

A-ANALYTICITY OF SEPARATRICIES OF FOLIATIONS

STÉPHANE DRUEL

ABSTRACT. Let X be a smooth quasi-projective surface over a number field K , and let L be a foliation on X . We prove that if L is closed under p -th powers for almost all primes p , then any L -invariant smooth formal curve is A -analytic. Building on prior work of Bost we obtain an algebraicity criterion for those curves.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Notation, conventions and used facts	2
3.	Power series solutions of certain p -adic differential equations	4
4.	Proof of Theorem 1.1	10
	References	12

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth quasi-projective surface over a number field K , and let L be a foliation on X (defined over K).

If L is closed under p -th powers for almost all primes p , then the generalization to foliations by Ekedahl, Shepherd-Barron and Taylor (see [ESBT99, Conjecture F]) of the classical Grothendieck-Katz conjecture predicts that L has algebraic leaves. The conjecture has been shown in some special cases, e.g. if X is an abelian surface and L is induced by a non-zero vector field ([Bos01, Theorem 2.3]), if X is the total space of a line bundle over an algebraic curve and L is induced by a linear connection ([And04, Corollaire 4.3.6]), and if X is a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle over an elliptic curve E and L is an Ehresmann connection on $X \rightarrow E$ ([Dru21, Proposition 9.3]).

Actually, [Bos01, Theorem 2.3] follows from an algebraicity criterion for smooth formal schemes in algebraic varieties over number fields ([Bos01, Theorem 3.4]), which we recall now. Let $P \in X(K)$, and let \widehat{V} be a smooth formal subscheme of the completion \widehat{X}_P of X at P . If \widehat{V} is A -analytic (we refer to Section 2 for this notion) and $\widehat{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies the Liouville condition for some embedding $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, then the formal scheme \widehat{V} is algebraic.

If L is regular at $P \in X(K)$, then the formal leaf \widehat{V} of L through P is smooth but \widehat{V} is not A -analytic in general. Nevertheless, if L is closed under p -th powers for almost all primes p , then \widehat{V} is A -analytic by [Bos01, Proposition 3.9].

Suppose now that $P \in X(K)$ be a singular point of L , and let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an embedding. In [CS82], Camacho and Sad proved that there is at least one separatrix of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ through $P_{\mathbb{C}} \in X_{\mathbb{C}}$, i.e. a (local) irreducible complex curve in $X_{\mathbb{C}}$ passing through $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ and tangent to $L_{\mathbb{C}}$. Suppose in addition that $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a non-degenerate reduced singularity of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$. Then, by [MM80, Appendice II], $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ has exactly two separatrices through $P_{\mathbb{C}}$. They are smooth and intersect transversely at $P_{\mathbb{C}}$. In this paper, we extend [Bos01, Proposition 3.9] to this setting.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective surface over a number field K , and let L be a foliation on X . Suppose that L is closed under p -th powers for almost all primes p . Let $P \in X(K)$ be a singular point of L . Suppose furthermore that $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a non-degenerate reduced singularity of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ for some embedding $K \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then the following holds.*

- (1) *There exist smooth formal subschemes \widehat{V} and \widehat{W} over K of the completion \widehat{X}_P of X at P such that $\widehat{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\widehat{W}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are the formal completion at P of the separatrices of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ through $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ for any embedding $K \subset \mathbb{C}$.*
- (2) *The formal curves \widehat{V} and \widehat{W} are A -analytic.*

In fact, a slightly more general statement is true (see Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2).

The following is an immediate consequence of [Bos01, Theorem 3.4] and Theorem 1.1 together.

Corollary 1.2. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective surface over a number field K , and let L be a foliation on X . Suppose that L is closed under p -th powers for almost all primes p . Let $P \in X(K)$ be a singular point of L . Suppose that $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a non-degenerate reduced singularity of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ for some embedding $K \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let \widehat{X}_P be the completion of X at P , and let $\widehat{V} \subset \widehat{X}_P$ be a formal separatrix through P . Suppose in addition that there exists an embedding $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\widehat{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies the Liouville property. Then \widehat{V} is algebraic.*

1.1. Structure of the paper. Section 2 gathers notation, known results and global conventions that will be used throughout the paper. In section 3 we provide technical tools for the proof of the main results. More precisely, we study formal power series solutions of certain p -adic nonlinear differential equation using a Newton iteration procedure. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. NOTATION, CONVENTIONS AND USED FACTS

2.1. Global convention. Throughout the paper a *variety* is a reduced and irreducible scheme separated and of finite type over a field.

2.2. Foliations. Let K be a number field, and let R be its ring of integers. Let X be a smooth quasi-projective variety over K . A *foliation* on X is a line bundle $L \subseteq T_X$ such that the quotient T_X/L is torsion free.

Let $U \subseteq X$ be the open subset where $L|_U$ is a subbundle of T_U . We say that L is *singular* at $P \in X$ if $P \in X \setminus U$.

Let $Y \subseteq X$ be a closed subvariety, and let D be a derivation on X . Say that Y is *invariant under D* if $D(\mathcal{I}_Y) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_Y$.

Say that Y is *invariant under L* if for any local section D of L over some open subset U of X , $D(\mathcal{I}_{Y \cap U}) \subseteq \mathcal{I}_{Y \cap U}$. To prove that Y is invariant under L it is enough to show that $Y \cap U$ of Y is invariant under $L|_U$ for some open set $U \subseteq X$ such that $Y \cap U$ is dense in Y . If X and Y are smooth and $L \subseteq T_X$ is a subbundle, then Y is invariant under L if and only if $L|_Y \subseteq T_Y \subseteq T_X|_Y$.

Let $N \geq 1$ be a sufficiently divisible integer, and let \mathcal{X} (resp. \mathcal{L}) be a smooth model of X over $R[1/N]$ (resp. a line bundle on \mathcal{X} contained in $T_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ such that $\mathcal{L} \otimes_R K$ coincides with L and the quotient $T_{\mathcal{X}/S}/\mathcal{L}$ is torsion free), where $S := \text{Spec } R[1/N]$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a maximal ideal of R with $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N$, and let $k_{\mathfrak{p}} := R/\mathfrak{p}$ denote the residue field at \mathfrak{p} . Let p denote the characteristic of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The sheaf of derivations $\text{Der}_{k_{\mathfrak{p}}}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p}}}) \cong T_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is endowed with the p -th power operation, which maps any local $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -derivation of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ to its p -th iterate.

We say that L is *closed under p -th powers for almost all primes p* if there exists $N \mid N'$ such that, for any $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N'$, $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \subseteq T_{\mathcal{X}_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is closed under p -th powers. This condition is independent of the choices of \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{L} .

