

Representations of the super-Yangian of type $D(n, m)$

A. I. Molev

Abstract

We consider the classification problem for finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the Yangians associated with the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}$ with $n \geq 2$. We give necessary conditions for an irreducible highest weight representation to be finite-dimensional. We conjecture that these conditions are also sufficient and prove the conjecture for a class of representations with linear highest weights. The arguments are based on a new type of odd reflections for the Yangian associated with $\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}$. In the Appendix, we construct an isomorphism between the Yangians associated with the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}$.

1 Introduction

In our recent work with E. Ragoucy [12] we gave a conjectural classification of the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of the Yangians associated with the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}_{2n+1|2m}$. The conjecture holds in the cases $n = 0$ (considered earlier in [9]) and $n = 1$ (proved in [12]). The present paper is concerned with extending the conjecture to the remaining family of the Yangians associated with the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}$ with $n \geq 2$ which form the series $D(n, m)$ in the Kac classification of simple Lie superalgebras. A classification theorem for the Yangians $Y(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2m})$ was proved earlier in [10].

By a standard argument, every finite-dimensional irreducible representation of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{osp}_{N|2m})$ with $N = 2n$ or $N = 2n + 1$ is a highest weight representation. Therefore, the classification problem can be solved by finding necessary and sufficient conditions on the highest weight for the representation to be finite-dimensional. The necessity of the conjectural conditions in the case $N = 2n + 1$ was established in [12] with the use of the *embedding theorem* [11] and *odd reflections* [8]. We will use the same instruments in the case $N = 2n$ to state a conjectural finite-dimensionality criterion, but they have to be complemented by a new type of odd reflections. These are certain transformations of highest weights associated with the extended Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$; see Proposition 3.3. By using the combined approaches, we will derive necessary conditions on the highest weights of $Y(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ -modules to be finite-dimensional (Theorem 3.7). We conjecture that these conditions are also sufficient (Conjecture 3.8). We will prove the conjecture for the *linear highest weights* (Theorem 4.1), as defined in Sec. 4.

In the Appendix, we consider the Yangian for the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}$ and the extended Yangian for the orthosymplectic Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}$ associated with distinguished parity sequences. We produce an explicit isomorphism between these algebras and use it to give

an alternative proof of the key finite-dimensionality conditions stated in Corollary 3.5 for the highest weight representations of the orthosymplectic Yangian for $\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}$ with the standard parity sequence.

2 Definitions and preliminaries

For given positive integers m and n consider the *parity sequences* $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s}_1 \dots \mathfrak{s}_{m+n}$ of length $m+n$, where each term \mathfrak{s}_i is 0 or 1, and the total number of zeros is n . The *standard sequence* $\mathfrak{s}^{\text{st}} = 1 \dots 1 0 \dots 0$ is defined by $\mathfrak{s}_i = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $\mathfrak{s}_i = 0$ for $i = m+1, \dots, m+n$.

Suppose a parity sequence \mathfrak{s} is fixed. We will simply write \bar{i} to denote its i -th term \mathfrak{s}_i . Introduce the involution $i \mapsto i' = 2n + 2m - i + 1$ on the set $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n + 2m\}$ and set $\bar{i}' = \bar{i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m+n$. Consider the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded vector space $\mathbb{C}^{2n|2m}$ over \mathbb{C} with the basis $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{1'}$, where the parity of the basis vector e_i is defined to be $\bar{i} \bmod 2$. Accordingly, equip the endomorphism algebra $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^{2n|2m}$ with the \mathbb{Z}_2 -gradation, where the parity of the matrix unit e_{ij} is found by $\bar{i} + \bar{j} \bmod 2$.

We will consider *even* square matrices with entries in \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebras, their (i, j) entries will have the parity $\bar{i} + \bar{j} \bmod 2$. The algebra of even matrices over a superalgebra \mathcal{A} will be identified with the tensor product algebra $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^{2n|2m} \otimes \mathcal{A}$, so that a matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ is regarded as the element

$$A = \sum_{i,j=1}^{1'} e_{ij} \otimes a_{ij} (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}+\bar{j}} \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{2n|2m} \otimes \mathcal{A}.$$

We will use the involutive matrix *super-transposition* t defined by $(A^t)_{ij} = a_{j'i'} (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}+\bar{j}} \theta_i \theta_j$, where for $i = 1, \dots, 1'$ we set

$$\theta_i = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } i > m+n \text{ and } \bar{i} = 1, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Introduce the permutation operator P by

$$P = \sum_{i,j=1}^{1'} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji} (-1)^{\bar{j}} \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{2n|2m} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{2n|2m}$$

and set

$$Q = \sum_{i,j=1}^{1'} e_{ij} \otimes e_{i'j'} (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \theta_i \theta_j \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{2n|2m} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{2n|2m}.$$

The R -matrix associated with $\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}$ is the rational function in u given by

$$R(u) = 1 - \frac{P}{u} + \frac{Q}{u - \kappa}, \quad \kappa = n - m - 1.$$

This is a super-version of the R -matrix originally found in [14]. Following [1], we define the *extended Yangian* $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ corresponding to the standard parity sequence \mathfrak{s}^{st} as a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded

algebra with generators $t_{ij}^{(r)}$ of parity $\bar{i} + \bar{j} \pmod 2$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq 1'$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots$, satisfying the following defining relations. Introduce the formal series

$$t_{ij}(u) = \delta_{ij} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} t_{ij}^{(r)} u^{-r} \in X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})[[u^{-1}]] \quad (2.1)$$

and combine them into the matrix $T(u) = [t_{ij}(u)]$. Consider the elements of the tensor product algebra $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^{2n|2m} \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^{2n|2m} \otimes X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})[[u^{-1}]]$ given by

$$T_1(u) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{1'} e_{ij} \otimes 1 \otimes t_{ij}(u)(-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}+\bar{j}} \quad \text{and} \quad T_2(u) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{1'} 1 \otimes e_{ij} \otimes t_{ij}(u)(-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}+\bar{j}}.$$

The defining relations for the algebra $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ take the form of the *RTT-relation*

$$R(u-v) T_1(u) T_2(v) = T_2(v) T_1(u) R(u-v). \quad (2.2)$$

The *extended Yangian* $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}^{\mathfrak{s}})$ associated with an arbitrary parity sequence \mathfrak{s} is defined in the same way (with \bar{i} understood as \mathfrak{s}_i) and we note that it is isomorphic to $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$; an isomorphism is given by the map $t_{ij}(u) \mapsto t_{\sigma(i),\sigma(j)}(u)$ for a suitable permutation σ of the set $\{1, \dots, 1'\}$.

