

SPIN^c STRUCTURES ON REAL BOTT MANIFOLDS

ANNA GAŚSIOR AND RAFAŁ LUTOWSKI

ABSTRACT. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of spin^c structures on real Bott manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let Γ be a fundamental group of an n -dimensional *real Bott manifold* M . From [11] we know that Γ is a Bieberbach group (and M is a flat manifold) of *diagonal type*. This means that:

- (i) Γ is torsion-free and fits into a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \longrightarrow \Gamma \xrightarrow{\pi} C_2^d \longrightarrow 1, \quad (1.1)$$

for some natural number d , where C_2 is the cyclic group of order 2;

- (ii) there exists a basis of \mathbb{Z}^n , such that the image of $\varrho: C_2^d \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is a group of diagonal matrices, where

$$\varrho_g(z) = \gamma z \gamma^{-1}, \quad (1.2)$$

for $z \in \mathbb{Z}^n, g \in C_2^d$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\pi(\gamma) = g$.

Note that in the case of real Bott manifolds $d = n$.

In this note, we examine the existence of spin^c structures on orientable real Bott manifolds. Recall, that for $n \geq 3$, $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$ is the universal (and a double) cover of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$, and

$$\mathrm{Spin}^c(n) := \mathrm{Spin}(n) \times S^1 / \langle (-1, -1) \rangle = \mathrm{Spin}(n) \times_{C_2} S^1,$$

where $C_2 = \{\pm 1\}$ is the center of $\mathrm{Spin}(n)$. Spin^c structure on M is defined as an equivariant lift of its tangent bundle, regarded as a $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ -principal bundle. This means, in particular, that there exists a principal $\mathrm{Spin}^c(n)$ bundle P and a map $\Lambda: P \rightarrow TM$, such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P \times \mathrm{Spin}^c(n) & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow \Lambda \times \lambda & & \downarrow \Lambda \\ TM \times \mathrm{SO}(n) & \longrightarrow & TM \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \quad M$$

Date: July 1, 2024.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57R15; Secondary 53C29, 20H15, 57S25.

Key words and phrases. Real Bott manifolds, spin structure, spinc structure.

Note that λ is the unique map defined by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Spin}(n) \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathrm{Spin}(n) & \xrightarrow{l} & \mathrm{SO}(n) \\ & \searrow \nu & & \nearrow \lambda & \\ & & \mathrm{Spin}^c(n) & & \end{array}$$

where p is the projection to the first coordinate, l is the covering map and ν is the natural homomorphism.

Spin^c structures are, in a natural way, of the interest in the case of those manifolds, which do not admit any spin structure. Spin structures on real Bott manifolds were considered in [6] and [1]. As extensions of these results, the problem of existence of spin structures on generalized real Bott manifolds was considered in [2] and [7], and the case of flat manifolds of diagonal type – in [5] and [15]. Up to now, the problem of existence of spin^c structures for the flat manifolds of diagonal type has been considered only in the case of Hantzsche-Wendt manifolds in [16]. In this paper, we extend the results to the family of real Bott manifolds.

Every real Bott manifold M is determined – up to diffeomorphism – by a certain strictly upper triangular matrix A with coefficients in \mathbb{F}_2 . We call A a *Bott matrix*, and we denote the manifold M by $M(A)$. Since M has so nice combinatorial description, it is natural to ask if it is possible to give a similar condition, under which M admits a spin^c structure. Our final Theorem 4.5 presents this kind of approach.

The structure of the article is as follows. Section 2 describes general facts for cohomology groups of a flat manifold M , which are crucial in determining whether M admits a spin^c structure. Section 3 restricts those considerations to the specific case, when M is a real Bott manifold. In particular, we get a description of the image of the map $H^2(M, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(M, \mathbb{F}_2)$ induced by reduction coefficients modulo 2. Finally, Section 4 gathers previous results to give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a spin^c structure on M . These are then used in Section 5 to show some results on number of spin^c real Bott manifolds in low dimensions.

2. Spin^c STRUCTURES ON FLAT MANIFOLDS

This section contains some preliminary considerations on the existence of spin^c structures on an orientable n -dimensional flat manifold M . Let $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$. Since M is an Eilenberg-MacLane space of type $K(\Gamma, 1)$, cohomology groups of M and Γ coincide, see [13, Section 2.1] and [3, Theorem I].

