

NON-DENSITY RESULTS IN HIGH DIMENSIONAL STABLE HAMILTONIAN TOPOLOGY

ROBERT CARDONA AND FABIO GIRONELLA

ABSTRACT. We push forward the study of higher dimensional stable Hamiltonian topology by establishing two non-density results. First, we prove that stable hypersurfaces are not C^2 -dense in any isotopy class of embedded hypersurfaces on any ambient symplectic manifold of dimension $2n \geq 8$. Our second result is that on any manifold of dimension $2m + 1 \geq 5$, the set of non-degenerate stable Hamiltonian structures is not C^2 -dense among stable Hamiltonian structures in any given stable homotopy class that satisfies a mild assumption. The latter generalizes a result by Cieliebak and Volkov to arbitrary dimensions.

1. INTRODUCTION

A *stable Hamiltonian structure* on a manifold M of odd dimension $2n + 1$ is a pair (λ, ω) , where λ is a one-form and ω is a closed two-form of maximal rank, satisfying $\lambda \wedge \omega^n > 0$ and $\ker \omega \subset \ker d\lambda$. These structures can be understood as a generalization of contact forms, since a contact form α on M naturally gives a stable Hamiltonian structure $(\alpha, d\alpha)$. Like contact manifolds, stable Hamiltonian structures arise in certain hypersurfaces of symplectic manifolds, named *stable hypersurfaces*. These were originally defined by Hofer and Zehnder [HZ94] as hypersurfaces that admit a distinguished symplectic tubular neighborhood $U \cong M \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ such that the characteristic foliation on each slice $M \times \{t\}$ is conjugate to that of M . In analogy with contact topology, *stable Hamiltonian topology* refers to the investigation of the topological properties of stable Hamiltonian structures. This term was introduced by Cieliebak and Volkov in their foundational work [CV15], and was defined as the study of the set of stable Hamiltonian structures in M up to homotopy with a fixed cohomology class of ω . Each of these homotopy classes is called a *stable homotopy class*. We interpret stable Hamiltonian topology in a slightly broader sense; for instance, one can also study the set of embedded stable hypersurfaces on a given symplectic manifold (W, Ω) , and how it lies within the set

RC acknowledges partial support by the AEI grant PID2019-103849GB-I00 / AEI / 10.13039/501100011033 and from the Departament de Recerca i Universitats de la Generalitat de Catalunya (2021 SGR 00697).

FG benefits from the support of the ANR Grant COSY “New challenges in symplectic and contact topology”, bearing the reference ANR-21-CE40-0002; of the region Pays de la Loire, via the project Étoile Montante 2023 SymFol; and of the French government’s “Investissements d’Avenir” program integrated to France 2030, bearing the following reference ANR-11-LABX-0020-01.

of all embedded hypersurfaces in W .

The goal of this article is to push forward the investigation of higher dimensional stable Hamiltonian topology. When the dimension of M is three, fundamental results were established in [CV15] and other works investigated the topology [CV14, Car23] and the dynamics [HT09, Rec10, CR22] of stable Hamiltonian structures. Stability of hypersurfaces is a recurrent topic in symplectic field theory [BEH⁺03, EGH10] and more generally in symplectic topology [EKP06, CFP10b, MP10, NW11]. In higher dimensions, a few results can be found in [CV15] and it was shown in [CFP10a] that there are examples of six-dimensional symplectic manifolds where stability is not an open condition (see [Car23] for a general result in four dimensions).

On the other hand, the question of the density (or non-density) of stable hypersurfaces has not been addressed yet in full generality. In dimension six, the particular symplectic manifolds with boundary constructed by Cieliebak-Frauenfelder-Paternain [CFP10a] admit C^2 -open sets of non-stable hypersurfaces. As we will see in Section 3.1, there are also examples of four-dimensional symplectic manifolds (which are not closed either) where one can find such C^2 -open sets. However, these are just concrete examples of symplectic manifolds and isotopy classes of embedded hypersurfaces where this phenomenon is shown to occur. None of them covers an ambient closed symplectic manifold or, say, the standard symplectic Euclidean space (where contact hypersurfaces are not dense even in Hausdorff topology [Cie98]). Given a symplectic manifold (W, Ω) , we denote by $\mathcal{SHS}(W)$ the set of embedded stable hypersurfaces, and by $\mathcal{HS}(W)$ the set of embedded hypersurfaces. Our first result proves that $\mathcal{SHS}(W)$ is never C^2 -dense in $\mathcal{HS}(W)$, for an arbitrary symplectic manifold W of dimension at least eight and any isotopy class of embedded hypersurfaces.

Theorem 1. *Let (W, Ω) be a symplectic manifold such that $\dim W \geq 8$. Let M be an embedded hypersurface in W . Then M is isotopic to a C^0 -close hypersurface \widetilde{M} that cannot be C^2 -approximated by stable hypersurfaces.*

The idea of the proof is to introduce in the characteristic foliation of a hypersurface a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold that plays the role of a robust obstruction to stability. There is a single argument in the proof of Theorem 1 that requires an adaptation to work in dimension six, see Remark 19. An analogous statement in six and four dimensions remains open to our knowledge.

In the second part of this work, we establish a non-density result for non-degenerate stable Hamiltonian structures in a given manifold of dimension $2n + 1$. In dimension three, it was proven by Cieliebak and Volkov in [CV15, Theorem 1.10] that in every stable homotopy class there is a stable Hamiltonian structure that cannot be C^2 -approximated by non-degenerate stable Hamiltonian structures. We establish an analogous result for stable homotopy classes satisfying a mild condition in any dimension.

Theorem 2. *Let M be a manifold of dimension $2n + 1 \geq 5$. In each regular stable homotopy class, there is a stable Hamiltonian structure (λ', ω') that cannot be C^2 -perturbed to a non-degenerate stable Hamiltonian structure.*

A stable homotopy class is *regular* if there is a pair (λ, ω) in it satisfying that $d\lambda$ is a non-zero constant multiple of ω in some open set $U \subset M$, see Definition 22. We don't know if every stable homotopy class is regular, but this is the case in dimension three by [CV15, Proposition 3.31]. The most natural examples of stabilizable Hamiltonian structures lie in regular homotopy classes, such as contact type Hamiltonian structures, symplectic mapping tori, or products of these examples, see Section 4.1.

For the proof, we introduce a set of “coupling functions” that are first integrals of the Reeb field of a stable Hamiltonian structure (see Lemma 7) and that generalize the classical function $f = \frac{d\lambda}{\omega}$ only defined in three-dimensions. Then, we construct via a stable homotopy a stable Hamiltonian structure that admits, robustly, a periodic orbit in a regular level set of one of these first integrals. This periodic orbit turns out to be always degenerate (see Lemma 25). We point out that Theorem 2 and its three-dimensional analog do not imply the existence of stable hypersurfaces that cannot be C^2 -approximated by non-degenerate stable hypersurfaces, see Remark 27. Finally, we mention that both Theorem 1 and 2 do not require the manifold M (or W) to be closed, even though we are mostly interested in the closed case.

Organization of this paper. In Section 2, we introduce stable Hamiltonian structures and a set of coupling functions associated with (λ, ω) in arbitrary dimensions. We recall as well some results in dynamical systems that will be used in our proofs. Sections 3 and 4 are independent and develop the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 respectively.

Acknowledgements. The authors are grateful to Jonathan Bowden for his suggestions to prove Theorem 16, and to Viktor Ginzburg for explaining us more in detail an argument in [Gin95, Lemma 3.4].

2. PRELIMINARIES

We recall in this section the basic definitions required throughout this work, as well as some notions in dynamics that will play an important role.

2.1. Stable hypersurfaces and Hamiltonian structures. Let M be a manifold of dimension $2n + 1$.

Definition 3. A *Hamiltonian structure* ω is a closed two-form of maximal rank in M .

In general, one can always find a one-form λ such that $\lambda \wedge \omega^n > 0$, the pair (λ, ω) is known as a framed Hamiltonian structure. Stability requires the existence of a one-form with an additional property.

Definition 4. A *stable Hamiltonian structure* in a manifold M of dimension $2n+1$ is a pair (λ, ω) where ω is a Hamiltonian structure and λ is a one-form satisfying $\lambda \wedge \omega^n > 0$ and $\ker \omega \subset \ker d\lambda$.

We say in this case that ω is *stabilizable*, and λ is a stabilizing one-form for ω . A stabilizable Hamiltonian structure admits several stabilizing one-forms in general, even besides constant multiples of a given λ . A stable Hamiltonian structure determines a vector field R called the *Reeb field* of (λ, ω) via the equations

$$\begin{cases} \lambda(R) = 1, \\ \iota_R \omega = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the second part of this paper, we will be interested in stable Hamiltonian structures up to stable homotopy.

Definition 5. A *stable homotopy* is a homotopy of stable Hamiltonian structures (λ_t, ω_t) such that the cohomology class of ω_t remains constant.

If we identify all the stable Hamiltonian structures homotopic to a given one, we obtain a *stable homotopy class*. Notice that the stable homotopy class of a stable Hamiltonian structure (λ, ω) is determined by the stabilizable Hamiltonian structure ω , since any two stabilizing one-forms λ_1, λ_2 induce homotopic stable Hamiltonian structures (λ_1, ω) and (λ_2, ω) . We can thus unambiguously speak of the stable homotopy class of a stabilizable Hamiltonian structure.

The relation between stable Hamiltonian structures and symplectic manifolds can be summarized as follows. An embedded hypersurface M in a symplectic manifold (W, Ω) inherits a Hamiltonian structure induced by the ambient symplectic form. When the Hamiltonian structure is stabilizable, the hypersurface is called “stable”.

Definition 6. A hypersurface M in a symplectic manifold (W, Ω) is *stable* if the Hamiltonian structure $\omega = i^*\Omega$ is stabilizable, where $i : M \hookrightarrow W$ denotes the inclusion map of M into W .

The Reeb field of (λ, ω) for any stabilizing one-form of ω integrates to the characteristic foliation of M . As first observed in [EKP06], being stable is equivalent to the existence of a neighborhood $M \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ in W for which the characteristic foliation of $M \times \{t\}$ is diffeomorphic to that of M for each $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$.

For a stable Hamiltonian structure (λ, ω) on a three-dimensional manifold M , the fact that $\ker \omega \subset \ker d\lambda$ implies that $d\lambda = f\omega$ for some function $f \in C^\infty(M)$. This function is always a first integral of the Reeb field, since $\iota_R(d\lambda \wedge \omega) = df(R) \cdot \omega$ vanishes as $df \wedge \omega = d^2\lambda = 0$; in particular, $df(R) = 0$. For a manifold M of dimension $2n+1$, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ the differential $2n$ -form $d\lambda^{n-i} \wedge \omega^i$ has the Reeb vector field R of (λ, ω) in its kernel, and hence one can write $d\lambda^{n-i} \wedge \omega^i = f_i \omega^n$ for some function $f_i \in C^\infty(M)$. Again, taking the exterior derivative on both sides

we deduce that $\iota_R(df_i \wedge \omega^n) = 0$, i.e. that $df_i(R) = 0$. In other words, we have just proved the following:

Lemma 7. *For every $1 \leq i \leq n$, the functions $f_i: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $d\lambda^{n-i} \wedge \omega^i = f_i \omega^n$ are (possibly trivial) first integrals of the Reeb vector field R of (λ, ω) .*

2.2. Inputs from dynamics. We introduce here results in dynamics that will be used in our proofs.

Normally hyperbolic invariant manifolds. The first important tool that we will need is that of normally hyperbolic invariant submanifolds.