2.3. A -analyticity of formal smooth schemes. We briefly recall a number of definitions and facts concerning A -analytic formal curves from [Bos01] (see also [BCL09]). We refer to *loc. cit.* for further explanations concerning these notions.

Let K be field equipped with some complete ultrametric absolute value $|\cdot|$ and assume that its valuation ring R is a discrete valuation ring.

Let N be a positive integer, and let r be a positive real number r . For any formal power series $f = \sum_{I \in \mathbb{N}^N} a_I X^I \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X_1, \dots, X_N]]$, we define $\|f\|_r$ by the formula

$$\|f\|_r = \sup_I |a_I| r^{|I|} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup +\infty.$$

The power series f such that $\|f\|_r < +\infty$ are those that are convergent and bounded on the open N -ball of radius r in K^N .

Fact 2.1. Let $r > 0$ be a real number, and let $f \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X_1, \dots, X_N]]$ and $g \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X_1, \dots, X_N]]$ be formal power series with $\|f\|_r < +\infty$ and $\|g\|_r < +\infty$. Then $\|fg\|_r < +\infty$, and $\|fg\|_r \leq \|f\|_r \|g\|_r$.

For any positive real number r , we denote by $G_{\text{an}, r} < \text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathbb{A}_{K_0}^N})$ the subgroup consisting of all N -tuples $f = (f_1, \dots, f_N)$ such that $f(0) = 0$, $Df(0) \in \text{GL}_2(R)$, and $\|f_i\|_r \leq r$ for each i . This subgroup may be identified with the group of all analytic automorphisms, preserving the origin, of the open N -dimensional ball of radius r . Set also $G_{\text{an}} := \cup_{r>0} G_{\text{an}, r}$.

Notice that a smooth formal subscheme \widehat{V} of dimension d of $\widehat{\mathbb{A}_{K0}^N}$ is K -analytic if and only if there exists $f \in G_{\text{an}}$ such that $f^{-1}\widehat{V}$ is the formal subscheme $\widehat{\mathbb{A}_{K0}^d} \times \{0\}$ of $\widehat{\mathbb{A}_{K0}^N}$.

Let \mathcal{X} be a quasi-projective R -scheme, and $X =: \mathcal{X} \otimes_R K$ its generic fiber. Let $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{X}(R)$, and let $P := \mathcal{P} \otimes_R K$. Let \widehat{V} be a smooth formal subscheme of the completion \widehat{X}_P of X at P . There is a unique way to attach a number $S_{\mathcal{X}}(\widehat{V}) \in [0, 1]$ such that the following holds (see [Bos01]).

- (1) We have $S_{\mathcal{X}}(\widehat{V}) > 0$ if and only if \widehat{V} is K -analytic.
- (2) If $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{P}) = (\mathbb{A}_R^N, 0)$ and \widehat{V} is K -analytic, then $S_{\mathcal{X}}(\widehat{V})$ is supremum of the set of real numbers $0 < r \leq 1$ for which there exists $f \in G_{\text{an}}$ such that $f^{-1}\widehat{V}$ is the formal subscheme $\widehat{\mathbb{A}_{K0}^d} \times \{0\}$ of $\widehat{\mathbb{A}_{K0}^N}$.
- (3) If $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ is an immersion, then $S_{\mathcal{X}}(\widehat{V}) = S_{\mathcal{X}'}(\widehat{V})$.
- (4) For any two triples $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{P}, \widehat{V})$ and $(\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{P}', \widehat{V}')$ as above, if there exists an R -morphism $f: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ mapping \mathcal{P} to \mathcal{P}' , étale along \mathcal{P} , and inducing an isomorphism $\widehat{V} \cong \widehat{V}'$, then $S_{\mathcal{X}}(\widehat{V}) = S_{\mathcal{X}'}(\widehat{V}')$.

We will refer to $S_{\mathcal{X}}(\widehat{V})$ as the *size of \widehat{V} with respect to the model \mathcal{X} of X* .

We will need the following easy observations.

Lemma 2.2 ([BCL09]). *Let $\varphi = \sum_{m \geq 1} c_m X^m \in K[[X]]$ be formal power series such that $c_1 \in R$, and let \widehat{V} be its graph in $\widehat{\mathbb{A}_{K0}^2}$. Set $\lambda := \inf_{m \geq 1} -\frac{\log |c_{m+1}|}{m} \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, and let ρ be the radius of convergence of φ . Then the following holds.*

- (1) *The size $S_{\mathbb{A}_R^2}(\widehat{V})$ of \widehat{V} with respect to $(\mathbb{A}_R^2, 0)$ satisfies $S_{\mathbb{A}_R^2}(\widehat{V}) \leq \rho$.*
- (2) *If $\rho > 0$, then $S_{\mathbb{A}_R^2}(\widehat{V}) \geq \min(1, \exp \lambda)$. In particular, \widehat{V} is K -analytic. Moreover, if $\varphi \in R[[X]]$, then $S_{\mathbb{A}_R^2}(\widehat{V}) = 1$.*
- (3) *If $\rho > 0$ and $\varphi'(0)$ is a unit in R , then $S_{\mathbb{A}_R^2}(\widehat{V}) = \min(1, \exp \lambda)$.*

Proof. Items (1) and (3) are shown in [BCL09, Proposition 3.5]. To prove Item (2), let

$$f(X_1, X_2) := (X_1 + X_2, \varphi(X_1)) \in \text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathbb{A}_{K0}^2}).$$

Then $f^{-1}\widehat{V}$ is the formal subscheme $\widehat{\mathbb{A}_{K0}^1} \times \{0\}$ of $\widehat{\mathbb{A}_{K0}^2}$. If $\rho > 0$, then $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Set $r := \min(1, \exp \lambda) \in [0, 1]$. Then $\|f\|_r \leq r$, and hence $f \in G_{\text{an}}$. This finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\varphi = \sum_{m \geq 2} c_m X^m \in K[[X]]$ be formal power series such that $c_2 \in R$, and set $\psi := \varphi(X)/X = \sum_{m \geq 1} c_{m+1} X^m$. Let \widehat{V} (resp. \widehat{W}) be the graph of φ (resp. ψ) in $\widehat{\mathbb{A}_{K0}^2}$. Then $S_{\mathbb{A}_R^2}(\widehat{V}) \geq S_{\mathbb{A}_R^2}(\widehat{W})$.*

Proof. Let r be a positive real number such that $r < S_{\mathbb{A}_R^2}(\widehat{W})$. By assumption, there exist formal power series f_1 and f_2 in $K[[X_1, X_2]]$ such that $f = (f_1, f_2) \in G_{\text{an}, r}$ and $f^{-1}\widehat{W} = \widehat{\mathbb{A}_K^1} \times \{0\}$. This last condition is actually equivalent to the identity

$$f_2(T, 0) = \psi(f_1(T, 0))$$

in $K[[T]]$. Let us write $f_1(T, 0) = \sum_{m \geq 1} a_m T^m$, and $f_2(T, 0) = \sum_{m \geq 1} b_m T^m$. We have $b_1 = c_2 a_1$. Since $f \in G_{\text{an}, r}$, we must have $Df(0) \in \text{GL}_2(R)$. This immediately implies that a_1 is a unit in R .

