Faces of quasidensity

Stephen Simons *

Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the various structures associated with maximally monotone and quasidense subsets of the product of a real Banach space and its dual. In the first half of the paper, we give four statements equivalent to the assertion that a maximally monotone subset be quasidense, including the statement that the set be of "type (NI)". Then we consider the Fitzpatrick and Gossez extensions of a maximally monotone set to the dual. We give eight equivalent formulations for the Fitzpatick extension of a quasidense maximally monotone set and prove that in, this case, the Fitzpatrick and Gossez extensions coincide. This leads to the result that a maximally monotone set is quasidense exactly when it is of "type (D)". We also give an example of a quasidense maximally monotone set whose Fitzpatrick extension, though maximally monotone, is not quasidense. In the final part of this paper, we prove that a maximally monotone set is quasidense if, and only if, it is of "type (FP)". The proof of "only if" is much simpler than the two which have been given up to now.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 47H05; Secondary 47N10, 52A41, 46A20.

Keywords: Banach space, quasidense set, monotone set, maximally monotone set, Fitzpatrick extension, Gossez extension, Type (NI), Type (D), Type (FP).

1 Introduction

Let E be a nontrivial real Banach space with dual E^* , and $B := E \times E^*$. The order of the factors in B is important: E^* must be the *second* factor. After some notational preliminaries, the *quasidensity* of a subset of B is defined in Definition 5.1. This definition appears in condensed form in (5.1), and in expanded form in (5.2). A glance at the complexity of these two forms should explain why we use the condensed form for analysis whenever possible.

Section 2 contains some fairly standard notation. Sections 3 and 4 contain the definitions and basic properties of the six quantities L, q_L , $r_L \tilde{L}$, $q_{\tilde{L}}$ and $r_{\tilde{L}}$ that are needed for our analysis using the "condensed notation". Section 3 also contains a useful result proved initially by Burachik-Svaiter and Penot.

^{*}Department of Mathematics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080, U.S.A. Email: stesim380gmail.com.

After Definition 5.1, we prove in Theorem 5.2 the fundamental result that a *closed, monotone quasidense set is maximally monotone*. This has a refreshingly simple proof.

Maximally monotone sets of *Type (NI)* are defined in condensed form in (6.1), and in expanded form in (6.2). These were originally introduced in [11, 1996]. "(NI)" stands for "negative infimum". Interest in them was rekindled by Marques Alves and Svaiter in [6, 2010], in which it was proved that *type (NI)* is equivalent to type (D). It is proved in Theorem 6.3 that a quasidense maximally monotone set is of type (NI) (and in Theorem 9.2 that, among other things, the converse of this is true).

The *Fitzpatrick function*, Φ_M , of a maximally monotone set is defined in condensed form in (7.1), and in expanded form in (7.2). According to the notation introduced in (2.1), the conjugate function, Φ_M^* , of Φ_M is defined by $\Phi_M^*(b^*) := \sup_B [b^* - \Phi_M]$. In Definition 7.3, we define the function Θ_M by $\Theta_M(b^*) := \sup_M [b^* - \Phi_M]$. We note that the formula for Θ_M is identical with the formula for Φ_M^* , except the the indexing set is M rather than B. Theorem 7.4 follows easily from the definition of Θ_M : M is of type (NI) if, and only if, $\Theta_M \ge q_{\tilde{L}}$ on B^* .

Theorem 8.4 is the central result of this paper. It gives sufficient conditions for a certain set, A, derived from a given function, g, to be quasidense. The proof and the three preceding lemmas are laid out to show the exact role played by the three conditions assumed for g. Specifically, the assumption " $g^* \ge q_{\tilde{L}}$ on B^* " enables the use of Rockafellar's version of the Fenchel duality theorem in Lemma 8.3(a), the assumption " $g \ge q_L$ on B" enables the transition from (8.3) to (8.4), and the assumed lower semicontinuity of g assures us that the element a of B constructed as the limit of the Cauchy sequence $\{c_n\}_{n\ge 1}$ in Theorem 8.4 does indeed belong to A.

In Theorems 9.2 and 11.8, five conditions are given equivalent to the statement that a maximally monotone set be quasidense, including the conditions that the set be of type (NI) or of type (D). (*Type (D)* is defined in Definition 11.7 in the usual way.) As a result of this, there are many other conditions on a maximally monotone set equivalent to its quasidensity. We refer the reader to [16, Introduction, pp. 6–7] for a discussion of these.

In Section 10, we collect together 7 technical lemmas that will be used in the sequel. We do not know if the result of Lemma 10.10 is already known. This is a "converse" to Definition 11.7, and implies that if M is merely maximally monotone then every limit of elements of L(M) in the Gossez sense is automatically an element of the *Gossez extension*, $M^{\mathbb{G}}$ of M. In Sections 11 and 12, we discuss not only $M^{\mathbb{G}}$, but also the *Fitzpatrick extension*, $M^{\mathbb{F}}$, of a quasidense maximally monotone subset, M, of B. It is observed in Definition 11.4 that $M^{\mathbb{G}} = M^{\mathbb{F}}$ when M is quasidense, and that Theorem 11.1 gives eight descriptions of $M^{\mathbb{G}}$ in this case. We show in Theorem 11.6 that if M is quasidense and maximally monotone then $M^{\mathbb{F}}$ is not necessarily a quasidense subset of B^* , thus answering in the negative a question posed in [15].

In Sections 13–15 we discuss the result that a maximally monotone set is quasidense if, and only if, it is of type (FP). This result has an interesting history. It was proved (in different ways) in [13, Theorem 17] and [14, Theorem 37.1] that type (D) implies type (FP) consequently, from Theorem 11.8, quasidense maximally monotone sets are of type (FP). We give a relatively simple proof of this in Theorem 15.3. The problem was also posed in [13, Problem 18] whether type (FP) implies type (D). On the surface, this seems a much harder problem. However, the result of Marques Alves and Svaiter already referred to changed all this, and led to the result in [1, Theorem 3.1] that type (FP) implies type (NI), consequently, from Theorem 9.2, type (FP) implies quasidensity. We give a simpler proof of this in Theorem 15.4

The reason why we have emphasized *quasidensity* in the previous paragraph is that its definition does not involve the bidual, E^{**} of E, a characteristic shared by type (FP), but not by type (NI) or type (D). It seems a difficult problem to find an E^{**} -free *proof* of either Theorem 15.3 or Theorem 15.4.

2 Banach space notation

Definition 2.1. If X is a nonzero real Banach space and $f: X \to]-\infty, \infty]$, we say that f is proper if there exists $x \in X$ such that $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$. We write $\mathcal{PC}(X)$ for the set of all proper convex functions from X into $]-\infty, \infty]$ and $\mathcal{PCLSC}(X)$ for the set of all proper convex lower semicontinuous functions from X into $]-\infty, \infty]$. We write X^{*} for the dual space of X (with the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon X \times X^* \to \mathbb{R}$). If $f \in \mathcal{PC}(X)$ then, as usual, we define the *Fenchel* conjugate, f^* , of f to be the function on X^{*} given by

$$z^* \mapsto \sup_X \left[x^* - f \right] \qquad (x^* \in X^*). \tag{2.1}$$

We write X^{**} for the bidual of X (with the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \colon X^* \times X^{**} \to \mathbb{R}$). If $f \in \mathcal{PC}(X)$ and $f^* \in \mathcal{PCLSC}(X^*)$, we define $f^{**} \colon X^{**} \to]-\infty, \infty]$ by $f^{**}(x^{**}) := \sup_{X^*} [x^{**} - f^*]$. If $x \in X$, we write \hat{x} for the canonical image of x in X^{**} , that is to say $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \Longrightarrow \langle x^*, \hat{x} \rangle = \langle x, x^* \rangle$.

3 L, q_L and r_L

Example 3.1. We define the norm on B by $||(x, x^*)|| := \sqrt{||x||^2 + ||x^*||^2}$, represent B^* by $E^* \times E^{**}$ under the pairing

$$\left\langle (x, x^*), (y^*, y^{**}) \right\rangle := \langle x, y^* \rangle + \langle x^*, y^{**} \rangle,$$

and define the linear map $L: B \to B^*$ by $L(x, x^*) := (x^*, \hat{x})$. Then

$$||L|| \le 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{for all } b, c \in B, \langle b, Lc \rangle = \langle c, Lb \rangle. \tag{3.1}$$

We define the even function q_L on B by $q_L(b) := \frac{1}{2} \langle b, Lb \rangle$ ("q" stands for "quadratic"). Explicitly, $q_L(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle$. Now let $d, e \in B$. Clearly,

$$q_L(d-e) = \frac{1}{2} \langle d-e, L(d-e) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle d-e, Ld-Le \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \langle d, Ld \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle e, Ld \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle d, Le \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle e, Le \rangle$$

$$= q_L(d) - \langle e, Ld \rangle + q_L(e).$$
(3.2)

The following *parallelogram law* is an immediate consequence of (3.2):

for all
$$d, e \in B$$
, $q_L(d+e) + q_L(d-e) = 2q_L(d) + 2q_L(e)$. (3.3)

From (3.1), for all $b, c \in B$,

$$q_L(b) - q_L(c) = \frac{1}{2} \langle b, Lb \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle c, Lc \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle b - c, L(b+c) \rangle.$$
(3.4)

Consequently, $|q_L(b) - q_L(c)| \le \frac{1}{2} ||b + c|| ||b - c||$ and so

$$q_L$$
 is continuous. (3.5)

We define the even function r_L on B by

$$r_L := \frac{1}{2} \| \cdot \|^2 + q_L. \tag{3.6}$$

The function r_L appears in the reflexive case in [12, Theorem 10.3, p. 36] and in Simons–Zălinescu [17], with the symbol " Δ ". It was used in the nonreflexive case by Zagrodny in [18]. Since $||L|| \leq 1$, for all $b \in B$, $|q_L(b)| = \frac{1}{2} |\langle b, Lb \rangle| \leq \frac{1}{2} ||b|| ||Lb|| \leq \frac{1}{2} ||b||^2$, so that

$$0 \le r_L \le \|\cdot\|^2 \text{ on } B. \tag{3.7}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{PC}_q(B) := \{ g \in \mathcal{PC}(B) \colon g \ge q_L \text{ on } B \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{PCLSC}_q(B) := \{ g \in \mathcal{PCLSC}(B) \colon g \ge q_L \text{ on } B \}.$$

(3.8) below will be used in Theorem 3.3 and will be generalized in (8.2).

Lemma 3.2. Let
$$g \in \mathcal{PC}_q(B)$$
 and $d, e \in B$. Then:
 $2(g - q_L)(d) + 2(g - q_L)(e) + q_L(d - e) \ge 0.$ (3.8)

Proof. Since $g \ge q_L$ on B, $2g(d) + 2g(e) \ge 4g(\frac{1}{2}d + \frac{1}{2}e) \ge 4q_L(\frac{1}{2}d + \frac{1}{2}e) = q_L(d+e)$ and (3.8) follows from (3.3).

Let $A \subset B$. Obviously A is *monotone* in the usual sense exactly when, for all $d, e \in A$, $q_L(d-e) \ge 0$. Theorem 3.3 below, which is immediate from (3.8), appears in Burachik–Svaiter, [3, Theorem 3.1, pp. 2381–2382] and Penot, [7, Proposition 4(h) \Longrightarrow (a), pp. 860–861]. See also Fitzpatrick, [4, Section 2].

Theorem 3.3 (The Burachik-Svaiter-Penot result). Let $g \in \mathcal{PC}_q(B)$. Then $\{B|g=q_L\}$ is a monotone subset of B.