Definition 8. Let X be a vector field on a manifold M with flow $\varphi_t: M \rightarrow M$. An invariant submanifold $N \subset M$ of X is *normally hyperbolic* if there exist constants $\rho_0 > 0$, $\rho_+ > \rho_0$, $\rho_- > \rho_0$ and $C > 0$ such that the following hold:

- there is a continuous splitting $TM|_N = TN \oplus E^+ \oplus E^-$, invariant under the flow and inducing a splitting $D\varphi_t|_N = D\varphi_0^t \oplus D\varphi_+^t \oplus D\varphi_-^t$;
- $\|D\varphi_0^t(v)\| \leq Ce^{\rho_0|t|}\|v\|$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in TN$;
- $\|D\varphi_+^t(v)\| \geq Ce^{\rho_+t}\|v\|$, for all $t \geq 0$, $v \in E^+$;
- $\|D\varphi_-^t(v)\| \leq Ce^{-\rho_-t}\|v\|$, for all $t \geq 0$, $v \in E^-$.

The main well-known property of compact normally hyperbolic invariant submanifolds that we will use is that they are robust under C^1 -perturbations of the vector field:

Theorem 9 ([Fen71]). *Let X be a vector field with a compact normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold N . Any vector field Y that is enough C^1 -close to X admits a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold $\tilde{N} \cong N$ that is C^1 -close to N .*

Remark 10. The same notion can be defined for diffeomorphisms of a manifold. A normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold persists under C^1 -perturbations of the diffeomorphism. Furthermore, the invariant submanifold varies smoothly with the perturbation (see [HPS70, Remark 1 page 52]). Hence if we have a smooth one-parameter family of diffeomorphisms $f_t, t \in [0, 1]$ with a smooth one-parameter family of normally hyperbolic submanifolds $N_t, t \in [0, 1]$, a small enough perturbation \tilde{f}_t of this family admits a smooth family of (normally hyperbolic) invariant submanifolds \tilde{N}_t .

Rotation number of circle diffeomorphisms. The material we now recall is standard; see for instance [KH95] for more details. Let $f: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be a diffeomorphism of the circle, and consider its lift $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the covering map $\pi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$ given by $\pi(x) = e^{2\pi xi}$. That is \tilde{f} satisfies $f \circ \pi = \pi \circ \tilde{f}$, and such a lift is unique up to adding an integer constant. Define

$$\tau(\tilde{f}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n}(\tilde{f}^n(x) - x),$$

which exists for all x and is independent of it. For any two lifts of f , these numbers differ only by integers. Thus, the following notion is well defined.

Definition 11. The rotation number of f is $\tau(f) = \pi(\tau(\tilde{f}))$.

Of course, the rotation number of a rotation in S^1 is the angle of rotation. The rotation number can be understood as a point in $[0, 1]$ (identifying the boundary points), it is invariant under conjugacy and satisfies the following two properties [KH95, Proposition 11.1.4 and Proposition 11.1.6] which will be of use to us.

Proposition 12. *Let $f : S^1 \rightarrow S^1$ be a diffeomorphism. Then $\tau(f) \in \mathbb{Q}$ if and only if f has a periodic orbit.*

Proposition 13. *The map $\tau : \text{Diff}(S^1) \rightarrow S^1$ is continuous when we equip $\text{Diff}(S^1)$ with the C^0 -topology.*

3. NON-DENSITY OF STABLE HYPERSURFACES

In this section, we establish the non-density of stable hypersurfaces in dimensions greater than eight, as stated in Theorem 1. The first step is to construct in Theorem 16 a Hamiltonian structure on a 3-dimensional homology sphere that is robustly non-stabilizable. Thanks to the specific properties of this Hamiltonian structure, we show that it can be embedded as an invariant submanifold of the characteristic foliation of any hypersurface of dimension at least eight (Proposition 20) with a suitable normal form that allows making it a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold (Proposition 21). This invariant submanifold acts as a C^2 -robust obstruction to the stability of the hypersurface.

3.1. A robustly non-stable Anosov flow on a 3D homology sphere. In this subsection, we will prove the existence of a suitable three-dimensional non-vanishing and volume-preserving vector field that spans the kernel of a Hamiltonian structure that is robustly non-stabilizable.

Definition 14. A vector field X on a three-dimensional manifold N is Anosov if there exists constants $C, \rho > 0$ and a continuous splitting $TN = \langle X \rangle \oplus E_u \oplus E_s$, with E_u, E_s of constant rank 1, such that:

- $\|(\phi_t)_* v\| \leq C e^{-\rho t} \|v\|$, for all $t \geq 0$ and $v \in E_s$;
- $\|(\phi_t)_* v\| \geq C e^{\rho t} \|v\|$, for all $t \geq 0$ and $v \in E_u$.

The splitting is in general only of C^0 -regularity. Such flows are C^1 -structurally stable: any flow that is enough C^1 -close to X is orbit equivalent to X . As shown by Anosov [Ano63], the distributions $E_s, E_u, E_s \oplus \langle X \rangle, E_u \oplus \langle X \rangle$ are all integrable. They define C^0 -foliations denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{ss}, \mathcal{F}_{uu}, \mathcal{F}_s, \mathcal{F}_u$ and called strong stable, strong unstable, stable and unstable foliations respectively.

In three dimensions, Anosov flows on closed three-manifolds fall into three disjoint categories [Fen94, Bar95]: flat, skewed, and non \mathbb{R} -covered. This category is determined by the orbit equivalence class of the Anosov flow. We will need two facts in the proof of the lemma below: an Anosov flow orbit equivalent to a suspension is flat (see e.g [Fen94]), and an Anosov flow in the kernel of a contact type 2-form $d\alpha$ (i.e. α satisfies $\alpha \wedge d\alpha \neq 0$ at every point) is skewed [Bar01].

Lemma 15. *Let X be a smooth Anosov flow preserving a smooth volume form μ on a closed three-manifold. If X is non \mathbb{R} -covered, then $\iota_X\mu$ admits no stabilizing one-form. Moreover, any vector field Y preserving μ and C^1 -close to X satisfies that $\iota_Y\mu$ is not stabilizable.*

Proof. We start with the first part of the statement: assuming that λ is a stabilizing one-form for $\omega = \iota_X\mu$, we want to prove that X is either flat or skewed. Thanks to the existence of the stabilizing one-form, we know that $d\lambda = f\omega$ for some function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ which is necessarily a first integral of X . However, it is well-known that an Anosov flow admits no non-trivial first integral and thus f is necessarily constant. Now, if $f \neq 0$ then λ is a contact form; as the vector field X is in the kernel of the contact type two-form $d\lambda$, it must be skewed. If on the contrary $f \equiv 0$, it follows via an argument of Tischler [Tis70] that the flow admits a global cross-section and thus that it is orbit equivalent to a suspension. This implies that X is flat, thus concluding the proof of the first part of the lemma.

As far as the second part is concerned, notice that, by the structural stability of X , any vector field Y preserving μ that is enough C^1 -close to X will be a non \mathbb{R} -covered Anosov flow as well. In particular, the two-form $\iota_Y\mu$ admits no stabilizing one-form by the first part of the lemma. \square

For our construction, we need to first recall some facts about surgery operations on Anosov flows.

The *Dehn-Goodman-Fried surgery* of an Anosov flow X in a manifold M is a Dehn surgery with certain coefficients along a periodic orbit (or a collection of periodic orbits) of X that transforms (M, X) into another pair (M', X') where X' is again an Anosov flow. This operation was initially defined in two different ways by Fried [Fri83] and Goodman [Goo06], and then it was proven by Shannon [Sha20] that these two definitions coincide. We list the properties about this surgery operation that we will need:

- (1) if X is transitive, then so is X' [Sha20, Chapter 3].
- (2) Given any transitive Anosov vector field, it is shown in [Asa08] that we can find a vector field that is orbit equivalent to it which is smooth and that preserves a smooth volume form. This applies in particular to any X' obtained from a surgery of a transitive Anosov flow, which will hence be assumed to be smooth and volume-preserving.
- (3) assuming M is oriented, if X has coorientable stable and unstable foliations, then so does X' (this just follows from the description of the surgery, see e.g. [Sha20]).

We proceed to construct a non \mathbb{R} -covered Anosov flow with coorientable stable and unstable foliations on an integral homology sphere. (These properties will be needed for later use in Proposition 17.) The strategy for this construction has been suggested to us by Jonathan Bowden.

Theorem 16. *There exists an integral homology sphere N and a smooth volume-preserving Anosov flow $X \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$ with coorientable stable and unstable foliations that is non \mathbb{R} -covered.*

Proof. By [Deh17, Theorem A and Remark 2.2] there exists an Anosov flow Y_1 in some integral homology sphere N_1 whose strong stable foliation \mathcal{F}_{ss} and strong unstable foliation \mathcal{F}_{uu} are coorientable. The flow corresponds to the geodesic flow of a hyperbolic orbifold. Let now Y_2 be the flow obtained by the suspension of the cat map on T^2 . This yields an Anosov flow in a torus bundle N_2 over the circle.

By [DS19, Theorem A], the flows (N_1, Y_1) and (N_2, Y_2) are *almost equivalent*, i.e. there exist finite collections of periodic orbits C_1 and C_2 of the flows Y_1 and Y_2 respectively, such that

$$N_1 \setminus C_1 \cong N_2 \setminus C_2,$$

and Y_1, Y_2 are orbit equivalent away from these periodic orbits. As shown in [Sha20], almost equivalence can in fact also be characterized by the fact that (N_1, Y_1) can be obtained from (N_2, Y_2) via finitely many Dehn–Goodman–Fried surgeries. Let then $\mathcal{C} \subset N_2$ be the union of the finitely many periodic orbits where these surgeries are performed.

It is moreover shown in [BI23, Theorem 6] that there exist two periodic orbits γ_+, γ_- of Y_2 in N_2 , that can be chosen in the complement of \mathcal{C} , such that doing a negative surgery on γ_- , a positive surgery on γ_+ and any surgery on the orbits of \mathcal{C} always yields a non \mathbb{R} -covered Anosov flow. Thus, if we do the Dehn–Goodman–Fried surgeries along \mathcal{C} that bring (N_2, Y_2) to (N_1, Y_1) , and any positive and negative surgery on γ_+ and γ_- , we will always obtain a non \mathbb{R} -covered Anosov flow X on some three-manifold N . By the three properties of this surgery recalled above, the flow X has coorientable stable and unstable foliations, and can be assumed to be smooth and to preserve a smooth volume form. Choosing as coefficients $+1$ and -1 for the surgeries in γ_+ and γ_- respectively, the integral homology of the manifold N can moreover be arranged to be the same as that of N_1 , see e.g. [Sav02, Section 1.1.5]. Hence, we obtain a smooth volume-preserving and non \mathbb{R} -covered Anosov flow X with coorientable stable and unstable foliations on an integral homology sphere N . \square

In fact, we will only require that the ambient manifold is a rational (rather than integral) homology sphere, so that the Hamiltonian structure having X in its kernel is exact.

