

# PROJECTIVE CLOSURE OF GORENSTEIN MONOMIAL CURVES AND THE COHEN-MACAULAY PROPERTY

ANARGYROS KATSABEKIS

ABSTRACT. Let  $C(\mathbf{a})$  be a Gorenstein non-complete intersection monomial curve in the 4-dimensional affine space. There is a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^4$  such that for every integer  $m \geq 0$ , the monomial curve  $C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  is Gorenstein non-complete intersection whenever the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$  are relatively prime. In this paper, we study the arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay property of the projective closure of  $C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be a sequence of positive integers with  $\gcd(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$ . Consider the polynomial ring  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  in  $n$  variables over a field  $K$ . Let  $C(\mathbf{a})$  be the monomial curve in the  $n$ -dimensional affine space  $\mathbb{A}^n(K)$  defined parametrically by  $x_1 = t^{a_1}, \dots, x_n = t^{a_n}$ . The *toric ideal* of  $C(\mathbf{a})$ , denoted by  $I(\mathbf{a})$ , is the kernel of the  $K$ -algebra homomorphism  $\phi : R \rightarrow K[t]$  given by

$$\phi(x_i) = t^{a_i} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

It is known that  $I(\mathbf{a})$  is a prime ideal generated by binomials  $x_1^{u_1} \cdots x_n^{u_n} - x_1^{v_1} \cdots x_n^{v_n}$  such that  $u_1 a_1 + \cdots + u_n a_n = v_1 a_1 + \cdots + v_n a_n$ , see [14, Lemma 4.1].

Let us assume that  $a_n > a_i$  for all  $i < n$ . The *homogenization* of  $I(\mathbf{a})$  with respect to the variable  $x_0$ , denoted by  $I^h(\mathbf{a})$ , is the kernel of the  $K$ -algebra homomorphism  $\psi : R[x_0] \rightarrow K[s, t]$  given by  $\psi(x_0) = s^{a_n}$  and  $\psi(x_i) = t^{a_i} s^{a_n - a_i}$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . The projective monomial curve  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a})}$  in  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is given parametrically by  $[s : t] \mapsto [s^{a_n} : t^{a_1} s^{a_n - a_1} : \dots : t^{a_{n-1}} s^{a_n - a_{n-1}} : t^{a_n}]$ . If  $K$  is algebraically closed, then  $I^h(\mathbf{a})$  is the vanishing ideal of  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a})}$ . The projective monomial curve  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a})}$  is called *arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay* if its vanishing ideal  $I^h(\mathbf{a})$  is a Cohen-Macaulay ideal. Arithmetically Cohen-Macaulayness of projective monomial curves has been studied by many authors; see, for instance, [2], [6], [10], [11], [12].

Recently, there has been an increased interest in studying the behaviour of the Betti numbers of a shifted toric ideal  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{u})$ , where  $m \geq 0$  is an integer and  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{N}^n$ , see [3], [4], [7], [8], [13]. That's mainly due to a conjecture of J. Herzog and H. Srinivasan saying that the Betti numbers of  $I(a_1 + m, \dots, a_n + m)$  are eventually periodic in  $m$ . The conjecture was proved by T. Vu in [13]. From Theorem 5.7 in [13] it follows that the monomial curve  $\overline{C(a_1 + m, \dots, a_n + m)}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay for all  $m \gg 0$ .

Given a Gorenstein non-complete intersection monomial curve  $C(\mathbf{a})$  in  $\mathbb{A}^4(K)$ , P. Gimenez and H. Srinivasan [4, Theorem 4] showed that there is a vector  $(1, 1, 1, 1) \neq$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13F65, 13P10, 14M25.

*Key words and phrases.* Cohen-Macaulayness; Projective closure; Gorenstein monomial curve; Gröbner basis.

$\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{N}^4$  such that for every  $m \geq 0$ , the monomial curve  $C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  is Gorenstein non-complete intersection whenever the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$  are relatively prime.

In this article our aim is to provide necessary and sufficient conditions for the arithmetically Cohen–Macaulayness of  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  by using a minimal generating set for  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$ , see Theorems 2.7 and 2.12. This information will allow us to check the arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay property by just computing a minimal generating set of the ideal. Our results apply also in the case  $m = 0$ , thus providing necessary and sufficient conditions for the arithmetically Cohen–Macaulayness of  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a})}$ . A basic step towards our goal is to find a Gröbner basis for the ideal  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  with respect to a degree reverse lexicographic order, see Propositions 2.5, 2.11. We further determine a generating set for  $I^h(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$ , see Corollary 2.9 and Corollary 2.16. Finally we provide families of monomial curves  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  which are not arithmetically Cohen–Macaulay, see Examples 2.8, 2.13.

## 2. COHEN-MACAULAY CRITERIA FOR $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$

In this section we first recall Bresinsky’s theorem, which gives the explicit description of  $I(\mathbf{a})$  when  $C(\mathbf{a})$  is a Gorenstein non-complete intersection monomial curve in  $\mathbb{A}^4(K)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** ([1, Theorem 3]) *Let  $C(\mathbf{a})$  be a monomial curve having the parametrization*

$$x_1 = t^{a_1}, x_2 = t^{a_2}, x_3 = t^{a_3}, x_4 = t^{a_4}.$$

*Then  $C(\mathbf{a})$  is a Gorenstein non-complete intersection monomial curve if and only if  $I(\mathbf{a})$  is minimally generated by the set*

$$\{x_1^{d_1} - x_3^{d_{13}}x_4^{d_{14}}, x_2^{d_2} - x_1^{d_{21}}x_3^{d_{23}}, x_3^{d_3} - x_2^{d_{32}}x_4^{d_{34}}, x_1^{d_{41}}x_2^{d_{42}} - x_4^{d_4}, x_2^{d_{42}}x_3^{d_{13}} - x_1^{d_{21}}x_4^{d_{34}}\}$$

