Enantiospecificity in NMR Enabled by Chirality-Induced Spin Selectivity

T. Georgiou¹, J.L. Palma², V. Mujica³, S. Varela⁴, M. Galante³, V. Santamaría García^{5,6}, L. Mboning⁷,
R.N. Schwartz⁸, G. Cuniberti^{3,9}, L.-S. Bouchard^{1,7,10,11}

¹Molecular Biology Interdepartmental Program (MBIDP), The Molecular Biology Institute, University of California Los Angeles, 611 Charles E. Young Drive East, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1570, USA.

²Department of Chemistry, Penn State University, 2201 University Drive, Lemont Furnace, PA 15456

³School of Molecular Sciences, Arizona State University, 551 E University Dr, Tempe, AZ 85281, USA.

⁴Institute for Materials Science and Max Bergmann Center of Biomaterials, TU Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany.

⁵Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 77 Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, US

⁶Tecnologico de Monterrey, Escuela de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Ave. Eugenio Garza Sada 2501, Monterrey 64849, Mexico

⁷Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California Los Angeles, 607 Charles E. Young Dr. East, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1569, USA.

⁸Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of California Los Angeles, 420 Westwood Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1594, USA.

⁹Dresden Center for Computational Materials Science (DCMS), TU Dresden, 01062 Dresden,

Germany.

¹⁰California NanoSystems Institute, University of California Los Angeles, 607 Charles E. Young Dr. East, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1569, USA.

¹¹Department of Bioengineering, University of California Los Angeles, 607 Charles E. Young Dr. East, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1569, USA.

Abstract

Spin polarization in chiral molecules is a magnetic molecular response associated with electron transport and enantioselective bond polarization that occurs even in the absence of an external magnetic field. An unexpected finding by Santos and co-workers reported enantiospecific NMR responses in solid-state cross-polarization (CP) experiments, suggesting a possible additional contribution to the indirect nuclear spin-spin coupling in chiral molecules induced by bond polarization in the presence of spin-orbit coupling. Herein we provide a theoretical treatment for this phenomenon, presenting an effective spin-Hamiltonian for helical molecules like DNA and density functional theory (DFT) results on amino acids that confirm the dependence of J-couplings on the choice of enantiomer. The connection between nuclear spin dynamics and chirality could offer insights for molecular sensing and quantum information sciences. These results establish NMR as a potential tool for chiral discrimination without external agents.

Introduction

Chirality is a structural property integral to various chemical and biological processes. It plays a

significant role in diverse research fields, including asymmetric synthesis and drug design. The investigation of electron transport, electron transfer, and photo-ionization in chiral molecules has led to the discovery of the Chiral-Induced Spin Selectivity (CISS) effect. This discovery was made by Naaman and colleagues in 1999¹. Electrons traversing chiral molecules experience a momentumdependent effective magnetic field due to spin-orbit coupling (SOC). This leads to spin selectivity and polarization under conditions where time-reversal symmetry is not conserved. The CISS effect not only provides new perspectives on electron transport but also actively modifies it by limiting backscattering and altering electron flow rules. Different spin components have distinct transmission probabilities, resulting in unique distance and temperature dependencies. These dependencies are governed by the interactions between electrons and phonons, as well as electron-electron interactions. When chiral molecules interact with other structures, charge polarization occurs, resulting in distinct spin orientations with respect to the electric and magnetic fields. The CISS effect provides insights into electron transfer in chiral molecules and has implications for chemical reactions and biorecognition. It also emphasizes the spin-filtering capabilities of chiral structures, including DNA and peptides; for additional information, see Ref.².

Cross polarization (CP), although seemingly unrelated, is a key technique in solid-state NMR used primarily to enhance the signals of less abundant nuclei with low gyromagnetic ratios, such as ³¹P, ¹³C and ¹⁵N. Magnetization is transferred from more abundant nuclei like ¹H using an RF field. The Hartmann-Hahn condition enables this transfer to occur in the presence of pairwise spin couplings. The primary mechanism involves nuclear magnetic dipole interactions, which are not influenced by chirality. CP is sensitive to the distance between nuclei and the dynamics of participating molecules or functional groups. It is thus valuable for identifying linked nuclei and

observing molecular dynamics in solid structures. When augmented with techniques like magicangle spinning (MAS), CP's sensitivity to molecular geometry and dynamics is enhanced.

Another avenue for nuclear spin-spin coupling leading to CP is indirect coupling via electrons ³. Two notable papers by Santos and colleagues ^{4,5} reported enantiospecific NMR responses in CP MAS solid-state NMR experiments. While through-space dipolar coupling is likely the dominant contribution to the transfer of polarization in their experiments, it does not explain enantioselectivity in the measurement. While *J* couplings have been known to generate CP for quite some time ³, these findings were unexpected, as there are no established links between nuclear magnetism and molecular chirality. The authors proposed a mechanism to explain these observations in terms of the CISS effect giving rise to or influencing the indirect *J*-coupling. In this scenario, bond polarization via a chiral center or a helical structure could lead to distinct contributions from different enantiomers. Such a mechanism would create a unique magnetic environment for the nuclei participating in these CP experiments. Despite these considerations, the exact mechanism for chirality-dependent indirect coupling remains unclear. These results generated controversy in the literature ⁶. For example, particle size, sample preparation and impurity content have been argued to contribute to the observed effect ⁶.

In this study, we investigate the coupling between nuclear spins and electronic states in chiral molecules. We find that remote nuclear spins can couple effectively via conduction electrons, thereby creating a mechanism for chirality-dependent indirect spin-spin coupling between nuclei. A theoretical framework is introduced to assess the plausibility of potential spin-dependent mechanisms responsible for this effect and their role in probing enantioselectivity in CP. Our theoretical analysis addresses the DNA helix. We also present a more quantitative analysis via DFT, which

suggests an underlying mechanism for the experimental observations of Santos and colleagues on amino acids ^{4,5}. These results help establish the plausibility of enantioselective bond polarizationmediated indirect nuclear spin-spin couplings involving either a chiral center or a helical structure. This uniquely described mechanism bridges nuclear spins and the CISS effect, augmenting our understanding of chiral molecular systems. The study thus contributes to our understanding of chirality-induced phenomena, and to the possible development of applications in NMR-based sensing and quantum information processing at the molecular level.

Results

NMR and Chirality. NMR, as described by D. Buckingham⁷, is "blind" to chirality since none of its standard parameters appear to be sensitive to it. Enantiomers display identical NMR spectra in an achiral environment. Thus, differentiating enantiomers using standard NMR techniques in the absence of a chiral resolvent or probe is challenging. We are aware of three methods to indirectly detect chirality by NMR: (1) Chiral Derivatizing Agents (CDAs)^{8,9}: These compounds react with a chiral substrate to produce diastereomers, which have distinct NMR spectra. For example, when a chiral alcohol reacts with a chiral derivatizing agent like Mosher's acid, the resultant diastereomeric esters can be distinguished by their NMR chemical shifts, revealing the absolute configuration of the alcohol. (2) Chiral Solvents^{9,10}: In these solvents, enantiomers present slight differences in their NMR spectra due to unique interactions with the chiral environment. These differences can help deduce enantiomeric excess and sometimes the absolute configuration. (3) Chiral Lanthanide Shift Reagents^{11–14}: These metal complexes can cause shifts in the NMR spectra of chiral compounds. Lanthanide ions, especially Eu, Yb, and Dy, have been used to distinguish the NMR signals of enantiomers by forming diastereomeric complexes detectable due to their dif-

fering chemical shifts. However, each of these methods has limitations. Mainly, they are indirect molecular effects that rely on external agents. To determine chirality conclusively, complementary analytical methods are often necessary. Alternatively, Buckingham, Harris, Jameson, and colleagues have proposed using electric fields for chiral discrimination ^{7,15–19}, though this remains to be demonstrated in experiments.

Indirect NMR methods to distinguish enantiomers are less accessible and often more cumbersome than non-NMR methods such as chiral chromatography, high-performance liquid chromatography, gas chromatography, capillary electrophoresis, circular dichroism spectroscopy, optical rotatory dispersion, X-ray crystallography or vibrational circular dichroism. The development of a method to directly probe the chirality of a molecule using NMR, without the reliance on external agents or indirect techniques, would be an important development in the fields of stereochemistry and analytical chemistry. Direct enantiomeric detection via NMR would uniquely combine non-destructive, quantitative capabilities with reproducibility, while concurrently bypassing the need for reactive chiral derivatizing agents, chiral solvents, and chromatography columns.

Cross-Polarization and Enantiospecificity. The experiments performed in Refs. ^{4,5} demonstrated the existence of an enantiospecific response in CP. This effect was also observed recently by Bryce and co-workers ²⁰, but the authors argued that experimental artifacts such as particle size could contribute. Rossini and colleagues ⁶ suggested that impurities, crystallization, and particle size effects likely contribute to the observation. Although such factors may influence the measurements, the data presented in ^{6,20} does not rule out the contribution from CISS. As to CP, it is the bread-and-butter of solid-state NMR thanks to its ability to dramatically increase the sensitivity of experiments involving nuclei in low concentrations. CP is a technique where magnetization is

transferred from an abundant, high gamma nucleus (I_1) to a low gamma, dilute nucleus (I_2) that is coupled to the I_1 spin bath during a certain "contact" period ^{21–23}. During the contact time, radiofrequency (r.f.) fields for both I_1 and I_2 are turned on. Usually, the dominant magnetic coupling between pairs of nuclei is due to the magnetic dipole interaction. In the simplest solid-state NMR experiment, the enhanced magnetization of the dilute isotope is then detected while the abundant protons, or any other reference nuclei, are decoupled. The maximum gain in sensitivity is equal to the ratio of gyromagnetic ratios between the two nuclei (e.g., for ¹H and ¹³C this ratio is approximately 4:1; a factor of 4 implies 16-fold SNR gains).

The method of using heteronuclear double resonance to transfer coherence between nuclei in a two-spin system was introduced by Hartmann and Hahn ^{21–24} and has since become widely employed in solid-state NMR. It is possible to do highly selective recoupling among nuclei ^{25,26}. Spectroscopists can also modulate the amplitude of spin-locking pulses to enhance CP dynamics, perform Lee-Goldburg decoupling to reduce homonuclear proton couplings during spin-locking, or apply multiple-quantum CP to half-integer quadrupole systems ^{27–29}. CP is a highly useful experiment that facilitates high-resolution NMR in the solid state encompassing key principles of dipolar coupling (decoupling/recoupling) and MAS ³⁰.



Figure 1: Indirect nuclear spin-spin (*J*) coupling enables cross-polarization in NMR. The CISS effect gives rise to delocalized conduction bands. Delocalized electrons can in turn mediate indirect nuclear spin-spin couplings. (a) In the cross-polarization experiment of solid-state NMR energy transfers between heteronuclei are forbidden in the lab frame. Application of a bichromatic RF field oscillating at the resonance frequencies of both nuclei, enables energy transfer. At the Hartmann-Hahn condition $\gamma_{I1}B_{1,I1} = \gamma_{I2}B_{1,I2}$, resonant energy transfer will lead to transfer of polarization from the cold to hot spin systems. (b) Indirect spin-spin coupling between two nuclei is mediated by conduction electrons. (c) Model for DNA helix, helicoidal coordinates (a, b, φ) and two nuclear spins I₁, I₂ and their corresponding positions φ_1 , φ_2 along the helix. *R* is the distance whereas $\Delta\varphi$ is the angle between consecutive nucleotides. *a* is the helix radius and *b* is its pitch.

The working principle of CP is illustrated in Fig. 1a. If two nuclear spins I_1 and I_2 with gyromagnetic ratios γ_{I1} and γ_{I2} , respectively, are placed in an external magnetic field B_0 , they will be able to absorb r.f. photons at frequencies $\gamma_{I1}B_0$ and $\gamma_{I2}B_0$, respectively, according to the Zeeman effect. They will not be able to exchange energy spontaneously, since the two frequencies $\gamma_{I1}B_0$ and $\gamma_{I2}B_0$ are different. If instead a bimodal oscillating r.f. field is applied at these two frequencies, with amplitudes such that $\omega_{I1} = \omega_{I2}$ (Hartmann-Hahn condition ²⁴), where $\omega_{I1} = \gamma_{I1}B_{1,I1}$ and $\omega_{I2} = \gamma_{I2}B_{1,I2}$. In the "doubly rotating frame" generated by these frequencies both nuclear spins I_1 and I_2 appear stationary. Photons can now be absorbed by either of these spins at the same frequency $\omega_{I1} = \omega_{I2}$, the condition for resonant energy transfer.

The CP experiment is often described using the concept of spin temperature $^{31-33}$. The abundant spin system is prepared with an artificially low temperature. This is typically done by applying a $\pi/2$ -pulse on the abundant nuclei, followed by a spin-locking field 31 . One then allows the dilute system to come into thermal contact with the cold system of abundant spins. Contact is typically established through the magnetic dipole-dipole interaction between nuclei. Heat flows from the sparse spin system to the cold abundant spins, which produces a drop in temperature of the sparse spins. Physically, we observe resonance energy transfer if the natural frequencies of the two systems are close. This was Hahn's ingenious concept 24 . This experiment requires the heat capacity of the abundant system to be larger than that of dilute spins. In the context of such experiments, to say that the spin temperature has dropped is nearly equivalent to the statement that population difference between the ground $|g\rangle$ and excited $|e\rangle$ states is increased, which leads to an increased sensitivity of the NMR experiment.

In the references ^{4,5} different efficiencies of CP were obtained depending on the choice of enantiomer. The existence of an enantiospecific bilinear coupling (see Fig. 1b) of the form $I_1 \cdot F \cdot I_2$ was postulated in those papers, where the coupling tensor **F** depends on Rashba SOC, an interaction which is itself enantiospecific. Herein we argue that bond polarization and SOC provides a possible mechanism to mediate the interaction between two nuclear spins through the creation of enantiospecific delocalized electron conduction bands which, in turn, enable these electrons to couple to both nuclear spins simultaneously via magnetic dipole interaction.

Molecule / System	Experiment	δ (ppm)	CT (ms)	I(D)/I(L)	Ref.
D vs L-aspartic acid	15 N { 1 H} CP-MAS	0.14	2	1.95	4
D vs L-cysteine	15 N { 1 H} CP-MAS	8.92	2	1.88	4
D vs L-phenylalanine	15 N $\{^{1}$ H $\}$ CP-MAS	3.1	0.5	1.3	4
D vs L-phenylglycine	15 N { ¹ H} CP-MAS	10.1; 1.7	1.5	1.05; 1.07	4
D vs L-threonine	15 N $\{^{1}$ H $\}$ CP-MAS	-0.64	2	1.09	4
D vs L-tyrosine	15 N $\{^{1}$ H $\}$ CP-MAS	0.80	1.5	1.14	4
D vs L-serine	15 N $\{^{1}$ H $\}$ CP-MAS	-3.01	2.0	1.05	4
D vs L-valine	15 N $\{^{1}$ H $\}$ CP-MAS	-1.81	0.5	1.22	4
D vs L-TAR	13 C $\{^{1}$ H $\}$ DP-MAS	176; 171; 74; 72	0.5	0.943; 0.982; 0.971; 0.985	5
D vs L-TAR	13 C { 1 H} CP-MAS	176; 171; 74; 72	0.5	1.15; 1.17; 1.19; 1.23	5
D vs L-1	13 C { 1 H} DP-MAS	189-173; 80-69	0.5	1.06; 1.03	5
D vs L-1	13 C { 1 H} CP-MAS	189-173; 80-69	0.5	1.28; 1.38	5

Table 1: Summary of solid-state NMR experimental results from Refs. ⁴ and ⁵ on CP of enantiomers of several different molecular structures. DP-MAS: direct polarization MAS. Chemical shift of the resonance (δ), contact time (CT), I(D)/I(L) intensity ratio. D- and L-TAR refers to organic ligands D- and L-tartaric acids. D- and L-1 refer to 3D metal-organic frameworks {[Y₂(μ_4 -L-TAR)₂(μ -L-TAR)(H₂O)₂]·4H₂O}_n (L-1) and {[Y₂(μ_4 -D-TAR)₂(μ -D-TAR)(H₂O)₂]·4H₂O}_n (D-1). (See Ref. ⁵ for details.) For CP-MAS the rule I(D)/I(L) > 1 is observed.

A summary of all known CP results on enantiomers published to date (see Refs. ^{4,5}) is shown in Table 1. According to the traditional view, NMR parameters are not supposed to depend on the handedness of enantiomers; and therefore, the ratio I(D)/I(L) should be 1. Instead, for all CP-MAS results a clear trend I(D)/I(L) > 1 is observed indicating that the D enantiomer consistently inherits more polarization compared to the L enantiomer. This goes against all known mechanisms describing nuclear spin interactions in a diamagnetic molecule.

Santos and co-workers ^{4,5} postulated the existence of an effective nuclear spin-spin interaction mediated by SOC because the effective strength of the SOC interaction in molecules exhibiting CISS is enantiospecific (Fig. 1b), leading to different transmission probabilities for the two values of electronic spin. However, the precise mechanism remains elusive, as SOC itself does not couple directly to nuclear spins, as far as fundamental interactions are concerned. SOC only directly affects the electronic wavefunction. We must then turn our attention to the nature of effective interactions affecting these nuclear spins. The hyperfine interaction defines the manner in which nuclear spins couple to electron spins. From this emerges a possible mechanism. The electronnuclear hyperfine interaction is made up of three contributions: Fermi contact, electron-nuclear dipole interaction, and nuclear spin-electron orbital angular momentum. The first two interactions provide a possible mechanism for spin-spin coupling, albeit indirectly. Indirect couplings in NMR, also known as J couplings, were discovered independently by Hahn and Maxwell ³⁴ as well as McCall, Slichter and Gutowski³⁵. While initially discovered in liquids, Slichter³⁶ has presented a theory for the solid state. For 3D Bloch wavefunctions in a solid the case of the Fermi contact interaction is discussed in Ref. ³⁶ whereas the case of the dipole-dipole interaction is discussed in Bloembergen and Rowland ³⁷. These theories, however, do not incorporate in any way the effects of chirality. We propose instead to investigate the following two pathways:

nuclear spin 1 $\stackrel{\text{dipole-dipole}}{\longleftrightarrow}$ $\stackrel{\text{(wavefunction is enantiospecific)}}{\text{conduction electron spins}}$ $\stackrel{\text{dipole-dipole}}{\longleftrightarrow}$ nuclear spin 2

and





Figure 2: Plots of indirect nuclear spin-spin coupling tensor component \mathbf{F}_{zz} as a function of the position of nucleus 1 and 2 along the DNA chain ($\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$, with 0 indicating the start and 2π the end of the helix). Multiplication by 0.01 gives the coupling strength in Hz. (a) Contribution from the magnetic dipole interaction. Peak coupling strengths attain 100 kHz (white regions, right panel). (b) Contribution from the Fermi contact interaction (values should be multiplied by 0.01 to get units of Hz).

The key observation in the present work is that the electronic wavefunction in CISS differs from

normal 3D Bloch wavefunctions (e.g., ³⁶) in that it is enantiospecific ^{38,39}. Enantiospecificity is related to the SOC interaction and helicity, which takes into account the direction of electron propagation. Another difference is the 1D nature of helical molecules, giving rise to 1D wavefunctions in a band structure model ^{38,39}. The physics of one-dimensional systems involves unique mathematical considerations. In Supplementary Text S1 we present a detailed theoretical treatment of the indirect coupling between pairs of nuclear spins in a helical molecule based on spin-dependent mechanisms (electron-nuclear dipole-dipole, Fermi contact). The main result is that both interactions are sufficiently strong to cause observable CP. The electron-nuclear dipolar contribution to the effective coupling tensor (derived in Supplementary Text S1) depends on chirality. Amplitude estimates are shown in Fig. 2a, where coupling strengths between pairs of nuclear spins (assumed to be protons for simplicity) can reach amplitudes that generate observing measurable effects by CP³ for specific positions of the nuclear spins. The coupling strength depends on the position (φ_1 , φ_2) of the nuclear spins along the helix. We remark that this calculation should not be considered quantitative due to the one-dimensional nature of the problem, which leads to the emergence of divergences. This calculation should instead serve to establish the plausibility of the mechanism. As to the Fermi contact interaction, it is generally weaker than dipole-dipole (see Fig. 2b), yet sufficiently strong to produce measurable effects³. Weak Fermi contact interactions are generally due to low overlap of the electronic wavefunction at the site of the nuclei, possibly due to a stronger contribution from *p*-wave character of the wavefunction 38,39 than *s*-wave 36 . However, as explained in SI for the case of high-field NMR the Fermi contact tensor is not enantioselective. The dipole-dipole term, on the other hand, is. This analysis applies to the DNA toy model only. The situation could be different for real chiral molecules and an independent analysis is warranted on a case-by-case basis.

We sketch the main steps of the derivation presented in SI. An effective Hamiltonian is derived using second-order perturbation theory:

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \underbrace{\left(\frac{2\mu_{0}}{3}\right)^{2} \gamma_{I}^{2} \gamma_{S}^{2} \hbar^{4} \sum_{j} \mathbf{I}_{1} \cdot \frac{\langle 0 | \sum_{l} \mathbf{S}_{l} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_{l} - \mathbf{R}_{1}) | j \rangle \langle j | \sum_{l} \mathbf{S}_{l} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_{l} - \mathbf{R}_{2}) | 0 \rangle}{E_{0} - E_{j}} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{2} + c.c. \cdot \mathbf{I}_{2} + c.c.$$

The term on the first line describes the effects of the Fermi contact interaction (\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{FC}) whereas term on the second line, the effects of the dipole-dipole interaction (\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{DD}) . The Varela spinors ^{38,39}, which were recently obtained by solving a minimal tight-binding model constructed from valence s and p orbitals of carbon atoms, describe the molecular orbitals of helical electrons in DNA molecules. These spinors can be used to compute the summations by considering them as the electronic states $|j\rangle$:

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} = \begin{bmatrix} F_A e^{-i\varphi/2} \\ \zeta F_B^* e^{i\varphi/2} \end{bmatrix} e^{i\nu\tilde{n}\varphi}, \quad F_A = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} (se^{i\theta/2} + e^{-i\theta/2}), \quad F_B = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} (se^{-i\theta/2} - e^{i\theta/2}),$$

where \tilde{n} is analogous to a wavenumber, φ is the angular coordinate along the helix, θ depends on SOC and is the tilt of the spinor relative to the z axis, $s = \pm 1$ is the electron spin orientation and $\zeta = \pm 1$ labels the enantiomer. By keeping track of ζ we can determine which contribution(s) depend on enantiomer. This leads to the result

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{DD} = \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right)^2 \gamma_I^2 \gamma_S^2 \sum_{n,n'} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{\alpha',\beta'} I_1^{\alpha} \frac{M_{1,\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) M_{2,\beta'}^{\alpha'\beta'}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}')}{|T|(n'-n)} I_2^{\alpha'} f(\tilde{n}) [1 - f(\tilde{n}')] + c.c.$$
(2)

where $\mu_0, \gamma_I, \gamma_S, |T|$ are constants, $f(\tilde{n})$ is a Fermi function, I_1^{α} are nuclear-spin operators (see SI) and explicit expressions for the matrices $M_{1,\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n})$'s are given in Supplementary (SI) section, equations 5, 6 and 7.

This expression for the indirect coupling is enantiospecific. The effective Hamiltonian contains a product $M_{1,\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n})M_{2,\beta'}^{\alpha'\beta'}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}')$. Explicitly, this term is:

$$\sum_{\beta,\beta'} M_{1,\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) M_{2,\beta'}^{\alpha'\beta'}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}') = \left[M_{1,x}^{\alpha,1}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) + M_{1,y}^{\alpha,2}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) + M_{1,z}^{\alpha,3}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) \right] \\ \times \left[M_{2,x}^{\alpha',1}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}') + M_{2,y}^{\alpha',2}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}') + M_{2,z}^{\alpha',3}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}') \right].$$
(3)

While $M_{i,z}$ is independent of ζ , both $M_{i,x}$ and $M_{i,y}$ depend linearly on ζ (see equations 2-4 in SI). The term $M_{1,z}^{\alpha,3}(\tilde{n}', \tilde{n})M_{2,z}^{\alpha',3}(\tilde{n}, \tilde{n}')$ does not depend on ζ , since neither factor depend on ζ . Neither do $M_{1,x}^{\alpha,1}(\tilde{n}', \tilde{n})M_{2,x}^{\alpha',1}(\tilde{n}, \tilde{n}')$ and $M_{1,y}^{\alpha,2}(\tilde{n}', \tilde{n})M_{2,y}^{\alpha',2}(\tilde{n}, \tilde{n}')$ since $\zeta^2 = 1$. On the other hand, terms such as $M_{1,z}M_{2,x}$ depend linearly on ζ . The effect of enantiomer handedness is to flip the sign of this term, leading to a change in the magnitude of the indirect coupling mediated by dipole-dipole interaction. As explained in SI (and as seen in Fig. 2a) the magnitude of this term depends on the exact relative positions of the two nuclei of interest along the helix.

As mentioned earlier, the spin-dependent coupling mechanism could be different for real chiral molecules. For the amino acids in Table 1, analytical expressions for the spinors of electronic states, which are essential for the computation of J couplings, are not available to us. We can instead use DFT calculations. In Figure 3 we present calculations of J couplings between ¹H and ¹³C nuclei for the two (D, L) enantiomers of alanine. As seen in the bar plot of Figure 3a, significant relative differences in the J couplings between enantiomers can be observed. In SI we include DFT results for the remaining amino acids: phenylalanine, arginine, aspartic acid, cysteine,

glutamic acid, glutamine, glyceraldehyde (non-amino acid), methionine, serine, threonine, tyrosine and valine. There, we find that J coupling values depend on the choice of enantiomer for all the molecules.



Figure 3: Differences in NMR J couplings between (D, L) enantiomers can be quantified using the J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2. Nonzero values of this relative difference constitute evidence of chiral selectivity of the scalar coupling. J couplings between ¹H and ¹³C nuclei were computed by DFT using ORCA for the amino acids: alanine, arginine, aspartic acid, cysteine, glutamic acid, glutamine, glyceraldehyde, methionine, phenylalanine, serine, threonine, tyrosine and valine (see SI for results). The case of alanine is shown here: (a) J coupling stereochemical deviation (b) labeling of atoms in alanine.

Discussion

We propose a unique CISS-dependent contribution to the conventional indirect nuclear spin-spin

coupling mechanism in chiral molecules based on a network of electron-nuclear spin-dependent interactions and enantioselective bond polarization. This finding suggests that NMR-based techniques could be capable of chiral discrimination. The dominant contribution to CP NMR experiments in such cases is, of course, likely due to standard dipole-dipole and non-chiral J couplings. However, our results show that an additive chiral contribution to J could provide observable enantiospecific effects on top of existing conventional mechanisms contributing to CP. For the DNA toy model, the electron-nuclear dipole interaction exhibits dependence on the choice of enantiomer, whereas the Fermi contact interaction does not. However, for real molecules, this mechanism may not always be the same; in which case, DFT calculations provide a more quantitative framework for the analysis of such contributions. Our work may provide a theoretical foundation for experiments that probe chirality by NMR, establishing the first link between the CISS effect and nuclear spins. The CISS effect gives rise to electronic wavefunctions that are enantiospecific owing to the effective SOC interaction, manifesting itself in the Rashba form, in the presence of a chiral structure. The effective nuclear spin-spin interaction consists of a nuclear spin coupled to delocalized conduction-band electrons, which in turn couple to another nuclear spin. When averaging this effective interaction over electronic degrees of freedom, we obtain a coupling tensor with components that are enantiospecific. We note that the idea of using NMR to probe chirality is not new. Theoretical studies by Buckingham and co-workers have proposed the use of electric fields (external, internal) for chiral discrimination by NMR 7, 15-18. A paper by Harris and Jameson ¹⁹ explains that the spin-spin (J) coupling has a chiral component: $E = J\mathbf{I}_1 \cdot \mathbf{I}_2 + J_{chiral} \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{I}_1 \times \mathbf{I}_2$, where J_{chiral} is a pseudoscalar that changes sign with chirality and E is an electric field. Different symmetry considerations are involved here, as we do not form a scalar interaction from the three vectors E, B (magnetic field) and a single spin I. Instead, we have a tensorial interaction originating from an effective magnetic coupling between two spins I_1 and I_2 where the effective scalar Hamiltonian involves a rank-2 tensor F, that arises from the averaging of the electron spin-nuclear spin dipolar couplings over the electronic spinor wavefunction. The effective tensor interaction $I_1 \cdot F \cdot I_2$ can be decomposed as the sum of three energies, $FI_1 \cdot I_2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} F_{ij} (I_1^i I_2^j + I_1^j I_2^i) + f \cdot (I_1 \times I_2)$, also known in the field of magnetism as the *isotropic* exchange, *symmetric* and *antisymmetric* parts of the anisotropic exchange, respectively. According to the discussion in Supplementary Text S1 (see section "Enantiospecific NMR Response"), all three coefficients F, F_{ij} and f are enantiospecific for the DNA toy model. This is in contrast with the symmetry of the conventional J coupling tensor in NMR. For real molecules, this situation could be different from the helical structure toy model.

These results have at least four potential implications: 1) They establish NMR as a tool for probing chirality, at least as far as CP experiments are concerned, these two parameters could form building blocks of analytical pulse sequences that sense chirality. In terms of spectroscopy, solid powders have wide anisotropic lines making it difficult or impossible to observe splittings of resonances. Thus, chirality effects may be difficult to observe as coherent effects in standard NMR spectra. On the other hand, a wide range of specialized solid-state NMR experiments were developed by Emsley and co-workers for spectral editing or to probe fine structure in powder spectra ^{40–46}. These advanced spin control methods are building blocks that could be adapted for chiral discrimination purposes. 2) This establishes chiral molecules as potential components of quantum information systems through their ability to couple distant nuclear-spin qubits. 3) Our theory, while applied to nuclear spins, could also be extended to localized electronic spins, such as those found in transition metal ions, rare-earth ions and molecular magnets. The spin-dependent interaction mechanism

still holds, and its strength would be 6 orders of magnitude larger due to the higher moment of the Bohr magneton compared to the nuclear magneton. 4) Control of electronic spins could lead to control of nuclear spin states and vice-versa. It was remarked in a recent paper by Paltiel and co-workers ⁴⁷ that the control of nuclear spins may lead to control of chemical reactions, which would be remarkable. Control of electronic spins, of course, would generally not be possible if relaxation times were exceedingly short. But in the context of CISS, the electron spin is locked to its momentum, giving rise to new possibilities for quantum logic. For this example, the role of CISS is to create spin-polarized electronic conduction channels that can lead to indirect nuclear spin-spin coupling and associated benefits such as transport of quantum information. Finally, we note that the application of an electric field for chiral discrimination, as was previously suggested ^{7,15–18}, is not needed here, as the CISS effect alone generates an observable response. In particular, an applied current is not required because of a nonzero quantum mechanical probability current (see Supplementary Text S1, Section "Is an Applied Current Needed to Drive this Effective Interaction?"). As pointed out by Rossini and co-workers ⁶ impurities or crystallite size differences could potentially affect the CP process if they give rise to differences in spin-lattice relaxation. Such differences can be minimized by further purification and recrystallization. Independently from this, however, spin-lattice relaxation rates during the cross-polarization transfer step depend on the choice of enantiomer (ζ). This is because spin-lattice relaxation can be modulated by the scalar coupling (see Supplementary Text S2), which itself depends on ζ . In other words, our analysis suggests that both T_1 and spin-spin couplings are intrinsically connected through the choice of enantiomer.

Finally, a word about potential applications. Chirality is a fundamental determinant of molecu-

lar behavior and interaction, notably in biological systems where it influences the specificity of biochemical reactions. Current enantiomeric differentiation techniques, which often necessitate chiral modifiers, can interfere with the native state of biological samples, thus obscuring intrinsic molecular dynamics. The advancement of a non-perturbative method, as described in this work, utilizing the CISS effect for direct chiral recognition, may represent an important leap forward. This approach would not only preserve the pristine condition of the sample but also offer insights into the chiral-driven phenomena at the molecular level. For example, this method could enhance our understanding of enzyme-catalyzed reactions and protein-ligand interactions, elucidating the role of chirality in fundamental biological processes and potentially advancing the design of more selective pharmaceuticals. Such experiments are crucial for investigating the core aspects of CISS as they bypass the effects of molecule-substrate couplings, instead directly probing the interactions among nuclei within chiral molecules through the electronic response governed by CISS principles.

Methods

The theoretical investigations into the NMR J couplings chiral amino acids as well as glyceraldehyde were conducted utilizing DFT as implemented in the ORCA quantum chemistry package ⁴⁸ that includes routines for computing NMR parameters based on Ref. ⁴⁹. The amino acids selected for this study were optimized at the B3LYP exchange-correlation ⁵⁰ functional with the split-valence Pople basis set, 6-31G(d,p) ⁵¹ to ensure accurate geometries. Following geometry optimization, the NMR J couplings were calculated using the Gauge-Including Atomic Orbital (GIAO) method combined with the BP86 functional and TZVP basis set ⁵². BP86, a widely utilized Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA) functional, combines the Becke 1988 exchange functional with the triple zeta valence polarization basis set. To account for solvent effects, the geometry optimization and NMR calculations were performed using the Conductor-like Polarizable Continuum Model (CPCM) with water as the solvent. This inclusion aims to more closely simulate the natural aqueous environment of molecules by modeling the solvent as a dielectric polarizable continuum medium.

Acknowledgements: L.-S.B. acknowledges partial support from NSF CHE-2002313 and would like to thank Alexej Jerschow, Jeffrey Yarger, Daniel Finkelstein-Shapiro and Thomas Fay for helpful discussions (discussions do not imply endorsements). S.V. acknowledges the support given by the Eleonore-Trefftz-Programm and the Dresden Junior Fellowship Programme by the Chair of Materials Science and Nanotechnology at the Dresden University of Technology. G.C. acknowledge the support of the German Research Foundation (DFG) within the project Theoretical Studies on Chirality-Induced Spin Selectivity (CU 44/55-1), and by the transCampus Research Award Disentangling the Design Principles of Chiral-Induced Spin Selectivity (CISS) at the Molecule-Electrode Interface for Practical Spintronic Applications (Grant No. tCRA 2020-01), and Programme trans-Campus Interplay between vibrations and spin polarization in the CISS effect of helical molecules (Grant No. tC2023-03). V.M acknowledges the support of Ikerbasque, the Basque Foundation for Science, the German Research Foundation for a Mercator Fellowship within the project Theoretical Studies on Chirality-Induced Spin Selectivity (CU 44/55-1), and the W.M. Keck Foundation through the grant "Chirality, spin coherence and entanglement in quantum biology."

Author Contributions: T.G. worked on certain aspects of the theory and performed all DFT computations. J.L.P. helped T.G. with DFT computations of NMR parameters, with inputs from V.M. and L.-S.B. V.M. and S.V. provided critical advice on the CISS effect in the context of the CP experiment. L.-S.B. developed the analytical theory for the helical model and wrote the first draft. R.N.S. provided critical inputs on the electron spin mechanism. V.S.G., M.G., L.B., S.V., V.M. and G.C. reviewed the manuscript. All authors conceived the project and contributed to the design of the study. All authors have critically examined the results and helped write and/or revise the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Supplementary Information

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Supplementary Text

Text S1. Theory of Indirect Coupling in DNA Single Helix Model.

Text S2. Enantiospecificity in Cross-Polarization.

Text S3. J Coupling Stereochemical Deviations (DFT) for Amino Acids.

Text S4. Raw Data for J Couplings (DFT) in Amino Acids.

Text S1. Theory of Indirect Coupling in DNA Single Helix Model

Our theoretical model to derive the effective Hamiltonian is based on second-order perturbation theory. Effective Hamiltonians can be obtained by partitioning the Hamiltonian matrix into low energy (0) and high-energy blocks (1):

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} H_{00} & T_{01} \\ \\ T_{10} & H_{11} \end{bmatrix}.$$

To this matrix corresponds a resolvent (Green's function):

$$G(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\epsilon - H} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon - H_{00} & T_{01} \\ T_{10} & \epsilon - H_{11} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

Inversion of the block 2×2 matrix gives:

$$G_{00}(\epsilon) = \left(\epsilon - H_{00} - T_{01}(\epsilon - H_{11})^{-1}T_{10}\right)^{-1},$$

which has the form of a resolvent with effective Hamiltonian:

$$G(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\epsilon - H_{eff}}, \qquad H_{eff} = H_{00} + T_{01}(\epsilon - H_{11})^{-1}T_{10},$$

Because H_{eff} itself depends on the unknown energy ϵ , the Schrödinger equation is then solved selfconsistently. This method was introduced by Löwdin ⁵³ and is nowadays known as downfolding. If instead we replace the (unknown) energy ϵ by a known energy of the low-energy states, ϵ_0 :

$$\approx H_{00} + T_{01}(\epsilon_0 - H_{11})^{-1}T_{10},$$

taking the matrix element yields the familiar expression for second-order perturbation theory:

$$E_{0} = \epsilon_{0} + \sum_{j,j'} \langle 0|T_{01}|j\rangle \langle j|(\epsilon_{0} - H_{11})^{-1}|j'\rangle \langle j'|T_{10}|0\rangle = \epsilon_{0} + \sum_{j} \frac{|\langle 0|\hat{V}|j\rangle|^{2}}{\epsilon_{0} - \epsilon_{j}}$$

where $\hat{V} \equiv T_{01}$, $T_{10}^{\dagger} = T_{01}$ and H_{11} is assumed diagonalized by the unperturbed basis $\{|j\rangle\}$. A pedagogical description of the perturbation theory approach to deriving effective spin-Hamiltonians can be found in chapter 4 of Slichter ³⁶. Electronic wavefunctions, which encode chirality, were obtained from the following papers by Varela and co-workers ^{38,39}. In the work of Santos and San Sebastian ^{4,5} a mechanism for indirect nuclear spin-spin coupling was proposed based on spin-orbit coupling (SOC). SOC is indeed expected to play a crucial role. The exact mechanism for the indirect coupling, however, remains unclear. In our calculation and proposed mechanism, SOC enters through the use of the Varela wavefunctions ^{38,39} as part of the perturbation theoretic calculation. We find that the magnetic interaction that can explain the existence of the effective spin-Hamiltonian is dipolar in nature. Nuclear spin 1 couples magnetically to delocalized conduction band elections, which then couple magnetically to nuclear spin 2.