3.2. An invariant submanifold with Anosov dynamics. We now show that given a hypersurface M on a symplectic manifold of dimension at least eight, there is a C^0 -perturbation of M whose characteristic foliation contains an invariant three-dimensional copy of N , with a trivial symplectic normal bundle, where the Reeb dynamics is exactly given by the Anosov flow constructed in Theorem 16.

Consider the Anosov flow X on a rational homology sphere N constructed in Theorem 16, and let μ be the smooth volume form preserved by X . Denote the

two-form $\iota_X \mu$ by $\tilde{\omega}$ (which is exact by homological reasons), and choose a one-form α such that $\alpha(X) = 1$. Endow $V = N \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ with the form $\omega_V = \tilde{\omega} + d(t\alpha)$, where t is the coordinate in $[-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$. It is non-degenerate for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. We will show that V embeds symplectically in a \mathbb{R}^7 -slice of the standard symplectic \mathbb{R}^8 . Embedding certain symplectic manifolds in a hyperplane of the standard symplectic space is a strategy already used in [Gin95] as a method to embed dynamics in hypersurfaces. The difficulty lies in the fact that there are in general some formal obstructions to construct such an embedding. The construction of such an embedding in our case will then of course use the properties of the Anosov flow constructed in Theorem 16.

Using the h -principle language from e.g. [CEM24], we recall that the formal counterpart of an isosymplectic immersion of a symplectic manifold (V, ω_V) into another symplectic manifold (W, ω_W) is an *isosymplectic monomorphism*, i.e. a monomorphism

$$F : TV \longrightarrow TW,$$

satisfying $\omega_V(u, v) = \omega_W(F(u), F(v))$ for each $u, v \in TV$, and such that the base map $f := \text{bs } F : V \rightarrow W$ satisfies $f^*[\omega_W] = [\omega_V]$.

Proposition 17. *For some small enough $\delta > 0$, the symplectic manifold $(V = N \times (-\delta, \delta), \omega_V = \tilde{\omega} + d(t\alpha))$ admits an isosymplectic embedding into $(\mathbb{R}^8, \omega_{std})$ and a tubular neighborhood $U \cong V \times (-\delta, \delta)^4$ such that*

$$\omega_{std}|_U = \omega_V + \sum_{i=1}^2 du_i \wedge dv_i,$$

where (u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2) are coordinates in $(-\delta, \delta)^4$. We can further assume that $v_2 = y_4|_U$, where $(x_i, y_i)_{i=1, \dots, 4}$ are the standard symplectic coordinates in \mathbb{R}^8 .

Proof. Let X be the Anosov flow on an integral homology sphere N constructed in Theorem 16, which lies in the kernel of the exact Hamiltonian structure $\tilde{\omega}$. Denote by $\omega_{std,6}$ the standard symplectic form in \mathbb{R}^6 , and the same notation will be used for $\pi^* \omega_{std,6}$ in \mathbb{R}^7 , where π is the projection to the hyperplane $\mathbb{R}^6 \times \{0\}$. Our first goal will be to construct an embedding e of $V' = N \times (-\delta, \delta)$ into \mathbb{R}^7 , for a small enough $\delta > 0$, such that $e^* \omega_{std,6} = \omega_V|_{V'}$. Such an embedding is what Ginzburg [Gin95] calls a “symplectic” embedding of $(V', \omega_V|_{V'})$ into $(\mathbb{R}^7, \omega_{std,6})$.

By construction, X has cooriented strong stable and strong unstable foliations \mathcal{F}_{ss} and \mathcal{F}_{uu} . This implies that any plane field on N in the complement of $\langle X \rangle$ can be trivialized.

Choose then a non-vanishing vector field Y in $\eta = \ker \alpha$. Let also J be an almost complex structure on V with $J\eta = \eta$ and that is tamed by ω_V . Then, we have a complex trivialization $TV = \langle Y, JY, X, JX \rangle$. (Here, we naturally identified Y and X in N with $(Y, 0)$ and $(X, 0)$ in $N \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$.) In terms of the symplectic structure on $TV \rightarrow V$, this just means that (TV, ω_V) is a trivial symplectic bundle.

By the Whitney immersion theorem, the manifold N admits an immersion into \mathbb{R}^5 . Hence, one can also find an immersion $f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$ just by thickening the first immersion.

Now, \mathbb{R}^6 is naturally endowed with the standard symplectic structure, which we denoted by $\omega_{std,6}$ to avoid confusion with the standard symplectic structure ω_{std} on \mathbb{R}^8 in the statement. The tangent bundle $(T\mathbb{R}^6, \omega_{std,6})$ is a symplectically trivial vector bundle. In particular, as both source and target are symplectically trivial bundles, one can find a monomorphism

$$F : TV \longrightarrow T\mathbb{R}^6,$$

covering f and satisfying $\omega_V(\hat{u}, \hat{v}) = \omega_{std,6}(F(\hat{u}), F(\hat{v}))$ for any two $\hat{u}, \hat{v} \in TV$. Furthermore, as both $\omega_{std,6}$ and ω_V are exact, we trivially have $f^*[\omega_{std,6}] = [\omega_V]$, as both forms are exact. In other words, the pair (f, F) is an isosymplectic monomorphism.

The h -principle for isosymplectic immersions [Gro13, Section 3.4.2] (c.f. [CEM24, Theorem 24.4.3] for a statement using our notation) then implies that there exists an isosymplectic immersion \tilde{f} of (V, ω_V) into $(\mathbb{R}^6, \omega_{std,6})$, which is homotopic to f among isosymplectic monomorphisms. We now claim that the normal bundle ν of the immersion \tilde{f} is symplectically trivial.

For this, note that, by construction of \tilde{f} via the h -principle, the pullback bundle $\tilde{f}^*T\mathbb{R}^6$ is isomorphic to the symplectic vector bundle $TV \oplus \nu_F$, where ν_F is the rank 2 normal bundle of the symplectic monomorphism F . Note also that $TV \oplus \nu_F = T\mathbb{R}^6$ symplectically. Now, as previously remarked, (TV, ω_V) is a symplectically trivial bundle. In particular, ν_F is a symplectically trivial bundle as well. (For instance, one can easily see that its first Chern class is zero.) Hence, ν is also symplectically trivial, as claimed.

It now follows from a claim in [Gin95, Lemma 3.4] that \tilde{f} can be perturbed to another isosymplectic immersion of (V, ω_V) such that $\tilde{f}|_{N \times \{0\}}$ has only transverse self-intersections, i.e. double points. For completeness, we give a detailed proof of this claim, which has been kindly explained to us by V. Ginzburg. First, we cover N by a finite number of balls U_i such that $\tilde{f}|_{U_i \times (-\delta, \delta)}$ is an embedding for some $\delta > 0$ small enough. Choose also some slightly larger sets U'_i containing the closure of U_i in its interior and satisfying as well that $\tilde{f}|_{U'_i \times (-\delta, \delta)}$ is an embedding. Taking the U_i, U'_i small enough, one can always find coordinates $(r_1, s_1, r_2, s_2, r_3, s_3)$ on a neighborhood $W_i \subset \mathbb{R}^6$ of $F(U'_i \times (-\delta, \delta))$ such that

- $F(U'_i \times (-\delta, \delta)) \cap W_i = \{r_3 = s_3 = 0\}$ (this is ensured by the Weinstein tubular neighborhood theorem applied to the symplectic submanifold $F(U'_i \times (-\delta, \delta)) \cap W_i$);
- $F(U'_i) \cap W_i = \{r_3 = s_3 = s_2 = 0\}$ (this holds by choosing Hamiltonian flow-box coordinates of the hypersurface $F(U'_i)$ inside $F(U'_i \times (-\delta, \delta))$).

Since \tilde{f} is an embedding along $U'_i \times (-\delta, \delta)$, the self intersections of the image of $\tilde{f}|_{N \times \{0\}}$ that are contained inside W_i must involve at most one point in $U_i \times \{0\}$ in the source. Notice at this point that, by standard transversality arguments, a

generic translation of $F(U'_i) \cap W_i$ in the normal directions s_2, r_3, s_3 will put it in general position with respect to $\tilde{f}((N \setminus U'_i) \times \{0\})$. Choose one of these translations (which can moreover be chosen arbitrarily close to the identity); this is an ambient Hamiltonian diffeomorphism of W_i , so one can cut off the generating Hamiltonian by a function that is equal to 1 in U_i and 0 close to the boundary of U'_i and more generally of W_i . Doing so we can construct an (arbitrarily C^∞ -close to the identity) compactly supported Hamiltonian diffeomorphism ϕ_1 of W_i which restricts to U_i as the required translation. In particular, the embedding $h = \phi_1 \circ \tilde{f}|_{U_i \times (-\delta, \delta)}$ extends as an isosymplectic immersion $\tilde{f}_1 : (V, \omega_V) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^6$ equal to \tilde{f} away from $V_i \times (-\delta, \delta)$ and such that $\tilde{f}_1(U_1 \times \{0\})$ intersects transversely $\tilde{f}_1((N \setminus U'_1) \times \{0\})$, and hence all self intersections of \tilde{f}_1 involving at least one point in U_1 are transverse. We repeat the process in U_2 to construct another immersion \tilde{f}_2 such that the self-intersections along $N \times \{0\}$ are transverse along U_2 , and choosing the translation (and hence the Hamiltonian diffeomorphism) close enough to the identity the self-intersections will remain transverse also along U_1 . Doing this inductively for each U_i , we end up with the required isosymplectic immersion, which we still denote by \tilde{f} .

