

# On a conjecture related to the Davenport constant

Naveen K. Godara\*, Renu Joshi † and Eshita Mazumdar‡

## Abstract

For a finite group  $G$ ,  $D(G)$  is defined as the least positive integer  $k$  such that for every sequence  $S = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_k$  of length  $k$  over  $G$ , there exist  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m \leq k$  such that  $\prod_{j=1}^m g_{i_{\sigma(j)}} = 1$  holds for  $\sigma = id$ , identity element of  $S_m$ . For a finite abelian group, this group invariant, known as the Davenport constant, is crucial in the theory of non-unique factorization domains. The precise value of this invariant, even for a finite abelian group of rank greater than 2, is not known yet. In 1977, Olson and White first worked with this invariant for finite non-abelian groups. After that in 2004, Dimitrov dealt with it, where he proved that  $D(G) \leq L(G)$  for a finite  $p$ -group  $G$ , where  $p$  is a prime and  $L(G)$  is the Loewy length of  $\mathbb{F}_p G$ . He conjectured that equality holds for all finite  $p$ -groups. In this article, we compute  $D(G)$  for a certain subclass of 2-generated finite  $p$ -groups of nilpotency class two and show that the conjecture is true by determining the precise value of the Loewy length of  $\mathbb{F}_p G$ . We also evaluate  $D(G)$  for finite dicyclic, semi-dihedral and some other groups.

**Keywords:** Zero-sum Problems, Davenport Constant, Loewy Length, Finite  $p$ -groups

2010 AMS Classification Code: 20D60, 20D15, 11B75.

## 1 Introduction

For a given positive integer  $n$ , we shall denote the set  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  by  $[n]$  and  $S_n$  denotes the symmetric group. Let  $G$  be a multiplicative finite group with identity 1. By a sequence over  $G$ , we mean a finite sequence of terms from  $G$  where repetition of terms is allowed. Typically,  $S = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_\ell$  is a sequence over  $G$  of length  $\ell$ . For  $x_i \in G$  and  $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ , if we use the notation  $x_1^{(n_1)} \cdots x_s^{(n_s)}$  to denote the sequence, it means in the sequence the element  $x_i$  appears  $n_i$  times for each  $i \in [s]$  and length of the sequence is  $n_1 + \dots + n_s$ . A sequence  $S = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_\ell$  over  $G$  is said to be a product-one sequence

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal, India. Email: naveen16(at)iiserb.ac.in

†Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal, India. Email: renu16(at)iiserb.ac.in

‡Mathematical and Physical Sciences, School of Arts and Sciences, Ahmedabad University, India. Email: eshita.mazumdar(at)ahduni.edu.in

if  $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} g_{\sigma(i)} = 1$  for some  $\sigma \in S_{\ell}$ . For a finite group  $G$ ,  $D'(G)$  is defined as the least positive integer  $k$  such that for every sequence  $T = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k$  of length  $k$  has a product-one subsequence. For a finite abelian group  $G$ ,  $D'(G)$  is known as the Davenport constant, introduced by Rogers ([18]), is a crucial invariant of the ideal class group of the ring of integers of an algebraic number field (see [12] for more details). The above generalized definition for  $D'(G)$  has been considered in [6]. In the last few decades, mathematicians have been interested in working with various versions of the Davenport constant for finite non-abelian groups. One of them is the large Davenport constant, which was introduced by Geroldinger and Gryniewicz (see [10] and [11] for more details). The large Davenport constant  $D_0(G)$  is defined as the maximal length of a product-one sequence which cannot be partitioned into two nontrivial, product-one subsequences.

On the other hand, for a finite group  $G$ , the least integer  $k$  is denoted by  $D(G)$ , if for every sequence  $T = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k$  of length  $k$  over  $G$ , there exist  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m \leq k$  such that  $\prod_{j=1}^m x_{i_{\sigma(j)}} = 1$  holds for  $\sigma = id$ , identity element of  $S_m$ . Note that such a subsequence with ordered indices and of product-one is called an ordered product-one subsequence. The  $D(G)$  was first introduced by Olson and White ([15]) for finite non-abelian groups. Equivalently, one can define  $D(G)$  as the maximal length of a minimal product-one sequence in  $G$ , where a sequence is said to be *minimal* if it does not contain a proper non-empty ordered product-one subsequence. One can argue in the following way: If  $k$  is the maximal length of a minimal product-one sequence in  $G$ , then there exists a sequence  $S = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_k$  of length  $k$  over  $G$  which is minimal. Clearly, the proper subsequence of  $S$ , i.e.,  $T = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{k-1}$ , has no non-empty ordered product-one subsequence, which ensures that  $k \leq D(G)$ . On the other hand, by definition of  $D(G)$  there exists a sequence  $S = g_1 g_2 \cdots g_{D(G)-1}$  which has no non-empty proper ordered product-one subsequence, which ensures that  $D(G) \leq k$ .

One can observe that for any finite group  $G$ ,  $D'(G) \leq \min\{D(G), D_0(G)\}$  and if  $G$  is abelian,  $D'(G) = D(G) = D_0(G)$ . It is interesting to know that for a finite abelian group the precise value of  $D'(G)$  is still unknown. We only knew its value for finite abelian groups of rank up to 2 ([17]) and for  $p$ -groups of any rank ([16]), where  $p$  is a prime. Once the question boils down to finding out the precise value of these invariants for finite non-abelian groups, the problem will be even more complicated as compared to finding out the same for finite abelian groups. Even there is no concrete relationship between  $D(G)$  and  $D_0(G)$ . For example, it has been observed that if  $G$  is the non-abelian group of order 27 of exponent 3 then  $D_0(G) = 8$  and  $D(G) = 9$ . On the other hand, when  $G$  is the non-abelian group of order 27 of exponent 9, then  $D_0(G) = 12$  and  $D(G) = 11$  ([5]). The invariant  $D_0(G)$  is well studied compared to  $D(G)$ . So, throughout this paper, we mainly focus on  $D(G)$ . In [15], Olson and White showed that for any finite non-cyclic group  $G$ ,  $D(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{|G|+1}{2} \right\rceil$ . As a consequence, we have our first result, which is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. Then*