4 \widetilde{L} , $q_{\widetilde{L}}$ and $r_{\widetilde{L}}$

Example 4.1. Continuing on from Example 3.1, the norm on B^* is given by $\|(y^*, y^{**})\| := \sqrt{\|y^*\|^2 + \|y^{**}\|^2}$. We define $\widetilde{L}: B^* \to B^{**}$ by $\widetilde{L}(y^*, y^{**}) = (y^{**}, \widehat{y^*})$. Then

 $\|\widetilde{L}\| \le 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{for all } b^*, c^* \in B^*, \langle b^*, \widetilde{L}c^* \rangle = \langle c^*, \widetilde{L}b^* \rangle. \tag{4.1}$ Clearly, for all $b = (x, x^*) \in B$ and $b^* = (y^*, y^{**}) \in B^*,$

$$\begin{split} \langle b^*, \widetilde{L}L(b) \rangle &= \langle (y^*, y^{**}), \widetilde{L}(x^*, \widehat{x}) \rangle = \langle (y^*, y^{**}), (\widehat{x}, \widehat{x^*}) \rangle = \langle y^*, \widehat{x} \rangle + \langle y^{**}, \widehat{x^*} \rangle \\ &= \langle x, y^* \rangle + \langle x^*, y^{**} \rangle = \langle (x, x^*), (y^*, y^{**}) \rangle = \langle b, b^* \rangle = \langle b^*, \widehat{b} \rangle. \end{split}$$

Consequently, for all $b \in B$,

$$\widetilde{L}L(b) = \widehat{b}.\tag{4.2}$$

From (4.1) and (4.2),

$$\langle Lb, \widetilde{L}b^* \rangle = \langle b^*, \widetilde{L}Lb \rangle = \langle b^*, \widehat{b} \rangle = \langle b, b^* \rangle.$$
 (4.3)

We define the even functions $q_{\widetilde{L}}$ and $r_{\widetilde{L}}$ on B^* by

$$q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*) := \frac{1}{2} \langle b^*, \widetilde{L}b^* \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad r_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*) := \frac{1}{2} \|b^*\|^2 + q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*) \quad (b^* \in B^*).$$
(4.4)

(Compare with (3.6)). We note then from (4.3) that, for all $b \in B$,

$$q_{\widetilde{L}}(Lb) := \frac{1}{2} \langle Lb, \widetilde{L}Lb \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle b, Lb \rangle = q_L(b).$$

$$(4.5)$$

Now let $b \in B$ and $b^* \in B^*$. Then, from (4.4), (4.2) and (4.3),

$$q_{\widetilde{L}}(Lb-b^{*}) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Lb-b^{*}, \widetilde{L}(Lb-b^{*}) \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle Lb-b^{*}, \widehat{b}-\widetilde{L}b^{*} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \langle Lb, \widehat{b} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle b^{*}, \widehat{b} \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle b, b^{*} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle b^{*}, \widetilde{L}b^{*} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \langle b, Lb \rangle - \langle b, b^{*} \rangle + q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^{*}) = q_{L}(b) - \langle b, b^{*} \rangle + q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^{*}).$$

$$(4.6)$$

5 Introduction to quasidensity

Definition 5.1. Let $A \subset B$. We say that A is quasidense in B if, for all $b \in B$,

for all
$$b \in B$$
, $\inf_{a \in A} r_L(a-b) = 0.$ (5.1)

Equivalently, for all $(x, x^*) \in B$,

for all
$$(x, x^*) \in B$$
, $\inf_{(s,s^*)\in A} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|s-x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|s^* - x^*\|^2 + \langle s-x, s^* - x^* \rangle \right] = 0.$ (5.2)

Theorem 5.2 (Quasidensity and maximality). Let A be closed in B, monotone and quasidense. Then A is maximally monotone.

Proof. Let $b \in B$ and $A \cup \{b\}$ be monotone. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By hypothesis, there exists $a \in A$ such that $\frac{1}{2} ||a - b||^2 + q_L(a - b) < \varepsilon$. Since $A \cup \{b\}$ is monotone, $q_L(a - b) \ge 0$, and so $\frac{1}{2} ||a - b||^2 < \varepsilon$. However, A is closed. Thus, letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, $b \in A$. This completes the proof of the maximality. (This proof is adapted from that of [15, Lemma 4.7, p. 1027] — the result appears explicitly in [15, Theorem 7.4(a), pp. 1032–1033].)

6 Type (NI)

We suppose for the rest of this paper that M is a maximally monotone subset of B.

Definition 6.1. We say that M is of *of type* (NI) if,

for all
$$b^* \in B^*$$
, $\inf_{a \in M} q_{\widetilde{L}} (La - b^*) \le 0.$ (6.1)

In more conventional notation,

for all
$$(y^*, y^{**}) \in E^* \times E^{**}$$
, $\inf_{(s,s^*) \in M} \langle s^* - y^*, \hat{s} - y^{**} \rangle \le 0.$ (6.2)
See [11, Definition 10, p. 183]. "(NI)" stands for "negative infimum".

Lemma 6.2 below leads to the main result of this section, Theorem 6.3.

Lemma 6.2. Let $b^* \in B^*$, and $c, b \in B$. Then

$$r_L(b-c) + r_{\widetilde{L}}(Lc-b^*) \ge q_{\widetilde{L}}(Lb-b^*).$$
 (6.3)

Proof. It follows from (3.6) and (4.4) that $r_L(b-c) = \frac{1}{2}||b-c||^2 + q_L(b-c)$ and $r_{\widetilde{L}}(Lc-b^*) = \frac{1}{2}||Lc-b^*||^2 + q_{\widetilde{L}}(Lc-b^*)$. Adding these two equalities and using (3.2), (4.6) and the inequality

using (3.2), (4.6) and the inequality

$$\frac{1}{2} \|b - c\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|Lc - b^*\|^2 \ge \langle b - c, Lc - b^* \rangle \ge \langle b, Lc \rangle + \langle c, b^* \rangle - 2q_L(c) - \langle b, b^* \rangle :$$

$$r_L(b - c) + r_{\widetilde{L}}(Lc - b^*)$$

$$\ge q_L(b - c) + q_{\widetilde{L}}(Lc - b^*) + \langle b, Lc \rangle + \langle c, b^* \rangle - 2q_L(c) - \langle b, b^* \rangle$$

$$= q_L(b) - \langle b, Lc \rangle + q_L(c) + q_L(c) - \langle c, b^* \rangle + q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*)$$

$$+ \langle b, Lc \rangle + \langle c, b^* \rangle - 2q_L(c) - \langle b, b^* \rangle$$

$$= q_L(b) - \langle b, b^* \rangle + q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(Lb - b^*),$$

as required.

Theorem 6.3. Let M be quasidense. Then M is of type (NI).

Proof. Let $b^* = (y^*, y^{**}) \in B^*$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The definition of $||y^{**}||$ gives an element z^* of E^* such that $||z^*|| \le ||y^{**}||$ and $\langle z^*, y^{**} \rangle \ge ||y^{**}||^2 - \varepsilon$. Let $c := (x, x^*) = (0, y^* + z^*) \in B$. Then $Lc - b^* = (y^* + z^*, 0) - (y^*, y^{**}) = (z^*, -y^{**})$. Thus

$$r_{\widetilde{L}}(Lc-b^*) = \frac{1}{2} \|z^*\|^2 - \langle z^*, y^{**} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \|y^{**}\|^2 \le \|y^{**}\|^2 - \langle z^*, y^{**} \rangle \le \varepsilon.$$

The quasidensity of M provides $b \in M$ such that $r_L(b-c) < \varepsilon$. Thus, by addition, $r_L(b-c) + r_{\tilde{L}}(Lc-b^*) < 2\varepsilon$. It now follows from (6.3) that $q_{\tilde{L}}(Lb-b^*) < 2\varepsilon$, and (6.1) implies that M is of type (NI).

Remark 6.4. Let $b \in B$ and $\inf_{a \in M} q_L(a-b) \ge 0$. Then the maximal monotonicity of M implies that $b \in M$, and so $\inf_{a \in M} q_L(a-b) \le q_L(b-b) = 0$. So in fact we always have $\inf_{a \in M} q_L(a-b) \le 0$. Now if E is reflexive and $b^* \in B^*$ then there exists $b \in B$ so that $Lb = b^*$ and so, using (4.5), for all $a \in M$, $q_{\widetilde{L}}(La-b^*) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(La-Lb) = q_L(a-b)$. (6.1) now implies that

Every maximally monotone subset of a reflexive space is of type (NI). (6.4)

The analysis above is closely related to the *Fitzpatrick functions* that we will discuss in the next section.

7 The Fitzpatrick functions Φ_M and Θ_M

Let M be a maximally monotone subset of B.

Definition 7.1. We define the function $\Phi_M \colon B \to]-\infty, \infty]$ by $\Phi_M(b) := \sup_M [Lb - q_L] = \sup_{a \in M} [\langle a, Lb \rangle - q_L(a)] \quad (b \in B).$ (7.1)

In more conventional notation,
$$\Phi_M: E \times E^* \to]-\infty, \infty]$$
 is defined by

 $\Phi_M(x,x^*) := \sup_{(s,s^*) \in M} \left[\langle s, x^* \rangle + \langle x, s^* \rangle - \langle s, s^* \rangle \right] \quad ((x,x^*) \in E \times E^*).$ (7.2) $\Phi_M \text{ is the Fitzpatrick function of } M. \text{ See [14, Section 23, pp. 99-103] for a history of } \Phi_M. \text{ The following result is well known: see [17, Eqns. (3.0.1) and } M.$

Lemma 7.2. We have

f

$$\Phi_M \in \mathcal{PCLSC}_q(B),\tag{7.3}$$

and, if $b \in B$, then

$$b \in M \iff \Phi_M(b) = q_L(b).$$
 (7.4)

Definition 7.3. We define the function $\Theta_M: B^* \to]-\infty, \infty]$ by

(3.0.2), pp. 6–7], and replace G(S) by M and φ_S by Φ_M .

 $\Theta_M(b^*) := \sup_M \left[b^* - \Phi_M \right] = \sup_{a \in M} \left[\langle a, b^* \rangle - \Phi_M(a) \right] \quad (b^* \in B^*).$ (7.5) Clearly $\Theta_M \in \mathcal{PCLSC}(B^*)$ and, since (7.4) implies that $\Phi_M = q_L$ on M,

 $\Theta_M(b^*) = \sup_M \left[b^* - q_L \right] = \sup_{a \in M} \left[\langle a, b^* \rangle - q_L(a) \right] \quad (b^* \in B^*).$ (7.6) Combining this with (7.1),

$$\Theta_M(Lb) = \Phi_M(b) \quad (b \in B). \tag{7.7}$$

Our next result justifies the introduction of the function Θ_M .

Theorem 7.4. *M* is of type (NI) if, and only if, $\Theta_M \ge q_{\widetilde{L}}$ on B^* .

Proof. Using (6.1) and (4.6), M is of type (NI) exactly when

for all
$$b^* \in B^*$$
, $\inf_{a \in M} \left[q_L(a) - \langle a, b^* \rangle + q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*) \right] \le 0$,

that is to say, for all $b^* \in B^*$, $\sup_{a \in M} [\langle a, b^* \rangle - q_L(a)] \ge q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*)$. The result now follows from the formula for Θ_M in (7.6).

8 The quasidensity of certain coincidence sets

(8.2) below will be used in the initializing of the induction in the main result in this section, Theorem 8.4, while (8.1) below will be used in the inductive step in Theorem 8.4. If c = d or c = e then (8.2) reduces to (3.8).

Lemma 8.1. Let $g \in \mathcal{PC}_q(B)$ and $c, d, e \in B$. Then:

$$2(g - q_L)(d) + 2(g - q_L)(e) + r_L(d - e) \ge \frac{1}{2} ||d - e||^2.$$
(8.1)

Furthermore,

$$2(g-q_L)(d) + r_L(d-c) + 2(g-q_L)(e) + r_L(e-c) \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(\|d-c\| - \|e-c\| \right)^2.$$
(8.2)

Proof. (8.1) is a restatement of (3.8) obtained by adding $\frac{1}{2} ||d-e||^2$ to both sides of (3.8) (and using (3.6)).

From (3.8), (3.1) and (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} &2(g - q_L)(d) + q_L(d - c) + 2(g - q_L)(e) + q_L(e - c) \\ &\geq q_L(d - c) + q_L(e - c) - q_L(d - e) \\ &= q_L(d) + q_L(c) + q_L(e) + q_L(c) - q_L(d) - q_L(e) - \langle d, Lc \rangle - \langle c, Le \rangle + \langle d, Le \rangle \\ &= \langle d, Le \rangle - \langle d, Lc \rangle - \langle c, Le \rangle + \langle c, Lc \rangle = \langle d - c, L(e - c) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $||L|| \leq 1$, it follows that

$$2(g - q_L)(d) + q_L(d - c) + 2(g - q_L)(e) + q_L(e - c) \ge -||d - c|| ||e - c||,$$

and (8.2) is a restatement of this inequality obtained by adding to both sides the quantity $\frac{1}{2} ||d - c||^2 + \frac{1}{2} ||e - c||^2$ (and using (3.6)).