Since the self-intersections of \tilde{f} along $N \times \{0\}$ are only double points, we can undo these intersections in \mathbb{R}^7 , and obtain an embedding $e : N \times (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$, for a small enough $\delta > 0$, satisfying $e^* \omega_{std,6} = \omega_V|_{N \times (-\delta, \delta)}$. To simplify the notation, we rename $N \times (-\delta, \delta)$ as V and the restriction of ω_V to $N \times (-\delta, \delta)$ as ω_V . Seeing \mathbb{R}^7 as $\mathbb{R}^7 \times \{0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^8 , we have thus an embedding into \mathbb{R}^8 , that we still denote by e , such that $e^* \omega_{std} = \omega_V$, which admits a trivial symplectic normal bundle $\nu' = \nu \oplus \langle u_2, v_2 \rangle$, where u_2, v_2 denote the last two coordinates of \mathbb{R}^8 . Note that v_2 can be without loss of generality be chosen to be equal to the last coordinate y_4 of \mathbb{R}^8 that satisfies $\mathbb{R}^7 = \{y_4 = 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^8$. By construction of the embedding $V \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$, via standard symplectic normal form theorems, one can find symplectic coordinates u_1, v_1 in a small neighborhood $e(V)$ that span the fibers of the (trivial) bundle ν . A neighborhood of $e(V)$ is then symplectomorphic to $U = V \times (-\delta, \delta)^4$ with symplectic form $\omega_W + \sum_{i=1}^2 du_i \wedge dv_i$, as claimed. \square

Remark 18. Notice that by considering the trivial symplectic product $\mathbb{R}^8 \times \mathbb{R}^{2n-8}$, with $2n > 8$, and renaming coordinates it follows that there is an isosymplectic embedding of (V, ω_W) into $(\mathbb{R}^{2n}, \omega_{std})$ and a tubular neighborhood $U \cong V \times (-\delta, \delta)^{2n-4}$ with coordinates (u_i, v_i) such that $\omega_{std}|_U = \omega_V + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} du_i \wedge dv_i$, with $v_{n-2} = y_n$.

Remark 19. In order to improve Theorem 1 to cover ambient symplectic manifolds of dimension six, the only missing step is constructing an isosymplectic embedding of the symplectic manifold (V, ω_V) into \mathbb{R}^6 as in Proposition 17 (i.e. with trivial normal bundle and lying on a hyperplane). A similar situation arose in Ginzburg's Hamiltonian counterexamples to the Seifert conjecture, which were first constructed in \mathbb{R}^8 [Gin95]. It was later that the missing isosymplectic embedding into \mathbb{R}^6 was constructed in [Gin97], implying the existence of counterexamples in \mathbb{R}^6 . The manifold (V, ω_V) can be replaced by the symplectization of any three-manifold equipped with a Hamiltonian structure that is robustly non-stable and satisfies the required

formal properties to admit an embedding in \mathbb{R}^6 . The only examples of such Hamiltonian structures that we know are non \mathbb{R} -covered volume-preserving Anosov flows.

We will now use the embedding to show that we can embed the manifold N as an invariant submanifold of the characteristic foliation of an arbitrary hypersurface, in a way that the induced dynamics are the Anosov flow constructed in Theorem 16. An embedding inducing some dynamics fixed a priori along a hypersurface in W is called a *Hamiltonian embedding* of (N, X) into W , following the terminology introduced in [CP24]. We will keep track of the normal form of the symplectic structure in the normal bundle of the invariant submanifold.

Proposition 20. *Let $e : M \rightarrow W$ be an embedded hypersurface in a symplectic manifold (W, Ω) with $\dim W = 2n \geq 8$. Then, there is a C^0 -small isotopy $e_s : M \rightarrow W$, compactly supported near a point, and a subset $\widehat{U} \subset M$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} & - \widehat{U} \cong N \times (-\delta, \delta)^{2n-4}, \\ & - e_1^* \omega_{std}|_{\widehat{U}} = \widetilde{\omega} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} du_i \wedge dv_i, \end{aligned}$$

where (u_i, v_i) are coordinates in $(-\delta, \delta)^{2n-2}$.

Proof. Choose a Hamiltonian flow-box neighborhood diffeomorphic to $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ near a point $p \in M$ with coordinates (x_i, y_i) , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{std}|_U &= \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dy_i, \\ e(M) \cap U &= \{y_n = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 17 and Remark 18, there exists an isosymplectic embedding of $f : V = N \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow U$ of (V, ω_V) into U and a neighborhood $U' \cong N \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times (-\delta, \delta)^{2n-4} \subset U$ containing $f(V)$ such that

$$\omega_{std}|_{U'} = \omega_V + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} du_i \wedge dv_i = \widetilde{\omega} + d(t\alpha) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} du_i \wedge dv_i,$$

where t is the coordinate in $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ and u_i, v_i are coordinates in $(-\delta, \delta)^{2n-4}$. Recall that Proposition 17 allows us to choose f such that $v_{n-2} = y_n|_{U'}$. Consider the first $2n-5$ factors of $(-\delta, \delta)^{2n-4}$, which is diffeomorphic to a ball B^{2n-5} , where we use spherical coordinates $(\hat{r}, \hat{\varphi}_i)$, with $\hat{r} \in (0, \hat{\delta})$ and $i = 1, \dots, 2n-6$. The inclusion of $M \cap U'$ into U' is given by

$$\begin{aligned} j_0 : N \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times B_{2n-5} &\longrightarrow N \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times B_{2n-5} \times (-\delta, \delta)_{v_{n-2}} \\ (p, \hat{t}, \hat{r}, \hat{\varphi}_i) &\longmapsto (p, \hat{t}, \hat{r}, \hat{\varphi}_i, 0), \end{aligned}$$

which corresponds to $\{v_2 = 0\}$. Consider a different embedding of the form

$$\begin{aligned} j_1 : N \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times B_{2n-5} &\longrightarrow N \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times B_{2n-5} \times (-\delta, \delta)_{v_{n-2}} \\ (p, \hat{t}, \hat{r}, \hat{\varphi}_i) &\longmapsto (p, f_1(\hat{t}, \hat{r}), \hat{r}, \hat{\varphi}_i, f_2(\hat{t}, \hat{r})), \end{aligned}$$

where f_1 and f_2 are smooth functions from $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times (0, \hat{\delta})$ satisfying

- $f_1(\hat{r}, \hat{t}) = \hat{t}$ and $f_2(\hat{r}, \hat{t}) = 0$ for \hat{r} close to $\hat{\delta}$,
- $f_1(\hat{r}, \hat{t}) = 0$ and $f_2(\hat{r}, \hat{t}) = \hat{t}$ for \hat{r} near 0 and $\hat{t} \in (-\varepsilon', \varepsilon')$ for some $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$.

In fact, we can choose a family of embeddings j_s that interpolates between j_0 and j_1 , just by considering a family of embedded curves (f_1^s, f_2^s) interpolating between $(\hat{t}, 0)$ and (f_1, f_2) . It induces a family of embeddings of $e_s : M \rightarrow W$, by considering the trivial extension of j_s as e away from $N \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times B_{2n-5}$. Observe now that in $\widehat{U} = U' \cap \{r < \tau\}$ for a small enough $\tau < \delta$, we have $M \cap \widehat{U} = \{t = 0\}$. In particular, by construction we have $e_1^* \omega_{std}|_{\widehat{U}} = \widetilde{\omega} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} du_i \wedge dv_i$ as claimed. \square

3.3. Introducing normal hyperbolicity. Observe that as a consequence of Proposition 20, we can perturb M into another hypersurface \widetilde{M} whose characteristic foliation admits a three-dimensional invariant submanifold where the dynamics correspond to a non \mathbb{R} -covered Anosov flow. We want this invariant submanifold to persist under perturbations, i.e. we need it to be a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold.

Proposition 21. *Let $e : M \rightarrow W$ be an embedded hypersurface in a symplectic manifold (W, Ω) of any even dimension. Assume that there is an odd-dimensional compact submanifold embedded via $f : N \rightarrow M$ of codimension $2k$, with trivial tubular neighborhood $U \cong N \times D^{2k}$, such that*

$$e^* \Omega|_U = \widetilde{\omega} + \sum_{i=1}^k dx_i \wedge dy_i,$$

where $\widetilde{\omega}$ is a Hamiltonian structure on N . Then there is a C^1 -close embedding $e_1 : M \rightarrow W$ such that $(e_1 \circ f)^* \Omega = \widetilde{\omega}$ and $e_1 \circ f(N)$ is a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold of the characteristic foliation of $e_1(M)$.

Proof. Fix a volume form μ in M that restricts to a split volume form $\mu_N \wedge dx_1 \wedge dy_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_k \wedge dy_k$ on $U = N \times D_\delta^{2k}$ (where δ denotes the radius of the disk), for some volume form μ_N in N . Let X be the vector field defined by $\iota_X \mu = (\omega_M)^n$, where $\omega_M = e^* \Omega$, and Y be the vector field in N defined by $\iota_Y \mu_N = \widetilde{\omega}^{n-k}$. Notice that $X|_U = (Y, 0)$, and in particular $N = N \times \{0\} \subset U$ is an invariant submanifold of $\ker \omega_M$. Fix some $\alpha \in \Omega^1(U)$ such that $\alpha(X) = 1$; due to the fact that $X|_U = Y$, we can moreover choose it so that it is independent of the D_δ^{2k} factor, and more precisely so that $\alpha \in \Omega^1(N)$. For a constant $C > 0$ to be determined later, consider then the two-form

$$\widehat{\omega}_U = \omega_M|_U + C \sum_{i=1}^k d(x_i y_i \alpha).$$

For any fixed C , we can shrink U enough so that $\eta = C \cdot \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i \alpha$ is arbitrarily C^1 -small in U , and thus $\widehat{\omega}_U$ defines a Hamiltonian structure on U . Notice that $\ker \widehat{\omega}_U$ coincides along N with $\ker \omega_M|_U$ and thus with $\ker \widetilde{\omega}$. Hence N is an invariant submanifold of the characteristic foliation of $\widehat{\omega}_U$ too. First, we will show that we can perturb the embedding of M to another embedding $e_1 : M \rightarrow W$

such that $e_1^*\Omega|_U = \widehat{\omega}_U$ (after possibly shrinking U), and then we will show that $e_1 \circ f(N)$ is a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold of the characteristic foliation of $e_1(M)$; this will conclude the proof.

To argue the existence of a possibly smaller neighborhood $U' = N \times D_{\delta'}^2 \subset U$ and an embedding $e_1 : M \rightarrow W$ such that $e_1^*\Omega|_{U'} = \widehat{\omega}_U$, we will adapt [Car23, Lemma 49]. Consider a tubular neighborhood $V \cong U \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ of U inside W where Ω takes the form

$$\Omega_0 = d(t\alpha) + \omega_M,$$

where t is a coordinate in $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$. Up to choosing ε, δ' small enough, the path

$$\Omega_s = d(t\alpha) + (1-s)\omega_M + s\widehat{\omega}_M$$

is made of two-forms which are symplectic on V . Notice also that if $\iota : U \times \{0\} \rightarrow V$ is the inclusion of the zero section, we have

$$\iota^*\Omega_1 = \widehat{\omega}_M. \quad (1)$$

Observe as well that $\Omega_s - \Omega_0 = sKd\eta$, and η is a one-form that vanishes along $TM|_N$. Following Moser's path argument, we consider the vector field Z_s determined by the equation

$$\iota_{Z_s}\Omega_s = sK\eta.$$

The vector field Z_s integrates into a flow

$$\varphi_s : V \rightarrow V.$$

We point out that, as $\eta|_N = 0$, Z_s vanishes along the compact submanifold $N \times \{0\} \subset V$, and thus the flow φ_s is defined up to time one on a sufficiently small neighborhood $V' = N \times D_{\delta'}^2 \times (-\varepsilon', \varepsilon')$ of N , where $\delta' < \delta$ and $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$. By construction, this flow satisfies $\varphi_s^*\Omega_s = \Omega_0$.