1. *for the Dicyclic group  $G = Q_{4n}$ , and*
2. *for the Semi-dihedral group  $G = SD_{8n}$ , we have*

$$D(G) = \left\lceil \frac{|G|+1}{2} \right\rceil.$$

Let  $p$  be a prime number. For a finite  $p$ -group  $G$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_p G$  denotes the modular group algebra. Let  $J = J(\mathbb{F}_p G)$  be the Jacobson radical of  $\mathbb{F}_p G$ . Clearly,  $J$  is same as the augmentation ideal generated by  $\{g - 1 : g \in G \setminus \{1\}\}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p G$ . The Jacobson radical of any finite-dimensional algebra is nilpotent. The nilpotency index of  $J$  is known as the Loewy length of  $\mathbb{F}_p G$  and will be denoted by  $L(G)$  in this paper. After Olson and White, in 2004 Dimitrov [7] dealt with  $D(G)$  for any finite  $p$ -group  $G$  and proved the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** *For a prime  $p$  and a finite  $p$ -group  $G$ , we have  $D(G) \leq L(G)$ .*

He also believed the following:

**Conjecture 1.3.** *For a prime  $p$  and a finite  $p$ -group  $G$ , we have  $D(G) = L(G)$ .*

Dimitrov proved the above conjecture for the finite group of order  $p^3$  with exponent  $p^2$ . Also, for  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , he proved the conjecture for the finite group of order  $p^3$  with exponent  $p$  i.e., for Heisenberg group of order  $p^3$ . In this article, for an odd prime  $p$ , we conclude that for a large subclass of all 2-generator  $p$ -group of nilpotency class two, the conjecture 1.3 holds true. The class of  $p$ -groups we consider also includes the Heisenberg group of order  $p^3$ . In 1993, Baccon and Kappe ([2]) classified finite 2-generated  $p$ -groups of nilpotency class two, where  $p$  is an odd prime. The classification is stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.4.** *If  $G = \langle a, b \rangle$  is a finite 2-generator  $p$ -group of nilpotency class two, where  $p$  is an odd prime. Then  $G$  is isomorphic to exactly one group of the following three types:*

1.  $G_1 = (\langle c \rangle \times \langle a \rangle) \rtimes \langle b \rangle$ , where  $[a, b] = c$ ,  $[a, c] = [b, c] = 1$ ,  
 $o(a) = p^\alpha$ ,  $o(b) = p^\beta$ ,  $o(c) = p^\gamma$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$ .
2.  $G_2 = \langle a \rangle \rtimes \langle b \rangle$ , where  $[a, b] = a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma}}$ ,  $o(a) = p^\alpha$ ,  $o(b) = p^\beta$ ,  $o([a, b]) = p^\gamma$ ,  
 $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\alpha \geq 2\gamma$ ,  $\beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$ .
3.  $G_3 = (\langle c \rangle \times \langle a \rangle) \rtimes \langle b \rangle$ , where  $[a, b] = a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma}} c$ ,  $[c, b] = a^{-p^{2(\alpha-\gamma)}} c^{-p^{\alpha-\gamma}}$ ,  
 $o(a) = p^\alpha$ ,  $o(b) = p^\beta$ ,  $o(c) = p^\sigma$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\beta \geq \gamma > \sigma \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha + \sigma \geq 2\gamma$ .

Later in 2012, Ahmad et al. (see [1] for more details) modified the above classification. According to Ahmad et al., the following family of groups:  $G_4 = \langle a, b \mid [a, b]^{p^\gamma} = [a, b, a] = [a, b, b] = 1, a^{p^\alpha} = [a, b]^{p^\rho}, b^{p^\beta} = [a, b]^{p^\sigma} \rangle$ , where  $\alpha > \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq \sigma < \rho < \min\{\gamma, \sigma + \alpha - \beta\}$  were missing in the classifications mentioned in Theorem 1.4. We prove the following, which is the main result of this article :

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $G$  be a 2-generator  $p$ -group of nilpotency class two, where  $p$  is an odd prime. Then  $D(G) = L(G)$  if either*

1.  $G \cong G_1$  with  $\gamma = 1$ ,
2. or  $G \cong G_2$ ,
3. or  $G \cong G_3$  with  $\sigma = 1$ .

We also consider certain finite 2-generated  $p$ -groups of nilpotency class two for the case  $p = 2$ , and have the following result:

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $r$  be an integer. Then conjecture 1.3 holds true for the following groups:*

- $D_{2r} = \langle x, y | x^2 = y^{2^{r-1}} = 1, x^{-1}yx = y^{-1} \rangle$  for  $r \geq 3$ .
- $Q_{2r} = \langle x, y | x^2 = y^{2^{r-2}}, y^{2^{r-1}} = 1, x^{-1}yx = y^{-1} \rangle$  for  $r \geq 3$ .
- $SD_{2r} = \langle x, y | x^2 = y^{2^{r-1}} = 1, x^{-1}yx = y^{2^{r-2}-1} \rangle$  for  $r \geq 4$ .
- $M_{2r} = \langle x, y | x^2 = y^{2^{r-1}} = 1, x^{-1}yx = y^{2^{r-2}+1} \rangle$  for  $r \geq 4$ .

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. We begin with some preliminaries before launching into Section 3, which contains some useful lemmas and propositions. In Section 4, we prove our main results Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.5, and Theorem 1.6. We conclude the paper with some remarks and open questions.

