

A STEP TO COMPUTE THE DETERMINANT OF FINITE SEMIGROUPS NOT IN ECOM

M.H. SHAHZAMANIAN

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to begin studying the computation of the nonzero determinant of semigroups within the class of finite semigroups that possesses a pair of non-commutative idempotents. This paper focuses on a class of these semigroups introduced as \ll -smooth semigroups. This computation is applicable in the context of the extension of the MacWilliams theorem for codes over semigroup algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the 1880s, Dedekind introduced the concept of the group determinant of finite groups and with Frobenius, began to study it in depth. At the same time, Smith also examined this concept, but in a different way, as outlined in [17]. This study involved the investigation of the determinant of a $G \times G$ matrix, where the entry at the (g, h) position is x_{gh} , with G being a finite group and the x_k are variables, for all k in G . Additionally, the study has been expanded to include finite semigroups with various research objectives [12, 21, 23]. An application of the semigroup determinant for finite semigroups is the extension of the MacWilliams theorem for codes over a finite field to chain rings. Linear codes over a finite Frobenius ring have the extension property (see [22]). The nonzero semigroup determinant is an essential component in this application. It is only nonzero when $\mathbb{C}S$ is a Frobenius algebra, which also means that it is unital. This fact is demonstrated by Theorem 2.1 in [19] or Proposition 18 in Chapter 16 of [13].

In the paper [19] by Steinberg, he provides a factorization of the semigroup determinant of commutative semigroups. The semigroup determinant is either zero or it factors into linear polynomials. Steinberg describes the factors and their multiplicities explicitly. This work was a continuation of previous studies on commutative semigroups with Frobenius semigroup algebras by Ponizovskii [14] and Wenger [20]. Steinberg also showed that the semigroup determinant of an inverse semigroup can be computed as the semigroup determinant of a finite groupoid.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 20M25, 16L60, 16S36.

Keywords and phrases: Frobenius algebra; semigroup determinant; paratrophic determinant; semigroup algebra.

In [16], the determinant of a semigroup within the pseudovariety \mathbf{ECom} is explored to understand the conditions under which the determinant of a semigroup in the pseudovariety \mathbf{ECom} is nonzero and to study its factorization. This exploration is essentially an extension of the ideas presented in Steinberg's paper [19]. In [19], the determinant of semigroups with central idempotents has been examined for the purpose of providing a factorization of commutative semigroups. The pseudovariety \mathbf{ECom} is, by a celebrated result of Ash, precisely the pseudovariety generated by finite inverse semigroups. This is a larger class than that of the semigroups with central idempotents and also of inverse semigroups discussed in [19]. Then, this fact makes it a natural object of study.

In this paper, we take one step further and investigate the determinant of semigroups beyond the pseudovariety \mathbf{ECom} , finite semigroups possessing a pair of non-commutative idempotents, aiming to understand the conditions under which the determinant of a semigroup is nonzero and to study its factorization. Our study is limited to a class of semigroups not in \mathbf{ECom} that satisfy certain conditions. This work marks the beginning of the investigation into these semigroups, and we hope it will be helpful for continuing this line of research.

We define a partial order relation for the class of finite semigroups whose semigroup algebras over the complex numbers are unital algebra. This relation extends the natural partial ordering of the idempotents within the semigroup. This partial order relation is crucial for examining the determinant of these semigroups. Although the partial order could be non-transitive, in this paper, we limit our work to finite semigroups for which this partial order is transitive. Additionally, we classify these semigroups under this partial order and focus on a class of these semigroups called \ll -smooth semigroups. We then identify semigroups in this class with a non-zero determinant, studying their factorizations. Our identification is more specific for this class of semigroups.

The paper is organized as follows. We begin with a preliminary section on semigroups and determinant of a semigroup. Next, we present a partial order relation on the finite semigroups and investigate their properties. We then proceed to compute the determinant of \ll -smooth semigroups. To demonstrate the method, several examples are provided, and their calculations are performed using programs developed in C#. These examples are discussed in an appendix at the end of the paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Semigroups. For standard notation and terminology relating to semigroups, we refer the reader to [1, Chap. 5], [5, Chaps. 1-3] and [15, Appendix A].

Let S be a finite semigroup. Let $a, b \in S$. We say that $a \mathcal{R} b$ if $aS^1 = bS^1$, $a \mathcal{L} b$ if $S^1a = S^1b$ and $a \mathcal{H} b$ if $a \mathcal{R} b$ and $a \mathcal{L} b$. Also, we say that

$a \mathcal{J} b$, if $S^1 a S^1 = S^1 b S^1$. Please observe that the symbol 1 in notation S^1 does not denote any specific element of S . If S possesses an identity element, then $S^1 = S$. However, if S lacks an identity element, $S^1 = S \cup 1$, forming a semigroup with 1 as its identity element. Similarly, we can extend this definition to subsets by defining $T^1 = T \cup \{1\}$ for any subset T of S where 1 is the identity of S^1 . The relations $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}$ and \mathcal{J} are Green's relations, named after Green [10]. We call R_a, L_a, H_a and J_a , respectively, the $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}$ and \mathcal{J} -class containing a . Also, we have $a \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} b$ if and only if a and b have the same set of idempotent right identities, that is, $ae = a$ if and only if $be = b$ in the sense Fountain et al. [7]. The relation $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is defined dually, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \wedge \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$. We write $\widetilde{L}_s, \widetilde{R}_s$ and \widetilde{H}_s for the equivalence classes of s of these relations, respectively. For further results regarding this object see [11].

An element e of S is called *idempotent* if $e^2 = e$. The set of all idempotents of S is denoted by $E(S)$. An idempotent e of S is the identity of the monoid eSe . The group of units G_e of eSe is called the maximal subgroup of S at e .

A *left ideal* of a semigroup S is a nonempty subset A of S such that $SA \subseteq A$. A *right ideal* of S is defined dually, with the condition $AS \subseteq A$. An *ideal* of S is a subset of S that is both a left and a right ideal. Every finite semigroup S has one minimal ideal that is called the *kernel* of S . The semigroup S is *inverse* if, for all $s \in S$, there is a unique element $s^{-1} \in S$ such that $ss^{-1}s = s$ and $s^{-1}ss^{-1} = s^{-1}$. For an element $s \in S$, s^ω is the limit of the sequence $(s^{n!})_n$.

A *pseudovariety* of semigroups is a class of finite semigroups that is closed under taking subsemigroups, homomorphic images, and finite direct products. The pseudovariety \mathbf{S} consists of all finite semigroups, while the pseudovariety \mathbf{G} is the class of all finite groups, \mathbf{Sl} and \mathbf{Com} are the pseudovarieties of all finite, respectively, semilattices and commutative semigroups. The operator \mathbf{E} associates a pseudovariety \mathbf{V} to the class of finite semigroups such that the subsemigroup generated by the idempotents of the semigroup belongs to \mathbf{V} , which can be written as

$$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{V} = \{S \in \mathbf{S} \mid \langle E(S) \rangle \in \mathbf{V}\}.$$

If a finite semigroup S is a member of \mathbf{ECom} , then the subsemigroup generated by the idempotents of S is equal to the set of idempotents of S . Therefore, the pseudovariety \mathbf{ESl} is equal to the pseudovariety \mathbf{ECom} . By a celebrated result of Ash [2], the pseudovariety generated by finite inverse semigroups is precisely the pseudovariety \mathbf{ECom} .

Let G be a group, n and m be integers and $P = (p_{ji})$ be an $m \times n$ matrix with entries in $G \cup \{0\}$. The Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ is the set of all triples (i, g, j) where $g \in G$, $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$, together with 0, and the following binary operation between nonzero elements

$$(i, g, j)(i', g', j') = \begin{cases} (i, gp_{ji'}g', j') & \text{if } p_{ji'} \neq 0; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for every $(i, g, j), (i', g', j') \in \mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$. The Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ is regular if and only if each row and each column of P contains a nonzero entry, in which case all nonzero elements are \mathcal{J} -equivalent. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_n(G)$ an $n \times n$ Brandt semigroup over a group G . Note that $\mathcal{B}_n(G)$ is an inverse completely 0-simple semigroup.

For a semigroup S , a principal series of S is a chain of ideals of S

$$S = S_1 \supseteq S_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_n \supseteq S_{n+1} = \emptyset$$

such that there is no ideal of S strictly between S_i and S_{i+1} (for convenience we call the empty set an ideal of S). Each principal factor S_i/S_{i+1} ($1 \leq i \leq m$) of S is either completely 0-simple, completely simple or null. Every completely 0-simple factor is isomorphic with a regular Rees matrix semigroup over a finite group G . Every finite semigroup has a principal series.

