

SEQUENCES OF MULTIPLE PRODUCTS AND COHOMOLOGY CLASSES FOR FOLIATIONS OF COMPLEX CURVES

A. ZUEVSKY

ABSTRACT. The idea of transversality is explored in the construction of cohomology theory associated to regularized sequences of multiple products of rational functions associated to vertex algebra cohomology of codimension one foliations on complex curves. Explicit formulas for cohomology invariants results from consideration transversality conditions applied to sequences of multiple products for elements of chain-cochain transversal complexes defined for codimension one foliations.

AMS Classification: 53C12, 57R20, 17B69

Conflict of Interest and Data availability Statements:

The author states that:

- 1.) The paper does not contain any potential conflicts of interests.
- 2.) The paper does not use any datasets. No dataset were generated during and/or analysed during the current study.
- 3.) The paper includes all data generated or analysed during this study.
- 4.) Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.
- 5.) The data of the paper can be shared openly.
- 6.) No AI was used to write this paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we develop algebraic and functional-analytic methods of the cohomology theory of foliations on complex curves. The cohomology techniques applied to smooth manifolds are represented both by geometric [18,31,46,48,52,54,63–65,70] and algebraic [32–34] approaches to characterization of foliation leaves. In the long list of works including [1–3, 10–13, 15, 27–30, 51, 54, 58] can only partially reflect the contemporary theory of foliations involving a variety of approaches. As for the theory of vertex algebras [7, 7, 9, 17, 19, 24, 25, 47, 55, 62], it is represented now by a mixture of algebraic, conformal field theory, automorphic forms and several other fields of mathematics related studies. In the conformal field theory algebraic nature of vertex algebra methods applied [4–6, 16, 21, 23, 26, 49, 50, 68, 69], provides extremely powerful tools to compute correlation functions. Geometrically, the behavior of vertex operator formal parameters associated to local coordinates on complex manifolds represents the geometric side of the vertex algebra correlation function theory. On the other hand, the theory of grading-restricted vertex algebras [43]. serves as the algebraic foundation for vertex algebra foliation cohomology. Geometric sewing constructions of higher genus Riemann surfaces [8,20,79] provide models spaces for the construction of sequences of multiple products while

Key words and phrases. Foliation; vertex algebras; cohomology; complex curves.

the analytic part stems from the theory of vertex algebra correlation functions and vertex operator algebra bundles defined on complex curves [7]. The families of chain-cochain complexes associated to a description of foliations in terms of families of transversal sections originate from a combination of the above mentioned techniques.

The idea of a characterization of the space of leaves of a foliation in terms of regularized sequences of rational functions with specific properties originates from conformal field theory methods [7,23,45,71,80] and the algebraic structure of vertex algebra matrix elements. To introduce a sequence of multiple products for elements of families of chain-cochain complexes we use the rich algebraic and geometric structure of of vertex algebra matrix elements [61,73–76]. Computation of higher order cohomology invariant including powers of rational functions originating from vertex algebra matrix elements and generalizing the classical cohomology classes [31] constitutes the main result of the paper in addition to the general construction of a vertex algebra cohomology theory for 5 foliations and the machinery of multiple products for corresponding chain-cochain complexes. Our approach to formulation of the foliation cohomology makes connection to the classical Lie-algebraic approach [27,28] since vertex algebra represent, in particular, generalizations of Lie algebras. In comparison to the classical Čech-de Rham cohomology of foliations [15], our approach involves deep algebraic properties related to vertex algebras to establish new higher order cohomology classes.

Let $W^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, be a set of grading-restricted generalized modules for a grading-restricted vertex algebra V . In Sections 4, 5 the families of chain-cochain complexes and corresponding coboundary operators associated to algebraic completions $\overline{W}^{(i)}$ of grading-restricted vertex algebra modules $W^{(i)}$ are introduced to describe algebraic invariants for a codimension one foliation \mathcal{F} on a complex curve. Here $\mathcal{W}^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, denotes the space of \overline{W} -valued differential forms with specific properties. The transversality conditions established for sequences of multiple product defined on the families of vertex algebra chain-cochain complexes result in sequences of general higher invariants of higher orders of functions and their derivatives.

1.1. The main result of the paper. Let $F \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}, \mathcal{F})$. Let us introduce the set of cohomology classes, for $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\beta = 0, 1$,

$$\left[\text{Sym}_{\cdot \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l} \left(\left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} F^{(i)} \right)^m, \left(\partial_t F^{(i')} \right)^\beta, \left(F^{(i'')} \right)^k \right) \right], \quad (1.1)$$

where the symmetrization is performed over all possible positions of the differentials and elements in the multiple product. We consider also a smoothly varying one real parameter t families of transversely oriented codimension one foliations on M , with F depending on t . The main statement of this paper consists in the following Theorem proven in Section 8 and generalizing classical results of [31] on codimension one foliation invariants:

Theorem 1. *For families of complexes $\{C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}, \mathcal{F})\}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, the sequence of multiple products (3.6), the coboundary operators (5.3), (5.8), the transversality condition (8.1) applied to the families of chain-cochain complexes (5.15), and (5.16), and satisfying the mutual orders condition $\text{ord} \left(\delta_{m_{i_s}}^{k_{i_s}} \Phi^{(i_s)}, \Psi^{(i_{s'})} \right) < m + k - 1$, generate an non-vanishing infinite series of cohomology classes of invariants*

(1.1) for $(2-m)k_i - m + 1 - \beta k_{i'} - k k_{i''} < 0$, and $(2-m)m_i + m - 1 - \beta m_{i'} - k m_{i''} < 0$. where $\beta = 0, 1$; $k, m \geq 0$; $k_i, k_{i'}, k_{i''}, m_i, m_{i'}, m_{i''} \geq 0$. The invariants are independent on the choice of $F^{(i)}, F^{(i')}, F^{(i')}$ satisfying the transversality conditions (8.7). Similar for the families of short complexes (5.16) for an infinite series of pairs $(k_{i_s}, m_{i_s},) = ((1, i_s), (2, i_s), l), ((0, i_s), (3, i_s)), ((1_s), t), i_s = i, i', i'', 0 \leq t \leq 2$.

Results of this paper promise to be developed in various directions. In particular, papers [14, 22, 39, 40, 43, 57] suggest several approaches to cohomology formulation and computation for vertex algebra related structures. The general theory of characteristic classes for arbitrary codimension foliations, and, in particular, possible classification of foliations leaves remain the most desirable problems in the contemporary theory of foliations. The algebraic and geometric origin of problems considered in this paper hint natural directions to generalize constructions associated with vertex algebras and applications. In particular, the problem to distinguish [1, 2, 29] types of compact and non-compact leaves of foliations, requires a further development of algebraic and analytical methods to compute higher order cohomology invariants discussed in this paper. In [58] the author introduced a foliation theory in terms of frames. We would be interested in a development of results of that paper with the vertex algebra theory applied to smooth structures on the space of leaves for foliations. In that particular case, foliation characteristic classes become elements of cohomology of special types of bundles over the space of leaves M/\mathcal{F} . For smooth manifolds, a completely intrinsic cohomology theory formulated in terms of vertex operator algebra bundles [7] would lead to further applications for classification of foliation leaves [1, 2]. In relation to the classical paper [13], one would be interested in clarifying the idea of auxiliary vertex operator algebra bundles construction in order to compute cohomology of foliations. It is important to establish relations with the chiral de-Rham complex on smooth manifolds introduced in [59]. In a separate paper we will consider a cohomology theory for vertex operator algebra bundles [7] defined on arbitrary codimension foliations on smooth manifolds.

The plan of the paper is the following. Section 2 contains a description of the transversal structures for foliations. Subsection 2.1 explains the notion of a transversal sections basis holonomy, multipoint connections, and Čech de Rham cohomology for a foliation. Subsection 2.2 defines the space of \overline{W} -valued differential forms. In Subsection 2.3 a vertex algebra interpretation for the local geometry of foliations is described. In Subsection 2.4 the definition and properties of maps regularized transversal to a number of vertex operators are given. In Section 3 we introduce sequences of multiple products of elements of $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}^{(i)}$ -spaces and study their properties. Subsection 3.1 contains a geometric motivation leading to the notion of sequences of multiple products. In Subsection 3.2 the elimination of coinciding vertex algebra elements and corresponding formal parameters is described. Subsection 3.3 constructs the regularization operation for special type of matrix elements leading to rational functions. The definition of the sequence of multiple products of elements of spaces of differential forms is introduced. In Subsection 3 we prove that the sequence of multiple products map to the tensor product $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}^{(1, \dots, l)}$ -space. In Subsection 3.5 the absolute convergence of the sequences of multiple products is shown. In Subsection 3.6 we prove that a sequence of multiple products satisfies a symmetry property (2.9). In Subsection 3.7 it is shown that sequences of multiple products satisfy $L_V(-1)$ -derivative and $L_V(0)$ -conjugation properties. In

Subsection 3.8 invariance of sequences of multiple products under the action of the group of independent transformations of coordinates is proven. The spaces for families of chain complex associated to a vertex algebra on a foliation are introduced in Section 4. In Subsection 4.1 properties of spaces for vertex algebra complexes are studied. In Section 5 families of coboundary operators and the vertex algebra cohomology of foliations are defined. Subsection 5.1 introduces the coboundary operators for the families complexes in our formulation. In Subsection 5.2 we define families of chain-cochain complexes of transversal connections. Sequences of multiple products for families of complexes are defined in Section 6. In Subsection 6.1 the geometric interpretation of multiple products for a foliation is discussed. The properties of the product are studied in Section 7. In Subsection 7.1 the formal parameters invariance of sequences of multiple products for spaces of complexes is proven. In Subsection 7.2 an analogue of Leibniz rule is proven for sequences of multiple products for spaces of complexes. Section 8 contains the proof of Theorem 1, the main result of this paper. Explicit formulas for multiple products cohomology invariants for a codimension one foliation on a smooth complex curve are found. In Subsection 8.1 the notions related to a vertex operator algebra cohomology are introduced. Subsection 8.2 defines the transversality conditions for multiple products. Subsection 8.3 introduces the series of multiple parametric commutator products for elements of the families of chain-cochain complex spaces. Finally, Subsection 8.4 contains the proof of Theorem 1. In the Appendixes we provide the material required for the construction of the vertex algebra cohomology of foliations. In the Appendix 9 we recall the notion of a quasi-conformal grading-restricted vertex algebra and its modules. In the Appendix 10 properties of matrix elements for the space $\mathcal{W}^{(i)}$ are listed.

2. TRANSVERSAL STRUCTURES FOR A FOLIATION

2.1. Transversal sections and Čech-de Rham cohomology for a foliation.

In this Subsection we recall [15] the notion of a basis of transversal sections for foliations and corresponding holonomy. Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation defined on smooth complex curve M .

Definition 1. An embedded one-dimensional submanifold $U \subset M$ everywhere transversal to leaves of \mathcal{F} is called a transversal section of a foliation \mathcal{F} .

Definition 2. Let U_1 and U_2 be transversal sections through points p_1 and p_2 . A path α connecting two points p_1 and p_2 on the same leaf of \mathcal{F} defines a transport along the leaves from neighborhoods of p_1 to p_2 in corresponding transversal sections U_1 and U_2 , and a germ of a diffeomorphism $hol(\alpha) : (U_1, p_1) \hookrightarrow (U_2, p_2)$, which is called the holonomy of the path α . Two homotopic paths always define the same holonomy.

Definition 3. The holonomy embedding $h : U_1 \hookrightarrow U_2$, defined in all of U_1 embeds U_1 into U_2 in the above transport along α .

Compositions of holonomy embeddings are induced by compositions of paths. The neighborhoods of the leaf through the point p in the space of leaves are represented by the transversal sections U through p . Then we have

Definition 4. If for any transversal section U_p through a given point $p \in M$, there exists a holonomy embedding $h : U \hookrightarrow U_p$, with $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $p \in h(U)$, then a family

\mathcal{U} of such transversal sections $U \subset M$ forms a transversal basis for the space of leaves M/\mathcal{F} of a foliation \mathcal{F} .

A one-dimensional disk given by a chart of \mathcal{F} may represent a transversal section. A transversal basis \mathcal{U} can be constructed through a basis $\tilde{\mathcal{U}}$ of M by domains of foliation charts $\tau_U : \tilde{U} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \times U$, $\tilde{U} \in \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$, with $U = \mathbb{R}$.

In our particular setup of codimension one foliations defined on complex curves it is useful to express the vertex algebra coboundary operators and cohomology in terms of transversal connections. Let us start with the definition of holomorphic multi-point connections on a smooth complex variety \mathcal{X} . Denote by \mathcal{E} be the sheaf of holomorphic sections of a holomorphic vector bundle $\mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ defined over a smooth complex variety \mathcal{X} . Denote by Ω the sheaf of differentials on \mathcal{X} . The definition of a multiple point holomorphic connection over \mathcal{X} is motivated by the definition of the holomorphic connection for a vertex algebra bundle over a smooth complex variety \mathcal{X} [7]. Let \mathcal{X}_0 be a subvariety of \mathcal{X} with a holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{V} defined on \mathcal{X} .

Definition 5. A holomorphic multi-point connection \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{V} is a \mathbb{C} -multi-linear map $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \otimes \Omega$, such that for any holomorphic function f , and two sections $\phi(p)$ and $\psi(p')$ at points p and p' on \mathcal{X}_0 correspondingly,

$$\sum_{q, q' \in \mathcal{X}_0 \subset \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{G}(f(\psi(q)) \cdot \phi(q')) = f(\psi(p')) \mathcal{G}(\phi(p)) + f(\phi(p)) \mathcal{G}(\psi(p')), \quad (2.1)$$

where the summation on left hand side is performed over a locus of points q, q' on \mathcal{X}_0 . We denote by $\text{Con}_{\mathcal{X}_0}(\mathcal{X})$ the space of such connections defined over a smooth complex variety \mathcal{X} .

Definition 6. $\text{Con}_{\mathcal{X}_0, cl}^n$ denotes the space of closed connections \mathcal{G} satisfying (2.1).

A multi-point holomorphic connection (2.1) relates two sections ϕ and ψ of \mathcal{E} at points p and p' with a number of sections at a subvariety \mathcal{X}_0 of \mathcal{X} for a vector bundle \mathcal{V} defined over a complex variety \mathcal{X} ,

Definition 7. We denote by $G^n(p, p', q, q')$ the space of n -point holomorphic connection forms

$$G(\phi, \psi) = f(\phi(p)) \mathcal{G}(\psi(p')) + f(\psi(p')) \mathcal{G}(\phi(p)) - \sum_{q, q' \in \mathcal{X}_0 \subset \mathcal{X}} \mathcal{G}(f(\psi(q')) \cdot \phi(q)) \quad (2.2)$$

Definition 8. A multi-point holomorphic connection \mathcal{G} is called the transversal connection when it satisfies $f(\psi(p')) \mathcal{G}(\phi(p)) + f(\phi(p)) \mathcal{G}(\psi(p')) = 0$. We denote by G_{tr}^2 the space of forms of a transversal connection $G_{tr}(p, p') = (\psi(p')) \mathcal{G}(\phi(p)) + f(\phi(p)) \mathcal{G}(\psi(p'))$.

The factor space $H^n = \text{Con}_{cl}^n / G^{n-1}$ of closed multi-point connections with respect to the space of connection forms determines the cohomology. A construction of a vertex algebra cohomology of foliations in terms of connections related to [13] will be given in a separate paper.

The formulation of a vertex algebra cohomology of a foliation given in Sections 5 and 6 is partially motivated by the construction of the Čech-de Rham cohomology [15] described below. Consider a foliation \mathcal{F} of codimension one defined on a smooth complex curve M . Let $\Omega^l(U_0)$ be the space of differential l -forms on U_0 . One

has the chain complex $C^{k,l} = \prod_{U_0 \xrightarrow{h_1} \dots \xrightarrow{h_k} U_k} \Omega^l(U_0)$. The product ranges over all k -tuples of holonomy embeddings between transversal sections from a fixed transversal basis \mathcal{U} . Components of $\varpi \in C^{k,l}$ are denoted by $\varpi(h_1, \dots, h_l) \in \Omega^l(\mathcal{U}_0)$. The vertical differential is defined as $(-1)^k d : C^{k,l} \rightarrow C^{k,l+1}$, where d is the ordinary de Rham differential. The horizontal differential $\delta : C^{k,l} \rightarrow C^{k+1,l}$, is given by $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i \delta_i$, $\delta_i \varpi(h_1, \dots, h_{k+1}) = G(h_1, \dots, h_{k+1})$, where $G(h_1, \dots, h_{k+1})$ is the multi-point connection of the form (2.1), i.e., for $0 \leq i \leq p+1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_i \varpi(h_1, \dots, h_{p+1}) &= \delta_{i,1} h_1^* \varpi(h_2, \dots, h_{p+1}) \\ &+ (1 - \delta_{i,1} - \delta_{i,p+1}) \varpi(h_1, \dots, h_{i+1} h_i, \dots, h_{p+1}) + \delta_{i,p+1} \varpi(h_1, \dots, h_p). \end{aligned}$$

For $\varpi \in C^{k,l}$ and $\eta \in C^{k',l'}$, and $(\varpi \cdot \eta)(h_1, \dots, h_{k+k'}) \in C^{k+k',l+l'}$, the product

$$(\varpi \cdot \eta)(h_1, \dots, h_{k+k'}) = (-1)^{kk'} \varpi(h_1, \dots, h_k) h_1^* \dots h_k^* \eta(h_{k+1}, \dots, h_{k+k'}),$$

makes the chain complex a bigraded differential algebra.

Definition 9. With the space $\mathcal{C}on_{cl}^{k+1}(h_1, \dots, h_{k+1})$ of closed multi-point connections and the space $G^k(h_1, \dots, h_k)$ of k -point connection forms, the cohomology $\check{H}_{\mathcal{U}}^*(M/\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{C}on_{cl}^{k+1}(h_1, \dots, h_{k+1})/G^k(h_1, \dots, h_k)$, of the complex above is called Čech-de Rham cohomology of the leaf space M/\mathcal{F} with respect to the transversal basis \mathcal{U} .

2.2. The spaces of \overline{W} -valued rational functions. In this Subsection we provide several definitions and properties from [43].

Definition 10. For the permutation group S_q , the elements of $J_{l,s} = \{\sigma \in S_l \mid \sigma(1) < \dots < \sigma(s), \sigma(s+1) < \dots < \sigma(l)\}$ are called shuffles. Here $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq s \leq l-1$, let $J_{l,s}$ is the set of elements of S_l which preserves the order of the first s and the last $l-s$ numbers. We denote also $J_{l,s}^{-1} = \{\sigma \in J_{l,s}\}$.

Definition 11. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the configuration space is defined by $F_n \mathbb{C} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_i \neq z_j, i \neq j\}$.

In the Appendix 9 we review the notion of a grading-restricted vertex algebra V , and its grading-restricted generalized V -module W . The algebraic completion $\overline{W} = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{C}} W_{(n)} = (W')^*$ of W will be denoted as \overline{W} in what follows. We notate by $Rf(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ a rational function if a meromorphic function $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ defined on a domain in \mathbb{C}^n is analytically extendable to a rational function in (z_1, \dots, z_n) .

Definition 12. For any $w' \in W'$, a map $f : F_n \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \overline{W}$, $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$, is called a \overline{W} -valued rational function in (z_1, \dots, z_n) with the only possible poles at $z_i = z_j$, $i \neq j$, the bilinear pairing (see Subsection 9.4 of the Appendix 9) $\langle w', f(z_1, \dots, z_n) \rangle$ defined for W is a rational function $R(f(z_1, \dots, z_n))$ in (z_1, \dots, z_n) with the only possible poles at $z_i = z_j$, $i \neq j$. We denote by $\overline{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}$ the space of \overline{W} -valued rational functions.

Since it does not bring any misunderstanding, we will use the same notation $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for bilinear pairings for different modules of V .

Remark 1. The complex-valued bilinear pairing with an element f of the algebraic completion \overline{W} inserted characterizes a $\overline{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}$ -valued rational function.

Let $\text{Aut}(V)$ be the group of automorphisms of V with elements $g \in \text{Aut}(V)$ commuting with all elements of S_n .

Definition 13. Let g commute with $L_V(-1)$ and $L_W(-1)$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $v_i \in V$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and arbitrary $w' \in W$, a linear map $\Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n) = V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}^{(i)}$, is said to have the $L_V(-1)$ -derivative property if

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w', \partial_{z_i} \Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n) \rangle &= \langle w', \Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; L_V(-1)v_i, z_i; \dots; v_n, z_n) \rangle, \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{z_i} \langle w', \Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n) \rangle &= \langle w', L_W(-1) \cdot \Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The linear operator $e^{zL_W(-1)}$ is well-defined on \overline{W} since $L_W(-1)$ is a weight-one operator on W for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 2. Similar as in [61, 74–76], we include of automorphism elements in Φ in the form $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n) = \Phi^{(i)}(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n) \cdot g_i$ acting on elements of the corresponding module $W^{(i)}$ enriches the analytic structure of a vertex operator algebra matrix elements. Since matrix elements are then involved in determination of cohomology invariants it is also useful to include them in our considerations.

Definition 14. For $\sigma \in S_n$, and $v_i \in V$, $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$\sigma(\Phi)(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n) = \Phi(g; v_{\sigma(1)}, z_{\sigma(1)}; \dots; v_{\sigma(n)}, z_{\sigma(n)}), \quad (2.4)$$

defines the action of the symmetric group S_n on the space $\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes n}, \overline{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n})$ of linear maps from $V^{\otimes n}$ to $\overline{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}$.

The permutation given by $\sigma_{i_1, \dots, i_n}(j) = i_j$, will be notated as $\sigma_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \in S_n$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Proposition 1. For $v_j \in V$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $w' \in W'$, $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in F_n \mathbb{C}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $(z_1 + z, \dots, z_n + z) \in F_n \mathbb{C}$, a linear map Φ satisfying the $L_V(-1)$ -derivative property

$$\langle w', e^{zL_W(-1)} \Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n) \rangle = \langle w', \Phi(g; v_1, z_1 + z; \dots; v_n, z_n + z) \rangle,$$

with $(z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_i + z, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_n) \in F_n \mathbb{C}$, the power series expansion of

$$\langle w', \Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{i-1}, z_{i-1}; v_i, z_i + z; v_{i+1}, z_{i+1}; \dots; v_n, z_n) \rangle, \quad (2.5)$$

in z is equal to the power series

$$\langle w', \Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{i-1}, z_{i-1}; e^{zL_V(-1)} v_i, z_i; v_{i+1}, z_{i+1}; \dots; v_n, z_n) \rangle, \quad (2.6)$$

in z . In the disk $|z| < \min_{i \neq j} \{|z_i - z_j|\}$, the power series (2.6) is absolutely convergent in z to (2.5).

Next, we formulate

Definition 15. For $v_j \in V$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $w' \in W'$, $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in F_n \mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, $(zz_1, \dots, zz_n) \in F_n \mathbb{C}$, a linear map $\Phi : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}^{(i)}$ satisfies the $L_V(0)$ -conjugation property if

$$\langle w', z^{L_W(0)} \Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n) \rangle = \langle w', \Phi(g; z^{L_V(0)} v_1, zz_1; \dots; z^{L_V(0)} v_n, zz_n) \rangle. \quad (2.7)$$

Now let us define the space of $\overline{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}$ -valued differential forms for a quasi-conformal grading-restricted vertex algebra V . This space is used in the construction of families of chain-cochain complexes describing the vertex algebra cohomology of foliations on complex curves. Virasoro algebra $L_V(0)$ -mode weight $\text{wt}(v)$ of a

vertex algebra element v is defined in the Appendix 9. Tensoring with the $\text{wt}(v_i)$ -power differential $dz_i^{\text{wt}(v_i)}$ [7], we consider the space of $\overline{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}$ of functions Φ for $v_i \in V$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and corresponding formal parameters z_i . Consider the space of differential forms $\Phi(g; dz_1^{\text{wt}(v_1)} \otimes v_1, z_1; \dots; dz_n^{\text{wt}(v_n)} \otimes v_n, z_n)$. In what follows, we denote that forms as $\Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n)$ abusing notations. From considerations of [7] (Chapter 6) it follows

Proposition 2. *For generic elements $v_j \in V$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, of a quasi-conformal grading-restricted vertex algebra V , $\Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n)$ is canonical with respect to the action of the group $(\text{Aut } \mathcal{O})_{z_1, \dots, z_n}^{\times n}$ of independent n -dimensional changes*

$$(z_1, \dots, z_n) \mapsto (\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_n) = (\varrho(z_1), \dots, \varrho(z_n)). \quad (2.8)$$

of formal parameters.