With a slight abuse of notation, for simplicity we denote again by φ_s the restriction of φ_s to V' . Let r be a radial coordinate in the product $D_{\delta'}^2 \times (-\varepsilon', \varepsilon')$ defined for $r \in (0, \tilde{\delta})$ for some small enough $\tilde{\delta}$. Consider a smooth function $f : [0, \tilde{\delta}) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ equal to 1 near $r = 0$ and equal to 0 near $r = \tilde{\delta}$. Consider the family of vector fields $\widehat{Z}_s = f(r)Z_s$. Its flow $\psi_s : V' \rightarrow V$ defines a diffeomorphism from V' onto its image. Notice that because Z_s vanishes along $N \times \{0\}$, if we take f to vanish away of a sufficiently small neighborhood of $N \times \{0\}$, the vector field \widehat{Z}_s is arbitrarily C^0 -small and hence its flow is C^0 -close to the identity. In other words:

- ψ_s has compact support contained in the interior of V' and image contained in the interior of V ,
- it is arbitrarily C^0 -close to the identity,
- there is a smaller neighborhood $\widetilde{V} = \widetilde{U} \times (-\tilde{\varepsilon}, \tilde{\varepsilon}) \subset V'$ such that $\psi_1|_{\widetilde{V}} = \varphi_1|_{\widetilde{V}}$.

The hypersurface M intersects V along $U \times \{0\}$, i.e. M corresponds to the inclusion of the zero section $\iota : U \times \{0\} \rightarrow V$. Consider now the embedding

$$h = \psi_1 \circ \iota : U \rightarrow V.$$

This coincides with e near the boundary of V , hence in particular it extends naturally to an embedding

$$e_1 : M \longrightarrow W,$$

such that $e_1(M \setminus U) = e(M \setminus U)$. Finally, we have

$$e_1^* \Omega|_{\widehat{U}} = \iota^* \psi_1|_U^* \Omega|_{\widehat{U}} = \iota^* \Omega_1 = \widehat{\omega}_U,$$

where we used Equation (1). This establishes the existence of e_1 .

To conclude, let us show that for a suitable choice of the constant $C > 0$, the characteristic foliation of $e_1(M)$ has N as a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold of its characteristic foliation. We denote by $\widehat{\omega}_M$ the pullback two-form $e_1^* \Omega$. Consider the vector field

$$Z = X + \sum_{i=1}^k C x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - C y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i},$$

on U . For a sufficiently large C , the submanifold $N \times \{0\}$ is a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold of Z .

Even if Z does not span $\ker \widehat{\omega}_M$, we will see that it coincides up to second order with a vector field \widehat{Z} spanning $\ker \widehat{\omega}_M$ along N . This is enough to deduce that \widehat{Z} has N as a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold. Indeed, the fact that Z and \widehat{Z} coincide at the 0-order implies that N is also an invariant submanifold of \widehat{Z} . In addition, since normal hyperbolicity only depends on the first derivatives of the vector field along the invariant submanifold, the fact that Z and \widehat{Z} coincide at first order along N implies that N is a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold of \widehat{Z} , as desired.

Let us hence prove the existence of such a \widehat{Z} . Let β be a one-form in U such that $\beta(Z) = 1$, and consider the volume form $\mu = \beta \wedge (\widehat{\omega}_M)^n$. Notice that

$$\iota_Z \mu = (\widehat{\omega}_M)^n + n \cdot \iota_Z \widehat{\omega}_M \wedge (\widehat{\omega}_M)^{n-1} \wedge \beta,$$

and since $\iota_Z \widehat{\omega}_M = C \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i \iota_X d\alpha$, we deduce that

$$\iota_Z \mu = (\widehat{\omega}_M)^n + n \left(C \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i \iota_X d\alpha \right) \wedge (\widehat{\omega}_M)^{n-1} \wedge \beta,$$

Let \widehat{Z} be the vector field such that $\iota_{\widehat{Z}} \mu = (\widehat{\omega}_M)^n$, i.e. it spans the kernel of $\widehat{\omega}_M$. Notice that at any point $p \in N$, the two-forms $\iota_Z \mu$ and $\iota_{\widehat{Z}} \mu$ coincide up to second order in the variables x_i, y_i . This implies that Z and \widehat{Z} coincide up to second-order terms in the normal direction of N . We deduce that not only Z and \widehat{Z} but also their respective linearizations coincide along N . Thus the differential of their flows coincide along N and the submanifold is also a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold of \widehat{Z} . This shows that $e_1 \circ f(N)$ is a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold of the characteristic foliation of $e_1(M)$. \square

3.4. Proof of Theorem 1. Let M be a hypersurface in (W, Ω) . Let N be the 3-dimensional homology sphere and X the Anosov flow given by Theorem 16. Fix a volume form μ preserved by X , we have $\iota_X \mu = \widehat{\omega}$, which is an exact Hamiltonian structure. By Propositions 17 and 21, there is a C^0 -perturbation \widetilde{M} of M , with induced Hamiltonian structure $\widetilde{\omega}$, and an embedding $e : N \rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ such that $e^* \widetilde{\omega} = \widehat{\omega}$, and $e(N)$ is a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold of the characteristic foliation of \widetilde{M} .

Let now M' be a hypersurface in a sufficiently small C^2 -neighborhood of \widetilde{M} with induced Hamiltonian structure ω' . Then, M' contains a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold $f(N)$ for some embedding $f : N \rightarrow M'$, such that $f^* \omega'$ is a two-form C^1 -close to $\widehat{\omega}$. Notice that, fixing a volume form μ in N , the vector field defined by $\iota_Y \mu = f^* \omega'$ is arbitrarily C^1 -close to the Anosov flow X defined by $\iota_X \widehat{\omega} = \mu$. Assume by contradiction that ω' is stabilizable, i.e. there is a one-form $\lambda' \in \Omega^1(M')$ stabilizing ω' . Then, $f^* \lambda'$ stabilizes $f^* \omega'$, which contradicts Lemma 15. This concludes the proof. \square

Remark. Convex hypersurfaces in contact manifolds bear some analogies with stable hypersurfaces in symplectic manifolds, like the existence of a tubular neighborhood foliated by hypersurfaces with diffeomorphic characteristic foliations. A recent preprint by Chaidez [Cha24] shows that on any high dimensional contact manifold there are hypersurfaces (in certain isotopy classes) with a C^2 -neighborhood that contains no convex hypersurface, thus partially establishing an analog of Theorem 1 in the contact setting.

4. NON-DENSITY OF NON-DEGENERATE STABLE HAMILTONIAN STRUCTURES

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 2 about the non-density of non-degenerate stable Hamiltonian structures. First, we give a simple degeneracy criterion for a Hamiltonian structure: the existence of a closed orbit in the regular level set of a first integral of the characteristic foliation, see Lemma 25. Secondly, we make a semi-local construction of a stable Hamiltonian structure in the product of any contact manifold with $I \times S^1$, which satisfies that any stable Hamiltonian structure in a C^2 -neighborhood of this one necessarily satisfies the degeneracy criterion, this is the content of Proposition 26. Finally, we prove Theorem 2 by showing that this local construction can be introduced via a stable homotopy in a class of stable homotopy classes that we call “regular” and that we introduce below.

4.1. Regular stable homotopy classes. We first give the precise definition of the stable homotopy classes to which our theorem applies and then find sufficient conditions for a stable Hamiltonian structure to be in this type of homotopy classes.

Definition 22. A stable homotopy class in M is *regular* if it is representable by a stable Hamiltonian structure (λ, ω) such that $d\lambda$ is a non-zero constant multiple of ω on some open set $U \subset M$.

Notice that up to scaling λ , we might assume that in some open set (namely, a connected component of U) we have $d\lambda = \pm\omega$. In three dimensions, it follows from [CV15, Proposition 3.31] that every stable homotopy class is regular. In arbitrary dimensions, a contact type two-form $d\alpha$ trivially belongs to a regular stable homotopy class. We now also prove that one sufficient condition for (λ, ω) to be in a regular stable homotopy class is that λ is closed on some open set.

Lemma 23. *Let (λ, ω) be a stable Hamiltonian structure such that $d\lambda = 0$ in some open set $U \subset M$. Then (λ, ω) belongs to a regular stable homotopy class.*

Proof. We will first show by a simple closing lemma argument that, up to stable homotopy, we can assume that the Reeb field admits a periodic orbit contained in the interior of the set $\mathcal{O}_\lambda = \{d\lambda = 0\}$. Then, we will modify the stable Hamiltonian structure so that the first return map along this periodic orbit is the identity in a small enough transverse disk, and use this to deform λ to be a contact form there.

If there is no periodic orbit in \mathcal{O}_λ , we argue as follows. The set U , which we assume to be connected, belongs to a connected component V of \mathcal{O}_λ , and V is invariant by the flow φ_X^t of the Reeb field X of (λ, ω) . The interior of V is also invariant, since if a point admits a small neighborhood where $d\lambda = 0$, this will hold for any point in the orbit of X through p by continuity of the flow and the fact that $d\lambda$ is itself invariant under the flow.

Consider then a Hamiltonian flow-box neighborhood $B = [-1, 1] \times D^{2n}$ contained in U , i.e. with coordinates z, x_i, y_i such that X is parallel to $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ and $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dy_i$. Choose a Poincaré recurrent point $p = (0, q) \in B$, i.e. such that there is some time $\tau > 0$ with $\varphi_\tau(p) = (0, q')$ for some point q' different from q . For later use, we define U' to be an open set diffeomorphic to a solid torus, given by the union of a small neighborhood of $\{\varphi_t(q), t \in [0, \tau]\}$ and a small neighborhood of a segment $(0, q_s), s \in [0, 1]$ inside $\{0\} \times D^{2n} \subset B$, with $q_0 = q$ and $q_1 = q'$. As argued before, the set $\varphi[0, \tau]$ is contained in the interior of V , so we can assume that U' is also in the interior of V .

Equip $M \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ with the two-form $\Omega = \omega + d(t\lambda)$, where t is the coordinate in the second factor. This form is symplectic for ε small enough. The Hamiltonian structure ω is obtained by restriction of Ω to $H^{-1}(0)$, where the Hamiltonian is $H = t$. The Hamiltonian C^1 -closing lemma¹ [PR83] implies that there exists a Hamiltonian function \tilde{H} that is arbitrarily C^2 -close to H , such that $\tilde{H} = H$ everywhere besides in $U' \times (-\delta, \delta)$ for an arbitrarily small $\delta < \varepsilon$, and also satisfying the following property: if $\iota : M \rightarrow M \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ denotes the embedding such that $\iota(M) = \tilde{H}^{-1}(0)$, the characteristic foliation of the Hamiltonian structure $\tilde{\omega} = \iota^*\Omega$ admits a closed orbit in U' . Notice that $\tilde{\omega}$ is arbitrarily C^1 -close to ω and equal to it away from U' , and hence λ is also a stabilizing one-form of $\tilde{\omega}$. Furthermore $\tilde{\omega}$ is cohomologous to ω and thus $(\lambda_r = \lambda, \omega_r = (1-r)\omega + r\tilde{\omega}), r \in [0, 1]$ defines a stable homotopy. By a slight abuse of notation, we keep denoting by ω the new

¹See the precise statement we use in [Arn98, Section 2.3 “Closing lemma pour les Hamiltoniens dans les surfaces d’énergie”].

