# SOME REMARKS ON REAL MINIMAL NILPOTENT ORBITS AND SYMMETRIC PAIRS

TAKAYUKI OKUDA

ABSTRACT. For a non-compact simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  the unique complex nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$  containing all minimal real nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In this paper, we give a complete classification of symmetric pairs  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d = \emptyset$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}^d$  denotes the dual Lie algebra of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ . Furthermore, for symmetric pairs (G, H) with real simple Lie group G, we apply our classification to theorems given by T. Kobayashi [J. Lie Theory (2023)], and study bounded multiplicity properties of restrictions on H of infinite-dimensional irreducible G-representations with minimum Gelfand–Kirillov dimension.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a non-compact simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ . We write  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$ for the nilpotent cone in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})/G$  for the set of real nilpotent (adjoint) orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . A non-zero real nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with minimum dimension is called *minimal*. Let us put  $\mathcal{N}_{\min}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$  the union of all minimal real nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{g} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$ . Then as proved in [12, Theorems 1.1 and 1.3], there exists uniquely a complex nilpotent (adjoint) orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  containing  $\mathcal{N}_{\min}(\mathfrak{g})$ . We denote by  $m(\mathfrak{g})$ half of the complex dimension of  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Note that the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  is minimal as a complex nilpotent orbit in most cases but not for some real simple  $\mathfrak{g}$  (see Section 2 for more details).

Let us also fix an involutive automorphism  $\sigma$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and put  $\mathfrak{h} := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \sigma(X) = X\}$  and  $\mathfrak{q} := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \sigma(X) = -X\}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  is a symmetric pair. Take a Cartan involution  $\theta$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  commuting with  $\sigma$ , and write  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  for the Cartan decomposition. Then as in [13], the dual Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^d$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  is defined by

$$\mathfrak{g}^d := (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) + \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{q}) + \sqrt{-1}(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}) + (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}).$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{g}^d$  is a real form of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and up to inner-automorphisms on  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , the real form  $\mathfrak{g}^d$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  depends only on  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ , but not on  $\theta$ .

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 22E46; Secondary 22E45, 53C35, 32M15, 53C15, 57S30.

The third author is supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research JP20K03589, JP20K14310, JP22H0112 and JP24K06714.

In this paper, we address the following question:

# Question 1.1. Whether $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ meets $\mathfrak{g}^d$ or not?

We find that the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  meets  $\mathfrak{g}^d$  in most cases. More precisely, our main result gives a complete classification of symmetric pairs  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  with  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d = \emptyset$  (see Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 in Section 3 for the classification).

Our work is motivated by branching problems of "small" infinitedimensional representations of simple Lie groups as below: let G be a non-compact connected simple Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The set of irreducible objects in the category of smooth admissible representations of G of finite length with moderate growth is denoted by  $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$ . Then for each infinite-dimensional irreducible object  $\Pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$ , the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension  $\operatorname{DIM}(\Pi)$  of  $\Pi$  satisfies

$$m(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \text{DIM}(\Pi).$$

By combining our classification with T. Kobayashi's results in [8, 9], we have the following theorem, mentioned in [9, Remark 5.7]:

**Theorem 1.2.** Let G be a non-compact connected simple Lie group, and  $\Pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$  with  $m(\mathfrak{g}) = \operatorname{DIM}(\Pi)$ . Then for any symmetric pair (G, H), the restriction  $\Pi|_H$  has the bounded multiplicity property

$$\sup_{\mathbf{f}\in\operatorname{Irr}(H)}[\Pi|_H:\pi]<\infty$$

where  $[\Pi]_H : \pi]$  denotes the multiplicity of  $\pi$  in  $\Pi|_H$  given by  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Hom}_H(\Pi|_H, \pi)$ .

Question 1.1 is also related to the following topological problem: let  $G/H^a$  be the symmetric space corresponding to the associated symmetric pair of (G, H), in the sense of [2], and let  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  or  $PSL_2(\mathbb{R})$  be a subgroup of G corresponding to a real minimal nilpotent orbit by the Jacobson–Morozov theorem (see Section 5 for the details). In Theorem 5.1, we show that  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d = \emptyset$  if and only if the action of the subgroup on  $G/H^a$  is proper.

