

AMENABLE COVERS AND RELATIVE BOUNDED COHOMOLOGY

PIETRO CAPOVILLA

ABSTRACT. We establish a relative version of Gromov's Vanishing Theorem in the presence of amenable open covers with small multiplicity, extending a result of Li, Löh, and Moraschini. Our approach relies on Gromov's theory of multicomplexes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a topological space. Gromov's Vanishing Theorem [Gro82] affirms that, if X admits an amenable open cover of multiplicity k , then the comparison map $H_b^n(X; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^n(X; \mathbb{R})$ from bounded cohomology to singular cohomology of X vanishes in every degree $n \geq k$. Several proofs of this fundamental result are available in the literature, whose techniques range between Gromov's theory of multicomplexes [Gro82, Fri22, FM23], sheaf theory [Iva87, Iva] and equivariant nerves and classifying spaces for families [LS20, LLM]. In this paper we follow Gromov's approach to extend this result to the relative case.

Theorem 1. *Let (X, A) be a triangulable pair and assume that the kernel of the morphism $\pi_1(A \hookrightarrow X, x)$ is amenable for every $x \in A$. Let \mathcal{U} be an amenable cover of X by path-connected open subsets such that:*

(RC1) *For every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$, $U \cap A$ is path-connected;*

(RC2) *For every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$, the inclusion*

$$\mathrm{im}(\pi_1(U \cap A, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{im}(\pi_1(U, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x))$$

is an isomorphism for every $x \in U \cap A$.

Then the comparison map

$$\mathrm{comp}^n : H_b^n(X, A; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^n(X, A; \mathbb{R})$$

vanishes for every $n \geq \mathrm{mult}(\mathcal{U})$.

The previous result applies when A is π_1 -injective in X or when X is the total space of a fibration with fiber A .

Remark 1.1. Condition (RC2) is empty when \mathcal{U} consists of subsets which are π_1 -contractible in X , i.e. when the inclusion map $\pi_1(U, x) \hookrightarrow \pi_1(X, x)$ is trivial for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and every $x \in U$.

The regularity conditions (RC1) and (RC2) were introduced by Li, Löh and Moraschini in [LLM], where a relative version of Gromov's vanishing theorem was investigated through equivariant nerves and classifying spaces

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55N10, 55U10, 57N65.

Key words and phrases. simplicial volume, bounded cohomology, multicomplexes.

for families. In our setting, we are able to generalize their result in the following way. We refer the reader to Subsection 2.1 for the definitions of $\text{mult}_A(\mathcal{U})$, *weak-convexity* and *convexity*.

Theorem 2. *Let (X, A) be a triangulable pair and assume that the kernel of the morphism $\pi_1(A \hookrightarrow X, x)$ is amenable for every $x \in A$. Let \mathcal{U} be an amenable cover of X by path-connected open subsets satisfying (RC1) and (RC2).*

- (1) *If \mathcal{U} is weakly-convex, then the comparison map comp^n vanishes for every $n \geq \text{mult}_A(\mathcal{U})$.*
- (2) *If \mathcal{U} is convex, then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a map Θ^n such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_b^n(X, A; \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\text{comp}^n} & H^n(X, A; \mathbb{R}) \\ \downarrow \Theta^n & & \uparrow H^n(\nu) \\ H^n(N(\mathcal{U}), N_A(\mathcal{U}); \mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^n(|N(\mathcal{U})|, |N_A(\mathcal{U})|; \mathbb{R}), \end{array}$$

where $N(\mathcal{U})$ is the nerve of \mathcal{U} , $N_A(\mathcal{U})$ is the nerve of the cover of A induced by \mathcal{U} and $\nu: (X, A) \rightarrow (|N(\mathcal{U})|, |N_A(\mathcal{U})|)$ is a nerve map.

Remark 1.2. As [LLM, Theorem 1.1] applies to CW-pairs (X, A) where A is π_1 -injective in X , the assumptions on the pair (X, A) in Theorem 2 are weaker if we focus on triangulable pairs. However, while [LLM, Theorem 1.1] holds for uniformly boundedly acyclic open covers, it is not clear whether our results can be extended to this case.

Via a standard duality argument [Fri17, Section 7.5], Theorem 1 implies the following vanishing result for simplicial volume.

Corollary 3. *Let M be an oriented compact connected triangulable manifold with non-empty boundary. Assume that the kernel of the morphism $\pi_1(\partial M \hookrightarrow M, x)$ is amenable for every $x \in \partial M$. Assume moreover that M admits an amenable cover \mathcal{U} by path-connected open subsets satisfying conditions (RC1) and (RC2) (with $X = M$ and $A = \partial M$). If $\text{mult}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \dim(M)$, then $\|M, \partial M\| = 0$.*

In Section 6 we show how Corollary 3 applies to the following families of manifolds: Seifert fibered 3-manifolds (Example 6.3) and Lefschetz fibered 4-manifolds with toric fiber (Example 6.4).

Another application of Theorem 1 is the following. Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a locally trivial fiber bundle, where E and B are manifolds. It is still an open question whether the inequality $\|E\| \geq \|B\| \cdot \|F\|$ holds in full generality [Buc09, HK01]. We want to investigate under which conditions the vanishing of $\|E\|$ implies the vanishing of $\|B\|$. Assume that p admits a section s . If E admits an amenable open cover \mathcal{U} of multiplicity $\text{mult}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \dim(B)$ (which of course implies $\|E\| = 0$ by Gromov's Vanishing Theorem), then $\{s^{-1}(U) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an amenable open cover of B of multiplicity at most $\dim(B)$, hence $\|B\| = 0$. The following corollary shows that, under a cohomological condition which is weaker than having a section, one can get the same conclusion by requiring some regularity of \mathcal{U} on the fiber.

Corollary 4. *Let $p: E \rightarrow B$ be a smooth locally trivial fiber bundle with fiber F , where E , B and F are oriented closed connected smooth manifolds. Let $n = \dim(B) \geq 2$ and assume that $H^n(p): H^n(B) \rightarrow H^n(E)$ is injective (which occurs, for example, when p admits a section). Assume moreover that E admits an amenable cover \mathcal{U} by path-connected open subsets satisfying conditions (RC1) and (RC2) on F . If $\text{mult}(\mathcal{U}) \leq \dim(B)$, then $\|B\| = 0$.*

Proof. Let $b_0 \in B$ be a base-point such that $F = p^{-1}(b_0)$. We consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_b^n(B) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_b^n(B, \{b_0\}) & \xrightarrow{H_b^n(p)} & H_b^n(E, F) \\ \downarrow \text{comp}_B^n & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{comp}^n \\ H^n(B) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^n(B, \{b_0\}) & \xrightarrow{H^n(p)} & H^n(E, F), \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are comparison maps. Under our assumptions, we know by Theorem 1 that $\text{comp}^n = 0$. Moreover, by considering the long exact sequences of the pairs (E, F) and $(B, \{b_0\})$, it is easy to see that $H^n(p): H^n(B, \{b_0\}) \rightarrow H^n(E, F)$ is injective if $H^n(p): H^n(B) \rightarrow H^n(E)$ is injective. It follows that $\text{comp}_B^n = 0$, hence $\|B\| = 0$. \square