2.2. Incidence Algebras and Möbius Functions. Let (P, \leq) be a finite partially ordered set (poset). The *incidence algebra* of P over \mathbb{C} , which we denote $\mathbb{C}[[P]]$, is the algebra of all functions $f: P \times P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$f(x, y) \neq 0 \Rightarrow x \leq y$$

equipped with the convolution product

$$(f * g)(x, y) = \sum_{x \leq z \leq y} f(x, z)g(z, y).$$

The *convolution identity* is the delta function δ given by

$$\delta(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The *zeta function*, denoted as ζ_P , of the poset P is an element of $\mathbb{C}[[P]]$ given by

$$\zeta_P(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The function ζ_P is upper triangular with ones on the diagonal with respect to any linear order extending P . Therefore, ζ_P has an inverse over the integers called the *Möbius function*, represented by μ_P . In instances where the poset P is clear from context, the subscript P will be omitted.

Let f be a function from P to \mathbb{C} . By Applying Möbius inversion, if g is the function from P to \mathbb{C} given by $g(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} f(y)$ then $f(x) = \sum_{y \leq x} \mu_P(y, x)g(y)$, for every $x \in P$.

We recommend that the reader refer to [18] for further information on this section.

2.3. Determinant of a semigroup. For standard notation and terminology relating to finite dimensional algebras, the reader is referred to [3, 4].

A based algebra is a finite dimensional complex algebra A with a distinguished basis B . We often refer to the pair of the algebra and its basis as (A, B) . The multiplication in the algebra is determined by its structure constants with respect to the basis B defined by the equations

$$bb' = \sum_{b'' \in B} c_{b'', b, b'} b''$$

where $b, b' \in B$ and $c_{b'', b, b'} \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $X_B = \{x_b \mid b \in B\}$ be a set of variables in bijection with B . These structure constants can be represented in a matrix called the Cayley table, which is a $B \times B$ matrix with elements from the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[X_B]$. It is defined as a $B \times B$ matrix over $\mathbb{C}[X_B]$ with entries given by

$$C(A, B)_{b, b'} = \sum_{b'' \in B} c_{b'', b, b'} x_{b''}.$$

The determinant of this matrix, denoted by $\theta_{(A, B)}(X_B)$, is either identically zero or a homogeneous polynomial of degree $|B|$.

Let S be a finite semigroup. The semigroup \mathbb{C} -algebra $\mathbb{C}S$ consists of all the formal sums $\sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s s$, where $\lambda_s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $s \in S$, with the multiplication defined by the formula

$$\left(\sum_{s \in S} \lambda_s s \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{t \in S} \mu_t t \right) = \sum_{u = st \in S} \lambda_s \mu_t u.$$

Note that $\mathbb{C}S$ is a finite dimensional \mathbb{C} -algebra with basis S . If $A = \mathbb{C}S$ and $B = S$, then the Cayley table of $C(S) = C(\mathbb{C}S, S)$ is the $S \times S$ matrix over $\mathbb{C}[X_S]$ with $C(S)_{s, s'} = x_{ss'}$ where $X_S = \{x_s \mid s \in S\}$ is a set of variables in bijection with S . We denote the determinant $\text{Det } C(\mathbb{C}S, S)$ by $\theta_S(X_S)$ and call it the (Dedekind-Frobenius) *semigroup determinant* of S . If the semigroup S is fixed, we often write X instead of X_S . For more information on this topic, the reader is referred to [8], [13, Chapter 16] and [19].

The *contracted semigroup algebra* of a semigroup S with a zero element 0 on the complex numbers is defined as $\mathbb{C}_0 S = \mathbb{C}S / \mathbb{C}0$; note that $\mathbb{C}0$ is a one-dimensional two-sided ideal. This algebra can be thought of as having a basis consisting of the nonzero elements of S and having multiplication that extends that of S , but with the zero of the semigroup being identified with the zero of the algebra. The contracted semigroup determinant of S , denoted by $\tilde{\theta}_S$, is the determinant of $\tilde{C}(S) = C(\mathbb{C}_0 S, S \setminus \{0\})$, where $\tilde{C}(S)_{s, t}$ is equal to x_{st} if $st \neq 0$ and 0 otherwise. Let $\tilde{X} = X_{S \setminus \{0\}}$ if S is understood.

According to Proposition 2.7 in [19] (the idea mentioned in [23]), there is a connection between the contracted semigroup determinant and the semigroup determinant of a semigroup S with a zero element. There is a \mathbb{C} -algebra isomorphism between the \mathbb{C} -algebra $\mathbb{C}S$ and the product algebra $\mathbb{C}_0 S \times \mathbb{C}0$, which sends $s \in S$ to $(s, 0)$. Put $y_s = x_s - x_0$ for $s \neq 0$ and let

$Y = \{y_s \mid s \in S \setminus \{0\}\}$. Then $\theta_S(X) = x_0 \widetilde{\theta}_S(Y)$. Therefore, $\widetilde{\theta}_S(\widetilde{X})$ can be obtained from $\theta_S(X)/x_0$ by replacing x_0 with 0.

3. RELATIONS \ll AND \lll

Necessary conditions for a semigroup S to have a nonzero $\theta_S(X)$ are stated in [19]. If $\theta_S(X)$ is not equal to 0, then the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}S$ is a unital algebra, according to Theorem 2.1 in [19].

We define the functions φ^* and φ^+ from S to the power set of $E(S)$ as follows:

$$\varphi^*(s) = \{e \in E(S) \mid se = s\} \text{ and } \varphi^+(s) = \{e \in E(S) \mid es = s\}.$$

If S is finite and $\mathbb{C}S$ is a unital algebra, then the subsets $\varphi^*(s)$ and $\varphi^+(s)$ are nonempty, for every $s \in S$ ([16, Lemma 3.1]).

In [16], the determinant of a semigroup within the pseudovariety \mathbf{ECom} is explored. In this paper, we take one step further and begin investigating the determinant of a semigroup not within the pseudovariety \mathbf{ECom} . Throughout the paper, we consider a finite semigroup S with the assumption that the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}S$ is a unital algebra.

Let $s \in S$. Since $\mathbb{C}S$ is a unital algebra, the subsets $\varphi^*(s)$ and $\varphi^+(s)$ are nonempty. We denote the kernel of $\langle \varphi^*(s) \rangle$ and $\langle \varphi^+(s) \rangle$ by s^{**} and s^{++} , respectively.

Note that $s^{**} = t^{**}$ if and only if $\varphi^*(s) = \varphi^*(t)$. Indeed, suppose that $s^{**} = t^{**}$ and $e \in \varphi^*(s)$. Hence, we have $se = s$. Let $f \in t^{**}$. It is easy to verify that $tf = t$. Then, we have $te = (tf)e = t(fe)$. Since $f \in s^{**} (= t^{**})$ and $e \in \varphi^*(s)$, we have $f'e = fe \in s^{**} = t^{**}$. Hence $te = tf'e = t$. Then, $e \in \varphi^*(t)$ and, thus, we have $\varphi^*(s) = \varphi^*(t)$. Also, we have $s^{++} = t^{++}$ if and only if $\varphi^+(s) = \varphi^+(t)$. Then, the equivalence relations $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$, $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ can be described as follows:

- (1) $s \widetilde{\mathcal{L}} t$ if $s^{**} = t^{**}$;
- (2) $s \widetilde{\mathcal{R}} t$ if $s^{++} = t^{++}$;
- (3) $s \widetilde{\mathcal{H}} t$ if $s^{**} = t^{**}$ and $s^{++} = t^{++}$.

It is clear that if e is an idempotent then $e \in e^{**}, e^{++}$.

Let s and t be elements of S . We define $s \ll t$ if

$$s = s^{++} t s^{**}.$$

We say that a semigroup S is singleton-rich if the cardinality of subsets s^{**} and s^{++} is equal to one, for every s in S . In this case, we denote the single element of the subsets s^{**} and s^{++} by s^* and s^+ , respectively. Note that, it is easy to verify that s^* and s^+ are idempotent. In this paper, we assume that all semigroups are singleton-rich.

Lemma 3.1. *The following statements hold:*

- (1) Let $s \in S$ and $e, f \in E(S)$. We have $es, se, esf \ll s$.
- (2) Let $s_1, s_2 \in S$. Then, we have $(s_1 s_2)^* \leq s_2^*$ and $(s_1 s_2)^+ \leq s_1^+$.

Proof. (1) Since $e \in \varphi^+(es)$, we have $(es)^+e = (es)^+$. Then, we deduce

$$(es)^+s(es)^* = (es)^+es(es)^* = es.$$

It follows that $es \ll s$.

Similarly, we have $se, esf \ll s$.

(2) Since $s_1s_2s_2^* = s_1s_2$, we have $s_2^* \in \varphi^*(s_1s_2)$, and thus, we get that $(s_1s_2)^* \leq s_2^*$.

Similarly, we have $(s_1s_2)^+ \leq s_1^+$. \square

Proposition 3.2. *The following conditions hold:*

(1) $s \ll t$ if and only if $s \in E(S)^1tE(S)^1$.

(2) there do not exist pairwise distinct elements s_1, \dots, s_n with $1 < n$ such that

$$s_1 \ll s_2 \ll \dots \ll s_n \ll s_1.$$

Proof. (1) If $s \ll t$ then, clearly we have $s \in E(S)^1tE(S)^1$.