Hamiltonian structure $\tilde{\omega}$.

This shows that we can assume that (λ, ω) admits a periodic orbit γ contained in the interior of $\{p \in M \mid d\lambda|_p = 0\}$. The arguments in [Car23, Proposition 47], which work in any dimension, then show that we can C^1 -perturb ω to another cohomologous Hamiltonian structure $\hat{\omega}$ such that $\hat{\omega} = \omega$ away from a small neighborhood of a point $p \in \gamma$ and that the following property is satisfied: in a small neighborhood of the origin of a small disk transverse to γ , the first return map of any vector field spanning $\ker \hat{\omega}$ is the identity. We choose $\hat{\omega}$ sufficiently C^1 -close to ω so that a linear interpolation between both forms is a homotopy of maximally non-degenerate two-forms. As λ is closed near p , it is also a stabilizing one-form of $\hat{\omega}$ and $(\lambda, \hat{\omega})$ is then stable homotopic to (λ, ω) . We can find coordinates (ψ, x_i, y_i) on a neighborhood $V \cong S^1 \times D^{2n}$ of γ such that $\hat{\omega} = \sum_{i=1}^n dx_i \wedge dy_i$ and $\lambda = dz + dg$ with $g \in C^\infty(D^{2n})$. Finally, let $F : D^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a cut-off function equal to 1 near the origin and equal to 0 near the boundary. Consider the stable homotopy $(\lambda_s, \hat{\omega})$ where

$$\lambda_s = dz + d((1 - sF)g) + sF x_i dy_i.$$

For $s = 1$, the one-form λ_1 satisfies $d\lambda = \hat{\omega}$ in a small neighborhood of γ . This proves that (λ, ω) belongs to a regular stable homotopy class. \square

A particular application of the previous lemma is that symplectic mapping tori, i.e. stable Hamiltonian structures such that λ is closed, belong to a regular stable homotopy class. Products of contact and symplectic manifolds are also regular:

Lemma 24. *Let ω be a Hamiltonian structure on $M = N \times W$ with $\omega = d\alpha \oplus \hat{\omega}$ where $d\alpha$ is a contact type two form in N and $(W, \hat{\omega})$ is a symplectic mapping torus. Then ω belongs to a regular stable homotopy class.*

Proof. We denote by $2k + 1$ the dimension of N , and by $2n + 1$ the dimension of M . Consider the stable Hamiltonian structure (α, ω) . By standard contact neighborhood theorems, one can choose a contact form $\alpha_1 \in C^\infty(N)$ defining $\ker \alpha$ such that there is a neighborhood $V \cong S^1 \times D^{2k} \subset N$ of an embedded closed curve transverse to ξ with coordinates ψ, r_i, θ_i where $\alpha_1 = d\psi + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i^2 d\theta_i$. Now, a homotopy of contact forms $\alpha_t = f_t \alpha$ such that f_t is an everywhere positive function and $\alpha_1 = f_1 \alpha$ naturally induces a stable homotopy $(\alpha_t, \omega_t) = (\alpha_t, d\alpha_t \oplus \omega)$. If we choose a small disk $U \cong D^{2(n-k)} \subset W$ with coordinates ρ_i, φ_i , we have that in $V \times U$ the forms write as $\alpha_1 = d\psi + \sum_{i=1}^k r_i^2 d\theta_i$ and $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i dr_i \wedge d\theta_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n-k} \rho_j d\rho_j \wedge d\varphi_j$. Let $F : D^{2k} \times D^{2(n-k)}$ be a bump function such that $F = 1$ in a small neighborhood U' the origin, and $F = 0$ away from a slightly larger neighborhood of the origin. The one-form

$$\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 + F \rho_i^2 d\varphi_i$$

is a stabilizing one-form of ω_1 satisfying $d\alpha_2 = \omega_1$ in $S^1 \times U'$. Since the space of stabilizing one-forms for a given Hamiltonian structure is path-connected, we deduce that (α, ω) is stable homotopic to the stable Hamiltonian structure (α_2, ω_1)

with an open set where $d\alpha_2 = \omega_1$. This proves that (α, ω) belongs to a regular stable homotopy class, as desired. \square

4.2. A degeneracy criterion for Hamiltonian structures. We give here a simple criterion for a Hamiltonian structure to be degenerate, in terms of the existence of a periodic orbit contained in the regular level set of a first integral of its characteristic foliation.

Lemma 25. *Let ω be a Hamiltonian structure and X a vector field spanning $\ker \omega$. Let L be a connected component of a regular level set of any first integral f of X . If X has a periodic orbit in L , then ω is degenerate.*

Proof. Denote by $N = f^{-1}(c) \subset M$ the regular level set of the first integral f . Because values near to c will also be regular for f , there is a neighborhood $N \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ of $N \simeq N \times \{0\}$ inside M such that X is tangent to the level sets $N \times \{t\}$. Let now λ be a 1-form such that $\lambda(X) = 1$, so that $\mu := \lambda \wedge \omega^n$ is a volume form on N . Note also that by construction μ is automatically preserved by the flow of X . As X is tangent to all level sets $N \times \{t\}$ near $N = N \times \{0\}$, if we write near N the volume form μ as $\mu = dt \wedge \mu_{N,t}$, the volume form $\mu_N = \mu_{N,0}$ is preserved by $X|_N$.

Note also that the restriction ω_N to N has a kernel of constant rank 2, which is more precisely spanned by X and another non-zero vector field which we denote by Y .

Denote by γ the closed orbit of X in $N = N \times \{0\}$. Consider a point $p \in \gamma$, and let $\Phi: \xi_p \rightarrow \xi_p$ the linearized first return map of X at p , where $\xi = TN/\langle X \rangle$ over N . Note then that ξ has a natural splitting $\eta \oplus \langle Y \rangle$, where η is any (arbitrary) complementary to $\langle Y \rangle$ inside ξ .

Moreover, because X preserves ω and N , it also preserves ω_N and hence Y . In particular, (η, ω_N) is naturally a symplectic vector bundle over N . The restriction of the linearized first return map, seen as map $\Phi: \eta_p \oplus \langle Y(p) \rangle \rightarrow \eta_p \oplus \langle Y(p) \rangle$ splits into two blocks, one given by the invariant subspace $\langle Y \rangle$, and the other block given by η satisfies that $\text{pr}_{\eta_p} \circ \Phi|_{\eta_p}$ is a symplectic matrix that in particular has determinant 1. The fact that Φ also globally has determinant 1, because the flow of X preserves the volume form μ_N on N , then immediately implies that necessarily $\Phi(\langle Y(p) \rangle) = \langle Y(p) \rangle$. In other words, the linearized first return map along γ has 1 as eigenvalue, and X is hence degenerate, as desired. \square

We now proceed to construct stable Hamiltonian structures that satisfy this criterion even after perturbation.

4.3. Local construction of transversely hyperbolic invariant tori. We want to apply Lemma 25 to every stable Hamiltonian structure close to a given one. This would imply in particular that the presence of degenerate periodic orbits holds in some open set of stable Hamiltonian structures. Degenerate periodic orbits do not persist under perturbations of a vector field, however, notice that we are perturbing the pair (λ, ω) and not the Reeb vector field directly. The next proposition shows

that there exists a particular construction of a stable Hamiltonian structure that always admits a periodic orbit in a level set of one of the integrals introduced in Lemma 7. The idea is to construct a stable Hamiltonian structure that has, for $s \in (-\delta, \delta)$, an s -parametric family of invariant tori T_s , each contained in the regular level sets $f^{-1}(s)$ of one of the integrals f , and these tori are moreover normally hyperbolic invariant submanifolds of the Reeb flow restricted to $f^{-1}(s)$. We do it in a way that the “rotation vector” of the flow along T_s varies with s ; we arrange this property as this will later be used to deduce that any stable Hamiltonian structure (λ', ω') close to this one will necessarily have a closed orbit in a level set of the first integral f' (a perturbation of f) of its Reeb field.

Proposition 26. *Let N be a closed $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional manifold, with $n \geq 2$, and α be a contact form on it for which there exists a closed hyperbolic Reeb orbit $\gamma \subset N$. Consider the stable Hamiltonian structure $(\lambda, \omega) = (d\psi, d(e^t\alpha))$ on $M = (-1, 1)_t \times N \times S^1$, where ψ is an angle coordinate in the S^1 factor. Then, there are $\delta > 0$ and a stable Hamiltonian structure (λ', ω') on $(-1, 1)_t \times N \times S^1$ satisfying the following properties:*

- (1) $(\lambda', \omega') = (\lambda, \omega)$ on $((-1, -1 + \delta) \cup (1 - \delta, 1)) \times N \times S^1$;
- (2) (λ', ω') is stable homotopic to (λ, ω) relative to $((-1, -1 + \delta) \cup (1 - \delta, 1)) \times N \times S^1$;
- (3) if $(\widehat{\lambda}, \widehat{\omega})$ is a stable Hamiltonian structure that is sufficiently C^2 -close to (λ', ω') , then its Reeb vector field \widehat{R} admits a periodic orbit contained in the regular level set of the first integral

$$g = \frac{d\widehat{\lambda} \wedge \widehat{\omega}^{n-1}}{\widehat{\omega}^n}$$

of \widehat{R} contained in the interior of M .

Proof. We look at $(-1, 1)_t \times N \times S^1_\psi$ as the quotient of $(-1, 1)_t \times N \times \mathbb{R}_\psi$ under the \mathbb{Z} -action ρ generated by $1 \cdot (t, q, \psi) = (t, q, \psi - 1)$.

Let τ be the period of the periodic orbit γ . Choose some small $\delta > 0$ and let $\chi: (-1, 1) \rightarrow [0, \tau]$ be a cut-off function that is equal to 0 on $(-1, -1 + \delta]$ and on $[1 - \delta, 1)$, equal to τ at 0, strictly increasing on $(-1 + \delta, 0)$, and strictly decreasing on $(0, 1 - \delta)$. Denote also by $\phi_{R_\alpha}^s$ the flow of the Reeb vector field R_α of α on N at time s . We then consider the quotient X of $(-1, 1)_t \times N \times \mathbb{R}_\psi$ by the (new) \mathbb{Z} -action ρ' generated by $1 \cdot (t, q, \psi) = (t, \phi_{R_\alpha}^{\chi(t)}(q), \psi - 1)$.