In Lemma 8.2 we give some simple computational rules for conjugates and biconjugates. Lemma 8.2(c) will be used in Lemma 8.3, and Lemma 8.2(d) will be used in Lemma 10.2.

Lemma 8.2. Let $g \in \mathcal{PC}(B)$ and $c \in B$. For all $b \in B$ let $f(b) := g(b+c) - \langle b, Lc \rangle - q_L(c).$

Clearly $f \in \mathcal{PC}(B)$. Then:

- (a) For all $b^* \in B^*$, $f^*(b^*) = g^*(b^* + Lc) \langle c, b^* \rangle q_L(c)$. (b) For all $b^{**} \in B^{**}$, $f^{**}(b^{**}) = g^{**}(b^{**} + \widehat{c}) \langle Lc, b^{**} \rangle q_L(c)$. (c) If, in addition, $g^* \ge q_{\widetilde{L}}$ on B^* , then $f^* \ge q_{\widetilde{L}}$ on B^* . (d) If, in addition, $q_L(c) = 0$ and $g^{**}(b^{**} + \widehat{c}) \le \langle Lc, b^{**} \rangle$ then $f^{**}(b^{**}) \le 0$.

Proof. (a) Let $b^* \in B^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(b^*) &= \sup_{b \in B} \left[\langle b, b^* \rangle - g(b+c) + \langle b, Lc \rangle + q_L(c) \right] \\ &= \sup_{b \in B} \left[\langle b, -c, b^* \rangle - g(b) + \langle b, -c, Lc \rangle + q_L(c) \right] \\ &= \sup_{b \in B} \left[\langle b, b^* \rangle - g(b) + \langle b, Lc \rangle \right] - q_L(c) - \langle c, b^* \rangle \\ &= \sup_{b \in B} \left[\langle b, b^* + Lc \rangle - g(b) \right] - \langle c, b^* \rangle - q_L(c) \\ &= g^*(b^* + Lc) - \langle c, b^* \rangle - q_L(c). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (a). From (a) with B, g, c, f and q_L replaced by B^*, g^*, Lc, f^* and $q_{\widetilde{L}}$, for all $b^{**} \in B^{**}$,

$$\{f^*\}^*(b^{**}) = \{g^*\}^*(b^{**} + \tilde{L}Lc) - \langle Lc, b^{**} \rangle - q_{\tilde{L}}(Lc).$$

(b) as stated follows since, from (4.2) and (4.5), $\tilde{L}Lc = \hat{c}$ and $q_{\tilde{L}}(Lc) = q_L(c)$. (c) is immediate from (a) since $q_{\tilde{L}}(b^* + Lc) - \langle c, b^* \rangle - q_L(c) = q_{\tilde{L}}(b^*)$, and (d) is immediate from (b). This completes the proof of Lemma 8.2. The statement of Lemma 8.3(b) below is essentially of the form "for all $c \in B$ there exists $b \in B$ such that ...". The significance of this is that it will enable us to perform an induction in Theorem 8.4.

Lemma 8.3. (a) Let $c \in B$, $g \in \mathcal{PC}(B)$ and $g^* \ge q_{\widetilde{L}}$ on B^* . Then $\inf_{b \in B} \left[(g - q_L)(b) + r_L(b - c) \right] \le 0.$ (8.3)

(b) Let
$$c \in B$$
, $g \in \mathcal{PC}_q(B)$ and (8.3) be satisfied. Then

$$\inf_{b \in B} \left[(g - q_L)(b) \lor r_L(b - c) \right] \le 0.$$
(8.4)

Proof. Define $f \in \mathcal{PC}(B)$ as in Lemma 8.2. From Lemma 8.2(c), $f^* \geq q_{\widetilde{L}}$ on B^* . Thus, if $b^* \in B^*$ then $f^*(b^*) + \frac{1}{2} || - b^* ||^2 \geq q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*) + \frac{1}{2} || - b^* ||^2 \geq 0$. Using Rockafellar's version of the Fenchel duality theorem (see Rockafellar, [10, Theorem 3(a), p. 85], Zălinescu, [19, Theorem 2.8.7(iii), p. 127], or [14, Corollary 10.3, p. 52]) and appealing to (3.6) and (3.2),

$$\inf_{b \in B} \left[(g - q_L)(b) + r_L(b - c) \right] = \inf_{b \in B} \left[(g - q_L)(b + c) + r_L(b) \right]$$

= $\inf_{b \in B} \left[g(b + c) - q_L(b + c) + q_L(b) + \frac{1}{2} \|b\|^2 \right]$
= $\inf_{b \in B} \left[g(b + c) - \langle b, Lc \rangle - q_L(c) + \frac{1}{2} \|b\|^2 \right]$
= $\inf_B [f + \frac{1}{2} \| \cdot \|^2] = -(f + \frac{1}{2} \| \cdot \|^2)^*(0)$
= $-\min_{b^* \in B^*} \left[f^*(b^*) + \frac{1}{2} \| - b^* \|^2 \right] \le 0.$

This completes the proof of (a). From (a), for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $(g-q_L)(b)+r_L(b-c) < \varepsilon$. From (3.7), $r_L(b-c) \ge 0$, and so $(g-q_L)(b) < \varepsilon$. Since $g \ge q_L$ on B, $r_L(b-c) < \varepsilon$. Thus $(g-q_L)(b) \lor r_L(b-c) < \varepsilon$. This completes the proof of (b).

Theorem 8.4 (Quasidensity of coincidence sets). Let $g \in \mathcal{PCLSC}_q(B)$ and, for all $c \in B$, (8.4) be satisfied. Then the set $A := \{B | g = q_L\}$ is quasidense and maximally monotone.

Proof. Let $c_0 \in B$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. From (8.4), we can choose $b \in B$ so that

$$(g - q_L)(b) < \frac{1}{16}$$
 and $r_L(b - c_0) < \frac{1}{16}$. (8.5)

Let $0 < K < \frac{1}{2}$ and

$$26K^2 + 10K ||b - c_0|| + 10K < \varepsilon.$$
(8.6)

Similarly, we can choose $c_1, c_2, \dots \in B$ inductively so that, for all $n \ge 1$,

$$(g - q_L)(c_n) < K^2/4^n$$
 and $r_L(c_n - c_{n-1}) < K^2/4^n$. (8.7)

In particular, setting n = 1,

$$(g-q_L)(c_1) < K^2/4 < \frac{1}{16}$$
 and $r_L(c_1-c_0) < K^2/4 < \frac{1}{16}$. (8.8)
From (8.2) with $c := c_0, d := b$, and $e := c_1$, (8.5) and (8.8),

$$\frac{6}{16} \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(\|b - c_0\| - \|c_1 - c_0\| \right)^2,$$

and so

$$||c_1 - c_0|| < ||b - c_0|| + 1.$$
(8.9)

Using (8.7) both as stated and with n replaced by n + 1, (8.1) with $d := c_{n+1}$ and $e := c_n$ implies that, for all $n \ge 1$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|c_{n+1} - c_n\|^2 \le 2(g - q_L)(c_{n+1}) + r_L(c_{n+1} - c_n) + 2(g - q_L)(c_n) \\ \le 2K^2/4^{n+1} + K^2/4^{n+1} + 2K^2/4^n = 11K^2/4^{n+1}.$$

Thus $||c_{n+1} - c_n||^2 < 22K^2/4^{n+1}$, and so $||c_{n+1} - c_n|| < 5K/2^{n+1}$. It follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} c_n$ exists. Let $a := \lim_{n\to\infty} c_n$. From the lower semicontinuity of g, (3.5) and (8.7), $(g - q_L)(a) \leq 0$ and so $a \in A$. Clearly

$$\|a - c_1\| = \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (c_{n+1} - c_n)\| \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|c_{n+1} - c_n\| < 5K.$$
(8.10)
Since $\|a - c_0\| \le \|a - c_1\| + \|c_1 - c_0\|$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|a - c_0\|^2 \le \frac{1}{2} \|a - c_1\|^2 + \|a - c_1\| \|c_1 - c_0\| + \frac{1}{2} \|c_1 - c_0\|^2.$$
(8.11)

Since $||L|| \leq 1$, (3.2) implies that

$$q_L(a - c_0) = q_L((a - c_1) + (c_1 - c_0))$$

= $q_L(a - c_1) + \langle a - c_1, L(c_1 - c_0) \rangle + q_L(c_1 - c_0)$
 $\leq q_L(a - c_1) + ||a - c_1|| ||c_1 - c_0|| + q_L(c_1 - c_0).$

Adding this to (8.11) and using (3.6), (3.7), (8.10), (8.8), (8.9) and (8.6),

$$r_L(a-c_0) \le r_L(a-c_1) + 2||a-c_1|| ||c_1-c_0|| + r_L(c_1-c_0)$$

$$\le ||a-c_1||^2 + 2||a-c_1|| ||c_1-c_0|| + r_L(c_1-c_0)$$

$$\le 25K^2 + 10K||c_1-c_0|| + K^2/4 \le 26K^2 + 10K||c_1-c_0||$$

$$\le 26K^2 + 10K||b-c_0|| + 10K < \varepsilon.$$

Since this analysis can be performed for an arbitrary $c_0 \in B$, this establishes that A is quasidense in B. From Theorem 3.3, A is monotone, and, from Theorem 5.2, maximally monotone.

We note that the above analysis uses the inequality $r_L(a-c_1) \leq ||a-c_1||^2$ but not the (equally valid) inequality $r_L(c_0-c_1) \leq ||c_0-c_1||^2$. The reason for this is that (8.10) shows that $||a-c_1||$ is *small*, while (8.9) shows only that $||c_1-c_0||$ is *not big*.

9 The main equivalence

Let M be a maximally monotone subset of B. Lemma 9.1 will be used in both Theorem 9.2 and Theorem 11.1.

Lemma 9.1. Let Θ_M be as in (7.5) and $b^* \in B^*$. Then

$$\Theta_M^*(\widetilde{L}b^*) \ge \Phi_M^*(b^*) \ge \Theta_M(b^*). \tag{9.1}$$

Proof. From (4.3), for all $b \in B$, $\langle Lb, \widetilde{L}b^* \rangle = \langle b, b^* \rangle$ and so, from (7.7), $\Theta_M^*(\widetilde{L}b^*) = \sup_{c^* \in B^*} \left[\langle c^*, \widetilde{L}b^* \rangle - \Theta_M(c^*) \right] \ge \sup_{b \in B} \left[\langle Lb, \widetilde{L}b^* \rangle - \Theta_M(Lb) \right]$ $= \sup_{b \in B} \left[\langle b, b^* \rangle - \Phi_M(b) \right] = \Phi_M^*(b^*),$ which gives the first inequality in (9.1). The second inequality in (9.1) is obvious from (2.1) and (7.5) since $B \supset M$.

Theorem 9.2. The following five conditions are equivalent

$$M$$
 is quasidense. (9.2)

$$M \text{ is of type (NI)}.$$
(9.3)

$$\Theta_M \ge q_{\widetilde{i}} \quad on \ B^*. \tag{9.4}$$

$$\Phi_M^* \ge q_{\widetilde{L}} \quad on \ B^*. \tag{9.5}$$

For all
$$c \in B$$
, $\inf_{b \in B} \left[(\Phi_M - q_L)(b) + r_L(b - c) \right] \le 0.$ (9.6)

Proof. It is clear from Theorem 6.3 that $(9.2) \Longrightarrow (9.3)$, from Theorem 7.4 that $(9.3) \iff (9.4)$ and, from the second inequality in (9.1) that $(9.4) \Longrightarrow (9.5)$. Now Lemma 7.2 implies that $\Phi_M \in \mathcal{PCLSC}_q(B)$ and so, if (9.5) is satisfied, then (9.6) follows from Lemma 8.3(a) with $g := \Phi_M$. Finally, if (9.6) is satisfied then, from Lemma 8.3(b) and Theorem 8.4, the set $\{B|\Phi_M = q_L\}$ is quasidense. However, from Lemma 7.2 (again), this set is exactly M, and so (9.2) is satisfied. □

Remark 9.3. It is clear from (6.4) that the five conditions (9.2)–(9.6) are automatically satisfied if M is a maximally monotone subset of a reflexive space.