Acknowledgments. I thank Marco Moraschini for suggesting the topic of this paper. I am grateful to my PhD supervisor Roberto Frigerio for his guidance. I would also like to thank Federica Bertolotti, Filippo Bianchi, Kevin Li and Francesco Milizia for useful discussions.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Open covers. Let X be a topological space. An *open cover* \mathcal{U} of X is a set of open subsets of X such that $\bigcup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} U = X$. An open cover \mathcal{U} of X is called *amenable* if, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and every $x \in U$, we have that $\text{im}(\pi_1(U \hookrightarrow X, x))$ is an amenable subgroup of $\pi_1(X, x)$. The *multiplicity* of \mathcal{U} is the supremum of the set of natural numbers $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ such that $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_k \neq \emptyset$ for some pairwise distinct $U_1, \dots, U_k \in \mathcal{U}$. We say that \mathcal{U} is *convex* if, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $U_1, \dots, U_k \in \mathcal{U}$, the intersection $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_k$ is path-connected. The *nerve* $N(\mathcal{U})$ of \mathcal{U} is the simplicial complex whose set of vertices is the set \mathcal{U} itself and pairwise-different $U_0, \dots, U_n \in \mathcal{U}$ span an n -simplex in $N(\mathcal{U})$ if $U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$. Of course, $\dim(N(\mathcal{U})) = \text{mult}(\mathcal{U}) - 1$.

Let (X, A) be a pair of topological spaces. The following notions were introduced by Li, Löh and Moraschini in [LLM]. An open cover \mathcal{U} of X is called *weakly-convex on A* if, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $U_1, \dots, U_k \in \mathcal{U}$ with $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_k \cap A \neq \emptyset$, each path-connected component of $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_k$ intersects A . Convex open covers are also weakly convex. The *relative multiplicity* $\text{mult}_A(\mathcal{U})$ of \mathcal{U} (with respect to A) is defined as the supremum of the set of natural numbers $k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ such that $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_k \neq \emptyset$ and $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_k \cap A = \emptyset$ for some pairwise distinct $U_1, \dots, U_k \in \mathcal{U}$. Of course, $\text{mult}_A(\mathcal{U})$ is a lower bound of $\text{mult}(\mathcal{U})$.

The regularity conditions (RC1) and (RC2) in Theorem 1 will be used in this paper via the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let (X, A) be a pair of topological spaces and let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X such that:*

(RC1) For every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$, $U \cap A$ is path-connected;

(RC2) For every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$, the inclusion

$$\mathrm{im}(\pi_1(U \cap A, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x)) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{im}(\pi_1(U, x) \rightarrow \pi_1(X, x))$$

is an isomorphism for every $x \in U \cap A$.

Then, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and for every path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow U$ with endpoints in $U \cap A$, there exists a path $\lambda: [0, 1] \rightarrow U \cap A$ such that λ is homotopic in X to γ relative to the endpoints.

Proof. By (RC1) there exists a path $\varepsilon: [0, 1] \rightarrow U \cap A$ from $\gamma(0)$ to $\gamma(1)$. The homotopy class of the loop $\gamma * \varepsilon$ identifies an element of $\mathrm{im}(\pi_1(U \rightarrow X, \gamma(0)))$, hence, by (RC2), there exists a loop $\delta: [0, 1] \rightarrow U \cap A$ which is homotopic in X to $\gamma * \varepsilon$ relative to the endpoints. Therefore, we can set $\lambda := \delta * \varepsilon$. \square

2.2. Relative bounded cohomology of pairs. Let (X, A) be a pair of topological spaces. Let $C^\bullet(X)$ and $C^\bullet(A)$ denote the real singular cochain complexes of X and A respectively. We denote by $C^\bullet(X, A)$ the kernel of the restriction map $C^\bullet(X) \rightarrow C^\bullet(A)$. The *real bounded cohomology* $H_b^\bullet(X, A)$ of (X, A) is the cohomology of the subcomplex $C_b^\bullet(X, A) \subseteq C^\bullet(X, A)$ given by bounded cochains, where a cochain $f \in C^n(X)$ is called *bounded* if

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(\sigma)|, \sigma \text{ is a singular } n\text{-simplex}\} < \infty.$$

The inclusion of complexes $C_b^\bullet(X, A) \subseteq C^\bullet(X, A)$ induces the so-called *comparison map* $\mathrm{comp}^n: H_b^n(X, A) \rightarrow H^n(X, A)$. As for usual singular cohomology, the short exact sequence of complexes

$$0 \rightarrow C_b^\bullet(X, A) \rightarrow C^\bullet(X, A) \rightarrow C^\bullet(A) \rightarrow 0$$

induces the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_b^{n-1}(A) \rightarrow H_b^n(X, A) \rightarrow H^n(X) \rightarrow H^n(A) \rightarrow \dots$$

2.3. Multicomplexes. Multicomplexes are simplicial structures introduced by Gromov in [Gro82]. A *multicomplex* can be defined as a Δ -complex that is both regular and unordered (see [Hat]) i.e. a Δ -complex whose simplices have unordered and distinct vertices, where multiple simplices may share the same set of vertices. Every simplicial complex is of course also a multicomplex. We refer the reader to [FM23] for a more precise discussion of the notion of multicomplex.

A pair of multicomplexes is a pair (K, L) , where L is a submulticomplex of K . A simplicial map between pairs of multicomplexes $f: (K, L) \rightarrow (K', L')$ is given by a simplicial map $f: K \rightarrow K'$ such that $f(L) \subseteq L'$. We denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(K, L)$ the group of simplicial automorphisms of the pair (K, L) . Given a group G , a simplicial group action $G \curvearrowright (K, L)$ is given by a group homomorphism $G \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(K, L)$.

Let K be a multicomplex. An *algebraic n -simplex* of K is a pair

$$\sigma = (\Delta, (v_0, \dots, v_n)),$$

where Δ is a k -simplex of K , and the set $\{v_0, \dots, v_n\}$ is the set of vertices of Δ . We do not require the elements of the ordered $(n+1)$ -tuple (v_0, \dots, v_n) to be pairwise distinct. However, since Δ has exactly $k+1$ vertices, one

has $k \leq n$. We denote by $C_n(K)$ the vector space generated by algebraic n -simplices. For every $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, the i -th face $\partial_n^i \sigma$ of σ is given by

$$\partial_n^i \sigma = (\Delta', (v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_i, \dots, v_n)) \in C_{n-1}(K),$$

where $\Delta' = \Delta$, if $\{v_0, \dots, v_n\} = \{v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_i, \dots, v_n\}$, and Δ' is the unique face of Δ with vertices $\{v_0, \dots, \widehat{v}_i, \dots, v_n\}$, otherwise. The following boundary operator

$$\partial_n: C_n(K) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(K), \quad \partial_n = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \partial_n^i,$$

endows $C_\bullet(K)$ with the structure of a chain complex. Let $C^\bullet(K)$ (resp. $C_b^\bullet(K)$) be the complex of (bounded) real simplicial cochains on K , and let $H^\bullet(K)$ (resp. $H_b^\bullet(K)$) be the corresponding cohomology module.

