

THE MOTIVIC FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF A PUNCTURED ELLIPTIC CURVE AND ALGEBRAIC CYCLES

JIN CAO AND TOMOHIDE TERASOMA

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the motivic fundamental group of the punctured elliptic curves as a DG complex in the DG category of elliptic motives and describe its resolution via Schur complexes. During this process, we find the algebraic cycles analogous to the Bloch-Totaro cycles.

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Motives of an elliptic curve | 2 |
| 2.1. Description of elliptic motives via DG complexes | 2 |
| 2.2. Decomposition of elliptic motives and algebraic cycles | 4 |
| 3. Linear correspondences and homotopy | 10 |
| 4. Construction of π_S and h | 12 |
| 5. The resolution of DG complexes | 15 |
| 6. The motivic fundamental groups of punctured elliptic curves | 18 |
| 6.1. The case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ | 19 |
| 6.2. The punctured elliptic curve | 20 |
| References | 21 |

1. INTRODUCTION

The algebraic fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ becomes one of the most interesting objects in arithmetic algebraic geometry after the suggestion of Grothendieck [4]. In fact, the classical Belyi's theorem asserts that the canonical action of the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the profinite completion of the fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ is faithful. This motivated Grothendieck to study the absolute Galois group by the aid of the fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Further exploring these ideas, Deligne [4] initiated the study of this fundamental group based on the theory of motives. From the motivic point view, it has been known that the correct object to study should be the nilpotent completion of the fundamental group and then one may consider its motivic version. Deligne and Goncharov [5] studied this motivic fundamental group and further derived interesting information of multiple zeta values, which are the periods of the

motivic fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$. A priority, the motivic fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ is an object in the category of mixed Tate motives. For the different constructions of mixed (Tate) motives, we refer to [7]. Undoubtedly, each construction has its advantages. If we assume that the base field satisfied the Beilinson-Soulé vanishing conjectures, then all the K-theoretical or algebraic cycle theoretical constructions of mixed Tate motives coincide, for example, Bloch-Kriz-May's construction [2, 14], Levine's construction and even Voevodsky's construction [8]. Then it is natural to search a good understanding the motivic fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ in these categories.

In [6], Guillou described a construction of the motivic fundamental group of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ in the Bloch-Kriz category of mixed Tate motives. The rough idea is as follows: Following Beilinson, Deligne and Goncharov, there is a topological construction of (n-truncated) nilpotent completion of a topological space X under suitable conditions on X . One may mimic this construction in the motivic world by choosing a suitable complex, where replaces the topological complexes by Bloch's cycle complexes or their variants. In particular, in Bloch-Kriz-May's category of mixed Tate motives, Guillou tried to find out a cellular approximation of this complex in the case of $X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$. During this process, Totaro's cycle [17] and their generalizations – Bloch-Totaro cycles appear naturally.

On the other hand, there are natural generalizations of Bloch-Kriz-May's construction in the case of elliptic curves, see [3, 13, 15], i.e., a reasonable (triangulated) category of mixed motives for an elliptic curve. However the Beilinson-Soulé vanishing conjectures are unknown in this case. Anyway one may ask:

Problem 1.1. *How to construct the motivic fundamental group of a punctured elliptic curve in the category of mixed elliptic motives like the case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$? Could we find some generalization of Bloch-Totaro cycles in the elliptic case?*

In this paper, we fix an elliptic curve E without complex multiplication. The paper is organized as follows. In the second section, we briefly review the triangulated (DG) category of motives of an elliptic curve. Moreover we will compute some concrete boundary cycles appearing in the decomposition of elliptic motives, which are crucial for the further application. In the third section, we consider linear cycles, which are firstly defined in [1], together with their decompositions, see Proposition 3.6. Moreover we provide an explicit decomposition in the following section. In the fifth section, we consider the resolution of DG complexes, which is similar to the resolution of cell modules in the sense of [14, 3]. As an application, we consider the nilpotent completion of the fundamental group of a punctured elliptic curve in the motivic world.

2. MOTIVES OF AN ELLIPTIC CURVE

2.1. Description of elliptic motives via DG complexes. In this subsection, we will give a very short introduction to motives of an elliptic curve via the language of DG complexes.

We let $\mathbf{DM}_{\text{gm}}(k)$ be Voevodsky's triangulated category of geometric motives. For a projective smooth variety X , we can associate a motive $M(X)$ of X in $\mathbf{DM}_{\text{gm}}(k)$.

We define

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(X) = M(X)^* = M(X)(-d_X)[-2d_X]$$

and for any integer p , we let

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_M^{-p}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(Y), \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(X)) = Z^{d_Y}(X \times Y, p).$$

Then we can use these data to define a (quasi)-DG category¹ $\widetilde{\mathbf{DM}}_{\text{gm}}(k)$, whose homotopy category is $\mathbf{DM}_{\text{gm}}(k)$. If we associate $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with

$$f^* = {}^t\Gamma_f \in \underline{\text{Hom}}_M^0(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(Y), \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(X)),$$

then we will get a contravariant functor:

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M : (\mathbf{SmProj})^{op} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathbf{DM}}_{\text{gm}}(k)$$

The functoriality of $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M$ comes from the composition law of correspondences if we view f^* and g^* as correspondences, where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$.

For an idempotent $\epsilon_X \in \text{End}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(X))$ and $\epsilon_Y \in \text{End}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(Y))$, we have:

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}^{-p}(\epsilon_Y \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(Y), \epsilon_X \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(X)) = \epsilon_X Z^{d_Y}(X \times Y, p) \epsilon_Y$$

and more generally,

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}^{-p}(\epsilon_Y \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(Y), \epsilon_X \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(X)(m)[2m]) = \epsilon_X Z^{d_Y+m}(X \times Y, p) \epsilon_Y$$

Definition 2.1. We denote the DG category generated by the motives of an elliptic curve E by $\widetilde{\mathbf{DMEM}}(k, \mathbb{Q})_E$.

Example 2.2. Let E be an elliptic curve. We set

$$V = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E)^{(-)}[1] = \epsilon \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E)[1]$$

with

$$(2.1) \quad \epsilon = \frac{1}{2}[(x, x) - (x, -x)] \in Z^1(E \times E).$$

We recall that the main result in [3] (Theorem 8.13), which says that:

Theorem 2.3. There is a cycle algebra \mathcal{E}_{ell} , which is called the elliptic cycle of E such that the triangulated category consists of compact objects in $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}}^{GL_2}$ is equivalent to the triangulated subcategory $\mathbf{DMEM}(k, \mathbb{Q})_E$ of Voevodsky's motives generated by the motives of E . Here $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}}^{GL_2}$ is the derived category of dg \mathcal{E}_{ell} -modules.

The DG category of cell \mathcal{E}_{ell} -modules provides a natural dg enhancement of $\mathbf{DMEM}(k, \mathbb{Q})_E$. For any DG category, the second author introduces the notions of DG complexes in a DG category in [16, Subsection 2.2].

¹Here "quasi" means that the composition of internal homomorphism is well-defined up to quasi-isomorphism. However if one insists the Friedlander-Suslin construction, one may drop it.

Definition 2.4. A sequence $K = \{M_i, f_{ij}\}$, where $M_i \in \widetilde{\mathbf{DMEM}}(k, \mathbb{Q})_E$, is called a DG complex in $\widetilde{\mathbf{DMEM}}(k, \mathbb{Q})_E$ if

- (1) $f_{ij} \in \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}_{\widetilde{\mathbf{DMEM}}(k, \mathbb{Q})_E}^{1+j-i}(M_j, M_i)$ for $i > j^2$;
- (2) $\partial(f_{ik}) + \sum_{i>k>j} f_{ij} \circ f_{jk} = 0$.

Then the category of DG complexes in $\widetilde{\mathbf{DMEM}}(k, \mathbb{Q})_E$ forms a (quasi-)DG category.

Remark 2.5. One may equivalently consider the DG complexes in the DG category of dg \mathcal{E}_{ell} -modules due to the above theorem. We don't distinguish these two DG categories and DG categories of DG complexes. We denote it by $K\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}}$.

