars $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The first statement of theorem **C** is in contrast with theorems **A** and **B**, which imply that for a discrete, perfect field \mathbb{F} , the canonical map

$$\Omega^\infty K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{aut}}) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S^1, \Omega^\infty K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}})),$$

is far from being an equivalence, as the left-hand side is identified with the direct sum $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{F}[t]} \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} \Omega^\infty K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]/\mathfrak{m}})$, whereas the right-hand side has the rather ‘smaller’ homotopy type $\Omega^\infty K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}) \oplus \Omega^{\infty+1} K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}})$.

There is, however, also a big similarity between theorem **C** and theorem **A**: We observe that the maximal ideals in $\mathbb{C}[t]$ different from (t) naturally form a *space*, namely $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \simeq S^1$, and every maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} yields the ‘same’ residue field $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}[t]/\mathfrak{m}$. Similarly, maximal ideals in $\mathbb{R}[t]$ different from (t) are parametrised by the space $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} : \text{Im}(z) \geq 0\} \simeq [0, 1]$. This space contains the subspace $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \simeq \{0, 1\}$, and the residue field $\mathbb{R}[t]/\mathfrak{m}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} or to \mathbb{C} , depending on whether the parameter of \mathfrak{m} lies in $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ or not. Finally, the lack of terms corresponding to Jordan blocks of size at least 2 is, intuitively, due to the fact that, in the topological setting, every automorphism of a finite-dimensional vector space can be canonically homotoped to a unitary or orthogonal one, which is semisimple.

1.3. Related work

The Quillen K -theory of modules with an automorphisms, more precisely the kernel of $K_i^Q(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{aut}}) \rightarrow K_i^Q(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}})$, has been studied in [Gra76b; Gra79].

The Segal K -theory of modules with an automorphism is a key ingredient in a description of a weight filtration of Quillen K -theory of rings, due to [Gra95]. More precisely, Grayson considers, for a given ring R , a simplicial ring RA^\bullet whose 0-simplices are R itself. The n^{th} filtration component on $K^Q(R)$ is built out of the Segal K -theories of RA^\bullet -modules together with at most n mutually commuting automorphisms. In that context, we calculated the 0-simplices of the first filtration step in the case where R is a perfect field.

The current article can also be regarded as an instance of the following problem: Replacing E_∞ by E_1 in the above discussion, the free loop space¹ $\text{Map}(S^1, A)$ of an E_1 -algebra A has a pointwise E_1 -algebra structure, and we have a group-completion $\Omega\text{BMap}(S^1, A)$. We might also first group-complete A and then take the free loop space, obtaining the space $\text{Map}(S^1, \Omega BA)$. As before, there is a canonical E_1 -map

$$\Omega\text{BMap}(S^1, A) \rightarrow \text{Map}(S^1, \Omega BA)$$

¹We focus on maps from S^1 for simplicity; the same problem can be reformulated with respect to maps from an arbitrary space.

and we are broadly interested in understanding, in concrete examples, whether this map is an equivalence, or rather how much this map is *not* an equivalence. In [BKR22], we determined, in a slightly different setting, the homotopy type of the group-completion of $\text{Map}(S^1, A)$, where A is the E_1 -algebra given by the disjoint union of classifying spaces of either of the following three sequences of groups: mapping class groups of oriented surfaces of genus $g \geq 0$ with one boundary curve, Artin braid groups, and symmetric groups. In all these cases, the above map is far from being an equivalence. This article studies the case of A being the disjoint union of classifying spaces of general linear groups $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{F})$.

Acknowledgements and funding

We would like to thank Søren Galatius and Jens Reinhold for sparking our interest in mapping spaces into E_1 -algebras and their group-completion, and for drawing our attention to the work of Grayson. We furthermore owe thanks to Lars Hesselholt and Bjørn Ian Dundas for making us aware of Sherman’s results. Finally, we are grateful to Simon Gritschacher, Kaif Hilman, Manuel Krannich, and Christian Mauz for helpful conversations on the subject.

A. B. was partially supported by the *European Research Council* under the *European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme* (grant agreement No. 772960), by the *Danish National Research Foundation* through the *Copenhagen Centre for Geometry and Topology* (DNRF151), and by the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. Segal K -theory

We start by recalling the definition of Segal K -theory for symmetric monoidal categories and some of its properties. While the most part of the paper can be read and understood with Segal’s original construction [Seg74] in mind, we decided to use the more modern language of ∞ -categories from [Luro9; Lur17].

Reminder 2.1. Let \mathbf{Cat}_∞ and \mathbf{S} denote the (large) ∞ -categories of small ∞ -categories and small ∞ -groupoids (a.k.a. *spaces*), respectively. The ∞ -categories of small symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories and small symmetric monoidal ∞ -groupoids (a.k.a. E_∞ -algebras in spaces) are denoted by $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$ and $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S})$, respectively.

The inclusion functor $\mathbf{S} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty$ has a right-adjoint $(-)^{\simeq} : \mathbf{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$, called the *core groupoid functor* [Lan21, Cor. 5.1.17]. Since $(-)^{\simeq}$ preserves products, it induces a functor between categories of commutative monoid objects, which we shall still call $(-)^{\simeq} : \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S})$. It is again right-adjoint to the forgetful functor $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$, see [GGN15, Lem. 6.1].

The ∞ -category of connective spectra is denoted by \mathbf{Sp}^{cn} . It is equivalent to the full subcategory of $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S})$ spanned by group-like objects [Lur17, 5.2.6.26], via the *infinite loop space* functor $\Omega^\infty: \mathbf{Sp}^{\text{cn}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S})$. The functor Ω^∞ has a left-adjoint $\mathbf{B}^\infty: \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}^{\text{cn}}$, see [May74] and [GGN15, Rmk. 4.5].

Now we can give the definition of Segal K-theory as in [GGN15, Def. 8.3]:

Definition 2.2. We define *Segal K-theory* to be the composition of functors

$$K^\oplus: \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty) \xrightarrow{(-)^\simeq} \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S}) \xrightarrow{\mathbf{B}^\infty} \mathbf{Sp}^{\text{cn}}.$$

Remark 2.3. The categories $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$, $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S})$, and \mathbf{Sp}^{cn} are *semiadditive*, i.e. initial and terminal objects exist and coincide, and for each finite collection $(X_i)_{i \in J}$ of objects, the canonical morphism from the coproduct $\coprod_{i \in J} X_i$ to the product $\prod_{i \in J} X_i$ is an equivalence, see e.g. [GGN15, Prop. 2.3+8].

Notation 2.4. For an arbitrary set I and a family $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ of objects in $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty)$, in $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S})$, or in \mathbf{Sp}^{cn} , we denote by $\bigoplus_{i \in I} X_i := \coprod_{i \in I} X_i$ the categorical *coproduct*, and we will refer to it as a ‘direct sum’.

