

HÖRMANDER AND BERNHARDSSON'S EXTREMAL FUNCTION I

ANDRIY BONDARENKO, JOAQUIM ORTEGA-CERDÀ, DANYLO RADCHENKO,
AND KRISTIAN SEIP

ABSTRACT. We study the function φ_1 of minimal L^1 norm among all functions f of exponential type at most π for which $f(0) = 1$. This function, first studied by Hörmander and Bernhardsson in 1993, has only real zeros $\pm\tau_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and the sequence $(\tau_n - n - \frac{1}{2})$ has ℓ^2 norm bounded by 0.13. The zeros τ_n can be computed by means of a fixed point iteration.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [3], Hörmander and Bernhardsson obtained the remarkable numerical approximation

$$(1) \quad 0.5409288219 \leq \mathcal{C}_1 \leq 0.5409288220,$$

where \mathcal{C}_p for $0 < p < \infty$ is the smallest positive constant C such that the inequality

$$(2) \quad |f(0)|^p \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p$$

holds for every f in the Paley–Wiener space PW^p , i.e., the subspace of $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ consisting of entire functions of exponential type at most π . The problem of computing \mathcal{C}_p for all p arose in [5, 6] and was studied in some depth in the recent paper [1]. We refer to [2] for a number theoretic motivation for the study of the case $p = 1$.

Surprisingly, in spite of the impressive precision of (1), Hörmander and Bernhardsson obtained very limited information about the associated extremal function, i.e., the unique function that solves

$$(3) \quad \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}_p} = \inf_{f \in PW^p} \left\{ \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p : f(0) = 1 \right\}$$

in the case $p = 1$. A compactness argument shows that (3) has solutions for any $0 < p < \infty$, and a rescaling argument reveals that the type of these extremal functions is exactly π . Uniqueness holds in the convex range $1 \leq p < \infty$ in which case the corresponding extremal function φ_p is of the form

$$\varphi_p(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{t_n^2} \right),$$

with $(t_n)_{n \geq 1}$ a strictly increasing sequence of positive numbers (see [3, 5, 1]). Note that the case $p = 2$ is trivial; then $\varphi_2(x) = \text{sinc}(\pi x)$ (the reproducing kernel of PW^2 at 0), where as usual $\text{sinc } x := \frac{\sin x}{x}$. Hence $\mathcal{C}_2 = 1$ and $t_n = n$ for all n .

Hörmander and Bernhardsson's method of proof strongly suggests that t_n is asymptotically close to $n + \frac{1}{2}$ when $p = 1$. The following theorem confirms that this is indeed the case; here and in what follows, $\pm\tau_n$ denotes the zeros of Hörmander and Bernhardsson's extremal function φ_1 .

Theorem 1. *The ℓ^2 norm of $(\tau_n - n - \frac{1}{2})$ is bounded by 0.13.*

Bondarenko and Seip were supported in part by Grant 334466 of the Research Council of Norway. Ortega-Cerdà has been partially supported by grants PID2021-123405NB-I00, PID2021-123151NB-I00 by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and by the Departament de Recerca i Universitats, grant 2021 SGR 00087. Radchenko acknowledges funding by the European Union (ERC, FourIntExp, 101078782).

Our proof gives an algorithm for computing τ_n in terms of a fixed point iteration. We have implemented this algorithm numerically and found, after 15 iterations, that for instance the first four zeros are close to 1.4417, 2.4657, 3.4756, 4.4811.

Little has been known about these zeros so far. Hörmander and Bernhardsson proved that all the zeros τ_n are simple; it was shown in [1] that $t_1 \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and that the zero set of φ_p is uniformly discrete for all $p \geq 1$. Some additional bounds on the separation of the zeros were also obtained in the range $p > 2$.

We expect that our method of proof will enable us to say considerably more about the zeros and hence the extremal function φ_1 . We plan to pursue our study of φ_1 in a forthcoming publication, which will also explore the relation to Hörmander and Bernhardsson's work.