Remark 2.6. Based on the construction of simplicial bar construction, the second author also defines the following equivalences between DG categories:

- (Theorem 6.4 in [16]) The DG category $K\mathcal{C}_A$ of finite DG complexes in the DG category of dg A -modules is homotopy equivalent to the DG category of bounded comodules over the simplicial bar complex $B_{\text{simp}}(\epsilon|A|\epsilon)$, where the morphisms of latter category need a little modification.

One can similarly define the simplicial bar complexes over GL_2 by requiring each term appearing in the definitions of [16, Section 4] equipped with a GL_2 -action. Then these constructions can be applied to the following case: The DG category $K\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}}$ of finite DG complexes in the category of elliptic motives is homotopy equivalent to the DG category of $B_{\text{simp}}(\mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}, \epsilon)$ -comodules or even the DG category of $B(\mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}, \epsilon)$ -comodules. Due to these equivalences, we will only focus on the structure of the motivic fundamental group of a punctured elliptic curve as a DG complex.

2.2. Decomposition of elliptic motives and algebraic cycles. We recall the definition of the theta function $\theta_{1,1}(z, \tau)$ in [12], which is defined as

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left\{2\pi i \left[\frac{\tau}{2} \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(z + \frac{1}{2}\right)\right]\right\},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{C}, \tau \in \mathbb{H}$, the upper half plane. We let L be the lattice generated by 1 and τ . Then $\theta_{1,1}(z, \tau)$ has exactly one zero in \mathbb{C}/L , which is the original point 0 in \mathbb{C}/L . For simplicity, we denote it by θ .

Theorem 2.7. Fix positive integers $n, a_i (i = 1, \dots, m), b_j (j = 1, \dots, l)$. We let $f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $g_j(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the degree 1 homogeneous polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_n with integer coefficients. If

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & a_1 f_1^2(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \dots + a_m f_m^2(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ & = b_1 g_1^2(x_1, \dots, x_n) + \dots + b_l g_l^2(x_1, \dots, x_n), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\theta(f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_1} \theta(f_2(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_2} \dots \theta(f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_m}}{\theta(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{b_1} \theta(g_2(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{b_2} \dots \theta(g_l(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{b_l}}$$

is a rational function on $E^n \cong (\mathbb{C}/L)^n$.

² $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}$ denote the internal homomorphism in $\widetilde{\mathbf{DMEM}}(k, \mathbb{Q})_E$.

Proof. According to the transformation law in [12, P123], we have:

$$\theta(z + p\tau) = (-1)^{-p} \exp[2\pi i(-p^2 \frac{\tau}{2} - pz)]\theta(z)$$

for $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. We let

$$f_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{r=1}^n c_{ir} x_r$$

and

$$g_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{s=1}^n d_{js} x_s.$$

After changing the coordinates

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (x_1 + \tau, x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

we have:

$$\theta(f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)) \rightarrow (-1)^{-c_{11}} \exp[2\pi i(-c_{11}^2 \frac{\tau}{2} - c_{11} f_1)]\theta(f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n)).$$

In general, we have:

$$\theta(f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_1} \theta(f_2(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_2} \dots \theta(f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_m}$$

becomes

$$(-1)^{-(a_1 c_{11} + \dots + a_m c_{m1})} \exp\{2\pi i[-(a_1 c_{11}^2 + \dots + a_m c_{m1}^2) \frac{\tau}{2} - (a_1 c_{11} f_1 + \dots + a_m c_{m1} f_m)]\} \theta(f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_1} \theta(f_2(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_2} \dots \theta(f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_m}$$

We have a similar formula for

$$\theta(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{b_1} \theta(g_2(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{b_2} \dots \theta(g_l(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{b_l}.$$

Note that the assumption (2.2) implies that:

- $a_1 c_{11}^2 + \dots + a_m c_{m1}^2 = b_1 d_{11}^2 + \dots + b_l d_{l1}^2$ if we compare the coefficients of x_1^2 in LHS of (2.2) with its RHS;
- Similarly we can get $a_1 c_{11} f_1 + \dots + a_m c_{m1} f_m = b_1 d_{11} g_1 + \dots + b_l d_{l1} g_l$ if we compare the coefficients of $x_1 x_i$ between LHS and RHS of (2.2) and sum these coefficients together.

Note that $a_p c_{pq}^2 \equiv a_p c_{pq} \pmod{2}$. The equality

$$a_1 c_{11}^2 + \dots + a_m c_{m1}^2 = b_1 d_{11}^2 + \dots + b_l d_{l1}^2$$

implies that

$$a_1 c_{11} + \dots + a_m c_{m1} \equiv b_1 d_{11} + \dots + b_l d_{l1} \pmod{2}.$$

Hence for any $1 \leq t \leq n$, we have:

$$(-1)^{-(a_1 c_{1t} + \dots + a_m c_{mt})} = (-1)^{-(b_1 d_{1t} + \dots + b_l d_{lt})}.$$

Therefore for any $\tau \in L$,

$$\frac{\theta(f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_1} \theta(f_2(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_2} \dots \theta(f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_m}}{\theta(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{b_1} \theta(g_2(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{b_2} \dots \theta(g_l(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{b_l}}$$

is invariant under the transformation $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (x_1 + \tau, \dots, x_n)$. Similarly, this implies that

$$\frac{\theta(f_1(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_1} \theta(f_2(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_2} \dots \theta(f_m(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{a_m}}{\theta(g_1(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{b_1} \theta(g_2(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{b_2} \dots \theta(g_l(x_1, \dots, x_n))^{b_l}}$$

is invariant under the action of L^n . Hence it is a rational function on E^n . \square

Motivated by Lemma 4.2 in [13], we have the following observations. We will also follow the notions used in Section 4.1 of [13]. Recall that we let $\pi_i : E^n \rightarrow E$ and $\pi_{ij} : E^n \rightarrow E \times E$ be the projection to i -th and j -th components, and the diagonal (resp. anti-diagonal) in $E \times E$ is denoted by Δ (resp. Δ^-). The classes $\pi_i^*([0])$, $\pi_{ij}^*(\Delta)$ and $\pi_{ij}^*(\Delta^-)$ in $Z^1(E^n)$ are denoted by P_i , Δ_{ij} and Δ_{ij}^- respectively. We set

$$D_{ij} = -\Delta_{ij} + P_i + P_j, D_{ij}^- = -\Delta_{ij}^- + P_i + P_j,$$

for $i \neq j$. Hence $D_{ij} = D_{ji}$. Via the map $E \times E \xrightarrow{id \times \sigma} E \times E, (x, y) \rightarrow (x, -y)$, we have $(id \times \sigma)^*(D_{12}) = -D_{12} = D_{12}^-$ in $CH^2(E^2)$.

Proposition 2.8. *We have a decomposition*

$$\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E) \cong \mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2].$$

More precisely, we have two morphisms:

$$\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2] \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2]$$

such that

- $\alpha\beta = id$;
- *there exists an algebraic cycle $W_{\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E)}$ such that*

$$\partial W_{\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E)} = \beta\alpha - id_{\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E)}.$$

Proof. Note that $\beta_1 := \pi^*$, where $\pi : E \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ is the structure map, defines a morphism from $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(\text{Spec}(k))$ to $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E)$. $\beta_2 = \epsilon$, defined in (2.1), induces a morphism between $V[-1]$ and $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E)$. Let β_3 be the transpose of the graph i_0 , where $i_0 : \{0\} \rightarrow E$, and then we define $\beta = \beta_1 \oplus \beta_2 \oplus \beta_3$. Similarly we define α to be the sum of the graph of the morphism i_0 , ϵ and the transpose π^* . Then one may check that $\alpha\beta$ induces an identity map on $\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2]$. Furthermore we have:

$$\beta\alpha = E \times \{0\} + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta^-) + \{0\} \times E \in Z^1(E \times E).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta\alpha - id \\ (2.4) \quad & = E \times \{0\} + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta^-) + \{0\} \times E - \Delta \\ & = E \times \{0\} - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta + \Delta^-) + \{0\} \times E \in Z^1(E \times E) \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check the function $\frac{\theta(x+y)\theta(x-y)}{\theta(x)^2\theta(y)^2}$ on $(x, y) \in E \times E$ satisfies the condition in the Theorem 2.7, which is a rational function on $E \times E$. Moreover,

$$\text{div}\left(\frac{\theta(x+y)\theta(x-y)}{\theta(x)^2\theta(y)^2}\right) = (\Delta + \Delta^-) - 2(E \times \{0\} + \{0\} \times E).$$

Therefore we can find an algebraic cycle $W_{\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E)}$ such that

$$\partial W_{\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E)} = \beta\alpha - id_{\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E)}.$$

\square

Remark 2.9. In the above proof, we show that there exists an algebraic cycle W_{V12} – the half of the graph of the function $\frac{\theta(x+y)\theta(x-y)}{\theta(x)^2\theta(y)^2}$ such that $\partial W_{V12} = -D_{12} - (id \times \sigma)^* D_{12}$. Here the lower index 12 will be useful in the future.