Problem 9.4. (9.1) raises the issue whether M is necessarily quasidense if $\Theta_M^* \circ \widetilde{L} \ge q_{\widetilde{L}}$ on B^* .

10 7 Technical lemmas

Lemma 10.1 will be used twice in Theorem 11.1. Lemma 10.2 will be used in Lemma 10.7. Lemma 10.3 will also be used (via Lemma 10.5) in Lemma 10.7, which leads directly to Lemma 10.9. Lemma 10.9 and Lemma 10.10 will both be used in Theorem 11.1, as well as in Theorem 11.8.

Lemma 10.1. Let $g \in \mathcal{PC}(B^*)$ and $g \geq q_{\widetilde{L}}$ on B^* . Then $\{B^* | g = q_{\widetilde{L}}\} \subset \{B^* | g^* \circ \widetilde{L} = q_{\widetilde{L}}\}.$

Proof. Let $b^* \in \{B^* | g = q_{\widetilde{L}}\}, c^* \in B^*, \lambda \in]0,1[$ and $\mu := 1 - \lambda$. From the convexity of g and (4.4),

$$\begin{split} \lambda g(c^*) + \mu q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*) &= \lambda g(c^*) + \mu g(b^*) \geq g \left(\lambda c^* + \mu b^* \right) \\ &\geq q_{\widetilde{L}} \left(\lambda c^* + \mu b^* \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \lambda c^* + \mu b^*, \lambda \widetilde{L} c^* + \mu \widetilde{L} b^* \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \left\langle c^*, \widetilde{L} c^* \right\rangle + \lambda \mu \left\langle c^*, \widetilde{L} b^* \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \left\langle b^*, \widetilde{L} b^* \right\rangle \\ &= \lambda^2 q_{\widetilde{L}}(c^*) + \lambda \mu \left\langle c^*, \widetilde{L} b^* \right\rangle + \mu^2 q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*). \end{split}$$

Thus $\lambda g(c^*) + \lambda \mu q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*) \geq \lambda^2 q_{\widetilde{L}}(c^*) + \lambda \mu \langle c^*, \widetilde{L}b^* \rangle$. Dividing by λ , we have $g(c^*) + \mu q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*) \geq \lambda q_{\widetilde{L}}(c^*) + \mu \langle c^*, \widetilde{L}b^* \rangle$. Letting $\lambda \to 0$ (so that $\mu \to 1$) and rearranging the terms, we see that $q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*) \geq \langle c^*, \widetilde{L}b^* \rangle - g(c^*)$. Taking the supremum over c^* , $q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*) \geq g^*(\widetilde{L}b^*)$. On the other hand, $g^*(\widetilde{L}b^*) \geq \langle b^*, \widetilde{L}b^* \rangle - g(b^*) = \langle b^*, \widetilde{L}b^* \rangle$.

 $2q_{\tilde{L}}(b^*) - q_{\tilde{L}}(b^*) = q_{\tilde{L}}(b^*)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 10.1. (This proof is based partly on the proof of [14, Lemma 19.12, p. 82].)

Lemma 10.2. Let $(z^*, z^{**}) \in B^*$, $g \in \mathcal{PCLSC}(B)$ and $g^{**}(z^{**}, \widehat{z^*}) \leq \langle z^*, z^{**} \rangle$. Let $f_0(x, v^*) := q(x, v^* + z^*) - \langle x, z^* \rangle$. Then $f_0^{**}(z^{**}, 0) \leq 0$.

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 8.2(d) with $c = (0, z^*)$ and $b^{**} = (z^{**}, 0)$, representing B^{**} by $E^{**} \times E^{***}$ in the usual way, since $q_L(c) = 0$, $b^{**} + \hat{c} =$ $(z^{**}, 0) + (0, \widehat{z^*}) = (z^{**}, \widehat{z^*})$ and $(Lc, b^{**}) = \langle (z^*, 0), (z^{**}, 0) \rangle = \langle z^*, z^{**} \rangle.$

Lemma 10.3. Let $m \geq 1$, $f_0 \in \mathcal{PCLSC}(B)$ and f_1, \ldots, f_m be real, convex, continuous functions on B. Suppose that there exists $b^{**} \in B^{**}$ such that, for all $i = 0, \ldots, m$, $f_i^{**}(b^{**}) \leq 0$. Let $\eta > 0$. Then there exists $b \in B$ such that, for all $i = 0, \ldots, m, f_i(b) \leq \eta$.

Proof. Let $g := \bigvee_{i=0}^{m} f_i \in \mathcal{PCLSC}(B)$. From [14, Corollary 45.5, p. 174] or [5, Corollary 7, p. 3558],

 $\inf_{B} \bigvee_{i=0}^{m} f_{i} = \inf_{B} g = \langle 0, b^{**} \rangle - g^{*}(0) \le g^{**}(b^{**}) = \bigvee_{i=0}^{m} f_{i}^{**}(b^{**}) \le 0.$ This gives the desired result.

In what follows, \mathbb{I} is the indicator function of \cdot , and E_1^* and E_1^{**} are the closed unit balls of E^* and E^{**} , respectively.

Lemma 10.4. Let $z^{**} \in E^{**}$ and $w^* \in E^*$. Then:

$$\begin{split} f_1(x,v^*) &:= \|x\| - \|z^{**}\| \implies f_1^* = \mathbb{I}_{E_1^* \times \{0\}} + \|z^{**}\|, \\ f_2(x,v^*) &:= \|v^*\| \implies f_2^* = \mathbb{I}_{\{0\} \times E_1^{**}}, \\ and \quad h(x,v^*) &:= \langle w^*, \hat{x} - z^{**} \rangle \implies h^* = \mathbb{I}_{\{(w^*,0)\}} + \langle w^*, z^{**} \rangle. \\ Furthermore, \ f_1^{**}(z^{**}, 0) &= f_2^{**}(z^{**}, 0) = 0 \ and \ h^{**}(z^{**}, 0) = 0. \end{split}$$

Proof. These all follow by direct computation.

Lemma 10.5. Let $f_0 \in \mathcal{PCLSC}(B), \ z^{**} \in E^{**}, \ f_0^{**}(z^{**}, 0) \leq 0, \ \Omega$ be a nonempty finite subset of E^* and $\eta > 0$. Then there exists $(x, v^*) \in B$ such that

$$\begin{cases} f_0(x, v^*) \le \eta, \\ \|x\| \le \|z^{**}\| + \eta, \ \|v^*\| \le \eta \text{ and, for all } w^* \in \Omega, \langle w^*, \hat{x} - z^{**} \rangle \le \eta. \end{cases}$$
(10.1)

Proof. Define the real, continuous convex functions f_1, f_2 on B as in Lemma 10.4 and, for all $w^* \in \Omega$, f_{w^*} on B by $f_{w^*}(x, v^*) := \langle w^*, \hat{x} - z^{**} \rangle$. By hypothesis, $f_0^{**}(z^{**}, 0) \leq 0$ and, from Lemma 10.4, $f_1^{**}(z^{**}, 0) = f_2^{**}(z^{**}, 0) = 0$ and, for all $w^* \in \Omega$, $f_{w^*}^{**}(z^{**}, 0) = 0$. The result now follows from Lemma 10.3.

Definition 10.6. Let $\Omega \subset E^*$. We say that Ω is *symmetric* if

$$w^* \in \Omega \implies -w^* \in \Omega$$

Lemma 10.7. Let M be quasidense and maximally monotone, $(z^*, z^{**}) \in B^*$, $K := 2||z^{**}|| + 6$, $\Phi_M^{**}(z^{**}, \widehat{z^*}) \leq \langle z^*, z^{**} \rangle$, Ω be a nonempty symmetric finite subset of E^* and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let α be a shorthand for the pair (Ω, ε) . Then there exists $(s_\alpha, s^*_\alpha) \in M$ (depending on Ω and ε) such that $||s^*_\alpha - z^*|| \leq \varepsilon$, $||s_\alpha|| \leq K$ and, for all $w^* \in \Omega$, $|\langle w^*, \widehat{s_\alpha} - z^{**} \rangle| \leq \varepsilon$.

Proof. Let $f_0(x, v^*) := \Phi_M(x, v^* + z^*) - \langle x, z^* \rangle$, and choose $\eta \in]0, 1[$ so that

$$(1 \vee \max_{w^* \in \Omega} \|w^*\|) (K/2) \sqrt{\eta} + \eta < \varepsilon.$$
(10.2)

From Lemma 10.2 with $g := \Phi_M$, $f_0^{**}(z^{**}, 0) \leq 0$. From Lemma 10.5, there exists $(x_\alpha, v_\alpha^*) \in B$ such that (10.1) is satisfied (with the subscripts attached). Substituting in the value of f_0 , $\Phi_M(x_\alpha, v_\alpha^* + z^*) - \langle x_\alpha, z^* \rangle \leq \eta$. Setting $x_\alpha^* := v_\alpha^* + z^*$ in this inequality and (10.1),

$$\Phi_M(x_\alpha, x_\alpha^*) - \langle x_\alpha, z^* \rangle \le \eta, \tag{10.3}$$

$$||x_{\alpha}|| \le ||z^{**}|| + \eta \le ||z^{**}|| + 1 = K/2 - 2, \tag{10.4}$$

$$||x_{\alpha}^* - z^*|| \le \eta,$$
 (10.5)

nd, for all
$$w^* \in \Omega$$
, $\langle w^*, \widehat{x_{\alpha}} - z^{**} \rangle \le \eta$. (10.6)

From (5.2), there exists $(s_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}^*) \in M$ such that

a

$$\frac{1}{2} \|s_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|s_{\alpha}^{*} - x_{\alpha}^{*}\|^{2} + \langle s_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}^{*} - x_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle \le \eta,$$
(10.7)
and (7.2) and (10.3) imply that

and (7.2) and (10.3) imply that

$$\langle s_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle + \langle x_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle - \langle s_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle \leq \Phi_{M}(x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}^{*}) \leq \langle x_{\alpha}, z^{*} \rangle + \eta,$$

from which

$$\begin{aligned} -\langle s_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}^{*} - x_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle &= \langle s_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle + \langle x_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle - \langle s_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle - \langle x_{\alpha}, x_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle \leq \langle x_{\alpha}, z^{*} - x_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle + \eta. \\ \text{Adding this to (10.7) and, using (10.4) and (10.5) and the fact that $K/2 < K^{2}/8, \\ \frac{1}{2} \|s_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|s_{\alpha}^{*} - x_{\alpha}^{*}\|^{2} \leq \langle x_{\alpha}, z^{*} - x_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle + 2\eta \leq \|x_{\alpha}\| \|z^{*} - x_{\alpha}^{*}\| + 2\eta \end{aligned}$$$

$$\leq (K/2 - 2)\eta + 2\eta = K\eta/2 < K^2\eta/8.$$

Since $\eta < 1$, $||s_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha}|| \le (K/2)\sqrt{\eta} \le K/2$ and $||s_{\alpha}^* - x_{\alpha}^*|| \le (K/2)\sqrt{\eta}$. Using (10.4) again,

 $\|s_{\alpha}\| \leq \|s_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha}\| + \|x_{\alpha}\| \leq K/2 + K/2 = K, \text{ as required.}$ Using (10.5) again and (10.2),

$$\begin{split} \|s_{\alpha}^*-z^*\| \leq \|s_{\alpha}^*-x_{\alpha}^*\| + \|x_{\alpha}^*-z^*\| \leq (K/2)\sqrt{\eta} + \eta \leq \varepsilon, \text{ as required.} \\ \text{Finally, from (10.6) and (10.2), for all } w^* \in \Omega, \end{split}$$

$$\langle w^*, \widehat{s_{\alpha}} - z^{**} \rangle = \langle w^*, \widehat{s_{\alpha}} - \widehat{x_{\alpha}} \rangle + \langle w^*, \widehat{x_{\alpha}} - z^{**} \rangle \leq ||w^*|| ||s_{\alpha} - x_{\alpha}|| + \eta$$

$$\leq (||w^*|| K/2) \sqrt{\eta} + \eta < \varepsilon.$$

Since Ω is symmetric, it follows that, for all $w^* \in \Omega$, $|\langle w^*, \widehat{s_\alpha} - z^{**} \rangle| < \varepsilon$, as required.