*where the above binomials are unique up to isomorphism,  $d_{ij} > 0$  and also*

$$d_1 = d_{21} + d_{41}, d_2 = d_{32} + d_{42}, d_3 = d_{13} + d_{23}, d_4 = d_{14} + d_{34}.$$

**Remark 2.2.** Bresinsky [1, Theorem 4] also showed that  $C(\mathbf{a})$  and  $I(\mathbf{a})$  are as in the previous theorem if and only if  $a_1 = d_2d_4d_{13} + d_{42}d_{14}d_{23}$ ,  $a_2 = d_3d_4d_{21} + d_{41}d_{34}d_{23}$ ,  $a_3 = d_1d_2d_{34} + d_{32}d_{21}d_{14}$ ,  $a_4 = d_1d_3d_{42} + d_{13}d_{32}d_{41}$  with  $\gcd(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = 1$ ,  $d_i > 1$ ,  $0 < d_{ij} < d_j$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , and  $d_1 = d_{21} + d_{41}$ ,  $d_2 = d_{32} + d_{42}$ ,  $d_3 = d_{13} + d_{23}$ ,  $d_4 = d_{14} + d_{34}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_4)$  be a sequence of positive integers. A *binomial*  $B = M - N \in I(\mathbf{a})$  is called *indispensable* of  $I(\mathbf{a})$  if every system of binomial generators of  $I(\mathbf{a})$  contains  $B$  or  $-B$ , while a *monomial*  $M$  is called *indispensable* of  $I(\mathbf{a})$  if every system of binomial generators of  $I(\mathbf{a})$  contains a binomial  $B$  such that  $M$  is a monomial of  $B$ . If  $C(\mathbf{a})$  is a Gorenstein non-complete intersection monomial curve, then  $I(\mathbf{a})$  is generated by the indispensable binomials, see [9, Corollary 3.15].

**Theorem 2.3.** ([4, Theorem 4], [5, Theorem 8]) *Let  $C(\mathbf{a})$  be a Gorenstein non-complete intersection monomial curve in  $\mathbb{A}^4(K)$ . Consider the vector  $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ , where  $v_1 = d_2d_3 - d_{23}d_{32}$ ,  $v_2 = d_{21}d_3 + d_{23}d_{34}$ ,  $v_3 = d_2d_{34} + d_{21}d_{32}$  and  $v_4 = d_2d_3 - d_{23}d_{32}$ . For every  $m \geq 0$  the monomial curve  $C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  is Gorenstein non-complete intersection whenever the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$  are relatively prime. Furthermore,  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  is minimally generated by the binomials  $f_1 := x_1^{d_1+m} - x_3^{d_{13}}x_4^{d_{14}+m}$ ,  $f_2 := x_2^{d_2} - x_1^{d_{21}}x_3^{d_{23}}$ ,  $f_3 := x_3^{d_3} - x_2^{d_{32}}x_4^{d_{34}}$ ,  $f_4 := x_1^{d_{41}+m}x_2^{d_{42}} - x_4^{d_4+m}$ ,  $f_5 := x_2^{d_{42}}x_3^{d_{13}} - x_1^{d_{21}}x_4^{d_{34}}$ .*

Throughout this paper  $C(\mathbf{a})$  will always be a Gorenstein non-complete intersection monomial curve in  $\mathbb{A}^4(K)$ . Also we will use the notation introduced in Theorem 2.3.

**Remark 2.4.** If  $d_1 \geq d_{13} + d_{14}$  and  $d_{21} + d_{34} \leq d_{42} + d_{13}$ , then  $d_4 \leq d_{41} + d_{42}$  since  $d_{14} + d_{34} \leq d_1 - d_{13} + d_{34} = d_{21} + d_{41} - d_{13} + d_{34} \leq d_{41} + d_{42}$ .

**Proposition 2.5.** *Suppose that the following conditions hold.*

- (1)  $d_1 \geq d_{13} + d_{14}$ .
- (2)  $d_2 \geq d_{21} + d_{23}$ .
- (3)  $d_3 \geq d_{32} + d_{34}$ .
- (4)  $d_{21} + d_{34} \leq d_{42} + d_{13}$ .

If the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$  are relatively prime, then  $G := \{f_i | 1 \leq i \leq 5\}$  is the reduced Gröbner basis for  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order  $<$  with  $x_2 > x_1 > x_3 > x_4$ .