For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\beta^{(i)}: H^i(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\beta}^{(i)}: H^i(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$$

be the Bockstein maps corresponding to the extensions

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathbb{F}_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

respectively. Note that ι and ρ are given by multiplication by 2 and reduction modulo 2, respectively. By [8, Chapter 3.E], we have commutative Diagram 2.1, with horizontal and vertical sequences being exact. Note that $\iota^{(i)}$ and $\rho^{(i)}$ are the homomorphisms induced by ι and ρ , respectively. By [4, page 49], M admits a spin^c

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & & & H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \\
 & & & & \downarrow \iota^{(2)} \\
 H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\rho^{(1)}} & H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\beta}^{(1)}} & H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \\
 & & \searrow \beta^{(1)} & & \downarrow \rho^{(2)} \\
 & & & & H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2) \\
 & & \swarrow \beta^{(2)} & & \downarrow \tilde{\beta}^{(2)} \\
 & & & & H^3(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \\
 & & \swarrow \rho^{(3)} & & \\
 & & H^3(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2) & &
 \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 2.1. Diagram of Bockstein homomorphisms

structure if and only if $w_2(M) \in \text{im } \rho^{(2)}$, where $w_2(M)$ is the second Stiefel-Whitney class of M . Using Diagram 2.1 we immediately get

Corollary 2.1.

1. If $w_2(M) \in \text{im } \beta^{(1)}$, then M admits a spin^c structure.
2. If $w_2(M) \notin \text{ker } \beta^{(2)}$, then M does not admit any spin^c structure.

For an abelian group K , the universal coefficient theorem gives the following formula:

$$H^i(\Gamma, K) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_i(\Gamma), K) \oplus \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(H_{i-1}(\Gamma), K) \quad (2.2)$$

(see [17, Corollary 7.60]).

By Bieberbach theorems (see [18, Theorem 2.1]), Γ fits into the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1, \quad (2.3)$$

where G is finite, L is a free abelian group of rank n , with a G module structure given by conjugations in Γ , similarly as in (1.2). Moreover, L is the unique maximal abelian normal subgroup of Γ . We call G the *holonomy group* of Γ . The before-mentioned action of G on L corresponds to the *holonomy representation* of Γ .

For a finitely generated abelian group K , by $\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} K$ we denote the rank of its torsion-free part.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then,*

$$\text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} H^i(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} (\wedge^i(L^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}))^G.$$

Proof. Using formula (2.2), we get that the torsion-free part of $H^i(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$ is exactly $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_i(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z})$. Its rank equals the dimension of $H^i(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_i(\Gamma), \mathbb{Q})$. By [9, Lemma 1.2]

$$H^i(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \cong (\wedge^i(L^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}))^G.$$

□

Remark 2.3. Let $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The \wedge^i denotes the i -th exterior power and for any G -module W

$$W^G = \{w \in W : \forall_{g \in G} gw = w\}.$$

Note that $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} H^i(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} H_i(\Gamma) = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} H_i(M)$ is the i -th Betti number of M , which we will denote by $b_i(M)$ or simply b_i , if the underlying manifold can be read out of the context. In particular, we have

$$b_1 = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} (\bigwedge^1(L^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}))^G = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(L^* \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})^G = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q})^G = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} L^G$$

(see [10, Corollary 1.3]).

Remark 2.4. For an abelian group K , we have

$$H^1(\Gamma, K) = \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, K).$$

Every homomorphism from the above group must factor by the abelianization of Γ , which equals $H_1(\Gamma)$ (see [8, Theorem 2A.1]). Moreover, as M is a connected manifold, $H_0(M) = H_0(\Gamma) = \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_0(\Gamma), K) = 0$ and the above agrees with the formula

$$H^1(\Gamma, K) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(H_1(\Gamma), K).$$

3. THE DIAGRAM FOR REAL BOTT MANIFOLDS

In this section, we describe most of the groups and homomorphisms, which appear in Diagram 2.1 in the case of real Bott manifolds.

Let $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ be a strictly upper triangular matrix. The real Bott manifold $M := M(A)$ is a quotient of a flat torus $T^n = (S^1)^n$ by the free action of an elementary abelian group $C_2^n = \langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle$. Identifying S^1 with the unit circle in \mathbb{C} the action of c_i on $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in T^n$ is given by

$$c_i \cdot (z_1, \dots, z_n) = (z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, -z_i, c_{i,i+1}(z_{i+1}), \dots, c_{i,n}(z_n)),$$

where

$$c_{i,j}(z) = \begin{cases} z & \text{if } a_{ij} = 0 \\ \bar{z} & \text{if } a_{ij} = 1 \end{cases}$$

for every $1 \leq i < j \leq n$.

