

MIXED FINITE ELEMENT METHODS FOR THE LANDAU–LIFSHITZ–BARYAKHTAR AND THE REGULARISED LANDAU–LIFSHITZ–BLOCH EQUATIONS IN MICROMAGNETICS

AGUS L. SOENJAYA

ABSTRACT. The Landau–Lifshitz–Baryakhtar (LLBar) and the Landau–Lifshitz–Bloch (LLBloch) equations are nonlinear vector-valued PDEs which arise in the theory of micromagnetics to describe the dynamics of magnetic spin field in a ferromagnet at elevated temperatures. We consider the LLBar and the regularised LLBloch equations in a unified manner, thus allowing us to treat the numerical approximations for both problems at once. In this paper, we propose a semi-discrete mixed finite element scheme and two fully discrete mixed finite element schemes based on a semi-implicit Euler method and a semi-implicit Crank–Nicolson method to solve the problems. These numerical schemes provide accurate approximations to both the magnetisation vector and the effective magnetic field. Moreover, they are proven to be unconditionally energy-stable and preserve energy dissipativity of the system at the discrete level. Error analysis is performed which shows optimal rates of convergence in \mathbb{L}^2 , \mathbb{L}^∞ , and \mathbb{H}^1 norms. These theoretical results are further corroborated by several numerical experiments.

1. INTRODUCTION

Micromagnetics is a field of physics that deals with magnetic behaviours at sub-micrometre length scales. The length scales considered are large enough for small-scale atomic structure to be ignored, but still fine enough to resolve some local behaviour. The standard model to describe time evolution of the magnetic configuration of a ferromagnet was proposed by Landau and Lifshitz [26], and is commonly known as the Landau–Lifshitz (LL) equation. According to this model, the dynamics of the magnetic spin field is influenced by a precessional term (which tends to cause precession of the magnetisation vector) and a damping term (which tends to dissipate energy and align the magnetisation vector with the effective magnetic field). The damping term is chosen purely for phenomenological reasons, namely that the damping process must lead to the state with minimum energy, and that the magnetisation magnitude must remain constant.

The Landau–Lifshitz equation has been remarkably successful in describing the magnetisation dynamics at low temperature, and was widely analysed in the physics and mathematics literature, see [2, 8, 11, 23, 25, 39] and references therein. However, it is *not* sufficient to describe many experimental observations in modern physics, especially at high temperature [4, 10, 15, 16, 17, 40], where the magnitude of the magnetisation vector is known to be varying in time. Various magnetic recording devices, including the heat-assisted magnetic recording (HAMR) and the thermally-assisted magnetic random access memory (TA-MRAM), operate at high temperatures [31, 33, 40], thus an accurate model and simulation of magnetisation dynamics at elevated temperatures is necessary. Indeed, the Landau–Lifshitz equation is essentially a zero-temperature equation, since it cuts off all contributions from high-frequency spin waves responsible for longitudinal magnetisation dynamics [9].

To rectify these problems, several approaches are proposed in the physics literature, most prominently due to Baryakhtar [3, 4] and Garanin [16, 17]. Baryakhtar formulates his model based on the Onsager principles and the laws of thermodynamics, resulting in the Landau–Lifshitz–Baryakhtar (LLBar) equation, which also takes into account long-range interactions. Garanin based his model on the thermal averaging of many exchange-coupled atomistic spins, resulting in the Landau–Lifshitz–Bloch (LLBloch) equation, which can be seen as an interpolation between the standard Landau–Lifshitz equation and the

Date: June 10, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 65M12, 65M60, 35Q60.

Key words and phrases. Landau–Lifshitz, Landau–Lifshitz–Baryakhtar, Landau–Lifshitz–Bloch, mixed finite element method, micromagnetics, ferromagnetism.

Ginzburg–Landau system. In both models, the dynamics of a magnetisation vector in an effective field is influenced by three factors: precession, damping, and torque. The net effect on the magnetic spin field is a competition between these factors.

Mathematically, the dynamics of the magnetic spin field $\mathbf{u}(t) : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ in a bounded magnetic domain \mathcal{D} can be described as

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbf{u}) + \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{u}) + \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}),$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{u})$ is the precessional term, $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{u})$ is the damping term, and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u})$ is the torque term. Quantum mechanics dictates that the precessional term has the form $\mathcal{P}(\mathbf{u}) = -\gamma \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}$, as in the usual Landau–Lifshitz equation, where $\mathbf{H}(t) : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is the effective field. Baryakhtar proposes that the damping term should be proportional to the effective field and its second spatial derivatives [3, 4]. In most ferromagnetic materials, the damping term for the LLBar equation [40] can be written as

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{Bar}}(\mathbf{u}) = \lambda_r \mathbf{H} - \lambda_e \Delta \mathbf{H}, \quad (1.1)$$

where \mathbf{H} itself depends on \mathbf{u} . The positive constants λ_r and λ_e are the phenomenological relativistic damping constant and the exchange damping constant, respectively. These constants can also be replaced by positive definite symmetric tensors without changing the arguments here substantially. The second term in (1.1) is responsible for the longitudinal damping and long range interaction between magnetic spins [40]. For the LLBloch equation above T_c , the damping term is simply (1.1) with $\lambda_e = 0$.

The torque term has various forms depending on the physical situations considered. In the presence of applied current, the flow of electrons move charges and spins across space, affecting the magnetic properties of the material. A commonly used torque term is given by Zhang and Li [41]:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) = \Lambda_1 \mathbf{u} \times (\mathbf{u} \times (\mathbf{j} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}) + \Lambda_2 \mathbf{u} \times (\mathbf{j} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}, \quad (1.2)$$

where Λ_1 and Λ_2 are constants (which can be positive or negative), and $\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}(t, \mathbf{x})$ is the current density.

The effective magnetic field \mathbf{H} consists of the usual contributions from the theory of micromagnetism, namely the exchange field, the external (Zeeman) field, and the anisotropy field. To account for elevated temperatures and phase transition, the Ginzburg–Landau internal exchange field is added [3, 17], giving

$$\mathbf{H}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \underbrace{\alpha \Delta \mathbf{u}}_{\text{exchange}} + \underbrace{\kappa \mu \mathbf{u} - \kappa |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}}_{\text{internal exchange}} + \underbrace{\mathbf{B}(t)}_{\text{applied}} - \underbrace{\beta \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u})}_{\text{anisotropy}}. \quad (1.3)$$

Here, α is a positive constant depending on the material structure. The unit vector \mathbf{e} is related to the axis of anisotropy of the material, while the vector $\mathbf{B}(t)$ describes an applied magnetic field. Physical considerations dictate that κ is positive, while $\mu > 0$ for temperatures above the Curie temperature T_c and $\mu < 0$ below T_c . The constant β corresponds to the uniaxial anisotropy constant of the object, which can be positive or negative. To simplify presentation, we assume $\beta < 0$ in (1.3), which corresponds to the presence of an easy plane. Higher order anisotropy field of the form $\beta_1 \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}) - \beta_2 \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u})^3$, where $\beta_1, \beta_2 > 0$, could also be treated in a similar manner as the internal exchange field.

Subsequently, we take $\mathbf{B}(t) = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{u}) \equiv \mathbf{0}$ and set $\alpha = 1$ for simplicity. The presence of applied field and the Zhang–Li torque term (1.2) could still be handled by the methods presented here with minor modifications. However, we choose to focus on the simplified version since in this case the system dissipates energy, and we want to highlight this energy dissipation property in our numerical schemes.

With these in mind, we can state the LLBar and the LLBloch equations in a unified manner. Given a magnetic body $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the magnetisation vector $\mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x})$ for any time $t \geq 0$ and at any point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}$ evolves according to a nonlinear vector-valued PDE, which can be written in the following mixed form:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} = \lambda_r \mathbf{H} - \lambda_e \Delta \mathbf{H} - \gamma \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, & \text{for } (t, \mathbf{x}) \in (0, T) \times \mathcal{D}, \\ \mathbf{H} = \Delta \mathbf{u} + \kappa \mu \mathbf{u} - \kappa |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u} - \beta \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}), & \text{for } (t, \mathbf{x}) \in (0, T) \times \mathcal{D}, \\ \mathbf{u}(0, \mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{u}_0(\mathbf{x}) & \text{for } \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{D}, \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{0}, \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{0} & \text{for } (t, \mathbf{x}) \in (0, T) \times \partial \mathcal{D}, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $\partial\mathcal{D}$ is the boundary of \mathcal{D} , with exterior unit normal vector denoted by \mathbf{n} . Depending on the signs of some parameters, problem (1.4) describes several models:

- (i) If $\lambda_e > 0$ and $\mu > 0$, this is the LLBar equation below T_c .
- (ii) If $\lambda_e = 0$ and $\mu < 0$, this is the LLBloch equation above T_c .
- (iii) If $\lambda_e > 0$ and $\mu < 0$, this is the LLBar equation above T_c , which can also be considered as the *regularised* LLBloch equation above T_c (cf. section 6).

We remark that the LLBloch equation below T_c cannot be written in the above form and will be analysed separately in an upcoming paper, although for temperatures not far from (but below) T_c , the LLBar equation with $\lambda_e > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ can be considered as an approximation to the LLBloch equation below T_c [40].

The energy $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u})$ of the system described by (1.4) is

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4} \|\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 - \mu\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} (\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u})^2 dx, & \text{if } \mu > 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 - \frac{\kappa\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \int_{\mathcal{D}} (\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u})^2 dx, & \text{if } \mu < 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where κ, μ, β are constants appearing in (1.4). Note that the two expressions in (1.5) differ only by a constant $\frac{1}{4}\kappa\mu^2|\mathcal{D}|$, and in principle one could take the first expression as the energy for any values of μ . However, it is known [28] that $\mathbf{u}(t) \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for $\mu < 0$, and so the energy in this case is modified to ensure $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{0}) = 0$. In the absence of the spin-torque term, this system dissipates energy.

Global well-posedness of the LLBar equation (assuming exchange-dominated field) is shown in [36], while the existence and uniqueness of strong solution to the LLBloch equation are shown in [27, 28] (see also [7] and [20] for the stochastic equations). On the numerical aspect, some linear C^1 -conforming finite element methods based on a semi-implicit Euler and a BDF schemes are proposed to solve the LLBar equation [37]. They are proven to be stable and convergent to the actual solution at an optimal rate, without assuming quasi-uniformity of the triangulation in most cases. However, the implementation is computationally costly, since the method requires C^1 -continuity across element boundaries. A linear conforming finite element scheme based on the linearised Euler method has been proposed for the regularised LLBloch equation with a different regularisation term, where an optimal convergence rate in \mathbb{H}^1 is shown [28]. Other regularisation term is used in [18], where a C^1 -conforming method is proposed for the stochastic regularised LLBloch equation, but a strong convergence rate is only provided in \mathbb{L}^2 and the results are limited to $d = 1$ or 2 . In all the above, energy dissipativity of the schemes is not addressed.

Here, we continue and build on the studies done in [37] and [28] by proposing numerical schemes which can be used to solve the LLBar and the LLBloch equations for $d = 1, 2, 3$ in a unified manner. More precisely, we propose some mixed finite element methods to solve the LLBar and the regularised LLBloch equations, including a semi-discrete (in space) finite element scheme and two fully-discrete finite element schemes based on the Euler and the Crank–Nicolson methods. These schemes are proven to be uniquely solvable and unconditionally energy-stable, and this \mathbb{H}^1 -stability is robust with respect to the parameter λ_e . Moreover, the energy dissipativity of the system is preserved at the discrete level. Since we are using the mixed formulation (1.4), these methods provide an accurate approximation to both the magnetisation vector \mathbf{u} and the effective magnetic field \mathbf{H} , both of which are physically significant quantities.

To summarise, the main results of this paper include proving the following:

- (1) convergence of a semi-discrete conforming finite element scheme (Theorem 3.9),
- (2) convergence of a fully discrete conforming finite element scheme based on the semi-implicit Euler method (Theorem 4.12),
- (3) convergence of a fully discrete conforming finite element scheme based on the Crank–Nicolson method (Theorem 5.10),
- (4) convergence of the strong solution of the LLBar equation to that of the LLBloch equation at a certain rate (in $L^\infty(0, T; \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{D}))$), thus implying the above schemes can also be used to solve the LLBloch equation. Convergence of the corresponding effective field (in $L^2(0, T; \mathbb{L}^2(\mathcal{D}))$) is also shown (Theorem 6.1).

In all cases, we obtain the expected rates of convergence in \mathbb{L}^2 , \mathbb{L}^∞ , and \mathbb{H}^1 norms. We remark that systems of vector-valued PDEs similar to (1.4) also appear in chemistry and biology to model long-range diffusion [32, Chapter 11], anomalous bi-flux diffusion [5, 24], and population dynamics [12, 13, 34]. As such, numerical schemes proposed in this paper would also apply to certain cases of these models.

This paper is organised as follows. Notations and various assumptions on the exact solution and the finite element space are outlined in Section 2. A semi-discrete finite element approximation is described in Section 3. Two fully discrete mixed finite element schemes based on the Euler and the Crank–Nicolson methods are proposed in Section 4 and Section 5, respectively. The regularised LLBloch equation and its approximation are discussed in Section 6. Finally, some numerical simulations which support the theoretical results are described in Section 7.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notations. We begin by defining some notations used in this paper. The function space $\mathbb{L}^p := \mathbb{L}^p(\mathcal{D}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ denotes the usual space of p -th integrable functions taking values in \mathbb{R}^3 and $\mathbb{W}^{k,p} := \mathbb{W}^{k,p}(\mathcal{D}; \mathbb{R}^3)$ denotes the usual Sobolev space of functions on $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, for $d = 1, 2, 3$, taking values in \mathbb{R}^3 . Also, we write $\mathbb{H}^k := \mathbb{W}^{k,2}$. Let Δ be the Neumann Laplacian operator acting on \mathbb{R}^3 -valued functions with domain $D(\Delta)$ given by

$$D(\Delta) := \left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{H}^2 : \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{0} \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{D} \right\}.$$

If X is a Banach space, the spaces $L^p(0, T; X)$ and $W^{m,r}(0, T; X)$ denote respectively the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces of functions on $(0, T)$ taking values in X , where T can be a finite number or ∞ . The space $C([0, T]; X)$ denotes the space of continuous function on $[0, T]$ taking values in X . For simplicity, we will write $L^p(\mathbb{W}^{m,r}) := L^p(0, T; \mathbb{W}^{m,r})$ and $L^p(\mathbb{L}^q) := L^p(0, T; \mathbb{L}^q)$.

Throughout this paper, we denote the scalar product in a Hilbert space H by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_H$ and its corresponding norm by $\|\cdot\|_H$. We will not distinguish between the scalar product of \mathbb{L}^2 vector-valued functions taking values in \mathbb{R}^3 and the scalar product of \mathbb{L}^2 matrix-valued functions taking values in $\mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$, and still denote them by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

Here, \mathcal{D} is assumed to be a bounded domain such that the \mathbb{H}^2 -regularity result holds, namely:

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 \lesssim \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\Delta \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$$

for all $\mathbf{v} \in D(\Delta)$. The above is true for any domain with C^2 -smooth boundary. For domain with less regular boundary, it is known, for instance, that the \mathbb{H}^2 -regularity result is guaranteed to hold for any convex Lipschitz domains [21]. Henceforth, we will assume that \mathcal{D} is a smooth, or convex polygonal or polyhedral domain. In this domain, the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities and the Sobolev embedding theorems also hold.

Finally, throughout this paper, the constant C in the estimate denotes a generic constant which takes different values at different occurrences. If the dependence of C on some variable, e.g. T , is highlighted, we often write $C(T)$. The notation $A \lesssim B$ means $A \leq CB$ where the specific form of the constant C is not important to clarify.

2.2. Assumptions. Given $T > 0$ and $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{D})$, the pair of functions $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{H}) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1 \times \mathbb{H}^1$ is a weak solution to the problem (1.4) if (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{H}) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle = \lambda_r \langle \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \mathbf{H}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle = - \langle \nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle + \kappa \mu \langle \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle - \kappa \langle |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle - \beta \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathbb{H}^1$ and $t \in [0, T]$, with $\mathbf{u}(0) = \mathbf{u}_0$.

Throughout this paper, we assume that problem (2.1) possesses solution (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{H}) which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^{r+1})} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^{r+1})} + \|\partial_t^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^2)} + \|\partial_t^3 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{L}^2)} &\leq K_0, \\ \|\mathbf{H}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^{r+1})} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^{r+1})} + \|\partial_t^2 \mathbf{H}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^2)} + \|\partial_t^3 \mathbf{H}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{L}^2)} &\leq K_0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where r is the degree of piecewise continuous polynomials used as the finite element space and $K_0 > 0$ depends on \mathbf{u}_0 . The existence of an arbitrarily smooth solution to the LLBar equation on $(0, T) \times \mathcal{D}$ is guaranteed for any initial data $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^1$ (cf. [19]).

For simplicity of presentation, throughout this paper we assume that $\mu > 0$, except in Section 6 where we specifically discuss the regularised LLBloch equation and outline the modifications needed for the case $\mu < 0$, and in Section 7 where some numerical simulations are performed.