## 2. Preliminaries for minimal real nilpotent orbits

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a non-compact simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ . In this section, we recall some facts and give some observations for minimal real nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

As in Section 1, we denote by  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})/G$  the nilpotent cone and the set of nilpotent (adjoint) orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . With respect to the closure ordering,  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})/G$  is a partially ordered set, and the zero orbit is the minimum in  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})/G$ . A non-zero real nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ is called minimal if its dimension is minimum, or equivalently, it is minimal in the partially ordered set  $(\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{g})/G) \setminus \{0\}$  (see [12, Theorem 1.3]). We write  $\mathcal{N}_{\min}(\mathfrak{g})$  for the union of all minimal real nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

For the number of minimal real nilpotent orbits, the following is known:

**Fact 2.1** (See e.g. [12]). Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  be a Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

- (i) If g is absolutely-simple and (g, ℓ) is of Hermitian-type, then g admits two minimal real nilpotent orbits O<sub>min,1</sub> and O<sub>min,2</sub> with O<sub>min,2</sub> = -O<sub>min,1</sub>.
- (ii) If g is absolutely-simple but (g, ℓ) is not of Hermitian-type, then the minimal real nilpotent orbit O<sub>min</sub> in g is unique.
- (iii) If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not absolutely-simple, then the minimal real nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\min}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  is unique. Furthermore, in this situation,  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits two complex structures  $\{\pm J\}$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{\min}$  can be considered as the minimal complex nilpotent orbit in the complex simple Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \pm J)$ .

We denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = \mathfrak{g} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{g}$  the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then there uniquely exists a complex nilpotent adjoint orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  with  $\mathcal{N}_{\min}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  (see [12, Theorems 1.1 and 1.3]). We define  $m(\mathfrak{g}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  as half of the complex dimension of  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Note that for each real minimal nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\min}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we have  $m(\mathfrak{g}) = \frac{1}{2} \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{O}_{\min}$ .

We also recall that for each complex simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , the minimal complex nilpotent (adjoint) orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\min}^{\mathbb{C}}$  in  $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is defined as the unique non-zero complex nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}$  which is contained in the closure of any non-zero complex nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Note that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\min}^{\mathbb{C}} \leq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{C}}$  holds for any non-zero complex nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and the equality holds only if  $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{O}_{\min}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Throughout this paper, we define  $n(\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}})$  as half of the complex dimension of  $\mathcal{O}_{\min}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Table 1 gives the formula of  $n(\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}})$  (see e.g. [4, Lemma 4.3.5 and Chapter 8.4]). Furthermore, for a complex semisimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}'$ , we also put  $n(\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}') := \sum_{i} n(\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}^{i})$ , where  $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}' = \bigoplus_{i} \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}^{i}$  denotes the complex simple ideal decomposition of  $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}'$ .

TABLE 1. List of  $n(\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}})$  for complex simple  $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}$ 

$\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}$	$\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{so}_n(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{sp}_n(\mathbb{C})$	$\mathfrak{e}_6^\mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{e}_7^\mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{e}_8^\mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{f}_4^\mathbb{C}$	$\mathfrak{g}_2^\mathbb{C}$
$n(\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}})$	n-1	n-3	n	11	17	29	8	3

If our real Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is absolutely-simple, then its complexification  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is complex simple and the closure of  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  contains the minimal complex nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\min}^{\mathbb{C}}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ , and thus  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \leq m(\mathfrak{g})$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not absolutely simple, for the complex structures  $\{\pm J\}$ on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we have complex simple Lie algebras  $(\mathfrak{g}, J)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}, -J)$ . The complexification  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be identified with  $(\mathfrak{g}, J) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}, -J)$  by

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} \to (\mathfrak{g}, J) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}, -J), \ X + \sqrt{-1}Y \mapsto (X + JY, X - JY).$$

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\min}$  the unique minimal nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  can be identified with  $\mathcal{O}_{\min} \times \mathcal{O}_{\min}$ . In particular, we obtain

$$n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = n((\mathfrak{g}, J)) + n((\mathfrak{g}, -J)) = m(\mathfrak{g}).$$

The situation  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) < m(\mathfrak{g})$  occurs only if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is absolutely-simple, and classified as below:

Fact 2.2 (See also [3], [8, Proposition 30], [10, Corollary 5.9], [12, Proposition 4.1]). The following conditions on a non-compact absolutelysimple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  are equivalent:

- (i)  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) < m(\mathfrak{g}).$

- (ii)  $\mathcal{O}_{\min}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g} = \emptyset$ . (iii)  $\mathcal{O}_{\min}^{\mathbb{C}} \neq \mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . (iv)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to one of the Lie algebras in Table 2.

Furthermore, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfies the equivalent conditions above, then the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  is not of Hermitian-type, and  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a unique minimal real nilpotent orbit.

