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In these notes, the Christoffel-Darboux polynomial kernel [7] is extended to infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces, following the finite-dimensional treatment of [5, Part 1], itself inspired from [8] and [7.

## 1 Preliminaries

### 1.1 Separable Hilbert space

Let $H$ be a separable real Hilbert space equipped with an inner product $\langle.,$.$\rangle and let$ $\left(e_{k}\right)_{k=1,2, \ldots}$ be a complete orthonormal system in $H$ with $e_{1}=1$, see e.g. [9, Section 16.3]. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, consider the projection mapping

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{n}: H & \rightarrow H \\
x & \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle x, e_{k}\right\rangle e_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, note that

$$
x=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\langle x, e_{k}\right\rangle e_{k}
$$

Also note that

$$
\left|\pi_{n}(x)\right|^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\langle x, e_{k}\right\rangle^{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x|^{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\pi_{n}(x)\right|^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\langle x, e_{k}\right\rangle^{2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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### 1.2 Polynomials

Let $c_{0}(\mathbb{N})$ denote the set of integer sequences with finitely many non-zero elements, i.e. if $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right) \in c_{0}(\mathbb{N})$ then $\operatorname{card}\left\{k: a_{k} \neq 0\right\}<\infty$. Let us define the monomial of degree $a \in c_{0}(\mathbb{N})$ as

$$
x^{a}:=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\langle x, e_{k}\right\rangle^{a_{k}} .
$$

This is a product of finitely many powers of linear functionals. Polynomials in $H$ are defined as linear combinations of monomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
p: H & \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
x & \mapsto \sum_{a \in \operatorname{spt} p} p_{a} x^{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

with coefficients $p_{a} \in \mathbb{R}$ indexed in the support $\operatorname{spt} p \subset c_{0}(\mathbb{N})$. In the infinite dimensional case, the notion of degree of a polynomial is twofold. The algebraic degree of $p($.$) is$

$$
d:=\max _{a \in \operatorname{spt} p} \sum_{k} a_{k}
$$

and it corresponds to the classical notion of total degree in the finite dimensional case. The harmonic degree of $p($.$) is$

$$
n:=\max _{a \in \operatorname{spt} p}\left\{k \in \mathbb{N}: a_{k} \neq 0\right\}
$$

and it corresponds to the number of variables in the finite dimensional case.
Given $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $P_{d, n}$ denote the finite-dimensional vector space of polynomials of algebraic degree up to $d$ and harmonic degree up to $n$. Its dimension is the binomial coefficient $\binom{n+d}{n}$. Each polynomial $p(.) \in P_{d, n}$ can be identified with its coefficient vector $p:=\left(p_{a}\right)_{a \in \text { spt } p} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{\binom{n+d}{n}}$. For example, if $d=4$ and $n=2$, then $P_{4,2}$ has dimension $\binom{6}{2}=15$. The monomial of degree $a=(3,1,0,0, \ldots)$ is $\left\langle x, e_{1}\right\rangle^{3}\left\langle x, e_{2}\right\rangle$. It belongs to $P_{4,2}$ since it has algebraic degree 4 and harmonic degree 2 .

### 1.3 Compactness

A useful characterization of compact sets in separable Hilbert spaces is as follows [4, item 45, p.346, IV.13.42].

Proposition $1 A$ closed set $X \in H$ is compact if and only if for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup _{x \in X}|x|^{2}-\left|\pi_{n}(x)\right|^{2}<\epsilon^{2}$.

Compared to the finite-dimensional case, this enforces additional conditions on the polynomials defining compact semialgebraic sets.