**Proof.** We have that  $\text{in}_<(f_1) = x_1^{d_1+m}$ ,  $\text{in}_<(f_2) = x_2^{d_2}$ ,  $\text{in}_<(f_3) = x_3^{d_3}$ ,  $\text{in}_<(f_4) = x_1^{d_{41}+m}x_2^{d_{42}}$  and  $\text{in}_<(f_5) = x_2^{d_{42}}x_3^{d_{13}}$ . Since  $\text{in}_<(f_1)$  and  $\text{in}_<(f_2)$  are relatively prime, we get  $S(f_1, f_2) \xrightarrow{G} 0$ . Similarly  $S(f_1, f_3) \xrightarrow{G} 0$ ,  $S(f_1, f_5) \xrightarrow{G} 0$ ,  $S(f_2, f_3) \xrightarrow{G} 0$  and  $S(f_3, f_4) \xrightarrow{G} 0$ . We have that  $S(f_1, f_4) = x_1^{d_{21}}x_4^{d_4+m} - x_2^{d_{42}}x_3^{d_{13}}x_4^{d_{14}+m} \xrightarrow{f_5} 0$ . Also  $S(f_2, f_4) = x_2^{d_{32}}x_4^{d_4+m} - x_1^{d_1+m}x_3^{d_{23}} \xrightarrow{f_1} x_2^{d_{32}}x_4^{d_4+m} - x_3^{d_3}x_4^{d_{14}+m} \xrightarrow{f_3} 0$ . Additionally  $S(f_2, f_5) = x_1^{d_{21}}x_3^{d_3} - x_1^{d_{21}}x_2^{d_{32}}x_4^{d_{34}} \xrightarrow{f_3} 0$ . Furthermore,  $S(f_3, f_5) = x_1^{d_{21}}x_3^{d_{23}}x_4^{d_{34}} - x_2^{d_2}x_4^{d_{34}} \xrightarrow{f_2} 0$ . Finally  $S(f_4, f_5) = x_1^{d_1+m}x_4^{d_{34}} - x_3^{d_{13}}x_4^{d_4+m} \xrightarrow{f_1} 0$ .  $\square$

In this paper we will make extensive use of the following result.

**Theorem 2.6.** ([6, Theorem 2.2]) *Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_4)$  be a sequence of positive integers with  $a_4 > a_i$  for all  $i < 4$ . Denote  $<$  any reverse lexicographic order on  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_4]$  such that  $x_4$  is the smallest variable. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *the projective monomial curve  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay.*
- (2)  *$x_4$  does not divide any minimal generator of  $\text{in}_<(I(\mathbf{a}))$ .*

First we consider the case that  $d_2 \geq d_{21} + d_{23}$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $d_2 \geq d_{21} + d_{23}$  and  $a_4 + mv_4 > a_i + mv_i$  for all  $i < 4$ . Suppose that the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$  are relatively prime. Then  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (1)  $d_1 \geq d_{13} + d_{14}$ ;
- (2)  $d_3 \geq d_{32} + d_{34}$ ;
- (3)  $d_{21} + d_{34} \leq d_{42} + d_{13}$ .

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that (1), (2) and (3) are true. By Proposition 2.5,  $G$  is the reduced Gröbner basis for  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order  $<$  with  $x_2 > x_1 > x_3 > x_4$ . Since  $x_4$  does not divide  $\text{in}_<(f_i)$  for every  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ , we have, from Theorem 2.6, that  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. The binomials  $f_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ , are indispensable of  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$ , so they belong to the reduced Gröbner basis for  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  with respect to  $<$ . But  $x_4$  does not divide  $\text{in}_<(f_i)$  for every

$1 \leq i \leq 5$ , thus  $\text{in}_<(f_1) = x_1^{d_1+m}$ ,  $\text{in}_<(f_3) = x_3^{d_3}$  and  $\text{in}_<(f_5) = x_2^{d_2} x_3^{d_3}$ . Therefore (1), (2) and (3) are true.  $\square$

**Example 2.8.** Let  $\mathbf{a} = (2a^2 + a - 2, 2a + 1, 2a + 3, 2a^2 + a - 1)$ , where  $a \geq 2$  is an integer. Then  $C(\mathbf{a})$  is a Gorenstein non-complete intersection monomial curve and  $I(\mathbf{a})$  is minimally generated by  $x_1^2 - x_3^{a-1}x_4$ ,  $x_2^{a+1} - x_1x_3$ ,  $x_3^a - x_2x_4$ ,  $x_1x_2^a - x_4^2$  and  $x_2^ax_3^{a-1} - x_1x_4$ . Here  $\mathbf{v} = (a^2 + a - 1, a + 1, a + 2, a^2 + a - 1)$ . Let  $g$  be the greatest common divisor of the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$ . Then  $g$  divides  $(2a^2 + a - 1) - (2a^2 + a - 2)$ , so  $g = 1$ . By Theorem 2.7 the monomial curve  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay if and only if  $a = 2$ . Consequently the projective monomial curve  $\overline{C(8 + 5m, 5 + 3m, 7 + 4m, 9 + 5m)}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay for every  $m \geq 0$ . If  $a > 2$ , then the monomial curve  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay for every  $m \geq 0$ .

Given a polynomial  $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_4]$ , we shall denote by  $f^h$  the homogenization of  $f$  with respect to the variable  $x_0$ . The next corollary provides a generating set for  $I^h(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  under the conditions of Theorem 2.7.

**Corollary 2.9.** *Let  $d_2 \geq d_{21} + d_{23}$  and  $a_4 + mv_4 > a_i + mv_i$  for all  $i < 4$ . Suppose that the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$  are relatively prime. If  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, then  $G^h := \{f_i^h | 1 \leq i \leq 5\}$  is the reduced Gröbner basis for  $I^h(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order  $<_0$  on  $R[x_0]$  extended from  $R$  with  $x_2 >_0 x_1 >_0 x_3 >_0 x_4 >_0 x_0$ .*

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.5,  $G$  is the reduced Gröbner basis for  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  with respect to  $<$ . From [6, Lemma 1.1] the set

$$G^h = \{x_1^{d_1+m} - x_0^{d_1-d_{13}-d_{14}}x_3^{d_{13}}x_4^{d_{14}+m}, x_2^{d_2} - x_0^{d_2-d_{21}-d_{23}}x_1^{d_{21}}x_3^{d_{23}}, \\ x_3^{d_3} - x_0^{d_3-d_{32}-d_{34}}x_2^{d_{32}}x_4^{d_{34}}, x_1^{d_4+m}x_2^{d_4} - x_0^{d_4+d_{42}-d_4}x_4^{d_4+m}, \\ x_2^{d_2}x_3^{d_{13}} - x_0^{d_{13}+d_{42}-d_{21}-d_{34}}x_1^{d_{21}}x_4^{d_{34}}\}$$

is the reduced Gröbner basis for  $I^h(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  with respect to  $<_0$ .  $\square$

Next we consider the case that  $d_2 < d_{21} + d_{23}$ .