The product $T(u-\kappa)T^t(u)$ is a scalar matrix with

$$T(u-\kappa)T^t(u) = c(u)1, \quad (2.3)$$

where $c(u)$ is a series in u^{-1} . As found in [1], all the coefficients of the series $c(u)$ belong to the center $ZX(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ of $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$. Moreover, they generate the center, which can be proved by extending the arguments of [2, Cor. 3.9] to the super case as will also appear in [7].

The *Yangian* $Y(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ is defined as the subalgebra of $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ which consists of the elements stable under the automorphisms

$$t_{ij}(u) \mapsto f(u) t_{ij}(u) \quad (2.4)$$

for all series $f(u) \in 1 + u^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]]$. We have the tensor product decomposition

$$X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}) = ZX(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}). \quad (2.5)$$

The Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ can also be regarded as the quotient of $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ by the relation $c(u) = 1$.

Explicitly, the defining relations (2.2) can be written with the use of super-commutator in terms of the series (2.1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [t_{ij}(u), t_{kl}(v)] &= \frac{1}{u-v} (t_{kj}(u) t_{il}(v) - t_{kj}(v) t_{il}(u)) (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j}+\bar{i}\bar{k}+\bar{j}\bar{k}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{u-v-\kappa} \left(\delta_{ki'} \sum_{p=1}^{1'} t_{pj}(u) t_{p'l}(v) (-1)^{\bar{i}+\bar{i}\bar{j}+\bar{j}\bar{p}} \theta_i \theta_p \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \delta_{lj'} \sum_{p=1}^{1'} t_{kp'}(v) t_{ip}(u) (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{k}+\bar{j}\bar{k}+\bar{i}\bar{p}} \theta_j \theta_{p'} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

A version of the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem holds for the orthosymplectic Yangian as was pointed out in [1], while a detailed proof is given in [7]; cf. [2, Sec. 3]. It states that the algebra $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ is generated by the coefficients of the series $c(u)$ and $t_{ij}(u)$ with the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} i + j \leq 2n + 2m + 1 & \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m, m', \dots, 1' \quad \text{and} \\ i + j < 2n + 2m + 1 & \quad \text{for } i = m + 1, \dots, (m + 1)'. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, given any total ordering on the set of the generators, the ordered monomials with the powers of odd generators not exceeding 1, form a basis of the algebra.

For a given parity sequence $\mathfrak{s} = 0\mathfrak{s}'$ which begins with 0 consider the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n-2|2m}^{\mathfrak{s}'})$ and for the parity sequence $\mathfrak{s} = 1\mathfrak{s}'$ beginning with 1 consider the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m-2}^{\mathfrak{s}'})$. In both cases, let the indices of the generators $t_{ij}^{(r)}$ of these algebras range over the sets $2 \leq i, j \leq 2'$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots$. The following embedding properties were proved in [11, Thm 3.1] for a standard parity sequence, and the proof extends to arbitrary sequences \mathfrak{s} with only minor modifications which are listed in [4, Sec. 3.4]. The mapping

$$t_{ij}(u) \mapsto t_{ij}(u) - t_{i1}(u) t_{11}(u)^{-1} t_{1j}(u), \quad 2 \leq i, j \leq 2', \quad (2.7)$$

defines injective homomorphisms

$$X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n-2|2m}^{\mathfrak{s}'}) \hookrightarrow X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}^{\mathfrak{s}}) \quad \text{and} \quad X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m-2}^{\mathfrak{s}'}) \hookrightarrow X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}^{\mathfrak{s}}) \quad (2.8)$$

in the cases $\mathfrak{s} = 0\mathfrak{s}'$ and $\mathfrak{s} = 1\mathfrak{s}'$, respectively.

The extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ is a Hopf algebra with the coproduct defined by

$$\Delta : t_{ij}(u) \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^{1'} t_{ik}(u) \otimes t_{kj}(u). \quad (2.9)$$

The image of the series $c(u)$ is found by the relation $\Delta : c(u) \mapsto c(u) \otimes c(u)$ and so the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ inherits the Hopf algebra structure from $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$.

3 Highest weight representations

We will keep a parity sequence \mathfrak{s} fixed. A representation V of the algebra $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}^{\mathfrak{s}})$ is called a *highest weight representation* if there exists a nonzero vector $\xi \in V$ such that V is generated by ξ ,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{ij}(u) \xi &= 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq 1', & \quad \text{and} \\ t_{ii}(u) \xi &= \lambda_i(u) \xi & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, 1', \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for some formal series

$$\lambda_i(u) \in 1 + u^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]]. \quad (3.2)$$

The vector ξ is called the *highest vector* of V .

Proposition 3.1. *The series $\lambda_i(u)$ associated with a highest weight representation V satisfy the consistency conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_i(u) \lambda_{i'}(u - n + m + 1 + (-1)^{\bar{1}} + \cdots + (-1)^{\bar{i}}) \\ = \lambda_{i+1}(u) \lambda_{(i+1)'}(u - n + m + 1 + (-1)^{\bar{1}} + \cdots + (-1)^{\bar{i}}) \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, m + n - 1$. Moreover, the coefficients of the series $c(u)$ act in the representation V as the multiplications by scalars determined by $c(u) \mapsto \lambda_1(u) \lambda_{1'}(u - n + m + 1)$.

Proof. Relation (3.3) for $i = 1$ follows by applying (2.6) to calculate $t_{12}(u) t_{1'2'}(v) \xi$ and then setting $v = u - \kappa + (-1)^{\bar{1}}$; cf. [9, Prop. 4.4]. The formula for the remaining values of i is then implied by the embedding properties (2.8). The eigenvalues of the coefficients of the series $c(u)$ are found by taking the $(1', 1')$ entry in the matrix relation (2.3). \square

As Proposition 3.1 shows, the series $\lambda_i(u)$ in (3.1) with $i > m + n + 1$ are uniquely determined by the first $m + n + 1$ series. We will call the corresponding tuple $\lambda(u) = (\lambda_1(u), \dots, \lambda_{m+n+1}(u))$ the *highest weight* of V .