Definition 16. We define the space $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}$ of forms $\Phi(g; dz_1^{\text{wt}(v_1)} \otimes v_1, z_1; \dots; dz_n^{\text{wt}(v_n)} \otimes v_n, z_n)$ satisfying $L_V(-1)$ -derivative (2.3), $L_V(0)$ -conjugation (2.7) properties, and the symmetry property with respect to the action of the symmetric group S_n

$$\sum_{\sigma \in J_{t;s}^{-1}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} (\Phi(g; v_{\sigma(1)}, z_{\sigma(1)}; \dots; v_{\sigma(n)}, z_{\sigma(n)})) = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

2.3. Vertex algebra interpretation of the local geometry for a foliation.

Let \mathcal{U} be a basis of transversal sections of \mathcal{F} . We consider a (n, k) -set of points, $n \geq 1$, $k \geq 1$, $(p_1, \dots, p_n; p'_1, \dots, p'_k)$, on a smooth complex curve M . Let us denote the set of the corresponding local coordinates by $(c_1(p_1), \dots, c_n(p_n); c'_1(p'_1), \dots, c'_k(p'_k))$. In what follows we consider points $(p_1, \dots, p_n; p'_1, \dots, p'_k)$, as points on either the space of leaves M/\mathcal{F} of \mathcal{F} , or on transversal sections U_j of a transversal basis \mathcal{U} . For a grading-restricted vertex algebra V , we consider a set $\{W^{(l)}, l \geq 1\}$ of its grading-restricted generalized modules. Depending on particular geometric considerations, it is useful to associate the spaces $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}^{(l)}$, $l \geq 1$, either to objects on transversal sections U of a foliation \mathcal{F} transversal basis \mathcal{U} , or to individual leaves of M/F . In Section 3 we introduce sequences of multiple products of $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}^{(l)}$ -spaces elements. One makes use of the invariants following from the transversality conditions for sequences of multiple products to classify appropriate M/\mathcal{F} -leaves.

We have to pay special attention to considerations of charts of local coordinates along leaves of \mathcal{F} [46, 58] since the space of leaves M/\mathcal{F} for \mathcal{F} is not in general a manifold. Sections of a transversal basis \mathcal{U} are submanifolds of M of dimension equal to the codimension of \mathcal{F} . we will use either local coordinates on M or local coordinates on transversal sections to associate formal parameters of vertex operators considered at points on M/\mathcal{F} with local coordinates. To avoid possible problems with localization of coordinates on leaves of M/\mathcal{F} we construct the families of complexes considered below in such a way that one can always use coordinates on transversal sections only.

For the first n grading-restricted vertex algebra V elements of

$$(v_1, \dots, v_n; v'_1, \dots, v'_k), \quad (2.10)$$

we consider the linear maps

$$\Phi : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{c_1(p_1), \dots, c_n(p_n)}, \quad (2.11)$$

$$\Phi \left(g; dc_1(p_1)^{\text{wt}(v_1)} \otimes v_1, c_1(p_1); \dots; dc_n(p_n)^{\text{wt}(v_n)} \otimes v_n, c_n(p_n) \right). \quad (2.12)$$

It is usual in the theory of correlation functions for vertex algebras on curves [45, 71, 79, 80]), to identify formal parameters (z_1, \dots, z_n) of $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}$, with local coordinates $(c_1(p_1), \dots, c_n(p_n))$ around points p_i , $0 \leq i \leq n$, on M . When we write an element Φ of the space $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}$, we actually have in mind the corresponding matrix element $R(w', \Phi)$ where R denoted the appropriate regularization. We will discuss the operation R in Subsection 3.3. In what follows, we will keep tensor products of vertex algebra elements with weight-valued powers of differentials when it is only necessary.

In [81] we proved, that for arbitrary sets of vertex algebra elements $v_i, v'_j \in V$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, arbitrary sets of points p_i endowed with local coordinates $c_i(p_i)$ on M , and arbitrary sets of points p'_j endowed with local coordinates $c'_j(p'_j)$ on the transversal sections $U_j \in \mathcal{U}$ of M/\mathcal{F} , the element (2.12) as well as the vertex operators

$$\omega_W \left(dc'_j(p'_j)^{\text{wt}(v'_j)} \otimes v'_j, c'_j(p'_j) \right) = Y_W \left(d(c'_j(p'_j))^{\text{wt}(v'_j)} \otimes v'_j, c'_j(p'_j) \right), \quad (2.13)$$

are invariant under the action of the group of independent transformations of coordinates. Then the construction of the spaces for the families of chain-cochain complexes does not depend on the choice of coordinates. Following [7], for $v \in V$, we put the ordinary vertex operator multiplied by the $\text{wt}(v)$ -power $d(c(p))^{\text{wt}(v)}$ of the differential $d(c(p))$ in the right hand side of (2.13).

For codimension one foliations on complex curves, we construct the spaces for families of chain-cochain complexes associated to a grading-restricted vertex algebra in Section 4. In that construction, we consider sections U_j , $j \geq 0$ of a transversal basis \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{F} , and mappings Φ that belong to the space $\mathcal{W}_{c(p_1), \dots, c(p_n)}$ for local coordinates $(c(p_1), \dots, c(p_n))$ on M at points (p_1, \dots, p_n) of intersection of U_j with leaves of M/\mathcal{F} of \mathcal{F} . Consider a collection of k transversal sections U_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$ of \mathcal{U} . In order to define the vertex algebra cohomology of M/\mathcal{F} , we assume that mappings Φ are regularized transversal to k vertex operators. We choose one point p'_j with a local coordinate $c'_j(p'_j)$ on each transversal section U_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$. Let us assume that Φ is regularized transversal to k vertex operators. We denote by $c'_j(p'_j)$, $1 \leq j \leq k$ the formal parameters of k vertex operators regularized transversal to a map Φ . The notion of a regularized transversal map Φ to a number of vertex operators consists of two conditions on Φ . The regularized transversal conditions require the existence of positive integers $N_m^n(v_i, v_j)$, depending on vertex algebra elements v_i and v_j only, restricting orders of poles for the corresponding sums (2.14). Taking into account these conditions above, we will see that the construction of spaces (4.1) for chain-cochain complexes depends on the choice of vertex algebra elements (2.10).

2.4. The regularization of the transversal operators. In the construction of the families of chain-cochain complexes in Section 5 we will use linear maps from tensor powers of V to the space $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}$. For that purpose, in particular, to define a family of coboundary operators, we have to regularize compositions of the vertex operator transversal structure of cochains associated to a transversal basis for a foliation, with vertex operators. To make the regularization mentioned above one considers [45] series obtained by projecting elements of a V -module algebraic completion to their homogeneous components. The homogeneous

components composed with vertex operators under the requirement of analyticity, provide an associative regularization for multiple products in term of absolutely convergent formal sums. Recall definitions and notations of the Appendix 10. For a generalized grading-restricted V -module $W = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{C}} W_{(n)}$, and $q \in \mathbb{C}$, let $P_q : \overline{W} \rightarrow W_{(q)}$, be the projection from \overline{W} to $W_{(q)}$. Let $v_t \in V$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq t \leq m+n$, $w' \in W'$, and $l_1, \dots, l_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be such that $l_1 + \dots + l_n = m+n$. Define $\Xi_s = E_V^{(l_s)}(v_{k_1}, z_{k_1} - \varsigma_s; v_{k_s}, z_{k_s} - \varsigma_s; \mathbf{1}_V)$, where $k_1 = l_1 + \dots + l_{s-1} + 1, \dots, k_i = l_1 + \dots + l_{s-1} + l_s$, for $s = 1, \dots, n$. Let us give the following

Definition 17. For a linear map $\Phi : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}$, the regularized transversal to m vertex operators for $v_{1+m}, \dots, v_{n+m} \in V$, is given by the regularization procedure R that takes an analytic extension of the matrix elements

$$\mathcal{R}_m^{1,n}(\Phi) = R \sum_{r_1, \dots, r_n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle w', \Phi(g; P_{r_1} \Xi_1; \varsigma_1; \dots; P_{r_n} \Xi_n, \varsigma_n) \rangle, \quad (2.14)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_m^{2,n}(\Phi) = R \sum_{q \in \mathbb{C}} \langle w', E_W^{(m)}(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_m, z_m; P_q(\Phi(g; v_{1+m}, z_{1+m}; \dots v_{n+m}, z_{n+m}))) \rangle$$

to the rational functions in $z_1, \dots, z_{m+n} \in \mathbb{C}$, independent of $\varsigma_1, \dots, \varsigma_n \in \mathbb{C}$, absolutely convergent on the domains

$$\begin{aligned} & |z_{l_1 + \dots + l_{i-1} + p} - \varsigma_i| + |z_{l_1 + \dots + l_{j-1} + q} - \varsigma_j| < |\varsigma_i - \varsigma_j|, \\ & 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k, \quad p = 1, \dots, l_i, \quad q = 1, \dots, l_j, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

$$z_{i'} \neq z_{j'}, \quad i' \neq j', \quad |z_{i'}| > |z_k| > 0, \quad i' = 1, \dots, m; \quad k = m+1, \dots, m+n, \quad (2.16)$$

correspondingly, with the pole singular points restricted to $z_i = z_j$, of order less than or equal existing $N_m^n(v_i, v_j) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, depending only on v_i and v_j .

Remark 3. The subspace of linear maps $\text{Hom}(V^{\otimes n}, \mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n})$ regularized transversal to a number of vertex operators, or satisfying Definitions (1), (15) of $L_V(-1)$ -derivative and $L_V(0)$ -conjugation properties, is invariant under the action of the group S_n [43].

3. SEQUENCES OF MULTIPLE PRODUCTS

Let $\{W^{(i)}, 1 \leq i \leq l\}$ be a set of grading-restricted generalized V -modules. In this Section we introduce the sequences of products of elements for a few $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ -spaces, and study their properties. A sequence of multiple products defines an element of the tensor product of several \mathcal{W} -spaces characterized by a converging regularized rational function resulting from the product of matrix elements of the corresponding V -modules.

3.1. The geometric motivation for multiple products of \mathcal{W} -spaces. In Subsection 2.2 Definition 16 of $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_k}^{(l)}$ -spaces was given. By using geometric ideas, we will introduce sequences of multiple products for elements of $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ -spaces though their algebraic structure is quite complicated. In order to define an appropriate sequence of multiple products we first have to establish corresponding geometrical model for \mathcal{W} -spaces. Let us associate a certain model space to each of $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ -spaces. Then a geometric model for a sequence of products should

be defined, and a sequence of algebraic products of $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ -spaces should be introduced.

For a (not necessary finite) set of $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ -spaces, $1 \leq i \leq l$, $k_i \geq 0$, we first associate formal complex parameters in sets $(x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i})$ to parameters of i auxiliary spaces. The formal parameters of the algebraic product of l spaces $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{k_1 + \dots + k_l}}^{(l)}$, should be then identified with parameters of resulting model space. We take the Riemann sphere $\Sigma^{(0)}$ as our initial auxiliary geometric model space to form a sequence of multiple products of spaces of differential forms $\mathcal{W}^{(l)}$ constructed from matrix elements (see Subsection 3.3). The resulting auxiliary/model space is formed by a Riemann surface $\Sigma^{(l)}$ of genus l obtained by the multiple ρ_i -sewing procedures of attaching l handles to the initial Riemann sphere $\Sigma^{(0)}$ where ρ_i are complex parameters, $1 \leq i \leq l$. The local coordinates of $k_1 + \dots + k_l$ points on the Riemann surface $\Sigma^{(l)}$ are identified with the formal parameters $(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{k_l,l})$, $l \geq 1$.

We now recall the ρ -sewing construction [79] of a Riemann surface $\Sigma^{(g+1)}$ formed by self-sewing a handle to a Riemann surface $\Sigma^{(g)}$ of genus g . Consider a Riemann surface $\Sigma^{(g)}$ of genus g , and let ζ_1, ζ_2 be local coordinates in the neighborhood of two separated points p_1 and p_2 on $\Sigma^{(g)}$. For $r_a > 0$, $a = 1, 2$, consider two disks $|\zeta_a| \leq r_a$. To ensure that the disks do not intersect the radii r_1, r_2 must be sufficiently small. Introduce a complex parameter ρ where $|\rho| \leq r_1 r_2$, and excise the disks

$$\{\zeta_a : |\zeta_a| < |\rho| r_a^{-1}\} \subset \Sigma^{(g)}, \quad (3.1)$$

to form a twice-punctured surface $\widehat{\Sigma}^{(g)} = \Sigma^{(g)} \setminus \bigcup_{a=1,2} \{z_a : |\zeta_a| < |\rho| r_a^{-1}\}$. We use the notation $\bar{1} = 2, \bar{2} = 1$. The annular regions $\mathcal{A}_a \subset \widehat{\Sigma}^{(g)}$ are defined though the relation

$$\mathcal{A}_a = \{\zeta_a : |\rho| r_a^{-1} \leq |\zeta_a| \leq r_a\}, \quad (3.2)$$

and identify them as a single region $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \simeq \mathcal{A}_2$ via the sewing relation

$$\zeta_1 \zeta_2 = \rho, \quad (3.3)$$

to form a compact Riemann surface $\Sigma^{(g+1)} = \widehat{\Sigma}^{(g)} \setminus \{\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_2\} \cup \mathcal{A}$, of genus $g + 1$. The multiple sewing procedure repeats the above construction several times with complex sewing parameters ρ_i , $1 \leq i \leq l$. Thus, starting from the Riemann sphere it forms a genus l Riemann surface. As a parameterization of a cylinder connecting the punctured Riemann surface to itself we can consider the sewing relation (3.3).

When we identify the annuli (3.2) in the ρ -sewing procedure, certain r points among points $(p_1, \dots, p_{k_1 + \dots + k_l})$ may coincide. This corresponds to the singular case of coincidence of r formal parameters.

3.2. The elimination of coinciding parameters in multiple products.

Let us now give a formal algebraic definition of the sequence of products of $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ -spaces. Let f_i and g_i be elements of the automorphism groups of V' (the dual space to V with respect the bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\lambda$, (cf. the Appendix 9) and generalized grading-restricted V -modules $W^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$ correspondingly. It is assumed that on each of $W^{(i)}$ there exist a non-degenerate bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Note that we do not consider twisted modules [17, 77]. It will be dealt in a separate paper.

Note that according to our assumption, $(x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}) \in F_{k_i} \mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, i.e., belong to the corresponding configuration space (Definition 11, Subsection 2.2).

As it follows from the definition of $F_n\mathbb{C}$, any coincidence of formal parameters should be excluded from the set of parameters for a product of $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ -spaces. In general, it may happen that some formal parameters of $(x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{k_1,1}, \dots, x_{1,l}, \dots, x_{k_l,l})$, $l \geq 1$, coincide. In Definition 18 of the products below we keep only one of several coinciding formal parameters.

Suppose in (3.4) we have k groups of coinciding formal parameters, $x_{j_1,q,i_1} = x_{j_2,q,i_2} = \dots = x_{j_{s_q},q,i_{s_q}}$, $1 \leq q \leq k$ $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_{s_q} \leq l$. Here s_q denotes the number of coinciding parameters in q -th group. Introduce the operation $\widehat{}$ of exclusion of all $(x_{j_2,q,i_2}, \dots, x_{j_{s_q},q,i_{s_q}})$, $1 \leq q \leq k$, except of the first ones x_{j_1,q,i_1} in each of k groups of coinciding formal parameters of the right hand side of (3.4). Let us denote $\theta_i = k_1 + \dots + k_i$, and r_i , $1 \leq i \leq l$, the number of excluded formal parameters in (3.4), and by $r = \sum_{i=1}^l r_i$ the total number of omitted parameters. In the whole body of the paper, we will denote by $(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r})$ the set of vertex algebra elements and formal parameters which excludes coinciding ones, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r_i}, z_{\theta_l-r_i}) \\ &= (v_{1,1}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{j_1,1,i_1}, x_{j_1,1,i_1}; \dots; \widehat{v}_{j_2,1,i_2}, \widehat{x}_{j_2,1,i_2}, \dots; v_{j_{s_1},1,i_{s_1}}, x_{j_{s_1},1,i_{s_1}}; \\ & \quad v_{j_1,k,i_1}, x_{j_1,k,i_1}; \dots; \widehat{v}_{j_2,k,i_2}, \widehat{x}_{j_2,k,i_2}, \dots; v_{j_{s_k},k,i_{s_k}}, x_{j_{s_k},k,i_{s_k}}; v_{k_l,l}, x_{k_l,l}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

We will require that the set of all formal parameters $(z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r})$ would belong to $F_{\theta_l-r}\mathbb{C}$. Let us introduce the new enumeration of elements of v_j and z_j , $1 \leq j \leq \theta_l - r$. Put $k_0 = 1$, $r_0 = 0$, then set $n_i = \sum_{s=0}^{i-1} (k_s - r_{s-1})$, $1 \leq i \leq l$. Recall the notion of an intertwining operator (9.5) $Y_{WV'}^W(w, z)$, for $w \in W$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ given in the Appendix 9.

3.3. The regularization of multiple product sequences. In order to define appropriately a sequence of multiple products, we have to introduce the operation of regularization which we denote by \mathcal{R} . Considering a combination Φ of elements $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}) \in \mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, according to the definitions of Subsection 2.2, we actually have in mind a matrix element

$$\langle w', \Phi \rangle = R\langle w', \Phi \rangle, \quad (3.5)$$

with $w'_i \in W^{(i)'}$. For elements $\Phi^{(i)} = \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}) \in \mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, we assume that (3.5) converges absolutely (on a certain domain) to a singular-valued rational function which we denote by $R\langle w', \Phi \rangle$. In what follows, with $1 \leq i \leq l$, the notation $(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}) \in \mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)})$ will mean the set $(\Phi^{(1)}, \dots, \Phi^{(l)})$. As we will see below, we will use this also to denote the multiples product.

For an arbitrary element $\Phi \in \widehat{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}$ with the matrix element $\langle w', \Phi w \rangle$, let \mathcal{S} be the operation which chooses a single-valued meromorphic branch of $\langle w', \Phi w \rangle$. Consider L grading-restricted vertex operator algebra modules $W^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq L$. Then for $1 \leq l \leq L$, we define

Definition 18. For $w'_i \in W^{(i)'}$, $u \in V_{(k)}$, and $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}) \in \mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l \leq L$, a sequence of ordered (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) -products, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, is

defined by the meromorphic functions

$$\begin{aligned} & \cdot_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l} (\Phi (g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}))_k \\ &= \widehat{\mathcal{S}} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\Phi^{(i)} (g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) \right) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

extendable to a rational function $\Theta (f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,1}, \zeta_{2,1}; \dots; \zeta_{1,l}, \zeta_{2,l})_k$ on the domain $F\mathbb{C}_{\sum_{i=1}^l k_i}$. In (3.6) $Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}}$ is an intertwining operator interop defined in the Appendix 9. Note that the order of matrix elements in the sequence of products (3.6) is ordered with respect of the sequence of V -modules $W^{(i)}$. In (3.6), $f_i, 1 \leq i \leq l$, represents another collection of V -automorphism group elements. Together with automorphisms g_i , they constitute the whole set of transformations deforming matrix elements for V [61, 75, 76]. As we mentioned in the remark (2), deformations of matrix elements are useful for cohomology descriptions. The expression (3.6) is parametrized by $\zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i} \in \mathbb{C}$, related by the sewing relation (3.3). Here $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $u \in V_{(k)}$ is an element of any $V_{(k)}$ -basis, \bar{u} is the dual of u with respect to a non-degenerate bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\lambda$ over V (see Subsection 9.4 of the Appendix 9).

Here the operation $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$ combines the regularization operation \mathcal{S} with the elimination of coinciding parameters described in Subsection 3.2. The elements u of a vertex algebra grading subspace $V_{(k)}$, their duals \bar{u} , as well as formal parameters $\zeta_{a,i}, a = 1, 2, 1 \leq i \leq l$, bear implicit nature and can be incorporated into the definition of the bilinear pairing (see the Appendix 9). Thus we assume in what follows that the action of the transformation operators as well as vertex algebra operators is taken into account in the definition of a bilinear pairing. For simplicity, for a fixed set (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) , let us denote the sequence of products depending on l elements of $\Phi^{(i)}, 1 \leq i \leq l$, $\cdot_{(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)} (\Phi^{(1)}, \dots, \Phi^{(l)})$ as $(\Phi^{(1)}, \dots, \Phi^{(l)})$. Partial products with the number of parameters different to L will be noted explicitly. Note that the products (3.6) are associative and additive by construction.

For a fixed vertex operator algebra V element $u \in V_{(k)}$, the sequence (3.6) of multiple products contains a product of matrix elements of intertwiners of $\Phi^{(i)}$ multiplied by the corresponding k -power of ϕ_i .

In the simplest case $l = 1$ of the product (3.6) defines another element $\Psi (v'_1, z_1; \dots; v'_k, z_k) \in \mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_k}, k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta (f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, x_1; \dots; v_k, x_k; \rho; \zeta_1, \zeta_2)_k \\ &= \mathcal{S} \rho^k \langle w', Y_{WV'}^W (\Phi (g; v_1, x_1; \dots; v_k, x_k; u, \zeta_1), \zeta_2) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Let us introduce now the regularization operation \mathcal{R} to recurrently define a sum of products for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Starting with the product (3.6) for some particular $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define, for $k_0 \pm 1$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi (g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}))_{k_0 \pm 1} = (\Phi (g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}))_{k_0} \quad (3.8) \\ & + \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^{k_0 \pm 1} \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\Phi^{(i)} (g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) \right) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

with $u \in V_{(k_0 \pm 1)}$. We then can recurrently extend that to both directions for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Here the regularization \mathcal{R} is defined as the following operation. Since the product

(3.6) contains intertwining operators for the corresponding grading-restricted V -modules W_i , $1 \leq i \leq l$, the dependence of the corresponding matrix elements contains [17] rational powers of parameters of elements $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}; u, \zeta_{1,i})$.

Due to the rational power structure it is clear that for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the action of the regularization operation is it always possible to choose a branch of possible multiply-valued form $\prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}}(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}), \zeta_{2,i}) f_i \bar{u} \rangle$, such that its singularities would be at a minimal distance $\epsilon(k)$, (such that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \pm\infty} \epsilon(k) \neq 0$), from singularities of the same product for $k - 1$.

In our particular case of the intertwining operators [17] in (3.6) that means that we choose appropriate values of rational powers of the corresponding parameters.

Concerning singularities of the products in (3.6) a change of a vertex algebra V -element $u \in V_{(k-1)}$ to $u \in V_{(k)}$ results in a change of the rational power of the product dependence.

By continuing the process for further $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and applying the regularization procedure on each step for each k , we obtain the sequence of multiple products for fixed l will always give a function with non-accumulating singularities with $k \rightarrow \pm\infty$.

As a result of the recurrence procedure, we find the multiple product defining a rational function $(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}))_{[k_1, \dots, k_n]}$, for a set of multiple products (3.6) for several consequent values of $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ limited by the strip $[k_1, \dots, k_n]$. We also define the total sequence of products (3.6) considered for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i})) \mapsto \\ & \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,1}, \zeta_{2,1}; \dots; \zeta_{1,l}, \zeta_{2,l}) \\ & = \widehat{\Theta}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_{1,1}}, x_{k_{1,1}}; v_{1,l}, x_{1,l}; \dots; v_{k_{l,l}}, x_{k_{l,l}}; \\ & \quad \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,1}, \zeta_{2,1}; \dots; \zeta_{1,l}, \zeta_{2,l}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Recurrently continuing the construction of (3.8) it is clear that (3.9) has meromorphic properties. In Subsection (3.5) we prove that it converges to a meromorphic function on a specific domain.

Numerous constructions in conformal field theory [23], in particular, by constructions of partition and correlation functions [49, 50, 60, 61, 73–76, 79] on higher genus Riemann surfaces, support the definitions (3.6), (3.9) of the sequences of multiple products. The geometric nature of the genus l Riemann surface sewing construction as a model for multiple product, requires intertwining operators in (3.6), (3.9). Taking into account properties of the corresponding bilinear pairing defined for a vertex operator algebra V , it is natural [74, 76] to associate a V -basis $\{u \in V_{(k)}\}$ and complex parameters $\zeta_{a,i}$, $a = 1, 2$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, with the attachment of a handle to a Riemann surface. The attachment of a twisted handle to the Riemann sphere $\Sigma^{(0)}$ to form a torus $\Sigma^{(1)}$ [73], corresponds to the construction of simplest one ρ -parameter product of \mathcal{W} -spaces described in Subsection 3.1, (3.7) in the geometric model. The element (3.7) defines an automorphism of $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_k}$. The geometric description and a reparametrization of the original Riemann sphere is obtained via the shrinking the parameter ρ .

With some $\varphi, \kappa \in \mathbb{C}$ related [73, 76] to twistings of attached handles in the ρ -sewing procedure, it is convenient to parametrize the automorphism group elements as $g_i = e^{2\pi i \varphi}$, $f_i = e^{2\pi i \kappa}$. An example of the bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ can be given by

(3.5) (see also [56, 67]). The type of a vertex operator algebra V determines the nature of the V automorphisms group (see, e.g., [61, 77]). By means of the redefinition of the bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ (e.g., (9.12), see the Appendix 9), in particular via the sewing relations (3.3), it is possible to relate (e.g., [74–76]) the sewing parameters (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) to parameters $\zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i} \in \mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$. We will omit the $\zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}$ from notations in what follows due to this reason.

The construction of correlation functions for vertex algebras on Riemann surfaces of genus $g \geq 1$ [61, 73] inspires the forms of (3.6), (3.9). One would be interested in consideration of alternative forms of products such as multiple ϵ -sewing [79] products leading to a different system of invariants for foliations. That material will be covered in a separate paper.

Note that (3.9) does not depend on the choice of a basis of $u \in V_{(k)}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. by the standard reasoning [24, 80]. The convergence of (3.9) for any finite l is proven in Subsection 3.5.