* CISS & Indirect Spin-Spin Coupling

The magnetic dipole interaction between two spins I_1 and I_2 is of the form of a scalar product of irreducible rank-2 tensors, $[I_1 \otimes I_2]^{(2)}$ and $[\nabla \otimes \nabla]^{(2)} \frac{1}{r}$:

$$\mathcal{H} = -3\sqrt{5}\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\hbar^2\gamma_I\gamma_S \left[[\mathbf{I}_1 \otimes \mathbf{I}_2]^{(2)} \otimes [\nabla \otimes \nabla]^{(2)}(1/r) \right]_0^{(0)}$$

where $[\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{B}]_0^{(0)}$ denotes the scalar tensor product of two irreducible tensors \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} , with the convention that all vectors are expressed as rank-1 spherical tensors, i.e. for $\mathbf{r} = (x, y, z)$ we have $\mathbf{r}_{(1),\pm 1} = \mp (1/\sqrt{2})(x \pm iy), r_0^{(1)} = z$. The irreducible components of the product of two tensors $\mathbf{T}_{(k_1)}$ and $\mathbf{T}_{(k_2)}$ are obtained as the linear combinations:

$$\left[\mathbf{T}_{(k_1)} \otimes \mathbf{T}_{(k_2)}\right]_{\kappa_3}^{(k_3)} = \sum_{\kappa_1,\kappa_2} \left(k_1 \kappa_1 k_2 \kappa_2 | k_1 k_2 k_3 \kappa_3\right) \mathbf{T}_{(k_1),\kappa_1} \mathbf{T}_{(k_2),\kappa_2},$$

where $(k_1\kappa_1k_2\kappa_2|k_1k_2k_3\kappa_3)$ are Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. This formula can be used to describe

any number of pairwise magnetic interactions, whose contributions to the energy are additive, provided that extra care is taken at the point r = 0, by adding a Dirac delta function. The latter is a result from distribution theory (see below). Two localized spins I_1 and I_2 centered at R_1 and R_2 , respectively, interact with a set of electron spins $\{S_l\}$ each centered at $\{r_l\}$ according to the sum of Fermi contact and dipole-dipole interactions (energy units):

$$\mathcal{H}(\{\mathbf{R}_{i}\},\{\mathbf{r}_{l}\}) = \frac{\mu_{0}}{4\pi}\hbar^{2} \left[\underbrace{\frac{8\pi}{3}\gamma_{I}\gamma_{S}\mathbf{I}_{1}\cdot\sum_{l}\mathbf{S}_{l}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{R}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{l}) + \frac{8\pi}{3}\gamma_{I}\gamma_{S}\mathbf{I}_{2}\cdot\sum_{l}\mathbf{S}_{l}\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{R}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{l})}{\text{Fermi contact},\mathcal{H}_{F_{c}}(\{\mathbf{R}_{i}\},\{\mathbf{r}_{l}\})} + \underbrace{\gamma_{I}\gamma_{S}\,p.v.\sum_{l}\frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}-3\hat{\tilde{r}}_{1l,\alpha}\hat{\tilde{r}}_{1l,\beta}}{|\mathbf{R}_{1}-\mathbf{r}_{l}|^{3}}I_{1}^{\alpha}S_{l}^{\beta} + \gamma_{I}\gamma_{S}\,p.v.\sum_{l}\frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta}-3\hat{\tilde{r}}_{2l,\alpha}\hat{\tilde{r}}_{2l,\beta}}{|\mathbf{R}_{2}-\mathbf{r}_{l}|^{3}}I_{2}^{\alpha}S_{l}^{\beta}}\right]}_{\text{dipole-dipole},\mathcal{H}_{DD}(\{\mathbf{R}_{i}\},\{\mathbf{r}_{l}\})}$$

where p.v. denotes Cauchy principal value, and the component of unit vector $\hat{r}_{il,\alpha}$ are:

$$\hat{\tilde{r}}_{il,\alpha} = \frac{R_{i,\alpha} - r_{l,\alpha}}{\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{r}_l}$$

Since I_1 and I_2 are assumed to be localized spins, R_1 and R_2 are treated as fixed parameters.

An effective Hamiltonian can be obtained through second-order perturbation theory:

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff} = \underbrace{\left(\frac{2\mu_{0}}{3}\right)^{2} \gamma_{I}^{2} \gamma_{S}^{2} \hbar^{4} \sum_{j} \mathbf{I}_{1} \cdot \frac{\langle 0 | \sum_{l} \mathbf{S}_{l} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_{l} - \mathbf{R}_{1}) | j \rangle \langle j | \sum_{l} \mathbf{S}_{l} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_{l} - \mathbf{R}_{2}) | 0 \rangle}{E_{0} - E_{j}} \cdot \mathbf{I}_{2} + c.c. \cdot \mathbf{I}_{2} + c.c.$$

It will be convenient for us to express the dipole interaction tensor in terms of the Hessian of $1/\tilde{r}$:

$$\nabla \nabla \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} = p.v. \left(-\frac{\delta_{ij}}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} + \frac{3(r_i - r_i')(r_j - r_j')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^5} \right) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j - \frac{4\pi}{3} \delta^{(3)} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') I$$

where p.v. denotes the Cauchy principal value and I is the identity tensor. The Dirac delta term is an artifact from distribution theory originating from the point $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}'$. The Dirac term is of no consequence here, as we show (see Section "Fermi Contact Term") that Fermi contact terms do not contribute significantly to the effective interaction in the context of CISS.

Matrix Elements of Single-Body Operators

In the above expression, we have matrix elements of $\sum_{l} \mathbf{S}_{l} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_{l} - \mathbf{R})$, which is a sum of singlebody operators. The states $|0\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$ are Slater determinants that are products of Bloch functions. In the language of second-quantization a single-body operator **H** has the form

$$\mathbf{H} = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\\text{first quantization}}}^{N} \mathbf{h}(i) = \sum_{\substack{m,n\\\text{second quantization}}}^{N} \langle m | \mathbf{H} | n \rangle \, a_m^{\dagger} a_n,$$

where a_m^{\dagger} and a_n are fermionic creation and annihilation operators, respectively. The equal signs here are taken loosely in the sense that the different **H**'s involved operate on different spaces (e.g. Hilbert vs Fock space). The *N*-body wavefunctions are Slater determinants:

$$|0\rangle = a_{j_1}^{\dagger} a_{j_2}^{\dagger} \dots a_{j_N}^{\dagger} |vac\rangle$$
$$|j\rangle = a_{j_{1'}}^{\dagger} a_{j_{2'}}^{\dagger} \dots a_{j_{N'}}^{\dagger} |vac\rangle$$

where $|vac\rangle$ denotes the vacuum state. The matrix elements of H are:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j | \mathbf{H} | 0 \rangle &= \langle vac | a_{j_{N'}} \dots a_{j_{2'}} a_{j_{1'}} \cdot \sum_{m,n} \langle m | \mathbf{H} | n \rangle \, a_m^{\dagger} a_n \cdot a_{j_1}^{\dagger} a_{j_2}^{\dagger} \dots a_{j_N}^{\dagger} | vac \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m,n} \langle m | \mathbf{H} | n \rangle \, \langle vac | \, a_{j_{N'}} \dots a_{j_{2'}} a_{j_{1'}} a_m^{\dagger} a_n a_{j_1}^{\dagger} a_{j_2}^{\dagger} \dots a_{j_N}^{\dagger} | vac \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Using anticommutation relations for the fermionic operators:

$$\{a_j^{\dagger}, a_{j'}\} = \delta_{jj'}, \qquad \{a_j, a_{j'}\} = 0, \qquad \{a_j^{\dagger}, a_{j'}^{\dagger}\} = 0,$$

we see that moving the a_n operator to the right will annihilate the vacuum state to give zero unless $n \in \{j_1, j_2, \dots, n_N\}$. If n belongs to that set, say $n = j_k$, then:

$$\begin{aligned} a_n a_{j_1}^{\dagger} \dots a_{j_k}^{\dagger} \dots a_{j_N}^{\dagger} |vac\rangle = (-1)^{k-1} a_{j_1}^{\dagger} \dots \underbrace{(a_n a_{j_k}^{\dagger})}_{1-a_{j_k}^{\dagger} a_n} \dots a_{j_N}^{\dagger} |vac\rangle \\ = (-1)^{k-1} a_{j_1}^{\dagger} \dots (1-a_{j_k}^{\dagger} a_n) \dots a_{j_N}^{\dagger} |vac\rangle \\ = (-1)^{k-1} a_{j_1}^{\dagger} \dots (1) \dots a_{j_N}^{\dagger} |vac\rangle \\ - (-1)^{k-1} a_{j_1}^{\dagger} \dots a_{j_k}^{\dagger} \dots a_{j_N}^{\dagger} a_n (-1)^{N-k} |vac\rangle \end{aligned}$$

The second term is zero because a_n acts on the vacuum. Likewise, moving a_m^{\dagger} to the left, we get (say $m = j_{d'}$):

$$\begin{aligned} \langle vac | \ a_{j_{N'}} \dots a_{j_{d'}} \dots a_{j_{2'}} a_{j_{1'}} a_m^{\dagger} &= \langle vac | \ a_{j_{N'}} \dots \underbrace{(a_{j_{d'}} a_m^{\dagger})}_{1-a_m^{\dagger} a_{j_{d'}}} \dots a_{j_{2'}} a_{j_{1'}} (-1)^{d'-1} \\ &= \langle vac | \ a_{j_{N'}} \dots (1 - a_m^{\dagger} a_{j_{d'}}) \dots a_{j_{2'}} a_{j_{1'}} (-1)^{d'-1} \\ &= \langle vac | \ a_{j_{N'}} \dots (1) \dots a_{j_{2'}} a_{j_{1'}} (-1)^{d'-1} \\ &- \langle vac | \ a_m^{\dagger} a_{j_{N'}} \dots a_{j_{d'}} \dots a_{j_{2'}} a_{j_{1'}} (-1)^{d'-1} (-1)^{N-d'} \end{aligned}$$

The second term is zero as a_m^{\dagger} acts on $\langle vac |$ to its left. Thus, we are left with:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle vac | a_{j_{N'}} \dots a_{j_{d'}} \dots a_{j_{2'}} a_{j_{1'}} (a_m^{\dagger} a_n) a_{j_1}^{\dagger} \dots a_{j_k}^{\dagger} \dots a_{j_N}^{\dagger} | vac \rangle \\ &= \langle vac | a_{j_{N'}} \dots (1) \dots a_{j_{2'}} a_{j_{1'}} a_{j_1}^{\dagger} \dots (1) \dots a_{j_N}^{\dagger} | vac \rangle (-1)^{d'+k} \end{aligned}$$

which is zero unless $\{j_1, \ldots, \hat{j}_k, \ldots, j_N\} = \{j_{1'}, \ldots, \hat{j}_{d'}, \ldots, j_{N'}\}$ (where the hat indicates omission of a term). Thus, the average $\langle j | a_m^{\dagger} a_n | 0 \rangle$ is zero unless $n \in \{j_1, \ldots, j_N\}$, $d' \in \{j_{1'}, \ldots, j_{N'}\}$ and the remaining indices are all identical $\{j_1, \ldots, \hat{j}_k, \ldots, j_N\} = \{j_{1'}, \ldots, \hat{j}_{d'}, \ldots, j_{N'}\}$. If the

remaining indices are identical (but not necessarily in the same order), then

$$\langle vac | a_{j_{N'}} \dots (1) \dots a_{j_{2'}} a_{j_{1'}} a_{j_{1}}^{\dagger} \dots (1) \dots a_{j_{N}}^{\dagger} | vac \rangle$$

$$= (-1)^{P} \langle vac | a_{j_{N}} \dots (1) \dots a_{j_{2}} a_{j_{1}} a_{j_{1}}^{\dagger} \dots (1) \dots a_{j_{N}}^{\dagger} | vac \rangle = (-1)^{P},$$

through repeated application of the anticommutator $a_m a_m^{\dagger} = 1 - a_m^{\dagger} a_m$ in the center term (and dropping $a_m^{\dagger} a_m$ because it vanishes when moving a_m all the way to the right). *P* is the permutation needed to bring them to the same order.

We have just proved that:

$$\begin{split} \langle j | \mathbf{H} | 0 \rangle &= \langle vac | \, a_{j_{N'}} \dots a_{j_{2'}} a_{j_{1'}} \mathbf{H} a_{j_1}^{\dagger} a_{j_2}^{\dagger} \dots a_{j_N}^{\dagger} | vac \rangle \\ &= \langle vac | \, a_{j_{N'}} \dots a_{j_{2'}} a_{j_{1'}} \left(\sum_{m,n} \langle m | \mathbf{H} | n \rangle \, a_m^{\dagger} a_n \right) a_{j_1}^{\dagger} a_{j_2}^{\dagger} \dots a_{j_N}^{\dagger} | vac \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{m \in \langle j | \\ n \in | 0 \rangle}} \langle m | \mathbf{H} | n \rangle \, \langle vac | \, a_{j_{N'}} \dots a_{j_{2'}} a_{j_{1'}} (a_m^{\dagger} a_n) a_{j_1}^{\dagger} a_{j_2}^{\dagger} \dots a_{j_N}^{\dagger} | vac \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{m \in \langle j | \\ n \in | 0 \rangle}} \langle vac | \, a_m^{\dagger} \mathbf{H} a_n \, | vac \rangle \, (-1)^{d'+k+P} & \text{if } \{j_1, \dots, \hat{j_k}, \dots, j_N\} = \{j_{1'}, \dots, \hat{j_{d'}}, \dots, j_{N'}\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where the summation runs over all

$$m \in \langle j | = \{ j_{1'}, j_{2'}, \dots, j_{N'} \},$$
 and $n \in |0\rangle = \{ j_1, j_2, \dots, j_N \}.$

The states $a_n |vac\rangle$ are Bloch functions, leading to $\sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'ss'} \langle \mathbf{k}'s' |\mathbf{H}|\mathbf{k}s\rangle (-1)^{d'+k+P}$. The sign $(-1)^{d'+k+P}$ disappears when forming the product: $\sum_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}'ss'} \langle \mathbf{k}'s' |\mathbf{H}|\mathbf{k}s\rangle \langle \mathbf{k}s|\mathbf{H}|\mathbf{k}'s'\rangle$.

* Dipole-Dipole Interaction Term

To compute the matrix elements

$$\langle \mathbf{k}s | \frac{\delta_{\alpha\beta} - 3\ddot{\tilde{r}}_{il,\alpha}\ddot{\tilde{r}}_{il,\beta}}{|\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{r}_l|^3} S_l^\beta | \mathbf{k}'s \rangle$$

we use the spinor-valued Bloch wavefunctions derived by Varela ^{38, 39}, which we shall abbreviate as:

$$\psi_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} (se^{i\theta/2} + e^{-i\theta/2})e^{-i\varphi/2} \\ \zeta(se^{i\theta/2} - e^{-i\theta/2})e^{i\varphi/2} \end{bmatrix} e^{i\nu\tilde{n}\varphi} = \begin{bmatrix} F_A e^{-i\varphi/2} \\ \zeta F_B^* e^{i\varphi/2} \end{bmatrix} e^{i\nu\tilde{n}\varphi},$$
$$F_A = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} (se^{i\theta/2} + e^{-i\theta/2}), \qquad F_B = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} (se^{-i\theta/2} - e^{i\theta/2}).$$

The symbols we will need are:

- $s = \pm 1$ is the spin label
- $\nu = \pm 1$ is the electron propagation direction
- $\zeta = \pm 1$ labels the right- and left-handedness of the helix
- $\tilde{n} = n/2MN$ labels the subbands corresponding to the discrete modes due to longitudinal confinement within a helix
- n is an integer in the interval 0 < n < M
- M is the number of bases per turn.
- φ ranges from 0 to $2\pi \mathcal{N}$
- \mathcal{N} is the number of turns in the helix.

Conversion from helicoidal to Cartesian coordinates is done using the mapping:

$$\varphi \mapsto (a \cos \varphi, a \sin \varphi, b\varphi), \varphi \in [0, T].$$

This leads to the substitutions:

$$\mathbf{R}_{1} = (a \cos \varphi_{1}, a \sin \varphi_{1}, b\varphi_{1})$$
$$\mathbf{R}_{2} = (a \cos \varphi_{2}, a \sin \varphi_{2}, b\varphi_{2})$$
$$\mathbf{r}_{l} = (a \cos \varphi_{l}, a \sin \varphi_{l}, b\varphi_{l}).$$

Note: $\varphi_l = (r_l)_z/b = z_l/b$. In helicoidal coordinates, integration over the spatial coordinates involves integrals of the type:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]\varphi_l} \left[(a\cos\varphi_1 - a\cos\varphi_l)^2 + (a\sin\varphi_1 - a\sin\varphi_l)^2 + (b\varphi_1 - b\varphi_l)^2 \right]^{-3/2} d\varphi_l$$

where $\xi = -1, 0, 1$. According to Varela and Lopéz ^{38,39}, a = 2 nm, b = 3.38 nm, $\Delta \varphi = 36^{\circ}$, R = 0.70 nm (interbase distance). The geometry is shown in Fig. 4.



Figure 4: Geometry of the helical DNA-like model used for computation of the electronic band structure for the CISS effect. Illustration reproduced from the work of Varela and López ^{38,39}.

The last term is the largest for two reasons: b > a so $b^2 > a^2$ (by a factor of almost 3); also φ ranges from 0 to 2π whereas \cos and \sin range from -1 to 1, when $\mathcal{N} = 1$. That's a factor $((4\pi)/4)^2 \approx 9.9$. Therefore, it can be larger by almost $30 \times$. We note that the integral of the DD tensor can be computed as:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_i} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_i} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_l \frac{e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]\varphi_l}}{|\mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{r}_l|}.$$

We therefore expand it:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{(a\cos\varphi_1 - a\cos\varphi_l)^2 + (a\sin\varphi_1 - a\sin\varphi_l)^2 + (b\varphi_1 - b\varphi_l)^2}} \approx \frac{1}{b|\varphi_1 - \varphi_l|} - \frac{a^2}{2b^3|\varphi_1 - \varphi_l|^3} + \frac{3a^4}{8b^5|\varphi_1 - \varphi_l|^5} + O\left(a^6\right).$$

We shall take M = 10 and $\mathcal{N} = 1$. This gives:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_{i}} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_{i}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi_{l} e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]\varphi_{l}} \left[\frac{1}{b|\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{l}|} - \frac{a^{2}}{2b^{3}|\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{l}|^{3}} + \frac{3a^{4}}{8b^{5}|\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{l}|^{5}} + O\left(a^{6}\right) \right].$$

Integrating the first term:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_l \frac{e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}' - \tilde{n}) + \xi]\varphi_l}}{|\varphi_1 - \varphi_l| - i\epsilon} = p.v. \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_l \frac{e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}' - \tilde{n}) + \xi]\varphi_l}}{|\varphi_1 - \varphi_l|} + i\pi e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}' - \tilde{n}) + \xi]\varphi_1}$$

where $0 \le \varphi_1 \le 2\pi$. Where we made use of the Plemelj-Sokhotski theorem:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{x \pm i\epsilon} = p.v.\frac{1}{x} \mp i\pi\delta(x).$$

Furthermore making use of the Cauchy principal value

$$p.v. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{ix}}{x} dx = i\pi, \qquad p.v. \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i(x-x_0)}}{x} dx = i\pi e^{ix_0},$$

we conclude that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi_l \frac{e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]\varphi_l}}{|\varphi_1-\varphi_l|-i0} = i\pi e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]\varphi_1} + i\pi e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]\varphi_1} = 2i\pi e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]\varphi_1}.$$

We then take the gradient (twice). But we must make use of the chain rule: $\partial_{R_j} = \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial R_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_1}$, where

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial \varphi_1} = -a \sin \varphi, \quad \frac{\partial y}{\partial \varphi_1} = a \cos \varphi, \quad \frac{\partial z}{\partial \varphi_1} = b.$$

Inverting

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial x} = -\frac{1}{a \sin \varphi}, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{a \cos \varphi}, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi_1}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{b}.$$

The transformation is not 1-1. Therefore,

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_1} = \left(-\frac{1}{a\sin\varphi_1}\partial_{\varphi_1}, \frac{1}{a\cos\varphi_1}\partial_{\varphi_1}, \frac{1}{b}\partial_{\varphi_1}\right).$$

The gradient is defined on $[0, 2\pi] \setminus \{0, \pi/2, \pi, 3\pi/2, 2\pi\}$. Care will be needed around singularities.

Taking the gradient once:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_{1}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi_{l} \frac{e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]\varphi_{l}}}{b|\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{l}|} = 2i\pi b^{-1} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_{1}} e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]\varphi_{1}}$$
$$= \frac{2\pi i}{b} \left(-\frac{1}{a\sin\varphi_{1}}, \frac{1}{a\cos\varphi_{1}}, \frac{1}{b}\right) i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi] e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]\varphi_{1}}.$$

Taking another gradient we obtain the matrix:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_{1}} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_{1}} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi_{l} \frac{e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]\varphi_{l}}}{b|\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{l}|} = -2\pi [\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi] e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]\varphi_{1}} b^{-1} \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} i\frac{1}{a^{2}\sin\varphi_{1}}\csc(\varphi_{1})([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]+i\cot(\varphi_{1})) & -\frac{1}{a^{2}\sin\varphi_{1}}\sec(\varphi_{1})(\tan(\varphi_{1})+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]) & i\frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]}{a^{2}\sin\varphi_{1}} \\ -i\frac{1}{a^{2}\cos\varphi_{1}}\csc(\varphi_{1})([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]+i\cot(\varphi_{1})) & \frac{1}{a^{2}\cos\varphi_{1}}\sec(\varphi_{1})(\tan(\varphi_{1})+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]) & -i\frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]}{a^{2}\cos\varphi_{1}} \\ -i\frac{1}{b^{2}}\csc(\varphi_{1})([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]-i\cot(\varphi_{1})) & -\frac{1}{b^{2}}\sec(\varphi_{1})(\tan(\varphi_{1})+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]) & -i\frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]}{b^{2}} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix}$$

In fact, we need matrix elements of the form

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{R}_{i}} \nabla_{\mathbf{R}_{i}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi_{l} \frac{e^{-i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+\xi]\varphi_{l}}}{|\mathbf{R}_{i}-\mathbf{r}_{l}|} \langle \tilde{n}'|S_{l}^{\beta}|\tilde{n}\rangle,$$

where $\beta = x, y, z$. Using the matrix elements of Pauli matrices $\langle \psi_{n',s}^{\nu,\zeta} | \sigma_i | \psi_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} \rangle$, i = x, y, z, we obtain:

$$\begin{split} M_{1,x}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) &\equiv 2\pi b^{-1} \zeta F_A^* F_B^* [\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1] e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]\varphi_1} \\ &\times \begin{bmatrix} i\frac{1}{a^2 \sin\varphi_1} \csc(\varphi_1)([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]+i\cot(\varphi_1)) & -\frac{1}{a^2 \sin\varphi_1} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1)+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]) & i\frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]}{a^2 \sin\varphi_1} \\ -i\frac{1}{a^2 \cos\varphi_1} \csc(\varphi_1)([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]+i\cot(\varphi_1)) & \frac{1}{a^2 \cos\varphi_1} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1)+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]) & -i\frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]}{a^2 \cos\varphi_1} \\ i\frac{1}{a^2} \csc(\varphi_1)([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]+i\cot(\varphi_1)) & -\frac{1}{a^2} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1)+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]) & i\frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]}{a^2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ 2\pi \zeta b^{-1} F_A F_B [\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1] e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]\varphi_1} \\ &\times \begin{bmatrix} i\frac{1}{a^2 \sin\varphi_1} \csc(\varphi_1)([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]+i\cot(\varphi_1)) & -\frac{1}{a^2 \sin\varphi_1} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1)+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]) & i\frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]}{a^2 \sin\varphi_1} \\ -i\frac{1}{a^2 \cos\varphi_1} \csc(\varphi_1)([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]+i\cot(\varphi_1)) & \frac{1}{a^2 \cos\varphi_1} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_l)+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]) & -i\frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]}{a^2 \cos\varphi_1} \\ -i\frac{1}{b^2} \csc(\varphi_1)([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]+i\cot(\varphi_1)) & \frac{1}{b^2} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_l)+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]) & -i\frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]}{a^2 \cos\varphi_1} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} M_{1,y}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) &\equiv -2\pi i \zeta b^{-1} F_A^* F_B^* [\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1] e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]\varphi_1} \\ &\times \begin{bmatrix} i \frac{1}{a^2 \sin \varphi_1} \csc(\varphi_1)([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]+i\cot(\varphi_1)) & -\frac{1}{a^2 \sin \varphi_1} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1)+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]) & i \frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]}{a^2 \cos \varphi_1} \\ -i \frac{1}{a^2 \cos \varphi_1} \csc(\varphi_1)([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]+i\cot(\varphi_1)) & \frac{1}{a^2 \cos \varphi_1} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1)+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]) & -i \frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]}{a^2 \cos \varphi_1} \\ i \frac{1}{a^2} \csc(\varphi_1)([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]+i\cot(\varphi_1)) & -\frac{1}{a^2} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1)+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]) & i \frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})+1]}{a^2} \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ 2\pi i \zeta b^{-1} F_A F_B [\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1] e^{i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]\varphi_1} \\ &\times \begin{bmatrix} i \frac{1}{a^2 \sin \varphi_1} \csc(\varphi_1)([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]+i\cot(\varphi_1)) & -\frac{1}{a^2 \sin \varphi_1} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1)+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]) & i \frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]}{a^2 \cos \varphi_1} \\ -i \frac{1}{a^2 \cos \varphi_1} \csc(\varphi_1)([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]+i\cot(\varphi_1)) & \frac{1}{a^2 \cos \varphi_1} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1)+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]) & -i \frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]}{a^2 \cos \varphi_1} \\ -i \frac{1}{b^2} \csc(\varphi_1)([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]-i\cot(\varphi_1)) & -\frac{1}{b^2} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1)+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]) & -i \frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]}{a^2 \cos \varphi_1} \\ -i \frac{1}{b^2} \csc(\varphi_1)([\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]-i\cot(\varphi_1)) & -\frac{1}{b^2} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1)+i[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]) & -i \frac{[\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})-1]}{a^2 \cos \varphi_1} \end{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

$$M_{1,z}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) \equiv 2\pi b^{-1} (|F_A|^2 - \zeta^2 |F_B|^2) \nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}') e^{i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')\varphi_1} \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} i\frac{1}{a^2 \sin\varphi_1} \csc(\varphi_1)(\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}') + i\cot(\varphi_1)) & -\frac{1}{a^2 \sin\varphi_1} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1) + i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')) & i\frac{\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')}{a^2 \sin\varphi_1} \\ -i\frac{1}{a^2 \cos\varphi_1} \csc(\varphi_1)(\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}') + i\cot(\varphi_1)) & \frac{1}{a^2 \cos\varphi_1} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1) + i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')) & -i\frac{\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')}{a^2 \cos\varphi_1} \\ -i\frac{1}{b^2} \csc(\varphi_1)(\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}') + i\cot(\varphi_1)) & -\frac{1}{b^2} \sec(\varphi_1)(\tan(\varphi_1) + i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n})) & -i\frac{\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')}{b^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(7)

and similarly for $M_{2,x}$, $M_{2,y}$ and $M_{2,z}$. For the energy denominator of our perturbation expression, we start from the linear energy dispersion relation (see Eq. 109, Ref. ³⁸):

$$E_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} = |T|\tilde{n} - s\nu \frac{\sqrt{T^2 + (4\lambda_{SO}^{in})^2}}{2}$$

with $\tilde{n} = n/2MN$ according to Ref. ³⁸. In their follow-up work, Ref. ³⁹, the quantity that multiplies |T| is n, not \tilde{n} (see Eq. 13 in Ref. ³⁹). The spin dependence of the denominator introduces degeneracies in the system, which manifest themselves as singularities in the non-degenerate perturbation expansion. To circumvent this, one may justify the approximation $E_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} \approx |T|n$ at large n. Secondly, we may invoke degenerate perturbation theory, which excludes singular terms from the perturbation summations. On a practical level, singularities can be excluded from the denominator by taking s = s', which could be justified physically based on the spin-momentum locking

property of electron transport in CISS, which ultimately originates from the spin-orbit coupling interaction. This leads to:

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{DD} = \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right)^2 \gamma_I^2 \gamma_S^2 \sum_{n,n'} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{\alpha',\beta'} I_1^{\alpha} \frac{M_{1,\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) M_{2,\beta'}^{\alpha'\beta'}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}')}{|T|(n'-n)} I_2^{\alpha'} f(\tilde{n}) [1 - f(\tilde{n}')] + c.c.$$
(8)

We will take the limit of zero temperature. There are lots of terms. All terms can be obtained analytically in closed form and pose no difficulty. We will therefore not work out all terms except to illustrate the fate of different functional forms encountered. Setting $\xi = 0$ for simplicity, we find terms of the form

$$\sum_{n,n'=0}^{M} (\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}') e^{i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')\varphi_1} e^{i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')\varphi_2} f(\tilde{n}) [1 - f(\tilde{n}')] \frac{1}{\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}'},$$

which, in the low temperature limit, becomes

$$=\sum_{\tilde{n}=0}^{\tilde{n}_{F}}\sum_{\tilde{n}'=\tilde{n}_{F}}^{M}e^{i\nu(\tilde{n}-\tilde{n}')(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})}=\frac{e^{-\frac{i(\tilde{n}_{F}-1)\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})}{2MN}}\left(e^{\frac{i(\tilde{n}_{F}+1)\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})}{2MN}}-1\right)\left(e^{\frac{i\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})(\tilde{n}_{F}-M-1)}{2MN}}-1\right)}{\left(e^{\frac{i\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})}{2MN}}-1\right)^{2}}.$$

This term does not become singular unless $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ (two localized spins at the same exact position), which goes against the idea of coupling two distinct spins localized in different positions.

Also, we have terms of the type:

$$\sum_{n,n'=0}^{M} (\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')^2 e^{i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')\varphi_1} e^{i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')\varphi_2} f(\tilde{n}) [1 - f(\tilde{n}')] \frac{1}{\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}'} = \sum_{\tilde{n}=0}^{\tilde{n}_F} \sum_{\tilde{n}'=\tilde{n}_F}^{M} (\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}') e^{i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)} e^{i\nu(\tilde{n} - \varphi_2)} e^{i$$

which can be obtained by differentiating the previous expression with respect to $(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)$ and dividing by $i\nu$. The result is:

$$=\frac{e^{-\frac{i(\tilde{n}_{F}-1)\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})}{2MN}}}{2M\mathcal{N}\left(e^{\frac{i\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})}{2MN}}-1\right)^{3}}\left(-2e^{\frac{i(\tilde{n}_{F}+1)\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})}{2MN}}+(\tilde{n}_{F}+1)e^{\frac{i\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})}{2MN}}+(\tilde{n}_{F}-M+1)e^{\frac{i\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})(2\tilde{n}_{F}-M)}{2MN}}\right)}+Me^{\frac{i\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})(\tilde{n}_{F}-M-1)}{2MN}}-(M+2)e^{\frac{i\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})(\tilde{n}_{F}-M)}{2MN}}+(M+1-\tilde{n}_{F})e^{\frac{i\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})(2\tilde{n}_{F}-M+1)}{2MN}}-\tilde{n}_{F}+1\right).$$

Enantiospecific NMR Response

It should now be clear that the effective dipole-dipole coupling tensor is enantiospecific upon inspection of Eq. (8), which contains a product $M_{1,\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n})M_{2,\beta'}^{\alpha'\beta'}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}')$. Explicitly, this term is:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\beta,\beta'} M_{1,\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) M_{2,\beta'}^{\alpha'\beta'}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}') &= \left[M_{1,x}^{\alpha,1}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) + M_{1,y}^{\alpha,2}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) + M_{1,z}^{\alpha,3}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) \right] \\ &\times \left[M_{2,x}^{\alpha',1}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}') + M_{2,y}^{\alpha',2}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}') + M_{2,z}^{\alpha',3}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}') \right]. \end{split}$$

While $M_{i,z}$ is independent of ζ , both $M_{i,x}$ and $M_{i,y}$ depend linearly on ζ . The tensor (indices α, α') contains terms such as $M_{1,z}M_{2,x}$, which depend linearly on ζ . The effect of enantiomer handedness is to flip the sign of this term, leading to a change in the magnitude of the dipole-dipole interaction. The term $M_{1,z}^{\alpha,3}(\tilde{n}', \tilde{n})M_{2,z}^{\alpha',3}(\tilde{n}, \tilde{n}')$ does not depend on ζ , since neither factor depend on ζ . Neither do $M_{1,x}^{\alpha,1}(\tilde{n}', \tilde{n})M_{2,x}^{\alpha',1}(\tilde{n}, \tilde{n}')$ and $M_{1,y}^{\alpha,2}(\tilde{n}', \tilde{n})M_{2,y}^{\alpha',2}(\tilde{n}, \tilde{n}')$ since $\zeta^2 = 1$.

Order of magnitude estimate

From this equation:

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{DD} = \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right)^2 \gamma_I^2 \gamma_S^2 \hbar^4 \sum_{n,n'} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{\alpha',\beta'} I_1^{\alpha} \frac{M_{1,\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) M_{2,\beta'}^{\alpha'\beta'}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}')}{|T|(n'-n)} I_2^{\alpha'} f(\tilde{n}) [1 - f(\tilde{n}')] + c.c.$$

Taking a typical term from the matrix M the magnitude is

$$\left|\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{DD}\right| \sim \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right)^2 \gamma_I^2 \gamma_S^2 \hbar^4 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{\alpha',\beta'} \left| \frac{(2\pi (|F_A|^2 - \zeta^2 |F_B|^2))^2}{|T|} \right| \frac{1}{b^2 a^4}$$

where $|F_A|, |F_B| \le 1$. So,

$$\left|\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{DD}\right| \sim \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right)^2 \gamma_I^2 \gamma_S^2 \hbar^4 16 \left|\frac{(4\pi)^2}{T(2M\mathcal{N})}\right| \frac{1}{b^2 a^4} \sim (\mu_0)^2 \gamma_I^2 \gamma_S^2 \hbar^4 16 \left|\frac{1}{T(2M\mathcal{N})}\right| \frac{1}{b^2 a^4} \sim \frac{2\mu_0^2 \gamma_I^2 \gamma_S^2 \hbar^4}{|T|(2M\mathcal{N})} \frac{1}{b^2 a^4}$$

According to Varela, $T = 2t_{in}$, $t_{in} = -10$ meV = 1.6 ×10⁻²¹ J. Plugging numbers:

$$\left|\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{DD}\right| \sim \frac{2\mu_0^2(\gamma_I \hbar)^2(\gamma_S \hbar)^2}{|T|(2M\mathcal{N})} \frac{1}{b^2 a^4}$$

$$\approx \frac{2(1.257 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{A}^{-2})^2 (-1.76 \times 10^{11} \, \mathrm{rad} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{T}^{-1})^2 (267.5 \times 10^6 \, \mathrm{rad} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{T}^{-1})^2}{(1.6 \times 10^{-21} \, \mathrm{J})(2 \times 10^{-9} \, \mathrm{m})^2 (3.38 \times 10^{-9} \, \mathrm{m})^4 (2M\mathcal{N})} \\ \approx \frac{1.02 \times 10^{-36} \, \mathrm{J}}{2M\mathcal{N}} \approx \frac{0.01 \, \mathrm{Hz}}{2M\mathcal{N}}.$$

The reader can verify that the units are Joules. But 0.01 Hz/(2MN) is not the full story. We also have multiplicative factors of the form:

$$\frac{e^{-\frac{i(\tilde{n}_{F}-1)\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})}{2M\mathcal{N}}\left(e^{\frac{i(\tilde{n}_{F}+1)\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})}{2M\mathcal{N}}}-1\right)\left(e^{\frac{i\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})(\tilde{n}_{F}-M-1)}{2M\mathcal{N}}}-1\right)}{\left(e^{\frac{i\nu(\varphi_{1}-\varphi_{2})}{2M\mathcal{N}}}-1\right)^{2}}$$

which blow up when $|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2|/(2M\mathcal{N})$ is an integer multiple of 2π , i.e. when $|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2| = 4\pi M\mathcal{N}m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We note that when the denominator blows up the numerator does not, because it depends on the Fermi energy \tilde{n}_F . As to the denominator, we mentioned earlier that it blows up when $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$, a situation that is not allowed (or worst, when $M\mathcal{N} \to \infty$).

Finally, we also have factors of $\tan(\varphi_1)$, $\csc(\varphi_1)$, $\cot(\varphi_1)$ and $\sec(\varphi_1)$, all of which can "blow up" depending on the argument to the function (φ_1 or φ_2 here). For example, tan and sec blow up at $(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ whereas csc and cot blow up at $n\pi$. Recall that $\csc(\varphi_1) = 1/\sin(\varphi_1)$ and $\sec(\varphi_1) = 1/\cos(\varphi_1)$. Some of the terms are:

1) $\sec(\varphi_1) \tan(\varphi_1) / \sin(\varphi_1) = \sec^2(\varphi_1)$. Similar to sec, this functions blows up at the points $(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi$.

2)
$$\csc(\varphi_1) / \sin(\varphi_1) = \csc^2(\varphi_1)$$
, which blows up at $n\pi/2$.

3) $\sec(\varphi_1) / \sin(\varphi_1) = \csc(\varphi_1) \sec(\varphi_1)$, which blows up at $(n + \frac{1}{2})\pi$.

4) $\csc(\varphi_1)\cot(\varphi_1)/\sin(\varphi_1) = \csc^2(\varphi_1)\cot(\varphi_1)$, which blows up at the points $n\pi$. We rule out this scenario, as the two nuclear spins are not likely to be located at the center of the molecule.
Let's plot one such matrix element. Let's take the upper left hand corner element. Recall that $\tilde{n} = n/2M\mathcal{N}$ and $0 \leq n \leq M$. Let's choose Fermi level to be in the middle (n = M/2 so) that $\tilde{n} = 1/4\mathcal{N}$, so that the levels are half-filled). We will stick with $\mathcal{N} = 1$, M = 10 so that $\tilde{n}_F = 1/40$. The amplitude of the $I_1^z \mathbf{F}_{zz} I_2^z$ term is plotted as a function of $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ in Fig. 2a of the main text.