2.3. Finite Element Approximation. Let \mathcal{T}_h be a shape-regular triangulation of $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with maximal mesh-size h . To discretise the LLBar equation (1.4), we introduce the finite element space $\mathbb{V}_h \subset \mathbb{H}^1$, which is the space of all piecewise continuous polynomials on \mathcal{T}_h of degree at most r . By the Bramble–Hilbert lemma, there exists a constant C independent of h such that for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{H}^{r+1}$,

$$\inf_{\boldsymbol{\chi} \in \mathbb{V}_h} \{ \|\mathbf{v} - \boldsymbol{\chi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + h \|\nabla(\mathbf{v} - \boldsymbol{\chi})\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \} \leq Ch^{r+1} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{r+1}}.$$

We shall use several operators in the analysis. Firstly, define the \mathbb{L}^2 -projection operator $\Pi_h : \mathbb{L}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_h$ such that

$$\langle \Pi_h \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\chi} \in \mathbb{V}_h. \quad (2.3)$$

It is well known that if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{H}^{r+1}$, then

$$\|\mathbf{v} - \Pi_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + h \|\nabla(\mathbf{v} - \Pi_h \mathbf{v})\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \leq Ch^{r+1} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^{r+1}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Moreover, if the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform, then we have the \mathbb{H}^1 -stability of the \mathbb{L}^2 -projection operator [6], namely

$$\|\nabla \Pi_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{H}^1. \quad (2.5)$$

Next, we introduce the discrete Laplacian operator $\Delta_h : \mathbb{V}_h \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_h$ defined by

$$\langle \Delta_h \mathbf{v}_h, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle = -\langle \nabla \mathbf{v}_h, \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle, \quad \forall \mathbf{v}_h, \boldsymbol{\chi} \in \mathbb{V}_h, \quad (2.6)$$

and the Ritz projection operator $R_h : \mathbb{H}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_h$ by

$$\langle \nabla R_h \mathbf{v} - \nabla \mathbf{v}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{such that} \quad \langle R_h \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{1} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\chi} \in \mathbb{V}_h, \quad (2.7)$$

For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{H}^{r+1}$, let $\boldsymbol{\omega}(t) := \mathbf{v}(t) - R_h \mathbf{v}(t)$. The following estimate is well known [38] for $s = 0$ or 1:

$$\|\boldsymbol{\omega}(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\omega}(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} \leq Ch^{r+1-s} \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^{r+1}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover, if the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform, then by [35],

$$\|\boldsymbol{\omega}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \leq Ch^{r+1} |\ln h| \|\mathbf{v}(t)\|_{\mathbb{W}^{r+1, \infty}}. \quad (2.9)$$

It is known that the term $|\ln h|$ can be removed in case $r \geq 2$ and \mathcal{D} is a polygonal domain. Throughout, we shall assume sufficient conditions on the regularity of the domain and the geometry of the mesh so that the maximum-norm stability of the Ritz projection holds, namely

$$\|R_h \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{X}} \leq C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{X}}, \quad \text{where either } \mathbb{X} := \mathbb{L}^\infty \text{ or } \mathbb{W}^{1, \infty}. \quad (2.10)$$

This holds for a globally quasi-uniform triangulation [14]. However, (2.10) also holds under more general conditions, for instance in a convex polygonal or polyhedral domain with mildly graded mesh satisfying certain assumptions [14, 30], or in a non-convex polygonal domain with locally refined mesh [29].

2.4. Auxiliary Results. In the analysis, we use the following vector identities: for any vectors $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$2\mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) = |\mathbf{a}|^2 - |\mathbf{b}|^2 + |\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}|^2, \quad (2.11)$$

$$4|\mathbf{a}|^2 \mathbf{a} \cdot (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) = |\mathbf{a}|^4 - |\mathbf{b}|^4 + \left(|\mathbf{a}|^2 - |\mathbf{b}|^2\right)^2 + 2|\mathbf{a}|^2 |\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}|^2, \quad (2.12)$$

$$2(|\mathbf{a}|^2 \mathbf{a} - |\mathbf{b}|^2 \mathbf{b}) \cdot (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) = \left(|\mathbf{a}|^2 - |\mathbf{b}|^2\right)^2 + \left(|\mathbf{a}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2\right) |\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}|^2. \quad (2.13)$$

The following inequalities will also be used frequently.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists a positive constant C depending only on \mathcal{D} such that the following inequalities hold.

(i) For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{H}^1(\mathcal{D})$,

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{2-d/2} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^{d/2}, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (2.15)$$

(ii) For any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{D}(\Delta)$,

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4\epsilon} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (2.16)$$

(iii) For any $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\Delta_h \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \quad (2.17)$$

(iv) Let \mathcal{D} be a convex polygonal or polyhedral domain with globally quasi-uniform triangulation. For any $\mathbf{v}_h \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \leq C \|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{1-\frac{d}{4}} \left(\|\mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{d}{4}} + \|\Delta_h \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{\frac{d}{4}} \right), \quad (2.18)$$

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \leq C \|\Delta_h \mathbf{v}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \quad (2.19)$$

Proof. Inequality (2.14) follows from the Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequality. Moreover, applying Young’s inequality to (2.14) gives

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \leq C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{2-d/2} \left(\|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{d/2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^{d/2} \right) \leq C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Inequality (2.16) follows from integration by parts and Young’s inequality, while (2.17) follows by taking $\chi = \mathbf{v}_h$ in (2.6) and applying Hölder’s inequality.

Finally, (2.18) and (2.19) are proven in [22, Appendix A]). This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

3. A SEMI-DISCRETE GALERKIN APPROXIMATION

A semi-discrete Galerkin approximation to the problem (1.4) is $(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{H}_h) : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{V}_h \times \mathbb{V}_h$ such that for all $t \in [0, T]$ and $\chi, \phi \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$\begin{cases} \langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h, \chi \rangle = \lambda_r \langle \mathbf{H}_h, \chi \rangle + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \mathbf{H}_h, \nabla \chi \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h, \chi \rangle, \\ \langle \mathbf{H}_h, \phi \rangle = -\langle \nabla \mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \phi \rangle + \kappa \mu \langle \mathbf{u}_h, \phi \rangle - \kappa \langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h, \phi \rangle - \beta \langle \mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h, \phi \rangle, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

with $\mathbf{u}_h(0) = \mathbf{u}_{0,h}$, an approximation of \mathbf{u}_0 in \mathbb{V}_h . Note that (3.1) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h = \lambda_r \mathbf{H}_h - \lambda_e \Delta_h \mathbf{H}_h - \gamma \Pi_h(\mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h), \\ \mathbf{H}_h = \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h + \kappa \mu \mathbf{u}_h - \kappa \Pi_h(|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h) - \beta \Pi_h(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h). \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Substituting the second equation into the first gives

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h &= (\lambda_r - \kappa \lambda_e \mu) \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h - \lambda_e \Delta_h^2 \mathbf{u}_h + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \mathbf{u}_h - \kappa \lambda_r \Pi_h(|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h) + \kappa \lambda_e \Delta_h \Pi_h(|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h) \\ &\quad - \gamma \Pi_h(\mathbf{u}_h \times \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h) + \kappa \gamma \Pi_h(\mathbf{u}_h \times \Pi_h(|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h)) + \beta \gamma \Pi_h(\mathbf{u}_h \times \Pi_h(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h)) \\ &\quad - \beta \lambda_r \Pi_h(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h) + \beta \lambda_e \Delta_h \Pi_h(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Noting that all norms on the finite-dimensional space \mathbb{V}_h are equivalent and each term in (3.3) is locally Lipschitz, we obtain a unique solution $\mathbf{u}_h \in \mathbb{V}_h$ for (3.3) defined on the interval $[0, t_h] \subseteq [0, T]$ by the standard theory for ordinary differential equation (thus also giving a unique solution $\mathbf{H}_h \in \mathbb{V}_h$ by substituting \mathbf{u}_h back to (3.2)). We will prove several stability results, which will be used to ensure the semi-discrete solution $(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{H}_h)$ can be continued globally to $[0, \infty)$ for any initial data $\mathbf{u}_{0,h} \in \mathbb{V}_h$. Note that these estimates hold uniformly in time $t \in (0, \infty)$.

Proposition 3.1. Let $h > 0$ and initial data \mathbf{u}_0 be given. For any $s, t \in (0, \infty)$ such that $s \leq t$,

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}_h(t)) \leq \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}_h(s)), \quad (3.4)$$

where \mathcal{E} is the energy defined in (1.5), and

$$\|\mathbf{u}_h(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 + \|\mathbf{u}_h(t)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \lambda_r \int_0^t \|\mathbf{H}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds + \lambda_e \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \leq C_1 \left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^4 + |\mathcal{D}| \right), \quad (3.5)$$

where C_1 depends only on κ, μ , and β .

Proof. Taking $\chi = \mathbf{H}_h$ and $\phi = \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h$ in (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{H}_h \rangle &= \lambda_r \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \\ \langle \mathbf{H}_h, \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \frac{\kappa}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 - \frac{\beta}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h)^2, \end{aligned}$$

These imply

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 = \frac{\kappa\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \lambda_r \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \lambda_e \|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,$$

or equivalently the energy identity:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}_h(t)) + \lambda_r \|\mathbf{H}_h(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = 0,$$

thus proving (3.4). Next, integrating this with respect to t and rearranging, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 - \frac{\kappa}{4} \|\mathbf{u}_h(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ + \lambda_r \int_0^t \|\mathbf{H}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds + \lambda_e \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \leq \frac{\kappa\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \frac{\kappa\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_h(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Let $A := \kappa\mu + \beta$. After rearranging some terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{A}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 + \lambda_r \int_0^t \|\mathbf{H}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds + \lambda_e \int_0^t \|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \\ \leq \kappa \|\mathbf{u}_h(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 + C \|\mathbf{u}_h(0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \frac{3A}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \frac{\kappa}{4} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 \\ = \kappa \|\mathbf{u}_h(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 + C \|\mathbf{u}_h(0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4} \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left(\frac{6A}{\kappa} |\mathbf{u}_h(t)|^2 - |\mathbf{u}_h(t)|^4 \right) dx \\ \leq \kappa \|\mathbf{u}_h(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 + C \|\mathbf{u}_h(0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + C |\mathcal{D}| \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{u}_h(0)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^4 + |\mathcal{D}| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

where in the last step we used (2.15), and C depends only on κ, μ, β . This shows (3.5), thus completing the proof of the proposition. \square

Proposition 3.2. Let $h > 0$ and initial data \mathbf{u}_0 be given. For all $t \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\lambda_e \|\mathbf{H}_h(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + C_1 \alpha_1 \left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + |\mathcal{D}| \right), \quad (3.8)$$

where $\alpha_1 := \lambda_r^{-1} ((\lambda_r + \kappa\mu\lambda_e + \beta\lambda_e)^2 + C\gamma^2 + C(\kappa\lambda_e)^2)$, and the constant C depends only on κ, μ, β , and \mathcal{D} (but is independent of t, h , and λ_e).

Proof. Taking $\chi = \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h$ in (3.1) yields

$$\|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = \lambda_r \langle \mathbf{H}_h, \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h \rangle + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \mathbf{H}_h, \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h, \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h \rangle. \quad (3.9)$$

Differentiating the second equation in (3.1) with respect to t , then taking $\phi = \lambda_e \mathbf{H}_h$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= -\lambda_e \langle \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \mathbf{H}_h \rangle + \kappa\mu\lambda_e \langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{H}_h \rangle - \kappa\lambda_e \langle \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h), \mathbf{H}_h \rangle \\ &\quad - \beta\lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h), \mathbf{H}_h \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Adding this to (3.9), then applying Hölder's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&= (\lambda_r + \kappa\mu\lambda_e) \langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{H}_h \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h, \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h \rangle - \kappa\lambda_e \langle \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h), \mathbf{H}_h \rangle - \beta\lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h), \mathbf{H}_h \rangle \\
&\leq B \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \gamma \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \kappa\lambda_e \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + B^2 \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C\gamma^2 \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C(\kappa\lambda_e)^2 \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $B := \lambda_r + \kappa\mu\lambda_e + \beta\lambda_e$, and in the last line we used Young's inequality, Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^6$ and (3.5). Rearranging the inequality and integrating with respect to t (and noting (3.5) again), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_e \|\mathbf{H}_h(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\partial_s \mathbf{u}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds &\leq \|\mathbf{H}_h(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \alpha_1 \int_0^t \|\mathbf{H}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 ds \\
&\leq C \|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + C_1 \alpha_1 \left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + |\mathcal{D}| \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the constant C depends only on κ , μ , and \mathcal{D} , as required. \square

Proposition 3.3. Let $h > 0$ and initial data \mathbf{u}_0 be given. For all $t \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\lambda_e \|\Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C(1 + \lambda_e). \quad (3.10)$$

Moreover, if the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is globally quasi-uniform, then

$$\lambda_e \|\mathbf{u}_h(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \leq C(1 + \lambda_e). \quad (3.11)$$

Here, the constant C depends only on κ , μ , β , γ , λ_r , \mathcal{D} , and K_0 (but is independent of t , h , and λ_e).

Proof. Taking $\phi = \lambda_e \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h$, we obtain

$$\lambda_e \langle \mathbf{H}_h, \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h \rangle = \lambda_e \|\Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \kappa\mu\lambda_e \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \kappa\lambda_e \langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h, \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h \rangle - \beta\lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h), \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h \rangle.$$

Therefore, after rearranging, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_e \|\Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= \kappa\mu\lambda_e \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{H}_h, \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h \rangle + \kappa\lambda_e \langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h, \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h \rangle + \beta\lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h), \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h \rangle \\
&\leq \kappa\mu\lambda_e \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \|\Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \beta^2 \lambda_e \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\leq C\lambda_e \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \lambda_e \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \|\Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C\lambda_e + C + \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \|\Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Young's inequality, (3.5), and the Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^6$. This proves inequality (3.10). Finally, (3.11) follows from (2.18), (3.10), and (3.5). \square

The following proposition shows stability of \mathbf{H}_h in $L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^1)$ norm under some assumptions.

Proposition 3.4. Let $h > 0$ and initial data \mathbf{u}_0 be given. Suppose that one of the following holds:

- (1) $d = 1$ or 2 ,
- (2) $d = 3$ and $\kappa^2 \lambda_e \left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^4 + |\mathcal{D}| \right) \lesssim \mu$,
- (3) $d = 3$ and the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform.

For all $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \leq C, \quad (3.12)$$

where the constant C depends only on the coefficients of the equation, \mathcal{D} , and K_0 .

Proof. Differentiating the first equation in (3.1) with respect to t , then taking $\chi = \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h$ gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = \lambda_r \langle \partial_t \mathbf{H}_h, \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h \rangle + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{H}_h, \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \partial_t \mathbf{H}_h, \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h \rangle. \quad (3.13)$$

Differentiating the second equation in (3.1) with respect to t , then taking $\phi = \lambda_e \partial_t \mathbf{H}_h$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_e \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= -\lambda_e \langle \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h, \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{H}_h \rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h, \partial_t \mathbf{H}_h \rangle - \kappa \lambda_e \langle \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h), \partial_t \mathbf{H}_h \rangle \\ &\quad - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e} (\mathbf{e} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h), \partial_t \mathbf{H}_h \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

while taking $\phi = C_r \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h$, where $C_r := \lambda_r + \kappa \mu \lambda_e$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} C_r \langle \partial_t \mathbf{H}_h, \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h \rangle &= C_r \left(\kappa \mu \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - 2\kappa \|\mathbf{u}_h \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \kappa \|\|\mathbf{u}_h\| \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + C_r \beta \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Adding (3.13), (3.14), and (3.15) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_r \left(\|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 2\kappa \|\mathbf{u}_h \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \|\|\mathbf{u}_h\| \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) \\ = C_r \kappa \mu \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_r \beta \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \kappa \lambda_e \langle \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h), \partial_t \mathbf{H}_h \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \partial_t \mathbf{H}_h, \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

We estimate each term appearing on the right-hand side as follows.

Case 1: $d = 1$ or 2 . In this case, Hölder's inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_r \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_r \kappa \|\|\mathbf{u}_h\| \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ \leq C_r (\kappa \mu + \beta) \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \lambda_e \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^8}^2 \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \gamma \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \\ \leq C \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{C_r}{2} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used the Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^8$, Young's inequality, (2.15) and (3.5). The required inequality then follows by integrating both sides with respect to t and noting (3.8).

Case 2: $d = 3$ and $\kappa^2 \lambda_e (\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^4 + |\mathcal{D}|) \lesssim \mu$. Similarly, by Hölder's and Young's inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_r \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_r \kappa \|\|\mathbf{u}_h\| \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ \leq C_r (\kappa \mu + \beta) \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \lambda_e \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \gamma \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \\ \leq C \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{C_r}{2} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_S \kappa^2 \lambda_e \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^4 \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where C_S is the constant associated with the Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^6$. If $\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^4 + |\mathcal{D}|$ is sufficiently small, or more precisely (with C_1 as given in (3.5))

$$C_S C_1^4 \kappa^2 \lambda_e \left(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^4 + |\mathcal{D}| \right) \leq \mu,$$

then noting (3.5), we can absorb the term $C_S \kappa^2 \lambda_e \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^4 \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2$ to the left-hand side. The required inequality then follows by integrating both sides with respect to t and using (3.8).

Case 3: $d = 3$ and the triangulation is quasi-uniform. In this case, by Hölder's inequality and (3.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_r \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C_r \kappa \|\|\mathbf{u}_h\| \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ \leq C_r (\kappa \mu + \beta) \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \lambda_e \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \gamma \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ \leq C \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{C_r}{2} \|\nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \|\partial_t \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides with respect to t then yields the inequality in this case. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proposition 3.5. Let $h > 0$ and initial data \mathbf{u}_0 be given. Under the same assumptions as Proposition 3.4, for all $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \leq C,$$

where the constant C depends only on the coefficients of the equation, \mathcal{D} , and K_0 .

Proof. Setting $\chi = \mathbf{H}_h$ in (3.1) gives

$$\lambda_e \|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = \langle \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{H}_h \rangle - \lambda_r \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

The required result then follows from (3.5) and (3.12). \square

To estimate the error in the semi-discrete approximation, we write it as a sum of two terms:

$$\mathbf{u}_h(t) - \mathbf{u}(t) = (\mathbf{u}_h(t) - R_h \mathbf{u}(t)) + (R_h \mathbf{u}(t) - \mathbf{u}(t)) =: \boldsymbol{\theta}(t) + \boldsymbol{\rho}(t), \quad (3.16)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_h(t) - \mathbf{H}(t) = (\mathbf{H}_h(t) - R_h \mathbf{H}(t)) + (R_h \mathbf{H}(t) - \mathbf{H}(t)) =: \boldsymbol{\xi}(t) + \boldsymbol{\eta}(t). \quad (3.17)$$

where R_h is the Ritz projection operator (see (2.7)).