The rest of this note is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1. Briefly, our approach will be to start from an operator equation satisfied by the τ_n . The linear part of the operator in question is an “almost” unitary Hilbert-type matrix. Thanks to the favorable properties of this matrix, we are able to show, by Banach's fixed point theorem, that our operator equation has a unique solution whose ℓ^2 distance to the sequence $(n + \frac{1}{2})$ can be quantified.

2. THE ZEROS τ_n AS THE SOLUTION OF AN EQUATION

We will use the notation $\|f\|_p := \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$ which we declare to be the PW^p norm of f should f belong to PW^p . We start from the well known fact that a nontrivial function φ in PW^p is the extremal function φ_p if and only if

$$(4) \quad f(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \frac{|\varphi(x)|^{p-2}}{\|\varphi\|_p^p} \varphi(x) dx$$

holds for every f in PW^p (see [1, Thm. 36]). Note that (4) embodies the classical fact that $\varphi_2(x - y)$ is the reproducing kernel of PW^2 at y .

It is clear from (4) that the case $p = 1$ is also particularly favorable. Indeed, when φ is real with only real zeros, the function $|\varphi|^{p-2}\varphi$ will only take the values ± 1 and change sign at the zeros of φ . In other words, the latter function depends in a simple explicit way on the location of the zeros of φ .

Now let φ be a function in PW^1 of the form

$$(5) \quad \varphi(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{t_n^2}\right), \quad 0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots,$$

and set

$$\Phi(x) := \frac{\varphi(x)}{|\varphi(x)| \|\varphi\|_1}.$$

Following an idea in [3, p. 188], we will relate Φ to the function

$$\Psi(x) := -\|\varphi\|_1^{-1} \operatorname{sgn} \cos \pi x.$$

We find that the distributional Fourier transform of Ψ is

$$\widehat{\Psi}(\xi) = \pi \widehat{g}(\xi) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta_{\pi n}(\xi),$$

where \widehat{g} is the Fourier transform of $\chi_{(-\pi, \pi)} \Psi$. Since $\widehat{g}(0) = 0$, we see that $\widehat{\Psi}$ vanishes on $(-\pi, \pi)$, as observed in [3, p. 188].

Lemma 1. *Let φ be of the form (5) and assume that $(t_n - n - \frac{1}{2})$ is in ℓ^2 . Then $(\Phi - \Psi) * \varphi_2$ is in PW^2 and*

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{\|\varphi\|_1} \leq \mathcal{C}_1 \leq \frac{1}{\|\varphi\|_1} + \|\varphi_2 - (\Phi - \Psi) * \varphi_2\|_\infty.$$

Proof. We begin by noting that

$$\frac{((\Phi - \Psi) * \varphi_2)(y)}{2\|\varphi\|_1} = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_2(x - y) dx + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \int_{t_n}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} (\varphi_2(x - y) + \varphi_2(x + y)) dx.$$

By duality, we therefore find that

$$\frac{\|(\Phi - \Psi) * \varphi_2\|_2}{2\|\varphi\|_1} = \inf_{\substack{f \in PW^2 \\ \|f\|_2 \leq 1}} \left| \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(x) dx + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \int_{t_n}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} (f(x) + f(-x)) dx \right|.$$

Here we may assume that f is real-valued, and so the expression on the right-hand side can be written as

$$f(x_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left(n + \frac{1}{2} - t_n \right) (f(x_n) + f(x_{-n}))$$

by the mean value theorem, where $|x_0| < \frac{1}{2}$ and $x_{\pm n}$ lie between $\pm(n + \frac{1}{2})$ and $\pm t_n$. Now applying the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to the sum and invoking the Plancherel–Pólya inequality (see e.g. [4, Lect. 7]), we conclude that $(\Phi - \Psi) * \varphi_2$ is in PW^2 .