Definition 10.8. We write $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{NW}}$ for the norm $\times w(E^{**}, E^*)$ topology on B^*

Lemma 10.9. Let M be quasidense and maximally monotone, $b^* \in B^*$ and

 $\Phi_M^{**}(\widetilde{L}b^*) \leq q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*)$. Then there exists a bounded net a_α of element of M such that $La_\alpha \to b^*$ in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{NW}}$.

Proof. This is immediate from Lemma 10.7.

Lemma 10.10. Let M be maximally monotone, $b^* \in B^*$, and a_{α} be a bounded net of elements of M such that $La_{\alpha} \to b^*$ in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{NW}}$. Then $\Theta_M(b^*) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*)$.

Proof. Let $b^* = (z^*, z^{**}) \in B^*$ and $a_\alpha = (s_\alpha, s_\alpha^*)$. Since $\lim_\alpha ||z^* - s_\alpha^*|| = 0$ and $\sup_\alpha ||z^{**} - \widehat{s_\alpha}|| < \infty$,

 $\limsup_{\alpha} |\langle z^* - s^*_{\alpha}, z^{**} - \widehat{s_{\alpha}} \rangle| \le \lim_{\alpha} \left[||z^* - s^*_{\alpha}|| ||z^{**} - \widehat{s_{\alpha}}|| \right] = 0.$

Consequently, $\lim_\alpha \langle z^* - s^*_\alpha, z^{**} - \widehat{s_\alpha} \rangle = 0$ and so

$$\lim_{\alpha} \left[\langle a_{\alpha}, b^* \rangle - q_L(a_{\alpha}) \right] = \lim_{\alpha} \left[\langle s_{\alpha}, z^* \rangle + \langle s^*_{\alpha}, z^{**} \rangle - \langle s_{\alpha}, s^*_{\alpha} \rangle \right] \\ = \langle z^*, z^{**} \rangle - \lim_{\alpha} \langle z^* - s^*_{\alpha}, z^{**} - \widehat{s_{\alpha}} \rangle = \langle z^*, z^{**} \rangle.$$

Thus, from (7.6), $\Theta_M(b^*) = \sup_{a \in M} \left[\langle a, b^* \rangle - q_L(a) \right] \ge \langle z^*, z^{**} \rangle = q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*).$

Now let $a = (s, s^*)$ be an arbitrary element of M. Since $\sup_{\alpha} ||s_{\alpha} - s|| < \infty$ and $\lim_{\alpha} ||s_{\alpha}^* - z^*|| = 0$, $\limsup_{\alpha} |\langle s_{\alpha} - s, s_{\alpha}^* - z^* \rangle| \le \lim_{\alpha} [||s_{\alpha} - s|| ||s_{\alpha}^* - z^*||] = 0$. Consequently, $\lim_{\alpha} \langle s_{\alpha} - s, s_{\alpha}^* - z^* \rangle = 0$. It follows from this that

$$\lim_{\alpha} \left[\langle s_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle - \langle s, s_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle - \langle s_{\alpha}, z^{*} \rangle \right] = -\langle s, z^{*} \rangle.$$
(10.8)

Since $\widehat{s_{\alpha}} \to z^{**}$ in $w(E^{**}, E^{*})$, $\lim_{\alpha} \langle z^{*} - s^{*}, \widehat{s_{\alpha}} - z^{**} \rangle = 0$, from which $\lim_{\alpha} \left[\langle a, z^{*}, a^{**} \rangle \right] = \langle z^{*}, z^{**} \rangle = \langle a^{*}, z^{**} \rangle$

$$\lim_{\alpha} \left[\langle s_{\alpha}, z^{*} - s^{*} \rangle \right] = \langle z^{*}, z^{**} \rangle - \langle s^{*}, z^{**} \rangle.$$
(10.9)

Adding (10.8) and (10.9) and noting that $-\langle s_{\alpha}, z^* \rangle + \langle s_{\alpha}, z^* - s^* \rangle = -\langle s_{\alpha}, s^* \rangle$,

 $\lim_{\alpha} \left[\langle s_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle - \langle s, s_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle - \langle s_{\alpha}, s^{*} \rangle \right] = -\langle s, z^{*} \rangle + \langle z^{*}, z^{**} \rangle - \langle s^{*}, z^{**} \rangle.$ (10.10) Since *M* is monotone, for all α , $\langle s_{\alpha} - s, s_{\alpha}^{*} - s^{*} \rangle \ge 0$ and so

$$\langle s_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle - \langle s, s_{\alpha}^{*} \rangle - \langle s_{\alpha}, s^{*} \rangle \ge -\langle s, s^{*} \rangle.$$
(10.11)

From (10.10) and (10.11), $-\langle s, z^* \rangle + \langle z^*, z^{**} \rangle - \langle s^*, z^{**} \rangle \ge -\langle s, s^* \rangle$. This is equivalent to the assertion that $\langle s, z^* \rangle + \langle s^*, z^{**} \rangle - \langle s, s^* \rangle \le \langle z^*, z^{**} \rangle$, that is to say, $\langle a, b^* \rangle - q_L(a) \le q_{\widetilde{L}}(z^*, z^{**})$. Taking the supremum over $a \in M$ and using (7.6), $\Theta_M(b^*) \le q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 10.10.

11 The Fitzpatrick or Gossez extension

Theorem 11.1. Let M be a quasidense maximally monotone subset of B and $b^* \in B^*$. Then the following eight conditions are equivalent:

$$\Theta_M^*(Lb^*) \le q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*). \tag{11.1}$$

$$\Phi_M^{*}(b^*) \le q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*). \tag{11.2}$$

$$\Theta_M(b^*) \le q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*). \tag{11.3}$$

$$\Theta_M^{*}(Lb^*) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*). \tag{11.4}$$

$$\Phi_M^{-}(b^*) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*). \tag{11.5}$$

$$\Theta_M(b^*) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*). \tag{11.6}$$

$$\Theta_M(o) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(o). \tag{11.0}$$

$$\Phi_M^{**}(Lb^*) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*). \tag{11.7}$$

$$\Phi_M^{**}(\widetilde{L}b^*) \le q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*). \tag{11.8}$$

Proof. It is clear from Lemma 9.1 that $(11.1) \Longrightarrow (11.2) \Longrightarrow (11.3)$ and, from (9.4) and Lemma 10.1 with $g := \Theta_M$ that $(11.3) \Longrightarrow (11.4) \Longrightarrow (11.1)$. Thus (11.1)-(11.4) are equivalent. The equivalence of these with (11.5) and (11.6) is immediate from (9.5) and (9.4). Thus (11.1)-(11.6) are equivalent.

If (11.2) is satisfied then (11.7) follows from (9.5) and Lemma 10.1 with $g := \Phi_M^*$. It is obvious that (11.7) implies (11.8). Suppose, finally, that (11.8) is true. Let $b^* = (z^*, z^{**}) \in B^*$, so $\Phi_M^{**}(z^{**}, \widehat{z^*}) \leq \langle z^*, z^{**} \rangle$. From Lemma 10.9 and Lemma 10.10, $\Theta_M(b^*) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*)$. Thus (11.6) is satisfied, and we have proved that (11.2) \Longrightarrow (11.7) \Longrightarrow (11.8) \Longrightarrow (11.6). This completes the proof of Theorem 11.1.

Problem 11.2. A comparison of (11.7) and (11.8) leads to the following question: if M is a quasidense maximally monotone subset of B then is is true that, for all $b^* \in B^*$, $\Phi_M^{**}(\tilde{L}b^*) \ge q_{\tilde{L}}(b^*)$?

Problem 11.3. A comparison of (9.4) and (9.5) leads to the conjecture that if M is quasidense then $\Theta_M = \Phi_M^*$. The result of Theorem 11.1 leads to the same conjecture. We do not know if this is true even if E is reflexive.

Definition 11.4. Let M be maximally monotone. Using the notation of this paper, the *Gossez Extension*, $M^{\mathbb{G}}$, of M is defined in Phelps, [8, Definition 3.1, pp. 216-217] as $M^{\mathbb{G}} := \{b^* \in B^*: \inf_{a \in M} q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^* - La) \ge 0\}$. From (7.6), this can be rewritten as $M^{\mathbb{G}} = \{b^* \in B^*: \Theta_M(b^*) \le q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*)\}$.

Now let M be quasidense and maximally monotone. From (9.5), mimicking the proof of Theorem 3.3, $M^{\mathbb{F}} := \{b^* \in B^* : \Phi_M^*(b^*) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*)\}$ is a monotone subset of B^* . We call $M^{\mathbb{F}}$ the *Fitzpatrick Extension* of M. This concept was introduced in [15, Definition 8.5, p. 1037] in the study of sum theorems with range constraints. Theorem 11.1 gives seven other descriptions of $M^{\mathbb{F}}$. It was proved in [15, Theorem 12.5, p. 1047] that $M^{\mathbb{F}}$ is always *maximally monotone*. We will give a more direct proof of this fact in Theorem 11.6. A comparison with (11.3) shows that $M^{\mathbb{G}} = M^{\mathbb{F}}$ when M is quasidense, and that Theorem 11.1 gives seven other descriptions of $M^{\mathbb{G}}$ in this case.

In Example 12.4, we will construct an example of a quasidense maximally monotone set, M, for which $M^{\mathbb{F}}$ is not quasidense, thus answering in the negative the question posed in [15, Problem 12.7, p. 1047].

Our next result justifies the use of the word *extension* in Definition 11.4.

Lemma 11.5. Let
$$M$$
 be quasidense and maximally monotone. Then
 $M = L^{-1}M^{\mathbb{F}}$ (from which $L(M) \subset M^{\mathbb{F}}$). (11.9)

Proof. Let $b \in B$. It follows from (7.4), (7.7), (4.5) and (11.6) that $b \in M \iff \Phi_M(b) = q_L(b) \iff \Theta_M(Lb) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(Lb) \iff Lb \in M^{\mathbb{F}} \iff b \in L^{-1}M^{\mathbb{F}}$.

Theorem 11.6. Let M be quasidense and maximally monotone. Then $M^{\mathbb{F}}$ is a maximally monotone subset of B^* .

Proof. As we observed in Definition 11.4, $M^{\mathbb{F}}$ is a monotone subset of B^* . It remains to prove the *maximal monotonicity* of $M^{\mathbb{F}}$. So we suppose that

 $b^* \in B^*$ and, for all $a^* \in M^{\mathbb{F}}, \ q_{\widetilde{L}}(a^* - b^*) \ge 0$ (11.10)

and we will establish that

$$b^* \in M^{\mathbb{F}}.\tag{11.11}$$

So suppose that (11.10) is satisfied. From (4.6), (11.9) and (11.10), for all $a \in M$, $q_L(a) - \langle a, b^* \rangle + q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(La - b^*) \ge 0$. Thus, from (7.6), $q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*) \ge \sup_{a \in M} [\langle a, b^* \rangle - q_L(a)] = \Theta_M(b^*)$, and (11.11) follows from (11.3).

Definition 11.7. Let M be maximally monotone. In [8], M is defined to be of type (D) if, $b^* \in M^{\mathbb{G}}$, implies that there exists a bounded net a_{α} of element of M such that $La_{\alpha} \to b^*$ in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{NW}}$.

Our next result is fundamental.

Theorem 11.8. Let M be maximally monotone. Then M is quasidense if, and only if, M is of type (D).

Proof. Suppose first that M is quasidense and $b^* \in M^{\mathbb{G}}$. From the remarks in Definition 11.4 and (11.8), $b^* \in M^{\mathbb{F}}$ and $\Phi_M^{**}(\widetilde{L}b^*) \leq q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*)$, and so Lemma 10.9 provides the required net of elements of M.