Now, we suppose that $s \in E(S)^1tE(S)^1$. Then, there exists elements $e, f \in E(S)^1$ such that $s = etf$. It is easy to verify that $s^+e \in \langle \varphi^+(s) \rangle$ and $fs^* \in \langle \varphi^*(s) \rangle$. Since s^{**} and s^{++} are the kernel of $\langle \varphi^*(s) \rangle$ and $\langle \varphi^+(s) \rangle$, respectively, and s^{**} and s^{++} have only one element, we have $s^+e = s^+$ and $fs^* = s^*$. Therefore, we have $s = s^+ts^*$.

(2) We assume the contrary that there exist pairwise distinct elements s_1, \dots, s_n with $1 < n$ such that

$$s_1 \ll s_2 \ll \dots \ll s_n \ll s_1.$$

We have $s_i = s_i^+s_{i+1}s_i^*$, for $1 \leq i < n$, and $s_n = s_n^+s_1s_n^*$. Then, we get that

$$s_1 = s_1^+s_2^+ \dots s_n^+s_1s_n^* \dots s_2^*s_1^*.$$

It follows that

$$s_1 = (s_1^+s_2^+ \dots s_n^+)^{\omega} s_1 (s_n^* \dots s_2^*s_1^*)^{\omega}$$

and, thus, we have $s_1(s_n^* \dots s_2^*s_1^*)^{\omega} = s_1$. Hence, we get that $(s_n^* \dots s_2^*s_1^*)^{\omega} \in \varphi^*(s_1)$. As

$$(s_n^* \dots s_2^*s_1^*)^{\omega} s_1^* = (s_n^* \dots s_2^*s_1^*)^{\omega}$$

and s_1^{**} is the kernel of $\langle \varphi^*(s_1) \rangle$, we have

$$(s_n^* \dots s_2^*s_1^*)^{\omega} = s_1^*.$$

Similarly, we have $(s_{i-1}^* \dots s_1^*s_n^* \dots s_{i+1}^*s_i^*)^{\omega} = s_i^*$, for every $2 < i \leq n$. Therefore, we get that

$$s_1^* = s_1^*s_1^* = s_1^*(s_n^* \dots s_2^*s_1^*)^{\omega} = (s_1^*s_n^* \dots s_2^*)^{\omega} s_1^* = s_2^*s_1^*,$$

$$\begin{aligned} s_i^* &= s_i^*s_i^* = s_i^*(s_{i-1}^* \dots s_1^*s_n^* \dots s_{i+1}^*s_i^*)^{\omega} \\ &= (s_i^*s_{i-1}^* \dots s_1^*s_n^* \dots s_{i+1}^*)^{\omega} s_i^* = s_{i+1}^*s_i^*, \end{aligned}$$

for every $2 < i < n$, and $s_n^* = s_1^*s_n^*$. Now, by the last equalities, we have

$$s_1^*s_2^* = s_1^*s_n^* \dots s_3^*s_2^* = s_n^* \dots s_3^*s_2^* = s_2^*.$$

Hence, we have $s_2^* s_1^* = s_1^*$ and $s_1^* s_2^* = s_2^*$. Now, as $(s_1^*)^+ = s_1^*$, $s_2^* \in \varphi^+(s_1^*)$ and $s_1^* s_2^* = s_2^*$, we have $s_1^* = s_2^*$. Similarly, we get that $s_1^+ = s_2^+$. Therefore, we have $s_1 = s_1^+ s_2 s_1^* = s_2^+ s_2 s_2^* = s_2$. A contradiction that s_1 and s_2 are distinct. \square

Since $s = s^+ s s^*$, for every $s \in S$, we have $s \ll s$ and, thus, the relation \ll is reflexive. Also, by part (2) of Proposition 3.2, the relation \ll is anti-symmetric. However, as illustrated in Example 6.1, the relation \ll may not be transitive. Therefore, we define \lll as the smallest partially ordered set containing \ll .

Let $Z: \mathbb{C}S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}S$ be a map given by $Z(s) = \sum_{s' \lll s} s'$ on $s \in S$ with a linear extension. By applying Möbius inversion, we can establish an inverse for Z , making it bijective. As mentioned in the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *The mapping Z is bijective.*

4. SEQUENCES φ AND ψ

For s and t in S , one recursively defines two sequences s_i and t_i by

$$s_0 = s, t_0 = t$$

and

$$\begin{cases} s_{i+1} = s_i t_i^+ \text{ and } t_{i+1} = s_i^* t_i & \text{if } s_i^* t_i^+ = t_i^+ s_i^*; \\ s_{i+1} = s_i \text{ and } t_{i+1} = t_i & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

We could define the mapping

$$\varepsilon: S \times S \rightarrow E(S) \times E(S)$$

as follow:

$$\varepsilon^{(s,t)} = (s_i^*, t_i^+), \text{ where } i \text{ is an integer such that } s_i = s_{i+1} \text{ and } t_i = t_{i+1}.$$

In the case where $s_i^* = t_i^+$, for convenience, we denote $\varepsilon^{(s,t)}$ as s_i^* . If $S \in \mathbf{ECom}$, then both sequences s_i and t_i converges to an equal element in S .

We define functions φ and ψ on $S \times S$ as follows:

$$\varphi(s, t) = (s^* t)^+ \text{ and } \psi(s, t) = (s t^+)^*,$$

for every $s, t \in S$.

Now, for s and t in S , based on the functions φ and ψ , we define the sequences a_i, b_i, c_i and d_i as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= s, & b_0 &= t; & c_0 &= s, & d_0 &= t; \\ \text{and} & & & & & & & \\ a_{i+1} &= a_i \varphi(a_i, b_i), & b_{i+1} &= a_i^* b_i; & c_{i+1} &= c_i d_i^+, & d_{i+1} &= \psi(c_i, d_i) d_i. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let m be an integer such that $s_i^* t_i^+ = t_i^+ s_i^*$, for all $i < m$. Then, we have*

- (1) $s_{i+1}^*, t_{i+1}^+ \leq s_i^*, t_i^+$,
- (2) $s_{i+1} = s_i^* t_i^+$ and $t_{i+1} = s_i^* t_i$,

for all $i < m$.

Proof. (1) Since

$$s_{i+1}s_i^* = s_it_i^+s_i^* = s_is_i^*t_i^+ = s_it_i^+ = s_{i+1},$$

we have $s_i^* \in \varphi^*(s_{i+1})$. Now, as s_{i+1}^* has only one element, we have $s_{i+1}^* \leq s_i^*$. Also, as

$$s_{i+1}t_i^+ = s_it_i^+t_i^+ = s_it_i^+ = s_{i+1},$$

we have $s_{i+1}^* \leq t_i^+$. Similarly, we have $t_{i+1}^+ \leq s_i^*, t_i^+$.

(2) We prove that $s_{i+1} = st_i^+$ and $t_{i+1} = s_i^*t$, by induction on i . The case $i = 0$ is clear. Assume then that $i > 0$, and that the result holds for smaller values of i . We have $s_{i+1} = s_it_i^+$. By hypothesis of induction, we have $s_i = st_{i-1}^+$. It follows that $s_{i+1} = st_{i-1}^+t_i^+$. Now, as $t_i^+ \leq t_{i-1}^+$ (by part (1)), we have $s_{i+1} = st_i^+$. Similarly, we have $t_{i+1} = s_i^*t$. \square

Lemma 4.2. *We have*

- (1) $\varphi(a_i, b_i) \leq a_i^*$ and $\psi(a_i, b_i) \leq b_i^+$;
- (2) $\varphi(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) \leq \varphi(a_i, b_i)$ and $\psi(c_{i+1}, d_{i+1}) \leq \psi(c_i, d_i)$, for all $0 \leq i$;
- (3) $a_{i+1} = s\varphi(a_i, b_i)$ and $b_{i+1} = \varphi(a_i, b_i)t$, for all $0 \leq i$;
- (4) $c_{i+1} = s\psi(c_i, d_i)$ and $d_{i+1} = \psi(c_i, d_i)t$, for all $0 \leq i$.
- (5) $\varphi(a_i, b_i) = \varphi(a_i, t)$ and $\psi(a_i, b_i) = \psi(s, b_i)$, for every $0 \leq i$.

Proof. (1) As $a_i^*a_i^*b_i = a_i^*b_i$, we have $a_i^* \in \varphi^+(a_i^*b_i)$ and, thus, $\varphi(a_i, b_i) = (a_i^*b_i)^+ \leq a_i^*$.

Similarly, we have $\psi(a_i, b_i) \leq b_i^+$.

(2) Since $\varphi(a_i, b_i)$ is an idempotent, we have $\varphi(a_i, b_i) \in \varphi^*(a_i\varphi(a_i, b_i))$. Then, we deduce

$$\varphi(a_i, b_i)(a_i\varphi(a_i, b_i))^*a_i^*b_i = (a_i\varphi(a_i, b_i))^*a_i^*b_i.$$

It follows that

$$\varphi(a_i, b_i) \in \varphi^+((a_i\varphi(a_i, b_i))^*a_i^*b_i)$$

and, thus,

$$\varphi(a_{i+1}, b_{i+1}) = (a_{i+1}^*b_{i+1})^+ = ((a_i\varphi(a_i, b_i))^*a_i^*b_i)^+ \leq \varphi(a_i, b_i).$$

Similarly, we have $\psi(c_{i+1}, d_{i+1}) \leq \psi(c_i, d_i)$.