Fermi Contact Term The Fermi contact term:

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{FC} = \left(\frac{2\mu_0}{3}\right)^2 \gamma_l^2 \gamma_S^2 \hbar^4 \sum_{\mathbf{k},s} \sum_{\mathbf{k}',s'} \mathbf{I}_1 \cdot \frac{\langle \mathbf{k}s | \sum_l \mathbf{S}_l \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_l - \mathbf{R}_1) | \mathbf{k}'s' \rangle \langle \mathbf{k}'s' | \sum_l \mathbf{S}_l \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_l - \mathbf{R}_2) | \mathbf{k}s \rangle}{E_{\mathbf{k}s} - E_{\mathbf{k}'s'}} \cdot \mathbf{I}_2 + c.c.$$

involves computing integrals of the form

$$\langle \tilde{n}'s | \sum_{l} \mathbf{S}_{l} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_{l} - \mathbf{R}_{1}) | \tilde{n}s \rangle \equiv \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n',s}^{\nu,\zeta} | \sum_{l} \mathbf{S}_{l} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_{l} - \mathbf{R}_{1}) | \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} \rangle$$

using the Varela spinors

$$\psi_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} = \begin{bmatrix} F_A e^{-i\varphi/2} \\ \zeta F_B^* e^{i\varphi/2} \end{bmatrix} e^{i\nu\tilde{n}\varphi}, \quad F_A = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} (se^{i\theta/2} + e^{-i\theta/2}), \quad F_B = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{2} (se^{-i\theta/2} - e^{i\theta/2}).$$

There are 3 such terms, one for S_x , S_y and S_z :

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n',s}^{\nu,\zeta} | \sum_{l} \frac{\sigma_x}{2} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_l - \mathbf{R}_1) | \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} \rangle, \ \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n',s}^{\nu,\zeta} | \sum_{l} \frac{\sigma_y}{2} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_l - \mathbf{R}_1) | \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} \rangle \rangle \ \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n',s}^{\nu,\zeta} | \sum_{l} \frac{\sigma_z}{2} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_l - \mathbf{R}_1) | \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} \rangle$$

We may temporarily denote these terms as s_x , s_y and s_z , respectively. See Section "Matrix Elements of the 3D Dirac Delta Function" for a derivation. They give rise to an effective spin-spin coupling tensor:

$$\begin{bmatrix} s_x s_x & s_x s_y & s_x s_z \\ s_y s_x & s_y s_y & s_y s_z \\ s_z s_x & s_z s_y & s_z s_z \end{bmatrix} = \dots$$

Taking care of ensuring that the s on the left involves a braket of the form $\langle n'| \cdot |n\rangle$ while the s on the right is of the form $\langle n| \cdot |n'\rangle$. Because the Fermi contact term does not contain any singularities we expect that its magnitude may be too weak for cross-polarization. For indirect electron-electron coupling, we gain a factor 10^6 from the stronger gyromagnetic ratios.

Our Fermi contact contribution becomes

$$\mathcal{H}_{eff}^{FC} = \left(\frac{2\mu_0}{3}\right)^2 \frac{4^2 \gamma_I^2 \gamma_S^2 \hbar^4}{(2\pi s) a^4 b^2 |\varphi_1| |\varphi_2|} \sum_{n,n'} \mathbf{I}_1 \cdot \frac{\mathbf{F}_c(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n})}{|T|(n'-n)} \cdot \mathbf{I}_2 f(\tilde{n}) [1 - f(\tilde{n}')] + c.c.$$
(9)

where the Fermi-contact coupling tensor $\mathbf{F}_{c}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n})$ is given by the outer product (dyadic):

$$\mathbf{F}_{c}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta(F_{A}^{*}F_{B}^{*}e^{i\varphi_{1}} + F_{A}F_{B}e^{-i\varphi_{1}})e^{-i\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})\varphi_{1}}\\ i\zeta(F_{A}F_{B}e^{-i\varphi_{1}} - F_{A}^{*}F_{B}^{*}e^{i\varphi_{1}})e^{-i\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})\varphi_{1}}\\ (|F_{A}|^{2} - |F_{B}|^{2})e^{-i\nu(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})\varphi_{1}} \end{bmatrix} \otimes \begin{bmatrix} \zeta(F_{A}^{*}F_{B}^{*}e^{i\varphi_{2}} + F_{A}F_{B}e^{-i\varphi_{2}})e^{-i\nu(\tilde{n}-\tilde{n}')\varphi_{2}}\\ i\zeta(F_{A}F_{B}e^{-i\varphi_{2}} - F_{A}^{*}F_{B}^{*}e^{i\varphi_{2}})e^{-i\nu(\tilde{n}-\tilde{n}')\varphi_{2}}\\ (|F_{A}|^{2} - |F_{B}|^{2})e^{-i\nu(\tilde{n}-\tilde{n}')\varphi_{2}} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

Summation over n, n', i.e. $\mathbf{F} \equiv \sum_{n,n'} \mathbf{F}_c(\tilde{n}', \tilde{n}) f(\tilde{n}) [1 - f(\tilde{n}')]$, is straightforward, as explained previously. This yields the tensor components $\mathbf{F}_{xx}, \mathbf{F}_{xy}, \mathbf{F}_{xz}, \mathbf{F}_{yx}, \mathbf{F}_{yz}, \mathbf{F}_{zx}, \mathbf{F}_{zy}$ and \mathbf{F}_{zz} .

In high-field NMR the coefficient of the $I_z^1 I_z^2$ term, \mathbf{F}_{zz} matters most. Here, \mathbf{F}_{zz} does not depend on ζ . Consequently, in high-field NMR we do not expect the Fermi contact contribution to the indirect coupling to be enantioselective. We note that along the line $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ we have 0 times ∞ , which is undefined. This is not a problem since $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2$ is a requirement. The interaction strength is plotted as a function of $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ in Fig. 2b of the main text. Outside of the $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$ region ($\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2$) the magnitude is at most 10, which is a rather weak mechanism for CP. We note that the factor $\frac{1}{|\varphi_1||\varphi_2|}$ in Eq. (9) could in principle "blow up" if $|\varphi_1| = 0$, $|\varphi_2| = 0$ or both. Firstly, we cannot have both nuclear spins in the same location ($|\varphi_1| = |\varphi_2| = 0$). Also, even if one spin is close to the edge of the molecule, it is unlikely to be exactly at the position $|\varphi_1| = 0$ since the electronic wavefunction always extends beyond the nucleus. The distance of closest approach would be bounded from below by (at least) the Bohr radius ($\sim 0.5 \times 10^{-10}$ m). For a helix pitch of 3.38 nm, this would correspond to a lower bound of ~ 0.1 radians, which is still at least 6 orders of magnitude weaker than the dipole interaction contribution.

Is an Applied Current Needed to Drive this Effective Interaction? Intuitively, a current is needed to mediate the coupling between two localized spins. One may ask whether or not a voltage must be applied across the molecule. Fortunately, such a current naturally arises in quantum mechanics through the concept of probability current. Indeed, an electron in state $\psi(x)$ gives rise to a current density

$$\vec{j}(x) = -\frac{e\hbar}{2m_e i}(\psi^*(x)\nabla\psi(x) - \psi(x)\nabla\psi^*(x)).$$

For spinors, the expression is

$$\vec{j}(x) = -\frac{e\hbar}{2m_e i}(\psi^{\dagger}\nabla\psi - (\nabla\psi^{\dagger})\psi).$$

In order to compute this current, we need the derivative operator along the arc length of the curve. The metric tensor in local coordinates for the parametrized curve $\varphi \mapsto (a \cos \varphi, a \sin \varphi, b \varphi), \varphi \in [0, T]$ is:

$$g_{\varphi,\varphi} = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \rangle = (-a \sin \varphi, a \cos \varphi, b) \cdot (-a \sin \varphi, a \cos \varphi, b) = a^2 \sin^2 \varphi + a^2 \cos^2 \varphi + b^2 = a^2 + b^2.$$

Denoting the length by ℓ , the element of length is:

$$d\ell^2 = g_{arphi,arphi} d\varphi \otimes darphi = (a^2 + b^2) darphi^2, \qquad d\ell = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} darphi.$$

We will also need to make a slight adjustment to the Varela spinors, which are defined over the interval $[0, 2\pi]$, and do not have units of inverse square root length. Normally, quantum mechanical

wavefunctions $|\psi(x)|$ have dimensions of $1/\sqrt{x}$ and we have the normalization $\int_0^L |\psi(x)|^2 dx = 1$ whereas here we have $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\psi(\varphi)|^2 d\varphi = 1$. Consider the following mapping and associated change of variables:

$$\varphi \mapsto \ell = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \varphi, \qquad \varphi = \frac{\ell}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}, \qquad d\varphi = \frac{d\ell}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}.$$

The integral becomes:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} |\psi(\frac{\ell}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}})|^2 d\ell = 1.$$

or (with $L = 2\pi\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$):

$$\int_0^{2\pi\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} \left(\frac{\left|\psi\left(\frac{\ell}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}\right)\right|^2}{2\pi\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} \right) d\ell = 1.$$

This means that the wavefunction with proper units is obtained by rescaling:

$$\psi \mapsto \frac{\psi\left(\frac{\ell}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}\right)}{\sqrt{2\pi}(a^2 + b^2)^{1/4}}.$$

Taking the derivative of the spinor:

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} = \begin{bmatrix} F_A e^{-i\varphi/2} \\ \zeta F_B^* e^{i\varphi/2} \end{bmatrix} e^{i\nu\tilde{n}\varphi},$$

we get:

$$\nabla \boldsymbol{\psi} = \frac{d}{d\ell} \frac{\boldsymbol{\psi}(\frac{\ell}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}})}{(a^2 + b^2)^{1/4}} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}(a^2 + b^2)^{1/4}} \begin{bmatrix} F_A e^{-i\varphi/2}(\nu \tilde{n} - \frac{1}{2}) \\ \zeta F_B^* e^{i\varphi/2}(\nu \tilde{n} + \frac{1}{2}) \end{bmatrix} e^{i\nu \tilde{n}\varphi}$$

and

$$(\nabla \psi^{\dagger}) = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}(a^2 + b^2)^{1/4}} \left[F_A^* e^{i\varphi/2} (\nu \tilde{n} - \frac{1}{2}) \quad \zeta F_B e^{-i\varphi/2} (\nu \tilde{n} + \frac{1}{2}) \right] e^{-i\nu \tilde{n}\varphi}.$$

Computing the products of spinors:

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{\dagger} \nabla \boldsymbol{\psi} &= \left[F_A^* e^{i\varphi/2} \quad \zeta F_B e^{-i\varphi/2} \right] e^{-i\nu\tilde{n}\varphi} \frac{i}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}(a^2 + b^2)^{1/2}} \begin{bmatrix} F_A e^{-i\varphi/2}(\nu\tilde{n} - \frac{1}{2}) \\ \zeta F_B^* e^{i\varphi/2}(\nu\tilde{n} + \frac{1}{2}) \end{bmatrix} e^{i\nu\tilde{n}\varphi} \\ &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}(a^2 + b^2)^{1/2}} (|F_A|^2(\nu\tilde{n} - \frac{1}{2}) + |F_B|^2(\nu\tilde{n} + \frac{1}{2})). \end{split}$$

Similarly,

$$(\nabla \psi^{\dagger})\psi = \frac{-i}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}(a^2 + b^2)^{1/2}}(|F_A|^2(\nu \tilde{n} - \frac{1}{2}) + |F_B|^2(\nu \tilde{n} + \frac{1}{2})).$$

Noting¹ that $|F_A|^2 + |F_B|^2 = 1$, and

$$|F_A|^2(\nu \tilde{n} - \frac{1}{2}) + |F_B|^2(\nu \tilde{n} + \frac{1}{2}) = \nu \tilde{n}(|F_A|^2 + |F_B|^2) + \frac{1}{2}(|F_B|^2 - |F_A|^2) = \nu \tilde{n} - \frac{s}{2}\cos\theta$$

we obtain the formula

$$j = \frac{2e\hbar}{m_e(a^2 + b^2)} (\nu \tilde{n} - \frac{s}{2}\cos\theta).$$

This is because

$$\psi^{\dagger} \frac{d}{d\ell} \psi \to \frac{\psi^{\dagger}}{(a^2 + b^2)^{1/4}} \frac{d}{d\ell} \left(\frac{\psi}{(a^2 + b^2)^{1/4}} \right).$$

Taking the derivative $d/d\ell$ brings down a factor $1/\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$. The overall length scaling factor is:

$$\frac{1}{(a^2+b^2)^{1/4}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}\frac{1}{(a^2+b^2)^{1/4}} = \frac{1}{a^2+b^2}.$$

1

$$|F_A|^2 = F_A^* F_A = \frac{|s|}{4} (se^{-i\theta/2} + e^{i\theta/2}) (se^{i\theta/2} + e^{-i\theta/2}) = \frac{1}{4} (s^2 + s(e^{-i\theta} + e^{i\theta}) + 1) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + s\cos\theta)$$
$$|F_B|^2 = F_B^* F_B = \frac{|s|}{4} (se^{i\theta/2} - e^{-i\theta/2}) (se^{-i\theta/2} - e^{i\theta/2}) = \frac{1}{4} (s^2 - s(e^{-i\theta} + e^{i\theta}) + 1) = \frac{1}{2} (1 - s\cos\theta)$$
$$|F_A|^2 + |F_B|^2 = 1, \qquad |F_A|^2 - |F_B|^2 = s\cos\theta$$

Plugging some numbers in the multiplicative coefficient:

$$j = \frac{(2)(1.6 \times 10^{-19} \text{ C})(1.05 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J.s})}{(9.1 \times 10^{-31} \text{ kg})[(2 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m})^2 + (3.38 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m})^2]} \left(\frac{n}{2MN} + \frac{s}{2}\cos\theta\right),$$

or

$$j = 2\left(\frac{n}{2MN} + \frac{s}{2}\cos\theta\right)\left(1.2 \times 10^{-6}\right) \,\mathrm{A}$$

We get the correct units (Ampère) after having rescaled the wavefunction. Let's check the magnitude. For $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, n = M = 10 and $\mathcal{N} = 1$, the current j is 2.4 μ A. Since b = 3.38 nm, the length of one helix is 33.8 nm. (Similarly, $\mathcal{N} = 3$, n = M gives a current of 800 nA whereas n = 1, M = 10, $\mathcal{N} = 1$, gives 80 nA.) For comparison, the I-V curves presented in the paper by Wang ⁵⁴ (see Fig. 4) show currents up to 220 nA.

Embedding of 1D Spinor Wavefunction in 3D

The normalized Varela spinors (see Section "Normalization of Spinors")

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} \begin{bmatrix} F_A e^{-i\varphi/2} \\ \zeta F_B^* e^{i\varphi/2} \end{bmatrix} e^{i\nu\tilde{n}\varphi} \chi_{[0,2\pi]}(\varphi)$$

one-parameter mappings $\psi : \varphi \in [0, 2\pi] \mapsto \mathbb{C}^2$. However, computation of the Fermi contact interaction requires three-dimensional wavefunctions because the Dirac delta distribution in the Hamiltonian, $\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0)$, must be integrated over three dimensional space. It is unclear how to embed a 1D function into a 3D space because there are an infinite number of possibilities.

We can limit the options by invoking a simple argument from probability theory. Let's say we have a random variable X and its associated probability density function, $p_X(x)$. By definition, it must be normalized:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_X(x) dx = 1.$$

If we also want it to be a function of y and z in order to describe the behavior of two additional random variables, Y and Z. If X, Y and Z are statistically independent, the joint probability density is a product. It must be normalized as well:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_X(x) p_Y(y) p_Z(z) \, dx \, dy \, dz = 1.$$

We must then have:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_Y(y) dy = 1, \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_Z(z) dz = 1.$$

What are the requirements on p_Y and p_Z ? In the context of quantum mechanics, the norm of the wavefunction must have dimension of inverse length since α and β have dimensions of length. Let's call these functions f and g:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\alpha) d\alpha = 1, \qquad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(\beta) d\beta = 1.$$

When averaging a distance, we would like to fix the values of the averages, i.e. $\langle \alpha \rangle = a$, $\langle \beta \rangle = a$,

$$\langle \alpha \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha f(\alpha) d\alpha := a, \qquad \langle b \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \beta g(\beta) d\beta := b.$$

The choice of distribution is not so important² as long as the correct averages are obtained when computing the moments of interest. We choose the uniform distribution for simplicity:

$$f(\alpha) = \frac{2}{a}$$
 for $0 \le \alpha \le a$

²Choosing a different distribution will affect the overall scaling slightly, depending on the moments of the distribution. For example, if a random variable X follows a Rayleigh distribution with PDF $f(x) = \frac{x}{\sigma^2} e^{-x^2/(2\sigma^2)}$, $x \ge 0$ we would fulfill the requirements of a continuous wavefunction and first derivative across space. However, the mean is $\langle X \rangle = \sigma \sqrt{\pi/2}$. One may argue that the extra factor $\sqrt{\pi/2}$ does significantly alter the result. We could also impose additional conditions on the moments if needed.

In the context of a quantum mechanical wavefunction, we would take a one-dimensional wavefunction $\psi(\varphi)$ and construct a three-dimensional function

$$\Psi(\alpha,\beta,\varphi) = \psi(\varphi)\sqrt{\frac{2}{a}}\chi_{[0,a]}(\alpha)\sqrt{\frac{2}{b}}\chi_{[0,b]}(\beta)$$

such that the probability gives us the correct average position:

$$\begin{split} \langle \alpha \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)|^2 \alpha \, d\beta \, d\alpha \, d\varphi \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(\varphi)|^2 \frac{2}{a} \chi_{[0,a]}(\alpha) \frac{2}{b} \chi_{[0,b]}(\beta) \alpha \, d\beta \, d\alpha \, d\varphi = a \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \langle \beta \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(\alpha, \beta, \varphi)|^2 \beta \, d\beta \, d\alpha \, d\varphi \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(\varphi)|^2 \frac{2}{a} \chi_{[0,a]}(\alpha) \frac{2}{b} \chi_{[0,b]}(\beta) \beta \, d\beta \, d\alpha \, d\varphi = b. \end{split}$$

We therefore redefine the Varela spinors as follows:

F

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}(\alpha,\beta,\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} \begin{bmatrix} F_A e^{-i\varphi/2} \\ \zeta F_B^* e^{i\varphi/2} \end{bmatrix} e^{i\nu\tilde{n}\varphi} \chi_{[0,2\pi]}(\varphi) \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}} \chi_{[0,a]}(\alpha) \sqrt{\frac{2}{b}} \chi_{[0,b]}(\beta).$$

-

In this manner, integration over \mathbb{R}^3 can be performed. When computing averages of α and β , integration over all space of the norm of this wavefunction will return a and b, the correct radius and pitch of the helix.

Matrix Elements of the 3D Dirac Delta Function

Let us return to the Fermi contact interaction and start by checking that sensible results are obtained upon integration. In helicoidal coordinates the 3D Dirac distribution is (see Section "Pull-back of the Delta Function in Curvilinear Coordinates"):

$$\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) = \frac{1}{|a\varphi|} \delta(a - a_0) \delta(b - b_0) \delta(\varphi - \varphi_0).$$

The three averages needed are $(a > 0, b > 0, \varphi > 0$ so the absolute values are unimportant):

$$\begin{split} \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n',s}^{\nu,\zeta} | \sigma_x \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_1) | \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi s} (F_A^* F_B^* e^{i\varphi/2} \zeta e^{i\varphi/2} + \zeta F_A F_B e^{-i\varphi/2} e^{-i\varphi/2}) e^{i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')\varphi} \\ &\times \frac{1}{|\alpha\varphi|} \delta(\alpha - a + \epsilon) \delta(\beta - b + \epsilon) \delta(\varphi - \varphi_1 + \epsilon) \frac{2}{a} \chi_{[0,a]}(\alpha) \frac{2}{b} \chi_{[0,b]}(\beta) \chi_{[0,2\pi]}(\varphi) \, d\beta \, d\alpha \, d\varphi \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi s} \frac{1}{a^2 b} \zeta (F_A F_B e^{-i\varphi_1} + c.c.) \frac{e^{i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')\varphi_1}}{|\varphi_1|} \end{split}$$

where the limiting procedure $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0}$ was introduced to ensure that the Dirac delta function is concentrated inside the domain of integration, as defined by the indicator functions. Next,

$$\begin{split} \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n',s}^{\nu,\zeta} | \sigma_{y} \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_{1}) | \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{i}{2\pi s} (F_{A}F_{B}e^{-i\varphi/2}\zeta e^{-i\varphi/2} - \zeta F_{A}^{*}F_{B}^{*}e^{i\varphi/2}e^{i\varphi/2})e^{i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')\varphi} \\ &\times \frac{1}{|\alpha\varphi|} \delta(\alpha - a + \epsilon) \delta(\beta - b + \epsilon) \delta(\varphi - \varphi_{1} + \epsilon) \frac{2}{a} \chi_{[0,a]}(\alpha) \frac{2}{b} \chi_{[0,b]}(\beta) \chi_{[0,2\pi]}(\varphi) \, d\beta \, d\alpha \, d\varphi \\ &= \frac{2i}{\pi s} \frac{1}{a^{2}b} \zeta (F_{A}F_{B}e^{-i\varphi_{1}} - c.c.) \frac{e^{i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')\varphi_{1}}}{|\varphi_{1}|}. \end{split}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{split} \langle \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n',s}^{\nu,\zeta} | \sigma_z \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{R}_1) | \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta} \rangle &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (|F_A|^2 - |F_B|^2) e^{i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')\varphi} \\ &\times \frac{1}{|\alpha\varphi|} \delta(\alpha - a + \epsilon) \delta(\beta - b + \epsilon) \delta(\varphi - \varphi_1 + \epsilon) \frac{2}{a} \chi_{[0,a]}(\alpha) \frac{2}{b} \chi_{[0,b]}(\beta) \chi_{[0,2\pi]}(\varphi) \, d\beta \, d\alpha \, d\varphi \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi s} \frac{1}{a^2 b} (|F_A|^2 - |F_B|^2) \frac{e^{i\nu(\tilde{n} - \tilde{n}')\varphi_1}}{|\varphi_1|}. \end{split}$$

Pull-back of the Delta Function in Curvilinear Coordinates

Consider the mapping:

$$(a, b, \varphi) \mapsto (x, y, z) = (a \cos \varphi, a \sin \varphi, b\varphi).$$

The Jacobian of this transformation is:

$$\frac{\partial(x,y,z)}{\partial(a,b,\varphi)} = \frac{\partial(a\cos\varphi, a\sin\varphi, b\varphi)}{\partial(a,b,\varphi)} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\varphi & 0 & -a\sin\varphi\\ \sin\varphi & 0 & a\cos\varphi\\ 0 & \varphi & b \end{bmatrix}.$$

The determinant of which is $-a\varphi \sin^2 \varphi - a\varphi \cos^2 \varphi = -a\varphi$. Therefore, the 3D Dirac delta function is

$$\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0) = \frac{1}{|a\varphi|} \delta(a - a_0) \delta(b - b_0) \delta(\varphi - \varphi_0).$$

Let $\Phi: A \mapsto B$. This expression has the following meaning:

$$\int_{B} f(\mathbf{r}) \overline{\delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{0})} d^{3}\mathbf{r} = f(\mathbf{r}_{0}) = \int_{A} (f \circ \Phi)(a, b, \varphi) \overline{\frac{1}{|a\varphi|} \delta(a - a_{0}) \delta(b - b_{0}) \delta(\varphi - \varphi_{0})} |a\varphi| \, da \, db \, d\varphi$$
$$= \int_{A} (f \circ \Phi)(a, b, \varphi) \delta(a - a_{0}) \delta(b - b_{0}) \delta(\varphi - \varphi_{0}) \, da \, db \, d\varphi = (f \circ \Phi)(a_{0}, b_{0}, \varphi_{0}),$$

where $(f \circ \Phi)(a, b, \varphi)$ denotes the pull-back of the scalar-valued function f, i.e. $(\Phi^* f)(a, b, \varphi)$.

Normalization of Spinors

In the 2016 paper the Varela spinors are defined as:

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta}(\varphi) = \begin{bmatrix} F_A e^{-i\varphi/2} \\ \zeta F_B^* e^{i\varphi/2} \end{bmatrix} e^{i\nu\tilde{n}\varphi}.$$

For convenience, we abbreviate $\psi_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta}(\varphi)$ as $\psi(\varphi)$ or simply, ψ . The inner product is:

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}^{\dagger}\boldsymbol{\psi} = \begin{bmatrix} F_A^* e^{i\varphi/2} & \zeta F_B e^{-i\varphi/2} \end{bmatrix} e^{-i\nu\tilde{n}\varphi} \begin{bmatrix} F_A e^{-i\varphi/2} \\ \zeta F_B^* e^{i\varphi/2} \end{bmatrix} e^{i\nu\tilde{n}\varphi} = |F_A|^2 + \zeta^2 |F_B|^2 = |F_A|^2 + |F_B|^2 = s$$

since $|F_A|^2 + |F_B|^2 = s$. When normalizing, we integrate over all space:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\psi}^{\dagger} | \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{\dagger}(\varphi) \boldsymbol{\psi}(\varphi) d\varphi = 2\pi s.$$

Therefore, proper normalization of the probability amplitudes requires a factor of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}}$. Also, for convenience we will multiply them with an indicator function:

$$\chi_{[0,2\pi]}(\varphi) = \begin{cases} 1 & \varphi \in [0,2\pi] \\ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This enables us to extend the integration limits to infinity. We define them as:

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{n,s}^{\nu,\zeta}(\varphi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi s}} \begin{bmatrix} F_A e^{-i\varphi/2} \\ \zeta F_B^* e^{i\varphi/2} \end{bmatrix} e^{i\nu\tilde{n}\varphi} \chi_{[0,2\pi]}(\varphi).$$

Then, the factor of 2π is not needed. We can also integrate over all space:

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\psi}^{\dagger} | \boldsymbol{\psi} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{\psi}^{\dagger}(\varphi) \boldsymbol{\psi}(\varphi) \chi_{[0,2\pi]}(\varphi) d\varphi = 1.$$

Text S2. Enantiospecificity in Cross-Polarization

The dependence of the bilinear coupling $\mathbf{I}_1 \cdot \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{I}_2$ on chirality implies that spin-relaxation may also depend on chirality. Because the kinetics of the cross-polarization experiment depend on spin relaxation times, we look at the impact of the indirect coupling on spin-lattice relaxation in the rotating frame. Consider a general Hamiltonian of the form $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_Z + \mathcal{H}_{SB} + \mathcal{H}_B$, where \mathcal{H}_Z is the unperturbed spin part (e.g. Zeeman interaction), \mathcal{H}_{SB} is the spin-bath interaction including any spin-spin interactions and \mathcal{H}_B is the bath Hamiltonian. We denote the corresponding Liouvillian superoperator as $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}_Z + \mathbf{L}_{SB} + \mathbf{L}_B$. The Liouvillian superoperator is defined as the commutation superoperator, $\mathbf{L}\rho \equiv i[\mathcal{H},\rho]$. Consider a set of operators $\{F_k\}_{k=1}^m$ and an inner product $(A, B) = \text{Tr}(A^{\dagger}B)$, often denoted $\langle \langle A|B \rangle \rangle$. The operators F_k are orthogonal, $\langle \langle F_i|F_j \rangle \rangle = 0$ for $i \neq j$. A projection superoperator can be defined as $\pi = \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{|F_k\rangle \rangle \langle \langle F_k|F_k\rangle}{\langle \langle F_k|F_k\rangle \rangle}$. It is customary to transform the Liouvillian to the interaction representation (see Section "Appendix: Interaction Representation for Spin-Lock Experiment (Review)") generated by \mathbf{L}_Z and write the resulting Liouvillian as $\mathbf{L}^*(t) \equiv \mathbf{L}_B + \mathbf{L}_{SB}(t)$, where * denotes the interaction representation and $\mathbf{L}_{SB}(t) \equiv e^{\mathbf{L}_Z t} \mathbf{L}_{SB}$. It will be convenient to study irreversible processes using projection operator methods, which yield a quantum master equation for an observable F_k :

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle\langle F_k|\rho(t)\rangle\rangle = -i\langle\langle F_k|\mathbf{L}^*(t)\pi|\rho(t)\rangle\rangle - i\langle\langle F_k|\mathbf{L}^*(t)\vec{T}e^{-i\int_0^t d\tau(1-\pi)\mathbf{L}^*(\tau)}(1-\pi)|\rho(0)\rangle\rangle - \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^t dt' \frac{\langle\langle F_k|\mathbf{L}^*(t)\vec{T}e^{-i\int_{t'}^t d\tau(1-\pi)\mathbf{L}^*(\tau)}(1-\pi)\mathbf{L}^*(t')|F_j\rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle F_j|F_j\rangle\rangle}\langle\langle F_j|\rho(t')\rangle\rangle$$

where \vec{T} denotes Dyson time-ordering of the exponential ⁵⁵. Insertion of the expression for the projection operator π into the first term shows that it causes coherent evolution (Bloch term). The second term can be made to vanish with a proper choice of initial conditions. The last term is the dissipative term we are interested in. Under some assumptions (weak collision limit, short correlation time limit, average Liouvillian, stationarity of the memory kernel), $\vec{T}e^{-i\int_{t'}^{t}d\pi(1-\pi)\mathbf{L}^*(\tau)}$ is approximated by $e^{-i(t-t')(1-\pi)\mathbf{L}^*}$, where \mathbf{L}^* is a time-averaged Liouvillian in the interaction representation. It is customary to drop the Zeeman and spin-bath parts ($\|\mathbf{L}_B\| \gg \|\mathbf{L}_Z + \mathbf{L}_{SB}\|$), leaving only the bath part, i.e. $\mathbf{L}^* \approx \mathbf{L}_B$, in the exponential. Since there is no spin part left, the projector term is dropped leaving $e^{-i(t-t')\mathbf{L}_B}$. Finally, the term $(1-\pi)\mathbf{L}^*(t')|F_j\rangle\rangle$ gives two terms: $\mathbf{L}^*(t')|F_j\rangle\rangle$ and $-\pi\mathbf{L}^*(t')|F_j\rangle\rangle$. The second term is not of interest in the derivation of dissipation rates because it describes a product of average frequencies; we are instead interested in deviations from averages. We therefore consider the first term. The dissipation term in this wide-sense stationary Redfield limit reads:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\tau \frac{\langle \langle F_{k} | \mathbf{L}^{*}(0) e^{i\tau \mathbf{L}_{B}} \mathbf{L}^{*}(\tau) | F_{j} \rangle \rangle}{\langle \langle F_{j} | F_{j} \rangle \rangle} \langle \langle F_{j} | \rho(t) \rangle \rangle \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle \langle F_{j} | \rho(t) \rangle \rangle \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}_{kj}(\tau) d\tau, \qquad (10)$$

where $W(F_k) \equiv \int_0^\infty \mathcal{K}_{kj}(\tau) d\tau$ is a dissipation rate for the state F_k . In the study of crosspolarization we shall be interested in the quantity $T_{1\rho}$, the spin-lattice relaxation time in the rotating frame. For $\{F_k\}$ we will take the set $\{F_x, F_y, F_z\}$, where $F_j \equiv I_j^u$, u = 1, 2. **Homonuclear Case** We begin with the homonuclear case. While homonuclear spin systems are not commonplace in cross-polarization, such experiments are possible, involving different interacting subensembles. Two interacting nuclear spins of the same type (homonuclear case), I_1 and I_2 are coupled to a bath of electron spins $\{S_l\}$. The spin-bath interaction is taken to be a sum of pairwise couplings. In a spin-lock experiment where $T_{1\rho}$ is normally measured, the Zeeman Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_Z is the sum of two components, the Zeeman and RF parts (in frequency units) are: $\mathcal{H}_Z = \omega_0(I_z^1 + I_z^2) + \omega_S \sum_l S_z^l + \omega_1(I_x^1 + I_x^2) \cos(\omega_0 t)$. For simplicity: 1) the two nuclear spins are assumed to be chemically and magnetically equivalent; 2) we also neglect off-resonance effects for simplicity. (Those assumptions can easily be dropped, posing no difficulties, but here we'd like to keep our expressions simple.) The Hermitian operator $\omega_0(I_z^1 + I_z^2) + \omega_S \sum_l S_z^l$ can be used to effect a transformation to the "doubly rotating frame". In the secular (rotating-wave) approximation this leaves $\mathcal{H}_Z^* = \omega_1(I_x^1 + I_x^2)$. The nuclear-nuclear term of the spin-bath Hamiltonian in the rotating frame, \mathcal{H}_{SB}^* , acquires a phase factor $e^{-i\omega_0qt}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{SB}^{*}(t) &= \sum_{q=-2}^{2} (-1)^{q} \underbrace{A_{2,q}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2}) T_{2,-q}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2}) e^{-i\omega_{0}qt}}_{\text{nuclear-nuclear}} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{m} \underbrace{\left[A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{S}^{j}) T_{2,0}^{*}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{S}^{j}) + A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{2}, \mathbf{S}^{j}) T_{2,0}^{*}(\mathbf{I}^{2}, \mathbf{S}^{j})\right]}_{\text{electron-nuclear}} \end{aligned}$$

whereas the electron-nuclear term does not. It is also truncated to longitudinal order. Instead of describing an "effective" interaction, the components $A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^2, \mathbf{S}^j)$ of the electron-nuclear tensor would typically be the components of a direct hyperfine interaction. On the other hand, the k = 2 components of the spherical tensor A coupling nuclear spins \mathbf{I}^1 to \mathbf{I}^2 given in terms of the Cartesian

components of the indirect coupling tensor **F** are:

$$\begin{split} A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2) = & \frac{-\mathbf{F}_{xx} - \mathbf{F}_{yy} + 2\mathbf{F}_{zz}}{\sqrt{6}} \\ A_{2,\pm 1}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2) = & \mp \frac{\mathbf{F}_{zx} + \mathbf{F}_{xz} \pm i(\mathbf{F}_{zy} + \mathbf{F}_{yz})}{2} \\ A_{2,\pm 2}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2) = & \frac{\mathbf{F}_{xx} - \mathbf{F}_{yy} \pm i(\mathbf{F}_{xy} + \mathbf{F}_{yx})}{2}. \end{split}$$

For the case of the dipole interaction, the tensor components can be inferred from Eq. (8). Explicitly, they are:

$$\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\alpha'} = \left(\frac{\mu_0}{4\pi}\right)^2 \gamma_I^2 \gamma_S^2 \sum_{n,n'} \sum_{\beta,\beta'} \frac{M_{1,\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) M_{2,\beta'}^{\alpha'\beta'}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}')}{|T|(\tilde{n}'-\tilde{n})} f(\tilde{n})[1-f(\tilde{n}')] + c.c.$$
(11)

where the M matrices are given by Eqs. (5), (6) and (7). As discussed in Section the tensor $\sum_{\beta,\beta'} M_{1,\beta}^{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{n}',\tilde{n}) M_{2,\beta'}^{\alpha'\beta'}(\tilde{n},\tilde{n}')$ is enantiospecific. Therefore, the tensor components $\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\alpha'}$ will be enantiospecific. And as we will see later, the relaxation rate $(T_{1\rho})^{-1}$ will be enantiospecific. The irreducible tensors for the homonuclear interaction are:

$$T_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (3I_z^1 I_z^2 - \mathbf{I}^1 \cdot \mathbf{I}^2) \quad T_{2,\pm 1}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2) = \mp \frac{1}{2} (I_z^1 I_{\pm}^2 + I_{\pm}^1 I_z^2) \quad T_{2,\pm 2}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2) = \frac{1}{2} I_{\pm}^1 I_{\pm}^2$$

whereas tensor components for the electron-nuclear interactions with $q = \pm 1, \pm 2$ average to zero except for q = 0, which becomes

$$T_{2,0}^*(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{S}^j) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T e^{-i\omega_0(I_z^1 + I_z^2)t} T_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{S}^j) e^{i\omega_0(I_z^1 + I_z^2)t} dt = \sqrt{2/3} I_z S_z$$

Next, to eliminate the remaining Zeeman term, $\mathcal{H}_Z^* = \omega_1(I_x^1 + I_x^2)$ from the Hamiltonian we effect another transformation to a second interaction frame using this residual Zeeman term (see Section "Appendix: Interaction Representation for Spin-Lock Experiment (Review)"). This involves rotating the spin-bath Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{SB}^*(\tau)$ by an angle $\omega_1 t$ about the X axis. This can be accomplished with the help of Wigner matrices. The tensors $T_{2,-q}(\mathbf{I}^1,\mathbf{I}^2)$ transform as:

$$T_{2,-q}(\mathbf{I}^1,\mathbf{I}^2) \to \mathbf{R}(\Omega)T_{k,-q} = \sum_{q'=-2}^2 T_{2,-q'}(\mathbf{I}^1,\mathbf{I}^2)\mathscr{D}_{q',-q}^{(2)}(\Omega)$$

where $\Omega = \alpha \beta \gamma$ is a set of Euler angles, $\mathbf{R}(\Omega)$ is a rotation operator and $\mathscr{D}_{m'm}^{(j)}(\alpha \beta \gamma) = e^{-i(m'\alpha+m\gamma)}d_{m'm}^{(j)}(\beta)$. From the definition of Euler rotation, $\mathbf{R}(\alpha \beta \gamma) = \mathbf{R}_z(\alpha)\mathbf{R}_y(\beta)\mathbf{R}_z(\gamma)$, a rotation about the X axis by an angle β can be effected using the sequence $\mathbf{R}(\frac{\pi}{2},\beta,-\frac{\pi}{2}) = \mathbf{R}_z(\frac{\pi}{2})\mathbf{R}_y(\beta)\mathbf{R}_z(-\frac{\pi}{2})$. The elements of the Wigner matrix are therefore

$$\mathscr{D}_{m'm}^{(j)}(\frac{\pi}{2},\beta,-\frac{\pi}{2}) = e^{-i(m'\pi/2 - m\pi/2)} d_{m'm}^{(j)}(\beta),$$

where $\beta = \omega_1 t$. Thus, $\mathscr{D}_{q',-q}^{(2)}(\frac{\pi}{2},\omega_1 t,-\frac{\pi}{2}) = e^{-i(q'\pi/2+q\pi/2)} d_{q',-q}^{(2)}(\omega_1 t).$