Proposition 2.10. We have a decomposition

$$\wedge^2 V \cong \mathbb{Q}(-1).$$

More precisely, we have two morphisms:

$$\mathbb{Q}(-1) \xrightarrow{\beta} \wedge^2 V \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{Q}(-1)$$

such that

- $\alpha\beta = id$;
- there exists an algebraic cycle $W_{\wedge^2 V}$ such that

$$\partial W_{\wedge^2 V} = \beta\alpha - id_{\wedge^2 V}.$$

Proof. In order to compute the composition law explicitly, we let β be the algebraic cycle $-\frac{1}{4}(\Delta - \Delta^-) \in Z^1(E_1 \times E_2)$ and α be the algebraic cycle $\frac{1}{2}(\Delta - \Delta^-) \in Z^1(E_3 \times E_4)$, where $E_i (i = 1, \dots, 4)$ are both the copy of E . Then we may compute $\alpha\beta$ firstly. This is done via the direct computation of intersection points $\Delta_{34}^- \cap \Delta_{12}$ and $\Delta_{34} \cap \Delta_{12}^-$, which are exactly 8 points.

Next we can compute $\beta\alpha - id_{\wedge^2 V}$. By our definition, this algebraic cycle is:

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{8}[(\Delta_{12} - \Delta_{12}^-) \times (\Delta_{34} - \Delta_{34}^-)] - \frac{1}{8}[(\Delta_{13} - \Delta_{13}^-) \times (\Delta_{24} - \Delta_{24}^-) \\ & + (\Delta_{14} - \Delta_{14}^-) \times (\Delta_{23} - \Delta_{23}^-)] \end{aligned}$$

Using these D_{ij} , then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta\alpha - id_{\wedge^2 V} &= -\frac{1}{8}[(D_{12} - D_{12}^-) \times (D_{34} - D_{34}^-)] \\ & - \frac{1}{8}[(D_{13} - D_{13}^-) \times (D_{24} - D_{24}^-) + (D_{14} - D_{14}^-) \times (D_{23} - D_{23}^-)] \\ &= -\frac{1}{8}[(D_{12} - (id \times \sigma)^*(D_{12})) \times (D_{34} - (id \times \sigma)^* D_{34})] \\ & - \frac{1}{8}[(D_{13} - (id \times \sigma)^* D_{13}) \times (D_{24} - (id \times \sigma)^* D_{24}) \\ & + (D_{14} - (id \times \sigma)^* D_{14}) \times (D_{23} - (id \times \sigma)^* D_{23})] \\ &= -\frac{1}{8}[(2D_{12} + \partial W_{V12}) \times (2D_{34} + \partial W_{V34}) \\ & + (2D_{13} + \partial W_{V13}) \times (2D_{24} + \partial W_{V24}) \\ & + (2D_{14} + \partial W_{V14}) \times (2D_{23} + \partial W_{V23})] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}[D_{12} \times D_{34} + D_{13} \times D_{24} + D_{14} \times D_{23}] + \widetilde{\partial W}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2 in [13] shows that $D_{12} \times D_{34} + D_{13} \times D_{24} + D_{14} \times D_{23} = 0$ in $CH^2(E^4)$ and in the following we'd like to find out the explicit boundary.

Via the proof of Lemma 4.2 in loc.cit, the following identities between algebraic cycles in the Chow group hold:

- $P_i D_{ij} = 0$;

$$\bullet -P_2D_{13} + D_{12}D_{13} = -P_1D_{23} + D_{12}D_{23}.$$

Applying the automorphism $(x_1, x_2, x_3) \rightarrow (x_1, -x_2, x_3)$ to the last identity, we get:

$$-\sigma_2^*(P_2)\sigma_2^*(D_{13}) + \sigma_2^*(D_{12})\sigma_2^*(D_{13}) = -\sigma_2^*(P_1)\sigma_2^*(D_{23}) + \sigma_2^*(D_{12})\sigma_2^*(D_{23}).$$

Hence we have:

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & -P_2D_{13} - (D_{12} + \partial W_{V12})D_{13} \\ & = P_1(D_{23} + \partial W_{V23}) + (D_{12} + \partial W_{V12})(D_{23} + \partial W_{V23}). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, there exists an algebraic cycle \widetilde{W}_2 such that:

$$-P_2D_{13} - D_{12}D_{13} = P_1D_{23} + D_{12}D_{23} - \partial\widetilde{W}_2.$$

Therefore we get

$$(2.6) \quad P_2D_{13} = -D_{12}D_{23} + \frac{1}{2}\partial\widetilde{W}_2.$$

For the later use, we denote the algebraic cycle, whose boundary is $P_1D_{23} + D_{12}D_{13}$ by \widetilde{W}_{V123} , i.e.,

$$(2.7) \quad \partial\widetilde{W}_{V123} = P_1D_{23} + D_{12}D_{13}.$$

We consider the map $\varphi : E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3 \times E_4 \rightarrow E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3$, which is defined by $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \rightarrow (x_1, x_2 - x_4, x_3)$. Applying φ to the equality (2.6), we have

$$(2.8) \quad \varphi^*(P_2)\varphi^*(D_{13}) = -\varphi^*(D_{12})\varphi^*(D_{23}) + \varphi^*\frac{1}{2}\partial\widetilde{W}_2.$$

Note that $\varphi^*(P_2) = P_2 + P_4 - D_{42}$, $\varphi^*(D_{13}) = D_{13}$.

Claim: There exists an algebraic cycle W_{V124} such that

$$\varphi^*(D_{12}) = D_{12} - D_{14} + \partial W_{V124}.$$

Consider the morphism $\varphi : E_1 \times E_2 \times E_4 \rightarrow E_1 \times E_2$ defined by $(x_1, x_2, x_4) \rightarrow (x_1, x_2 - x_4)$. So $\varphi^*(\Delta_{12}) = \{(x_1, x_2, x_4) \in E_1 \times E_2 \times E_4 \mid x_1 - x_2 + x_4 = 0\}$. Then we consider the function

$$f_{124} = \frac{\theta(x_1 - x_2)\theta(x_2 - x_4)\theta(x_1)\theta(x_4)}{\theta(x_1 - x_2 + x_4)\theta(x_1 - x_4)\theta(x_2)}$$

on $(x_1, x_2, x_4) \in E_1 \times E_2 \times E_4$, which is a rational function via Theorem 2.7. The boundary of its graph is just:

$$(\Delta_{12} + \Delta_{24} + P_1 + P_4) - (\varphi^*(\Delta_{12}) + \Delta_{14} + P_2).$$

Rearranging these terms, it is the same as

$$\varphi^*(D_{12}) - D_{12} + D_{14}.$$

Hence we may take the graph of the function $\pi_{124}^*f_{124}$ as the algebraic cycle W_{V124} , where $\pi_{124} : E_1 \times E_2 \times E_3 \times E_4 \rightarrow E_1 \times E_2 \times E_4$.