(3) We prove that $a_{i+1} = s\varphi(a_i, b_i)$, by induction on i . The case $i = 0$ is clear. Assume then that $i > 0$, and that the result holds for smaller values of i . We have $a_{i+1} = a_i\varphi(a_i, b_i)$. By hypothesis of induction, we have $a_{i+1} = s\varphi(a_{i-1}, b_{i-1})\varphi(a_i, b_i)$. Now, by part (2), we have $a_{i+1} = s\varphi(a_i, b_i)$.

Also, we prove that $b_{i+1} = \varphi(a_i, b_i)t$, by induction on i . We have $b_{i+1} = a_i^*b_i = (a_i^*b_i)^+a_i^*b_i$. Then, as $a_i^* \leq (a_i^*b_i)^+$ and by the assumption of the induction, we have

$$b_{i+1} = \varphi(a_i, b_i)\varphi(a_{i-1}, b_{i-1})t.$$

Now, by part (2), as $\varphi(a_i, b_i) \leq \varphi(a_{i-1}, b_{i-1})$, the result follows.

(4) Similar to part (3), part (4) also holds.

(5) The case $i = 0$ is clear. Assume then that $i > 0$. By part (3), we have

$$\varphi(a_i, b_i) = (a_i^*b_i)^+ = (a_i^*\varphi(a_{i-1}, b_{i-1})t)^+.$$

Since $a_i = s\varphi(a_{i-1}, b_{i-1})$, we deduce $a_i^* \varphi(a_{i-1}, b_{i-1}) = a_i^*$ and, thus, $\varphi(a_i, b_i) = (a_i^* t)^+ = \varphi(a_i, t)$.

Similarly, we have $\psi(a_i, b_i) = \psi(s, b_i)$. \square

Since S is finite, we consider the following descending chain:

$$S = S_1 \supseteq S_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq S_l \supseteq S_{l+1} = \emptyset$$

which forms a principal series for S within this section.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $1 \leq i \leq l$. If S_i/S_{i+1} is not null, then S_i/S_{i+1} is an inverse completely 0-simple semigroup or a group. Moreover, S_i/S_{i+1} is isomorphic with an $n \times n$ Brandt semigroup $\mathcal{B}_n(G)$ over a group G .*

Proof. Since S_i/S_{i+1} is not null, S_i/S_{i+1} is isomorphic with a regular Rees matrix semigroup $\mathcal{M}^0(G, n, m; P)$ or $\mathcal{M}(G, n, m; P)$. If S_i/S_{i+1} is not inverse, then one or both of the following conditions hold:

- (1) there exist integers $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq n$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that $k_1 \neq k_2$ and $p_{jk_1}, p_{jk_2} \neq 0$;
- (2) there exist integers $1 \leq k \leq n$ and $1 \leq j_1, j_2 \leq m$ such that $j_1 \neq j_2$ and $p_{j_1 k}, p_{j_2 k} \neq 0$.

By symmetry, we may assume the first case. As the elements $(k_1, p_{jk_1}^{-1}, j)$ and $(k_2, p_{jk_2}^{-1}, j)$ are in the kernel of the subset $\langle \varphi^*(k_1, p_{jk_1}^{-1}, j) \rangle$, this contradicts the section's assumption that the subset $(k_1, p_{jk_1}^{-1}, j)^{**}$ contains only one element.

The result follows. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $s, t \in S$. There exist integers i° and j° such that $\varphi(a_i, b_i) = \varphi(a_{i^\circ}, b_{i^\circ})$, for every $i \geq i^\circ$, and $\psi(c_j, d_j) = \psi(c_{j^\circ}, d_{j^\circ})$, for every $j \geq j^\circ$.*

Proof. Since S is finite, there exist integers m and i° such that

$$\varphi(a_i, b_i) \in S_m \setminus S_{m+1},$$

for every $i \geq i^\circ$.

As the element $\varphi(a_{i^\circ}, b_{i^\circ})$ is idempotent, by Lemma 4.3, S_m/S_{m+1} is isomorphic with an $n \times n$ Brandt semigroup $\mathcal{B}_n(G)$ over a group G . Then, $\varphi(a_{i^\circ}, b_{i^\circ}) = (k_{i^\circ}, 1_G, k_{i^\circ})$ and $\varphi(a_{i^\circ+1}, b_{i^\circ+1}) = (k_{i^\circ+1}, 1_G, k_{i^\circ+1})$, for some elements $(k_{i^\circ}, 1_G, k_{i^\circ}), (k_{i^\circ+1}, 1_G, k_{i^\circ+1}) \in \mathcal{B}_n(G)$.

By Lemma 4.2.(2), we have $\varphi(a_{i^\circ+1}, b_{i^\circ+1}) \leq \varphi(a_{i^\circ}, b_{i^\circ})$. Then, we conclude that $k_{i^\circ} = k_{i^\circ+1}$ and, thus $\varphi(a_{i^\circ}, b_{i^\circ}) = \varphi(a_{i^\circ+1}, b_{i^\circ+1})$. Using the same argument, we find that $\varphi(a_i, b_i) = \varphi(a_{i^\circ}, b_{i^\circ})$, for every $i \geq i^\circ$.

Similarly, we can demonstrate that there exists an integer j° such that $\psi(c_j, d_j) = \psi(c_{j^\circ}, d_{j^\circ})$, for every $j \geq j^\circ$. \square

Then, by Lemma 4.4, the sequences $\varphi(a_i, b_i)$ converges to an equal element. As well for the sequences $\psi(c_j, d_j)$. We denote the converge of these sequences by $\varphi^{(s,t)}$ and $\psi^{(s,t)}$, respectively.

Corollary 4.5. *We have $\varphi^{(s,t)} \leq s^*$ and $\psi^{(s,t)} \leq t^+$.*

Proof. The result follows from Lemma 4.2, relying on parts (1) and (2). \square

Note that it may be that $\varphi^{(s,t)} \not\leq s^+$ or $\psi^{(s,t)} \not\leq t^*$ (see Example 6.2).

Lemma 4.6. *We have $st = s\varphi^{(s,t)}t = s\psi^{(s,t)}t$.*

Proof. We prove that $st = s\varphi(a_i, b_i)t$, by induction on i .

First, we have

$$st = ss^*t = s(s^*t)^+s^*t = s(s^*t)^+t = s\varphi(s, t)t = s\varphi(a_0, b_0)t.$$

Now, assume then that $0 \leq i'$, and $st = s\varphi(a_i, b_i)t$, for every $i \leq i'$. Now, by using Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} st &= s\varphi(a_{i'}, b_{i'})t = a_{i'+1}b_{i'+1} = a_{i'+1}a_{i'+1}^*b_{i'+1} = a_{i'+1}(a_{i'+1}^*b_{i'+1})^+a_{i'+1}^*b_{i'+1} \\ &= a_{i'+1}(a_{i'+1}^*b_{i'+1})^+b_{i'+1} = a_{i'+1}\varphi(a_{i'+1}, b_{i'+1})b_{i'+1} \\ &= s\varphi(a_{i'}, b_{i'})\varphi(a_{i'+1}, b_{i'+1})\varphi(a_{i'}, b_{i'})t = s\varphi(a_{i'+1}, b_{i'+1})t. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $st = s\varphi^{(s,t)}t$.

Similarly, we have $st = s\psi^{(s,t)}t$. \square

Lemma 4.7. *We have*

$$(s\varphi^{(s,t)})^* = (\varphi^{(s,t)}t)^+ = \varphi^{(s,t)}, \text{ and } (s\psi^{(s,t)})^* = (\psi^{(s,t)}t)^+ = \psi^{(s,t)}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.4, there exist an integers i° such that $\varphi(a_i, b_i) = \varphi^{(s,t)}$, for every $i \geq i^\circ$.

Since

$$\varphi^{(s,t)} = \varphi(a_{i^\circ+1}, b_{i^\circ+1}) = (a_{i^\circ+1}^*b_{i^\circ+1})^+ \leq a_{i^\circ+1}^* = (s\varphi(a_{i^\circ}, b_{i^\circ}))^* = (s\varphi^{(s,t)})^*$$

and $(s\varphi^{(s,t)})^* \leq \varphi^{(s,t)}$, we have $(s\varphi^{(s,t)})^* = \varphi^{(s,t)}$.

Also, we have

$$\varphi^{(s,t)} = \varphi(a_{i^\circ}, b_{i^\circ}) = (a_{i^\circ}^*b_{i^\circ})^+ = (b_{i^\circ+1})^+ = (\varphi(a_{i^\circ}, b_{i^\circ})t)^+ = (\varphi^{(s,t)}t)^+.$$

Similarity, we get that $(s\psi^{(s,t)})^* = (\psi^{(s,t)}t)^+ = \psi^{(s,t)}$. \square

By Lemma 4.7, we derive the following corollary.

