

LOCAL-GLOBAL PRINCIPLE FOR GROUPS OF TYPE A_n OVER SEMI-GLOBAL FIELDS

V. SURESH

ABSTRACT. Let F be the function field of a curve over a complete discretely valued field K . Let G be a semisimple simply connected linear algebraic group over F of type A_n . We give a description of the obstruction to local global principle for principal homogeneous spaces under G over F with respect to discrete valuations of F in terms of R -equivalence classes of G over some suitable over fields. Using this description we prove that this obstruction vanishes under some conditions on the residue field K .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let F be a field and Ω_F a set of discrete valuations of F . For $\nu \in \Omega_F$, let F_ν be the completion of F at ν . Let Z be a variety over F . We say that Z satisfies a *local-global principle* with respect to Ω_F if $Z(F) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $Z(F_\nu) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\nu \in \Omega_F$. Let K be a complete discretely valued field and F the function field of a curve over K . Let Ω_F be the set of divisorial discrete valuations of F . Let G be a connected linear algebraic group over F . The study of local-global principle for homogenous spaces of G over F with respect to Ω_F were studied extensively in last 15 years, beginning with patching techniques developed by Harbater, Hartmann and Krashen ([14],[15]). The patching techniques give a local global principle for homogeneous spaces under rational groups with respect to certain over fields of F ([14, Theorem 3.7]). Since then we are interested in the study of local-global principle with respect to Ω_F . Considerable progress has been for function fields of curves over p -adic fields ([4], [29], [26], [37], [25]). In ([4]), Colliot-Thélène, Parimala and Suresh made the following conjectures.

Conjecture 1. *Let F be the function field of a p -adic curve and G a connected linear algebraic group over F . Let Z be a projective homogenous space under G . Then Z satisfies local-global principle with respect to Ω_F .*

Conjecture 2. *Let F be the function field of a p -adic curve and G a semisimple simply connected algebraic group over F . Let Z be a principal homogenous space under G . Then Z satisfies local-global principle with respect to Ω_F .*

The conjecture 1 and 2 have been settled affirmatively for all classical groups with some assumption on p ([29], [17], [37], [25]). In fact recently Gille and Parimala ([12]) proved that if F is the function field of a curve over a complete discrete valued field K and G a connected linear algebraic group over F , then projective homogeneous spaces under G over F satisfy local global principle with respect to Ω_F (with some assumptions on the characteristic of the residue field of K).

In contrast to projective homogenous spaces, there are examples of connected linear algebraic groups over the function fields F of curves over complete discretely values fields K and principal homogeneous spaces for which local-global principle with respect to Ω_F fail. The

first such an example is given in ([5]) and the group in this example is torus and the residue field of K is algebraically closed. However if we restrict to semisimple simply connected groups, examples of such groups for which the local-global principle with respect to Ω_F for principal homogenous spaces fails are given in ([3]). In these examples the residue fields of K are of cohomological dimension at least 4. It is natural to ask what happens if the cohomological dimension of the residue field of K is less than 4. If the cohomological dimension of the residue field of K is zero, then cohomological dimension of F is 2 (e.g. $F = C((t))(X)$ where C is algebraically closed field and X a curve over $C((t))$) and hence by Serre's conjecture, they are no nontrivial principal homogenous spaces over F under semisimple simply connected linear algebraic groups ([1], [2, Theorem 1.5]).

In this paper, we consider complete discrete valued field with cohomological dimension of the residue field 1 or 2. Let F be a field, Ω_F a set of divisorial discrete valuations of F and G a connected linear algebraic group over F . Let

$$\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G) = \ker(H^1(F, G) \rightarrow \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_F} H^1(F_\nu, G)).$$

Let G be a simply connected group of type A_n . We say that $\text{char}(\kappa)$ is *good* for G if either $\text{char}(\kappa) = 0$ or if G is of type 1A_n , then $(n+1)$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$ and if G is of type 2A_n , then $2(n+1)$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$.

For a field L and integer $m \geq 2$, the *m-cohomological dimension* of a field L , denoted by $cd_m(L)$, is defined as the maximum of the *p-cohomological dimensions* $cd_p(L)$ ([30, I.3.1]) for all primes p dividing m .

We prove the following

Theorem 1.1. (15.3) *Let K be a complete discretely valued field with residue field κ . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let G be a semisimple simply connected group over F of type A_n . If $cd_{n+1}(\kappa) \leq 1$ and $\text{char}(\kappa)$ is good for G , then $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G) = \{1\}$.*

Theorem 1.2. (16.3) *Let K be a complete discretely valued field with residue field κ . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let G be a semisimple simply connected group over K of type A_n . If $cd_{n+1}(\kappa) \leq 2$ and $\text{char}(\kappa)$ is good for G , then $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G) = \{1\}$.*

For general residue field, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.3. (12.3, 14.4) *Let K be a complete discretely valued field with residue field κ . Let F be the function field of a curve X over K . Suppose that X has a good reduction. Let G be a semisimple simply connected group over K of type A_n . If $\text{char}(\kappa)$ is good for G , then $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G) = \{1\}$.*

One of the steps in the proof of the above theorems is the following.

Theorem 1.4. (12.1, 14.3) *Let K be a complete discretely valued field with residue field κ . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let G be a semisimple simply connected group over F of type A_n . Then there exists a regular proper model \mathcal{X} of F and a finite set \mathcal{P} of closed points of \mathcal{X} such that*

$$\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G) \simeq \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}_0} G(F_U)/R \setminus \prod_{\wp \in \mathcal{B}_0} G(F_\wp)/R / \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_0} G(F_P)/R.$$

where \mathcal{U}_0 is the set of irreducible components of $X \setminus \mathcal{P}_0$ and \mathcal{B}_0 is the set of branches with respect to \mathcal{P}_0 .

We now give a brief description of the structure of the manuscript. In §2, we begin by recalling a few definitions and facts about central simple algebras and involutions. Let K be a complete discretely valued field with valuation ring T and residue field κ . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let \mathcal{X} be a regular proper model of F over T and X_0 the special fibre. For any $x \in X_0$, let F_x denote the field of fractions of the completion of the local ring at x . In §3 we give some sufficient conditions on the group G under which the obstructions to local global principle with respect to divisorial discrete valuations and with respect to the over fields F_x , $x \in X_0$. In §4, under some conditions on G , we give a description of the obstruction to the local global principle with respect to F_x , $x \in X$, in terms of R -equivalence classes of G over certain suitable over fields of F .

Let R be a two dimensional complete regular local ring with field of fractions F , residue field κ and maximal ideal (π, δ) . In §5 we give a description of finite extensions of F of degree coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$ which are unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . Let A be a central simple algebra over F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . Suppose that the period of A is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. In §6, we show that $\text{index}(A) = \text{index}(A \otimes F_\pi)$. We also show that an element in F^* which is supported only along (π) and (δ) is a reduced norm from A if and only if it is a reduced norm from $A \otimes F_\pi$. In §9, we show that the natural map $SK_1(A) \rightarrow SK_1(A \otimes F_\pi)$ is onto. If A has involution of second kind, then in §11, $SUK_1(A, \tau) \rightarrow SUK_1(A \otimes F_\pi, \tau)$ is onto. In §8, we recall description of $SK_1(A)$ for a central simple algebra over a complete discretely valued field. In §10, we recall description of $SUK_1(A, \tau)$ for a central simple algebras with involutions over a complete discretely valued field.

Let F be the function field of a curve over a complete discretely valued field K . Let A be a central simple algebra over F . In §12, we give a description of the obstruction to the local global principle with respect to divisorial discrete valuations of F in terms of R -equivalence classes of $SL_1(A)$ over certain suitable over fields of F . If A has an involution τ of second kind, then in §13, we prove a local-global principle for unitary groups and in §14, we give a description of the obstruction to the local global principle with respect to divisorial discrete valuations of F in terms of R -equivalence classes of $SU(A, \tau)$. In §15 and §16, we give the proofs of our main results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we recall some basic facts about central simple algebras and involutions. For further details we refer to ([7] and [23]).

Let K be a field and A a central simple algebra over K . Let $Nrd : A \rightarrow K$ be the reduced norm and $SL_1(A) = \{x \in A \mid Nrd(x) = 1\}$. The exact sequence of algebraic groups

$$1 \rightarrow SL_1(A) \rightarrow GL_1(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$$

induces a long exact sequence of cohomology sets

$$A^* \rightarrow K^* \rightarrow H^1(K, SL_1(A)) \rightarrow H^1(K, GL_1(A)).$$

Since $H^1(K, GL_1(A)) = \{1\}$ (cf., [19, Theorem 29.2]) and $A^* \rightarrow K^*$ is the reduced norm we have

$$H^1(K, SL_1(A)) \simeq K^*/Nrd(A^*).$$

Let $A' = M_n(A)$. Since $Nrd(A^*) = Nrd(A'^*)$ (cf., [13, Lemma 2.6.4]), the map

$$H^1(K, SL_1(A)) \rightarrow H^1(K, SL_1(M_n(A)))$$

induced by the inclusion $SL_1(A) \rightarrow SL_1(M_n(A))$ is bijective.

Two central simple algebras A and B over a field K are *Brauer equivalent* if $M_n(A) \simeq M_m(B)$ for some n and m . Throughout this paper we write $A = B$ if A and B are Brauer equivalent.

Let $SK_1(A) = SL_1(A)/[A^* : A^*]$. If $A = B$, then $SK_1(A) \simeq SK_1(B)$ ([7, p.155]).

Let E/K be a cyclic extension with σ a generator of $\text{Gal}(E/K)$. For $a \in K^*$, let (E, σ, a) denotes the cyclic algebra given by

$$(E, \sigma, a) = E \oplus Ex \oplus \cdots \oplus Ex^{n-1}$$

with $x^n = a \in K$ and $x\lambda = \sigma(\lambda)x$ for all $\lambda \in E$. If L/K is a extension, then $E \otimes_K L = \prod E'$ for some cyclic extension E'/L and σ induces a generator σ' of $\text{Gal}(E'/L)$. We denote the algebra (E', σ', a) by $(E, \sigma, a) \otimes L$.

Suppose that K is a discrete valued field with residue field κ and R the valuation ring. Let \hat{K} be the completion of K . We say that a central simple algebra A over K is *unramified* if there exists an Azumaya R -algebra \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{A} \otimes_R K \simeq A$. Suppose that $\text{ind}(A)$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Then there exists a cyclic unramified extension E/K of degree n such that A is Brauer equivalent to $A_0 + (E, \sigma, \pi)$ (cf., [26, Lemma 4.1]), where A_0 is an unramified central simple algebra over K , σ is a generator of $\text{Gal}(E/K)$ and $\pi \in K$ a parameter.

Let D be a (finite dimensional) central division algebra over K . Suppose that $D \otimes_K \hat{K}$ is division. Then the valuation on K extends to a valuation on D . Let $\Lambda = \{x \in A \mid Nrd(x) \in R\}$. Then Λ is the unique maximal R -order in D . Further Λ has a unique 2-sided maximal ideal m_D and $\bar{D} = \Lambda/m_D$ is a division algebra. Suppose $\text{ind}(D)$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Then we have $D = D_0 + (E, \sigma, \pi)$ for some unramified central division algebra D_0 and unramified cyclic extension E/K . In this case $Z(\bar{D})$ is the residue field of E . In particular $Z(\bar{D})/\kappa$ is a cyclic extension. Further \bar{D} is Brauer equivalent to $\bar{D}_0 \otimes Z(\bar{D})$.

We record here the following well known result.

Proposition 2.1. *Let K be a complete discretely valued field with residue field κ . Let A be a central simple algebra over K of period coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. If there exists a totally ramified extension L/K which splits A , then there exists a cyclic extension E/K and a parameter $\pi \in K$ such that $A = (E, \sigma, \pi)$.*

Proof. Since period of A is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$, there exists a an unramified central simple algebra A_0 over K and cyclic extension E/K with a generator σ of $\text{Gal}(E/K)$ such that $A = A_0 + (E, \sigma, \pi)$. Suppose there exists a totally ramified extension L/K such that $A \otimes L$ is split. Then $A \otimes E$ is split (cf. [26, Lemma 4.3]). In particular $A_0 \otimes E$ is split. Hence, $A = (E, \sigma, u\pi)$ for some $u \in K^*$ a unit in the valuation ring of K (cf. [26, Lemma 4.4]). \square

Let \mathcal{X} be a normal integral scheme with function field F . Let $x \in \mathcal{X}$ be a codimension one point. Then the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},x}$ at x is a discrete valuation ring. Let A be a central simple algebra over F . We say that A is *unramified at x* if A is unramified at the discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},x}$. We say that A is *unramified on \mathcal{X}* if A is unramified at every codimension one point of \mathcal{X} .

Let G be a connected reductive linear algebraic group over F . Let x be a codimension one point of \mathcal{X} . We say that G is *unramified at x* if there is a reductive group defined

over the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},x}$ with the generic fibre isomorphic to G . If G is unramified at every codimension one point of \mathcal{X} , then we say that G is unramified on \mathcal{X} . Similarly a G -torsor ζ is *unramified at x* if G is unramified at x and the torsor is defined over the local ring at x . If ζ is unramified at every codimension one point of \mathcal{X} , then we say that ζ is unramified on \mathcal{X} . If $\mathcal{X} = \text{Spec}(R)$ for some normal domain, we say that G (or a G -torsor) is unramified on R if it is unramified on \mathcal{X} . The union of all codimension one points of \mathcal{X} where G is not unramified is called the *ramification locus* of G .

If a central simple algebra A over F is unramified on \mathcal{X} , then the group $SL_1(A)$ is unramified on \mathcal{X} .

Let K_0 be a field and K/K_0 a quadratic separable field extension. Let A be a central simple algebra over K with a K/K_0 -involution τ . Let

$$U(A, \tau) = \{a \in A \mid \tau(a)a = 1\}$$

be the unitary group of (A, τ) and

$$SU(A, \tau) = \{a \in U(A, \tau) \mid \text{Nrd}(a) = 1\}$$

be the special unitary group (A, τ) . Let $R_{K/K_0}^1 \mathbb{G}_m$ be the group of norm one elements in K/K_0 . For an extension L/K_0 , if $L \otimes_{K_0} K$ is not a field, then $L \otimes_{K_0} K \simeq L \times L$, $K \subset L$ and $A \otimes_{K_0} K \simeq (A \otimes_K L) \times (A \otimes_K L)^{op}$ and $SU(A, \tau)(L) = SL_1(A \otimes_K L)$ ([19, p.346]).

We have the short exact sequence of algebraic groups

$$1 \rightarrow SU(A, \tau) \rightarrow U(A, \tau) \rightarrow R_{K/K_0}^1 \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1.$$

Let $K^{*1} = \{a \in K^* \mid N_{K/K_0}(a) = 1\}$ and $H_A = \{\theta\sigma(\theta)^{-1} \mid \theta \in \text{Nrd}(A)\} \subseteq L^{*1}$.

Then the above exact sequence gives a long exact sequence of cohomology sets

$$U(A, \tau) \rightarrow K^{*1} \rightarrow H^1(K_0, SU(A, \sigma)) \rightarrow H^1(K_0, U(A, \sigma)),$$

with the image of $U(A, \tau) \rightarrow K^{*1}$ equal to H_A ([19, p.202]). Hence we have the exact sequence of pointed sets

$$1 \rightarrow K^{*1}/H_A \rightarrow H^1(K_0, SU(A, \sigma)) \rightarrow H^1(K_0, U(A, \sigma)).$$

Let K_0 be a complete discretely valued field with valuation ring R_0 and K/K_0 a separable quadratic field extension. Let R be the integral closure of R_0 in K . Let A be a central simple algebra over K with a K/K_0 -involution τ . We say that (A, τ) is *unramified* if there exists an Azumaya algebra \mathcal{A} over R and a R/R_0 -involution τ_0 such that $(\mathcal{A}, \tau_0) \otimes_{R_0} K_0 \simeq (A, \tau)$.

Suppose that D is central division algebra over K with a K/K_0 -involution. Let Λ be the unique maximal R -order in D . Suppose that $D \neq K$ and $2\text{ind}(D)$ coprime to characteristic of the residue field of K_0 . Then there exists a parameter $\pi_D \in D$ such that $\tau(\pi_D) = \pi_D$. Further the inner automorphism of D given by π_D takes Λ to Λ and hence induces an automorphism of \bar{D} . This induced automorphism restricted $Z(\bar{D})$ is a generator of the $\text{Gal}(Z(\bar{D})/\kappa)$, where κ is the residue field of K .