Remark 2.5. In any ambient category, a coproduct $\coprod_{i \in I} X_i$ over an arbitrary set I is the filtered colimit of the coproducts $\coprod_{i \in J} X_i$ over finite subset $J \subseteq I$. If, additionally, our ambient category is semiadditive, then we can replace each of the latter finite coproducts by a finite product and, accordingly, identify $\coprod_{i \in I} X_i$ with the filtered colimit of the *products* $\prod_{i \in J} X_i$.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $(\mathbf{C}_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of small symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories. Then we have an equivalence of connective spectra*

$$K^\oplus(\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbf{C}_i) \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in I} K^\oplus(\mathbf{C}_i).$$

Proof. By remark 2.5, it suffices to prove that the two constituting functors $(-)^\simeq$ and \mathbf{B}^∞ from definition 2.2 commute with finite products and filtered colimits.

The forgetful functors $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty$ and $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S}) \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ detect filtered colimits (see e.g. the proof of [GGN15, Lem. 6.1]), and the functor $(-)^\simeq: \mathbf{Cat}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbf{S}$ commutes with them (see the proof of [GGN15, Thm. 8.6]); this implies that the enhanced functor $(-)^\simeq: \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{Cat}_\infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S})$ commutes with filtered colimits as well. Moreover, being a right-adjoint, $(-)^\simeq$ commutes with finite products.

Finally, \mathbf{B}^∞ commutes, as a left-adjoint, with arbitrary colimits, in particular with filtered ones; and with finite coproducts, which, by semiadditivity, are the same as finite products. \square

2.2. Mapping spaces and representations

In this subsection, we make the aforementioned connection between categories of automorphisms and free loop spaces precise.

Construction 2.7. Let \mathbf{C} be a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and let \mathbf{I} be any small ∞ -category. Then the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C})$ of functors from \mathbf{I} to \mathbf{C} is again symmetric monoidal by pointwise operations, and it is an ∞ -groupoid if \mathbf{C} is.

In the case where both \mathbf{I} and \mathbf{C} are small ∞ -groupoids, i.e. spaces, the ∞ -groupoid $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C})$ can be regarded as a classical mapping space between spaces, which we also denote, more traditionally, as $\mathrm{Map}(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{C})$.

Example 2.8. For a discrete monoid G , we denote by BG the 1-category with a single object that has G as its endomorphism monoid.

If \mathbf{C} is a (classical) category, then $\mathrm{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{C})$ is the category of G -representations in \mathbf{C} ; and if, additionally, \mathbf{C} is symmetric monoidal, then the monoidal structure on $\mathrm{Fun}(BG, \mathbf{C})$ is given by taking monoidal products of G -representations.

For example, $\mathrm{Fun}(B\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{C})$ is the category whose objects are pairs (X, κ) where X is an object of \mathbf{C} and κ is an automorphism of X , and whose arrows $(X, \kappa) \rightarrow (X', \kappa')$ are morphisms $\phi: X \rightarrow X'$ with $\kappa' \circ \phi = \phi \circ \kappa$.

Notation 2.9. For any ∞ -category \mathbf{C} , we write $\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{aut}} := \mathrm{Fun}(B\mathbb{Z}, \mathbf{C})$.

Lemma 2.10. For any small symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathbf{C} , we have an equivalence of connective spectra $K^\oplus(\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{aut}}) \simeq B^\infty \mathrm{Map}(S^1, \mathbf{C}^\simeq)$. In particular, for a field \mathbb{F} , we have an equivalence of connective spectra

$$K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\mathrm{aut}}) \simeq B^\infty \mathrm{Map}\left(S^1, \coprod_{d \geq 0} \mathrm{BGL}_d(\mathbb{F})\right).$$

Proof. Since $B\mathbb{Z} \simeq S^1$ is an ∞ -groupoid, the canonical map of symmetric monoidal ∞ -groupoids $\mathrm{Fun}(S^1, \mathbf{C})^\simeq \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(S^1, \mathbf{C}^\simeq)$ is an equivalence by [Lan21, Rmk. 5.1.18]. We hence have an equivalence of connective spectra

$$K^\oplus(\mathbf{C}^{\mathrm{aut}}) = B^\infty \mathrm{Fun}(S^1, \mathbf{C})^\simeq \simeq B^\infty \mathrm{Fun}(S^1, \mathbf{C}^\simeq) = B^\infty \mathrm{Map}(S^1, \mathbf{C}^\simeq).$$

In the special case of $\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}$, we use that the E_∞ -algebra $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^\simeq$ is equivalent to the disjoint union of classifying spaces $\coprod_{d \geq 0} \mathrm{BGL}_d(\mathbb{F})$ \square

Thus, our original question can be phrased geometrically as follows: We want to study, for a field \mathbb{F} , the group-completion of the free loop space of $\coprod_{d \geq 0} \mathrm{BGL}_d(\mathbb{F})$.

2.3. Relation to Quillen K -theory

Reminder 2.11. An *exact structure* on an additive category \mathbf{A} is a class \mathbf{E} of short sequences $A \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A''$ which satisfies a list axioms [Qui73, §2.1]; the pair (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) is then called an *exact category*. An *exact functor* $(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{A}', \mathbf{E}')$ is an additive functor $F: \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}'$ with $F(\mathbf{E}) \subset \mathbf{E}'$. We refrain from recalling all axioms, as we will only be concerned with two classes of exact structures:

- If \mathbf{A} is an abelian category, then the collection of all short exact sequences is an exact structure on \mathbf{A} . If not stated otherwise, this is the canonical exact structure that we consider on an abelian category, without mentioning it.
- For each additive category, the collection of all *split* short exact sequences $A \rightarrow A \oplus A'' \rightarrow A''$ is an exact structure on \mathbf{A} ; it is actually the smallest one satisfying Quillen's axioms. We call an exact category (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) *split exact* if \mathbf{E} agrees with this minimal class.

For an exact category (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) , its *Quillen K -theory* has been defined as a loop space in [Qui73, §2.2]. This loop space is actually part of a connective spectrum $K^Q(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E})$: One can either regard (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) as a Waldhausen category and employ Waldhausen's S_\bullet -construction [Wal85], or proceed directly [GG87; Jar87; Shi86]. All these constructions are equivalent and functorial with respect to exact functors.

On the other hand, the additive category \mathbf{A} can be regarded as a symmetric monoidal category via direct sums. If (\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E}) is split exact, then it is a classical theorem that $K^Q(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{E})$ and $K^\oplus(\mathbf{A})$ are equivalent as connective spectra, see [Gra76a] for a proof on the level of spaces and [BO20, Thm. 10.2] for a proof on the level of spectra.