Given an arbitrary tuple $\lambda(u) = (\lambda_1(u), \dots, \lambda_{m+n+1}(u))$ of formal series of the form (3.2), define the *Verma module* $M(\lambda(u))$ as the quotient of the algebra $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}^5)$ by the left ideal generated by all coefficients of the series $t_{ij}(u)$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq 1'$ and $t_{ii}(u) - \lambda_i(u)$ for $i = 1, \dots, m + n + 1$. The Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for the algebra $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}^5)$ implies that the Verma module $M(\lambda(u))$ is nonzero, and we denote by $L(\lambda(u))$ its irreducible quotient. It is clear that the isomorphism class of $L(\lambda(u))$ is determined by $\lambda(u)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Every finite-dimensional irreducible representation of the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}^5)$ is isomorphic to the highest weight representation $L(\lambda(u))$ for a certain highest weight $\lambda(u) = (\lambda_1(u), \dots, \lambda_{m+n+1}(u))$.*

Proof. The proof follows by a standard argument; cf. [2, Thm 5.1]. \square

Recall from [13] that the *Yangian* $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m})$ associated with the general linear Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m}$ (corresponding to the standard parity sequence \mathfrak{s}^{st}) is defined as the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra with generators $\bar{t}_{ij}^{(r)}$ of parity $\bar{i} + \bar{j} \pmod{2}$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq m + n$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots$, while

$$\bar{i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m, \\ 0 & \text{for } i = m + 1, \dots, m + n. \end{cases}$$

The defining relations can be written in terms of the generating series

$$\bar{t}_{ij}(u) = \delta_{ij} + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \bar{t}_{ij}^{(r)} u^{-r} \in Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m})[[u^{-1}]] \quad (3.4)$$

and they have the form

$$\left[\bar{t}_{ij}(u), \bar{t}_{kl}(v) \right] = \frac{1}{u - v} \left(\bar{t}_{kj}(u) \bar{t}_{il}(v) - \bar{t}_{kj}(v) \bar{t}_{il}(u) \right) (-1)^{\bar{i}\bar{j} + \bar{i}\bar{k} + \bar{j}\bar{k}}. \quad (3.5)$$

By the Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt theorem for the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m})$ [6] and the orthosymplectic Yangian (Sec. 2), we may regard $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m})$ as a subalgebra of $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ via the embedding

$$Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m}) \hookrightarrow X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}), \quad (3.6)$$

given by

$$\bar{t}_{ij}(u) \mapsto t_{ij}(u), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m+n.$$

The following simple observation will be used below: if a highest weight representation $L(\lambda(u))$ of $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ is finite-dimensional, then so is the $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m})$ -module $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m})\xi$ defined via the embedding (3.6). Hence the tuple

$$(\lambda_1(u), \dots, \lambda_m(u), \lambda_{m+1}(u), \dots, \lambda_{m+n}(u)) \quad (3.7)$$

satisfies the finite-dimensionality conditions obtained in [16].

3.1 Odd reflections in the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$

We will need a correspondence between the highest weights of the representations of the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$ associated with different parity sequences. It will complement the correspondence between the highest weights of the representations of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m})$ obtained earlier in [8]. This correspondence was used therein to give finite-dimensionality conditions for highest weight representations of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m})$ associated with arbitrary parity sequences. This generalized the results of [16], where the standard parity sequence was considered.


We have two possible parity sequences 01 and 10 for $\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}$, and the isomorphism

$$\varsigma : X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01}) \rightarrow X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{10}) \quad (3.8)$$

given by the mapping

$$t_{ij}(u) \mapsto t_{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)}(u), \quad i, j \in \{1, 2, 2', 1'\},$$

for $\sigma = (12)(2'1')$ (note that here $2' = 3$ and $1' = 4$). The mapping is illustrated by the diagram, where the (i, j) box represents the generator $t_{ij}(u)$:



Given any $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{10})$ -module V , denote by V^ς the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$ -module obtained by the composition of the action of the algebra $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{10})$ on V with the isomorphism (3.8).

The following is a version of odd reflections for the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$.

Proposition 3.3. *Suppose that the first two components $\lambda_1(u)$ and $\lambda_2(u)$ of the highest weight $\lambda(u) = (\lambda_1(u), \lambda_2(u), \lambda_{2'}(u))$ of the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{10})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ have the form*

$$\lambda_1(u) = (1 + \alpha_1 u^{-1}) \dots (1 + \alpha_p u^{-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2(u) = (1 + \beta_1 u^{-1}) \dots (1 + \beta_p u^{-1})$$

for some nonnegative integer p with the conditions $\alpha_i \neq \beta_j$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, p\}$. Then the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))^\zeta$ is isomorphic to the highest weight module $L(\tilde{\lambda}(u))$, where the components of $\tilde{\lambda}(u)$ are given by

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1(u) = \left(\frac{u+1}{u}\right)^p \lambda_2(u+1), \quad \tilde{\lambda}_2(u) = \left(\frac{u+1}{u}\right)^p \lambda_1(u+1) \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{2'}(u) = \left(\frac{u-1}{u}\right)^p \frac{\lambda_2(u-1)\lambda_{2'}(u)}{\lambda_1(u)}. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. Identify the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|1})$ associated with the standard parity sequence $\mathfrak{s}^{\text{st}} = 10$ with the subalgebra of $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$ via the embedding (3.6) for $m = n = 1$. The cyclic span $\overline{L} := Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|1})\xi$ of the highest vector $\xi \in L(\lambda(u))$ is a highest weight module over $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|1})$ with the highest weight $(\lambda_1(u), \lambda_2(u))$. Moreover, we can also observe that all generators $t_{21}^{(r)}$ with $r > p$ act as zero operators in \overline{L} . This follows from the relation $t_{21}^{(r)}\xi = 0$ in $L(\lambda(u))$ for these values of r , which holds since the vector $t_{21}^{(r)}\xi \in M(\lambda(u))$ is annihilated by all operators $t_{ij}(u)$ with $1 \leq i < j \leq 1'$.