In the case when the forms $\Phi^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, that we multiply do not contain V -elements, (3.6) defines the following products $\cdot_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l}(\Phi^{(i)})$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,1}, \zeta_{2,1}; \dots; \zeta_{1,l}, \zeta_{2,l})_k \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V}^{W^{(i)}}(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; u, \zeta_{1,i}), \zeta_{2,i}) f_i \bar{u} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Following the Remark 1, the right hand side of (3.9) is given by a formal series of bilinear pairings summed over a vertex algebra basis. To complete this definition we have to show that a differential form that belongs to the space $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r}}^{(1, \dots, l)}$ is defined by the right hand side of (3.9). As parameters for elements of $\mathcal{W}^{(i)}$ -spaces, we could take $\zeta_{1,i}$ in (3.6), (3.9).

Note that due to (9.5), in Definition 18, it is assumed that $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}; u, \zeta_{1,i})$ are regularized transversal to the grading-restricted generalized V -module $W^{(j)}$, $1 \leq j \leq l$, vertex operators $Y_{W^{(j)}}(u, -\zeta_{1,j})$. (cf. Subsection 2.4). The products (3.9) are actually defined by the sum of products of matrix elements of generalized grading-restricted V -modules $W^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$. The parameters $\zeta_{1,i}$ and $\zeta_{2,i}$ satisfy (3.3). The vertex algebra elements $u \in V$ and $\bar{u} \in V'$ are related by (9.13). In terms of the theory of correlation functions for vertex operator algebras [23, 71, 80], the form of the sequences of multiple products defined above is a natural one.

3.4. The product of \mathcal{W} -spaces. The main statement of this Section is given by

Proposition 3. *For $l \geq 1$ the products defined by (3.9) correspond to maps $\cdot_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l} : \mathcal{W}_{x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{k_1,1}}^{(1)} \times \dots \times \mathcal{W}_{x_{1,l}, \dots, x_{k_l,l}}^{(l)} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r}}^{(1, \dots, l)}$, where $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r}}^{(1, \dots, l)} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^l \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$.*

The rest of this Section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 3. We show that the right hand side of (3.6), (3.9) belongs to the space $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r}}^{(1, \dots, l)}$. In the view of Proposition 3, let us denote by $\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)}$ an element of the tensor product $\mathcal{W}^{(1, \dots, l)}$ -valued function which would correspond to a rational function

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r})_k \\ &= \langle w'_i, \Phi^{(1, \dots, l)}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

obtained as a result of the product (3.6).

Remark 4. For more general situation discussing convergence and well-behavior problem for products of the classical coboundary operators, the main approach is the construction of differential equations that products and approximations by using Jacobi identity. For the ordinary cohomology theory of grading-restricted vertex algebras, such techniques do not work because cochains do not satisfy Jacobi identity. The main idea of the convergence proof is to show that the ρ -product (3.9) regularized by the \mathcal{M} operation, which is an infinite product of sums of rational functions, converges to a single-valued rational function. We will apply the general constructions of [36, 45] to study properties of products of coboundary operators in another paper.

3.5. Convergence of multiple products. In [36] it was established that the correlation functions for a C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra of conformal field theory type are absolutely and locally uniformly convergent on the sewing domain since it is a multiple sewing of correlation functions associated with genus zero conformal blocks. In this paper we give an alternative proof though one can use the results of [36] to prove Proposition (4).

We have to use a geometric interpretation [45, 79] in order to prove convergence of the sequence of products (3.9) for elements of several spaces $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$. A $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ -space is defined via of matrix elements of the form (3.5). This corresponds [24] to matrix element of a number of a vertex algebra V -vertex operators with formal parameters identified with local coordinates on the Riemann sphere. The product of l $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ -spaces can be geometrically associated with a genus $l \geq 0$ Riemann surface $\Sigma^{(l)}$ with a few marked points with local coordinates vanishing at these points [45]. The center of an annulus used in order to sew another handle to a Riemann surface is identified with an additional point. We have then a geometric interpretation for the products (3.6), (3.9). A genus l Riemann surface $\Sigma^{(l)}$ formed in the multiple-sewing procedure represents the resulting model space.

Matrix elements for a number of vertex operators are usually associated [23, 24, 71] with a vertex algebra correlation functions on the sphere. Let us extrapolate this notion to the case of $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ -spaces, $1 \leq i \leq l$. We use the ρ -sewing procedure for the Riemann surface with attached handles in order to supply an appropriate geometric construction of the products to obtain a matrix element associated with the definition of the multiple products (3.6), (3.9).

Similar to [7, 23, 45, 71, 79, 80] let us identify local coordinates of the corresponding sets of points on the resulting model genus l Riemann surface with the sets $(x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i})$, $1 \leq i \leq l$ of complex formal parameters. The roles of coordinates (3.1) of the annuluses (3.2) can be played by the complex parameters $\zeta_{1,i}$ and $\zeta_{2,i}$ of (3.6), (3.9). Several groups of coinciding coordinates may occur on identification of annuluses $\mathcal{A}_{a,i}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{a},i}$. As a result of the (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) -parameter sewing [79], the sequence of products (3.6), (3.9) describes a differential form that belongs to the space $\mathcal{W}^{(1, \dots, l)}$ defined on a genus l Riemann surface $\Sigma^{(l)}$. Since l initial spaces $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ contain $\overline{W}^{(i)}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}$ -valued differential forms expressed by matrix elements of the form (3.5), it is then proved (see Proposition 4 below), that the resulting products define elements of the space $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r}}^{(1, \dots, l)}$ by means of absolute

convergent matrix elements on the resulting genus l Riemann surface. The sequences of multiple products of $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ -spaces as well as the moduli space of the resulting genus l Riemann surface $\Sigma^{(l)}$ are described by the complex sewing parameters (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) .

Proposition 4. *The total sequence of products (3.6), (3.9) of elements of the spaces $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, corresponds to rational functions absolutely converging in all complex parameters (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) with only possible poles at $x_{j,m'} = x_{j',m''}$, $1 \leq j \leq k_{m'}$, $1 \leq j' \leq k_{m''}$, $1 \leq m', m'' \leq l$, $l \geq 1$.*

Proof. The geometric interpretation of the products (3.6), (3.9) in terms of the Riemann spheres with marked points will be used in order to prove this proposition. We consider sets of vertex algebra elements $(v_{1,i}, \dots, v_{k_i,i})$ and formal complex parameters $(x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i})$, $1 \leq i \leq l$. The formal parameters are identified with the local coordinates of k_i -sets of points on a genus l Riemann surface $\widehat{\Sigma}^{(l)}$, with excised annuluses $\mathcal{A}_{a,i}$, $1 \leq a \leq l$. In the sewing procedure, recall the sewing parameter condition (3.3) $\zeta_{1,i} \zeta_{2,i} = \rho_i$.

Then, for the total sequence of products (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_{k_i} \\
&= \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w', Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{(i)} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \right) \rangle \\
&= \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w', e^{\zeta_{2,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta_{2,i}) \\
&\quad \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k (\Theta(f_1, \dots, f_i; g_1, \dots, g_i; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_i))_{k_i} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^l \sum_{q_i \in \mathbb{C}} \rho_i^{k-q_i-1} \widetilde{M}_{q_i}^{(i)}(v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}), \quad (3.11)
\end{aligned}$$

as a formal series in ρ_i for $|\zeta_{a,i}| \leq R_{a,i}$, where $|\rho_i| \leq r_i$ for $r_i < r_{1,i} r_{2,i}$.

Recall from (3.1) that the complex parameters $\zeta_{a,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, $a = 1, 2$ are the coordinates inside the identified annuluses $\mathcal{A}_{a,i}$, and $|\zeta_{a,i}| \leq r_{a,i}$, in the ρ -sewing formulation. Due to Proposition 1, the matrix elements is therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{M}_{q_i}^{(i)}(v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}; \bar{u}, \zeta_{2,i}) \\
&= \langle w', e^{\zeta_{2,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta_{2,i}) \\
&\quad \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}) \rangle, \quad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

are absolutely convergent in powers of ρ_i with some domains of convergence $R_{2,i} \leq r_{2,i}$, with $|\zeta_{2,i}| \leq R_{2,i}$. The dependence of (3.12) on ρ_i is then expressed via $\zeta_{a,i}$, $a = 1, 2$. Let $R_i = \max\{R_{1,i}, R_{2,i}\}$. By applying Cauchy's inequality to the coefficient forms (3.12) one finds

$$\left| \widetilde{M}_{q_i}^{(i)}(v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) \right| \leq M_i R_i^{-q_i}, \quad (3.13)$$

with

$$M_i = \sup_{\substack{|\zeta_{a,i}| \leq R_{a,i} \\ |\rho_i| \leq r_i}} \left| \widetilde{M}_{q_i}^{(i)}(v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) \right|.$$

Using (3.13) we arrive for (3.11) at

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (\Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}))_{k,i} \right| \\ & \leq \prod_{i=1}^l \left| \widetilde{M}_{q_i}^{(i)}(v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) \right| \leq \prod_{i=1}^l M_i R_i^{-q_i}. \end{aligned}$$

For $M = \min \{M_i\}$, $R = \max \{R_i\}$, one has

$$\left| (\Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}))_{k,i} \right| \leq MR^{-k+q_i+1}.$$

Thus, we see that (3.9) is absolute convergent as a formal series in (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) and defined for $|\zeta_{a,i}| \leq r_{a,i}$, $|\rho_i| \leq r_i$ for $r_i < r_{1,i} r_{2,i}$, with extra poles only at $x_{j_{m'}, m'} = x_{j_{m''}, m''}$, $1 \leq j_{m'} \leq k_{m'}$, $1 \leq j_{m''} \leq k_{m''}$, $1 \leq m', m'' \leq l$, $l \geq 1$. \square

3.6. Symmetry properties. Let us assume that g_i, f_i commute with $\sigma(i) \in S_l$, $l \geq 1$. Next, we formulate

Definition 19. The action of an element $\sigma \in S_{\theta_l-r}$ on the sequence of products of $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}) \in \mathcal{W}_{x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$, $l \geq 1$, is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\Theta)(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k \\ & = \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{\sigma(1)}, z_{\sigma(1)}; \dots; v_{\sigma(\theta_l-r)}, z_{\sigma(\theta_l-r)}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

and the total multiple product (3.9) correspondingly

Note that (3.14) assumes that $\sigma \in S_{\theta_l-r}$ does not act on $\zeta_{a,i}$, $a = 1, 2$, $1 \leq i \leq l$ in the products (3.6), (3.9). The results of this Section below extend to corresponding total multiple products.

Next, we prove

Lemma 1. *The products (3.6), (3.9) satisfy (2.9) for $\sigma \in S_{\theta_l-r}$, i.e.,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma \in J_{\theta_l-r; s}^{-1}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; \\ & \quad v_{\sigma(1)}, z_{\sigma(1)}; \dots; v_{\sigma(\theta_l-r)}, z_{\sigma(\theta_l-r)}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For arbitrary $w'_i \in W^{(i)'}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma \in J_{\theta_l-r; s}^{-1}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{\sigma(1)}, z_{\sigma(1)}; \dots; v_{\sigma(\theta_l-r)}, z_{\sigma(\theta_l-r)}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k \\ & = \sum_{\sigma \in J_{\theta_l-r; s}^{-1}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)'}}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{\sigma(n_i+1)}, z_{\sigma(n_i+1)}; \dots; \right. \\ & \quad \left. v_{\sigma(n_i+k_i-r_i)}, z_{\sigma(n_i+k_i-r_i)}; u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \right) \rangle \\ & = \sum_{\sigma \in J_{\theta_l-r; s}^{-1}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \langle w'_i, e^{\zeta_{2,i} L_{W^{(i)}}} (-1) Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta_{2,i}) \\ & \quad \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{\sigma(n_i+1)}, z_{\sigma(n_i+1)}; \dots; v_{\sigma(n_i+k_i-r_i)}, z_{\sigma(n_i+k_i-r_i)}; u, \zeta_{1,i}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain for an element $\sigma \in S_{\theta_l-r}$ inserted inside the intertwining operator

$$\mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, e^{\zeta_{2,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta_{2,i}) \sum_{\sigma \in J_{k_i-r_i;s}^{-1}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{\sigma(n_i+1)}, z_{\sigma(n_i+1)}; \dots; v_{\sigma(n_i+k_i-r_i)}, z_{\sigma(n_i+k_i-r_i)}; u, \zeta_{1,i}) \rangle = 0,$$

since, $J_{\theta_l-r;s}^{-1} = J_{k_1-r_1;s}^{-1} \times \dots \times J_{k_l-r_l;s}^{-1}$, and due to the fact that $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_1,1}, x_{k_1,1}; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}; u, \zeta_{1,i})$ satisfy (2.4). \square

3.7. The existence, $L_V(-1)$ -derivative, and $L_V(0)$ -conjugation properties.

In this subsection we prove the existence of an appropriate differential form that belongs to $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r}}^{(1, \dots, l)}$ corresponding to an absolute convergent $\Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r})$ defining the (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) -product of elements of the spaces $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,1}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$.

The absolute convergence of the product (3.9) to a meromorphic function $M(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)$ was showed in the proof of Proposition 4. The following Lemma then follows.

Lemma 2. *For all choices of sets of elements of the spaces $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, there exists a differential form characterized by the element $\Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k \in \mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r}}^{(1, \dots, l)}$ such that the product (3.9) converges to a rational function*

$$\begin{aligned} R(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l) \\ = \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k. \end{aligned}$$

Let us formulate

Definition 20. The action of $\partial_s = \partial_{z_s} = \partial/\partial z_s$, $1 \leq s \leq \theta_l - r$, on $\hat{\Theta}$ is defined as $\partial_s \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l}, z_{\theta_l}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k$

$$\begin{aligned} = \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, \partial_s Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; \right. \\ \left. v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \right) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5. *The products (3.6), (3.9) satisfy $L_V(-1)$ -derivative the properties (2.3) and (2.7). and $L_V(0)$ -conjugation (2.7) properties.*

Proof. By using (2.3) for $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i})$ we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_s \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k \quad (3.15) \\ = \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, \partial_s \left(e^{\zeta_{2,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta_{2,i}) \right. \\ \left. \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}) \right) \rangle \\ = \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k_i-r_i} \partial_s^{\delta_{s,j}} \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; \right. \\ \left. v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \right) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w', Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k_i-r_i} \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; \right. \\
&\quad \left. (L_V(-1))^{\delta_{s,j}} \cdot v_s, x_s; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i} \right) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle \\
&= \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; (L_V(-1))_s; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k.
\end{aligned}$$

By summing over s we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{s=1}^{\theta_l-r} \partial_s \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{\theta_l-r} \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; (L_V(-1))_s; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k \\
&= L_{W^{(i)}(-1)} \cdot \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 21. We define also

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\Theta} \left(y_1^{L_{W^{(1)}(0)}}, \dots, y_l^{L_{W^{(l)}(0)}}; f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; \right. \\
&\quad \left. v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, x_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l \right)_k \\
&= \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w_i, \Phi^{(i)} \left(g_i; y_i^{L_{W^{(i)}(0)}} v_{n_i+1}, y_i z_{n_i+1}; \dots; \right. \\
&\quad \left. y_i^{L_{W^{(i)}(0)}} v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, y_i z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i} \right) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle. \tag{3.16}
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6. *The products (3.6), (3.9) satisfy $L_V(0)$ -conjugation the properties (2.7).*

Proof. For $y_i \neq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, due to (2.7), (9.2), (9.13), and (9.6),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\widehat{\Theta}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; y_1^{L_V(0)} v_1, y_1 z_1; \dots; y_l^{L_V(0)} v_{\theta_l-r}, y_l x_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k \\
&= \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; y_i^{L_V(0)} v_{n_i+1}, y_i z_{n_i+1}; \dots; \right. \\
&\quad \left. y_i^{L_V(0)} v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, y_i z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i} \right) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle \\
&= \widehat{\Theta} \left(y_1^{L_{W^{(1)}(0)}}, \dots, y_l^{L_{W^{(l)}(0)}}; f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; \right. \\
&\quad \left. v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, x_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l \right)_k.
\end{aligned}$$

□

As an upshot, we obtain the proof of Proposition 3 by taking into account the results of Proposition (4), Lemma (1), Lemma (2), and Proposition (6).

3.8. Canonical properties of the \mathcal{W} -products. In this Subsection we study properties of the products $\Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k$ of (3.6), (3.9) with respect of changing of formal parameters. Next, we prove

Proposition 7. *Under the action $(\varrho(z_1), \dots, \varrho(z_{\theta_l-r}))$ of the group $(\text{Aut } \mathcal{O})_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r}}^{\times(\theta_l-r)}$ of independent $\theta_l - r$ -dimensional changes of formal parameters*

$$(z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r}) \mapsto (\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_{\theta_l-r}) = (\varrho(z_1), \dots, \varrho(z_{\theta_l-r})). \quad (3.17)$$

the products (3.6), (3.9) are canonical for generic elements $v_j \in V$, $1 \leq j \leq \theta_l - r$, $l \geq 1$, of a quasi-conformal grading-restricted vertex algebra V .

Proof. Due to Proposition 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, \tilde{z}_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, \tilde{z}_{n_i+k_i-r_i}) \\ = \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i, i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, \tilde{z}_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, \tilde{z}_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)_k \\ &= \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, \tilde{z}_{n_i+1}; \dots; \right. \\ & \quad \left. v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, \tilde{z}_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}), \zeta_{2,i}) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle \\ &= \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} (\Phi(g_i; v_{n_i+1, i}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; \\ & \quad v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}), \zeta_{2,i}) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle \\ &= \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l). \end{aligned}$$

The products (3.6), (3.9) are therefore invariant under (2.8). \square

4. SPACES FOR FAMILIES OF COMPLEXES

In this Section we introduce the definition of spaces for the families of complexes associated to a grading-restricted vertex algebra V V -modules suitable for the construction of a codimension one foliation cohomology defined on a complex curve. Several grading-restricted generalized modules $W^{(i)}$ as well as the corresponding spaces $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ are involved in the constructions of this paper. Let us introduce

Definition 22. Consider a configuration of $2l$ sets of vertex algebra V elements, $(v_{1,i}, \dots, v_{k_i,i})$, $(v'_{1,i}, \dots, v'_{m_i,i})$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, and points $(p_{1,i}, \dots, p_{k_i,i})$, $(p'_{1,i}, \dots, p'_{m_i,i})$, with the local coordinates $(c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}), \dots, c_{k_i,i}(p_{k_i,i}))$ $(c_{1,i}(p'_{1,i}), \dots, c_{k_i,i}(p'_{m_i,i}))$ taken on the intersection of the i -th leaf of the leaves space M/\mathcal{F} with the j -th transversal section $U_j \in \mathcal{U}$, $j \geq 1$, of a foliation \mathcal{F} transversal basis \mathcal{U} on a complex curve. Denote by $C_{(m_i)}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}, \mathcal{F})(U_{p,i})$, $0 \leq p \leq m_i$, $k_i \geq 1$, $m_i \geq 0$, the space of all linear maps (2.11). $\Phi : V^{\otimes k_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}), \dots, c_{k_i,i}(p_{k_i,i}); c_{1,i}(p'_{1,i}), \dots, c_{k_i,i}(p'_{m_i,i})}^{(i)}$, regularized transversal

to m_i of vertex operators (2.13) equipped with the formal parameters identified with the local coordinates $c'_{j,i}(p'_{j,i})$ around the points $p'_{j,i}$ on each of the transversal sections U_j , $1 \leq j \leq m_i$.

In our further constructions of a vertex algebra cohomology associated with a foliation \mathcal{F} , sets of vertex algebra elements (2.10) play the role of non-commutative parameters. According to the considerations of Subsection 2.1, we assume that each section of a transversal basis \mathcal{U} has a coordinate chart induced by a coordinate chart of M (cf. [15]). A holonomy embedding (cf. Subsection 2.1, cf. [15])

maps a coordinate chart on the first section into a coordinate chart on the second transversal section, and a section into another section of a transversal basis. Let us now introduce the following spaces for the families of complexes associated with grading-restricted generalized V -modules. This definition is motivated by the definition of the spaces for Čech-de Rham complex in [15] (see Subsection 2.1).

Definition 23. Taking into account Definition 22, for $k_i \geq 0$, $m_i \geq 0$, introduce the spaces

$$C_{m_i}^{k_i} \left(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F} \right) = \bigcap_{U_1 \xrightarrow{h_{1,i}^1} \dots \xrightarrow{h_{p-1,i}^{p-1}} U_{p,i}, 1 \leq p \leq m_i} C_{(m_i)}^{k_i} \left(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}, \mathcal{F} \right) (U_{p,i}), \quad (4.1)$$

where the intersection ranges over all possible $(p-1, i)$ -tuples of holonomy embeddings $h_{p,i}$, $1 \leq p \leq m_i - 1$, between transversal sections of a basis \mathcal{U} for \mathcal{F} .

We skip \mathcal{F} from further notations of complexes since a foliation \mathcal{F} is fixed in our considerations.

4.1. Properties of spaces for families of complexes. First, we formulate the following

Lemma 3. *The spaces (4.1) are non only zero spaces.*

Proof. It is clear that the spaces $C^{k_i} \left(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}, \mathcal{U} \right) (U_{p,i})$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, $1 \leq p \leq m_i$ in Definition 22 are non only zero due to the general construction of the spaces for the families of complexes. The mappings $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, c_p(p_{1,i}); \dots; v_n, c_p(p_{k_i,i}))$ belong to the space $\mathcal{W}_{c_p(p_{1,i}), \dots, c_p(p_{k_i,i})}^{(i)}$, on each transversal section $U_{p,i}$, and are subject to the $L_V(-1)$ -derivative (2.3) and $L_V(0)$ -conjugation (2.7) properties. For the formal parameters identified with the local coordinates $c_p(p'_{p,i})$ on each transversal section $U_{p,i}$, each individual map of $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, c_p(p_{1,i}); \dots; v_{k_i,i}, c_p(p_{k_i,i}))$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, is a regularized transversal to m_i vertex operators. The spaces (4.1) remain the same for fixed k_i on each transversal section. The only difference may occur due to Definition of the regularized transversals given in Subsection 2.4 (2.14) for $\Phi^{(i)}$. In particular, for $(l_{1,i}, \dots, l_{k_i,i}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, such that $l_{1,i} + \dots + l_{k_i,i} = k_i + m_i$, $v_{1,i}, \dots, v_{m_i+k_i,i} \in V$ and $w' \in W^{(i)'}$, recall that

$$\Xi_{j,i} = \omega_V(v_{\varkappa_{1,i}}, c_{k_{1,i}}(p_{\varkappa_{1,i}}) - \varsigma_{j,i}) \dots \omega_V(v_{\varkappa_{j,i}}, c_{\varkappa_{j,i}}(p_{\varkappa_{j,i}}) - \varsigma_{j,i}) \mathbf{1}_V, \quad (4.2)$$

depend on the coordinates of points on the transversal sections where $\varkappa_{j,i}$ is defined in (6.6), for $1 \leq j \leq k_i$. In the definition of the regularized transversal (2.14) depends on the arbitrary variables $\varsigma_{s,i}$, $1 \leq s \leq m_i$, and on the projections $P_r(\Xi_{j,i})$, $r \in \mathbb{C}$, of $\mathcal{W}_{c(p_{1,i}), \dots, c(p_{k_i,i})}^{(i)}$ to $W^{(i)}$. According to Subsection 2.4, the absolute convergence is assumed for the series (2.14) on each transversal section $U_{s,i}$. Dependin on particular transversal sections U_s , the positive integers $N_{m_i}^{k_i}(v_p, v_{p'})$, (depending only on v_p and $v_{p'}$) as well as $\varsigma_{s,i}$, for $p', p = 1, \dots, m_i$, $p \neq p'$, may be different. The domains of convergence determined by the conditions (2.15) of the form

$$|c_{m_i}(p_{m_i}) - \varsigma_{j,i}| + |c_{n_i}(p_{n_i}) - \varsigma_{j',i}| < |\varsigma_{j,i} - \varsigma_{j',i}|, \quad (4.3)$$

for $\nu_j = l_1 + \dots + l_{j-1} + p$, $\nu_{p'} = l_1 + \dots + l_{p'-1} + q$, for $p = 1, \dots, l_{p,i}$ and $q = 1, \dots, l_{p',i}$, are limited by $|\varsigma_p - \varsigma_{p'}|$ in (4.3) from above. The absolute convergence condition for (2.14) still preserves for the intersection variation of the sets of homology embeddings in (4.1). By choosing appropriate $N_{m_i}^{k_i}(v_p, v_{p'})$, one can

analytically extend (2.14) to a rational function in $(c_1(p_{1,i}), \dots, c_{k_i+m_i}(p_{k_i+m_i,i}))$, independent of $(s_{1,i}, \dots, s_{k_i,i})$, with the only possible poles at $c_p(p_p) = c_{p'}(p_{p'})$, of order less than or equal to $N_{m_i}^{k_i}(v_p, v_{p'})$, for $p, p' = 1, \dots, m_i, p \neq p'$, in the intersection in (4.1). According to the Definition of the regularized transversals, on each transversal section, the domains of absolute convergence $c_p(p_p) \neq c_{p'}(p_{p'})$, $p \neq p'$ $|c_p(p_p)| > |c_{p'}(p_{p'})| > 0$, for $p = 1, \dots, m_i$, and $p'' = 1 + m_i, \dots, k_i + m_i$, for

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{m_i}^{2,k_i}(\Phi^{(i)}) &= R \sum_{q \in \mathbb{C}} \langle w', \omega_{W^{(i)}}(v_1, c_1(p_1)) \dots \omega_{W^{(i)}}(v_m, c_m(p_m)) \\ &\quad P_q(\Phi(g_i; v_{1+m}, c_{1+m}(p_{1+m}); \dots; v_{n+m}, c_{n+m}(p_{n+m})) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

are limited from below by the same set of the absolute values of the local coordinates on the transversal section. Therefore, under the intersection in (4.1), this condition is preserved, and the sum (2.14) can be analytically extended to a rational function in $(c_1(p_1), \dots, c_{m+n}(p_{m+n}))$ with the only possible poles at $c_i(p_i) = c_j(p_j)$, of orders less than or equal to $N_{m_i}^{k_i}(v_p, v_{p'})$, for $i, j = 1, \dots, k, i \neq j$. This completes the proof of Lemma. \square

Lemma 4. *The family (4.1) is the transversal basis \mathcal{U} independent.* \square

According to this Lemma, we will denote $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ as $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ in what follows. In the Appendix 9 the definition of a quasi-conformal grading-restricted vertex algebra is given. The following Proposition constitutes the main statement of this Section.