In the analysis, to bound the nonlinear terms, we will often write (by adding and subtracting $R_h \mathbf{u}$)

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u} &= (\mathbf{u}_h - R_h \mathbf{u}) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h + R_h \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u}_h + |R_h \mathbf{u}|^2 (\mathbf{u}_h - \mathbf{u}) + (R_h \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot (R_h \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}) \mathbf{u} \\ &= (\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta} + 2R_h \mathbf{u})) \mathbf{u}_h + |R_h \mathbf{u}|^2 (\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}) + (\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot (R_h \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u})) \mathbf{u} \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H} &= (\mathbf{u}_h - R_h \mathbf{u}) \times \mathbf{H}_h + R_h \mathbf{u} \times (\mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{H}) + (R_h \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}) \times \mathbf{H} \\ &= \boldsymbol{\theta} \times \mathbf{H}_h + R_h \mathbf{u} \times (\boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}) + \boldsymbol{\rho} \times \mathbf{H}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

We derive some estimates for the nonlinear terms in the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.6. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and initial data \mathbf{u}_0 be given. Let (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{H}) be the solution of (2.1) satisfying (2.2). Then we have

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (3.20)$$

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (3.21)$$

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + (\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (3.22)$$

Furthermore, if the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is globally quasi-uniform, then for any $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (3.23)$$

$$|\langle \nabla \Pi_h (\mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}), \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2r} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (3.24)$$

Here, all constants are independent of h .

Proof. First, we will prove (3.20) by writing

$$\mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H} = (\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}) \times \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{u}_h \times (\boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}). \quad (3.25)$$

Therefore, by Hölder's and Young's inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle| &\leq \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{H}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \\ &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (2.8) and (2.15), thus proving (3.20). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle| &\leq \|\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{H}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \\ &\lesssim \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

proving (3.21). Next, using (3.19), Hölder's and Young's inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle| \\ &\leq \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|R_h \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{H}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + h^{2(r+1)} + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim (\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + h^{2(r+1)} + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used Sobolev embedding and (2.15). This proves (3.22).

Finally, if the triangulation is quasi-uniform, then (3.11) holds. Using (3.25), Hölder's and Young's inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle| &\leq (\|\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{H}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

thus proving (3.23). Similarly, noting (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \nabla \Pi_h(\mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}), \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle| &\leq (\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{H}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{H}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \\ &\quad + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim h^{2r} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (2.19), Proposition 3.3, and (2.8), completing the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 3.7. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and initial data \mathbf{u}_0 be given. Let (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{H}) be the solution of (2.1) satisfying (2.2). Then we have

$$|\langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (3.26)$$

$$|\langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + (1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (3.27)$$

$$|\langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + (1 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (3.28)$$

$$|\langle \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + (1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (3.29)$$

Furthermore, if the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is globally quasi-uniform, then for any $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$|\langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (3.30)$$

$$|\langle \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2. \quad (3.31)$$

In the above estimates, all constants are independent of h .

Proof. First, we will prove (3.26). Note that

$$|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u} = |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 (\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}) - ((\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h + \mathbf{u})) \mathbf{u}. \quad (3.32)$$

Therefore, noting (2.15) and (3.5), by Hölder's inequality and Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^6$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle| &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 (\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) \\ &\quad + \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} (\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) \\ &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, where we used Young's inequality and (2.8) in the last step. Next, we will prove (3.27). In this case, using (3.18), Hölder's and Young's inequality, and (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle| &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|R_h \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + \|R_h \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|R_h \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + (1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, where in the last step we used Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^6$ and (2.8). Similarly, to prove (3.28), we use (3.18), Hölder's and Young's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle| &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|R_h \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + \|R_h \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|R_h \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + (1 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, as required. Finally, differentiating (3.18) with respect to t yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \langle \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \right| \\
& \lesssim \left| \langle (\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta} + 2R_h \mathbf{u})) \mathbf{u}_h, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \right| + \left| \langle (\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot (\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} + 2R_h \partial_t \mathbf{u})) \mathbf{u}_h, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \right| + \left| \langle (\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta} + R_h \mathbf{u})) \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \right| \\
& \quad + \left| \langle 2(R_h \mathbf{u} \cdot R_h \partial_t \mathbf{u})(\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \right| + \left| \langle |R_h \mathbf{u}|^2 (\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} + \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \right| \\
& \quad + \left| \langle (\partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot (R_h \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u})) \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \right| + \left| \langle (\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot (R_h \partial_t \mathbf{u} + \partial_t \mathbf{u})) \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \right| + \left| \langle (\boldsymbol{\rho} \cdot (R_h \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u})) \partial_t \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \right| \\
& =: |T_1| + |T_2| + \dots + |T_8|.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

We will now estimate each term in the last step. For the term $|T_1|$, noting $\mathbf{u}_h = \boldsymbol{\theta} + R_h \mathbf{u}$ and applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_1| & \lesssim \left| \langle (\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}) \mathbf{u}_h, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \right| + \left| \langle (\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot R_h \mathbf{u})(\boldsymbol{\theta} + R_h \mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \right| \\
& \leq \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|R_h \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|R_h \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
& \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, where we used Young's inequality and Sobolev embedding in the last step. For the term $|T_2|$, by Hölder's and Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_2| & \leq \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|R_h \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
& \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used Sobolev embedding in the last step. For the term $|T_3|$, noting $\mathbf{u}_h = \boldsymbol{\theta} + R_h \mathbf{u}$ as done before, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_3| & \leq \left| \langle (\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}) \partial_t \mathbf{u}_h, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \right| + \left| \langle (\boldsymbol{\theta} \cdot R_h \mathbf{u})(\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} + R_h \partial_t \mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \right| \\
& \leq \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|R_h \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|R_h \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|R_h \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
& \lesssim \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term $|T_4|$, by Hölder's and Young's inequalities, we have

$$|T_4| \leq \|R_h \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|R_h \partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Similarly for the next term,

$$|T_5| \leq \|R_h \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} + \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$$

The terms $|T_6|$, $|T_7|$ and $|T_8|$ can be bounded in a similar way leading to

$$|T_6| + |T_7| + |T_8| \leq \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Altogether, noting (2.8), we conclude the estimate (3.29) from (3.33).

Now, suppose the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform. In this case, (5.17) holds. Using (3.11), (3.32), and Hölder's and Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \right| & \leq \left(\|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{u}_h + \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \right) \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
& \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

proving (3.30). Finally, writing

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}) & = |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 (\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h - \partial_t \mathbf{u}) + (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 - |\mathbf{u}|^2) \partial_t \mathbf{u} + 2[\mathbf{u}_h \cdot (\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h - \partial_t \mathbf{u})] \mathbf{u}_h \\
& \quad + 2[(\mathbf{u}_h - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}] \mathbf{u}_h + 2(\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{u}_h - \mathbf{u}),
\end{aligned}$$

then applying Hölder's and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \langle \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle \right| \\
& \leq \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} + \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{u}_h + \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \\
& \quad + 2 \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} + \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} + 2 \|\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \\
& \quad + 2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2,$$

thus proving (3.31). This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We will prove that the semi-discrete scheme converges at an optimal rate. For simplicity of presentation, we will assume that $\mathbf{u}_{0,h} = R_h \mathbf{u}(0)$ is the approximation in \mathbb{V}_h of the initial data, so that $\boldsymbol{\theta}(0) = 0$. In this case, subtracting the second equation in (2.1) from that in (3.1) yields

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle = -\langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle + \kappa \mu \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle - \kappa \langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle.$$

Therefore, at $t = 0$, noting (3.32) and taking $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \boldsymbol{\xi}(0)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= -\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}(0), \boldsymbol{\xi}(0) \rangle + \kappa \mu \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}(0), \boldsymbol{\xi}(0) \rangle - \kappa \langle |R_h \mathbf{u}(0)|^2 \boldsymbol{\rho}(0) - (\boldsymbol{\rho}(0) \cdot (R_h \mathbf{u}(0) + \mathbf{u}(0))) \mathbf{u}(0), \boldsymbol{\xi}(0) \rangle \\ &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\eta}(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$ by Young's inequality. Rearranging the above, then applying (2.8) and (2.8), we obtain

$$\|\boldsymbol{\xi}(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim h^{r+1}. \quad (3.34)$$

Next, we prove some bounds for $\boldsymbol{\theta}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}(t)$ for $t \in (0, T)$. In particular, (3.35) shows a superconvergence estimate for $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, which implies the corresponding estimate (3.36) in the \mathbb{L}^∞ norm under an additional assumption of global quasi-uniformity of the triangulation.

Proposition 3.8. For any $t \in (0, T)$,

$$\|\boldsymbol{\theta}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \leq Ch^{2(r+1)}. \quad (3.35)$$

Moreover, if the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is globally quasi-uniform then

$$\|\boldsymbol{\theta}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 + \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{\xi}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \leq Ch^{2(r+1)}, \quad (3.36)$$

$$\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \leq Ch^{2r}. \quad (3.37)$$

The constant C depends on the coefficients of the equation, $|\mathcal{D}|$, T , and K_0 (as defined in (2.2)), but is independent of h .

Proof. Subtracting (2.1) from (3.1), using (3.16), (3.17) (and noting the definition of Ritz projection), we obtain for all $\boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$\langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} + \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle = \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle \quad (3.38)$$

and

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle = -\langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle + \kappa \mu \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle - \kappa \langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle - \beta \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}), \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle \quad (3.39)$$

Taking $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \boldsymbol{\theta}$ in (3.38), we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle = \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle. \quad (3.40)$$

Taking $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \lambda_r \boldsymbol{\theta}$ in (3.39), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle &= -\lambda_r \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \beta \lambda_r \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \\ &\quad - \kappa \lambda_r \langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

Next, taking $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \lambda_e \boldsymbol{\xi}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_e \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle &= -\lambda_e \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - \kappa \lambda_e \langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \\ &\quad - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.42)$$

Substituting (3.41) into (3.40), then adding the result to (3.42) gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_r \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \beta \lambda_r \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle - \lambda_e \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \\
&\quad - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle - \kappa \lambda_e \langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - \kappa \lambda_r \langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's and Young's inequalities, (3.20), (3.26), and (3.27) to the above equation yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_r \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\leq \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \lambda_e \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \kappa \mu \lambda_e (\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) + \kappa \mu \lambda_r (\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) \\
&\quad + \beta \lambda_e \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \gamma |\langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle| + \kappa \lambda_e |\langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle| \\
&\quad + \kappa \lambda_r |\langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle| \\
&\lesssim \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\quad + h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\quad + h^{2(r+1)} + (1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + (1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2
\end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, where the constant is independent of h , t , and T . Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and integrating over $(0, t)$, we obtain

$$\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}(0)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + h^{2(r+1)} + \int_0^t (1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds.$$

Note that by Proposition 3.1 and (2.10),

$$\int_0^t 1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 ds \lesssim 1 + \int_0^t (\|\mathbf{H}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + \|R_h \mathbf{H}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}) ds \lesssim 1,$$

and so Gronwall's inequality applied to (3.43) yields

$$\|\boldsymbol{\theta}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{\xi}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \leq C h^{2(r+1)}. \tag{3.44}$$

Next, differentiating (3.39) with respect to t , then taking $\phi = \lambda_e \boldsymbol{\xi}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\lambda_e}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle &= -\lambda_e \langle \nabla \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} + \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \\
&\quad - \kappa \lambda_e \langle \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

Taking $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \kappa \mu \lambda_e \boldsymbol{\xi}$ and rearranging the terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa \mu \lambda_e \lambda_r \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \mu \lambda_e^2 \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= -\kappa \mu \lambda_e \langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} + \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - \kappa \mu \lambda_e \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \\
&\quad + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

Adding (3.45) and (3.46) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\lambda_e}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \lambda_r \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \mu \lambda_e^2 \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&= -\lambda_e \langle \nabla \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - \lambda_e \langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - \kappa \mu \lambda_e \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - \kappa \mu \lambda_e \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \\
&\quad - \kappa \lambda_e \langle \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

Furthermore, taking $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}$ in (3.38) gives

$$\|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle = \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}, \nabla \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle. \tag{3.48}$$

Taking $\phi = \lambda_r \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}$ in (3.39), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle &= -\frac{\lambda_r}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \\
&\quad - \kappa \lambda_r \langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle - \beta \lambda_r \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}), \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Substituting (3.49) into (3.48), then adding the result to (3.47) give

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\lambda_r}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \lambda_r \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \mu \lambda_e^2 \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
& = -\langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle - \lambda_e \langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle - \kappa \mu \lambda_e \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \\
& \quad - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \\
& \quad - \kappa \lambda_e \langle \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - \kappa \lambda_r \langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle - \beta \lambda_r \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}), \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Hölder's and Young's inequalities to bound the terms on the second line, then using (3.22), (3.28) and (3.29) for the remaining terms yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\lambda_r}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_r \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
& \leq \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \lambda_e \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \lambda_r \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
& \quad + \gamma |\langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle| + \gamma |\langle \mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle| \\
& \quad + \kappa \lambda_e |\langle \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle| + \kappa \lambda_r |\langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle| + \beta \lambda_r |\langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}), \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle| \\
& \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
& \quad + h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + (\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
& \quad + h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
& \quad + h^{2(r+1)} + (1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
& \quad + h^{2(r+1)} + (1 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
& \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + (1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{H}_h\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, where the constant is independent of h , t , and T . Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, then integrating over $(0, t)$ and using (3.44) (and noting (3.34)), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \\
& \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \int_0^t \|\boldsymbol{\xi}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{B}(s) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{B}(s) := 1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{H}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2$. Note that by Proposition 3.1, Proposition 3.2, and inequality (2.10),

$$\int_0^t \mathcal{B}(s) ds \leq \int_0^t (1 + \|\mathbf{H}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|R_h \mathbf{H}(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}_h(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|R_h \partial_t \mathbf{u}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) ds \lesssim 1.$$

Therefore, by Gronwall's inequality,

$$\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \leq Ch^{2(r+1)}. \quad (3.50)$$

Inequality (3.35) then follows from (3.44) and (3.50).

Suppose now that the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform. Taking $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}$ in (3.39), rearranging the terms, then applying Young's inequality and (3.30) give

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 & = \langle \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\eta}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle - \kappa \mu \langle \boldsymbol{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle + \kappa \langle |\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle - \beta \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta} \rangle \\
& \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \epsilon \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (3.35) shown above. Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small then yields

$$\|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2(r+1)}. \quad (3.51)$$

Next, we take $\chi = \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}$ and apply the same argument (using (3.35) and (3.23) this time) to obtain

$$\int_0^t \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}(s)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 ds \lesssim h^{2(r+1)}. \quad (3.52)$$

Inequality (3.36) then follows from (3.51), (3.52), and (2.18).

Finally, setting $\chi = -\Delta_h^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}$ and applying (2.6) as necessary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_r \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= -\langle \partial_t \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}, \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - \langle \nabla \Pi_h \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}, \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \\ &\quad + \gamma \langle \nabla \Pi_h (\mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}), \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.53)$$

Differentiating the second equation in (3.1) with respect to t , then setting $\phi = -\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= \langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\eta}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle + \langle \partial_t \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}, \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - \kappa \mu \langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} + \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \\ &\quad + \kappa \left\langle \partial_t \left(|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u} \right), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \right\rangle + \beta \langle \mathbf{e} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (3.54)$$

Adding (3.53) and (3.54), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_r \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &= \langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\eta}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - \langle \nabla \Pi_h \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}, \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle - \kappa \mu \langle \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta} + \partial_t \boldsymbol{\rho}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle + \beta \langle \mathbf{e} \cdot \partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \\ &\quad + \gamma \langle \nabla \Pi_h (\mathbf{u}_h \times \mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{H}), \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle + \kappa \left\langle \partial_t \left(|\mathbf{u}_h|^2 \mathbf{u}_h - |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u} \right), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The first four terms on the right-hand side can be estimated using Young's inequality (together with (2.8)) in a straightforward manner. The last two terms can be bounded using (3.24) and (3.31). We then have for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_r \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2r} + \|\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2$$

Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, integrating both sides with respect to t (and noting (3.35)), we obtain (3.37). This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

We are now ready to state the main theorem of this section on the order of convergence for the semi-discrete scheme (3.1).

Theorem 3.9. Let (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{H}) be the solution of (2.1) as described in Section 2.2, and let $(\mathbf{u}_h, \mathbf{H}_h) \in \mathbb{V}_h \times \mathbb{V}_h$ be the solution of (3.1) with initial data \mathbf{u}_0 . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_h - \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{L}^2)} + h \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h - \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{L}^2)} &\leq Ch^{r+1}, \\ \|\mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{H}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{L}^2)} + h \|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h - \nabla \mathbf{H}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{L}^2)} &\leq Ch^{r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform, then for $s = 0$ or 1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_h - \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^s)} + \|\mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{H}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^s)} &\leq Ch^{r+1-s}, \\ \|\mathbf{u}_h - \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{L}^\infty)} + \|\mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{H}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{L}^\infty)} &\leq Ch^{r+1} |\ln h|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The constant C depends on the coefficients of the equation, $|\mathcal{D}|$, T , and K_0 (as defined in (2.2)), but is independent of h .

Proof. The result follows from Proposition 3.8, equations (3.16) and (3.17), estimates (2.8), (2.9), and the triangle inequality. \square

4. A FULLY DISCRETE SCHEME BASED ON THE SEMI-IMPLICIT EULER METHOD

We propose a time-discrete scheme for the LLBar equation using the semi-implicit Euler method. First, we fix some notations. Let k be the time step and \mathbf{u}_h^n be the approximation in \mathbb{V}_h of $\mathbf{u}(t)$ at time $t = t_n := nk$, where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor T/k \rfloor$. For any function \mathbf{v} , we denote $\mathbf{v}^n := \mathbf{v}(t_n)$, and define

$$\delta \mathbf{v}^{n+1} := \frac{\mathbf{v}^{n+1} - \mathbf{v}^n}{k}, \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

A fully discrete scheme can now be described as follows. We start with $\mathbf{u}_h^0 = R_h \mathbf{u}(0) \in \mathbb{V}_h$ for simplicity. For $t_n \in [0, T]$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, given $\mathbf{u}_h^n \in \mathbb{V}_h$, define \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} and \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} by

$$\begin{cases} \langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle = \lambda_r \langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle = -\langle \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle + \kappa \mu \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle - \kappa \langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle - \beta \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}), \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathbb{V}_h$.

Note that under the assumptions (2.2), for $p \in [1, \infty]$ we have

$$\|\delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^p} = \left\| \frac{1}{k} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t) dt \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^p} \leq \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}(t)\|_{\mathbb{L}^p}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\|\delta \mathbf{u}^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^p} \leq \|\delta \mathbf{u}^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^p} + \left\| \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \partial_{tt} \mathbf{u}(t) dt \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^p} \leq Ck, \quad (4.3)$$

where Taylor's theorem was used in the last step of (4.3), and C depends on $\|\partial_{tt} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{L}^p)}$.