Since the operator $T_{21}(u) := u^p t_{21}(u)$ in \overline{L} is a polynomial in u , we can introduce the vector $\zeta \in \overline{L}$ by

$$\zeta = T_{21}(-\alpha_1) \dots T_{21}(-\alpha_p) \xi.$$

According to [8, Prop. 4.1] (applied to the opposite parity sequence), this vector is nonzero and the following relations hold:

$$t_{11}(u) \zeta = \left(\frac{u+1}{u}\right)^p \lambda_1(u+1) \zeta, \quad (3.11)$$

$$t_{22}(u) \zeta = \left(\frac{u+1}{u}\right)^p \lambda_2(u+1) \zeta, \quad (3.12)$$

$$t_{21}(u) \zeta = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

To find the action of other generators of $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$ on the vector ζ , use the quasideterminant formulas for the Drinfeld generators introduced in [11, Sec. 4]:

$$h_1(u) = t_{11}(u), \quad h_2(u) = \left| \begin{array}{cc} t_{11}(u) & t_{12}(u) \\ t_{21}(u) & \boxed{t_{22}(u)} \end{array} \right|, \quad h_3(u) = \left| \begin{array}{ccc} t_{11}(u) & t_{12}(u) & t_{13}(u) \\ t_{21}(u) & t_{22}(u) & t_{23}(u) \\ t_{31}(u) & t_{32}(u) & \boxed{t_{33}(u)} \end{array} \right|. \quad (3.14)$$

According to [11, Thm 5.3], the series $c(u)$ defined in (2.3) is calculated by

$$c(u) = \frac{h_1(u)}{h_1(u+1)} h_2(u+1) h_3(u+1).$$

On the other hand, the *quantum Berezinian* of [13] for the subalgebra isomorphic to $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|1})$ is found by the formula $b(u) = h_1(u)h_2(u)^{-1}$; see [6, Sec. 7]. All coefficients of $b(u)$ belong to the center of the subalgebra. Therefore, the series $h_3(u)$ can be written as

$$h_3(u) = c(u-1)b(u)h_1(u-1)^{-1}. \quad (3.15)$$

Since $b(u)$ commutes with $t_{21}(v)$, and

$$h_2(u) = t_{22}(u) - t_{21}(u)t_{11}(u)^{-1}t_{12}(u),$$

for the action on the vector ζ we have

$$b(u)\zeta = \lambda_1(u)\lambda_2(u)^{-1}\zeta,$$

while Proposition 3.1 gives

$$c(u)\zeta = \lambda_1(u)\lambda_{1'}(u+1)\zeta.$$

Hence, (3.11) and (3.15) yield

$$h_3(u)\zeta = \left(\frac{u-1}{u}\right)^p \frac{\lambda_1(u-1)\lambda_{1'}(u)}{\lambda_2(u)} \zeta = \left(\frac{u-1}{u}\right)^p \frac{\lambda_1(u-1)\lambda_{2'}(u)}{\lambda_1(u)} \zeta, \quad (3.16)$$

where the second equality follows from the particular case $i = 1$ of (3.3) which reads

$$\lambda_1(u)\lambda_{1'}(u) = \lambda_2(u)\lambda_{2'}(u). \quad (3.17)$$

The defining relations (2.6) imply that $t_{21}(u)t_{21}(u+1) = 0$. Therefore, the vector ζ belongs to the subspace L° of $L(\lambda(u))$ spanned by the vectors

$$t_{21}^{(r_1)} \dots t_{21}^{(r_q)} \zeta, \quad 1 \leq r_1 < \dots < r_q \leq p, \quad (3.18)$$

where $q = 1, \dots, p$.

Lemma 3.4. *The subspace L° is annihilated by the action of all coefficients of the series $t_{12'}(u)$, $t_{21'}(u)$, $t_{11'}(u)$ and $t_{22'}(u)$.*

Proof. The defining relations (2.6) give for $i = 1, 2$:

$$[t_{i2'}(u), t_{21}(v)] = (-1)^{\bar{i}+1} [t_{21}(v), t_{i2'}(u)] = -\frac{1}{u-v} (t_{i1}(u)t_{22'}(v) - t_{i1}(v)t_{22'}(u)).$$

Now an easy induction on q shows that the vector (3.18) is annihilated by $t_{12'}(u)$ and $t_{22'}(u)$. Similarly, by the defining relations we have

$$[t_{11}(u), t_{21}(v)] = -\frac{1}{u-v} (t_{21}(u)t_{11}(v) - t_{21}(v)t_{11}(u))$$

and

$$[t_{21}(v), t_{22}(u)] = \frac{1}{u-v} (t_{21}(u)t_{22}(v) - t_{21}(v)t_{22}(u)).$$

It follows by induction on q in (3.18) that the subspace $L^\circ \subset L(\lambda(u))$ is invariant under the action of $t_{11}(u)$ and $t_{22}(u)$. As a consequence of (2.3), we get the symmetry relation $t_{21'}^{(1)} = -t_{12'}^{(1)}$. So we derive from the defining relations that

$$t_{21'}(u) = -[t_{21'}^{(1)}, t_{22}(u)] = [t_{12'}^{(1)}, t_{22}(u)].$$

Hence $t_{21'}(u) L^\circ = 0$. Finally, the property $t_{11'}(u) L^\circ = 0$ follows from

$$t_{11'}(u) = 2 [t_{11'}^{(1)}, t_{11}(u)] \quad \text{and} \quad [t_{11'}^{(1)}, t_{21}(u)] = 2 t_{21'}(u)$$

and yet another use of induction on q in (3.18). \square

Returning to the proof of the proposition, observe that the quasideterminant formula for $h_3(u)$ can be written in the form

$$h_3(u) = t_{33}(u) - \sum_{i,j=1}^2 t_{3i}(u) A_{ij}(u) t_{j3}(u),$$

where $A_{ij}(u)$ denotes the (i, j) -entry of the matrix

$$A(u) = \begin{bmatrix} t_{11}(u) & t_{12}(u) \\ t_{21}(u) & t_{22}(u) \end{bmatrix}^{-1}. \quad (3.19)$$