Let us set

$$g_1(X_1, X_2) = f_1(X_1, X_2), \quad g_2(X_1, X_2) = X_2 + f_1(X_1, X_2)f_2(X_1, X_2), \quad \text{and} \quad g = (g_1, g_2).$$

Then $\|g_1\|_r = \|f_1\|_r \leq r$ and $\|g_2\|_r \leq r$ since $\|f_1 f_2\|_r \leq \|f_1\|_r \|f_2\|_r \leq r^2 \leq r$. Moreover,

$$Dg(0) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \partial_{X_2} f(0) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(R),$$

and hence $g \in G_{\text{an}, r}$. Finally, notice that $g^{-1}\widehat{V} = \widehat{\mathbb{A}_K^1} \times \{0\}$ since $f_2(T, 0)f_1(T, 0) = \varphi(f_1(T, 0))$ in $K[[T]]$. This shows that $S_{\mathbb{A}_R^2}(\widehat{V}) \geq S_{\mathbb{A}_R^2}(\widehat{W})$, finishing the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 2.4. In the setup of Lemma 2.3, note that the (formal) curve $T \mapsto (T, \psi(T))$ is the proper transform of the (formal) curve $T \mapsto (T, \varphi(T))$ in the blow-up of \mathbb{A}_K^1 at 0.

Let K be a number field, and let R be its ring of integers. Let \mathfrak{p} be a maximal ideal of R , let $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the \mathfrak{p} -adic absolute value, normalized by the condition $|\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{1}{\#(R/\mathfrak{p})}$ for any uniformizing element $\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at \mathfrak{p} . Let $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the \mathfrak{p} -adic completions of K and R , and $k_{\mathfrak{p}} := R_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}})$ the residue field at \mathfrak{p} . Let p denote the characteristic of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let X be a quasi-projective algebraic variety over K , and let $P \in X(K)$. Let \widehat{V} be a smooth formal subscheme (defined over K) of the formal completion \widehat{X}_P of X at P . Let $N \geq 1$ be a sufficiently divisible integer, and let \mathcal{X} be a quasi-projective model of X over $R[1/N]$, such that P extends to a point $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{X}(R[1/N])$. The smooth formal scheme \widehat{V} is said to be *A-analytic* (see [BCL09, Definition 3.7]) if

- (1) for any place v of K , the formal scheme \widehat{V}_{K_v} is K_v -analytic, where K_v denotes the completion of K with respect to v , and
- (2) we have

$$\sum_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid N} \log \frac{1}{S_{\mathcal{X}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}}(\widehat{V}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}})} < +\infty.$$

This condition is independent of the choices of \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{P} , and N .

3. POWER SERIES SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN p -ADIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Let p be a prime number. Let \mathbb{Q}_p (resp. \mathbb{C}_p) be the field of p -adic rational numbers (resp. complex numbers). We denote by $|\cdot|$ the ultrametric absolute value on \mathbb{C}_p normalized by $|p| = p^{-1}$. We denote by v the p -adic valuation on \mathbb{C}_p normalized by $v(p) = 1$.

In this section, we study formal power series solutions of certain p -adic nonlinear differential equations at a regular singular point. The following is the main result of this section.

Proposition 3.1. *Let p be an odd prime integer, let $1 \leq s \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq t \leq p-1$ be relatively prime integers, and set $\alpha := \frac{s}{t}$. Let a , b , and c_m for any integer $m \geq 2$ be power series in $\mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$ such that $\|a\|_r \leq \frac{r}{p}$, $\|b\|_r \leq \frac{r}{p}$, and $\|c_m\|_r \leq \frac{1}{p}$ for some real number $r \in]0, 1]$. Suppose in addition that $a(0) = a'(0) = 0$ and that $b(0) = 0$. Then the following holds.*

- (1) *There exists a unique formal power series y in $\mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$ with $y(0) = y'(0) = 0$ solution of the differential equation*

$$(3.1) \quad xy' + \alpha y = a + by + \sum_{m \geq 2} c_m y^m.$$

- (2) *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, letting $R := r \exp\left(-Ct \frac{(\log p)^2}{p^2}\right)$, we have $\|y\|_R \leq R$.*

We will need the following well-known facts.

Fact 3.2. Let $f = \sum_{m \geq 0} a_m X^m \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$ and $g = \sum_{m \geq 0} b_m X^m \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$. Suppose that there exists a positive real number r such that both $|a_m| r^m$ and $|b_m| r^m$ goes to 0 as m goes to $+\infty$. Then $\|fg\|_r = \|f\|_r \|g\|_r$ (see [Rob00, Proposition 2 of Section 6.1.4]).

Fact 3.3. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$. If $f(0) = 0$ and $\|f\|_r \leq Cr$ for some positive real numbers r and C , then $\|f\|_{r_1} \leq Cr_1$ for $0 < r_1 \leq r$.

Fact 3.4. Let $f = \sum_{m \geq 0} a_m X^m \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$. Suppose that there exists a positive real number r such that $|a_m| r^m$ goes to 0 as m goes to $+\infty$. Then $\|f\|_r = \|f_r\|_1 = \sup_{|x| \leq 1} |f_r(x)| = \sup_{|x| \leq r} |f(x)|$, where $f_r(X) := f(rX) = \sum_{m \geq 0} a_m r^m X^m$ (see [Rob00, Proposition 1 of Section 6.1.4]).

Before we give the proof of Proposition 3.1, we need the following auxiliary statements.

Lemma 3.5. *Let k be a positive integer, and let $b = \sum_{m \geq 2k} b_m X^m \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$ be a power series such that $\|b\|_r \leq \frac{r}{p}$ for some real number $r \in]0, 1]$. Set $B = \sum_{m \geq 2k} \frac{b_m}{m} X^m$.*

- (1) *Let $r_1 := r \exp\left(-\frac{2}{p(p-1)} \log p\right)$. Then $\|B\|_{r_1} \leq p^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}$.*
- (2) *Let $r_1 := r \exp\left(-\frac{k+1}{2k} \log 2\right)$. Then $\|B\|_{r_2} \leq p^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}$.*

Proof. Let R be a positive real number. Then $\|B\|_R \leq p^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}$ if and only if

$$\log R \leq \inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left(-\log |b_m| + \log |m| - \frac{2}{p-1} \log p \right) \right\}.$$

By assumption, we have

$$\log |b_m| + (m-1) \log r \leq -\log p$$

for any integer $m \geq 2^k$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left(-\log |b_m| + \log |m| - \frac{2}{p-1} \log p \right) \right\} &\geq \inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left((m-1) \log r + \log |m| + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right) \right\} \\ &\geq \log r + \inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left(\log |m| + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

using the fact that $r \leq 1$.