Example 2.12. If \mathbb{F} is any field, then the (small) abelian category $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}$ of finite-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{F} is split exact. Therefore, the connective spectra $K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}})$ and $K^Q(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}})$ are equivalent.

For a general exact category, Quillen K -theory differs from Segal K -theory, but there is a comparison map. In order to save notation, we spell it out only for abelian categories, where our notation coincides with the one from [She89]:

Reminder 2.13. Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category. As mentioned before, we regard \mathbf{A} as an exact category by allowing all short exact sequences. On the other hand, we denote by \mathbf{A}^\oplus the exact category whose underlying additive category is still \mathbf{A} , but where we only allow split short exact sequences; in particular, $K^Q(\mathbf{A}^\oplus)$ is equivalent to $K^\oplus(\mathbf{A})$. Note that $(-)^{\oplus}$ is functorial with respect to exact functors.

Since the identity functor on \mathbf{A} , regarded as a functor $\mathbf{A}^\oplus \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is exact, we obtain a *comparison map* $\omega_{\mathbf{A}}: K^Q(\mathbf{A}^\oplus) \rightarrow K^Q(\mathbf{A})$ of connective spectra, which is natural with respect to exact functors between abelian categories.

3. Primary decompositions of automorphisms

The goal of this section is to prove theorem A.

Notation 3.1. Let R be a commutative ring. For each $a \in R$, we denote by $Ra = (a)$ the ideal generated by a , and we write $R/a := R/(a)$ for the quotient ring.

Reminder 3.2 (Primary decomposition). Let R be a principal ideal domain and let M be a finitely generated torsion module over R . Then there are maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_n \subset R$ and positive integers $r_1, \dots, r_n > 0$, unique up to permutation, with

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R/\mathfrak{m}_i^{r_i}.$$

Example 3.3. For a field \mathbb{F} , we identify the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{F} , together with an endomorphism, with the full abelian subcategory $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{\text{tors}} \subseteq \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}$ spanned by those $\mathbb{F}[t]$ -modules M with $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} M < \infty$: these are precisely the finitely generated torsion modules. In this description, the endomorphism of a vector space is given by multiplication with t on a module.

By the above primary decomposition theorem, we obtain a decomposition of each $M \in \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{\text{tors}}$ into summands of the form $\mathbb{F}[t]/\mathfrak{m}_i^{r_i}$, where each \mathfrak{m}_i is generated by an irreducible polynomial. The endomorphism of M , given by multiplication by t , is an automorphism if and only if none of these ideals \mathfrak{m}_i equals (t) .

Definition 3.4. For a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{F}[t]$, we let $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the full abelian subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{\text{tors}}$ containing all modules isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{F}[t]/\mathfrak{m}^{r_i}$ for some $n \geq 0$ and $r_1, \dots, r_n > 0$.

Example 3.5. The category $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{(t)}$ coincides with the abelian category of finite-dimensional vector spaces over \mathbb{F} , together with a nilpotent endomorphism, also denoted by $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}$. This abelian category will play a central rôle in section 4.

Lemma 3.6. *We have an equivalence of symmetric monoidal categories*

$$\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{aut}} \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{(t) \neq \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{F}[t] \\ \text{maximal ideal}}} \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Proof. We have a symmetric monoidal functor $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{aut}}$ given by taking a finitely supported family $(M_{\mathfrak{m}})_{\mathfrak{m}}$ to the direct sum $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m}} M_{\mathfrak{m}}$. This functor is essentially surjective by the primary decomposition theorem, and clearly faithful. It is also full, since for two different maximal ideals \mathfrak{m}_1 and \mathfrak{m}_2 , the powers $\mathfrak{m}_1^{r_1}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_2^{r_2}$ are generated by coprime polynomials p_1 and p_2 , and in such a situation, there are no non-zero $\mathbb{F}[t]$ -linear maps $\mathbb{F}[t]/p_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[t]/p_2$. \square

Note that under the equivalence of lemma 3.6, the retract $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{aut}}$ from the introduction, given by endowing a given vector space V with the identity, is actually a retract of the summand $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{(t-1)}$, as the primary decomposition of (V, id_V) is given by $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\dim(V)} \mathbb{F}[t]/(t-1)$.

We can now study each summand $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ separately. In the special case where \mathfrak{m} is of the form $(t-\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, there is an easy ‘change of coordinates’:

Lemma 3.7. *For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, there is a symmetric monoidal equivalence*

$$\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{(t-\alpha)} \simeq \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}.$$

Proof. The ring automorphism of $\mathbb{F}[t]$ given by $t \mapsto t - \alpha$ induces an additive, hence symmetric monoidal autoequivalence $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}$ via restriction of scalars; the latter restricts to a symmetric monoidal equivalence $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{(t-\alpha)} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}$. \square

Because for algebraically closed fields \mathbb{F} , all maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} in $\mathbb{F}[t]$ are of the form $(t-\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$, this already leads to the following result:

Corollary 3.8. *Let \mathbb{F} be an algebraically closed field. Then there is an equivalence of symmetric monoidal categories*

$$\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{aut}} \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}} \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}.$$

If, however, \mathbb{F} is not algebraically closed and $\mathfrak{m} = (p)$ is a maximal ideal, then we have to pass to a finite field extension in order to ‘split off’ a linear factor $t - \alpha$ from p . This is made precise as follows:

Reminder 3.9. Let \mathbb{F} be a field. A polynomial in $\mathbb{F}[t]$ is *separable* if all its roots are distinct in the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F} . The field \mathbb{F} is called *perfect* if each irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{F}[t]$ is separable. We recall that fields of characteristic 0, finite fields, algebraically closed fields, and algebraic extensions of perfect fields are perfect.

Lemma 3.10. *Let \mathbb{F} be a field and let $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{F}[t]$ be a maximal ideal generated by a separable polynomial. Then there is a symmetric monoidal equivalence*

$$\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]/\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{nil}}.$$

We note that lemma 3.7 is a special case of lemma 3.10.

Proof. We find an irreducible and separable polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ with $\mathfrak{m} = (p)$. Let α be some root of p in the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F} and let $\mathbb{L} := \mathbb{F}(\alpha)$ be the field

extension of \mathbb{F} by α . Note that \mathbb{L} is isomorphic to the quotient $\mathbb{F}[t]/\mathfrak{m}$. We want to establish a symmetric monoidal equivalence

$$\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{\mathfrak{m}} \simeq \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{L}[t]}^{(t-\alpha)},$$

which combined with lemma 3.7 gives the desired result. Let $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{L}[t]}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{L}[t]}^{\text{tors}}$ spanned by those modules M for which there exists $r \geq 0$ with $p^r M = 0$. We have additive, hence symmetric monoidal functors

$$\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{\mathfrak{m}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{L_1: M \mapsto \mathbb{L}[t] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[t]} M} \\ \xrightarrow{M|_{\mathbb{F}[t]} \leftarrow M : R_1} \end{array} \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{L}[t]}^{\mathfrak{m}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{L_2: M \mapsto M_{t-\alpha}} \\ \xrightarrow{M \leftarrow M : R_2} \end{array} \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{L}[t]}^{(t-\alpha)}.$$

Here $M_{t-\alpha} \subset M$ denotes the $(t-\alpha)$ -primary part of M , i.e. the direct summand of M comprising all $x \in M$ with $(t-\alpha)^r \cdot x = 0$ for some $r \geq 0$. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the functors L_i and R_i are canonically additively adjoint. We hence obtain an adjunction $L_2 L_1 \dashv R_1 R_2$, and we finish the proof by checking that the unit η and the counit ε of this adjunction are equivalences.