The left inequality in (6) is trivial. To prove the right inequality, we set

$$F := \varphi_2 + \Phi - (\Phi - \Psi) * \varphi_2$$

and let f be a function in $PW^1 \cap \mathcal{S}$, where \mathcal{S} is the Schwartz space. Since $PW^1 \cap \mathcal{S}$ is dense in PW^1 , we have

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = \sup_{\substack{f \in PW^1 \cap \mathcal{S} \\ \|f\|_1 = 1}} |f(0)|.$$

But since $\widehat{\Psi}$ vanishes on $(-\pi, \pi)$, we also have $f(0) = (F * f)(0)$, and so

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \leq \|\varphi\|_1^{-1} + \|\varphi_2 - (\Phi - \Psi) * \varphi_2\|_\infty$$

by the triangular inequality for the $L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ norm. \square

It follows from the above lemma that $\varphi = \varphi_1$ if $(\Phi - \Psi) * \varphi_2 = \varphi_2$. In fact, we may observe that this would still hold if we merely had $(\Phi - \Psi) * \varphi_2 = c\varphi_2$ for some constant c . Indeed, the Fourier transform of $\Phi - \Psi$ would then be constant on $(-\pi, \pi)$, and so

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ((\Phi - \Psi) * \varphi_2)(x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\Phi(x) - \Psi(x)) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x) \varphi(x) dx = \varphi(0),$$

whence $\varphi_2 - (\Phi - \Psi) * \varphi_2 = 0$, and consequently $c = 1$. Since a function in PW^2 is determined by its values at the integers, we would therefore obtain τ_n as $n + \frac{1}{2} - \delta_n$ if

$$(7) \quad \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{sinc} \pi(x - k) dx + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \int_{n+\frac{1}{2}-\delta_n}^{n+\frac{1}{2}} (\operatorname{sinc} \pi(x - k) + \operatorname{sinc} \pi(x + k)) dx = 0$$

for all positive integers k with $\delta := (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots)$ in ℓ^2 . We prefer to rewrite the left-hand side of this equation by making the following integration by parts:

$$\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{sinc} \pi(x - k) dx = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}}{\pi^2} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\cos \pi x}{(x - k)^2} dx.$$

Then (7) takes the form

$$(8) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\delta_n} \cos \pi y \left(\frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{2} - y - k} + \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{2} - y + k} \right) dy = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\cos \pi x}{(x - k)^2} dx$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. The above discussion shows that Theorem 1 is equivalent to the following assertion. (Here and in what follows, $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the ℓ^2 norm.)

Theorem 2. *Equation (8) has a solution δ satisfying $\|\delta\| \leq 0.13$.*

The next two sections will give the proof of this theorem.

3. THE LINEAR PART OF (8)

Performing a linear approximation of the operator on the left-hand side of (8), we may write this equation as

$$(9) \quad A\delta + Q\delta = w,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_k &:= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\cos \pi x}{(x - k)^2} dx; \\ (A\delta)_k &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n + k + \frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{n - k + \frac{1}{2}} \right) \delta_n; \\ (Q\delta)_k &:= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{\delta_n} \left(\frac{\cos \pi y}{n + \frac{1}{2} - y + k} - \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{2} + k} + \frac{\cos \pi y}{n + \frac{1}{2} - y - k} - \frac{1}{n + \frac{1}{2} - k} \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 2 will rely on the fact that the infinite matrix $\frac{1}{\pi}A$ is “almost” unitary.

Lemma 2. *The operator A maps ℓ^2 to ℓ^2 and satisfies*

$$2\sqrt{2}\|x\| \leq \|Ax\| \leq \pi\|x\|$$

for all x in ℓ^2 .

The proof of Lemma 2 will employ the following fact.