Notice that $\log |m| + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \leq -\log p + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p = -\frac{2}{p-1} \log p < 0$ if $|m| < 1$, and hence

$$\inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left(\log |m| + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right) \right\} < 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left(\log |m| + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right) \right\} &\geq \inf_{m \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left(\log |m| + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right) \right\} \\ &= \inf_{m \geq 1 \text{ such that } |m| < 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left(\log |m| + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right) \right\} \\ &= \inf_{t \geq 1 \text{ and } u \geq 1 \text{ such that } |u|=1} \left\{ \frac{1}{p^t u} \left(-t + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right) \log p \right\} \\ &\geq \inf_{t \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{p^t} \left(-t + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right) \log p \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that $x \mapsto \frac{1}{p^x} \left(-x + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right)$ is an increasing function on $x > \frac{p-3}{p-1} + \frac{1}{\log p}$. On the other hand, we have $\frac{p-3}{p-1} + \frac{1}{\log p} < 2$. Thus

$$\inf_{t \geq 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{p^t} \left(-t + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right) \log p \right\} = \min_{t \in \{1, 2\}} \left\{ \frac{1}{p^t} \left(-t + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right) \log p \right\} = \frac{-2}{p(p-1)} \log p,$$

and hence

$$\inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left(-\log |b_m| + \log |m| - \frac{2}{p-1} \log p \right) \right\} \geq \log r - \frac{2}{p(p-1)} \log p.$$

This proves (1).

We proceed to show (2). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left(\log |m| + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right) \right\} &\geq \inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left(-\log m + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right) \right\} \\ &\geq \inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left(-\log m + \frac{p-3}{p-1} \log p \right) \right\} \\ &\geq \inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ -\frac{\log m}{m} \right\} - \frac{1}{2^k} \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the function $x \mapsto -\frac{\log x}{x}$ is increasing on $\log x > 1$. Therefore, if $k \geq 2$, then

$$\inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left(-\log m \right) \right\} = -\frac{k}{2^k} \log 2.$$

If $k = 1$, then

$$\inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \left(-\log m \right) \right\} = \min \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \log 2, -\frac{2}{2^2} \log 2 \right\} = -\frac{1}{2} \log 2 \geq -\log 2.$$

This proves (2), completing the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 3.6. In the setup of Lemma 3.5, notice that $\exp(B(x))$ is the unique formal power series solution of the differential equation $xy' = by$ such that $y(0) = 1$. We will denote $B(x)$ by $\int_0^x \frac{b(u)}{u} du$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let k be a positive integer, and let $a = \sum_{m \geq 2^k} a_m X^m \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$ be a power series such that $\|a\|_r \leq \frac{r}{p}$ for some positive real number r . Let $1 \leq s \leq p-1$ and $1 \leq t \leq p-1$ be relatively prime integers, and set $\alpha := \frac{s}{t}$ and $A = \sum_{m \geq 2^k} \frac{a_m}{m+\alpha} X^m$.*

- (1) *Let $r_1 := r \exp\left(-\frac{t}{(p-1)^2} \log p\right)$. Then $\|A\|_{r_1} \leq r_1$.*
- (2) *Suppose that $2^k \geq \alpha + 2$, and let $r_2 := r \exp\left(-\frac{kt}{2^{k-1}} \log 2\right)$. Then $\|A\|_{r_2} \leq r_2$.*

Proof. Let R be a positive real number. Then $\|A\|_R \leq R$ if and only if

$$\log R \leq \inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ -\frac{1}{m-1} \log \frac{|a_m|}{|m+\alpha|} \right\}.$$

By assumption, we have $\log |a_m| + (m-1) \log r \leq -\log p$ for any $m \geq 2^k$. Notice also that $|s| = |t| = 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ -\frac{1}{m-1} \log \frac{|a_m|}{|m+\alpha|} \right\} &\geq \log r + \inf_{m \geq 2^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{m-1} (\log p + \log |tm+s|) \right\} \\ &\geq \log r + \inf_{m \geq 2^k t+s} \left\{ \frac{t}{m-s-t} (\log p + \log |m|) \right\} \\ &\geq \log r + \inf_{m \geq 2t+s} \left\{ \frac{t}{m-s-t} (\log p + \log |m|) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\log p + \log |m| < 0$ if $|m| < |p|$, and that $m-s-t \geq t \geq 1$. Hence

$$\inf_{m \geq 2t+s} \left\{ \frac{t}{m-s-t} (\log p + \log |m|) \right\} < 0,$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{m \geq 2t+s} \left\{ \frac{t}{m-s-t} (\log p + \log |m|) \right\} &= \inf_{m \geq 2t+s \text{ and } |m| < |p|} \left\{ \frac{t}{m-s-t} (\log p + \log |m|) \right\} \\ &= \inf_{k \geq 2 \text{ and } u \geq 1 \text{ such that } |u|=1} \left\{ \frac{t}{p^k u - s - t} (1-k) \log p \right\} \\ &\geq \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{t}{p^k - 2(p-1)} (1-k) \log p \right\} \\ &\geq \inf_{k \geq 2} \left\{ \frac{t}{p^k \left(1 - \frac{2(p-1)}{p^2}\right)} (1-k) \log p \right\} \\ &= \frac{t \log p}{\left(1 - \frac{2(p-1)}{p^2}\right)} \inf_{k \geq 2} \frac{1-k}{p^k}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the function $x \mapsto \frac{1-x}{p^x}$ is increasing on $x > 1 + \frac{1}{\log p}$. This immediately implies

$$\inf_{k \geq 2} \frac{1-k}{p^k} = -\frac{1}{p^2},$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t \log p}{\left(1 - \frac{2(p-1)}{p^2}\right)} \inf_{k \geq 2} \frac{1-k}{p^k} &= -\frac{t}{p^2 \left(1 - \frac{2(p-1)}{p^2}\right)} \log p \\ &= -\frac{t}{p^2 - 2p + 2} \log p \\ &\geq -\frac{t}{(p-1)^2} \log p. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (1).