Proposition 8. *The construction (4.1) is canonical, i.e., does not depend on the foliation preserving choice of local coordinates on M/\mathcal{F} for a quasi-conformal grading-restricted vertex algebra V and its grading-restricted modules $W^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$.*

Proof. For the generic elements of a quasi-conformal grading-restricted vertex algebra V , the maps $\Phi^{(i)}$ (2.12) and the operators $\omega_{W^{(i)}} \in \mathcal{W}_{z_{1,i}, \dots, z_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ (2.13) are independent of arbitrary changes $z_{j,i} \mapsto w_{j,i} = \varrho(z_{j,i})$, $1 \leq j \leq k_i$, of the local coordinates of $c_{j,i}(p_{j,i})$ and $c_{j',i}(p_{j',i})$ at points $p_{j,i}$ and $p_{j',i}$, $1 \leq j \leq k_i, 1 \leq j' \leq m_i$. Thus the construction of the chain-cochain complex spaces (4.1) is proved to be canonical too. Recall Proposition 2. The elements $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, z_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, z_{k_i,i})$ of $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ belong to the space $\mathcal{W}_{z_{1,i}, \dots, z_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ and are assumed to be regularized transversal to a set of vertex operators $\omega_W(v_{j',i}, c_{j,i}(p_{j',i}))$, $1 \leq j' \leq m_i$. The mappings of $C_{\infty}^1(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}, \mathcal{F})$ are represented in particular by the vertex operators $\omega_W(dc(p)^{\text{wt}(v')} \otimes v_{j',i}, c_j(p_{j',i}))$ of and, therefore, are invariant with respect to arbitrary changes of formal parameters. Thus, the construction of the spaces (4.1) is invariant under the action of the group $(\text{Aut } \mathcal{O})_{z_{1,i}, \dots, z_{k_i,i}}^{\times k_i}$. \square

Remark 5. In what follows, we will always assume the quasi-conformality of V for the spaces (4.1). The condition is necessary in the proof of elements invariance of the spaces $\mathcal{W}_{z_{1,i}, \dots, z_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, with respect to a vertex algebraic representation (cf. the Appendix 9) of the group $(\text{Aut } \mathcal{O})_{z_{1,i}, \dots, z_{k_i,i}}^{\times k_i}$.

The proofs of Lemmas 3, 4, 5, and Proposition 8 generalizations for arbitrary codimension foliations on smooth complex manifolds will be given in a separate paper.

Let $W^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$ be a set of grading-restricted generalized V modules. Due to Definition 17 of the regularized transversal, with $k_i = 0$ the maps $\Phi^{(i)}$ do not include variables. Let us set $C_{m_i}^0(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) = W^{(i)}$, for $m_i \geq 0$. According to Definition 4.1, such mappings are assumed to be regularized transversal to a number of vertex operators depending on local coordinates of m_i points on m_i transversal sections. Next we have

Lemma 5.

$$C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \subset C_{m_i-1}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}). \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Note that k_i is the same for both spaces in (4.5). We have to check that the conditions for (2.14) and (2.14) for $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, c_{j,i}(p_{1,i}); \dots; v_{k_i,i}, c_{j,i}(p_{k_i,i}))$ of the Definition 2.4 of regularized transversal to vertex operators are stronger for $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ then for $C_{m_i-1}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$. In the first condition for (2.14) in Definition 17 the difference between the spaces in (4.5) is in indices in (4.2). For $C_{m_i-1}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, $\varkappa_{1,i} = l_{1,i} + \dots + l_{j-1,i} + 1$, ..., $\varkappa_{j,i} = l_{1,i} + \dots + l_{j-1,i} + l_{j,i}$, for the coordinates $c_{j,i}(p_{1,i}), \dots, c_{j,i}(p_{k_i,i})$ with $l_{1,i}, \dots, l_{k_i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that $l_{1,i} + \dots + l_{k_i,i} = k_i + (m_i - 1)$, and the vertex algebra elements $v_{1,i}, \dots, v_{k_i+(m_i-1),i}$, the summations in indices are included in the summation of the indices for $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$. The conditions for the domains of absolute convergence, i.e., $|c_{l_{1,i}+\dots+l_{j-1,i}+p_i-\varsigma_{j,i}}| + |c_{l_{1,i}+\dots+l_{j-1+i}q_i-\varsigma_{j,i}}| < |\varsigma_{j,i} - \varsigma_{j',i}|$, for $j, j' = 1, \dots, m_i, j \neq j'$, and for $p_i = 1, \dots, l_j$ and $q_i = 1, \dots, l_{j'}$, for the series (2.14) are more restrictive then for $(m_i - 1)$ vertex operators. It is easy to see that the conditions for $\mathcal{R}_{m_i-1}^{1,k_i}(\Phi^{(i)})$ to be extended analytically to a rational function in $(c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}), \dots, c_{k_i+(m_i-1),i}(p_{k_i+(m_i-1),i}))$, with positive integers $N_{m_i-1}^{k_i}(v_{j,i}, v_{j',i})$, depending only on $v_{j,i}$ and $v_{j',i}$ for $j, j' = 1, \dots, m_i, j \neq j'$, are included in the conditions for $\mathcal{R}_{m_i}^{1,k_i}(\Phi^{(i)})$. Similarly, for the second condition for (2.14), of the absolute convergence and analytical extension to a rational function in $(c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}), \dots, c_{m_i+k_i,i}(p_{m_i+k_i,i}))$, with the only possible poles at $c_{j,i}(p_{j,i}) = c_{j',i}(p_{j',i})$, of orders less than or equal to $N_{m_i}^{k_i}(v_{j,i}, v_{j',i})$, for $j, j' = 1, \dots, m_i, j \neq j'$, for (2.14) when $c_{j,i}(p_{j,i}) \neq c_{j',i}(p_{j',i})$, $j \neq j'$, $|c_{j,i}(p_{j,i})| > |c_{k,i}(p_{k,i})| > 0$, for $j = 1, \dots, m_i$, and $k = m_i+1, \dots, m_i+k_i$ includes the same condition for $\mathcal{R}_{m_i+1}^{2,k_i}(\Phi^{(i)})$. Thus we obtain the conclusion of Lemma. \square

5. COBOUNDARY OPERATORS AND COHOMOLOGY

In this Section we introduce the coboundary operators acting on the families of spaces (4.1).

5.1. Coboundary operators. Consider the vector of E -operators:

$$\mathcal{E}^{(i)} = \left(E_{W^{(i)}}^{(1)}, \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j E_{V; \mathbf{1}_V}^{(2)}(j), E_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}; (1)} \right). \quad (5.1)$$

The definition of the E -operators given in the Appendix 10. When acting on a map $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, each entry of (5.1) increases the number of the vertex algebra elements $(v_{1,i}, \dots, v_{k_i,i})$ with a vertex algebra element $v_{k_i+1,i}$. According to Proposition 15, the number of regularized transversal vertex operators with the vertex algebra elements $(v'_{1,i}, \dots, v'_{m_i,i})$ decreases to $(m_i - 1)$ as the result of the action of each entry of (5.1) on $\Phi^{(i)}$. Then we formulate

Definition 24. The coboundary operators $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i}$ acting on elements $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ of the families of spaces (4.1), are defined by

$$\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} = \mathcal{E}^{(i)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)}. \quad (5.2)$$

Here \cdot represents the action of each element of $\mathcal{E}^{(i)}$ of the vector on a single element $\Phi^{(i)}$. Note that $\mathcal{E}^{(i)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i-1}^{k_i+1}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ due to (5.1) and (5.2). A vertex operator added by $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i}$ has a formal parameter associated with an extra point $p_{k_i+1,i}$ on M with a local coordinate $c_{k_i+1,i}(p_{k_i+1,i})$. The right hand side of (5.2) is regularized transversal to $m_i - 1$ vertex operators. Let us mention, that the foliation cohomology is affected by the particular choice of m_i vertex operators excluded. Recall the description of cohomology in terms of connections provided in Subsection 2.1. Then we obtain

Lemma 6. For arbitrary $w'_i \in W'_i$ dual to $W^{(i)}$, the definition (5.2) is equivalent to a multi-point vertex algebra connection

$$\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}) = G(g; p_{1,i}, \dots, p_{k_i+1,i}), \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & G(g_i; p_{1,i}, \dots, p_{k_i+1,i}) \\ &= \langle w'_i, \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; \omega_V(v_{j,i}, c_{j,i}(p_{j,i}) - c_{j+1,i}(p_{j+1,i}))v_{j+1,i}) \rangle, \\ &+ \langle w'_i, \omega_W(v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}) \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}); \dots; v_{k_i+1,i}, c_{k_i,i}(p_{k_i+1,i}))) \rangle \\ &+ (-1)^{k_i+1} \langle w'_i, \omega_{W^{(i)}}(v_{k_i+1,i}, c_{k_i+1,i}(p_{k_i+1,i})) \\ &\quad \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}); \dots; v_{k_i,i}, c_{k_i,i}(p_{k_i,i})) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. The statement of Lemma follows from the representation of the definition (5.3) via intertwining operators (cf. the Appendix 9) in the form $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} = \sum_{i=j}^3 \langle w'_i, e^{\xi_{j,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} \omega_{W^{(i)}V'}(\Phi_j^{(i)}(u_{j,i})) \rangle$, for some $\xi_{j,i} \in \mathbb{C}$, $u_{j,i} \in V$, $\Phi_j^{(i)}$ (5.3), and arbitrary $\zeta_i \in \mathbb{C}$, Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} &= \langle w'_i, e^{c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}) L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} \\ &\quad \omega_{W^{(i)}V'}(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}); \dots; v_{k_i,i}, c_{k_i+1,i}(p_{k_i+1,i}) - c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}))v_{1,i}) \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (-1)^j e^{\zeta_i L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} \langle w'_i, \\ &\quad \omega_{W^{(i)}V'}(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; \omega_V(v_{j,i}, c_{j,i}(p_{j,i}) - c_{j+1,i}(p_{j+1,i})), -\zeta_i) \mathbf{1}_V) \rangle \\ &+ \langle w'_i, e^{c_{k_i+1,i}(p_{k_i+1,i}) L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} \\ &\quad \omega_{W^{(i)}V'}(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}); \dots; v_{k_i,i}, c_{k_i,i}(p_{k_i,i}) - c_{k_i+1,i}(p_{k_i+1,i}))v_{k_i+1,i}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 6. According to the construction of the families of complexes spaces (4.1) the action (5.4) of $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i}$ on an element of $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ give rise a coupling as differential forms of $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$. These are the vertex operators with the local coordinates $c_{j,i}(z_{p_{j,i}})$, $0 \leq j \leq m_i$, at the vicinities of the same points $p_{j,i}$ taken

on transversal sections for \mathcal{F} , with elements of $C_{m_i-1}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ considered at the points with the local coordinates $c_{j,i}(z_{p_{j,i}})$, $0 \leq j \leq n$ on M for the points $p_{j,i}$ on the leaves of M/\mathcal{F} .

5.2. Complexes of transversal connections. There exists an additional family of exceptional short complexes which we call the family of transversal connection complexes in addition to the families of complexes $(C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}), \delta_{m_i}^{k_i})$ given by (4.1) and (5.3).

Lemma 7. *For $k_i = 2$, and $m_i = 0$, there exist subspaces $C_{ex}^{0,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \subset C_{m_i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \subset C_{0,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, for all $m_i \geq 1$, with the action of the coboundary operator $\delta_{m_i}^{2,i}$ defined by (5.3).*

Proof. Consider the spaces $C_{0,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$. They contain elements of $\mathcal{W}_{c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}), c_{2,i}(p_{2,i})}^{(i)}$ with zero regularized transversal vertex operators. The action of $\delta_{0,i}^{2,i}$ vanishes since the spaces $C_{0,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ consist of $\mathcal{W}_{c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}), c_{2,i}(p_{2,i})}^{(i)}$ -elements. For $\mathcal{R}_{m_i}^{2,k_i}(\Phi^{(i)})$ in (2.14), Definition 17, consider the sum of the projections $P_r : \mathcal{W}_{x_{j,i}, x_{j',i}}^{(i)} \rightarrow W_r^{(i)}$, with $r \in \mathbb{C}$, and $(j, j') = (1, 2), (2, 3)$, so that the condition (2.14) is satisfied for some connections analogous to the action (2.14) of $\delta_{0,i}^{2,i}$. Let us separate the first two and the second two summands in (5.4). We then find that for subspaces of $C_{0,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, which we denote as $C_{ex}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, of three-point connections $\Phi^{(i)}$ such that for $v_{1,i}, v_{2,i}, v_{3,i} \in V$, $w' \in W^{(i)'}$, and arbitrary $\zeta_i \in \mathbb{C}$, the forms of connections

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{1,i}(c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}), c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}), c_{3,i}(p_{3,i})) \\ &= \sum_{r_i \in \mathbb{C}} \left(\langle w'_i, E_{W^{(i)}}^{(1)}(v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}); P_{r_i}(\Phi^{(i)}(v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}) - \zeta_i; v_{3,i}, c_{3,i}(p_{3,i}) - \zeta_i)) \rangle \right. \\ &+ \langle w'_i, \Phi^{(i)}(v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}); P_{r_i}(E_V^{(2)}(v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}) - \zeta_i; v_{3,i}, c_{3,i}(z_{3,i}) - \zeta_i; \mathbf{1}_V), \zeta_i)) \rangle \left. \right) \\ &= \sum_{r \in \mathbb{C}} \left(\langle w'_i, \omega_W^{(i)}(v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i})) P_{r,i}(\Phi^{(i)}(v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}) - \zeta_i; v_{3,i}, c_{3,i}(p_{3,i}) - \zeta_i)) \rangle \right. \\ &+ \langle w'_i, \Phi^{(i)}(v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}); \\ &\quad \left. P_{r,i}(\omega_V(v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}) - \zeta_i) \omega_V(v_{3,i}, c_{3,i}(z_{3,i}) - \zeta_i) \mathbf{1}_V), \zeta_i) \rangle \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & G_{2,i}(c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}), c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}), c_{3,i}(p_{3,i})) \quad (5.6) \\ &= \sum_{r_i \in \mathbb{C}} \left(\langle w'_i, \Phi^{(i)}(P_{r_i}(E_V^{(2)}(v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}) - \zeta_i; v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}) - \zeta_i; \mathbf{1}_V), \zeta_i; \right. \\ &\quad \left. v_{3,i}, c_{3,i}(p_{3,i})) \rangle \right) \\ &+ \langle w'_i, E_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}; (1)}(P_{r_i}(\Phi^{(i)}(v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}) - \zeta_i; v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}) - \zeta_i), \zeta_i; v_{3,i}, c_{3,i}(p_{3,i}))) \rangle \left. \right) \\ &= \sum_{r_i \in \mathbb{C}} \left(\langle w'_i, \Phi^{(i)}(P_{r_i}(\omega_V(v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}) - \zeta_i) \omega_V(v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}) - \zeta_i) \mathbf{1}_V), \zeta_i); \right. \\ &\quad \left. v_{3,i}, c_{3,i}(p_{3,i})) \rangle \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$+\langle w'_i, \omega_V(v_{3,i}, c_{3,i}(p_{3,i})) P_{r,i}(\Phi^{(i)}(v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}) - \zeta_i; v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}) - \zeta_i)) \rangle, \quad (5.7)$$

are absolutely convergent in the regions $|c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}) - \zeta_i| > |c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}) - \zeta_i|$, $|c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}) - \zeta_i| > 0$, $|\zeta_i - c_{3,i}(p_{3,i})| > |c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}) - \zeta_i|$, $|c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}) - \zeta_i| > 0$, where $c_{j,i}$, $1 \leq j \leq 3$ are coordinate functions. This can be analytically extended to rational functions in $c_{1,i}(p_{1,i})$ and $c_{2,i}(p_{2,i})$ with the only possible poles at $c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}) = 0$, $c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}) = 0$, and $c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}) = c_{2,i}(p_{2,i})$. The first two and the last two terms of (5.4) are given by (5.5) and (5.6) correspondingly. According to Proposition 15 (cf. Subsection 2.4), $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ are regularized transversal to m vertex operators, and $C_{m_i}^2(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \subset C_{ex,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, for $m \geq 0$. Note that (5.5) and (5.6) are the sums of the forms $G_{tr}(p, p')$ of the transversal connections (cf. Subsection 2.1). \square

Remark 7. As it was discovered in [1], according to the general principles, for non-vanishing connections $G(c(p), c(p'), c(p''))$, there exists invariant structures, e.g., a cohomology classes. In the setup above, they show up as non-zero subspaces $C_{m_i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \subset C_{ex,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ in $C_{0,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$. Then we give

Definition 25. The coboundary operators

$$\delta_{ex,i}^{2,i} : C_{ex,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \rightarrow C_{0,i}^{3,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}), \quad (5.8)$$

are defined by the three point connections of the form

$$\delta_{ex,i}^{2,i} \Phi^{(i)}(v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; v_{2,i}, x_{2,i}; v_{3,i}, x_{3,i}) = \mathcal{E}_{ex,i}^{(i)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)} = G_{ex}^{(i)}(p_{1,i}, p_{2,i}, p_{3,i}), \quad (5.9)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_{ex,i} = \left(E_{W^{(i)}}^{(1)}, \sum_{j=1}^2 (-1)^j E_{V; \mathbf{1}_V}^{(2)}(j), E_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i);(1)}} \right), \quad (5.10)$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ex,i}(p_{1,i}, p_{2,i}, p_{3,i}) &= \langle w'_i, \omega_{W^{(i)}}(v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i})) \\ &\quad \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i}); v_{3,i}, c_{3,i}(p_{3,i})) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle w'_i, \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; \omega_V(v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i})) \omega_V(v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i})) \mathbf{1}_V; v_{3,i}, c_{3,i}(p_{3,i})) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle w'_i, \Phi^{(i)}(v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}); \omega_V(v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i})) \omega_V(v_{3,i}, c_{3,i}(p_{3,i})) \mathbf{1}_V) \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle w'_i, \omega_{W^{(i)}}(v_{3,i}, c_{3,i}(p_{3,i})) \Phi^{(i)}(v_{1,i}, c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}); v_{2,i}, c_{2,i}(p_{2,i})) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

for $w'_i \in W^{(i)'}$, $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{ex,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, $v_{1,i}, v_{2,i}, v_{3,i} \in V$ and $(z_{1,i}, z_{2,i}, z_{3,i}) \in F_3\mathbb{C}$. Then we prove

Proposition 9. The operators (5.3) and (5.8) form the chain-cochain complexes

$$\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} : C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \rightarrow C_{m_i-1}^{k_i+1}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}), \quad (5.12)$$

$$\delta_{m_i-1}^{k_i+1} \circ \delta_{m_i}^{k_i} = 0, \quad (5.13)$$

$$\delta_{ex,i}^{2,i} \circ \delta_{2,i}^{1,i} = 0, \quad (5.14)$$

$$0 \longrightarrow C_{m_i}^0(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{m_i}^0} C_{m_i-1}^1(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{m_i-1}^1} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta_1^{m_i-1}} C_0^{m_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \longrightarrow 0, \quad (5.15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow C_{3,i}^{0,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) &\xrightarrow{\delta_{3,i}^{0,i}} C_{2,i}^{1,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{2,i}^{1,i}} C_{ex,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\delta_{ex,i}^2} C_{0,i}^{3,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

with the spaces (4.1). \square

With $\delta_{2,i}^{1,i} C_{2,i}^{1,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \subset C_{1,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \subset C_{ex,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, then $\delta_{ex,i}^{2,i} \circ \delta_{2,i}^{1,i} = \delta_{1,i}^{2,i} \circ \delta_{2,i}^{1,i} = 0$.

Proof. To prove this proposition one follows the lines of that of Proposition (4.1) in [43]. Instead of $\overline{W}_{x_1,i,\dots,x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$ the spaces $\mathcal{W}_{c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}),\dots,c_{n,i}(p_{k_i,i})}$ are involved here. For $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ we show first that $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i-1}^{k_i+1}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$. The action of the coboundary operators $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i}$ increases the number of the vertex operators for $\Phi^{(i)}$ with the vertex algebra elements $(v_{1,i}, \dots, v_{k_i+1,i})$ with the local coordinates $c_{j,i}(p_{j,i})$ around the points $p_{j,i}$, $1 \leq j \leq k_i + 1$. That follows from Definitions (4.1), 24, (5.1), and the definitions of the E -operators given in the Appendix 10. According to Proposition 15, they decrease also the number of the vertex operators $\Phi^{(i)}$ is the regularized transversal with the vertex algebra elements $(v'_{1,i}, \dots, v'_{m_i,i})$ and local coordinates $c_{j',i}(p'_{j',i})$, $1 \leq j' \leq m_i$. In addition, $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)}$ satisfies the $L_V(-1)$ -derivative and the $L_V(0)$ -conjugation properties. Therefore, according to the definition (4.1), they decrease the number of the transversal sections for $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)}$, and $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i-1}^{k_i+1}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{m_i-1}^{k_i+1} G(p_{1,i}, \dots, p_{k_i+1,i}) \\
&= \left(E_{W^{(i)}}^{(1)}, \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (-1)^j E_{V;1_V}^{(2)}(j), E_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i);(1)}} \right) \cdot G(p_{1,i}, \dots, p_{k_i+2,i}) \\
&= E_{W^{(i)}}^{(1)} \cdot (E_{W^{(i)}}^{(1)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)}) + \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} (-1)^j E_{W^{(i)}}^{(1)} \cdot (\text{Ins}_j(E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j)) \cdot \Phi^{(i)}) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{k_i+1} E_{W^{(i)}}^{(1)} \cdot (\sigma_{k_i+1,1,\dots,k_i}(E_W^{(i)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)})) - E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j) \cdot (E_{W^{(i)}}^{(1)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j'=1}^{k_i+1} (-1)^{i'} \sum_{j'=i+2}^{k_i+1} (-1)^{j'-1} \text{Ins}_i(E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j')) \cdot (\text{Ins}_{j'}(E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j')) \cdot \Phi^{(i)}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i'=1}^{k_i+1} (-1)^{i'} \sum_{j'=1}^{i'-2} (-1)^{j'} \text{Ins}_{j'}(E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j')) \cdot (\text{Ins}_{j'}(E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j')) \cdot \Phi^{(i)}) \\
&\quad + (\sigma_{k_i+1,1,\dots,k_i} \text{Ins}_{k_i+1}(E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j')) \cdot (E_W^{(1)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)})) \\
&\quad - \sigma_{k_i+2,1,\dots,k_i+1}(E_{W^{(i)}}^{(1)} \cdot \sigma_{k_i+1,1,\dots,k_i}(E_{W^{(i)}}^{(1)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)})), \tag{5.17}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Ins}_{j'}(E)$ denotes the insertion of the E -operator action at the i' -th position. Thus, (5.17) is zero due to the commutation relations for the algebra of E -operators given by Lemma 11 in the Appendix 10. Here we had to take into account the automorphism group elements present in Φ -elements. Therefore, (5.13) follows since $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} G(p_{1,i}, \dots, p_{k_i+1,i})$ vanish. The same procedure we apply to (5.16) and (5.14). \square

5.3. The vertex algebra foliation cohomology. For the space of leaves M/\mathcal{F} for a codimension one foliation \mathcal{F} let us now define the cohomology associated with a grading-restricted vertex algebra V . In Subsection 2.1 the notions of multi-point connections and connection forms were introduced.

Definition 26. The cohomology series $H_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}, \mathcal{F})$ of M/\mathcal{F} with coefficients in $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}^{(i)}$ containing maps regularized transversal to m_i vertex operators on m_i transversal sections, as the factor space $H_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}, \mathcal{F}) = \text{Con}_{m_i}^{k_i; cl}/G_{m_i+1}^{k_i-1}$ of closed multi-point connections with respect to the space of connection forms.

Note that due to (5.4), (5.11), and Definitions 2.1 and 2.2 (cf. Section 5), it is easy to see that the definition of cohomology in terms of multi-point connections is equivalent to the standard cohomology definition $H_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}, \mathcal{F}) = \text{Ker } \delta_{m_i}^{k_i} / \text{Im } \delta_{m_i+1}^{k_i-1}$.