Similarly there exists an algebraic cycle W_{V234} such that

$$\varphi^*(D_{23}) = D_{23} - D_{34} + \partial W_{V234}.$$

Hence equality (2.8) implies that:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^*(P_2)\varphi^*(D_{13}) &= (P_2 + P_4 - D_{42})D_{13} \\ &= -\varphi^*(D_{12})\varphi^*(D_{23}) + \varphi^*\left(\frac{1}{2}\partial\widetilde{W}_2\right) \\ &= -(D_{12} - D_{14} + \partial W_{V124})(D_{23} - D_{34} + \partial W_{V234}) + \frac{1}{2}\partial\varphi^*\widetilde{W}_2. \end{aligned}$$

This above equation can be simplified as

$$(P_2 + P_4 - D_{42})D_{13} = -(D_{12} - D_{14})(D_{23} - D_{34}) + \partial\widetilde{W}_3,$$

Combining with $P_2D_{13} = -D_{12}D_{23} + \frac{1}{2}\partial\widetilde{W}_2$ and $P_4D_{13} = -D_{14}D_{43} + \frac{1}{2}\partial\widetilde{W}_4$, we find an algebraic cycle \widetilde{W}_{V1234} such that

$$(2.9) \quad \partial\widetilde{W}_{V1234} = D_{12} \times D_{34} + D_{13} \times D_{24} + D_{14} \times D_{23} = 0.$$

Hence there exists an algebraic cycle $W_{\wedge^2 V}$ such that

$$\partial W_{\wedge^2 V} = -\frac{1}{2}[D_{12} \times D_{34} + D_{13} \times D_{24} + D_{14} \times D_{23}] + \partial\widetilde{W}_1 = \beta\alpha - id_{\wedge^2 V}.$$

□

Corollary 2.11. *For $i \in \mathbb{Z}, i \geq 3$, we have a decomposition*

$$\wedge^i V \cong 0.$$

More precisely, there exists an algebraic cycle $W_{\wedge^i V}$ such that $\partial W_{\wedge^i V} = id_{\wedge^i V}$.

Proof. Assume that $i = 3$ first. According to the definition of $\wedge^3 V$, we have:

$$id_{\wedge^3 V} = \sum_s D_{1s(1)} \times D_{2s(2)} \times D_{3s(3)},$$

where the index set runs over all bijections $s : \{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow \{4, 5, 6\}$.

Using the results in the previous proof, we find that

$$D_{14} \times D_{25} \times D_{36} + D_{15} \times D_{24} \times D_{36} = -D_{12} \times D_{45} \times D_{36} + \partial W_{V1245} \times D_{36};$$

$$D_{14} \times D_{26} \times D_{35} + D_{16} \times D_{24} \times D_{35} = -D_{12} \times D_{46} \times D_{35} + \partial W_{V1246} \times D_{35};$$

$$D_{15} \times D_{26} \times D_{34} + D_{16} \times D_{25} \times D_{34} = -D_{12} \times D_{56} \times D_{34} + \partial W_{V1256} \times D_{34}.$$

Summing up the above three identities, we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} id_{\wedge^3 V} &= -D_{12} \times D_{45} \times D_{36} + \partial W_{V1245} \times D_{36} - D_{12} \times D_{46} \times D_{36} \\ &\quad + \partial W_{V1246} \times D_{35} - D_{12} \times D_{56} \times D_{34} + \partial W_{V1256} \times D_{34} \\ &= \partial W_{V1245} \times D_{36} + \partial W_{V1246} \times D_{35} + \partial W_{V1256} \times D_{34} - D_{12} \times \partial W_{V3456}. \end{aligned}$$

If $i > 3$, one may proceed by induction. Assume $i = n$ and there exists an algebraic cycle such that $\partial W_{\wedge^n V} = id_{\wedge^n V}$. Then for $i = n + 1$, we have:

$$id_{\wedge^{n+1} V} = \sum_s D_{1s(1)} \times D_{2s(2)} \cdots \times D_{(n+1)s(n+1)},$$

where the index set runs over all bijections $s : \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\} \rightarrow \{n+2, \dots, 2(n+1)\}$. Then we may divide $\sum_s D_{1s(1)} \times D_{2s(2)} \cdots \times D_{(n+1)s(n+1)}$ into subsets: $I_1 = \{s | s(n+1) = n+2\}$; $I_2 = \{s | s(n+1) = n+3\}$; \dots ; $I_{n+1} =$

$\{s | s(n+1) = 2n+2\}$. By induction, for each $j \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$, there exists an algebraic cycles W_j such that

$$\partial W_j = \sum_{s \in I_j} D_{1s(1)} \times D_{2s(2)} \cdots \times D_{(n+1)s(n+1)}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\partial \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} W_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \partial W_j = \sum_s D_{1s(1)} \times D_{2s(2)} \cdots \times D_{(n+1)s(n+1)}.$$

□

3. LINEAR CORRESPONDENCES AND HOMOTOPY

In this section, we want to generalize the idea of finding coboundaries for linear cycles as in the previous section.

Let us recall the definition of linear cycles.

Definition 3.1. Consider the $2n$ -th product of elliptic curves

$$E^n \times E^n = E^{2n} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}) \mid x_i \in E\}.$$

The subspace of $Z^n(E^n \times E^n)$ generated by codimension n subvarieties which can be expressed as intersections of divisors of the following type:

$$\begin{aligned} P_i &= \{x_i = 0\} \quad (i = 1, \dots, 2n) \\ \Delta_{ij} &= \{x_i = x_j\} \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq 2n) \\ \Delta_{ij}^- &= \{x_i = -x_j\} \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq 2n) \end{aligned}$$

is denoted by $LZ^n(E^{2n}) = LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0)$. Its elements are called the linear cycles of E .

Recall that $D_{ij} = P_i + P_j - \Delta_{ij}$.

Definition 3.2. Let $S \subset LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0)$ be the subspace of $LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0)$ generated by elements

$$P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}$$

such that

- (1) $i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_q, k_1, \dots, k_q$ are distinct, $p + q = n$ and
- (2) (Gelfand-Zetlin condition)

$$j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_q, k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_q, j_i < k_i (i = 1, \dots, q).$$

Definition 3.3. We define a subspace T of $LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0)$ generated (as for the meaning of generated, see below) by the following elements.

- (1) $P_i D_{jk} + D_{ij} D_{ik}$, where $\{i, j, k\}$ is a subset of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $\#I = 3$.
- (2) $D_{ij} D_{kl} + D_{ik} D_{jl} + D_{il} D_{jk}$, where $\{i, j, k, l\}$ is a subset of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $\#I = 4$.

Lemma 3.4. We have a decomposition: $LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0) = S \oplus T$.

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 4.3 of [13], we have that $LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0) = S + T$.

Next we want to show that $S \cap T = \emptyset$. Given any cycle $Z \in S \cap T$, we may assume that

$$Z = \sum \alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_q, k_1, \dots, k_q} P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q},$$

where $\alpha_{i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_q, k_1, \dots, k_q} \in \mathbb{Z}$, short for $\alpha_{i, j, k}$. Then we consider the image of Z in $CH^n(E^{2n})$. Via Lemma 4.2 of [13], we have $Z = 0 \in CH^n(E^{2n})$. Note that $P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}$ are linearly independent in $CH^n(E^{2n})$. See Lemma 4.4, Corollary 4.5 of [13]. Hence $\alpha_{i, j, k} = 0$. \square

We denote the projection of $LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0)$ to each direct summand S (resp. T) by π_S (resp. π_T).

Definition 3.5. Let $I = \{i, j\}$ be a subset of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $\#I = 2$ and set

$$\varphi_I : Z^1(E^2, 1) \times LZ^{n-1}(E^{2n-2}, 0) \rightarrow Z^n(E^{2n}, 1) :$$

$$(y_1, y_2) \times (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-2}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, \overset{i}{y_1}, \dots, \overset{j}{y_2}, \dots, x_{2n-2})$$

Similarly, we let $I = \{i, j, k\}$ be a subset of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $\#I = 3$ and set

$$\varphi_I : Z^1(E^3, 1) \times LZ^{n-1}(E^{2n-3}, 0) \rightarrow Z^n(E^{2n}, 1) :$$

$$(y_1, y_2, y_3) \times (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-3}) \mapsto (x_1, \dots, \overset{i}{y_1}, \dots, \overset{j}{y_2}, \dots, \overset{k}{y_3}, \dots, x_{2n-3})$$

Let $I = \{i, j, k, l\}$ be a subset of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $\#I = 4$ and set

$$\varphi_I : Z^1(E^4, 1) \times LZ^{n-1}(E^{2n-4}, 0) \rightarrow Z^n(E^{2n}, 1) :$$

$$(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) \times (x_1, \dots, x_{2n-4}) \mapsto$$

$$(x_1, \dots, \overset{i}{y_1}, \dots, \overset{j}{y_2}, \dots, \overset{k}{y_3}, \dots, \overset{l}{y_4}, \dots, x_{2n-4})$$

We define $LZ^n(E^{2n}, 1)$ as the subspace generated by elements of the form

$$\varphi_I(Z(\theta) \times \gamma)$$

where $Z(\theta)$ is an element in $Z^1(E^2, 1)$ (resp. $Z^1(E^3, 1), Z^1(E^4, 1)$) defined by a combination of theta functions as in Theorem 2.7 and γ is an element in $LZ^{n-1}(E^{2n-2}, 0)$ (resp. $LZ^{n-1}(E^{2n-3}, 0), LZ^{n-1}(E^{2n-4}, 0)$).