Proposition 2 The ellipsoid

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left\{x \in H: \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_{k}\left\langle x, e_{k}\right\rangle^{2} \leq 1\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is compact if the sequence $\left(p_{k}\right)_{k=1,2, \ldots}$ is strictly positive and strictly increasing．For example one may choose $p_{k}=k$ ．

Proof：$X$ is closed and bounded since $p_{k}>0$ for all $k$ ．For $x \in X$ arbitrary，let $c_{k}(x):=$ $\left\langle x, e_{k}\right\rangle$ and $s_{k}(x):=\sum_{l=k}^{\infty} c_{l}^{2}(x)$ for all $k$ ．Let us use Proposition $⿴ 囗 十 ⺝$ by proving that for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sup _{x \in X} s_{n+1}(x)<\epsilon^{2}$ ．
Let $q_{1}:=p_{1}$ and $q_{k+1}:=p_{k+1}-p_{k}$ ，so that $q_{k}>0$ for all $k$ ．Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \geq p_{1} c_{1}(x)+p_{2} c_{2}(x)+p_{3} c_{3}(x)+\cdots \\
& =q_{1} c_{1}(x)+\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right) c_{2}(x)+\left(q_{1}+q_{2}+q_{3}\right) c_{3}(x)+\cdots \\
& =q_{1}\left(c_{1}(x)+c_{2}(x)+c_{3}(x)+\ldots\right)+q_{2}\left(c_{2}(x)+c_{3}(x)+\ldots\right)+q_{3}\left(c_{3}(x)+\cdots\right)+\cdots \\
& =q_{1} s_{1}(x)+q_{2} s_{2}(x)+q_{3} s_{3}(x)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that the sequence $\left(q_{k} s_{k}(x)\right)_{k=1,2, \ldots}$ is non－negative and summing up at most to one． So for each $\epsilon$ ，we can find $n$ such that $q_{n+1} s_{n+1}(x)$ is small enough，and in particular smaller than $q_{n+1} \epsilon^{2}$ ．

The Sobolev space of functions whose derivatives up to order $m>0$ are square integrable is a separable Hilbert space［1，Thm．3．6］．In this space，the squared norm of an element is $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{m}\left\langle x, e_{k}\right\rangle^{2}$ and unit balls are therefore precisely of the form（2）．

Proposition 3 The Hilbert cube

$$
X=\left\{x \in H:\left|\left\langle x, e_{k}\right\rangle\right| \leq \frac{1}{k}, k=1,2, \ldots\right\}
$$

is compact．
Proof：See［4，Item 70 p．350］．If $x \in X$ then $\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left\langle x, e_{k}\right\rangle^{2} \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}}$ can be made arbitrarily small for sufficient large $n$ and we can use Proposition 1

Let $C(X)$ denote the space of continuous functions on $X$ ，and let $P(X) \subset C(X)$ denote the space of polynomials on $X$ ．

Proposition 4 If $X$ is compact then $P(X)$ is dense in $C(X)$ ．
Proof：Observe that the set of polynomials on $X$ is an algebra（i．e．the product of two elements of $P(X)$ is an element of $P(X)$ ）that separates points（i．e．for all $x_{1} \neq x_{2} \in X$ ，there is a $p \in P(X)$ such that $\left.p\left(x_{1}\right) \neq p\left(x_{2}\right)\right)$ and that contains constant functions（corresponding to the degree $a=0$ ）．The result follows from the Stone－Weierstrass Theorem［9，Section 12．3］．

## 1．4 Moments

Measures on topological spaces are defined as countably additive non－negative functions acting on the sigma algebra，the collection of subsets which are closed under complement and countable unions［9，Section 17．1］．Measures on a separable Hilbert space $H$ are uniquely determined by their actions on test functions．

Proposition 5 [3, Prop. 1.5] Let $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ be measures on $H$ such that

$$
\int f(x) d \mu_{1}(x)=\int f(x) d \mu_{2}(x)
$$

for all continuous bounded functions $f$ on $H$. Then $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$.
Given a measure $\mu$ supported on a subset $X$ of $H$, and given $a \in c_{0}(\mathbb{N})$, the moment of $\mu$ of degree $a$ is the number

$$
\int_{X} x^{a} d \mu(x)
$$

A measure on a compact set is uniquely determined by its sequence of moments.
Proposition 6 Let $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ be measures on a compact set $X$ satisfying

$$
\int_{X} x^{a} d \mu_{1}(x)=\int_{X} x^{a} d \mu_{2}(x)
$$

for all $a \in c_{0}(\mathbb{N})$. Then $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$.
Proof: If all moments of $\mu_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ coincide, then

$$
\int_{X} p(x) d \mu_{1}(x)=\int_{X} p(x) d \mu_{2}(x)
$$

for all polynomials on $X$, and by Proposition 4 for all continuous functions on $X$. Since continuous functions are bounded on a compact set $X$, we can use Proposition 5 to conclude.