If L is a submulticomplex of K , the inclusion $C_\bullet(L) \subseteq C_\bullet(K)$ induces the following short exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow C_b^\bullet(K, L) \rightarrow C_b^\bullet(K) \rightarrow C_b^\bullet(L) \rightarrow 0,$$

and we denote by $H_b^\bullet(K, L)$ the cohomology of the complex $C_b^\bullet(K, L)$.

A cochain $f \in C^n(K)$ is called *alternating* if, for every algebraic simplex $(\Delta, (v_0, \dots, v_n))$ and every permutation τ of the set $\{0, \dots, n\}$, we have that

$$f(\Delta, (v_0, \dots, v_n)) = \text{sign}(\tau) \cdot f(\Delta, (v_{\tau(0)}, \dots, v_{\tau(n)})).$$

We denote by $C_b^\bullet(K)_{\text{alt}}$ the subcomplex of alternating cochains. Since the inclusion $C_b^\bullet(K)_{\text{alt}} \subseteq C_b^\bullet(K)$ induces an isomorphism in cohomology [FM23, Theorem 1.9], it follows from the Five Lemma that every cohomology class in $H_b^\bullet(K, L)$ can be represented by an alternating cocycle.

2.4. Invariant chains. If a group G acts simplicially on a multicomplex K , then it induces a linear action $G \curvearrowright C_\bullet(K)$ on the space of algebraic simplices. This in turn induces a linear action $G \curvearrowright C_b^\bullet(K)$ on the space of bounded cochains. We denote by $C_b^\bullet(K)^G$ the space of G -invariant bounded cochains. Let L be a submulticomplex of K and let H be a subgroup of G whose action on K preserves L . Then there is an obvious restriction map

$$r_{G,H}^\bullet: C_b^\bullet(K)^G \rightarrow C_b^\bullet(L)^H,$$

and we denote by $C_b^\bullet(K, L)^{G,H}$ its kernel. The following regularity condition for the action $G \curvearrowright K$ on L is introduced in [Cap]. We say that the action $G \curvearrowright K$ has orbits in L induced by H if, for every algebraic simplex σ of L and every $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot \sigma$ is an algebraic simplex of L , there exists $h \in H$ such that $h \cdot \sigma = g \cdot \sigma$ [Cap, Definition 9.1].

If the action $G \curvearrowright K$ has orbits in L induced by H , it follows that the restriction map $r_{G,H}^\bullet$ is surjective. In fact, given an H -invariant cochain $z \in C_b^n(L)^H$, we can define in the following way a G -invariant cochain $z' \in C_b^n(K)^G$ such that $r_{G,H}^n(z') = z$. For every algebraic n -simplex σ of K , we set $z'(\sigma) = z(\widehat{\sigma})$, if $\sigma = g \cdot \widehat{\sigma}$ for some $g \in G$ and $\widehat{\sigma} \in C_n(L)$, and $z'(\sigma) = 0$, otherwise. Since z is H -invariant and the action $G \curvearrowright K$ has orbits in L induced by H , we have that z' is indeed well-defined. Moreover it is clear that z' is G -invariant and satisfies $r_{G,H}^n(z') = z$. To summarize, we have

proved the following lemma, which allows us, under suitable circumstances, to take G -invariant cocycles in every relative coclass of $H_b^\bullet(K, L)$.

Lemma 2.2. [Cap, Lemma 9.2] *Let (K, L) be a pair of multicomplexes. Let $G \curvearrowright K$ be a simplicial action and let H be a subgroup of G which induces an action $H \curvearrowright (K, L)$. Assume that the orbits of G in L are induced by H . Then the rows of the following commutative diagram are both exact*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & C_b^\bullet(K, L)^{G,H} & \longrightarrow & C_b^\bullet(K)^G & \xrightarrow{r_{G,H}^\bullet} & C_b^\bullet(L)^H & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C_b^\bullet(K, L) & \longrightarrow & C_b^\bullet(K) & \longrightarrow & C_b^\bullet(L) & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are inclusions of cochains. In particular, if the inclusions $C_b^\bullet(K)^G \hookrightarrow C_b^\bullet(K)$ and $C_b^\bullet(L)^H \hookrightarrow C_b^\bullet(L)$ induce isomorphisms in cohomology, then $C_b^\bullet(K, L)^{G,H} \hookrightarrow C_b^\bullet(K, L)$ induces an isomorphism in cohomology.

2.5. The singular multicomplex. Let X be a topological space. The *singular multicomplex* $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of X is the multicomplex whose simplices are the singular simplices in X with distinct vertices, up to affine parametrization [Gro82]. Therefore, the geometric realization $|\mathcal{K}(X)|$ of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is a CW-complex with 0-skeleton corresponding to the set X itself. Moreover, there is an obvious projection $S_X: |\mathcal{K}(X)| \rightarrow X$, which is a homotopy equivalence when X is a CW-complex [FM23, Corollary 2.2]. The size of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ can be reduced without changing its homotopy type. We define a submulticomplex $\mathcal{L}(X)$ of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ as follows: the 0-skeleton of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is the same as the one of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ (hence it corresponds to the set X); having already defined the n -skeleton $\mathcal{L}(X)^n$ of $\mathcal{L}(X)$, we define the $(n+1)$ -skeleton by adding to $\mathcal{L}(X)^n$ one $(n+1)$ -simplex for each homotopy class of $(n+1)$ -simplices of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ whose facets are all contained in $\mathcal{L}(X)^n$. It turns out that the inclusion $|\mathcal{L}(X)| \hookrightarrow |\mathcal{K}(X)|$ is a homotopy equivalence [FM23, Theorem 3.23]. To the singular multicomplex we can associate an *aspherical multicomplex* $\mathcal{A}(X)$, which is defined as the quotient of $\mathcal{L}(X)$, where two simplices of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ are identified if and only if they share the same 1-skeleton. One can define a simplicial projection $\pi: \mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X)$, which restricts to the identity on $\mathcal{L}(X)^1 = \mathcal{A}(X)^1$ and induces an isomorphism on fundamental groups [FM23, Proposition 3.33]. Therefore the topological realization $|\mathcal{A}(X)|$ of $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is a model for the classifying space of the fundamental group of X .

2.6. The group $\Pi(X, X)$ and its action on $\mathcal{A}(X)$. Let X be a topological space. The group $\Pi(X, X)$, first introduced by Gromov in [Gro82], is defined as follows.

Definition 2.3. Let X_0 be a subset of X . The set $\Omega(X, X_0)$ consists of families of paths $\{\gamma_x\}_{x \in X_0}$ such that:

- (1) for every $x \in X_0$, $\gamma_x: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is a continuous path such that $\gamma_x(0) = x$ and $\gamma_x(1) \in X_0$;
- (2) γ_x is constant for all but finitely many $x \in X_0$;
- (3) the map

$$X_0 \rightarrow X_0, \quad x \mapsto \gamma_x(1),$$

is a bijection (with finite support) of X_0 onto itself.