Proposition 3.6. There is a map

$$LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0) \xrightarrow{(\pi_S, h)} S \oplus LZ^n(E^{2n}, 1)$$

such that

$$\gamma - \pi_S(\gamma) = \partial(h(\gamma))$$

Proof. Recall in the previous section (see (2.7), (2.9)), we have find algebraic cycles $\widetilde{W}_{V_{ijk}}$ and $\widetilde{W}_{V_{ijkl}}$, lying in $Z^2(E^3, 1)$ and $Z^2(E^4, 1)$, whose boundaries are $P_i D_{jk} + D_{ij} D_{ik}$ and $D_{ij} D_{kl} + D_{ik} D_{jl} + D_{il} D_{jk}$ respectively. Hence if we let \widetilde{T} be the subspace of $Z^n(E^{2n}, 1)$ generated by the elements:

- (1) $\widetilde{W}_{V_{ijk}}$, where $\{i, j, k\}$ is a subset of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $\#I = 3$.
- (2) $\widetilde{W}_{V_{ijkl}}$, where $\{i, j, k, l\}$ is a subset of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$ such that $\#I = 4$,

then the boundary map $\partial : Z^n(E^{2n}, 1) \rightarrow Z^n(E^{2n}, 0)$ induces a surjective map $\tilde{T} \xrightarrow{\partial} T$. We choose a section ∂^{-1} of the surjective map ∂ . Now we define a map $LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0) \xrightarrow{(\pi_S, h)} S \oplus LZ^n(E^{2n}, 1)$ by

$$(3.1) \quad LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0) \xrightarrow{(\pi_S, \pi_T)} S \oplus T \xrightarrow{(id, \partial^{-1})} S \oplus \tilde{T} \rightarrow S \oplus LZ^n(E^{2n}, 1).$$

It will satisfy $\gamma - \pi_S(\gamma) = \partial(h(\gamma))$ for $\gamma \in LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0)$. \square

We consider the realization homomorphism

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} Z^n(E^n \times E^n) &\rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^0(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^n), \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^n)) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(H_B^*(E^n, \mathbb{Q}), H_B^*(E^n, \mathbb{Q})), \end{aligned}$$

denoted it by r .

Corollary 3.7. *Given any algebraic cycle $\gamma \in LZ^n(E^{2n})$ such that $r(\gamma) = 0$, then there exists an algebraic cycle $W_\gamma \in LZ^n(E^{2n}, 1)$ such that $\partial W_\gamma = \gamma$.*

Proof. It is enough to show that the kernel of the map $r : LZ^n(E^{2n}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(H_B^*(E^n), H_B^*(E^n))$ is contained in T . In fact, the map r factors through the linear Chow group $CH_{\text{lin}}^n(E^{2n})$, which is defined as the subspace of $CH^n(E^{2n})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ generated by the classes of abelian subvarieties in E^{2n} , equivalently $LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0)/T$ (see Section 4.1 in [13]). Note that we have:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} CH_{\text{lin}}^n(E^{2n}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l &\rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(H_B^*(E^n), H_B^*(E^n)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \\ &\cong \mathbf{Hom}(H_{\acute{e}t}^*(E^n, \mathbb{Q}_l), H_{\acute{e}t}^*(E^n, \mathbb{Q}_l)), \end{aligned}$$

which is an injective map (see Corollary 5.3.3 in [1]). This implies the injectivity of $CH_{\text{lin}}^n(E^{2n}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Hom}(H_B^*(E^n, \mathbb{Q}), H_B^*(E^n, \mathbb{Q}))$ and hence $T \subset \text{Ker}(r)$. \square

4. CONSTRUCTION OF π_S AND h

In this section, we will give an explicit construction of π_S and h . First, we define subspaces

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} S &= LZ_{1,n} \subset LZ_{1,n-1} \subset \cdots \subset LZ_{1,1} = LZ_{2,n} \subset \\ &LZ_{2,n-1} \subset \cdots \subset LZ_{2,1} = LZ_2 \subset LZ_3 = LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0) \end{aligned}$$

as follows.

(1) The subspace $LZ_{1,t}$ is generated by S and elements of the form

$$(4.2) \quad P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}$$

such that

- (a) $i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_q, k_1, \dots, k_q$ are distinct, $p + q = n$,
- (b) $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_q, j_i < k_i (i = 1, \dots, q)$, and
- (c) $\min\{t' \mid k_{t'} > k_{t'+1}\} \geq t$.

Then we have $S = LZ_{1,n} \subset LZ_{1,n-1} \subset \cdots \subset LZ_{1,1}$.

(2) The subspace $LZ_2 = LZ_{2,1}$ is generated by elements of the form (4.2) such that

$$\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \cap \{j_1, \dots, j_q, k_1, \dots, k_q\} = \emptyset$$

and $p + q = n$. In general, the subspace $LZ_{2,s}$ is generated by elements of the form (4.2) such that

- (a) $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \cap \{j_1, \dots, j_q, k_1, \dots, k_q\} = \emptyset$ and $p + q = n$,
 (b) i_1, \dots, i_p are distinct, $j_i < k_i (i = 1, \dots, q)$,
 (c) $j_1 \leq j_2 \leq \dots \leq j_q$ and $\min\{s' \mid j_{s'} = j_{s'+1}\} \geq s$.
- (3) The subspace LZ_3 is generated by elements of the form

$$P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1}^{\epsilon_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}^{\epsilon_q}$$

such that $\epsilon_i = \pm 1^3$, $\{i_1, \dots, i_p\} \cap \{j_1, \dots, j_q, k_1, \dots, k_q\} = \emptyset$ and $p + q = n$.

We construct homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} LZ_3 &= LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0) \xrightarrow{(\pi_3, h_3)} LZ_2 \oplus LZ^n(E^{2n}, 1) \\ LZ_{2,s} &\xrightarrow{(\pi_{2,s}, h_{2,s})} LZ_{2,s+1} \oplus LZ^n(E^{2n}, 1) \quad (s = 1, \dots, n-1) \\ LZ_{1,t} &\xrightarrow{(\pi_{1,t}, h_{1,t})} LZ_{1,t+1} \oplus LZ^n(E^{2n}, 1) \quad (t = 1, \dots, n-2) \\ LZ_{1,n-1} &\xrightarrow{(\pi_{1,n-1}, h_{1,n-1})} S \oplus LZ^n(E^{2n}, 1) \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\pi_\star(\gamma) = \gamma + \partial h_\star(\gamma) \quad (\star = (1, 1), \dots, (1, n-1), (2, 1), \dots, (2, n-1), 3)$$

We set

$$\Pi_{1,n-1} = \pi_{1,n-1} \circ \pi_{1,n-2} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{1,1} \circ \pi_{2,n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{2,1} \circ \pi_3 : LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0) \rightarrow S,$$

$$\Pi_{1,t-1} = \pi_{1,t-1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{1,1} \circ \pi_{2,n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{2,1} \circ \pi_3 : LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0) \rightarrow LZ_{1,t},$$

$$\Pi_2 = \pi_{2,n-1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{2,1} \circ \pi_3 : LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0) \rightarrow LZ_{1,1},$$

$$\Pi_{2,s-1} = \pi_{2,s-1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_{2,1} \circ \pi_3 : LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0) \rightarrow LZ_{2,s}.$$

³Here we use the notation $D_{jk}^{-1} = D_{jk}^-$.