## 2 Christoffel-Darboux polynomial

Let $\mu$ be a given probability measure on a given compact set $X$ of $H$. Given $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the finite-dimensional vector space $P_{d, n}$ of polynomials of algebraic degree up to $d$ and harmonic degree up to $n$ is a Hilbert space once equipped with the inner product

$$
\langle p, q\rangle_{\mu}:=\int p(x) q(x) d \mu(x)
$$

Let $b_{d, n}($.$) denote a basis for P_{d, n}$, of dimension $\binom{n+d}{n}$. Any element $p \in P_{d, n}$ can be expressed as $p()=.p^{T} b_{d, n}($.$) with p$ a vector of coefficients.
Let

$$
M_{d, n}^{\mu}:=\int_{X} b_{d, n}(x) b_{d, n}(x)^{T} d \mu(x)
$$

be the moment matrix of order $(d, n)$ of measure $\mu$, which is the Gram matrix of the inner products of pairwise entries of vector $b_{d, n}($.$) . This matrix is positive semi-definite of size$ $\binom{n+d}{n}$. If it is non-singular, then it can be written as

$$
M_{d, n}^{\mu}=Q S Q^{T}=\sum_{i=1}^{\substack{n+d \\ n}} s_{i} q_{i} q_{i}^{T}
$$

with $q_{i}(x):=q_{i}^{T} b_{d, n}(x)$ and $q_{i}^{T} M_{d, n}^{\mu} q_{j}=s_{i}$ if $i=j$ and 0 if $i \neq j$.
The Christoffel-Darboux (CD) kernel is then defined as

$$
K_{d, n}^{\mu}(x, y):=\sum_{i=1}^{\binom{n+d}{n}} s_{i}^{-1} q_{i}(x) q_{i}(y)=b_{d, n}^{T}(x)\left(M_{d, n}^{\mu}\right)^{-1} b_{d, n}(y)
$$

and the CD polynomial is defined as the sum of squares diagonal evaluation of the kernel

$$
p_{d, n}^{\mu}(x):=K_{d, n}^{\mu}(x, x)
$$

Lemma 1 The vector space $P_{d, n}$ equipped with the CD kernel is a RKHS (reproducible kernel Hilbert space).

Proof: The linear functional $p \mapsto\left\langle p(.), K_{d}^{\mu}(., y)\right\rangle_{\mu}$ has the reproducing property:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall p \in P_{d, n}, \quad\left\langle p(.), K_{d, n}^{\mu}(., y)\right\rangle_{\mu} & =\int_{X} p(x) K_{d, n}^{\mu}(x, y) d \mu(x) \\
& =\int_{X} p(x) b_{d, n}(x)^{T}\left(M_{d, n}^{\mu}\right)^{-1} b_{d, n}(y) d \mu(x) \\
& =p^{T}\left(\int_{X} b_{d, n}(x) b_{d, n}(x)^{T} d \mu(x)\right)\left(M_{d, n}^{\mu}\right)^{-1} b_{d, n}(y) \\
& =p^{T} b_{d, n}(y)=p(y)
\end{aligned}
$$

and it is continuous:

$$
\forall p \in P_{d, n}, \quad\left\langle p(.), K_{d, n}^{\mu}(., y)\right\rangle_{\mu}^{2} \leq \int_{X} p(x)^{2} d \mu(x) \int_{X} K_{d}^{\mu}(x, x) d \mu(x)
$$