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout the paper, we fix some standard notations. The commutator of elements  $x, y$  of a group  $G$  is defined as  $[x, y] := x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ . Here, the commutators are left normed, i.e.,  $[x, y, z] = [[x, y], z]$ . If  $H_i$  is a subgroup of  $G$  for  $i \in [2]$ , then  $[H_1, H_2]$  is also a subgroup of  $G$  which is generated by  $[h_1, h_2]$ , where  $h_i \in H_i$  for  $i \in [2]$ . For a group  $G$ ,  $\gamma_1(G) := G$ ,  $\gamma_2(G) := [G, G]$  and  $\gamma_i(G) := [\gamma_{i-1}(G), G]$  for  $i \geq 3$ . A finite group  $G$  is said to be of nilpotency class two if  $\gamma_3(G) = 1$  but  $\gamma_i(G) \neq 1$  for  $i \in [2]$ . For a finite  $p$ -group  $G$  with  $p$  being a prime, we shall make use of Brauer-Jennings-Zassenhaus  $M$ -series ([13]), which is defined recursively as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(G) &:= G, \\ M_n(G) &:= [M_{n-1}(G), G]M_{\lceil \frac{n}{p} \rceil}(G)^{(p)} \text{ for } n \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

For convenience, we denote  $M_n(G)$  by  $M_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , whenever the underlying group  $G$  is understood. Clearly,  $M_n$  is also a finite  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Note that  $M_n$  is a normal subgroup of  $M_{n-1}$  and  $M_2(G) = \Phi(G)$  is the Frattini subgroup of  $G$ . If

$$G = M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq M_d \supseteq M_{d+1} = 1,$$

and

$$|M_i/M_{i+1}| = p^{e_i} \text{ for all } i \in [d],$$

then we have the following theorem by Jennings [13]:

**Theorem 2.1.** (Jennings (1941)) *With the above notations, the following properties hold:*

1.  $M_k = \{g \in G : g - 1 \in J^k\}$  and  $M_k/M_{k+1}$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group.

2. Let  $m = \sum_{i=1}^d (p-1)ie_i$  and define the integers  $c_k$  by

$$\prod_{i=1}^d (1 + x^i + x^{2i} + \cdots + x^{(p-1)i})^{e_i} = \sum_{k=0}^m c_k x^k.$$

Then  $c_k = \dim(J^k/J^{k+1})$ , for all  $0 \leq k \leq m$ .

3.  $L(G) = 1 + (p-1) \sum_{i=1}^d ie_i$ .

### 3 Some useful lemmas and propositions

From this point onward, we fix  $p$  to be an odd prime and prove the following propositions.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a 2-generator  $p$ -group of nilpotency class two. Then for all  $s \geq 1$ , we have*

$$M_i = \begin{cases} \gamma_2(G)^{(p^s)} G^{(p^s)} & \text{if } 2p^{s-1} + 1 \leq i \leq p^s, \\ \gamma_2(G)^{(p^s)} G^{(p^{s+1})} & \text{if } p^s + 1 \leq i \leq 2p^s. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* It is clear that  $M_1 = G$  and  $M_2 = \gamma_2(G)G^p$ . We use induction on  $s$ . Assume that

$$P(s) : M_{2p^{s-1}+1} = \cdots = M_{p^s} = \gamma_2(G)^{p^s} G^{p^s}$$

and

$$Q(s) : M_{p^{s+1}} = \cdots = M_{2p^s} = \gamma_2(G)^{p^s} G^{p^{s+1}}.$$

We use simultaneous induction on  $P(s)$  and  $Q(s)$ . For the base step, we show that  $P(1)$  and  $Q(1)$  hold, i.e.,  $M_3 = \cdots = M_p = \gamma_2(G)^p G^p$  and  $M_{p+1} = \cdots = M_{2p} = \gamma_2(G)^p G^{p^2}$ . For proving  $P(1)$ , we need to show that  $M_k = \gamma_2(G)^p G^p$  for  $3 \leq k \leq p$ . Clearly, it is true for  $k = 3$ . Let us assume that, it holds till  $k$  for  $3 \leq k < p$ . Then,  $M_{k+1} = [M_k, G]M_i^p$ , where  $i = \lceil \frac{k+1}{p} \rceil$ . Since  $4 \leq k+1 \leq p$ , we have  $i = 1$ . It yields  $M_{k+1} = \gamma_2(G)^p G^p$ , which proves  $P(1)$ . With a similar induction argument, we can prove  $Q(1)$ . Now we assume that  $P(s)$  and  $Q(s)$  both are true. We have to show that both  $P(s+1)$  and  $Q(s+1)$  hold true. We show that

$$P(s+1) : M_{2p^{s+1}} = \cdots = M_{p^{s+1}} = \gamma_2(G)^{p^{s+1}} G^{p^{s+1}}.$$

For this, one need to prove that  $M_k = \gamma_2(G)^{p^{s+1}} G^{p^{s+1}}$ , for  $2p^s + 1 \leq k \leq p^{s+1}$ . This can be done by inducting on  $k$  as  $P(s), Q(s)$  hold. Hence, we have  $P(s+1)$ , and similarly we can argue for  $Q(s+1)$ .  $\square$

We also have the following proposition for 2-generated groups.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group of nilpotency class two with  $G = \langle a, b \rangle$ . Then  $G^{p^s} = \langle a^{p^s}, b^{p^s}, [a, b]^{p^s} \rangle$  for all  $s \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is a  $p$ -group of nilpotency class two, we have  $G^{p^s} = \{g^{p^s} \mid g \in G\}$  ([8, Theorem 2.10]). By definition,  $\langle a^{p^s}, b^{p^s}, [a, b]^{p^s} \rangle \subseteq G^{p^s}$ . Conversely, let  $y \in G^{p^s}$ , so  $y = h^{p^s}$  for some  $h \in G$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} y &= (a^i b^j [a, b]^t)^{p^s} && \text{(for some non-negative integers } i, j, t) \\ &= a^{ip^s} (b^j [a, b]^t)^{p^s} [b^j [a, b]^t, a^i]^{p^s} \\ &= a^{ip^s} b^{jp^s} [a, b]^{p^s(t - \frac{ij(p^s-1)}{2})} && \text{(since } \frac{(p^s-1)}{2} \text{ is an integer).} \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $y \in \langle a^{p^s}, b^{p^s}, [a, b]^{p^s} \rangle$ . Thus  $G^{p^s} = \langle a^{p^s}, b^{p^s}, [a, b]^{p^s} \rangle$  for all  $s \geq 1$ .  $\square$

To prove the Theorem 1.5, we need to know the explicit value of  $L(G)$  for a group  $G$ , which is a 2-generator  $p$ -group of nilpotency class two. The following lemmas in this section are useful in this connection. For finding out  $L(G)$  of the given group  $G$ , we are going to use Theorem 2.1 of Jennings.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $G$  be a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group such that*

$$G = (\langle c \rangle \times \langle a \rangle) \rtimes \langle b \rangle,$$

where  $[a, b] = c$ ,  $[a, c] = [b, c] = 1$ ,  $o(a) = p^\alpha$ ,  $o(b) = p^\beta$ ,  $o(c) = p^\gamma$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$ . Then  $L(G) = p^\alpha + p^\beta + 2p^\gamma - 3$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $\gamma_2(G) = \langle [a, b] \rangle$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^s} = \langle [a, b]^{p^s} \rangle = \langle c^{p^s} \rangle$ , and  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^s} \subseteq G^{p^s}$  for all  $s \geq 1$ . We now show that  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \cap G^{p^s} = \langle c^{p^s} \rangle$  for all  $1 \leq s \leq \gamma$ , and hence  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \not\subseteq G^{p^s}$  for all  $1 \leq s \leq \gamma$ .