TABLE 2. List of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) < m(\mathfrak{g})$ 

g	$m(\mathfrak{g})$	$(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}})$	$n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$
$\mathfrak{su}_{2n}^* \ (n \ge 2)$	4n - 4	$(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{sp}_n(\mathbb{C}))$	2n - 1
$\mathfrak{so}_{n-1,1} \ (n \ge 5)$	n-2	$(\mathfrak{so}_n(\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{so}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}))$	n-3
$\mathfrak{sp}_{m,n} \ (m,n \ge 1)$	2(m+n) - 1	$(\mathfrak{sp}_{m+n}(\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{sp}_m(\mathbb{C})\oplus\mathfrak{sp}_n(\mathbb{C}))$	m+n
$e_{6(-26)}$	16	$(\mathfrak{e}_6^\mathbb{C},\mathfrak{f}_4^\mathbb{C})$	11
$f_{4(-20)}$	11	$(\mathfrak{f}_4^\mathbb{C},\mathfrak{so}_9(\mathbb{C}))$	8

The following observation will be applied in the next section:

**Proposition 2.3.** Suppose that  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) < m(\mathfrak{g})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}'$  be a non-compact real form of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}' = \emptyset$  if and only if  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}')$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathfrak{su}_{2n}^*, \mathfrak{su}_{2n-1,1})$   $(n \ge 2)$  or  $(\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1,1}, \mathfrak{so}_{2n}^*)$   $(n \ge 4)$ .

Proof of Proposition 2.3. As stated in [12, Section 4.1] or [11, Proposition 7.8 and Theorem 7.10], a complex nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{C}}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  meets a fixed real form  $\mathfrak{g}'$  if and only if the weighted Dynkin diagram of  $\mathcal{O}^{\mathbb{C}}$ satisfies the matching condition to the Satake diagram  $S_{\mathfrak{g}'}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}'$ . For the cases where  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) < m(\mathfrak{g})$ , or equivalently,  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \neq \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\min}^{\mathbb{C}}$ , the list of weighted Dynkin diagrams of  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  can be found in [12, Table 1]. By comparing it with the list of Satake diagrams of absolutely simple Lie algebras (see e.g. [1] or [5, Chapter X]), one can easily obtain the claim in Proposition 2.3. 

## 3. Classifications

In this section, we classify symmetric pairs  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  with  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d = \emptyset$ .

3.1. In the case where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is absolutely-simple. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a noncompact absolutely simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  a symmetric pair.

One of the main results of this paper is given below:

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) < m(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  be a symmetric pair with absolutely simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By Proposition 2.3, we only need to check that the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}^d)$  is not isomorphic to  $(\mathfrak{su}_{2n}^*, \mathfrak{su}_{2n-1,1})$   $(n \ge 2)$  nor  $(\mathfrak{so}_{2n-1,1}, \mathfrak{so}_{2n}^*)$  $(n \ge 4)$ . By the tables in [13, Section 1], one can see the following:

- If  $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{su}_{2n}^*$   $(n \geq 2)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}^d$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{su}_{2n}^*$ ,  $\mathfrak{su}_{2n-2j,2j}$ ,  $\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  or  $\mathfrak{su}_{n,n}$ .
- If  $\mathfrak{g}^d \simeq \mathfrak{so}_{2n}^*$   $(n \ge 4)$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{so}_{2n}^*$ ,  $\mathfrak{so}_{n,n}$  or  $\mathfrak{so}_{2n-2j,2j}$ .

These complete the proof.

By combining Theorem 3.1 with Fact 2.2 and tables of dual pairs of symmetric pairs in [13], we have the following classification result:

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  be a symmetric pair such that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is absolutelysimple. Then the five conditions on  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  below are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d = \emptyset.$
- (*ii*)  $m(\mathfrak{g}) = n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) < m(\mathfrak{g}^d).$
- (*iii*)  $\mathcal{O}_{\min}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  but  $\mathcal{O}_{\min}^{\mathbb{C}} \neq \mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}^d}^{\mathbb{C}}$ .
- (iv) The simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^d$  can be found in Table 2 but  $\mathfrak{g}$  can not.
- (v) The symmetric pair (g, h) is isomorphic to one of the symmetric pairs in Table 3.