Corollary 4.8. $\varphi^{(s\varphi^{(s,t)}, \varphi^{(s,t)}t)} = \varphi^{(s,t)}$ and $\psi^{(s\psi^{(s,t)}, \psi^{(s,t)}t)} = \psi^{(s,t)}$.

Lemma 4.9. *If $S \in \text{ECom}$, then we have $\varphi^{(s,t)} = \psi^{(s,t)} = \varepsilon^{(s,t)}$.*

Proof. We prove that $s_{2i} = a_i$ and $t_{2i} = d_i$, by induction on i . The case $i = 0$ is clear.

Assume then that $i > 0$, and that the result holds for smaller values of i .

Bu using Lemma 4.1.(2), we have

$$s_{2i} = st_{2i-1}^+ = s(s_{2i-2}^*t)^+ = s(a_{i-1}^*t)^+ = s\varphi(a_{i-1}, t).$$

By Lemma 4.2.(5), as $\varphi(a_{i-1}, t) = \varphi(a_{i-1}, b_{i-1})$, we have $s_{2i} = a_i$.

Similarly, we have $t_{2i} = d_i$.

Now, the result follows by Lemma 4.7. \square

Note that when $S \notin \text{ECom}$, it is possible for $\varphi^{(s,t)} \neq \psi^{(s,t)}$ (see Example 6.3).

Lemma 4.10. *Let $s, t \in S$ and $e, f \in E(S)$ with $t^+ \leq e$ and $s^* \leq f$. We have*

$$\varphi^{(s,t)} = \varphi^{(s,ft)} \text{ and } \psi^{(s,t)} = \psi^{(se,t)}.$$

Proof. Let $a_0 = s, b_0 = t, a'_0 = s$, and $b'_0 = ft$. As $s^* \leq f$, we have $a_1 = s(s^*t)^+ = s(s^*ft)^+ = a'_1$ and $b_1 = s^*t = s^*ft = b'_1$. It follows that $\varphi^{(s,t)} = \varphi^{(s,ft)}$.

Similarly, we have $\psi^{(s,t)} = \psi^{(se,t)}$. \square

In Lemma 4.10, it is noteworthy that $\varphi^{(s,t)}$ might not equal $\varphi^{(se,t)}$ or $\psi^{(s,t)}$ might differ from $\psi^{(s,ft)}$ (see Example 6.4).

By Lemma 4.10, the following corollary holds.

Corollary 4.11. *Let $s' \ll s$ and $t' \ll t$ with $s'^* = t'^+$. We have*

$$\varphi^{(s',tt'^*)} = \psi^{(s'^+s,t')} = s'^*.$$

Lemma 4.12. *Let $s' \ll s$ and $t' \ll t$. If $\varphi^{(s'^+s,tt'^*)} = t'^+$ then $\varphi^{(s'^+s,t')} = t'^+$, and if $\psi^{(s'^+s,tt'^*)} = s'^*$ then $\psi^{(s',tt'^*)} = s'^*$.*

Proof. Suppose that $((s'^+s)^*tt'^*)^+ = t'^+$. As $(s'^+s)^* \in \varphi^+((s'^+s)^*tt'^*)$ and $((s'^+s)^*tt'^*)^+ = t'^+$, we have

$$(s'^+s)^*tt'^* = t'^+(s'^+s)^*tt'^* = (s'^+s)^*t'^+tt'^* = (s'^+s)^*t'.$$

It follows that $((s'^+s)^*t')^+ = t'^+$.

Similarly, the second statement holds. \square

Note that in Lemma 4.12, it may be that $\varphi^{(s'^+s,t')} = t'^+$ and $\varphi^{(s'^+s,tt'^*)} \neq t'^+$ (see Example 6.5). Additionally, the converse of the lemma may not hold true for the function ψ .

5. STUDY OF THE DETERMINANT OF \ll -TRANSITIVE SINGLETON-RICH SEMIGROUPS

As mentioned in Section 3, we consider a finite semigroup S with the assumption that the semigroup algebra $\mathbb{C}S$ is a unital algebra. In this section, we examine and study the determinant of \ll -transitive singleton-rich semigroups and compute the determinant of a class of these semigroups, which we subsequently define and refer to as \ll -smooth semigroups.

The following lemma could be useful for determining the \ll -transitive semigroups.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that $ef \in E(S)$, for every $e, f \in E(S)$. Then, the relation \ll is transitive.*

Proof. Suppose the contrary that the relation \ll is not transitive. Then, there exists a sequences $s \ll s' \ll s''$ with $s \not\ll s''$. Hence, we get that $s = s^+s'^+s''s'^*s^*$. By the assumption of the lemma, the elements $s^+s'^+$ and

s'^*s^* are idempotent. Then, we have $s^+s'^+ \leq s^+$ and $s'^*s^* \leq s^*$ and, thus, $s \ll s''$. A contradiction with the assumption. \square

Note, that if \ll is transitive, it may be the case that S contains idempotent e and f such that ef is not idempotent (see Example 6.6).

We define the multiplication $\sharp: \mathbb{C}S \times \mathbb{C}S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}S$ as follows:

$$s \sharp t = \begin{cases} st, & \text{if } s^+ = (st)^+, t^* = (st)^* \text{ and } s^* = t^+; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for every $s, t \in S$. Also, we define the multiplication $\overset{e}{\sharp}: \mathbb{C}S \times \mathbb{C}S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}S$, for some $e \in E(S)$, as follows:

$$s \overset{e}{\sharp} t = \begin{cases} st & \text{if } s^+ = (st)^+, t^* = (st)^* \text{ and } s^* = t^+ = e; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

According to Proposition 3.3, the mapping Z is bijective. For the case the relation \ll is transitive on S , we define the following multiplication on $\mathbb{C}S \times \mathbb{C}S$

$$Z(s) * Z(t) = \sum_{\substack{s' \ll s, \\ t' \ll t}} s' \overset{\varphi(s'^+, s, tt'^*)}{\sharp} t'.$$

By applying Möbius inversion, we have

$$u = \sum_{u' \ll u} \mu_S(u', u) Z(u') \text{ and } v = \sum_{v' \ll v} \mu_S(v', v) Z(v'),$$

for every $u, v \in S$. Then

$$u * v = \sum_{u' \ll u, v' \ll v} \mu_S(u', u) \mu_S(v', v) Z(u') * Z(v').$$

Proposition 5.2. *We have $Z(s) * Z(t) = Z(st)$, for all $s, t \in S$.*

Proof. Let $s' \ll s$ and $t' \ll t$. The first step is to prove that $s't' \ll st$, if

$s' \overset{\varphi(s'^+, s, tt'^*)}{\sharp} t' \neq 0$. Then, the following conditions hold:

$$(1) \quad s'^+ = (s't')^+, t'^* = (s't')^* \text{ and } s'^* = t'^+.$$

Since $s' \ll s$ and $t' \ll t$, we have $s' = s'^+ss'^*$ and $t' = t'^+tt'^*$. Now, as

$s' \overset{\varphi(s'^+, s, tt'^*)}{\sharp} t' \neq 0$, we have $s'^* = t'^+ = \varphi(s'^+, s, tt'^*)$. Hence, by Lemma 4.6, we have

$$s't' = s'^+ss'^*t'^+tt'^* = s'^+s\varphi(s'^+, s, tt'^*)tt'^* = s'^+stt'^*.$$

As $s'^+ = (s't')^+$ and $t'^* = (s't')^*$, we have $s't' \ll st$.

Secondly, we need to show that if $u \ll st$, for some u in S , there exists a

unique pair (s°, t°) such that $s^\circ \ll s$, $t^\circ \ll t$, $s^\circ \overset{\varphi(s^{\circ+}, s, tt^{\circ*})}{\sharp} t^\circ \neq 0$, and $s^\circ t^\circ = u$. Let $\varphi = \varphi(u^+, s, tu^*)$, $s_1 = u^+s\varphi$, and $t_1 = \varphi tu^*$. Since $u^+s\varphi tu^* = u$, it is easily

follows that $s_1^+ = u^+$ and $t_1^* = u^*$. By Lemma 4.7, we deduce $s_1^* = t_1^+ = \varphi$. Then, we get that $s_1 \ll s$ and $t_1 \ll t$. Also, we have

$$s_1 \overset{\varphi(s_1^+, s, t t_1^*)}{\#} t_1 = s_1 \overset{\varphi(u^+, s, t u^*)}{\#} t_1 = s_1 \overset{\varphi}{\#} t_1 = s_1 t_1 = u.$$

There is then our desired pair. Now, we prove the uniqueness of this existence. Let $s_1, s_2, t_1, t_2 \in S$ such that $s_1, s_2 \ll s$, $t_1, t_2 \ll t$, $s_1 t_1 = s_2 t_2 = u$, and $s_1 \overset{\varphi(s_1^+, s, t t_1^*)}{\#} t_1, s_2 \overset{\varphi(s_2^+, s, t t_2^*)}{\#} t_2 \neq 0$. Then, the pairs (s_1, t_1) and (s_2, t_2) satisfy conditions (1) and, thus, we have $s_1^+ = s_2^+ = u^+$ and $t_1^* = t_2^* = u^*$. Also, we have $s_1^* = s_2^* = t_1^+ = t_2^+ = \varphi(u^+, s, t u^*)$. Therefore, we have $s_1 = u^+ s \varphi(u^+, s, t u^*) = s_2$ and $t_1 = \varphi(u^+, s, t u^*) s u^* = t_2$.