We say that two elements $\{\gamma_x\}_{x \in X_0}$ and $\{\gamma'_x\}_{x \in X_0}$ of $\Omega(X, X_0)$ are *homotopic* if γ_x is homotopic to γ'_x in X relative to the endpoints, for every $x \in X_0$. The set $\Pi(X, X_0)$ of homotopy classes of elements of $\Omega(X, X_0)$ is a group with respect to the usual concatenation of paths.

Elements of $\Pi(X, X)$ are usually denoted by listing the homotopically non-trivial paths in one of its representatives. If $V \subseteq U \subseteq X$, then the inclusion $(U, V) \hookrightarrow (X, X)$ induces a group homomorphism $\Pi(U, V) \rightarrow \Pi(X, X)$, whose image is denoted by $\Pi_X(U, V)$.

Lemma 2.4. [FM23, Lemma 6.6] *Let U be an amenable subset of X and let $V \subseteq U$ be any subset. Then the subgroup $\Pi_X(U, V) \leq \Pi(X, X)$ is amenable.*

If $X_0 = \{x_0\}$, then $\Pi(X, X_0) = \pi_1(X, x_0)$ is just the fundamental group of X at x_0 . In general, we have an injective group homomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X_0} \pi_1(X, x) \hookrightarrow \Pi(X, X_0).$$

The group $\Pi(X, X)$ acts on $\mathcal{A}(X)$ as follows. Let $g \in \Pi(X, X)$ and let $\{\gamma_x\}_{x \in X}$ be a representative of g . We define the action of g on the 0-skeleton of $\mathcal{A}(X)$ as the permutation induced by g on $\mathcal{A}(X)^0 = X$. Let e be an edge of $\mathcal{A}(X)$ with endpoints $v_0, v_1 \in \mathcal{A}(X)^0 = X$. Recall that edges of $\mathcal{A}(X)$ (hence of $\mathcal{L}(X)$) correspond to homotopy classes (relative to endpoints) of paths in X . Let $\gamma_e: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ be a representative of e . We define $g \cdot e$ as the homotopy class (relative to the endpoints) of the path $\bar{\gamma}_{v_0} * \gamma_e * \gamma_{v_1}$. Since $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is aspherical, we can uniquely extend g to a simplicial automorphism of the whole $\mathcal{A}(X)$, which is simplicially homotopic to the identity [FM23, Theorem 5.3].

3. FROM PAIRS OF SPACES TO PAIRS OF MULTICOMPLEXES

Let (X, A) be a CW-pair. being injective, the inclusion map $j: A \hookrightarrow X$ induces a simplicial embedding $j_{\mathcal{K}}: \mathcal{K}(A) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ at the level of singular multicomplexes. We denote by $S_X: |\mathcal{K}(X)| \rightarrow X$ and $S_A: |\mathcal{K}(A)| \rightarrow A$ the corresponding projections, so that the following diagram is commutative

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} |\mathcal{K}(A)| & \xrightarrow{S_A} & A \\ \downarrow |j_{\mathcal{K}}| & & \downarrow j \\ |\mathcal{K}(X)| & \xrightarrow{S_X} & X. \end{array}$$

We consider the multicomplexes $\mathcal{L}(A)$ and $\mathcal{L}(X)$, respectively, and we denote by $i_A: \mathcal{L}(A) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}(A)$ and $i_X: \mathcal{L}(X) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ the corresponding simplicial inclusions. There is a simplicial map $j_{\mathcal{L}}: \mathcal{L}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ which sends each simplex Δ of $\mathcal{L}(A)$ to the unique simplex of $\mathcal{K}(X)$ which is homotopic to Δ relative to the 0-skeleton [Cap, Proposition 4.1]. Moreover, we can construct $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}(A)$ such that $j_{\mathcal{K}} \circ i_A = i_X \circ j_{\mathcal{L}}$. The map $j_{\mathcal{L}}$ is not injective in general: to this end one needs to have more control over the homotopy of the pair (X, A) [Cap, Proposition 4.2]. Let $\pi: \mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X)$ denote the simplicial projection which identifies simplices sharing the same 1-skeleton. Of course, $j_{\mathcal{L}}$ factors to a well-defined simplicial

map $j_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X)$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ the image of $\mathcal{A}(A)$ in $\mathcal{A}(X)$ via $j_{\mathcal{A}}$, so that the pair of multicomplexes $(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A))$ is well-defined. We still denote by $j_{\mathcal{A}}: \mathcal{A}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X(A)$ the surjective map induced by $j_{\mathcal{A}}$. If A is π_1 -injective in X , then $j_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a simplicial embedding and $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ is simplicially isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}(A)$ [Kue15, Section 1.3] [Cap, Proposition 4.3]. In short, we have the following commutative diagram of simplicial maps

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{K}(A) & \xleftarrow{i_A} & \mathcal{L}(A) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{A}(A) & \xrightarrow{j_{\mathcal{A}}} & \mathcal{A}_X(A) \\ \downarrow j_{\mathcal{K}} & & \downarrow j_{\mathcal{L}} & & \downarrow j_{\mathcal{A}} & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{K}(X) & \xleftarrow{i_X} & \mathcal{L}(X) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathcal{A}(X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & \mathcal{A}(X). \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.1. [Cap, Theorem 7] *Let (X, A) be a CW-pair such that the kernel of the morphism $\pi_1(A \hookrightarrow X, x)$ is amenable for every $x \in A$. Then, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$\Psi^n: H_b^n(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A)) \rightarrow H_b^n(X, A).$$

The previous result is achieved in [Cap] by using the machinery of mapping cones developed in [Par03, Löh08]. We have seen above that minimal and aspherical multicomplexes have poor functorial properties: pairs of spaces do not necessarily lead to pairs of the corresponding multicomplexes. However, since there are natural maps $j_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $j_{\mathcal{A}}$, the passage to mapping cones is spontaneous. Proposition 3.1 is then deduced as an application of the Five Lemma to every mapping cones arising from of diagram (3.2). We refer the reader to [Cap] for the details.

We know that passing through mapping cones we lose control over the natural seminorms in relative bounded cohomology [FP12]. However, a bi-Lipschitz control over the norms is achieved in [Cap]. In order to retain an isometric control, further assumptions on the higher homotopy of the pair are necessary [Cap, Theorem 5].