Assume that $\Gamma = \pi_1(M)$ fits into the short exact sequence (1.1), where $d = n$, and that it is generated by s_1, \dots, s_n , where

$$\pi(s_i) = c_i \tag{3.1}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Remark 3.1. To be more precise, we think of Γ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and we take $s_i = (A, a)$ where

$$A = \mathrm{diag}(1, \dots, 1, (-1)^{a_{i,i+1}}, \dots, (-1)^{a_{i,n}})$$

and

$$a = \left(\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-1}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \dots, 0 \right),$$

when treating A as an integer matrix (see [18, Proposition 1.6] and [11, Formula (3-1)]).

3.1. Cohomology over the integers.

Lemma 3.2. $H_1(\Gamma) = \mathbb{F}_2^{n-b_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{b_1}$.

Proof. $H_1(\Gamma)$ is the abelianization of Γ . By [11, Lemma 3.2] the generators s_1, \dots, s_n can be taken in such a way, that for every $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ we have

$$[s_j, s_i] = \begin{cases} s_j^{-2} & \text{if } a_{ij} = 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } a_{ij} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\langle s_j[\Gamma, \Gamma] \rangle = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } A^{(j)} = 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_2 & \text{if } A^{(j)} \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

where $A^{(j)}$ is the j -th column of A , for every $1 \leq j \leq n$. Since in the short exact sequence (1.1) \mathbb{Z}^n is generated by the squares of the generators of Γ , we easily get that zero columns of A correspond to trivial constituents of the action of C_2^n on \mathbb{Z}^n , denoted by ϱ in (1.2). By definition, the action of $\text{im}(\varrho)$ on \mathbb{Z}^n is isomorphic over the rationals to the holonomy representation. Hence, assuming that Γ fits into the short exact sequence (2.3), we have

$$b_1 = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}} L^G = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\text{im } \varrho} = \text{rk}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}^n)^{C_2^n}.$$

□

From the above proof, we immediately get

Corollary 3.3. $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{b_1}$. Moreover, b_1 is the number of zero columns of A .

Corollary 3.4. $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{F}_2^{n-b_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{b_2}$. Moreover, the second Betti number b_2 is equal to the number of pairs of equal columns of A :

$$b_2 = \# \{ (i, j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq n \text{ and } A^{(i)} = A^{(j)} \}. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Let e_1, \dots, e_n be the basis of \mathbb{Z}^n , where $e_j := s_j^2$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. If one treats A as an integer matrix, as in Remark 3.1, then

$$\forall_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \varrho(c_i)(e_j) = (-1)^{a_{ij}} e_j.$$

Since the action of C_2^n is diagonal, modules \mathbb{Z}^n and $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^*$ are isomorphic and moreover, a basis of $(\wedge^2 \mathbb{Z}^n)^{C_2^n}$ is the set

$$\{ e_i \wedge e_j : i < j \text{ and } A^{(i)} = A^{(j)} \}.$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.2, we get equality (3.2). By Lemma 3.2, $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(H_1(\Gamma), \mathbb{Z})$ is an elementary abelian 2-group of rank $n - b_1$, which ends the proof. □

Lemma 3.5. $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_2} \text{im } \rho^{(2)} = n - b_1 + b_2$.

Proof. By the isomorphism theorem and using exactness of the column in Diagram 2.1, we have

$$\text{im } \rho^{(2)} \cong H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) / \ker \rho^{(2)} = H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) / \text{im } \iota^{(2)}.$$

Since $\iota^{(2)}$ is induced by the multiplication by 2, using Corollary 3.4 we get that $\text{im } \iota^{(2)} = 2\mathbb{Z}^{b_2}$,

$$H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) / \text{im } \iota^{(2)} \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{n-b_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{b_2} / 2\mathbb{Z}^{b_2} \cong \mathbb{F}_2^{n-b_1+b_2},$$

and the result follows. □

3.2. Cohomology over \mathbb{F}_2 . By Lemma 3.2, we easily get that $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2^n$. It is desirable, however, to describe this group in more detail, since it generates the cohomology ring of Γ .