We now show that the scheme (4.1) is well-posed for any time step size k .

Proposition 4.1. Let $\mathbf{u}_h^0 \in \mathbb{V}_h$ and $k > 0$ be given. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, given \mathbf{u}_h^n , there exists unique \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} and \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} solving (4.1).

Proof. Let $\mathbb{X} := \mathbb{V}_h \times \mathbb{V}_h$ (which is a subspace of $\mathbb{H}^1 \times \mathbb{H}^1$), equipped with norm $\|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})\|_{\mathbb{X}} := \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}$. Define a nonlinear form $\mathcal{A} : \mathbb{X} \times \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), (\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi})) &:= \langle \mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle + k\lambda_r \langle \mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle + k\lambda_e \langle \nabla \mathbf{v}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle - k\gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{v}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle + \kappa \langle |\mathbf{u}|^2 \mathbf{u}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle + \beta \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}), \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

and a linear form $f : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f((\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi})) := \kappa \mu \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle - \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle.$$

Multiplying the first equation in (4.1) by $-k$ and adding it to the second equation, we see that solving (4.1) is equivalent to solving

$$\mathcal{A}((\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}), (\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi})) = f((\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi})), \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \in \mathbb{X}. \quad (4.4)$$

For each fixed $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{X}$, the map $(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \mapsto \mathcal{A}((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), (\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}))$ is a bounded linear functional. Thus, there exists a map $T : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^*$ defined by

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \mapsto T((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})), \quad \text{such that } \mathcal{A}((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), (\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi})) = \langle T((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})), (\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \rangle, \quad \forall (\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \in \mathbb{X},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the duality pairing which extends the usual \mathbb{L}^2 -inner product. We aim to use the Browder–Minty theorem to deduce the existence and uniqueness of (4.4). To this end, it remains to show T is bounded, continuous, strictly monotone, and coercive.

Boundedness: We have by Hölder's inequality and Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^1 \subset \mathbb{L}^6$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}))\|_{\mathbb{X}^*} &:= \sup \{ |\mathcal{A}((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), (\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}))| : (\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi}) \in \mathbb{X} \text{ and } \|(\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{\chi})\|_{\mathbb{X}} \leq 1 \} \\ &\leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + (1 + k\lambda_r + k\lambda_e + k\gamma \|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}) \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^3 \leq C \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})\|_{\mathbb{X}} \left(1 + \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

This shows T maps bounded sets in \mathbb{X} into bounded sets in \mathbb{X}^* .

Continuity: It is clear that $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{v}_n) \rightarrow (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ strongly in \mathbb{X} implies $\|T((\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{v}_n)) - T((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}))\|_{\mathbb{X}^*} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The details are omitted.

Strict monotonicity: Let $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), (\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v}') \in \mathbb{X}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle T((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})) - T((\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v}')), (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) - (\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v}') \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{A}((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}')) - \mathcal{A}((\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v}'), (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}')) \\ &= k\lambda_r \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}'\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k\lambda_e \|\nabla \mathbf{v} - \nabla \mathbf{v}'\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u} - \nabla \mathbf{u}'\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \beta \|\mathbf{e} \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}')\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \quad + \kappa \left\| |\mathbf{u}|^2 - |\mathbf{u}'|^2 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}'\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \|\mathbf{u}'\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}'\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (2.13). Moreover, equality holds if and only if $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{u}', \mathbf{v}')$. This shows the strict monotonicity of T .

Coercivity: Let $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{X}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})), (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \rangle &= \mathcal{A}((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}), (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})) \\ &= k\lambda_r \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k\lambda_e \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 + \beta \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\geq C_1 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + C_2 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 - \kappa |\mathcal{D}|^{-4} \\ &\geq \min\{C_1, C_2\} \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})\|_{\mathbb{X}}^2 - \kappa |\mathcal{D}|^{-4}, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_1 := \min\{k\lambda_r, k\lambda_e\}$ and $C_2 := \min\{1, \kappa |\mathcal{D}|^{-4}\}$ are both positive constants, and we also used the inequality

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 \geq |\mathcal{D}|^{-4} \left(\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - 1 \right),$$

which follows from Hölder's and Young's inequalities. Therefore,

$$\frac{\langle T((\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})), (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \rangle}{\|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})\|_{\mathbb{X}}} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})\|_{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \infty,$$

showing the coercivity of T .

The existence and uniqueness of \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} and \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} solving (4.1) then follows from the Browder–Minty theorem. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Next, we show some stability results. The following proposition shows that \mathbf{u}_h^n is stable in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{H}^1)$ norm, while \mathbf{H}_h^n is stable in $\ell^2(\mathbb{H}^1)$ norm (over an arbitrary number of iterations n , even if $T = \infty$). Moreover, the energy dissipation property (4.5) is satisfied unconditionally.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\mathbf{u}_h^0 \in \mathbb{V}_h$ be given and let $(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{H}_h^n)$ be defined by (4.1). Then for any $k > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) \leq \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}_h^n), \quad (4.5)$$

where \mathcal{E} was defined in (1.5). Moreover,

$$\|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 + \|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + k\lambda_r \sum_{m=1}^n \|\mathbf{H}_h^m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k\lambda_e \sum_{m=1}^n \|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h^m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{u}_h^0\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + |\mathcal{D}| \right), \quad (4.6)$$

where C depends only on κ and μ .

Proof. Setting $\chi = \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}$ in (4.1) gives

$$\langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \rangle = \lambda_r \|\mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (4.7)$$

while setting $\phi = \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2k} \left(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2k} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa}{4k} \left(\left\| |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 - \mu \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \left\| |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 - \mu \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) - \frac{\kappa}{4k} \left\| |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 - |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa k}{2} \left\| |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}| |\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}| \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \frac{\mu k}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{\beta}{2k} \left(\|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{L^2}^2 \right) - \frac{\beta}{2k} \|\mathbf{e} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_h^n)\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (4.8)$$

Substituting (4.8) into (4.7), using identities (2.11) and (2.12), and rearranging the terms yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{\kappa}{4} \left(\left\| |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 - \mu \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \left\| |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 - \mu \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{4} \left\| |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 - |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa k^2}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\mu k^2}{2} \|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ & + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{e} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_h^n)\|_{L^2}^2 + k \lambda_r \|\mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \lambda_e \|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.5). Finally, applying similar arguments as (3.6) and (3.7) yield (4.6). \square

We also derive the stability of \mathbf{H}_h^n in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{L}^2)$ norm. The following identity will be used in the proof:

$$|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^n = |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_h^n) + (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_h^n) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^n) \mathbf{u}_h^n. \quad (4.9)$$

Proposition 4.3. Let $\mathbf{u}_h^0 \in \mathbb{V}_h$ be given and let $\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{H}_h^n$ be defined by (4.1). Then for any $k > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\mathbf{H}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=1}^n \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C, \quad (4.10)$$

where C depends on the coefficients of the equation, K_0 , and $|\mathcal{D}|$, but is independent of n , k , and T .

Proof. Taking $\chi = \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}$ in (4.1) gives

$$\|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = \lambda_r \langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \nabla \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle. \quad (4.11)$$

Subtracting the second equation in (4.1) at time step $n-1$ from the same equation at time step n , then setting $\phi = \lambda_e \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}/k$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda_e}{2k} \left(\|\mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\mathbf{H}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_e}{2k} \|\mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{H}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ & = \kappa \mu \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle - \lambda_e \langle \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \nabla \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}), \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \rangle \\ & \quad - \kappa \lambda_e \left\langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^n) \mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

where we used (2.11) for the left-hand side, and (4.9) for the last inner product. Adding (4.11) and (4.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda_e}{2k} \left(\|\mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\mathbf{H}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_e}{2k} \|\mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{H}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ & = (\lambda_r + \kappa \mu \lambda_e) \langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}), \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle \\ & \quad - \kappa \lambda_e \left\langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^n) \mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\rangle \\ & =: S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4. \end{aligned}$$

We will estimate each term on the right-hand side by applying Hölder's and Young's inequalities. Firstly, for the terms S_1 and S_2 ,

$$|S_1| + |S_2| \leq C \|\mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (4.13)$$

For the third term, similarly we have

$$|S_3| \leq \|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \leq C \|\mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (4.14)$$

where in the last step we used (4.6) and the Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$. Similarly for the last term,

$$|S_4| \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \right) \|\mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}$$

$$\leq C \|\mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \frac{1}{4} \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (4.15)$$

Altogether, upon rearranging and summing the terms, (4.13), (4.14), and (4.15) imply

$$\lambda_e \|\mathbf{H}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \sum_{m=0}^n \|\mathbf{H}_h^{m+1} - \mathbf{H}_h^m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^n \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq \lambda_e \|\mathbf{H}_h^0\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + Ck \sum_{m=0}^n \|\mathbf{H}_h^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \leq C,$$

as required. \square

The above proposition implies the stability of \mathbf{u}_h^n in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{L}^\infty)$ norm under an additional assumption that the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform.

Proposition 4.4. Let $\mathbf{u}_h^0 \in \mathbb{V}_h$ be given and let $(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{H}_h^n)$ be defined by (4.1). Then for any $k > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C. \quad (4.16)$$

Moreover, if the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is (globally) quasi-uniform, then

$$\|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \leq C. \quad (4.17)$$

Here, the constant C depends on the coefficients of the equation, K_0 , and $|\mathcal{D}|$, but is independent of n , k , and T .

Proof. Taking $\phi = \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}$ and applying Young's and Hölder's inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= \langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle - \kappa\mu \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n, \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle + \beta \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}), \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle \\ &\quad + \kappa \left\langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\rangle \\ &\leq 2 \|\mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{8} \|\Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + (\kappa^2 \mu^2 + \beta^2) \|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{3}{8} \|\Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa^2 \|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^6. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, rearranging the terms, using the Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^6$ (noting (4.6) and (4.10)), we infer (4.16). Inequality (4.17) then follows from (2.18), completing the proof of the proposition. \square

Furthermore, in dimensions 1 and 2 (or $d = 3$ with an additional quasi-uniformity assumption on the triangulation), we can derive the stability of \mathbf{H}_h^n in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{H}^1)$.

Proposition 4.5. Let $\mathbf{u}_h^0 \in \mathbb{V}_h$ be given and let $\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{H}_h^n$ be defined by (4.1). Suppose that one of the following holds:

- (1) $d = 1$ or 2 ,
- (2) $d = 3$ and the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform.

Then for any $k > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=1}^n \|\delta \mathbf{H}_h^m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=1}^n \|\nabla \delta \mathbf{u}_h^m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C, \quad (4.18)$$

where C depends on the coefficients of the equation, K_0 , and $|\mathcal{D}|$, but is independent of n , k , and T .

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, subtracting the first equation in (4.1) at time step n from the corresponding equation at time step $n+1$, dividing by k , then setting $\chi = \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}$ give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2k} \left(\|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2k} \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= \lambda_r \langle \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \nabla \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle \\ &\quad - \gamma \langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1} \times \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Applying the same operations to the second equation in (4.1), then successively setting $\phi = \lambda_e \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}$ and $\phi = \Lambda \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}$ (where $\Lambda := \lambda_r + \kappa\mu\lambda_e$) yield

$$\lambda_e \|\delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = -\lambda_e \langle \nabla \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \nabla \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \rangle + \kappa\mu\lambda_e \langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n, \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \rangle - \beta\lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}), \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \rangle$$

$$- \kappa \lambda_e \left\langle \left| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right|^2 \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \left((\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^n) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right) \mathbf{u}_h^n, \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\rangle, \quad (4.20)$$

and (noting the identity (2.13)),

$$\Lambda \langle \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle = -\Lambda \left(\|\nabla \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \kappa \mu \langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle + P \right). \quad (4.21)$$

In the last step, we denoted $\mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} := \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^n)$ and

$$P := \kappa \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \beta \left\| \mathbf{e} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Adding (4.19), (4.20), and (4.21), then applying Young's inequality, we obtain for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} E &:= \frac{1}{2k} \left(\left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2k} \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \left\| \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \Lambda \left\| \nabla \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + P \\ &= \Lambda \kappa \mu \langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle - \kappa \lambda_e \left\langle \left| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right|^2 \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \left((\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^n) \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right) \mathbf{u}_h^n, \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e} \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \rangle - \gamma \langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1} \times \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \rangle \\ &\leq C \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + C \left(\left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^8}^2 + \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^8}^2 \right) \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \left\| \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\quad + C \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \left\| \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + C \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \\ &\quad + C \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \left\| \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $P \geq 0$. The expression in the last step can be estimated as follows.

Case 1: $d = 1$ or 2 . By Young's inequality, Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^8$, and (2.14), we have

$$E \leq C \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \nabla \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (4.22)$$

Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, multiplying by $2k$, rearranging the terms, then summing over $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, we infer that

$$\left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left(\left\| \delta \mathbf{H}_h^{m+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \left\| \nabla \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{m+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) \leq \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^1 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + Ck \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + C \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{m+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \right) \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^m \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Note that by taking $n = 0$ and $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \delta \mathbf{u}_h^1$ in the first equation of (4.1), we have

$$\left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^1 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^1 \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \left\| \Delta_h \mathbf{H}_h^1 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim 1.$$

Therefore, the discrete Gronwall inequality and (4.6) then imply (4.18).

Case 2: $d = 3$ and the triangulation is quasi-uniform. In this case, we apply Young's inequality, (4.17), and (2.14) instead to infer (4.22). The same conclusion is then attained. \square

Proposition 4.6. Suppose that the hypotheses of Proposition 4.5 hold. Then for any $k > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\| \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C, \quad (4.23)$$

where C depends on the coefficients of the equation, K_0 , and $|\mathcal{D}|$, but is independent of n , k , and T .

Proof. Setting $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}$ in (4.1) gives

$$\lambda_e \left\| \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = \langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \rangle - \lambda_r \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C,$$

where in the last step we used (4.10) and (4.18). \square

Remark 4.7. Proposition 4.5 and 4.6 also hold under a technical smallness assumption on the initial data similar to Proposition 3.4. We will not elaborate them further for brevity.

To derive optimal error estimates for the fully discrete scheme, we begin with some preparatory results. As done previously, we write

$$\mathbf{u}_h^n - \mathbf{u}(t_n) = (\mathbf{u}_h^n - R_h \mathbf{u}(t_n)) + (R_h \mathbf{u}(t_n) - \mathbf{u}(t_n)) =: \boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \quad (4.24)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_h^n - \mathbf{H}(t_n) = (\mathbf{H}_h^n - R_h \mathbf{H}(t_n)) + (R_h \mathbf{H}(t_n) - \mathbf{H}(t_n)) =: \boldsymbol{\xi}^n + \boldsymbol{\eta}^n. \quad (4.25)$$

Recall that by the definition of Ritz projection,

$$\langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle = \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^n, \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\chi} \in \mathbb{V}_h. \quad (4.26)$$

The following lemmas are needed to bound the nonlinear terms in the main theorem.

Lemma 4.8. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. The following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle| &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle| &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}, \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle| &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + (\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2) \|\mathbf{H}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Moreover, if the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is globally quasi-uniform, then for any $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$|\langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \quad (4.30)$$

$$|\langle \nabla \Pi_h(\mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}), \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2r} + k^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (4.31)$$

Proof. We write

$$\mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1} = \mathbf{u}_h^n \times (\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}) + (\boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \boldsymbol{\rho}^n - k \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}. \quad (4.32)$$

The proof of (4.27) then follows by arguments similar to that in (3.20) (noting (4.2)), without assuming \mathcal{T}_h is globally quasi-uniform. Similarly, the proof of (4.28) follows that in (3.22).

Next, following (3.19), we write

$$\mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} + R_h \mathbf{u}^n \times (\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}) + (\boldsymbol{\rho}^n - k \cdot \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}.$$

The proof of (4.29) then follows along the line of (3.22) with obvious modifications.

Now, suppose the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform (and thus (4.17) holds in this case). Noting (4.32), by Hölder's inequality and assumptions (2.2) on the exact solution, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + (\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \boldsymbol{\rho}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + k \|\delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}) \|\mathbf{H}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \\ &\lesssim h^{r+1} + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + k, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (4.17) and (2.8). Estimate (4.30) follows by Young's inequality. Similarly, noting (2.5), we obtain (4.31) following the proof of (3.24). This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.9. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. The following inequalities hold:

$$\left| \left\langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\rangle \right| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (4.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \right\rangle \right| &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2\right) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\rangle \right| &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \left(1 + \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2\right) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \\ &\quad + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

Suppose now that the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is globally quasi-uniform. Then for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$\left| \left\langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \zeta \right\rangle \right| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\zeta\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (4.36)$$

$$\left| \left\langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \Delta_h \zeta \right\rangle \right| \lesssim h^{2r} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \zeta\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (4.37)$$

Proof. First, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \\ &= |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}) + (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \mathbf{u}^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

The proof of (4.33) then follows the same argument as that of (3.26).

Next, noting $\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + R_h \mathbf{u}^{n+1}$ and following (3.18), we write

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1} &= (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + 2R_h \mathbf{u}^{n+1})) \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + |R_h \mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + (\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} \cdot (R_h \mathbf{u}^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}^{n+1})) \mathbf{u}^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

Applying the same argument as in the proof of (3.27), we then obtain (4.34). The proof of (4.35) follows along the line of (3.28) (by replacing $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, $\boldsymbol{\rho}$, \mathbf{u} , and $\partial_t \boldsymbol{\theta}$ with $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}$, $\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}$, \mathbf{u}^{n+1} , and $\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}$ respectively).

Next, if the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is quasi-uniform, then (4.17) holds. As such, we can bound the \mathbb{L}^∞ norms of \mathbf{u}_h^n and \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} appearing in (4.38) (uniformly in n and h), giving

$$\left\| |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}.$$

The estimate (4.36) then follows by Young's inequality.