6. SEQUENCES OF MULTIPLE PRODUCTS FOR COMPLEXES

In this Section the material of Section 3 is applied to the families of chain-cochain complex spaces $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ defined by 23 in Section 4 for a foliation \mathcal{F} on a complex curve. We introduce the product of a few chain-cochain complex spaces with the image in another chain-cochain complex space coherent with respect to the original coboundary operators (5.3) and (5.8), and the symmetry property (2.9). We prove the canonical property of the product, and derive an analogue of Leibniz formula.

6.1. A geometric interpretation of the multiple products for a foliation.

In this Subsection we extend the definition of the $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}^{(i)}$ -spaces multiple product to $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ -spaces for a codimension one foliation on a complex curve. Recall the definition (4.1) of $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ -spaces given in Section 4. In order to introduce the product of a few elements $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ that belong to several chain-cochain complex spaces (4.1) for a foliation \mathcal{F} We then use the geometric multiple ρ -scheme of a Riemann surface self-sewing. We assume that each of the chain-cochain complex spaces $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ is considered on the same fixed transversal basis \mathcal{U} since the construction is again local. Moreover, we assume that the marked points used in the definition (4.1) of the spaces $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ are chosen on the same transversal section.

Recall the setup for a few chain-cochain complex spaces $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$. Let $(p_{1,i}, \dots, p_{k_i,i})$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, be sets of points with the local coordinates $(c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}), \dots, c_{k_i,i}(p_{k_i,i}))$ taken on the j -th transversal section $U_{j,i} \in \mathcal{U}$, $j \geq 1$, of the transversal basis \mathcal{U} . For $k_i \geq 0$, let $C_{(m_i)}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})(U_m)$, $0 \leq j \leq m$, be as before the spaces of all linear maps (2.11)

$$\Phi^{(i)} : V^{\otimes k_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\substack{c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}), \dots, c_{k_i,i}(p_{k_i,i}) \\ c_{1,i}(p'_{1,i}), \dots, c_{m_i,i}(p'_{m_i,i})}}^{c_{1,i}(p_{1,i}), \dots, c_{k_i,i}(p_{k_i,i})} \quad ; \quad (6.1)$$

regularized transversal to vertex operators (2.13) with the formal parameters identified with the local coordinate functions $c'_{j,i}(p'_{j,i})$ around points $p_{j,i}$, on each of the transversal sections $U_{j,i}$, $1 \leq j \leq l_1$, $1 \leq i \leq l$. According to the definition (4.1), for $k_i \geq 0$, $1 \leq m_i \leq l_1$, the spaces $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ are:

$$C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) = \bigcap_{U_1 \xrightarrow{h_{1,i}} \dots \xrightarrow{h_{m_i-1,i}} U_{m_i,i}, 1 \leq i \leq m_i} C_{(m_i)}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})(U_{j,i}), \quad (6.2)$$

where the intersection ranges over all possible m_i -tuples of the holonomy embeddings $h_{j,i}$, $1 \leq j \leq m_i - 1$, between the transversal sections $(U_{1,i}, \dots, U_{m_i,i})$ of the basis \mathcal{U} for \mathcal{F} . Let t be the number of the coinciding vertex operators for

the mappings that are regularized transversal to $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}) \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, $1 \leq i \leq l$. Denote $\mu_i = m_1 + \dots + m_i$.

Elements $\Phi^{(1,\dots,l)}$ of the tensor product $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r}}^{(1,\dots,l)}$ correspond to the choice of a set of leaves of M/\mathcal{F} . Thus, the collection of matrix elements of (6.2) identifies the space $C_{\mu_l-t}^{\theta_l-r}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(1,\dots,l)})$. Let us formulate the main proposition of this Section.

Proposition 10. *For $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}) \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ the sequence of products (3.6) $\widehat{\Theta}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_l,l}, x_{k_l,l}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i})_k$ (3.14) belongs to the space $C_{\mu_l-t}^{\theta_l-r}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(1,\dots,l)})$, i.e.,*

$$\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l : \times_{i=1}^l C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \rightarrow C_{\mu_l-t}^{\theta_l-r}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(1,\dots,l)}). \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. In Proposition 4 it was proven that $\widehat{\Theta}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_l,l}, x_{k_l,l}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i})_k \in \mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r}}^{(1,\dots,l)}$. Namely, the differential forms corresponding to the sequence multiple product $\widehat{\Theta}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_l,l}, x_{k_l,l}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i})_k$ converge in ρ_i individually, and are subject to (2.9), the $L_V(0)$ -conjugation (2.7) and the $L_V(-1)$ -derivative (2.3) properties. The formula (2.4) gives the action of $\sigma \in S_{k_l-r}$ on the product $\widehat{\Theta}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_l,l}, x_{k_l,l}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i})_k$ (3.14). Then we see that for the sets of points $(p_{1,i}, \dots, p_{k_i,i})$, taken on the same transversal section $U_{j,i} \in \mathcal{U}$, $j \geq 1$, by Proposition 4 we obtain a map $\widehat{\Theta}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_l,l}, x_{k_l,l}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i})_k : V^{\otimes(\theta_l)} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{c_1(p_1), \dots, c_{k_1+\dots+k_l-r}(p_{k_1+\dots+k_l-r})}^{(1,\dots,l)}$, with the non-coinciding formal parameters $(z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r})$ identified with the local coordinates $(c_1(p_1), \dots, c_{\theta_l-r}(p_{\theta_l-r}))$, of the points $(p_{1,1}, \dots, p_{k_1,1}, \dots, p_{1,l}, \dots, p_{k_l,l})$.

Proceed with the following

Lemma 8.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q_1, \dots, q_l \in \mathbb{C}} \langle w', E_{W^{(1,\dots,l)}}^{(m_1+\dots+m_l)}(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{m_1+\dots+m_l}, z_{m_1+\dots+m_l}; \\ & P_{q_1, \dots, q_l} \left(\Phi^{(1,\dots,l)}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{m_1+\dots+m_l+1}, z_{m_1+\dots+m_l+1}; \dots; \right. \\ & \left. v_{m_1+\dots+m_l+k_1+\dots+k_l}, z_{m_1+\dots+m_l+k_1+\dots+k_l}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l \right) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{u \in V^{(k)} \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, E_{W^{(i)}}^{(m_i)}(v_{k_i+1,i}, x_{k_i+1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i+m_i,i}, x_{k_i+m_i,i}; \\ & P_{q_i} \left(Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}, u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \right) \right) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the Appendix 10 the definition (10.2) of the element $E_{W^{(1,\dots,l)}}^{(m_1+\dots+m_l)}$ was given. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{u \in V^{(k)} \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, E_{W^{(1,\dots,l)}}^{(m_1+\dots+m_l)}(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{m_1+\dots+m_l}, z_{m_1+\dots+m_l}; \\ & P_{q_1, \dots, q_l} \left(Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{m_1+\dots+m_i+1}, z_{m_1+\dots+m_i+1}; \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \dots; v_{m_1+\dots+m_i+k_i}, z_{m_1+\dots+m_i+k_i}, u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \right) \right) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{u \in V_{(k)} \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, E_{W^{(1, \dots, l)}}^{(m_1 + \dots + m_l)} (v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}; \\
&\quad P_{q_1, \dots, q_l} \left(e^{\zeta_{2,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta_{2,i}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + 1}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + 1}; \dots; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + k_i}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + k_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}), \right) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The action of a grading-restricted generalized V -module $W^{(i)}$ vertex operators $Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta_{a,i})$, and the exponentials $e^{\zeta_{a,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)}$, $a = 1, 2$, of the differential operator $L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)$, shifts the grading index q of the $W_{q_i}^{(i)}$ -subspaces by $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ which can be later rescaled to q_i . Thus, the last expression transforms to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{q \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{\substack{u \in V_{(k)} \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, E_{W^{(1, \dots, l)}}^{(m_1 + \dots + m_l)} (v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}; \\
&\quad e^{\zeta_{2,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta_{2,i}) \\
&\quad P_{q_1 + \alpha_1, \dots, q_l + \alpha_l} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + 1}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + 1}; \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \dots; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + k_i}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + k_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}), \right) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{\substack{u \in V_{(k)} \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, E_{W^{(1, \dots, l)}}^{(m_1 + \dots + m_l)} (v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}; \\
&\quad Y_{W^{(i)} V'}^{(i)} \left(P_{q_1 + \alpha_1, \dots, q_l + \alpha_l} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + 1}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + 1}; \right. \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \left. \dots; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + k_i}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + k_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}), \zeta_{2,i} \right) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \right) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{\substack{u \in V_{(k)} \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \sum_{\tilde{w}_i \in W^{(i)}} \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, E_{W^{(1, \dots, l)}}^{(m_1 + \dots + m_l)} (v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}; \tilde{w}_i) \rangle \\
&\quad \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)} V'}^{(i)} \left(P_{q + \alpha} \left(\Phi(g_i; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + 1}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + 1}; \right. \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \left. \dots; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + k_i}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + k_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}), \zeta_{2,i} \right) \right) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{q \in \mathbb{C}} \langle w', E_{W^{(1, \dots, l)}}^{(m_1 + \dots + m_l)} (v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}; \\
&\quad P_{q + \alpha} \left(\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + 1}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + 1}; \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \dots; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + k_1 + \dots + k_l}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + k_1 + \dots + k_l}) \right) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Proposition 7, as an element of $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_l + k_1 + \dots + k_l}}^{(k_1, \dots, k_l)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle w', E_{W^{(1, \dots, l)}}^{(m_1 + \dots + m_l)} (v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}; \\
&\quad P_{q + \alpha} \left(\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + 1}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + 1}; \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \dots; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + k_1 + \dots + k_l}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_i + k_1 + \dots + k_l}) \right) \rangle, \quad (6.4)
\end{aligned}$$

is invariant under the action of $\sigma \in S_{m_1+\dots+m_i+k_1+\dots+k_l}$. Thus, it possible to use this invariance to show that (6.4) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle w', E_{W^{(1,\dots,l)}}^{(m_1+\dots+m_l)} \left(v_{k_1+1}, z_{k_1+1}; \dots; v_{k_1+1+m_1}, z_{k_1+1+m_1}; \right. \\ & \quad \dots; v_{k_l+1}, z_{k_l+1}; \dots; v_{k_l+1+m_l}, z_{k_l+1+m_l}; \\ & \quad \left. P_{q+\alpha} \left(\Phi^{(1,\dots,l)}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{k_1}, z_{k_1}; \dots; \right. \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. \left. v_{k_1+\dots+k_l}, z_{k_1+\dots+k_l}) \right) \right) \rangle \\ &= \langle w', E_{W^{(1,\dots,l)}}^{(m_1+\dots+m_l)} \left(v_{k_1+1,i}, x_{k_1+1,i}; \dots; v_{k_l+1+m_l}, x_{k_l+1+m_l}; \right. \\ & \quad \left. P_{q+\alpha} \left(\Phi^{(1,\dots,l)}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}) \right) \right) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $1 \leq i \leq l$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle w', E_{W^{(1,\dots,l)}}^{(m_1+\dots+m_l)} \left(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{m_1+\dots+m_l}, z_{m_1+\dots+m_l}; \right. \\ & \quad \left. P_q \left(Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(v_{m_1+\dots+m_l+1}, z_{m_1+\dots+m_l+1}; \right. \right. \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. \left. \dots; v_{m_1+\dots+m_l+k_1+\dots+k_i}, z_{m_1+\dots+m_l+k_1+\dots+k_i}); u, \zeta_{1,i} \right), \zeta_{2,i} f_i \cdot \bar{u} \right) \right) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

correspond to the elements of $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{m_1+\dots+m_l+k_1+\dots+k_i}}$. Let us use Proposition 7 again and we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle w', E_{W^{(1,\dots,l)}}^{(m_1+\dots+m_l)} \left(v_{k_i+1,i}, x_{k_i+1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i+m_i}, x_{k_i+m_i}; \right. \\ & \quad \left. P_q \left(Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}); u, \zeta_{1,i} \right), f_i \cdot \bar{u} \right) \right) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof of Lemma is completed. \square

Next, we prove

Proposition 11. *The products $\Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l-r}, z_{\theta_l-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i})$ (3.14) are regularized transversal to $\mu_l - t$ vertex operators.*

Proof. Recall that $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i}, z_{n_i+k_i})$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, are regularized transversal to $m_i - t_i$ vertex operators. For the first condition of the regularized transversality: let $l_{1,i}, \dots, l_{k_i-r_i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $l_{1,i} + \dots + l_{k_i-r_i,i} = n_i + k_i - r_i + m_i - t_i$. For an arbitrary $w'_i \in W^{(i)'}$, denote

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_{n_i+1}, \dots, v_{n_i+k_i}, v_{n_i+k_i+1}, \dots, v_{n_i+k_i+m_i-t_i}) \\ & \quad = (v_{n_i+1}, \dots, v_{n_i+k_i}, v'_{n_i+k_i+1}, \dots, v'_{n_i+k_i+m_i-t_i}), \\ & (z_{n_i+1}, \dots, z_{n_i+k_i}, z_{n_i+k_i+1}, \dots, z_{n_i+k_i+m_i-t_i}) \\ & \quad = (z_{n_i+1}, \dots, z_{n_i+k_i}, z'_{n_i+k_i+1}, \dots, z'_{n_i+k_i+m_i-t_i}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

Define $\Xi_{j,i} = E_V^{(l_{j,i})}(v_{\varkappa_{1,i}}, z_{\varkappa_{1,i}} - \varsigma_{j,i}; \dots; v_{\varkappa_{j,i}}, z_{\varkappa_{j,i}} - \varsigma_{j,i}; \mathbf{1}_V)$, where

$$\varkappa_{1,i} = l_{1,i} + \dots + l_{j-1,i} + 1, \quad \dots, \quad \varkappa_{j,i} = l_{1,i} + \dots + l_{j-1,i} + l_j, \quad (6.6)$$

for $1 \leq j \leq k_i - r_i$. Then the series

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{m_i-t_i}^{1, k_i-r_i} \left(\Phi^{(i)} \right) &= R \sum_{r_{1,i}, \dots, r_{k_i-r_i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle w'_i, \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; P_{r_{1,i}} \Xi_{1,i}; \varsigma_{1,i}; \dots; \\ & \quad P_{r_{k_i-r_i,i}} \Xi_{k_i-r_i,i}; \varsigma_{k_i-r_i,i}) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

is absolutely convergent when $|z_{l_{1,i}+\dots+l_{j-1,i}+p_i} - \varsigma_{j,i}| + |z_{l_{1,i}+\dots+l_{j'-1,i}+q} - \varsigma_{j',i}| < |\varsigma_{j,i} - \varsigma_{j',i}|$, for $j, 1 \leq j' \leq k_i - r_i, j \neq j'$, and for $1 \leq p_i \leq l_{j,i}$ and $1 \leq q_i \leq l_{j',i}$. There exist positive integers $N_{m_i-t_i}^{k_i-r_i}(v_{j,i}, v_{j',i})$, depending only on $v_{j,i}$ and $v_{j',i}$ for $1 \leq j, j' \leq m_i - t_i, j \neq j'$, such that the sum is analytically extended to a rational function in $(z_1, \dots, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i+m_i-t_i})$, independent of $(\varsigma_{1,i}, \dots, \varsigma_{k_i-r_i,i})$, with the only possible poles at $x_{j,i} = x_{j',i}$, of order less than or equal to $N_{m_i-t_i}^{k_i-r_i}(v_{j,i}, v_{j',i})$, for $j, 1 \leq j' \leq k_i - r_i, j \neq j'$.

Now let us consider the first condition of Definition 17 of the regularized transversal for the product (3.14) of $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_i}, z_{\theta_i})$ with a number of vertex operators. We obtain for $\Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_l}, z_{\theta_l}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)$ the following. Introduce $l'_1, \dots, l'_{\theta_l-r} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, such that $l'_1 + \dots + l'_{\theta_l-r} = \theta_l - r + \mu_l - t$. Define $\Xi'_{j''} = E_V^{(l'_{j''})}(v_{\mathcal{X}'_1}, z_{\mathcal{X}'_1 - \zeta'_{j''}}; \dots; v_{\mathcal{X}'_{j''}}, z_{\mathcal{X}'_{j''} - \zeta'_{j''}}; \mathbf{1}_V)$, where $\mathcal{X}'_1 = l'_1 + \dots + l'_{j''-1} + 1, \dots, \mathcal{X}'_{j''} = l'_1 + \dots + l'_{j''-1} + l'_{j''}$, for $1 \leq j'' \leq \theta_l - r$, and we take $(\zeta'_1, \dots, \zeta'_{\theta_{k_l}-r}) = (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{k_1-r_1}; \dots; \zeta_{n_{l-1}+1}, \dots, \zeta_{n_l+k_l-r_l})$.

Then we consider

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu_l-r}^{1, \theta_l-r} \left(\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)} \right) = R \sum_{r'_1, \dots, r'_{\theta_l-r} \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\Theta} \left(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; P_{r'_1} \Xi'_1, \zeta'_1; \dots; P_{r'_{\theta_l-r}} \Xi'_{\theta_l-r}, \zeta'_{\theta_l-r} \right), \quad (6.8)$$

and prove it's absolutely convergence with some conditions.

The condition $|z_{l'_1+\dots+l'_{j-1}+p'-\zeta'_j} + |z_{l'_1+\dots+l'_{j'-1}+q'-\zeta'_{j'}}| < |\zeta'_j - \zeta'_{j'}|$, of the absolute convergence for (6.8) for $1 \leq j'', j'' \leq \theta_l - r, j \neq j'$, for $1 \leq p' \leq l'_j$, and $1 \leq q' \leq l'_{j'}$, follows from the conditions (2.15) and (2.16). The action of $e^{\zeta L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} Y_{W^{(i)}}(\cdot, \cdot)$, $a = 1, 2$, in

$$\langle w'_i, e^{\zeta_1 L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} Y_{W^{(i)}}(u, -\zeta) \sum_{\substack{r_1, \dots, \\ r_{k_i-r_i} \in \mathbb{Z}}} \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; P_{r_1, i} \Xi_1, \varsigma_1; P_{r_{k_i-r_i, i}} \Xi_{k_i-r_i}, \varsigma_{k_i-r_i}) \rangle,$$

does not affect the absolute convergence of (6.7). Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \mathcal{R}_{\mu_l-t}^{1, \theta_l-r} \left(\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)} \right) \right| \\ &= R \left| \sum_{r''_1, \dots, r''_{\theta_l-r} \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\Theta} \left(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; P_{r''_1} \Xi'_1, \zeta'_1; \dots; P_{r''_{\theta_l-r}} \Xi'_{\theta_l-r}, \zeta'_{\theta_l-r} \right) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{\substack{u \in V^{(k)} \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\sum_{\substack{r'_1, \dots, \\ r'_{\theta_i-r_i} \in \mathbb{Z}}} \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; P_{r'_1} \Xi'_1, \zeta'_1; \dots; P_{r'_{\theta_i-r_i}} \Xi'_{\theta_i-r_i}, \zeta'_{\theta_i-r_i}; u, \varsigma_{1,i}) \right), \varsigma_{2,i} \rangle f_i \cdot \bar{u} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \sum_{\substack{u \in V(k) \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\sum_{\substack{r_{1,i}, \dots, \\ r_{k_i-r_i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}}} \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; P_{r_{1,i}} \Xi_{1,i}, \varsigma_{1,i}; \dots; \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. P_{r_{k_i-r_i,i}} \Xi_{k_i-r_i,i}, \varsigma_{k_i-r_i,i}; u, \varsigma_{1,i} \right), \varsigma_{2,i} \right) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle \Big| \\
&= \left| \sum_{\substack{u \in V(k) \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, e^{\varsigma_{2,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\varsigma_{2,i}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \sum_{\substack{r_{1,i}, \dots, \\ r_{k_i-r_i,i} \in \mathbb{Z}}} \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; P_{r_{1,i}} \Xi_{1,i}, \varsigma_{1,i}; \dots; P_{r_{k_i,i}} \Xi_{k_i-r_i,i}, \varsigma_{k_i-r_i,i}; u, \varsigma_{1,i}) \right\rangle \leq \left| \mathcal{R}_{m_i-t_i}^{1, k_i-r_i}(\Phi^{(i)}) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that (6.8) is absolutely convergent. Recall that $N_{m_i-t_i}^{k_i-r_i}(v_{i,i}, v_{j,i})$ are the maximal orders of possible poles of (6.8) at $x_{j,i} = x_{j',i}$. From the last expression follows that there exist positive integers $N_{\mu_i-t}^{\theta_l-r}(v_{i'',i}, v_{j'',i})$ for $1 \leq j, j' \leq k_i - r_i$, $j \neq j'$, depending only on $v_{i'',i}$ and $v_{j'',i}$ for $1 \leq i'', j'' \leq \theta_{k_l} - r$, $i'' \neq j''$, such that the series (6.8) can be analytically extended to a rational function in $(z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l-r})$, independent of $(\varsigma'_{1,i}, \dots, \varsigma'_{\theta_l-r,i})$, with extra possible poles at and $z_{j,i} = z'_{j',i}$, of order less than or equal to $N_{\mu_i-t}^{\theta_l-r}(v_{i'',i}, v_{j'',i})$, for $1 \leq i'', j'' \leq n$, $i'' \neq j''$.

Now, let us pass to the second condition of the regularized transversal for $\Phi^{(i)}$ ($g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, x_{n_i+k_i-r_i} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, and $v_{1,i}, \dots, v_{k_i,i} \in V$, $(x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i+m,i}) \in \mathbb{C}$. For arbitrary $w'_i \in W^{(i)l}$, the series

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{m_i-t_i}^{2, k_i-r_i}(\Phi^{(i)}) &= R \sum_{q_i \in \mathbb{C}} \langle w'_i, E_{W^{(i)}}^{(m_i-t_i)}(v' n'_i + 1, z'_{n'_i+1}; \dots; v'_{n'_i+m_i-t_i}, z'_{n'_i+m_i-t_i}; \\
&\quad P_{q_i}(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v'_{n'_i+m_i-t_i+1}, z_{n_i+m_i-t_i+1}; \dots; v'_{n'_i+m_i-t_i+k_i}, z_{n_i+m_i-t_i+k_i})) \rangle, \quad (6.9)
\end{aligned}$$

is absolutely convergent when $z'_j \neq z'_{j'}$, $j \neq j'$, $|z'_j| > |z'_{j'}| > 0$, for $1 \leq j \leq m_i - t_i$, $m_i + 1 \leq j' \leq k_i + m_i$, and the sum can be analytically extended to a rational function in $(x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i+m,i})$ with the only possible poles at $x_{j,i} = x_{j',i}$, of orders less than or equal to $N_{m_i}^{k_i}(v_{i,i}, v_{j,i})$, for $1 \leq j, j' \leq k_i$, $j \neq j'$.

In the Appendix 10 the definition (10.2) of the element $E_{W^{(1,\dots,l)}}^{(\mu_l)}$ for $\Phi^{(1,\dots,l)} \in \mathcal{W}_{z'_1, \dots, z'_{\theta_l-r}}^{(1,\dots,l)}$ was given. With the conditions $z_{i'',i} \neq z_{j'',i}$, $i'' \neq j''$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, $|z_{i'',i}| > |z_{k''',i}| > 0$, for $i'' = 1, \dots, m_1 + \dots + m_l$, and $k''' = m_1 + \dots + m_l + 1, \dots, m_1 + \dots + m_l + k_1 + \dots + k_l$, let us define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{m_1+\dots+m_l-t}^{2, k_1+\dots+k_l-r}(\Phi^{(1,\dots,l)}) &= R \sum_{q_1, \dots, q_l \in \mathbb{C}} E_{W^{(1,\dots,l)}}^{(m_1+\dots+m_l)}(v_1, z_1; \dots; \\
&\quad v_{m_1+\dots+m_l}, z_{m_1+\dots+m_l}; P_{q_1, \dots, q_l}(\Phi^{(1,\dots,l)}(g_1, \dots, g_l; \\
&\quad v_{m_1+\dots+m_l+1}, z_{m_1+\dots+m_l+1}; \dots; \\
&\quad v_{m_1+\dots+m_l+k_1+\dots+k_l}, z_{m_1+\dots+m_l+k_1+\dots+k_l}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)), \quad (6.10)
\end{aligned}$$

where P_{q_1, \dots, q_l} stands for projections $P_{q_i} : \overline{W}^{(i)} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{q_i}^{(i)}$ on the corresponding subspaces in the tensor product $\mathcal{W}^{(1, \dots, l)}$. In the Appendix 10, (10.2) defines $E_{W^{(1, \dots, l)}}^{(m_1 + \dots + m_l)}$. In order to get, in particular, the regularized transversal of an element Φ with extra vertex operators, $\mathcal{R}_m^{2, n}(\Phi)$ (2.14) was introduced in Subsection 2.4. We substitute the element Φ by an element $\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)}$ in Θ . The absolute convergence of $\mathcal{R}_{m_1 + \dots + m_l - t}^{2, k_1 + \dots + k_l - r}(\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)})$ defined by (6.10) with (10.2) provides the regularized transversal condition for $\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)}$ with respect to a number of extra vertex operators in $\mathcal{W}^{(1, \dots, l)}$. Using Lemma 8 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{R}_{m_1 + \dots + m_l - t}^{2, k_1 + \dots + k_l - r}(\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)}) \right| &= \left| \sum_{q_1, \dots, q_l \in \mathbb{C}} \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \langle w'_i, E_{W^{(i)}}^{(m_i)}(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{m_i}, z_{m_i}; \right. \\ &\quad \left. P_{q_1, \dots, q_l}(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{m_i+1}, z_{m_i+1}; \dots; v_{m_i+k_i}, z_{m_i+k_i})) \rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{q_1, \dots, q_l \in \mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \prod_{i=1}^l \langle w'_i, E_{W^{(i)}}^{(m_i)}(v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{m_i,i}, x_{m_i,i}; \right. \\ &\quad \left. P_{q_i}(Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}}(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{m_i+1,i}, x_{m_i+1,i}; \dots; v_{m_i+k_i,i}, x_{m_i+k_i,i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) f_i \cdot \bar{u})) \rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{q_1, \dots, q_l \in \mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \prod_{i=1}^l \langle w'_i, E_{W^{(i)}}^{(m_i)}(v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{m_i,i}, x_{m_i,i}; \right. \\ &\quad \left. P_{q_i}(e^{\zeta_{2,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta_{2,i}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{m_i+1,i}, x_{m_i+1,i}; \dots; v_{m_i+k_i,i}, x_{m_i+k_i,i}; u, \zeta_{1,i})) \rangle \right| \leq \left| \mathcal{R}_{m_i}^{2, k_i}(\Phi^{(i)}) \right|, \end{aligned}$$

where the invariance of (3.14) under $\sigma \in S_{m_1 + \dots + m_l - t + k_1 + \dots + k_l - r}$ was used. According to Definition 17, $\mathcal{R}_{m_i}^{2, k_i}(\Phi^{(i)})$ are absolute convergent.