For the unit, it suffices, by additivity of all functors and transformations, to check that $\eta_M: M \rightarrow R_1 R_2 L_2 L_1 M$ is an isomorphism when M is of the form $\mathbb{F}[t]/p^r$ for some r . In this case, η_M is the $\mathbb{F}[t]$ -linear map $\mathbb{F}[t]/p^r \rightarrow \mathbb{L}[t]/(t-\alpha)^r$ sending $1 \mapsto 1$, and it is immediate to check, using that $(t-\alpha)^2$ does not divide p , that η_M is an injective map between finite-dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector spaces of the same dimension.

For the counit, it suffices again to check that $\varepsilon_M: L_2 L_1 R_1 R_2 M \rightarrow M$ is an equivalence for $M = \mathbb{L}[t]/(t-\alpha)^r$. Here we identify ε_M with the multiplication map $q^r \mathbb{L}[t] \otimes_{\mathbb{F}[t]} \mathbb{L}[t]/(t-\alpha)^r \rightarrow \mathbb{L}[t]/(t-\alpha)^r$, where $p = q \cdot (t-\alpha)$. That multiplication map is bijective since q is invertible in $\mathbb{L}[t]/(t-\alpha)^r$. \square

Proof of theorem A. Note that symmetric monoidal equivalences between small symmetric monoidal 1-categories are in particular equivalences in the ∞ -category of small symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories. Moreover, recall from lemma 2.6 that K^\oplus is compatible with coproducts of symmetric monoidal categories. Using that for a perfect field \mathbb{F} , each maximal ideal of $\mathbb{F}[t]$ is generated by a separable polynomial, the combination of lemma 3.6 and lemma 3.10 yields an equivalence of spectra

$$K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{aut}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{(t) \neq \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{F}[t] \\ \text{maximal ideal}}} K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}^{\mathfrak{m}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{(t) \neq \mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{F}[t] \\ \text{maximal ideal}}} K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]/\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{nil}}). \quad \square$$

Remark 3.11. Recall from example 3.3 that the absence of primary summands of the form $\mathbb{F}[t]/(t)^r$ is exactly what tells automorphisms from general endomorphisms.

The equivalence of lemma 3.6 can hence be extended to a symmetric monoidal equivalence between $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{end}} := \text{Fun}(\mathbb{B}\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}})$, the category of vector spaces with an endomorphism, and $\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m}} \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]/\mathfrak{m}}^{\mathfrak{m}}$, where the maximal ideal (t) is now part of the indexing set. Since lemma 3.10 can also be applied in this situation, the equivalence of theorem A can be extended to

$$K^{\oplus}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{end}}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathbb{F}[t] \\ \text{maximal ideal}}} K^{\oplus}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]/\mathfrak{m}}^{\text{nil}}).$$

4. Segal K-theory of nilpotent endomorphisms

The goal of this section is to prove theorem B. In order to achieve this goal, we once again employ the primary decomposition theorem from reminder 3.2.

Reminder 4.1. As in example 3.5, we identify $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}$ with the full abelian subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}$ containing all $\mathbb{F}[t]$ -modules M which satisfy $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} M < \infty$ and $t^r M = 0$ for some integer $r \geq 0$.

For each integer $r \geq 0$, the $\mathbb{F}[t]$ -module $M_r := \mathbb{F}[t]/t^r$ is called *Jordan block of size r* ; we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} M_r = r$, and the $\mathbb{F}[t]$ -linear morphisms $M_s \rightarrow M_r$ are all of the form $\phi_p^{r,s} : x \mapsto p \cdot x$ for some polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}[t]$, with the additional requirement that t^{r-s} must divide p if $s < r$.

The primary decomposition theorem tells us that each object in $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of the form $M_{r_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus M_{r_n}$ with $r_1, \dots, r_n \geq 1$.

We first recall the *Quillen K-theory* of $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}$, which can easily be determined by *déviissage*, a method due to Quillen that will turn out to be useful later as well:

Reminder 4.2 (Déviissage). Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category and let $\mathbf{A}' \subset \mathbf{A}$ be a full subcategory that contains 0 and is closed under isomorphisms, as well as taking subobjects, quotients and finite products in \mathbf{A} . Then \mathbf{A}' is itself an abelian category and the inclusion functor $\mathbf{A}' \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}$ is exact.

We say that \mathbf{A}' *filters* \mathbf{A} if each object A of \mathbf{A} has a finite filtration

$$0 = T_0 A \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow T_l A = A$$

such that all quotients $T_{k+1} A / T_k A$ lie in \mathbf{A}' . In this case, the déviissage theorem [Qui73, Thm. 4] tells us that the induced map $K^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{A}') \rightarrow K^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{A})$ is an equivalence.

Proposition 4.3. *The functor $U: \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}$ that forgets the nilpotent endomorphism induces an equivalence on Quillen K-theory.*

Proof. The functor U has an exact section S by identifying $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}$ with the subcategory of $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}$ spanned by vector spaces with the zero endomorphism; we prove that this section induces an equivalence on $K^{\mathcal{Q}}$. The subcategory of trivial endomorphisms is closed under isomorphisms, subobjects, quotients, and finite products, so we are left to show that it filters $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}$. By the primary decomposition theorem, it suffices to show that each M_i admits such a filtration. This follows inductively, using that M_1 carries the trivial endomorphism and using the short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow M_{r-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_i^{r,r-1}} M_r \xrightarrow{\phi_1^{1,r}} M_1 \longrightarrow 0. \quad \square$$

We point out that the statement of proposition 4.3 is well-known; we reproved it only to get acquainted with the technique of dévissage for later purposes.