Let \mathcal{X}_0 be a normal integral scheme with function field F_0 and F/F_0 a separable quadratic extension. Let \mathcal{X} be the normal closure of \mathcal{X}_0 in F . Let $x \in \mathcal{X}_0$ be a codimension one point. Then the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},x}$ at x is a discrete valuation ring. There are at most two codimension one points $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$ lying over x . Suppose that A be a central simple algebra over F with a F/F_0 -involution τ . We say that (A, τ) is *unramified at x* if (A, τ) is unramified at the discrete valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0,x}$. We say that (A, τ) is *unramified on \mathcal{X}_0* if (A, τ) is unramified at every codimension one point of \mathcal{X}_0 .

Let R_0 be a principal ideal domains with field of fractions F_0 and $F = F_0(\sqrt{u})$ for some unit $u \in R_0$. Suppose 2 is a unit in R_0 . Let $R = R_0[\sqrt{u}]$ be the integral closure of R in L .

Proposition 2.2. *Let A be a central simple algebra over F with a F/F_0 -involution τ . Suppose that (A, τ) is unramified on R_0 . Then there exists an Azumaya algebra \mathcal{A} over R such that $\mathcal{A} \otimes_R F = A$ and $\tau(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. Let $\bar{} : F \rightarrow F$ be the non trivial automorphism of F/F_0 . Let $n = \deg(A)$. Then $\bar{R} = R$. Let $\mathcal{A}_0 = M_n(R)$ and τ_0 be the F/F_0 -involution on \mathcal{A}_0 given by $\tau_0(a_{ij}) = (\bar{a}_{ji})$. Since R/R_0 is étale, the group $G = \mathbf{Aut}(\mathcal{A}_0, \tau_0)$ is a reductive group over R_0 . The set $H^1(F_0, G)$ classifies isomorphism classes of pairs (B, σ) of central simple algebras B over F of degree n together with F/F_0 -involutions σ ([19, 29.14]). Similarly $H_{et}^1(R_0, G)$ classifies isomorphism classes of pairs (\mathcal{B}, σ) of Azumaya algebras \mathcal{B} over R of degree n together with R/R_0 -involutions σ .

Since $(A, \tau) \in H^1(F, G)$ is unramified on R and R is a principal ideal domain, by ([6, Proposition 6.8], see also [11, Corollary A.8]), there exists $(\mathcal{A}', \tau') \in H_{et}^1(R, G)$ which maps to (A, τ) . Hence $(\mathcal{A}', \tau') \otimes_{R_0} F_0 \simeq (A, \tau)$. Then the image \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{A}' in A has the required property. \square

Since R/R_0 is étale, the groups $U(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ and $SU(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ are reductive groups over R_0 .

We have the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow SU(\mathcal{A}, \tau) \rightarrow U(\mathcal{A}, \tau) \rightarrow R_{S_{\pi\delta}/R_{\pi\delta}}^1 \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$$

of algebraic group schemes over R_0 , where $R_{R/R_0}^1 \mathbb{G}_m$ is defined as the kernel of the norm map $R_{R/R_0} \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$.

We have the induced long exact sequence

$$U(\mathcal{A}, \tau_0)(R_0) \rightarrow R_{R/R_0}^1 \mathbb{G}_m(R_0) \rightarrow H_{et}^1(R_0, SU(\mathcal{A}, \tau)) \rightarrow H_{et}^1(R_0, U(\mathcal{A}, \tau)).$$

Proposition 2.3. *We have*

$$R_{R/R_0}^1 \mathbb{G}_m(R_0) \simeq \{a\tau(a)^{-1} \mid a \in R\}.$$

Proof. Since R and R_0 are principal ideal domains, the result follows from Hilbert 90. \square

We prove the following technical lemma which is used later. Let K/K_0 be a quadratic extension and L/K a finite cyclic extension such that L/K_0 is a dihedral extension. Let σ be a generator of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$. Then there exists an automorphism τ_1 of L such that τ_1^2 is identity and $\sigma\tau_1 = \tau_1\sigma^{-1}$. Let $\tau_2 = \sigma\tau_1$. Let $L_1 = L^{\tau_1}$.

The following is extracted from the proof of ([36, Corollary 4.13]).

Lemma 2.4. *Let $a \in L^*$. Suppose there exists $b \in L^*$ such that $\sigma(a)a^{-1} = b\tau_2(b)^{-1}$. Then $a \in K^*L_1^*$.*

Proof. Since $\sigma(a)a^{-1} = b\tau_2(b)^{-1}$, $\tau_2(\sigma(a)a^{-1})(\sigma(a)a^{-1}) = 1$. By expanding this we get $\tau_2\sigma(a)\tau_2(a)^{-1}\sigma(a)a^{-1} = 1$. Since $\tau_2\sigma = \sigma\tau_1\sigma = \tau_1$ and $\tau_2 = \sigma\tau_1$, we have $\tau_1(a)\sigma\tau_1(a)^{-1}\sigma(a)a^{-1} = 1$. Hence $\sigma(a\tau_1(a)^{-1}) = a\tau_1(a)^{-1}$. Since σ is the generator of $\text{Gal}(L/K)$, $a\tau_1(a)^{-1} \in K^*$.

Let $c = a\tau_1(a)^{-1} \in K^*$. Since $N_{K/K_0}(c) = 1$ and τ_1 restricted to K is the nontrivial automorphism of K/K_0 , there exists $b \in K^*$ such that $c = b\tau_1(b)^{-1}$. Then $ab^{-1} = \tau_1(ab^{-1})$ and hence $ab^{-1} \in L_1$. Therefore $a \in K^*L_1^*$. \square

3. GENERALITIES

Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field κ and field of fraction K . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let G be a connected reductive linear algebraic group over F . In this section we give some sufficient conditions under which the obstructions to local global principle with respect to discrete valuations and with respect to patching over fields coincide. For all unexplained notation, we refer to ([14], [15]).

Let \mathcal{X} be a regular proper model of F ([21]). Let $x \in \mathcal{X}$ be a point and $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X},x}$ the completion of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},x}$ at x . Let F_x be the field of fractions of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X},x}$. If $x \in \mathcal{X}$ is a codimension one point, then x gives a discrete valuation ν_x on F . A discrete valuation ν on F is called a *divisorial* discrete valuation if $\nu = \nu_x$ for some codimension one point of a regular proper model of F . Let Ω_F be the set of all divisorial discrete valuations of F .

Let

$$\mathbb{III}_{div}(F, G) = \ker(H^1(F, G) \rightarrow \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_F} H^1(F_\nu, G))$$

and

$$\mathbb{III}_X(F, G) = \ker(H^1(F, G) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X} H^1(F_x, G)).$$

Let

$$\mathbb{III}(F, G) = \ker(H^1(F, G) \rightarrow \prod_{\nu} H^1(F_\nu, G)),$$

where ν running over all the discrete valuations of F . Since $\mathbb{III}(F, G) \subset \mathbb{III}_{div}(F, G)$ and $\mathbb{III}_X(F, G) \subseteq \mathbb{III}(F, G)$ ([15, Proposition 8.2]), $\mathbb{III}_X(F, G) \subseteq \mathbb{III}_{div}(F, G)$.

Let $P \in \mathcal{X}$ be a closed point. A discrete valuation ν of F_P is called a *divisorial* discrete valuation of F_P if it is given by a codimension one point of a sequence of blow-ups of the local ring at P . Let Ω_P be the set of all divisorial discrete valuations of F_P and

$$\mathbb{III}_{div}(F_P, G) = \ker(H^1(F_P, G) \rightarrow \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_P} H^1(F_{P\nu}, G)).$$

Let X be the special fibre of \mathcal{X} and $X_{(0)}$ the set of closed points of X . Since any divisorial discrete valuation of F_P restricted to a F is a divisorial discrete valuation of F , the proof of (see [15, Proposition 8.4]) gives the following

Proposition 3.1. *With the notation as above we have the following exact sequence*

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{III}_X(F, G) \rightarrow \mathbb{III}_{div}(F, G) \rightarrow \prod_{P \in X_{(0)}}' \mathbb{III}_{div}(F_P, G) \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\prod_{P \in X_{(0)}}'$ $\mathbb{III}_{div}(F_P, G)$ is the subset of the $\prod_{P \in X_{(0)}} \mathbb{III}_{div}(F_P, G)$ consisting of elements (ζ_P) with all but finitely many ζ_P are trivial.

Suppose that the union of the ramification locus of G and the closed fibre is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Let $P \in \mathcal{X}$ be a closed point. Then there exist $\pi_P, \delta_P \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},P}$ such that the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},P}$ is generated by π_P and δ_P , and G is unramified on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X},P}$ except possibly at (π_P) and (δ_P) .

Let

$H_{\pi_P \delta_P}^1(F_P, G) = \{\zeta \in H^1(F_P, G) \mid \zeta \text{ is unramified on } \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}, P} \text{ except possibly at } (\pi_P) \text{ and } (\delta_P)\}$
and

$$\text{III}_{\pi_P \delta_P}(F_P, G) = \ker(H_{\pi_P \delta_P}^1(F_P, G) \rightarrow \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_P} H^1(F_{P\nu}, G)).$$

Hypothesis 3.2. *We say that G satisfies the local injectivity hypothesis with respect to \mathcal{X} if for every closed point $P \in \mathcal{X}$ the map $H_{\pi_P \delta_P}^1(F_P, G) \rightarrow \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_P} H^1(F_{P\nu}, G)$ has trivial kernel.*

Theorem 3.3. ([15, Proposition 8.4]) *Let F, G and \mathcal{X} be as above. If G satisfies the local injectivity hypothesis (3.2) with respect to \mathcal{X} , then*

$$\text{III}_{div}(F, G) = \text{III}_X(F, G).$$

Proof. Let $P \in \mathcal{X}$ be a closed point and $\zeta \in \text{III}_{div}(F_P, G)$. Let η be a codimension one point of $\text{Spec}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathcal{X}, P})$. Then the discrete valuation on F_P given by η is a divisorial discrete valuation. Since $\zeta \in \text{III}_{div}(F_P, G)$, ζ is trivial over $F_{P\eta}$.

Suppose η does not correspond to the prime ideals (π_P) and (δ_P) . Since G is unramified on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, P}$ except possibly at (π_P) and (δ_P) , G is unramified at η . Since ζ is trivial over $F_{P\eta}$, ζ is unramified at η (cf., [11, Corollary A.6]). Hence $\zeta \in \text{III}_{\pi_P \delta_P}(F_P, G)$. Therefore $\text{III}_{div}(F_P, G) = \text{III}_{\pi_P \delta_P}(F_P, G)$.

By (3.1), we have the following exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{III}_X(F, G) \rightarrow \text{III}_{div}(F, G) \rightarrow \prod_{P \in X^{(1)}} \text{III}_{\pi_P \delta_P}(F_P, G).$$

Since G satisfies the local injectivity hypothesis (3.2), $\text{III}_{\pi_P \delta_P}(F_P, G)$ is trivial and hence $\text{III}_X(F, G) = \text{III}_{div}(F, G)$. \square

Let X be the special fibre of the model \mathcal{X} . Let U be an affine irreducible subset U of X . Let $R_U = \bigcap_{P \in U} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, P}$. Then $T \subset R_U$. Let $t \in T$ be a parameter and \hat{R}_U be the (t) -adic completion of R_U and F_U the field of fractions of \hat{R}_U . Let $P \in X$ be a closed point with P in the closure \bar{U} of U . Then the generic point of U gives a discrete valuation on F_P . Let $F_{U, P}$ be the completion of F_P at this discrete valuation. Note that $F_{U, P}$ depends only on the generic point η of U . We also denote $F_{U, P}$ by $F_{P, \eta}$.

Hypothesis 3.4. *We say that G satisfies the local factorization hypothesis with respect to \mathcal{X} if for every nonsingular closed point P of X and for every affine irreducible subset U of the special fibre of \mathcal{X} with P in the closure of U , $G(F_{U, P}) = G(F_U)G(F_P)$.*

Proposition 3.5. *Let F, G and \mathcal{X} be as above. Suppose that G satisfies the local factorization hypothesis (3.4). Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a finite set of closed points of \mathcal{X} containing all the singular points of X and at least one closed point from each irreducible component of X . Let $V \subset X \setminus \mathcal{P}_0$ be a nonempty open subset contained in an irreducible component of X . Let $P \in V$ be a closed point and $U = V \setminus \{P\}$. Then the map*

$$H^1(F_V, G) \rightarrow H^1(F_U, G) \times H^1(F_P, G)$$

has trivial kernel.

Proof. By ([15, Theorem 2.4]) and ([16, Proposition 3.9]), the kernel of $H^1(F_V, G) \rightarrow H^1(F_U, G) \times H^1(F_P, G)$ is in bijection with the double cosets

$$G(F_U) \backslash G(F_{U,P}) / G(F_P).$$

Since G satisfies local factorization hypothesis (3.4), $G(F_{U,P}) = G(F_U)G(F_P)$. Hence

$$H^1(F_V, G) \rightarrow H^1(F_U, G) \times H^1(F_P, G)$$

has trivial kernel. \square

Let \mathcal{P} be a finite set of closed points of X containing all the singular points of X and at least one point from each irreducible component of X . Let \mathcal{U} be the set of irreducible components of $X \setminus \mathcal{P}$. Let

$$\text{III}_{\mathcal{P}}(F, G) = \ker(H^1(F, G) \rightarrow \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}} H^1(F_U, G) \times \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} H^1(F_P, G)).$$

Theorem 3.6. *Let F, G and \mathcal{X} be as above. Suppose that G satisfies the local factorization hypothesis (3.4). Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a finite set of closed points of \mathcal{X} containing all the singular points of X and at least one closed point from each irreducible component of X . Then*

$$\text{III}_X(F, G) = \text{III}_{\mathcal{P}_0}(F, G).$$

Proof. By ([15, Corollary 5.9]), we have $\text{III}_X(F, G) = \cup_{\mathcal{P}} \text{III}_{\mathcal{P}}(F, G)$, where \mathcal{P} runs over finite sets of closed points of X containing all the singular points of X and at least one closed point from each irreducible component of X . Since $\text{III}_{\mathcal{P}}(F, G) \subseteq \text{III}_{\mathcal{P}'}(F, G)$ for all such finite sets of closed points of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' with $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}'$ ([15, §5]), we have $\text{III}_X(F, G) = \cup_{\mathcal{P}} \text{III}_{\mathcal{P}}(F, G)$, where \mathcal{P} runs over finite sets of closed points of X containing \mathcal{P}_0 .

Let \mathcal{P} be a finite set of closed points of X containing \mathcal{P}_0 and $\zeta \in \text{III}_{\mathcal{P}}(F, G)$. Let U be an irreducible component of $X \setminus \mathcal{P}$ and V the irreducible component of $X \setminus \mathcal{P}_0$ containing U . Since $\zeta \in \text{III}_{\mathcal{P}}(F, G)$, ζ is trivial over U and trivial over all $P \in \mathcal{P}$. Suppose $U \neq V$. Let $P \in V \setminus U$. Since ζ is trivial over F_P , by (3.5), ζ is trivial over $F_{U \cup \{P\}}$. Since $V \setminus U \subset \mathcal{P}$ is a finite set, we get that ζ is trivial over F_V . Hence $\zeta \in \text{III}_{\mathcal{P}_0}(F, G)$ and $\text{III}_X(F, G) \subseteq \text{III}_{\mathcal{P}_0}(F, G)$. Hence $\text{III}_X(F, G) = \text{III}_{\mathcal{P}_0}(F, G)$. \square

Corollary 3.7. *Let F, G and \mathcal{X} be as above. Suppose that G satisfies local injectivity hypothesis (3.2) and local factorization hypothesis (3.4). Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a finite set of closed points of \mathcal{X} containing all the singular points of X and at least one closed point from each irreducible component of X . Then*

$$\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G) = \text{III}_{\mathcal{P}_0}(F, G).$$

4. SIMPLY CONNECTED STRONGLY ISOTROPIC GROUPS

For any algebraic group G over a field L , let $RG(L)$ denote the subgroup of $G(L)$ consisting R -trivial elements and $G(L)/R = G(L)/RG(L)$ the set of R -equivalence classes (cf., [10]).

Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field κ and field of fraction K . Let F be the function field of a curve over K .