Note that  $\langle c^{p^s} \rangle \subseteq \gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \cap G^{p^s}$ . Let  $g \in \gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \cap G^{p^s}$  then by Proposition 3.2, we can write  $g = a^{ip^s} b^{jp^s} [a, b]^{tp^s}$  for some non-negative integers  $i, j, t$ . Also, we have  $g = [a, b]^{kp^{s-1}}$  for some non-negative integer  $k$ . Thus,  $a^{ip^s} b^{jp^s} [a, b]^{tp^s} = [a, b]^{kp^{s-1}}$ , and consequently

$$a^{ip^s} b^{jp^s} [a, b]^{p^{s-1}(pt-k)} = 1.$$

Let us define  $\bar{G} = \frac{G}{\gamma_2(G)}$ . Set  $\bar{a} = a\gamma_2(G)$  and  $\bar{b} = b\gamma_2(G)$ . Hence,  $\bar{G} \cong C_{p^\alpha} \times C_{p^\beta}$ . So, we have  $\bar{a}^{ip^s} \bar{b}^{jp^s} = \bar{1}$ . Therefore,  $ip^s \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha}$  and  $jp^s \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\beta}$ . Thus  $g = [a, b]^{tp^s} \subseteq \langle c^{p^s} \rangle$ . This shows  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \cap G^{p^s} = \langle c^{p^s} \rangle$ .

Also, notice that  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} = 1$  for all  $\gamma+1 \leq s \leq \alpha$ , which implies  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \subseteq G^{p^s}$  for all  $\gamma+1 \leq s \leq \alpha$ . Therefore, we have the following by using Proposition 3.1:

**Case 1:** If  $\alpha = \gamma \geq 1$ , then  $\alpha = \beta = \gamma \geq 1$ , then

$$M_k = \begin{cases} G_1 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \gamma_2(G_1)G_1^{(p)} & \text{if } k = 2 \\ G_1^{(p)} & \text{if } 3 \leq k \leq p \\ \gamma_2(G_1)^{(p^i)}G_1^{(p^{i+1})} & \text{if } p^i + 1 \leq k \leq 2p^i, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq \gamma - 2 \\ G_1^{(p^{i+1})} & \text{if } 2p^i + 1 \leq k \leq p^{i+1}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq \gamma - 2 \\ \gamma_2(G_1)^{(p^{\gamma-1})} & \text{if } p^{\gamma-1} + 1 \leq k \leq 2p^{\gamma-1} \\ 1 & \text{if } 2p^{\gamma-1} + 1 \leq k \leq p^\gamma. \end{cases}$$

**Case 2:** For the cases  $\alpha \geq \beta > \gamma \geq 1$  and  $\alpha > \beta = \gamma \geq 1$ , we obtain

$$M_k = \begin{cases} G_1 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \gamma_2(G_1)G_1^{(p)} & \text{if } k = 2 \\ G_1^{(p)} & \text{if } 3 \leq k \leq p \\ \gamma_2(G_1)^{(p^i)}G_1^{(p^{i+1})} & \text{if } p^i + 1 \leq k \leq 2p^i, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq \gamma - 1 \\ G_1^{(p^{i+1})} & \text{if } 2p^i + 1 \leq k \leq p^{i+1}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq \gamma - 1 \\ G_1^{(p^{i+1})} & \text{if } p^i + 1 \leq k \leq p^{i+1}, \text{ for all } \gamma \leq i \leq \alpha - 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } p^{\alpha-1} + 1 \leq k \leq p^\alpha. \end{cases}$$

Additionally,  $|G^{p^i} : G^{p^{i+1}}| = p^3$  for all  $i \in [\gamma - 1]$ ,  $|G^{p^i} : G^{p^{i+1}}| = p^2$  for all  $\gamma \leq i \leq \beta - 1$ , and  $|G^{p^i} : G^{p^{i+1}}| = p$  for all  $\beta \leq i \leq \alpha - 1$ . Hence  $|M_1 : M_2| = p^2, |M_2 : M_3| = p, |M_{p^i} : M_{p^{i+1}}| = p^2$  for all  $i \in [\beta - 1], |M_{p^i} : M_{p^{i+1}}| = p$  for all  $\beta \leq i \leq \alpha - 1$ , and  $|M_{2p^i} : M_{2p^{i+1}}| = p$  for all  $i \in [\gamma - 1]$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 with  $d = \begin{cases} 2p^{\gamma-1} & \text{if } \alpha = \beta = \gamma, \\ p^{\alpha-1} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ , we have  $L(G) = 1 + (p - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{p^{\alpha-1}} ie_i = p^\alpha + p^\beta + 2p^\gamma - 3$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $G$  be a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group such that

$$G = \langle a \rangle \rtimes \langle b \rangle,$$

where  $[a, b] = a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma}}, o(a) = p^\alpha, o(b) = p^\beta, o([a, b]) = p^\gamma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\alpha \geq 2\gamma, \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$ . Then  $L(G) = p^\alpha + p^\beta - 1$ .