We proceed to show (2). Suppose that $2^k \geq \alpha + 2$. If moreover $m \geq 2^k t + s$, then

$$\frac{m}{2} - s - t \geq \frac{1}{2}(2^k t + s) - s - t \geq \frac{1}{2}(s + 2t + s) - s - t = 0,$$

and hence $m - s - t \geq \frac{m}{2}$. Notice in addition that we must have $k \geq 2$ since $\alpha > 0$ by assumption. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{m \geq 2^k t + s} \left\{ \frac{t}{m - s - t} (\log p + \log |m|) \right\} &\geq \inf_{m \geq 2^k t + s} \left\{ \frac{t}{m - s - t} (\log p - \log m) \right\} \\ &\geq - \inf_{m \geq 2^k t + s} \left\{ \frac{t}{m - s - t} \log m \right\} \\ &\geq -2t \inf_{m \geq 2^k t + s} \frac{\log m}{m} \\ &\geq -2t \inf_{m \geq 2^k} \frac{\log m}{m} \\ &= -\frac{kt}{2^{k-1}} \log 2. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Remark 3.8. In the setup of Lemma 3.7, note that A is the unique formal power series solution of the differential equation

$$xy' + \alpha y = a$$

with $y(0) = y'(0) = 0$.

We are now in position to prove Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Notice that $m + \alpha \neq 0$ for any integer $m \geq 2$. Item (1) then follows easily.

The proof of Item (2) is subdivided into a number of steps.

Step 1. Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. Observe that $v(m + \alpha) = v(tm + s) \leq \frac{\log(tm+s)}{\log p}$. Therefore, [SS81, Theorem 2] applies to show that the formal solution y is convergent.

Set

$$c(X_1, X_2) := \sum_{m \geq 2} c_m(X_1) X_2^m \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X_1, X_2]],$$

and consider the formal power series

$$B(x) := \int_0^x \frac{b(u)}{u} du \quad \text{and} \quad z(x) := y(x) \exp(-B(x)).$$

Note that $z(0) = z'(0) = 0$. Then y is solution of Equation (3.1) if and only if z is solution of

$$xz'(x) + \alpha z(x) = \exp(-B(x))(a(x) + c(x, z(x) \exp(B(x)))).$$

By Lemma 3.5 (1), $B(x)$ converges if $|x| \leq r_0 := r \exp\left(-\frac{2}{p(p-1)} \log p\right)$, and $|B(x)| \leq p^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$. In particular, $\exp(\pm B(x))$ is well-defined. Set

$$a_0(x) := a(x) \exp(-B(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad c_{0,m}(x) := c_m(x) \exp((m-1)B(x))$$

for all $m \geq 2$, so that

$$\exp(-B(x))c(x, z(x) \exp(B(x))) = \sum_{m \geq 2} c_{0,m}(x) z(x)^m.$$

Let $r_1 := r \exp\left(-\frac{3}{p(p-1)} \log p\right) < r_0$. Then [Rob00, Theorem of Section 6.1.5] applies to show that $\exp(\pm B)(x)$ converges and that $\exp(\pm B)(x) = \exp(\pm(B(x)))$ if $|x| \leq r_1$. In addition, we have $\|\exp(\pm B)\|_{r_1} = \sup_{|x| \leq r_1} |\exp(\pm B)(x)| = \sup_{|x| \leq r_1} |\exp(\pm(B(x)))|$. On the other hand, $\sup_{|x| \leq r_1} |\exp(\pm(B(x)))| \leq 1$ since $|m!| \geq p^{-\frac{m}{p-1}}$ for every integer $m \geq 1$. It follows that

$$\|\exp(\pm B)\|_{r_1} = 1$$

since $B(0) = 0$. As a consequence, we have

$$\|a_0\|_{r_1} = \|a\|_{r_1} \|\exp(-B)\|_{r_1} = \|a\|_{r_1} \leq \frac{r_1}{p},$$

$$\|c_{0,m}\|_{r_1} = \|c_m\|_{r_1} \leq \|c_m\|_r \leq \frac{1}{p},$$

and

$$\|y\|_R = \|z\|_R$$

for any real number $0 < R \leq r_1$.

Replacing r by r_1 , if necessary, we may therefore assume without loss of generality that $b = 0$, so that Equation (3.1) reads

$$(3.2) \quad xy' + \alpha y = a + \sum_{m \geq 2} c_m y^m.$$

Step 2. The proof of [SS81, Theorem 2] then goes as follows. Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$ be the unique formal power series solution of

$$xz_0'(x) + \alpha z_0(x) = a(x)$$

with $z_0(x) = O(x^2)$ as x goes to 0. Set $b_0 = 0$. Observe that $a_1(x) := c(x, z_0(x)) = O(x^{2^2})$ as x goes to 0. Set $b_1(x) := \partial_{x_2} c(x, z_0(x))$, and let $z_1 \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$ be the unique formal power series solution of

$$xz_1'(x) + \alpha z_1(x) = a_1(x) + b_1(x)z_1(x)$$

with $z_1(x) = O(x^{2^2})$ as x goes to 0. Next, one defines inductively $z_k \in \mathbb{C}_p[[X]]$ for all integer $k \geq 2$ as follows. Set

$$y_k := \sum_{i=0}^k z_i$$

for $k \geq 0$. If $k \geq 2$, set

$$a_k(x) := c(x, y_{k-1}(x)) - c(x, y_{k-2}(x)) - z_{k-1}(x) \partial_{x_2} c(x, y_{k-2}(x))$$

and

$$b_k(x) := \partial_{x_2} c(x, y_{k-1}(x)).$$

For $k \geq 2$, one then proves that there exists a unique formal power series z_k solution of

$$(3.3) \quad xz_k'(x) + \alpha z_k(x) = a_k(x) + b_k(x)z_k(x)$$

with $z_k(x) = O(x^{2^{k+1}})$. Finally, one proves that z_k converges for all $k \geq 0$ as well as $y := \sum_{k \geq 0} z_k$ and that y is the unique solution formal power series solution of Equation (3.2) with $y(x) = O(x^2)$ as x goes to 0.

Step 3. Set $B_k(x) := \int_0^x \frac{b_k(u)}{u} du$. Notice that, for any integer $k \geq 1$, the formal power series z_k is solution of Equation (3.3) if and only if

$$w_k(x) := z_k(x) \exp(-B_k(x))$$

is solution of

$$xw_k'(x) + \alpha w_k(x) = \exp(-B_k(x))a_k(x).$$

Let k_1 be the smallest positive integer such that $\frac{k_1+1}{2^{k_1}} \leq \frac{1}{p^2}$. Then $2^{k_1} \geq p^2 + 1 \geq p + 1 \geq \alpha + 2$. Notice that $k_1 \leq 5 \log p$. Indeed, let k be any integer such that $k \geq \frac{2}{\log 2} \log p + 1$. Then $\frac{k+1}{2^k} \leq \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} \leq \frac{1}{p^2}$. It follows that $k_1 \leq \frac{2}{\log 2} \log p + 2 \leq 3 \log p + 2 \leq 5 \log p$.