Hence (3.16) and Lemma 3.4 imply

$$t_{2'2'}(u) \zeta = \left(\frac{u-1}{u} \right)^p \frac{\lambda_1(u-1) \lambda_{2'}(u)}{\lambda_1(u)} \zeta.$$

Thus, taking into account relations (3.11)–(3.13), we can now conclude that the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))^\zeta$ is a highest weight module with the highest vector ζ . Moreover, the components of the highest weight $\tilde{\lambda}(u)$ are given by (3.9) together with

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{1'}(u) = \left(\frac{u-1}{u} \right)^p \frac{\lambda_1(u-1) \lambda_{1'}(u)}{\lambda_2(u)}.$$

The remaining component $\tilde{\lambda}_{2'}(u)$ is given by (3.10), as follows from the relation

$$\tilde{\lambda}_1(u) \tilde{\lambda}_{1'}(u+2) = \tilde{\lambda}_2(u) \tilde{\lambda}_{2'}(u+2),$$

which is a particular case of (3.3). \square

Recalling that $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}) = X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{10})$, consider the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ whose highest weight $\lambda(u)$ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.3.

Corollary 3.5. *The representation $L(\lambda(u))$ is finite-dimensional if and only if there exists a monic polynomial $P(u)$ in u such that*

$$\left(\frac{u-1}{u+1} \right)^p \frac{\lambda_2(u-1) \lambda_{2'}(u)}{\lambda_1(u) \lambda_1(u+1)} = \frac{P(u+2)}{P(u)}. \quad (3.20)$$

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ is finite-dimensional if and only if the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$ -module $L(\tilde{\lambda}(u))$ is finite-dimensional. According to the Main Theorem of [10], this holds if and only if

$$\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_{2'}(u)}{\tilde{\lambda}_2(u)} = \frac{P(u+2)}{P(u)}$$

for a monic polynomial $P(u)$. By (3.9) and (3.10), this is equivalent to (3.20). \square

Remark 3.6. (i) Note that if an arbitrary highest weight $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ is finite-dimensional, then so is the cyclic span $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|1})\xi$ of the highest vector ξ with respect to the subalgebra $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|1})$. The cyclic span is a highest weight module over $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|1})$ with the highest weight $(\lambda_1(u), \lambda_2(u))$. Hence the ratio $\lambda_1(u)/\lambda_2(u)$ is a rational function in u^{-1} due to [15]. Therefore, by taking the composition of the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ with a suitable automorphism of the extended Yangian of the form (2.4), we can get a finite-dimensional module whose highest weight satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 3.3. Hence, Corollary 3.5 provides a finite-dimensionality criterion for an arbitrary highest weight module $L(\lambda(u))$ over $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$.

(ii) Due to the embedding $X(\mathfrak{o}_2) \hookrightarrow X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$ defined in (2.7) and the relations $t_{2'2}(u) = t_{2'2}(u) = 0$ in $X(\mathfrak{o}_2)$, we have the identities

$$t_{2'2}(u) = t_{21}(u) t_{11}(u)^{-1} t_{12'}(u) \quad \text{and} \quad t_{2'2}(u) = t_{2'1}(u) t_{11}(u)^{-1} t_{12}(u)$$

in $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$. On the other hand, the mapping $t_{ij}(u) \mapsto t_{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)}(u)$ with $\sigma = (2'2')$ defines an automorphism of the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$. Hence, it follows from these identities that the composition of the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$ -module $L(\lambda_1(u), \lambda_2(u), \lambda_{2'}(u))$ with the automorphism is isomorphic to the module $L(\lambda_1(u), \lambda_{2'}(u), \lambda_2(u))$. This implies that the condition (3.20) is symmetric with respect to the transposition $\lambda_2(u) \leftrightarrow \lambda_{2'}(u)$ (although the value of the parameter p can be changed). We will use the notation

$$\lambda_2(u) \rightleftharpoons \lambda_1(u) \Leftarrow \lambda_{2'}(u) \tag{3.21}$$

to indicate that the finite-dimensionality conditions for the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ are satisfied by the highest weight $\lambda(u) = (\lambda_1(u), \lambda_2(u), \lambda_{2'}(u))$. \square

3.2 Necessary conditions

Consider the irreducible highest representation $L(\lambda(u))$ of the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ with $n \geq 2$, whose highest weight is an arbitrary tuple of formal series

$$\lambda(u) = (\lambda_1(u), \dots, \lambda_m(u), \lambda_{m+1}(u), \dots, \lambda_{m+n}(u), \lambda_{(m+n)'}(u)). \tag{3.22}$$

As we pointed out above, if $\dim L(\lambda(u)) < \infty$, then the tuple (3.7) satisfies the conditions of [16]. In particular, the ratio $\lambda_m(u)/\lambda_{m+1}(u)$ is a rational function in u^{-1} . This makes it possible to apply the A type odd reflections as introduced in [8]. These are transformations which apply to pairs $(\alpha(u), \beta(u))$ of formal series of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(u) &= (1 + \alpha_1 u^{-1}) \dots (1 + \alpha_p u^{-1}) \gamma(u), \\ \beta(u) &= (1 + \beta_1 u^{-1}) \dots (1 + \beta_p u^{-1}) \gamma(u), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_i \neq \beta_j$ for all i, j , and $\gamma(u) \in 1 + u^{-1}\mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]]$. The odd reflection is the transformation

$$(\alpha(u), \beta(u)) \mapsto (\beta^{[1]}(u), \alpha^{[1]}(u)), \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{[1]}(u) &= (1 + (\alpha_1 + 1)u^{-1}) \dots (1 + (\alpha_p + 1)u^{-1}) \gamma(u), \\ \beta^{[1]}(u) &= (1 + (\beta_1 + 1)u^{-1}) \dots (1 + (\beta_p + 1)u^{-1}) \gamma(u). \end{aligned}$$