Lemma 3. *For $r \geq 2$, $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}$, set*

$$C(a_1, \dots, a_r) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(n + a_1 + 1/2) \dots (n + a_r + 1/2)}.$$

Then $C(a_1, \dots, a_r) = 0$ whenever a_1, \dots, a_r are distinct integers. Moreover, we have

$$C(a, a) = \pi^2, \quad C(a, b, b) = \frac{\pi^2}{a - b}, \quad C(a, a, b, b) = \frac{2\pi^2}{(a - b)^2}.$$

Proof. The result is immediate by a partial fraction decomposition of the summands. \square

Proof of Lemma 2. Using Lemma 3, we get

$$\frac{2}{(n + 1/2)(m + 1/2)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,n} a_{k,m} = C(n, m) - C(n, -m) = \pi^2 \delta_{n,m}.$$

Therefore

$$\|Ax\|^2 + 2 \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{x_n}{n + 1/2} \right)^2 = \pi^2 \|x\|^2,$$

which immediately implies that $\|Ax\| \leq \pi\|x\|$. To get the lower bound $\|Ax\| \geq 2\sqrt{2}\|x\|$, we apply the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to the second term on the right-hand side and use that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n + \frac{1}{2})^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{2} - 4. \quad \square$$

Note that the above proof reveals the interesting fact that $\frac{1}{\pi}A$ restricted to the orthogonal complement of the sequence $((n + \frac{1}{2})^{-1})$ is an isometry.

We next show that A is invertible by identifying its inverse B . Lemma 2 shows that $\|Bx\| \leq (2\sqrt{2})^{-1}\|x\|$, and this is the only information needed about B in the proof of Theorem 2. However, it is useful for our numerical work (and presumably also for a more refined analysis of the sequence (τ_n)) to have an explicit expression for B . In the following proposition, we use the notation $a_{k,n} := \frac{1}{n+k+1/2} + \frac{1}{n-k+1/2}$ for $n \geq 1$ so that

$$(Ax)_k = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k,n}x_n.$$

Proposition 1. *The operator B with matrix*

$$b_{k,n} = \frac{1 - (2n + 1)^{-2}}{\pi^2(1 - (2k)^{-2})} a_{k,n}$$

satisfies $AB = BA = I$.

Proof. Note that $a_{k,n} = a_{-k,n} = -a_{k,-1-n}$. Using this, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k_1,n}a_{k_2,n}(1 - (2n + 1)^{-2}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k_1,n}a_{k_2,n}(1 - (2n + 1)^{-2}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(C(k_1, k_2) + C(k_1, -k_2) + C(-k_1, k_2) + C(-k_1, -k_2) - C(k_1, k_2, -k_1, -k_2) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which clearly vanishes for $k_1 \neq k_2$ and for $k_1 = k_2 = k$ we get $\pi^2(1 - (2k)^{-2})$, from which we see that $BA = I$. Similarly, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{k,m}a_{k,n}}{1 - (2k)^{-2}} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{k,m}a_{k,n} \left(1 + \frac{1/4}{k - 1/2} - \frac{1/4}{k + 1/2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(C(m, n) - C(m, -1-n) - C(-1-m, n) + C(-1-m, -1-n) \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} \left(C(-1, m, n) - C(-1, m, -1-n) - C(-1, -1-m, n) + C(-1, -1-m, -1-n) \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8} \left(C(0, m, n) - C(0, m, -1-n) - C(0, -1-m, n) + C(0, -1-m, -1-n) \right), \end{aligned}$$

which after simplifications is easily seen to imply $AB = I$. □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Retaining the notation B for the inverse of A and setting $x := \delta - Bw$, we see from (9) that our task is to find a fixed point of the map

$$Gx := -BQ(x + Bw).$$

We will prove that a unique solution to this problem can be found in the ball

$$K := \left\{ x = (x_k) : \|x\| \leq 0.042 \right\}.$$

Theorem 3. *G maps K into itself and $\|G(x) - G(y)\| \leq 0.73\|x - y\|$ for all x, y in K .*

In view of Banach's fixed point theorem, Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 3 and the next lemma.