Then, we define inductively a decreasing sequence $(r_k)_{k \geq 0}$ of positive real numbers such that the following holds. For any integer $k \geq 0$, if $|x| \leq r_k$, then $\exp(\pm B_k)(x)$ converges, $\exp(\pm B_k)(x) = \exp(\pm(B_k(x)))$, and $z_k(x)$ converges as well. In addition,

$$\|\exp(\pm B_k)\|_{r_k} = 1,$$

and

$$\|z_k\|_{r_k} \leq r_k.$$

Finally, we will show that the limit r_∞ of the sequence $(r_k)_{k \geq 0}$ satisfies

$$\log r - \log r_\infty \leq Ct \frac{(\log p)^2}{p^2}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. In particular, $r_\infty > 0$.

Set $r_0 := r \exp\left(-\frac{t}{(p-1)^2} \log p\right)$. By Lemma 3.7 (1), $\|z_0\|_{r_0} \leq r_0$. Moreover, $B_0 = 0$ since $b_0 = 0$.

Let now k be a positive integer. Suppose $r_{k-1} < r_{k-2} < \dots < r_0$ have already been defined. For any integer $0 \leq j \leq k-1$, we have

$$\|y_j\|_{r_{k-1}} \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq j} \|z_i\|_{r_{k-1}} \leq r_{k-1},$$

and $y_j(x)$ converges if $|x| \leq r_{k-1}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|b_k\|_{r_{k-1}} &= \|\partial_{x_2} c(x, y_{k-1}(x))\|_{r_{k-1}} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{m \geq 2} m c_m y_{k-1}^{m-1} \right\|_{r_{k-1}} \\ &\leq \max_{m \geq 2} \left\{ m \|c_m\|_{r_{k-1}} \|y_{k-1}\|_{r_{k-1}}^{m-1} \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{m \geq 2} \left\{ \|c_m\| r_{k-1}^{m-1} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{r_{k-1}}{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|a_k\|_{r_{k-1}} = \|c(x, y_{k-1}(x)) - c(x, y_{k-2}(x)) - z_{k-1}(x) \partial_{x_2} c(x, y_{k-2}(x))\|_{r_{k-1}} \leq \frac{r_{k-1}}{p}.$$

Suppose first $k < k_1$. Set

$$r_k := r_{k-1} \exp\left(-\frac{2t}{(p-1)^2} \log p\right) \leq r_{k-1} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{p(p-1)} \log p\right) < r_{k-1}.$$

By Lemma 3.5 (1) applied to b_k and Lemma 3.7 (1) applied to $\exp(-B_k(x))a_k(x)$ and arguing as in Step 1, we see that r_k satisfies all the conditions listed above.

Suppose now that $k \geq k_1$, and set

$$r_k := r_{k-1} \exp\left(-\frac{(k+1)t}{2^{k-1}} \log 2\right) \leq r_{k-1} \exp\left(-\frac{k+1}{2^k} \log 2\right) < r_{k-1}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.5 (2) to b_k and Lemma 3.7 (2) to $\exp(-B_k(x))a_k(x)$ and arguing again as in Step 1, we see that r_k also satisfies all the conditions listed above.

Finally, we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq k \leq k_1-1} (\log r_{k-1} - \log r_k) = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq k_1-1} \frac{2t}{(p-1)^2} \log p = \frac{2(k_1-1)t}{(p-1)^2} \log p \leq 10t \frac{(\log p)^2}{(p-1)^2},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \geq k_1} (\log r_{k-1} - \log r_k) &= \sum_{i \geq k_1} \frac{(k+1)t}{2^{k-1}} \log 2 \\ &\leq t \log 2 \int_{k_1}^{+\infty} \frac{x+1}{2^{x-1}} dx \\ &= \frac{t}{2^{k_1-1}} \left(\frac{1}{\log 2} + k_1 + 1 \right) \\ &\leq 4t \frac{k_1+1}{2^{k_1}} \\ &\leq \frac{4t}{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\log r - \log r_\infty = \sum_{k \geq 1} (\log r_{k-1} - \log r_k) \leq 10t \frac{(\log p)^2}{(p-1)^2} + \frac{4t}{p^2} \leq 14t \frac{(\log p)^2}{(p-1)^2}.$$

Set $C := 14$, and $R := r \exp\left(-tC \frac{(\log p)^2}{p^2}\right)$. Then $R \leq r_k$ for any $k \geq 0$, and $\|y\|_R \leq R$ since $\|y_k\|_R \leq R$ for each $k \geq 0$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we prove our main result. Note that Theorem 1.1 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.1 below.

Let X a smooth complex quasi-projective surface, and let L be a foliation on X . Let P be a singular point of L , and let D be a vector field on some open subset $U \ni P$ such that $L|_U = \mathcal{O}_U D$. Let α_1 and α_2 be the eigenvalues of the linear part of D at P . Recall that P is a *reduced singularity* of L if at least one of the α_i 's is non-zero, say α_2 , and $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}$ is not a positive rational number. A reduced singularity P is called *non-degenerate* if both α_1 and α_2 are non-zero. Then $\{\alpha, \frac{1}{\alpha}\}$ does not depend on the choice of D , where $\alpha := \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2}$. Finally, recall from [MM80, Appendice II], that if P is a non-degenerate reduced singularity, then there are exactly two analytic curves in X passing through P and invariant under L . They are smooth and intersect transversely at P .

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective surface over a number field K , and let L be a foliation on X . Suppose that L is closed under p -th powers for almost all primes p . Let $P \in X(K)$ be a singular point of L . Suppose that $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a reduced singularity of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ for some embedding $K \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then the following holds.*

- (1) *The foliation L has a non-degenerate singularity at P , and $-\alpha_{P_{\mathbb{C}}}(L_{\mathbb{C}}) \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. In particular, $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a reduced singularity of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ for any embedding $K \subset \mathbb{C}$.*
- (2) *There exist two \widehat{L} -invariant smooth formal subschemes \widehat{V} and \widehat{W} of the completion \widehat{X}_P of X at P defined over K , where \widehat{L} denotes the (formal) foliation induced by L on \widehat{X}_P . In particular, $\widehat{V}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\widehat{W}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are the formal completion at P of the two separatrices of $L_{\mathbb{C}}$ through $P_{\mathbb{C}}$ for any embedding $K \subset \mathbb{C}$.*
- (3) *The formal curves \widehat{V} and \widehat{W} are A -analytic.*

Proof. Replacing X by a Zariski open neighborhood of P in X , if necessary, we may assume without loss of generality that X is affine, and that $L = \mathcal{O}_X D$ for some vector field $D \in T_X \cong \text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_X)$. Let \overline{K} be an algebraic closure of K , and let $\alpha_1 \in \overline{K}$ and $\alpha_2 \in \overline{K}$ be the eigenvalues of the linear part of D at P . Suppose $\alpha_2 \neq 0$. By [McQ08, Proposition II.1.3], D is formally linearisable at P and $\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \in \mathbb{Q}$. This immediately implies that $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ since D has isolated zeroes by assumption. In addition, we must have $-\alpha_{P_{\mathbb{C}}}(L_{\mathbb{C}}) = -\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_2} \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ for any embedding $K \subset \mathbb{C}$, proving (1).