Theorem 4.1. *Let F be as above and G a semisimple simply connected strongly isotropic linear algebraic group over F . Let \mathcal{X} be a regular proper model of F and \mathcal{P} a finite set of closed points of \mathcal{X} containing all the singular points of the closed fibre X of \mathcal{X} and at least*

one point from each irreducible component of X . Let \mathcal{U} be the set of irreducible components of $X \setminus \mathcal{P}$ and \mathcal{B} the set of branches. Then

$$\text{III}_{\mathcal{P}}(F, G) \simeq \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}} G(F_U)/R \setminus \prod_{\wp \in \mathcal{B}} G(F_{\wp})/R / \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} G(F_P)/R.$$

Proof. By ([15, Corollary 3.6]), we have

$$\text{III}_{\mathcal{P}}(F, G) \simeq \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}} G(F_U) \setminus \prod_{\wp \in \mathcal{B}} G(F_{\wp}) / \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} G(F_P).$$

Since G is a semisimple simply connected strongly isotropic linear algebraic group over F , by ([12, Proposition 3.7]) we have

$$\prod_{\wp \in \mathcal{B}} RG(F_{\wp}) = \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}} RG(F_U) \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} RG(F_P).$$

Hence we have

$$\text{III}_{\mathcal{P}}(F, G) \simeq \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}} G(F_U)/R \setminus \prod_{\wp \in \mathcal{B}} G(F_{\wp})/R / \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}} G(F_P)/R.$$

□

Let G be a connected linear algebraic group over F . Suppose that \mathcal{X} is a regular proper model of F with the union of the ramification locus of G and the closed fibre of \mathcal{X} is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Let $P \in \mathcal{X}$ be closed point. Then there exist $\pi_P, \delta_P \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, P}$ such that the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, P}$ is generated by π_P and δ_P , and G is unramified on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}, P}$ except possibly at (π_P) and (δ_P) . We fix such a choice of π_P and δ_P at each P .

Hypothesis 4.2. *We say that G satisfies the local surjectivity hypothesis with respect to \mathcal{X} if for every nonsingular closed point P of X and for every codimension one point η of X with P in the closure of η , the map $G(F_P) \rightarrow G(F_{P, \eta})/R$ is surjective.*

Proposition 4.3. *Let F, G and \mathcal{X} be as above. Suppose that G is a semisimple simply connected strongly isotropic linear algebraic group over F and G satisfies the local surjectivity hypothesis (4.2). Then G satisfies local factorization hypothesis (3.4).*

Proof. Since G is simply connected and strongly isotropic, by ([12, Proposition 3.7]), $RG(F_{U, P}) = RG(F_U)RG(F_P)$. Since G satisfies local surjectivity hypothesis (4.2), it follows that G satisfies local factorization property. □

Theorem 4.4. *Let F, G and \mathcal{X} be as above. Suppose G is a semisimple simply connected strongly isotropic linear algebraic group over F and satisfies the local surjectivity hypothesis (4.2) with respect to \mathcal{X} . Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a finite set of closed points of \mathcal{X} containing all the singular points of X and at least one closed point from each irreducible component of X . Then*

$$\text{III}_X(F, G) = \text{III}_{\mathcal{P}_0}(F, G).$$

Proof. Follows from (3.6) and (4.3). □

Corollary 4.5. *Let F, G and \mathcal{X} be as above. Suppose G is a semisimple simply connected linear algebraic group over F which is strongly isotropic and satisfies the local injectivity hypothesis (3.2) and the local surjectivity hypothesis (4.2) with respect to \mathcal{X} . Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a finite set of closed points of \mathcal{X} containing all the singular points of X and at least one closed point from each irreducible component of X . Then*

$$\mathrm{III}_{\mathrm{div}}(F, G) \simeq \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}_0} G(F_U)/R \setminus \prod_{\wp \in \mathcal{B}_0} G(F_\wp)/R / \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_0} G(F_P)/R.$$

where \mathcal{U}_0 is the set of irreducible components of $X \setminus \mathcal{P}_0$ and \mathcal{B}_0 is the set of branches with respect to \mathcal{P}_0 .

Proof. Follows from (3.7) and (4.1). □

5. FIELD EXTENSION OVER TWO DIMENSIONAL COMPLETE FIELDS

Let R be a two dimensional complete regular local ring with maximal ideal $m = (\pi, \delta)$, residue field κ and field of fractions F . In this section we describe finite extensions of F of degree coprime to $\mathrm{char}(\kappa)$ which are unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) .

For any prime $\theta \in R$, let F_θ denote the completion of F at the discrete valuation given by θ . Note that the residue field $\kappa(\pi)$ of F_π is the field of fractions of $R/(\delta)$ and hence a complete discretely valued field with the image $\bar{\delta}$ of δ as a parameter.

Let E/F be a finite extension of degree n . Suppose that n is coprime to $\mathrm{char}(k)$. Then E/F is separable. Let \tilde{R} be the integral closure of R in E .

Proposition 5.1. *If E/F is unramified on R , then $E \otimes F_\pi$ is a field.*

Proof. Since E/F is unramified R , \tilde{R} is a regular local ring with maximal ideal $(\pi, \delta)\tilde{R}$. In particular π is a prime in \tilde{R} and hence π is inert in \tilde{R} . Thus $E \otimes F_\pi \simeq E_\pi$ is a field. □

Proposition 5.2. *If E/F is Galois and unramified on R except possibly at π , then $E = E_1(\sqrt[u]{u\pi})$ for some extension E_1/F which is unramified on R and $u \in E_1$ a unit in the integral closure of R in E_1 .*

Proof. Let Q be a prime ideal of \tilde{R} lying over the prime ideal (π) of R . Let $H = \{\sigma \in G(E/F) \mid \sigma(Q) = Q\}$ be the decomposition group of Q . Let $N = E^H \subseteq E$. Then N/F is unramified at (π) and $N \otimes F_\pi$ is isomorphic to the product of F_π . Since E/F is unramified on R except possibly at (π) , N/F is unramified on R . Hence, by (5.1), $N \otimes F_\pi$ is a field. In particular $N = F$, $H = G(E/F)$ and Q is the unique prime ideal of \tilde{R} lying over (π) . Thus $E \otimes F_\pi$ is a field.

Let $I = \{\sigma \in G(E/F) \mid \sigma(x) = x \bmod Q \text{ for all } x \in \tilde{R}\}$ be the inertia group and $E_1 = E^I$. Then E_1/F is unramified at (π) . Hence E_1/F is unramified on R . Then the integral closure \tilde{R}_1 of R in E_1 is a regular local ring with maximal ideal generated by (π, δ) .

Since $[E : F]$ is coprime to $\mathrm{char}(k)$, $[E \otimes_{E_1} E_{1\pi} : E_{1\pi}] = [E : E_1] = e$ is coprime to $\mathrm{char}(k)$. Since $E \otimes_{E_1} E_{1\pi}/E_{1\pi}$ is totally ramified, $E \otimes_{E_1} E_{1\pi} = E_{1\pi}(\sqrt[u]{u\pi})$ for some $u \in E_1$ which is a unit in \tilde{R}_1 . Since $E \otimes_{E_1} E_{1\pi}/E_{1\pi}$ is Galois, $E \otimes_{E_1} E_{1\pi}/E_{1\pi}$ is cyclic and $E_{1\pi}$ contains a primitive e^{th} root of unity. Since \tilde{R}_1 is a complete regular local ring, it follows that E_1 contains a primitive e^{th} root of unity.

Since E/E_1 Galois and $G(E/E_1) = G(E \otimes_{E_1} E_{1\pi}/E_{1\pi})$, it follows that E/E_1 is cyclic. Thus $E = E_1(\sqrt[r]{a})$ for some $a \in E_1$. Since E_1 is the field of fractions of \tilde{R}_1 , we assume that $a \in \tilde{R}_1$. Since \tilde{R}_1 is a UFD, we have $a = u\pi^r \delta^s \theta_1^{d_1} \cdots \theta_m^{d_m}$ for some primes $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m \in \tilde{R}_1$ and unit $u \in \tilde{R}_1$. Since E/E_1 is unramified on \tilde{R}_1 except at (π) , e divides s, d_1, \dots, d_m . Hence $E = E_1(\sqrt[r]{u\pi^r})$. Since $E \otimes_{E_1} E_{1\pi}/E_{1\pi}$ is totally ramified, r is coprime to n and hence $E = E_1(\sqrt[r]{v\pi})$ for some unit $v \in \tilde{R}_1$. \square

Corollary 5.3. *If E/F is unramified on R except possibly at (π) , then $E \otimes F_\pi$ and $E \otimes F_\delta$ are fields.*

Proof. Let L/E be the normal closure of E/F . Since L/F is separable, L/F is a Galois extension. Since E/F is unramified on R except possibly at π , L/F is also unramified on R except possibly at π . Hence replacing E by L , we assume that E/F is a Galois extension. Thus, by (5.2), we have $E = E_1(\sqrt[r]{u\pi})$ for some extension E_1/F which is unramified on R and $u \in E_1$ a unit in the integral closure \tilde{R}_1 of R in E_1 . Now it is easy to see that $E \otimes F_\pi$ and $E \otimes F_\delta$ are fields. \square

Proposition 5.4. *If E/F is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) , then $E \otimes F_\pi$ and $E \otimes F_\delta$ are fields.*

Proof. Let Q be a prime ideal in \tilde{R} lying over (π) . Let $H = \{\sigma \in G(E/F) \mid \sigma(Q) = Q\}$ be the decomposition group of Q . Let $N = E^H \subseteq E$. Then N/F is unramified at (π) and $N \otimes F_\pi$ is isomorphic to the product of F_π . Since E/F is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) , N/F is unramified on R except possibly at (δ) . Hence, by (5.3), $N \otimes F_\pi$ is a field. In particular $N = F$, $H = G(E/F)$ and Q is the unique prime ideal of \tilde{R} lying over (π) . Thus $E \otimes F_\pi$ is a field. Similarly $E \otimes F_\delta$ is a field. \square

Proposition 5.5. *If E/F is Galois and unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) , then $E = E_1(\sqrt[r]{u\pi})(\sqrt[r]{v(\sqrt[r]{u\pi})^r \delta})$ for some extension E_1/F which is unramified on R , $u \in E_1$ a unit in the integral closure of R in E_1 and $v \in E_1(\sqrt[r]{u\delta})$ a unit in the integral closure of R in $E_1(\sqrt[r]{u\delta})$.*

Proof. Since E/F is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . by (5.4), $E \otimes F_\delta$ is a field. Hence there is only one prime Q lying over the prime ideal (δ) . In particular the decomposition group of Q over (π) is equal to the $G(E/F)$ and the inertia group I of Q is a normal subgroup of $G(E/F)$. Let $L = E^I$. Then L/F is unramified at (δ) and hence unramified on R except possibly at (π) . Thus, by (5.3), we have $L = E_1(\sqrt[r]{u\pi})$ for some E_1/F unramified on R and a unit u in the integral closure \tilde{R}_1 of R in E_1 .

Since E_1/F is unramified on R , \tilde{R}_1 is a regular local ring of with maximal ideal $(\sqrt[r]{u\pi}, \delta)$. Further E/E_1 is totally ramified at (δ) and hence $E \otimes E_{1\delta} = E_{1\delta}(\sqrt[r]{v\delta})$ for some $v \in E_{1\delta}$ a unit in the valuation ring. In particular $E \otimes E_{1\delta}/E_{1\delta}$ is a cyclic extension. As in the proof of (5.2), we have $E = E_1(\sqrt[r]{u\pi})(\sqrt[r]{v(\sqrt[r]{u\pi})^r \delta})$. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let E_π/F_π be a finite extension of degree coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Then $E_\pi = (E_0 \otimes_F F_\pi)(\sqrt[r]{v\delta})(\sqrt[r]{u(\sqrt[r]{v\delta})^t \pi})$ for some extension E_0/F which is unramified on R , u, v units in the integral closure of R in E_0 and $e_1, e_2, t \geq 0$.*

Proof. Let $E_\pi(\pi)$ be the residue field of E_π . Then $E_\pi(\pi)$ is a finite extension of $\kappa(\pi)$ and hence a complete discretely valued field. Let $E_\pi(\pi)(\delta)$ be the residue field of $E_\pi(\pi)$. Since $[E_\pi : F_\pi]$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$, $E_\pi(\pi)(\delta)$ is a finite separable extension of κ . Write $E_\pi(\pi)(\delta) \simeq \kappa[x]/(g(x))$ for some monic polynomial $g(x) \in \kappa[x]$. Let $g(x) \in R[x]$ be a monic polynomial with the image in $\kappa[x]$ equal to $g(x)$. Let $E_0 = F[x]/(f(x))$. Then E_0/F is unramified on R . Let S_0 be the integral closure of R in E_0 . Then S_0 is a regular local ring with maximal ideal (π, δ) and the residue field $E(\pi)(\delta)$.

Let $E_0(\pi)$ be the field of fractions of $S_0/(\pi)$. Then $E_0(\pi)$ is the maximal unramified extension of $E_\pi(\pi)$ and $E_\pi(\pi)/E_0(\pi)$ a totally ramified extension. Since $[E_\pi : F_\pi]$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$, $E_\pi(\pi) = E_0(\pi)(\sqrt[e_1]{v\delta})$ for some $v \in S$ a unit.

Let $E_1 = E_0(\sqrt[e_2]{v\delta})$. Then $E_1 \otimes_F F_\pi$ is the maximal unramified extension of E_π/F_π . Hence $E_\pi = (E_1 \otimes F_\pi)(\sqrt[e_3]{a\pi})$ for some $a \in E_1 \otimes F_\pi$ which is a unit in the valuation ring of $E_1 \otimes F_\pi$. Since residue field of $E_1 \otimes F_\pi$ is isomorphic to $E_\pi(\pi) = E_0(\pi)(\sqrt[e_1]{v\delta})$, $\bar{a} = b(\sqrt[e_2]{v})^t c^n$ for some $c \in E_\pi(\pi)$ and $b \in E_0(\pi)$ a unit in the valuation ring. Since $E_0(\pi)$ is the field of fractions of $S_0/(\pi)$, we have $b = \bar{u}$ for some $u \in S_0$ a unit. Hence we have

$$E_\pi = (E_1 \otimes F_\pi)(\sqrt[e_3]{u(\sqrt[e_2]{v})^t \pi}) = (E_0 \otimes_F F_\pi)(\sqrt[e_1]{v\delta})(\sqrt[e_1]{u(\sqrt[e_2]{v\delta})^t \pi})$$

for some units $u, v \in S_0$. □

The following is proved in ([26, Lemma 5.1]) for Galois extensions.

Lemma 5.7. *Let E_π/F_π be a finite extension of degree n coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Then there exists a field extension E/F of degree n which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) such that $E \otimes F_\pi \simeq E_\pi$. Further*

- i) if E_π/F_π is unramified, then E/F is unramified on R except possibly at (δ)*
- ii) if E_π/F_π is unramified and the residue field $E_\pi(\pi)$ of E_π is unramified over $\kappa(\pi)$, then E/F is unramified on R*
- iii) if E_π/F_π is Galois, then E/F is Galois.*

Proof. By (5.6), there exists an extension E_0/F which is unramified on R such that $E_\pi = (E_0 \otimes_F F_\pi)(\sqrt[e_1]{v\delta})(\sqrt[e_1]{u(\sqrt[e_2]{v\delta})^t \pi})$ for some u, v units in the integral closure S_0 of R in E_0 and $e_1, e_2, t \geq 0$. Let $E = E_0((\sqrt[e_1]{v\delta})(\sqrt[e_1]{u(\sqrt[e_2]{v\delta})^t \pi}))$. Then $E \otimes F_\pi \simeq E_\pi$. Clearly E/F is unramified on R except possible at (π) and (δ) .

Suppose E_π/F_π is unramified. Then $e_1 = 1$ and $E = E_0(\sqrt[e_2]{v\delta})$. Hence E/F is unramified on R except possibly at (δ) .

Suppose that E_π/F_π is unramified and the residue field $E_\pi(\pi)$ of E_π is unramified over $\kappa(\pi)$. Then $e_1 = e_2 = 1$. Hence $E = E_0$ is unramified on R .

Suppose that E_π/F_π is Galois. Then E/F is Galois follows as in the proof of ([26, Lemma 5.1]). □

Lemma 5.8. *Let E_0/F be an extension which is unramified over R . Let $e \geq 1$ be coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Let $E = E_0(\sqrt[e]{v\delta})$ for some unit v in the integral closure of R in E_0 . Then the integral closure S of R in E is a complete regular local ring of dimension 2 and the maximal ideal of S is given by $(\pi, \sqrt[e]{v\delta})$.*

Proof. Let S_0 be the integral closure of R in E_0 . Since E_0/F is unramified on R , S_0 is a regular local ring with maximal ideal (π, δ) . Since e is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$, S is a regular local ring with maximal ideal $(\pi, \sqrt[e]{v\delta})$ ([24, Lemma 3.2]). \square

The following is proved in ([32]) for Galois extensions.