In order to understand $K^{\oplus}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}})$, we should therefore study the comparison map between Segal K -theory and Quillen K -theory from reminder 2.13:

Reminder 4.4. Let \mathbf{A} be an abelian category. In [She89], the fibre of the comparison map $\omega_{\mathbf{A}}: K^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{A}^{\oplus}) \rightarrow K^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{A})$ from reminder 2.13 has been identified with $K^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{A}^{\#})$, where $\mathbf{A}^{\#}$ is the following abelian category:² We denote the category of abelian groups by \mathbf{Ab} and let $[-, -]: \mathbf{A}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ be the Hom-functor. Then $\mathbf{A}^{\#}$ is the full subcategory of the abelian category of additive functors $\mathbf{A}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$, containing those functors F such that there is an epimorphism $\beta: B \twoheadrightarrow B'$ in \mathbf{A} with

$$F \cong \text{coker}([- , \beta]: [- , B] \rightarrow [- , B']).$$

It is shown in [Aus66, Prop. 2.1] that $\mathbf{A}^{\#}$ is closed under taking biproducts, kernels, and cokernels in the functor category, and hence is an abelian subcategory. We note that a sequence $0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow F' \rightarrow F'' \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathbf{A}^{\#}$ is exact if and only if $0 \rightarrow FA \rightarrow F'A \rightarrow F''A \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of abelian groups for all $A \in \mathbf{A}$.

We point out that [She89] only describes the underlying space of the fibre; an identification on the level of connective spectra can be found in [Scho6, Thm. 9].

Lemma 4.5. *We have an equivalence of spectra*

$$K^{\oplus}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}) \simeq K^{\oplus}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}) \oplus K^{\mathcal{Q}}((\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}})^{\#}).$$

Proof. Recall that $K^{\oplus}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}})$ is equivalent to $K^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}, \oplus})$, so we might as well study the latter. Moreover, $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is split exact, so by naturality of the comparison

²In [She89] the category $\mathbf{A}^{\#}$ is denoted as $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_0$, as it is conceived in several steps. We decided to use this easier notation for typographical reasons, as we want to apply this construction to $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}$.

maps ω_\bullet from reminder 2.13, we obtain a commuting square of spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^Q(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil},\oplus}) & \xrightarrow{\omega_{\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}}} & K^Q(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}) \\ K^Q(U^\oplus) \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow K^Q(U) \\ K^Q(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^\oplus) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\omega_{\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}}} & K^Q(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}), \end{array}$$

where U is the exact functor from proposition 4.3, which had a section called S . By functoriality, $K^Q(S^\oplus)$ is a section of the left vertical map $K^Q(U^\oplus)$, so as the right vertical map $K^Q(U)$ is an equivalence, $K^Q(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil},\oplus})$ splits, up to equivalence, into $K^Q(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^\oplus) \simeq K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}})$ and the fibre of $\omega_{\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}}}$, which is $K^Q((\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}})^\#)$. \square

It therefore remains to study K^Q of the abelian category $(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}})^\#$. This abelian category is henceforth denoted by \mathbf{F} .

Remark 4.6. Each additive functor $F: (\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ has a canonical lift to an $\mathbb{F}[t]$ -linear functor $\tilde{F}: (\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}$ along the forgetful map $\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]} \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ as follows: Given M in $(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}})^{\text{op}}$, we define an $\mathbb{F}[t]$ -module structure on FM by defining the scalar multiplication by $p \in \mathbb{F}[t]$ to be the map $F(M \rightarrow M, x \mapsto px)$. Similarly, a natural transformation $\lambda: F \rightarrow F'$ between additive functors F and F' gives a natural transformation $\tilde{\lambda}: \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}'$ between the corresponding lifts \tilde{F} and \tilde{F}' .

By abuse of notation, we will henceforth regard \mathbf{F} as a subcategory of the category of $\mathbb{F}[t]$ -linear functors $(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}[t]}$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let F be an object of \mathbf{F} . Then $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(FM_r) < \infty$ for each $r \geq 0$ and $FM_r = 0$ for all but finitely many r .*

Proof. There is an epimorphism $\beta: N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_{r_i} \twoheadrightarrow N' = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m M_{s_j}$ such that F is isomorphic to the cokernel of $[-, \beta]$. In particular, FM_r is a quotient of $[M_r, N']$ and therefore finite-dimensional. Moreover, if r is larger than each of the r_i , then the map $[M_r, \beta]: [M_r, N] \twoheadrightarrow [M_r, N']$ is again surjective, showing that $FM_r = 0$. \square

We now turn our attention to a family of objects in \mathbf{F} that are designed to be ‘minimally non-trivial’, as made precise in lemma 4.9.

Definition 4.8. For $r \geq 1$ we define F_r to be the cokernel of $[-, \beta_r]$, where

$$\beta_r: M_{r-1} \oplus M_{r+1} \twoheadrightarrow M_r, \quad (x, y) \mapsto tx + y,$$

Lemma 4.9. *For all $r, s \geq 1$, we have isomorphisms of $\mathbb{F}[t]$ -modules*

$$F_r M_s \cong \begin{cases} M_1 & \text{for } r = s, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We note that $\beta_r|_{M_{r-1}} = \phi_t^{r,r-1}$ and $\beta_r|_{M_{r+1}} = \phi_1^{r,r+1}$, and moreover:

- if $s < r$, then $[-, \phi_t^{r,r-1}]: [M_s, M_{r-1}] \rightarrow [M_s, M_r]$ is surjective,
- if $s > r$, then $[-, \phi_1^{r,r+1}]: [M_s, M_{r+1}] \rightarrow [M_s, M_r]$ is surjective.

Finally, the evaluation $\text{ev}: [M_r, M_r] \rightarrow M_r$ with $\text{ev}(\phi) = \phi(1)$ is an isomorphism, and we have $\text{ev}(\text{im}([M_r, \beta_r])) = tM_r$, and hence $M_r/tM_r \cong M_1$. \square

Definition 4.10. Let $\mathbf{F}' \subset \mathbf{F}$ be the full subcategory whose objects are isomorphic to direct sums of the form $F^\nu := \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} F_r^{\oplus \nu_r}$, where $\nu = (\nu_r)_{r \geq 1}$ is a finitely supported sequence of non-negative integers.

It is now our aim to apply dévissage to the subcategory $\mathbf{F}' \subset \mathbf{F}$.

Lemma 4.11. *An object F from \mathbf{F} belongs to \mathbf{F}' if and only if for each $s \geq 1$, the maps $F\phi_1^{s-1,s}: FM_{s-1} \rightarrow FM_s$ and $F\phi_t^{s+1,s}: FM_{s+1} \rightarrow FM_s$ vanish.*

Proof. By lemma 4.9, the maps $F_r\phi_1^{s-1,s}$ and $F_r\phi_t^{s+1,s}$ are trivial for each $r, s \geq 1$, as either their source or their target is zero. Consequently, this property is also satisfied by finite direct sums of objects of type F_r , and hence by arbitrary objects in \mathbf{F}' .