An additional series $\lambda_m^{[n-1]}(u)$ for the tuple (3.22) is obtained by applying the sequence of odd reflections (3.23) beginning with

$$(\lambda_m(u), \lambda_{m+1}(u)) \mapsto (\lambda_{m+1}^{[1]}(u), \lambda_m^{[1]}(u)), \quad (3.24)$$

then using the values of $i = 1, \dots, n-2$ and applying (3.23) consecutively to determine new series $\lambda_m^{[2]}(u), \dots, \lambda_m^{[n-1]}(u)$ by

$$(\lambda_m^{[i]}(u), \lambda_{m+i+1}(u)) \mapsto (\lambda_{m+i+1}^{[1]}(u), \lambda_m^{[i+1]}(u)). \quad (3.25)$$

In addition to (3.21), we will also use the notation $\lambda(u) \rightarrow \mu(u)$ or $\mu(u) \leftarrow \lambda(u)$ for two formal power series in u^{-1} to indicate that

$$\frac{\lambda(u)}{\mu(u)} = \frac{Q(u+1)}{Q(u)}$$

for a monic polynomial $Q(u)$ in u .

We can now state necessary conditions for finite-dimensionality of the highest weight representations of the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ with $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.7. *If the representation $L(\lambda(u))$ with the highest weight of the form (3.22) is finite-dimensional then $\lambda_m(u)/\lambda_{m+1}(u)$ is a rational function in u^{-1} and the following conditions hold:*

$$\lambda_1(u) \leftarrow \lambda_2(u) \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \lambda_m(u) \quad (3.26)$$

together with

$$\begin{array}{c} \lambda_{m+1}(u) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \lambda_{m+n-1}(u) \rightarrow \lambda_{m+n}(u) \\ \downarrow \\ \lambda_{(m+n)'}(u) \end{array} \quad (3.27)$$

and

$$\lambda_{m+n}(u) \rightleftharpoons \lambda_m^{[n-1]}(u) \leftarrow \lambda_{(m+n)'}(u). \quad (3.28)$$

Proof. First we use the embedding (3.6) to conclude by [16] that $\lambda_m(u)/\lambda_{m+1}(u)$ is a rational function in u^{-1} , while the tuple (3.7) satisfies both conditions (3.26) and the top line of conditions in (3.27). Similarly, the remaining condition in (3.27) follows by using the embedding

$$X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n}) \hookrightarrow X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}) \quad (3.29)$$

given in [11, Cor. 3.2]. Indeed, the $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})$ -module $X(\mathfrak{o}_{2n})\xi$ is a finite-dimensional highest weight representation with the highest weight $(\lambda_{m+1}(u), \dots, \lambda_{m+n}(u), \lambda_{(m+n)'}(u))$ so that the condition is implied by the finite-dimensionality criterion of [2, Thm 5.16].

Conditions (3.26) and (3.27) imply that by twisting the representation $L(\lambda(u))$ by a suitable automorphism (2.4), if necessary, we may assume that all components $\lambda_i(u)$ of the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ are polynomials in u^{-1} ; that is, for some $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we have

$$\lambda_i(u) = (1 + \lambda_i^{(1)}u^{-1}) \dots (1 + \lambda_i^{(p)}u^{-1}), \quad i = 1, \dots, m+n+1, \quad (3.30)$$

with $\lambda_i^{(r)} \in \mathbb{C}$ for $r = 1, \dots, p$.

Consider the parity sequence \mathfrak{s} obtained from \mathfrak{s}^{st} by replacing the subsequence $\mathfrak{s}_m \mathfrak{s}_{m+1} = 10$ with 01 . To calculate the highest weight of the module $L(\lambda(u))$ associated with \mathfrak{s} , apply the corresponding odd reflection by using [8, Thm 4.4]. We will assume that the parameters $\lambda_m^{(r)}$ and $\lambda_{m+1}^{(r)}$ are numbered in such a way that

$$\lambda_m^{(r)} = \lambda_{m+1}^{(r)} \quad \text{for all } r = k+1, \dots, p \quad (3.31)$$

for certain $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, p\}$ (relation (3.31) is vacuous for $k = p$), while $\lambda_m^{(r)} \neq \lambda_{m+1}^{(s)}$ for all $1 \leq r, s \leq k$. Then set

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_m^{[1]}(u) &= (1 + (\lambda_m^{(1)} + 1)u^{-1}) \dots (1 + (\lambda_m^{(k)} + 1)u^{-1})(1 + \lambda_m^{(k+1)}u^{-1}) \dots (1 + \lambda_m^{(p)}u^{-1}), \\ \lambda_{m+1}^{[1]}(u) &= (1 + (\lambda_{m+1}^{(1)} + 1)u^{-1}) \dots (1 + (\lambda_{m+1}^{(k)} + 1)u^{-1})(1 + \lambda_{m+1}^{(k+1)}u^{-1}) \dots (1 + \lambda_{m+1}^{(p)}u^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the series coincide with those given by (3.24). Then apply the odd reflection to the pair $(\lambda_m^{[1]}(u), \lambda_{m+2}(u))$ and then continue as described in (3.25) to conclude that the highest weight of the module $L(\lambda(u))$ associated with the parity sequence $\mathfrak{s} = 1 \dots 10 \dots 010$ has the form

$$(\lambda_1(u), \dots, \lambda_{m-1}(u), \lambda_{m+1}^{[1]}(u), \dots, \lambda_{m+n-1}^{[1]}(u), \lambda_m^{[n-1]}(u), \lambda_{m+n}(u), \lambda_{(m+n)'}(u)).$$

Finally, we use a composition of embeddings (2.7) as in [11, Cor. 3.2] to get the embedding

$$X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}) \hookrightarrow X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}). \quad (3.32)$$

The cyclic span $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})\xi$ is a finite-dimensional highest weight representation with the highest weight $(\lambda_m^{[n-1]}(u), \lambda_{m+n}(u), \lambda_{(m+n)'}(u))$. Therefore conditions (3.28) follow from Corollary 3.5, as pointed out in Remark 3.6(ii). \square

Conjecture 3.8. *The conditions on the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ stated in Theorem 3.7 are sufficient for the representation $L(\lambda(u))$ to be finite-dimensional.*

In the next section we will prove Conjecture 3.8 for representations with linear highest weights.