It remains to prove (4.37). Let S be the right-hand side of (4.38). Then we have

$$\langle S, \Delta_h \zeta \rangle = \langle \nabla \Pi_h S, \nabla \zeta \rangle. \quad (4.40)$$

We will proceed by estimating $\|\nabla S\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}$ using the expression (4.39). By the product rule for gradient,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla S &= 2 (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}) + |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 (\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}) \\ &\quad + (\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \mathbf{u}^{n+1} + (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}) \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \nabla \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \\ &\quad + (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \nabla \mathbf{u}^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Hölder's inequalities and Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^6$ (and noting (4.17)), it is straightforward to see that

$$\|\nabla S\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + h^r.$$

Inequality (4.37) then follows by applying Young's inequality and (2.5) to (4.40). \square

Lemma 4.10. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\langle \frac{|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^n}{k} - \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \right\rangle \right| \\ & \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2\right) \left(\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2\right) \\ & \quad + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.41)$$

Moreover, if the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is globally quasi-uniform, then for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \left\langle \frac{|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^n}{k} - \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}), \zeta \right\rangle \right| &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\quad + \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\zeta\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.42)$$

Proof. After some tedious algebra, we can write the first component in the inner product on the left-hand side as

$$\begin{aligned}
E &:= \frac{|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^n}{k} - \partial_t \left(|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \right) \\
&= |\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + R_h \mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 (\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) + [(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + R_h \mathbf{u}^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}^{n+1})] \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \\
&\quad + [(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\theta}^n + R_h \mathbf{u}^{n+1} + R_h \mathbf{u}^n)] (\boldsymbol{\theta}^n + R_h \mathbf{u}^n) \\
&\quad + [\partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^n - 2\mathbf{u}^{n+1})] (\boldsymbol{\theta}^n + R_h \mathbf{u}^n) + 2 (\mathbf{u}^{n+1} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) (\mathbf{u}_h^n - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \\
&=: E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4 + E_5.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

We want to obtain a bound for $|\langle E_i, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle|$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$. First, we note the frequently used inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{D}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} &:= \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} = \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + h^{r+1} + k,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

where in the last step (4.2) and (4.3) were used. Now, we begin to estimate the term with E_1 by applying Hölder's and Young's inequalities (noting (4.6) and (2.10)), giving

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle E_1, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle| &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \|\mathcal{D}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} + \|R_h \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \|\mathcal{D}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\mathcal{D}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2\right) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

Similarly, for the next term,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle E_2, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle| &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \\
&\quad + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|R_h \mathbf{u}^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2\right) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

For the term with E_3 , by similar argument we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle E_3, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle| &\lesssim \|\mathcal{D}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} + \|\mathcal{D}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|R_h \mathbf{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \\
&\quad + \|\mathcal{D}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|R_h \mathbf{u}^{n+1} + R_h \mathbf{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \\
&\quad + \|\mathcal{D}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|R_h \mathbf{u}^{n+1} + R_h \mathbf{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|R_h \mathbf{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2\right) \left(\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2\right) + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

By the same argument, we also have

$$|\langle E_4, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2\right) \left(\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2\right) + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \tag{4.48}$$

and

$$|\langle E_5, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \tag{4.49}$$

Altogether, (4.45), (4.46), (4.47), (4.48), and (4.49) yield (4.41).

Next, with E as defined in (4.43), we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned}
E &= |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 (\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) + [(\boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \boldsymbol{\rho}^n) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}^{n+1})] \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \\
&\quad + [(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^n)] \mathbf{u}_h^n \\
&\quad + [\partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^n - 2\mathbf{u}^n)] \mathbf{u}_h^n + 2 (\mathbf{u}^{n+1} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n) (\mathbf{u}_h^n - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore using the assumption (2.2), applying Young's inequality, (4.44), and Taylor's theorem (noting that (4.17) can be applied by the quasi-uniformity of \mathcal{T}_h), we can follow the proof of (3.31) to obtain the estimate (4.42). \square

We also have the following superconvergence estimates on $\boldsymbol{\theta}^n$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}^n$ analogous to Proposition 3.8, which is an essential step in the proof of the main theorem.

Proposition 4.11. Suppose \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{H} satisfy (2.2). Then for $h, k > 0$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor T/k \rfloor\}$,

$$\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k^2). \quad (4.50)$$

Assume further that one of the following holds:

- (1) $d = 1$ or 2 ,
- (2) $d = 3$ and the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform.

Then for $h, k > 0$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor T/k \rfloor\}$,

$$\|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k^4). \quad (4.51)$$

Finally, for $d = 1, 2, 3$, if the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform, then

$$\|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k^2), \quad (4.52)$$

$$\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C(h^{2r} + k^2). \quad (4.53)$$

The constant C depends on the coefficients of the equation, $|\mathcal{D}|$, T , and K_0 (as defined in (2.2)), but is independent of n , h , and k .

Proof. Subtracting (2.1) from (4.1) at time step $n + 1$, using (4.24), (4.25) (and noting the definition of Ritz projection), we obtain for all $\boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle &= \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle \\ &\quad - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.54)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle &= -\langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle + \kappa \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \boldsymbol{\rho}^n + \mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle \\ &\quad - \kappa \langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle - \beta \langle \mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}), \boldsymbol{\phi} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.55)$$

Taking $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}$ in (4.54) and $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \lambda_r \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}$ in (4.55), then adding the resulting equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2k} \left(\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2k} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \langle \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle + \lambda_r \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &= \kappa \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^n, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle + \kappa \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle + \kappa \lambda_r \langle \mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle \\ &\quad - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle - \kappa \lambda_r \langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle \\ &\quad - \beta \lambda_r \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \beta \lambda_r \langle \mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.56)$$

Next, taking $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \lambda_e \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_e \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle &= -\lambda_e \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle + \kappa \lambda_e \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \boldsymbol{\rho}^n + \mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\ &\quad - \kappa \lambda_e \langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\ &\quad - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.57)$$

Adding (4.56) and (4.57) gives

$$\frac{1}{2k} \left(\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2k} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_r \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \beta \lambda_r \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\langle \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle - \lambda_e \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle + \kappa \lambda_e \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \boldsymbol{\rho}^n + \mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad + \kappa \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \boldsymbol{\rho}^n, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle + \kappa \lambda_r \langle \mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle - \beta \lambda_r \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}), \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle - \kappa \lambda_r \langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad - \kappa \lambda_e \langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to bound each term on the right-hand side. We apply (4.3), Young's inequality, and Taylor's theorem as necessary for the first eight terms. The last three terms can be estimated by applying Lemma 4.8 and Lemma 4.9. Hence, we infer that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2k} \left(\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2k} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_r \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, rearranging the terms, and summing over $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k^2) + Ck \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,$$

where C is a constant depending on the coefficients of the equation and T (but is independent of n , h , and k). The discrete Gronwall inequality then yields (4.50).

We aim to prove (4.51) next. First, consider the difference of the second equation in (4.1) at time steps $n+1$ and n . After dividing the result by k , subtracting it from the corresponding equation in (2.1) and setting $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \lambda_e \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\lambda_e}{2k} \left(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_e}{2k} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&= -\lambda_e \langle \delta \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle - \lambda_e \langle \delta \mathbf{H}^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{H}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad - \lambda_e \langle \nabla \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle - \lambda_e \langle \nabla \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad - \kappa \lambda_e \left\langle \frac{|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^n}{k} - \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \right\rangle \\
&\quad - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot (\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1})), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.58}$$

Next, setting $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_r \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= \langle \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle + \langle \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad - \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle + \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.59}$$

while taking $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= -\langle \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle + \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}, \nabla \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}, \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.60}$$

Furthermore, setting $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \lambda_r \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}$ in (4.55) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\lambda_r}{2k} \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_r}{2k} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} - \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&= -\lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}, \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad - \kappa \lambda_r \left\langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\rangle - \beta \lambda_r \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1})), \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.61}$$

We now add (4.58), (4.59), (4.60), and (4.61) to obtain

$$\frac{\lambda_r}{2k} \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_r}{2k} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} - \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda_e}{2k} \left(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_e}{2k} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} - \boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \lambda_r \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
 = & -\lambda_e \langle \delta \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle - \lambda_e \langle \delta \mathbf{H}^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{H}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\
 & - \lambda_e \langle \nabla \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\
 & - \kappa \lambda_e \left\langle \frac{|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^n}{k} - \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \right\rangle \\
 & + \langle \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle + \langle \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\
 & - \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle + \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\
 & - \langle \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}, \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle \\
 & + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}, \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle - \kappa \lambda_r \left\langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\rangle \\
 & - \beta \lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot (\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1})), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle - \beta \lambda_r \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1})), \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle \\
 =: & I_1 + I_2 + \dots + I_{15}. \tag{4.62}
 \end{aligned}$$

There are fifteen terms involving inner products on the right-hand side of (4.62), which we will estimate in the following. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a number. Firstly, by Young's inequality, (2.8), and (4.2),

$$|I_1| \lesssim \|\delta \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \tag{4.63}$$

Secondly, by Young's inequality and Taylor's theorem (noting the assumption on \mathbf{H} in (2.2)),

$$|I_2| \lesssim \|\delta \mathbf{H}^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{H}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim k^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

By similar argument (noting (2.2)), the third term can be estimated as

$$|I_3| \lesssim \|\nabla \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim k^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

For the fourth term, similarly by Young's inequality, (2.8), (4.2), and (4.3), we have

$$|I_4| \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \tag{4.64}$$

For the fifth term, we use Lemma 4.10. Noting that by assumptions (4.23) holds, so that

$$\|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \leq \|\mathbf{H}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|R_h \mathbf{H}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \leq C,$$

we then obtain (noting (4.50))

$$\begin{aligned}
 |I_5| & \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \left(1 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2\right) \left(\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2\right) \\
 & \quad + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
 & \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the terms I_6 and I_7 , the same argument as in (4.64) yields

$$|I_6| + |I_7| \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + h^{2(r+1)} + k^2.$$

Similarly, for the terms I_8 and I_{10} , we have

$$|I_8| + |I_{10}| \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + h^{2(r+1)} + k^2.$$

Next, we estimate the terms I_9 and I_{11} . By (4.28) and (4.29), noting (4.23) and (4.50), we have

$$|I_9| + |I_{11}| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

The term I_{12} can be estimated using Young's inequality and (4.50) as

$$|I_{12}| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \tag{4.65}$$

To estimate the term I_{13} , note that by (4.24) and the triangle inequality (4.18),

$$\|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq 2 \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 2 \|\delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 2 \|\delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C,$$

where in the last step we used (4.18), (2.2), (4.2), and (2.8). Thus, applying (4.35), we obtain

$$|I_{13}| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Finally, the last two terms can be estimated following (4.64) and (4.65) to obtain

$$|I_{14}| + |I_{15}| \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (4.66)$$

Altogether, continuing from (4.62), using estimates (4.63)–(4.66), choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, and rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda_r}{2k} \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_e}{2k} \left(\|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{\lambda_r}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ & \leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k^2) + C \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.67)$$

Multiplying both sides of (4.67) by $2k$, summing over $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, and applying the discrete Gronwall inequality, we obtain (4.51).

Finally, suppose that the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform. Setting $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}$ in (4.55), we then apply Young's inequality and (4.37) with $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}$ to infer

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle - \kappa \langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \boldsymbol{\rho}^n + \mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle \\ &\quad - \kappa \left\langle |\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\rangle - \beta \langle \mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \rangle \\ &\lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \boldsymbol{\rho}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^n - \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\quad + h^{2(r+1)} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used inequalities (4.50) and (4.51), (2.8), and Taylor's theorem. Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small and rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$\|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2. \quad (4.68)$$

Next, taking $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}$ and applying similar argument (using (4.30) with $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}$), we obtain

$$k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^2. \quad (4.69)$$

Inequality (4.52) then follows from (4.68), (4.69), and (2.18).

Finally, setting $\boldsymbol{\chi} = -\Delta_h^2 \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}$ in (4.54) and applying (2.6) as necessary, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_r \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &= -\langle \delta \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}, \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle - \langle \nabla \Pi_h (\delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}), \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle - \langle \nabla \Pi_h \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}, \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\ &\quad - \lambda_r \langle \nabla \Pi_h \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle + \gamma \langle \nabla \Pi_h (\mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}), \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.70)$$

Next, consider the difference of the second equation in (4.1) at time steps $n+1$ and n . After dividing the result by k , subtracting it from the corresponding equation in (2.1) and taking $\boldsymbol{\phi} = -\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2k} \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2k} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} - \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &= \langle \nabla \Pi_h \delta \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle + \langle \delta \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}, \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle - \kappa \mu \langle \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^n + \delta \mathbf{u}^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\ &\quad + \kappa \left\langle \frac{|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^n}{k} - \partial_t \left(|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \right), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \beta \langle \mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.71)$$

Adding (4.70) and (4.71) yields

$$\frac{1}{2k} \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2k} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} - \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_r \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= -\langle \nabla \Pi_h (\delta \mathbf{u}^{n+1} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}), \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle - \langle \nabla \Pi_h \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}, \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\
 &\quad - \lambda_r \langle \nabla \Pi_h \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle + \gamma \langle \nabla \Pi_h (\mathbf{u}_h^n \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}^{n+1} \times \mathbf{H}^{n+1}), \nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \\
 &\quad - \langle \nabla \Pi_h \delta \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+1}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle - \kappa \mu \langle \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^n + \delta \mathbf{u}^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^{n+1}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle \\
 &\quad + \kappa \left\langle \frac{|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 \mathbf{u}_h^n}{k} - \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 \mathbf{u}^{n+1}), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \right\rangle \\
 &\quad - \beta \langle \mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

The first three terms on the right-hand side can be estimated using Young's inequality (together with (2.8) and (4.3)) in a straightforward manner. The fifth and the sixth terms can also be bounded similarly. To estimate the remaining terms, we use (4.31) and (4.42). We then have for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{2k} \left(\|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2k} \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1} - \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_r \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
 &\lesssim h^{2r} + \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, multiplying both sides by $2k$, then using (4.50) and (4.51), we obtain (4.53). This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

We can now state the main result on the rate of convergence of the scheme (4.1).

Theorem 4.12. Let (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{H}) be the solution of (2.1) as described in Section 2.2, and let $(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{H}_h^n) \in \mathbb{V}_h \times \mathbb{V}_h$ be the solution of (4.1) with initial data \mathbf{u}_h^0 . Then for $h, k > 0$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor T/k \rfloor\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathbf{u}_h^n - \mathbf{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\mathbf{H}_h^{m+1} - \mathbf{H}^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &\leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k^2), \\
 k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{m+1} - \nabla \mathbf{u}^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &\leq C(h^{2r} + k^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Assume further that one of the following holds:

- (1) $d = 1$ or 2 ,
- (2) $d = 3$ and the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform.

Then for $h, k > 0$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor T/k \rfloor\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathbf{H}_h^n - \mathbf{H}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &\leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k), \\
 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^n - \nabla \mathbf{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{m+1} - \nabla \mathbf{H}^{m+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &\leq C(h^{2r} + k).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for $d = 1, 2, 3$, if the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\nabla \mathbf{H}_h^n - \nabla \mathbf{H}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &\leq C(h^{2r} + k^2), \\
 \|\mathbf{u}_h^n - \mathbf{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\mathbf{H}_h^m - \mathbf{H}^m\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 &\leq C(h^{2(r+1)} |\ln h| + k^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

The constant C depends on the coefficients of the equation, $|\mathcal{D}|$, T , and K_0 (as defined in (2.2)), but is independent of n , h , and k .

Proof. The results follow from Proposition 3.8, equations (3.16) and (3.17), estimates (2.8), (2.9), and the triangle inequality. \square

5. A FULLY DISCRETE SCHEME BASED ON THE CRANK–NICOLSON METHOD

We now propose a second-order in time numerical scheme for the LLBar equation. Let k be the time step and \mathbf{u}_h^n be the approximation in \mathbb{V}_h of $\mathbf{u}(t)$ at time $t = t_n := nk$, where $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor T/k \rfloor$. For any function \mathbf{v} , we denote $\mathbf{v}^n := \mathbf{v}(t_n)$. In this section, we define

$$\delta \mathbf{v}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} := \frac{\mathbf{v}^{n+1} - \mathbf{v}^n}{k}, \quad \mathbf{v}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} := \frac{\mathbf{v}^{n+1} + \mathbf{v}^n}{2}, \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Moreover, define

$$\delta \mathbf{v}^n := \frac{\mathbf{v}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{v}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}}{k}, \quad \widehat{\mathbf{v}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} := \frac{3\mathbf{v}^n - \mathbf{v}^{n-1}}{2}, \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (5.1)$$

A fully discrete scheme based on the Crank–Nicolson time-stepping method can be described as follows.

We start with $\mathbf{u}_h^0 = R_h \mathbf{u}(0) \in \mathbb{V}_h$. For $t_n \in [0, T]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, given $\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_h^n \in \mathbb{V}_h$, define \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} and $\mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ by

$$\begin{cases} \left\langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle = \lambda_r \left\langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle + \lambda_e \left\langle \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle - \gamma \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle, \\ \left\langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle = - \left\langle \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle + \kappa \mu \left\langle \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle - \kappa \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}), \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle - \beta \left\langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle, \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

for all $\boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathbb{H}^1$, where

$$\psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) := \frac{1}{2} \left(|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 \right) \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5.3)$$

Due to the presence of $\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ term in (5.2), this is a two-step scheme and we need to prescribe \mathbf{u}_h^1 and $\mathbf{H}_h^{\frac{1}{2}}$ separately. This can be done, for instance, by solving with sufficiently small time step size,

$$\begin{cases} \left\langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle = \lambda_r \left\langle \mathbf{H}_h^{\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle + \lambda_e \left\langle \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{\frac{1}{2}}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle - \gamma \left\langle \mathbf{u}_h^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle, \\ \left\langle \mathbf{H}_h^{\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle = - \left\langle \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{\frac{1}{2}}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle + \kappa \mu \left\langle \mathbf{u}_h^{\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle - \kappa \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^0, \mathbf{u}_h^1), \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle. \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

One could then show by arguments similar to those in this section that

$$\left\| \mathbf{u}_h^1 - \mathbf{u}(t_1) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{H}(t_{\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} \leq C(h^r + k^2),$$

where C depends on the coefficients of the equation, K_0 , and $|\mathcal{D}|$. This scheme is well-posed by similar argument as in Proposition 4.1. The details are omitted for brevity. Subsequently, the proof in this section will focus on the general scheme (5.2) where $n \geq 1$, to avoid repetitive and lengthy arguments.

Next, we show some stability results analogous to Proposition 4.2. The energy dissipation property is also satisfied unconditionally.