The result follows. \square

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose that the relation \ll on S is transitive. The mapping Z is an isomorphism of \mathbb{C} -algebras.*

Proof. By Propositions 3.3 and 5.2, the result follows. \square

Let $s, t \in S$. We have

$$s = \sum_{s' \ll s} \mu_S(s', s) Z(s') \text{ and } t = \sum_{t' \ll t} \mu_S(t', t) Z(t').$$

Then, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} s * t &= \sum_{\substack{s' \ll s, \\ t' \ll t}} \mu_S(s', s) \mu_S(t', t) Z(s') * Z(t') \\ &= \sum_{\substack{s' \ll s, \\ t' \ll t}} \mu_S(s', s) \mu_S(t', t) \left(\sum_{\substack{s'' \ll s', \\ t'' \ll t'}} s'' \overset{\varphi(s''^+, s', t' t''^*)}{\#} t'' \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{s'' \ll s, \\ t'' \ll t}} \left[\sum_{\substack{s'' \ll s' \ll s, \\ t'' \ll t' \ll t, \\ s'' \overset{\varphi(s''^+, s', t' t''^*)}{\#} t'' \neq 0}} \mu_S(s', s) \mu_S(t', t) \right] s'' t'' \\ &= \sum_{\substack{s'' \ll s, \\ t'' \ll t}} \left[\sum_{s'' \ll s' \ll s} \left(\sum_{\substack{t'' \ll t' \ll t, \\ s'' \overset{\varphi(s''^+, s', t' t''^*)}{\#} t'' \neq 0}} \mu_S(t', t) \right) \mu_S(s', s) \right] s'' t''. \end{aligned}$$

We define the function $\xi: S^{(s)} \times S^{(t)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where $S^{(s)} = \{s'' \in S \mid s'' \ll s\}$, for every $s \in S$, as follows:

$$\xi(s'', t'') = \sum_{s'' \ll s' \ll s} \left(\sum_{\substack{t'' \ll t' \ll t, \\ s'' \overset{\varphi(s''^+, s', t' t''^*)}{\#} t'' \neq 0}} \mu_S(t', t) \right) \mu_S(s', s),$$

for every $s'' \in S^{(s)}$ and $t'' \in S^{(t)}$.

In the continuation of this section, we focus on a class of \ll -transitive singleton-rich semigroups called \ll -smooth and compute their determinants.

Definition 5.4. Let S be a \ll -transitive singleton-rich semigroup. We say that S is \ll -smooth if for every sequences $s'' \ll s' \ll s$ and $t'' \ll t' \ll t$, the following statements hold:

- (1) if $s'' \# t'' \neq 0$, then we have $\varphi(s''^+ s', t' t''^*) = \varphi(s''^+ s', t' t''^*)$.
- (2) if $s'' \# t'' \neq 0$, then we have $(s''^+ s')^* t' t''^* = t''$ if and only if $(s''^+ s')^* t t''^* = t''$.
- (3) if $s''(s''^+ s)^* = s''$, then we have $s''(s''^+ s')^* = s''$.
- (4) if $s''(s''^+ s_1)^* \neq s''$ and $s''(s''^+ s_2)^* \neq s''$, for some elements $s'' \ll s_1, s_2 \ll s$, then there exists an element $s'' \ll s_3 \ll s$ such that $s_3 \ll s_1, s_2$ and $s''(s''^+ s_3)^* \neq s''$.

Through verification using a program in C#, we have confirmed that the conditions of Definition 5.4 hold true for every \ll -transitive singleton-rich semigroup with an order less than 8. To test these class of semigroups, the author uses the package Smallsemi [6] in GAP [9] as a database.

The following lemma straightforwardly follows from the definition of \ll -smooth semigroups.

Lemma 5.5. Let S be a \ll -smooth semigroup and let $s'' \ll s' \ll s$ and $t'' \ll t' \ll t$ be sequences in S . If $s'' \# t'' \neq 0$, then the following statements hold:

- (1) we have $\varphi(s''^+ s', t t''^*) = s''^*$ if and only if $\varphi(s''^+ s', t' t''^*) = s''^*$.
- (2) if $s'' \ll s'_1 \ll s'_2 \ll s$ then $\varphi(s''^+ s'_2, t'') = t''^+$ implies $\varphi(s''^+ s'_1, t'') = t''^+$.
- (3) if $s'' \ll s'_1, s'_2 \ll s$ and $\varphi(s''^+ s'_1, t''), \varphi(s''^+ s'_2, t'') \neq t''^+$, then there exists an element $s'' \ll s'_3 \ll s$ such that $s'_3 \ll s'_1, s'_2$ and $\varphi(s''^+ s'_3, t'') \neq t''^+$.

Note that if the relation \ll is not transitive in a semigroup S , Lemma 5.5 does not necessarily hold (see Example 6.7).

Lemma 5.6. Suppose that S is \ll -smooth. Let $s, t \in S$ with $s^* \neq t^+$ and $s'' \in S^{(s)}$ and $t'' \in S^{(t)}$ with $s'' \# t'' \neq 0$. We have $\xi(s'', t'') \neq 0$, if and only if

- (1) $s'' = s t^+, t'' = t$;
- (2) $t^+ \not\leq s^*$;
- (3) $s''^+ = s^+$;
- (4) if $s t^+ \ll s' \ll s$, for some element $s' \neq s$ then $t^+ \leq (s^+ s')^*$.

Moreover, if $s * t \neq 0$, then we have $s * t = -st$.

Proof. First, suppose that $\xi(s'', t'') \neq 0$.

Assume that $t'' \neq t$. Let s' be an element of S with $s'' \ll s' \ll s$. As S is \ll -smooth and $s'' \# t'' \neq 0$, we have $\varphi(s''^+ s', t' t''^*) = \varphi(s''^+ s', t' t''^*)$, for every t' with $t'' \ll t' \ll t$. Let $\Delta = \sum_{\substack{t'' \ll t' \ll t, \\ s''^+ \varphi(s''^+ s', t' t''^*) \# t'' \neq 0}} \mu_S(t', t)$. By Lemma 5.5.(1), if $\varphi(s''^+ s', t'') \neq s''^*$, then $\varphi(s''^+ s', t' t''^*) \neq s''^*$, for every $t'' \ll t' \ll t$ and, thus $\Delta = 0$. Also, if $\varphi(s''^+ s', t'') = s''^*$, then, we have $\varphi(s''^+ s', t' t''^*) = s''^*$, for

every $t'' \ll t' \ll t$, and, thus, we have $\Delta = \sum_{t'' \ll t' \ll t} \mu_S(t', t) = 0$. It follows that $\xi(s'', t'') = 0$, a contradiction.

Then, $t'' = t$. We get that

$$\xi(s'', t) = \sum_{\substack{s'' \ll s' \ll s, \\ s'' \varphi(s''^+ s', t) \neq 0}} \mu_S(s', s).$$

As $s^* \neq t^+$ and $s'' \not\# t \neq 0$, we have $s'' \neq s$.

If $s'' \varphi(s''^+ s', t) \neq 0$, for all $s'' \ll s' \ll s$, and considering that $s'' \neq s$, it follows that $\sum_{s'' \ll s' \ll s} \mu_S(s', s) = 0$.

Next, suppose that there is an element $s'' \ll x \ll s$ such that $\varphi(s''^+ x, t) \neq s''^*$. By Lemma 5.5.(3), there is a minimal element u regarding the relation \ll such that $\varphi(s''^+ u, t) \neq s''^*$ and by Lemma 5.5.(2), if $u \ll u'$, for some $s'' \ll u' \ll s$, then $\varphi(s''^+ u', t) \neq s''^*$.

If $u \neq s$, then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{s'' \ll s' \ll s, \\ s'' \varphi(s''^+ s', t) \neq 0}} \mu_S(s', s) = \sum_{u \ll s' \ll s} \mu_S(s', s) = 0$$

and, thus, we deduce $\xi(s'', t) = 0$. Then, we have $u = s$ and thus,

$$\xi(s'', t) = \sum_{\substack{s'' \ll s' \ll s, \\ s'' \varphi(s''^+ s', t) \neq 0}} \mu_S(s', s) = \sum_{s'' \ll s' \ll s} \mu_S(s', s) - \mu_S(s, s) = -1.$$

As $\varphi(s''^+ s', t) = t^+ (= s''^*)$, for all $s'' \ll s' \ll s$ with $s' \neq s$ and $\varphi(s''^+ s, t) \neq t^+$, we have

$$s''^* \leq (s''^+ s')^*, \text{ for all } s'' \ll s' \ll s \text{ with } s' \neq s \text{ and } s''^* \not\leq (s''^+ s)^*.$$

By Lemma 3.2.(1), we have $s'' \ll s''^+ s \ll s$. If $s''^+ s \neq s$, then, by above, we have $\varphi(s''^+ s''^+ s, t) = \varphi(s''^+ s, t) = t^+$, a contradiction. Hence, we get that $s''^+ s = s$. It follows that $s^+ \leq s''^+$. Also, as $s'' \ll s$, we have $s'' = s''^+ s''^* = s s''^*$, and, thus, $s''^+ \leq s^+$. Therefore, we get that $s''^+ = s^+$. Also, we deduce that $s''^* (= t^+) \not\leq s^*$. Additionally, for $s'' \ll s' \ll s$ with $s' \neq s$, as $s''^+ = s^+$, by above, we have $t^+ \leq (s^+ s')^*$.