Lemma 5.9. *Let E_0/F be a field extension which is unramified on R . Let $u, v \in E$ be units in the integral closure of R in E_0 . Let $E = E_0(\sqrt[e_2]{v\delta})(\sqrt[e_1]{u(\sqrt[e_2]{v\delta})^t\pi})$ for some $e_1, e_2, t \geq 0$. Let $\lambda = w\pi^r\delta^s \in F$ for some unit $w \in R$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. If λ is a norm from $E \otimes F_\pi/F_\pi$, then λ is a norm from E/F .*

Proof. Suppose that λ is a norm from $E \otimes F_\pi/F_\pi$. Without loss of generality we assume that $0 \leq r, s < n = [E : F]$.

Let $z \in E \otimes F_\pi/F_\pi$ such that $N_{E \otimes F_\pi/F_\pi}(z) = \lambda$. Let $E_1 = E_0(\sqrt[e_2]{v\delta})$. Let $\pi' = \sqrt[e_1]{u(\sqrt[e_2]{v\delta})^t\pi} \in E$. Since $E_1 \otimes F_\pi$ is the maximal unramified extension of $E \otimes F_\pi/F_\pi$ and π' is a parameter in $E \otimes F_\pi$, we have $z = a\pi'^m b^n$ for some unit a in the valuation ring of $E_1 \otimes F_\pi$, $b \in E \otimes F_\pi$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\pi' \in E$ and $N_{E/F}(\pi') = w'\pi^{r'}\delta^{s'}$ for some $w' \in R$ a unit and $r', s' \in \mathbb{Z}$, replacing λ by $\lambda N_{E/F}(\pi')^{-m}$, we assume that $\lambda = N_{E \otimes F_\pi/F_\pi}(ab^n)$ for some $a \in E_1 \otimes F_\pi$ a unit in the valuation ring of $E_1 \otimes F_\pi$ and $b \in E \otimes F_\pi$.

Let $E_1(\pi)$ be the residue field of $E_1 \otimes F_\pi$ and $\bar{a} \in E_1(\pi)$ the image of a . Since $a \in E_1 \otimes F_\pi$ is a unit in the valuation ring of $E_1 \otimes F_\pi$, $\bar{a} \neq 0$. Let $E_0(\pi)$ be the residue field of $E_0 \otimes F_\pi$. Then we have $E_1(\pi) = E_0(\pi)(\sqrt[e_2]{v\delta})$ and $E_0(\pi)$ is the maximal unramified extension of $E_1(\pi)$. Hence we have $\bar{a} = \bar{a}_1(\sqrt[e_2]{v\delta})^{m'}\bar{b}_1^n$ for some $\bar{a}_1 \in E_0(\pi)$ and $\bar{b}_1 \in W_1$ which are units in the valuation rings. Further $\bar{a}_1 \in E_0(\pi)$ is a unit in the valuation ring of $E_0(\pi)$.

Let S_0 be the integral closure of R in S_0 . Since E_0/F is unramified in R , S_0 is a regular local ring with maximal ideal (π, δ) . Since $E_0(\pi)$ is the field of fractions of $S_0/(\pi)$ and $\bar{a}_1 \in E_0(\pi)$ is a unit in the valuation ring of $E_0(\pi)$, there exists a unit $x \in E_0$ such that $\bar{x} = \bar{a}_1 \in S_0/(\pi)$.

Let $z_1 = x(\sqrt[e_2]{v\delta})^{m'}$. Then it is easy to see that $z_1^{-1}z \in (E \otimes F_\pi)^n$. Since $x \in S_0$ is a unit, $N_{E/F}(z_1)$ is equal to a unit times a power of δ . Hence replacing λ by $\lambda N_{E/F}(z_1)^{-1}$, we assume that $\lambda = N_{E \otimes F_\pi/F_\pi}(z)$ with z close to 1. In particular $z \in (E \otimes F_\pi)^{*n}$ and hence $\lambda = N_{E \otimes F_\pi/F_\pi}(z) \in F_\pi^{*n}$. Since $\lambda = w\pi^r\delta^s$, r, s are divisible by n . Hence $w \in F_\pi^{*n}$. Since $w \in R$ is a unit, it follows that $w \in F^{*n}$. Thus $\lambda \in N_{E/F}(E^*)$. \square

6. CENTRAL SIMPLE ALGEBRAS AND REDUCED NORMS OVER TWO DIMENSIONAL COMPLETE FIELDS

Let R be a two dimensional complete regular local ring with maximal ideal $m = (\pi, \delta)$, residue field κ and field of fractions F . For any prime θ , let F_θ be the completion of F at the discrete valuation given by θ . If θ is a regular prime, then $R/(\theta)$ is a complete discrete valuation ring and hence $\kappa(\theta)$ is a complete discretely valued field. Let E/F be an extension which is unramified on R . Let S be the integral closure of R in E . Let θ be a regular prime in R . Then θ is a prime in S and we denote the residue field of E at θ by $E(\theta)$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let D be a central simple algebra over F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) . Suppose that the period of D is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Then there exists a*

central simple algebra D_0 over F which is unramified on R and a cyclic extension E/F which is unramified on R such that

$$D = D_0 + (E, \sigma, \pi)$$

for some generator σ of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$.

Proof. Let (E_0, σ_0) be the residue of D at π . Let E_π/F_π be the unique unramified extension with residue field E_0 . Since $E_0/\kappa(\pi)$ is cyclic, E/F_π is cyclic. Since D is unramified on R except possibly at (π) , the extension $E_0/\kappa(\pi)$ is an unramified extension (cf. [26, Lemma 5.2]). Since E_π/F is a cyclic extension, by (5.7), there exists a cyclic extension E/F which is unramified on R such that $E \otimes F_\pi \simeq E_\pi$. Let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/F)$ be the lift of σ_0 and $D_0 = D - (E, \sigma, \pi)$. Then D_0 is unramified on R and $D = D_0 + (E, \sigma, \pi)$. \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let D be a central simple algebra over F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . Suppose that the period of D is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. If $D \otimes F_\delta$ is split, then D is split.*

Proof. Suppose $D \otimes F_\delta$ is split. Then D is unramified at (δ) . Hence D is unramified on R except possibly at (π) . By (6.1), $D = D_0 + (E, \sigma, \pi)$ for some D_0 and E/F unramified on R . For any regular prime $\theta \in R$, let $D_0(\theta)$ be the specialization of D_0 at θ . Since D_0 and E/F are unramified at δ and $D \otimes F_\delta$ splits, $D(\delta) = D_0(\delta) + (E(\delta)/\kappa(\delta), \sigma_\delta, \bar{\pi})$ is split algebra over $\kappa(\delta)$. Since $\kappa(\delta)$ is a complete discretely valued field with $\bar{\pi}$ is a parameter, it follows that $E(\delta) = \kappa(\delta)$ and $D_0(\delta)$ is split over $\kappa(\delta)$. Since R is complete D_0 is split and $E = F$. Hence D is split. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let R be a two dimensional complete regular local ring with maximal ideal (π, δ) and field of fractions F . Let D be a central division algebra over F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . Suppose that the period of D is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Then $D \otimes F_\pi$ is division.*

Proof. Let D_π be the division algebra over F_π which is Brauer equivalent to $D \otimes F_\pi$. Since F_π is a complete discretely valued field and period of D is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa(\pi))$, there exists an unramified extension E_π/F_π such that $E_\pi \subseteq D_\pi \otimes F_\pi$ a maximal subfield (cf., [18, Lemma 5.1]). By (5.7), there exists an extension E/F which is unramified on R except possibly at (δ) such that $E \otimes_F F_\pi \simeq E_\pi$. Let S be the integral closure of R in E . Since E/F is unramified on R except possibly at (δ) , by the construction of E/F , S is a complete two dimensional regular local ring with maximal ideal $m_S = (\pi, \delta')$ for some prime δ' in S which lies over δ (cf. [24, Lemma 3.1 and 3.2]). Since $E \otimes_F F_\pi \simeq E_\pi$, $D \otimes_F \otimes E_\pi$ is split, $D \otimes E$ is unramified on S except possibly at (δ') . Hence, by (6.2), $D \otimes E$ is split. Hence $\text{ind}(D) \leq [E : F]$. Since $[E : F] = [E_\pi, F_\pi] = \text{ind}(D \otimes F_\pi) \leq \text{ind}(D) \leq [E : F]$, we have $\text{ind}(D \otimes F_\pi) = \text{ind}(D)$. \square

Corollary 6.4. *Let D be a central simple algebra over F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . Suppose that the period of D is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Then $\text{ind}(D) = \text{ind}(D \otimes F_\pi)$.*

Lemma 6.5. *Let D be a central simple algebra over F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . Suppose that the period of D is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Then there exists a central simple algebra D_0 over F which is unramified on R , a cyclic extension E_1/F*

which is unramified on R and a cyclic extension E_2/F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) such that

$$D = D_0 + (E_1/F, \sigma_1, \pi) + (E_2/F, \sigma_2, \delta)$$

for some generators σ_i of $\text{Gal}(E_i/F)$.

Proof. Let (E_δ, σ_0) be the lift of the residue of D at δ . Since E_δ/F_δ is a cyclic extension which is unramified, there exists a cyclic extension E_2/F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) such that $E_2 \otimes F_\delta \simeq E_\delta$ (5.7). Let $\sigma_2 \in \text{Gal}(E_2/F)$ be the lift of σ_0 and $D_1 = D - (E_2/F, \sigma_2, \delta)$. Then D_1 is unramified on R except possibly at π . Hence, by (6.1), there exists a central simple algebra D_0 over F which is unramified on R and a cyclic extension E_1/F which is unramified on R such that $D_1 = D_0 + (E_1/F, \sigma_1, \pi)$. Hence $D = D_0 + (E_1/F, \sigma_1, \pi) + (E_2/F, \sigma_2, \delta)$ as required. \square

Corollary 6.6. *Let D be a central simple algebra over F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . Suppose that the period of D is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Let $D = D_0 + (E_1/F, \sigma_1, \pi) + (E_2/F, \sigma_2, \delta)$ be as in (6.5). Then*

$$\text{ind}(D) = \text{ind}(D_0 \otimes E_1 E_2)[E_1 E_2 : F].$$

Lemma 6.7. *Let D be a central simple algebra over F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . Suppose that the period of D is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Let E_π/F_π be a finite extension of fields of degree coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. If $D \otimes E_\pi$ is split, then there exists an extension E/F of fields such that E/F is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) such that $E \otimes F_\pi \simeq E_\pi$ and $D \otimes E$ is split.*

Proof. Let $E_{\pi, nr}$ be the maximal unramified extension of E_π/F_π . By (5.7), there exists an extension E_1/F of fields such that E_1/F is unramified on R except possibly at (δ) such that $E_1 \otimes F_\pi \simeq E_{\pi, nr}$. Let S_1 be the integral closure of R in E_1 . By (5.8), S_1 is a complete regular local ring of dimension 2 and there is a unique (up to units) $\delta_1 \in S_1$ which lies over δ with the maximal ideal of S_1 given by (π, δ_1) .

Since $[E_\pi : F_\pi]$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$, we have $E_\pi = E_{\pi, nr}(\sqrt[\epsilon]{v\pi})$ for some $v \in E_{\pi, nr}$ which is unit in the valuation ring of $E_{\pi, nr}$. We have $v = u\delta_1^r a^\epsilon$ for some unit $u \in S_1$, $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a \in E_1$ (cf., [25, Remark 7.1]). Let $E = E_1(\sqrt[\epsilon]{u\delta_1^r \pi})$.

Suppose that $D \otimes E_\pi$ is split. Let $D_1 = D \otimes_F E_1$. Since $D \otimes E_\pi$ is split, we have $D_1 \otimes_{E_1} E_\pi$ is split. Hence by (2.1), we have $D_1 \otimes E_{\pi, nr} = (L_\pi, \sigma, u\delta_1^r \pi)$ for some cyclic extension $L_\pi/E_{\pi, nr}$ which is unramified.

By (5.7), there exists a cyclic extension L/E_1 such that $L \otimes E_{1, \pi} \simeq L_\pi$. Let σ_1 be the generator of $\text{Gal}(L/E_1)$ which is the restriction of σ . Then $(D_1 \otimes (L/E_1, \sigma, u\delta_1^r \pi)) \otimes_{E_1} E_\pi$ is split. Hence, by (6.2), $D_1 \otimes (L/E_1, \sigma, u\delta_1^r \pi)$ is split over E_1 . Since $(L/E_1, \sigma, u\delta_1^r \pi) \otimes_{E_1} E$ is split, $D_1 \otimes E$ is split. In particular $D \otimes E$ is split. \square

The following is proved in ([26]) if κ is a finite field.

Proposition 6.8. *Let A be a central simple algebra over F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . Suppose that the period of A is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Let $\lambda = u\pi^r \delta^s \in R$ for some $u \in R$ a unit and $r, s \geq 0$. If λ is a reduced norm from $A \otimes F_\pi$, then λ is a reduced norm from A .*

Proof. Let $A = M_n(D)$ for some central division algebra D over F . Since for any field extension L/F , $\text{Nrd}(A^*) = \text{Nrd}(D^*)$, replacing A by D , we assume that $A = D$ is division. Suppose λ is a reduced norm from $D \otimes F_\pi$. Since $D \otimes F_\pi$ is a division algebra (6.3), there exists a maximal subfield E_π of $D \otimes F_\pi$ such that λ is a norm from the extension E_π/F_π . Then, by (6.7), there exists an extension E/F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) such that $E \otimes F_\pi \simeq E_\pi$ and $D \otimes E$ is split. Further from the proof of (6.7), E is an in (5.9). Since λ is a norm from E_π/F_π , by (5.9), λ is a norm from E/F . Hence λ is a reduced norm from D . \square

7. LOCAL INJECTIVITY FOR GROUPS OF TYPE A_n

Let R be a two dimensional complete regular local ring with maximal ideal $m = (\pi, \delta)$, residue field κ and field of fractions F .

Proposition 7.1. *Let A be a central simple algebra over F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . Suppose that the period of A is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Then $H_{\pi\delta}^1(F, SL_1(A)) \rightarrow H^1(F_\pi, SL_1(A))$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Let $R_{\pi\delta} = R[\frac{1}{\pi\delta}] \subset F$. Then $R_{\pi\delta}$ is a principal ideal domain with field of fractions F . Since A is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) , there exists an Azumaya algebra \mathcal{A} over $R_{\pi\delta}$ such that $\mathcal{A} \otimes F \simeq A$ (cf. [20, Lemma 3.1]).

Since $H_{et}^1(R_{\pi\delta}, GL_1(\mathcal{A}))$ is trivial, the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow SL_1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow GL_1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$ of algebraic groups over $R_{\pi\delta}$ gives an isomorphism

$$H_{et}^1(R_{\pi\delta}, SL_1(\mathcal{A})) \simeq R_{\pi\delta}^*/\text{Nrd}(\mathcal{A}^*).$$

Since $H_{\pi\delta}^1(F, SL_1(A)) \simeq H_{et}^1(R_{\pi\delta}, SL_1(\mathcal{A}))$ ([6, Theroem 6.13]), we have $H_{\pi\delta}^1(F, SL_1(A)) \simeq R_{\pi\delta}^*/\text{Nrd}(\mathcal{A}^*)$. Let $\zeta \in H_{\pi\delta}^1(F, SL_1(A))$ which maps to the trivial element in $H^1(F_\pi, SL_1(A))$. Let $\lambda \in R_{\pi\delta}^*$ representing ζ . Since λ is a unit in $R_{\pi\delta}^*$, we have $\lambda = u\pi^r\delta^s$ for some unit $u \in R$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since ζ maps to the trivial element in $H^1(F_\pi, SL_1(A)) \simeq F_\pi^*/\text{Nrd}(A \otimes F_\pi)$, λ is a reduced norm from $A \otimes F_\pi$. Hence, by (6.8), λ is a reduced norm from A . In particular the map $H_{\pi\delta}^1(F, SL_1(A)) \rightarrow H^1(F_\pi, SL_1(A))$ is injective.