TABLE 3. List of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  with absolutely simple  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{d} = \emptyset$ 

$(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$	$\mathfrak{g}^d$	$\mathfrak{h}^a = \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d$
$(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}),\mathfrak{sp}_n(\mathbb{R})) \ (n \ge 2)$	$\mathfrak{su}_{2n}^*$	$\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})\oplus\mathfrak{so}_2$
$(\mathfrak{su}_{2n-2j,2j},\mathfrak{sp}_{n-j,j}) \ (n \ge 2)$	$\mathfrak{su}_{2n}^*$	$\mathfrak{sp}_{n-j,j}$
$(\mathfrak{su}_{n,n},\mathfrak{sp}_n(\mathbb{R})) \ (n \ge 2)$	$\mathfrak{su}_{2n}^*$	$\mathfrak{so}_{2n}^*$
$(\mathfrak{so}_{m,n},\mathfrak{so}_{m-1,n}) \ (m,n \ge 2 \text{ with } (m,n) \ne (2,2))$	$\mathfrak{so}_{m+n-1,1}$	$\mathfrak{so}_{1,n}\oplus\mathfrak{so}_{m-1}$
$(\mathfrak{sp}_n(\mathbb{R}),\mathfrak{sp}_{n-j}(\mathbb{R})\oplus\mathfrak{sp}_j(\mathbb{R}))\ (n\geq 2,\ n-1\geq j\geq 1)$	$\mathfrak{sp}_{n-j,j}$	$\mathfrak{su}_{n-j,j}\oplus\mathfrak{so}_2$
$(\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}),\mathfrak{sp}_n(\mathbb{C})) \ (n \ge 1)$	$\mathfrak{sp}_{n,n}$	$\mathfrak{sp}_n(\mathbb{C})$
$(\mathfrak{e}_{6(6)},\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)})$	$\mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)}$	$\mathfrak{su}_6^*\oplus\mathfrak{su}_2$
$(\mathfrak{e}_{6(2)},\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)})$	$\mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)}$	$\mathfrak{sp}_{3,1}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_{6(-14)},\mathfrak{f}_{4(-20)})$	$\mathfrak{e}_{6(-26)}$	$f_{4(-20)}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_{4(4)},\mathfrak{so}_{5,4})$	$f_{4(-20)}$	$\mathfrak{sp}_{2,1}\oplus\mathfrak{su}_2$

**Remark 3.3.** In Table 3, the Riemannian symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  is of Hermitian type if and only if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{su}_{2n-2j,2j}$ ,  $\mathfrak{su}_{n,n}$ ,  $\mathfrak{so}_{m,n}$ with  $\min\{m,n\} = 2$ ,  $\mathfrak{sp}_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  or  $\mathfrak{e}_{6(-14)}$ .

3.2. In the case where  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not absolutely simple. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a non-compact simple Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  a symmetric pair. In this subsection, we assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not absolutely simple. Then  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits complex structures  $\{\pm J\}$  (see Section 2). For the involution  $\sigma$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  defining  $\mathfrak{h}$ , either one of the following two situations occurs:

- $\sigma \circ J = (-J) \circ \sigma$ .
- $\sigma \circ J = J \circ \sigma$ .

We fix a Cartan involution  $\theta$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  commuting with  $\sigma$ . Then  $\theta \circ J = (-J) \circ \theta$ , and the Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to  $\theta$  can be written as  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{u} + J\mathfrak{u}$  by a compact real form  $\mathfrak{u}$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \pm J)$ .

Let us consider the case where  $\sigma \circ J = (-J) \circ \sigma$ , that is,  $\sigma$  is anti-  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear on  $(\mathfrak{g}, \pm J)$ . Then the subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a real form of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \pm J)$ , and the real form  $\mathfrak{g}^d$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = (\mathfrak{g}, J) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}, -J)$  can be written as

$$\{(X, \theta \sigma X) \in (\mathfrak{g}, J) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}, -J) \mid X \in \mathfrak{g}\}.$$

In particular, the simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}^d$  over  $\mathbb{R}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Next, we shall suppose that  $\sigma \circ J = J \circ \sigma$ , that is,  $\sigma$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear on  $(\mathfrak{g}, \pm J)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{h}$  is  $\pm J$ -stable. In this situation,  $\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{h}$  gives a real form of  $(\mathfrak{h}, \pm J)$ . We define the real form

$$\mathfrak{g}_0^d := (\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{h}) + J(\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{q})$$

of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \pm J)$ . Then the real form  $\mathfrak{g}^d$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} = (\mathfrak{g}, J) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}, -J)$  can be identified with  $\mathfrak{g}_0^d \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0^d$ , and  $\mathfrak{h}$  can be understood as the complexification of the maximal compact subalgebra  $\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0^d$ .