Now, suppose that the conditions of the lemma hold for the elements $s'' = s t^+$ and $t'' = t$.

Let $s'' \ll s' \ll s$ with $s' \neq s$. We have $t^+ \leq (s^+ s')^*$. Hence, we get that $\varphi(s''^+ s', t) = \varphi(s^+ s', t) = t^+$. Also, we have $\varphi(s''^+ s, t) = \varphi(s, t) = (s^+ t)^+ \neq t^+$, as $t^+ \not\leq s^*$. Hence, we have

$$\xi(s'', t) = \sum_{\substack{s'' \ll s' \ll s, \\ s'' \varphi(s''^+ s', t) \neq 0}} \mu_S(s', s) = \sum_{s'' \ll s' \ll s} \mu_S(s', s) - \mu_S(s, s) = -1.$$

Then, we have $\xi(s'', t'') \neq 0$.

Moreover, we deduce that $s * t = \xi(st^+, t)st^+t = -st$. \square

By Lemma 5.6, the following corollary holds.

Corollary 5.7. *Suppose that S is \ll -smooth. Let $s, t \in S$ with $s^* \neq t^+$ and $s * t \neq 0$. We have, $s * t' \neq 0$ if and only if $st^+ \# t' \neq 0$, for every $t' \in S$ with $t'^+ = t^+$. Moreover, if $s * t' \neq 0$, then we have $s * t' = -st'$.*

The following lemma holds with a similar proof to Lemma 5.6.

Lemma 5.8. *Suppose that S is \ll -smooth. Let $s, t \in S$, $s'' \in S^{(st^+)}$ and $t'' \in S^{(t)}$. We have $\xi(s'', t'') \neq 0$, if and only if $s'' = st^+$, $t'' = t$ and $st^+ \# t \neq 0$. Furthermore, if $st^+ \# t \neq 0$, then we have $st^+ * t = st$.*

By by Lemmas 5.7 and 5.8, the following corollary holds.

Corollary 5.9. *Suppose that S is \ll -smooth. Let $s, t \in S$ with $s^* \neq t^+$ and $s * t \neq 0$. Then, $st^+ * t' \neq 0$ if and only if $s * t' \neq 0$, for every $t' \in S$ with $t'^+ = t^+$. Furthermore, if $st^+ * t' \neq 0$, then we have $st^+ * t' = -s * t'$, for every $t' \in S$ with $t'^+ = t^+$.*

Let $X_e = \{x_s \in X \mid s \in \tilde{L}_e \tilde{R}_e\}$. Let $\theta_e(X_e)$ be the determinant of the submatrix $\tilde{L}_e \times \tilde{R}_e$ of the Cayley table $(S, *)$, for every idempotent $e \in S$. Let M be a matrix that by rearranging and shifting the rows and columns of $C(X)$ so that the elements of the subset \tilde{L}_e being adjacent rows and the elements of the subset \tilde{R}_e being adjacent columns for every idempotent $e \in E(S)$. Let $r_1, \dots, r_{|S|}$ and $c_1, \dots, c_{|S|}$ denote elements of S corresponding to the rows and columns of the matrix M , respectively. Define $R = (r_1, \dots, r_{|S|})$ and $C = (c_1, \dots, c_{|S|})$ as the tuples of rows and columns of M . Additionally, we define a matrix M' as follows:

$$[M'_{r_i, c_j}] = \begin{cases} [M_{r_i, c_j}] & \text{if } r_i \in \tilde{L}_e \text{ and } c_j \in \tilde{R}_e \text{ for some idempotent } e; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for every $1 \leq i, j \leq |S|$.

Let \mathbb{A} be the set of matrices of size $|S| \times |S|$ whose rows and columns correspond to the tuples R and C , respectively, with elements from the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{X}_S]$. Let $A \in \mathbb{A}$. We denote by $A(r)$ the r -th row of the matrix A . We define a function $\tau_A: R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(R)$, for the matrix A , as follows:

$$\tau_A(r) = \{rc^+ \mid c \in C, r^* \neq c^+ \text{ and } [A_{r,c}] \neq 0\},$$

for every $r \in R$, and let $R'_A = \{r \in R \mid \tau_A(r) = \emptyset\}$ and $R''_A = \{r \in R \mid \tau_A(r) \subseteq R'_A\}$. Also, we define

$$\tau_A^{(n)}(r) = \bigcup_{r' \in \tau_A^{(n-1)}(r)} \tau_A(r'),$$

for every integer $n > 1$ with $\tau_A^{(1)} = \tau_A$.

Additionally, we define a function $\eta: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$ as follows:
for each row r of A :

$$\eta(A)(r) = A(r) + \sum_{r' \in R'_A \cap \tau(r)} A(r'),$$

for every $A \in \mathbb{A}$.

Let $A \in \mathbb{A}$. We say that A is τ -terminate, if for every $r \in R$ and an integer $n > 0$, $r \notin \tau_A^{(n)}(r)$. In this case, there exists an integer n_r such that $\tau_A^{(n_r)}(r) = \emptyset$. Otherwise, there exists a sequences c_1, c_2, \dots of elements of S such that $rc_1^+ \dots c_{i-1}^+ c_i^+ \in \tau_A(rc_1^+ \dots c_{i-1}^+)$. As S is finite, there exist integers $i < j$ such that $rc_1^+ \dots c_i^+ = rc_1^+ \dots c_j^+$ and, thus, we have $rc_1^+ \dots c_j^+ \in \tau_A^{(j-i)}(rc_1^+ \dots c_i^+)$, a contradiction. When A is τ -terminate, the subset R'_A is nonempty. Additionally, only one of the following conditions hold:

- (1) $R'_A = R$;
- (2) $R''_A \neq \emptyset$.

Lemma 5.10. *Suppose that S is \ll -smooth. We have $\text{Det } M = \text{Det } M'$.*

Proof. First, we prove that the matrix M is τ -terminate. Let $r \in R$. By Lemma 5.6, if $rc^+ \in \tau_M(r)$, for some $c \in C$, then we have $(rc^+)^* = c^+$. Now, as $r^* \neq c^+$, we have $r \notin \tau_M(r)$. Additionally, it follows that if $rc_1^+ \dots c_{i-1}^+ c_i^+ \in \tau_M(rc_1^+ \dots c_{i-1}^+)$, then we have $rc_1^+ \dots c_{i-1}^+ c_i^+ \ll rc_1^+ \dots c_{i-1}^+$ with $rc_1^+ \dots c_{i-1}^+ c_i^+ \neq rc_1^+ \dots c_{i-1}^+$. Hence, by Lemma 3.2.(2), the matrix M is τ -terminate.

By Corollary 5.9, $\eta(M)$ is τ -terminate, as $\tau_{\eta(M)}(r) \subseteq \tau_M(r)$, for every $r \in R$. Similarly, $\eta^{(i)}(M)$ is τ -terminate, for every $i > 1$. Also, we have $R''_M \cup R'_M \subseteq R'_{\eta(M)}$ and $R''_{\eta^{(i)}(M)} \cup R'_{\eta^{(i)}(M)} \subseteq R'_{\eta^{(i+1)}(M)}$, for every $i > 1$. As $\eta^{(i)}(M)$ is τ -terminate, we have $R'_{\eta^{(i)}(M)} = R$ or $R''_{\eta^{(i)}(M)} \neq \emptyset$. Hence, if we proceed the function η on the matrix M , there exists an integer n_M such that $R'_{\eta^{(n_M)}(M)} = R$ and, thus, $\eta^{(n_M)}(M) = M'$.

The result follows. \square

Now as the determinant of the matrices M and M' are equal, by adapting the proof presented in [16, Theorem 4.12] for the matrix M' , we establish the following theorem for the factorization of the determinant of S .

Theorem 5.11. *Suppose that S is \ll -smooth. For $s \in S$, put $y_s = \sum_{t \ll s} \mu_S(t, s)x_t$.*

Then, we have

$$\theta_S(X) = \pm \prod_{e \in E(S)} \tilde{\theta}_e(Y_e)$$

where $Y_e = \{y_s \mid s \in \tilde{L}_e \tilde{R}_e\}$. Moreover, the determinant of S is nonzero if and only if $\tilde{\theta}_e(Y_e) \neq 0$, for every idempotent e .

In Theorem 5.11, the sign of $\prod_{e \in E(S)} \tilde{\theta}_e(Y_e)$ is contingent on whether the number of rearrangements and shifts applied to the rows and columns of $C(X)$ in order to construct the matrix M is odd or even. Example 6.8

utilizes Theorem 5.11 to demonstrate that the determinant of the semigroup S_9 is non-zero.