Proposition 5.1. Let $\mathbf{u}_h^0 \in \mathbb{V}_h$ be given and let $(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{H}_h^n)$ be defined by (5.2). Then for any $k > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) \leq \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{u}_h^n), \quad (5.5)$$

where \mathcal{E} was defined in (1.5). Moreover,

$$\left\| \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 + \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + k \lambda_r \sum_{m=0}^n \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \lambda_e \sum_{m=0}^n \left\| \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C \left(\left\| \mathbf{u}_h^0 \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + |\mathcal{D}| \right), \quad (5.6)$$

where C depends only on κ and μ .

Proof. Firstly, taking $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \mathbf{H}_h^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{\frac{1}{2}}$ in (5.4), then subtracting the results give

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\left\| \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^1 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \left\| \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^0 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{\kappa}{4} \left(\left\| \mathbf{u}_h^1 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 - \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^0 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 \right) - \frac{\kappa \mu}{2} \left(\left\| \mathbf{u}_h^1 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^0 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right)$$

$$+ \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^1\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^0\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + k\lambda_r \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k\lambda_e \left\| \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = 0,$$

which implies (5.5) for $n = 0$.

Similarly, for $n \geq 1$, setting $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ in (5.2) gives

$$\left\langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle = \lambda_r \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \left\| \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (5.7)$$

while setting $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2k} \left(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{\kappa\mu}{2k} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa}{4k} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 - \|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 \right) - \frac{\beta}{2k} \left(\|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^1\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^0\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Substituting (5.8) into (5.7) and rearranging yield the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left(\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{\kappa}{4} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 - \|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^4 \right) - \frac{\kappa\mu}{2} \left(\|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) \\ + \frac{\beta}{2} \left(\|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + k\lambda_r \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k\lambda_e \left\| \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (5.5) and (5.6). \square

We will also derive the stability of $\mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{L}^2)$ norm. The following identity will be used in the proof (where ψ was defined in (5.3)):

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_h^n)) &= (|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2)(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &\quad + (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}) \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Proposition 5.2. Let $\mathbf{u}_h^0 \in \mathbb{V}_h$ be given and let $\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ be defined by (5.2). Then for any $k > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=1}^n \|\delta \mathbf{u}_h^m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C, \quad (5.10)$$

where C depends only on the coefficients of the equation, K_0 , and $|\mathcal{D}|$.

Proof. Adding the first equation in (5.2) at time steps $n + \frac{1}{2}$ and $n - \frac{1}{2}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle &= \lambda_r \left\langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle + \lambda_e \left\langle \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \gamma \left\langle \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \hat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{3}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Subtracting the second equation in (5.2) at time step $n - \frac{1}{2}$ from the same equation at time step $n + \frac{1}{2}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle &= - \left\langle \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle + \kappa\mu \left\langle \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \kappa \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_h^n), \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle - \beta \left\langle \mathbf{e} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

Taking $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$ in (5.11) and $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \lambda_e(\mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}})$ in (5.12), then adding the resulting equations, and noting the identity

$$2(\mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}) = \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_e \left(\left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \frac{4}{k} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&= (\lambda_r + \kappa\mu\lambda_e) \left\langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \gamma \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{3}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
&\quad - \kappa\lambda_e \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_h^n), \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \beta\lambda_e \left\langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}})), \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
&=: S_1 + S_2 + S_3 + S_4.
\end{aligned}$$

We will estimate each term on the right-hand side by applying Hölder's and Young's inequalities. Firstly,

$$|S_1| + |S_4| \leq Ck \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + Ck \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{k} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (5.13)$$

For the second term, similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_2| &\leq \gamma \left(\left\| \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + \left\| \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{3}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \right) \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
&\leq Ck \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + Ck \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \frac{1}{k} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

where in the last step we used (5.6) and the Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^4$. For the term in S_3 , noting the identities (5.9) and (5.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|S_3| &\leq C \left(\left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 + \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 + \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} + \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \right) \\
&\quad \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \\
&\leq Ck \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + Ck \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \frac{1}{k} \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

where in the final step we used (5.6), Young's inequality, and the Sobolev embedding. Altogether, upon rearranging and summing the terms, (5.13), (5.14), and (5.15) imply

$$\lambda_e \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{m=1}^n \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{m+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}_h^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq \lambda_e \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + Ck \sum_{m=1}^n \left(\left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \right) \leq C,$$

where in the last step we used (5.6). This implies the required inequality. \square

The above proposition implies the stability of \mathbf{u}_h^n in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{L}^\infty)$ norm under an additional assumption that the triangulation is quasi-uniform.

Proposition 5.3. Let $\mathbf{u}_h^0 \in \mathbb{V}_h$ be given and let $(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{H}_h^n)$ be defined by (5.2). Then for any $k > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\| \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C. \quad (5.16)$$

Moreover, if the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is (globally) quasi-uniform, then

$$\left\| \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \leq C. \quad (5.17)$$

Here, the constant C depends only on the coefficients of the equation, $\left\| \mathbf{u}_h^0 \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}$, $\left\| \mathbf{H}_h^0 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}$, and $|\mathcal{D}|$.

Proof. Taking $\phi = \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ and applying Young's and Hölder's inequalities, we have

$$\left\| \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 = \kappa \left\| \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \left\langle \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle + \kappa \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}), \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \beta \left\langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
 & \leq C \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \Delta_h \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 4 \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \left(\left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 + \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \right) \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, rearranging the terms, using the Sobolev embedding $\mathbb{H}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{L}^6$ (noting (5.6) and (5.10)), we inequality (5.16). Inequality (5.17) then follows from (2.18), completing the proof of the proposition. \square

Before proceeding to prove various estimates leading to the main theorem, we note the following inequalities. For $s = 0$ or 1 , by Taylor's theorem,

$$\left\| \widehat{\mathbf{u}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} \leq \left\| \mathbf{u}^n - \frac{\mathbf{u}^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}^{n-1}}{2} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} + \left\| \frac{\mathbf{u}^n + \mathbf{u}^{n+1}}{2} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} \lesssim k^2, \quad (5.18)$$

and

$$\left\| \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} \leq \left\| \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} + \left\| \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^s} \lesssim h^{r+1-s} + k^2, \quad (5.19)$$

where the assumptions on \mathbf{u} in (2.2) and (2.8) are used in the last step.

The following lemmas are needed to bound the nonlinear terms in the main theorem.

Lemma 5.4. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. The following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \right| & \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
 & + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n-1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (5.20)
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is quasi-uniform, then for any $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\zeta} \right\rangle \right| & \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
 & + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n-1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\zeta} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (5.21)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \left\langle \nabla \Pi_h \left(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right), \boldsymbol{\zeta} \right\rangle \right| & \lesssim h^{2r} + k^4 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n-1} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \\
 & + \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\zeta} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (5.22)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) & = \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\
 & + \left(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \widehat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \widehat{\mathbf{u}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (5.20) then follows by arguments similar to that in (3.20) (and noting (5.18)), without assuming \mathcal{T}_h is quasi-uniform.

Next, suppose the triangulation is quasi-uniform (and thus (5.17) holds in this case). By Hölder's inequality and assumptions (2.2) on the exact solution, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
 & \leq \left\| \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \left(\left\| \widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \widehat{\boldsymbol{\rho}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \left\| \widehat{\mathbf{u}}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \right) \left\| \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \\
 & \lesssim h^{r+1} + \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n-1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + k^2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (5.17), (2.8), and (5.18). Inequality (5.21) then follows by Young's inequality. Finally, the proof of (5.22) is similar to that of (4.31). \square

Lemma 5.5. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. The following inequality holds:

$$\left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (5.23)$$

Moreover, if the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is quasi-uniform, then for any $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$\left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\zeta} \right\rangle \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (5.24)$$

$$\left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\zeta} \right\rangle \lesssim h^{2r} + k^4 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (5.25)$$

Proof. Note that we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2) \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2) \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}^{n+1}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) (\mathbf{u}_h^n + \mathbf{u}^n) \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) (\mathbf{u}_h^n + \mathbf{u}^n) \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}^n \\ & \quad + \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}(t_n)|^2}{2} - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \right) =: S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_7. \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

We will proceed by bounding each term above. Terms containing $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ will be bounded in the $\mathbb{L}^{4/3}$ norm, while those containing $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ will be bounded in the $\mathbb{L}^{6/5}$ norm. For the first term, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\|S_1\|_{\mathbb{L}^{4/3}} \lesssim \left(\|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \right) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}.$$

Similarly for the next term,

$$\|S_2\|_{\mathbb{L}^{6/5}} \lesssim \left(\|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \right) \|\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}.$$

For the third and the fourth terms,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_3\|_{\mathbb{L}^{4/3}} &\lesssim \left\| \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}, \\ \|S_4\|_{\mathbb{L}^{6/5}} &\lesssim \left\| \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_5\|_{\mathbb{L}^{4/3}} &\lesssim \left\| \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}_h^n + \mathbf{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}, \\ \|S_6\|_{\mathbb{L}^{6/5}} &\lesssim \left\| \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\mathbf{u}_h^n + \mathbf{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\boldsymbol{\rho}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the last term, by Hölder's inequality and Taylor's theorem with integral remainder,

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_7\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} &\lesssim k^{3/2} \left\| \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \left(\int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \|\partial_{tt} |\mathbf{u}(t)|^2\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\lesssim k^2 \left\| \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\partial_{tt} |\mathbf{u}|^2\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{L}^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

Altogether, using the assumptions on \mathbf{u} in (2.2) and inequality (5.6), by Hölder's inequality we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\ & \leq (\|S_1\|_{\mathbb{L}^{4/3}} + \|S_3\|_{\mathbb{L}^{4/3}} + \|S_5\|_{\mathbb{L}^{4/3}}) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + (\|S_2\|_{\mathbb{L}^{6/5}} + \|S_4\|_{\mathbb{L}^{6/5}} + \|S_6\|_{\mathbb{L}^{6/5}}) \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \\ & \quad + \|S_7\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\lesssim \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} + \left(\left\| \boldsymbol{\rho}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \left\| \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \right) \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^4} \\
 &\quad + k^2 \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
 &\lesssim \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + h^{2(r+1)} + k^4,
 \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used Young's inequality, (2.15), and (2.8). This proves (5.23).

Next, if the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is quasi-uniform, then (5.17) holds. As such, we can bound the \mathbb{L}^∞ norm of \mathbf{u}_h^n and \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} appearing in (5.26) (uniformly in n and h). Noting (5.27), we then obtain

$$\left\| \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \left\| \boldsymbol{\rho}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \left\| \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + k^2. \quad (5.28)$$

By Young's inequality, we have for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\zeta} \right\rangle \lesssim \left\| \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\zeta} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,$$

and thus inequality (5.24) follows from (2.8) and (5.28).

It remains to prove (5.25). Let $S := S_1 + S_2 + \dots + S_7$ defined in (5.26). Then we have

$$\langle S, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle = \langle \nabla \Pi_h S, \nabla \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle.$$

We will proceed by estimating $\|\nabla S\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}$ using the expression (5.26) and the product rule for gradient. Firstly, by Hölder's and Young's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\nabla S_1\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} &\leq \left(\left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \left\| \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} + \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \left\| \nabla \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \right) \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} + \left(\left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 + \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \right) \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
 &\lesssim \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the second term,

$$\|\nabla S_2\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim \left\| \boldsymbol{\rho}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} + \left\| \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1} \lesssim h^r.$$

The terms ∇S_3 up to ∇S_6 are estimated in a similar manner. The details are omitted for brevity. Lastly, the term ∇S_7 can be bounded as in (5.27), giving

$$\|\nabla S_7\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim k^2 \left\| \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{W}^{1,\infty}} \left\| \partial_{tt} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^1)}.$$

Altogether, by Young's inequality and stability of the projection operator, we have

$$|\langle S, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle| = |\langle \nabla \Pi_h S, \nabla \boldsymbol{\zeta} \rangle| \lesssim \|\nabla S\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2r} + k^4 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \|\nabla \boldsymbol{\zeta}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,$$

thus proving (5.25). \square

Lemma 5.6. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. If the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is quasi-uniform, then for any $\boldsymbol{\zeta} \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \left\langle \frac{\psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_h^n)}{k} - \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}^n|^2 \mathbf{u}^n), \boldsymbol{\zeta} \right\rangle \right| &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\zeta} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
 &\quad + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\rho}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (5.29)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. After some tedious algebra, we can write the first component in the inner product on the left-hand side as

$$\begin{aligned}
 E &:= \frac{\psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_h^n)}{k} - \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}^n|^2 \mathbf{u}^n) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2 \right) (\delta \mathbf{u}_h^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n) + \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2}{2} - |\mathbf{u}^n|^2 \right) \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} [(\delta \mathbf{u}_h^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1})] (\mathbf{u}_h^n + \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}) + \left[\partial_t \mathbf{u}^n \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}}{2} - \mathbf{u}^n \right) \right] (\mathbf{u}_h^n + \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2(\mathbf{u}^n \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n) \left(\frac{\mathbf{u}_h^n + \mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}}{2} - \mathbf{u}^n \right) \\
& =: E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4 + E_5.
\end{aligned}$$

We want to obtain a bound for $\|E\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}$. To this end, we will estimate the \mathbb{L}^2 norm of each term above. Note that we have (5.17), which we will use without further mention. Firstly, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\|E_1\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim \left(\|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}_h^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \right) \|\delta\boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \delta\rho^n + \delta\mathbf{u}^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim \|\delta\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + h^{r+1} + k^2, \quad (5.30)$$

where we used (5.19) and the triangle inequality. For the second term, note that by subtracting and adding $\frac{1}{2}(|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}^n|^2)$, we have by Hölder's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \frac{|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2}{2} - |\mathbf{u}^n|^2 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} & \lesssim \|(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} + \rho^{n+1}) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1} + \mathbf{u}^{n+1})\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|(\boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \rho^n) \cdot (\mathbf{u}_h^n + \mathbf{u}^n)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
& + \left\| \frac{|\mathbf{u}^{n+1}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}^n|^2}{2} - |\mathbf{u}^n|^2 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \\
& \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\rho^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\rho^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + k^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we also used Taylor's theorem with integral remainder as in (5.27). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|E_2\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} & \lesssim \left\| \frac{|\mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}|^2 + |\mathbf{u}_h^n|^2}{2} - |\mathbf{u}^n|^2 \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \\
& \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\rho^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\rho^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + k^2.
\end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

The third term can be estimated in the same way as E_1 , giving

$$\|E_3\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim \|\delta\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + h^{r+1} + k^2, \quad (5.32)$$

while the terms E_4 and E_5 can be estimated in a similar manner as E_2 , giving

$$\|E_4\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|E_5\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \lesssim \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\rho^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + \|\rho^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} + k^2. \quad (5.33)$$

Altogether, (5.30), (5.31), (5.32), (5.33), and Young's inequality yield the required result. \square

We have the following superconvergence estimates on $\boldsymbol{\theta}^n$ and $\boldsymbol{\xi}^n$, which form an essential step in the proof of the main theorem, analogous to Proposition 3.8.

Proposition 5.7. Assume that \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{H} satisfy (2.2). Then for $h, k > 0$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor T/k \rfloor\}$,

$$\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^n \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^n \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k^4). \quad (5.34)$$

Moreover, if the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is globally quasi-uniform, then

$$\left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \|\delta\boldsymbol{\theta}^m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{m+\frac{1}{2}} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{m-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k^4), \quad (5.35)$$

$$\left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 \leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k^4), \quad (5.36)$$

where C depends on the coefficients of the equation, $|\mathcal{D}|$, T , and K_0 (as defined in (2.2)), but is independent of n , h , and k .

Proof. Subtracting (2.1) from (5.2) at time step $n + \frac{1}{2}$, using (4.24), (4.25) (and noting the definition of Ritz projection), we obtain for all $\boldsymbol{\chi}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathbb{V}_h$,

$$\left\langle \delta\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \delta\rho^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \delta\mathbf{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle = \lambda_r \left\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle + \lambda_e \left\langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle$$

$$- \gamma \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\chi} \right\rangle \quad (5.37)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle &= - \left\langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle + \kappa \mu \left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle - \beta \left\langle \mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \kappa \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\phi} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

Taking $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ in (5.37) and $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \lambda_r \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ in (5.38), then adding the resulting expressions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2k} \left(\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \left\langle \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle + \lambda_r \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &= \kappa \mu \lambda_r \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle + \lambda_e \left\langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \gamma \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \kappa \lambda_r \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \beta \lambda_r \left\langle \mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

Next, taking $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \lambda_e \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_e \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \left\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\ &= -\lambda_e \left\langle \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \kappa \lambda_e \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \beta \lambda_e \left\langle \mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

Adding (5.39) and (5.40) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2k} \left(\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \lambda_r \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &= - \left\langle \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \lambda_e \left\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \gamma \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \kappa \lambda_r \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \beta \lambda_r \left\langle \mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \kappa \lambda_e \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \beta \lambda_e \left\langle \mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to bound each term on the right-hand side. We apply (5.19) and Young's inequality as necessary for the first five terms. The last four terms can be estimated by applying Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5. We then infer that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2k} \left(\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \lambda_r \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, rearranging the terms, and summing over $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, we have

$$\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k^4) + Ck \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \quad (5.41)$$

where C is a constant depending on the coefficients of the equation and T (but is independent of n , h , and k). The discrete Gronwall inequality then yields (5.34).