Let $n = \text{ind}(A)$. Since n is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$, $R_{\pi\delta}^* \rightarrow F_\pi^*/F_\pi^{*n}$ is surjective (cf., [25, Remark 7.1]). Since $F_\pi^{*n} \subseteq \text{Nrd}(A^*)$, the map $H_{\pi\delta}^1(F, SL_1(A)) \rightarrow H^1(F_\pi, SL_1(A))$ is surjective. \square

Proposition 7.2. *Let A be a central simple algebra over F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) . Suppose that the period of A is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Then $H^1(F, SL_1(A)) \rightarrow \prod_\nu H^1(F_\nu, SL_1(A))$ is injective, where ν running over all height one prime ideals of R .*

Proof. Let $\zeta \in \ker(H^1(F, SL_1(A)) \rightarrow \prod_\nu H^1(F_\nu, SL_1(A)))$. Let ν be a height one prime ideal of R which is not equal to (π) and (δ) . Since A is unramified at ν and ζ maps to the trivial element in $H^1(F_\nu, SL_1(A))$, ζ is unramified at ν . Hence $\zeta \in H_{\pi\delta}^1(F, SL_1(A))$. Since the image of ζ in $H^1(F_\pi, SL_1(A))$ is trivial, by (7.1), ζ is trivial. \square

Let $L = F(\sqrt{d})$ be a quadratic extension with $d = u$ or π or δ for some unit $u \in R^*$. Let S be the integral closure of R in L . Then S is a regular local ring with maximal ideal (π_1, δ_1) , where π_1 and δ_1 are unique (up to units) primes in S lying over π and δ respectively.

Let A be a central simple algebra over L with a L/F -involution τ . Suppose that $2\text{per}(A)$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$ and (A, τ) is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) .

The following is proved in ([25, Lemma 8.1]) when κ is a finite field.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $a = w\pi_1^r\delta_1^s \in L$ for some unit $w \in S$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose there exists $\theta_\pi \in F_\pi^*$ such that $a\theta_\pi \in \text{Nrd}(A \otimes F_\pi)$. Then there exists $\theta \in F$ such that $a\theta \in \text{Nrd}(A)$.*

Proof. Using (7.2), the proof is similar to the proof of ([25, Lemma 8.1]). \square

Proposition 7.4. *Let $\zeta \in H^1(F, SU(A, \tau))$ which maps to the trivial element in $H^1(F, U(A, \tau))$. If ζ maps to the trivial element in $H^1(F_\nu, SU(A, \tau))$ for all height one prime ideals ν of R , then ζ is the trivial element.*

Proof. Suppose that d is a square in F . Then $L \simeq F \times F$, $A = A_0 \times A_0^{\text{op}}$ for some central simple algebra A_0 over F , $\tau(x, y) = (y, x)$ and $SU(A, \tau) = SL_1(A)$ ([19, p.346]). Hence the result follows from (7.2).

Suppose that d is not a square in F . Then L is a quadratic field extension of F .

Let $R_{\pi\delta} = R[\frac{1}{\pi\delta}]$. Since R is a principal ideal domain, $R_{\pi\delta}$ is also a principal ideal domain. Since $d \in R_{\pi\delta}$ is a unit, $S_{\pi\delta} = R_{\pi\delta}[\sqrt{d}]$ is an étale extension and $S_{\pi\delta}$ is a principal ideal domain.

Since (A, τ) is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) , (A, τ) is unramified on $R_{\pi\delta}$. Hence there exists an Azumaya algebra \mathcal{A} over $S_{\pi\delta}$ and such that $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{S_{\pi\delta}} L = A$ and $\tau(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A}$ (2.2). Since $S_{\pi\delta}/R_{\pi\delta}$ is étale, the groups $U(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ and $SU(\mathcal{A}, \tau)$ are reductive groups over $R_{\pi\delta}$.

Let $\zeta \in H^1(F, SU(A, \tau))$ which maps to the trivial element in $H^1(F, U(A, \tau))$. Suppose that ζ maps to the trivial element in $H^1(F_\nu, SU(A, \tau))$ for all height one prime ideals ν of R . Since ζ maps to the trivial element in $H^1(F_\nu, SU(A, \tau))$ for all height one prime ideals ν of R , there exists $\zeta_0 \in H_{\text{ét}}^1(R_{\pi\delta}, SU(\mathcal{A}, \tau))$ such that ζ_0 maps to ζ ([6, Proposition 6.8]). Since the image of ζ in $H^1(F, U(A, \tau))$ is trivial, the image of ζ_0 in $H^1(R_{\pi\delta}, U(\mathcal{A}, \tau))$ is trivial (see [22] and [9, Théorème I.1.2.2]).

Since $R_{S_{\pi\delta}/R_{\pi\delta}}^1 \mathbb{G}_m(R_{\pi\delta}) = \{a\tau(a)^{-1} \mid a \in S_{\pi\delta}^*\}$ (cf. 2.3), the exact sequence

$$U(\mathcal{A}, \tau)(R_{\pi\delta}) \rightarrow R_{S_{\pi\delta}/R_{\pi\delta}}^1 \mathbb{G}_m(R_{\pi\delta}) \rightarrow H^1(R_{\pi\delta}, SU(\mathcal{A}, \tau)) \rightarrow H^1(R_{\pi\delta}, U(\mathcal{A}, \tau))$$

gives an element $a \in S_{\pi\delta}^*$ such that the element $a\tau(a)^{-1}$ in $R_{S_{\pi\delta}/R_{\pi\delta}}^1 \mathbb{G}_m(R_{\pi\delta})$ maps to ζ_0 .

Since $a \in S_{\pi\delta}^*$, we have $a = w\pi_1^r\delta_1^s$ for some unit $w \in S$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the image of the class of ζ in $H^1(F_\pi, SU(A, \tau))$ is trivial, $a\tau(a)^{-1}$ is in the image of $U(A, \tau)(F_\pi)$. Hence there exists $b \in L_\pi^* \cap \text{Nrd}(A \otimes F_\pi)$ such that $a\tau(a)^{-1} = b\tau(b)^{-1}$. Since $L_\pi^\tau = K_\pi$ and $\tau(a^{-1}b) = a^{-1}b$, there exists $\theta_\pi \in K_\pi$ such that $b = \theta_\pi a$. Hence, by (7.3), there exists $\theta \in F$ such that $\theta a \in \text{Nrd}(A)$. Since $a\tau(a)^{-1} = \theta a\tau(\theta a)^{-1}$, replacing a by θa , we assume that $a \in \text{Nrd}(A)^*$. In particular $a\tau(a)^{-1}$ is in the image of $U(A, \tau)(F)$. Since $a\tau(a)^{-1}$ maps to ζ , ζ is trivial in $H^1(F, SU(A, \tau))$. \square

8. THE GROUP $SK_1(D)$ OVER A DISCRETE VALUED FIELD

Let R be an integral domain with a discrete valuation ν (not necessarily complete), R_ν the valuation ring at ν , m_ν the maximal ideal of R_ν , F the field of fractions of R and $\kappa(\nu)$ the residue field at ν . Let n be an integer coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa(\nu))$. Let \hat{F} be the completion of F at ν .

Let D be a central division algebra over F . Suppose that $D \otimes_F \hat{F}$ is division. We recall the following from ([23, CH. 5]). Let $\Lambda = \{x \in D \mid \text{Nrd}_D(x) \in R_\nu\}$. Then Λ is the unique maximal R_ν -order of D and $m_D = \{x \in D \mid \text{Nrd}_D(x) \in m_\nu\}$ is the unique maximal (2-sided) ideal of Λ . There exists $\pi_D \in \Lambda$ such that $m_D = \Lambda\pi_D$ and every nonzero element $z \in \Lambda$ is of the form $u\pi_D^n$ for some $u \in \Lambda$ a unit and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Further $\bar{D} = \Lambda/m_D$ is a division algebra ([23, Theorem 12.8]). Let $Z(\bar{D})$ be the center of \bar{D} . Suppose the index of D is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa(\nu))$. Then $Z(\bar{D})/\kappa(\nu)$ is a cyclic extension (cf. [18, Proposition 1.7]). For any $z \in \Lambda$, let $\bar{z} \in \bar{D}$ be the image of z . The inner automorphism given by π_D induces an automorphism $\bar{\tau}$ of \bar{D} . Let τ be the restriction of $\bar{\tau}$ to $Z(\bar{D})$. Then τ is a generator of $\text{Gal}(Z(\bar{D})/K)$.

Let $\pi \in F$ be a parameter. Since index of D is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa(\nu))$, we have $D = D_0 + (E, \sigma, \pi)$ for some central division algebra D_0 over F unramified at ν and cyclic extension E/F unramified at ν . Also we have $E \subseteq D$, the residue field of E at the extension of ν is $Z(\bar{D})$ and $\bar{D} = \bar{D}_0 \otimes Z(\bar{D})$. Further the inner automorphism given by π_D restricted to E is a generator of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ which is a lift of τ .

Let $D_1 = C_D(E)$. Then D_1/E is unramified at the extension of ν to E . Let S_ν be the integral closure of R_ν in E and $\Lambda_1 = \{x \in D_1 \mid \text{Nrd}_{D_1}(x) \in S_\nu\}$. Then Λ_1 is the maximal S_ν -order of D_1 and $\Lambda_1 \subseteq \Lambda$ with $\bar{D}_1 = \bar{D}$. Since the inner automorphism given by π_D restricted to E is an automorphism of E/F , $\pi_D D_1 \pi_D^{-1} = D_1$ and hence $\pi_D \Lambda_1 \pi_D^{-1} = \Lambda_1$.

Let

$$Z(\bar{D})^{*1} = \{\beta \in Z(\bar{D})^* \mid N_{Z(\bar{D})/\kappa(\nu)}(\beta) = 1\}$$

and

$$G = Z(\bar{D})^{*1} \cap \text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{D}^*).$$

Let $z \in SL_1(D)$. Then $z \in \Lambda$ is a unit. Let $\beta = \text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{z}) \in Z(\bar{D})^*$. Since $\overline{\text{Nrd}_D(z)} = N_{Z(\bar{D})/\kappa(\nu)}(\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{z}))$, $N_{Z(\bar{D})/\kappa(\nu)}(\beta) = 1$ ([27, Lemma 2]). Hence we have a homomorphism of groups

$$\eta : SL_1(D) \rightarrow G$$

given by $z \mapsto \text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{z})$.

Let

$$H = \{\beta \in Z(\bar{D})^* \mid \beta = \theta\tau(\theta)^{-1} \text{ for some } \theta \in \text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{D}^*)\} \subseteq G.$$

Let $x, y \in D^*$. Then $x = u_1\pi_D^{n_1}$ and $y = u_2\pi_D^{n_2}$ for some $u_1, u_2 \in \Lambda$ units and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = u_1\pi_D^{n_1}u_2\pi_D^{n_2}\pi_D^{-n_1}u_1^{-1}\pi_D^{-n_2}u_2^{-1} = u_1(\pi_D^{n_1}u_2\pi_D^{-1})(\pi_D^{n_2}u_1^{-1}\pi_D^{-n_2})u_2^{-1}$. Since for any $z \in \Lambda$, $\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\overline{\pi_D z \pi_D^{-1}}) = \tau(\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{z}))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\overline{xyx^{-1}y^{-1}}) &= \text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{u}_1)\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\overline{(\pi_D^{n_1}u_2\pi_D^{-1})})\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\overline{(\pi_D^{n_2}u_1^{-1}\pi_D^{-n_2})})\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{u}_2^{-1}) \\ &= \text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{u}_1)\tau(\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{u}_2))\tau(\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{u}_1^{-1}))\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{u}_2^{-1}) \\ &= \text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{u}_1\bar{u}_2^{-1})\tau(\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{u}_2\bar{u}_1^{-1})) \\ &= \text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{u}_1\bar{u}_2^{-1})\tau(\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{u}_1\bar{u}_2^{-1}))^{-1} \in H. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have the induced homomorphism

$$\bar{\eta} : SK_1(D) \rightarrow G/H.$$

Proposition 8.1. *The sequence*

$$SK_1(D_1) \rightarrow SK_1(D) \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}} G/H \rightarrow 1$$

is a complex.

Proof. Let $z \in SL_1(D_1)$. Then $\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{z}) = \text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}_1}(\bar{z}) = \overline{\text{Nrd}_{D_1}(z)} = 1$. Hence $\bar{\eta}(z) = 1$ and the image of $SK_1(D_1)$ is $SK_1(D)$ is in the kernel of $\bar{\eta}$. \square

Lemma 8.2. *Suppose that F is complete. Then the homomorphism η is surjective.*

Proof. Let $\beta \in G$. Since $N_{Z(\bar{D})/\kappa(\nu)}(\beta) = 1$, there exists $\theta \in Z(\bar{D})$ such that $\beta = \theta^{-1}\tau(\theta)$. Let $a \in E^*$ be a lift of θ and $b = a^{-1}\tilde{\tau}(a) \in E^*$. Since β the image of b is a reduced norm from \bar{D} , D_1 is unramified and F is complete, b is a reduced norm from D_1 . Let $z \in D_1$ be such that $\text{Nrd}_{D_1}(z) = b$. Since $\text{Nrd}_D(z) = N_{E/F}(\text{Nrd}_{D_1}(z)) = N_{E/F}(b) = N_{E/F}(a^{-1}\tilde{\tau}(a)) = 1$, $z \in SL_1(D)$. Since $\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{z}) = \beta$, η is surjective. \square

Proposition 8.3. ([27, Corollary 3.12]) *Suppose that F is complete. Let $x \in SL_1(D)$. If $\bar{x} = 1 \in \bar{D}$, then $x \in [D^*, D^*]$*

Proof. Suppose $\bar{x} = 1 \in \bar{D}$. Let L be a maximal subfield of D containing x . Then $[L : F] = n$. Let e be the ramification index of L/F and L_0 the residue field L . Since n is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa(\nu))$ and F is complete, there exists $y \in L$ such that $\bar{y} = 1 \in L_0$ and $y^n = x$. Then $\text{Nrd}_D(x) = N_{L/F}(x) = N_{L/F}(y)^n = 1$. Since $\overline{N_{L/F}(y)} = N_{L_0/\kappa(\nu)}(\bar{y})^e$ and $\bar{y} = 1$, we have $\overline{N_{L/F}(y)} = 1$. Since n is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa(\nu))$, $N_{L/F}(y)^n = 1$ and $\overline{N_{L/F}(y)} = 1$, it follows that $N_{L/F}(y) = 1$. In particular $y \in SL_1(D)$. Since $x = y^n$, $x \in [D^*, D^*]$ ([7, Lemma 2, p. 157]). \square

Proposition 8.4. ([8, Page 68]) *Suppose that F is complete. Then the complex*

$$SK_1(D_1) \rightarrow SK_1(D) \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}} G/H \rightarrow 1$$

is exact.

Proof. Since η is surjective (8.2), $\bar{\eta}$ is surjective.

Let $z \in SL_1(D_1)$. Then $\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{z}) = \text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}_1}(\bar{z}) = \overline{\text{Nrd}_{D_1}(z)} = 1$. Hence $\bar{\eta}(z) = 1$ and the image of $SK_1(D_1)$ in $SK_1(D)$ is in the kernel of $\bar{\eta}$.

Let $z \in SL_1(D)$ with $\bar{\eta}(z) = 1$. Then $\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{z}) = \theta^{-1}\tau(\theta)$ for some $\theta \in \text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{D}^*)$. Let $x \in \Lambda$ such that $\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{x}) = \theta$. Since $x^{-1}\pi_D x \pi_D^{-1} \in [D^*, D^*]$, replacing z by $zx\pi_D x^{-1}\pi_D^{-1}$, we assume that $\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{z}) = 1$. Since $\bar{D} = \bar{D}_1$, $\bar{z} \in SL_1(\bar{D}_1)$. Since D_1 is unramified, the map $SL_1(D_1) \rightarrow SL_1(\bar{D}_1)$ is onto ([27, Lemma 3.3]) and hence there exists $z_1 \in SL_1(D_1)$ such that $\bar{z}_1 = \bar{z}$. Since $\bar{z}_1^{-1}\bar{z} = 1$, $z_1^{-1}z \in [D^*, D^*]$ (8.3). In particular the $z_1 \mapsto z \in SK_1(D)$ and hence the image of $SK_1(D_1)$ equal to $\ker(\bar{\eta})$. \square

Proposition 8.5. *Let F be a complete discretely valued field with residue field K . Suppose that K is a complete discretely valued field with residue field κ . Let D be a central simple algebra over F with $\text{ind}(A)$ coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Suppose that \bar{D} and $Z(\bar{D})/K$ are unramified. If $\text{cd}(\kappa) \leq 2$. Then $SK_1(D) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. Let $E \subseteq D$ be the lift of $Z(\bar{D})$ and $D_1 = C_D(E)$. Then, by (8.4), we have

$$SK_1(D_1) \rightarrow SK_1(D) \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}} G/H \rightarrow 1.$$

Since D_1 is unramified, $SK_1(D_1) \simeq SK_1(\bar{D}_1)$ ([27, Corollary 3.13]). Since $\text{cd}(\kappa) \leq 2$, by ([28]), $SK_1(D_1) = \{1\}$. Let $\beta \in G$. Then $\beta \in Z(\bar{D})^*$ with $N_{Z(\bar{D})/K}(\beta) = 1$. Since $Z(\bar{D})/K$ is unramified, there exists $\theta \in Z(\bar{D})^*$ which is a unit in the valuation ring of $Z(\bar{D})$ such that $\beta = \theta^{-1}\tau(\theta)$. Since \bar{D} is unramified and $\text{cd}(\kappa) \leq 2$, θ is a reduced norm from \bar{D} ([34, Theorem 24.8]). Hence $G = H$ and $SK_1(D)$ is trivial. \square

9. THE GROUP $SK_1(D)$ OVER A TWO DIMENSIONAL COMPLETE FIELD

Let R be a complete regular local ring of dimension 2, $m = (\pi, \delta)$ its maximal ideal, κ its residue field and F its field of fractions.