Note that $\Pi_2 = \pi_{2,n-1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_{1,n-1} &= \Pi_{1,n-2} + \partial h_{1,n-1} \circ \Pi_{1,n-2} \\
&= \Pi_{1,n-3} + \partial h_{1,n-2} \circ \Pi_{1,n-3} + \partial h_{1,n-1} \circ \Pi_{1,n-2} \\
&\quad \dots \\
&= \Pi_{1,1} + \partial h_{1,2} \circ \Pi_{1,1} + \dots + \partial h_{1,n-1} \circ \Pi_{1,n-2} \\
&= \Pi_2 + \partial h_{1,1} \circ \Pi_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \partial h_{1,i+1} \circ \Pi_{1,i} \\
&= \Pi_{2,n-2} + \partial h_{2,n-1} \circ \Pi_{2,n-2} + \partial h_{1,1} \Pi_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \partial h_{1,i+1} \circ \Pi_{1,i} \\
&\quad \dots \\
&= \pi_3 + \partial h_{2,1} \circ \pi_3 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \partial h_{2,i+1} \circ \Pi_{2,i} + \partial h_{1,1} \Pi_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \partial h_{1,i+1} \circ \Pi_{1,i} \\
&= \text{id} + \partial h_3 + \partial h_{2,1} \circ \pi_3 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \partial h_{2,i+1} \circ \Pi_{2,i} \\
&\quad + \partial h_{1,1} \circ \Pi_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \partial h_{1,i+1} \circ \Pi_{1,i}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore by setting,

$$h = h_3 + h_{2,1} \circ \pi_3 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} h_{2,i+1} \circ \Pi_{2,i} + h_{1,1} \circ \Pi_2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} h_{1,i+1} \circ \Pi_{1,i},$$

we have $\Pi_{1,n-1}(\gamma) = \gamma + \partial(h(\gamma))$. We recall that:

- (1) $\partial \widetilde{W}_{Vij} = D_{ij} + (\text{id} \times \sigma)_* D_{ij} = D_{ij} + D_{ij}^-$;
- (2) $\partial \widetilde{W}_{Vijk} = P_i D_{jk} + D_{ij} D_{ik}$;
- (3) $\partial \widetilde{W}_{Vijkl} = D_{ij} D_{kl} + D_{ik} D_{jl} + D_{il} D_{jk}$.

The construction (π_3, h_3) :

We define the morphism $LZ_3 = LZ^n(E^{2n}, 0) \xrightarrow{(\pi_3, h_3)} LZ_2 \oplus LZ^n(E^{2n}, 1)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_3(P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}^{\varepsilon_q}) &= \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_q P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}; \\
h_3(P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}^{\varepsilon_q}) &= \varepsilon_2 \cdots \varepsilon_q P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon_1}{2} \widetilde{W}_{Vj_1 k_1} \right) D_{j_2 k_2} \cdots D_{j_q k_q} \\
&\quad + \varepsilon_3 \cdots \varepsilon_q P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon_2}{2} \widetilde{W}_{Vj_2 k_2} \right) D_{j_3 k_3} \cdots D_{j_q k_q} + \cdots \\
&\quad + P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1}^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots D_{j_{q-1} k_{q-1}}^{\varepsilon_{q-1}} \left(\frac{1-\varepsilon_q}{2} \widetilde{W}_{Vj_q k_q} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

The construction of $(\pi_{2,s}, h_{2,s})$:

Without loss of generality, we may further assume that the generators

$$P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q} \in LZ_{2,s}$$

satisfy: $k_s < k_{s+1}$ if $j_s = j_{s+1}$.

We define the morphism $LZ_{2,s} \xrightarrow{(\pi_{2,s}, h_{2,s})} LZ_{2,s+1} \oplus LZ^n(E^{2n}, 1)$ as follows:

- $P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}$ maps to itself, if $\min\{s' \mid j_{s'} = j_{s'+1}\} \geq s+1$;
- If $\min\{s' \mid j_{s'} = j_{s'+1}\} = s$, we define:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{2,s}(P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}) &= -P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} \cdots P_{j_s} \\ &D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_{s-1} k_{s-1}} D_{k_s k_{s+1}} D_{j_{s+2} k_{s+2}} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we may re-arrange the orders of elements. Note that $k_s > j_s$, and hence the image of $\pi_{2,s}$ lies in $LZ_{2,s+1}$. Then we define:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{2,s}(P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}) &= -P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \\ &\cdots D_{j_{s-1} k_{s-1}} \widetilde{W}_{V_{j_s k_s k_{s+1}}} D_{j_{s+2} k_{s+2}} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}. \end{aligned}$$

The construction of $(\pi_{1,t}, h_{1,t})$:

We define the morphism $LZ_{1,t} \xrightarrow{(\pi_{1,t}, h_{1,t})} LZ_{1,t+1} \oplus LZ^n(E^{2n}, 1)$ as follows:

- $P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}$ maps to itself, if $\min\{t' \mid k_{t'} > k_{t'+1}\} \geq t+1$;
- If $\min\{t' \mid k_{t'} > k_{t'+1}\} = t$, we define:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{1,t}(P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}) &= -P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_{t-1} k_{t-1}} \\ &D_{j_t j_{t+1}} D_{k_{t+1} k_t} D_{j_{t+2} k_{t+2}} \cdots D_{j_q k_q} - P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_{t-1} k_{t-1}} \\ &D_{j_t k_{t+1}} D_{\min\{k_t, j_{t+1}\} \max\{k_t, j_{t+1}\}} D_{j_{t+2} k_{t+2}} \cdots D_{j_q k_q} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1,t}(P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}) &= -P_{i_1} \cdots P_{i_p} D_{j_1 k_1} \cdots D_{j_{t-1} k_{t-1}} \\ &\widetilde{W}_{V_{j_t k_t j_{t+1} k_{t+1}}} D_{j_{t+2} k_{t+2}} \cdots D_{j_q k_q}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we use here: $j_{t+1} < k_{t+1} < k_t$ and $k_{t+1} < \max\{k_t, j_{t+1}\}$.

5. THE RESOLUTION OF DG COMPLEXES

In this section, we want to study the resolution of $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^n)$ for any positive integer n .

We recall some properties of group rings of symmetric groups. For each positive integer n , we denote the symmetric group of a totally ordered set A with n elements by $\Sigma(A)$. It is known that the representation theory of $\Sigma(A)$ is determined by the Young tableaux. If a labeling of a tableau is strictly increasing to the right across the rows and strictly increasing down the columns, then it is called a standard tableau and the set of standard tableaux of A is denoted by $Tab(A)$. For a tableau Y consisting of A , we can define a projector $e_Y \in \mathbb{Q}[\Sigma(A)]$, which are called a Young symmetrizer. All the symmetrizers indexed by standard Young tableaux lead a decomposition of $\mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_n]$, i.e, we have

$$(5.1) \quad \mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_n] = \bigoplus \mathbb{Q}[\Sigma_n] e_Y.$$

See Section 4.2 in [10].

Given an elliptic curve E , we let E_i be a copy of E indexed by $i \in A$. The self product $\prod_{i \in A} E$ is denoted by E^A . Given a Young tableaux Y of A , we let:

$$i_Y^* \in \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^0(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^A), (\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2])^{\otimes A})$$

be the composition of homomorphisms

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^A) &= \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E)^{\otimes A} \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\otimes A}} (\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2])^{\otimes A} \\ &\xrightarrow{e_Y} (\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2])^{\otimes A} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\pi_Y^* \in \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^0((\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2])^{\otimes A}, \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^A))$$

be the composition of homomorphisms

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} (\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2])^{\otimes A} &\xrightarrow{e_Y} (\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2])^{\otimes A} \\ &\xrightarrow{\beta^{\otimes A}} \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E)^{\otimes A} = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^A). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.1. *There exists an algebraic cycle*

$$H_A \in \underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^{-1}(\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^A), \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^A))$$

such that

$$\partial(H_A) = id - \sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(A)} \pi_Y^* i_Y^*,$$

which is called the standard homotopy for id -const.