Thus, we infer that $\mathcal{R}_{m_1 + \dots + m_l - t}^{2, k_1 + \dots + k_l - r}(\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)})$ is absolutely convergent, and the sum (6.8) is analytically extendable to a rational function in $(z_1, \dots, z_{k_1 + \dots + k_l - r + m_1 + \dots + m_l - t})$ with the only possible poles at $x_{j,i} = x_{j',i}$, and at $x_{j,i} = x_{j'',i}$, i.e., the only possible poles at $z_{i''} = z_{j''}$, of orders less than or equal to $N_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}^{k_1 + \dots + k_l}(v_{i'',i}, v_{j'',i})$, for $i'', j'' = 1, \dots, k''$, $i'' \neq j''$. This finishes the proof of Proposition 11. \square

Since we have proved that the sequence of products $\widehat{\Theta}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_l,l}, x_{k_l,l}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i} \ 5)$ is regularized transversal to $\mu_l - t$ vertex operators (2.13) with the formal parameters identified with the local coordinates $c_{j,i}(p''_{j,i})$ around the points $(p'_1, \dots, p'_{\mu_l - t})$ on each of the transversal sections $U_{j,i}$, $1 \leq j \leq \mu_l - t$, we conclude that according to Definition 22, the sequence of products $\widehat{\Theta}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_l,l}, x_{k_l,l}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i})$ belongs to the space

$$C_{\mu_l - t}^{\theta_l - r}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(1, \dots, l)}) = \bigcap_{\substack{U_{1,i} \xleftrightarrow{h_{1,i}} \dots \xleftrightarrow{h_{m_1 + \dots + m_l - 1, i}} U_{m_1 + \dots + m_l} \\ 1 \leq j \leq m_1 + \dots + m_l - t}} C_{(\mu - t)}^{\theta_l - r}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(1, \dots, l)})(U_{j,i}), \quad (6.11)$$

where the intersection ranges over all possible $\mu_l - t$ -tuples of holonomy embeddings $h_{j,i}$, $1 \leq j \leq \mu_l - t - 1$, between transversal sections $U_{1,i}, \dots, U_{\mu_l - t - 1, i}$ of the basis \mathcal{U} for \mathcal{F} . This completes the proof of Proposition 10. \square

Since the sequence of products (3.6) of $\mathcal{W}^{(i)}$ -spaces, $1 \leq i \leq l$, gives the tensor products of that spaces, the sequence of products (6.3) of the corresponding $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ -spaces belong to the same type of spaces. which makes further considerations much simpler.

7. PROPERTIES OF THE MULTIPLE PRODUCT SEQUENCES

Since the sequence of (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) -products of elements $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}) \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ results in an element of $C_{\mu_l - t}^{\theta_l - r}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(1, \dots, l)}, \mathcal{F})$, then the corollary below follows directly from Proposition (10) and Definition 19:

Corollary 1. *For the spaces $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i,i}}^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, with the product (3.14) which belongs to $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l - r}}^{(1, \dots, l)}$, the subspace of $\text{Hom}\left(V^{\otimes(\theta_l - r)}, \mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l - r}}^{(1, \dots, l)}\right)$ consisting of linear maps satisfying the $L_V(-1)$ -derivative and the $L_V(0)$ -conjugation properties or regularized transversal to $\mu_l - t \geq 0$ vertex operators, is invariant with respect to the group $S_{\theta_l - r}$. \square*

7.1. Formal parameters invariance. According to Proposition 7, elements of the space

$\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l - r}}^{(1, \dots, l)}$ resulting from the sequence of (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) -products (3.6), (3.9) are invariant with respect to group $(\text{Aut } \mathcal{O})_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l - r}}^{\times(\theta_l - r)}$ of independent changes of the formal parameters. Now we prove the following

Corollary 2. *For $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}) \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ the sequence of products*

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Theta}(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_l,l}, x_{k_l,l}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) \\ = \left(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}) \right)_k, \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

is invariant with respect to the action of the group $(\text{Aut } \mathcal{O})_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l - r}}^{\times(\theta_l - r)}$

$$(z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l - r}) \mapsto (\tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_{\theta_l - r}) = (\varrho(z_1), \dots, \varrho(z_{\theta_l - r})). \quad (7.2)$$

Proof. In Subsection 3.8 we proved that the sequence of multiple products (3.6), (3.9) belongs to $\mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l - r}}^{(1, \dots, l)}$, and it is invariant under the action of the group $(\text{Aut } \mathcal{O})_{z_1, \dots, z_{\theta_l - r}}^{\times(\theta_l - r)}$. As in to the proof of Proposition 8, it follows that the vertex operators $\omega_V(v'_{i,j}, x'_{i,j})$, $1 \leq j \leq m_i$, regularized transversal to $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,1}, x_{1,1}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i})$, are also invariant with respect to independent changes of coordinates $(\varrho(z_1), \dots, \varrho(z_{\mu_l - t})) \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{O}_{z_1, \dots, z_{\mu_l - t}}^{\times(\mu_l - t)}$. \square

7.2. The coboundary operator acting on the product for complexes. In Proposition 10 we proved that the sequence of multiple products (3.14) of spaces $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ elements belongs to $C_{\mu_l - t}^{\theta_l - r}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(1, \dots, l)})$. Thus, the product admits the action of the coboundary operators $\delta_{\mu_l - t}^{\theta_l - r}$ and $\delta_{ex-t,i}^{2-r,i}$ defined in (5.3) and (5.8). As we showed in Subsection 6.1, in contrast to the case of $\mathcal{W}^{(i)}$ -spaces, where the sequence of (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) -products leads to the tensor product $\mathcal{W}^{(1, \dots, l)}$, the products

(6.3) of $C_{m_i}^{k_i}$ -spaces result in the same kind of space $C_m^k(V, \mathcal{W}^{(1, \dots, l)})$ defined on $\mathcal{W}^{(1, \dots, l)}$.

The coboundary operators (5.3), (5.8) have a version of Leibniz law with respect to the product (3.14). We will use it in Section 8 while deriving the cohomology classes. Recall the notations n_i of Subsection 3.2.

Proposition 12. *For $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,1}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i,i}, x_{k_i,i}) \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, the action of the coboundary operator $\delta_{\mu_i-t}^{\theta_i-r}$ (5.3) (and $\delta_{ex-t,i}^{2-r,i}$ (5.8)) on the sequence of (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) -products (3.14), $l \geq 1$, is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\mu_i-t}^{\theta_i-r} \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; z_1, v_1; \dots; v_{\theta_i-r}, z_{\theta_i-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i})_k \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^l \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l (-1)^{k_i-r_i} \delta_{m_i-t_i}^{k_i-r_i} \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i})_k. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

Proof. Due to (5.3) and (5.4), the action of $\delta_{\mu_i-t}^{\theta_i-r}$ on $\Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; z_1, v_1; \dots; v_{\theta_i-r}, z_{\theta_i-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i})_k$, is given by (we assume, as before, that the vertex operator $\omega_V(v_j, z_j - z_{j+1})$ does not act on $(u, \zeta_{1,i})$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta_{\mu_i-t}^{\theta_i-r} \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_i-r}, z_{\theta_i-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i})_k \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\theta_i-r} (-1)^j \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{j-1}, z_{j-1}; \\ & \quad \omega_V(v_j, z_j - z_{j+1}) v_{j+1}, z_{j+1}; v_{j+2}, z_{j+2}; \dots; v_{\theta_i-r}, z_{\theta_i-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i})_k \\ & + \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; \omega_{W^{(1)}}(v_1, z_1); v_2, z_2; \dots; v_{\theta_i-r}, z_{\theta_i-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i})_k \\ & + (-1)^{\theta_i-r+1} \Theta(f_1, \dots, f_l; g_1, \dots, g_l; \omega_{W^{(l)}}(v_{\theta_i-r+1}, z_{\theta_i-r+1}); v_1, z_1; \dots; \\ & \quad v_{\theta_i-r}, z_{\theta_i-r}; \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l; \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i})_k. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of the enumeration n_i of v and z -parameters defined in Subsection 3.2. Using (3.6) we see that the above is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{\theta_i-r} (-1)^j \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; \right. \\ & \quad \left. \omega_V(v_j, z_j - z_{j+1}) v_{j+1}, z_{j+1}; v_{j+2}, z_{j+2}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i} \right) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle, \\ & + \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\left((\omega_{W^{(1)}}(v_1, z_1))^{\delta_{i,1}} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1+\delta_{i,1}}, z_{n_i+1+\delta_{i,1}}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}) \right), \zeta_{2,i} \right) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle \\ & + (-1)^{\theta_i-r+1} \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\left((\omega_{W^{(l)}}(v_{n_i+1+1}, z_{n_i+1+1}))^{\delta_{i,l}} \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}) \right), \zeta_{2,i} \right) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

Consider the third term in (7.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s=2}^l \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\left((\omega_{W^{(s)}}(v_{n_{i+1}+1}, z_{n_{i+1}+1}))^{\delta_{s,i}} \right. \right. \\
& \quad \left. \left. \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}) \right), \zeta_{2,i} \right) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{s=2}^l \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, e^{\zeta_{2,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta_{2,i}) \left(\omega_{W^{(s)}}(v_{n_{i+1}+1}, z_{n_{i+1}+1}) \right)^{\delta_{s,i}} \\
& \quad \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{s=2}^l \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, e^{\zeta_{2,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} \left(\omega_{W^{(s)}}(v_{n_{i+1}+1}, z_{n_{i+1}+1}) \right)^{\delta_{s,i}} Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta_{2,i}) \\
& \quad \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Due to the definition (9.5) of the intertwining operator and the locality property (9.1) of vertex operators we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{s=2}^l \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, \left(\omega_{W^{(s)}}(v_{n_{i+1}+1}, z_{n_{i+1}+1} + \zeta_{2,i}) \right)^{\delta_{s,i}} e^{\zeta_{2,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} \\
& \quad Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta_{2,i}) \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The insertion an arbitrary vertex algebra module $W^{(i)}$ -basis \tilde{w}_i , and use of the definition of the intertwining operator (9.5) results

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\tilde{w}_i \in W^{(i)}} \sum_{s=2}^l \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, \left(\omega_{W^{(s)}}(v_{n_{i+1}+1}, z_{n_{i+1}+1} + \zeta_{2,i}) \right)^{\delta_{s,i}} \tilde{w}_i \rangle \\
& \quad \langle \tilde{w}'_i, e^{\zeta_{2,i} L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)} Y_{W^{(i)}}(f_i \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta_{2,i}) \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; \\
& \quad \quad \quad v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{s=2}^l \sum_{\substack{\tilde{w}_i \in W^{(i)} \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle \tilde{w}'_i, Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i}, z_{n_i}; \dots; \right. \\
& \quad \left. v_{n_{i+1}-1}, z_{n_{i+1}-1}; u, \zeta_{1,i}), \zeta_{2,i} \right) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \rangle \langle w'_i, \left(\omega_{W^{(s)}}(v_{n_{i+1}}, z_{n_{i+1}} + \zeta_{2,i}) \right)^{\delta_{s,i}} \tilde{w}_i \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\tilde{w}_i \in W^{(i)}} \sum_{s=2}^l \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} \rho_{i+1}^k \langle w'_i, (\omega_{W^{(s)}}(v_{n_{i+1}-1}, z_{n_{i+1}-1} + \zeta_{2,i})^{\delta_{s,i}} \tilde{w}_i) \\
&\quad \langle w'_{i+1}, Y_{W^{(i+1)}V'}^{W^{(i+1)}} \left(\Phi^{(i+1)}(g_{i+1}; v_{n_{i+1}}, z_{n_{i+1}}; \dots; \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. v_{n_{i+2}-1}, z_{n_{i+2}-1}; u, \zeta_{1,i+1}), \zeta_{2,i+1}) f_{i+1} \cdot \bar{u} \right) \\
&= \sum_{s=2}^l \sum_{\tilde{w}_i \in W^{(i)}} \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} \rho_{i+1}^k \langle w'_i, (\omega_{W^{(s)}}(v_{n_{i+1}-1}, z_{n_{i+1}-1} + \zeta_{2,i})^{\delta_{s,i}} \\
&\quad \quad \quad Y_{W^{(i)}W^{(i+1)}}^{W^{(i)}}(\tilde{w}_i, \zeta) w_{i+1}) \\
&\quad \langle w'_{i+1}, Y_{W^{(i+1)}V'}^{W^{(i+1)}} \left(\Phi^{(i+1)}(g_{i+1}; v_{n_{i+1}}, z_{n_{i+1}}; \dots; \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. v_{n_{i+2}-1}, z_{n_{i+2}-1}; u, \zeta_{1,i+1}), \zeta_{2,i+1}) f_{i+1} \cdot \bar{u} \right) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Now eliminate the basis w_{i+1} to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{s=1}^l \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} \rho_{i+1}^k \langle w'_i, e^{-L_{W^{(s-1)}}(-1)(-z_{n_{i+1}-1}-\zeta_{2,i})} e^{L_{W^{(s-1)}}(-1)(-z_{n_{i+1}-1}-\zeta_{2,i})} \\
&\quad (\omega_{W^{(s-1)}}(v_{n_{i+1}-1}, z_{n_{i+1}-1} + \zeta_{2,i}))^{\delta_{s,i+1}} Y_{W^{(i)}W^{(i+1)}}^{W^{(i)}}(\tilde{w}_i, \zeta) \\
&\quad Y_{W^{(i+1)}V'}^{W^{(i+1)}} \left(\Phi^{(i+1)}(g_{i+1}; v_{n_{i+1}}, z_{n_{i+1}}; \dots; v_{n_{i+2}-1}, z_{n_{i+2}-1}; u, \zeta_{1,i+1}), \zeta_{2,i+1}) f_{i+1} \cdot \bar{u} \right) \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^l \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} \rho_{i+1}^k \langle w'_i, e^{-L_{W^{(s-1)}}(-1)(-z_{n_{i+1}-1}-\zeta_{2,i})} \left(Y_{W^{(i)}W^{(i)}}^{W^{(i)}}(Y_{W^{(i)}W^{(i+1)}}^{W^{(i)}}(\tilde{w}_i, \zeta) \right. \\
&\quad \left. Y_{W^{(i+1)}V'}^{W^{(i+1)}} \left(\Phi^{(i+1)}(g_{i+1}; v_{n_{i+1}}, z_{n_{i+1}}; \dots; v_{n_{i+2}-1}, z_{n_{i+2}-1}; u, \zeta_{1,i+1}), \zeta_{2,i+1}) f_{i+1} \cdot \bar{u}, \right. \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. \left. -\zeta) \right)^{\delta_{s,i+1}} v_{n_{i+1}-1} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^l \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} \rho_{i+1}^k \langle w'_i, e^{-L_{W^{(s-1)}}(-1)(-z_{n_{i+1}-1}-\zeta_{2,i})} \\
&\quad \left(Y_{W^{(i)}W^{(i)}}^{W^{(i)}}(Y_{W^{(i)}W^{(i+1)}}^{W^{(i)}}(\tilde{w}_i, \zeta) e^{L_{W^{(i+1)}}(-1)(-\zeta_{2,i+1})} Y_{W^{(i+1)}}(v_{n_{i+1}-1}, \zeta) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \Phi^{(i+1)}(g_{i+1}; v_{n_{i+1}}, z_{n_{i+1}}; \dots; v_{n_{i+2}-1}, z_{n_{i+2}-1}; u, \zeta_{1,i+1}) f_{i+1} \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta) \right)^{\delta_{s,i+1}} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^l \mathcal{R} \prod_{i=1}^{l-1} \rho_{i+1}^k \langle w'_{i+1}, e^{-L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)(-z_{n_{i+1}-1}-\zeta_{2,i})} \\
&\quad e^{L_{W^{(i+1)}}(-1)(-\zeta_{2,i+1})} Y_{W^{(i+1)}}(v_{n_{i+1}-1}, \zeta) \\
&\quad \left. \Phi^{(i+1)}(g_{i+1}; v_{n_{i+1}}, z_{n_{i+1}}; \dots; v_{n_{i+2}-1}, z_{n_{i+2}-1}; u, \zeta_{1,i+1}) f_{i+1} \cdot \bar{u}, -\zeta) \right)^{\delta_{s,i+1}} \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta = -z_{n_{i+1}-1} - \zeta_{2,i}$. Above we have made use of the commutativity of $L_{W^{(i)}}(-1)$ and $L_{W^{(i+1)}}(-1)$, and the formula relating the intertwining operators in the adjoint positions. Due to locality (9.1) of vertex operators, and arbitrariness of $v_{k+1} \in V$ and z_{k+1} , it is always possible to take $\omega_{W^{(s-1)}}(v_{n_{i+1}-1}, z_{n_{i+1}-1} + \zeta_{2,i-1} - \zeta_{2,i+1}) = \omega_{W^{(s-1)}}(v_{n_{i+1}}, z_{n_{i+1}})$, for $v_{n_{i+1}} = v_{n_{i+1}-1}$, $z_{n_{i+1}} = z_{n_{i+1}-1} + \zeta_{2,i-1} - \zeta_{2,i+1}$. We repeat the same operations with the second term of (7.4). Combining the action

of $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i}$ on $\Phi^{(i)}$, with (5.4) gives (7.3) due to (3.6), (3.9). The statement of the proposition for $\delta_{ex,i}^{2,i}$ (5.8) can be checked in the similar way. \square

Remark 8. The extra arbitrary vertex algebra element $v_{n_i+r_i-r_i+1} \in V$, $1 \leq i \leq l$ and the corresponding arbitrary parameter $z_{k_i+1,i}$ in (5.3) comes from the action of $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i}$ on $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ as a map to $C_{m_i-1}^{k_i+1}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$. In application to the sequence of (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) -products (3.14) these extra arbitrary elements are involved in the definition of the action of $\delta_{\mu_i-t}^{\theta_i-r}$ on $\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)}(g_1, \dots, g_l; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{\theta_i-r}, x_{\theta_i-r})$.

Note that both sides of (7.3) belong to the space $C_{\mu_i-t-1}^{\theta_i-r+1}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$. From the coboundary operators $\delta_{m_i-t_i}^{k_i-r_i}$ in (7.3) we have excluded coinciding vertex algebra elements and corresponding formal parameters, as well as coinciding regularized transversal vertex operators. The dependence on coinciding vertex algebra elements, parameters, and regularized transversal to vertex operators is taken into account in the mappings multiplying the action of the coboundary operators on $\Phi^{(i)}$. It is easy to see following

Corollary 3. *The sequence of products (3.14) and the coboundary operators (5.3), (5.8) endow the space $\times_{i=1}^l C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, $k_i \geq 0$, $m_i \geq 0$, $l \geq 1$, with the structure of a graded differential algebra $\mathcal{G}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(1, \dots, l)}, \cdot, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_l, \delta_{\mu_i-t}^{\theta_i-r}, \mathcal{F})$.* \square

Next, we prove the following

Proposition 13. *The sequence of products (3.14) extends the property (5.13) of the families of chain-cochain complexes (5.15) and (5.16) to all sequences of products $\cdot_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l} C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, $k_i \geq 0$, $m_i \geq 0$, $1 \leq i \leq l$.*

Proof. For $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ we proved in Proposition 10 that the sequence of products $\cdot_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l}(\Phi^{(i)})$ belongs to the spaces $C_{\mu_i-t}^{\theta_i-r}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$. Using (7.3) and the chain-cochain property for $\Phi^{(i)}$ we see that

$$\delta_{\mu-t-1}^{\theta_i-r+1} \circ \delta_{\mu_i-t}^{\theta_i-r}(\cdot_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l} \Phi^{(i)}) = 0, \quad \delta_{ex-t}^{2-r} \circ \delta_{2-t}^{1-r}(\cdot_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l} \Phi^{(i)}) = 0.$$

Thus, the chain-cochain property extends to the sequence of (ρ_1, \dots, ρ_l) -products $\cdot_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l}(C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}))$. \square

7.3. The exceptional complex. Finally, for elements of the spaces $C_{ex,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ we obtain

Corollary 4. *The product of elements of the spaces $C_{ex}^2(V, \mathcal{W}^{(ex)})$ and $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ is given by (3.14),*

$$\begin{aligned} \cdot_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l} : \times_{i=1}^{l_1} C_{ex,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \times_{j=1}^{l_2} C_{m_i}^{k_i,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) &\rightarrow C_{m_i-t,i}^{k_1+\dots+k_{l_2}+2l_1-r,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}), \\ \cdot_{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l} : \times_{i=1}^l C_{ex,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) &\rightarrow C_{0,i}^{4-r,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}). \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

Proof. The number of formal parameters in the product (3.14) is $k_1 + \dots + k_{l_2} + 2l_1 - r$. That follows from Proposition (4). Consider the product (3.14) for $C_{ex,i}^{2,i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ and $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$. As in the proof of Proposition 10, the total number $m_i - t$ of vertex operators the product Θ is regularized transversal is preserved. Thus, we have to checked that on the right hand side of (7.5) the number of vertex operators regularized transversal becomes $m_i - t$. \square

8. THE MULTIPLE-PRODUCT COHOMOLOGY CLASSES

In this Section proofs of the main results of this paper are provided. In particular, we find invariant classes associated to the sequences of multiple products for a vertex algebra cohomology for codimension one foliations.

8.1. The cohomology classes. In this Subsection, we introduce the cohomology classes for codimension one foliations on complex curves associated to a grading-restricted vertex operator algebra. The cohomology classes for a codimension one foliation [15, 31, 52] were introduced starting with an extra transversality condition on differential forms defining a foliation, and leading to the integrability condition. The elements of \mathcal{E} in (5.3) and \mathcal{E}_{ex} in (5.10) are elements of spaces $C_{\infty, i}^{1, i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ regularized transversal to an infinite number of vertex operators. The actions of coboundary operators $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i}$ and $\delta_{ex, i}^{2, i}$ in (5.3) and (5.8) are written as products similar to as differential forms in Frobenius theorem [31]. Using the sequence of multiple products we introduce cohomology classes of the form that are counterparts of the Godbillon class [29]. In analogy with differential forms let us introduce

Definition 27. We call a map $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, closed if it represents a closed connection $\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} = G(\Phi^{(i)}) = 0$. For $m_i \geq 1$, we call it exact if there exists $\Psi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i-1}^{k_i+1}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, such that $\Psi^{(i)}(v'_1, z'_1; \dots; v'_{k_i+1}, z'_{k_i+1}) = \delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)}(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{k_i}, z_{k_i})$, i.e., $\Psi^{(i)}$ is the form of a connection.

Definition 28. For $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ we call the cohomology class of mappings $[\Phi^{(i)}]$ the set of all closed forms that differ from $\Phi^{(i)}$ by an exact mapping, i.e., for $\Lambda^{(i)} \in C_{m_i+1}^{k_i-1}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, $[\Phi^{(i)}] = \Phi^{(i)} + \delta_{m_i+1}^{k_i-1} \Lambda^{(i)}$.

In this Section we find the cohomology classes for $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^1(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, $m_i \geq 0$, associated with two-point connections and the first cohomology $H_{m_i}^1(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}, \mathcal{F})$, as well as the cohomology classes for $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{ex, i}^{2, i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, associated with transversal connections and the second cohomology $H_{ex, i}^{2, i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}, \mathcal{F})$, of M/\mathcal{F} . The cohomology classes constructed in this paper are vertex algebra cohomology analogues of the Godbillon class [29, 52] for codimension one foliations on complex curves.

Remark 9. The existence of an affine or projective connection for codimension one foliations on smooth manifolds leads usually to non-vanishing corresponding cohomology classes [1, 2].