Finally, the electron-nuclear tensor component $T^*_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{S}^j)$ transforms according to the rule:

$$T_{2,0}^*(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{S}^j) \to \sqrt{2/3} S_z^j \left(I_z^1 \cos(\omega_1 t) - I_y^1 \sin(\omega_1 t) \right).$$

The spin-bath Hamiltonian in this second interaction representation is therefore:

$$\mathcal{H}_{SB}^{**}(t) = \sum_{q'=-2}^{2} \sum_{q=-2}^{2} (-1)^{q} A_{2,q}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2}) T_{2,-q}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2}) e^{-i\omega_{0}qt} e^{-i(q'\pi/2 + q\pi/2)} d_{q',-q}^{(2)}(\omega_{1}t) + \sqrt{2/3} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Big[A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{S}^{j}) S_{z}^{j} \left(I_{z}^{1} \cos(\omega_{1}t) - I_{y}^{1} \sin(\omega_{1}t) \right) + A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{2}, \mathbf{S}^{j}) S_{z}^{j} \left(I_{z}^{2} \cos(\omega_{1}t) - I_{y}^{2} \sin(\omega_{1}t) \right) \Big].$$

We could of course carry on with the calculation including all terms. While this poses no extra difficulties other than additional bookkeeping, it carries no pedagogical value. Since, our goal is to provide an intuitive understanding of the link between chirality and nuclear spin relaxation, we simplify the expression by dropping all terms where $q \neq 0$. This is equivalent to assuming that

motion is slower than the Larmor period $(2\pi/\omega_1)$. Using the middle column (q = 0) of the reduced

Wigner matrix

$$d^{(2)}(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos^4(\beta/2) & -\frac{1}{2}\sin\beta(1+\cos\beta) & \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}\sin^2\beta & \frac{1}{2}\sin\beta(\cos\beta-1) & \sin^4(\beta/2) \\ \frac{1}{2}\sin\beta(1+\cos\beta) & \frac{1}{2}(2\cos\beta-1)(\cos\beta+1) & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\sin\beta\cos\beta & \frac{1}{2}(2\cos\beta+1)(1-\cos\beta) & \frac{1}{2}\sin\beta(\cos\beta-1) \\ \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}\sin^2\beta & \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\sin\beta\cos\beta & \frac{1}{2}(3\cos^2\beta-1) & -\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\sin\beta\cos\beta & \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}\sin^2\beta \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sin\beta(\cos\beta-1) & \frac{1}{2}(2\cos\beta+1)(1-\cos\beta) & \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\sin\beta\cos\beta & \frac{1}{2}(2\cos\beta-1)(\cos\beta+1) & -\frac{1}{2}\sin\beta(1+\cos\beta) \\ & \sin^4(\beta/2) & -\frac{1}{2}\sin\beta(\cos\beta-1) & \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}}\sin^2\beta & \frac{1}{2}\sin\beta(1+\cos\beta) & \cos^4(\beta/2) \end{pmatrix}$$
(12)

the sum over q' is:

$$\sum_{q'=-2}^{2} e^{-iq'\pi/2} d_{q',0}^{(2)}(\omega_1 t) = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \sin^2(\omega_1 t) + i\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sin(\omega_1 t) \cos(\omega_1 t) + \frac{1}{2} (3\cos^2(\omega_1 t) - 1) + (-i)\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \sin\beta\cos(\omega_1 t) - \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \sin^2(\omega_1 t) = \frac{1}{8} \left(3e^{-2i\omega_1 t} + \left(2\sqrt{6} + 3 \right) e^{2i\omega_1 t} - 2\sqrt{6} + 2 \right)$$

The spin-bath Hamiltonian becomes:

$$\mathcal{H}_{SB}^{**}(t) = A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2) T_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2) \frac{1}{8} \left(3e^{-2i\omega_1 t} + \left(2\sqrt{6} + 3\right)e^{2i\omega_1 t} - 2\sqrt{6} + 2\right) \\ + \sqrt{2/3} \sum_{u=1,2} \sum_{j=1}^m A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^u, \mathbf{S}^j) S_z^j \left(I_z^u \cos(\omega_1 t) - I_y^u \sin(\omega_1 t) \right)$$

We are interested in how nuclear spin 1 (or 2) relaxes under conditions of spin-lock. Denote by u the spin of interest (u = 1, 2). Write down the operator corresponding to its state in terms of the basis

$$|F_u\rangle\rangle = \mathscr{Y}^{(1)q}(\mathbf{I}^u)$$

where q = -1, 0, 1. We now compute the numerator of the memory function,

$$\langle \langle F_k | \mathbf{L}^{**}(0) e^{i \tau \mathbf{L}_B} \mathbf{L}^{**}(\tau) | F_j \rangle \rangle.$$

According to Eq. (10), when a state is prepared along X, spin-locking is concerned with the evolution of the operator F_x . Therefore, three terms will contribute to the rate of thermalization of F_x :

$$\frac{\langle\langle F_x | \mathbf{L}^*(0) e^{i\tau \mathbf{L}_B} \mathbf{L}^*(\tau) | F_x \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle F_x | F_x \rangle\rangle}, \quad \frac{\langle\langle F_x | \mathbf{L}^*(0) e^{i\tau \mathbf{L}_B} \mathbf{L}^*(\tau) | F_y \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle F_y | F_y \rangle\rangle}, \quad \frac{\langle\langle F_x | \mathbf{L}^*(0) e^{i\tau \mathbf{L}_B} \mathbf{L}^*(\tau) | F_z \rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle F_z | F_z \rangle\rangle}$$

In order to illustrate the rate dependence on chirality, it will be sufficient to compute the first term only, with $F_x = I_x^u$, u = 1, 2. Starting from the commutator $\mathbf{L}^{**}(\tau)F_u \equiv [\mathcal{H}_{SB}^{**}(\tau), I_x^u]$. The nuclear-nuclear term has a commutator $[T_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2), I_x^u)]$. Using the relation $[I_x, I_y] = iI_z$ (and cyclic permutations), we find

$$[T_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2), I_x^1] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} [3I_z^1 I_z^2 - \mathbf{I}^1 \cdot \mathbf{I}^2, I_x^1] = \frac{i}{\sqrt{6}} (2I_y^1 I_z^2 + I_z^1 I_y^2)$$

and a similar expression exists for the commutator with I_x^2 (by flipping 1 and 2). The electronnuclear term has the commutator

$$[S_z^j(I_z^1\cos(\omega_1 t) - I_y^1\sin(\omega_1 t), I_x^1] = iS_z^j(I_y^1\cos(\omega_1 t) + I_z^1\sin(\omega_1 t)),$$

Acting on $\mathbf{L}^*(\tau)F$ with the bath propagator $e^{\mathbf{L}_B\tau}$ introduces a time dependence $A_{kq}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2) \rightarrow A_{kq}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2)(\tau)$. The final expression is:

$$e^{\mathbf{L}_{B}\tau}\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau)F_{u} = A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{1},\mathbf{I}^{2})(\tau)\frac{i}{8\sqrt{6}}\left[\delta_{u,1}(2I_{y}^{1}I_{z}^{2}+I_{z}^{1}I_{y}^{2})+\delta_{u,2}(2I_{y}^{2}I_{z}^{1}+I_{z}^{2}I_{y}^{1})\right]$$

$$\times\left(3e^{-2i\omega_{1}\tau}+\left(2\sqrt{6}+3\right)e^{2i\omega_{1}\tau}-2\sqrt{6}+2\right)$$

$$+i\sqrt{2/3}(I_{y}^{u}\cos(\omega_{1}\tau)+I_{z}^{u}\sin(\omega_{1}\tau))\sum_{j=1}^{m}A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{u},\mathbf{S}^{j})(\tau)S_{z}^{j}.$$

Next, we compute the inner product:

$$\frac{\langle\langle \mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(0)F_{u}|e^{\mathbf{L}_{B}\tau}\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau)F_{u}\rangle\rangle}{\langle\langle F_{u}|F_{u}\rangle\rangle} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\big[(\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(0)F_{u})^{\dagger}e^{\mathbf{L}_{B}\tau}\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau)F_{u}\big]}{\mathrm{Tr}[F_{u}^{\dagger}F_{u}]},$$

for $F_u = I_x^u$. Upon multiplying the "bra" $\langle \langle \mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(0)I_x^u |$ and the "ket" $|e^{i\mathbf{L}_B\tau}\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau)I_x^u \rangle \rangle$, which

involves taking a trace over all space, we get:

$$= C \cdot \langle A_{2,0}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2})(0) A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2})(\tau) \rangle \left(3e^{-2i\omega_{1}\tau} + \left(2\sqrt{6} + 3 \right) e^{2i\omega_{1}\tau} - 2\sqrt{6} + 2 \right) \\ + \frac{2}{3}C' \cdot \cos(\omega_{1}\tau) \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle A_{2,0}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{I}^{u}, \mathbf{S}^{j})(0) A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{u}, \mathbf{S}^{j})(\tau) \rangle_{B}.$$

where $C = \frac{1}{192} \frac{I^1(I^1+1)(2I^1+1)I^2(I^2+1)(2I^2+1)}{I^u(I^u+1)(2I^u+1)(2I^u+1)} = \frac{1}{192}I^{\tilde{u}}(I^{\tilde{u}}+1)$ and $C' = \frac{\operatorname{Tr}[(I_y^u)^2](S_z^j)^2]}{\operatorname{Tr}[(I_x^u)^2]} = \frac{1}{3}S^j(S^j+1)(2S^j+1) = \frac{1}{2}$ since $S^j = S = \frac{1}{2}$. We have used $\operatorname{Tr}\left[(I_y^1I_z^2+I_z^1I_y^2)^2\right] = 2 \cdot \frac{1}{3}I^1(I^1+1)(2I^1+1) \cdot \frac{1}{3}I^2(I^2+1)(2I^2+1)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}_{I_1,I_2}[(I_x^1)^{\dagger}I_x^1] = \operatorname{Tr}_{I_1}[I_x^{\dagger}I_x] \cdot \operatorname{Tr}_{I_2}[\mathbf{1}] = \frac{1}{3}I^1(I^1+1)(2I^1+1) \cdot (2I^2+1)$. According to Abragam ³² the bath autocorrelation functions, $\langle A_{2,0}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2)(0)A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2)(\tau) \rangle$ are computed as thermal averages, i.e.

$$\langle AA(t) \rangle_B \equiv \operatorname{tr}[\rho AA(t)], \quad \rho(\mathcal{H}_B) = \frac{\exp(-\beta_L \mathcal{H}_B)}{\operatorname{Tr}[\exp(-\beta_L \mathcal{H}_B)]},$$

which is the Boltzmann density matrix and tr denotes the partial trace over the bath degrees of freedom. β_L is the (inverse) temperature of the bath (lattice).

The spin-lock relaxation rate, $\frac{1}{T_{1\rho}}$, is therefore equal to:

$$\frac{1}{T_{1\rho}} = \sum_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \langle F_{k} | \mathbf{L}^{*}(0) e^{i\tau \mathbf{L}_{B}} \mathbf{L}^{*}(\tau) | F_{j} \rangle \rangle}{\langle \langle F_{j} | F_{j} \rangle \rangle} d\tau$$
$$= \underbrace{3C \left[3J_{II}(-2\omega_{1}) + (2\sqrt{6} + 3)J_{II}(2\omega_{1}) + 2(1 - \sqrt{6})J_{II}(0) \right] + \frac{3}{2}C'J_{IS}^{c}(\omega_{1})}_{XX} + XZ + XZ.$$

where XY corresponds to the $\langle \langle F_x^u | \cdot | F_y^u \rangle \rangle$ term and XZ, to the $\langle \langle F_x^u | \cdot | F_z^u \rangle \rangle$ term. The spectral density functions are:

$$J_{II}(\omega) = \int_0^\infty \langle A_{2,0}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2)(0) A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2)(\tau) \rangle_B e^{i\omega\tau} d\tau,$$
$$J_{IS}^c(\omega) = \int_0^\infty \langle A_{2,0}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{I}^u, \mathbf{S}^j)(0) A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^u, \mathbf{S}^j)(\tau) \rangle_B \cos(\omega\tau) d\tau,$$

which was assumed, for simplicity, to be independent of u and j. The homonuclear spectral density function $J_{II}(\omega)$, and by extension, $T_{1\rho}$, is enantiospecific, since the $A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2)(\tau)$'s depend on the tensor components $\sum_{\beta,\beta'} M^{\alpha\beta}_{1,\beta}(\tilde{n}', \tilde{n}) M^{\alpha'\beta'}_{2,\beta'}(\tilde{n}, \tilde{n}')$, which are themselves enantiospecific.

Heteronuclear Case The heteronuclear case is widely used in NMR to transfer polarization from high-gamma abundant nuclei to low-gamma dilute spins. The spin operators I_1 and I_2 now refer to different nuclei (e.g. ¹H and ¹³C). The Zeeman Hamiltonian is now $\mathcal{H}_Z = \omega_{0,1}I_z^1 + \omega_{0,2}I_z^2 + \omega_S \sum_l S_z^l + \omega_{1,1}I_x^1 \cos(\omega_{0,1}t) + \omega_{1,2}I_x^2 \cos(\omega_{0,2}t)$. The Hartmann-Hahn condition corresponds to $\omega_{1,1} = \omega_{1,2}$, where $\omega_{1,1} = \gamma_{I_1}B_{1,1}$ and $\omega_{1,2} = \gamma_{I_2}B_{1,2}$. The operator $\omega_{0,1}I_z^1 + \omega_{0,2}I_z^2 + \omega_S \sum_l S_z^l$ is used to effect a transformation to the "interaction representation". In the secular (rotating-wave) approximation this leaves $\mathcal{H}_Z^* = \omega_{1,1}I_x^1 + \omega_{1,2}I_x^2$. We will limit our discussion to the heteronuclear I - I term. The spin-bath Hamiltonian in the rotating frame, \mathcal{H}_{SB}^* is:

$$\mathcal{H}_{SB}^{*}(t) = A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2})T_{2,0}^{*}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2})$$

where $T_{2,0}^*(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2) = \sqrt{2/3}I_z^1 I_z^2$. Terms oscillating at $\omega_{0,1} - \omega_{0,2}$ or higher frequency have been dropped.

To eliminate the remaining Zeeman term, $\mathcal{H}_Z^* = \omega_{1,1}I_x^1 + \omega_{1,2}I_x^2$ from the Hamiltonian we effect another transformation to a second interaction frame using this residual Zeeman term (see Section "Appendix: Interaction Representation for Spin-Lock Experiment (Review)"). Using the rule

$$T_{2,0}^{*}(\mathbf{I}^{1},\mathbf{I}^{2}) \to \sqrt{2/3} \left(I_{z}^{1} \cos(\omega_{1,1}\tau) - I_{y}^{1} \sin(\omega_{1,1}\tau) \right) \left(I_{z}^{2} \cos(\omega_{1,2}\tau) - I_{y}^{2} \sin(\omega_{1,2}\tau) \right),$$

the spin-bath Hamiltonian in this double interaction representation is therefore:

$$\mathcal{H}_{SB}^{**}(\tau) = \sqrt{2/3} A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2) \left(I_z^1 \cos(\omega_{1,1}\tau) - I_y^1 \sin(\omega_{1,1}\tau) \right) \left(I_z^2 \cos(\omega_{1,2}\tau) - I_y^2 \sin(\omega_{1,2}\tau) \right).$$

Commuting this with I_x^u , u = 1, 2:

$$\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau) I_x^u = \sqrt{2/3} A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2)(\tau) \left[\left(I_z^1 \cos(\omega_{1,1}\tau) - I_y^1 \sin(\omega_{1,1}\tau) \right) \left(I_z^2 \cos(\omega_{1,2}\tau) - I_y^2 \sin(\omega_{1,2}\tau) \right), I_x^u \right] \\ = i \sqrt{2/3} A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2)(\tau) \left(I_y^u \cos(\omega_{1,u}\tau) + I_z^u \sin(\omega_{1,u}\tau) \right) \left(I_z^{\tilde{u}} \cos(\omega_{1,\tilde{u}}\tau) - I_y^{\tilde{u}} \sin(\omega_{1,\tilde{u}}\tau) \right).$$

where $\tilde{u} = 3 - u$ (i.e. $u = 1 \rightarrow \tilde{u} = 2$ and $u = 2 \rightarrow \tilde{u} = 1$). Acting on $\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau)I_x^u$ with the bath propagator $e^{\mathbf{L}_B\tau}$ introduces a time dependence $A_{kq}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2) \rightarrow A_{kq}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2)(\tau)$. The final expression is:

$$e^{\mathbf{L}_{B}\tau}\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau)I_{x}^{u}$$

= $i\sqrt{2/3}A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{1},\mathbf{I}^{2})(\tau)\left(I_{y}^{u}\cos(\omega_{1,u}\tau)+I_{z}^{u}\sin(\omega_{1,u}\tau)\right)\left(I_{z}^{\tilde{u}}\cos(\omega_{1,\tilde{u}}\tau)-I_{y}^{\tilde{u}}\sin(\omega_{1,\tilde{u}}\tau)\right).$

Next, we compute the inner product by multiplying the "bra" $\langle \langle \mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(0)I_x^u \rangle^{\dagger} |$ and the "ket" $|e^{i\mathbf{L}_B\tau}\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau)I_x^u \rangle \rangle$,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\langle \langle \mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(0)I_{x}^{u}, e^{\mathbf{L}_{B^{\tau}}}\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau)I_{x}^{u}\rangle\rangle}{\langle \langle I_{x}^{u}|I_{x}^{u}\rangle\rangle} &= \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\big[(\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(0)I_{x}^{u})^{\dagger}e^{\mathbf{L}_{B^{\tau}}}\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau)I_{x}^{u}\big]}{\mathrm{Tr}[(I_{x}^{u})^{\dagger}U_{x}^{u}]} \\ &= \frac{2}{3}\langle A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2})(0)A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2})(\tau)\rangle_{B}\cos(\omega_{1,u}\tau)\cos(\omega_{1,\tilde{u}}\tau)\cdot\frac{1}{3}I^{\tilde{u}}(I^{\tilde{u}}+1) \\ &= \frac{1}{3}\langle A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2})(0)A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2})(\tau)\rangle_{B}[1+\cos(2\omega_{1}\tau)]\cdot\frac{1}{3}I^{\tilde{u}}(I^{\tilde{u}}+1), \end{split}$$

where we used $\frac{\text{Tr}[(I_y^u)^2(I_z^{\tilde{u}})^2]}{\text{Tr}[(I_x^u)^2]} = \frac{\frac{1}{3}I^u(I^u+1)(2I^u+1)\cdot\frac{1}{3}I^{\tilde{u}}(I^{\tilde{u}}+1)(2I^{\tilde{u}}+1)}{\frac{1}{3}I^u(I^u+1)(2I^u+1)\cdot(2I^{\tilde{u}}+1)} = \frac{1}{3}I^{\tilde{u}}(I^{\tilde{u}}+1).$ The last line follows

from the Hartmann-Hahn condition. Commuting with I_y^u , u = 1, 2:

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau)I_y^u &= \left[\left(I_z^1 \cos(\omega_{1,1}\tau) - I_y^1 \sin(\omega_{1,1}\tau) \right) \left(I_z^2 \cos(\omega_{1,2}\tau) - I_y^2 \sin(\omega_{1,2}\tau) \right), I_y^u \right] \\ &= -iI_x^u \cos(\omega_{1,u}\tau) \left(I_z^{\tilde{u}} \cos(\omega_{1,\tilde{u}}\tau) - I_y^{\tilde{u}} \sin(\omega_{1,\tilde{u}}\tau) \right). \end{split}$$

Similarly, we find:

$$\frac{\langle \langle \mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(0)I_y^u, e^{\mathbf{L}_{B^{\tau}}}\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau)I_x^u \rangle \rangle}{\langle \langle I_y^u | I_y^u \rangle \rangle} = \frac{1}{3} \langle A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2)(0)A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^1, \mathbf{I}^2)(\tau) \rangle_B [1 + \cos(2\omega_1\tau)] \cdot \frac{1}{3} I^{\tilde{u}}(I^{\tilde{u}} + 1).$$

Finally, commutation with I_z^u , u = 1, 2:

$$\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau)I_z^u = \left[\left(I_z^1 \cos(\omega_{1,1}\tau) - I_y^1 \sin(\omega_{1,1}\tau) \right) \left(I_z^2 \cos(\omega_{1,2}\tau) - I_y^2 \sin(\omega_{1,2}\tau) \right), I_z^u \right]$$
$$= -iI_x^u \sin(\omega_{1,u}\tau) \left(I_z^{\tilde{u}} \cos(\omega_{1,\tilde{u}}\tau) - I_y^{\tilde{u}} \sin(\omega_{1,\tilde{u}}\tau) \right)$$

whereas

$$\frac{\langle \langle \mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(0)I_{z}^{u}|e^{\mathbf{L}_{B}\tau}\mathbf{L}_{SB}^{**}(\tau)I_{x}^{u}\rangle\rangle}{\langle \langle I_{z}^{u}|I_{z}^{u}\rangle\rangle} = 0,$$

because sin(0) = 0. The heteronuclear spin-lock relaxation rate for spin u is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T_{1\rho}^{u}} &= \sum_{j} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \langle F_{k} | \mathbf{L}^{*}(0) e^{i\tau \mathbf{L}_{B}} \mathbf{L}^{*}(\tau) | F_{j} \rangle \rangle}{\langle \langle F_{j} | F_{j} \rangle \rangle} d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{2}{3} I^{\tilde{u}} (I^{\tilde{u}} + 1) \int_{0}^{\infty} \langle A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2})(0) A_{2,0}(\mathbf{I}^{1}, \mathbf{I}^{2})(\tau) \rangle_{B} [1 + \cos(2\omega_{1}\tau)] d\tau \\ &= \frac{2}{9} I^{\tilde{u}} (I^{\tilde{u}} + 1) [J_{II}(0) + J_{II}^{c}(2\omega_{1})], \end{aligned}$$

which is enantiospecific through the dependence of spectral density functions on chirality.

Relationship to the Cross-Polarization Experiment We have demonstrated the existence of an effective nuclear spin-spin indirect coupling of the type $I^1 \cdot F \cdot I^2$, where the coupling tensor F is enantiospecific. This has consequences for the cross-polarization (CP) experiment. For a more detailed exposé of the theory of CP in solids, the reader can consult Ref. (⁵⁶). We will limit our discussion to the case of cross-polarization in non-rotating solids. In the CP experiment, one considers two nuclei I^1 and I^2 , each with their inverse spin temperature (β_{I1} , β_{I2} respectively). The spin temperatures evolve according to the sum of cross-polarization rate (T_{I1-I2}^{-1}) and rotating-frame relaxation ($T_{I\rho}^{-1}$) terms:

$$\dot{\beta}_{I2} = -\frac{1}{T_{I1-I2}}(\beta_{I2} - \beta_{I1}) - \frac{1}{T_{1\rho,I2}}\beta_{I2}$$
$$\dot{\beta}_{I1} = -\frac{1}{T_{I1-I2}}(\beta_{I1} - \beta_{I2}) - \frac{1}{T_{1\rho,I1}}\beta_{I1}.$$

When the initial conditions are $\beta_{I1}(0) = \beta_{I1,0}$ and $\beta_{I2}(0) = 0$, the spin systems evolve according to

$$\beta_{I1}(t) = \beta_{I1,0} \exp(-t/T_{1\rho,I1})$$
$$\beta_{I2}(t) = (\beta_{I1,0}/\lambda) \exp(-t/T_{1\rho,I1})[1 - \exp(-t/T_{I1-I2})]$$

where

$$\lambda = 1 + \frac{T_{I^1 - I^2}}{T_{1\rho, I2}} - \frac{T_{I1 - I2}}{T_{1\rho, I1}}$$

The I^2 spin magnetization reaches a maximum at time t_m :

$$t_m = \frac{T_{I1-I2} \cdot T_{1\rho,I1}}{T_{1\rho,I1} - T_{I1-I2}} \log \frac{T_{1\rho,I1}}{T_{I1-I2}}.$$

The asymptotic value of I_2 spin magnetization the asymptotic value is

$$\frac{M_{I2}(t \to \infty)}{M_{I2,0}} \cong \frac{\gamma_{I1}}{\gamma_{I2}}$$

It can be shown that at the Harmann-Hahn condition the CP rate, $(T_{I1-I2})^{-1}$, is:

$$\frac{1}{T_{I1-I2}} = \frac{3}{2} M_2^{I1-I2} \left(\frac{2\pi}{5M_2^{I1}}\right)^{1/2} \cong 1.681 \cdot \frac{M_2^{I1-I2}}{(M_2^{I1})^{1/2}}$$

where M_2^{I1} and M_2^{I1-I2} are the Van Vleck second moments (in units of s⁻²) for the I^1 and $I^1 - I^2$ spin systems, respectively (see Abragam ³² and Stejskal & Memory ³¹). The I^1 spin system generally refers to I^1 spins interacting with the lattice. For example, it could be due to homonuclear I^1 - I^1 spin-spin interactions. The $I^1 - I^2$ spin system, on the other hand, refers to the $I^1 - I^2$ heteronuclear interaction, which occurs through the tensor **F**. The second moment M_2^{I1-I2} is enantiospecific because it is proportional to the trace, tr{ $[\mathbf{I}^1 \cdot \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{I}^{2**}, I_x]^2$ }, where $I_x = I_x^1 + I_x^2$ and $\mathbf{I}^1 \cdot \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{I}^{2**}$ is the indirect nuclear spin-spin interaction in the spin lock frame (**) and the

components of the tensor **F** are enantiospecific (see Section "Text S2. Enantiospecificity in Cross-Polarization"). Therefore, the CP rate $(T_{I^1-I^2})^{-1}$ and time at maximum t_m both depend on chirality through the components of the **F** tensor.

Appendix: Interaction Representation for Spin-Lock Experiment (Review) This is a review of a well known procedure in quantum mechanics. The usual transformation to the interaction representation proceeds by decomposing the Liouvillian as: $L(t) = L_0(t) + L_V(t)$ (with '0' referring to the unperturbed part and 'V' to the perturbation), the Heisenberg equation of motion is

$$\frac{dA(t)}{dt} = \left[\mathbf{L}_0(t) + \mathbf{L}_V(t)\right]A(t).$$

The transformed operators are:

$$\tilde{A} = \overrightarrow{T} \exp\left(-\int_0^t d\tau \mathbf{L}_0(\tau)\right) A, \qquad \tilde{\mathbf{L}} = \overrightarrow{T} \exp\left(-\int_0^t d\tau \mathbf{L}_0(\tau)\right) \mathbf{L}_0(\tau)$$

where \overrightarrow{T} is the Dyson time-ordering operator ⁵⁵ going from left to right, with the most recent (in time) Liouvillian to the right. These transformations are anti-causal. The (total) time-derivative evaluated at the most recent time point is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\tilde{A}}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt} \overrightarrow{T} \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{t} d\tau \mathbf{L}_{0}(\tau)\right) A \\ &= -\overrightarrow{T} \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{t} d\tau \mathbf{L}_{0}(\tau)\right) \mathbf{L}_{0}(t) A + \overrightarrow{T} \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{t} d\tau \mathbf{L}_{0}(\tau)\right) \left[\mathbf{L}_{0}(t) A + \mathbf{L}_{V}(t) A(t)\right] \\ &= \widetilde{\mathbf{L}}_{V}(t) \widetilde{A}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in a rotating frame of reference, a Liouville equation

$$\frac{d\tilde{A}}{dt} = \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_V \tilde{A}$$

holds, where \tilde{L}_V is the perturbation part of the Liouvillian expressed in the rotating frame. It is also possible to effect yet another transformation to a second frame by decomposing the time derivative

 $\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_V$ further:

$$\tilde{\mathsf{L}}_V = \tilde{\mathsf{L}}_1 + \tilde{\mathsf{L}}_2,$$

where \tilde{L}_1 is the part corresponding to the RF field and \tilde{L}_2 is the remaining "internal" part of the Liouvillian (e.g. spin-spin interactions). Consider the transformation

$$\hat{\tilde{A}} = \overrightarrow{T} \exp\left(-\int_0^t \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_1(t')dt'\right)\tilde{A},$$

where \overrightarrow{T} is the Dyson time-ordering operator ⁵⁵, which places the most recent events to the right. Then,

$$\frac{d\hat{\tilde{A}}(t)}{dt} = \hat{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}}_2 \hat{\tilde{A}}(t).$$

The solution is,

$$\hat{\tilde{A}}(t) = \overrightarrow{T} \exp\left(\int_0^t \hat{\mathbf{L}}_2(t')dt'\right)\hat{\tilde{A}}(0)$$

where

$$\hat{\tilde{\mathbf{L}}}_2 = \overrightarrow{T} \exp\left(-\int_0^t \tilde{\mathbf{L}}_1(t')dt'\right)\tilde{\mathbf{L}}_2,$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{L}}_2 = \overrightarrow{T} \exp\left(-\int_0^t d\tau \mathbf{L}_0(\tau)\right) \mathbf{L}_2.$$

1 Text S3. J Coupling Stereochemical Deviations (DFT) for Amino Acids

The theoretical investigations into the NMR J couplings in various amino acids were conducted by DFT using the ORCA *ab initio* quantum chemistry software package ⁴⁹. The amino acids selected for this study are alanine, arginine, aspartic acid, cysteine, glutamic acid, glutamine, glyceraldehyde (non-amino acid), methionine, phenylalanine, serine, threonine, tyrosine and valine. Differences in NMR J couplings between (D, L) enantiomers were quantified using the J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2. Nonzero values of this relative difference constitute evidence of chiral selectivity of the scalar coupling. J couplings between ¹H and ¹³C nuclei were computed. The results are shown in (Supplementary) Figs. 5-16 below. The raw data is provided in the form of tables in Section "Text S4" below.



Figure 5: J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for phenylalanine. The atom labels are "C1", "C2", "C3", "C4", "C5", "C6", "H1", "H2", "H3", "H4", "H5", "H6", "H7", "H8", "H9", "H10", "H11".

2 Text S4. Raw Data for J Couplings (DFT) in Amino Acids

This section contains numerical values used to generate plots in the previous section. Raw J coupling values are also provided. Specifically, we provide numerical values for the differences in NMR J couplings between (D, L) enantiomers can be quantified using the J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L)-J(D)]/[J(L)+J(D)]/2. Nonzero values of this relative difference constitute



Figure 6: J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for arginine. The atom labels are "C1", "C2", "C3", "C4", "C5", "C6", "H1", "H2", "H3", "H4", "H5", "H6", "H7", "H8", "H9", "H10", "H11", "H12", "H13", "H14".

evidence of chiral selectivity of the scalar coupling. J couplings between ¹H and ¹³C nuclei were computed by DFT using ORCA for the amino acids: alanine, arginine, aspartic acid, cysteine, glu-tamic acid, glutamine, glyceraldehyde, methionine, phenylalanine, serine, threonine, tyrosine and valine. The results are shown³ in Supplementary Tables 2-40.

References

³In the tables, "0." along the diagonal indicates the absence of a J coupling between the same atom (self-coupling). Off-diagonal entries marked with 0. represent indeterminate J-couplings. These values were flagged by ORCA due to limitations in its ability to reliably calculate certain constants under specific molecular conditions. "0.00" indicates a number smaller than 0.01. Also, "-0.01" indicates a similarly small number, but negative.

Alanine	C1	C2	C3	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7
C1	0.	-0.13	0.07	0.03	-0.59	0.56	-0.08	-0.31	-0.10	0.13
C2	-0.13	0.	0.08	-0.37	-0.03	-0.01	0.02	-1.11	-5.24	-0.03
C3	0.07	0.08	0.	0.24	-1.67	1.77	-0.02	1.64	0.86	-0.01
H1	0.03	-0.37	0.24	0.	-0.84	0.50	-0.04	-1.88	-0.15	7.39
H2	-0.59	-0.03	-1.67	-0.84	0.	0.06	-0.02	2.17	0.84	-0.49
H3	0.56	-0.01	1.77	0.50	0.06	0.	-0.05	-2.42	-0.47	0.79
H4	-0.08	0.02	-0.02	-0.04	-0.02	-0.05	0.	0.5	1.17	-0.96
H5	-0.31	-1.11	1.64	-1.88	2.17	-2.42	0.5	0.	-0.19	-2.
H6	-0.10	-5.24	0.86	-0.15	0.84	-0.47	1.17	-0.19	0.	-0.70
H7	0.13	-0.03	-0.01	7.39	-0.49	0.79	-0.96	-2.	-0.70	0.

Table 2: Numerical values of the fractional deviation [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for alanine.

D-alanine	C1	C2	C3	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7
C1	0.	127.25	166.61	169.27	-6.62	-3.6	-6.83	-5.26	-5.96	7.23
C2	127.25	0.	-9.22	-8.51	180.78	178.40	172.88	11.30	1.39	-0.45
C3	166.61	-9.22	0.	-6.96	9.89	0.59	2.30	1.12	1.56	-7.61
H1	169.27	-8.51	-6.96	0.	5.40	3.24	13.68	2.43	15.63	-0.31
H2	-6.62	180.78	9.89	5.40	0.	-13.56	-13.69	0.02	-0.27	-0.15
H3	-3.56	178.40	0.59	3.23	-13.56	0.	-13.90	3.67	-0.40	-0.02
H4	-6.83	172.88	2.30	13.68	-13.69	-13.90	0.	-0.20	-0.04	0.14
H5	-5.26	11.30	1.12	2.43	0.02	3.67	-0.20	0.	-11.71	-0.08
H6	-5.96	1.39	1.56	15.63	-0.27	-0.40	-0.04	-11.71	0.	-0.21
H7	7.23	-0.45	-7.61	-0.31	-0.15	-0.03	0.14	-0.08	-0.21	0.

Table 3: Raw values of J couplings in D-alanine.



Figure 7: J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for aspartic acid. The atoms labels are "C1", "C2", "C3", "C4", "H1", "H2", "H3", "H4", "H5", "H6", "H7".

- 1. Ray, K., Ananthavel, S., Waldeck, D. & Naaman, R. Asymmetric scattering of polarized electrons by organized organic films of chiral molecules. Science **283**, 814–816 (1999).
- Aiello, C. D. <u>et al.</u> A chirality-based quantum leap. <u>ACS Nano</u> 16, 4989–5035 (2022). URL https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.1c01347.
- M. Ernst, R. E., C. Griesinger & Bermel, W. Optimized heteronuclear cross polarization in liquids. <u>Molecular Physics</u> 74, 219–252 (1991). URL https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00268979100102191. https://doi.org/10.1080/00268979100102191.
- 4. Santos, J. <u>et al.</u> Chirality-induced electron spin polarization and enantiospecific response in solid-state cross-polarization nuclear magnetic resonance. ACS nano **12**, 11426–11433



Figure 8: J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for cysteine. The atom labels are "C1", "C2", "C3", "H1", "H2", "H3", "H4", "H5", "H6", "H7".

(2018).

- San Sebastian, E. <u>et al.</u> Enantiospecific response in cross-polarization solidstate nuclear magnetic resonance of optically active metal organic frameworks. Journal of the American Chemical Society 142, 17989–17996 (2020).
- Venkatesh, A., Wijesekara, A. V., O'Dell, L. A. & Rossini, A. J. Comment on "chirality-induced electron spin polarization and enantiospecific response in solid-state crosspolarization nuclear magnetic resonance". <u>ACS nano</u> 13, 6130–6132 (2019).
- 7. Buckingham, A. D. Chirality in NMR spectroscopy. Chemical physics letters 398, 1–5 (2004).



Figure 9: J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for glutamic acid. The atom labels are "C1", "C2", "C3", "C4", "C5", "H1", "H2", "H3", "H4", "H5", "H6", "H7", "H8", "H9".

- Wenzel, T. J., Seco, J. M., Quiñoá, E. & Riguera, R. The assignment of the absolute configuration by nmr using chiral derivatizing agents: a practical guide. <u>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</u> 407, 8381–8382 (2015). URL https://doi. org/10.1007/s00216-015-9062-y.
- Silva, M. S. Recent advances in multinuclear nmr spectroscopy for chiral recognition of organic compounds. Molecules 22, 247, 21pp (2017).
- 10. Sugiura, M., Kimura, A. & Fujiwara, H. Discrimination of enantiomers by means of nmr spectroscopy using chiral liquid crystalline solution: application to triazole fungicides, uni-



Figure 10: J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for glutamine. The atom labels are "C1", "C2", "C3", "C4", "C5", "H1", "H2", "H3", "H4", "H5", "H6", "H7", "H8", "H9", "H10".

conazole and diniconazole. Magnetic Resonance in Chemistry 44, 121-126 (2006).

- Parker, D. Excitement in f block: structure, dynamics and function of nine-coordinate chiral lanthanide complexes in aqueous media. Chemical Society Reviews 33, 156–165 (2004).
- 12. Parker, D. Nmr determination of enantiomeric purity. <u>Chemical Reviews</u> 91, 1441–1457 (1991).
- Parker, D., Dickins, R. S., Puschmann, H., Crossland, C. & Howard, J. A. Being excited by lanthanide coordination complexes: aqua species, chirality, excited-state chemistry, and exchange dynamics. Chemical reviews 102, 1977–2010 (2002).



Figure 11: J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for glyceraldehyde. The atom labels are "C1", "C2", "C3", "H1", "H2", "H3", "H4", "H5", "H6".

- 14. Morrill, T. C. Lanthanide shift reagents in stereochemical analysis (VCH Verlagsgesellschaft, Weinheim, 1986). URL https://api.semanticscholar.org/ CorpusID:92955168.
- 15. Garbacz, P. & Buckingham, A. Chirality-sensitive nuclear magnetic resonance effects induced by indirect spin-spin coupling. <u>The Journal of Chemical Physics</u> **145**, 204201 (2016).
- Buckingham, A. D. Communication: permanent dipoles contribute to electric polarization in chiral NMR spectra. <u>The Journal of Chemical Physics</u> 140, 011103 (2014).
- Buckingham, A. & Fischer, P. Direct chiral discrimination in NMR spectroscopy. Chemical Physics 324, 111–116 (2006).