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let (X, A) be a triangulable pair such that the kernel of the morphism $\pi_1(A \hookrightarrow X, x)$ is amenable for every $x \in A$. We denote by (T, S) a pair of simplicial complexes such that $(X, A) = (|T|, |S|)$. By Proposition 3.1, we have an isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\Psi^n: H_b^n(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A)) \rightarrow H_b^n(X, A).$$

We construct now a copy of T inside $\mathcal{A}(X)$ such that $\mathcal{A}_X(A) \cap T = S$. Inside the singular multicomplex $\mathcal{K}(X)$ we can find a submulticomplex $\mathcal{K}_T(X) \cong T$ whose simplices correspond to equivalence classes of affine parametrizations of simplices of T . Moreover, we can assume that $\mathcal{K}_T(X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(X)$, by choosing simplices of $\mathcal{K}_T(X)$ as representatives in their homotopy class. Since T is a simplicial complex, every simplex in $\mathcal{K}_T(X)$ is uniquely determined by its 0-skeleton, hence the quotient map $\mathcal{L}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(X)$ is injective on $\mathcal{K}_T(X)$. Therefore we can construct a copy of T inside $\mathcal{A}(X)$. Moreover we may adapt the construction so that S sits inside $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $z \in C_b^n(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A))$ be a bounded cocycle which vanishes on $C_n(T) \subseteq C_n(\mathcal{A}(X))$. Then $\text{comp}^n \circ \Psi^n([z]) = 0$ in $H^n(X, A)$.*

Proof. The inclusion of pairs $(T, S) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A))$ induces a restriction map $r^n: C_b^n(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A)) \rightarrow C_b^n(T, S)$. One can check that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_b^n(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A)) & \xrightarrow{r^n} & H_b^n(T, S) & \longrightarrow & H^n(T, S) \\ \downarrow \Psi^n & & & & \downarrow \cong \\ H_b^n(X, A) & \xrightarrow{\text{comp}^n} & & & H^n(X, A) \end{array}$$

is commutative, where the right-vertical arrow is the canonical isomorphism between simplicial and singular homology. Since z vanishes on $C_n(T)$, it readily follows that $r^n(z) = 0$, and this concludes the proof. \square

Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i \mid i \in I\}$ be an amenable open cover of X by path-connected subsets satisfying conditions (RC1) and (RC2). We denote by V the set of vertices of T . For every vertex $v \in V$, the *closed star* of v in T is the subcomplex of T containing all the simplices containing v . By suitably subdividing T , we can assume that for every $v \in V$ there exists $i(v) \in I$ such that the closed star of v in T is contained in $U_{i(v)}$ [Mun84, Theorem 16.4]. Of course the choice of $i(v)$ may not be unique. For every $i \in I$, we set

$$V_i = \{v \in V(T) \mid i(v) = i\}.$$

We consider the group

$$G = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Pi_X(U_i, V_i).$$

Since U_i is amenable in X , then $\Pi_X(U_i, V_i)$ is an amenable group by Lemma 2.4. The direct sum of amenable groups is amenable, hence also G is amenable. Moreover, since $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ for every $i \neq j$, elements of $\Pi_X(U_i, V_i)$ commute with elements of $\Pi_X(U_j, V_j)$. Therefore G can be identified with a subgroup of $\Pi(X, X)$ and acts on $\mathcal{A}(X)$ accordingly. Notice that this action does not preserve the submulticomplex $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$. The group

$$H = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \Pi_X(U_i \cap A, V_i \cap A)$$

identifies a subgroup of G and induces an action on the pair $(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A))$. Being a subgroup of an amenable group, H is amenable. The following lemma exploits the regularity conditions (RC1) and (RC2) satisfied by the open cover.

Lemma 4.2. *The action $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}(X)$ has orbits in $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ induced by H .*

Proof. Let $\sigma = (\Delta, (x_0, \dots, x_n))$ be an algebraic n -simplex of $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ and let $g \in G$ be such that $g \cdot \sigma$ is an algebraic n -simplex of $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$. We need to show that there exists an element $h \in H$ such that $h \cdot \sigma = g \cdot \sigma$. Let $\{v_0, \dots, v_k\}$ be the vertices of Δ , where $k \leq n$. Since v_j and $g \cdot v_j$ are both vertices of $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ (hence points of A), then, for every $j \in \{0, \dots, k\}$, there are $i_0, \dots, i_k \in I$ and a representative $\{\gamma_x\}_{x \in X}$ of g such that $\gamma_{v_j}: [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{i_j}$ has both endpoints in $U_{i_j} \cap A$. Since the open cover \mathcal{U} satisfies (RC1) and (RC2), by Lemma 2.1 there exist paths $\lambda_{v_j}: [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{i_j} \cap A$, $j \in \{0, \dots, k\}$, such that λ_{v_j} is homotopic to γ_{v_j} in X relative to the endpoints. Therefore, if we set $h_j = \{\lambda_j\}$ if λ_j is a loop, and $h_j = \{\lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_j\}$ otherwise, then $h = \bigoplus_{j=0}^k h_j$

defines an element of H . It is easy to check that $h \cdot e = g \cdot e$, for every edge e of Δ , hence $g \cdot \Delta^1 = h \cdot \Delta^1$, where Δ^1 denotes the 1-skeleton of Δ . Since $\mathcal{A}(X)$ is an aspherical multicomplex, this is indeed sufficient to conclude [FM23, Proposition 3.30]. \square

Let $n \geq \text{mult}(\mathcal{U})$ and let $\alpha \in H_b^n(X, A)$. Since Ψ^n is an isomorphism, we can take a bounded coclass $\beta \in H_b^n(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A))$ such that $\Psi^n(\beta) = \alpha$.

Let now $z \in C_b^n(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A))$ be an alternating cocycle representing β . Recall that we have actions $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}(X)$ and $H \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}_X(A)$ by amenable groups via automorphisms which are simplicially homotopic to the identity [FM23, Theorem 5.3] [Cap, Proposition 6.1]. Therefore the inclusion maps $C_b^\bullet(\mathcal{A}(X))^G \hookrightarrow C_b^\bullet(\mathcal{A}(X))$ and $C_b^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_X(A))^H \hookrightarrow C_b^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_X(A))$ induce isomorphisms in cohomology [FM23, Theorem 4.21]. Since the action $G \curvearrowright \mathcal{A}(X)$ has orbits in $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ induced by H , by Lemma 2.2 we can assume that z is alternating and G -invariant. By Lemma 4.1, it suffices to show that z vanishes on every algebraic n -simplex of $C_n(T) \subseteq C_n(\mathcal{A}(X))$.

Let $(\Delta, (x_0, \dots, x_n))$ be an algebraic n -simplex in $C_n(T) \subseteq C_n(\mathcal{A}(X))$. If $x_h = x_k$, for some $h \neq k$, then $z(\Delta, (x_0, \dots, x_n)) = 0$, since z is alternating. We assume therefore that the points x_0, \dots, x_n are pairwise distinct.

Since $n \geq \text{mult}(\mathcal{U})$, there exist $h, k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that $i(x_h) = i(x_k)$ i.e. both x_h and x_k belong to the same V_i . Let e denote the edge of Δ joining x_h with x_k . By assumption, the closed stars of x_k and x_h are both contained in U_i , therefore the edge e of $\mathcal{A}(X)$ (which is also an edge of $\mathcal{L}(X)^1 = \mathcal{A}(X)^1$, hence of $\mathcal{K}(X)$) projects via $S_X: |\mathcal{K}(X)| \rightarrow X$ to a path $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow U_i$ with endpoints x_h and x_k . As a consequence, if we consider $g = \{\gamma, \bar{\gamma}\} \in \Pi_X(U_i, V_i) < G$, it is easy to check that $g \cdot \Delta = \Delta$, $g \cdot x_h = x_k$, $g \cdot x_k = x_h$ and $g \cdot x_j = x_j$, for every $j \neq h, k$. Since z is G -invariant, we obtain $z(\Delta, (x_0, \dots, x_n)) = z(g \cdot (\Delta, (x_0, \dots, x_n)))$, while, since z is alternating, we have $z(\Delta, (x_0, \dots, x_n)) = -z(g \cdot (\Delta, (x_0, \dots, x_n)))$. Therefore $z(s, (x_0, \dots, x_n)) = 0$ and this concludes the proof.