**Notation 2.10.** We shall denote by  $w$  the minimum element of

$$\{l \in \mathbb{N} | d_1 + m - ld_{21} \leq 0\} \cup \{l \in \mathbb{N} | d_3 - ld_{23} \leq 0\}.$$

Notice that  $w \geq 2$ .

Consider the binomials

$$p_i = x_2^{(i+1)d_2-d_{32}}x_3^{d_3-(i+1)d_{23}} - x_1^{(i+1)d_{21}}x_4^{d_{34}}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq w-2, \\ q_i = x_1^{d_1+m-(i+1)d_{21}}x_2^{(i+1)d_2-d_{32}} - x_3^{id_{23}}x_4^{d_4+m}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq w-2,$$

and

$$r = \begin{cases} x_2^{wd_2-d_{32}} - x_1^{wd_{21}}x_3^{wd_{23}-d_3}x_4^{d_{34}}, & \text{if } d_1 + m - wd_{21} > 0 \\ x_2^{wd_2-d_{32}} - x_1^{wd_{21}-d_1-m}x_3^{(w-1)d_{23}}x_4^{d_4+m}, & \text{if } d_1 + m - wd_{21} \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Note that  $p_0 = f_5$  and  $q_0 = f_4$ .

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $d_2 < d_{21} + d_{23}$  and suppose that the following conditions hold.*

- (1)  $d_1 \geq d_{13} + d_{14}$ .
- (2)  $d_3 \geq d_{32} + d_{34}$ .
- (3)  $d_{21} + d_{34} \leq d_{13} + d_{42}$ .
- (4)  $(w-1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34} \geq 0$ .
- (5)  $(w-1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32} \geq 0$ .
- (6)  $w(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34} \geq 0$  when  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} > 0$ .
- (7)  $w(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32} \geq 0$  when  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} \leq 0$ .

If the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$  are relatively prime, then

$$T = G \cup \{p_i | 1 \leq i \leq w-2\} \cup \{q_i | 1 \leq i \leq w-2\} \cup \{r\}$$

is a Gröbner basis for  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order  $<$  on  $R$  with  $x_2 > x_1 > x_3 > x_4$ .

**Proof.** Notice that the polynomials  $p_i, q_i, r$  are in the ideal  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  by computation, for every  $1 \leq i \leq w-2$ . Here  $\text{in}_<(f_1) = x_1^{d_1+m}$ ,  $\text{in}_<(f_2) = x_1^{d_{21}}x_3^{d_{23}}$  and  $\text{in}_<(f_3) = x_3^{d_3}$ . For every  $0 \leq i \leq w-2$  we have that  $i+1 \leq w-1$ , thus  $(i+1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34} \geq (w-1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34}$  since  $d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23} < 0$ . So  $(i+1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34} \geq 0$  and therefore  $(i+1)d_2 - d_{32} + d_3 - (i+1)d_{23} \geq (i+1)d_{21} + d_{34}$ . Thus  $\text{in}_<(p_i) = x_2^{(i+1)d_2 - d_{32}} x_3^{d_3 - (i+1)d_{23}}$ , for every  $0 \leq i \leq w-2$ . Since  $i+1 \leq w-1$  for every  $0 \leq i \leq w-2$ , we have that  $(i+1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32} \geq (w-1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32}$  and therefore  $(i+1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32} \geq 0$ . Thus  $d_1 - (i+1)d_{21} + (i+1)d_2 - d_{32} \geq id_{23} + d_4$ , so  $\text{in}_<(q_i) = x_1^{d_1 + m - (i+1)d_{21}} x_2^{(i+1)d_2 - d_{32}}$  for every  $0 \leq i \leq w-2$ . Finally  $\text{in}_<(r) = x_2^{wd_2 - d_{32}}$ . Since  $\text{in}_<(f_1)$  and  $\text{in}_<(f_3)$  are relatively prime, we deduce that  $S(f_1, f_3) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ . Similarly  $S(f_1, p_i) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ , for every  $0 \leq i \leq w-2$ ,  $S(f_1, r) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ ,  $S(f_2, r) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ ,  $S(f_3, q_i) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ , for every  $0 \leq i \leq w-2$ , and  $S(f_3, r) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ .

We have that  $S(f_1, f_2) = x_1^{d_{41}+m} x_2^{d_2} - x_3^{d_3} x_4^{d_{14}+m} \xrightarrow{f_4} x_2^{d_{32}} x_4^{d_4+m} - x_3^{d_3} x_4^{d_{14}+m} \xrightarrow{f_3} 0$ . We will show that  $S(f_1, q_i) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ , for every  $0 \leq i \leq w-2$ . It holds that