Figure 12: J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for methionine. The atom labels are "C1", "C2", "C3", "C4", "C5", "H1", "H2", "H3", "H4", "H5", "H6", "H7", "H8", "H9", "H10", "H11".

- Walls, J. D., Harris, R. A. & Jameson, C. J. Measuring chirality in NMR in the presence of a static electric field. The Journal of Chemical Physics 128, 154502 (2008).
- Harris, R. & Jameson, C. J. A note on chirality in NMR spectroscopy. The Journal of chemical physics 124, 096101 (2006).
- 20. Lafrance, A.-A., Girard, M. & Bryce, D. L. Solid-state nmr spectra of amino acid enantiomers and their relative intensities. <u>Solid State Nuclear Magnetic Resonance</u> **131**, 101925 (2024).
- Pines, A., Gibby, M. G. & Waugh, J. Proton-enhanced NMR of dilute spins in solids. The Journal of chemical physics 59, 569–590 (1973).



Figure 13: J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for serine. The atom labels are "C1", "C2", "C3", "H1", "H2", "H3", "H4", "H5", "H6", "H7".

- Pines, A., Gibby, M. & Waugh, J. Proton-enhanced nuclear induction spectroscopy. a method for high resolution NMR of dilute spins in solids. <u>The Journal of Chemical Physics</u> 56, 1776– 1777 (1972).
- Pines, A., Gibby, M. & Waugh, J. Proton-enhanced nuclear induction spectroscopy ¹³C chemical shielding anisotropy in some organic solids. Chemical Physics Letters 15, 373–376 (1972).
- 24. Hartmann, S. & Hahn, E. Nuclear double resonance in the rotating frame. <u>Physical Review</u> 128, 2042 (1962).
- 25. Baldus, M., Petkova, A. T., Herzfeld, J. & Griffin, R. G. Cross polarization in the tilted frame: assignment and spectral simplification in heteronuclear spin systems. Molecular Physics **95**,



Figure 14: J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for threonine. The atom labels are "C1", "C2", "C3", "C4", "H1", "H2", "H3", "H4", "H5", "H6", "H7", "H8", "H9".

1197-1207 (1998).

- Lewandowski, J. R., De Paëpe, G. & Griffin, R. G. Proton assisted insensitive nuclei cross polarization. Journal of the American Chemical Society 129, 728–729 (2007).
- Ashbrook, S. E., Brown, S. P. & Wimperis, S. Multiple-quantum cross-polarization in MAS NMR of quadrupolar nuclei. Chemical physics letters 288, 509–517 (1998).
- Ashbrook, S. E. & Wimperis, S. Multiple-quantum cross-polarization and two-dimensional MQMAS NMR of quadrupolar nuclei. Journal of Magnetic Resonance 147, 238–249 (2000).



Figure 15: J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for tyrosine. The atom labels are "C1", "C2", "C3", "C4", "C5", "C6", "C7", "C8", "C9", "H1", "H2", "H3", "H4", "H5", "H6", "H7", "H8", "H9", "H10", "H11".

- Rovnyak, D., Baldus, M. & Griffin, R. G. Multiple-quantum cross polarization in quadrupolar spin systems during magic-angle spinning. <u>Journal of Magnetic Resonance</u> 142, 145–152 (2000).
- Rovnyak, D. Tutorial on analytic theory for cross-polarization in solid state NMR.
 Concepts in Magnetic Resonance Part A: An Educational Journal 32, 254–276 (2008).
- Stejskal, E. & Memory, J. High resolution NMR in the solid state: fundamentals of CP/MAS, 1st edition. <u>Oxford University Press</u> (1994).
- 32. Abragam, A. The principles of nuclear magnetism (Oxford university press, 1961).


Figure 16: J coupling stereochemical deviation, [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for value. The atom labels are "C1", "C2", "C3", "C4", "C5", "H1", "H2", "H3", "H4", "H5", "H6", "H7", "H8", "H9", "H10", "H11".

- Goldman, M. Spin temperature and nuclear magnetic resonance in solids, 1st ed. Clarendon Press (1970).
- Hahn, E. & Maxwell, D. Chemical shift and field independent frequency modulation of the spin echo envelope. Physical Review 84, 1246–1247 (1951).
- Gutowsky, H., McCall, D. & Slichter, C. Coupling among nuclear magnetic dipoles in molecules. Physical Review 84, 589–590 (1951).
- Slichter, C. <u>Principles of magnetic resonance, Corrected 3rd printing</u>, vol. 1 of Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences (Springer, 1996).

- 37. Bloembergen, N. & Rowland, T. Nuclear spin exchange in solids: Tl 203 and Tl 205 magnetic resonance in thallium and thallic oxide. Physical Review **97**, 1679 (1955).
- Varela, S., Mujica, V. & Medina, E. Effective spin-orbit couplings in an analytical tightbinding model of dna: Spin filtering and chiral spin transport. <u>Physical Review B</u> 93, 155436 (2016).
- López, A., Varela, S. & Medina, E. Radiation modulated spin coupling in a double-stranded dna model. <u>Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter</u> 34, 135301 (2022).
- 40. Duma, L. <u>et al.</u> Principles of spin-echo modulation by J-couplings in magic-angle-spinning solid-state NMR. ChemPhysChem **5**, 815–833 (2004).
- 41. Mifsud, N., Elena, B., Pickard, C. J., Lesage, A. & Emsley, L. Assigning powders to crystal structures by high-resolution ¹H–¹H double quantum and ¹H–¹³C J-INEPT solidstate NMR spectroscopy and first principles computation. a case study of penicillin G. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics 8, 3418–3422 (2006).
- Duma, L., Hediger, S., Lesage, A. & Emsley, L. Spin-state selection in solid-state NMR. Journal of Magnetic Resonance 164, 187–195 (2003).
- Lesage, A. <u>et al.</u> Experimental aspects of proton NMR spectroscopy in solids using phasemodulated homonuclear dipolar decoupling. <u>Journal of Magnetic Resonance</u> 163, 105–113 (2003).
- 44. Sakellariou, D., Lesage, A. & Emsley, L. Spectral editing in solid-state NMR using scalar

multiple quantum filters. Journal of Magnetic Resonance 151, 40–47 (2001).

- 45. Lesage, A. & Emsley, L. Through-bond heteronuclear single-quantum correlation spectroscopy in solid-state NMR, and comparison to other through-bond and through-space experiments. Journal of Magnetic Resonance **148**, 449–454 (2001).
- Salager, E. <u>et al.</u> Enhanced sensitivity in high-resolution ¹H solid-state NMR spectroscopy with DUMBO dipolar decoupling under ultra-fast MAS. <u>Chemical Physics Letters</u> 469, 336–341 (2009).
- 47. Vardi, O. <u>et al.</u> Nuclear spin effects in biological processes.
 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 120, e2300828120 (2023).
- 48. Neese, F., Wennmohs, F., Becker, U. & Riplinger, C. The orca quantum chemistry program package. The Journal of chemical physics **152** (2020).
- 49. Cremer, D. & Gräfenstein, J. Calculation and analysis of nmr spin-spin coupling constants.
 <u>Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.</u> 9, 2791–2816 (2007). URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/ B700737J.
- 50. Lee, C., Yang, W. & Parr, R. G. Development of the colle-salvetti correlation-energy formula into a functional of the electron density. <u>Phys. Rev. B</u> 37, 785–789 (1988). URL https: //link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevB.37.785.
- Safi, Z. S. & Wazzan, N. DFT calculations of ¹H-and ¹³C-NMR chemical shifts of 3-methyl-1-phenyl-4-(phenyldiazenyl)-¹H-pyrazol-5-amine in solution. <u>Scientific Reports</u> 12, 17798

(2022).

- Jensen, F. Segmented contracted basis sets optimized for nuclear magnetic shielding. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 11, 132–138 (2015).
- 53. Löwdin, P.-O. A note on the quantum-mechanical perturbation theory. The Journal of Chemical Physics **19**, 1396–1401 (1951).
- Wang, K. DNA-based single-molecule electronics: from concept to function.
 Journal of functional biomaterials 9, 8, 31pp (2018).
- 55. Haeberlen, U. <u>High Resolution NMR in Solids: Selective Averaging</u> (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
- Michel, D. & Engelke, F. Cross-polarization, relaxation times and spin-diffusion in rotating solids. Solid-State NMR III Organic Matter 32, 69–125 (1994).

L-alanine	C1	C2	C3	H1	H2	HЗ	H4	H5	H6	H7
C1	0.	111.56	179.38	175.18	-3.61	-6.31	-6.30	-3.85	-5.42	8.21
C2	111.56	0.	-9.94	-5.86	175.44	177.06	175.73	3.25	-0.62	-0.44
C3	179.38	-9.94	0.	-8.84	0.90	9.85	2.26	11.27	3.90	-7.56
H1	175.18	-5.86	-8.84	0.	2.22	5.41	13.11	0.08	13.42	0.54
H2	-3.61	175.44	0.90	2.22	0.	-14.38	-13.42	-0.45	-0.67	-0.09
H3	-6.31	177.06	9.85	5.41	-14.38	0.	-13.23	-0.35	-0.25	-0.06
H4	-6.30	175.73	2.26	13.11	-13.42	-13.23	0.	-0.34	-0.16	0.05
H5	-3.85	3.25	11.27	0.08	-0.45	-0.35	-0.34	0.	-9.69	0.
H6	-5.42	-0.62	3.90	13.42	-0.67	-0.25	-0.16	-9.69	0.	-0.10
H7	8.21	-0.44	-7.56	0.54	-0.09	-0.06	0.05	0.	-0.10	0.

Table 4: Raw values of J couplings in L-alanine.

Arginine	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	H1	H2	H3	H4
C1	0.	-0.01	-0.04	-0.01	-0.12	-0.02	-0.03	0.03	0.06	0.00
C2	-0.01	0.	0.21	-0.01	0.72	-0.02	0.01	-0.08	0.01	0.00
C3	-0.04	0.21	0.	-0.27	-0.09	0.	-0.73	0.02	-1.12	0.71
C4	-0.01	-0.01	-0.27	0.	-0.45	-0.03	0.85	-0.61	0.04	-0.01
C5	-0.12	0.72	-0.09	-0.45	0.	0.	-1.11	-0.11	0.06	-1.61
C6	-0.02	-0.02	0.	-0.03	0.	0.	0.18	-0.19	-0.01	-2.
H1	-0.03	0.01	-0.73	0.85	-1.11	0.18	0.	0.04	0.01	-0.52
H2	0.03	-0.08	0.02	-0.61	-0.11	-0.19	0.04	0.	0.52	0.01
H3	0.06	0.01	-1.12	0.04	0.06	-0.01	0.01	0.52	0.	-0.01
H4	0.00	0.00	0.71	-0.01	-1.61	-2.	-0.52	0.01	-0.01	0.
H5	0.44	1.51	-0.01	-1.14	0.61	0.	-1.14	0.22	1.06	0.62
H6	0.02	-0.00	-0.28	0.00	0.	-0.03	-0.20	-0.12	-0.07	0.01
H7	0.00	-0.04	0.04	0.00	0.	-0.00	-0.37	0.77	0.05	-0.02
H8	-1.63	-2.65	0.39	5.06	-1.26	0.	0.57	0.25	4.53	9.64
H9	-0.07	-1.60	0.28	0.	20.	0.	2.31	1.59	-8.78	-1.97
H10	131.67	4.11	-0.16	0.	0.02	0.	-0.34	2.	-2.	-0.21
H11	0.5	-0.08	0.	-0.01	0.	0.02	0.	0.	0.	-0.06
H12	0.	0.	0.	-0.05	0.	0.02	0.	0.	0.	0.
H13	0.	0.	0.	-0.05	0.	-0.00	0.	0.	0.	0.
H14	-6.	-0.03	0.	-0.01	0.	-0.02	-0.06	0.	0.08	-0.09
Arginine	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11	H12	H13	H14
C1	0.44	0.02	0.00	-1.63	-0.07	131.67	0.5	0.	0.	-6.
C2	1.51	-0.00	-0.04	-2.65	-1.60	4.11	-0.08	0.	0.	-0.03
C3	-0.01	-0.28	0.04	0.39	0.28	-0.16	0.	0.	0.	0.
C4	-1.14	0.00	0.00	5.06	0.	0.	-0.01	-0.05	-0.05	-0.01
C5	0.61	0.	0.	-1.26	20.	0.02	0.	0.	0.	0.
C6	0.	-0.03	-0.00	0.	0.	0.	0.02	0.02	-0.00	-0.02
H1	-1.14	-0.20	-0.37	0.57	2.31	-0.34	0.	0.	0.	-0.06
H2	0.22	-0.12	0.77	0.25	1.59	2.	0.	0.	0.	0.
H3	1.06	-0.07	0.05	4.53	-8.78	-2.	0.	0.	0.	0.08
H4	0.62	0.01	-0.02	9.64	-1.97	-0.21	-0.06	0.	0.	-0.09
H5	0.	3.79	-2.	1.94	0.06	-2.32	0.	0.	0.	0.
H6	3.79	0.	0.00	-1.86	0.	0.	-0.01	0.06	0.06	-0.09
H7	-2.	0.00	0.	2.	0.	0.	-0.30	-0.02	-0.07	-0.48
H8	1.94	-1.86	2.	0.	0.21	2.	0.	0.	0.	0.
H9	0.06	0.	0.	0.21	0.	2.48	0.	0.	0.	0.
H10	-2.32	0.	0.	2.	2.48	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
H11	0.	-0.01	-0.30	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.03	-0.02	-0.01
H12	0.	0.06	-0.02	0.	0.	0.	0.03	0.	0.01	-0.02
H13	0.	0.06	-0.07	0.	0.	0.	-0.02	0.01	0.	0.02
H14	0.	-0.09	-0.48	0.	0.	0.	-0.01	-0.02	0.02	0.

Table 5: Numerical values of the fractional deviation [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for arginine.

D-arginine	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	H1	H2	H3	H4
C1	0.	132.23	119.47	-8.47	-9.08	-0.157	176.79	162.55	-6.05	-5.64
C2	132.23	0.	-5.38	143.27	0.66	8.85	-6.57	-6.32	160.57	167.97
C3	119.47	-5.38	0.	8.95	174.26	0.	-7.03	-6.27	2.70	1.11
C4	-8.47	143.27	8.95	0.	-0.131	-4.22	1.04	3.131	-3.61	-7.63
C5	-9.08	0.66	174.26	-0.131	0.	0.	2.44	10.47	-0.17	-0.14
C6	-0.16	8.85	0.	-4.22	0.	0.	0.13	0.11	1.53	-0.06
H1	176.79	-6.57	-7.03	1.04	2.44	0.13	0.	-13.48	13.32	4.58
H2	162.55	-6.32	-6.27	3.13	10.46	0.11	-13.48	0.	2.79	13.11
H3	-6.05	160.57	2.70	-3.61	-0.17	1.53	13.32	2.79	0.	-13.33
H4	-5.64	167.97	1.11	-7.63	-0.14	-0.06	4.58	13.11	-13.33	0.
H5	-4.79	1.13	170.16	-0.17	-4.12	0.	11.32	4.29	-0.15	-0.23
H6	3.59	-5.24	-0.23	153.84	0.	5.06	-0.262	-0.21	2.33	12.
H7	9.67	-4.79	0.90	162.59	0.	3.81	-0.65	-0.14	3.1	3.80
H8	2.65	-0.11	-4.08	-0.01	12.20	0.	-0.28	-0.19	0.012	-0.02
H9	10.09	1.73	-4.12	0.	-0.13	0.	-0.14	-0.03	-0.16	-0.14
H10	0.39	-0.03	8.45	0.	-7.43	0.	-0.06	0.	-0.00	-0.02
H11	0.00	0.06	0.	0.14	0.	-2.93	0.02	0.	0.	-0.02
H12	0.	0.	0.	0.90	0.	-4.68	0.	0.	0.	0.
H13	0.	0.	0.	0.86	0.	-3.60	0.	0.	0.	0.
H14	0.00	-0.12	0.	-0.57	0.	-0.98	-0.02	0.00	0.06	-0.06
D-arginine	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11	H12	H13	H14
C1	-4.79	3.59	9.67	2.65	10.09	0.39	0.00	0.	0.	0.00
C2	1.13	-5.24	-4.79	-0.11	1.73	-0.03	0.06	0.	0.	-0.12
C3	170.16	-0.23	0.904	-4.08	-4.12	8.45	0.	0.	0.	0.
C4	-0.17	153.84	162.59	-0.01	0.	0.	0.14	0.90	0.86	-0.57
C5	-4.12	0.	0.	12.20	-0.13	-7.43	0.	0.	0.	0.
C6	0.	5.06	3.81	0.	0.	0.	-2.93	-4.68	-3.60	-0.98
H1	11.32	-0.26	-0.65	-0.28	-0.14	-0.06	0.02	0.	0.	-0.02
H2	4.29	-0.21	-0.14	-0.19	-0.03	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.00
H3	-0.15	2.33	3.1	0.01	-0.16	-0.00	0.	0.	0.	0.06
H4	-0.23	12.	3.80	-0.02	-0.14	-0.02	-0.018	0.	0.	-0.06
H5	0.	0.013	-0.03	0.23	3.87	1.07	0.	0.	0.	0.
H6	0.01	0.	-14.29	0.03	0.	0.	-0.22	0.76	0.54	-0.44
H7	-0.03	-14.29	0.	0.	0.	0.	-0.06	2.48	0.45	0.08
H8	0.25	0.02	0.	0.	-9.65	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
H9	3.87	0.	0.	-9.65	0.	0.01	0.	0.	0.	0.
H10	1.07	0.	0.	0.	0.01	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
H11	0.	-0.22	-0.06	0.	0.	0.	0.	-5.09	0.37	3.71
H12	0.	0.76	2.48	0.	0.	0.	-5.09	0.	-0.43	0.24
H13	0.	0.54	0.45	0.	0.	0.	0.37	-0.43	0.	-3.47
H14	0.	-0.44	0.08	0.	0.	0.	3.71	0.24	-3.47	0.

Table 6: Raw values of J couplings in D-arginine.

L-arginine	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	H1	H2	НЗ	H4
C1	0.	130.34	114.85	-8.36	-8.05	-0.15	171.75	166.93	-6.43	-5.66
C2	130.34	0.	-6.62	141.14	1.39	8.68	-6.64	-5.86	162.52	168.20
C3	114.85	-6.62	0.	6.84	158.85	0.	-3.25	-6.39	0.77	2.34
C4	-8.36	141.14	6.84	0.	-0.08	-4.08	2.57	1.67	-3.77	-7.59
C5	-8.05	1.39	158.85	-0.08	0.	0.	0.70	9.42	-0.18	-0.02
C6	-0.15	8.68	0.	-4.08	0.	0.	0.16	0.09	1.52	0.
H1	171.75	-6.64	-3.25	2.57	0.70	0.16	0.	-14.04	13.47	2.70
H2	166.93	-5.86	-6.39	1.67	9.42	0.09	-14.04	0.	4.75	13.30
H3	-6.43	162.52	0.77	-3.77	-0.18	1.52	13.47	4.75	0.	-13.19
H4	-5.66	168.20	2.34	-7.59	-0.02	0.	2.70	13.30	-13.19	0.
H5	-7.47	8.07	168.60	-0.05	-7.75	0.	3.10	5.35	-0.48	-0.43
H6	3.66	-5.22	-0.17	154.49	0.	4.90	-0.21	-0.18	2.17	12.12
H7	9.69	-4.59	0.95	162.92	0.	3.80	-0.45	-0.31	3.27	3.71
H8	0.27	0.02	-6.08	0.03	2.78	0.	-0.50	-0.25	-0.03	0.03
H9	9.39	0.19	-5.44	0.	0.154	0.	2.02	-0.28	0.1	-0.00
H10	-0.40	0.08	7.21	0.	-7.56	0.	-0.04	-0.12	0.	-0.02
H11	0.01	0.06	0.	0.142	0.	-2.98	0.02	0.	0.	-0.02
H12	0.	0.	0.	0.85	0.	-4.80	0.	0.	0.	0.
H13	0.	0.	0.	0.82	0.	-3.59	0.	0.	0.	0.
H14	-0.00	-0.12	0.	-0.561	0.	-0.95	-0.017	0.001	0.07	-0.05
L-arginine	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11	H12	H13	H14
C1	-7.47	3.66	9.69	0.27	9.39	-0.40	0.01	0.	0.	-0.00
C2	8.07	-5.22	-4.59	0.02	0.19	0.08	0.06	0.	0.	-0.12
C3	168.60	-0.17	0.95	-6.08	-5.44	7.21	0.	0.	0.	0.
C4	-0.05	154.49	162.92	0.03	0.	0.	0.14	0.85	0.82	-0.56
C5	-7.75	0.	0.	2.78	0.15	-7.56	0.	0.	0.	0.
C6	0.	4.90	3.80	0.	0.	0.	-2.98	-4.80	-3.59	-0.95
H1	3.10	-0.21	-0.45	-0.50	2.02	-0.04	0.02	0.	0.	-0.02
H2	5.35	-0.18	-0.31	-0.25	-0.28	-0.12	0.	0.	0.	0.00
H3	-0.48	2.17	3.27	-0.03	0.1	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.07
H4	-0.43	12.12	3.71	0.03	-0.00	-0.02	-0.02	0.	0.	-0.05
H5	0.	-0.04	0.	15.32	4.11	-0.08	0.	0.	0.	0.
H6	-0.04	0.	-14.30	0.00	0.	0.	-0.22	0.80	0.58	-0.41
H7	0.	-14.30	0.	0.04	0.	0.	-0.05	2.43	0.42	0.05
H8	15.32	0.00	0.04	0.	-11.90	-0.19	0.	0.	0.	0.
H9	4.11	0.	0.	-11.90	0.	-0.122	0.	0.	0.	0.
H10	-0.08	0.	0.	-0.19	-0.12	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
H11	0.	-0.22	-0.05	0.	0.	0.	0.	-5.23	0.36	3.69
H12	0.	0.80	2.43	0.	0.	0.	-5.23	0.	-0.44	0.24
H13	0.	0.58	0.42	0.	0.	0.	0.36	-0.44	0.	-3.53
H14	0.	-0.41	0.05	0.	0.	0.	3.69	0.24	-3.53	0.

Table 7: Raw values of J couplings in L-arginine.

Aspartic acid	C1	C2	C3	C4	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7
C1	0.	-0.07	0.03	-0.22	-0.01	3.67	2.14	-2.24	-2.23	2.08	2.
C2	-0.07	0.	-0.01	-0.01	0.25	0.08	-0.04	1.79	-2.16	-1.30	0.01
C3	0.03	-0.01	0.	-1.90	0.13	-0.91	0.98	-1.02	1.54	0.01	-0.46
C4	-0.22	-0.01	-1.90	0.	0.28	-0.44	0.30	2.46	-2.53	4.19	0.01
H1	-0.01	0.25	0.13	0.28	0.	1.18	-1.15	-2.31	1.40	0.16	-0.12
H2	-0.14	0.08	-0.91	-0.44	1.18	0.	-0.02	1.17	-3.08	2.	22.24
H3	0.17	-0.04	0.98	0.30	-1.15	-0.02	0.	3.13	-0.94	0.48	-17.04
H4	-0.05	1.79	-1.02	2.46	-2.31	1.17	3.13	0.	-0.08	-2.66	0.
H5	-0.09	-2.16	1.54	-2.53	1.40	-3.08	-0.94	-0.08	0.	-2.	0.
H6	0.14	-1.30	0.01	4.19	0.16	2.	0.48	-2.66	-2.	0.	2.
H7	-0.20	0.01	-0.46	0.01	-0.12	22.24	-17.05	0.	0.	2.	0.

Table 8: Numerical values of the fractional deviation [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for aspartic acid.

D-a. acid	C1	C2	C3	C4	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7
C1	0.	139.48	172.68	-5.65	169.25	1.75	0.25	78.22	80.38	-0.14	0.
C2	139.48	0.	-8.33	188.81	-5.38	163.72	175.59	0.53	11.10	0.97	7.48
C3	172.68	-8.33	0.	0.12	-9.59	10.54	3.08	11.77	1.35	-7.35	-0.15
C4	-5.65	188.81	0.12	0.	1.10	-11.40	-8.46	-0.235	2.98	-0.05	-7.58
H1	169.25	-5.38	-9.589	1.10	0.	2.86	11.08	4.77	1.44	-0.66	-0.11
H2	-6.82	163.72	10.54	-11.40	2.86	0.	-17.85	-0.113	0.79	0.	-0.84
H3	-6.52	175.59	3.08	-8.46	11.08	-17.847	0.	-0.202	-0.48	-0.04	0.74
H4	-4.63	0.53	11.77	-0.24	4.77	-0.113	-0.20	0.	-10.87	-0.25	0.
H5	-4.71	11.10	1.35	2.98	1.44	0.79	-0.48	-10.87	0.	-0.2	0.
H6	6.43	0.97	-7.35	-0.05	-0.66	0.	-0.04	-0.25	-0.2	0.	0.
H7	1.70	7.48	-0.15	-7.58	-0.11	-0.84	0.74	0.	0.	0.	0.

Table 9: Raw values of J couplings in D-aspartic acid.

L-a. acid	C1	C2	C3	C4	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7
C1	0.	129.84	177.80	-4.517	168.39	-5.95	-7.76	-4.41	-4.32	7.38	1.39
C2	129.84	0.	-8.26	187.36	-6.90	177.36	168.44	9.42	-0.44	0.20	7.52
C3	177.80	-8.26	0.	0.00	-10.89	3.97	8.973	3.84	10.54	-7.39	-0.09
C4	-4.52	187.36	0.003	0.	1.45	-7.29	-11.41	2.26	-0.35	0.15	-7.66
H1	168.39	-6.90	-10.89	1.45	0.	11.144	2.99	-0.35	8.14	-0.77	-0.09
H2	-5.95	177.36	3.97	-7.29	11.144	0.	-17.58	-0.43	-0.17	-0.07	1.
H3	-7.76	168.44	8.97	-11.41	2.99	-17.58	0.	0.92	-0.17	-0.06	-0.58
H4	-4.41	9.42	3.84	2.26	-0.35	-0.43	0.92	0.	-10.07	0.04	0.
H5	-4.32	-0.44	10.54	-0.35	8.14	-0.17	-0.17	-10.07	0.	0.	0.
H6	7.38	0.20	-7.391	0.147	-0.77	-0.07	-0.06	0.04	0.	0.	-0.04
H7	1.39	7.52	-0.09	-7.66	-0.09	1.	-0.58	0.	0.	-0.04	0.

Table 10: Raw values of J couplings in L-aspartic acid.

Cysteine	C1	C2	C3	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7
C1	0.	0.17	-0.03	-0.03	-0.17	0.47	-0.11	0.05	-2.04	-0.28
C2	0.17	0.	-0.05	0.35	-0.03	0.00	1.75	-1.01	0.55	0.27
C3	-0.03	-0.05	0.	0.12	1.33	-0.89	-0.22	-0.02	0.30	-0.08
H1	-0.03	0.35	0.12	0.	-1.23	0.90	-1.63	0.77	6.31	-4.53
H2	-0.17	-0.03	1.33	-1.23	0.	0.07	3.44	-1.22	-0.04	-2.
H3	0.47	0.00	-0.89	0.90	0.07	0.	0.72	0.27	0.44	2.
H4	-0.11	1.75	-0.22	-1.63	3.44	0.72	0.	0.14	-2.	0.97
H5	0.05	-1.01	-0.02	0.77	-1.22	0.27	0.14	0.	-92.67	-4.21
H6	-2.04	0.55	0.30	6.31	-0.04	0.44	-2.	-92.67	0.	3.18
H7	-0.28	0.27	-0.08	-4.53	-2.	2.	0.97	-4.21	3.18	0.

Table 11: Numerical values of the fractional deviation [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for cysteine.

D-cysteine	C1	C2	C3	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7
C1	0.	124.59	182.12	176.20	-6.93	-3.33	-5.08	-4.191	1.39	8.61
C2	124.59	0.	-7.81	-4.02	191.79	196.98	0.85	1.40	-0.78	0.84
C3	182.12	-7.81	0.	-7.46	2.35	9.22	1.56	11.69	-0.141	-8.00
H1	176.20	-4.02	-7.46	0.	11.54	3.83	15.35	2.02	0.137	1.20
H2	-6.93	191.79	2.35	11.54	0.	-13.87	-0.14	-0.24	14.57	-0.06
H3	-3.33	196.98	9.22	3.83	-13.87	0.	-0.19	-0.10	3.72	0.
H4	-5.08	0.85	1.56	15.35	-0.14	-0.19	0.	-9.07	-0.05	-0.05
H5	-4.19	1.40	11.69	2.02	-0.24	-0.10	-9.07	0.	0.07	0.64
H6	1.39	-0.78	-0.14	0.14	14.57	3.72	-0.05	0.07	0.	-0.03
H7	8.61	0.84	-8.00	1.20	-0.06	0.	-0.05	0.64	-0.03	0.

Table 12: Raw values of J couplings in D-cysteine.

L-cysteine	C1	C2	C3	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7
C1	0.	147.52	176.80	170.50	-5.86	-5.40	-4.57	-4.43	-0.01	6.49
C2	147.52	0.	-7.44	-5.73	185.64	197.27	12.98	0.46	-1.37	1.10
C3	176.80	-7.44	0.	-8.38	11.61	3.56	1.248	11.42	-0.19	-7.36
H1	170.50	-5.73	-8.38	0.	2.74	10.15	1.56	4.56	-0.26	-0.46
H2	-5.86	185.64	11.61	2.74	0.	-14.93	0.51	-0.06	14.04	0.
H3	-5.40	197.27	3.56	10.15	-14.93	0.	-0.41	-0.13	5.84	-0.07
H4	-4.57	12.98	1.25	1.56	0.51	-0.41	0.	-10.47	0.	-0.15
H5	-4.43	0.46	11.42	4.56	-0.06	-0.13	-10.47	0.	-0.07	-0.23
H6	-0.01	-1.37	-0.19	-0.26	14.04	5.84	0.	-0.07	0.	0.11
H7	6.49	1.10	-7.36	-0.46	0.	-0.07	-0.15	-0.23	0.11	0.

Table 13: Raw values of J couplings in L-cysteine.

Glutamic acid	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9
C1	0.	-0.01	0.01	0.03	-0.03	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.27	-0.28	2.15	-2.14	-0.99	0.02
C2	-0.01	0.	-0.00	-0.00	-0.01	0.522823	-0.55	0.01	1.03	-1.06	0.10	-0.13	-0.00	0.
C3	0.01	-0.00	0.	0.07	0.00	0.06	-0.05	0.01	0.01	-0.01	0.25	-0.32	-3.33	-0.01
C4	0.03	-0.00	0.07	0.	-0.18	1.77	-1.80	-0.02	1.13	-0.94	-0.84	0.85	-0.01	0.
C5	-0.03	-0.01	0.00	-0.18	0.	1.07	-1.12	0.02	0.15	-0.14	-2.	2.	0.	-0.01
H1	0.00	0.52	0.06	1.77	1.07	0.	-0.01	0.54	-0.65	0.03	-16.4	-2.30	-10.07	-0.06
H2	0.00	-0.55	-0.05	-1.80	-1.12	-0.01	0.	-0.53	-0.04	0.62	2.31	13.79	8.73	0.17
H3	0.02	0.01	0.01	-0.02	0.02	0.54	-0.53	0.	0.13	-0.16	-2.19	2.19	-0.06	0.
H4	0.27	1.03	0.01	1.13	0.15	-0.65	-0.04	0.13	0.	0.01	4.14	10.	0.67	6.69
H5	-0.28	-1.06	-0.01	-0.94	-0.14	0.03	0.62	-0.16	0.01	0.	-9.33	-4.86	-1.28	-3.07
H6	2.15	0.10	0.25	-0.85	-2.	-16.4	2.31	-2.19	4.14	-9.33	0.	0.00	2.	0.
H7	-2.14	-0.13	-0.32	0.85	2.	-2.30	13.79	2.19	10.	-4.86	0.00	0.	-2.	0.
H8	-0.99	-0.00	-3.33	-0.01	0.	-10.07	8.73	-0.06	0.67	-1.28	2.	-2.	0.	0.
H9	0.02	0.	-0.01	0.	-0.01	-0.06	0.17	0.	6.69	-3.07	0.	0.	0.	0.

Table 14: Numerical values of the fractional deviation [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for glutamic acid.

D-glutamic acid	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9
C1	0.	122.22	141.61	-8.23	-5.45	171.17	171.25	-6.04	-5.07	-6.90	-0.28	7.81	0.13	1.71
C2	122.23	0.	-6.88	170.56	8.55	-3.85	-6.56	162.35	0.99	3.07	-3.95	-4.44	7.29	0.
C3	141.61	-6.88	0.	1.43	194.08	-6.64	-7.10	7.19	166.41	167.81	-0.20	-0.25	-0.01	7.01
C4	-8.23	170.56	1.43	0.	-0.15	0.68	11.13	-10.79	-0.04	-0.13	10.34	4.07	-7.59	0.
C5	-5.45	8.55	194.08	-0.15	0.	0.74	2.37	1.10	-9.70	-11.46	-0.02	0.	0.	-7.34
H1	171.17	-3.85	-6.64	0.68	0.74	0.	-15.16	2.76	4.70	12.97	-0.46	2.421	0.18	-0.14
H2	171.25	-6.56	-7.10	11.13	2.37	-15.16	0.	4.82	13.47	2.52	-0.171	0.34	-0.11	-0.13
H3	-6.04	162.35	7.19	-10.79	1.10	2.76	4.82	0.	-0.41	-0.47	7.38	-0.34	-0.79	0.
H4	-5.07	0.99	166.41	-0.04	-9.70	4.70	13.47	-0.41	0.	-19.84	-0.05	-0.03	-0.02	0.36
H5	-6.90	3.07	167.81	-0.13	-11.46	12.97	2.52	-0.47	-19.84	0.	0.05	0.13	-0.04	-0.76
H6	-0.28	-3.95	-0.20	10.34	-0.02	-0.46	-0.17	7.38	-0.05	0.05	0.	-9.27	0.	0.
H7	7.81	-4.44	-0.25	4.07	0.	2.42	0.34	-0.34	-0.03	0.13	-9.27	0.	0.07	0.
H8	0.13	7.29	-0.01	-7.59	0.	0.18	-0.11	-0.79	-0.02	-0.04	0.	0.069	0.	0.
H9	1.71	0.	7.01	0.	-7.34	-0.14	-0.13	0.	0.36	-0.76	0.	0.	0.	0.

Table 15: Raw values of J couplings in D-glutamic acid.

L-glutamic acid	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9
C1	0.	121.14	142.46	-8.51	-5.31	171.58	171.37	-6.19	-6.67	-5.18	7.69	-0.26	0.04	1.75
C2	121.15	0.	-6.87	169.81	8.48	-6.58	-3.75	163.40	3.08	0.95	-4.37	-3.90	7.28	0.
C3	142.46	-6.87	0.	1.53	194.15	-7.05	-6.76	7.26	168.25	165.49	-0.26	-0.18	0.00	6.96
C4	-8.51	169.81	1.53	0.	-0.12	11.07	0.59	-10.55	-0.14	-0.05	4.21	10.13	-7.52	0.
C5	-5.31	8.48	194.15	-0.12	0.	2.44	0.67	1.12	-11.31	-9.97	0.	-0.02	0.	-7.25
H1	171.58	-6.58	-7.05	11.07	2.44	0.	-15.06	4.81	2.39	13.42	0.36	-0.17	-0.12	-0.13
H2	171.37	-3.75	-6.76	0.59	0.67	-15.06	0.	2.81	12.92	4.81	2.41	-0.45	0.17	-0.15
H3	-6.19	163.40	7.26	-10.55	1.12	4.81	2.81	0.	-0.47	-0.40	-0.33	7.43	-0.74	0.
H4	-6.67	3.08	168.25	-0.14	-11.31	2.39	12.92	-0.47	0.	-20.06	0.15	0.05	-0.04	-0.67
H5	-5.18	0.95	165.49	-0.05	-9.97	13.42	4.81	-0.40	-20.06	0.	-0.03	-0.06	-0.01	0.16
H6	7.69	-4.37	-0.26	4.21	0.	0.36	2.41	-0.33	0.15	-0.03	0.	-9.31	0.07	0.
H7	-0.26	-3.90	-0.18	10.13	-0.02	-0.17	-0.45	7.43	0.05	-0.06	-9.31	0.	0.	0.
H8	0.04	7.28	0.00	-7.52	0.	-0.12	0.17	-0.74	-0.04	-0.01	0.07	0.	0.	0.
H9	1.75	0.	6.96	0.	-7.25	-0.13	-0.15	0.	-0.67	0.16	0.	0.	0.	0.

Table 16: Raw values of J couplings in L-glutamic acid.

Glutamine	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10
C1	0.	0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.02	0.02	0.01	-0.01	0.02	-2.12	2.12	-0.04	-0.03	0.06
C2	0.00	0.	0.00	0.00	0.01	-0.85	0.86	-0.00	-0.82	0.83	0.15	-0.11	0.	0.	0.00
C3	-0.00	0.00	0.	0.07	0.00	-0.02	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	-1.75	2.11	-0.07	0.00	0.21
C4	-0.00	0.00	0.07	0.	0.05	-1.76	1.77	0.02	20.86	-8.88	2.49	-2.53	0.	0.	0.00
C5	-0.00	0.01	0.00	0.05	0.	0.61	-0.55	0.03	-0.14	0.12	2.	-2.	-0.02	0.01	0.
H1	-0.02	-0.85	-0.02	-1.76	0.61	0.	-0.00	-0.72	-0.02	-0.43	0.09	2.55	-0.13	-0.10	-0.14
H2	0.02	0.86	0.01	1.76	-0.55	-0.00	0.	0.72	0.41	0.02	-2.58	-0.13	0.12	0.04	0.21
H3	0.01	-0.00	0.01	0.02	0.03	-0.72	0.72	0.	0.19	-0.19	0.85	-0.83	0.	0.	-0.48
H4	-0.01	-0.82	0.00	20.86	-0.14	-0.02	0.42	0.19	0.	-0.01	6.82	1.28	-0.66	-2.34	-2.
H5	0.02	0.83	0.00	-8.88	0.12	-0.43	0.02	-0.19	-0.01	0.	-1.17	-6.28	0.62	1.48	2.
H6	-2.12	0.15	-1.75	2.49	2.	0.09	-2.58	0.85	6.82	-1.17	0.	0.01	2.	0.	0.02
H7	2.12	-0.11	2.11	-2.53	-2.	2.55	-0.13	-0.83	1.28	-6.28	0.01	0.	-2.	0.	0.02
H8	-0.04	0.	-0.07	0.	-0.02	-0.13	0.12	0.	-0.66	0.62	2.	-2.	0.	0.02	0.
H9	-0.03	0.	0.00	0.	0.01	-0.10	0.03	0.	-2.33	1.48	0.	0.	0.02	0.	0.
H10	0.06	0.00	0.21	0.00	0.	-0.14	0.21	-0.48	-2.	2.	0.02	0.02	0.	0.	0.