Lemma 4. *We have $\|Bw\| \leq 0.088$.*

Proof. We see that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\cos \pi x}{(x-n)^2} dx \leq \frac{1}{\pi n^2} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\cos \pi x}{(1-x)^2} dx,$$

and so

$$\|w\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{\zeta(4)}}{\pi} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\cos \pi x}{(x-1)^2} dx.$$

By Lemma 2, we then get the desired bound

$$\|Bw\|_2 \leq \frac{\pi}{12\sqrt{5}} \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\cos \pi x}{(x-1)^2} dx \leq 0.088. \quad \square$$

The proof of Theorem 3 splits naturally into the following two steps.

Step 1: Proof that G maps K into itself. We set $\xi := x + Bw$ and $\varepsilon := \|\xi\|$. We begin by noting that

$$\frac{\cos \pi y}{t-y} = \frac{1}{t} \left(1 + \frac{y}{t} + \frac{y^2}{1-\frac{y}{t}} \right) \cos \pi y,$$

whence

$$\frac{\cos \pi y}{t-y} - \frac{1}{t} = \frac{\cos \pi y - 1}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \left(\frac{y}{t} + \frac{y^2}{1-\frac{y}{t}} \right) \cos \pi y.$$

If we set

$$(10) \quad \alpha_n := \int_0^{\xi_n} (\cos \pi y - 1) dy = \pi^{-1} \sin(\pi \xi_n) - \xi_n$$

and

$$\beta_{n,k}^{\pm} := \int_0^{\xi_n} \left(1 + \frac{\frac{y}{n+\frac{1}{2} \pm k}}{1 - \frac{y}{n+\frac{1}{2} \pm k}} \right) y \cos \pi y dy,$$

then we may write

$$(Q\xi)_k = (A\alpha)_k + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta_{n,k}^+}{(n+\frac{1}{2}+k)^2} + \frac{\beta_{n,k}^-}{(n+\frac{1}{2}-k)^2} \right).$$

We see that

$$|\beta_{n,k}^{\pm}| \leq \frac{\xi_n^2}{2} + \frac{2|\xi_n|^3}{3(1-2|\xi_n|)} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{3(1-2\varepsilon)} \right) \xi_n^2 =: c(\varepsilon)\xi_n^2.$$

Setting $D := (d_{n,k})$ with $d_{n,k} := \frac{1}{(n+\frac{1}{2}+k)^2} + \frac{1}{(n+\frac{1}{2}-k)^2}$, we therefore find that

$$(11) \quad \|BQ\xi\| \leq \|\alpha\| + (2\sqrt{2})^{-1} c(\varepsilon) \|D(\xi^2)\|.$$

Since

$$|\alpha_n| \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6} |\xi_n|^3,$$

we have

$$(12) \quad \|\alpha\| \leq \zeta(2)\varepsilon^3.$$

By duality and an application of the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality,

$$(13) \quad \|D(\xi_n^2)\| \leq \sup_{q: \|q\|=1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(n + \frac{1}{2} + k)^2} + \frac{1}{(n + \frac{1}{2} - k)^2} \right) q_k \xi_n^2 \leq C \|(\xi_n^2)\| \leq C \varepsilon^2,$$

where

$$C := \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n + \frac{1}{2})^2} + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k + \frac{1}{2})^2} \leq \frac{1}{2} + 6\zeta(2).$$

Inserting (12) and (13) into (11), we conclude that

$$\|BQ\xi\| \leq \left(\zeta(2)\varepsilon + \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}}c(\varepsilon)\left(\zeta(2) + \frac{1}{12}\right) \right) \varepsilon^2 \leq 0.042$$

if $\varepsilon \leq 0.13$. This gives the desired result since $\|Bw\| \leq 0.088$. \square