Let D be a central division algebra over F which is unramified on R except possibly at (δ) . Suppose that the period of D is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Then $D = D_0 + (E, \sigma, \delta)$ for some central division algebra D_0 over F which is unramified on R and E/F a cyclic extension which is unramified on R (6.1).

Since E/F is unramified on R , the integral closure S of R in E is a complete regular local ring with the maximal ideal mS . Let $E(\pi)$ be the field of fractions of $S/(\pi)$. Then $E(\pi)$ is the residue field of E at π . Further $E(\pi)$ is a complete discrete valued field with residue field $E_0 = S/mS$.

Since D_0 is unramified on R , there exists an Azumaya algebra \mathcal{A}_0 over R such that $\mathcal{A}_0 \otimes F \simeq D_0$. Let D_1 be the central division algebra over E which is Brauer equivalent to $D_0 \otimes_F E$. Then D_1 is unramified on S and hence there exists an Azumaya algebra \mathcal{A}_1 over S such that $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes E \simeq D_1$.

Let $D(\pi)$ be the central division algebra over $\kappa(\pi)$ which Brauer equivalent to $(\mathcal{A}_0 \otimes_R \kappa(\pi)) \otimes (E(\pi), \sigma, \bar{\delta})$ and $D_1(\pi) = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_S E(\pi)$.

Let $\bar{D}_1 = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_S E_0 (= \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes_R E_0)$. Let $G_0 = \{a \in E_0^* \mid N_{E_0/\kappa}(a) = 1\} \cap \text{Nrd}(\bar{D}_1)^*$ and $H_0 = \{\theta\tau(\theta)^{-1} \mid \theta \in \text{Nrd}(\bar{D}_1)^*\}$. By (8.4), we have the exact sequence

$$SK_1(D_1(\pi)) \rightarrow SK_1(D(\pi)) \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}} G_0/H_0 \rightarrow 1.$$

Let Λ_π be the maximal $\hat{R}_{(\pi)}$ -order of $D \otimes F_\pi$. Since D is unramified at (π) , we have $\Lambda_\pi/\pi\Lambda_\pi \simeq D(\pi)$. Let $z \in SL_1(D \otimes F_\pi)$. Then $z \in \Lambda_\pi$. The map $z \mapsto \bar{z} \in D(\pi)$ induces an isomorphism $\phi : SK_1(D \otimes F_\pi) \rightarrow SK_1(D(\pi))$ ([27, Corollary 3.13]).

Since D_1 is a central simple algebra over E which is unramified on S and S is a complete regular local ring we have an isomorphism $\phi_1 : SK_1(D_1) \rightarrow SK_1(D_1(\pi))$ such that if $x \in SL_1(\mathcal{A}_1)$, then $\phi_1(x)$ is the class of the image of x in $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_S E(\pi)$.

Lemma 9.1. *The map $SK_1(D) \rightarrow SK_1(D \otimes F_\pi)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let $z \in SL_1(D \otimes F_\pi)$. Let $a \in G_0$ with $\bar{\eta}(\phi(z)) = a \in G_0/H_0$. Since E/F is unramified on R with residue field E_0 , there exists $b \in E$ a lift of a such that $N_{E/F}(b) = 1$. Since \mathcal{A}_1 is unramified on S and $a \in \text{Nrd}(\bar{D}_1)$ and $\bar{D}_1 = \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes_S E_0$, $b \in \text{Nrd}(\mathcal{A}_1) \subset \text{Nrd}(D_1)$. Let $z_1 \in D_1$ with $\text{Nrd}_{D_1}(z_1) = b$. Since $D_1 \subseteq D$ and the center of D_1 is E , we have $\text{Nrd}_D(z_1) = N_{E/F}(\text{Nrd}_{D_1}(z_1)) = 1$. Since $\bar{\eta}(\phi(z_1)) = \bar{\eta}(\phi(z))$, replacing z by $z_1^{-1}z$, we assume that $\bar{\eta}(\phi(z)) = 1$. Hence there exists $x \in SK_1(D_1(\pi))$ which maps to $\phi(z)$ in $SK_1(D(\pi))$. Let $y \in SL_1(\mathcal{A}_1)$ be such that $\phi_1(x) = x$. Then $y \in SL_1(D)$ and maps to z in $SK_1(D \otimes F_\pi)$. \square

Let D be a central division algebra over F which is unramified except possibly at (π) and (δ) . Then $D \otimes F_\pi$ is a division algebra (6.3). Let $\Lambda_\pi = \{x \in D \mid \text{Nrd}(x) \in R_{(\pi)}\}$. Then as in (§8), there exists $\pi_D \in \Lambda$ such that $\bar{D}_\pi = \Lambda_\pi/\Lambda\pi_D$ is a division algebra over $\kappa(\pi)$. Then there exists a cyclic unramified extension E_π/F_π with residue field $Z(\bar{D}_\pi)$ (cf. §8). Then by (6.7), there exists a field extension E/F unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) such that $E \otimes F_\pi \simeq E_\pi$ and $D \otimes E$ is split. In particular E/F is unramified on R except possibly at (δ) . Since E_π/F_π is cyclic, the proof of (6.7) also gives that E/F is cyclic and

$E = E_1(\sqrt[u]{u\delta})$ for some unramified extension E_1/F and u a unit in the integral closure of R in E_1 . In particular the integral closure S of R in E is a regular local ring with maximal ideal (π, δ') where $\delta' = \sqrt[u]{u\delta}$. Let $\bar{S} = S/(\pi)$. The $Z(\bar{D}_\pi)$ is the field of fractions of \bar{S} . Let $D_1 = C_E(D)$. Then D_1 is unramified on S except possibly at (δ') .

Let τ, G and H be as in (§8) defined with respect to the discrete valuation on R given by the prime ideal (π) . Then τ is a generator of $\text{Gal}(Z(\bar{D})/\kappa(\pi))$. Let $\tilde{\tau} \in \text{Gal}(E/F)$ be a lift of τ . Then $\tilde{\tau}$ is a generator of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$.

Proposition 9.2. *The map $\eta : SL_1(D) \rightarrow G$ is onto.*

Proof. Let $\beta \in G$. Since $N_{Z(\bar{D})/\kappa}(\beta) = 1$, there exists $\theta \in Z(\bar{D})$ such that $\beta = \theta^{-1}\tau(\theta)$. We have $\theta = w(\delta')^i$ for some $w \in \bar{S}$ a unit and i an integer. Let $w_1 \in S$ be a lift of w and $a = w_1(\delta')^i$. Then a is a lift of θ . Let $b = a^{-1}\tilde{\tau}(a) \in E^*$. Since $\tilde{\tau}(w_1) \in S$ is a unit and $\tilde{\tau}(\delta') = \rho\delta'$ for some root of unity ρ , $b \in S_1$ is a unit. Since β the image of b is a reduced norm from $\bar{D}_\pi = \bar{D}_{1\pi}$ and $D_1 \otimes E_\pi$ is unramified, b is a reduced norm from $D_1 \otimes E_\pi$. Since $b \in S$ is a unit and D_1 is unramified on S except possibly at (π) and (δ') , by (6.8), b is a reduced norm from D_1 . Let $z \in D_1$ be such that $\text{Nrd}_{D_1}(z) = b$. Since $\text{Nrd}_D(z) = N_{E/F}(\text{Nrd}_{D_1}(z)) = N_{E/F}(b) = N_{E/F}(a^{-1}\tilde{\tau}(a)) = 1$, $z \in SL_1(D)$. Since $\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{z}) = \beta$, η is onto. \square

Theorem 9.3. *Let R be a complete regular local ring of dimension 2, m its maximal ideal, κ its residue field and F its field of fractions. Let D be a central division algebra over F which is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) for some primes with $m = (\pi, \delta)$. Then the map $SK_1(D) \rightarrow SK_1(D \otimes F_\pi)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Suppose D is unramified at (π) . Then, by (9.1), $SK_1(D) \rightarrow SK_1(D \otimes_F F_\pi)$ is surjective.

Suppose that D is ramified at (π) . By (6.3), $D \otimes F_\pi$ is division.

Since D is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) , we have E, D_1, G and H be as above with respect to the valuation given by (π) . Then the integral closure S_1 of R in E is a regular local ring with maximal ideal (π, δ') for some δ' lying over δ . Further D_1 is unramified on S_1 except possibly at (δ') .

We have the following commutative diagram of complexes with bottom row exact (8.1, 8.4) and the $\bar{\eta}$ in the top row is onto (9.3).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} SK_1(D_1) & \rightarrow & SK_1(D) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}} & G/H & \rightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ SK_1(D_1 \otimes F_\pi) & \rightarrow & SK_1(D \otimes F_\pi) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\eta}} & G/H & \rightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Since D_1 is a central simple algebra over E , E is the field of fractions of a complete regular local ring S_2 with maximal ideal (π, δ') and D_1 is unramified on S_2 except possibly at (δ') , by (9.1), $SK_1(D_1) \rightarrow SK_1(D_1 \otimes F_\pi)$ is surjective.

Hence a simple diagram chase gives the surjectivity of the middle map $SK_1(D) \rightarrow SK_1(D \otimes F_\pi)$. \square

Theorem 9.4. *Let R be a complete regular local ring of dimension 2, maximal ideal $m = (\pi, \delta)$, κ its residue field and F its field of fractions. Let ℓ be a prime not equal to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Let D_0 be a central division algebra over F which is unramified on R and E/F a cyclic extension of degree ℓ^n which is unramified on R . Let σ be a generator of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$. Let D*

be a division algebra which Brauer equivalent to $D_0 + (E, \sigma, \pi^i \delta^j)$ for some $0 \leq i, j \leq \ell^n - 1$. Suppose that $cd(\kappa) \leq 2$ and $\text{per}(D_0)$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Then either $SK_1(D \otimes F_\pi)$ or $SK_1(D \otimes F_\delta)$ is trivial. In particular the map

$$SK_1(D) \rightarrow SK_1(D \otimes F_\pi) \times SK_1(D \otimes F_\delta)$$

is surjective.

Proof. Suppose ℓ divides both i and j . Write $i = \ell^d i_0$ and $j = \ell^d j_0$ for some $d \geq 1$ and ℓ does not divide at least one of i_0 and j_0 . Then

$$(E, \sigma, \pi^i \delta^j) = (E, \sigma, (\pi^{i_0} \delta^{j_0})^{\ell^d}) = (E, \sigma)^{\ell^d} \cdot (\pi^{i_0} \delta^{j_0}) = (E^{\sigma^{\ell^{n-d}}}, \sigma|_{E^{\sigma^{\ell^{n-d}}}}, \pi^{i_0} \delta^{j_0}).$$

Thus replacing (E, σ) by $(E^{\sigma^{\ell^{n-d}}}, \sigma|_{E^{\sigma^{\ell^{n-d}}}})$, i by i_0 and j by j_0 , we assume that either i or j is not divisible by ℓ .

Suppose ℓ does not divide i . Then there exists $1 \leq i' \leq \ell^n - 1$ such $ii' = 1$ modulo ℓ^n . We have

$$(E, \sigma, \pi^i \delta^j) = ii'(E, \sigma, \pi^i \delta^j) = (E, \sigma^i, \pi \delta^{i'j}).$$

Hence replacing σ by σ^i and j by $i'j$, we assume that $i = 1$.

Since D is unramified on R except possibly at (π) and (δ) , $D \otimes F_\pi$ is a division algebra (6.3). Let Λ_π be the maximal \hat{R}_π -order of $D \otimes F_\pi$. Since E/F is unramified on R , the integral closure S of R in E is a regular local ring with maximal ideal (π, δ) . Since D_0 is unramified on R , there exists an Azumaya R -algebra \mathcal{D}_0 such that $\mathcal{D}_0 \otimes F \simeq D_0$ (cf. [20, Lemma 3.1]). Since $i = 1$, $Z(\bar{D}_\pi)$ is the field of fractions of $S/(\pi)$ and $\bar{D}_\pi = (\mathcal{D}_0 \otimes S/(\pi)) \otimes Z(\bar{D}_\pi)$. In particular \bar{D}_π is unramified on $S/(\pi)$. Thus, $SK_1(D \otimes F_\pi)$ is trivial (8.5).

Since $SK_1(D) \rightarrow SK_1(D \otimes F_\delta)$ is onto (9.3),

$$SK_1(D) \rightarrow SK_1(D \otimes F_\pi) \times SK_1(D \otimes F_\delta)$$

is surjective. □

10. THE GROUP $SUK_1(A, \tau)$ OVER A DISCRETE VALUED FIELD

Let F_0 be a field and F/F_0 be a quadratic étale extension. Let A be a central simple algebra over F with a F/F_0 -involution τ . Let $\text{Sym}(A, \tau) = \{x \in A \mid \tau(x) = x\}$ and let $\Sigma(A, \tau)$ be the subgroup of A^* generated by $\text{Sym}(A, \tau)^*$. Then for any $z \in \Sigma(A, \tau)$, $\text{Nrd}_A(z) \in F_0$. Let

$$\Sigma'(A, \tau) = \{z \in B^* \mid \text{Nrd}_A(z) \in F_0^*\}.$$

Then $\Sigma(A, \tau) \subseteq \Sigma'(A, \tau)$. Let

$$SKU_1(A, \tau) = \Sigma'(A, \tau) / \Sigma(A, \tau).$$

The reduced norm homomorphism gives a surjective homomorphism

$$SUK_1(A, \tau) \rightarrow F_0^* \cap \text{Nrd}_A(A^*) / \text{Nrd}_A(\Sigma(A, \tau)).$$

Since $SL_1(A) \subset \Sigma'(A, \tau)$ and $[A^*, A^*] \subset \Sigma(A, \tau)$ (cf. [19, 17.26]), we get complex

$$SK_1(A) \rightarrow SUK_1(A, \tau) \rightarrow F_0^* \cap \text{Nrd}_A(A^*) / \text{Nrd}_A(\Sigma(A, \tau)) \rightarrow 1$$

Let $z \in \Sigma'(A, \tau)$. Suppose that $\text{Nrd}(z) \in \Sigma(A, \tau)$. Then there exists $x \in \Sigma(A, \tau)$ such that $\text{Nrd}(z) = \text{Nrd}(x)$. Then $x^{-1}z \in SL_1(A)$ and maps to z in $SUK_1(A, \tau)$. Hence the above complex is exact.

Suppose F_0 is a complete discretely valued field with residue field K_0 and F/F_0 a quadratic separable extension. Let D be a central division algebra over F with a F/F_0 -involution τ . Let R_0 be the valuation ring of F_0 and R the integral closure of R_0 in F . Let Λ be the maximal R -order in D and m_D the unique maximal ideal of Λ . Let $\bar{D} = \Lambda/m_D$. Suppose that $2\text{ind}(D)$ is coprime to $\text{char}(K_0)$. Suppose that F/F_0 is unramified. Let K be the residue field of F . Then $Z(\bar{D})/K$ is a cyclic extension. Let σ be a generator of $\text{Gal}(Z(\bar{D})/K)$.