There is a homotopy of actions $(\rho_s)_{s \in [0, 1]}$ from ρ to ρ' relative to the region $((-1, -1 + \delta) \cup [1 - \delta, 1)) \times N \times \mathbb{R}$: this just follows from the fact that one can find a C^∞ -small linear (in s) interpolation $(\chi_s)_{s \in [0, 1]}$ of cut-off functions between $\chi_0 = 0$ and $\chi_1 = \chi$. Then, by looking at the fiber bundle

$$\cup_{s \in [0, 1]} X_s \rightarrow [0, 1], \text{ where } X_s = ((-1, 1) \times N \times \mathbb{R}) / \rho_s,$$

we can find a $[0, 1]$ -family of diffeomorphisms $\Phi_s: X_s \xrightarrow{\sim} (-1, 1) \times N \times S^1$ which starts at the identity $\Phi_0 = \text{Id}: X_0 \xrightarrow{\cong} (-1, 1) \times N \times S^1$. This can be done for

instance by considering the parallel transport (starting at the fiber over $s = 0$) of any auxiliary connection on $\cup_s X_s \rightarrow [0, 1]$. For later use, we also note that said parallel transport from the fiber over a certain s_0 to that over some other s_1 sends the slice $\{t = t_0\}$ over $s = s_0$ to $\{t = t_0\}$ over $s = s_1$. This is true because $\cup_s X_s$ is obtained also as the quotient of $[0, 1]_s \times (-1, 1)_t \times N \times \mathbb{R}$ by the action ϱ given by $1 \cdot (s, t, q, \psi) = (s, \rho_s(t, q, \psi))$.

We now consider in $(-1, 1) \times N \times \mathbb{R}_\psi$ a pair of the form

$$(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\omega}) = (d\psi + h(t)\alpha, d(e^t\alpha)), \quad (2)$$

where h is a non-zero compactly supported function in $(-1, 1)$. First, note that this is a stable Hamiltonian structure: indeed, $d\tilde{\lambda} = h'(t)dt \wedge \alpha + h(t)d\alpha$, so that $\ker(\tilde{\omega}) = \langle \partial_\psi \rangle \subset \ker(d\tilde{\lambda})$ and

$$\tilde{\lambda} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^n = ne^{nt}d\psi \wedge dt \wedge \alpha \wedge d\alpha^{n-1} > 0.$$

We also note that

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{\lambda} \wedge \tilde{\omega}^{n-1} &= e^{(n-1)t}(h'dt \wedge \alpha + hd\alpha) \wedge (dt \wedge \alpha + d\alpha)^{n-1} \\ &= e^{(n-1)t}[h' + (n-1)h]dt \wedge \alpha \wedge d\alpha^{n-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{n}e^t[h' + (n-1)h]\tilde{\omega}^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

For later use, we choose h so that $e^t[h' + (n-1)h]$ has 0 as regular value. Notice that $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\omega})$ is in fact ρ_s -invariant for every $s \in [0, 1]$. In particular, we get well-defined stable Hamiltonian structures $(\tilde{\lambda}_s, \tilde{\omega}_s)$ on X_s , for every $s \in [0, 1]$. In fact, $(\tilde{\lambda}_0, \tilde{\omega}_0)$ is nothing else than the starting $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\omega})$ on $X_0 = (-1, 1) \times N \times S^1$. This induces a one-parameter family of stable Hamiltonian structures (λ_s, ω_s) on $(-1, 1) \times N \times S^1$, given by the pushforwards $(\Phi_s)_*(\tilde{\lambda}'_s, \tilde{\omega}'_s)$. We claim that $(\lambda', \omega') = (\lambda_1, \omega_1)$ satisfies the conclusion of the theorem.

First, by construction (λ_s, ω_s) coincides with (λ, ω) on $((-1, -1+\delta) \cup (1-\delta, 1)) \times N \times S^1$. In particular, Item 1 is satisfied.

Secondly, the pair (λ, ω) is clearly homotopic to $(\tilde{\lambda}_0, \tilde{\omega}_0)$ (simply consider a homotopy of functions $h_s(t)$ such that $h_1 = h$ and $h_0 \equiv 0$ and pairs of the form (2)), thus (λ, ω) and (λ', ω') are homotopic. Thus Item 2 also holds.

It is then only left to argue that Item 3 holds. Let Z be the Reeb field of (λ', ω') . It is tangent to the hypersurfaces $\{t = c\}$, for $c \in (-1 + \delta, 1 - \delta)$, and we claim that there is a family of invariant tori $T_c \subset \{t = c\}$ of X which are normally hyperbolic in $\{t = c\}$, for c sufficiently close to 0. Indeed, notice that the Reeb vector field of $(\tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\omega})$ on $(-1, 1) \times N \times \mathbb{R}$ is tangent to the slices $\{t = \text{const}\}$ and, after taking the quotient by ρ and seeing it as a vector field on X_1 , it is still a suspension flow, with global cross-section diffeomorphic to $(-1, 1) \times N$ (obtained from the quotient of $\{\psi = 0\} \subset (-1, 1) \times N \times \mathbb{R}$ under the action ρ_1). The first return map of the

Reeb field is conjugate to

$$\begin{aligned} \psi: (-1, 1) \times N &\longrightarrow (-1, 1) \times N \\ (t, q) &\longmapsto \left(t, \phi_{R_\alpha}^{\chi(t)}(q)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that the closed hyperbolic orbit γ of R_α (from the statement) gives rise to an invariant torus T_c of Z in each $\{t = c\} \subset X_1$. Indeed, the first return map ψ preserves the circle $S_c = \{c\} \times \gamma \subset (-1, 1) \times N$ on each slice $\{t = c\}$ since γ is a hyperbolic orbit of the Reeb field of α . The vector field Z , which is conjugated to the suspension of ψ , has then for each circle S_c a corresponding invariant torus $T_c = \{c\} \times \gamma \times S^1 \subset X_1$. The foliation induced on this torus is rational, respectively irrational when $\chi(t)$ is a rational, respectively irrational, number. Notice that the pullback of ω' to $\{t = c\}$ has a kernel generated by Z and by the Reeb field of the contact form α on each $\{c\} \times N \times \{\psi\}$. In particular, the normal directions of the torus T_c inside $\{t = c\}$ coincide with the normal directions of $\{c\} \times \gamma \times \{\psi\}$ inside $\{c\} \times N \times \{\psi\}$ for each $\psi \in S^1$. Since $\chi(t)$ is close to the period τ of γ for $t \in (-\delta', \delta')$, the circles S_c are normally hyperbolic invariant submanifolds of $\psi|_{\{t=c\}}$ for $c \in (-\delta', \delta')$. Hence each torus $T_c, c \in (-\delta', \delta')$ is a normally hyperbolic invariant submanifold of X inside $\{t = c\}$. Notice as well that $\chi(t)$ is non-constant near $t = 0$, and thus first return map along S_c is a rotation of non-constant angle for $c \in (-\delta', \delta')$. In particular, the rotation number of $\psi|_{S_c}$ is non-constant for $c \in (-\delta', \delta')$.

Consider now $(\widehat{\lambda}, \widehat{\omega})$ a stable Hamiltonian structure which is C^2 -close to (λ', ω') . Then $d\widehat{\lambda} \wedge \widehat{\omega}^{n-1} = g \cdot \widehat{\omega}^n$, for a function g that is C^1 -close to the function $\frac{1}{n}e^t[h' + (n-1)h]$ in Equation (3), on the quotient $(-1, 1) \times N \times S^1$ of $(-1, 1) \times N \times \mathbb{R}$ via ρ_1 . In particular, there is a family of (connected components) of the regular level sets $g^{-1}(t)$ with $t \in (-\delta', \delta')$ which are C^1 close to the level sets $\Sigma \times S^1 \times \{t\} \times S^1$, the corresponding connected components of the regular level set of $f^{-1}(t)$ with $t \in (-\delta', \delta')$. Up to a C^1 -small isotopy, we can assume that $g^{-1}(c) = \Sigma \times S^1 \times \{t\} \times S^1$. According to Lemma 7, the Reeb field \widehat{R} of $(\widehat{\lambda}, \widehat{\omega})$ is then tangent to these level sets, and on each $\{t = c\}$ with $c \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ the dynamics is C^1 -close to the dynamics of the Reeb vector field R' of (λ', ω') along $\{t = c\}$. Thus \widehat{R} still admits a global cross-section $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times N \times \{0\}$ where the first return map \widehat{F} is C^1 -close to ψ . Notice then that the first return maps $\widehat{F}_c = \widehat{F}|_{\{t=c\}}$ is a one-parametric family of diffeomorphisms of N which are C^1 -close to $\phi_{R_\alpha}^{\chi(c)}$. The parametric family of diffeomorphisms F_c admits a parametric family of normally hyperbolic invariant circles for $c \in (-\delta', \delta')$, namely $S_c = T_c \cap \{\psi = 0\}$, and hence by the (parametric) persistence of normally hyperbolic invariant submanifolds (recall Remark 10) there is a parametric family of circles \widehat{S}_c invariant by \widehat{F}_c for $c \in (-\delta', \delta')$. For the flow of \widehat{R} , this implies the existence of a family of normally hyperbolic invariant tori.

Notice that the rotation number of $\psi|_{S_c}$ is non-constant for $c \in (-\delta', \delta')$, so by Proposition 13 this also holds for the rotation number of $\widehat{F}|_{\widehat{S}_c}$ for $c \in (-\delta', \delta')$. The dynamics of \widehat{F} along each \widehat{S}_c might no longer be conjugate to a rotation, as it was in the case of F along S_c for the unperturbed flow; however, by the fact that the rotation number of \widehat{F}_c along \widehat{S}_c is not constant, there must be (infinitely many) values of c for which this number is rational. By Proposition 12, for any such value c there must be a periodic point of \widehat{F}_c in \widehat{S}_c . These periodic points give rise to periodic orbits of \widehat{R} . This concludes the proof of Item 3. \square

Observe that any stable Hamiltonian structure C^2 -close to (λ', ω') in the statement of Proposition 26 is degenerate by Lemma 25. We also underline the fact that the proof above relies in a fundamental way on Lemma 7, i.e. the existence of natural first integrals of the Reeb field of stable Hamiltonian structures. Further consequences of the existence of these first integrals will be explored in future work.

4.4. Proof of Theorem 2. To prove the statement, we will insert the local construction obtained in Proposition 26 via a stable homotopy.

Let (λ, ω) be a stable Hamiltonian structure in M ; since we are in a regular stable homotopy class by assumption, we can arrange that there is a connected open subset $U \subset M$ where $d\lambda = \pm\omega$. We assume here $d\lambda = \omega$ on U , and leave the completely analogous case $d\lambda = -\omega$ as an exercise for the reader.

Pick a closed curve $\gamma \subset U$ transverse to the contact structure $\xi = \ker \lambda|_U$ defined on U . By standard contact neighborhood theorems, one can find a contact homotopy $(\lambda_s)_{s \in [0,1]}$, starting at $\lambda_0 = \lambda$, supported in a neighborhood of γ , and such that in a smaller neighborhood $S^1_\psi \times D^{2n}$ of $\gamma \simeq S^1_\psi \times \{0\}$ we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda' = d\psi + \sum_{i=1}^n r_i^2 d\theta_i$, where $\psi \in S^1$ and (r_i, θ_i) are polar coordinates on each of the D^2 factors of $D^{2n} = D^2 \times \dots \times D^2$. The resulting homotopy (λ_s, ω_s) , where $\omega_s = d\lambda_s$, extends as $\lambda_s = \lambda$ and $\omega_s = \omega$ away from a neighborhood of γ , and is a stable homotopy.