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $\alpha \geq \beta$ . As  $[a, b] = a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma}}$ , one can easily verify that  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} = \langle a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma+(s-1)}} \rangle \subseteq \langle a^{p^s} \rangle \subseteq G^{p^s}$  for all  $s \geq 1$ . We have  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^s} = \langle [a, b]^{p^s} \rangle \subseteq G^{p^s}$ , for all  $s \geq 0$ . We have the following using Proposition 3.1:

$$M_k = \begin{cases} G_2 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ G_2^{(p)} & \text{if } 2 \leq k \leq p \\ G_2^{(p^{i+1})} & \text{if } p^i + 1 \leq k \leq p^{i+1}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq \alpha - 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } p^{\alpha-1} + 1 \leq k \leq p^\alpha. \end{cases}$$

Using the relation,  $[a, b] = a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma}}$ , Proposition 3.2 reduces to  $G^{p^s} = \langle a^{p^s}, b^{p^s} \rangle$  for all  $s \geq 1$ . So,  $|G^{p^s}| = p^{\alpha+\beta-2s}$  for all  $s \geq 1$ . Now, we have  $|M_{p^i} : M_{p^{i+1}}| = |G^{p^i} : G^{p^{i+1}}|$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq \alpha - 1$ . Therefore,  $|M_{p^i} : M_{p^{i+1}}| = p^2$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq \beta - 1$  and  $|M_{p^i} : M_{p^{i+1}}| = p$  for all  $\beta \leq i \leq \alpha - 1$ . Then, by Theorem 2.1 with  $d = p^{\alpha-1}$ , we have  $L(G) = 1 + (p-1) \sum_{i=1}^{p^{\alpha-1}} i e_i = p^\alpha + p^\beta - 1$ . For the case  $\beta > \alpha$ , the result follows in a similar way. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $G$  be a finite non-abelian  $p$ -group such that*

$$G = (\langle c \rangle \times \langle a \rangle) \rtimes \langle b \rangle,$$

where  $[a, b] = a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma}} c$ ,  $[c, b] = a^{-p^{2(\alpha-\gamma)}} c^{-p^{\alpha-\gamma}}$ ,  $o(a) = p^\alpha$ ,  $o(b) = p^\beta$ ,  $o(c) = p^\sigma$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\beta \geq \gamma > \sigma \geq 1$ ,  $\alpha + \sigma \geq 2\gamma$ . Then  $L(G) = p^\alpha + p^\beta + 2p^\sigma - 3$ .

*Proof.* Consider,  $\alpha \geq \beta$ . Note that  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^s} = \langle [a, b]^{p^s} \rangle = \langle (a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma}} c)^{p^s} \rangle \subseteq G^{p^s}$  for all  $s \geq 1$ . We first prove that  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \cap G^{p^s} = \langle [a, b]^{p^s} \rangle$  for all  $1 \leq s \leq \sigma$ , then it follows that  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \not\subseteq G^{p^s}$  for all  $1 \leq s \leq \sigma$ .

Clearly,  $\langle [a, b]^{p^s} \rangle \subseteq \gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \cap G^{p^s}$ . On the other hand let us assume  $g \in \gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \cap G^{p^s}$ . Then  $g = a^{ip^s} b^{jp^s} [a, b]^{kp^s} = [a, b]^{kp^{s-1}}$ , for some non-negative integers  $i, j, t, k$ . So,  $a^{ip^s} b^{jp^s} [a, b]^{p^{s-1}(pt-k)} = 1$ . Let us define  $\bar{G} = \frac{G}{\langle a, c \rangle}$ . Set  $\bar{b} = b\langle a, c \rangle$ . Therefore,  $\bar{G} = \langle \bar{b} \rangle \cong C_{p^\beta}$ . Thus, we have  $\bar{b}^{jp^s} = \bar{1}$ , where  $\bar{1}$  is the identity element of  $\bar{G}$ . Hence,  $jp^s \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\beta}$ . So, the equation  $a^{ip^s} b^{jp^s} [a, b]^{p^{s-1}(pt-k)} = 1$  reduces to  $a^{ip^s} [a, b]^{p^{s-1}(pt-k)} = 1$ . As  $[a, b] = a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma}} c$ , we obtain  $a^{ip^s + p^{\alpha-\gamma+s-1}(pt-k)} c^{p^{s-1}(pt-k)} = 1$ . Since  $\langle a, c \rangle \cong C_{p^\alpha} \times C_{p^\sigma}$ , it follows that  $ip^s + p^{\alpha-\gamma+s-1}(pt-k) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha}$  and  $p^{s-1}(pt-k) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\sigma}$ . The condition  $p^{s-1}(pt-k) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\sigma}$ , implies that  $p^{\sigma-s+1} | (pt-k)$  (as  $\sigma - s + 1 \geq 1$  for  $1 \leq s \leq \sigma$ ). Thus,  $p | (pt-k)$  and hence  $p | k$ . As a consequence,  $g = [a, b]^{kp^{s-1}} \in \langle [a, b]^{p^s} \rangle$ . This shows that  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \cap G^{p^s} = \langle [a, b]^{p^s} \rangle$ .

Now, we prove that  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \subseteq G^{p^s}$  for all  $\sigma+1 \leq s \leq \alpha$ . From the relation  $[a, b] = a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma}} c$  and using that fact that  $o(c) = p^\sigma$ , we have  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} = \langle [a, b]^{p^{s-1}} \rangle = \langle (a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma}} c)^{p^{s-1}} \rangle = \langle a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma+s-1}} \rangle \subseteq G^{p^s}$  for all  $\sigma+1 \leq s \leq \gamma$ . Note that  $|\gamma_2(G)| = p^\gamma$  which implies  $1 = \gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \subseteq G^{p^s}$  for all  $\gamma+1 \leq s \leq \alpha$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_2(G)^{p^{s-1}} \subseteq G^{p^s}$  for all  $\sigma+1 \leq s \leq \alpha$ . So, we have the following by using Proposition 3.1:

$$M_k = \begin{cases} G_3 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \gamma_2(G_3)G_3^{(p)} & \text{if } k = 2 \\ G_3^{(p)} & \text{if } 3 \leq k \leq p \\ \gamma_2(G_3)^{(p^i)}G_3^{(p^{i+1})} & \text{if } p^i + 1 \leq k \leq 2p^i, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq \sigma - 1 \\ G_3^{(p^{i+1})} & \text{if } 2p^i + 1 \leq k \leq p^{i+1}, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq \sigma - 1 \\ G_3^{(p^{i+1})} & \text{if } p^i + 1 \leq k \leq p^{i+1}, \text{ for all } \sigma \leq i \leq \alpha - 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } p^{\alpha-1} + 1 \leq k \leq p^\alpha. \end{cases}$$