4 Representations with linear highest weights

Observe that by twisting any highest weight representation $L(\lambda(u))$ of the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ by a suitable automorphism (2.4), we can get a highest weight representation whose components satisfy the condition

$$\lambda_{m+n}(u) \lambda_{(m+n)'}(u+1) = 1. \quad (4.1)$$

We will now assume this condition so that the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ is determined by the tuple (3.7). We will be concerned with those tuples where all components are linear in u^{-1} . By a slight abuse of language we will refer to such tuples as *linear highest weights*, even though the component $\lambda_{(m+n)'}(u)$ does not have to be linear.

We will suppose that

$$\lambda_i(u) = 1 + \lambda_i u^{-1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m+n, \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (4.2)$$

Hence by (4.1),

$$\lambda_{(m+n)'}(u) = \frac{u-1}{u + \lambda_{m+n} - 1}. \quad (4.3)$$

For complex numbers a and b we will write $a \rightarrow b$ or $b \leftarrow a$ to mean that $a - b \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Theorem 4.1. *The representation $L(\lambda(u))$ of $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ with the highest weight defined in (4.2) and (4.3) is finite-dimensional if and only if*

$$\lambda_1 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \lambda_m = -l \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{m+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \lambda_{m+l} \rightarrow 0 = \lambda_{m+l+1} = \dots = \lambda_{m+n} \quad (4.4)$$

for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$; if $l \geq n$ then the second part of condition (4.4) is understood as

$$\lambda_{m+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \lambda_{m+n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Suppose first that $\dim L(\lambda(u)) < \infty$. By Theorem 3.7 the highest weight must satisfy conditions (3.26)–(3.28). Observe that the condition

$$1 + au^{-1} \rightarrow 1 + bu^{-1}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C},$$

for series in u^{-1} is equivalent to $a \rightarrow b$. Hence, we must have

$$\lambda_1 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \lambda_m \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_{m+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \lambda_{m+n}.$$

Furthermore, by condition (3.27) we also have

$$1 + \lambda_{m+n-1} u^{-1} \rightarrow \frac{u-1}{u + \lambda_{m+n} - 1}$$

and so

$$\frac{(u + \lambda_{m+n-1})(u + \lambda_{m+n} - 1)}{u(u-1)} = \frac{Q(u+1)}{Q(u)}$$

for a monic polynomial $Q(u)$ in u . This implies that $\lambda_{m+n} \rightarrow 0$.

Now we make use of condition (3.28) and assume first that the chain of odd reflections yields

$$\lambda_m^{[n-1]}(u) = 1 + (\lambda_m + n - 1)u^{-1}. \quad (4.5)$$

Assuming further that $\lambda_m + n - 1 \neq \lambda_{m+n}$ we come to the relation (3.20) with $p = 1$ for the parameters

$$\lambda_1(u) := \lambda_m^{[n-1]}(u), \quad \lambda_2(u) := \lambda_{m+n}(u), \quad \lambda_{2'}(u) := \lambda_{(m+n)'}(u),$$

so that

$$\frac{u(u-1)}{(u + \lambda_m + n)(u + \lambda_m + n - 1)} = \frac{P(u+2)}{P(u)}$$

for a monic polynomial $P(u)$ in u . This is possible only if $\lambda_m + n \leftarrow 0$ so that (4.4) holds with $l \geq n$.

The above assumptions can only be violated if the equality $\lambda_m + l = \lambda_{m+l+1}$ occurs for some $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. In this case, $\lambda_m + l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and

$$\lambda_m^{[n-1]}(u) = 1 + (\lambda_m + l + s)u^{-1}, \quad (4.6)$$

for some $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ by the definition of odd reflections in (3.23). Hence condition (3.28) can only hold if $s = 0$ and


$$\lambda_m + l = \lambda_{m+l+1} = \dots = \lambda_{m+n} = 0,$$

implying (4.4). Note that the case $l = n - 1$ is included by (3.20) with $p = 0$ for the parameters

$$\lambda_1(u) := 1, \quad \lambda_2(u) := 1, \quad \lambda_{2'}(u) := \frac{u(u-1)}{(u + \lambda_{m+n})(u + \lambda_{m+n} - 1)},$$

implying $\lambda_{m+n} = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that the conditions on the components of the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ are satisfied. We will interpret the highest weight in terms of a Young diagram $\Gamma = (\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots)$, contained in the (m, n) -hook; cf. [3, Sec. 2.1]. This means that the digram Γ satisfies the condition $\Gamma_{m+1} \leq n$, as illustrated:



The figure also shows partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ associated with Γ . They are introduced by setting

$$\mu_i = \max\{\Gamma_i - n, 0\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

and

$$\nu_j = \max\{\Gamma'_j - m, 0\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where Γ' denotes the conjugate partition so that Γ'_j is the length of column j in the diagram Γ :

We will associate the $(m+n)$ -tuple of integers Γ^\sharp with Γ by

$$\Gamma^\sharp = (-\Gamma_1, \dots, -\Gamma_m, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_n).$$

The conditions (4.4) can now be stated equivalently as the relation

$$(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, \lambda_{m+1}, \dots, \lambda_{m+n}) = \Gamma^\sharp \quad (4.7)$$

for a suitable Young diagram Γ , contained in the (m, n) -hook. Hence, to complete the proof of the theorem, it suffices to show that, given Γ , the corresponding representation $L(\lambda(u))$ of the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ with the parameters (4.7) is finite-dimensional. To this end, we use the same argument as in [12, Sec. 4], so that the representation $L(\lambda(u))$ is produced as a subquotient of the tensor product of vector representations of the extended Yangian.

The *vector representation* of $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}^{\mathfrak{s}})$ (with an arbitrary parity sequence \mathfrak{s}) on $\mathbb{C}^{2n|2m}$ is defined by

$$t_{ij}(u) \mapsto \delta_{ij} + u^{-1} e_{ij}(-1)^{\bar{i}} - (u + \kappa)^{-1} e_{j'i'}(-1)^{\bar{j}} \theta_i \theta_j. \quad (4.8)$$

The homomorphism property follows from the *RTT*-relation (2.2) and the Yang–Baxter equation satisfied by $R(u)$; cf. [1].