There exist parameter $\pi_D \in \Lambda$ such that $\tau(\pi_D) = \pi_D$. Then τ induces an involution $\bar{\tau}$ on \bar{D} of second kind. Let $\tau' = \text{int}(\pi_D)\tau$. Then τ' is also a F/F_0 -involution on D and induces an involution $\bar{\tau}'$ on \bar{D} . We recall the following from ([36, §4]). An element $a \in Z(\bar{D})^*$ is called a *projective unitary conorm* if there exist $b \in \text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\bar{D}^*)$ such that $\sigma(a)a^{-1} = b\bar{\tau}'(b)^{-1}$. Let $P(A, \tau)$ be the set of all projective unitary norms. Then $K^*\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\Sigma(\bar{D}, \bar{\tau})) \subset P(A, \tau)$. Let $PU(A, \tau) = P(A, \tau)/K^*\text{Nrd}_{\bar{D}}(\Sigma(\bar{D}, \bar{\tau}))$.

Then by ([36, Theorem 4.12]), we have an exact sequence

$$SUK_1(\bar{D}, \bar{\tau}') \rightarrow SUK_1(A, \tau) \rightarrow PU(A, \tau) \rightarrow 1.$$

Theorem 10.1. *Let K_0 be a complete discretely valued field with residue field κ_0 and K/K_0 an unramified quadratic extension. Let F_0 be a complete discretely valued field with residue field K_0 and F/F_0 a unramified quadratic extension with residue field K . Let $\pi \in F_0$ be a parameter. Let D/F be a central division algebra with an F/F_0 -involution τ . Suppose that \bar{D} and $Z(\bar{D})/K$ are unramified. Suppose that $2\text{per}(A)$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa_0)$. If $\text{cd}(\kappa_0) \leq 2$, then $SUK_1(A, \tau)$ is trivial.*

Proof. Since \bar{D} is unramified and $\text{cd}(\kappa_0) \leq 2$, $SUK_1(\bar{D}, \bar{\tau})$ and $SUK_1(\bar{D}, \bar{\tau}')$ are trivial.

Let $a \in P(A, \tau)$. Then, by (2.4), $a \in K^*Z(\bar{D})^{\bar{\tau}}$. Let $\delta \in K_0$ be a parameter. Since K/K_0 and $Z(\bar{D})/K$ is unramified, $\delta \in Z(\bar{D})^{\bar{\tau}}$ is a parameter. Since every element in $Z(\bar{D})^{\bar{\tau}}$ is a product of power of δ and a unit, we can write $a = bc$ for some $c \in Z(\bar{D})^{\bar{\tau}}$ which is a unit. Since \bar{D} is unramified and $\text{cd}(\kappa_0) \leq 2$, $c \in \Sigma'(\bar{D}, \bar{\tau})$. Since $SUK_1(\bar{D}, \bar{\tau})$ is trivial, $\Sigma'(\bar{D}, \bar{\tau}) = \Sigma(\bar{D}, \bar{\tau})$ and hence a is trivial in $PU(A, \tau)$.

Hence, by exact sequence

$$SUK_1(\bar{D}, \bar{\tau}') \rightarrow SUK_1(A, \tau) \rightarrow PU(A, \tau) \rightarrow 1,$$

$SUK_1(A, \tau)$ is trivial. □

11. THE GROUP $SUK_1(A, \tau)$ OVER A TWO DIMENSIONAL COMPLETE FIELDS

Let R_0 be a complete regular local ring with maximal ideal (π, δ) , residue field κ and field of fraction F_0 . Let F/F_0 be a quadratic field extension. Suppose that $\text{char}(\kappa) \neq 2$. Then $F = F(\sqrt{d})$ for some $d \in F_0^*$. Suppose that $d = ua^2$ or πa^2 or δa^2 for some $u \in R$ a unit and $a \in F^*$. Let R be the integral closure of R_0 in F . Then R is a complete regular local ring with maximal ideal (π', δ') for some primes π' and δ' lying over π and δ respectively.

Theorem 11.1. *Let A/F be a central simple algebra with a F/F_0 -involution τ . Suppose that 2 and period of A are coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Suppose that A is unramified on R except possibly at (π') and (δ') . Then the map*

$$SUK_1(A, \tau)(F_P) \rightarrow SUK_1(((A, \tau) \otimes F_{0\pi}))$$

is onto.

Proof. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
SK_1(A) & \rightarrow & SUK_1(A, \tau) & \rightarrow & \frac{F_0^* \cap Nrd_A(A^*)}{Nrd_A(\Sigma(A, \sigma))} & \rightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
SK_1(A \otimes F_{0\pi}) & \rightarrow & SUK_1((A, \tau) \otimes F_{0\pi}) & \rightarrow & \frac{F_{0\pi}^* \cap Nrd_A((A \otimes F_{0\pi})^*)}{Nrd_A(\Sigma(A \otimes F_{0\pi}, \sigma))} & \rightarrow & 1
\end{array}$$

with exact rows (cf. §10).

Let $a \in F_{0\pi}^*$. Let $n = \text{ind}(A)$. Then $a = w\pi^r\delta^s b^n$ for some $w \in R_0$ a unit, $b \in F_0$ and $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$ (cf., [25, Remark 7.1]). Since $b^n \in Nrd(\Sigma((A \otimes F_{0\pi}), \sigma))$, we have $a = w\pi^r\delta^s \in F_{0\pi}^* \cap Nrd_A((A \otimes F_{0\pi})^*)/Nrd_A(\Sigma(A \otimes F_{0\pi}, \sigma))$. Since $w\pi^r\delta^s \in F_0$ and $w\pi^r\delta^s$ is a reduced norm from $A \otimes F_{0\pi}$, $w\pi^r\delta^s$ is a reduced norm from A (6.8). Hence the right vertical map in the above diagram is onto.

Since the first vertical map is onto (9.3), the middle vertical map is onto. \square

Theorem 11.2. *Let A_0 be a central simple algebra over F which is unramified on R . and E/F a cyclic extension which is unramified on R . Let σ be a generator of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$. Let $A = A_0 + (E, \sigma, \pi^i\delta^j)$ for some $0 \leq i, j \leq \ell^n - 1$. Suppose that $\text{cd}(\kappa) \leq 2$ and $\text{per}(A)$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. If A admits a F/F_0 -involution τ , then either $SUK_1(A \otimes F_{0\pi}, \tau)$ or $SUK_1(A \otimes F_{0\delta}, \tau)$ is trivial. In particular the map*

$$SUK_1(A, \tau) \rightarrow SUK_1(A \otimes F_{0\pi}, \tau) \times SUK_1(A \otimes F_{0\delta}, \tau)$$

is surjective.

Proof. As in the proof of (9.4), we can assume that $i = 1$ or $j = 1$. Say $i = 1$. Let D and D_0 be the division algebras Brauer equivalent to A and A_0 respectively. Then $Z(D \bar{\otimes} F_\pi)$ is the residue field of E at π and hence unramified over the residue field of K at π . Further $D \bar{\otimes} F_\pi$ is Brauer equivalent to $\bar{D}_0 \times Z(D \bar{\otimes} F_\pi)$ and hence unramified. Therefore, by (10.1), $SUK_1(D \otimes F_\pi, \tau)$ is trivial. \square

12. GROUPS OF TYPE 1A_n

Theorem 12.1. *Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field κ and field of fraction K . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let A/F be a central simple algebra of period coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Let \mathcal{X}_0 be a regular proper model of F such that the union of the ramification locus of A and the closed fibre X_0 is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a finite set of closed points of \mathcal{X} containing all the singular points of X_0 and at least one closed point from each irreducible component of X_0 . Let \mathcal{U}_0 be the set of irreducible components of $X \setminus \mathcal{P}_0$ and \mathcal{B}_0 the set of branches with respect to \mathcal{P}_0 . Then*

$$\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, SL_1(A)) \simeq \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}_0} SK_1(A)(F_U) \setminus \prod_{\wp \in \mathcal{B}_0} SK_1(A)(F_\wp) / \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_0} SK_1(A)(F_P),$$

Proof. By (7.2), the group $SL_1(A)$ satisfies the hypothesis (3.2) and by (9.3), $SL_1(A)$ satisfies the hypothesis (4.2). Since $H^1(L, SL_1(A)) \simeq H^1(L, SL_1(M_m(A)))$ for all extensions L/F and $m \geq 1$, we assume that A is not division. Hence the group $SL_1(A)$ is isotropic. Thus the theorem follows from (4.5). \square

Corollary 12.2. *Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field κ and field of fraction K . Let X be a smooth projective curve over K which has a good reduction. Let*

F be the function field a curve over K and \mathcal{X} a smooth projective model of X . Let A be a central simple algebra over F which is unramified on \mathcal{X} except possibly at the special fibre. Then $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, SL_1(A)) = \{1\}$.

Proof. Since \mathcal{X} is a smooth model, the special fibre is a smooth irreducible curve. Let \mathcal{P}_0 be any nonempty finite subset of closed points of \mathcal{X} . Then, by 12.1, we have

$$\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, SL_1(A)) \simeq \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}_0} SK_1(A)(F_U) \setminus \prod_{\wp \in \mathcal{B}_0} SK_1(A)(F_\wp) / \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_0} SK_1(A)(F_P).$$

Since there are no singular points on the special fibre, there is a bijection between \mathcal{P}_0 and the branches \mathcal{B}_0 . Since $SK_1(A)(F_P) \rightarrow SK_1(A)(F_\wp)$ is onto (9.1) the branch \wp at P , for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$, it follows that $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, SL_1(A)) = \{1\}$. \square

Corollary 12.3. *Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field κ and field of fraction K . Let X be a smooth projective curve over K which has a good reduction. Let F be the function field X . Let A be a central simple algebra over K . Then $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, SL_1(A)) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. Since X has a good reduction, there exists a smooth proper model \mathcal{X} of F . Since A is defined over K , A is unramified on \mathcal{X} except possibly at the special fibre of \mathcal{X} . Hence, by (12.2), $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G) = \{1\}$. \square

13. LOCAL-GLOBAL PRINCIPLE FOR UNITARY GROUPS

Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field κ and field of fraction K . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let A/F be a central simple algebra with an involution τ of any kind. Let $F_0 = F^\tau$. Suppose that $2\text{per}(A)$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$.

Proposition 13.1. $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_0, U(A, \tau)) = \{1\}$.

Proof. Let $\zeta \in H^1(F_0, U(A, \tau))$. Since $H^1(F_0, U(A, \tau))$ is in bijection with the set of isomorphism classes of involutions on A , ζ defines an involution τ' such that $(A, \tau') \otimes F_\nu \simeq (A, \tau) \otimes F_\nu$ for all $\nu \in \Omega_F$. Hence, by ([12]), $(A, \tau') \simeq (A, \tau)$. Thus $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_0, U(A, \tau)) = \{1\}$. \square

Corollary 13.2. *Let \mathcal{X}_0 be a regular proper model of F_0 . Then for every closed point P of \mathcal{X}_0 , $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_{0P}, U(A, \tau)) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. Let X_0 be the closed fibre of \mathcal{X}_0 . Then, by (3.1), we have the following exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{III}_X(F_0, U(A, \tau)) \rightarrow \text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_0, U(A, \tau)) \rightarrow \prod_{P \in X_{(0)}} \text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_{0P}, U(A, \tau)) \rightarrow 1.$$

By (13.1), $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_0, U(A, \sigma)) = \{1\}$. Hence, we have $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_{0P}, U(A, \tau)) = \{1\}$ for every closed point P of \mathcal{X}_0 . \square

Suppose τ is of second kind. Write $F = F_0(\sqrt{d})$ for some $d \in F_0^*$. Let \mathcal{X}_0 be a regular proper model of F_0 such that the ramification locus of (A, τ) , the closed fibre and the support of d is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Further we assume that for every closed point $P \in \mathcal{X}_0$, the maximal ideal at P is given by (π_P, δ_P) such that $d = u_P f^2$ or $u_P \pi_P f^2$ or $u_P \delta_P f^2$ for some unit u_P at P and $f \in F_P^*$. We note that such a model exists ([25, Theorem 11.2]).

Proposition 13.3. *The group $SU(A, \tau)$ satisfies the local injectivity hypothesis (3.2) with respect to \mathcal{X}_0 .*

Proof. Let $P \in \mathcal{X}_0$ be a closed point. Let $\zeta \in H^1(F_{0P}, SU(A, \tau))$ which maps to the trivial element in $H^1(F_{0P\nu}, SU(A, \tau))$ for all divisorial discrete valuations of F_{0P} . Then, by (13.2), the image of $\zeta \in H^1(F_{0P}, U(A, \tau))$ is trivial. Hence, by (7.4), ζ is trivial. \square

14. GROUPS OF TYPE 2A_n

Theorem 14.1. *Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field κ and field of fraction K . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let A/F be a central simple algebra with an involution τ of second kind. Suppose that (A, τ) is isotropic. Suppose that 2 and period of A are coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Let \mathcal{X}_0 be a regular proper model of F with the union of the ramification locus of (A, τ) and the closed fibre X_0 is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a finite set of closed points of \mathcal{X} containing all the singular points of X_0 and at least one closed point from each irreducible component of X_0 . Let \mathcal{U}_0 be the set of irreducible components of $X \setminus \mathcal{P}_0$ and \mathcal{B}_0 the set of branches with respect to \mathcal{P}_0 . Let $F_0 = F^\tau$. Then*

$$\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_0, SU(A, \tau)) \simeq \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}_0} K_1 SU(A, \tau)(F_U) \setminus \prod_{\wp \in \mathcal{B}_0} K_1 SU(A, \tau)(F_\wp) / \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_0} K_1 SU(A, \tau)(F_P).$$

Proof. By (13.3), the group $SU(A, \tau)$ satisfies the hypothesis (3.2) and by (11.1) satisfies the hypothesis (4.2). Since (A, τ) is isotropic, the group $SU(A, \tau)$ is isotropic. Hence the theorem follows from (4.5). \square

Let L be a field and E/L a quadratic étale extension. Let $L^{*1} = \{a \in L^* \mid N_{E/L}(a) = 1\}$. Let A be a central simple algebra over E with a E/L -involution σ . Let $H_A = \{\theta\sigma(\theta)^{-1} \mid \theta \in \text{Nrd}(A)\} \subseteq L^{*1}$.

Proposition 14.2. *Let F be as in (14.1). Let A be a central simple algebra over F with an involution σ of second kind. Let $F_0 = F^\tau$. Then*

$$\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_0, SU(A, \sigma)) \simeq \ker(F^{*1}/H_A \rightarrow \prod_{\nu \in \Omega_{F_0}} F_\nu^{*1}/H_{A \otimes F_{0\nu}}),$$

where $F_\nu = F \otimes F_{0\nu}$.

Proof. The short exact sequence of algebraic groups

$$1 \rightarrow SU(A, \sigma) \rightarrow U(B, \sigma) \rightarrow R_{F/F_0}^1 \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow 1$$

gives rise to the following commutative diagram of exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} U(A, \tau)(F_0) & \rightarrow & F^{*1} & \rightarrow & H^1(F_0, SU(A, \sigma)) & \rightarrow & H^1(F_0, U(A, \sigma)) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \prod_\nu U(A, \tau)(F_{0\nu}) & \rightarrow & \prod_\nu F_\nu^{*1} & \rightarrow & \prod_\nu H^1(F_{0\nu}, SU(A, \sigma)) & \rightarrow & \prod_\nu H^1(F_{0\nu}, U(A, \sigma)). \end{array}$$

Hence, by the definition of the subgroups H_A , we have the following commutative diagram of exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \rightarrow & F^{*1}/H_A & \rightarrow & H^1(F_0, SU(A, \sigma)) & \rightarrow & H^1(F_0, U(A, \sigma)) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \rightarrow & \prod_\nu F_\nu^{*1}/H_{A \otimes F_{0\nu}} & \rightarrow & \prod_\nu H^1(F_{0\nu}, SU(A, \sigma)) & \rightarrow & \prod_\nu H^1(F_{0\nu}, U(A, \sigma)). \end{array}$$

Since the last vertical arrow in the above diagram is injective (13.1), the result follows. \square

Now we prove a result similar to (14.1) without the assumption that (A, τ) is isotropic.