The theorem below gives a classification of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  with  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d = \emptyset$  in the setting above:

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  be a symmetric pair with simple  $\mathfrak{g}$  admitting two complex structures  $\{\pm J\}$ .

- (1) Suppose that  $\sigma \circ J = (-J) \circ \sigma$ . Then  $m(\mathfrak{g}) = n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = m(\mathfrak{g}^d)$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d \neq \emptyset$ .
- (2) Suppose that  $\sigma \circ J = J \circ \sigma$ . Then the following six conditions on  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  are equivalent:
  - (i)  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d = \emptyset.$
  - (ii)  $m(\mathfrak{g}) = n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) < m(\mathfrak{g}^d)$  where we put  $m(\mathfrak{g}^d) = 2m(\mathfrak{g}_0^d)$ .
  - (*iii*)  $\mathcal{O}_{\min} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0^d = \emptyset$ .
  - (iv)  $n((\mathfrak{g}, \pm J)) < m(\mathfrak{g}_0^d).$
  - (v)  $\mathfrak{g}_0^d$  is isomorphic to one of the Lie algebras in Table 2.
  - (vi) The complex symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  is isomorphic to one of the symmetric pairs in Table 4.

6

TABLE 4. List of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  with non-absolutely-simple  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d = \emptyset$ 

$(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$	$\mathfrak{g}_0^d=\mathfrak{h}^a$
$(\mathfrak{sl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{sp}_n(\mathbb{C})) \ (n \ge 2)$	$\mathfrak{su}_{2n}^*$
$(\mathfrak{so}_n(\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{so}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})) \ (n \ge 5)$	$\mathfrak{so}_{n-1,1}$
$(\mathfrak{sp}_{m+n}(\mathbb{C}),\mathfrak{sp}_m(\mathbb{C})\oplus\mathfrak{sp}_n(\mathbb{C}))$ $(n+m\geq 2, n,m\geq 1).$	$\mathfrak{sp}_{m,n}$
$(\mathfrak{e}_6^\mathbb{C},\mathfrak{f}_4^\mathbb{C})$	$e_{6(-26)}$
$(\mathfrak{f}_4^\mathbb{C},\mathfrak{so}_9(\mathbb{C}))$	$f_{4(-20)}$

Proof of Theorem 3.4. As we mentioned in Section 2, under the identification of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  with  $(\mathfrak{g}, J) \oplus (\mathfrak{g}, -J)$ , the orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{O}_{\min} \times \mathcal{O}_{\min}$ , and  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = m(\mathfrak{g})$ .

First, let us suppose that  $\sigma \circ J = (-J) \circ \sigma$ . Then the real form  $\mathfrak{g}^d$ of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  is simple but not absolutely-simple since  $\mathfrak{g}^d$  is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus by the arguments in Section 2 again, we have  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = m(\mathfrak{g}^d)$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}^d}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{O}_{\min} \times \mathcal{O}_{\min}$ . Thus we have  $m(\mathfrak{g}) = n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = m(\mathfrak{g}^d)$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d \neq \emptyset$ . This completes the proof of the claim (1).

Next, suppose that  $\sigma \circ J = J \circ \sigma$ . The equivalence among (2i), (2iii), (2iv) and (2v) comes from  $\mathfrak{g}^d = \mathfrak{g}_0^d \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0^d$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{O}_{\min} \times \mathcal{O}_{\min}$ and Fact 2.2. The equivalence (2iv)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2ii) is followed by  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) =$  $n(\mathfrak{g}, J) + n(\mathfrak{g}, -J), n(\mathfrak{g}, J) = n(\mathfrak{g}, -J)$  and  $m(\mathfrak{g}^d) = 2m(\mathfrak{g}_0^d)$ . Finally, we obtain the equivalence (2v)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2vi) by the observation that  $(\mathfrak{g}, \pm J)$ is isomorphic to the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}_0^d$ , and  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the complexification of the maximal compact subalgebra  $\mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0^d$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_0^d$  in  $(\mathfrak{g}, \pm J)$ . The proof of the claim (2) has been completed.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 3.5.** For each symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ , the dual Lie algebra of the complexified symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$  can be written as  $\mathfrak{g}^d \oplus \mathfrak{g}^d$ . Therefore, if  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.2, then  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$  satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.4. Note that the converse claim does not hold. In fact, suppose  $\mathfrak{g}$  is absolutely simple and both  $m(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $m(\mathfrak{g}^d)$  are greater than  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ , then  $(\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 3.4 but the conditions in Theorem 3.2 do not hold for  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ . The symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) = (\mathfrak{su}_{2n}^*, \mathfrak{sp}_{2n-j,j})$ with  $\mathfrak{g}^d = \mathfrak{su}_{2n}^*$  is one of examples.