For  $s \geq 1$ , let  $x = a^{p^s}$ ,  $y = b^{p^s}$ , and  $z = c^{p^s}$ , then  $[x, y] = [a, b]^{p^{2s}} = a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma+2s}} c^{p^{2s}} = x^{p^{\alpha-\gamma+s}} z^{p^s}$ ,  $[y, z] = [x, y]^{p^{\alpha-\gamma}} = x^{p^{2(\alpha-\gamma)+s}} z^{p^{\alpha-\gamma+s}}$ , and  $[x, z] = 1$ . Therefore, one can deduce that  $G^{p^s} \cong (\langle x \rangle \times \langle z \rangle) \rtimes \langle y \rangle$ , where  $[x, y] = x^{p^{\alpha-\gamma+s}} z^{p^s}$ ,  $[y, z] = x^{p^{2(\alpha-\gamma)+s}} z^{p^{\alpha-\gamma+s}}$ ,  $o(x) = p^{\alpha-s}$ ,  $o(y) = p^{\beta-s}$ ,  $o(z) =$



$p^{\sigma-s}$ , and hence  $|G^{p^s}| = p^{\alpha+\beta+\sigma-3s}$  for all  $s \geq 1$ . Thus, we obtain  $|G^{p^i} : G^{p^{i+1}}| = p^3$  for all  $i \in [\sigma - 1]$ ,  $|G^{p^i} : G^{p^{i+1}}| = p^2$  for all  $\sigma \leq i \leq \beta - 1$ , and  $|G^{p^i} : G^{p^{i+1}}| = p$  for all  $\beta \leq i \leq \alpha - 1$ . Therefore,  $|M_1 : M_2| = p^2$ ,  $|M_2 : M_3| = p$ ,  $|M_{p^i} : M_{p^{i+1}}| = p^2$  for all  $i \in [\beta - 1]$ ,  $|M_{p^i} : M_{p^{i+1}}| = p$  for all  $\beta \leq i \leq \alpha - 1$ , and  $|M_{2p^i} : M_{2p^{i+1}}| = p$  for all  $i \in [\sigma - 1]$ . Then, by Theorem 2.1 with  $d = p^{\alpha-1}$ , we have  $L(G) = 1 + (p-1) \sum_{i=1}^{p^{\alpha-1}} ie_i = p^\alpha + p^\beta + 2p^\sigma - 3$ . For the case  $\beta > \alpha$ , the result follows in a similar way. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 4 Proofs of the main theorems

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* 1. Recall that  $Q_{4n} = \langle x, y | x^2 = y^n, y^{2n} = 1, x^{-1}yx = y^{-1} \rangle$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

From [15], we have  $D(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{|G|+1}{2} \right\rceil$ , i.e.,  $D(Q_{4n}) \leq 2n + 1$ . On the other hand, consider the sequence  $S = y^{(2n-1)}x$ . Clearly, this sequence does not have a product-one subsequence. Therefore,  $D(Q_{4n}) \geq 2n + 1$ .

2. Recall that  $SD_{8n} = \langle x, y | x^2 = y^{4n} = 1, x^{-1}yx = y^{2n-1} \rangle$  for  $n \geq 2$ . From [15], we have  $D(G) \leq \left\lceil \frac{|G|+1}{2} \right\rceil$ , i.e.,  $D(SD_{8n}) \leq 4n + 1$ . On the other hand, consider the sequence  $S = y^{(4n-1)}x$ . Clearly, this sequence does not have a product-one subsequence. Therefore,  $D(SD_{8n}) \geq 4n + 1$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Let  $G$  be the given 2-generator  $p$ -group of nilpotency class two such that either  $G \cong G_1$  or  $G \cong G_2$  or  $G \cong G_3$ .

1. Let  $G \cong G_1$ , then  $G$  has the following presentation:

$$G \cong (\langle c \rangle \times \langle a \rangle) \rtimes \langle b \rangle,$$

where  $[a, b] = c$ ,  $[a, c] = [b, c] = 1$ ,  $o(a) = p^\alpha$ ,  $o(b) = p^\beta$ ,  $o(c) = p^\gamma$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$ . By Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 3.1, we have  $D(G) \leq p^\alpha + p^\beta + 2p^\gamma - 3$ . So, it suffices to prove the lower bound.

- For  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , consider the sequence  $S = k^{(p^\alpha-1)}\ell^{(p^\beta-1)}m^{(p^\gamma-1)}n^{(p^\gamma-1)}$  over  $G$ , where  $k = a^{-1}bc^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ,  $\ell = b^{-1}$ ,  $m = a$ ,  $n = a^2b^{-1}c$ . We claim that  $S$  has no nonempty ordered product-one subsequence. If possible, assume that there exists a subsequence  $T = k^{(x)}\ell^{(y)}m^{(z)}n^{(w)}$  of  $S$ , where  $0 \leq x \leq (p^\alpha - 1)$ ,  $0 \leq y \leq (p^\beta - 1)$ ,  $0 \leq z \leq (p^\gamma - 1)$ ,  $0 \leq w \leq (p^\gamma - 1)$ , not all zero such that  $k^x\ell^ym^zn^w = 1$ . Then we have the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} -x + z + 2w &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha} \\ x - y - w &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\beta} \\ -2(x - y)(z + 2w) + (x^2 + 2w^2) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\gamma = 1$ , expressing  $x$  and  $y$  from the first two equations and substituting in the third, we obtain the quadratic form  $z^2 + 2zw + 2w^2$  with discriminant  $-4$ , which is quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . This implies  $z = w = 0$ . Therefore,  $x = y = z = w = 0$ , a contradiction.