Now suppose, conversely that M is of type (D) and $b^* = (z^*, z^{**}) \in B^*$. Suppose first that $b^* \in M^{\mathbb{G}}$. From Definition 11.7, there exists a bounded net a_{α} of elements of M such that $La_{\alpha} \to b^*$ in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{NW}}$. From Lemma 10.10, $\Theta_M(b^*) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*)$. If, on the other hand, $b^* \in B^* \setminus M^{\mathbb{G}}$ then the remarks in Definition 11.4 imply that $\Theta_M(b^*) > q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*)$. Thus, in any case, $\Theta_M(b^*) \ge q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*)$. From Theorem 7.4, M is of type (NI), and it follows from Theorem 9.2 that M is quasidense.

Remark 11.9. It is proved in [6, Theorem 4.4, pp. 1084-1085] that M is of type (NI) if, and only if, M is of type (D). That proof is far from trivial. Theorem 11.8 provides another way of looking at this result.

12 Wrong way sets

Lemma 12.1. The map $L: B \to B^*$ is injective.

Proof. Let $x \in E$. If $x \neq 0$ then, from the Hahn-Banach theorem, there exists $y^* \in E^*$ such that $\langle x, y^* \rangle \neq 0$, from which $\langle y^*, \hat{x} \rangle \neq 0$, and so $\hat{x} \neq 0$. Taking the contrapositive, $\hat{x} = 0 \implies x = 0$. It is clear from this that if $b \in B$ and Lb = 0 then b = 0. Since L is linear, this establishes that L is injective

Let $\mathcal{B} := E^* \times E$ and π_1 be the projection of \mathcal{B} onto E^* .

Theorem 12.2. Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}$, $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) = E^*$, $M := \{(x, x^*): (x^*, x) \in \mathcal{M}\} \subset B$ and L(M) be a maximally monotone subset of B^* . Then M is a quasidense maximally monotone subset of B and

$$L(M) = M^{\mathbb{F}}.$$
(12.1)

Proof. Let $b_1, b_2 \in M$. Then $Lb_1, Lb_2 \in L(M)$ and, since L(M) is monotone, $q_{\widetilde{L}}(Lb_1 - Lb_2) \geq 0$. From (4.5), $q_L(b_1 - b_2) \geq 0$. Consequently, M is monotone. We now prove that M is a maximally monotone subset of B. Let $b \in B$ and, for all $a \in M$, $q_L(b-a) \geq 0$. If $b^* \in L(M)$ then there exists $a \in M$ such that $b^* = La$. But then $q_{\widetilde{L}}(Lb - b^*) = q_{\widetilde{L}}(Lb - La) = q_L(b-a) \geq 0$ and, since L(M) is maximally monotone, $Lb \in L(M)$. From Lemma 12.1, $b \in M$. This completes the proof of the maximal monotonicity of M.

We now prove that M is a quasidense subset of B. To this end, let (x^*, x^{**}) be an arbitrary element of B^* . Since $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) = E^*$, there exists $(y^*, y) \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $y^* = x^*$. Then $(y, y^*) \in M$ and (7.6) implies that

 $\Theta_M(x^*, x^{**}) \ge \langle (y, y^*), (x^*, x^{**}) \rangle - q_L(y, y^*)$

 $= \langle y, x^* \rangle + \langle y^*, x^{**} \rangle - \langle y, y^* \rangle = \langle x^*, x^{**} \rangle = q_{\widetilde{L}}(x^*, x^{**}).$

and it follows from (9.4) that M is quasidense. (This argument is taken from [15, Corollary 7.9, p. 1034.])

From (11.9), $L(M) \subset M^{\mathbb{F}}$. By hypothesis, L(M) is maximally monotone and, from Theorem 11.6, so is $M^{\mathbb{F}}$. Thus $L(M) = M^{\mathbb{F}}$, completing the proof of (12.1).

Fact 12.3. Every monotone linear map with full domain from a Banach space into its dual is maximally monotone. See Phelps–Simons, [9, Corollary 2.6, p. 306]. We do not know the original source of the result.

Example 12.4. Let $E = c_0$ and define $T_0: E^* = \ell_1 \to c_0$ by $(T_0x)_n = \sum_{k \ge n} x_k$. Let $\mathcal{M} := G(T_0) \subset \ell_1 \times c_0$. Clearly $\pi_1(\mathcal{M}) = \ell_1 = E^*$. Define $\mathcal{M} \subset c_0 \times \ell_1$ by $\mathcal{M} = \{(T_0x, x)\}_{x \in \ell_1}$. Then $L(\mathcal{M}) = \{(x, \widehat{T_0x})\}_{x \in \ell_1} = G(T)$, where $T: E^* = \ell_1 \to \ell_\infty$ is defined by $(Tx)_n = \sum_{k \ge n} x_k$. T is the "tail operator". From Fact 12.3, T is maximally monotone, and so Theorem 12.2 implies that \mathcal{M} is a quasidense maximally monotone subset of B such that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{F}} = G(T)$. Thus, from [15, Example 7.10, pp. 1034–1035], $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is not quasidense. On the other hand, Theorem 11.6 implies that $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{F}}$ is maximally monotone.

By virtue of Theorem 11.8 and the remarks in Definition 11.4, M is also a maximally monotone subset of $c_0 \times \ell_1$ of type (D) such that $M^{\mathbb{G}}$, though maximally monotone, is not of type (D). The first example of this kind was given by Bueno-Svaiter in [2, Proposition 3.2, p. 299].

13 The calculus of [@]

For all $g \in \mathcal{PC}(B)$, we write $g^{@} = g^* \circ L$. Since we have $g^{@}(b) = g^*(Lb) = \sup_{c \in B} [\langle b, Lc \rangle - g(c)], g^{@}$ is lower semicontinuous.

Lemma 13.1. Let $k \in \mathcal{PC}_q(B)$. Then $\{B|k = q_L\} \subset \{B|k^{@} = q_L\}$.

Proof. The proof of this is identical with that of Lemma 10.1 if we replace g by k, remove the *s, change $q_{\widetilde{L}}$ to q_L , and change \widetilde{L} to L.

We note for Theorem 13.2 that, since q is not assumed to be lower semicontinuous, we cannot apply Theorem 8.4. This dilemma is solved by the introduction of the function k.

Theorem 13.2. Let $g \in \mathcal{PC}_q(B)$ and $g^* \ge q_{\widetilde{L}} \text{ on } B^*.$ Then $\{B|g^{@} = q_L\}$ is quasidense and maximally monotone. (13.1)

Proof. We write k for the (convex) function whose epigraph is the closure of the epigraph of q. It is well known that k is also the largest lower semicontinuous function on B such that $k \leq q$ on B. It is also well known that

> $k^* = q^*$ on B^* . (13.2)

Combining this with (13.1),

$$k^* \ge q_{\widetilde{L}} \text{ on } B^*. \tag{13.3}$$

From (3.5), q_L is (continuous hence) lower semicontinuous and $q_L \leq g$ on B, and so $q_L \leq k$ on B, from which $k \in \mathcal{PCLSC}_q(B)$. Thus Lemma 8.3 and Theorem 8.4, with g replaced by k, imply that

 $\{B|k = q_L\}$ is quasidense and maximally monotone. (13.4)Composing (13.3) with L and using (4.5),

$$k^{@} \ge q_L \text{ on } B. \tag{13.5}$$

(13.5) and Theorem 3.3 (with $g := k^{@}$) imply that $\{B|k^{@} = q_L\}$ is monotone and Lemma 13.1 implies that $\{B|k = q_L\} \subset \{B|k^{\textcircled{0}} = q_L\}$. The maximality mentioned in (13.4) gives $\{B|k = q_L\} = \{B|k^{\textcircled{m}} = q_L\}$, and so $\{B|k^{\textcircled{m}} = q_L\}$ is quasidense and maximally monotone. Composing (13.2) with $L, k^{@} = g^{@}$ on B. This gives the desired result.

The *K*-reduction of a quasidense maximally $\mathbf{14}$ monotone set.

For the rest of this paper, K will be a nonempty convex $w(E^*, E)$ -compact subset of E^* . We first investigate a subset H of B determined by K.

Lemma 14.1. Define the function $S_K : E \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ by $S_K(x) := \max\langle x, K \rangle$. Let $H := \{(h, h^*) \in E \times K : \langle h, h^* \rangle = S_K(h)\}.$ Then: (a) *H* is maximally monotone. (b) Let $(x, x^*) \in E \times E^*$. Then $\Phi_H(x, x^*) = S_K(x) + \mathbb{I}_K(x^*)$. (c) Let $(y^*, y^{**}) \in E^* \times E^{**}$. Then $\Phi_H^*(y^*, y^{**}) = \mathbb{I}_K(y^*) + \sup\langle K, y^{**} \rangle$. (d) *H* is quasidense.

- (e) $(y^*, y^{**}) \in H^{\mathbb{F}}$ if, and only if, $y^* \in K$ and $\langle y^*, y^{**} \rangle = \max\langle K, y^{**} \rangle$.
- (f) S_K is Lipschitz, hence continuous.

Proof. (a) Suppose $(h, h^*), (k, k^*) \in H$. Then $h^* \in K, \langle h, h^* \rangle = S_K(h), k^* \in K$ and $\langle k, k^* \rangle = S_K(k)$. Consequently,

Thus H is monotone. We now prove that H is maximally monotone. So suppose that $(x, x^*) \in E \times E^*$ and,

for all
$$(h, h^*) \in H$$
, $\langle x - h, x^* - h^* \rangle \ge 0.$ (14.1)

Now if $(h, h^*) \in H$ and $\lambda > 0$, $(\lambda h, h^*) \in H$ and $(h/\lambda, h^*) \in H$. Consequently, for all $(h, h^*) \in H$ and $\lambda > 0$, $\langle x - \lambda h, x^* - h^* \rangle \ge 0$ and $\langle x - h/\lambda, x^* - h^* \rangle \ge 0$, from which $\langle x, x^* - h^* \rangle \geq \lambda \langle h, x^* - h^* \rangle$ and $\lambda \langle x, x^* - h^* \rangle \geq \langle h, x^* - h^* \rangle$. Letting $\lambda \to \infty$, we see that (14.1) implies that $\langle h, h^* \rangle \ge \langle h, x^* \rangle$ and $\langle x, x^* \rangle \ge \langle x, h^* \rangle$.

Suppose first that $x^* \notin K$. Since K is $w(E^*, E)$ -compact and convex, there exists $h \in E$ such that $S_K(h) < \langle h, x^* \rangle$. Choose $h^* \in K$ so that $\langle h, h^* \rangle = S_K(h)$, so $(h, h^*) \in H$ and $\langle h, h^* \rangle < \langle h, x^* \rangle$, a contradiction. Thus $x^* \in K$. Suppose next that $x^* \in K$ but $\langle x, x^* \rangle < S_K(x)$. Choose $h^* \in K$ such that $\langle x, h^* \rangle = S_K(x)$. So $(x, h^*) \in H$ and $\langle x, x^* \rangle < \langle x, h^* \rangle$, another contradiction. Thus $\langle x, x^* \rangle = S_K(x)$, and so $(x, x^*) \in H$. This completes the proof of the maximal monotonicity of H.