$$\begin{aligned} S(f_1, q_i) &= x_1^{(i+1)d_{21}} x_3^{id_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} - x_2^{(i+1)d_2 - d_{32}} x_3^{d_{13}} x_4^{d_{14}+m} \xrightarrow{f_5} \\ & x_1^{(i+1)d_{21}} x_3^{id_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} - x_1^{d_{21}} x_2^{id_2} x_4^{d_4+m} \xrightarrow{f_2} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also  $S(f_2, f_3) = x_1^{d_{21}} x_2^{d_{32}} x_4^{d_{34}} - x_2^{d_2} x_3^{d_{13}} \xrightarrow{f_5} 0$ . Next we will show that  $S(f_2, p_i) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ , for every  $0 \leq i \leq w-2$ . If  $0 \leq i \leq w-3$ , then  $S(f_2, p_i) = x_1^{(i+2)d_{21}} x_4^{d_{34}} - x_2^{(i+2)d_2 - d_{32}} x_3^{d_3 - (i+2)d_{23}} \xrightarrow{p_{i+1}} 0$ . Suppose that  $i = w-2$  and let  $d_3 - wd_{23} \leq 0$ . Then  $S(f_2, p_{w-2}) = x_1^{wd_{21}} x_3^{wd_{23} - d_3} x_4^{d_{34}} - x_2^{wd_2 - d_{32}}$ . Suppose first that  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} > 0$ . Then  $S(f_2, p_{w-2}) = -r$  and therefore  $S(f_2, p_{w-2}) \xrightarrow{r} 0$ . Suppose now that  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} \leq 0$ , then  $S(f_2, p_{w-2}) \xrightarrow{r} x_1^{wd_{21} - d_1} x_3^{wd_{23} - d_3} x_4^{d_{34}} f_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} 0$ . If  $d_3 - wd_{23} > 0$ , then  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} \leq 0$  by definition of  $w$  and therefore  $S(f_2, p_{w-2}) = x_1^{wd_{21}} x_4^{d_{34}} - x_2^{wd_2 - d_{32}} x_3^{d_3 - wd_{23}} \xrightarrow{r} x_1^{wd_{21}} x_4^{d_{34}} - x_1^{wd_{21} - d_1 - m} x_3^{d_{13}} x_4^{d_4+m} = x_1^{wd_{21} - d_1 - m} x_4^{d_{34}} f_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} 0$ . We will show that  $S(f_2, q_i) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ . If  $0 \leq i \leq w-3$ , then  $S(f_2, q_i) = x_1^{d_1 + m - (i+2)d_{21}} x_2^{(i+2)d_2 - d_{32}} - x_3^{(i+1)d_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} \xrightarrow{q_{i+1}} 0$ . Suppose that  $i = w-2$ . If  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} \leq 0$ , then  $S(f_2, q_{w-2}) = x_2^{wd_2 - d_{32}} - x_1^{wd_{21} - d_1 - m} x_3^{(w-1)d_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} \xrightarrow{r} 0$ .

If  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} > 0$ , then  $S(f_2, q_{w-2}) = x_3^{(w-1)d_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} - x_1^{d_1+m-wd_{21}} x_2^{wd_2-d_{32}} \xrightarrow{r} -x_3^{wd_{23}-d_3} x_4^{d_{34}} f_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} 0$ . For every  $0 \leq i \leq w-2$  we have that

$$S(f_3, p_i) = x_1^{(i+1)d_{21}} x_3^{(i+1)d_{23}} x_4^{d_{34}} - x_2^{(i+1)d_2} x_4^{d_{34}} \xrightarrow{f_2} 0.$$

Next we will show that  $S(p_i, p_j) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ , where  $0 \leq i \leq w-2$  and  $0 \leq j \leq w-2$  with  $i < j$ . We have that  $S(p_i, p_j) = x_1^{(i+1)d_{21}} x_4^{d_{34}} (x_1^{(j-i)d_{21}} x_3^{(j-i)d_{23}} - x_2^{(j-i)d_2}) \xrightarrow{f_2} 0$ . We will show that  $S(p_i, r) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ . If  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} > 0$ , then

$$S(p_i, r) = x_1^{(i+1)d_{21}} x_4^{d_{34}} (x_1^{(w-i-1)d_{21}} x_3^{(w-i-1)d_{23}} - x_2^{(w-i-1)d_2}) \xrightarrow{f_2} 0.$$

Suppose that  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} \leq 0$ . Then

$$S(p_i, r) = x_1^{wd_{21}-d_1-m} x_4^{d_{34}} (x_3^{d_3+(w-i-2)d_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} - x_1^{d_1+m-(w-i-1)d_{21}} x_2^{(w-i-1)d_2}) \xrightarrow{q_{w-i-2}}$$

$$x_1^{wd_{21}-d_1-m} x_3^{(w-i-2)d_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} (x_3^{d_3} - x_2^{d_{32}} x_4^{d_{34}}) \xrightarrow{f_3} 0.$$

Next we will show that  $S(q_i, q_j) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ , where  $0 \leq i \leq w-2$  and  $0 \leq j \leq w-2$  with  $i < j$ . We have that  $S(q_i, q_j) = x_3^{id_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} (x_1^{(j-i)d_{21}} x_3^{(j-i)d_{23}} - x_2^{(j-i)d_2}) \xrightarrow{f_2} 0$ . We will prove that  $S(q_i, r) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ . If  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} \leq 0$ , then

$$S(q_i, r) = x_3^{id_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} (x_1^{(w-i-1)d_{21}} x_3^{(w-i-1)d_{23}} - x_2^{(w-i-1)d_2}) \xrightarrow{f_2} 0.$$

Suppose that  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} > 0$ . Then

$$S(q_i, r) = x_1^{d_1+m+(w-i-1)d_{21}} x_3^{wd_{23}-d_3} x_4^{d_{34}} - x_2^{(w-i-1)d_2} x_3^{id_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} \xrightarrow{f_1}$$

$$x_3^{id_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} (x_1^{(w-i-1)d_{21}} x_3^{(w-i-1)d_{23}} - x_2^{(w-i-1)d_2}) \xrightarrow{f_2} 0.$$