Let us write $\alpha = -\frac{s}{t}$, where s and t are relatively prime positive integers, and let $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an embedding. Let x_1 and x_2 be regular functions on X such that the induced map $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is étale at P , and maps P to 0. Shrinking X further, we may assume that $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^2$ is étale. Let \widehat{D} denote the K -derivation of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,P} \cong K[[X_1, X_2]]$ induced by D . We may assume without loss of generality that

$$\widehat{D} = (X_1 + f_1(X_1, X_2))\partial_{X_1} + (\lambda X_2 + f_2(X_1, X_2))\partial_{X_2},$$

where f_1 and f_2 are formal power series with coefficients in K vanishing to order at least 2 at P . Let φ_1 and φ_2 be formal power series with coefficients in K vanishing to order at least 2 at 0. Then the formal (smooth) curves

$$T \mapsto (\varphi_1(T), T) \quad \text{and} \quad T \mapsto (T, \varphi_2(T))$$

are invariant under \widehat{D} if and only if

$$\widehat{D}(X_1 - \varphi_1(X_2)) \in (X_1 - \varphi_1(X_2)) \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{D}(X_2 - \varphi_2(X_1)) \in (X_2 - \varphi_2(X_1))$$

if and only if

$$(4.1) \quad \varphi_1(T) + f_1(\varphi_1(T), T) - \varphi_1'(T)(\lambda T + f_2(\varphi_1(T), T)) = 0$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda \varphi_2(T) + f_2(T, \varphi_2(T)) - \varphi_2'(T)(T + f_1(T, \varphi_2(T))) = 0.$$

One readily checks that there is a unique formal power series $\varphi_1 \in K[[T]]$ (resp. $\varphi_2 \in K[[T]]$) vanishing to order at least 2 at 0 solution of Equation (4.1) (resp. Equation (4.2)) since $-\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. This proves (2).

We will denote by \widehat{V} (resp. \widehat{W}) the formal curve $T \mapsto (\varphi_1(T), T)$ (resp. $T \mapsto (T, \varphi_2(T))$).

The proof of Item (3) is subdivided into a number of steps.

Step 1. Let v be a place of K , and let K_v be the completion of K at v . Notice first that \widehat{V}_{K_v} and \widehat{W}_{K_v} are K_v -analytic by [SS81, Theorem 2] if v is a finite place and [MM80, Appendice II] if v is archimedean.

Step 2. Suppose that the (algebraic) curve $\{x_1\} = 0$ is \mathcal{L} -invariant. Shrinking X further, if necessary, we may therefore assume that

$$D = x_1 \partial_{x_1} + f(x_1, x_2) \partial_{x_2},$$

where f is a regular function on X .

Let R be the ring of integers of K . If N denotes a sufficiently divisible positive integer, there exists a model \mathcal{X} of X , smooth and quasi-projective over $R[1/N]$, such that P extends to a point $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{X}(R[1/N])$. We may also assume that $X \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_K^2$ extends to an étale morphism $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{R[1/N]}^2$, and that D extends to a vector field $\mathcal{D} \in H^0(\mathcal{X}, T_{\mathcal{X}/\mathrm{Spec} R[1/N]})$ with isolated zeroes. Let \mathfrak{p} be a maximal ideal of R , and let $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the \mathfrak{p} -adic absolute value, normalized by the condition $|\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{1}{\#(R/\mathfrak{p})}$ for any uniformizing element $\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at \mathfrak{p} . Let $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the \mathfrak{p} -adic completions of K and R , and $k_{\mathfrak{p}} := R_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}})$ the residue field at \mathfrak{p} . Let finally p denote the characteristic of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

Suppose that $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N$, and that $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is absolutely unramified. Let $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denote the $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -derivation of $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \mathcal{P}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \cong K_{\mathfrak{p}}[[X_1, X_2]]$ induced by $\mathcal{D}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}} = D_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Then

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}} = X_1 \partial_{X_1} + f_{\mathfrak{p}}(X_1, X_2) \partial_{X_2}$$

where $f_{\mathfrak{p}} \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}[[X_1, X_2]]$. Let also $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the $k_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -derivation of $k_{\mathfrak{p}}[[X_1, X_2]]$ induced by $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By assumption, $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is \mathfrak{p} -closed. This immediately implies

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^p = \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

since $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}^p(X_1) = \overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(X_1) = X_1$. By [AA86, Lemma 6.4] applied to $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, there exists a formal power series \overline{Y}_2 in $k_{\mathfrak{p}}[[X_1, X_2]]$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\overline{Y}_2) = \alpha \overline{Y}_2$. Notice that \overline{Y}_2 may a priori depend on our choice of \mathfrak{p} . Let $Y_2 \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}[[X_1, X_2]]$ be any formal power series whose reduction modulo $\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is \overline{Y}_2 . By construction, we have $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}}(Y_2) = \lambda Y_2$ modulo $(\varpi_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Set $Y_1 := X_1$. Then, we have

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{\mathfrak{p}} = Y_1 \partial_{Y_1} + (\lambda Y_2 + g_{\mathfrak{p}}(Y_1, Y_2)) \partial_{Y_2},$$

where $g_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \varpi_{\mathfrak{p}} R_{\mathfrak{p}}[[Y_1, Y_2]]$ vanishes to order at least 2 at 0. On the other hand, recall that $\widehat{V}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ is defined by $Y_1 = \varphi(Y_2)$ in $\mathrm{Spf} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}, \mathcal{P}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \cong \mathrm{Spf} K_{\mathfrak{p}}[[Y_1, Y_2]]$, where φ is the unique formal power series in $K_{\mathfrak{p}}[[T]]$ solution of the differential equation

$$T\varphi'(T) - \alpha\varphi(T) = g_{\mathfrak{p}}(X, \varphi(X))$$

such that $\varphi(0) = \varphi'(0) = 0$. Let us write $\varphi = \sum_{m \geq 2} a_m T^m$ and $g_{\mathfrak{p}} = \sum_{m \geq 0} b_m Y_2^m$, where $b_m \in \varpi_{\mathfrak{p}} R_{\mathfrak{p}}[[Y_1]]$. Then $\|c_b\|_{\mathfrak{p}, 1} \leq |\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}| = \frac{1}{p^{[K_{\mathfrak{p}}:\mathbb{Q}_p]}}$, so that Proposition 3.1 applies to show that the size $S_{\mathcal{X}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}}(\widehat{V}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}})$ satisfies

$$\log \frac{1}{S_{\mathcal{X}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}}(\widehat{V}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}})} \leq C(\alpha)[K_{\mathfrak{p}}:\mathbb{Q}_p] \frac{(\log p)^2}{p^2},$$

where $C(\alpha) > 0$ depends only on α .