(b) We have

$$\Phi_H(x, x^*) = \sup_{(h, h^*) \in H} [\langle x, h^* \rangle + \langle h, x^* \rangle - \langle h, h^* \rangle]$$
(14.2)

$$= \sup_{(h,h^*)\in H,\lambda>0} [\langle x,h^* \rangle + \langle \lambda h,x^* \rangle - \langle \lambda h,h^* \rangle]$$
(14.3)

$$= \sup_{(h,h^*)\in H} [\langle x,h^* \rangle + \langle h,x^* \rangle - S_K(h)].$$
(14.4)

If $x^* \notin K$, we choose $(h, h^*) \in H$ as above, so that $\langle h, x^* \rangle > \langle h, h^* \rangle$. Letting $\lambda \to \infty$ in (14.3), $\Phi_H(x, x^*) = \infty$. If $x^* \in K$ then, for all $h^* \in K$, $(0, h^*) \in H$. From (14.2), $\Phi_H(x, x^*) \geq \langle x, h^* \rangle$. Consequently, $\Phi_H(x, x^*) \geq S_K(x)$. On the other hand, since $x^* \in K$, for all $(h, h^*) \in H$, $\langle h, x^* \rangle \leq S_K(h)$. From (14.4), $\Phi_H(x, x^*) \leq \sup_{(h, h^*) \in H} [\langle x, h^* \rangle] \leq S_K(x), \text{ which gives (b).}$ (c) From (b),

The form (b),

$$\Phi_{H}^{*}(y^{*}, y^{**}) = \sup_{(x,x^{*})\in E\times E^{*}}[\langle x, y^{*}\rangle + \langle x^{*}, y^{**}\rangle - S_{K}(x) - \mathbb{I}_{K}(x^{*})]$$

$$= \sup_{(x,x^{*})\in E\times K}[\langle x, y^{*}\rangle - S_{K}(x) + \langle x^{*}, y^{**}\rangle]$$

$$= \sup_{x\in E}[\langle x, y^{*}\rangle - S_{K}(x)] + \sup_{x^{*}\in K}[\langle x^{*}, y^{**}\rangle].$$
is completes the proof of (c)

This completes the proof of (c).

If $y^* \notin K$, $\Phi_H^*(y^*, y^{**}) = \infty$. If $y^* \in K$, $\Phi_H^*(y^*, y^{**}) = \sup\langle K, y^{**} \rangle \geq$ $\langle y^*, y^{**} \rangle$. Thus (d) follows from (9.5), and (e) follows from (11.5).

From the Banach–Steinhaus theorem, K is bounded. S_K is obviously sublinear and so, for all $x, h \in E$, $S_K(x+h) - S_K(x) \leq S_K(h) \leq ||h|| \sup ||K||$. (f) is immediate from this.

In Theorem 14.2 we shall suppose that M is a quasidense maximally monotone set and K, in addition, satisfies (14.5). We will show in (14.9), (14.11) and (14.12) how to construct a quasidense maximally monotone set Q such that, among other things, $\pi_2(Q) \subset K$.

Theorem 14.2. Let M be a quasidense maximally monotone subset of B and H be as in Lemma 14.1. For all $(x, x^*) \in B$, let

$$g(x, x^*) := \inf_{u,v \in E, u+v=x} \left[\Phi_M(u, x^*) + \Phi_H(v, x^*) \right].$$

Let π_2 be the projection of B onto E^* and $\pi_2(M) \cap \operatorname{int} K \neq \emptyset.$ (14.5) Then (we caution the reader that we do not assert that $g \in \mathcal{PCLSC}_q(B)$) $a \in \mathcal{PC}_q(B)$ (14.6)

$$g \in \mathcal{PC}_{q}(B).$$
(14.0)

Let $b^{*} = (y^{*}, y^{**}) \in E^{*} \times E^{**}.$ Then

$$g^*(b^*) = \min_{u^{**}, v^{**} \in E^{**}, u^{**} + v^{**} = y^{**}} \left[\Phi_M^*(y^*, u^{**}) + \Phi_H^*(y^*, v^{**}) \right], \quad (14.7)$$

$$g^* \ge q_{\widetilde{L}} \quad on \ B^*, \tag{14.8}$$

and $\{B|g^{@} = q_L\}$ is quasidense and maximally monotone. (14.9) Furthermore, let $(x, x^*) \in E \times E^*$. Then

 $g^{@}(x,x^{*}) = \min_{u^{**},v^{**} \in E^{**},u^{**}+v^{**}=\widehat{x}} \left[\Phi_{M}^{*}(x^{*},u^{**}) + \Phi_{H}^{*}(x^{*},v^{**}) \right].$ (14.10) Now let $Q := \{ B | g^{@} = q_{L} \}.$ Then

$$(q,q^*) \in Q \iff \text{there exist } u^{**}, v^{**} \in E^{**} \text{ such that} \\ u^{**} + v^{**} = \widehat{q}, \ (q^*, u^{**}) \in M^{\mathbb{F}} \text{ and } (q^*, v^{**}) \in H^{\mathbb{F}}, \end{cases}$$

$$(14.11)$$

and
$$\pi_2(Q) \subset K.$$
 (14.12)

Proof. It is easy to see that g is convex. From two applications of (7.3), for all $(x,x^*) \in B$, $g(x,x^*) \geq \inf_{u,v \in E, u+v=x} [\langle u, x^* \rangle + \langle v, x^* \rangle] = \langle x, x^* \rangle > -\infty$. (14.5) gives us $(k,k^*) \in M$ with $k^* \in \operatorname{int} K$, from which $(0,k^*) \in H$. From two applications of (7.4), $\Phi_M(k,k^*) = \langle k,k^* \rangle$ and $\Phi_H(0,k^*) = \langle 0,k^* \rangle = 0$, thus $g(k,k^*) \leq \langle k,k^* \rangle + 0 = \langle k,k^* \rangle < \infty$, which gives (14.6). We now embark on the proof of (14.7). We leave to the reader the simple verification that

 $g^*(b^*) \leq \inf_{u^{**}, v^{**} \in E^{**}, u^{**} + v^{**} = y^{**}} \left[\Phi_M^*(y^*, u^{**}) + \Phi_H^*(y^*, v^{**}) \right].$ So what we have to prove is that there exist $u^{**}, v^{**} \in E^{**}$ such that

 $u^{**} + v^{**} = y^{**}$ and $\Phi_M^{*}(y^*, u^{**}) + \Phi_H^{*}(y^*, v^{**}) \le g^{*}(b^*)$. (14.13) Since g is proper, $g^{*}(b^*) > -\infty$, so we can suppose that $g^{*}(b^*) \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $\beta, \gamma: E \times E \times E^* \mapsto]-\infty, \infty]$ by

$$\beta(u,v,x^*) := \Phi_M(u,x^*) - \left\langle (u,x^*), b^* \right\rangle$$

and

$$\gamma(u, v, x^*) := \Phi_H(v, x^*) - \langle v, y^* \rangle + g^*(b^*).$$

Then $\beta(k, k, k^*) = \Phi_M(k, k^*) - \langle (k, k^*), b^* \rangle = \langle k, k^* \rangle - \langle (k, k^*), b^* \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ and, from Lemma 14.1(b), $\gamma(u, v, x^*) := S_K(v) + \mathbb{I}_K(x^*) - \langle v, y^* \rangle + g^*(b^*)$. So if x^* is sufficiently close to k^* then $\gamma(u, v, x^*) := S_K(v) - \langle v, y^* \rangle + g^*(b^*)$. It follows from Lemma 14.1(f) that γ is continuous at the element (k, k, k^*) of dom β . Furthermore, for all $(u, v, x^*) \in E \times E \times E^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta + \gamma)(u, v, x^*) &= \Phi_M(u, x^*) - \left\langle (u, x^*), b^* \right\rangle + \Phi_H(v, x^*) - \left\langle v, y^* \right\rangle + g^*(b^*) \\ &= \Phi_M(u, x^*) + \Phi_H(v, x^*) - \left\langle (u + v, x^*), b^* \right\rangle + g^*(b^*) \\ &\geq g(u + v, x^*) - \left\langle (u + v, x^*), b^* \right\rangle + g^*(b^*) \ge 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Rockafellar's version of the Fenchel duality theorem, [10, Theorem 1], that there exists $(u^*, v^*, v^{**}) \in E^* \times E^* \times E^{**}$ such that

 $\beta^*(-u^*, -v^*, -v^{**}) + \gamma^*(u^*, v^*, v^{**}) \le 0.$ (14.14) Since this implies that $\beta^*(-u^*, -v^*, -v^{**}) < \infty$ and $\gamma^*(u^*, v^*, v^{**}) < \infty$, $v^* = 0, \ \beta^*(-u^*, -v^*, -v^{**}) = \Phi_M^*(y^* - u^*, y^{**} - v^{**}),$ $u^* = 0 \text{ and } \gamma^*(u^*, v^*, v^{**}) = \Phi_H^*(v^* + y^*, v^{**}) - g^*(b^*).$ Thus (14.14) reduces to

 $\Phi_M^*(y^*, y^{**} - v^{**}) + \Phi_H^*(y^*, v^{**}) - g^*(b^*) \le 0,$

and (14.13) follows with $u^{**} := y^{**} - v^{**}$. This completes the proof of (14.7). It is also clear from (14.7) and two applications of (9.5) that

 $g^{*}(b^{*}) \geq \inf_{u^{**}, v^{**} \in E^{**}, u^{**} + v^{**} = y^{**}} \left[\langle y^{*}, u^{**} \rangle + \langle y^{*}, v^{**} \rangle \right] = \langle y^{*}, y^{**} \rangle = q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^{*}),$ which gives (14.8), and (14.9) follows from Theorem 13.2. We obtain (14.10) by setting $(y^*, y^{**}) = L(x, x^*) = (x^*, \hat{x})$ in (14.7).

We now establish (14.11). First let $(q, q^*) \in Q$. Then $g^{(0)}(q, q^*) = q_L(q, q^*) =$ $\langle q, q^* \rangle$. From (14.10), there exist $u^{**}, v^{**} \in E^{**}$ such that

 $u^{**} + v^{**} = \widehat{q}$ and $\Phi_M^*(q^*, u^{**}) + \Phi_H^*(q^*, v^{**}) = \langle q, q^* \rangle$.

From two applications of (9.5), we know that $\Phi_M^*(q^*, u^{**}) \ge \langle q^*, u^{**} \rangle$ and $\Phi_H^*(q^*, v^{**}) \ge \langle q^*, v^{**} \rangle$. Since $\langle q^*, u^{**} \rangle + \langle q^*, v^{**} \rangle = \langle q^*, \hat{q} \rangle = \langle q, q^* \rangle$, in fact $\Phi_M^*(q^*, u^{**}) = \langle q^*, u^{**} \rangle$ and $\Phi_H^*(q^*, v^{**}) = \langle q^*, v^{**} \rangle$. From (11.5), $(q^*, u^{**}) \in M^{\mathbb{F}}$ and $(q^*, v^{**}) \in H^{\mathbb{F}}$, as required.

Suppose, conversely, that there exist $u^{**}, v^{**} \in E^{**}$ such that $u^{**} + v^{**} = \hat{q}$, $(q^*, u^{**}) \in M^{\mathbb{F}}$ and $(q^*, v^{**}) \in H^{\mathbb{F}}$. But then $\Phi_M^*(q^*, u^{**}) = \langle q^*, u^{**} \rangle$ and $\Phi_H^*(q^*, v^{**}) = \langle q^*, v^{**} \rangle$. From (14.10) and (14.8), $g^{@}($

$$(q,q^*) \le \Phi_M^*(q^*,u^{**}) + \Phi_H^*(q^*,v^{**}) = \langle q^*,u^{**} \rangle + \langle q^*,v^{**} \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle q^*, \widehat{q} \right\rangle = q_{\widetilde{L}}(q^*, \widehat{q}) \le g^*(q^*, \widehat{q}) = g^{@}(q, q^*).$$

Thus $g^{@}(q,q^*) = \langle q^*, \widehat{q} \rangle = \langle q, q^* \rangle = q_L(q,q^*)$, and so $(q,q^*) \in Q$. This completes the proof of (14.11).

Finally, if $(q, q^*) \in Q$ then from (14.11), $(q^*, v^{**}) \in H^{\mathbb{F}}$ and so Lemma 14.1(e) implies that that $q^* \in K$. Consequently, $\pi_2(Q) \subset K$, which gives (14.12).

15Type (FP)

The main results in this section are Theorem 15.3 and Theorem 15.4, in which we prove that a maximally monotone set is quasidense if, and only if, it is of type (FP). The proof of Theorem 15.3 given here is simpler than those previously given, and uses the fact established in Theorem 11.8 that a quasidense maximally monotone set is of type (D). To simplify the computations, we prove a special case of Theorem 15.3 in Lemma 15.1, and obtain the general result by a simple translaton argument. The proof of Theorem 15.4 given here differs from that previously given in that it uses (9.5) rather than (9.3) or (9.4).