Finally we show that  $S(p_i, q_j) \xrightarrow{T} 0$ , where  $0 \leq i \leq w-2$  and  $0 \leq j \leq w-2$ . Suppose that  $i < j$ . Then

$$S(p_i, q_j) = x_3^{d_3+(j-i-1)d_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} - x_1^{d_1+m-(j-i)d_{21}} x_2^{(j-i)d_2} x_4^{d_{34}} \xrightarrow{f_3}$$

$$x_2^{d_{32}} x_4^{d_{34}} (x_3^{(j-i-1)d_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} - x_1^{d_1+m-(j-i)d_{21}} x_2^{(j-i)d_2-d_{32}}) \xrightarrow{q_{j-i-1}} 0.$$

Assume that  $i \geq j$ , then

$$S(p_i, q_j) = x_2^{(i-j)d_2} x_3^{d_3-(i-j+1)d_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} - x_1^{d_1+m+(i-j)d_{21}} x_4^{d_{34}} \xrightarrow{f_1}$$

$$x_3^{d_3-(i-j+1)d_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m} (x_2^{(i-j)d_2} - x_1^{(i-j)d_{21}} x_3^{(i-j)d_{23}}) \xrightarrow{f_2} 0. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 2.12.** *Let  $d_2 < d_{21} + d_{23}$ . Suppose that  $a_4 + mv_4 > a_i + mv_i$  for all  $i < 4$  and the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$  are relatively prime. Then  $C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the following conditions hold:*

- (1)  $d_1 \geq d_{13} + d_{14}$ ;
- (2)  $d_3 \geq d_{32} + d_{34}$ ;
- (3)  $d_{21} + d_{34} \leq d_{13} + d_{42}$ ;
- (4)  $(w-1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34} \geq 0$ ;
- (5)  $(w-1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32} \geq 0$ ;
- (6)  $w(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34} \geq 0$  when  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} > 0$ ;
- (7)  $w(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32} \geq 0$  when  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} \leq 0$ .

**Proof.** ( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (7) are true. By Proposition 2.11,  $T$  is a Gröbner basis for  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order  $<$  with  $x_2 > x_1 > x_3 > x_4$ . Since  $x_4$  does not divide the initial monomial of any binomial in  $T$ , we have, from Theorem 2.6, that  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. Since the binomials  $f_1$ ,  $f_3$  and  $f_5$  are indispensable of  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$ , they belong to the reduced Gröbner basis of  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  with respect to  $<$ . Consequently (1), (2) and (3) are true. Suppose that  $(w-1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34} < 0$ . Then the binomial  $p_{w-2}$  belongs to  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  and  $\text{in}_{<}(p_{w-2}) = x_1^{(w-1)d_{21}} x_4^{d_{34}}$ . Note that  $(w-1)d_{21} < d_1 + m$  by definition of  $w$ . Since  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, there is a binomial  $B \in I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  such that  $\text{in}_{<}(B)$  divides  $x_1^{(w-1)d_{21}}$ , a contradiction to the fact that the monomial  $x_1^{d_1+m}$  is indispensable. Assume that  $(w-1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32} < 0$ . Then the binomial  $q_{w-2}$  belongs to  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  and  $\text{in}_{<}(q_{w-2}) = x_3^{(w-2)d_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m}$ . Note that  $(w-2)d_{23} < d_3$  by definition of  $w$ . Since  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, there is a binomial  $u \in I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  such that  $\text{in}_{<}(u)$  divides  $x_3^{(w-2)d_{23}}$ , a contradiction to the fact that the monomial  $x_3^{d_3}$  is indispensable. Suppose that  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} > 0$  and let  $w(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34} < 0$ . Then the binomial  $r$  belongs to  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  and  $\text{in}_{<}(r) = x_1^{wd_{21}} x_3^{wd_{23}-d_3} x_4^{d_{34}}$ . Since  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, there is a binomial  $B \in I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  such that  $\text{in}_{<}(B)$  divides  $x_1^{wd_{21}} x_3^{wd_{23}-d_3}$ . Thus  $B = x_1^{b_1} x_3^{b_3} - x_1^{c_1} x_2^{b_2} x_3^{c_3} x_4^{b_4}$  with  $\text{in}_{<}(B) = x_1^{b_1} x_3^{b_3}$ , where  $b_2 \neq 0$  or/and  $b_4 \neq 0$ ,  $b_1 \leq wd_{21}$  and  $b_3 \leq wd_{23} - d_3$ . Note that  $wd_{21} < d_1 + m$  and  $wd_{23} - d_3 < d_{23}$  by definition of  $w$ , so  $b_1 < d_1 + m$  and  $b_3 < d_{23}$ . Moreover  $b_3 < d_3$  since  $d_{23} < d_3$ . But  $B \in I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  and  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  is generated by the set  $\{f_1, \dots, f_5\}$ , therefore there are polynomials  $A_i \in K[x_1, \dots, x_4]$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ , such that

$$B = A_1(x_1^{d_1+m} - x_3^{d_{13}} x_4^{d_{14}+m}) + A_2(x_2^{d_2} - x_1^{d_{21}} x_3^{d_{23}}) + A_3(x_3^{d_3} - x_2^{d_{32}} x_4^{d_{34}}) + A_4(x_1^{d_{41}+m} x_2^{d_{42}} - x_4^{d_4+m}) + A_5(x_2^{d_{42}} x_3^{d_{43}} - x_1^{d_{41}} x_4^{d_{44}}).$$