Assume that $H^1(C_2^n, \mathbb{F}_2) = \text{Hom}(C_2^n, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is generated by c_1^*, \dots, c_n^* , which are in a way elements dual to the generators of C_2^n , i.e. $c_i^*(c_j) = \delta_{ij}$, where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Define

$$x_i := \pi_*(c_i^*) \in H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since generators s_1, \dots, s_n satisfy (3.1), we have

$$\forall_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} x_i(s_j) = \delta_{ij}. \quad (3.3)$$

Lemma 3.6 ([11, Lemma 2.1]).

$$H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2) = \langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \cong \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I_A,$$

where I_A is the ideal generated by the polynomials

$$\theta_j := x_j^2 + x_j \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} a_{ij} x_i,$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Remark 3.7. In what follows, we will treat $H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2)$ not as a quotient, but rather as a ring which satisfies relations given by the generators of the ideal I_A .

For every $1 \leq j \leq n$, define

$$\alpha_j := \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} a_{ij} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} x_i. \quad (3.4)$$

Using the above remark, we can write the relations of $H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2)$ as

$$x_1^2 = \alpha_1 x_1, x_2^2 = \alpha_2 x_2, \dots, x_n^2 = \alpha_n x_n. \quad (3.5)$$

Corollary 3.8. $x_j^2 = 0$ if and only if $A^{(j)} = 0$, for every $1 \leq j \leq n$.

We are interested in the calculation of the kernel of $\beta^{(2)}$. First, we provide a formula for this map, using the fact that

$$\mathcal{B}_2 := \{x_i x_j : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \quad (3.6)$$

is a basis of $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2)$ (see [6, proof of Lemma 2.1]).

Lemma 3.9. Let $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then

$$\beta^{(2)}(x_i x_j) = x_i^2 x_j + x_i x_j^2.$$

Proof. Assume, that $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Note that in the calculation of the Bockstein homomorphism, we use short exact sequence (2.1). Define two maps:

1. $\bar{\cdot}: \mathbb{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/4$ – the lift, given by $\bar{0} = 0, \bar{1} = 1$.
2. $/2: 2\mathbb{Z}/4 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ – a division, given by $2/2 = 1, 0/2 = 0$.

For the simplicity of calculations, we abuse the notation and identify a cohomology class with a specific cocycle which defines it. With this in hand, $\beta^{(2)}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{(2)}(x_i x_j)(a, b, c) &= \delta^2(\overline{x_i x_j})(a, b, c)/2 \\ &= (\overline{x_i x_j}(b, c) - \overline{x_i x_j}(ab, c) + \overline{x_i x_j}(a, bc) - \overline{x_i x_j}(a, b))/2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

for $a, b, c \in \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$, since polynomials in $H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2)$ are recognized by their values on the generators of Γ , as in (3.3). We have

$$\overline{x_i x_j}(ab, c) = \overline{x_i(ab)} \cdot \overline{x_j(c)} = \left(\overline{x_i(a) + x_i(b)} \right) \cdot \overline{x_j(c)}.$$

Using similar calculations for the rest of the summands in (3.7) we get, that its value depends only on $x_i(a), x_i(b), x_j(b), x_j(c)$. Considering all 16 cases gives us, that

$$\beta^{(2)}(x_i x_j)(a, b, c) = 1 \Leftrightarrow (x_i(a) = x_j(c) = 1 \wedge x_i(b) \neq x_j(b)).$$

This occurs exactly when $i \neq j, a = s_i, c = s_j$ and $b \in \{s_i, s_j\}$. Since, in addition, $\beta^{(2)}(x_j^2) = 0$, hence we get the general formula

$$\beta^{(2)}(x_i x_j) = x_i^2 x_j + x_i x_j^2.$$

□

Corollary 3.10. *Let $S := \text{span}\{x_j^2 : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. Then $\dim S = n - b_1$ and $S \subset \ker \beta^{(2)}$.*

Proof. S is in the kernel of $\beta^{(2)}$ by Lemma 3.9. Now, by Corollaries 3.3 and 3.8, the generating set $\{x_j^2 : 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ has $n - b_1$ non-zero elements. Since \mathcal{B}_2 , defined by (3.6), is a basis of $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2)$, using relations (3.5) we get that these $n - b_1$ non-zero elements are linearly independent. □

Theorem 3.11. *Let*

$$S_1 := \{\alpha_j x_j : 1 \leq j \leq n, A^{(j)} \neq 0\} \text{ and } S_2 := \{x_k x_l : 1 \leq k < l \leq n, A^{(k)} = A^{(l)}\}.$$

Then $S_1 \cup S_2$ is a basis of $\text{im } \rho^{(2)}$.