Proof. According to the equation (5.1), we know that

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(A)} \pi_Y^* i_Y^* = \beta^{\otimes A} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(A)} e_Y^2 \right) \alpha^{\otimes A} = \beta^{\otimes A} \alpha^{\otimes A} = (\beta\alpha)^{\otimes A}.$$

Then using Proposition 2.3, we will get the desired H_A , i.e. the A -th product of $-W_{\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E)}$. \square

Remark 5.2. *We recall that $\Gamma_A = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^A \rtimes \Sigma(A)$ acts on E^A , where $\Sigma(A)$ permutes the components of E^A and the i -th generator $(0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ in $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^A$ acts on the i -th component E of E^A by the inversion $x \rightarrow -x$. The sign character is defined on $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^A$, which is denoted by ρ_A and it can be extended to Γ_A trivially. The invariant part of $\mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^A)$ under the action of ρ_A is just V^A . Because the intersection product between H_A and the graph of ρ_A is commutative, the restriction of H_A on V leads an algebraic cycle $H_{V^{\otimes A}}$ in $\underline{\mathbf{Hom}}^{-1}(V^{\otimes A}, V^{\otimes A})$ satisfies its boundary is $id_{V^{\otimes A}} - \sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(A)} \pi_Y^* i_Y^*$.*

Definition 5.3. *A DG complex is called a Schur complex if its terms are a direct sum of $e_Y(V^{\otimes n})(m)[p]$, which is isomorphic to $S_\lambda(V^{\otimes n})(m)[p]$, where λ is the Young diagram underlying the Young tableau Y and S_λ is the Schur functor associated to λ .*

Now we want to construct a resolution of a DG complex

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \cdots &\rightarrow \oplus \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^{n_1})(m_1)[p_1] \\ &\xrightarrow{f_{21}} \oplus \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^{n_2})(m_2)[p_2] \\ &\xrightarrow{f_{32}} \oplus \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^{n_3})(m_3)[p_3] \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

via the Schur complexes. By the definition of DG complexes, we have $\partial(f_{21}) = \partial(f_{32}) = 0$ and $\partial(f_{31}) = f_{32}f_{21}$, where $f_{31} : \oplus \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^{n_1})(m_1)[p_1] \rightarrow \oplus \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^{n_3})(m_3)[p_3]$.

More precisely, we can form another DG complex:

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \cdots \rightarrow \oplus(\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2])^{\otimes n_1}(m_1)[p_1] \\ & \xrightarrow{F_{21}} \oplus(\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2])^{\otimes n_2}(m_2)[p_2] \\ & \xrightarrow{F_{32}} \oplus(\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2])^{\otimes n_3}(m_3)[p_3] \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

by letting

$$\begin{aligned} F_{21} &= \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_2)} i_Y^* \right) f_{21} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_1)} \pi_Y^* \right), \\ F_{32} &= \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_3)} i_Y^* \right) f_{32} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_2)} \pi_Y^* \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$F_{31} = \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_3)} i_Y^* \right) (f_{31} - f_{32} H_{n_2} f_{21}) \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_1)} \pi_Y^* \right).$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(F_{31}) &= \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_3)} i_Y^* \right) (\partial(f_{31}) - f_{32} \partial(H_{n_2}) f_{21}) \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_1)} \pi_Y^* \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_3)} i_Y^* \right) (f_{32} f_{21} - f_{32} (id - \sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_2)} \pi_Y^* i_Y^*) f_{21}) \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_1)} \pi_Y^* \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_3)} i_Y^* \right) f_{32} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_2)} \pi_Y^* i_Y^* \right) f_{21} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_1)} \pi_Y^* \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_3)} i_Y^* \right) f_{32} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_2)} \pi_Y^* \right) \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_2)} i_Y^* \right) f_{21} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_1)} \pi_Y^* \right) \\ &= F_{32} F_{21}. \end{aligned}$$

We let $h_{21} = (\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_2)} i_Y^*) f_{21} H_{n_1}$, which is a morphism:

$$\oplus \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^{n_1})(m_1)[p_1] \rightarrow \oplus(\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2])^{\otimes n_2}(m_2)[p_2]$$

$h_{32} = (\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_3)} i_Y^*) f_{32} H_{n_2}$, which is a morphism:

$$\oplus \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^{n_2})(m_2)[p_2] \rightarrow \oplus(\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2])^{\otimes n_3}(m_3)[p_3]$$

and similarly $h_{31} = (\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_3)} i_Y^*) (f_{31} - f_{32} H_{n_2} f_{21}) H_{n_1}$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(h_{21}) &= \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_2)} i_Y^* \right) f_{21} - F_{21} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_1)} i_Y^* \right), \\ \partial(h_{32}) &= \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_3)} i_Y^* \right) f_{32} - F_{32} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_2)} i_Y^* \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\partial(h_{31}) = \left[\left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_3)} i_Y^* \right) f_{31} - h_{32} f_{21} \right] - \left[F_{31} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_1)} i_Y^* \right) - F_{32} h_{21} \right].$$

Moreover, the general formulas are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.7) \quad F_{p,q} = & \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_p)} i_Y^* \right) f_{p,q} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_q)} \pi_Y^* \right) \\
& - \sum_{q < r < p} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_p)} i_Y^* \right) f_{p,r} H_{n_r} f_{r,q} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_q)} \pi_Y^* \right) \\
& + \sum_{q < r_1 < r_2 < q} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_p)} i_Y^* \right) f_{p,r_1} H_{n_{r_1}} f_{r_1,r_2} H_{n_{r_2}} f_{r_2,q} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_q)} \pi_Y^* \right) \\
& - \cdots,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.8) \quad h_{p,q} = & \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_p)} i_Y^* \right) f_{p,q} H_{n_q} \\
& - \sum_{q < r < p} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_p)} i_Y^* \right) f_{p,r} H_{n_r} f_{r,q} H_{n_q} \\
& + \sum_{q < r_1 < r_2 < q} \left(\sum_{Y \in \text{Tab}(n_p)} i_Y^* \right) f_{p,r_1} H_{n_{r_1}} f_{r_1,r_2} H_{n_{r_2}} f_{r_2,q} H_{n_q} \\
& - \cdots.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that, for a given DG complex K of the type (5.5), there is an object K' of the type (5.6) together with a quasi-isomorphism $K \rightarrow K'$.

6. THE MOTIVIC FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS OF PUNCTURED ELLIPTIC CURVES

In this section, we recall the constructions of the nilpotent completion of the fundamental group of an punctured elliptic curve following the work of Deligne and Goncharov. See [5, Section 3] and [16]. Eisenreich [9] called these constructions the motivic fundamental group. In particular, we follow the notions used in [9].

Let X be a smooth S -scheme with smooth subschemes D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n in X . For a given index set $I = (1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n)$, we denote the intersection of all these D_j with $j \in I$ by D_I . For simplicity, we use \mathbb{Q}_X to denote the motive of X in the category of smooth motives over S . For example, if $X = E^n$, we have $\mathbb{Q}_X = \mathbf{R}\Gamma_M(E^n)$ as we defined before.

Definition 6.1. *The relative motive $\mathbb{Q}_{(X; D_1, \dots, D_n)}$ is defined as the complex:*

$$\mathbb{Q}_X \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Q}_{D_i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{|I|=s}^n \mathbb{Q}_{D_I} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{D_1 \cap \dots \cap D_n}$$

sitting in degree 0 up to n , where the differential in degree s is given by the alternating sum of

$$\partial^s = \sum_{|I|=s} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \partial_{I,i}^s$$

and each component is defined by

$$\partial_{I,i}^s = \begin{cases} i_{D_I \subset D_I \cup \{i\}}^* & \text{if } i \notin I, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \in I. \end{cases}$$

Our main example is the following:

Example 6.2. *Let X be a smooth, quasi-projective scheme over a reduced scheme S and let $x, y : S \rightarrow X$ be two sections of the structure map $\pi : X \rightarrow S$. We are interested in the following subsets of X^n :*

$$\begin{aligned} D_0^{(n)} &= x(S) \times X^{n-1} \\ D_i^{(n)} &= \{x_i = x_{i+1}\} \subset X^n \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ D_n^{(n)} &= X^{n-1} \times y(S). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$(6.1) \quad b_{\leq 0} \left(\mathbb{Q}_{(X; D_0^{(n)}, \dots, D_n^{(n)})}[n] \right) \cong \tilde{B}_n^{\text{mot}}(X | S)_{x,y},$$

where $\tilde{B}_n^{\text{mot}}(X | S)_{x,y}$ is the n -th normalized motivic bar complex of X , which is defined in [9, Definition 2.4.6] and $b_{\leq 0}$ is the brutal truncation from above after degree 0. The proof of (6.1) can be found in [9, Theorem 2.7.3] or [5, Section 3].