Step 2: Proof of the contractivity of G . Let x and z be two arbitrary points in K , and set $\xi := x + Bw$ and $\eta := z + Bw$. We set $\varepsilon := \max(\|\xi\|, \|\eta\|)$ and argue as in the preceding proof to get

$$(Q\xi)_k - (Q\eta)_k = (A\alpha)_k + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta_{n,k}^+}{(n + \frac{1}{2} + k)^2} + \frac{\beta_{n,k}^-}{(n + \frac{1}{2} - k)^2} \right),$$

where now

$$\alpha_n := \int_{\eta_n}^{\xi_n} (\cos \pi y - 1) dy = \pi^{-1} (\sin(\pi \xi_n) - \sin(\pi \eta_n) - (\xi_n - \eta_n))$$

and

$$\beta_{n,k}^{\pm} = \int_{\eta_n}^{\xi_n} \left(1 + \frac{\frac{y}{n + \frac{1}{2} \pm k}}{1 - \frac{y}{n + \frac{1}{2} \pm k}} \right) y \cos \pi y dy.$$

In the present case, we have

$$|\alpha_n| \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6} |\xi_n^3 - \eta_n^3| \leq \frac{\pi^2 \varepsilon^2}{2} |x_n - z_n|$$

and

$$|\beta_{n,k}^{\pm}| \leq \frac{|\xi_n^2 - \eta_n^2|}{2} + \frac{2|\xi_n^3 - \eta_n^3|}{3(1 - 2\varepsilon)} \leq \left(\varepsilon + \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{1 - 2\varepsilon} \right) |x_n - z_n|.$$

Estimating as before, we get that

$$\|BQ\xi - BQ\eta\| \leq \left(\frac{\pi^2 \varepsilon^2}{2} + \frac{(\frac{1}{2} + \pi^2)}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\varepsilon + \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{1 - 2\varepsilon} \right) \right) \|x - z\|,$$

where

$$\frac{\pi^2 \varepsilon^2}{2} + \frac{(\frac{1}{2} + \pi^2)}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\varepsilon + \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{1 - 2\varepsilon} \right) \leq 0.73$$

when $\varepsilon \leq 0.13$. \square

REFERENCES

1. O.-F. Brevig, A. Chirre, J. Ortega-Cerdà, and K. Seip, *Point evaluation in Paley–Wiener spaces*, arXiv:2210.13922v4, to appear in *J. Anal. Math.*
2. E. Carneiro, M. B. Milinovich, and K. Soundararajan, *Fourier optimization and prime gaps*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **94** (2019), 533–568.
3. L. Hörmander and B. Bernhardsson, *An extension of Bohr’s inequality*, *Boundary Value Problems for Partial Differential Equations and Applications*, *RMA Res. Notes Appl. Math.*, vol. 29, Masson, Paris, 1993, pp. 179–194.

4. B. Ya. Levin, *Lectures on Entire Functions*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 150, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996, In collaboration with and with a preface by Yu. Lyubarskii, M. Sodin and V. Tkachenko, Translated from the Russian manuscript by Tkachenko.
5. E. Levin and D. Lubinsky, *L_p Christoffel functions, L_p universality, and Paley-Wiener spaces*, J. Anal. Math. **125** (2015), 243–283.
6. D. S. Lubinsky, *Scaling limits of polynomials and entire functions of exponential type*, Approximation theory XV: San Antonio 2016, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 201, Springer, Cham, 2017, pp. 219–238.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, NO-7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY
Email address: andriybond@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES I INFORMÀTICA, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA, GRAN VIA 585, 08007 BARCELONA, SPAIN AND CRM, CENTRE DE RECERCA MATEMÀTICA, CAMPUS DE BELLATERRA EDIFICI C, 08193 BELLATERRA, BARCELONA, SPAIN
Email address: jortega@ub.edu

LABORATOIRE PAUL PAINLEVÉ, UNIVERSITÉ DE LILLE, F-59655 VILLENEUVE D’ASCQ, FRANCE
Email address: danradchenko@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (NTNU), 7491 TRONDHEIM, NORWAY
Email address: kristian.seip@ntnu.no