Remark 10. The cohomology classes we introduce are functionals of $v \in V$, i.e., $\langle w', \Phi^{(i)} \rangle$, for $w'_i \in W^{(i)'}$ varies with of $v \in V$.

8.2. Transversality conditions. In this Subsection we consider the general classes of cohomology invariants which arise from the definition of the product of pairs of $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ -spaces. Under a natural extra condition, the families chain-cochain complexes (5.15) and (5.16) allow us to establish relations among elements of $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ -spaces. By analogy with the notion of the integrability for differential forms [31], we use here the notion of the transversality for the spaces of a complex.

Definition 29. For the families chain-cochain complexes (5.15) and (5.16) let us require that for chain-cochain complex spaces $C_{m_{i_j}}^{k_{i_j}}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i_j)})$, $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq$

l , $1 \leq j \leq k \leq l$ there exist subspaces $\tilde{C}_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}) \subset C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, such that for $\Phi^{(i_j)} \in \tilde{C}_{m_{i_j}}^{k_{i_j}}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i_j)})$, and $1 \leq n \leq l$, $(\dots, \delta_{m_{i_1}}^{k_{i_1}} \Phi^{(i_1)}, \dots, \delta_{m_{i_k}}^{k_{i_k}} \Phi^{(i_k)}, \dots) = 0$. Then we call the set of subspaces $\{\tilde{C}_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})\}$ orthogonal for all spaces $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, $i \neq i_j$ with respect to the product (3.9). Namely, $\delta_{m_{i_1}}^{k_{i_1}} \Phi^{(i_1)}, \dots, \delta_{m_{i_j}}^{k_{i_j}} \Phi^{(i_j)}$, are supposed to be transversal to all other multiplicands with respect to the product (3). We call this the generalized transversality condition for mappings of the families chain-cochain complexes (5.15) and (5.16).

In particular, the simplest case of the transversality is defined for some $1 \leq i, p \leq l$ by

$$\left(\dots, (\delta_{m_i}^{k_i})^{\delta_{i,p}} \Phi^{(i)}, \dots \right) = 0. \quad (8.1)$$

Note that in the case of differential forms considered on a smooth manifold, the Frobenius theorem for a distribution provides the transversality condition [31]. The fact that both sides of a differential relation belong to the same chain-cochain complex space, applies limitations to possible combinations of (k_i, m_i) , $1 \leq i \leq j \leq l$. Below we derive the algebraic relations occurring from the transversality condition on the families of chain-cochain complexes (5.15) and (5.16). Taking into account the correspondence (see Subsection 26) with Čech-de Rham complex due to [15], we reformulate the derivation of the product-type invariants in the vertex algebra terms.

Recall that the Godbillon–Vey cohomology class [31] is considered on codimension one foliations of three-dimensional smooth manifolds. In this paper, we supply its analogue for complex curves. According to the definition (4.1) we have m_i -tuples of one-dimensional transversal sections. In each section we attach one vertex operator $\omega_{\mathcal{W}^{(i)}}(u_j, w_j)$, $u_{m_i} \in V$, $w_{m_i} \in U_{m_i, i}$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, $1 \leq j \leq m_i$. Similarly to the differential forms setup, a mapping $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ defines a codimension one foliation. As we see from (3.6) and (7.3) it satisfies the properties similar as differential forms do.

Now, let us explain how we understand powers of an element of $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i, i}}^{(i)}$ in the multiple product (3.9). Denote by $\Phi_{j_s}^{(i)} = \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{k_i, i},)$ an element of $\mathcal{W}_{x_{1,i}, \dots, x_{k_i, i}}^{(i)}$ placed at a position $1 \leq j_s \leq l$, $1 \leq s \leq k$. We then have

$$\left(\dots, \left(\Phi^{(i)} \right)^k, \dots \right) = \left(\dots, \Phi_{j_1}^{(i)}, \dots, \Phi_{j_2}^{(i)}, \dots, \Phi_{j_r}^{(i)}, \dots \right), \quad (8.2)$$

with $\Phi^{(i)}$ placed at some positions (j_1, \dots, j_k) . Another kind of transversality conditions is introduced by the following

Definition 30. We call the order $\text{ord } \Phi$ of an element Φ in a product of the form (3.6) the number of appearance of Φ . For two elements Φ, Ψ we can also define the mutual order as $\text{ord}(\Phi, \Psi) = |\text{ord } \Phi - \text{ord } \Psi|$.

8.3. The commutator multiplications. In this Subsection we define further multiple products of elements of the spaces $C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, $1 \leq i \leq l$, suitable for the formulation of cohomology invariants. Note that when applying the coboundary operators (5.3) (or (5.8) to corresponding $\Phi_{e_{x,i}}^{2,i} \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n) \in$

$$C_m^n(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}),$$

$$\delta_m^k : \Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_k, z_k) \rightarrow \Phi^{(i)}(g'_i; v'_1, z'_1; \dots; v'_{n+1}, z'_{n+1}) \in C_{m-1}^{n+1}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)}),$$

one does not necessary assume that we keep the same set of vertex algebra elements/formal parameters and vertex operators regularized transversal to for $\delta_m^n \Phi$, i.e., the set $(g_i; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n)$ may change to $(g'_i; v'_1, z'_1; \dots; v'_{n+1}, z'_{n+1})$, though it might happen that some of them could be common with $\Phi^{(i)}$.

Definition 31. For a set of indices $(i_1, i_2, i_{1,2}, i_3, \dots, i_{1,\dots,l-1}, i_l)$ ranging in $[1, \dots, l]$, and corresponding complex parameters $(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_{1,2}, \dots, \rho_{1,2,\dots,l-1}, \rho_l)$, let us define the additional multiple products of elements $\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{n_i+1}, z_{n_i+1}; \dots; v_{n_i+k_i-r_i}, z_{n_i+k_i-r_i}) \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, as follows (for clarity of presentation, we omit here explicit dependence on the automorphism element, vertex algebra elements, formal parameters, and additional ζ -parameters)

$$*(i_1, i_2, i_{1,2}, i_3, \dots, i_{1,\dots,l-1}, i_l) : \times_{i=1}^l \mathcal{W}_{z_{1,i_p}, \dots, z_{k_p, i_p}}^{(i_p)} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{z_{k_1}, \dots, z_{\theta_{l-r}}}^{(1, \dots, l)}, \quad (8.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &*(i_1, i_2, i_{1,2}, i_3, \dots, i_{1,\dots,l-1}, i_l) \left(\Phi^{(i)} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq l} \\ &= \left[\left[\dots \left[\left[\Phi^{(i_1)} \right]_{\cdot, \rho_{i_1}, \rho_{i_2}} \Phi^{(i_2)} \right]_{\cdot, \rho_{i_1,2}, \rho_{i_3}} \Phi^{(i_3)} \right] \dots \right]_{\cdot, \rho_{1,\dots,l-1}, \rho_{i_l}} \Phi^{(i_l)}, \end{aligned}$$

where the brackets denote the commutator with respect to the \cdot_{i_p, i_q} -product defined on $\mathcal{W}_{z_{1,i_p}, \dots, z_{k_p, i_p}}^{(i_p)} \times \mathcal{W}_{z_{1,i_q}, \dots, z_{k_q, i_q}}^{(i_q)}$, $[\Phi^{(i_p)}]_{\cdot, \rho_{i_p}, \rho_{i_q}} \Phi^{(i_q)} = \Phi^{(i_p)} \cdot_{\rho_{i_p}, \rho_{i_q}} \Phi^{(i_q)} - \Phi^{(i_q)} \cdot_{\rho_{i_q}, \rho_{i_p}} \Phi^{(i_p)}$, with respect to the $\cdot_{\rho_{i_p}, \rho_{i_q}}$ -product (3.6).

We are able to use also the total $(i_1, i_2, i_{1,2}, \dots, i_{1,2,\dots,i_{l-1}}, i_l)$ -symmetrization

$$\text{Sym} \left(*(i_1, i_2, i_{1,2}, \dots, i_{1,2,\dots,i_{l-1}}, i_l) \left(\Phi^{(i)} \right)_{1 \leq i \leq l} \right), \quad (8.4)$$

of the product (8.3). The form of (8.4) is not unique of cause. We are able to form other types of products resulting from the products (3.6). Nevertheless, (8.4) is suitable for computation of cohomology invariants of foliations. Due to the properties of the maps $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, $1 \leq i \leq l$ we obtain

Lemma 9. *The products (8.4) belong to the space $C_{\mu_l - t}^{\theta_l - r}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(1, \dots, l)}, \mathcal{F})$. \square*

Remark 11. For $i_p = i_q$, a self-dual bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for $W^{(i_p)}$, and $(g_{i_p}; v_{n_{i_p}}, z_{n_{i_p}}; \dots; v_{n_{i_p}+1-1}, z_{n_{i_p}+1-1}) = (g_{i_q}; v_{n_{i_q}}, z_{n_{i_q}}; \dots; v_{n_{i_q}+1-1}, z_{n_{i_q}+1-1})$, the product

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi^{(i_p)}(g_{i_q}; v_{n_{i_q}}, z_{n_{i_q}}; \dots; v_{n_{i_q}+1-1}, z_{n_{i_q}+1-1}) \\ &*_{i_p, i_q} \Phi^{(i_p)}(g_{i_p}; v_{n_{i_p}}, z_{n_{i_p}}; \dots; v_{n_{i_p}+1-1}, z_{n_{i_p}+1-1}) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

The product (8.3) allows to introduce cohomology invariants associated with the condition (8.5) on $\Phi^{(i)}$. Namely, it is easy to prove the following

Proposition 14. *For the chain-cochain complex (5.15) elements $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$ satisfying (8.5) and the transversality condition*

$$\delta_{m_{i_s}}^{k_{i_s}} \partial_t \Phi^{(i_s)} *_{i_s, i_{s'}} \delta_{m_{i_{s'}}}^{k_{i_{s'}}} \Phi^{(i_{s'})} = 0, \quad (8.6)$$

with $i_s, i_{s'} = i_p, i_q, i_r$, there exist the classes of non-vanishing cohomology invariants of the form $\left[\delta_{m_{i_p}}^{k_{i_p}} \Phi^{(i_p)} *_{i_p, i_q} (\partial_t \Phi^{(i_q)})^\beta *_{i_p, q, i_r} \Phi^{(i_r)} \right]$, not depending on the choice

of $\Phi^{(i_s)}$. In particular, for the short complex (5.16), one has $\left[\delta_{2,i_p}^{1,i_p} \Phi^{(i_p)} *_{i_p,i_q} (\Phi^{(i_q)}) *_{i_p,q,i_r} \right]$, $\left[\delta_{3,i_p}^{0,i_p} \Lambda^{(i_p)} *_{i_p,i_q} (\Lambda^{(i_q)})^\beta *_{i_p,q,i_r} \Lambda^{(i_r)} \right]$, are invariant, i.e., they do not depend on the choices of $\Phi^{(i_s)} \in C_{2,i_p}^{1,i_s}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i_s)})$, $\Lambda^{(i_s)} \in C_{3,i_s}^{0,i_s}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i_s)})$. \square

8.4. Proof of Theorem 1. Now we show that the analog of the integrability condition provides the generalizations of the product-type invariants for codimension one foliations on complex curves. Here we give a proof of the main statement of this paper, Theorem 1 formulated in the Introduction.

Proof. Suppose we consider products containing elements $\Phi^{(i_s)}, \Psi^{(i_s)} \in C_{m_{i_s}}^{k_{i_s}}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i_s)})$, with $i_s = i, i', i''$, with the mutual orders satisfying $\text{ord}(\delta_{m_{i_s}}^{k_{i_s}} \Phi^{(i_s)}, \Psi^{(i_{s'})}) < m + k - 1$. For elements $\Phi^{(i_s)} \in C_{m_{i_s}}^{k_{i_s}}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i_s)})$, for $1 \leq i_s \leq n$, let us start with the foliation \mathcal{F} transversality condition [52]

$$\left(\delta_{m_{i_s}}^{k_{i_s}} \partial_t \Phi^{(i_s)}, \delta_{m_{i_{s'}}}^{k_{i_{s'}}} \Phi^{(i_{s'})} \right) = 0. \quad (8.7)$$

for any pair of i_s and $i_{s'}$, $1 \leq i_s, i_{s'} \leq n$. Then, due to associativity of the products (3.6), (3.9) and the definition (8.2) of an \mathcal{W} -element powers it follows that

$$\left(\delta_{m_{i_s}}^{k_{i_s}} \partial_t \Phi^{(i_s)}, \delta_{m_{i_{s'}}}^{k_{i_{s'}}} (\Phi^{(i_{s'})})^k \right) = 0, \quad \left(\delta_{m_{i_s}}^{k_{i_s}} \partial_t \Phi^{(i_s)}, (\delta_{m_{i_{s'}}}^{k_{i_{s'}}} \Phi^{(i_{s'})})^k \right) = 0. \quad (8.8)$$

It is clear that if one of multiplicand in the product (3.6) is zero then the product vanishes. Let us show that the invariant (1.1) is closed. Due to (8.7) ((8.8))

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta \left(\left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} \right)^m, \left(\partial_t \Phi^{(i')} \right)^\beta, \left(\Phi^{(i'')} \right)^k \right), \\ &= \left((-1)^{k_i+1} \delta_{m_i-1}^{k_i+1} \cdot \left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} \right)^m, \left(\partial_t \Phi^{(i')} \right)^\beta, \left(\Phi^{(i'')} \right)^k \right) \\ &+ \left(\left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} \right)^m, (-1)^{k_{i'}} \delta_{m_{i'}}^{k_{i'}} \cdot \left(\partial_t \Phi^{(i')} \right)^\beta, \left(\Phi^{(i'')} \right)^k \right) \\ &+ \left(\left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} \right)^m, \left(\partial_t \Phi^{(i')} \right)^\beta, (-1)^{k_{i''}} \delta_{m_{i''}}^{k_{i''}} \cdot \left(\Phi^{(i'')} \right)^k \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., (1.1) is closed. Let us show non-vanishing property of (1.1). Indeed, suppose $\left(\left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} \right)^m, \left(\partial_t \Phi^{(i')} \right)^\beta, \left(\Phi^{(i'')} \right)^k \right) = 0$. Then there exists $\Gamma^{(i)} \in C_\mu^n(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, such that $P_{(i,i,i',i'')}^{(i)} \delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} = \left(\Gamma^{(i)}, \left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} \right)^{m-1}, \left(\partial_t \Phi^{(i')} \right)^\beta, \left(\Phi^{(i'')} \right)^k \right)$, where $P_{(i,i,i',i'')}^{(i)}$ is the projection $P_{(i,i,i',i'')}^{(i)} : \mathcal{W}^{(i)} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{(i,i,i',i'')}$. Both sides of the last equalities should belong to the same chain-cochain complex space. Indeed, $k_i + 1 = n + (m-1)(k_i+1) + \beta k_{i'} + k k_{i''}$, $m_i - 1 = \mu + (m-1)(m_i-1) + \beta m_{i'} + k m_{i''}$. For a non-vanishing expression, n or μ should be negative. Then we obtain $(2-m)k_i - m + 1 - \beta k_{i'} - k k_{i''} < 0$, and $(2-m)m_i + m - 1 - \beta m_{i'} - k m_{i''} < 0$. Now let us show that (1.1) is an invariant, i.e., it does not depend on the choice of $\Phi^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$. Substitute elements the $\Phi^{(i)}, \Phi^{(i')}, \Phi^{(i')}$ by elements added by $\eta^{(i)} \in C_{m_i}^{k_i}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, $\eta^{(i')} \in C_{m_{i'}}^{k_{i'}}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i')})$, $\eta^{(i'')} \in C_{m_{i''}}^{k_{i''}}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i'')})$,

correspondingly. Since the multiple product is associative, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} + \delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \eta^{(i)} \right)^m, \partial_t \left(\Phi^{(i')} + \eta^{(i')} \right)^\beta, \left(\Phi^{(i'')} + \eta^{(i'')} \right)^k \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{j=0, \\ j'=0}}^{m,k} C_{m,k}^{j,j'} \left(\left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} \right)^j, \left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \eta^{(i)} \right)^{m-j}, \partial_t \left(\Phi^{(i')} + \eta^{(i')} \right)^\beta, \left(\Phi^{(i'')} \right)^k, \left(\eta^{(i'')} \right)^{k-j'} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_{m,k}^{j,j'} = \binom{m}{j} \binom{k}{j'}$. The expression above splits in two parts relative to $\Phi^{(i)}$ and $\eta^{(i)}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} \right)^m, \left(\partial_t \Phi^{(i')} \right)^\beta, \left(\Phi^{(i'')} \right)^k \right) + \left(\left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} \right)^m, \partial_t \left(\eta^{(i')} \right)^\beta, \left(\Phi^{(i'')} \right)^k \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{j=1, \\ j'=1}}^{m,k} C_{m,k}^{j,j'} \left(\left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} \right)^{m-j}, \left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \eta^{(i)} \right)^j, \partial_t \left(\Phi^{(i')} \right)^\beta, \left(\Phi^{(i'')} \right)^{k-j'}, \left(\eta^{(i'')} \right)^{j'} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{j=1, \\ j'=1}}^{m,k} C_{m,k}^{j,j'} \left(\left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \Phi^{(i)} \right)^{m-j}, \left(\delta_{m_i}^{k_i} \eta^{(i)} \right)^j, \partial_t \left(\eta^{(i')} \right)^\beta, \left(\Phi^{(i'')} \right)^{k-j'}, \left(\eta^{(i'')} \right)^{j'} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The terms except the first two vanish due to the mutual order condition of required in the Theorem. Then one can see that the cohomology class of (1.1) is preserved. Similarly we show that $\left(\left(\delta_{2,i}^{1,i} \Phi^{(i)} \right)^m, \left(\partial_t \Phi^{(i')} \right), \left(\Phi^{(i'')} \right)^k \right)$ and $\left(\left(\delta_{3,i}^{0,i} \Lambda^{(i)} \right)^m, \left(\partial_t \Lambda^{(i')} \right)^\beta, \left(\Lambda^{(i'')} \right)^k \right)$, are invariant, i.e., it does not depend on the choices of $\Phi^{(i_s)} \in C_{2,i_s}^{1,i_s}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i_s)})$, $\Lambda^{(i_s)} \in C_{3,i_s}^{0,i_s}(V, \mathcal{W}^{(i)})$, with $i_s = i, i', i''$, satisfying the transversality condition (8.7) with the corresponding values of $i_s, i_{s'}$. \square

Remark 12. In this paper we provide results concerning complex curves. They generalize to the case of higher dimensional complex manifolds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author is supported by the Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic (RVO 67985840).

9. APPENDIX: VERTEX OPERATOR ALGEBRAS AND THEIR MODULES

In this Appendix we recall basic properties of grading-restricted vertex algebras [43] and their modules. We work over the base field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers.

9.1. Grading-restricted vertex algebras.

Definition 32. A vertex algebra $(V, Y_V, \mathbf{1}_V)$, [24, 47] is a \mathbb{Z} -graded complex vector space $V = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}$, $\dim V_{(n)} < \infty$, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is endowed with the linear map $Y_V : V \rightarrow \text{End}(V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$, where z is a formal parameter, and a distinguished vector $\mathbf{1}_V \in V$. The evaluation of Y_V on $v \in V$ is called the vertex operator $Y_V(v) \equiv Y_V(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v(n) z^{-n-1}$, with components $(Y_V(v))_n = v(n) \in \text{End}(V)$, where $Y_V(v, z) \mathbf{1}_V = v + O(z)$.

Definition 33. A grading-restricted vertex algebra satisfies the following conditions:

a) the grading-restriction condition: $V_{(n)}$ is finite dimensional for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $V_{(n)} = 0$ for $n \ll 0$;

b) the lower-truncation condition: for $u, v \in V$, $Y_V(u, z)v$ contains only finitely many negative power terms, $Y_V(u, z)v \in V((z))$; (the space of formal Laurent series in z with coefficients in V);

c) the identity property: $Y_V(\mathbf{1}_V, z) = \text{Id}_V$, the identity operator on V ;

d) the creation property: for $u \in V$, $Y_V(u, z)\mathbf{1}_V \in V[[z]]$, $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} Y_V(u, z)\mathbf{1}_V = u$;

e) the duality conditions: for $u_1, u_2, v \in V$, the dual vector space $V_{(n)}^*$ to $V_{(n)}$, $v' \in V' = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{(n)}^*$;

f) for the evaluation pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $V' \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the series $\langle v', Y_V(u_1, z_1) Y_V(u_2, z_2)v \rangle$, $|z_1| > |z_2| > 0$, $\langle v', Y_V(u_2, z_2) Y_V(u_1, z_1)v \rangle$, $|z_2| > |z_1| > 0$, $\langle v', Y_V(Y_V(u_1, z_1 - z_2)u_2, z_2)v \rangle$, $|z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0$, are absolutely convergent in corresponding domains, to a common rational function in z_1 and z_2 with the only possible poles at $z_1 = 0 = z_2$ and $z_1 = z_2$;

g) the $L_V(0)$ -bracket formula: let $L_V(0) : V \rightarrow V$, be defined by $L_V(0)v = nv$, $n = \text{wt}(v)$, for $v \in V_{(n)}$. Then $[L_V(0), Y_V(v, z)] = Y_V(L_V(0)v, z) + z \frac{d}{dz} Y_V(v, z)$, for $v \in V$.

h) the $L_V(-1)$ -derivative property: for for $v \in V$ the operator $L_V(-1) : V \rightarrow V$, defined by $L_V(-1)v = \text{Res}_z z^{-2} Y_V(v, z)\mathbf{1}_V = Y_{(-2)}(v)\mathbf{1}_V$, Then $\frac{d}{dz} Y_V(u, z) = Y_V(L_V(-1)u, z) = [L_V(-1), Y_V(u, z)]$.

Recall [7] the following

Definition 34. A grading-restricted vertex algebra V is called conformal of central charge $c \in \mathbb{C}$, if there exists a non-zero Virasoro vector $\omega \in V_{(2)}$ such that the corresponding vertex operator $Y_V(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_V(n)z^{-n-2}$, is determined by modes of Virasoro algebra $L_V(n) : V \rightarrow V$ satisfying $[L_V(m), L_V(n)] = (m - n)L_V(m + n) + \frac{c}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+b,0} \text{Id}_V$.

Definition 35. A quasi-conformal grading-restricted vertex algebra V module W vector A is called primary of conformal dimension $\Delta(A) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ if $L_W(k)A = 0$, $k > 0$, $L_W(0)A = \Delta(A)A$.

9.2. Grading-restricted generalized V -modules. In this Subsection we describe the grading-restricted generalized V -module for a grading-restricted vertex algebra V .

Definition 36. A grading-restricted generalized V -module is a vector space W with a vertex operator map $Y_W : V \otimes W \rightarrow W[[z, z^{-1}]]$, $u \otimes w \mapsto Y_W(u, w) \equiv Y_W(u, z)w = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (Y_W)_n(u, w)z^{-n-1}$, and equipped with linear operators $L_W(0)$, $L_W(-1)$ on W satisfying the following conditions:

a) the grading-restriction condition: the vector space $W = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} W_{(\alpha)}$, is \mathbb{C} -graded, and $W_{(\alpha)} = 0$ for $\text{Re}(\alpha)$ is sufficiently negative;

b) the lower-truncation condition: for $u \in V$, $w \in W$, $Y_W(u, z)w$ contains only finitely many negative power terms, i.e., $Y_W(u, z)w \in W((z))$;

c) the identity property: $Y_W(\mathbf{1}_V, z) = \text{Id}_W$, the identity operator on W ;

d) the duality property: for $u_1, u_2 \in V$, $w \in W$, W' is the dual V -module for W , $w' \in W' = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_{(n)}^*$.

e) for the bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, the locality and associativity properties require that the series

$$\begin{aligned} \langle w', Y_W(u_1, z_1)Y_W(u_2, z_2)w \rangle, & \quad |z_1| > |z_2| > 0, \\ \langle w', Y_W(u_2, z_2)Y_W(u_1, z_1)w \rangle, & \quad |z_2| > |z_1| > 0, \\ \langle w', Y_W(Y_V(u_1, z_1 - z_2)u_2, z_2)w \rangle, & \quad |z_2| > |z_1 - z_2| > 0, \end{aligned} \quad (9.1)$$

are absolutely convergent in z_1 and z_2 to a common rational function on corresponding domains with the only possible poles at $z_1 = 0 = z_2$ and $z_1 = z_2$.

f) the $L_W(0)$ -bracket formula: for $v \in V$,

$$[L_W(0), Y_W(v, z)] = Y_W(L_V(0)v, z) + z \frac{d}{dz} Y_W(v, z); \quad (9.2)$$

g) $L_W(0)$ -grading property: for $w \in W_{(\alpha)}$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $(L_W(0) - \alpha)^N w = 0$;

h) the $L_W(-1)$ -derivative property: for $v \in V$,

$$\frac{d}{dz} Y_W(u, z) = Y_W(L_V(-1)u, z) = [L_W(-1), Y_W(u, z)]. \quad (9.3)$$

For $z' \in \mathbb{C}$, it follows from (9.3) that vertex operators satisfy the translation property $Y_W(u, z) = e^{-z' L_W(-1)} Y_W(u, z + z') e^{z' L_W(-1)}$. For $v \in V$, and $w \in W$, one defines the intertwining operator

$$Y_{WV}^W : V \rightarrow W, \quad v \mapsto Y_{WV}^W(w, z)v, \quad (9.4)$$

$$Y_{WV}^W(w, z)v = e^{z L_W(-1)} Y_W(v, -z)w. \quad (9.5)$$

With the grading operator $L_W(0)$, the conjugation property for $a \in \mathbb{C}$ is

$$a^{L_W(0)} Y_W(v, z) a^{-L_W(0)} = Y_W(a^{L_W(0)}v, az). \quad (9.6)$$

9.3. Generators of Virasoro algebra and the group of automorphisms.

In this Subsection we recall relations [7] between generators of Virasoro algebra and the group of automorphisms on complex curves. An element of $\text{Aut}_z \mathcal{O}$ can be represented by the map $z \mapsto \varrho = \varrho(z)$, in the form of the power series

$$\varrho(z) = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k z^k. \quad (9.7)$$

The series $\varrho(z)$ has also an exponential form

$$\varrho(z) = \exp \left(\sum_{k > -1} \beta_k z^{k+1} \partial_z \right) (\beta_0)^{z \partial_z} .z, \quad (9.8)$$

where $\beta_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \geq 0$, is expressed via combinations of the coefficients a_k , $k \geq 1$. In [47] one can find a representation of Virasoro algebra modes in terms of differential operators, i.e., $L_W(m) \mapsto -\zeta^{m+1} \partial_\zeta$, for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Expanding (9.8) and comparing to (9.7), we arrive at a system of equations solved recursively for all β_k . In [7], for $v \in V$, the following formula

$$[L_W(n), Y_W(v, z)] = \sum_{m \geq -1} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} (\partial_z^{m+1} z^{m+1}) Y_W(L_V(m)v, z), \quad (9.9)$$

was derived for a Virasoro generator commutation with a vertex operator. For a vector field $\beta(z) \partial_z = \sum_{n \geq -1} \beta_n z^{n+1} \partial_z$, which belongs to local Lie algebra of

$\text{Aut}_z \mathcal{O}$, introduce the operator $\beta = -\sum_{n \geq -1} \beta_n L_W(n)$. From the last formula it follows

Lemma 10.

$$[\beta, Y_W(v, z)] = \sum_{m \geq -1} \frac{1}{(m+1)!} (\partial_z^{m+1} \beta(z)) Y_W(L_V(m)v, z). \quad (9.10)$$

In [7], the formula (9.10) is used to prove invariance of vertex operators multiplied by conformal weight differentials.