Step 3. In the general setting, let Y be the blow-up of X at P , and let M be the foliation on Y induced by L . The exceptional divisor E is M -invariant and contains exactly two singularities Q_1 and Q_2 (defined over K) of M , both reduced and non-degenerate. In addition, relabeling Q_1 and Q_2 if necessary, we may assume that there is a smooth formal subscheme \widehat{V}_1 (resp. \widehat{W}_1) of \widehat{Y}_{Q_1} defined over K (resp. \widehat{Y}_{Q_2}) which is \widehat{M} -invariant and such that the morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism $\widehat{V}_1 \cong \widehat{V}$ (resp. $\widehat{W}_1 \cong \widehat{W}$). The blow-up \mathcal{Y} of \mathcal{X} along \mathcal{P} is a smooth and quasi-projective model of Y over $R[1/N]$. In addition, Q_1 and Q_2 extends to points $\mathcal{Q}_1 \in \mathcal{Y}(R[1/N])$ and $\mathcal{Q}_2 \in \mathcal{Y}(R[1/N])$, and M extends to a foliation $\mathcal{M} \subset T_{\mathcal{Y}/\mathrm{Spec} R[1/N]}$. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Y} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}_{R[1/N]}^2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{X} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{A}_{R[1/N]}^2, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are étale morphisms. Let $U_1 \cong \mathbb{A}_{R[1/N]}^2 \subset \mathrm{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}_{R[1/N]}^2$ be the affine charts containing Q_1 with coordinates (y_1, y_2) (centered at Q_1). Then the natural morphism $U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{R[1/N]}^2$ maps (y_1, y_2) to $(y_1 y_2, y_2)$. This immediately implies that the formal subscheme \widehat{V}_1 is defined by $Y_1 = \varphi(Y_2)/Y_2$ in $\widehat{Y}_{Q_1} =$

$\mathrm{Spf} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y, Q_1} \cong \mathrm{Spf} K[[Y_1, Y_2]]$. Finally, recall that the size (of a smooth formal scheme) is invariant by étale localization. Let again \mathfrak{p} be a maximal ideal of R , and suppose that $\mathfrak{p} \nmid N$, and that $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is absolutely unramified. Then Lemma 2.3 and Step 2 imply that $S_{\mathcal{X}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}}(\widehat{V}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}})$ satisfies

$$\log \frac{1}{S_{\mathcal{X}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}}(\widehat{V}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}})} \leq \log \frac{1}{S_{\mathcal{Y}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}}(\widehat{W}_{K_{\mathfrak{p}}})} \leq C'(\alpha)[K_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathbb{Q}_p] \frac{(\log p)^2}{p^2}$$

where $C'(\alpha) > 0$ depends only on α . This immediately implies that \widehat{V} is A -analytic, completing the proof of the theorem. \square

Let L be a foliation on a smooth complex quasi-projective surface X , and let P be a singular point of L . By [CS82, Theorem], there exists a (possibly singular) analytic curve passing through P . The following is an easy consequence of Theorem 4.1 above.

Corollary 4.2. *Let X be a smooth quasi-projective surface over a number field K , and let L be a foliation on X . Suppose that L is closed under p -th powers for almost all primes p . Let $P \in X(K)$ be a singular point of L , and let \widehat{V} be an \widehat{L} -invariant smooth formal subscheme of the completion \widehat{X}_P of X at P defined over K , where \widehat{L} denotes the (formal) foliation induced by L on \widehat{X}_P . Then \widehat{V} is A -analytic.*

Proof. Let \overline{K} be an algebraic closure of K . By a result proved by Seidenberg ([Sei68]), there exists a composition of a finite number of blow-ups $Y_{\overline{K}} \rightarrow X_{\overline{K}}$ of \overline{K} -rational points such the foliation $M_{\overline{K}}$ induced by L on $Y_{\overline{K}}$ has reduced singularities. The variety $Y_{\overline{K}}$ is defined over a finite extension F of K . Let M be the foliation on Y induced by L . Notice that M is closed under p -th powers for almost all primes p . Then Theorem 4.1 applied to the proper transform of \widehat{V}_F in Y together with [BCL09, Proposition 3.4] and Lemma 2.3 imply that \widehat{V} is A -analytic. \square

REFERENCES

- [AA86] Annetta G. Aramova and Luchezar L. Avramov, *Singularities of quotients by vector fields in characteristic p* , Math. Ann. **273** (1986), no. 4, 629–645.
- [And04] Y. André, *Sur la conjecture des p -courbures de Grothendieck-Katz et un problème de Dwork*, Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2004, pp. 55–112.
- [BCL09] Jean-Benoît Bost and Antoine Chambert-Loir, *Analytic curves in algebraic varieties over number fields*, Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. I, Progr. Math., vol. 269, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009, pp. 69–124. MR 2641171
- [Bos01] Jean-Benoît Bost, *Algebraic leaves of algebraic foliations over number fields*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. (2001), no. 93, 161–221.
- [CS82] César Camacho and Paulo Sad, *Invariant varieties through singularities of holomorphic vector fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **115** (1982), no. 3, 579–595.
- [Dru21] Stéphane Druel, *Codimension 1 foliations with numerically trivial canonical class on singular spaces*, Duke Math. J. **170** (2021), no. 1, 95–203.
- [ESBT99] T. Ekedahl, N.I. Shepherd-Barron, and R. Taylor, *A conjecture on the existence of compact leaves of algebraic foliations*, preprint available at <https://www.dpmms.cam.ac.uk/~nisb/>, 1999.
- [McQ08] Michael McQuillan, *Canonical models of foliations*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **4** (2008), no. 3, part 2, 877–1012.
- [MM80] J.-F. Mattei and R. Moussu, *Holonomie et intégrales premières*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **13** (1980), no. 4, 469–523.
- [Rob00] Alain M. Robert, *A course in p -adic analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 198, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. MR 1760253
- [Sei68] A. Seidenberg, *Reduction of singularities of the differential equation $A dy = B dx$* , Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968), 248–269.
- [SS81] Yasutaka Sibuya and Steven Sperber, *Convergence of power series solutions of p -adic nonlinear differential equation*, Recent advances in differential equations (Trieste, 1978), Academic Press, New York-London, 1981, pp. 405–419. MR 643150

STÉPHANE DRUEL: UNIV LYON, CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ CLAUDE BERNARD LYON 1, UMR 5208, INSTITUT CAMILLE JORDAN, F-69622 VILLEURBANNE, FRANCE.

Email address: stephane.drue1@math.cnrs.fr