Proof. By Diagram 2.1 we have that $\text{im } \rho^{(2)} = \ker \tilde{\beta}^{(2)} \subset \ker \beta^{(2)}$. By Lemma 3.5 it is enough to show that $S_1 \cup S_2$ generates $\ker \beta^{(2)}$.

First note that if for some $k < l$, $A^{(k)} = A^{(l)}$, then $\alpha_k = \alpha_l$ and

$$\beta^{(2)}(x_k x_l) = x_k^2 x_l + x_k x_l^2 = \alpha_k x_k x_l + x_k \alpha_l x_l = 0. \quad (3.8)$$

Together with Corollary 3.10 we get that $\text{span } S_1 \cup S_2 \subset \ker \beta^{(2)}$.

Assume that $\text{span } S_1 \cup S_2 \neq \ker \beta^{(2)}$. Then there exists an element

$$f = f_1 x_1 + \dots + f_l x_l \in \ker \beta^{(2)},$$

such that $f_i \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}] \setminus \{\alpha_i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $f_l \neq 0$. Let

$$f_l = \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} f_{kl} x_k,$$

where $f_{1l}, \dots, f_{l-1,l} \in \mathbb{F}_2$. Using (3.8), we can assume that

$$\forall_{k < l} A^{(k)} = A^{(l)} \Rightarrow f_{kl} = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

We have

$$\beta^{(2)}(f) = f_1^2 x_1 + f_1 x_1^2 + \dots + f_l^2 x_l + f_l x_l^2 = 0.$$

Because the only relations in $H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2)$ involve squares, we get that

$$\mathcal{B}_3 := \{x_i x_j x_k : i < j < k\}$$

is a basis of $H^3(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2)$. Hence,

$$0 = f_l^2 x_l + f_l x_l^2 = f_l^2 x_l + f_l \alpha_l x_l = (f_l^2 + f_l \alpha_l) x_l.$$

Because \mathcal{B}_3 is a basis and $f_l^2 + f_l\alpha_l \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_{l-1}]$, we get that $f_l(f_l + \alpha_l) = 0$. By assumption, f_l and $f_l + \alpha_l$ are non-zero polynomials. Hence, θ_k is a summand of $f_l(f_l + \alpha_l)$ for some k . Choose maximal such k . This means, that $f_l \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_k]$ is such that

$$f_{kl} = 1 \tag{3.10}$$

and $\alpha_l \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}]$. We get

$$\theta_k = x_k^2 + \sum_{i < k} f_{il} x_i x_k + \sum_{i < k} (f_{il} + a_{il}) x_i x_k = x_k^2 + \left(\sum_{i < k} a_{il} x_i \right) x_k = x_k^2 + \alpha_l x_k,$$

since $a_{il} = 0$ for $i \geq k$. Hence $\alpha_k x_k = \alpha_l x_k$. Both sides of the equality are sums of basis elements, thus $\alpha_k = \alpha_l$ and $A^{(k)} = A^{(l)}$. By (3.9) and (3.10) we get a contradiction, and the result follows. \square

4. Spin^c STRUCTURES ON REAL BOTT MANIFOLDS

We keep the notation of previous sections. Let $w_2 := w_2(M)$ be the second Stiefel-Whitney class of an orientable real Bott manifold M , defined by a matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n \times n}$. Recall, that M admits a spin^c structure if and only if $w_2 \in \text{im } \rho^{(2)}$. Our goal in this section is to describe this condition in terms of certain properties of the matrix A . Recall, that we think of $H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2)$ as a ring with relations (see Remark 3.7), so that $w_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let

$$w_2 = \sum_{i \leq j} \alpha_{ij} x_i x_j$$

and define a new polynomial $w'_2 = \sum \alpha'_{ij} x_i x_j$, where

$$\alpha'_{ij} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \text{ or } A^{(i)} = A^{(j)} \\ \alpha_{ij} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $i \leq j$. Let

$$w'_2 = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha'_j x_j,$$

where $\alpha'_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \alpha'_{ij} x_i$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Note, that w'_2 comes from w_2 by eliminating monomials, which are squares and elements of S_2 . By Corollary 3.10 and Theorem 3.11 we thus get the following criterion for the existence of spin^c structure on M :