### 4. Bounded Multiplicity branching for symmetric pairs

In this section, as an application of Theorem 3.1, we give a proof of Theorem 1.2 stated in Section 1.

Let G be a non-compact simple Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For  $\Pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(G)$  and a reductive subgroup H of G, as in [8, 9], we say that the restriction  $\Pi|_H$  has the bounded multiplicity property if

$$\sup_{\pi \in \operatorname{Irr}(H)} [\Pi|_H : \pi] < \infty.$$

T. Kobayashi [9] gives the following theorem for bounded multiplicity properties of restrictions of irreducible G-representation with "small" Gelfand–Kirillov dimensions for symmetric pairs:

**Fact 4.1** ([8, Theorem 3], [9, Theorems 5.5 (1) and 6.1]). Let  $\Pi \in Irr(G)$ .

- (1) Suppose that  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \text{DIM}(\Pi)$ . Then for any symmetric pair (G, H), the restriction  $\Pi|_H$  has the bounded multiplicity property.
- (2) Suppose that  $m(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{DIM}(\Pi)$ . Take a symmetric pair (G, H) such that the corresponding symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  of Lie algebras satisfies the condition " $\sigma\mu = -\mu$ " (see below for the details). Then the restriction  $\Pi|_H$  has the bounded multiplicity property.

Let us explain the condition " $\sigma\mu = -\mu$ " for a symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ with simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ . As in Section 1, we denote by  $\sigma$  the involution on  $\mathfrak{g}$  defining  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and put  $\mathfrak{q} := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \sigma(X) = -X\}$ . Fix a Cartan involution  $\theta$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  commuting with  $\sigma$ , and write  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  for the Cartan decomposition. We take a maximal abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}^{-\sigma}$  of  $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}$ , and extend it to a maximal abelian subspace  $\mathfrak{a}$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is  $\sigma$ -stable. The dual of the vector space  $\mathfrak{a}$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ , and we use the same symbol  $\sigma$  for the involution on  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  induced by  $\sigma$  on  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then the root system  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$  is known to be  $\sigma$ -stable. Let us also fix a lexicographic ordering on  $\mathfrak{a}^{-\sigma}$ , and extend it to that on  $\mathfrak{a}$ . The positive system of the root system  $\Sigma(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$  corresponding to the fixed ordering is denoted by  $\Sigma^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ . We write  $\mu$  for the highest element in  $\Sigma^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ . Then the vectors  $\sigma\mu$  and  $-\mu$  in  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  are both defined. Note that the condition " $\sigma\mu = -\mu$ " depends only on  $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h})$ .

Theorem 1.2 can be obtained as a corollary to Kobayashi's theorem (Fact 4.1), Theorem 3.1 and the following proposition:

**Proposition 4.2.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  be a symmetric pair with simple  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then the condition " $\sigma \mu = -\mu$ " holds if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Let us denote by the coroot  $\mu^{\vee} \in \mathfrak{a}$  of the highest root  $\mu \in \Sigma(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{\vee}}$  the real adjoint orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}$  through  $\mu^{\vee}$ , and by  $\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{\vee}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  the complex adjoint orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  through  $\mu^{\vee}$ . We shall prove that the following six conditions on  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  meets  $\mathfrak{g}^d$ .
- (ii)  $\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{\vee}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  meets  $\mathfrak{g}^d$ .
- (iii)  $\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{\vee}}$  meets  $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d = \mathfrak{h}^a = (\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}) + (\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}).$
- (iv)  $\mathcal{O}_{\mu^{\vee}}$  meets  $\mathfrak{a}^{-\sigma} \cap \mathfrak{a}_+$  at one point, where  $\mathfrak{a}_+$  denotes the closed Weyl chamber corresponding to the positive system  $\Sigma^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ .
- (v)  $\mu^{\vee} \in \mathfrak{a}^{-\sigma}$ .
- (vi)  $\sigma \mu = -\mu$ .