Conversely, let $F \in \mathbf{F}$ and assume that $F\phi_1^{s-1,s}$ and $F\phi_t^{s+1,s}$ vanish for all s . For any $r \geq 1$ and any $v \in FM_r$, the Yoneda lemma gives us a natural transformation $Y(v): [-, M_r] \rightarrow F$ that sends $\text{id}_{M_r} \in [M_r, M_r]$ to v . The hypotheses on F ensure that the composite $Y(v) \circ [-, \beta_r]: M_{r-1} \oplus M_{r+1} \rightarrow F$ vanishes, whence $Y(v)$ factors over the cokernel of $[-, \beta_r]$ to a map $\lambda_v: F_r \rightarrow F$. Taking bases $v_{r,1}, \dots, v_{r,l_r}$ of each vector space FM_r , we get a map

$$\bigoplus_{r \geq 1} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l_r} \lambda_{v_{r,i}}: \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l_r} F_r \rightarrow F,$$

where the source is a finite direct sum by lemma 4.7, and it is immediate to check that $\bigoplus_{r \geq 1} \bigoplus_{i=1}^{l_r} \lambda_{v_{r,i}}$ is an isomorphism when evaluated at an object M_s , and by additivity on any object. This shows that F belongs to \mathbf{F}' . \square

Corollary 4.12. *\mathbf{F}' is closed under taking subobjects and quotients in \mathbf{F} .*

Proof. This follows immediately from lemma 4.11 and the fact that the vanishing of $F\phi_1^{s-1,s}$ and $F\phi_t^{s+1,s}$ is inherited by any subobject and any quotient of $F \in \mathbf{F}'$. \square

Lemma 4.13. *For each $F \neq 0$ in \mathbf{F} , there is an $r \geq 1$ and a monomorphism $F_r \hookrightarrow F$.*

Proof. Let $r \geq 1$ be minimal such that $F\phi_1^{r,r+1}: FM_r \rightarrow FM_{r+1}$ is not injective: such an r exists because of the assumption $F \neq 0$ and because of lemma 4.7. Moreover, we pick an element $v \in \ker(F\phi_1^{r,r+1}) \subseteq FM_r$ with $v \neq 0$. We can additionally achieve that $t \cdot v = 0$, by repeatedly replacing v by $t \cdot v$ as long as this condition is not satisfied. As in the proof of lemma 4.11, we then consider the map $Y(v): [-, M_r] \rightarrow F$.

The composition $Y(v) \circ [-, \phi_1^{r,r+1}]: [-, M_{r+1}] \rightarrow F$ is the map $Y((F\phi_1^{r,r+1})(v))$, which is trivial since v lies in the kernel of $F\phi_1^{r,r+1}$. In a similar way, the composition $Y(v) \circ [-, \phi_t^{r,r-1}]: [-, M_{r-1}] \rightarrow F$ is the map $Y((F\phi_t^{r,r-1})(v))$; and again we have $(F\phi_t^{r,r-1})(v) = 0$, as can be checked by composing with the injective map $F\phi_1^{r-1,r}$ to get $F(\phi_1^{r-1,r} \circ \phi_t^{r,r-1})(v) = F(t \cdot \text{id}_{M_r})(v) = t \cdot v = 0$.

Combining the two previous observations, we obtain that $Y(v) \circ [-, \beta_r]$ vanishes, whence we get an induced map $\lambda_v: F_r \rightarrow F$. This natural transformation is injective when evaluated on M_r , as it gives the map $M_1 \rightarrow FM_r$ sending the standard generator to v ; it is also trivially injective when evaluated on the other objects M_s , and by additivity it is injective on every object, i.e. it is a monomorphism in \mathbf{F} . \square

Now we have everything together to prove theorem B:

Proof of theorem B. From lemma 4.5, we already know that $K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{nil}})$ splits as a direct sum of $K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}) \simeq K^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}})$ and $K^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{F})$. It therefore suffices to show that $K^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{F})$ is equivalent to a countable direct sum of copies of $K^\oplus(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}})$.

The subcategory \mathbf{F}' from definition 4.10 is closed under biproducts in \mathbf{F} , and, by corollary 4.12, closed under taking subobjects and quotients. Thus, \mathbf{F}' inherits the structure of an abelian category and $\mathbf{F}' \hookrightarrow \mathbf{F}$ is exact. In order to show that \mathbf{F}' filters \mathbf{F} , let F be an object of \mathbf{F} . We proceed inductively on $\dim(F) := \sum_{r \geq 0} \dim_{\mathbb{F}} FM_r$, which is finite by lemma 4.7. If $\dim(F) = 0$, then $F = 0$ and F admits a filtration of length 0; otherwise, we find by lemma 4.13 a monomorphism $F_r \hookrightarrow F$, whose cokernel projection we denote by $\pi: F \rightarrow \hat{F}$. Then $\dim(\hat{F}) < \dim(F)$, so by the induction hypothesis, we find a filtration

$$0 = T_0 \hat{F} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow T_l \hat{F} = \hat{F}$$

of \hat{F} , such that each quotient $T_{k+1} \hat{F} / T_k \hat{F}$ lies in \mathbf{F}' . We then obtain a filtration of length $l + 1$ for F by setting $T_0 F := 0$ and $T_k F := \pi^{-1}(T_{k-1} \hat{F})$ for $1 \leq k \leq l + 1$,

This shows that \mathbf{F}' filters \mathbf{F} , so by dévissage, the induced map on Quillen K -theory $K^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{F}') \rightarrow K^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{F})$ is an equivalence of spectra. This means that we can likewise study $K^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{F}')$. To do so, we next consider the functor

$$\Phi: \mathbf{F}' \rightarrow \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}, \quad F \mapsto (FM_r)_{r \geq 1}.$$

We start by noting that Φ is well-defined since, by remark 4.6, objects of \mathbf{F} attain values in $\mathbb{F}[t]$ -modules, in particular in \mathbb{F} -vector spaces, and morphisms in \mathbf{F} are objectwise $\mathbb{F}[t]$ -linear, in particular \mathbb{F} -linear.

Moreover, Φ is exact (because exactness in \mathbf{F} is defined object-wise) and essentially surjective, but also fully-faithful, which we see by noting that there are no non-zero morphisms $F_r \rightarrow F_s$ for $r \neq s$, whereas endomorphisms $\eta: F_r \rightarrow F_r$ are uniquely determined by their evaluation $\eta_{M_r}: FM_r \rightarrow FM_r$, which is an \mathbb{F} -linear map $\mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. This shows that Φ induces an isomorphism on Quillen K -theory. Since $\bigoplus_{r \geq 1} \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}$ is split exact, its Quillen K -theory is equivalent to its Segal K -theory, so together with lemma 2.6, we get a chain of equivalences of spectra

$$K^{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{F}') \simeq K^{\mathcal{Q}}\left(\bigoplus_{r \geq 1} \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}\right) \simeq K^{\oplus}\left(\bigoplus_{r \geq 1} \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}\right) \simeq \bigoplus_{r \geq 1} K^{\oplus}(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}}). \quad \square$$

5. The topological case

The goal of this section is to prove theorem C. We start by recalling the Quillen plus-construction and the group-completion theorem in the language of ∞ -categories.