Theorem 4.1. *M admits a spin^c structure if and only if*

$$\forall_{1 \leq j \leq n} \alpha'_j = 0 \vee \alpha'_j = \alpha_j. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. By the discussion preceding the theorem and Theorem 3.11, M admits a spin^c structure if and only if $w'_2 \in \text{span } S_1$. This is clearly equivalent to the condition (4.1). \square

Remark 4.2. Note, that the above criterion does not depend on choosing representative of the second Stiefel-Whitney class of M . If \hat{w}_2 is another polynomial representing it, then $w_2 - \hat{w}_2 \in \text{span}\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n\}$, hence $w'_2 - \hat{w}'_2 \in \text{span } S_1$. Therefore, condition (4.1) is equivalently satisfied by w_2 and \hat{w}_2 .

By [11, Formula (2-4)] one gets, that the total Stiefel-Whitney class of M is equal to

$$w(M) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + \alpha_j) \in H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{F}_2). \quad (4.2)$$

This in particular means that:

Lemma 4.3 ([11, Lemma 2.2]). *M is orientable if and only if sum in every row of A is equal to 0, i.e. every row of A contains even numbers of 1's.*

Lemma 4.4. *Let w_2^{sf} denote the square-free part degree two component in the expansion of the total Stiefel-Whitney class (4.2). Then*

$$w_2^{sf} = \sum_{k < l} \langle A_{(k)}, A_{(l)} \rangle x_k x_l,$$

where $A_{(k)}$ denotes the k -th row of A and $\langle A_{(k)}, A_{(l)} \rangle$ is the scalar product, i.e.

$$\langle A_{(k)}, A_{(l)} \rangle := \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} a_{lj},$$

for $1 \leq k \leq l \leq n$.

Proof. Let $1 \leq k < l \leq n$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2$, let

$$s_{xy} = \#\{1 \leq j \leq n : a_{kj} = x \text{ and } a_{lj} = y\}.$$

Using formula (3.4), an easy calculation shows that the coefficient of $x_k x_l$ in w_2^{sf} is equal to

$$s_{10} s_{01} + s_{10} s_{11} + s_{11} s_{01} \pmod{2}.$$

Since M is orientable, by Lemma 4.3 both $s_{10} + s_{11}$ and $s_{01} + s_{11}$ are even integers. Hence, in \mathbb{F}_2 , we have $s_{10} = s_{11} = s_{01}$ and the above formula has the following form

$$s_{10} s_{01} + s_{10} s_{11} + s_{11} s_{01} = 3 \cdot s_{11}^2 = s_{11} = \langle A_{(k)}, A_{(l)} \rangle.$$

□

Now, some summands of w_2^{sf} may lie in $\text{span } S_2$. If we rule them out of w_2^{sf} , we simply get w'_2 . We have the following combinatorial criterion on the existence of spin^c structures on real Bott manifolds:

Theorem 4.5. *Let M be an orientable real Bott manifold, defined by a matrix $A \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n \times n}$. Let $A' = [a'_{ij}] \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n \times n}$ be defined as follows:*

$$\forall_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} a'_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \leq j \text{ or } A^{(i)} = A^{(j)} \\ \langle A_{(i)}, A_{(j)} \rangle & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then M admits a spin^c structure if and only if

$$A'^{(j)} = 0 \text{ or } A'^{(j)} = A^{(j)} \quad (4.3)$$

for every $3 \leq j \leq n - 2$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 4.4 M admits a spin^c structure if and only if (4.3) holds for every $1 \leq j \leq n$. We will show, that this condition always holds for $j \in \{1, 2, n - 1, n\}$. Let $A = [a_{ij}]$. By definition $A'^{(1)} = 0$ and if $A'^{(2)} \neq 0$, then $a'_{12} = 1$. Hence $0 = A^{(1)} \neq A^{(2)}$. Since A is a strictly upper triangular matrix, we get that $a_{12} = 1$ and it is the only non-zero element in the second column of A .

dimension	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
no. of spin ^c RBM	8	52	592	7968	165712	4669464	191557024
no. of spin RBM	6	24	72	672	1536	4416	181248

TABLE 5.1. Number of spin^c and spin real Bott manifolds (RBM) in low dimensions

Therefore $A'^{(2)} = A^{(2)}$. Now, orientability of M implies, that $A_{(n-1)} = A_{(n)} = 0$ (see Lemma 4.3). By definition of A' , we get that $A'^{(n-1)} = A'^{(n)} = 0$. \square

It is well known, that every orientable four-dimensional manifold admits a spin^c structure (see [12]). In the case of Theorem 4.5, this becomes trivial. However, we can use it in dimension 5 to get

Corollary 4.6. *Let M be an orientable 5-dimensional real Bott manifold, defined by a matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$. Then M admits a spin^c structure if and only if*

- (a) $A_{(3)} = 0$ or
- (b) $a_{12} = 0$ or
- (c) $a_{23} = 0$.