Remark 4.3. We discuss the role of conditions (RC1) and (RC2) in our context. In fact, in the light of Lemma 4.2, the only reason to introduce these hypothesis is that, under these regularity assumptions, one can show that the action of G on $\mathcal{A}(X)$ has orbits in $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ induced by H (Lemma 4.2). This in turns allows to consider G -invariant cochains in the relative setting (Lemma 2.2). In fact, since the action of G on $\mathcal{A}(X)$ does not preserve $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$, some care is needed when passing to invariant cochains. Assume, on the contrary, that one could work with G -invariant chains regardless of any regularity condition of the action of G on $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$. This assumptions seem implicit in Kuessner's work on relative bounded cohomology via multicomplexes (see for example [Kue15, Corollary 2]). In this case, using the same argument above, one could prove that the comparison map $\text{comp}^n: H_b^n(X, A) \rightarrow H^n(X, A)$ vanishes for every $n \geq \text{mult}(\mathcal{U})$, where \mathcal{U} is any amenable open cover of X . This is obviously false: if $(X, A) = (M, \partial M)$ for some smooth m -manifold M with non-empty boundary, then we know that M is homotopy equivalent a subcomplex of dimension at most $m - 1$, therefore it admits a contractible (hence amenable) open cover of cardinality at most m [CLM22, Remark 2.8]; by the standard duality between bounded cohomology and simplicial volume [Fri17, Proposition 7.10], it would follow

that $\|M, \partial M\| = 0$. This gives a contradiction, for example, if the interior of M admits a complete finite-volume hyperbolic metric [Gro82, Thu79].

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let (X, A) be a triangulable pair such that the kernel of the morphism $\pi_1(A \hookrightarrow X, x)$ is amenable for every $x \in A$. Let \mathcal{U} be an amenable open cover of X by path-connected subsets satisfying (RC1) and (RC2). We keep the notation from the previous section.

5.1. Proof of (1). We assume that \mathcal{U} is *weakly-convex*. Of course, we can assume that \mathcal{U} is locally finite, hence, up to subdividing T , we may suppose that $V_i \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every $i \in I$ such that $U_i \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Let $n \geq \text{mult}_A(\mathcal{U})$. We need to show that the comparison map $\text{comp}^n: H_b^n(X, A) \rightarrow H^n(X, A)$ vanishes. Let $\alpha \in H_b^n(X, A)$. Recall that we have an isomorphism

$$\Psi^n: H_b^n(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A)) \rightarrow H_b^n(X, A),$$

hence we can take a bounded coclass $\beta \in H_b^n(X, A)$ such that $\Psi^n(\beta) = \alpha$. Let $z \in C_b^n(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A))$ be a cocycle representing β . As in the previous section, we can assume that z is alternating and G -invariant. By Lemma 4.1, we need to show that z vanishes on every algebraic n -simplex of $C_n(T) \subseteq C_n(\mathcal{A}(X))$.

Let $(\Delta, (x_0, \dots, x_n))$ be an algebraic n -simplex in $C_n(T)$. Since z is alternating, we can assume that the points x_0, \dots, x_n are pairwise-distinct. We set $i_j = i(x_j)$ for every $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. If there exist $h \neq k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that $i_h = i_k$, then we can argue as in the previous section to show that $z(\Delta, (x_0, \dots, x_n)) = 0$. We assume therefore that i_0, \dots, i_n are pairwise-distinct.

Since $n \geq \text{mult}_A(\mathcal{U})$ and since by construction $\bigcap_{j=0}^n U_{i_j} \neq \emptyset$, we deduce that $U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_n} \cap A$ is nonempty. Hence, since \mathcal{U} is weakly-convex, the path-connected component of $U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_n}$ containing Δ intersects A . Therefore, if x denotes a barycenter of Δ , there exists $x' \in U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_n} \cap A$ and a path $\lambda: [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_n}$ from x' to x . Let e_{hk} denote the oriented 1-simplex of Δ from x_h to x_k . We denote by $\delta_j: [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_n}$ a path from x_j to x supported in Δ such that $\delta_h * \bar{\delta}_k$ is homotopic in X relative to the endpoints to a parametrization of e_{hk} . Since $V_{i_j} \cap A \neq \emptyset$, for every $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ there exists $x'_j \in V_{i_j} \cap A$. Moreover, by (RC1), $U_{i_j} \cap A$ is path-connected, hence we can find continuous paths $\xi_j: [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{i_j} \cap A$ from x'_j to x' . For $0 \leq h < k \leq n$, we then set $\xi_{hk} = \xi_h * \bar{\xi}_k: [0, 1] \rightarrow A$. Since x'_0, \dots, x'_n are pairwise-distinct, by definition of $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$, there exists a unique oriented 1-simplex e'_{hk} of $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ whose projection is a path in A which is homotopic to ξ_{hk} in X relative to the endpoints.

Lemma 5.1. *There exists a simplex Δ' of $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ whose 1-skeleton is given by the union of the e'_{hk} .*

Proof. Notice that the e'_{hk} can be considered to be 1-simplices of $\mathcal{A}(A)$. Of course, the loop $\xi_{jh} * \xi_{hk} * \bar{\xi}_{jk}$ is null-homotopic in A (hence in X). By [FM23, Proposition 3.33] the concatenation of oriented simplices $e'_{jh} * e'_{hk} * \bar{e}'_{jk}$ is null-homotopic in $|\mathcal{A}(A)|$. By [FM23, Proposition 3.30], it follows that there

exists a unique n -simplex Δ'' of $\mathcal{A}(A)$ whose 1-skeleton is the union of the e'_{hk} . In conclusion, Δ' denotes just the image of Δ'' in $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$. \square

For every $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ we set $\gamma_j = \xi_j * \lambda * \bar{\delta}_j$. By construction γ_j joins x'_j with x_j and is supported on U_{i_j} . Therefore, if we set $g_j = \{\gamma_j\}$ if $x_j = x'_j$, and $g_j = \{\gamma_j, \bar{\gamma}_j\}$ if $x_j \neq x'_j$, then $g = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n g_j$ defines an element of G . It is clear that $g \cdot e'_{hk} = e_{hk}$. Since simplices of $\mathcal{A}(X)$ are determined by their 1-skeleton [FM23, Proposition 3.30], we obtain $g \cdot \Delta' = \Delta$. Hence we have that $z(\Delta, (x_0, \dots, x_n)) = z(\Delta', (x'_0, \dots, x'_n))$, since z is G -invariant, and $z(\Delta', (x'_0, \dots, x'_n)) = 0$, since z vanishes on simplices of $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$. This concludes the proof of (1).