Table 17: Numerical values of the fractional deviation [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for glutamine.

D-glu.	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10
C1	0.	115.62	143.58	-8.22	-5.91	174.57	170.77	-7.46	-6.37	-6.47	8.71	-0.25	0.55	1.85	-0.41
C2	115.62	0.	-6.95	157.71	6.75	-6.62	-2.61	170.04	2.07	0.91	-5.19	-5.89	0.	0.	7.45
C3	143.58	-6.95	0.	1.20	167.80	-7.00	-6.90	8.23	161.94	161.8	0.15	-0.00	-0.56	6.76	0.05
C4	-8.22	157.71	1.20	0.	-0.17	9.85	0.61	-7.80	0.13	-0.17	-0.44	3.92	0.	0.	-7.71
C5	-5.91	6.75	167.80	-0.17	0.	1.12	2.01	0.40	-9.38	-8.61	0.	0.05	3.18	-2.77	0.
H1	174.57	-6.62	-7.00	9.85	1.12	0.	-15.26	5.93	13.98	4.73	-0.37	-0.20	-0.06	-0.15	-0.08
H2	170.77	-2.61	-6.90	0.61	2.01	-15.26	0.	2.714	3.09	13.70	1.68	-0.40	-0.05	-0.14	-0.08
H3	-7.46	170.04	8.23	-7.80	0.40	5.93	2.71	0.	-0.42	-0.50	5.65	14.01	0.	0.	0.16
H4	-6.37	2.07	161.94	0.13	-9.38	13.98	3.09	-0.42	0.	-18.06	-0.04	0.01	-0.33	-0.71	-0.02
H5	-6.47	0.91	161.8	-0.17	-8.61	4.73	13.70	-0.50	-18.06	0.	0.05	0.06	-0.20	-0.13	0.
H6	8.71	-5.19	0.15	-0.44	0.	-0.37	1.68	5.65	-0.04	0.05	0.	-11.82	0.	0.	-0.16
H7	-0.25	-5.89	-0.00	3.92	0.05	-0.20	-0.40	14.01	0.01	0.06	-11.82	0.	0.01	0.	-0.17
H8	0.55	0.	-0.56	0.	3.18	-0.06	-0.05	0.	-0.33	-0.20	0.	0.014	0.	4.81	0.
H9	1.85	0.	6.76	0.	-2.77	-0.15	-0.14	0.	-0.71	-0.13	0.	0.	4.81	0.	0.
H10	-0.41	7.45	0.05	-7.71	0.	-0.08	-0.08	0.16	-0.021	0.	-0.16	-0.17	0.	0.	0.

Table 18: Raw values of J couplings in D-glutamine.

L-glu.	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10
C1	0.	115.91	143.14	-8.20	-5.89	171.51	174.04	-7.51	-6.30	-6.57	-0.26	8.68	0.53	1.80	-0.43
C2	115.91	0.	-6.97	157.75	6.81	-2.66	-6.52	169.61	0.87	2.20	-6.02	-5.26	0.	0.	7.47
C3	143.14	-6.97	0.	1.28	167.90	-6.88	-6.95	8.30	162.22	161.92	0.01	0.11	-0.52	6.77	0.06
C4	-8.20	157.75	1.28	0.	-0.18	0.62	9.73	-7.93	-0.16	0.11	4.01	-0.46	0.	0.	-7.71
C5	-5.89	6.81	167.90	-0.18	0.	2.1	1.14	0.41	-8.194	-9.69	0.05	0.	3.12	-2.78	0.
H1	171.51	-2.66	-6.88	0.62	2.1	0.	-15.22	2.79	13.65	3.07	-0.41	1.68	-0.05	-0.14	-0.07
H2	174.04	-6.52	-6.95	9.73	1.14	-15.22	0.	5.79	4.71	13.99	-0.21	-0.36	-0.06	-0.14	-0.09
H3	-7.51	169.61	8.30	-7.93	0.41	2.79	5.79	0.	-0.50	-0.41	13.98	5.76	0.	0.	0.10
H4	-6.30	0.87	162.22	-0.16	-8.19	13.65	4.71	-0.50	0.	-17.88	0.08	0.05	-0.16	0.06	0.
H5	-6.57	2.20	161.92	0.11	-9.69	3.07	13.99	-0.41	-17.88	0.	0.01	-0.03	-0.38	-0.86	-0.02
H6	-0.26	-6.02	0.01	4.01	0.05	-0.41	-0.21	13.98	0.08	0.01	0.	-11.97	0.01	0.	-0.17
H7	8.68	-5.26	0.11	-0.46	0.	1.68	-0.36	5.76	0.05	-0.03	-11.97	0.	0.	0.	-0.17
H8	0.53	0.	-0.52	0.	3.12	-0.05	-0.06	0.	-0.16	-0.38	0.01	0.	0.	4.93	0.
H9	1.80	0.	6.77	0.	-2.78	-0.14	-0.142	0.	0.06	-0.86	0.	0.	4.93	0.	0.
H10	-0.43	7.47	0.06	-7.71	0.	-0.07	-0.09	0.10	0.	-0.02	-0.17	-0.17	0.	0.	0.

Table 19: Raw values of J couplings in L-glutamine.

Glyceraldehyde	C1	C2	C3	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6
C1	0.	-0.01	0.04	0.02	1.84	-0.01	-0.06	-0.01	-4.99
C2	-0.01	0.	0.05	0.86	0.04	0.00	7.37	0.01	-0.04
C3	0.04	0.05	0.	-0.10	0.30	-0.33	0.03	0.14	1.13
H1	0.02	0.86	-0.10	0.	1.57	0.55	-0.02	0.64	-1.35
H2	1.84	0.04	0.30	1.57	0.	0.01	0.27	-2.69	-0.06
H3	-0.01	0.00	-0.33	0.55	0.01	0.	0.21	0.03	1.52
H4	-0.06	7.37	0.03	-0.02	0.27	0.21	0.	-0.03	-6.
H5	-0.01	0.01	0.14	0.64	-2.69	0.03	-0.03	0.	-4.65
H6	-4.99	-0.04	1.13	-1.35	-0.06	1.52	-6.	-4.65	0.

Table 20: Numerical values of the fractional deviation [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for glyceraldehyde.

D-glyceraldeyde	C1	C2	C3	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6
C1	0.	133.69	152.46	170.72	-0.19	-5.11	25.77	-3.87	1.54
C2	133.69	0.	-8.32	-3.82	177.45	187.05	0.16	3.54	-4.82
C3	152.46	-8.32	0.	-6.39	2.57	10.64	223.53	3.88	-0.07
H1	170.72	-3.82	-6.40	0.	1.37	3.84	-0.66	1.57	-0.70
H2	-0.19	177.45	2.57	1.37	0.	-13.24	-0.04	1.69	15.05
H3	-5.11	187.05	10.64	3.84	-13.24	0.	-0.35	-0.20	0.71
H4	25.77	0.16	223.53	-0.66	-0.04	-0.35	0.	1.51	-0.07
H5	-3.87	3.54	3.88	1.57	1.69	-0.20	1.511	0.	0.23
H6	1.54	-4.82	-0.07	-0.70	15.05	0.71	-0.072	0.23	0.

Table 21: Raw values of J couplings in D-glyceraldehyde.

L-glyceraldehyde	C1	C2	C3	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6
C1	0.	132.82	158.41	174.11	-4.69	-5.08	24.36	-3.84	-0.66
C2	132.82	0.	-8.78	-9.53	184.95	187.88	-0.28	3.59	-4.65
C3	158.41	-8.78	0.	-5.81	3.48	7.66	231.02	4.46	-0.25
H1	174.11	-9.53	-5.81	0.	11.40	6.73	-0.65	3.05	-0.14
H2	-4.69	184.95	3.48	11.40	0.	-13.35	-0.05	-0.25	14.18
H3	-5.08	187.88	7.66	6.73	-13.35	0.	-0.43	-0.21	5.20
H4	24.36	-0.28	231.02	-0.65	-0.05	-0.43	0.	1.47	0.04
H5	-3.84	3.59	4.46	3.05	-0.25	-0.21	1.47	0.	-0.09
H6	-0.66	-4.65	-0.25	-0.14	14.18	5.20	0.04	-0.09	0.

Table 22: Raw values of J couplings in L-glyceraldehyde.

Methionine	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	H1	H2	H3
C1	0.	0.21	0.00	-0.33	0.60	0.07	-0.06	0.56
C2	0.21	0.	-0.36	-0.02	0.25	0.03	0.01	-0.07
C3	0.00	-0.36	0.	1.79	-0.15	0.68	-0.63	1.11
C4	-0.33	-0.02	1.79	0.	0.	0.76	-0.69	0.23
C5	0.60	0.25	-0.15	0.	0.	0.57	0.29	-1.06
H1	0.07	0.03	0.68	0.76	0.57	0.	0.10	-1.70
H2	-0.06	0.01	-0.63	-0.69	0.29	0.10	0.	0.90
H3	0.56	-0.07	1.11	0.23	-1.06	10.68	-2.	2.
H4	0.78	-1.34	0.00	-0.31	0.67	1.28	0.38	-1.25
H5	-0.83	1.17	0.02	1.78	-1.09	0.29	-1.37	-22.77
H6	0.51	-0.13	-3.31	0.76	0.	-0.33	-0.65	-0.26
H7	0.87	0.07	6.36	-2.10	2.14	-19.80	0.90	1.72
H8	0.17	0.13	-0.35	2.	0.01	1.04	48.	-2.28
H9	-1.87	2.	-0.07	0.	0.01	2.	-2.	0.04
H10	0.53	-0.77	0.30	0.	0.00	-0.02	-2.51	2.11
H11	1.42	-0.20	2.60	-0.02	0.	2.56	-1.07	-2.36
Methionine	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11
C1	0.78	-0.83	0.51	0.87	0.17	-1.87	0.53	1.42
C2	-1.34	1.17	-0.13	0.07	0.13	2.	-0.77	-0.20
C3	0.00	0.02	-3.31	6.36	-0.35	-0.07	0.30	2.60
C4	-0.31	1.78	0.76	-2.10	2.	0.	0.	-0.02
C5	0.67	-1.09	0.	2.14	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.
H1	1.28	0.29	-0.33	-19.80	1.04	2.	-0.02	2.56
H2	0.38	-1.37	-0.65	0.90	48.	-2.	-2.51	-1.07
H3	2.77	2.25	-2.04	1.72	-2.28	0.04	2.11	-2.36
H4	0.	-0.05	-3.36	7.78	8.86	3.14	2.52	0.75
H5	-0.05	0.	-2.	-2.	-4.55	-4.92	-16.81	-0.03
H6	-3.36	-2.	0.	0.19	2.	0.	0.	-0.03
H7	7.78	-2.	0.19	0.	2.	0.	-2.	2.
H8	8.86	-4.55	2.	2.	0.	-0.01	0.03	0.
H9	3.14	-4.92	0.	0.	-0.01	0.	-0.04	0.
H10	2.52	-16.81	0.	-2.	0.03	-0.04	0.	0.

Table 23: Numerical values of the fractional deviation [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for methionine.

D-methionine	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	H1	H2	H3
C1	0.	104.42	138.28	-8.18	0.84	167.30	172.23	-4.79
C2	104.42	0.	-7.22	174.89	-0.12	-6.04	-6.06	178.22
C3	138.28	-7.22	0.	0.08	-1.07	-3.99	-8.52	1.48
C4	-8.18	174.89	0.08	0.	0.	2.57	8.86	-8.26
C5	0.84	-0.12	-1.07	0.	0.	0.13	0.22	0.03
H1	167.30	-6.04	-3.99	2.57	0.13	0.	-14.71	11.12
H2	172.23	-6.06	-8.52	8.86	0.22	-14.71	0.	3.78
H3	-4.79	178.22	1.48	-8.26	0.03	11.12	3.78	0.
H4	-3.20	8.9	189.61	-0.31	4.28	3.31	2.86	-0.68
H5	-5.72	2.39	188.46	-0.01	8.10	2.61	14.33	-0.16
H6	-0.29	-5.61	-0.40	2.81	0.	-0.16	-0.29	14.91
H7	2.82	-4.05	-0.25	11.83	0.00	-0.27	-0.28	0.72
H8	0.34	0.15	2.5	0.	202.67	-0.04	0.05	-0.14
H9	-0.21	0.	9.93	0.	198.20	0.	-0.11	-0.11
H10	0.29	0.17	1.55	0.	203.18	0.06	-0.16	0.01
H11	-0.07	8.17	-0.08	-7.63	0.	0.02	0.18	0.84
D-methionine	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11
C1	-3.20	-5.72	-0.29	2.82	0.34	-0.21	0.29	-0.07
C2	8.9	2.39	-5.61	-4.05	0.15	0.	0.17	8.17
C3	189.61	188.46	-0.40	-0.25	2.5	9.93	1.55	-0.08
C4	-0.31	-0.01	0.04					7.62
C5			2.81	11.83	0.	0.	0.	-7.03
	4.28	8.09	0.	11.83 0.00	0. 202.67	0. 198.20	0. 203.18	0.
H1	4.28 3.31	8.09 2.61	2.81 0. -0.16	11.83 0.00 -0.27	0. 202.67 -0.04	0. 198.20 0.	0. 203.18 0.06	0. 0.02
H1 H2	4.28 3.31 2.86	8.09 2.61 14.33	0. -0.16 -0.29	11.83 0.00 -0.27 -0.28	0. 202.67 -0.04 0.05	0. 198.20 0. -0.11	0. 203.18 0.06 -0.16	0. 0.02 0.18
H1 H2 H3	4.28 3.31 2.86 -0.68	8.09 2.61 14.33 -0.16	0. -0.16 -0.29 14.91	11.83 0.00 -0.27 -0.28 0.72	0. 202.67 -0.04 0.05 -0.14	0. 198.20 0. -0.11 -0.11	0. 203.18 0.06 -0.16 0.01	0. 0.02 0.18 0.84
H1 H2 H3 H4	4.28 3.31 2.86 -0.68 0.	8.09 2.61 14.33 -0.16 -15.79	2.81 0. -0.16 -0.29 14.91 -0.08	11.83 0.00 -0.27 -0.28 0.72 0.04	0. 202.67 -0.04 0.05 -0.14 0.49	0. 198.20 0. -0.11 -0.11 -0.30	0. 203.18 0.06 -0.16 0.01 0.05	0. 0.02 0.18 0.84 0.08
H1 H2 H3 H4 H5	4.28 3.31 2.86 -0.68 0. -15.79	8.09 2.61 14.33 -0.16 -15.79 0.	2.81 0. -0.16 -0.29 14.91 -0.08 0.03	11.83 0.00 -0.27 -0.28 0.72 0.04 -0.00	0. 202.67 -0.04 0.05 -0.14 0.49 -0.22	0. 198.20 0. -0.11 -0.11 -0.30 1.38	0. 203.18 0.06 -0.16 0.01 0.05 -0.76	0. 0.02 0.18 0.84 0.08 0.19
H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6	4.28 3.31 2.86 -0.68 0. -15.79 -0.08	8.09 2.61 14.33 -0.16 -15.79 0. 0.03	2.81 0. -0.16 -0.29 14.91 -0.08 0.03 0.	11.83 0.00 -0.27 -0.28 0.72 0.04 -0.00 -9.43	0. 202.67 -0.04 0.05 -0.14 0.49 -0.22 0.	0. 198.20 0. -0.11 -0.11 -0.30 1.38 0.	0. 203.18 0.06 -0.16 0.01 0.05 -0.76 0.	0. 0.02 0.18 0.84 0.08 0.19 -0.09
H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7	4.28 3.31 2.86 -0.68 0. -15.79 -0.08 0.03	8.09 2.61 14.33 -0.16 -15.79 0. 0.03 -0.00	2.81 0. -0.16 -0.29 14.91 -0.08 0.03 0. -9.43	11.83 0.00 -0.27 -0.28 0.72 0.04 -0.00 -9.43 0.	0. 202.67 -0.04 0.05 -0.14 0.49 -0.22 0. 0.	0. 198.20 0. -0.11 -0.11 -0.30 1.38 0. 0.	0. 203.18 0.06 -0.16 0.01 0.05 -0.76 0. 0.06	-7.83 0. 0.02 0.18 0.84 0.08 0.19 -0.09 0.
H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8	4.28 3.31 2.86 -0.68 0. -15.79 -0.08 0.03 0.49	8.09 2.61 14.33 -0.16 -15.79 0. 0.03 -0.00 -0.22	2.31 0. -0.16 -0.29 14.91 -0.08 0.03 0. -9.43 0.	11.83 0.00 -0.27 -0.28 0.72 0.04 -0.00 -9.43 0. 0.	0. 202.67 -0.04 0.05 -0.14 0.49 -0.22 0. 0. 0.	0. 198.20 0. -0.11 -0.11 1.38 0. 0. -14.78	0. 203.18 0.06 -0.16 0.01 0.05 -0.76 0. 0.06 -9.90	-7.63 0. 0.02 0.18 0.84 0.08 0.19 -0.09 0. 0.
H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 H9	4.28 3.31 2.86 -0.68 0. -15.79 -0.08 0.03 0.49 -0.30	8.09 2.61 14.33 -0.16 -15.79 0. 0.03 -0.00 -0.22 1.38	2.31 0. -0.16 -0.29 14.91 -0.08 0.03 0. -9.43 0. 0. 0.	11.83 0.00 -0.27 -0.28 0.72 0.04 -0.00 -9.43 0. 0. 0.	0. 202.67 -0.04 0.05 -0.14 0.49 -0.22 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. -14.78	0. 198.20 0. -0.11 -0.30 1.38 0. 0. -14.78 0.	0. 203.18 0.06 -0.16 0.01 0.05 -0.76 0. 0.06 -9.90 -14.80	-7.63 0. 0.02 0.18 0.84 0.08 0.19 -0.09 0. 0. 0.
H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 H9 H10	4.28 3.31 2.86 -0.68 0. -15.79 -0.08 0.03 0.49 -0.30 0.05	8.09 2.61 14.33 -0.16 -15.79 0. 0.03 -0.00 -0.22 1.38 -0.76	2.81 0. -0.16 -0.29 14.91 -0.08 0.03 0. -9.43 0. 0. 0. 0.	11.83 0.00 -0.27 -0.28 0.72 0.04 -0.00 -9.43 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	0. 202.67 -0.04 0.05 -0.14 0.49 -0.22 0. 0. 0. 0. -14.78 -9.90	0. 198.20 0. -0.11 -0.30 1.38 0. 0. -14.78 0. -14.80	0. 203.18 0.06 -0.16 0.01 0.05 -0.76 0. 0.06 -9.90 -14.80 0.	-7.63 0. 0.02 0.18 0.84 0.08 0.19 -0.09 0. 0. 0. 0.

Table 24: Raw values of J couplings in D-methionine.

L-methionine	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	H1	H2	H3
C1	0.	128.33	138.70	-5.89	1.56	179.24	162.75	-8.54
C2	128.33	0.	-5.00	171.07	-0.16	-6.21	-6.11	165.85
C3	138.70	-5.00	0.	1.35	-0.91	-8.12	-4.42	5.16
C4	-5.89	171.07	1.35	0.	0.	5.72	4.30	-10.46
C5	1.56	-0.16	-0.91	0.	0.	0.24	0.30	0.01
H1	179.24	-6.21	-8.12	5.72	0.24	0.	-16.249	0.9
H2	162.75	-6.11	-4.42	4.30	0.30	-16.25	0.	10.03
H3	-8.54	165.85	5.16	-10.46	0.01	-16.25	0.	10.03
H4	-7.26	1.76	190.25	-0.23	8.57	14.96	4.189	-0.16
H5	-2.37	9.12	191.77	-0.11	2.37	3.49	2.69	0.14
H6	-0.48	-4.91	0.10	6.22	0.	-0.11	-0.15	11.50
H7	7.15	-4.34	0.48	-0.29	-0.03	0.22	-0.75	9.41
H8	0.41	0.17	1.75	0.06	205.70	-0.12	-0.05	0.01
H9	-0.01	-0.01	9.30	0.	200.39	-0.08	0.	-0.12
H10	0.50	0.08	2.11	0.	203.82	0.06	0.02	-0.25
H11	-0.43	6.71	0.59	-7.48	0.	-0.16	0.05	-0.07
L-methionine	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11
C1	-7.26	-2.37	-0.48	7.15	0.41	-0.01	0.50	-0.43
C2	1.76	9.12	_/ 01	-4.34	0.17	-0.01	0.08	6.71
00			-4.51		0.17	0.01	0.00	
63	190.25	191.77	0.10	0.48	1.75	9.30	2.11	0.59
C3 C4	190.25 -0.23	191.77 -0.11	0.10	0.48 -0.29	0.17 1.75 0.06	9.30 0.	2.11 0.	0.59 -7.48
C3 C4 C5	190.25 -0.23 8.57	191.77 -0.11 2.37	0.10 6.22 0.	0.48 -0.29 -0.03	1.75 0.06 205.70	9.30 0. 200.39	2.11 0. 203.82	0.59 -7.48 0.
C3 C4 C5 H1	190.25 -0.23 8.57 14.96	191.77 -0.11 2.37 3.49	0.10 6.22 0. -0.11	0.48 -0.29 -0.03 0.22	1.75 0.06 205.70 -0.12	9.30 0. 200.39 -0.08	2.11 0. 203.82 0.06	0.59 -7.48 0. -0.16
C3 C4 C5 H1 H2	190.25 -0.23 8.57 14.96 4.19	191.77 -0.11 2.37 3.49 2.69	0.10 6.22 0. -0.11 -0.15	0.48 -0.29 -0.03 0.22 -0.75	0.17 1.75 0.06 205.70 -0.12 -0.05	9.30 0. 200.39 -0.08 0.	2.11 0. 203.82 0.06 0.02	0.59 -7.48 0. -0.16 0.05
C3 C4 C5 H1 H2 H3	190.25 -0.23 8.57 14.96 4.19 4.19	191.77 -0.11 2.37 3.49 2.69 2.69	0.10 6.22 0. -0.11 -0.15 -0.15	0.48 -0.29 -0.03 0.22 -0.75 9.41	0.17 1.75 0.06 205.70 -0.12 -0.05 0.01	9.30 0. 200.39 -0.08 0. -0.12	2.11 0. 203.82 0.06 0.02 -0.25	0.59 -7.48 0. -0.16 0.05 -0.07
C3 C5 H1 H2 H3 H4	190.25 -0.23 8.57 14.96 4.19 4.19 0.	191.77 -0.11 2.37 3.49 2.69 2.69 -14.97	-0.10 -0.11 -0.15 -0.15 0.02	0.48 -0.29 -0.03 0.22 -0.75 9.41 -0.07	0.17 1.75 0.06 205.70 -0.12 -0.05 0.01 -0.77	9.30 0. 200.39 -0.08 0. -0.12 1.34	2.11 0. 203.82 0.06 0.02 -0.25 -0.46	0.59 -7.48 0. -0.16 0.05 -0.07 0.18
C3 C5 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5	190.25 -0.23 8.57 14.96 4.19 4.19 0. -14.97	191.77 -0.11 2.37 3.49 2.69 2.69 -14.97 0.	-0.10 6.22 0. -0.11 -0.15 -0.15 0.02 0.	0.48 -0.29 -0.03 0.22 -0.75 9.41 -0.07 0.	0.17 1.75 0.06 205.70 -0.12 -0.05 0.01 -0.77 0.08	9.30 0. 200.39 -0.08 0. -0.12 1.34 -0.58	2.11 0. 203.82 0.06 0.02 -0.25 -0.46 0.60	0.59 -7.48 0. -0.16 0.05 -0.07 0.18 0.19
C3 C4 C5 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6	190.25 -0.23 8.57 14.96 4.19 0. -14.97 0.02	191.77 -0.11 2.37 3.49 2.69 2.69 -14.97 0. 0.	0.10 6.22 0. -0.11 -0.15 -0.15 0.02 0. 0.	0.48 -0.29 -0.03 0.22 -0.75 9.41 -0.07 0. -11.40	0.17 1.75 0.06 205.70 -0.12 -0.05 0.01 -0.77 0.08 0.06	9.30 0. 200.39 -0.08 0. -0.12 1.34 -0.58 0.	2.11 0. 203.82 0.06 0.02 -0.25 -0.46 0.60 0.	0.59 -7.48 0. -0.16 0.05 -0.07 0.18 0.19 -0.09
C3 C4 C5 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7	190.25 -0.23 8.57 14.96 4.19 0. -14.97 0.02 -0.07	191.77 -0.11 2.37 3.49 2.69 2.69 -14.97 0. 0. 0.	0.10 6.22 0. -0.11 -0.15 -0.15 0.02 0. 0. -11.40	0.48 -0.29 -0.03 0.22 -0.75 9.41 -0.07 0. -11.40 0.	0.17 1.75 0.06 205.70 -0.12 -0.05 0.01 -0.77 0.08 0.06 0.07	9.30 0. 200.39 -0.08 0. -0.12 1.34 -0.58 0. 0.	2.11 0. 203.82 0.06 0.02 -0.25 -0.46 0.60 0. 0.	0.59 -7.48 0. -0.16 0.05 -0.07 0.18 0.19 -0.09 -0.20
C3 C4 C5 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8	190.25 -0.23 8.57 14.96 4.19 0. -14.97 0.02 -0.07 -0.77	191.77 -0.11 2.37 3.49 2.69 2.69 -14.97 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	0.10 6.22 0. -0.11 -0.15 -0.15 0.02 0. 0. -11.40 0.06	0.48 -0.29 -0.03 0.22 -0.75 9.41 -0.07 0. -11.40 0. 0.07	0.17 1.75 0.06 205.70 -0.12 -0.05 0.01 -0.77 0.08 0.06 0.07 0.	9.30 0. 200.39 -0.08 0. -0.12 1.34 -0.58 0. 0. -14.64	2.11 0. 203.82 0.06 0.02 -0.25 -0.46 0.60 0. 0. 0. -10.25	0.59 -7.48 0. -0.16 0.05 -0.07 0.18 0.19 -0.09 -0.20 0.
C3 C4 C5 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 H9	190.25 -0.23 8.57 14.96 4.19 0. -14.97 0.02 -0.07 -0.77 1.34	191.77 -0.11 2.37 3.49 2.69 2.69 -14.97 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 58	0.10 6.22 0. -0.11 -0.15 -0.15 0.02 0. -11.40 0.06 0.	0.48 -0.29 -0.03 0.22 -0.75 9.41 -0.07 0. -11.40 0. 0.07 0.	0.17 1.75 0.06 205.70 -0.12 -0.05 0.01 -0.77 0.08 0.06 0.07 0. -14.64	9.30 0. 200.39 -0.08 0. -0.12 1.34 -0.58 0. 0. -14.64 0.	2.11 0. 203.82 0.06 0.02 -0.25 -0.46 0.60 0. 0. 0. -10.25 -14.17	0.59 -7.48 0. -0.16 0.05 -0.07 0.18 0.19 -0.09 -0.20 0. 0.
C3 C4 C5 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 H9 H10	190.25 -0.23 8.57 14.96 4.19 0. -14.97 0.02 -0.07 -0.77 1.34 -0.46	191.77 -0.11 2.37 3.49 2.69 2.69 -14.97 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	0.10 6.22 0. -0.11 -0.15 -0.15 0.02 0. 0. -11.40 0.06 0. 0.	0.48 -0.29 -0.03 0.22 -0.75 9.41 -0.07 0. -11.40 0. 0.07 0. 0. 0.	0.17 1.75 0.06 205.70 -0.12 -0.05 0.01 -0.77 0.08 0.06 0.07 0. -14.64 -10.25	9.30 0. 200.39 -0.08 0. -0.12 1.34 -0.58 0. 0. -14.64 0. -14.17	2.11 0. 203.82 0.06 0.02 -0.25 -0.46 0.60 0. 0. -10.25 -14.17 0.	0.59 -7.48 0. -0.16 0.05 -0.07 0.18 0.19 -0.09 -0.20 0. 0. 0. 0.

Table 25: Raw values of J couplings in L-methionine.

Phenylalanine	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	H1
C1	0.	-0.01	-0.00	-0.24	0.24	0.00	0.02	-0.02	0.	0.01
C2	-0.01	0.	0.00	0.15	-0.15	0.00	-0.06	0.06	0.	-0.04
C3	-0.00	0.00	0.	-0.00	0.00	-0.02	-0.04	0.04	0.00	-0.18
C4	-0.24	0.15	-0.00	0.	-0.01	0.53	-0.01	-0.03	0.04	0.09
C5	0.24	-0.15	0.00	-0.01	0.	-0.51	0.03	0.01	-0.04	0.16
C6	0.00	0.00	-0.02	0.53	-0.51	0.	-0.11	0.14	0.	-1.26
C7	0.02	-0.06	-0.04	-0.01	0.03	-0.11	0.	-0.00	0.00	-1.45
C8	-0.02	0.06	0.04	-0.03	0.01	0.14	-0.00	0.	-0.00	1.13
C9	0.	0.	0.00	0.04	-0.04	0.	0.00	-0.00	0.	-0.93
H1	0.01	-0.04	-0.18	0.09	0.16	-1.26	-1.45	1.13	-0.93	0.
H2	-0.01	0.03	0.18	-0.16	-0.09	1.27	-1.13	1.47	0.92	-0.00
H3	0.02	0.00	0.03	-0.13	0.12	0.00	-0.44	0.42	0.	0.72
H4	0.02	0.23	0.27	0.01	0.03	0.78	-0.07	-0.01	0.01	-0.43
H5	-0.01	-0.24	-0.27	-0.03	-0.01	-0.81	0.02	0.06	-0.01	-1.06
H6	1.54	-0.25	-0.35	0.	-0.23	1.36	0.	0.	0.	0.15
H7	-1.55	0.24	0.35	0.23	0.	-1.36	0.	0.	0.	-0.34
H8	0.05	0.	-0.00	-0.14	0.02	2.	0.	0.00	0.01	-0.53
H9	-0.05	0.	0.00	-0.02	0.15	-2.	-0.00	-0.00	-0.02	0.
H10	0.	0.	0.	-0.01	0.02	0.	0.06	-0.06	-0.00	0.
H11	-0.08	-0.00	0.2	-0.52	0.55	0.00	2.	-2.	0.	2.
Phenylalanine	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11
Phenylalanine C1	H2 -0.01	H3 0.02	H4 0.02	H5 -0.01	H6 1.54	H7 -1.55	H8 0.05	H9 -0.05	H10 0.	H11 -0.08
Phenylalanine C1 C2	H2 -0.01 0.03	H3 0.02 0.00	H4 0.02 0.23	H5 -0.01 -0.24	H6 1.54 -0.25	H7 -1.55 0.24	H8 0.05 0.	H9 -0.05 0.	H10 0. 0.	H11 -0.08 -0.00
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35	H8 0.05 0. -0.00	H9 -0.05 0. 0.00	H10 0. 0. 0.	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0.	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14	H9 -0.05 0. 0.00 -0.02	H10 0. 0. 0. -0.01	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23 0.	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02	H9 -0.05 0. 0.00 -0.02 0.15	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.55
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23 0. -1.36	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2.	H9 -0.05 0. 0.00 -0.02 0.15 -2.	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0.	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.55 0.00
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27 -1.13	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00 -0.44	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78 -0.07	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81 0.02	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36 0.	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23 0. -1.36 0.	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2. 0.	H9 -0.05 0. 0.00 -0.02 0.15 -2. -0.00	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0. 0.06	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.55 0.00 2.
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27 -1.13 1.47	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00 -0.44 0.42	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78 -0.07 -0.01	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81 0.02 0.06	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36 0. 0.	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23 0. -1.36 0. 0.	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2. 0.	H9 -0.05 0. 0.00 -0.02 0.15 -2. -0.00	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0. 0.06 -0.06	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.55 0.00 2. -2.
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C8 C9	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27 -1.13 1.47 0.92	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00 -0.44 0.42 0.	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78 -0.07 -0.01 0.01	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81 0.02 0.06 -0.01	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36 0. 0. 0.	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23 0. -1.36 0. 0. 0. 0.	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2. 0. 0.00 0.01	H9 -0.05 0. 0.00 -0.02 0.15 -2. -0.00 -0.00	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0. 0.06 -0.06	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.55 0.00 2. -2. 0.
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27 -1.13 1.47 0.92 -0.00	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00 -0.44 0.42 0. 0.72	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78 -0.07 -0.01 0.01 -0.43	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81 0.02 0.06 -0.01 -1.06	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23 0. -1.36 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. -0.34	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2. 0. 0.00 0.01 -0.53	H9 -0.05 0. -0.02 0.15 -2. -0.00 -0.02 0.	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0. 0.06 -0.06 -0.00 0.	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.55 0.00 2. -2. 0. 2. 2.
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27 -1.13 1.47 0.92 -0.00 0.	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00 -0.44 0.42 0. 0.72 -0.72	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78 -0.07 -0.01 0.01 -0.43 1.06	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81 0.02 0.06 -0.01 -1.06 0.44	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 15 0.34	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0. -1.36 0. -0.36 0. -0.34 -0.15	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2. 0. 0.00 0.01 -0.53 0.	H9 -0.05 0. 0.00 -0.02 0.15 -2. -0.00 -0.00 0. 0. 525	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0. 0.06 -0.06 -0.06 0. 0.	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.00 2. -2. 0. 2. 2. 2.
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H2	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27 -1.13 1.47 0.92 -0.00 0. -0.72	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00 -0.44 0.42 0. 0.72 -0.72 0.	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78 -0.07 -0.01 0.01 -0.43 1.06 -0.42	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81 0.02 0.06 -0.01 -1.06 0.44 0.36	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 5 0.34 -1.75	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23 0. -1.36 0. 0. 0. 0. -0.34 -0.15 1.75	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2. 0. 0.00 0.01 -0.53 0. -2.	H9 -0.05 0. 0.00 -0.02 0.15 -2. -0.00 -0.00 -0.02 0. 0.525 2.	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0. 0.06 -0.06 -0.06 0. 0. 0.	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.55 0.00 2. -2. 0. 2. -2. -2. -2.
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27 -1.13 1.47 0.92 -0.00 0. -0.72 1.06	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00 -0.44 0.42 0. 0.72 -0.72 0. -0.42	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78 -0.07 -0.01 0.01 -0.43 1.06 -0.42 0.	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81 0.02 0.06 -0.01 -1.06 0.44 0.36 -0.00	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.5 0.34 -1.75 0.	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23 0. -1.36 0. -1.36 0. 0. 0. -0.34 -0.15 1.75 3.33	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2. 0. 0.01 -0.53 0. -2. -2.	H9 -0.05 0. -0.02 0.15 -2. -0.00 -0.02 0. 0.525 2. -0.02	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.05 0.00 2. -2. 0. 2. -2. -0.01 2.
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27 -1.13 1.47 0.92 -0.00 0. -0.72 1.06 0.44	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00 -0.44 0.42 0. 0.72 -0.72 0. -0.42 0.36	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78 -0.07 -0.01 0.01 -0.43 1.06 -0.42 0. -0.00	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81 0.02 0.06 -0.01 -1.06 0.44 0.36 -0.00 0.	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.5 0.34 -1.75 0. -3.	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0. -1.36 0. -1.36 0. 0. -0.34 -0.15 1.75 3.33 0.	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2. 0. 0. 0.01 -0.53 0. -2. -0.00 0.02	H9 -0.05 0. 0.00 -0.02 0.15 -2. -0.00 -0.00 0. 0.525 2. -0.02 0.00	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.00 2. -2. 0. 2. -2. -0.01 2. -2. -2.
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27 -1.13 1.47 0.92 -0.00 0. -0.72 1.06 0.44 0.34	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00 -0.44 0.42 0. 0.72 -0.72 0. -0.72 0. -0.42 0.36 -1.75	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78 -0.07 -0.01 0.01 -0.43 1.06 -0.42 0. -0.00 0.	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81 0.02 0.06 -0.01 -1.06 0.44 0.36 -0.00 0. -3.	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.36 0. 0. 3. -1.75 0. -3. 0.	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23 0. -1.36 0. -1.36 0. 0. -0.34 -0.15 1.75 3.33 0. -0.01	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2. 0. 0.00 0.01 -0.53 0. -2. -0.00 0.02 0.	H9 -0.05 0. 0.00 -0.02 -0.00 -0.00 -0.02 0. 0. 525 2. -0.02 0.00 0. 0.	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0. 0.06 -0.06 -0.06 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.00 2. -2. -2. -0.01 2. -2. -2. -2.
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27 -1.13 1.47 0.92 -0.00 0. -0.72 1.06 0.44 0.34 -0.15	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00 -0.44 0.42 0. 0.72 -0.72 0. -0.42 0.36 -1.75 1.75	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78 -0.07 -0.01 0.01 -0.43 1.06 -0.42 0. -0.42 0. -0.00 0. 3.33	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81 0.02 0.06 -0.01 -1.06 0.44 0.36 -0.00 0. -3. 0.	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.75 0.34 -1.75 0. -3. 0. -0.01	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23 0. -1.36 0. -1.36 0. 0. -0.34 -0.15 1.75 3.33 0. -0.01 0.	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2. 0. 0.00 0.01 -0.53 0. -2. -0.00 0.02 0. 0. 2. 0.	H9 -0.05 0. -0.02 0.15 -2. -0.00 -0.02 0. 0.525 2. -0.02 2. -0.02 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0. 0.06 -0.00 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.05 2. -2. 0. 2. -2. -0.01 2. -2. -2. -2. -2. -2. -2. -2. -2.
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27 -1.13 1.47 0.92 -0.00 0. -0.72 1.06 0.44 0.34 -0.15 0.	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00 -0.44 0.42 0. 0.72 -0.72 0. -0.42 0.36 -1.75 1.75 -2.	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78 -0.07 -0.01 0.01 -0.43 1.06 -0.42 0. -0.00 0. 3.33 -0.00	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81 0.02 0.06 -0.01 -1.06 0.44 0.36 -0.00 0. -3. 0. 0. 0.2	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.75 0. -3. 0. -3. 0. -0.01 0.	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23 0. -1.36 0. -1.36 0. -0.34 -0.15 1.75 3.33 0. -0.01 0. 0.	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2. 0. 0.01 -0.53 0. -2. -0.00 0.02 0.02 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H9 -0.05 0. 0.00 -0.02 -0.00 -0.00 -0.00 0. 525 2. -0.02 0.00 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0. 0.06 -0.06 -0.06 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.00 2. -2. -2. -2. -2. -2. -2. -2. 2. 2. 2.
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 H9	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27 -1.13 1.47 0.92 -0.00 0. -0.72 1.06 0.44 0.34 -0.15 0. 0. 53	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00 -0.44 0.42 0. 0.72 -0.72 0. -0.72 0. -0.42 0.36 -1.75 1.75 -2. 2.	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78 -0.07 -0.01 0.01 -0.43 1.06 -0.42 0. -0.42 0. -0.00 0. 3.33 -0.00 -0.02	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81 0.02 0.06 -0.01 -1.06 0.44 0.36 -0.00 0. -3. 0. 0.02 0.002 0.00	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.36 0. 0. 0. 3. 0. -3. 0. -0.01 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23 0. -1.36 0. -1.36 0. -0.34 -0.15 1.75 3.33 0. -0.01 0. 0. 0. 0.	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2. 0. 0.00 0.01 -0.53 0. -2. -0.00 0.02 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H9 -0.05 0. 0.00 -0.02 -0.00 -0.00 -0.02 0. 0. 525 2. -0.02 0.00 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0. 0.06 -0.06 -0.06 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.00 2. -2. 0. 2. -2. -2. 2. -2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.
Phenylalanine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 H9 H10	H2 -0.01 0.03 0.18 -0.16 -0.09 1.27 -1.13 1.47 0.92 -0.00 0. -0.72 1.06 0.44 0.34 -0.15 0. 0.53 0.	H3 0.02 0.00 0.03 -0.13 0.12 0.00 -0.44 0.42 0. 0.72 -0.72 0. -0.72 0. -0.42 0.36 -1.75 1.75 -2. 2. 0.	H4 0.02 0.23 0.27 0.01 0.03 0.78 -0.07 -0.01 0.01 -0.43 1.06 -0.42 0. -0.42 0. -0.00 0. 3.33 -0.00 -0.02 0.04	H5 -0.01 -0.24 -0.27 -0.03 -0.01 -0.81 0.02 0.06 -0.01 -1.06 0.44 0.36 -0.00 0. -3. 0. 0. 0. 20.00 -0.04	H6 1.54 -0.25 -0.35 0. -0.23 1.36 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.75 0. 3. 0. -3. 0. -0.01 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H7 -1.55 0.24 0.35 0.23 0. -1.36 0. -1.36 0. 0. -0.34 -0.15 1.75 3.33 0. -0.01 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H8 0.05 0. -0.00 -0.14 0.02 2. 0. 0.00 0.01 -0.53 0. -2. -0.00 0.02 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H9 -0.05 0. -0.02 0.15 -2. -0.00 -0.02 0. 0.525 2. -0.02 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H10 0. 0. -0.01 0.02 0. 0.06 -0.00 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H11 -0.08 -0.00 0.2 -0.52 0.00 2. -2. 0. 2. -2. -0.01 2. -2. -2. -2. -2. 2. -2. 2. -2. 0.