## 3 Christoffel function

The Christoffel function is defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{d, n}^{\mu}: H & \rightarrow[0,1] \\
z & \mapsto \min _{p \in P_{d, n}} \int_{X} p^{2}(x) d \mu(x) \quad \text { s.t. } \quad p(z)=1 . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2 For each $z \in H$, the minimum is

$$
C_{d, n}^{\mu}(z)=\frac{1}{K_{d, n}^{\mu}(z, z)}=\frac{1}{p_{d, n}^{\mu}(z)}
$$

and it is achieved at

$$
p(.)=\frac{K_{d, n}^{\mu}(., z)}{K_{d, n}^{\mu}(z, z)} .
$$

Proof: It holds

$$
\begin{aligned}
1=p^{2}(z) & =\left(\int_{X} K_{d, n}^{\mu}(x, z) p(x) d \mu(x)\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{X} K_{d, n}^{\mu}(x, z)^{2} d \mu(x) \int_{X} p^{2}(x) d \mu(x) \\
& =K_{d, n}^{\mu}(z, z) \int_{X} p^{2}(x) d \mu(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
C_{d, n}^{\mu}(z) \geq \frac{1}{K_{d, n}^{\mu}(z, z)}
$$

Now observe that the polynomial

$$
p(.):=\frac{K_{d, n}^{\mu}(., z)}{K_{d, n}^{\mu}(z, z)} \in P_{d, n}
$$

is admissible for problem (3), i.e. $p(z)=1$ and hence

$$
C_{d, n}^{\mu}(z) \leq \int_{X} \frac{K_{d, n}^{\mu}(x, z)^{2}}{K_{d, n}^{\mu}(z, z)^{2}} d \mu(x)=\frac{1}{K_{d, n}^{\mu}(z, z)} .
$$

Lemma 3 The CD polynomial has average value

$$
\int_{X} p_{d, n}^{\mu}(x) d \mu(x)=\binom{n+d}{n}
$$

## Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{X} p_{d, n}^{\mu}(x) d \mu(x) & =\int_{X} b_{d, n}(x)^{T}\left(M_{d, n}^{\mu}\right)^{-1} b_{d, n}(x) d \mu(x) \\
& =\operatorname{trace}\left(M_{d, n}^{\mu}\right)^{-1} \int_{X} b_{d, n}(x) b_{d, n}(x)^{T} d \mu(x) \\
& =\operatorname{trace} I_{\binom{n+d}{n}}=\binom{n+d}{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## 4 Asymptotic properties

Let $d \wedge n:=\min (d, n)$.
Lemma 4 For all $z \in X$, it holds $\lim _{d \wedge n \rightarrow \infty} C_{d, n}^{\mu}(z)=\mu(\{z\})$.

Proof: Let $z \in X$. First observe that $C_{d, n}^{\mu}(z)$ is bounded below and non-increasing i.e. $C_{d^{\prime} \wedge n^{\prime}}^{\mu}(z) \leq C_{d \wedge n}^{\mu}(z)$ whenever $d \wedge n \leq d^{\prime} \wedge n^{\prime}$, so $\lim _{d \wedge n \rightarrow \infty} C_{d, n}^{\mu}(z)$ exists. If $p$ is admissible for problem (3), it holds

$$
\int_{X} p^{2}(x) d \mu(x) \leq p^{2}(z) \mu(\{z\})=\mu(\{z\})
$$

so $\lim _{d \wedge n \rightarrow \infty} C_{d, n}^{\mu}(z) \leq \mu(\{z\})$. Conversely, for given $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
p(x):=\left(1-\left|\pi_{n}(x-z)\right|^{2}\right)^{d} \in P_{2 d, n}
$$

and observe that $p(z)=1$ so that $p($.$) is admissible for problem (3) and$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{2 d+1, n}^{\mu}(z) \leq C_{2 d, n}^{\mu}(z) & \leq \int_{X} p(x)^{2} d \mu(x) \\
& \leq \int_{B\left(z, d^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)} d \mu(x)+\int_{X \backslash B\left(z, d^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)}\left(1-\left|\pi_{n}(x-z)\right|^{2}\right)^{2 d} d \mu(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B(z, r):=\{x \in X:|x-z| \leq r\}$. For all $x \in X \backslash B\left(z, d^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)$, using (11) it holds