Let us fix any non-zero root vector  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_{\mu}$ . Then one can find  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{-\mu}$ such that  $(\mu^{\vee}, X, Y)$  is an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -triple, and  $X \in \mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  by [12, Theorem 1.1]. Thus we obtain the equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) by [11, Lemma 4.7]. Furthermore, by [11, Proposition 4.6], we have the equivalence (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii). The equivalence (iii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv) comes from [11, Lemma 4.9 and Fact 5.1]. Since  $\mu$  is the highest root of  $\Sigma^+(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a})$ , we have  $\mu^{\vee} \in \mathfrak{a}_+$ , and hence (iv)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (v). The equivalence (v)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (vi) is easy.

Let us also give a remark for almost irreducible branching lows as below. Let (G, H) be a symmetric pair with a non-compact connected absolutely simple Lie group G, and denote by  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  the corresponding pair of Lie algebras. Suppose G has a minimal representation  $\Pi_{\min} \in$  $\operatorname{Irr}(G)$  (see [9, Lemma 5.8] and [14] for the construction of minimal representations in suitable situations). Then  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = \operatorname{DIM}(\Pi_{\min})$ , and consequently,  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) = m(\mathfrak{g})$ . Consider the restriction  $\Pi_{\min}|_H$  of  $\Pi_{\min}$  to H. Since  $\operatorname{DIM}(\Pi_{\min}) = n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ , by Fact 4.1 (1), the restriction  $\Pi_{\min}|_H$ exhibits the bounded multiplicity property (refer to [8, Theorem 7]).

In such a situation, as mentioned by Kobayashi [9, Remark 6.4], the following theorem holds as an application of [8, Theorem 10]:

**Theorem 4.3.** In the setting above, suppose that  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  can be found in Table 3, or equivalently " $\sigma \mu \neq -\mu$ ". Then the restriction  $\Pi_{\min}|_{H}$  is almost irreducible, that is, the *H*-module  $\Pi_{\min}|_{H}$  remains irreducible or decomposes into a finite sum of irreducible representations of *H*.

*Proof.* Let us state Condition  $(\star)$  on  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  as below:

**Condition** (\*): There exists a Riemannian symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{k}_0)$ such that  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) < m(\mathfrak{g}_0)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}) := (\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathfrak{g}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{k}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ .

Kobayashi [8, Theorem 10] proved that under the condition  $(\star)$ , the restriction  $\Pi_{\min}|_{H}$  is almost irreducible.

We note that  $(\mathfrak{g}^d, \mathfrak{k}(\mathfrak{g}^d))$  is the unique Riemannian symmetric pair whose complexification is isomorphic to  $(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}, \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}})$ . Thus Condition  $(\star)$ is nothing but  $n(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) < m(\mathfrak{g}^d)$ . Thus the claim of Theorem 4.3 follows directly from [8, Theorem 10], Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 4.2.

**Remark 4.4.** See also [7] for other triples  $(G, H, \pi)$  with almost irreducible branching laws.

## 5. Proper $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -actions associated to real minimal Nilpotent orbits

We also give another application of our results in Section 3 for proper  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -actions on symmetric spaces (cf. [11]).

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  be a symmetric pair with a simple Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We denote by  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^a)$  the associated pair of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  in the sense of [13]. Note that  $\mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d = \mathfrak{h}^a$ . Let  $(G, H^a)$  be a symmetric pair of Lie groups whose symmetric pair of Lie algebras is isomorphic to  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}^a)$ . We also suppose that G is linear and connected.

For each Lie algebra homomorphism,  $\rho : \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathfrak{g}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{O}_{\rho,\mathrm{nilp}}^{\mathbb{R}}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\rho,\mathrm{nilp}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  for the real nilpotent adjoint orbit and the complex nilpotent adjoint orbit through the nilpotent element

$$\rho\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \in \mathfrak{g}$$

in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ , respectively. Note that by the Jacobson– Morozov theorem and Kostant's theorem for real nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$  (see [4, Chapter 9] for the details), the correspondence  $\rho \mapsto \mathcal{O}_{\rho,\text{nilp}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ defines a bijection from the set of all  $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ -conjugacy classes of  $\rho$  :  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathfrak{g}$  onto the set of all real nilpotent orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the group of all inner-automorphisms on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let us use the same symbol  $\rho$  for the Lie group homomorphism  $\rho: SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \to G$  corresponding to  $\rho: \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathfrak{g}$  (see [11, Lemma 5.4]). Then by [11, Theorem 10.1], which is a corollary to the properness criterion by T. Kobayashi [6, Theorem 4.1], the  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -action on the symmetric space  $G/H^a$  via  $\rho: SL_2(\mathbb{R}) \to G$  is proper if and only if  $\mathcal{O}_{\rho,\mathrm{nib}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d = \emptyset.$ 