Reminder 5.1 ([Hoy19], [HW21, Prop. III.13], [HM24, Constr. 3.2.18]). A discrete group G is said to be *hypoabelian* if for every non-trivial subgroup $H \subset G$, the abelianisation of H is non-trivial. A space X is *hypoabelian* if the fundamental group $\pi_1(X, *)$ is hypoabelian for every choice of basepoint $* \in X$.

We have a full subcategory $\mathbf{S}_{\text{hypo}} \subset \mathbf{S}$ spanned by hypoabelian spaces. Moreover, the inclusion functor $\iota: \mathbf{S}_{\text{hypo}} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{S}$ admits a left-adjoint functor $(-)^+: \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbf{S}_{\text{hypo}}$, called the *Quillen plus-construction*. If $X \in \mathbf{S}$ is a hypoabelian space, then the unit of the adjunction $X \rightarrow \iota(X^+)$ is an equivalence.

Reminder 5.2. The ∞ -category $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S})$ of E_{∞} -algebras in spaces contains a full subcategory $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S})^{\text{gp}}$ that is spanned by group-like objects, i.e. objects A such that the commutative monoid $\pi_0(A)$ is in fact an abelian group. The inclusion of $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S})^{\text{gp}}$ into $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S})$ has a left-adjoint, the *group-completion* functor, which agrees with the composite $\Omega^{\infty}B^{\infty}$. For each object A in $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S})$, the underlying space of $\Omega^{\infty}B^{\infty}A$ has abelian fundamental group for each choice of basepoint, and is in particular hypoabelian.

For any A in $\mathbf{CMon}(\mathbf{S})$ and any $x \in A$, we define the *mapping telescope* $\text{Tel}_x A$ to be the (homotopy) colimit of the diagram $(A \xrightarrow{-:x} A \xrightarrow{-:x} A \xrightarrow{-:x} \dots)$. We have a canonical map of spaces $\text{Tel}_x A \rightarrow \Omega^{\infty}B^{\infty}A$, and since the target is hypoabelian, this induces a canonical map of hypoabelian spaces $(\text{Tel}_x A)^+ \rightarrow \Omega^{\infty}B^{\infty}A$.

We say that $x \in A$ is a *propagator* if, for every $y \in A$, there exists $z \in A$ and $k \geq 0$ such that x^k and yz are in the same path-component of A . The group-completion theorem [MS76; Ran13; Nik17] asserts that if x is a propagator for A , then the canonical map $(\mathrm{Tel}_x A)^+ \rightarrow \Omega^\infty \mathbf{B}^\infty A$ is an equivalence of spaces.

Proof of theorem C. In the first part of the proof, we use the symbols “ $\mathbf{O}_\mathbb{C}$ ” and “ $\mathbf{O}_\mathbb{R}$ ” as aliases of “ \mathbf{U} ” and “ \mathbf{O} ”, respectively, and we let \mathbb{F} be either \mathbb{C} or \mathbb{R} .

We note that $A_\mathbb{F} := \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}, \mathrm{top}}^{\simeq}$ is equivalent, as a space, to the disjoint union of classifying spaces $\coprod_{d \geq 0} \mathbf{BO}_\mathbb{F}(d)$. Let $x \in A_\mathbb{F}$ be a point in $\mathbf{BO}_\mathbb{F}(1)$ and let $c_x: S^1 \rightarrow A_\mathbb{F}$ be the constant map with value x . Then we have a commutative diagram of spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Tel}_{c_x} \mathrm{Map}(S^1, A_\mathbb{F}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathrm{Tel}_{c_x} \mathrm{Map}(S^1, A_\mathbb{F}))^+ & \longrightarrow & \Omega^\infty \mathbf{B}^\infty \mathrm{Map}(S^1, A_\mathbb{F}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Map}(S^1, \mathrm{Tel}_x A_\mathbb{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}(S^1, (\mathrm{Tel}_x A_\mathbb{F})^+) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}(S^1, \Omega^\infty \mathbf{B}^\infty A_\mathbb{F}). \end{array}$$

Our goal is to prove that the right vertical map is an equivalence of spaces, and we will do so by showing that every horizontal map and the left vertical map are equivalences. For the left vertical map, we use that S^1 is a finite complex, whence $\mathrm{Map}(S^1, -)$ commutes with filtered colimits. For the rightmost horizontal maps, we invoke the group-completion theorem, using that x is a propagator in $A_\mathbb{F}$ and that c_x is a propagator of $\mathrm{Map}(S^1, A_\mathbb{F}) \simeq \mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}, \mathrm{top}}^{\mathrm{aut}, \simeq}$, where the latter is seen as follows:

- the discrete monoid of path-components $\pi_0(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{top}}^{\mathrm{aut}, \simeq})$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{N} , generated by the path component of c_x ;
- in a similar way, $\pi_0(\mathbf{Mod}_{\mathbb{R}, \mathrm{top}}^{\mathrm{aut}, \simeq})$ is isomorphic to the submonoid of $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ consisting of all elements except $(0, 1)$; here a pair (d, k) corresponds to the homotopy class of the loop in $\mathbf{BO}(d)$ parametrising an automorphism with determinant $(-1)^k$; the path-component of c_x is the element $(1, 0)$.

For the left horizontal maps, we use that $\mathrm{Tel}_x A_\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathrm{Tel}_{c_x} \mathrm{Map}(S^1, A_\mathbb{F})$ are already hypoabelian, which we see as follows: First, $\mathrm{Tel}_x A_\mathbb{F}$ is equivalent to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbf{BO}_\mathbb{F}$, and this space has an abelian fundamental group for each choice of basepoint; and second, we see $\mathrm{Tel}_{c_x} \mathrm{Map}(S^1, A_\mathbb{F}) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(S^1, \mathrm{Tel}_x A_\mathbb{F}) \simeq \lim_{S^1} \mathrm{Tel}_x A_\mathbb{F}$, and the full subcategory $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{hypo}} \subset \mathbf{S}$ is closed under limits. This altogether shows that the right vertical map is an equivalence of spaces, and since it additionally is a morphism of E_∞ -algebras, this proves the first statement of theorem C.