$$
|x-z|^{2}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left\langle x-z, e_{k}\right\rangle^{2}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left\langle x-z, e_{k}\right\rangle^{2} \geq d^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

and hence

$$
\left(1-\left|\pi_{n}(x-z)\right|^{2}\right)^{2 d}=\left(1-\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left\langle x-z, e_{k}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{2 d} \leq\left(1-d^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left\langle x-z, e_{k}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{2 d}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X \backslash B\left(z, d^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)}\left(1-\left|\pi_{n}(x-z)\right|^{2}\right)^{2 d} d \mu(x) \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-d^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left\langle x-z, e_{k}\right\rangle^{2}\right)^{2 d}=\left(1-d^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2 d}
$$

Combining these asymptotic expressions we get

$$
\lim _{d \wedge n \rightarrow \infty} C_{d, n}^{\mu}(z) \leq \lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B\left(z, d^{-\frac{1}{4}}\right)} d \mu(x)+\left(1-d^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{2 d}=\mu(\{z\})
$$

If $\mu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to e.g. the Gaussian measure restricted to $X$ [2, 3], then it follows from Lemmas 3 and 4 that the Christoffel function on $X$ decreases to zero linearly with respect to the dimension of the vector space $P_{d, n}$. Equivalently, the CD polynomial on $X$ increases linearly with respect to the dimension. This is in sharp contrast with its exponential growth outside of $X$, captured by the following result.

Lemma 5 Let $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$. For all $z \in H$ such that $\min _{x \in X}\left|\pi_{n}(x-z)\right| \geq \delta>0$ it holds

$$
p_{d, n}^{\mu}(z) \geq 2^{\frac{\delta}{\delta+d i a m X}} d-3
$$

where $\operatorname{diam} X:=\max _{x_{1}, x_{2} \in X}\left|x_{1}-x_{2}\right|$.

Proof: Let $\delta \in(0,1)$ and let

$$
q(x):=\frac{T_{d}\left(1+\delta^{2}-\left|\pi_{n}(x)\right|^{2}\right)}{T_{d}\left(1+\delta^{2}\right)}
$$

where $T_{d}$ is the univariate Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of degree $d \in \mathbb{N}$. This polynomial of $x \in H$ is such that

- $q(0)=1$,
- $|q(x)| \leq 1$ whenever $\left|\pi_{n}(x)\right| \leq 1$,
- $|q(x)| \leq 2^{1-\delta d}$ whenever $0<\delta \leq\left|\pi_{n}(x)\right| \leq 1$,
see [6, Lemma 6.3]. Now let

$$
p(x):=q\left(\frac{x-z}{\delta+\operatorname{diam} X}\right), \quad \bar{\delta}:=\frac{\delta}{\delta+\operatorname{diam} X}
$$

so that if $z \in H$ is such that $\min _{x \in X}\left|\pi_{n}(x-z)\right| \geq \delta>0$ then

$$
0<\bar{\delta} \leq\left|\pi_{n}\left(\frac{x-z}{\delta+\operatorname{diam} X}\right)\right| \leq 1
$$

for all $x \in X$. Note that $p(.) \in P_{2 d, n}$ and $p(z)=1$ so that $p($.$) is admissible in problem (3)$ and hence

$$
C_{2 d, n}^{\mu}(z) \leq \int_{X} p(x)^{2} d \mu(x) \leq \int_{X}\left(2^{1-\bar{\delta} d}\right)^{2} d \mu(x)=2^{2-2 \bar{\delta} d} \leq 2^{3-2 \bar{\delta} d}
$$

Also $C_{2 d+1, n}^{\mu}(z) \leq C_{2 d, n}^{\mu}(z) \leq 2^{3-2 \bar{\delta} d}$ and since $\bar{\delta}<1$, it holds $C_{2 d+1, n}^{\mu}(z) \leq 2^{3-\bar{\delta}(2 d+1)}$, from which we conclude that $C_{d, n}^{\mu}(z) \leq 2^{3-\bar{\delta} d}$.
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