We aim to prove (5.35) next. First, we consider the difference of the second equation in (5.2) at time steps $n + \frac{1}{2}$ and $n - \frac{1}{2}$. After dividing the result by k , then subtracting it from the corresponding equation in (2.1) and taking $\phi = \lambda_e(\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}})$, we obtain (noting (4.26) and notations defined in (5.1)),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_e \left(\frac{\|\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2}{k} \right) &= -\kappa\lambda_e \langle \delta\eta^n, \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle - \lambda_e \langle \delta\mathbf{H}(t_n) - \partial_t \mathbf{H}^n, \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle \\ &\quad - \lambda_e \langle \nabla \delta\theta^n, \nabla \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \nabla \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle + \kappa\mu\lambda_e \langle \delta\mathbf{u}_h^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n, \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle \\ &\quad - \lambda_e \langle \nabla \delta\mathbf{u}(t_n) - \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n, \nabla \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \nabla \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle \\ &\quad - \kappa\lambda_e \left\langle \frac{\psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_h^n)}{k} - \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}^n|^2 \mathbf{u}^n), \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\ &\quad - \beta\lambda_e \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot (\delta\mathbf{u}_h^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n)), \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

By similar arguments, taking $\chi = \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_r \|\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \nabla \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &= 2 \langle \delta\theta^n, \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle + \langle \delta\rho^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \delta\mathbf{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \delta\rho^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta\mathbf{u}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle - \lambda_r \langle \eta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \eta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \rangle \\ &\quad + \gamma \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{3}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

Next, we add (5.37) at time step $n + \frac{1}{2}$ and $n - \frac{1}{2}$. Taking $\chi = \delta\theta^n = (\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \theta^{n-\frac{1}{2}})/k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \|\delta\theta^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= - \langle \delta\rho^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \delta\mathbf{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \delta\rho^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta\mathbf{u}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \delta\theta^n \rangle \\ &\quad + \lambda_r \langle \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \eta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \eta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \delta\theta^n \rangle + \lambda_e \langle \nabla \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \nabla \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \nabla \delta\theta^n \rangle \\ &\quad - \gamma \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{3}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \delta\theta^n \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.44)$$

Furthermore, we add (5.38) at time steps $n + \frac{1}{2}$ and $n - \frac{1}{2}$. Taking $\phi = \lambda_r \delta\theta^n$ and rearranging the terms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\lambda_r \left(\frac{\|\nabla \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla \theta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2}{k} \right) + \lambda_r \langle \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \eta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \eta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \delta\theta^n \rangle \\ &= \kappa\mu\lambda_r \langle \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \rho^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \theta^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \rho^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \delta\theta^n \rangle - \beta\lambda_r \langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot (\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \rho^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \theta^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \rho^{n-\frac{1}{2}})), \delta\theta^n \rangle \\ &\quad - \kappa\lambda_r \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_h^n) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \delta\theta^n \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.45)$$

Adding (5.42), (5.43), (5.44), and (5.45), we then obtain, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\lambda_e \left(\frac{\|\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2}{k} \right) + \lambda_r \left(\frac{\|\nabla \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla \theta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2}{k} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \|\delta\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_r \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
= & -\kappa \lambda_e \left\langle \delta\boldsymbol{\eta}^n, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \lambda_e \left\langle \delta\mathbf{H}(t_n) - \partial_t \mathbf{H}^n, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
& + \kappa \mu \lambda_e \left\langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \lambda_e \left\langle \nabla \delta \mathbf{u}(t_n) - \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
& - \kappa \lambda_e \left\langle \frac{\psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_h^n)}{k} - \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}^n|^2 \mathbf{u}^n), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
& - \beta \lambda_e \left\langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot (\delta \mathbf{u}_h^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n)), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
& + 2 \left\langle \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^n, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle + \left\langle \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
& + \left\langle \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
& - \lambda_r \left\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
& + \gamma \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{3}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
& - \left\langle \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\rangle \\
& - \gamma \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{3}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\rangle \\
& + \kappa \mu \lambda_r \left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}, \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\rangle - \beta \lambda_r \left\langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n-\frac{1}{2}})), \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\rangle \\
& - \kappa \lambda_r \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - \left| \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) + \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_h^n) - \left| \mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}) \right|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\rangle \\
=: & I_1 + I_2 + \dots + I_{16}. \tag{5.46}
\end{aligned}$$

There are sixteen terms involving inner products on the right-hand side of (5.45) which will be estimated in the following. Firstly, by Young's inequality and (2.8),

$$|I_1| \lesssim \|\delta \boldsymbol{\eta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \tag{5.47}$$

Secondly, by Young's inequality and Taylor's theorem (noting (2.2)),

$$|I_2| \lesssim \|\delta \mathbf{H}(t_n) - \partial_t \mathbf{H}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim k^4 + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

For the terms I_3 and I_6 , by writing $\mathbf{u}_h^n = \boldsymbol{\theta}^n + \boldsymbol{\rho}^n + \mathbf{u}^n$ then applying Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_3| + |I_6| & \lesssim \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^n + \delta \mathbf{u}(t_n) - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
& \lesssim \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + h^{2(r+1)} + k^4.
\end{aligned}$$

The term I_4 can be estimated in the same way as the term I_2 (noting (2.2)), giving

$$|I_4| \lesssim k^4 + \epsilon \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

The term I_5 can be estimated by using (5.29) with $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}}$, giving

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_5| & \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\rho}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
& \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta \boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \tag{5.48}
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (2.8) and (5.34). The terms I_7 can be estimated directly by applying Young's inequality to obtain

$$|I_7| \lesssim \epsilon \|\delta\theta^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

The next two terms can be estimated using Young's inequality and (5.19), yielding

$$|I_8| + |I_9| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \epsilon \|\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Next, by Young's inequality and (2.8),

$$|I_{10}| \lesssim \|\eta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \eta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \epsilon \|\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Now, the term I_{12} can be bounded in a similar way as the terms I_8 and I_9 to give

$$|I_{12}| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \epsilon \|\delta\theta^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,$$

while the terms I_{11} and I_{13} can be estimated by applying (5.21) and (5.34) to obtain

$$|I_{11}| + |I_{13}| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta\theta^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

The next two terms are straightforward to estimate:

$$|I_{14}| + |I_{15}| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \|\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\theta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta\theta^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \epsilon \|\delta\theta^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Finally, the last term can be estimated by using (5.24) to give

$$|I_{16}| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \|\theta^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\theta^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\theta^{n-1}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \|\delta\theta^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \epsilon \|\delta\theta^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Altogether, applying the above estimates for I_j , for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 16$, to (5.46), choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, and rearranging the terms yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_e \left(\frac{\|\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2}{k} \right) + \lambda_r \left(\frac{\|\nabla\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \|\nabla\theta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2}{k} \right) \\ & + \|\delta\theta^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_r \|\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \nabla\xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \|\xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Summing over $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$, and noting the fact that $\mathbf{u}_h^0 = R_h \mathbf{u}(0)$, so that

$$\|\xi^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_e \|\xi^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_r \|\nabla\theta^{n-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left(\|\delta\theta^m\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_r \|\xi^{m+\frac{1}{2}} + \xi^{m-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \|\nabla\xi^{m+\frac{1}{2}} + \nabla\xi^{m-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) \\ & \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \|\xi^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \left(\|\xi^{m+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\xi^{m-\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) \\ & \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we also used (5.34). This implies inequality (5.35).

Finally, we will show (5.36). Taking $\phi = \Delta_h \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$, rearranging the terms, and applying Young's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_h \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &= \kappa\mu \|\nabla\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \langle \xi^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta_h \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \rangle + \langle \eta^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta_h \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \rangle - \kappa \langle \rho^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta_h \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \rangle \\ &+ \kappa \langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - |\mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}})|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \Delta_h \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \rangle + \beta \langle \mathbf{e} \cdot (\theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \rho^{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \Delta_h \theta^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + C \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \left\| \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \left\| \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k^4) + \frac{1}{2} \left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we used (2.8), (5.24), and inequality (5.35) (which has been shown previously). This, together with inequalities (5.34) and (2.18), yields (5.36). The proof is now complete. \square

Proposition 5.8. Suppose that the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is globally quasi-uniform. Then for $h, k > 0$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor T/k \rfloor\}$,

$$\left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^n \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C(h^{2r} + k^4),$$

where C depends on the coefficients of the equation, $|\mathcal{D}|$, T , and K_0 , but is independent of n , h , and k .

Proof. Successively taking $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \lambda_r \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ in (5.38), then taking $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \lambda_e \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ in (5.37), and adding the resulting equations give

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2k} \left(\left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \lambda_r \left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&= \left\langle \delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle + \lambda_r \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\
&\quad - \kappa \mu \lambda_r \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle + \gamma \left\langle \widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \kappa \lambda_r \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - \left| \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \beta \lambda_r \left\langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \lambda_e \left\langle \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \kappa \mu \lambda_e \left\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \kappa \mu \lambda_e \left\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
&\quad + \kappa \lambda_e \left\langle \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - \left| \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right|^2 \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle - \beta \lambda_e \left\langle \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}})), \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle \\
&=: J_1 + J_2 + \dots + J_{11}.
\end{aligned}$$

We will estimate each term on the last line. For the first term, by Young's inequality and (5.19), we have

$$|J_1| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \epsilon \left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

The term J_2 will be left as is. For the third term, by Young's inequality

$$|J_3| \lesssim \left\| \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \epsilon \left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

The next two terms will be bounded by using (5.21) and (5.24) respectively, giving

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_4| &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \\
|J_5| &\lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The term J_6 can be estimated using Young's inequality, giving

$$|J_6| \lesssim \left\| \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + \epsilon \left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Next, by using (2.3) and (2.6) (noting (4.26)), the term J_7 can be written as

$$J_7 = \lambda_e \left\langle \Pi_h \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle = -\lambda_e \left\langle \nabla \Pi_h \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \nabla \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}, \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\rangle,$$

and similarly for the term J_9 . Therefore, by Young's inequality and (2.4),

$$|J_7| + |J_9| \lesssim h^{2r} + \epsilon \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Next, the terms J_8 and J_{11} can be estimated by using (2.6) and Young's inequality as

$$|J_8| + |J_{11}| \lesssim h^{2r} + \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Finally, the term J_{10} can be bounded by using (5.25) with $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}}$, giving

$$|J_9| \lesssim h^{2r} + k^4 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Altogether, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2k} \left(\left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \right) + \lambda_r \left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \lambda_e \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ & \lesssim h^{2r} + k^4 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We can now proceed as in (5.41). Choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, rearranging the terms, using (5.35), and summing over $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, we obtain

$$\left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^n \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left\| \Delta_h \boldsymbol{\theta}^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{m+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C(h^{2r} + k^4) + Ck \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\theta}^m \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

The required estimate then follows from the discrete Gronwall inequality. \square

Proposition 5.9. Suppose that the triangulation \mathcal{T}_h is globally quasi-uniform. Then for $h, k > 0$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor T/k \rfloor\}$,

$$\left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C(h^{2r} + k^4),$$

where C depends on the coefficients of the equation, $|\mathcal{D}|$, T , and K_0 , but is independent of n , h , and k .

Proof. Firstly, we follow the same argument leading to (5.42), but we now take $\boldsymbol{\phi} = \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right)$. Secondly, we follow the argument in (5.43), but with $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta_h^2 \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right)$. Adding the resulting equations and applying (2.3) and (2.6) as necessary, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \left\| \nabla \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2}{k} + \frac{\lambda_r}{2} \left\| \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\lambda_e}{2} \left\| \nabla \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ & = \kappa \left\langle \delta \boldsymbol{\eta}^n, \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\rangle + \left\langle \delta \mathbf{H}(t_n) - \partial_t \mathbf{H}^n, \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\rangle \\ & \quad - \kappa \mu \left\langle \delta \mathbf{u}_h^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n, \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\rangle + \left\langle \nabla \Pi_h (\delta \mathbf{u}(t_n) - \nabla \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n), \nabla \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\rangle \\ & \quad + \kappa \left\langle \frac{\psi(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}) - \psi(\mathbf{u}_h^{n-1}, \mathbf{u}_h^n)}{k} - \partial_t (|\mathbf{u}^n|^2 \mathbf{u}^n), \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\rangle \\ & \quad + \beta \left\langle \mathbf{e} (\mathbf{e} \cdot (\delta \mathbf{u}_h^n - \partial_t \mathbf{u}^n)), \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\rangle \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \nabla \Pi_h \left(\delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right), \nabla \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\rangle \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \nabla \Pi_h \left(\delta \boldsymbol{\rho}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} + \delta \mathbf{u}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \partial_t \mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}) \right), \nabla \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\rangle \\ & \quad - \frac{\lambda_r}{2} \left\langle \nabla \Pi_h \left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\eta}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right), \nabla \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\rangle \\ & \quad + \frac{\gamma}{2} \left\langle \nabla \Pi_h \left(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right), \nabla \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\rangle \\ & \quad + \frac{\gamma}{2} \left\langle \nabla \Pi_h \left(\widehat{\mathbf{u}}_h^{n-\frac{3}{2}} \times \mathbf{H}_h^{n-\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}) \times \mathbf{H}(t_{n-\frac{1}{2}}) \right), \nabla \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\rangle \\ & =: M_1 + M_2 + \dots + M_{11}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.49}$$

It remains to estimate each of the eleven terms involving inner products above. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$, the terms M_i can be estimated in a similar way as the corresponding terms I_i in (5.47)–(5.48), giving

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 |M_i| \lesssim h^{2(r+1)} + k^4 + \|\delta\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \nabla \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Moreover, by Young's inequality, stability of the projection operator, and (5.19), we have

$$|M_7| + |M_8| + |M_9| \lesssim h^{2r} + k^4 + \epsilon \left\| \nabla \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2.$$

Finally, by (5.22) (and noting Proposition 5.8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |M_{10}| + |M_{11}| &\lesssim h^{2r} + k^4 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^n\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n-1}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{n-2}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \\ &\quad + \epsilon \left\| \nabla \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &\lesssim h^{2r} + k^4 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \left\| \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \epsilon \left\| \nabla \Delta_h \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^{n-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these into (5.49), choosing $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, and summing over $m \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ (noting Proposition 5.8 and inequality (5.35)), we obtain the required estimate. \square

The following theorem on the rate of convergence of the numerical scheme (5.2) is now an immediate consequence of the previous propositions.

Theorem 5.10. Let (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{H}) be the solution of (2.1) which satisfies (2.2), and let $(\mathbf{u}_h^n, \mathbf{H}_h^n) \in \mathbb{V}_h \times \mathbb{V}_h$ be the solution of (5.2). Then for $h, k > 0$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor T/k \rfloor\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}_h^n - \mathbf{u}(t_n)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{m+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{H}(t_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &\leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k^4), \\ k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^m - \nabla \mathbf{u}(t_m)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &\leq C(h^{2r} + k^4). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if the triangulation is globally quasi-uniform, then for $h, k > 0$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor T/k \rfloor\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &\leq C(h^{2(r+1)} + k^4), \\ \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_h^n - \nabla \mathbf{u}(t_n)\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \left\| \nabla \mathbf{H}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \nabla \mathbf{H}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 &\leq C(h^{2r} + k^4), \\ \left\| \mathbf{u}_h^{n+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{u}(t_{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 + k \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \left\| \mathbf{H}_h^{m+\frac{1}{2}} - \mathbf{H}(t_{m+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty}^2 &\leq C(h^{2(r+1)} |\ln h| + k^2). \end{aligned}$$

The constant C depends on the coefficients of the equation, $|\mathcal{D}|$, T , and K_0 (as defined in (2.2)), but is independent of n , h , and k .

Proof. Note that we have (4.24) and (4.25). The results then follow immediately by the estimates in Proposition 5.7, Proposition 5.8, Proposition 5.9, inequalities (2.8), (2.9), and the triangle inequality. \square

Remark 5.11. More careful estimates would allow us to obtain the stability of \mathbf{H}_h^n in $\ell^\infty(\mathbb{H}^1)$ (as in Proposition 4.5) and to remove the global quasi-uniformity assumption for $d = 1$ and 2 (analogous to Proposition 4.11 and Theorem 4.12). Further details are omitted for brevity.

6. APPROXIMATION OF THE REGULARISED LLBLOCH EQUATION

In this section, we assume $\mu < 0$. The aim here is to show that as $\lambda_e \rightarrow 0^+$, the solution of the LLBar equation converges to that of the LLBloch equation at a certain rate, thus we can treat the LLBar equation as a *regularised* LLBloch equation. We also outline some modifications needed in the schemes to ensure energy dissipativity at the discrete level for this regularised LLBloch equation (with $\lambda_e \Delta \mathbf{H}$ as the regularisation term). Once these are done, we can then conclude that the numerical schemes proposed in Sections 3, 4, and 5 are also suitable to approximate the solution of the LLBloch equation (by choosing small λ_e and sufficiently small h and k).

First, we recall some known results about the LLBloch equation above T_c (equation (1.4) with $\lambda_e = 0$ and $\mu < 0$). Given $T > 0$ and $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^1 \cap \mathbb{L}^\infty$, a global weak solution $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{L}^\infty) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^1) \cap L^2(\mathbb{H}^2)$ exists [27, 28] for $d = 1, 2, 3$. This weak solution satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{L}^\infty)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^1)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^2)} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{L}^2)} + \|\mathbf{H}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{L}^2)} \leq K_1.$$

Furthermore, if $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^2$, then a strong solution $\mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{H}^3)$ exists, possibly only locally in time for $d = 3$ (cf. [28]). This strong solution satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^2)} + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^3)} + \|\partial_t \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{L}^2)} + \|\mathbf{H}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^1)} \leq K_2, \quad (6.1)$$

where K_r , for $r = 1, 2$, are positive constants depending on the coefficients of the equation, T , and $\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{\mathbb{H}^r}$.

For the LLBar equation with $\lambda_e = \varepsilon$ and initial data $\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon \in \mathbb{H}^1$, a weak solution $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^1) \cap L^2(\mathbb{H}^3)$ with a corresponding magnetic field $\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon \in L^2(\mathbb{H}^1)$ exist [36]. As implied by Proposition 3.1, this weak solution enjoys the estimate

$$\|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^1)} + \|\Delta \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{L}^2)} + \|\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{L}^2)} + \sqrt{\varepsilon} \|\nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon\|_{L^2(\mathbb{L}^2)} \leq K_3. \quad (6.2)$$

where K_3 depends on T and $\|\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}$, but is independent of ε . Furthermore, if $\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon \in \mathbb{H}^2$, then we have a strong solution $\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^2) \cap L^2(\mathbb{H}^4)$.

We now show the convergence of the weak solution of the LLBar equation to that of the LLBloch equation as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$.