For the second statement, we use Bott periodicity for complex K -theory to obtain

$$\mathrm{Map}(S^1, \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbf{BU}) \simeq \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{ku} \oplus \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{ku}) \simeq \Omega^\infty(\mathbf{ku} \oplus \Sigma \mathbf{ku}) \simeq \Omega^\infty(\Sigma_+^\infty S^1 \wedge \mathbf{ku}),$$

and we use the Wood cofibre sequence for the ‘realification’ [Wol98], which can be written as $\mathrm{ko}\langle 1 \rangle \rightarrow \Sigma^2 \mathrm{ku} \xrightarrow{\Sigma^2 r} \Sigma^2 \mathrm{ko}$, with $\mathrm{ko}\langle 1 \rangle$ being the 1-connective cover of ko , to obtain the equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Map}(S^1, \mathbb{Z} \times \mathrm{BO}) &\simeq \Omega^\infty(\mathrm{ko} \oplus \Sigma^{-1} \mathrm{ko}) \\ &\simeq \Omega^\infty(\mathrm{ko} \oplus \mathrm{cofib}(r)) \\ &\simeq \Omega^\infty \mathrm{colim}(\mathrm{ko} \xleftarrow{r} \mathrm{ku} \xrightarrow{r} \mathrm{ko}). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

References

- [Aus66] M. Auslander. ‘Coherent functors’. In: *Proceedings of the Conference on Categorical Algebra*. Ed. by S. Eilenberg, D. K. Harrison, S. MacLane, and H. Röhrli. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1966, pp. 189–231.
- [BKR22] A. Bianchi, F. Kranhold, and J. Reinhold. ‘Parametrised moduli spaces of surfaces as infinite loop spaces’. In: *Forum Math. Sigma* 10, e39 (2022).
- [BO20] A. M. Bohmann and A. M. Osorno. ‘A multiplicative comparison of Segal and Waldhausen K -theory’. In: *Math. Z.* 295 (2020), pp. 1205–1243.
- [Bor81] A. Borel. ‘Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups. II’. In: *Manifolds and Lie Groups*. Ed. by J. Hano, A. Morimoto, S. Murakami, K. Okamoto, and H. Ozeki. Progress in Mathematics 14. Boston: Birkhäuser, 1981, pp. 21–55.
- [GG87] H. Gillet and D. R. Grayson. ‘The loop space of the Q -construction’. In: *Ill. J. Math.* 31.4 (1987), pp. 574–597.
- [GGN15] D. Gepner, M. Groth, and T. Nikolaus. ‘Universality of multiplicative infinite loop space machines’. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 15.6 (2015), pp. 3107–3153.
- [Gra76a] D. R. Grayson. ‘Higher algebraic K -theory: II’. In: *Algebraic K-theory*. Ed. by M. R. Stein. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 551. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1976, pp. 217–240.
- [Gra76b] D. R. Grayson. ‘The K -theory of endomorphisms’. In: *J. Algebra* 48 (1976), pp. 439–446.
- [Gra79] D. R. Grayson. ‘ K_2 and the K -theory of automorphisms’. In: *J. Algebra* 58 (1979), pp. 12–30.
- [Gra95] D. R. Grayson. ‘Weight filtrations via commuting automorphisms’. In: *K-theory* 9.2 (1995), pp. 139–172.
- [HM24] K. Hilman and J. McCandless. ‘Algebraic K -Theory’. Lecture notes available at <https://sites.google.com/view/jonasmccandless/introduction-to-algebraic-k-theory>. 2024.
- [Hoy19] M. Hoyois. ‘On Quillen’s plus construction’. Available at <https://hoyois.app.uni-regensburg.de/papers/acyclic.pdf>. 2019.
- [HW21] F. Hebestreit and F. Wagner. ‘Algebraic and Hermitian K -Theory’. Lecture notes available at <https://sites.google.com/view/fabian-hebestreit/home/lecture-notes>. 2021.
- [Jar87] J. F. Jardine. ‘The multiple Q -construction’. In: *Can. J. Math.* 39 (5 1987), pp. 1174–1209.
- [Lan21] M. Land. *Introduction to Infinity-Categories*. Compact Textbooks in Mathematics. Cham: Birkhäuser, 2021.

- [Luro9] J. Lurie. *Higher Topos Theory*. Annals of Mathematics Studies 170. Princeton: Princeton University Press, 2009.
- [Lur17] J. Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. Cambridge, Massachusetts: Harvard University, 2017.
- [May74] J. P. May. ‘ E_∞ spaces, group completions, and permutative categories’. In: *New Developments in Topology*. Ed. by G. B. Segal. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 11. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1974, pp. 61–94.
- [May78] J. P. May. ‘The spectra associated to permutative categories’. In: *Topol.* 17.3 (1978), pp. 225–228.
- [MS76] D. McDuff and G. B. Segal. ‘Homology fibrations and the “group-completion” theorem’. In: *Invent. Math.* 31 (1976), pp. 279–284.
- [Nik17] T. Nikolaus. ‘The group completion theorem via localizations of ring spectra’. Available at https://www.uni-muenster.de/IVV5WS/WebHop/user/nikolaus/Papers/Group_completion.pdf. 2017.
- [Qui72] D. Quillen. ‘On the cohomology and K -theory of the general linear groups over a finite field’. In: *Ann. Math.* 96.3 (1972), pp. 552–586.
- [Qui73] D. Quillen. ‘Higher algebraic K -theory: I’. In: *Higher K -theories. Proc. Conf. Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972*. Ed. by H. Bass. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 341. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1973, pp. 85–147.
- [Ran13] O. Randal-Williams. ‘“Group-Completion”, local coefficient systems, and perfection’. In: *Q. J. Math.* 64 (3 2013), pp. 795–803.
- [Scho6] M. Schlichting. ‘Negative K -theory of derived categories’. In: *Math. Z.* 253 (2006), pp. 97–134.
- [Seg74] G. Segal. ‘Categories and cohomology theories’. In: *Topol.* 13 (3 1974), pp. 293–312.
- [She89] C. Sherman. ‘On the homotopy fiber of the map $BQA^\oplus \rightarrow BQA$ (after M. Auslander)’. In: *Contemp. Math.* 83 (1989), pp. 343–348.
- [Shi86] K. Shimakawa. ‘Multiple categories and algebraic K -theory’. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 41 (1986), pp. 185–304.
- [Tho79] R. W. Thomason. ‘Homotopy colimits in the category of small categories’. In: *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 85.1 (1979), pp. 91–109.
- [Wal85] F. Waldhausen. ‘Algebraic K -theory of spaces’. In: *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*. Ed. by A. Ranicki, N. Levitt, and F. Quinn. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1126. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 1985, pp. 318–419.
- [Wei13] C. A. Weibel. *The K -book. An Introduction to Algebraic K -theory*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics 145. American Mathematical Society, 2013.
- [Wol98] J. J. Wolbert. ‘Classifying modules over K -theory spectra’. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 124 (1998), pp. 289–323.

Andrea Bianchi Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany, bianchi@mpim-bonn.mpg.de.

Florian Kranhold Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Englerstraße 2, 76131 Karlsruhe, Germany, kranhold@kit.edu.