- Let  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $n_p$  denote the least quadratic non-residue for  $p$ . Then  $n_p$  will be a prime number and  $n_p < \sqrt{p} + 1$ . Set  $q = n_p$  and consider the sequence  $S = k^{(p^\alpha-1)}\ell^{(p^\beta-1)}m^{(p^\gamma-1)}n^{(p^\gamma-1)}$  over  $G$ , where  $k = a^{-1}bc^{\frac{1}{2}}, \ell = b^{-1}, m = ab^q c^{-\frac{q}{2}}, n = a$ . If possible, assume that there exists a subsequence  $T = k^{(x)}\ell^{(y)}m^{(z)}n^{(w)}$  of  $S$ , where  $0 \leq x \leq (p^\alpha - 1), 0 \leq y \leq (p^\beta - 1), 0 \leq z \leq (p^\gamma - 1), 0 \leq w \leq (p^\gamma - 1)$ , not all zero such that  $k^x \ell^y m^z n^w = 1$ . Then we have the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} -x + z + w &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha} \\ x - y + qz &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\beta} \\ -2(x - y)(z + w) - 2qzw + x^2 - qz^2 &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\gamma = 1$ , we obtain the quadratic form  $(q+1)z^2 + 2zw + w^2$  with discriminant  $-4q$ , which is quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . We again have  $z = w = 0$ , hence a contradiction.

This completes the proof.

2. Let  $G \cong G_2$ , then  $G$  has the following presentation:

$$G \cong \langle a \rangle \rtimes \langle b \rangle,$$

where  $[a, b] = a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma}}, o(a) = p^\alpha, o(b) = p^\beta, o([a, b]) = p^\gamma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\alpha \geq 2\gamma, \beta \geq \gamma \geq 1$ . By Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 3.2, we have  $D(G) \leq p^\alpha + p^\beta - 1$ . On the other hand, the sequence  $S = a^{(p^\alpha-1)}b^{(p^\beta-1)}$  does not have a non-empty product-one subsequence, and hence  $p^\alpha + p^\beta - 1 \leq D(G)$ . This completes the proof.

3. Let  $G \cong G_3$ , then  $G$  has the following presentation:

$$G \cong (\langle c \rangle \times \langle a \rangle) \rtimes \langle b \rangle,$$

where  $[a, b] = a^{p^{\alpha-\gamma}}, c, [c, b] = a^{-p^{2(\alpha-\gamma)}}c^{-p^{\alpha-\gamma}}, o(a) = p^\alpha, o(b) = p^\beta, o(c) = p^\sigma, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\beta \geq \gamma > \sigma \geq 1, \alpha + \sigma \geq 2\gamma$ . By Theorem 1.2 and Lemma 3.3, we have  $D(G) \leq p^\alpha + p^\beta + 2p^\sigma - 3$ . So, it suffices to prove the lower bound.

- For  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , consider the sequence  $S = k^{(p^\alpha-1)}\ell^{(p^\beta-1)}m^{(p^\sigma-1)}n^{(p^\sigma-1)}$  over  $G$ , where  $k = a^{-1}, \ell = b, m = ab[a, b]^{-\frac{1}{2}}, n = a^2b[a, b]^{-1}$ . We claim that  $S$  has no nonempty ordered product-one subsequence. If possible, assume that there exists a subsequence  $T = k^{(x)}\ell^{(y)}m^{(z)}n^{(w)}$  of  $S$ , where  $0 \leq x \leq (p^\alpha - 1), 0 \leq y \leq (p^\beta - 1), 0 \leq z \leq (p^\sigma - 1), 0 \leq w \leq (p^\sigma - 1)$ , not all zero such that the following equation holds true over  $G$ :  $k^x \ell^y m^z n^w = 1$ . Then we have the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} -x + z + 2w + \frac{1}{2} \times p^{\alpha-\gamma} \left[ -2y(z + 2w) - 4zw - (z^2 + 2w^2) \right] &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha} \\ y + z + w &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\beta} \\ -2y(z + 2w) - 4zw - (z^2 + 2w^2) &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\sigma = 1$ , substituting the value of  $y$  from the second equation to the third equation, we obtain the quadratic form  $z^2 + 2zw + 2w^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  with discriminant  $-4$ , which is quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . This implies  $z = w = 0$ . Therefore,  $x = y = z = w = 0$ , a contradiction.

- For  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , let  $q = n_p$  denote the least quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . Consider the sequence  $S = k^{(p^\alpha-1)}\ell^{(p^\beta-1)}m^{(p^\sigma-1)}n^{(p^\sigma-1)}$ , where  $k = a^{-1}, \ell = b, m = ab^{(q+1)}[a, b]^{-\frac{(q+1)}{2}}, n = ab[a, b]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ . We claim that  $S$  has no nonempty ordered product-one subsequence. If possible, assume that there exists a subsequence  $T = k^{(x)}\ell^{(y)}m^{(z)}n^{(w)}$  of  $S$ , where  $0 \leq x \leq (p^\alpha - 1), 0 \leq y \leq (p^\beta - 1), 0 \leq z \leq (p^\sigma - 1), 0 \leq w \leq (p^\sigma - 1)$ , not all zero such that  $k^x \ell^y m^z n^w = 1$ . Then we have the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} -x + z + w + \frac{1}{2} \times p^{\alpha-\gamma} \left[ -2y(z+w) - 2(q+1)zw - (q+1)z^2 - w^2 \right] &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha} \\ y + (q+1)z + w &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\beta} \\ -2y(z+w) - 2(q+1)zw - (q+1)z^2 - w^2 &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\sigma = 1$ , we obtain the quadratic form  $(q+1)z^2 + 2zw + w^2$  having discriminant  $-4q$ , a quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . So, we get  $x = y = z = w = 0$ , a contradiction.