5.2. Proof of (2). Assume that \mathcal{U} is *convex*. We denote by $N(\mathcal{U})$ the nerve of \mathcal{U} and by $N_A(\mathcal{U})$ the nerve of the open cover of A induced by \mathcal{U} . This is a well-defined subcomplex of $N(\mathcal{U})$ under our assumptions. Given a partition of unity subordinated to \mathcal{U} , one can construct a nerve map $\nu: X \rightarrow |N(\mathcal{U})|$, which is unique up to homotopy and which induces a well-defined map of pairs $\nu: (X, A) \rightarrow (|N(\mathcal{U})|, |N_A(\mathcal{U})|)$. We need to show that there exists a map $\Theta^n: H_b^n(X, A) \rightarrow H^n(N(\mathcal{U}), N_A(\mathcal{U}))$ such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_b^n(X, A) & \xrightarrow{\text{comp}^n} & H^n(X, A) \\ \downarrow \Theta^n & & \uparrow H^n(\nu) \\ H^n(N(\mathcal{U}), N_A(\mathcal{U})) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^n(|N(\mathcal{U})|, |N_A(\mathcal{U})|). \end{array}$$

As stated in [FM23, Section 6.4], we can assume without loss of generality that \mathcal{U} is locally finite. Therefore, up to taking further subdivisions of T , we may suppose that $V_i \neq \emptyset$, for every $i \in I$, and $V_i \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every $i \in I$ such that $U_i \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Recall from the previous sections that there is an isomorphism

$$\Psi^n: H_b^n(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A)) \rightarrow H_b^n(X, A)$$

and that the relative bounded cohomology of the pair $(\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}_X(A))$ may be computed by the complex $C_b^\bullet(\mathcal{A}(A), \mathcal{A}_X(A))_{\text{alt}}^{G, H}$ of G -invariant alternating cochains which vanish on simplices supported on $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ (see Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 4.2). Therefore, in order to define Θ^n , we need to construct chain maps Ω_X^\bullet and Ω_A^\bullet such that the following diagram is commutative

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C_b^\bullet(\mathcal{A}(X))_{\text{alt}}^G & \longrightarrow & C_b^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_X(A))_{\text{alt}}^H \\ \downarrow \Omega_X^\bullet & & \downarrow \Omega_A^\bullet \\ C_b^\bullet(N(\mathcal{U})) & \longrightarrow & C_b^\bullet(N_A(\mathcal{U})), \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are restriction maps.

The map Ω_X^n can be constructed *verbatim* as in [FM23, Section 6.4]. The construction of Ω_A^n goes as follows. We identify the set of vertices of $N_A(\mathcal{U})$ with those indices $i \in I$ such that $U_i \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Let $z \in C_b^n(\mathcal{A}_X(A))_{\text{alt}}^H$ and let $(i_0, \dots, i_n) \in N_A(\mathcal{U})$. If there exists $h \neq k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ such that $i_h = i_k$, we set $\Omega_A^n(z)(i_0, \dots, i_n) = 0$. Otherwise, by definition of the nerve $N_A(\mathcal{U})$, we have that $U_{i_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{i_n} \cap A \neq \emptyset$, hence we may choose a point

$q \in U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_n} \cap A$. Moreover, since $V_{i_j} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for every $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, we can pick a point $v_{i_j} \in V_{i_j} \cap A$. We know by (RC1) that $U_{i_j} \cap A$ is path-connected. Hence there exists a path $\alpha_j: [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{i_j} \cap A$ from v_{i_j} to q . For $0 \leq h < k \leq n$, we set $\alpha_{hk} = \alpha_h * \bar{\alpha}_k$. Since v_{i_0}, \dots, v_{i_n} are pairwise distinct, by definition of $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$, there exists a unique oriented 1-simplex e_{hk} of $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ whose projection on A is a path which is homotopic to α_{hk} in X relative to the endpoints. Using the same argument of Lemma 5.1, there exists a unique n -simplex Δ of $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ whose 1-skeleton is given by the union of the e_{hk} . We then set

$$\Omega_A^n(z)(i_0, \dots, i_n) = z(\Delta, (v_{i_0}, \dots, v_{i_n})).$$

We need to show that $\Omega_A^n(z)$ is well-defined, i.e. different choices in the construction lead to the same value for $z(\Delta, (v_{i_0}, \dots, v_{i_n}))$. Let $q' \in U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_n} \cap A$, let $v'_{i_j} \in V_{i_j} \cap A$, $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, and let $\alpha'_j: [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{i_j} \cap A$ be a path from v'_{i_j} to q' . We set $\alpha'_{hk} = \alpha'_h * \bar{\alpha}'_k$ and we take e'_{hk} to be the unique edge of $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ whose projection is a path in A which is homotopic to α'_{hk} in X relative to the endpoints. Finally, we take Δ' to be the n -simplex of $\mathcal{A}_X(A)$ whose 1-skeleton is given by the e'_{hk} .

Since $U_{i_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{i_n}$ is path-connected (by convexity of \mathcal{U}), there exists a path $\beta: [0, 1] \rightarrow \bigcap_{j=0}^n U_{i_j}$ from q to q' . By construction, the path $\gamma_j := \alpha_j * \beta * \bar{\alpha}_j$ is supported on U_{i_j} and joins v_{i_j} with v'_{i_j} , which are both points of A . By the regularity conditions (RC1) and (RC2), we deduce from Lemma 2.1 that, for every $j \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, there exists a path $\lambda_j: [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{i_j} \cap A$ which is homotopic to γ_j in X relative to the endpoints. Therefore, if we set $h_j = \{\lambda_j\}$ if λ_j is a loop, and $h_j = \{\lambda_j, \bar{\lambda}_j\}$ otherwise, then $h = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n h_j$ defines an element of H . It is straightforward to check that $h \cdot e'_{hk} = e_{hk}$ for every $h \neq k$, which implies that $h \cdot \Delta' = \Delta$. Since z is H -invariant, it follows that $z(\Delta, (v_{i_0}, \dots, v_{i_n})) = z(\Delta', (v'_{i_0}, \dots, v'_{i_n}))$ i.e. that Ω_A^n is indeed well-defined.

It is easy to check that the diagram (5.2) is indeed commutative and that Ω_A^\bullet defines a chain map. Moreover, the commutativity (5.1) can be checked *verbatim* as in [FM23, Section 6.4]. This concludes the proof of (2).

6. EXAMPLES

We begin with a discussion on the optimality of our assumptions.

Remark 6.1. Let S be the oriented compact connected surface of genus 1 with one boundary component. Since the interior of S admits a complete hyperbolic metric of finite volume, it is well known that $\|S, \partial S\| > 0$ [Gro82]. Moreover the boundary ∂S is π_1 -injective in S . Therefore, the open covers of S in Figure 1 show that the regularity assumptions (RC1) and (RC2) of Theorem 1 (hence of Corollary 3) are optimal. We refer to [LLM, Remark 6.16] for a discussion on the optimality of the assumptions of Theorem 2.

We want to compare Corollary 3 with the main relative vanishing result available for simplicial volume, which is based on Gromov's vanishing theorem for non-compact manifolds [Gro82] [FM23, Corollary 11].