Proof. We need to check condition (4.3) for $j = 3$ only. If $A_{(3)} = 0$, then $A'^{(3)} = 0$. If $A_{(3)} \neq 0$, then $A_{(3)} = [00011]$, since M is orientable. Direct verification shows, that (4.3) holds if and only if submatrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{23} \end{bmatrix}$$

of A is in the one of the following six forms, which give exactly conditions (b) and (c):

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

\square

5. SPIN^c STRUCTURES IN LOW DIMENSIONS

Based on Theorem 4.5 we have built an application [14], which counts the number of real Bott manifolds with spin^c structures in dimensions up to 10. Note that in dimension n there exist $2^{\binom{n-1}{2}}$ of the orientable ones. In addition, with the usage of the criterion on existence of spin structures concerning vanishing second Stiefel-Whitney class, the number of spin real Bott manifolds has also been calculated. The results are shown in Table 5.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Raisa Dsouza. On the topology of real Bott manifolds. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 49(4):743–763, 2018.
- [2] Raisa Dsouza and V. Uma. Results on the topology of generalized real Bott manifolds. *Osaka J. Math.*, 56(3):441–458, 2019.
- [3] Samuel Eilenberg and Saunders MacLane. Relations between homology and homotopy groups of spaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 46:480–509, 1945.

- [4] T. Friedrich. *Dirac operators in Riemannian geometry*, volume 25 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. Translated from the 1997 German original by Andreas Nestke.
- [5] A. Gaşior and A. Szczepański. Flat manifolds with holonomy group \mathbb{Z}_2^k of diagonal type. *Osaka J. Math.*, 51(4):1015–1025, 2014.
- [6] Anna Gaşior. Spin-structures on real Bott manifolds. *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 54(2):507–516, 2017.
- [7] AshGüçlükan İlhan, Sabri Kaan Gürbüz, and Semra Pamuk. Spin structures on generalized real Bott manifolds. *Turkish J. Math.*, 47(4):1220–1235, 2023.
- [8] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [9] Howard Hiller. Cohomology of Bieberbach groups. *Mathematika*, 32(1):55–59, 1985.
- [10] Howard Hiller and Chih-Han Sah. Holonomy of flat manifolds with $b_1 = 0$. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 37(146):177–187, 1986.
- [11] Y. Kamishima and M. Masuda. Cohomological rigidity of real Bott manifolds. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 9(4):2479–2502, 2009.
- [12] T. P. Killingback and E. G. Rees. Spin^c structures on manifolds. *Classical Quantum Gravity*, 2(4):433–438, 1985.
- [13] Wolfgang Lück. Survey on aspherical manifolds. In *European Congress of Mathematics*, pages 53–82. Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2010.
- [14] R. Lutowski. Counting spinc structures on real bott manifolds. <https://github.com/rlutowsk/spinc-bott>, 2024.
- [15] R. Lutowski, N. Petrosyan, J. Popko, and A. Szczepański. Spin structures of flat manifolds of diagonal type. *Homology Homotopy Appl.*, 21(2):333–344, 2019.
- [16] R. Lutowski, J. Popko, and A. Szczepański. Spin^c structures on Hantzsche-Wendt manifolds. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 171:Paper No. 104394, 17, 2022.
- [17] Joseph J. Rotman. *An introduction to homological algebra*. Universitext. Springer, New York, second edition, 2009.
- [18] A. Szczepański. *Geometry of crystallographic groups*, volume 4 of *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2012.

MARIA CURIE-SKŁODOWSKA UNIVERSITY, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PL. MARII CURIE-SKŁODOWSKIEJ 1, 20-031 LUBLIN, POLAND
Email address: anna.gasior@umcs.pl

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND INFORMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GDAŃSK, WITA STWOSZA 57, 80-308 GDAŃSK, POLAND
Email address: rafal.lutowski@ug.edu.pl