Theorem 14.3. *Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field κ and field of fraction K . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let A/F be a central simple algebra with an involution τ of second kind. Suppose that 2 and period of A are coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Let \mathcal{X}_0 be a regular proper model of F with the union of the ramification locus of (A, τ) and the closed fibre X_0 is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a finite set of closed points of \mathcal{X}_0 containing all the singular points of X_0 and at least one closed point from each irreducible component of X_0 . Let \mathcal{U}_0 be the set of irreducible components of $X_0 \setminus \mathcal{P}_0$ and \mathcal{B}_0 the set of branches with respect to \mathcal{P}_0 . Let $F_0 = F^\tau$. Then*

$$\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_0, SU_1(A, \tau)) \simeq \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}_0} K_1 SU(A, \tau)(F_U) \setminus \prod_{\wp \in \mathcal{B}_0} K_1 SU(A, \tau)(F_\wp) / \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_0} K_1 SU(A, \tau)(F_P).$$

Proof. Let A' be central simple algebra over F which is Brauer equivalent to A and τ' a F/F_0 -involution. Since for any field extension L/F_0 , $\text{Nrd}(A \otimes L) = \text{Nrd}(A' \otimes L)$, we have $H_{A \otimes L} = H_{A' \otimes L}$. Hence, by (14.2),

$$\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_0, SU(A, \sigma)) \simeq \text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_0, SU(A', \tau')).$$

Let $A' = M_2(A)$ and τ' the adjoint involution on A' given by the hyperbolic form. Then, $SU(A', \tau')$ is isotropic. Since for any field extension L/F_0 , $K_1 SU(A, \tau)(L) \simeq K_1 SU(A', \tau')(L)$ ([35, Lemma 3]), the result follows from (14.1). \square

Let L be a field and E/L a quadratic étale extension. Let $L^{*1} = \{a \in L^* \mid N_{E/L}(a) = 1\}$. Let A be a central simple algebra over E with a E/L -involution σ . Let $H_A = \{\theta \sigma(\theta)^{-1} \mid \theta \in \text{Nrd}(A)\} \subseteq L^{*1}$.

Corollary 14.4. *Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field κ and field of fraction K . Let K/K_0 be a quadratic separable extension. Let A be a central simple algebra over K with a K/K_0 -involution. Suppose that $2\text{ind}(A)$ is a coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Let X be a smooth projective curve over K which has a good reduction. Let F_0 be the function field X and $F = K \otimes F_0$. Then $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_0, SU(A, \tau)) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. Since X has a good reduction, there exists a smooth projective model \mathcal{X} of F . Let X_0 be the special fibre of \mathcal{X} . Then X_0 is a smooth irreducible curve. Let \mathcal{P}_0 be any nonempty finite subset of closed points of \mathcal{X} . Then, by 14.3, we have

$$\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_0, SU(A, \tau)) \simeq \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}_0} K_1 SU(A, \tau)(F_U) \setminus \prod_{\wp \in \mathcal{B}_0} K_1 SU(A, \tau)(F_\wp) / \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_0} K_1 SU(A, \tau)(F_P).$$

Since there are no singular points on the special fibre, there is a bijection between \mathcal{P}_0 and the branches \mathcal{B}_0 . Since $SU K_1(A, \tau)(F_P) \rightarrow SK_1(A, \tau)(F_\wp)$ is onto (11.1) for the branch \wp at P , for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_0$, it follows that $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, SU(A, \tau)) = \{1\}$. \square

15. COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION 1

In this section we prove our main theorem when the residue field has cohomological dimension at most 1.

Theorem 15.1. *Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field κ and field of fraction K . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let A/F be a central simple algebra of period coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. If $\text{cd}(\kappa) \leq 1$, then $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, SL_1(D))$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{X}_0 be a regular proper model of F such that the union of the ramification locus of A and the closed fibre X_0 is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a finite set of closed points of \mathcal{X} containing all the singular points of X_0 and at least one closed point from each irreducible component of X_0 . Let \mathcal{U}_0 be the set of irreducible components of $X \setminus \mathcal{P}_0$ and \mathcal{B}_0 the set of branches with respect to \mathcal{P}_0 . Then, by (12.1), we have.

$$\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, SL_1(A)) \simeq \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}_0} SK_1(A)(F_U) \setminus \prod_{\wp \in \mathcal{B}_0} SK_1(A)(F_\wp) / \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_0} SK_1(A)(F_P).$$

Let $\wp \in \mathcal{B}$ be a branch and $\kappa(\wp)$ be the residue field of F_\wp . Then $\kappa(\wp)$ a complete discrete valued field with residue field a finite extension of κ . Since $\text{cd}(\kappa) \leq 1$, $\text{cd}(\kappa(\wp)) \leq 2$ ([30, p. 85, Proposition 12]). Hence, by (cf. [31]), $SK_1(A)(F_\wp)$ is trivial. In particular $\text{III}(F, SL_1(D))$ is trivial. \square

Theorem 15.2. *Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field κ and field of fraction K . Let F_0 be the function field of a curve over K and F/F_0 a quadratic field extension. Let A/F be a central simple algebra over F of period n with a F/F_0 -involution τ . Suppose that $2n$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. If $\text{cd}_n(\kappa) \leq 1$, then $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_0, SU_1(A, \tau))$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{X}_0 be a regular proper model of F_0 with the union of the ramification locus of (A, τ) and the closed fibre X_0 is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a finite set of closed points of \mathcal{X} containing all the singular points of X_0 and at least one closed point from each irreducible component of X_0 . Let \mathcal{U}_0 be the set of irreducible components of $X \setminus \mathcal{P}_0$ and \mathcal{B}_0 the set of branches with respect to \mathcal{P}_0 . Then, by (14.3),

$$\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F_0, SU(A, \tau)) \simeq \prod_{U \in \mathcal{U}_0} K_1SU(A, \tau)(F_U) \setminus \prod_{\wp \in \mathcal{B}_0} K_1SU(A, \tau)(F_\wp) / \prod_{P \in \mathcal{P}_0} K_1SU(A, \tau)(F_P).$$

Let $\wp \in \mathcal{B}_0$. Since F_\wp is a complete discretely valued field with cohomological dimension of the residue field $\kappa(\wp)$ is at most 2, by ([36, Corollary 4.15]), $K_1SU(A, \tau)(F_\wp)$ is trivial. Hence $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, SU_1(A, \tau))$ is trivial. \square

Corollary 15.3. *Let K be a complete discretely valued field with residue field κ . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let G be a semisimple simply connected group over F of type iA_n . If $\text{cd}_{n+1}(\kappa) \leq 1$ and $i(n+1)$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$, then $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. Since G is a semisimple simply connected group over F of type A_n , there exists a finite extension E/F and a absolutely simple simply connected group G' of type A_n such that G is the corestriction $R_{E/F}(G')$ (cf., [19, Theorem 26.8]). Since $H^1(M, R_{E/F}(G')) \simeq H^1(M \otimes E, G')$ for any field extension M/F (cf., [19, Lemma 29.6]), replacing F by E and G by G' we assume that G is absolutely simple.

Suppose G is of type 1A_n . Then there exists a central simple algebra A/F of degree $n+1$ such that $G = SL_1(A)$ (cf., [19, Theorem 26.9]). Hence, by (15.1), $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G) = \{1\}$.

Suppose G is of type 2A_n . Then there exists a quadratic field extension L/F and a central simple algebra A of degree $n+1$ with a unitary involution τ such that $G = SU(A, \tau)$ (cf., [19, Theorem 26.9]). Hence, by (15.2), $\text{III}_{\text{div}}(F, G) = \{1\}$. \square

16. COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION 2

In this section we prove our main theorem when the residue field has cohomological dimension at most 2.

Theorem 16.1. *Let T be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field κ and field of fraction K . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let D/K be a central division algebra of period n coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. If $cd_n(\kappa) \leq 2$, then $\text{III}_{div}(F, SL_1(D))$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{X}_0 be a regular proper model of F such that the closed fibre X_0 is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Since D is defined over K , the ramification locus of D is contained in X_0 .

Let $t \in K$ be a parameter. Since $\text{per}(D)$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$, we have $D = D_0 + (E, \sigma, t)$ for some unramified central division algebra D_0 over K and E/K an unramified cyclic extension.

Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a finite set of closed points of \mathcal{X} containing all the singular points of X_0 and at least one closed point from each irreducible component of X_0 . Let \mathcal{U}_0 be the set of irreducible components of $X \setminus \mathcal{P}_0$ and \mathcal{B}_0 the set of branches with respect to \mathcal{P}_0 .

Let $\wp \in \mathcal{B}$. Let \hat{R}_\wp be the valuation ring of F_\wp . Then $K \subset F_\wp$ and $T \subset \hat{R}_\wp$. Let D_\wp be the central division algebra over F_\wp which is Brauer equivalent $D \otimes F_\wp$. Let Λ be the unique maximal \hat{R}_\wp -order of D_\wp . Then we have $E \otimes F_\wp = \prod Z(\bar{D})$ and \bar{D} Brauer equivalent to $\bar{D}_0 \otimes \bar{E}$. In particular $Z(\bar{D})$ and \bar{D} are unramified. Hence, by (8.5), $SK_1(D)(F_\wp)$ is trivial. Thus, as in the proof of (15.1), $\text{III}_{div}(F, SL_1(D))$ is trivial. \square

Theorem 16.2. *Let T_0 be a complete discrete valuation ring with residue field κ and field of fraction K_0 . Let K/K_0 be a quadratic étale extension and A/K a central simple algebra over K of period n with a K/K_0 -involution. Let F_0 be the function field of a curve over K_0 and $F = F_0K$. Suppose that $2n$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$. Let τ be a F/F_0 -involution on A . If $cd_n(\kappa) \leq 2$, then $\text{III}_{div}(F_0, SU(A, \tau))$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{X}_0 be a regular proper model of F_0 with the union of the ramification locus of (A, τ) and the closed fibre X_0 is a union of regular curves with normal crossings. Let \mathcal{P}_0 be a finite set of closed points of \mathcal{X} containing all the singular points of X_0 and at least one closed point from each irreducible component of X_0 . Let \mathcal{U}_0 be the set of irreducible components of $X \setminus \mathcal{P}_0$ and \mathcal{B}_0 the set of branches with respect to \mathcal{P}_0 .

Let $\wp \in \mathcal{B}$. Then $F_{0\wp}$ is a complete discretely valued field with residue field $\kappa(\wp)$ also a complete discretely valued field with residue field a finite extension of κ . As in the proof of (16.1), by using (10.1), we get the triviality of $SUK_1(A, \tau)(F_{0\wp})$.

Thus, as in the proof of (15.2), $\text{III}_{div}(F_0, SU(A, \tau))$ is trivial. \square

Corollary 16.3. *Let K be a complete discretely valued field with residue field κ . Let F be the function field of a curve over K . Let G be a semisimple simply connected group over K of type iA_n . If $cd_{n+1}(\kappa) \leq 2$ and $i(n+1)$ is coprime to $\text{char}(\kappa)$, then $\text{III}_{div}(F, G) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. Using (16.1) and (16.2), the proof is similar to the proof of (15.3). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bayer-Fluckiger and R. Parimala, *Galois cohomology of the classical groups over fields of cohomological dimension ≤ 2* , Invent. Math. **122** (1995), 195-229.

- [2] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, P. Gille and R. Parimala, *Arithmetic of linear algebraic groups over 2-dimensional geometric fields*, Duke Math. **121** (2004), 285-341.
- [3] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, D. Harbater, J. Hartmann, D. Krashen, R. Parimala, V. Suresh *Local-global principles for constant reductive groups over semi-global fields*, Michigan Mathematical Journal **72** (2022), 77–144
- [4] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, R. Parimala, V. Suresh, *Patching and local global principles for homogeneous spaces over function fields of p -adic curves*, Commentari Math. Helv. **87** (2012), 1011–1033.
- [5] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène, R. Parimala, V. Suresh, *Lois de Réciprocité supérieures et points rationels*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), 4219-4255.
- [6] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène et J.-J. Sansuc, *Fibrés quadratiques et composantes connexes réelles*, Math. Ann. **244** (1979), 105-134.
- [7] P. K. Draxl, *Skew fields*, London Mathematical Society, Lecture Note Series 81, Cambridge University.
- [8] Y. L. Ershov, *Henselian valuations of division rings and the group SK_1* , Mat. Sb. (N.S.), **117(159)(1)**, 60-68 (1982).
- [9] P. Gille, *Torseurs sur la droite affine et R -équivalence*, Ph.D. thesis, University de Paris-Sud (Orsay), 1994. Available at <http://www.math.ens.fr/~gille/prepublis/doct.pdf>.
- [10] P. Gille, *La R -équivalence sur les groupes algébriques réductifs définis sur un corps global*, Publ. Math. IHÉS **86** (1997) 199–235.
- [11] P. Gille and A. Pianzola, *Isotriviality and étale cohomology of Laurent polynomial rings*, JPAA **212** (2008), 780-800.
- [12] P. Gille and R. Parimala, *A local global principle for Twisted Flag Varieties*, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2406.17355>.
- [13] Philippe Gille and Tammás Szamuely, *Central simple algebras and Galois cohomology*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol.101, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [14] D. Harbater, J. Hartmann and D. Krashen, *Applications of patching to quadratic forms and central simple algebras*, Invent. Math. **178** (2009), 231–263.
- [15] D. Harbater, J. Hartmann and D. Krashen, *Local-global principles for torsors over arithmetic curves*, American Journal of Mathematics, **137** (2015), 1559–1612
- [16] D. Harbater, J. Hartmann and D. Krashen, *Refinements to patching and applications to field invariants*, International Mathematics Research Notices, **2015**, No. 20, pp. 10399-10450.
- [17] Y. Hu, *Hasse Principle for Simply Connected Groups over Function Fields of Surfaces*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **29** (2014), no. 2, 155–199.
- [18] B. Jacob and A. Wadsworth, *Division algebras over Henselian fields*, J. Algebra **128** (1990), 126–179.
- [19] M.-A. Knus, A.S. Merkurjev, M. Rost and J.-P. Tignol, *The Book of Involutions*, A.M.S, Providence RI, 1998.
- [20] Max Lieblich, R. Parimala and V. Suresh, *Colliot-Thélène’s conjecture and finiteness of u -invariants*, Math. Ann. **360** (2014), 1-22.
- [21] J. Lipman, *Introduction to resolution of singularities*, In *Algebraic geometry (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 29, Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, Calif., 1974)*, pages 187–230. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1975.
- [22] Y. Nisnevich, *Espaces homogènes principaux rationnellement triviaux et arithmétique des schémas en groupes réductifs sur les anneaux de Dedekind*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **299** (1984), no. 1, 5–8.
- [23] I. Reiner, *Maximal Orders*, London Math. Soc. Monogr. New Ser., Vol **28**, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, Oxford 2003.
- [24] Parimala, R. and Suresh, V., *Period-index and u -invariant questions for function fields over complete discretely valued fields*, Invent. Math. **197** (2014), 215-235.
- [25] Parimala, R. and Suresh, V., *Local-global principle for classical groups over function fields of p -adic curves*, Comment. Math. Helv. **97** (2022), 255-304.
- [26] R. Parimala, R. Preeti and V. Suresh, *Local-global principle for reduced norms over function fields of p -adic curves*, Compos. Math. **154** (2018), 410–458.
- [27] V.P. Platonov, *The Tannaka-Artin problem and reduced K -theory*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR **40** (1976), 211-243.

- [28] V.P. Platonov, *Algebraic groups and reduced K-theory*, Proc. Internat. Congress Math., Helsinki (1978): pgs 311-317.
- [29] R. Preeti, *Classification theorems for Hermitian forms, the Rost kernel and Hasse principle over fields with $cd_2(k) \leq 3$* , J. Algebra **385** (2013), 294–313.
- [30] J-P. Serre, *Galois Cohomology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [31] A. Soman, *On triviality of the reduced Whitehead group over Henselian fields*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **113(3)**(2019), 237-245.
- [32] Sumit Chandra Mishra, *Local-global principle for norm one tori over semi-global fields*, Math. Z. **298** (2021), 1-21.
- [33] B. Surendranath Reddy and V. Suresh, *Admissibility of groups over function fields of p -adic curves*, Adv. in Math. **237** (2013), 316-330.
- [34] A.A. Suslin, *Algebraic K-theory and the norm-residue homomorphism*, J. Sov. Math. **30**, 2556–2611 (1985)
- [35] V. I. Yanchevskii , *Simple algebras with involutions and unitary groups*, Math. USSR Izv **13** (1979), 175-213.
- [36] V. I. Yanchevskii , *Reduced Unitary K-Theory and division rings over discretely valued fields Henselian fields*, Math. USSR Izv **13** (1979), 175-213.
- [37] Z. Wu, *Hasse principle for hermitian spaces over semi-global fields*, J. Algebra **458** (2016), 171–196.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EMORY UNIVERSITY, 400 DOWMAN DRIVE NE, ATLANTA, GA 30322, USA

Email address: suresh.venapally@emory.edu