This completes the proof. □

From the proof of Theorem 1.5, it follows:

**Corollary 4.1.** *If  $G \cong G_2$ , then  $D'(G) = D(G)$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 1.6.* Let  $G$  belong to the set  $\{D_{2r}, SD_{2r}, Q_{2r}, M_{2r}\}$ . Then we have  $L(G) = 2^{r-1} + 1$  by [14, Theorem 1.6]. Let  $S = y^{(2^{r-1}-1)}x$  be a sequence of length  $2^{r-1}$ , which has no non-empty ordered product-one subsequence over the group  $G$ . Hence, we have  $2^{r-1} + 1 \leq D(G)$ . As a result of Theorem 1.2, we have  $D(G) = 2^{r-1} + 1$ . Hence, we have the full truth value of conjecture 1.3 for these groups. □

**Corollary 4.2.** *For an integer  $r \geq 4$ , we have  $D'(M_{2r}) = D(M_{2r}) = 2^{r-1} + 1$ .*

## 5 Concluding remarks

- For every finite group  $G$ ,  $E(G)$  is defined as the least integer  $k$  such that for every sequence  $T = x_1x_2 \cdots x_k$  of length  $k$  there exist  $|G|$  indices  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_{|G|} \leq k$  such that  $\prod_{j=1}^{|G|} x_{i_{\sigma(j)}} = 1$  holds for  $\sigma = id$ , identity element of  $S_{|G|}$ . Finding out the precise value of this invariant is interesting as the length of the required product-one subsequence is restricted. For a finite abelian group  $G$ , from [9] we know that  $E(G) = D(G) + |G| - 1$ . One may ask whether a similar result also holds for finite non-abelian groups. For a finite non-abelian group  $G$ , there exists a sequence  $T$  of length  $D(G) - 1$ , which does not have an ordered product-one subsequence. If we consider the sequence  $T$  append with the sequence  $1^{(|G|-1)}$  of  $G$ , then the new sequence does not have an ordered product-one subsequence of length  $|G|$ . Then, we

can conclude that  $E(G) \geq D(G) + |G| - 1$ . We believe the answer to the above question is affirmative and we conjecture the following:

**Conjecture 5.1.** *For any finite group  $G$ ,  $E(G) = D(G) + |G| - 1$ .*

- Analogous to the weighted Davenport constant for finite abelian groups, one can also think of defining the weighted  $D(G)$  in the following manner: For every finite group  $G$  with  $\exp(G) = n$ , let  $A(\neq \phi) \subseteq [n - 1]$ . Then  $A$ -weighted  $D(G)$ , denoted by  $D_A(G)$ , is defined to be the least integer  $k$  such that for every sequence  $S = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k$  of length  $k$  there exists  $m(\in \mathbb{N})$  indices  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_m$  such that  $\prod_{j=1}^m x_{i_{\sigma(j)}}^{a_{i_{\sigma(j)}}} = 1$  holds for  $\sigma = id$ , identity element of  $S_m$  and for some  $a_{i_{\sigma(j)}} \in A$ . It is worthy to study the behaviour of  $D_A(G)$ . Analogous to Dimitrov ([7]), one may ask what will be the upper bound for  $D_A(G)$  so that for  $A = \{1\}$ , the upper bound of  $D(G)$  coincides with  $L(G)$ . In [3] and [4] the authors had defined the following extremal problem: For finite abelian group  $G$  with  $\exp(G) = n$ ,  $A(\neq \phi) \subseteq [n - 1]$ , and  $D'_A(G)$  being the  $A$ -weighted Davenport constant,

$$\begin{aligned} f_G^{(D')}(k) &:= \min\{|A| : \emptyset \neq A \subseteq [n - 1] \text{ satisfies } D'_A(G) \leq k\}, \\ &:= \infty \text{ if there is no such } A. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we modify the definition for a finite non-abelian group  $G$  and consider  $D_A(G)$  instead of  $D'_A(G)$ , then it is interesting to study the above extremal invariant for 2-generator  $p$ -group of nilpotency class two.

## 6 Acknowledgement

The first two authors would like to thank Dr. Ajit Bhand, Dr. Siddhartha Sarkar, and Dr. Shashank Singh for their generous support. The research of the first author is supported by the Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal. The research of the second author is supported by PMRF fellowship (PMRF ID 0400422), Ministry of Education (India).

## References

- [1] A. Ahmad, A. Magidin and R.S. Morse, Two generator  $p$ -groups of nilpotency class 2 and their conjugacy classes, *Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen*, 81(1-2)(2012), 145-166.
- [2] M. R. Bacon and L. C. Kappe, The nonabelian tensor square of a 2-generator  $p$ -group of class 2, *Arch. Math.*, 61 (1993), 508-516.
- [3] N. Balachandran and E. Mazumdar, The weighted Davenport constant of a group and a related extremal problem, *Electronic J. Combinatorics*, 26(4) (2019).
- [4] N. Balachandran and E. Mazumdar, The weighted Davenport constant of a group and a related extremal problem-II, *European J. Combinatorics*, (2023) 103691.

- [5] K. Cziszter, M. Domokos and I. Szöllösi, The Noether numbers and the Davenport constants of the groups of order less than 32, *J. Algebra*, 510 (2018), 513-541.
- [6] C. Delorme, O. Ordaz and D. Quiroz, Some remarks on Davenport constant, *Discrete Math.*, 237 (2001), 119-128.
- [7] V. Dimitrov, On the Strong Davenport constant of nonabelian finite  $p$ -groups, *Mathematica Balkanica*, 18 (2004), 129-140.
- [8] G. A. Fernández-Alcober, An introduction to finite  $p$ -groups: regular  $p$ -groups and groups of maximal class, *Mat. Contemp.*, 20 (2001), 155-226.
- [9] W. D. Gao, A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups, *J. Number Theory*, 58 (1996), 100-103.
- [10] A. Geroldinger and D.J. Gryniewicz, The large Davenport constant I: Groups with a cyclic index 2 subgroup, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 217 (2013), 863-885.
- [11] D.J. Gryniewicz, The large Davenport constant II: General upper bounds, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 217 (2013), 2221-2246.
- [12] F. Halter-Koch, Arithmetical interpretation of weighted Davenport constants, *Arch. Math.*, 103 (2014), 125-131.
- [13] S. A. Jennings, The structure of the group ring of a  $p$ -group over a modular field, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 50 (1941), 175-185.
- [14] S. Koshitani, On the nilpotency indices of the radicals of group algebras of  $p$ -groups which have cyclic subgroups of index  $p$ , *Tsukuba J. Mathematics*, 1 (1977), 137-148.
- [15] J. E. Olson and E. T. White, Sums from a sequence of group elements, *Number Theory and Algebra*, H. Zassenhaus, Academic Press, New York, (1977), 215-222.
- [16] J. E. Olson, A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups, I, *J. Number Theory*, 1 (1969), 8-10.
- [17] J. E. Olson, A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups, II, *J. Number Theory*, 1 (1969), 195-199.
- [18] K. Rogers, A combinatorial problem in abelian groups, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* 59 (1963), 559-562.