Now we move to the concrete examples and let S be a field k .

6.1. The case of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Consider $X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$, which is defined over a field k . Take two distinct rational points $a, b \in X$. We apply the above construction and let $n = 2$ for simplicity. Then we get:

$$(6.2) \quad \mathbb{Q}_{X^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{a \times X} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{\Delta X} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{X \times b} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{(a,a)} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{(a,b)} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{(b,b)},$$

where $\Delta X \subset X^2$ is the diagonal. It is known that, via the Mayer-Vietoris property, we have:

$$(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}^1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}^1 - \{1\}}) \xrightarrow{q.i.} \mathbb{Q}_X.$$

Next we can apply the construction of Section 4 if we consider the homotopy

$$H_n \in \underline{\text{Hom}}^{-1}(\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}^n}(q)[p], \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}^n}(q)[p])$$

defined by the cycle

$$\{(x, x - tx, t) \in \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^1 \mid x \in \mathbb{A}^n, t \in \mathbb{A}^1\} \in Z^n(\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^n, 1).$$

Then one may consider its resolution and compute the higher homotopy. For example, we consider the component

$$\mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{A}^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{(\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}) \times \mathbb{A}^1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{(\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{A}^1 - \{1\})} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{(\mathbb{A} - \{0\}) \times b} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{(b,b)}.$$

or a simplified component

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Q}_{(\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}) \times (\mathbb{A}^1 - \{1\})} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_{(\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}) \times b} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_{(b,b)} \\ \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\ \mathbb{Q}(-2)[-2] & \dashrightarrow & (\mathbb{Q} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1))[-1] & \dashrightarrow & \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

The formula in the end of Section 4 provides us a cycle

$$(t, 1 - t, 1 - \frac{b}{t}) \in Z^2(\text{Spec}(k), 3).$$

6.2. The punctured elliptic curve. Now we go back to the motivic fundamental group of a punctured elliptic curve $X = E - \{O\}$, where E is an elliptic curve defined over $\text{Spec}(k)$. Notice that, if we choose x and y as a pair of different points $a, b \in X$, the Betti realization of the relative motive $\mathbb{Q}_{(X^n; D_0^{(n)}, \dots, D_n^{(n)})}$:

$$(6.3) \quad \mathbb{Q}_{X^n} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathbb{Q}_{D_i^{(n)}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^n \mathbb{Q}_{\bigcap_{j \neq i} D_j^{(n)}}$$

is the dual of the n -th truncation of the nilpotent completion of the fundamental group of a punctured elliptic curve $\mathbb{Q}[\pi_1(X, a, b)]/I^n$. See Beilinson's construction in [5, Section 3].

We fix isomorphisms:

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (p_2, \dots, p_n) &: D_0^{(n)} \rightarrow X^{n-1} \\ (p_1, p_2, \dots, \widehat{p_{i+1}}, \dots, p_n) &: D_i^{(n)} \rightarrow X^{n-1} \text{ if } 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{n-1}) &: D_n^{(n)} \rightarrow X^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_i : X^n \rightarrow X$ is the i -th projection. Under these isomorphisms together with

$$(\mathbb{Q}_0(-1)[-2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_E) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Q}_X$$

the complex of the relative motive $\mathbb{Q}_{(X^n; D_0^{(n)}, \dots, D_n^{(n)})}$ can be viewed as a DG complex with each term having the type as we have seen in the previous section. For example:

Example 6.3. Assume that $n = 2$. The relative motive $\mathbb{Q}_{(X^2; D_0^{(2)}, D_1^{(2)}, D_2^{(2)})}$:

$$\mathbb{Q}_{X^2} \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} \mathbb{Q}_{a \times X} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{\Delta X} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{X \times b} \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} \mathbb{Q}_{(a,a)} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{(a,b)} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{(b,b)},$$

can be expressed as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{Q}_{(0,0)}(-2)[-4] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_{E \times \{0\}}(-1)[-2] \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{\{0\} \times E}(-1)[-2] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{E}^2} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{Q}_{(a,0)}(-1)[-2] \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{(0,0)}(-1)[-2] \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{(0,b)}(-1)[-2] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_{a \times E} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{\Delta E} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{E \times b} \\ & & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & \mathbb{Q}_{(a,a)} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{(a,b)} \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{(b,b)} \end{array}$$

A priori, the above diagram is a DG complex. Since the morphisms arise from the geometric ones, the double complex is a strict complex. Then we can find resolutions of the above DG complexes via replacing each term by its resolution. Let us consider the component

$$\mathbb{Q}_{(0,0)}(-2)[-4] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{E} \times 0}(-1)[-2] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{E}^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{a \times E} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{(a,a)}$$

as the previous case. Then it gives rises to the bottom DG-complex:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \mathbb{Q}_{(0,0)}(-2)[-4] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{E} \times 0}(-1)[-2] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{E}^2} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_{a \times E} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_{(a,a)} \\ \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow & & \updownarrow \\ \mathbb{Q}(-2)[-4] & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2] \oplus V(-1)[-3] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-2)[-4]) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q}_{\mathbb{E}^2} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{Q} \oplus V[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}(-1)[-2]) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

The formula of the higher homotopy in Section 4 will give us a cycle, which can be viewed as a generalization of Totaro's cycle.

If we go back to the general case, the similar consideration in Example 6.3 works.

- Rewrite the relative motive by some double complex whose term are isomorphism to \mathbb{Q}_{E^n} and we get a double complex.
- Consider its associated simplex to the above double complex and replace each term by its resolution. Then we get a DG complex in the category of elliptic motives with explicit higher homotopy.
- Based on the results in Section 2.1, we can describe the DG complex as a comodule over the (simplicial) bar construction of the elliptic algebra \mathcal{E}_{ell} .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BEILINSON, A. LEVIN: The elliptic polylogarithm, in Proc. Pure. in Pure Math. 55 part 2, AMS, 123–192. 1994.
- [2] S. BLOCH, I. KRIZ: Mixed Tate motives. Ann. of Math. 140(3). 557– 605, 1994.
- [3] J. CAO: Motives for an elliptic curve. Math Ann. 372. 189-227. 2018.
- [4] P. DELIGNE: Le groupe fondamental de la droite projective moins trois points. In Galois groups over \mathbb{Q} , Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 16. 79–297, 1989.
- [5] P. DELIGNE, A. GONCHAROV: Groupes fondamentaux motiviques de Tate mixtes. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. 38-1, 1-56. 2005.
- [6] B. GUILLOU: The motivic fundamental group of the punctured projective line. J. K-Theory 7. 19 - 53. 2011.
- [7] M. LEVINE: Mixed motives. Handbook of K-theory, vol.1. 429 - 522. Springer Verlag, NewYork. 2005.
- [8] M. LEVINE: Tate motives and the fundamental group. Cycles, motives and Shimura varieties. 265–392. Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai. 2010.
- [9] S.M. EISENREICH: The motivic polylogarithm for smooth quasi-projective schemes and its realizations. Ph.D thesis. 2015.
- [10] W. FULTON, J. HARRIS: Representation theory. A first course. GTM 129. Springer. 1999.
- [11] R. HAIN, M. MATSUMOTO: Universal mixed elliptic motives. J. Inst. Math. Jussieu. 1–104. 2018.
- [12] J. IGUSA: Theta functions. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen 194. Springer. 1972.
- [13] K. KIMURA, T. TERASOMA: Relative DGA and mixed elliptic motives. arXiv: 1010.3791v3. 2010.
- [14] I. KRIZ, J. P. MAY: Operads, algebras, modules and motives. Astérisque 233.1995.
- [15] O. PATASHNICK: A candidate for the abelian category of mixed elliptic motives. J. K-Theory 12(3), 569–600. 2013.
- [16] T. TERASOMA: DG-categories and simplicial bar complexes. Moscow Mathematical Journal. 10(1), 231-267. 2010.
- [17] B. TOTARO: Milnor K-theory is the simplest part of algebraic K-theory. K-Theory 6(2). 177–189. 1992.

JIN CAO, YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, CHINA

Email address: caojin@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

TOMOHIDE TERASOMA, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, HOSEI UNIVERSITY, KOGANEI, TOKYO, JAPAN

Email address: terasoma@hosei.ac.jp