Definition 37. A grading-restricted vertex algebra V -module W is called quasi-conformal if it carries an action of local Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}_z \mathcal{O}$, and (9.10) is true for any $v \in V$; the element $L_W(-1) = -\partial_z$, acts as the translation operator T , $L_W(0) = -z\partial_z$, is semi-simple with \mathbb{Z} -eigenvalues; the Lie subalgebra of the positive part of local Lie algebra of $\text{Aut}_z \mathcal{O}^{(n)}$ acts locally nilpotently.

9.4. Non-degenerate invariant bilinear pairing on V . In this Subsection we recall the notion of an invariant bilinear form on V [24, 67, 74–77]. Consider the transformation

$$\gamma_\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda \\ -\lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix} : z \mapsto w = -\lambda^2/z. \quad (9.11)$$

It is generated by $T_\lambda = \exp(\lambda L_V(-1)) \exp(\lambda^{-1} L_V(1)) \exp(\lambda L_V(-1))$, where

$$T_\lambda Y(u, z) T_\lambda^{-1} = Y \left(\exp \left(-\frac{z}{\lambda^2} L_V(1) \right) \left(-\frac{z}{\lambda} \right)^{-2L_V(0)} u, -\frac{\lambda^2}{z} \right).$$

The adjoint vertex operator [24, 47] is defined by $Y^\dagger(u, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} u^\dagger(n) z^{-n-1} = T_\lambda Y(u, z) T_\lambda^{-1}$. A bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\lambda$ (see, e.g., [56, 67]) on V is called invariant if for all $a, b, u \in V$, if

$$\langle Y(u, z)a, b \rangle_\lambda = \langle a, Y^\dagger(u, z)b \rangle_\lambda, \quad (9.12)$$

i.e., $\langle u(n)a, b \rangle_\lambda = \langle a, u^\dagger(n)b \rangle_\lambda$. Therefore,

$$\langle L_V(0)a, b \rangle_\lambda = \langle a, L_V(0)b \rangle_\lambda, \quad \langle a, b \rangle_\lambda = 0, \quad (9.13)$$

if $wt(a) \neq wt(b)$ for homogeneous a, b . In addition to that, $\langle a, b \rangle_\lambda = \langle b, a \rangle_\lambda$. Up to normalization, if $L_V(1)V_1 = V_0$, the form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\lambda$ is unique. For a V -basis $\{u^\alpha\}$, the dual V basis $\{\bar{u}^\beta\}$ is defined by $\langle u^\alpha, \bar{u}^\beta \rangle_\lambda = \delta^{\alpha\beta}$. Similar considerations are applied to a vertex operator algebra module W .

10. APPENDIX: MATRIX ELEMENTS FOR VERTEX ALGEBRAS

In this Appendix we definitions and some properties of matrix elements for a grading-restricted vertex algebra V [43]. Let W be a grading-restricted generalized V -module. In this paper we consider elements $\Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_l, z_l) \in \mathcal{W}$, $l \geq 0$, endowed with an automorphism group $\text{Aut}(V)$ elements g . Note that we assume that in $\Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_l, z_l)$ an automorphism g acts first on elements of the corresponding module W . The \overline{W} -valued function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_W^{(n)}(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n; \Phi(g; v'_1, z'_1; \dots; v'_l, z'_l)) \\ = E(\omega_W(v_1, z_1) \dots \omega_W(v_n, z_n) \Phi(g; v'_1, z'_1; \dots; v'_l, z'_l)), \end{aligned} \quad (10.1)$$

where $\omega_W(dz^{\text{wt}(v)} \otimes v, z) = Y_W(dz^{\text{wt}(v)} \otimes v, z)$, and an element $E(\cdot) \in \overline{W}$ is given by $\langle w', E(g; \alpha) \rangle = R\langle w', g, \alpha \rangle$, $\alpha \in \overline{W}$ (here we use the notation of Subsection 3.3). Here a group element g is supposed to act both on v'_j , $1 \leq j \leq l$, and v_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$.

For a number l of generalized vertex algebra V -modules $W^{(i)}$, denote $\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)} \in \mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{k_1 + \dots + k_l - r}}^{(1, \dots, l)}$. Then we define similarly

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{W^{(1, \dots, l)}}^{(m_1, \dots, m_l)}(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_l}; \\ & \quad \Phi^{(1, \dots, l)}(g_1, \dots, g_l; v_{m_1 + \dots + m_l + 1}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_l + 1}; \dots; \\ & \quad \quad v_{m_1 + \dots + m_l + k_1 + \dots + k_l}, z_{m_1 + \dots + m_l + k_1 + \dots + k_l})) \\ & = \sum_{u \in V^{(k)}, k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\mathcal{R}} \prod_{i=1}^l \rho_i^k \langle w'_i, E_{W^{(i)}}^{(m_i)}(v_{1,i}, x_{1,i}; \dots; v_{m_i,i}, x_{m_i,i}; \\ & Y_{W^{(i)}V'}^{W^{(i)}} \left(\Phi^{(i)}(g_i; v_{m_i+1,i}, x_{m_i+1,i}; \dots; v_{m_i+k_i,i}, x_{m_i+k_i,i}; u, \zeta_{1,i}, \zeta_{2,i}) f_i \cdot \bar{u} \right) \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (10.2)$$

where v_j, z_j , $1 \leq j \leq m_1 + \dots + m_l + k_1 + \dots + k_l - r$ are vertex algebra elements and formal parameters for $\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)}$, and $v_{i',i}, x_{i',i}$, $1 \leq i' \leq k_i - r_i$ are vertex algebra elements and formal parameters of $\Phi^{(i)}$. The form of (10.2) is inspired by the regularized transversal condition for $\Phi^{(1, \dots, l)}$. One defines also $E_{WV'}^{W^{(n)}}(\Phi(g; v'_1, z'_1; \dots; v'_l, z'_l); v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n) = E_W^{(n)}(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_n, z_n; \Phi(g; v'_1, z'_1; \dots; v'_l, z'_l))$, which is an element of $\overline{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}$. In addition to that above, we define $(E_{V; \mathbf{1}}^{(l_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{V; \mathbf{1}}^{(l_n)}) \cdot \Phi : V^{\otimes m+n} \rightarrow \overline{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{m+n}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & (E_{V; \mathbf{1}}^{(l_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{V; \mathbf{1}}^{(l_n)}) \cdot \Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{m+n-1}, z_{m+n-1}) \\ & = E \left(\Phi \left(g; E_{V; \mathbf{1}}^{(l_1)}(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{l_1}, z_{l_1}); \dots; \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. E_{V; \mathbf{1}}^{(l_n)}(v_{l_1 + \dots + l_{n-1} + 1}, z_{l_1 + \dots + l_{n-1} + 1}; \dots; v_{l_1 + \dots + l_{n-1} + l_n}, z_{l_1 + \dots + l_{n-1} + l_n}) \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (10.3)$$

and $E_W^{(m)} \cdot \Phi : V^{\otimes m+n} \rightarrow \overline{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_{m+n-1}}$, given by

$$\begin{aligned} & E_W^{(m)} \cdot \Phi(g; v_1, z_1; \dots; v_{m+n}, z_{m+n}) \\ & = E \left(E_W^{(m)}(v_1, z_1; \dots; v_m, z_m; \Phi(g; v_{m+1}, z_{m+1}; \dots; v_{m+n}, z_{m+n})) \right). \end{aligned}$$

For $l_1 = \dots = l_{i-1} = l_{i+1} = 1$, $l_i = m - n - 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, by $E_{V; \mathbf{1}}^{(l_i)} \cdot \Phi$ we denote $(E_{V; \mathbf{1}}^{(l_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{V; \mathbf{1}}^{(l_n)}) \cdot \Phi$, (this notation is different that of [43]). In [43] the algebra of E -operators was derived:

Lemma 11.

$$\begin{aligned}
E_W^{(1)} \cdot E_W^{(1)} (\Phi^{(i)}) &= E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j) \cdot (E_W^{(1)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)}), \\
\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j E_W^{(1)} \cdot (\text{Ins}_j (E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j) \cdot) \Phi^{(i)}) &= - \sum_{i=2}^{n+1} (-1)^i \text{Ins}_i (E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j) \cdot) (E_W^{(1)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)}), \\
E_W^{(1)} \cdot (\sigma_{n+1,1,\dots,n} (E_W^{(1)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)})) &= \sigma_{n+2,1,\dots,n+1} (E_W^{(1)} \cdot (E_W^{(1)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)})), \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^i \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} (-1)^j \text{Ins}_i (E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j) \cdot) (\text{Ins}_j (E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j) \cdot) \Phi^{(i)}) \\
&= - \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^i \sum_{j=i+2}^{n+1} (-1)^{j-1} \text{Ins}_i (E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j) \cdot) (\text{Ins}_j (E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j) \cdot) \Phi^{(i)}), \\
&= \left(\sigma_{n+1,1,\dots,n} \text{Ins}_{n+1} (E_{V;1}^{(2)}(j) \cdot) (E_W^{(1)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)}) \right) \\
&= \sigma_{n+2,1,\dots,n+1} (E_W^{(1)} \cdot \sigma_{n+1,1,\dots,n} (E_W^{(1)} \cdot \Phi^{(i)})). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we give here a proposition proven in in [43]:

Proposition 15. *For a regularized transversal $\Phi : V^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{z_1, \dots, z_n}$ to m vertex operators, one has:*

- a) for $p \leq m$, Φ is regularized transversal with p vertex operators and for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $p + q \leq m$ and $l_1, \dots, l_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $l_1 + \dots + l_n = p + n$, $(E_{V;1}^{(l_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{V;1}^{(l_n)}) \cdot \Phi$ and $E_W^{(p)} \cdot \Phi$ are regularized transversal with q vertex operators.
- b) for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $p + q \leq m$, $l_1, \dots, l_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $l_1 + \dots + l_n = p + n$ and $k_1, \dots, k_{p+n} \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $k_1 + \dots + k_{p+n} = q + p + n$, $(E_{V;1}^{(k_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{V;1}^{(k_{p+n})}) \cdot (E_{V;1}^{(l_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{V;1}^{(l_n)}) \cdot \Phi = (E_{V;1}^{(k_1+\dots+k_{l_1})} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{V;1}^{(k_{l_1+\dots+l_{n-1}+1+\dots+k_{p+n})}}) \cdot \Phi$.
- c) for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $p + q \leq m$ and $l_1, \dots, l_n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $l_1 + \dots + l_n = p + n$, $E_W^{(q)} \cdot ((E_{V;1}^{(l_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{V;1}^{(l_n)}) \cdot \Phi) = (E_{V;1}^{(l_1)} \otimes \dots \otimes E_{V;1}^{(l_n)}) \cdot (E_W^{(q)} \cdot \Phi)$.
- d) for $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $p + q \leq m$, $E_W^{(p)} \cdot (E_W^{(q)} \cdot \Phi) = E_W^{(p+q)} \cdot \Phi$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bazaikin, Ya. V., Galaev, A. S.: Losik classes for codimension one foliations, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 21 (2022), no. 4, 1391–1419.
- [2] Bazaikin, Ya. V., Galaev, A. S., Gumenyuk P.: Non-diffeomorphic Reeb foliations and modified Godbillon-Vey class, Math. Z. (2022), no. 2, 1335–1349.
- [3] Bazaikin, Ya. V., Galaev, A. S., Zhukova, N. I.: Chaos in Cartan foliations. Chaos 30 (2020), no. 10, 103116.
- [4] Beilinson, A., Drinfeld, V. Chiral algebras, Preprint.
- [5] Beilinson, A., Feigin, B. L., Mazur, B. Introduction to algebraic field theory on curves. preprint.
- [6] Belavin, A., Polyakov, A., Zamolodchikov, A. Infinite conformal symmetry in two-dimensional quantum field theory. Nucl. Phys. **B241** 333–380 (1984).
- [7] Ben-Zvi, D., Frenkel, E. *Vertex algebras on algebraic curves*. American Mathematical Society, 2 edition, 2004.
- [8] Bobenko, A. Introduction to compact Riemann surfaces, in *Computational Approach to Riemann Surfaces*, edited Bobenko A. and Klein C., Springer-Verlag (Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011).
- [9] Borchers, R. E. Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras and the monster. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sc. **83**, 3068–3071 (1986).

- [10] Bott, R. *Lectures on characteristic classes and foliations*. Springer LNM 279 (1972), 1–94.
- [11] Bott, R. *Lectures on algebraic and differential topology* (Second Latin American School in Math., Mexico City, 1971), pp. 1–94. Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [12] Bott, R., Haefliger, A. On characteristic classes of Γ -foliations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 78 (1972), 1039–1044.
- [13] Bott, R., Segal, G. The cohomology of the vector fields on a manifold. *Topology*. V. 16, Issue 4, 1977, Pages 285–298.
- [14] Chevalley, C., Eilenberg, S. Cohomology Theory of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **63** (1948), 85–124.
- [15] Crainic, M., Moerdijk, I. Čech-De Rham theory for leaf spaces of foliations. *Math. Ann.* 328 (2004), no. 1–2, 59–85.
- [16] Dolan, L., Goddard, P., Montague, P. Conformal field theories, representations and lattice constructions, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 179 (1996) p. 61–129 (1996).
- [17] Dong, C., Lepowsky, J. *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*. (Progress in Math. Vol. **112**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993).
- [18] Dubrovin, B.A., Fomenko, A. T., Novikov, S. P. *Modern geometry methods and Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 93. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992.
- [19] Eguchi, T., Ooguri, H. Conformal and current algebras on a general Riemann surface, *Nucl. Phys.* **B282** 308–328 (1987).
- [20] Farkas, H.M., Kra, I. *Riemann surfaces*, (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980).
- [21] Feigin, B. L. Conformal field theory and Cohomologies of the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields on a complex curve. *Proc. ICM, Kyoto, Japan*, 71–85 (1990).
- [22] Feigin, B. L., Fuchs, D. B. Cohomology of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Cohomology of Lie groups and Lie algebras*. (Russian) Current problems in mathematics. Fundamental directions, Vol. 21 (Russian), 121–209, 215, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1988.
- [23] Francesco, Ph., Mathieu, P., Senechal, D. *Conformal Field Theory*. Graduate Texts in Contemporary Physics. 1997.
- [24] Frenkel, I., Huang, Y., Lepowsky, J. On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs American Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.
- [25] Frenkel, I., Lepowsky, J., Meurman, A. *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, *Pure and Appl. Math.* Vol. **134** (Academic Press, Boston, 1988).
- [26] Friedan, D., Shenker, S. The analytic geometry of two-dimensional conformal field theory. *Nucl. Phys.* **B281** 509–545 (1987).
- [27] Fuks, D.B. *Cohomology of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Contemporary Soviet Mathematics, Consultant Bureau, New York, 1986.
- [28] Fuchs, D.B. Characteristic classes of foliations. *Russian Math. Surveys*, 28 (1973), no. 2, 1–16.
- [29] Galaev, A. S. Comparison of approaches to characteristic classes of foliations, arXiv:1709.05888.
- [30] Galaev, A. S., Zhukova, N. I. Attractors of Cartan foliations, arXiv:1703.07597.
- [31] Ghys, E. L’invariant de Godbillon-Vey. *Seminaire Bourbaki*, 41–eme annee, n 706, S. M. F. Asterisque 177–178 (1989).
- [32] Gelfand, I.M., Fuks, D. B. Cohomologies of the Lie algebra of vector fields on the circle, *Funktional. Anal, i Prilozen.* 2 (1968), no. 3, 32–52; *ibid.* 4 (1970), 23–32.
- [33] Gelfand, I.M., Fuks, D. B. Cohomologies of the Lie algebra of tangent vector fields of a smooth manifold. I, II, *Funktional. Anal, i Prilozen.* 3 (1969), no. 3, 32–52; *ibid.* 4 (1970), 23–32.
- [34] Gel’fand, I.M., Fuks, D. B. Cohomology of the Lie algebra of formal vector fields, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 34 (1970), 322–337, *Math. USSR-Izv.* 4 (1970), 327–342.
- [35] Gradshteyn, I. S., Ryzhik, I. M. *Table of integrals, series, and products*. Translated from the Russian. Eighth edition, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2015. xlvii+1133 pp.
- [36] Gui, B. Convergence of sewing conformal blocks. *Comm. Contemp. Math.* Vol. 26, No. 03, 2350007 (2024).
- [37] Gunning, R. C. *Lectures on Riemann surfaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, (Princeton, 1966).
- [38] Gunning, R. C. *Lectures on Vector Bundles Over Riemann Surfaces*. Princeton University Press, 1967
- [39] Haefliger, A. Sur la cohomologie de l’algèbre de Lie des champs de vecteurs. (French) *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.* (4) 9 (1976), no. 4, 503–532.

- [40] Hennion, B., Kapranov, M. Gelfand-Fuchs cohomology in algebraic geometry and factorization algebras, arXiv:1811.05032, 2018
- [41] Huang, Y.-Zh. Differential equations and conformal field theories. Nonlinear evolution equations and dynamical systems, 61–71, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2003.
- [42] Huang, Y.-Zh. Differential equations, duality and modular invariance. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* 7 (2005), no. 5, 649–706.
- [43] Huang, Y.-Zh. A cohomology theory of grading-restricted vertex algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 327 (2014), no. 1, 279–307.
- [44] Huang, Y.-Zh. The first and second cohomologies of grading-restricted vertex algebras, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* volume 327, 261–278 (2014)
- [45] Huang, Y.-Zh. Two-dimensional conformal geometry and vertex operator algebras, *Progress in Mathematics*, Vol. 148, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1997.
- [46] Iglesias-Zemmour, P. *Diffeology*, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs* Volume: 185; 2013; 439 pp.
- [47] Kac, V. *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, University Lecture Series **10**, AMS, Providence 1998.
- [48] Kawazumi, N. On the complex analytic Gel’fand-Fuks cohomology of open Riemann surfaces. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* 43 (1993), no. 3, 655–712.
- [49] Knizhnik, V.G. Multiloop amplitudes in the theory of quantum strings and complex geometry. *Sov. Phys. Usp.* **32** 945–971 (1989).
- [50] Knizhnik, V.G., Zamolodchikov, A.B.: Current Algebra and Wess-Zumino Model in Two-Dimensions, *Nucl. Phys. B*, 247 (1): 83–103. (1984).
- [51] Khoroshkin, A. S. Characteristic classes of flags of foliations and Lie algebra cohomology. *Transform. Groups* 21 (2016), no. 2, 479–518.
- [52] Kotschick, D. Godbillon-Vey invariants for families of foliations. *Symplectic and contact topology: interactions and perspectives* (Toronto, ON/Montreal, QC, 2001), 131–144, *Fields Inst. Commun.*, 35, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [53] Lang, S. *Elliptic functions*. With an appendix by J. Tate. Second edition. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, 112. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1987
- [54] Lawson, H. B. Foliation. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 80 (1974), no. 3, 369–418.
- [55] Lepowsky, J., Li, H. *Introduction to Vertex Algebras*, (*Progress in Math.* Vol. **227**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004).
- [56] Li, H. Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras. *J. Pure. Appl. Alg.* **96**, 279–297 (1994).
- [57] Liberati, J. I. Cohomology of vertex algebras. *J. Algebra* 472 (2017), 259–272.
- [58] Losik, M. V. Orbit spaces and leaf spaces of foliations as generalized manifolds, arXiv:1501.04993.
- [59] Malikov, F., Schechtman, V., Vaintrob, A. Chiral de Rham complex. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 204 (1999), no. 2, 439–473.
- [60] Mason, G., Tuite, M. P. Vertex operators and modular forms. A window into zeta and modular physics, 183–278, *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, 57, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [61] Mason, G., Tuite, M. P., Zuevsky, A. Torus n-point functions for R-graded vertex operator superalgebras and continuous fermion orbifolds. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 283 no. 2 (2008) p. 305–342 (2008).
- [62] Matsuo, A., Nagatomo, K. *Axioms for a Vertex Algebra and the Locality of Quantum Fields*, (*Math. Soc. of Japan Memoirs* Vol. **4**, Tokyo, 1999).
- [63] Novikov, S. P. The topology of foliations. (Russian) *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsch.* 14 1965 248–278.
- [64] Novikov, S. P. Topology of generic Hamiltonian foliations on Riemann surfaces. *Mosc. Math. J.* 5 (2005), no. 3, 633–667, 743.
- [65] Novikov, S. P. Topology of Foliation given by the real part of holomorphic one-form, preprint, 2005, arXiv:math.GT/0501338.
- [66] Qi, F. Representation theory and cohomology theory of rational open string vertex algebras, Ph.D. dissertation, (2018).
- [67] Scheithauer, N. Vertex algebras, Lie algebras and superstrings, *J. Alg.* **200** (1998) 363–403.
- [68] Segal, G. B. The definition of conformal field theory, *Topology, geometry and quantum field theory*, 421–577, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, 308, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004; The definition of conformal field theory. *Differential geometrical methods in*

- theoretical physics (Como, 1987), 165–171, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci., 250, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1988.
- [69] Segal, G. B. Two-dimensional conformal field theories and modular functors, in: *Proceedings of the IXth International Congress on Mathematical Physics, Swansea, 1988*, Hilger, Bristol, 1989, 22–37.
- [70] Thurston, W. Non-cobordant foliations on S^3 . *Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc.* 78 (1972), 511–514.
- [71] Tsuchiya, A., Ueno, K., Yamada, Y. Conformal field theory on universal family of stable curves with gauge symmetries, *Adv. Stud. Pure. Math.* **19** (1989), 459–566.
- [72] Tuite, M.P. The Heisenberg generalized vertex operator algebra on a Riemann surface, *Contemp. Math.* **768** 321–342 (2021).
- [73] Tuite, M.P., Zuevsky, A. The bosonic vertex operator algebra on a genus g Riemann surface, *RIMS Kokyuroko* **1756** 81–93 (2011).
- [74] Tuite, M.P., Zuevsky, A. The Szego kernel on a sewn Riemann surface. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **306**, 617–645 (2011)
- [75] Tuite, M.P., Zuevsky, A. Genus two partition and correlation functions for fermionic vertex operator superalgebras I. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 306 419–447 (2011).
- [76] Tuite, M.P. Zuevsky, A. Genus two partition and correlation functions for fermionic vertex operator superalgebras II. arXiv:1308.2441.
- [77] Tuite, M.P. Zuevsky, A. A generalized vertex operator algebra for Heisenberg intertwiners. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 216 (2012), no. 6, 1442–1453.
- [78] Weibel, C. *An introduction to homological algebras*, *Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math.*, Vol. 38, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.
- [79] Yamada, A. Precise variational formulas for abelian differentials. *Kodai Math.J.* **3** (1980) 114–143.
- [80] Zhu, Y. Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9(1), 237–302
- [81] Zuevsky, A. Product-type classes for vertex algebra cohomology of foliations on complex curves. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 402 (2023), no. 2, 1453–1511.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, PRAHA
Email address: zuevsky@yahoo.com