Table 26: Numerical values of the fractional deviation [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for pheny-

lalanine.

D-ph.	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	H1
C1	0.	94.48	153.16	-4.33	-3.40	-7.30	3.51	3.58	-1.17	170.41
C2	94.48	0.	-7.72	2.10	2.44	177.46	-1.40	-1.32	0.	-7.13
C3	153.16	-7.72	0.	249.36	248.63	0.54	-3.35	-3.22	8.65	-7.99
C4	-4.33	2.10	249.36	0.	-3.88	-0.05	275.77	8.16	-3.16	4.07
C5	-3.40	2.44	248.63	-3.88	0.	-0.09	7.96	273.85	-3.28	6.31
C6	-7.30	177.46	0.54	-0.05	-0.09	0.	0.04	0.03	0.	9.04
C7	3.51	-1.40	-3.35	275.77	7.96	0.04	0.	-2.20	265.94	-0.63
C8	3.58	-1.32	-3.22	8.16	273.85	0.03	-2.20	0.	267.09	0.28
C9	-1.17	0.	8.65	-3.16	-3.28	0.	265.94	267.09	0.	0.87
H1	170.41	-7.13	-7.99	4.07	6.31	9.04	-0.63	0.28	0.87	0.
H2	172.12	-6.90	-6.71	7.45	4.47	2.03	1.02	-0.09	0.32	-12.93
H3	-2.84	178.18	0.69	-0.18	-0.16	-7.68	0.12	0.08	0.	5.18
H4	3.47	-0.65	-0.84	210.66	6.52	0.04	-2.03	-1.35	7.64	-0.57
H5	3.53	-0.82	-1.12	6.69	213.73	0.09	-1.33	-1.90	7.74	-0.44
H6	0.32	-5.68	-0.40	0.	-0.05	2.31	0.	0.	0.	-0.29
H7	2.48	-4.44	-0.28	-0.04	0.	12.03	0.	0.	0.	-0.28
H8	0.62	0.	7.73	-1.71	-1.70	0.	216.41	7.88	-1.40	0.20
H9	0.65	0.	7.71	-1.73	-1.47	0.00	7.92	216.46	-1.41	0.
H10	0.	0.	-1.79	7.80	7.69	0.	-1.13	-1.20	218.17	0.
H11	0.30	8.55	-0.01	0.05	0.03	-7.83	0.	0.03	0.04	0.
D-ph.	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11
C1	172.12	-2.84	3.47	3.53	0.32	2.48	0.62	0.65	0.	0.30
C2	-6.90	178.18	-0.65	-0.82	-5.68	-4.44	0.	0.	0.	8.55
C3	-6.71	0.69	-0.84	-1.12	-0.40	-0.28	7.73	7.71	-1.79	-0.01
C4	7.45	-0.18	210.66	6.69	0.	-0.04	-1.71	-1.73	7.80	0.05
C5	4.47	-0.16	6.52	213.73	-0.05	0.	-1.70	-1.47	7.69	0.03
C6	2.03	-7.68	0.04	0.09	2.31	12.03	0.	0.00	0.	-7.83
C7	1.02	0.12	-2.03	-1.33	0.	0.	216.41	7.92	-1.13	0.
C8	-0.09	0.08	-1.35	-1.90	0.	0.	7.88	216.46	-1.20	0.03
C9	0.32	0.	7.63	7.74	0.	0.	-1.40	-1.41	218.17	0.04
H1	-12.93	5.18	-0.57	-0.44	-0.29	-0.28	0.20	0.	0.	0.
H2	0.	10.97	-0.13	-0.37	-0.20	-0.34	0.	0.12	0.	-0.06
H3	10.97	0.	0.06	0.03	15.00	1.01	-0.03	0.	0.	1.16
H4	-0.13	0.06	0.	1.86	0.	0.00	6.75	0.75	1.11	0.
H5	-0.37	0.03	1.86	0.	-0.01	0.	0.74	6.74	1.16	-0.02
H6	-0.20	15.00	0.	-0.01	0.	-9.67	0.	0.	0.	-0.07
H7	-0.34	1.01	0.00	0.	-9.67	0.	0.	0.	0.	0.
H8	0.	-0.03	6.75	0.74	0.	0.	0.	1.28	6.59	0.
H9	0.12	0.00	0.75	6.74	0.00	0.	1.28	0.	6.61	0.01
H10	0.	0.	1.11	1.16	0.	0.	6.59	6.61	0.	0.
H11	-0.06	1.16	0.	-0.02	-0.07	0.	0.	0.00	0.	0.

Table 27: Raw values of J couplings in D-phenylalanine.

L-ph.	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	H1
C1	0.00	94.00	153.04	-3.41	-4.33	-7.33	3.58	3.51	-1.17	172.23
C2	93.99	0.00	-7.75	2.43	2.10	177.57	-1.32	-1.40	0.00	-6.86
C3	153.04	-7.75	0.00	248.85	249.40	0.53	-3.23	-3.35	8.65	-6.65
C4	-3.41	2.43	248.85	0.00	-3.85	-0.09	273.88	7.95	-3.28	4.46
C5	-4.33	2.10	249.40	-3.85	0.00	-0.06	8.16	275.73	-3.16	7.44
C6	-7.33	177.57	0.53	-0.09	-0.06	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.00	2.06
C7	3.57	-1.32	-3.23	273.88	8.16	0.03	0.00	-2.20	267.17	-0.10
C8	3.51	-1.40	-3.35	7.95	275.73	0.04	-2.20	0.00	266.00	1.02
C9	-1.17	0.00	8.65	-3.28	-3.16	0.00	267.17	266.00	0.00	0.32
H1	172.23	-6.86	-6.65	4.46	7.44	2.06	-0.10	1.02	0.32	0.00
H2	170.49	-7.14	-8.00	6.33	4.07	9.02	0.28	-0.63	0.87	-12.92
НЗ	-2.89	178.20	0.71	-0.16	-0.18	-7.68	0.08	0.12	0.00	10.95
H4	3.53	-0.82	-1.10	213.75	6.69	0.08	-1.89	-1.33	7.73	-0.37
H5	3.48	-0.64	-0.84	6.52	210.70	0.04	-1.35	-2.02	7.63	-0.13
H6	2.46	-4.41	-0.28	0.00	-0.04	12.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.33
H7	0.31	-5.67	-0.39	-0.05	0.00	2.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.20
H8	0.65	0.00	7.71	-1.48	-1.72	0.00	216.40	7.92	-1.41	0.12
H9	0.61	0.00	7.72	-1.70	-1.72	0.00	7.89	216.41	-1.39	0.00
H10	0.00	0.00	-1.78	7.69	7.80	0.00	-1.19	-1.13	218.16	0.00
H11	0.28	8.54	-0.01	0.03	0.05	-7.83	0.03	0.00	0.04	-0.05
L-ph.	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11
C1	170.49	-2.89	3.53	3.48	2.46	0.31	0.65	0.61	0.00	0.28
C2	-7.14	178.20	-0.82	-0.64	-4.41	-5.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	8.54
C3	-8.00	0.71	-1.10	-0.84	-0.28	-0.39	7.71	7.72	-1.78	-0.01
C4	6.33	-0.16	213.75	6.52	0.00	-0.05	-1.48	-1.70	7.69	0.03
C5	4.07	-0.18	6.69	210.70	-0.04	0.00	-1.72	-1.72	7.80	0.05
C6	9.02	-7.68	0.08	0.03	12.03	2.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	-7.83
C7	0.28	0.08	-1.89	-1.35	0.00	0.00	216.40	7.89	-1.19	0.02
C8	-0.63	0.12	-1.33	-2.02	0.00	0.00	7.92	216.41	-1.13	0.00
C9	0.87	0.00	7.73	7.63	0.00	0.00	-1.41	-1.39	218.16	0.03
H1	-12.92	10.95	-0.37	-0.13	-0.33	-0.20	0.12	0.00	0.00	-0.06
H2	0.00	5.14	-0.43	-0.57	-0.28	-0.29	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.00
H3	5.14	0.00	0.04	0.06	1.01	15.02	0.00	-0.03	0.00	1.15
H4	-0.43	0.04	0.00	1.85	0.00	-0.00	6.73	0.73	1.15	-0.02
H5	-0.57	0.06	1.85	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.74	6.74	1.11	0.00
H6	-0.28	1.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	-9.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
H7	-0.29	15.03	-0.00	0.00	-9.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.08
H8	0.00	0.00	6.73	0.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.29	6.61	0.00
H9	0.20	-0.03	0.73	6.74	0.00	0.00	1.29	0.00	6.59	0.00
H10	0.00	0.00	1.15	1.11	0.00	0.00	6.61	6.59	0.00	0.00
H11	0.00	1.15	-0.02	0.00	0.00	-0.08	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table 28: Raw values of J couplings in L-phenylalanine.

Serine	C1	C2	C3	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7
C1	0.00	0.17	-0.06	-0.04	0.54	0.06	0.09	0.51	-1.65	0.14
C2	0.17	0.00	-0.18	-0.00	0.04	-0.01	-2.24	1.14	-0.01	-2.91
C3	-0.06	-0.18	0.00	-0.57	0.88	-1.26	-0.38	-2.04	0.27	0.08
H1	-0.04	-0.00	-0.57	0.00	-0.48	0.78	0.11	2.14	-1.45	2.13
H2	0.54	0.04	0.88	-0.48	0.00	0.03	0.23	0.74	1.61	1.83
H3	0.06	-0.01	-1.26	0.78	0.03	0.00	-0.44	-0.51	0.07	-128.00
H4	0.09	-2.24	-0.38	0.11	0.23	-0.44	0.00	0.25	-0.22	0.56
H5	0.51	1.14	-2.04	2.14	0.74	-0.51	0.25	0.00	1.34	2.00
H6	-1.65	-0.01	0.27	-1.45	1.61	0.07	-0.22	1.34	0.00	-1.21
H7	0.14	-2.91	0.08	2.13	1.83	-128.00	0.56	2.00	-1.21	0.00

Table 29: Numerical values of the fractional deviation [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for serine.

D-serine	C1	C2	C3	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7
C1	0.00	104.06	180.41	176.84	-1.52	-5.54	-4.93	-2.84	1.24	6.61
C2	104.06	0.00	-9.32	-8.32	178.77	184.34	-1.25	3.72	-4.49	-0.44
C3	180.41	-9.32	0.00	-8.88	3.18	9.28	4.48	10.84	-0.07	-7.22
H1	176.84	-8.32	-8.88	0.00	10.24	4.99	12.81	-0.16	-0.27	-0.02
H2	-1.52	178.77	3.18	10.24	0.00	-12.13	-0.15	-0.23	0.19	0.01
H3	-5.54	184.34	9.28	4.99	-12.13	0.00	-0.20	-0.36	14.93	0.06
H4	-4.93	-1.25	4.48	12.81	-0.15	-0.20	0.00	-8.57	-0.03	-0.09
H5	-2.84	3.72	10.84	-0.16	-0.23	-0.36	-8.57	0.00	-0.04	0.00
H6	1.24	-4.49	-0.07	-0.27	0.19	14.93	-0.03	-0.04	0.00	-0.16
H7	6.61	-0.44	-7.22	-0.02	0.01	0.06	-0.09	0.00	-0.16	0.00

Table 30: Raw values of J couplings in D-serine.

L-serine	C1	C2	C3	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7
C1	0.00	123.13	170.37	170.10	-2.65	-5.86	-5.42	-4.77	0.12	7.64
C2	123.13	0.00	-7.76	-8.31	186.71	182.41	0.07	13.50	-4.46	0.08
C3	170.37	-7.76	0.00	-4.93	8.17	2.11	3.06	-0.11	-0.09	-7.81
H1	170.10	-8.31	-4.93	0.00	6.25	11.44	14.34	4.69	-0.04	0.60
H2	-2.65	186.71	8.17	6.25	0.00	-12.44	-0.19	-0.51	1.80	0.32
H3	-5.86	182.41	2.11	11.44	-12.44	0.00	-0.13	-0.22	15.99	-0.06
H4	-5.42	0.07	3.06	14.34	-0.19	-0.13	0.00	-11.04	-0.02	-0.16
H5	-4.77	13.50	-0.11	4.69	-0.51	-0.22	-11.04	0.00	-0.21	-0.04
H6	0.12	-4.46	-0.09	-0.04	1.80	15.99	-0.02	-0.21	0.00	-0.04
H7	7.64	0.08	-7.81	0.60	0.32	-0.06	-0.16	-0.04	-0.04	0.00

Table 31: Raw values of J couplings in L-serine.

Threonine	C1	C2	C3	C4	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9
C1	0.00	0.11	0.05	-2.42	-5.61	-0.02	-0.76	1.62	-0.18	3.75	1.64	-0.91	-1.55
C2	0.11	0.00	0.62	0.29	5.01	0.74	-1.69	1.98	0.85	-0.07	1.72	-1.60	0.20
C3	0.05	0.62	0.00	3.13	-0.58	0.22	0.03	0.01	-0.01	-0.36	-1.80	1.81	2.00
C4	-2.42	0.29	3.13	0.00	-8.38	3.58	-2.30	-0.95	-1.90	0.64	-1.53	-2.05	0.68
H1	-5.61	5.01	-0.58	-8.38	0.00	2.24	-2.15	-1.17	3.56	-0.53	0.93	-1.17	0.72
H2	-0.02	0.74	0.22	3.58	2.24	0.00	-1.08	1.31	0.06	-1.51	0.05	-0.87	1.43
H3	-0.76	-1.69	0.03	-2.30	-2.15	-1.08	0.00	-0.04	-0.16	3.49	3.12	2.63	2.00
H4	1.62	1.98	0.01	-0.95	-1.17	1.31	-0.04	0.00	-0.01	-1.09	3.24	-1.81	0.00
H5	-0.18	0.85	-0.01	-1.90	3.56	0.06	-0.16	-0.01	0.00	-0.95	-25.36	-1.14	2.00
H6	3.75	-0.07	-0.36	0.64	-0.53	-1.51	3.49	-1.09	-0.95	0.00	-0.09	-1.38	-1.97
H7	1.64	1.72	-1.80	-1.53	0.93	0.05	3.12	3.24	-25.36	-0.09	0.00	8.91	2.00
H8	-0.91	-1.60	1.81	-2.05	-1.17	-0.87	2.63	-1.81	-1.14	-1.38	8.91	0.00	-0.79
H9	-1.55	0.20	2.00	0.68	0.72	1.43	2.00	0.00	2.00	-1.97	2.00	-0.79	0.00

Table 32: Numerical values of the fractional deviation [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for threonine.

D-threonine	C1	C2	C3	C4	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9
C1	0.00	97.40	141.88	79.49	17.04	186.12	-6.07	-0.76	-9.84	-0.47	0.42	-11.15	-2.34
C2	97.40	0.00	-3.99	129.45	-71.73	-1.28	6.63	0.05	1.24	-4.85	-0.32	6.55	5.69
C3	141.88	-3.99	0.00	-0.45	11.35	-4.19	170.84	172.58	176.55	-0.14	-0.41	0.48	0.00
C4	79.49	129.45	-0.45	0.00	13.77	-2.45	1.54	-0.53	2.34	3.31	10.45	10.95	-3.89
H1	17.04	-71.73	11.35	13.77	0.00	-0.32	2.39	4.59	0.11	10.56	3.54	-0.35	0.13
H2	186.12	-1.28	-4.19	-2.45	-0.32	0.00	5.68	1.01	11.81	-0.18	-0.34	1.92	0.03
H3	-6.07	6.63	170.84	1.54	2.39	5.68	0.00	-14.95	-15.74	-0.02	-0.05	-0.54	0.00
H4	-0.76	0.05	172.58	-0.53	4.59	1.01	-14.95	0.00	-13.96	0.08	0.03	-0.90	0.00
H5	-9.84	1.24	176.55	2.34	0.11	11.81	-15.74	-13.96	0.00	-0.14	-0.17	-0.50	0.00
H6	-0.47	-4.85	-0.14	3.31	10.56	-0.18	-0.02	0.08	-0.14	0.00	-10.99	-0.38	-0.14
H7	0.42	-0.32	-0.41	10.45	3.54	-0.34	-0.05	0.03	-0.17	-10.99	0.00	0.04	0.00
H8	-11.15	6.55	0.48	10.95	-0.35	1.92	-0.54	-0.90	-0.50	-0.38	0.04	0.00	-0.21
H9	-2.34	5.69	0.00	-3.89	0.13	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.14	0.00	-0.21	0.00

Table 33: Raw values of J couplings in D-threonine.

L-threonine	C1	C2	C3	C4	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9
C1	0.00	108.73	149.19	-7.48	-8.08	183.24	-2.73	-7.20	-8.21	1.54	4.27	-4.15	-0.30
C2	108.73	0.00	-7.60	172.85	166.93	-2.76	0.55	9.38	3.06	-4.54	-4.21	0.72	6.97
C3	149.19	-7.60	0.00	2.05	6.21	-5.23	175.27	174.38	174.24	-0.09	-0.02	9.74	0.06
C4	-7.48	172.85	2.05	0.00	-8.46	8.64	-0.11	-0.19	0.06	6.46	1.38	-0.14	-7.87
H1	-8.08	166.93	6.21	-8.46	0.00	5.52	-0.08	1.21	-0.39	6.13	9.69	-0.09	0.27
H2	183.24	-2.76	-5.23	8.64	5.52	0.00	1.69	4.83	12.52	-0.03	-0.35	0.76	0.17
H3	-2.73	0.55	175.27	-0.11	-0.08	1.69	0.00	-14.32	-13.45	0.08	0.24	3.96	-0.05
H4	-7.20	9.38	174.38	-0.19	1.21	4.83	-14.32	0.00	-13.79	0.02	-0.14	-0.05	0.00
H5	-8.21	3.06	174.24	0.06	-0.39	12.52	-13.45	-13.79	0.00	-0.05	0.15	-0.14	-0.02
H6	1.54	-4.54	-0.09	6.46	6.13	-0.03	0.08	0.02	-0.05	0.00	-10.00	-0.07	-0.00
H7	4.27	-4.21	-0.02	1.38	9.69	-0.35	0.24	-0.14	0.15	-10.00	0.00	-0.06	-0.14
H8	-4.15	0.72	9.74	-0.14	-0.09	0.76	3.96	-0.05	-0.14	-0.07	-0.06	0.00	-0.09
H9	-0.30	6.97	0.06	-7.87	0.27	0.17	-0.05	0.00	-0.02	-0.00	-0.14	-0.09	0.00

Table 34: Raw values of J couplings in L-threonine.

Tyrosine	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	H1
C1	0.00	-0.00	-0.00	-0.23	0.23	0.01	0.02	-0.02	0.00	0.01
C2	-0.00	0.00	-0.00	0.20	-0.20	-0.00	-0.10	0.09	0.00	-0.01
C3	-0.00	-0.00	0.00	0.01	-0.00	-0.03	-0.03	0.03	0.00	-0.17
C4	-0.23	0.20	0.01	0.00	-0.01	0.67	0.01	0.02	5.13	0.10
C5	0.23	-0.20	-0.00	-0.01	0.00	-0.70	-0.02	-0.01	-5.12	0.16
C6	0.01	-0.00	-0.03	0.67	-0.70	0.00	0.06	-0.05	0.00	-1.27
C7	0.02	-0.10	-0.03	0.01	-0.02	0.06	0.00	-0.00	-0.09	-1.22
C8	-0.02	0.09	0.03	0.02	-0.01	-0.05	0.00	0.00	0.09	1.03
C9	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.13	-5.11	0.00	-0.09	0.09	0.00	-0.94
H1	0.01	-0.01	-0.17	0.10	0.16	-1.27	-1.22	1.03	-0.94	0.00
H2	-0.01	0.00	0.16	-0.16	-0.10	1.27	-1.07	1.19	0.92	-0.00
H3	0.02	0.00	-0.00	-0.11	0.10	0.00	-0.36	0.36	0.00	0.73
H4	0.03	0.26	0.41	0.02	0.02	0.79	0.07	0.00	0.01	-0.42
H5	-0.02	-0.28	-0.42	-0.02	-0.01	-0.81	-0.00	-0.08	-0.01	-1.11
H6	1.38	-0.24	-0.30	0.00	-0.27	1.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18
H7	-1.44	0.24	0.28	0.25	0.00	-1.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.34
H8	-0.08	0.00	-0.08	0.16	-0.06	2.00	-0.04	-0.05	-0.43	-0.50
H9	0.09	0.00	0.08	0.06	-0.16	-2.00	0.05	0.04	0.43	0.00
H10	-0.09	-0.00	0.10	-0.49	0.51	-0.01	2.00	-2.00	0.00	2.00
H11	0.00	0.00	0.00	-2.09	2.09	0.00	-1.32	1.32	0.00	0.00
Tyrosine	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11
Tyrosine C1	H2 -0.01	H3 0.02	H4 0.03	H5 -0.02	H6 1.38	H7 -1.44	H8 -0.08	H9 0.09	H10 -0.09	H11 0.00
Tyrosine C1 C2	H2 -0.01 0.00	H3 0.02 0.00	H4 0.03 0.26	H5 -0.02 -0.28	H6 1.38 -0.24	H7 -1.44 0.24	H8 -0.08 0.00	H9 0.09 0.00	H10 -0.09 -0.00	H11 0.00 0.00
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3	H2 -0.01 0.00 0.16	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.00	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.28	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08	H9 0.09 0.00 0.08	H10 -0.09 -0.00 0.10	H11 0.00 0.00 0.00
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4	H2 -0.01 0.00 0.16 -0.16	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.00 -0.11	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.02	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.28 0.25	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16	H9 0.09 0.00 0.08 0.06	H10 -0.09 -0.00 0.10 -0.49	H11 0.00 0.00 0.00 -2.09
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5	H2 -0.01 0.00 0.16 -0.16 -0.10	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.00 -0.11 0.10	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.02	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.02 -0.01	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.28 0.25 0.00	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16 -0.06	H9 0.09 0.00 0.08 0.06 -0.16	H10 -0.09 -0.00 0.10 -0.49 0.51	H11 0.00 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6	H2 -0.01 0.00 0.16 -0.16 -0.10 1.27	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.00 -0.11 0.10 0.00	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.02 0.79	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.02 -0.01 -0.81	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.28 0.25 0.00 -1.38	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16 -0.06 2.00	H9 0.09 0.00 0.08 0.06 -0.16 -2.00	H10 -0.09 -0.00 0.10 -0.49 0.51 -0.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C5 C6 C7	H2 -0.01 0.00 0.16 -0.16 -0.10 1.27 -1.07	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.00 -0.11 0.10 0.00 -0.36	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.02 0.79 0.07	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.02 -0.01 -0.81 -0.00	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40 0.00	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.28 0.25 0.00 -1.38 0.00	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16 -0.06 2.00 -0.04	H9 0.09 0.00 0.08 0.06 -0.16 -2.00 0.05	H10 -0.09 -0.00 -0.49 0.51 -0.00 2.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00 -1.32
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C6 C7 C8	H2 -0.01 0.00 0.16 -0.16 1.27 -1.07 1.19	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.00 -0.11 0.10 0.00 -0.36 0.36	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.02 0.79 0.07 0.00	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.02 -0.01 -0.81 -0.00 -0.08	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40 0.00 0.00	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.25 0.00 -1.38 0.00 0.00	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16 2.00 -0.04 -0.05	H9 0.09 0.00 0.08 0.06 -0.16 -2.00 0.05 0.04	H10 -0.09 -0.00 0.10 -0.49 0.51 -0.00 2.00 -2.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00 -1.32 1.32
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C7 C8 C9	H2 -0.01 0.00 -0.16 -0.10 1.27 -1.07 1.19 0.92	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.00 -0.11 0.10 0.00 -0.36 0.36	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.02 0.79 0.07 0.00 0.01	H5 -0.28 -0.28 -0.42 -0.02 -0.01 -0.81 -0.00 -0.08 -0.01	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40 0.00 0.00 0.00	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.25 0.00 -1.38 0.00 0.00 0.00	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16 -0.06 2.00 -0.04 -0.05 -0.43	H9 0.09 0.08 0.06 -0.16 -2.00 0.05 0.04 0.43	H10 -0.09 -0.00 -0.49 0.51 -0.00 2.00 -2.00 0.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00 -1.32 1.32 0.00
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1	H2 -0.01 0.00 -0.16 -0.10 1.27 -1.07 1.19 0.92 -0.00	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.11 0.10 0.00 -0.36 0.36 0.00 0.73	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.02 0.79 0.07 0.00 0.01 -0.42	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.02 -0.01 -0.81 -0.00 -0.08 -0.01 -1.12	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.25 0.00 -1.38 0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.34	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 -0.06 2.00 -0.04 -0.05 -0.43 -0.50	H9 0.09 0.00 0.08 0.06 -0.16 -2.00 0.05 0.04 0.43 0.00	H10 -0.09 -0.00 -0.49 0.51 -0.00 2.00 -2.00 0.00 2.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00 -1.32 1.32 0.00 0.00
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2	H2 -0.01 0.00 0.16 -0.10 1.27 -1.07 1.19 0.92 -0.00 0.00	H3 0.02 -0.00 -0.11 0.10 0.00 -0.36 0.36 0.00 0.73 -0.73	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.79 0.07 0.00 0.01 -0.42 1.09	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.01 -0.01 -0.01 -0.08 -0.01 -1.12 0.43	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.34	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.25 0.00 -1.38 0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.34 -0.19	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16 -0.06 2.00 -0.04 -0.05 -0.43 -0.50 0.00	H9 0.09 0.08 0.06 -0.16 -2.00 0.05 0.04 0.43 0.00 0.49	H10 -0.09 -0.00 0.10 -0.49 0.51 -0.00 2.00 -2.00 2.00 -2.00 -2.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00 -1.32 1.32 0.00 0.00 0.00
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3	H2 -0.01 0.16 -0.16 -0.10 1.27 -1.07 1.19 0.92 -0.00 0.00 -0.73	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.11 0.10 0.00 -0.36 0.36 0.00 0.73 -0.73 0.00	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.02 0.79 0.07 0.00 0.01 -0.42 1.09 -0.32	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.02 -0.01 -0.81 -0.00 -0.08 -0.01 -1.12 0.43 0.32	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.34 -1.73	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.25 0.00 -1.38 0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.34 -0.19 1.72	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16 -0.06 2.00 -0.04 -0.05 -0.43 -0.50 0.00 -2.00	H9 0.09 0.08 0.06 -0.16 -2.00 0.05 0.04 0.43 0.00 0.49 2.00	H10 -0.09 -0.00 -0.49 0.51 -0.00 2.00 -2.00 2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00 -1.32 1.32 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4	H2 -0.01 0.00 -0.16 -0.10 1.27 -1.07 1.19 0.92 -0.00 0.00 -0.73 1.09	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.11 0.10 0.00 -0.36 0.36 0.00 0.73 -0.73 0.00 -0.32	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.02 0.79 0.07 0.00 0.01 -0.42 1.09 -0.32 0.00	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.02 -0.01 -0.81 -0.00 -0.08 -0.01 -1.12 0.43 0.32 -0.00	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.34 -1.73 0.00	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.25 0.00 -1.38 0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.34 -0.19 1.72 3.33	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16 2.00 -0.04 -0.04 -0.43 -0.50 0.00 -2.00 -0.04	H9 0.09 0.00 0.08 0.06 -0.16 -2.00 0.05 0.04 0.43 0.00 0.49 2.00 0.09	H10 -0.09 -0.00 -0.49 0.51 -0.00 2.00 -2.00 0.00 2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -0.01 2.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00 -1.32 1.32 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.00
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5	H2 -0.01 0.00 -0.16 -0.10 1.27 -1.07 1.19 0.92 -0.00 0.00 -0.73 1.09 0.44	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.00 -0.11 0.00 -0.36 0.36 0.00 0.73 -0.73 0.00 -0.32 0.32	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.79 0.07 0.00 0.01 -0.42 1.09 -0.32 0.00 -0.00	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.01 -0.81 -0.00 -0.08 -0.01 -1.12 0.43 0.32 -0.00 0.00	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.34 -1.73 0.00 -3.33	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.25 0.00 -1.38 0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.34 -0.19 1.72 3.33 0.00	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16 2.00 -0.04 -0.05 -0.43 -0.50 0.00 -2.00 -0.04 -0.09	H9 0.09 0.08 0.06 -0.16 -2.00 0.05 0.04 0.43 0.00 0.49 2.00 0.09 0.04	H10 -0.09 -0.00 -0.49 0.51 -0.00 2.00 -2.00 2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00 -1.32 1.32 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.00 -2.00
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6	H2 -0.01 0.00 -0.16 -0.10 1.27 -1.07 1.19 0.92 -0.00 0.00 -0.73 1.09 0.44 0.34	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.11 0.10 0.00 -0.36 0.36 0.00 0.73 -0.73 0.00 -0.32 0.32 -1.73	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.79 0.07 0.00 0.01 -0.42 1.09 -0.32 0.00 -0.00 0.00	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.01 -0.81 -0.00 -0.08 -0.01 -1.12 0.43 0.32 -0.00 0.00 -3.33	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.34 -1.73 0.00 -3.33 0.00	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.25 0.00 -1.38 0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.34 -0.19 1.72 3.33 0.00 -0.01	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16 2.00 -0.04 -0.05 -0.43 -0.50 0.00 -2.00 -2.00 -0.04 -0.09 0.00	H9 0.09 0.08 0.06 -0.16 -2.00 0.05 0.04 0.43 0.00 0.49 2.00 0.09 0.04 0.00	H10 -0.09 -0.00 -0.49 0.51 -0.00 2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00 -1.32 1.32 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.00 -2.00 0.00
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7	H2 -0.01 0.00 -0.16 -0.10 1.27 -1.07 1.19 0.92 -0.00 0.00 -0.73 1.09 0.44 0.34 -0.19	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.11 0.10 0.00 -0.36 0.36 0.00 0.73 -0.73 0.00 -0.32 0.32 -1.73 1.72	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.79 0.07 0.00 0.01 -0.42 1.09 -0.32 0.00 -0.00 0.00 3.33	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.02 -0.01 -0.81 -0.00 -0.08 -0.01 -1.12 0.43 0.32 -0.00 0.00 -3.33 0.00	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.34 -1.73 0.00 -3.33 0.00 -3.01	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.25 0.00 -1.38 0.00 0.00 -0.34 -0.19 1.72 3.33 0.00 -0.01 0.00	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16 2.00 -0.04 -0.04 -0.43 -0.50 0.00 -2.00 -0.04 -0.04 -0.09 0.00	H9 0.09 0.00 0.08 0.06 -2.00 0.05 0.04 0.43 0.00 0.49 2.00 0.09 0.04 0.00 0.00	H10 -0.09 -0.00 -0.49 0.51 -0.00 2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 2.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00 -1.32 1.32 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.00 -2.00 0.00 0.
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8	H2 -0.01 0.00 0.16 -0.10 1.27 -1.07 1.19 0.92 -0.00 0.00 -0.73 1.09 0.44 0.34 -0.19 -0.50	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.11 0.10 0.00 -0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.73 0.00 -0.73 0.00 -0.32 0.32 -1.73 1.72 0.00	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.79 0.07 0.00 0.01 -0.42 1.09 -0.32 0.00 -0.00 0.00 3.33 -2.00	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.01 -0.81 -0.00 -0.08 -0.01 -1.12 0.43 0.32 -0.00 0.00 -3.33 0.00 -0.04	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.34 -1.73 0.00 -3.33 0.00 -3.33 0.00	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.25 0.00 -1.38 0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.34 -0.19 1.72 3.33 0.00 -0.01 0.00 0.00	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16 2.00 -0.04 -0.05 -0.43 -0.50 0.00 -2.00 -0.04 -0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00	H9 0.09 0.08 0.06 -0.16 -2.00 0.05 0.04 0.43 0.00 0.49 2.00 0.09 0.04 0.00 0.00 0.00	H10 -0.09 -0.00 -0.49 0.51 -0.00 2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 2.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00 -1.32 1.32 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.00 -2.00 0.00 0
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 H9	H2 -0.01 0.00 -0.16 -0.10 1.27 -1.07 1.19 0.92 -0.00 0.00 -0.73 1.09 0.44 0.34 -0.19 -0.50 0.49	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.11 0.10 0.00 -0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.32 0.32 -1.73 1.72 0.00 2.00	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.79 0.07 0.00 0.01 -0.42 1.09 -0.32 0.00 -0.00 0.00 3.33 -2.00 0.09	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.01 -0.81 -0.00 -0.08 -0.01 -1.12 0.43 0.32 -0.00 0.00 -3.33 0.00 -0.04 0.04	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.34 -1.73 0.00 -3.33 0.00 -3.33 0.00 -0.01 -0.09 0.00	H7 -1.44 0.28 0.25 0.00 -1.38 0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.34 -0.19 1.72 3.33 0.00 -0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 2.00 -0.04 -0.05 -0.43 -0.50 0.00 -2.00 -2.00 -0.04 -0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00	H9 0.09 0.08 0.06 -0.16 -2.00 0.05 0.04 0.43 0.00 0.49 2.00 0.09 0.04 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	H10 -0.09 -0.00 0.10 -0.49 0.51 -0.00 2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 2.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00 -1.32 1.32 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.00 -2.00 0.00 0.00 0.
Tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 H9 H10	H2 -0.01 0.00 -0.16 -0.10 1.27 -1.07 1.19 0.92 -0.00 0.00 -0.73 1.09 0.44 0.34 -0.19 -0.50 0.49 -2.00	H3 0.02 0.00 -0.11 0.10 0.00 -0.36 0.36 0.36 0.00 0.73 -0.73 0.00 -0.32 0.32 -1.73 1.72 0.00 2.00 -0.01	H4 0.03 0.26 0.41 0.02 0.79 0.07 0.00 0.01 -0.42 1.09 -0.32 0.00 -0.32 0.00 3.33 -2.00 0.09 2.00	H5 -0.02 -0.28 -0.42 -0.01 -0.81 -0.00 -0.08 -0.01 -1.12 0.43 0.32 -0.00 0.00 -3.33 0.00 -3.33 0.00 -0.04 -0.04 -2.00	H6 1.38 -0.24 -0.30 0.00 -0.27 1.40 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.18 0.34 -1.73 0.00 -3.33 0.00 -0.01 -0.09 0.00 -2.00	H7 -1.44 0.24 0.25 0.00 -1.38 0.00 0.00 0.00 -0.34 -0.19 1.72 3.33 0.00 -0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 2.00	H8 -0.08 0.00 -0.08 0.16 2.00 -0.04 -0.04 -0.43 -0.50 0.00 -2.00 -2.00 -0.04 -0.09 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	H9 0.09 0.00 0.08 0.06 -2.00 0.05 0.04 0.43 0.00 0.49 2.00 0.09 0.04 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0	H10 -0.09 -0.00 -0.49 0.51 -0.00 2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 -2.00 2.00	H11 0.00 0.00 -2.09 2.09 0.00 -1.32 1.32 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0

Table 35: Numerical values of the fractional deviation [J(L)-J(D)]/[J(L)+J(D)]/2 for tyrosine.