Note that one could define the multiplication $*$ using the function ψ instead of φ and obtain analogous results for the function ψ as well.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author was partially supported by CMUP, member of LASI, which is financed by national funds through FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the projects with reference UIDB/00144/2020 and UIDP/00144/2020. The author also acknowledges FCT support through a contract based on the “Lei do Emprego Científico” (DL 57/2016).

6. APPENDIX A

In Appendix, we provide examples of the semigroups are not in ECom that the paper discusses. We present detailed information for each semigroup, including its Cayley table and contracted semigroup determinants. In the examples provided, we exclude the element zero from the rows and columns of the Cayley table. If the multiplication of two non-zero elements in the Cayley table results in zero, we represent it with a dot.

Example 6.1.

S_1	y	z	u	t	w	v
y	y
z	z	.
u	.	.	.	y	u	y
t	.	.	z	.	z	t
w	.	z	z	t	w	t
v	y	.	u	y	u	v

$$\text{Det } S_1 = -y^3 z^3.$$

The existence and nonexistence of the following relations illustrate that the relation \ll in S_1 is not transitive:

$$z \ll u, t \ll w \text{ and } z \not\ll w,$$

$$y \ll u, t \ll v \text{ and } y \not\ll v.$$

Example 6.2.

S_2	y	z	u	t
y	.	.	.	y
z	.	.	z	.
u	y	.	u	.
t	.	z	z	t

$$\text{Det } S_2 = -y^2 z^2.$$

We have $\varphi^{(y,t)}(=t) \not\ll y^+(=u)$ and $\psi^{(t,y)}(=u) \not\ll y^*(=t)$.

Example 6.3. Consider the semigroup S_2 in Example 6.2.

We have $\varphi^{(y,u)} = t$, $\psi^{(y,u)} = x$ and $\varepsilon^{(y,u)} = (t, u)$.

Example 6.4. Consider the semigroup S_2 in Example 6.2. We have $\varphi^{(y,u)} \neq \varphi^{(yu,u)}$ and $\psi^{(y,u)} \neq \psi^{(y,tu)}$.

Example 6.5.

S_3	y	z	u	t	w
y	.	.	.	y	y
z	.	.	z	.	z
u	y	.	u	.	u
t	.	z	z	t	t
w	y	z	u	t	w

$\text{Det } S_3 = y^2 z^2 (t + u - w - z)$.

We have $w \ll w, z \ll w, \varphi(w^+ w, uz^*) \neq z^+ (= t)$ and $\varphi(w^+ w, z) = z^+$.

Example 6.6. Consider the semigroup S_2 in Example 6.2.

The relation \ll is transitive and the element tu is not idempotent.

Example 6.7.

S_4	y	z	u	t	w
y	y
z	z
u	.	y	.	u	y
t	.	z	.	t	z
w	y	y	u	u	w

$\text{Det } S_4 = 0$.

We have $y \ll u \ll w$ with $y \not\ll w, \varphi^{(y^+ w, w)} = w^+$ and $\varphi^{(y^+ u, w)} \neq w^+$.

Example 6.8.

S_5	y	z	u	t	w	v
y	y	y
z	.	.	z	z	.	z
u	y	.	u	t	y	u
t	y	.	t	u	y	t
w	.	z	z	z	w	w
v	y	z	u	t	w	v

$\text{Det } S_5 = -2y^2 z^2 (t - u)(u - v + w - y - z)$.

The table of the semigroup $Z(S_5)$ with the multiplication $*$ is as follows:

$(Z(S_5), *)$	$y,$	$z,$	$y+z+u,$	$y+z+t,$	$y+z+w,$	$y+z+u+w+v$
y	y	y
z	.	.	z	z	.	z
$y+z+u$	y	.	$y+z+u$	$y+z+t$	y	$y+z+u$
$y+z+t$	y	.	$y+z+t$	$y+z+u$	y	$y+z+t$
$y+z+w$.	z	z	z	$y+z+w$	$y+z+w$
$y+z+u+w+v$	y	z	$y+z+u$	$y+z+t$	$y+z+w$	$y+z+u+w+v$

By Theorem 5.3, the table on the left side can be used for $Z(S_5)$.

$(Z(S_5), *)$	y	z	u	t	w	v	M	y	u	t	z	w	v
y	y	.	y	y	.
z	.	.	z	z	.	.	w	.	$-z$	$-z$	z	w	.
u	y	.	u	t	$-y$.	z	.	z	z	.	.	.
t	y	.	t	u	$-y$.	u	y	u	t	.	$-y$.
w	.	z	$-z$	$-z$	w	.	t	y	t	u	.	$-y$.
v	v	v	v

The table M is on the right side that by rearranging and shifting the rows and columns of $Z(S_5)$ so that the elements of the subset \tilde{L}_e being adjacent rows and the elements of the subset \tilde{R}_e being adjacent columns for every idempotent $e \in E(S_5)$. We have $R'_M = \{y, z, v\}$ and $R''_M = \{w, u, t\}$. The table $M' = \eta(M)$ is as follows:

M'	y	u	t	z	w	v
y	y	.
w	.	.	.	z	w	.
z	.	z	z	.	.	.
u	y	u	t	.	.	.
t	y	t	u	.	.	.
v	v

Then, it is easy to compute that the determinant of the matrix M' is non-zero and equal to $-2y^2z^2(t-u)v$. Consequently, the determinant of $\tilde{\theta}_{S_5}(X_{S_5})$ is also non-zero, with a value of $-2y^2z^2(t-u)(u-v+w-y-z)$ where v is substituted by the value $\sum_{v' \ll v} \mu_S(v', v)v' = (u-v+w-y-z)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Almeida. *Finite semigroups and universal algebra*, volume 3 of *Series in Algebra*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1994. Translated from the 1992 Portuguese original and revised by the author.
- [2] C.J. Ash. Finite semigroups with commuting idempotents. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 43(1):81–90, 1987.
- [3] I. Assem, D. Simson, and A. Skowroński. *Elements of the representation theory of associative algebras. Vol. 1*, volume 65 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. Techniques of representation theory.
- [4] D.J. Benson. *Representations and cohomology. I*, volume 30 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998. Basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras.
- [5] A.H. Clifford and G.B. Preston. *The algebraic theory of semigroups. Vol. I*. Mathematical Surveys, No. 7. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961.
- [6] A. Distler and J. Mitchell. Smallsemi, a library of small semigroups, Version 0.6.13. <https://gap-packages.github.io/smallsemi/>, Feb 2022. GAP package.
- [7] J. Fountain, G.M.S. Gomes, and V. Gould. Enlargements, semiabundancy and unipotent monoids. *Comm. Algebra*, 27(2):595–614, 1999.
- [8] F.G. Frobenius. Theorie der hyperkomplexen größen. *Sitzungsber. Press. Akad. Wiss. Leipzig*, 24:504–537, 1903.
- [9] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.13.0*, 2024.

- [10] J.A. Green. On the structure of semigroups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 54:163–172, 1951.
- [11] M.V. Lawson. Semigroups and ordered categories. I. The reduced case. *J. Algebra*, 141(2):422–462, 1991.
- [12] B. Lindström. Determinants on semilattices. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20:207–208, 1969.
- [13] J. Okniński. *Semigroup algebras*, volume 138 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1991.
- [14] I.S. Ponizovskii. The Frobeniusness of the semigroup algebra of a finite commutative semigroup. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 32:820–836, 1968.
- [15] J. Rhodes and B. Steinberg. *The q -theory of finite semigroups*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2009.
- [16] M.H. Shahzamanian. The determinant of semigroups of the pseudovariety ECOM. *J. Algebra Appl.*, page 2550273, DOI: 10.1142/S0219498825502731.
- [17] H.J.S. Smith. On the Value of a Certain Arithmetical Determinant. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 7:208–212, 1875/76.
- [18] E. Spiegel and Christopher J. O’Donnell. *Incidence algebras*, volume 206 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1997.
- [19] B. Steinberg. Factoring the Dedekind-Frobenius determinant of a semigroup. *J. Algebra*, 605:1–36, 2022.
- [20] R. Wenger. Some semigroups having quasi-Frobenius algebras. II. *Canadian J. Math.*, 21:615–624, 1969.
- [21] H.S. Wilf. Hadamard determinants, Möbius functions, and the chromatic number of a graph. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 74:960–964, 1968.
- [22] J.A. Wood. Duality for modules over finite rings and applications to coding theory. *Amer. J. Math.*, 121(3):555–575, 1999.
- [23] J.A. Wood. Factoring the semigroup determinant of a finite commutative chain ring. In *Coding theory, cryptography and related areas (Guanajuato, 1998)*, pages 249–259. Springer, Berlin, 2000.

M.H. SHAHZAMANIAN, CMUP, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FACULDADE DE CIÊNCIAS, UNIVERSIDADE DO PORTO, RUA DO CAMPO ALEGRE S/N, 4169–007 PORTO (PORTUGAL).

Email address: m.h.shahzamanian@fc.up.pt