FIGURE 1. These open covers of S are both amenable and have both multiplicity 2. The one on the left satisfies (RC1) but not (RC2), the one on the right satisfies (RC2), but not (RC1).

Theorem 6.2. [LMR22, Theorem 3.13] *Let M be an oriented compact connected manifold with non-empty boundary that admits an amenable open cover \mathcal{U} with the following properties:*

- (1) *The multiplicity of \mathcal{U} is at most $\dim(M)$;*
- (2) *The multiplicity of the cover of ∂M induced by \mathcal{U} is at most $\dim(\partial M)$;*
- (3) *For every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, the set $U \cap \partial M$ is amenable in ∂M .*

Then $\|M, \partial M\| = 0$.

Since the previous result has no assumptions on M , it is of course more general than Corollary 3. However, by making further assumptions on the pair $(M, \partial M)$, one can give simplified proofs of Theorem 6.2 based on relative versions of Gromov's Vanishing Theorem [LLM, Section 6.4]. It is easy to adapt the argument in [LLM, Section 6.4] to show that, in the particular case in which M is triangulable and the kernel of the morphism $\pi_1(\partial M \hookrightarrow M, x)$ is amenable for every $x \in \partial M$, one can deduce Theorem 6.2 from Corollary 3.

In the following examples we show how Corollary 3 applies to the families of Seifert-fibered 3-manifolds and Lefschetz-fibered 4-manifolds with toric fiber. The fact that their simplicial volume vanishes is well-known, and can be deduced, for example, from Theorem 6.2.

Example 6.3. Let M be an oriented compact connected Seifert fibered 3-manifold with non-empty boundary. It is well known that $\|M, \partial M\| = 0$. We now show that M indeed admits an amenable open cover \mathcal{U} of multiplicity 3 and satisfying (RC1) and (RC2) on ∂M . Let $p: M \rightarrow S$ denote the projection to the orbit surface. We consider the open cover \mathcal{V} of S in Figure 2 (the particular case where S is of genus 2 with 3 boundary components and 4 exceptional points is illustrated). We define \mathcal{U} as the open cover of M given by $\{p^{-1}(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\}$. Then each component of \mathcal{U} consists of a solid torus and has cyclic (hence amenable) fundamental group. Moreover, (RC1) is easily checked, while (RC2) holds true because the elements of \mathcal{U} intersecting ∂M are contractible and do not contain singular fibers.

Example 6.4. Let M be an oriented compact connected 4-manifold with non-empty boundary admitting a Lefschetz fibration $p: M \rightarrow S$ with toric




FIGURE 2. This open cover of a generic oriented compact connected surface is by contractible (whence amenable) subsets, satisfies (RC1) and (RC2) and has multiplicity 3. Its elements should be considered path-connected.

regular fiber. We show that M admits an amenable open cover \mathcal{U} of multiplicity 3 satisfying (RC1) and (RC2) on ∂M , which implies that $\|M, \partial M\| = 0$ (Corollary 3). Let \mathcal{V} be the open cover of S illustrated in Figure 2 (the particular case where S is of genus 2 with 3 boundary components and 4 exceptional points is illustrated). We define \mathcal{U} as the open cover of M given by $\{p^{-1}(V) \mid V \in \mathcal{V}\}$. Since the fundamental group of Lefschetz-fibered 4-manifolds over simply connected surfaces is a quotient of the fundamental group of the fiber [GS99, Proposition 8.1.9], each component of \mathcal{U} has amenable fundamental group. Moreover, (RC1) is easily checked, while (RC2) holds true because the elements of \mathcal{U} intersecting ∂M are contractible and do not contain singular fibers.

REFERENCES

- [Buc09] M. Bucher. Simplicial volume of products and fiber bundles. *Contemp. Math.*, 501:79–86, 2009. Cited on page: 2
- [Cap] P. Capovilla. On the (super)additivity of simplicial volume. arXiv:2306.13342. Cited on page: 5, 6, 7, 8, 10
- [CLM22] P. Capovilla, C. Löh, and M. Moraschini. Amenable category and complexity. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 22(3):1417–1459, 2022. Cited on page: 10
- [FM23] R. Frigerio and M. Moraschini. Gromov’s theory of multicomplexes with applications to bounded cohomology and simplicial volume. *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.*, 283(1402):1–166, 2023. Cited on page: 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13
- [FP12] R. Frigerio and C. Pagliantini. Relative measure homology and continuous bounded cohomology of topological pairs. *Pac. J. Math.*, 257(1):91–130, 2012. Cited on page: 8
- [Fri17] R. Frigerio. *Bounded cohomology of discrete groups*, volume 227. Math. Surveys and Monographs, Am. Math. Soc., 2017. Cited on page: 2, 10
- [Fri22] R. Frigerio. Amenable covers and ℓ^1 -invisibility. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 14(02):421–437, 2022. Cited on page: 1
- [Gro82] M. Gromov. Volume and bounded cohomology. *Publ. Math. de l’IHÉS*, 56:5–99, 1982. Cited on page: 1, 4, 6, 11, 13
- [GS99] R. E. Gompf and A. I. Stipsicz. *4-Manifolds and Kirby Calculus*, volume 20. Grad. Studies in Math., Am. Math. Soc., 1999. Cited on page: 15
- [Hat] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cited on page: 4

- [HK01] M. Hoster and D. Kotschick. On the simplicial volumes of fiber bundles. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 129(4):1229–1232, 2001. Cited on page: 2
- [Iva] N. V. Ivanov. Notes on the bounded cohomology theory. arXiv:1708.05150. Cited on page: 1
- [Iva87] N. V. Ivanov. Foundations of the theory of bounded cohomology. *J. Soviet. Math.*, 37:1090–1114, 1987. Cited on page: 1
- [Kue15] T. Kuessner. Multicomplexes, bounded cohomology and additivity of simplicial volume. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 52(6):1855–1899, 2015. Cited on page: 8, 10
- [LLM] K. Li, C. Löh, and M. Moraschini. Bounded acyclicity and relative simplicial volume. arXiv:2202.05606. Cited on page: 1, 2, 3, 13, 14
- [LMR22] C. Löh, M. Moraschini, and G. Raptis. On the simplicial volume and the Euler characteristic of (aspherical) manifolds. *Research Math. Sci.*, 9(3):44, 2022. Cited on page: 14
- [Löh08] C. Löh. Isomorphisms in ℓ^1 -homology. *Münster J. Math.*, 1:237–266, 2008. Cited on page: 8
- [LS20] C. Löh and R. Sauer. Bounded cohomology of amenable covers via classifying spaces. *Enseign. Math.*, 66(1):151–172, 2020. Cited on page: 1
- [Mun84] J. R. Munkres. *Elements of algebraic topology*. Avalon publishing, 1984. Cited on page: 9
- [Par03] H. Park. Relative bounded cohomology. *Topol. Appl.*, 131(3):203–234, 2003. Cited on page: 8
- [Thu79] W. P. Thurston. *The Geometry and Topology of 3-Manifolds*. 1979. Cited on page: 11

SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PISA ITALY
Email address: `pietro.capovilla@sns.it`