D-tyrosine	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	H1
C1	0.	95.80	155.50	-3.92	-3.13	-7.19	3.78	3.85	-1.20	169.93
C2	95.80	0.	-7.67	2.04	2.48	177.62	-1.39	-1.26	0.	-6.94
C3	155.50	-7.67	0.	250.04	251.19	0.58	-3.69	-3.59	8.58	-8.04
C4	-3.92	2.04	250.04	0.	-3.26	-0.06	289.06	5.78	0.79	4.01
C5	-3.13	2.48	251.19	-3.26	0.	-0.12	5.90	291.00	-1.82	6.38
C6	-7.19	177.62	0.58	-0.06	-0.12	0.	0.04	0.04	0.	9.14
C7	3.78	-1.39	-3.69	289.06	5.90	0.04	0.	3.27	286.75	-0.66
C8	3.85	-1.26	-3.59	5.78	291.00	0.04	3.27	0.	262.26	0.31
C9	-1.20	0.	8.58	0.79	-1.82	0.	286.75	262.26	0.	0.80
H1	169.93	-6.94	-8.04	4.01	6.38	9.14	-0.66	0.31	0.80	0.
H2	171.68	-6.92	-6.84	7.50	4.42	2.04	0.95	-0.17	0.30	-13.25
H3	-2.73	177.65	0.71	-0.18	-0.16	-7.62	0.12	0.08	0.	5.08
H4	3.29	-0.65	-1.07	209.42	7.43	0.04	-1.93	-1.59	9.50	-0.55
H5	3.37	-0.85	-1.62	7.62	212.69	0.09	-1.60	-2.07	9.60	-0.44
H6	0.45	-5.62	-0.41	0.	-0.05	2.14	0.	0.	0.	-0.28
H7	2.41	-4.43	-0.30	-0.04	0.	12.06	0.	0.	0.	-0.27
H8	0.46	0.	7.79	-2.82	-1.14	0.	219.59	4.75	-4.10	0.21
H9	0.42	0.	7.20	-1.07	-3.30	0.00	4.51	210.91	-2.64	0.
H10	0.36	8.47	-0.01	0.05	0.03	-7.86	0.	0.02	0.	0.
H11	0.	0.	-0.40	1.88	-0.04	0.	9.13	1.88	-4.70	0.
D-tyrosine	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11
D-tyrosine C1	H2 171.68	H3 -2.73	H4 3.29	H5 3.37	H6 0.45	H7 2.41	H8 0.46	H9 0.42	H10 0.36	H11 0.
D-tyrosine C1 C2	H2 171.68 -6.92	H3 -2.73 177.65	H4 3.29 -0.65	H5 3.37 -0.85	H6 0.45 -5.62	H7 2.41 -4.43	H8 0.46 0.	H9 0.42 0.	H10 0.36 8.47	H11 0. 0.
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30	H8 0.46 0. 7.79	H9 0.42 0. 7.20	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01	H11 0. 0. -0.40
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 7.62	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0.	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 -0.04	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05	H11 0. 0. -0.40 1.88
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -0.16	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 7.62 212.69	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 -0.04 0.	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03	H11 0. 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -0.16 -7.62	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 7.62 212.69 0.09	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 -0.04 0. 12.06	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0.	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86	H11 0. 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0.
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C6 C7	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04 0.95	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -0.16 -7.62 0.12	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04 -1.93	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 7.62 212.69 0.09 -1.60	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14 0.	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 -0.04 0. 12.06 0.	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0. 219.59	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00 4.51	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86 0.	H11 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0. 9.13
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C7 C8	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04 0.95 -0.17	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -0.16 -7.62 0.12 0.08	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04 -1.93 -1.59	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 212.69 0.09 -1.60 -2.07	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14 0. 0.	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 -0.04 0. 12.06 0. 0.	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0. 219.59 4.75	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00 4.51 210.91	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86 0. 0.02	H11 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0. 9.13 1.88
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04 0.95 -0.17 0.30	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -0.16 -7.62 0.12 0.08 0.	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04 -1.93 -1.59 9.50	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 212.69 0.09 -1.60 -2.07 9.60	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14 0. 0. 0.	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 -0.04 0. 12.06 0. 0. 0. 0.	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0. 219.59 4.75 -4.10	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00 4.51 210.91 -2.64	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86 0. 0.02 0.	H11 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0. 9.13 1.88 -4.69
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04 0.95 -0.17 0.30 -13.25	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -7.62 0.12 0.08 0. 5.08	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04 -1.93 -1.59 9.50 -0.55	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 212.69 0.09 -1.60 -2.07 9.60 -0.44	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14 0. 0. 0. 0.	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 -0.04 0. 12.06 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0. 219.59 4.75 -4.10 0.21	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00 4.51 210.91 -2.64 0.	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86 0. 0.02 0. 0.	H11 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0. 9.13 1.88 -4.69 0.
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04 0.95 -0.17 0.30 -13.25 0.	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -0.16 -7.62 0.12 0.08 0. 5.08 11.03	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04 -1.93 -1.59 9.50 -0.55 -0.13	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 212.69 0.09 -1.60 -2.07 9.60 -0.44 -0.36	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14 0. 0. 0. -0.28 -0.19	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 0. 12.06 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. -0.27 -0.34	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0. 219.59 4.75 -4.10 0.21 0.	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00 4.51 210.91 -2.64 0. 0. 13	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H11 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0. 9.13 1.88 -4.69 0. 0.
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H2	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04 0.95 -0.17 0.30 -13.25 0. 11.03	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -7.62 0.12 0.08 0. 5.08 11.03 0.	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04 -1.93 -1.59 9.50 -0.55 -0.13 0.05	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 212.69 0.09 -1.60 -2.07 9.60 -0.44 -0.36 0.04	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14 0. 0. 0. 0. -0.28 -0.19 15.09	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 -0.04 0. 12.06 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. -0.27 -0.34 1.14	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0. 219.59 4.75 -4.10 0.21 0. 2.03	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00 4.51 210.91 -2.64 0. 0.13 0.	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86 0. 0.02 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.19	H11 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0. 9.13 1.88 -4.69 0. 0. 0.
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04 0.95 -0.17 0.30 -13.25 0. 11.03 -0.13	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -7.62 0.12 0.08 0. 5.08 11.03 0. 0. 5.05	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04 -1.93 -1.59 9.50 -0.55 -0.13 0.05 0.	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 212.69 0.09 -1.60 -2.07 9.60 -0.44 -0.36 0.04 2.14	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14 0. 0. 0. -0.28 -0.19 15.09 0.	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 -0.04 0. 12.06 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. -0.27 -0.34 1.14 0.00	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0. 219.59 4.75 -4.10 0.21 0. 2.10 0.21 0. -0.03 7.44	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00 4.51 210.91 -2.64 0. 0. 13 0. 0. 52	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86 0. 0.02 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.19 0.	H11 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0. 9.13 1.88 -4.69 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H4	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04 0.95 -0.17 0.30 -13.25 0. 11.03 -0.13 -0.13	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -0.16 -7.62 0.12 0.08 0. 5.08 11.03 0. 0.05 0.04	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04 -1.93 -1.59 9.50 -0.55 -0.13 0.05 0. 2.14	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 212.69 0.09 -1.60 -2.07 9.60 -0.44 -0.36 0.04 2.14 0.	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14 0. 0. -0.28 -0.19 15.09 0. -0.00	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 0. 12.06 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. -0.27 -0.34 1.14 0.00 0.	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0. 219.59 4.75 -4.10 0.21 0. 21 0. 21 0. 21 0. 21 0. 21 0. 21 0. 21 0. 21 0. 21 0. 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00 4.51 210.91 -2.64 0. 0.13 0. 0.52 7.15	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.19 0. -0.02	H11 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0. 9.13 1.88 -4.69 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04 0.95 -0.17 0.30 -13.25 0. 11.03 -0.13 -0.36 -0.19	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -7.62 0.12 0.08 0. 5.08 11.03 0. 0.05 0.04 15.09	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04 -1.93 -1.59 9.50 -0.55 -0.13 0.05 0. 2.14 0.	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 212.69 0.09 -1.60 -2.07 9.60 -0.44 -0.36 0.04 2.14 0. -0.0	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14 0. 0. -0.28 -0.19 15.09 0. -0.00 0. -0.00	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 0. 12.06 0. 0. 0. -0.27 -0.34 1.14 0.00 0. -9.81	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0. 219.59 4.75 -4.10 0.21 0. 210.03 7.44 0.57 0.	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00 4.51 210.91 -2.64 0. 0.13 0. 52 7.15 0.	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86 0. 0.02 0. 0. -0.06 1.19 0. -0.02 -0.02	H11 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0. 9.13 1.88 -4.69 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H6 H7	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04 0.95 -0.17 0.30 -13.25 0. 11.03 -0.13 -0.13 -0.13 -0.19 -0.34	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -7.62 0.12 0.08 0. 5.08 11.03 0. 0.05 0.04 15.09 1.14	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04 -1.93 -1.59 9.50 -0.55 -0.13 0.05 0. 2.14 0. 0. 0.00	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 212.69 0.09 -1.60 -2.07 9.60 -0.44 -0.36 0.04 2.14 0. -0.00 0.	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14 0. 0. -0.28 -0.19 15.09 0. -0.00 0. -0.00 0. -0.81	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 0. 12.06 0. 12.06 0. 0. -0.27 -0.34 1.14 0.00 0. -9.81 0.	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0. 219.59 4.75 -4.10 0.21 0. 2.10 0.21 0. 3.44 0.57 0. 0. 0.	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00 4.51 210.91 -2.64 0. 0.13 0. 0.52 7.15 0. 0.	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86 0. 0.02 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.19 0. -0.06 1.19 0. -0.02 -0.07 0.	H11 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0. 9.13 1.88 -4.69 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 1.1 0. 0.
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04 0.95 -0.17 0.30 -13.25 0. 11.03 -0.13 -0.13 -0.13 -0.36 -0.19 -0.34 0.	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -0.16 -7.62 0.12 0.08 0. 5.08 11.03 0. 0.05 0.04 15.09 1.14 -0.03	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04 -1.93 -1.59 9.50 -0.55 -0.13 0.05 0. 2.14 0. 0. 2.14 0. 0.00 7.44	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 212.69 0.09 -1.60 -2.07 9.60 -0.44 -0.36 0.04 2.14 0. 2.14 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14 0. 0. -0.28 -0.19 15.09 0. -0.00 0. -0.00 0. -9.81 0.	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 0. 12.06 0. 0. 0. -0.27 -0.34 1.14 0.00 0. -9.81 0. 0.	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0. 219.59 4.75 -4.10 0.21 0. 210.03 7.44 0.57 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00 4.51 210.91 -2.64 0. 0. 13 0. 0. 52 7.15 0. 0. 2.89	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H11 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0. 9.13 1.88 -4.69 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 H9	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04 0.95 -0.17 0.30 -13.25 0. 11.03 -0.13 -0.36 -0.19 -0.34 0. 0. 11.03	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -7.62 0.12 0.08 0. 5.08 11.03 0. 0.05 0.04 15.09 1.14 -0.03 0.	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04 -1.93 -1.59 9.50 -0.55 -0.13 0.05 0. 2.14 0. 0. 2.14 0. 0.00 7.44 0.52	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 212.69 0.09 -1.60 -2.07 9.60 -0.44 -0.36 0.04 2.14 0. -0.00 0. 0. 57 7.15	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14 0. 0. -0.28 -0.19 15.09 0. -0.00 0. -0.00 0. -0.00 0. -0.81 0. -0.81 0.	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 0. 12.06 0. 0. 0. -0.27 -0.34 1.14 0.00 0. -9.81 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0. 219.59 4.75 -4.10 0.21 0.21 0.21 0. 37.44 0.57 0. 0. 0. 2.89	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00 4.51 210.91 -2.64 0. 0.13 0. 52 7.15 0. 2.89 0.	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86 0. 0.02 0. 0. 0. -0.06 1.19 0. -0.02 -0.07 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H11 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0. 9.13 1.88 -4.69 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.
D-tyrosine C1 C2 C3 C4 C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 H9 H10	H2 171.68 -6.92 -6.84 7.50 4.42 2.04 0.95 -0.17 0.30 -13.25 0. 11.03 -0.13 -0.36 -0.19 -0.34 0. 0.13 -0.06	H3 -2.73 177.65 0.71 -0.18 -0.16 -7.62 0.08 0. 5.08 11.03 0. 0.05 0.04 15.09 1.14 -0.03 0. 1.19	H4 3.29 -0.65 -1.07 209.42 7.43 0.04 -1.93 -1.59 9.50 -0.55 -0.13 0.05 0. 2.14 0. 0.00 7.44 0.52 0.	H5 3.37 -0.85 -1.62 212.69 0.09 -1.60 -2.07 9.60 -0.44 -0.36 0.04 2.14 0. -0.00 0. 0. 57 7.15 -0.02	H6 0.45 -5.62 -0.41 0. -0.05 2.14 0. 0. -0.28 -0.19 15.09 0. -0.00 0. -9.81 0. 0. -9.81 0. 0. -0.07	H7 2.41 -4.43 -0.30 0. 12.06 0. 0. 0. -0.27 -0.34 1.14 0.00 0. -9.81 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H8 0.46 0. 7.79 -2.81 -1.14 0. 219.59 4.75 -4.10 0.21 0. 219.59 0.21 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 2.89 0.	H9 0.42 0. 7.20 -1.07 -3.30 0.00 4.51 210.91 -2.64 0. 0.13 0. 0.52 7.15 0. 0. 2.89 0. 0. 0.	H10 0.36 8.47 -0.01 0.05 0.03 -7.86 0. 0.02 0. 0. 0. -0.06 1.19 0. -0.02 -0.07 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	H11 0. -0.40 1.88 -0.04 0. 9.13 1.88 -4.69 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.

Table 36: Raw values of J couplings in D-tyrosine.

L-tyrosine	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	C6	C7	C8	C9	H1
C1	0.	95.34	155.42	-3.11	-3.93	-7.23	3.85	3.79	-1.20	171.64
C2	95.34	0.	-7.64	2.49	2.03	177.59	-1.26	-1.38	0.	-6.88
C3	155.42	-7.64	0.	251.47	250.07	0.57	-3.59	-3.69	8.59	-6.80
C4	-3.11	2.49	251.47	0.	-3.24	-0.12	290.91	5.90	-1.81	4.44
C5	-3.93	2.03	250.07	-3.24	0.	-0.06	5.79	289.08	0.80	7.50
C6	-7.23	177.59	0.57	-0.12	-0.06	0.	0.04	0.04	0.	2.05
C7	3.85	-1.26	-3.59	290.91	5.79	0.04	0.	3.27	262.31	-0.16
C8	3.79	-1.38	-3.69	5.90	289.08	0.04	3.27	0.	286.84	0.96
C9	-1.20	0.	8.59	-1.81	0.80	0.	262.31	286.84	0.	0.29
H1	171.64	-6.88	-6.80	4.44	7.50	2.05	-0.16	0.96	0.29	0.
H2	170.02	-6.94	-8.06	6.35	3.98	9.12	0.29	-0.68	0.82	-13.24
H3	-2.78	177.79	0.71	-0.16	-0.17	-7.62	0.08	0.12	0.	11.01
H4	3.39	-0.84	-1.61	212.79	7.61	0.09	-2.06	-1.59	9.59	-0.36
H5	3.30	-0.64	-1.06	7.44	209.58	0.04	-1.59	-1.92	9.50	-0.12
H6	2.46	-4.41	-0.30	0.	-0.04	12.05	0.	0.	0.	-0.34
H7	0.39	-5.62	-0.41	-0.05	0.	2.21	0.	0.	0.	-0.19
H8	0.42	0.	7.20	-3.31	-1.07	0.00	210.84	4.51	-2.64	0.12
H9	0.46	0.	7.79	-1.14	-2.82	0.	4.76	219.54	-4.10	0.
H10	0.33	8.46	-0.01	0.03	0.05	-7.85	0.03	0.	0.	-0.06
H11	0.	0.	-0.39	-0.04	1.88	0.	1.88	9.13	-4.70	0.
L-tyrosine	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11
C1	170.02	-2.78	3.39	3.30	2.46	0.39	0.42	0.46	0.33	0.
C2	-6.94	177.79	-0.84	-0.64	-4.41	-5.62	0.	0.	8.46	0.
C3	-8.06	0.71	-1.61	-1.06	-0.30	-0.41	7 20	7.79	0.01	0.20
C4							7.20		-0.01	-0.33
	6.35	-0.16	212.79	7.44	0.	-0.05	-3.31	-1.14	0.03	-0.04
C5	6.35 3.98	-0.16 -0.17	212.79 7.61	7.44 209.58	0. -0.04	-0.05 0.	-3.31 -1.07	-1.14 -2.82	-0.01 0.03 0.05	-0.04 1.88
C5 C6	6.35 3.98 9.12	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62	212.79 7.61 0.09	7.44 209.58 0.04	0. -0.04 12.05	-0.05 0. 2.21	-3.31 -1.07 0.00	-1.14 -2.82 0.	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85	-0.04 1.88 0.
C5 C6 C7	6.35 3.98 9.12 0.29	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62 0.08	212.79 7.61 0.09 -2.06	7.44 209.58 0.04 -1.59	0. -0.04 12.05 0.	-0.05 0. 2.21 0.	-3.31 -1.07 0.00 210.84	-1.14 -2.82 0. 4.76	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85 0.03	-0.04 1.88 0. 1.88
C5 C6 C7 C8	6.35 3.98 9.12 0.29 -0.68	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62 0.08 0.12	212.79 7.61 0.09 -2.06 -1.59	7.44 209.58 0.04 -1.59 -1.92	0. -0.04 12.05 0. 0.	-0.05 0. 2.21 0. 0.	-3.31 -1.07 0.00 210.84 4.51	-1.14 -2.82 0. 4.76 219.54	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85 0.03 0.	-0.33 -0.04 1.88 0. 1.88 9.13
C5 C6 C7 C8 C9	6.35 3.98 9.12 0.29 -0.68 0.82	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62 0.08 0.12 0.	212.79 7.61 0.09 -2.06 -1.59 9.59	7.44 209.58 0.04 -1.59 -1.92 9.50	0. -0.04 12.05 0. 0. 0.	-0.05 0. 2.21 0. 0. 0.	-3.31 -1.07 0.00 210.84 4.51 -2.64	-1.14 -2.82 0. 4.76 219.54 -4.10	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85 0.03 0. 0.	-0.04 1.88 0. 1.88 9.13 -4.70
C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1	6.35 3.98 9.12 0.29 -0.68 0.82 -13.24	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62 0.08 0.12 0. 11.01	212.79 7.61 0.09 -2.06 -1.59 9.59 -0.36	7.44 209.58 0.04 -1.59 -1.92 9.50 -0.12	0. -0.04 12.05 0. 0. 0. 0. -0.34	-0.05 0. 2.21 0. 0. 0. 0.	-3.31 -1.07 0.00 210.84 4.51 -2.64 0.12	-1.14 -2.82 0. 4.76 219.54 -4.10 0.	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85 0.03 0. 0. 0.	-0.04 1.88 0. 1.88 9.13 -4.70 0.
C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2	6.35 3.98 9.12 0.29 -0.68 0.82 -13.24 0.	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62 0.08 0.12 0. 11.01 5.11	212.79 7.61 0.09 -2.06 -1.59 9.59 -0.36 -0.45	7.44 209.58 0.04 -1.59 -1.92 9.50 -0.12 -0.56	0. -0.04 12.05 0. 0. 0. -0.34 -0.28	-0.05 0. 2.21 0. 0. 0. -0.19 -0.28	-3.31 -1.07 0.00 210.84 4.51 -2.64 0.12 0.	-1.14 -2.82 0. 4.76 219.54 -4.10 0. 0.21	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85 0.03 0. 0. -0.06 0.	-0.33 -0.04 1.88 0. 1.88 9.13 -4.70 0. 0.
C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3	6.35 3.98 9.12 0.29 -0.68 0.82 -13.24 0. 5.11	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62 0.08 0.12 0. 11.01 5.11 0.	212.79 7.61 0.09 -2.06 -1.59 9.59 -0.36 -0.45 0.04	7.44 209.58 0.04 -1.59 -1.92 9.50 -0.12 -0.56 0.05	0. -0.04 12.05 0. 0. 0. -0.34 -0.28 1.08	-0.05 0. 2.21 0. 0. 0. -0.19 -0.28 15.04	-3.31 -1.07 0.00 210.84 4.51 -2.64 0.12 0. 0.	-1.14 -2.82 0. 4.76 219.54 -4.10 0. 0.21 -0.03	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85 0.03 0. 0. -0.06 0. 1.18	-0.04 1.88 0. 1.88 9.13 -4.70 0. 0. 0.
C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4	6.35 3.98 9.12 0.29 -0.68 0.82 -13.24 0. 5.11 -0.45	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62 0.08 0.12 0. 11.01 5.11 0. 0.04	212.79 7.61 0.09 -2.06 -1.59 9.59 -0.36 -0.45 0.04 0.	7.44 209.58 0.04 -1.59 -1.92 9.50 -0.12 -0.56 0.05 2.13	0. -0.04 12.05 0. 0. 0. -0.34 -0.28 1.08 0.	-0.05 0. 2.21 0. 0. 0. -0.19 -0.28 15.04 -0.00	-3.31 -1.07 0.00 210.84 4.51 -2.64 0.12 0. 0. 7.13	-1.14 -2.82 0. 4.76 219.54 -4.10 0. 0.21 -0.03 0.57	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85 0.03 0. 0. -0.06 0. 1.18 -0.02	-0.04 1.88 0. 1.88 9.13 -4.70 0. 0. 0. 0.
C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5	6.35 3.98 9.12 0.29 -0.68 0.82 -13.24 0. 5.11 -0.45 -0.56	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62 0.08 0.12 0. 11.01 5.11 0. 0.04 0.05	212.79 7.61 0.09 -2.06 -1.59 9.59 -0.36 -0.45 0.04 0. 2.13	7.44 209.58 0.04 -1.59 -1.92 9.50 -0.12 -0.56 0.05 2.13 0.	0. -0.04 12.05 0. 0. 0. -0.34 -0.28 1.08 0.	-0.05 0. 2.21 0. 0. -0.19 -0.28 15.04 -0.00 0.	-3.31 -1.07 0.00 210.84 4.51 -2.64 0.12 0. 0. 7.13 0.52	-1.14 -2.82 0. 4.76 219.54 -4.10 0. 0.21 -0.03 0.57 7.43	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85 0.03 0. 0. -0.06 0. 1.18 -0.02 0.	-0.04 1.88 0. 1.88 9.13 -4.70 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 11 0.
C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6	6.35 3.98 9.12 0.29 -0.68 0.82 -13.24 0. 5.11 -0.45 -0.56 -0.28	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62 0.08 0.12 0. 11.01 5.11 0. 0.04 0.05 1.08	212.79 7.61 0.09 -2.06 -1.59 9.59 -0.36 -0.45 0.04 0. 2.13 0.	7.44 209.58 0.04 -1.59 -1.92 9.50 -0.12 -0.56 0.05 2.13 0. 0.00	0. -0.04 12.05 0. 0. 0. -0.34 -0.28 1.08 0. 0.00 0.	-0.05 0. 2.21 0. 0. 0. -0.19 -0.28 15.04 -0.00 0. -9.73	-3.31 -1.07 0.00 210.84 4.51 -2.64 0.12 0. 0. 7.13 0.52 0.	-1.14 -2.82 0. 4.76 219.54 -4.10 0. 0.21 -0.03 0.57 7.43 0.	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85 0.03 0. 0. -0.06 0. 1.18 -0.02 0. 0.	-0.04 1.88 0. 1.88 9.13 -4.70 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.11 0. 0.
C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7	6.35 3.98 9.12 0.29 -0.68 0.82 -13.24 0. 5.11 -0.45 -0.56 -0.28 -0.28	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62 0.08 0.12 0. 11.01 5.11 0. 0.04 0.05 1.08 15.04	212.79 7.61 0.09 -2.06 -1.59 9.59 -0.36 -0.45 0.04 0. 2.13 0. 2.13 0.	7.44 209.58 0.04 -1.59 -1.92 9.50 -0.12 -0.56 0.05 2.13 0. 0.00 0.00	0. -0.04 12.05 0. 0. 0. -0.34 -0.28 1.08 0. 0. 0.00 0. -9.73	-0.05 0. 2.21 0. 0. -0.19 -0.28 15.04 -0.00 0. -9.73 0.	-3.31 -1.07 0.00 210.84 4.51 -2.64 0.12 0. 0. 7.13 0.52 0. 0. 0.	-1.14 -2.82 0. 4.76 219.54 -4.10 0. 0.21 -0.03 0.57 7.43 0. 0.	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85 0.03 0. 0. -0.06 0. 1.18 -0.02 0. 0. 0. 0. -0.07	-0.04 1.88 0. 1.88 9.13 -4.70 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 11 0. 0. 0.
C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8	6.35 3.98 9.12 0.29 -0.68 0.82 -13.24 0. 5.11 -0.45 -0.56 -0.28 -0.28 0.	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62 0.08 0.12 0. 11.01 5.11 0. 0.04 0.05 1.08 15.04 0.	212.79 7.61 0.09 -2.06 -1.59 9.59 -0.36 -0.45 0.04 0. 2.13 0. -0.00 7.13	7.44 209.58 0.04 -1.59 -1.92 9.50 -0.12 -0.56 0.05 2.13 0. 0.00 0.00 0. 0.52	0. -0.04 12.05 0. 0. 0. -0.34 -0.28 1.08 0. 0.00 0. -9.73 0.	-0.05 0. 2.21 0. 0. 0. -0.19 -0.28 15.04 -0.00 0. -9.73 0. 0.	-3.31 -1.07 0.00 210.84 4.51 -2.64 0.12 0. 0. 7.13 0.52 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	-1.14 -2.82 0. 4.76 219.54 -4.10 0. 0.21 -0.03 0.57 7.43 0. 0. 2.88	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85 0.03 0. 0. -0.06 0. 1.18 -0.02 0. 0. 0. -0.07 0.	-0.04 1.88 0. 1.88 9.13 -4.70 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0
C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 H9	6.35 3.98 9.12 0.29 -0.68 0.82 -13.24 0. 5.11 -0.45 -0.56 -0.28 -0.28 0. 0.21	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62 0.08 0.12 0. 11.01 5.11 0. 0.04 0.05 1.08 15.04 0. -0.03	212.79 7.61 0.09 -2.06 -1.59 9.59 -0.36 -0.45 0.04 0. 2.13 0. 2.13 0. 7.13 0.57	7.44 209.58 0.04 -1.59 -1.92 9.50 -0.12 -0.56 0.05 2.13 0. 0.00 0. 0.00 0. 0.52 7.43	0. -0.04 12.05 0. 0. 0. -0.34 -0.28 1.08 0. 0. 0.00 0. -9.73 0. 0.	-0.05 0. 2.21 0. 0. -0.19 -0.28 15.04 -0.00 0. -9.73 0. 0. 0.	-3.31 -1.07 0.00 210.84 4.51 -2.64 0.12 0. 0. 7.13 0.52 0. 0. 0. 0. 2.88	-1.14 -2.82 0. 4.76 219.54 -4.10 0. 0.21 -0.03 0.57 7.43 0. 0. 2.88 0.	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85 0.03 0. 0. -0.06 0. 1.18 -0.02 0. 0. -0.07 0. 0. 0.	-0.04 1.88 0. 1.88 9.13 -4.70 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0
C5 C6 C7 C8 C9 H1 H2 H3 H4 H5 H6 H7 H8 H9 H10	6.35 3.98 9.12 0.29 -0.68 0.82 -13.24 0. 5.11 -0.45 -0.28 -0.28 0. 0.21 0.21 0.	-0.16 -0.17 -7.62 0.08 0.12 0. 11.01 5.11 0. 0.04 0.05 1.08 15.04 0. -0.03 1.18	212.79 7.61 0.09 -2.06 -1.59 9.59 -0.36 -0.45 0.04 0. 2.13 0. -0.00 7.13 0.57 -0.02	7.44 209.58 0.04 -1.59 -1.92 9.50 -0.12 -0.56 0.05 2.13 0. 0.00 0. 0. 0.52 7.43 0.	0. -0.04 12.05 0. 0. 0. -0.34 -0.28 1.08 0. 0.00 0. -9.73 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	-0.05 0. 2.21 0. 0. -0.19 -0.28 15.04 -0.00 0. -9.73 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	-3.31 -1.07 0.00 210.84 4.51 -2.64 0.12 0. 0. 7.13 0.52 0. 0. 0. 2.88 0.	-1.14 -2.82 0. 4.76 219.54 -4.10 0. 0.21 -0.03 0.57 7.43 0. 2.88 0. 2.88 0. 0.	-0.01 0.03 0.05 -7.85 0.03 0. 0. -0.06 0. 1.18 -0.02 0. 0. 0. -0.07 0. 0. 0. 0. 0.	-0.04 1.88 0. 1.88 9.13 -4.70 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0

Table 37: Raw values of J couplings in L-tyrosine.

Valine	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11
C1	0.	-0.00	-0.03	0.03	-0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.12	0.09	-0.05	-0.10	0.05	0.12	2.17	-2.11	0.03
C2	-0.00	0.	-0.29	0.30	-0.00	0.00	0.00	0.35	-0.67	0.14	0.66	-0.14	-0.34	0.16	-0.16	0.00
C3	-0.03	-0.29	0.	-0.00	-2.22	-0.05	-1.05	-0.05	0.05	0.00	-0.03	-0.01	0.05	2.33	-0.20	1.62
C4	0.03	0.30	-0.00	0.	2.21	0.04	1.05	-0.05	0.04	0.01	-0.05	-0.00	0.05	0.19	-2.29	-1.59
C5	-0.00	-0.00	-2.22	2.21	0.	-0.00	-0.00	0.72	1.49	0.61	-1.61	-0.57	-0.69	-0.48	0.47	0.00
H1	0.00	0.00	-0.05	0.04	-0.00	0.	0.01	0.02	0.07	0.10	-0.07	-0.10	-0.02	4.32	-4.22	-0.04
H2	0.00	0.00	-1.05	1.05	-0.00	0.01	0.	-0.31	-0.61	-1.53	0.65	1.50	0.37	-1.88	1.96	-0.09
H3	-0.12	0.35	-0.05	-0.05	0.72	0.02	-0.31	0.	0.05	-0.01	0.06	-0.88	0.00	1.08	-2.81	0.64
H4	0.09	-0.67	0.05	0.04	1.50	0.07	-0.61	0.05	0.	-0.01	0.02	0.11	-0.04	13.73	-3.15	2.37
H5	-0.05	0.14	0.00	0.01	0.61	0.10	-1.53	-0.01	-0.01	0.	-0.09	0.06	0.67	-2.00	-4.62	2.18
H6	-0.10	0.66	-0.03	-0.05	-1.61	-0.07	0.65	0.06	0.02	-0.09	0.	0.01	-0.05	3.45	-38.00	-2.37
H7	0.05	-0.14	-0.01	-0.00	-0.57	-0.10	1.50	-0.88	0.11	0.06	0.01	0.	0.01	5.58	2.00	-2.18
H8	0.12	-0.34	0.05	0.05	-0.69	-0.02	0.37	0.00	-0.04	0.67	-0.05	0.01	0.	2.44	-1.03	-0.65
H9	2.17	0.16	2.33	0.19	-0.48	4.32	-1.89	1.08	13.73	-2.00	3.45	5.58	2.44	0.	0.01	2.00
H10	-2.11	-0.16	-0.20	-2.29	0.47	-4.22	1.96	-2.81	-3.15	-4.62	-38.00	2.00	-1.03	0.01	0.	-2.00
H11	0.03	0.00	1.62	-1.59	0.00	-0.04	-0.09	0.64	2.37	2.18	-2.37	-2.18	-0.65	2.00	-2.00	0.

Table 38: Numerical values of the fractional deviation [J(L) - J(D)]/[J(L) + J(D)]/2 for value.

D-valine	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11
C1	0.	108.01	127.74	124.28	-7.34	165.19	-6.18	-5.72	-6.30	-5.07	-6.92	-4.82	-5.08	-0.26	6.78	-0.41
C2	108.01	0.	-6.81	-5.04	169.87	-7.25	164.59	2.01	2.00	10.36	1.00	11.88	2.86	-3.58	-4.21	7.03
C3	127.74	-6.81	0.	-7.76	1.81	-7.22	6.10	177.56	169.34	170.24	2.69	1.22	10.72	-0.09	-0.29	0.03
C4	124.28	-5.04	-7.76	0.	-0.09	-6.91	1.90	11.25	2.59	1.21	177.83	170.78	169.63	-0.24	1.26	0.36
C5	-7.34	169.87	1.81	-0.09	0.	9.52	-9.76	-0.09	-0.03	-0.11	-0.19	-0.21	-0.18	8.34	4.92	-7.62
H1	165.19	-7.25	-7.22	-6.91	9.52	0.	3.23	2.65	13.23	4.31	14.17	4.79	2.70	-0.25	0.72	-0.10
H2	-6.18	164.59	6.10	1.90	-9.76	3.23	0.	-0.24	-0.31	1.45	-0.16	0.20	-0.16	8.32	0.08	-0.45
H3	-5.72	2.01	177.56	11.25	-0.09	2.65	-0.24	0.	-13.06	-14.21	-0.15	0.08	3.21	0.04	0.09	-0.04
H4	-6.30	2.00	169.34	2.59	-0.03	13.23	-0.31	-13.06	0.	-14.10	-0.17	-0.23	-0.15	0.04	-0.07	-0.02
H5	-5.07	10.36	170.24	1.21	-0.11	4.31	1.45	-14.21	-14.10	0.	-0.25	-0.10	0.03	-0.08	0.09	-0.01
H6	-6.92	1.00	2.69	177.83	-0.19	14.17	-0.16	-0.15	-0.17	-0.25	0.	-13.95	-13.68	0.02	-0.06	0.28
H7	-4.82	11.88	1.22	170.78	-0.21	4.79	0.20	0.08	-0.23	-0.10	-13.95	0.	-14.07	-0.04	0.	0.32
H8	-5.08	2.85	10.72	169.63	-0.18	2.70	-0.16	3.21	-0.15	0.03	-13.68	-14.07	0.	-0.01	0.13	-0.07
H9	-0.26	-3.58	-0.09	-0.24	8.34	-0.25	8.32	0.04	0.04	-0.08	0.02	-0.04	-0.01	0.	-9.10	0.
H10	6.78	-4.21	-0.29	1.26	4.92	0.72	0.08	0.09	-0.07	0.09	-0.06	0.	0.13	-9.10	0.	0.06
H11	-0.41	7.03	0.03	0.36	-7.62	-0.10	-0.45	-0.04	-0.02	-0.01	0.28	0.32	-0.07	0.	0.06	0.

Table 39: Raw values of J couplings in D-valine.

L-val.	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5	H1	H2	H3	H4	H5	H6	H7	H8	H9	H10	H11
C1	0.	107.75	124.48	127.99	-7.32	165.43	-6.20	-5.10	-6.92	-4.82	-6.29	-5.07	-5.74	6.48	-0.18	-0.42
C2	107.75	0.	-5.11	-6.84	169.87	-7.26	164.81	2.86	1.00	11.91	2.00	10.37	2.02	-4.19	-3.58	7.03
C3	124.48	-5.11	0.	-7.75	-0.10	-6.89	1.90	169.67	177.71	170.81	2.60	1.22	11.26	1.23	-0.24	0.35
C4	127.99	-6.84	-7.75	0.	1.87	-7.22	6.15	10.73	2.70	1.23	169.34	170.34	177.63	-0.29	-0.09	0.04
C5	-7.32	169.87	-0.10	1.87	0.	9.47	-9.75	-0.19	-0.19	-0.21	-0.02	-0.12	-0.09	5.10	7.98	-7.62
H1	165.43	-7.26	-6.89	-7.22	9.47	0.	3.26	2.70	14.19	4.78	13.26	4.32	2.66	0.69	-0.26	-0.09
H2	-6.20	164.81	1.90	6.15	-9.75	3.26	0.	-0.18	-0.16	0.19	-0.32	1.45	-0.24	0.25	8.52	-0.41
H3	-5.10	2.86	169.67	10.73	-0.19	2.70	-0.18	0.	-13.68	-14.09	-0.16	0.03	3.21	0.13	-0.02	-0.07
H4	-6.92	1.00	177.71	2.70	-0.19	14.19	-0.16	-13.68	0.	-13.95	-0.18	-0.26	-0.15	-0.06	0.01	0.29
H5	-4.82	11.91	170.81	1.23	-0.21	4.78	0.19	-14.09	-13.95	0.	-0.23	-0.11	0.08	0.	-0.03	0.33
H6	-6.29	2.00	2.60	169.34	-0.02	13.26	-0.32	-0.16	-0.18	-0.23	0.	-14.08	-13.08	-0.06	0.05	-0.02
H7	-5.07	10.37	1.22	170.34	-0.12	4.32	1.45	0.03	-0.26	-0.11	-14.08	0.	-14.24	0.09	-0.08	-0.01
H8	-5.74	2.02	11.26	177.63	-0.09	2.66	-0.24	3.21	-0.15	0.08	-13.08	-14.24	0.	0.1	0.04	-0.04
H9	6.48	-4.19	1.23	-0.29	5.10	0.69	0.25	0.13	-0.06	0.	-0.06	0.09	0.1	0.	-9.16	0.07
H10	-0.18	-3.58	-0.24	-0.09	7.98	-0.26	8.52	-0.02	0.01	-0.03	0.05	-0.08	0.04	-9.16	0.	0.
H11	-0.42	7.03	0.35	0.04	-7.62	-0.09	-0.41	-0.07	0.29	0.33	-0.02	-0.01	-0.04	0.07	0.	0.

Table 40: Raw values of J couplings in L-valine.