We shall consider a Lie algebra homomorphism  $\rho_{\min} : \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathfrak{g}$ such that  $\mathcal{O}_{\rho_{\min}, \operatorname{nilp}}^{\mathbb{R}}$  is one of the real minimal nilpotent orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}_{\rho_{\min}, \operatorname{nilp}}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{O}_{\min, \mathfrak{g}}^{\mathbb{C}}$  and the hyperbolic element

$$\rho_{\min}\left(\begin{pmatrix}1 & 0\\ 0 & -1\end{pmatrix}\right) \in \mathfrak{g}$$

is conjugate to the coroot  $\mu^{\vee} \in \mathfrak{a}$  of the highest element  $\mu$  of  $\Sigma^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$  defined in Section 4.

Then by Theorems 3.2 and 3.4, we have the theorem below:

**Theorem 5.1.** The following three conditions on  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$  are equivalent:

- (i) The  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -action on  $G/H^a$  via  $\rho_{\min}$  is proper.
- (*ii*)  $\mathcal{O}_{\min,\mathfrak{q}}^{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathfrak{g}^d = \emptyset.$
- (iii) The symmetric pair (g, h) is isomorphic to one of the symmetric pairs in Tables 3 or 4.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

The author would like to give heartfelt thanks to Toshiyuki Kobayashi for his encouragement to write this paper. I am also indebted to Koichi Tojo and Ryusei Tsukada for many helpful comments. I also would like to express my gratitude to the anonymous referees for their meticulous review of the manuscript. The author is supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research JP20K03589, JP20K14310, JP22H01124, and JP24K06714.

11

#### References

- Shôrô Araki, On root systems and an infinitesimal classification of irreducible symmetric spaces, J. Math. Osaka City Univ. 13 (1962), 1–34. MR 153782
- Marcel Berger, Les espaces symétriques noncompacts, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (3) 74 (1957), 85–177. MR 0104763
- [3] Ranee Brylinski, Geometric quantization of real minimal nilpotent orbits, vol. 9, 1998, Symplectic geometry, pp. 5–58. MR 1636300
- [4] David H. Collingwood and William M. McGovern, Nilpotent orbits in semisimple Lie algebras, Van Nostrand Reinhold Mathematics Series, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., New York, 1993. MR 1251060
- [5] Sigurdur Helgason, Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 34, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001, Corrected reprint of the 1978 original. MR 1834454
- [6] Toshiyuki Kobayashi, Proper action on a homogeneous space of reductive type, Math. Ann. 285 (1989), no. 2, 249–263. MR 1016093
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, Branching problems of Zuckerman derived functor modules, Representation theory and mathematical physics, Contemp. Math., vol. 557, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 23–40. MR 2848919
- [8] \_\_\_\_\_, Multiplicity in restricting minimal representations, Lie theory and its applications in physics, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., vol. 396, Springer, Singapore, [2022] ©2022, pp. 3–20. MR 4607949
- [9] \_\_\_\_\_, Bounded multiplicity branching for symmetric pairs, J. Lie Theory 33 (2023), no. 1, 305–328. MR 4567759
- [10] Toshiyuki Kobayashi and Yoshiki Oshima, Classification of symmetric pairs with discretely decomposable restrictions of (g, K)-modules, J. Reine Angew. Math. 703 (2015), 201–223. MR 3353547
- [11] Takayuki Okuda, Classification of semisimple symmetric spaces with proper SL(2, R)-actions, J. Differential Geom. 94 (2013), no. 2, 301–342. MR 3080484
- [12] \_\_\_\_\_, Smallest complex nilpotent orbits with real points, J. Lie Theory 25 (2015), no. 2, 507–533. MR 3346070
- [13] Toshio Oshima and Jirō Sekiguchi, The restricted root system of a semisimple symmetric pair, Group representations and systems of differential equations (Tokyo, 1982), Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 4, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 433–497. MR 810638
- [14] Hiroyoshi Tamori, Classification of minimal representations of real simple Lie groups, Math. Z. 292 (2019), no. 1-2, 387–402. MR 3968907

(T. Okuda) GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ADVANCED SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, HIROSHIMA UNIVERSITY, 1-3-1 KAGAMIYAMA, HIGASHI-HIROSHIMA CITY, HI-ROSHIMA, 739-8526, JAPAN.

Email address: okudatak@hiroshima-u.ac.jp