We consider now another homotopy $(\lambda_s, \omega_s)_{s \in [1,2]}$ given by $\omega_s = \omega_1$ and

$$\lambda_s = d\psi + \rho_s(r) \sum_{i=1}^n r_i^2 d\theta_i$$

for all $s \in [1, 2]$, where r is the radial coordinate in D^{2n} and ρ_s is a family of functions all equal to 0 near 1, such that $\rho_0 \equiv 0$ and such that ρ_1 is equal to 1 near 0. For a small enough radius δ , (λ_2, ω_2) just restricts to $(d\psi, \sum_{i=1}^n r_i dr_i \wedge d\theta_i)$ on $S^1 \times D_\delta^{2n}$, and hence its Reeb flow is degenerate, as each orbit is of the form $S^1 \times \{pt\}$ in such region.

Now, by the Weinstein neighborhood theorem for Lagrangian submanifolds, the torus $T^n = S^1_\epsilon \times \dots \times S^1_\epsilon \subset D^2 \times \dots \times D^2 = D^{2n}$ where S^1_ϵ is the circle of radius ϵ in each factor D^2 , admits an open neighborhood $S^1 \times D_\delta T^*T^n \subset S^1 \times D^{2n}$ on which $(\lambda_2 = d\psi, \omega_2 = d\lambda_{std})$, where λ_{std} is the standard Liouville form on $D_\delta T^*T^n$ and

$D_\delta T^*T^n$ is the subset of T^*T^n made of those covectors of norm (w.r.t. the standard flat metric for instance) at most a certain small enough $\delta > 0$.

Choose a metric g on T^n such that its geodesic flow has a closed orbit which is hyperbolic, such a metric exists e.g. by [KT72]. Up to rescaling, we can assume that the g -unit cosphere bundle $S_g T^*T^n$ is contained in $D_\delta T^*T^n$; denote by N such cosphere bundle, and by α the naturally induced contact form on it, whose Reeb flow is exactly the geodesic flow of g . Then, for $\eta > 0$ small enough, there is a neighborhood $V = [-\eta, \eta] \times S_\psi^1 \times N$ of $S^1 \times N = S^1 \times S_g T^*T^n \subset S^1 \times D^{2n}$ where

$$\lambda_2 = d\psi, \quad \omega_2 = d(e^t\alpha).$$

Now, applying Proposition 26 to the restriction of the stable Hamiltonian structure (λ_2, ω_2) on V gives then a further stable homotopy $(\lambda_s, \omega_s)_{s \in [2,3]}$ among stable Hamiltonian structures, relative to a neighborhood of the boundary of V ; by extending trivially outside of V , this can in particular naturally be seen as a homotopy (still denoted the same) of the ambient stable Hamiltonian structure. The stable Hamiltonian structure $(\lambda' := \lambda_3, \omega' := \omega_3)$ is then the desired stable Hamiltonian structure on M . Indeed, by Item 3 in Proposition 26 and Lemma 25, any C^2 -close stable Hamiltonian structure is necessarily degenerate. This concludes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

We finish with a remark about approximations of stable hypersurfaces by non-degenerate stable hypersurfaces.

Remark 27. Notice that Theorem 2 does not imply that the Hamiltonian structure ω' cannot be C^2 -approximated (or even C^∞) by a sequence ω_n of non-degenerate stabilizable Hamiltonian structures. Indeed, it might be the case that the ω_n do approximate ω' but that there exists no sequence of stabilizing one-forms λ_n of ω_n that C^2 -converge to λ' . An example of a similar phenomenon for a smooth family of stabilizing one-forms appears in [CV15, Proposition 5.5]. To state this in terms of hypersurfaces, consider the symplectization $M \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ of (λ', ω') , i.e. we take the symplectic form $\omega' + d(t\lambda)$ where t is a coordinate in the second factor. We cannot conclude that the stable hypersurface $M \times \{0\}$ cannot be C^2 or C^∞ -approximated by non-degenerate stable hypersurfaces.

REFERENCES

- [Ano63] Dmitry Victorovich Anosov. Ergodic properties of geodesic flows on closed Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature. In *Doklady Akademii Nauk*, volume 151, pages 1250–1252. Russian Academy of Sciences, 1963.
- [Arn98] Marie-Claude Arnaud. Le “closing lemma” en topologie C^1 . *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, (74):vi+120, 1998.
- [Asa08] Masayuki Asaoka. On invariant volumes of codimension-one Anosov flows and the Verjovsky conjecture. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 174(2):435–462, 2008.
- [Bar95] Thierry Barbot. Caractérisation des flots d’Anosov en dimension 3 par leurs feuilletages faibles. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 15(2):247–270, 1995.
- [Bar01] Thierry Barbot. Plane affine geometry and Anosov flows. In *Annales Scientifiques de l’Ecole Normale Supérieure*, volume 34, pages 871–889. Elsevier, 2001.

- [BEH⁺03] Frédéric Bourgeois, Yakov Eliashberg, Helmut Hofer, Kris Wysocki, and Eduard Zehnder. Compactness results in symplectic field theory. *Geometry & Topology*, 7(2):799–888, 2003.
- [BI23] Christian Bonatti and Ioannis Iakovoglou. Anosov flows on 3-manifolds: the surgeries and the foliations. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 43(4):1129–1188, 2023.
- [Car23] Robert Cardona. Stability is not open or generic in symplectic four-manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.13158 (version 2)*, 2023.
- [CEM24] Kai Cieliebak, Y Eliashberg, and N Mishachev. *Introduction to the h-Principle*, volume 239. American Mathematical Society, 2024.
- [CFP10a] Kai Cieliebak, Urs Frauenfelder, and Gabriel P Paternain. Stability is not open. In *Annales de l’Institut Fourier*, volume 60, pages 2449–2459, 2010.
- [CFP10b] Kai Cieliebak, Urs Frauenfelder, and Gabriel P Paternain. Symplectic topology of mané’s critical values. *Geometry & Topology*, 14(3):1765–1870, 2010.
- [Cha24] Julian Chaidez. Robustly non-convex hypersurfaces in contact manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.05979*, 2024.
- [Cie98] Kai Cieliebak. A geometric obstruction to the contact type property. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 228:451–487, 1998.
- [CP24] Robert Cardona and Francisco Presas. An h-principle for embeddings transverse to a contact structure. *Journal of Topology*, 17(1):e12326, 2024.
- [CR22] Robert Cardona and Ana Rechtman. Periodic orbits and Birkhoff sections of stable Hamiltonian structures. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.14732*, 2022.
- [CV14] Kai Cieliebak and Evgeny Volkov. Stable Hamiltonian structures in dimension three are supported by open books. *Journal of Topology*, 7(3):727–770, September 2014. arXiv:1012.3854 [math].
- [CV15] Kai Cieliebak and Evgeny Volkov. First steps in stable Hamiltonian topology. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 17(2):321–404, 2015.
- [Deh17] Pierre Dehornoy. Which geodesic flows are left-handed? *Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics*, 11(4):1347–1376, 2017.
- [DS19] Pierre Dehornoy and Mario Shannon. Almost equivalence of algebraic Anosov flows. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.08457*, 2019.
- [EGH10] Yakov Eliashberg, A Glvental, and Helmut Hofer. Introduction to symplectic field theory. *Visions in Mathematics: GAFA 2000 Special Volume, Part II*, pages 560–673, 2010.
- [EKP06] Yakov Eliashberg, Sang Seon Kim, and Leonid Polterovich. Geometry of contact transformations and domains: orderability versus squeezing. *Geometry & Topology*, 10(3):1635–1747, 2006.
- [Fen71] Neil Fenichel. Persistence and smoothness of invariant manifolds for flows. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 21:193–226, 1971.
- [Fen94] Sérgio R Fenley. Anosov flows in 3-manifolds. *Annals of Mathematics*, 139(1):79–115, 1994.
- [Fri83] David Fried. Transitive Anosov flows and pseudo-Anosov maps. *Topology*, 22(3):299–303, 1983.
- [Gin95] Viktor L Ginzburg. An embedding $S^{2n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $2n - 1 \geq 7$, whose Hamiltonian flow has no periodic trajectories. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 1995(2):83–98, 1995.
- [Gin97] Viktor L Ginzburg. A smooth counterexample to the Hamiltonian Seifert conjecture in \mathbb{R}^6 . *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 1997(13):641–650, 1997.
- [Goo06] Sue Goodman. Dehn surgery on Anosov flows. In *Geometric Dynamics: Proceedings of the International Symposium held at the Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada Rio de Janeiro, Brasil, July–August 1981*, pages 300–307. Springer, 2006.

- [Gro13] Misha Gromov. *Partial differential relations*, volume 9. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [HPS70] Morris W Hirsch, Charles Chapman Pugh, and Michael Shub. Invariant manifolds. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 76(5):1015–1019, 1970.
- [HT09] Michael Hutchings and Clifford Henry Taubes. The Weinstein conjecture for stable Hamiltonian structures. *Geometry & Topology*, 13(2):901–941, 2009.
- [HZ94] Helmut Hofer and Eduard Zehnder. *Symplectic Invariants and Hamiltonian Dynamics*. Springer Science & Business Media, 1994.
- [KH95] Anatole Katok and Boris Hasselblatt. *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems*. Number 54. Cambridge university press, 1995.
- [KT72] Wilhelm Klingenberg and Floris Takens. Generic properties of geodesic flows. *Mathematische Annalen*, 197:323–334, 1972.
- [MP10] Will J Merry and Gabriel P Paternain. Stability of Anosov Hamiltonian structures. *Journal of Topology and Analysis*, 2(04):419–451, 2010.
- [NW11] Klaus Niederkrüger and Chris Wendl. Weak symplectic fillings and holomorphic curves. In *Annales scientifiques de l'École normale supérieure*, volume 44, pages 801–853, 2011.
- [PR83] Charles C Pugh and Clark Robinson. The c^1 closing lemma, including hamiltonians. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 3(2):261–313, 1983.
- [Rec10] Ana Rechtman. Existence of periodic orbits for geodesible vector fields on closed 3-manifolds. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 30(6):1817–1841, 2010.
- [Sav02] Nikolai Saveliev. *Invariants for homology 3-spheres*, volume 140. Springer, 2002.
- [Sha20] Mario Shannon. *Dehn surgeries and smooth structures on 3-dimensional transitive Anosov flows*. PhD thesis, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 2020.
- [Tis70] David Tischler. On fibering certain foliated manifolds over s^1 . *Topology*, 9(2):153–154, 1970.

ROBERT CARDONA, DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA DE LES CORTS CATALANES 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN. *e-mail*: robert.cardona@ub.edu

FABIO GIRONELLA, CNRS - LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES JEAN LERAY, UNIVERSITÉ DE NANTES, FRANCE. *E-mail*: fabio.gironella@cnrs.fr