Theorem 6.1. Let \mathbf{u}^ε be a strong solution of the LLBar equation with $\lambda_e = \varepsilon$ and initial data $\mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon \in \mathbb{H}^2$. Let \mathbf{u} be a strong solution of the LLBloch equation ($\lambda_e = 0$) with initial data $\mathbf{u}_0 \in \mathbb{H}^2$. Then

$$\|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{H}^1)} + \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{H}^2)} + \|\mathbf{H}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{H}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{L}^2)} \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}. \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. We write $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{B} := \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon - \mathbf{H}$. Let $\mathbf{v}_0 := \mathbf{u}_0^\varepsilon - \mathbf{u}_0$, so that $\mathbf{v}(0) = \mathbf{v}_0$. Since \mathbf{u}^ε and \mathbf{u} are strong solutions of the corresponding equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \mathbf{v} &= \lambda_r \mathbf{B} - \varepsilon \Delta \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon - \gamma \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \times \mathbf{B} - \gamma \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H}, \\ \mathbf{B} &= \Delta \mathbf{v} + \kappa \mu \mathbf{v} - \kappa |\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon|^2 \mathbf{v} - \kappa ((\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon + \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{u} - \beta \mathbf{e}(\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{v}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

Successively taking the inner product of the first equation in (6.4) with \mathbf{B} , then taking the inner product of the second equation in (6.4) with $-\partial_t \mathbf{v}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_t \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{B} \rangle &= \lambda_r \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \varepsilon \langle \nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon, \nabla \mathbf{H} \rangle - \gamma \langle \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{B} \rangle \\ - \langle \mathbf{B}, \partial_t \mathbf{v} \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \frac{\kappa \mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \kappa \langle |\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon|^2 \mathbf{v}, \partial_t \mathbf{v} \rangle + \kappa \langle ((\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon + \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{u}, \partial_t \mathbf{v} \rangle + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where on the first equation we integrated by parts and used $\langle \nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon, \nabla \mathbf{B} \rangle = \|\nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \langle \nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon, \nabla \mathbf{H} \rangle$. Adding the above equations (noting $\mu < 0$) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 - \frac{\kappa \mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda_r \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \\ &= \varepsilon \langle \nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon, \nabla \mathbf{H} \rangle + \gamma \langle \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{B} \rangle - \kappa \langle |\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon|^2 \mathbf{v}, \partial_t \mathbf{v} \rangle - \kappa \langle ((\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon + \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{u}, \partial_t \mathbf{v} \rangle \\ &=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

We will estimate each term on the last line. In the following, the constant C is independent of ε . Firstly, by Young's inequality,

$$|J_1| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 4\varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{H}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2. \quad (6.6)$$

Next, by Young's inequality and Sobolev embedding,

$$|J_2| \leq \frac{\lambda_r}{8} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{H}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^4}^2 \leq \frac{\lambda_r}{8} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{H}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2. \quad (6.7)$$

We now aim to estimate J_3 . To this end, substituting $\partial_t \mathbf{v}$ by the first equation in (6.4) and integrating by parts as necessary, we have

$$J_3 = -\kappa \lambda_r \langle |\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon|^2 \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{B} \rangle + \kappa \varepsilon \langle \nabla (|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon|^2 \mathbf{v}), \nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon \rangle + \kappa \gamma \langle |\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon|^2 \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon \times \mathbf{B} \rangle =: J_{3a} + J_{3b} + J_{3c}. \quad (6.8)$$

For the terms J_{3a} and J_{3b} , by Young's inequality and the Sobolev embedding (noting (6.2)) we have

$$|J_{3a}| \leq \kappa \lambda_r \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \leq \frac{\lambda_r}{8} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2.$$

For the terms J_{3b} and J_{3c} , similarly we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |J_{3b}| &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + 4\varepsilon \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 + 4\varepsilon \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^4 \|\nabla \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\Delta \mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\Delta \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2, \\ |J_{3c}| &\leq \kappa \gamma \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^6}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^6} \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^\infty} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2} \leq \frac{\lambda_r}{8} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these estimates into (6.8), we obtain

$$|J_3| \leq \frac{\lambda_r}{4} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\Delta \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \left(1 + \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2\right) \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2, \quad (6.9)$$

where C is independent of ε . The term J_4 can be estimated in a similar manner as J_3 , resulting in

$$|J_4| \leq \frac{\lambda_r}{4} \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{H}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\Delta \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \left(1 + \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{H}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2\right) \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2. \quad (6.10)$$

Altogether, substituting the estimates (6.6), (6.7), (6.9), and (6.10) into (6.5), taking care to absorb relevant terms to the left-hand side, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2 + \|\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 \leq C\varepsilon \|\nabla \mathbf{H}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C\varepsilon \|\Delta \mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{L}^2}^2 + C \left(1 + \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{H}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2\right) \|\mathbf{v}\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2.$$

We now integrate both sides with respect to t . Note that by (6.1) and (6.2), we have

$$\int_0^t \left(1 + \|\mathbf{u}^\varepsilon(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{H}(s)\|_{\mathbb{H}^1}^2\right) ds \leq T + K_2 + K_3.$$

Invoking the Gronwall inequality (noting (6.1) and (6.2) again), we obtain (6.3). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Now that we have shown the convergence of strong solution of the LLBar equation to that of the LLBloch equation, the finite element schemes proposed in Section 4 and Section 5 (with small λ_e) would also be applicable to approximate the LLBloch equation with a small modification to ensure energy dissipativity (since now $\mu < 0$), namely:

- (1) For the scheme (4.1), the term $\kappa \mu \langle \mathbf{u}_h^n, \phi \rangle$ is replaced by $\kappa \mu \langle \mathbf{u}_h^{n+1}, \phi \rangle$.
- (2) The scheme (5.2) can be kept as is.

In this case, for $\mu < 0$, one could check that Proposition 4.1, Proposition 4.2, and Proposition 5.1 still hold with the same argument. We remark that the schemes remain unconditionally energy-stable even as $\lambda_e \rightarrow 0^+$ as the constant C in (4.6) and (5.6) does not depend on λ_e . The rest of the results in Section 4 and 5 continue to hold almost verbatim, since the sign of μ is not used in an essential way in the proofs.

7. NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

Numerical simulations for the scheme (4.1) are performed using the open-source package FENICS [1]. Since the exact solution of the equation is not known, we use extrapolation to verify the spatial order of convergence experimentally. To this end, let $\mathbf{u}_h^{(n)}$ be the finite element solution with spatial step size h and time-step size $k = [T/n]$. For $s = 0$ or 1 , define the extrapolated order of convergence

$$\text{rate}_s := \log_2 \left[\frac{\max_n \|\mathbf{e}_{2h}\|_{\mathbb{H}^s}}{\max_n \|\mathbf{e}_h\|_{\mathbb{H}^s}} \right],$$

where $\mathbf{e}_h(\mathbf{u}) := \mathbf{u}_h^{(n)} - \mathbf{u}_{h/2}^{(n)}$ and $\mathbf{e}_h(\mathbf{H}) := \mathbf{H}_h^{(n)} - \mathbf{H}_{h/2}^{(n)}$. We expect that for scheme (4.1), when k is sufficiently small, $\text{rate}_s \approx h^{r+1-s}$. In these simulations, we take the domain $\mathcal{D} = [0, 1]^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $r = 1$, i.e. piecewise linear polynomials.

7.1. Simulation 1 (LLBar with $\mu > 0$). We take $k = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$. The coefficients in (1.4) are taken to be $\lambda_e = 1.0, \lambda_r = 4.0, \gamma = 10.0, \kappa = 2.0, \mu = 1.0$, and $\beta = -0.1$. The unit vector $\mathbf{e} = (0, 0, 1)^\top$. The initial data \mathbf{u}_0 is given by

$$\mathbf{u}_0(x, y) = (\cos(2\pi x), \sin(2\pi y), 2 \cos(2\pi x) \sin(2\pi y)).$$

Snapshots of the magnetic spin field \mathbf{u} and the effective magnetic field \mathbf{H} at selected times are shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively. The presence of a Bloch wall can be seen in the simulation around time $t = 0.075$. Plots of $\mathbf{e}_h(\mathbf{u})$ and $\mathbf{e}_h(\mathbf{H})$ against $1/h$ are shown in Figure 3a and Figure 3b.






FIGURE 1. Snapshots of the spin field \mathbf{u} (projected onto \mathbb{R}^2) for simulation 1.


 FIGURE 2. Snapshots of the effective field \mathbf{H} (projected onto \mathbb{R}^2) for simulation 1.

 (A) Error order of \mathbf{u} for simulation 1.

 (B) Error order of \mathbf{H} for simulation 1.

7.2. Simulation 2 (Regularised LLBloch with $\mu < 0$ and small λ_e). We take $k = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$. The coefficients in (1.4) are taken to be $\lambda_e = 0.001, \lambda_r = 4.0, \gamma = 5.0, \kappa = 3.0, \mu = -1.0$, and $\beta = 0.2$. The unit vector $\mathbf{e} = (0, 1, 0)^\top$. The initial data \mathbf{u}_0 is given by

$$\mathbf{u}_0(x, y) = (-2y \cos(2\pi x), 4x^2 \sin(2\pi y), 2 \cos(2\pi x) \sin(2\pi y)).$$

Snapshots of the magnetic spin field \mathbf{u} and the effective magnetic field \mathbf{H} at selected times are shown in Figure 4 and Figure 5. Plot of $\mathbf{e}_h(\mathbf{u})$ and $\mathbf{e}_h(\mathbf{H})$ against $1/h$ are shown in Figure 6a and Figure 6b. Qualitatively, the magnetisation vectors align and tend to $\mathbf{0}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, as predicted by the theory (cf. [28]).




FIGURE 4. Snapshots of the spin field \mathbf{u} (projected onto \mathbb{R}^2) for simulation 2.

7.3. Simulation 3 (Energy dissipativity). We take $k = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$. The coefficients in (1.4) are taken to be $\lambda_e = 0.001, \lambda_r = 4.0, \gamma = 5.0, \kappa = 3.0$, and $\beta = 0.2$. The unit vector $\mathbf{e} = (0, 1, 0)^\top$. The initial data \mathbf{u}_0 is given by



$$\mathbf{u}_0(x, y) = (-2y \cos(2\pi x), 4x^2 \sin(2\pi y), 2 \cos(2\pi x) \sin(2\pi y)).$$

Recall that the energy of the system was defined in (1.5). Several plots of energy against time are shown in Figures 7a, 7b, 7c, and 7d for positive or negative μ and various values of h and k . In all cases, the energy is seen to decrease monotonically at the discrete level.





ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author is supported by the Australian Government Research Training Program (RTP) Scholarship awarded at the University of New South Wales, Sydney. Some results from this manuscript have been presented at the WONAPDE 2024 conference in Concepción, Chile.

The author also gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Australian Research Council under grant number DP200101866 (awarded to Prof. Thanh Tran).


 FIGURE 5. Snapshots of the effective field \mathbf{H} (projected onto \mathbb{R}^2) for simulation 2.

 (A) Error order of \mathbf{u} for simulation 2.

 (B) Error order of \mathbf{H} for simulation 2.

(A) Energy vs time for $\mu = 20.0$, $k = 0.0025$ (B) Energy vs time for $\mu = -5.0$, $k = 0.0025$ (C) Energy vs time for $\mu = 20.0$, $h = 1/8$ (D) Energy vs time for $\mu = -1.0$, $h = 1/8$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. S. Alnaes, J. Blechta, J. Hake, A. Johansson, B. Kehlet, A. Logg, C. N. Richardson, J. Ring, M. E. Rognes, and G. N. Wells. The FEniCS project version 1.5. *Archive of Numerical Software*, **3** (2015).
- [2] F. Alouges and A. Soyeur. On global weak solutions for Landau-Lifshitz equations: existence and nonuniqueness. *Nonlinear Anal.*, **18** (1992), 1071–1084.
- [3] I. Baryakhtar and V. Baryakhtar. A motion equation for magnetization: dynamics and relaxation. *Ukr. Fiz. Zh.*, **43** (1998), 1433–1448.
- [4] V. Baryakhtar. Phenomenological description of relaxation processes in magnets. *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.*, **87** (1984).
- [5] L. Bevilacqua, A. Galeão, J. Simas, and A. Doce. A new theory for anomalous diffusion with a bimodal flux distribution. *J. Braz. Soc. Mech. Sci. Eng.*, **35** (2013), 431–440.
- [6] J. H. Bramble, J. E. Pasciak, and O. Steinbach. On the stability of the L^2 projection in $H^1(\Omega)$. *Math. Comp.*, **71** (2002), 147–156.
- [7] Z. Brzeźniak, B. Goldys, and K. N. Le. Existence of a unique solution and invariant measures for the stochastic Landau-Lifshitz-Bloch equation. *J. Differential Equations*, **269** (2020), 9471–9507.
- [8] G. Carbou and P. Fabrie. Regular solutions for Landau-Lifshitz equation in a bounded domain. *Differential Integral Equations*, **14** (2001), 213–229.
- [9] O. Chubykalo-Fesenko and P. Nieves. *Landau-Lifshitz-Bloch approach for magnetization dynamics close to phase transition*, pages 867–893. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2020.
- [10] O. Chubykalo-Fesenko, U. Nowak, R. W. Chantrell, and D. Garanin. Dynamic approach for micromagnetics close to the Curie temperature. *Phys. Rev. B*, **74** (2006), 094436.
- [11] I. Cimrák. A survey on the numerics and computations for the Landau-Lifshitz equation of micromagnetism. *Arch. Comput. Methods Eng.*, **15** (2008), 277–309.

- [12] G. M. Coclite and L. di Ruvo. On a diffusion model for growth and dispersal in a population. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.*, **22** (2023), 1194–1225.
- [13] D. S. Cohen and J. D. Murray. A generalized diffusion model for growth and dispersal in a population. *J. Math. Biol.*, **12** (1981), 237–249.
- [14] A. Demlow, D. Leykekhman, A. H. Schatz, and L. B. Wahlbin. Best approximation property in the W_∞^1 norm for finite element methods on graded meshes. *Math. Comp.*, **81** (2012), 743–764.
- [15] M. Dvornik, A. Vansteenkiste, and B. Van Waeyenberge. Micromagnetic modeling of anisotropic damping in magnetic nanoelements. *Phys. Rev. B*, **88** (2013), 054427.
- [16] D. Garanin. Generalized equation of motion for a ferromagnet. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, **172** (1991), 470 – 491.
- [17] D. A. Garanin. Fokker-Planck and Landau-Lifshitz-Bloch equations for classical ferromagnets. *Phys. Rev. B*, **55** (1997), 3050–3057.
- [18] B. Goldys, C. Jiao, and K.-N. Le. Numerical method and error estimate for stochastic Landau–Lifshitz–Bloch equation. arXiv:2212.10833, 2022.
- [19] B. Goldys, A. L. Soenjaya, and T. Tran. Regularity and asymptotic behaviour of the solution to the Landau–Lifshitz–Baryakhtar equation, 2024. Preprint.
- [20] B. Goldys, A. L. Soenjaya, and T. Tran. The stochastic Landau–Lifshitz–Baryakhtar equation: Global solution and invariant measure. arXiv:2405.14112, 2024.
- [21] P. Grisvard. *Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains*, volume 69 of *Classics in Applied Mathematics*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2011.
- [22] X. Gui, B. Li, and J. Wang. Convergence of renormalized finite element methods for heat flow of harmonic maps. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, **60** (2022), 312–338.
- [23] B. Guo and S. Ding. *Landau–Lifshitz Equations*, volume 1 of *Frontiers of Research with the Chinese Academy of Sciences*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2008.
- [24] M. Jiang, L. Bevilacqua, J. Zhu, and X. Yu. Nonlinear Galerkin finite element methods for fourth-order bi-flux diffusion model with nonlinear reaction term. *Comput. Appl. Math.*, **39** (2020), Paper No. 143, 16.
- [25] M. Lakshmanan. The fascinating world of the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation: an overview. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, **369** (2011), 1280–1300.
- [26] L. Landau and E. Lifshitz. On the theory of the dispersion of magnetic permeability in ferromagnetic bodies. *Phys Z Sowjetunion*, **8** (1935), 153–168.
- [27] K. N. Le. Weak solutions of the Landau-Lifshitz-Bloch equation. *J. Differential Equations*, **261** (2016), 6699–6717.
- [28] K.-N. Le, A. L. Soenjaya, and T. Tran. The Landau–Lifshitz–Bloch equation: Unique existence and finite element approximation. arXiv:2406.05808, 2024.
- [29] B. Li. Maximum-norm stability of the finite element method for the Neumann problem in nonconvex polygons with locally refined mesh. *Math. Comp.*, **91** (2022), 1533–1585.
- [30] H. Li. The W_p^1 stability of the Ritz projection on graded meshes. *Math. Comp.*, **86** (2017), 49–74.
- [31] A. Meo, W. Pantasri, W. Daeng-am, S. E. Rannala, S. I. Ruta, R. W. Chantrell, P. Chureemart, and J. Chureemart. Magnetization dynamics of granular heat-assisted magnetic recording media by means of a multiscale model. *Phys. Rev. B*, **102** (2020), 174419.
- [32] J. D. Murray. *Mathematical biology. I*, volume 17 of *Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 2002.
- [33] P. Nieves and O. Chubykalo-Fesenko. Modeling of ultrafast heat- and field-assisted magnetization dynamics in FePt. *Phys. Rev. Appl.*, **5** (2016), 014006.
- [34] F. L. Ochoa. A generalized reaction diffusion model for spatial structure formed by motile cells. *Biosystems*, **17** (1984), 35–50.
- [35] R. Scott. Optimal L^∞ estimates for the finite element method on irregular meshes. *Math. Comp.*, **30** (1976), 681–697.
- [36] A. L. Soenjaya and T. Tran. Global solutions of the Landau-Lifshitz-Baryakhtar equation. *J. Differential Equations*, **371** (2023), 191–230.
- [37] A. L. Soenjaya and T. Tran. Stable C^1 -conforming finite element methods for the Landau-Lifshitz-Baryakhtar equation. arXiv:2309.05530, 2023.
- [38] V. Thomée. *Galerkin finite element methods for parabolic problems*, volume 25 of *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2006.
- [39] A. Visintin. On Landau-Lifshitz’ equations for ferromagnetism. *Japan J. Appl. Math.*, **2** (1985), 69–84.
- [40] W. Wang, M. Dvornik, M.-A. Bisotti, D. Chernyshenko, M. Beg, M. Albert, A. Vansteenkiste, B. V. Waeyenberge, A. N. Kuchko, V. V. Kruglyak, and H. Fangohr. Phenomenological description of the nonlocal magnetization relaxation in magnonics, spintronics, and domain-wall dynamics. *Phys. Rev. B*, **92** (2015), 054430.
- [41] S. Zhang and Z. Li. Roles of nonequilibrium conduction electrons on the magnetization dynamics of ferromagnets. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **93** (2004), 127204.