In the previous equation let  $x_2 = 0$  and  $x_4 = 0$ . Then  $x_1^{b_1} x_3^{b_3} = A_1(x_1, 0, x_3, 0)x_1^{d_1+m} - A_2(x_1, 0, x_3, 0)x_1^{d_{21}} x_3^{d_{23}} + A_3(x_1, 0, x_3, 0)x_3^{d_3}$ , thus  $\text{in}_{<}(B)$  is divided by at least one of the monomials  $x_1^{d_1+m}$ ,  $x_1^{d_{21}} x_3^{d_{23}}$  and  $x_3^{d_3}$ , a contradiction. Suppose that  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} \leq 0$  and let  $w(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32} < 0$ . Then  $r$  belongs to  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  and  $\text{in}_{<}(r) = x_1^{wd_{21}-d_1-m} x_3^{(w-1)d_{23}} x_4^{d_4+m}$ . Since  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, there is a binomial  $u \in I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  such that  $\text{in}_{<}(u)$  divides  $x_1^{wd_{21}-d_1-m} x_3^{(w-1)d_{23}}$ . Thus  $\text{in}_{<}(u) = x_1^{e_1} x_3^{e_3}$  where  $e_1 \leq wd_{21} - d_1 - m$  and  $e_3 \leq (w-1)d_{23}$ . Note that  $wd_{21} - d_1 - m < d_{21}$  and  $(w-1)d_{23} < d_3$  by definition of  $w$ , so  $e_1 < d_{21}$  and  $e_3 < d_3$ . Moreover  $e_1 < d_1 + m$  since  $d_{21} < d_1 + m$ . But  $u \in I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  and  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  is generated by the set  $\{f_1, \dots, f_5\}$ , therefore  $\text{in}_{<}(u)$  is divided by at least one of the monomials  $x_1^{d_1+m}$ ,  $x_1^{d_{21}} x_3^{d_{23}}$  and  $x_3^{d_3}$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Example 2.13.** Let  $\mathbf{a} = (19, 29, 26, 43)$ , then  $C(\mathbf{a})$  is a Gorenstein non-complete intersection monomial curve and  $I(\mathbf{a})$  is minimally generated by  $x_1^5 - x_3^2 x_4$ ,  $x_2^4 - x_1^2 x_3^3$ ,  $x_3^5 - x_2^3 x_4$ ,  $x_1^3 x_2 - x_4^2$ ,  $x_2 x_3^2 - x_1^2 x_4$ . Here  $\mathbf{v} = (11, 13, 10, 11)$ ,  $w = 2$  and  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} = m + 1 > 0$ . Suppose first that  $m \leq 7$ , then  $43 + 11m = \max\{19 + 11m, 29 +$

$13m, 26 + 10m, 43 + 11m\}$ . We have that  $w(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34} = -1 < 0$ , so from Theorem 2.12 the monomial curve  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay for  $m \leq 7$  whenever  $\gcd(19 + 11m, 29 + 13m, 26 + 10m, 43 + 11m) = 1$ . Assume that  $m > 7$ , then  $29 + 13m = \max\{19 + 11m, 29 + 13m, 26 + 10m, 43 + 11m\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_4)$  with  $b_1 = 26 + 10m$ ,  $b_2 = 19 + 11m$ ,  $b_3 = 43 + 11m$ ,  $b_4 = 29 + 13m$ . Note that  $b_4 = \max\{b_1, \dots, b_4\}$ . Then  $I(\mathbf{b})$  is minimally generated by  $x_1^5 - x_3x_4^3, x_2^{5+m} - x_1^2x_3^{1+m}, x_3^{2+m} - x_2^{3+m}x_4, x_1^3x_2^2 - x_4^4, x_2^2x_3 - x_1^2x_4$ . Since  $x_3^{2+m} - x_2^{3+m}x_4 \in I(\mathbf{b})$ , we have, from Theorem 2.7, that for every  $m > 7$  the monomial curve  $\overline{C(\mathbf{b})}$  is not arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay whenever  $\gcd(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4) = 1$ .

**Example 2.14.** Let  $\mathbf{a} = (a^2 + 5a, 7a + 6, 6a + 1, 3a^2 + 3a - 2)$  where  $a > 2$  is an even integer. Then  $C(\mathbf{a})$  is a Gorenstein non-complete intersection monomial curve and  $I(\mathbf{a})$  is minimally generated by  $x_1^3 - x_2^2x_4, x_2^a - x_1x_3^a, x_3^{a+2} - x_2x_4^2, x_1^2x_2^{a-1} - x_4^3, x_2^{a-1}x_3^2 - x_1x_4^2$ . Here  $\mathbf{v} = (a^2 + a, 3a + 2, 2a + 1, a^2 + a)$ ,  $w = 2$  and  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} = m + 1 > 0$ . It holds that  $w(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34} = a - 3 > 0$  and  $(w - 1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32} = a - 2 > 0$ , so, from Theorem 2.12, for every  $m \geq 0$  the monomial curve  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay whenever the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$  are relatively prime.

**Example 2.15.** Let  $\mathbf{a} = (1191, 1239, 582, 2303)$ , then  $C(\mathbf{a})$  is a Gorenstein non-complete intersection monomial curve and  $I(\mathbf{a})$  is minimally generated by  $x_1^{16} - x_3^9x_4^6, x_2^{11} - x_1^9x_3^5, x_3^{14} - x_2x_4^3, x_1^7x_2^{10} - x_4^9$  and  $x_2^{10}x_3^9 - x_1^9x_4^3$ . Notice that  $\mathbf{v} = (149, 141, 42, 149)$ . Suppose first that  $m \leq 2$ , then  $w = 2$  and  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} = m - 2 \leq 0$ . We have that  $w(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32} = 5 > 0$  and  $(w - 1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34} = 7 > 0$ . By Theorem 2.12, for every  $m \leq 2$  the monomial curve  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay whenever the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$  are relatively prime. Suppose now that  $m > 2$ , then  $w = 3$  and  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} = m - 11$ . Assume that  $m \leq 11$ , then  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} \leq 0$ . We have that  $w(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32} = 2 > 0$  and  $(w - 1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34} = 4 > 0$ . By Theorem 2.12, the monomial curve  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay for every  $2 < m \leq 11$  whenever the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$  are relatively prime. Suppose that  $m > 11$ , then  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} > 0$ . We have that  $w(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34} = 1 > 0$  and  $(w - 1)(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32} = 5 > 0$ . By Theorem 2.12, the monomial curve  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay for every  $m > 11$  whenever the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$  are relatively prime.