Lemma 15.1. Let M be a quasidense maximally monotone subset of B, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\begin{array}{ll} y^* \in \pi_2(M) \ and \ K := [0,y^*] + \varepsilon E_1^*. \ Let \ V \ be \ open \ in \ E^*, \ V \supset K \ and \\ (s,s^*) \in M \ and \ s^* \in V \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \langle s,s^* \rangle \geq 0. \end{array}$ (15.1)

Then
$$(0,0) \in M$$

Proof. We define the quasidense maximally monotone set Q by (14.11). Let $\eta > 0$. From (5.2) (applied to Q and (0,0)), there exist $(q_{\eta}, q_{\eta}^*) \in B$ and $u_{\eta}^{**}, v_{\eta}^{**} \in E^{**} \text{ such that } u_{\eta}^{**} + v_{\eta}^{**} = \widehat{q}, \ (q_{\eta}^*, u_{\eta}^{**}) \in M^{\mathbb{F}}, \ (q_{\eta}^*, v_{\eta}^{**}) \in H^{\mathbb{F}} \text{ and } u_{\eta}^{**} = \widehat{q}, \ (q_{\eta}^*, u_{\eta}^{**}) \in M^{\mathbb{F}}$ $\frac{1}{2} \|q_{\eta}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \|q_{\eta}^{*}\|^{2} + \langle q_{\eta}, q_{\eta}^{*} \rangle < \eta.$ (15.2) From Theorem 11.8 and Definition 11.4, M is of type (D) and $(q_{\eta}^*, u_{\eta}^*) \in M^{\mathbb{G}}$. So there exists a bounded net $\{(s_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}^*)\}$ of elements of M such that $(s_{\alpha}^*, \widehat{s_{\alpha}}) \to (q_{\eta}^*, u_{\eta}^*)$ in the $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{W}}$ topology on B^* . Consequently, $\langle s_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}^* \rangle \to \langle q_{\eta}^*, u_{\eta}^* \rangle$ and $\|s_{\alpha}^* - q_{\eta}^*\| \to 0$. Since $(q_{\eta}^*, v_{\eta}^*) \in H^{\mathbb{F}}$, from Lemma 14.1(e), $q_{\eta}^* \in K \subset V$. Eventually, $s_{\alpha}^* \in V$, and so, from (15.1), eventually $\langle s_{\alpha}, s_{\alpha}^* \rangle \geq 0$. Passing to the limit, $\langle q_{\eta}^*, u_{\eta}^{**} \rangle \geq 0$. It also follows from Lemma 14.1(e) that $\langle q_{\eta}^*, v_{\eta}^{**} \rangle = \max \langle K, v_{\eta}^{**} \rangle \geq \varepsilon \|v_{\eta}^{**}\| \geq 0$. Thus

 $\langle q_{\eta}, q_{\eta}^* \rangle = \langle q_{\eta}^*, \widehat{q}_{\eta} \rangle = \langle q_{\eta}^*, u_{\eta}^{**} \rangle + \langle q_{\eta}^*, v_{\eta}^{**} \rangle \ge \varepsilon ||v_{\eta}^{**}|| \ge 0.$ Combining this with (15.2),

$$\frac{1}{2} \|q_{\eta}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|q_{\eta}^*\|^2 < \eta.$$

Taking η arbitrarily small and using the fact that Q is closed, we derive that $(0,0) \in Q$. Applying the same argument to the element (0,0) of Q, there exist $u_0^{**}, v_0^{**} \in E^{**}$ such that $u_0^{**} + v_0^{**} = 0$, $(0, u_0^{**}) \in M^{\mathbb{F}}$ and $(0, v_0^{**}) \in H^{\mathbb{F}}$. Since $(0, v_0^{**}) \in H^{\mathbb{F}}$, from Lemma 14.1(e), $0 = \langle 0, v_0^{**} \rangle = \sup \langle K, v_0^{**} \rangle \geq \varepsilon ||v_0^{**}|| \geq 0$, and so $v_0^{**} = 0$. Consequently, $u_0^{**} = -v_0^{**} = 0$, and so $(0,0) \in M^{\mathbb{F}}$. It now follows from Lemma 11.5 that $(0,0) \in M$.

Definition 15.2. Let N be a monotone subset of B. We say that N is of type *(FP)* or *locally maximally monotone* if whenever U is a convex open subset of E^* , $(w, w^*) \in E \times U$. $\pi_2(N) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and

 $(t,t^*) \in N$ and $t^* \in U \implies \langle t-w,t^*-w^* \rangle \ge 0$, (15.3) then $(w,w^*) \in N$. (If we take $U = E^*$, we see that every monotone multifunction of type (FP) is maximally monotone.)

Theorem 15.3. Let N be a quasidense, maximally monotone subset of B. Then N is of type (FP).

Proof. Let *U* be a convex open subset of E^* , $(w, w^*) \in E \times U$, $\pi_2(N) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and (15.3) be satisfied. Let $M := N - (w, w^*)$ and $V := U - w^*$. Clearly *M* is a quasidense, maximally monotone subset of *B*, *V* is a convex open subset of E^* , $0 \in V$ and $\pi_2(M) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Fix $y^* \in \pi_2(M) \cap V$. Since *V* is convex, $[0, y^*] \subset V$. Since $[0, y^*]$ is compact, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $[0, y^*] + \varepsilon E_1^* \subset V$. Let $K := [0, y^*] + \varepsilon E_1^*$, so that $V \supset K$. We now show that (15.1) is satisfied. To this end, let $(s, s^*) \in M = N - (w, w^*)$ and $s^* \in V = U - w^*$. Let $(t, t^*) :=$ $(s, s^*) + (w, w^*)$, so $(t, t^*) \in N$ and $t^* \in U$. From (15.3), $\langle t - w, t^* - w^* \rangle \ge 0$, that is to say, $\langle s, s^* \rangle \ge 0$. This completes the proof of (15.1). Thus, from Lemma 15.1, $(0, 0) \in M$, from which $(w, w^*) \in N$. Thus *N* is of type (FP). \Box

In our final result, we will use Goldstine's Theorem in the following form: Let E be a Banach space and $w^{**} \in E^{**}$. Then there exists a net w_{α} of elements of E such that $\widehat{w_{\alpha}} \to w^{**}$ in $w(E^{**}, E^*)$ and, for all α , $||w_{\alpha}|| \leq ||w^{**}||$.

Theorem 15.4. Let N be maximally monotone subset of B of type (FP). Then N is quasidense.

Proof. We will prove that (9.5) is satisfied. To this end, let $b^* = (w^*, w^{**}) \in B^*$.

 $\underline{\text{Case 1}}(w^* \in \pi_2(N).) \text{ Choose } z \in E \text{ such that } (z, w^*) \in N. \text{ From (7.4)}, \\
\Phi_N^*(b^*) = \Phi_N^*(w^*, w^{**}) \ge \langle z, w^* \rangle + \langle w^*, w^{**} \rangle - \Phi_N(z, w^*) \\
= \langle z, w^* \rangle + \langle w^*, w^{**} \rangle - \langle z, w^* \rangle = \langle w^*, w^{**} \rangle = q_{\widetilde{L}}(b^*).$

as required.

<u>Case 2</u>($w^* \notin \pi_2(N)$.) Let $y^* \in \pi_2(N)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. From Goldstine's theorem, there exists $w \in E$ such that $||w|| \leq ||w^{**}||$ and $|\langle y^* - w^*, w^{**} - \widehat{w} \rangle| \leq \varepsilon$. Let $U := [w^*, y^*] + \{t^* \in E^* : ||t^*|| < \varepsilon\}$. U is convex and open. Since $\pi_2(N) \cap U \ni y^*, \pi_2(N) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Since $w^* \notin \pi_2(N), (w, w^*) \in (E \times U) \setminus N$ and so Definition 15.2 implies that there exists $(t, t^*) \in N$ such that $t^* \in U$ and $\langle t - w, t^* - w^* \rangle < 0$, from which

$$t^* \in U$$
 and $\langle t^* - w^*, -\hat{t} \rangle > \langle t^* - w^*, -\hat{w} \rangle.$ (15.4)

Since $t^* \in U$, there exists $x^* \in [w^*, y^*]$ such that $||t^* - x^*|| < \varepsilon$. Since $|\langle y^* - w^*, w^{**} - \widehat{w} \rangle| \le \varepsilon$ and $x^* \in [w^*, y^*]$, it also follows that $|\langle x^* - w^*, w^{**} - \widehat{w} \rangle| \le \varepsilon$ (15.5)

Consequently, from (7.4), (15.4) and (15.5),

$$\Phi_N^*(w^*, w^{**}) - \langle w^*, w^{**} \rangle \ge \langle t, w^* \rangle + \langle t^*, w^{**} \rangle - \Phi_N(t, t^*) - \langle w^*, w^{**} \rangle$$

$$= \langle t, w^* \rangle + \langle t^*, w^{**} \rangle - \langle t, t^* \rangle - \langle w^*, w^{**} \rangle$$

$$= \langle t^* - w^*, w^{**} - \widehat{t} \rangle \ge \langle t^* - w^*, w^{**} - \widehat{w} \rangle$$

$$= \langle t^* - x^*, w^{**} - \widehat{w} \rangle + \langle x^* - w^*, w^{**} - \widehat{w} \rangle$$

$$\ge -\varepsilon ||w^{**} - \widehat{w}|| - \varepsilon \ge -(2||w^{**}|| + 1)\varepsilon.$$

(9.5) now follows by letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, completing the proof of Theorem 15.4. \Box

References

- H. Bauschke, J. M. Borwein, X. Wang and L. Yao, Every maximally monotone operator of Fitzpatrick-Phelps type is actually of dense type, Optim. Lett. 6 (2012), 1875–1881. DOI: 10.1007/s11590-011-0383-2
- [2] O. Bueno and B. F. Svaiter, A Maximal Monotone Operator of Type (D) for which Maximal Monotone Extension to the Bidual is Not of Type (D), J. of Convex Anal., 19(2012), 295–300.
- [3] R. S. Burachik and B. F. Svaiter, Maximal monotonicity, conjugation and the duality product, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 131 (2003), 2379–2383.
- S. Fitzpatrick, Representing monotone operators by convex functions, Workshop/ Miniconference on Functional Analysis and Optimization (Canberra, 1988), 59–65, Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ., 20, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1988.
- [5] S. P. Fitzpatrick and S. Simons, On the pointwise maximum of convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), 3553–3561.
- [6] M. Marques Alves and B. F. Svaiter, On Gossez Type (D) Maximal Monotone Operators, J. of Convex Anal., 17(2010), 1077–1088.

- J.-P. Penot, The relevance of convex analysis for the study of monotonicity, Nonlinear Anal. 58 (2004), 855–871.
- [8] R. R. Phelps, Lectures on Maximal Monotone Operators, Extracta Math. 12 (1997), 193–230.
- [9] R. R. Phelps and S. Simons, Unbounded linear monotone operators on nonreflexive Banach spaces, J. Convex Analysis, 5 (1998), 303–328.
- [10] R. T. Rockafellar, Extension of Fenchel's duality theorem for convex functions, Duke Math. J. 33 (1966), 81–89.
- [11] S. Simons, The range of a monotone operator, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 199 (1996), 176–201.
- [12] —, Minimax and monotonicity, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1693 (1998), Springer-Verlag.
- [13] —, Five kinds of maximal monotonicity, Set-Valued Anal. 9 (2001), 391– 409.
- [14] —, From Hahn-Banach to monotonicity, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1693**, second edition, (2008), Springer-Verlag.
- [15] —, "Densities" and maximal monotonicity, J. of Convex Anal., 23 (2016), 1017–1050.
- [16] —, Quasidense monotone multifunctions, Set-Valued Var. Anal., 26 (2018), 5—26. DOI: s11228-017-0434-7.
- [17] S. Simons and C. Zălinescu, Fenchel duality, Fitzpatrick functions and maximal monotonicity, J. of Nonlinear and Convex Anal., 6 (2005), 1–22.
- [18] D. Zagrodny, The convexity of the closure of the domain and the range of a maximal monotone multifunction of Type NI, Set–Valued Anal, 16 (2008), 759–783. DOI: 10.1007/s11228-008-0087-7
- [19] C. Zălinescu, *Convex analysis in general vector spaces*, (2002), World Scientific.