Finally we provide a generating set for  $I^h(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  under the conditions of Theorem 2.12.

**Corollary 2.16.** *Let  $d_2 < d_{21} + d_{23}$ . Suppose that  $\overline{a_4 + mv_4} > a_i + mv_i$  for all  $i < 4$  and the entries of  $\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v}$  are relatively prime. If  $\overline{C(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})}$  is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay, then  $T^h = G^h \cup \{p_i^h \mid 1 \leq i \leq w - 2\} \cup \{q_i^h \mid 1 \leq i \leq w - 2\} \cup \{r^h\}$  is a Gröbner basis for  $I^h(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  with respect to the degree reverse lexicographic order  $<_0$  on  $R[x_0]$  extended from  $R$  with  $x_2 >_0 x_1 >_0 x_3 >_0 x_4 >_0 x_0$ .*

**Proof.** We have that

$$r^h = x_2^{wd_2 - d_{32}} - x_0^{w(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_1 + d_{23} - d_4 - d_{32}} x_1^{wd_{21} - d_1 - m} x_3^{(w-1)d_{23}} x_4^{d_4 + m}$$

when  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} \leq 0$ , while

$$r^h = x_2^{wd_2 - d_{32}} - x_0^{w(d_2 - d_{21} - d_{23}) + d_3 - d_{32} - d_{34}} x_1^{wd_{21}} x_3^{wd_{23} - d_3} x_4^{d_{34}}$$



when  $d_1 + m - wd_{21} > 0$ . From Proposition 2.11 the set  $T$  is a Gröbner basis for  $I(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  with respect to  $<$ . By [6, Lemma 1.1],  $T^h = \{f_i^h \mid 1 \leq i \leq 5\} \cup \{r^h\} \cup \{x_2^{(i+1)d_2-d_{32}}x_3^{d_3-(i+1)d_{23}} - x_0^{(i+1)(d_2-d_{21}-d_{23})+d_3-d_{32}-d_{34}}x_1^{(i+1)d_{21}}x_4^{d_{34}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq w-2\} \cup \{x_1^{d_1+m-(i+1)d_{21}}x_2^{(i+1)d_2-d_{32}} - x_0^{(i+1)(d_2-d_{21}-d_{23})+d_1+d_{23}-d_4-d_{32}}x_3^{id_{23}}x_4^{d_4+m} \mid 1 \leq i \leq w-2\}$  is a Gröbner basis for  $I^h(\mathbf{a} + m\mathbf{v})$  with respect to  $<_0$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thank the referee for careful reading and helpful comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Bresinsky. *Symmetric semigroups of integers generated by 4 elements*, Manuscripta Math. **17** (3) (1975), 205–219.
- [2] M. P. Cavaliere, G. Niesi. *On monomial curves and Cohen-Macaulay type*, Manuscripta Math. **42** (1983), no. 2-3, 147–159.
- [3] R. Conaway, F. Gotti, J. Horton, C. O’Neill, R. Pelayo, M. Pracht, B. Wissman. *Minimal presentations of shifted numerical monoids*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **28** (2018), 53-68.
- [4] P. Gimenez, H. Srinivasan. *A note on Gorenstein monomial curves*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **45** (2014), no. 4, 671–678.
- [5] P. Gimenez, H. Srinivasan. *Structure of some numerical semigroup rings*, Banach Center Publications **121** (2020), 53-61.
- [6] J. Herzog, D. Stamate. *Cohen–Macaulay criteria for projective monomial curves via Gröbner bases*, Acta Math. Vietnam. **44** (2019), 51–64.
- [7] A.V. Jayanthan, H. Srinivasan. *Periodic occurrence of complete intersection monomial curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **141** (2013), 4199–4208.
- [8] A. Katsabekis. *Complete intersection monomial curves and the Cohen-Macaulayness of their tangent cones*, Algebra Colloq. **26** (2019), no. 4, 629–642.
- [9] A. Katsabekis, I. Ojeda. *An indispensable classification of monomial curves in  $\mathbb{A}^4(\mathbb{K})$* , Pacific J. Math. **268** (2014), 95–116.
- [10] L. Reid, L. G. Roberts. *Non-Cohen-Macaulay projective monomial curves*, J. Algebra **291** (2005), no. 1, 171–186.
- [11] J. Saha, I. Sengupta, P. Srivastava. *Betti sequence of the projective closure of affine monomial curves*, J. Symbolic Comput. **119** (2023), 101–111.
- [12] T. Se, S. J. Grant. *The Cohen-Macaulay property of affine semigroup rings in dimension 2*, Comm. Algebra **47** (2019), no. 7, 2979–2994.
- [13] T. Vu. *Periodicity of Betti numbers of monomial curves*, J. Algebra **418** (2014), 66–90.
- [14] B. Sturmfels. *Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes*, University Lecture Series Vol. 8. Providence, RI, USA: American Mathematical Society, 1996.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF IOANNINA, 45110 IOANNINA, GREECE  
 Email address: katsampekis@uoi.gr