For the standard parity sequence \mathfrak{s}^{st} and $d \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, use the coproduct (2.9) to equip the tensor product space $(\mathbb{C}^{2n|2m})^{\otimes d}$ with the action of $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ by setting

$$t_{ij}(u) \mapsto \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}=1}^{1'} t_{i, a_1}(u + d - 1) \otimes t_{a_1, a_2}(u + d - 2) \otimes \dots \otimes t_{a_{d-1}, j}(u),$$

where the generators act in the respective copies of the vector space $(\mathbb{C}^{2n|2m})^{\otimes d}$ via the rule (4.8). Set

$$\xi_d = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_d} \text{sgn } \sigma \cdot e_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{\sigma(d)} \in (\mathbb{C}^{2n|2m})^{\otimes d}, \quad (4.9)$$

where \mathfrak{S}_d denotes the symmetric group on d symbols. The calculations of [10, Appendix] show that the cyclic span $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}) \xi_d$ is a highest weight representation of $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ with the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ whose components are found by

$$\lambda_i(u) = 1 - u^{-1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i(u) = 1 \quad \text{for } i = d + 1, \dots, m + n + 1.$$

We will denote the irreducible quotient of this representation by L^\sharp_d .

We will use the following super-analogue of the well-known property of Yangian modules, which is immediate from the coproduct formula (2.9).

Lemma 4.2. *Let $L(\mu(u))$ and $L(\nu(u))$ be the irreducible highest weight $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ -modules with the highest weights*

$$\mu(u) = (\mu_1(u), \dots, \mu_{m+n+1}(u)) \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(u) = (\nu_1(u), \dots, \nu_{m+n+1}(u))$$

and the respective highest vectors η and ζ . Then the cyclic span $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})(\eta \otimes \zeta)$ is a highest weight module with the highest weight

$$(\mu_1(u)\nu_1(u), \dots, \mu_{m+n+1}(u)\nu_{m+n+1}(u)). \quad (4.10)$$

Hence, if the modules $L(\mu(u))$ and $L(\nu(u))$ are finite-dimensional, then so is the irreducible highest weight module with the highest weight (4.10). \square

Given an (m, n) -hook partition Γ , consider the tensor product module

$$L_\Gamma = \bigotimes_{d=1}^m \left(L_{\Gamma_{d-1}}^{\#d} \otimes L_{\Gamma_{d-2}}^{\#d} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\Gamma_{d+1}}^{\#d} \right),$$

where Γ_{m+1} should be replaced by 0. According to Lemma 4.2, the cyclic $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ -span of the tensor product of the highest weight vectors of the modules $L_a^{\#d}$ is a highest weight module with the highest weight given by (4.2) with

$$\lambda_i = -\Gamma_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i = 0 \quad \text{for } i = m+1, \dots, m+n.$$

As the next step, apply a sequence of odd reflections of type A as defined in (3.23) and an $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2})$ -type odd reflection introduced in Sec. 3.1 to calculate the highest weight of the irreducible quotient \bar{L}_Γ of this cyclic span associated with the parity sequence $\mathfrak{s} = 0 \dots 01 \dots 1$. By Proposition 3.3, if the highest weight of the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{10})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))$ has the form

$$\lambda(u) = (1 + (\lambda_m + n - 1)u^{-1}, 1, 1), \quad \lambda_m + n \leftarrow 0,$$

then the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))^\mathfrak{s}$ is isomorphic to the highest weight module $L(\tilde{\lambda}(u))$ with

$$\tilde{\lambda}(u) = \left(1 + u^{-1}, 1 + (\lambda_m + n)u^{-1}, \frac{u - 1}{u + \lambda_m + n - 1} \right).$$

Therefore, the highest weight of the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}^{\mathfrak{s}})$ -module \bar{L}_Γ is found by

$$\left(1 + \bar{\Gamma}'_1 u^{-1}, \dots, 1 + \bar{\Gamma}'_n u^{-1}, 1 + (\lambda_1 + n)u^{-1}, \dots, 1 + (\lambda_m + n)u^{-1}, \frac{u - 1}{u + \lambda_m + n - 1} \right),$$

in the case $\lambda_m + n \leftarrow 0$ (so that $\bar{\Gamma}'_i = m$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$), and

$$\left(1 + \bar{\Gamma}'_1 u^{-1}, \dots, 1 + \bar{\Gamma}'_n u^{-1}, 1 + (\lambda_1 + n)u^{-1}, \dots, 1 + (\lambda_l + n)u^{-1}, 1, \dots, 1, 1 \right),$$

in the case $\lambda_m = -l$ for some $l \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, where $\bar{\Gamma} = (\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m)$ is the Young diagram with m rows.

Furthermore, for $d \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ equip the tensor product space $(\mathbb{C}^{2n|2m})^{\otimes d}$ with the action of $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}^s)$ by setting

$$t_{ij}(u) \mapsto \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_{d-1}=1}^{1'} t_{i, a_1}(u-d+1) \otimes t_{a_1, a_2}(u-d+2) \otimes \dots \otimes t_{a_{d-1}, j}(u),$$

where the generators act in the respective copies of the vector space $(\mathbb{C}^{2n|2m})^{\otimes d}$ via the rule (4.8). The vector ξ_d defined by the same formula (4.9) now generates a highest weight representation of $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}^s)$ with the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ whose components are found by

$$\lambda_i(u) = 1 + u^{-1} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, d \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i(u) = 1 \quad \text{for } i = d+1, \dots, m+n+1.$$

We will denote the irreducible quotient of this representation by L^{bd} . If the parameter l in (4.4) exceeds $n-1$, it should be understood as equal to n in the argument below; in that case we set $\nu_{n+1} := 0$. Consider the tensor product module

$$\overline{L}_\Gamma \otimes \bigotimes_{d=1}^l \left(L_{-\nu_d-m+1}^{bd} \otimes L_{-\nu_d-m+2}^{bd} \otimes \dots \otimes L_{-\nu_{d+1}-m}^{bd} \right).$$

By Lemma 4.2, the cyclic $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m}^s)$ -span of the tensor product of the highest weight vectors of the tensor factors is a highest weight module with the highest weight obtained from that of \overline{L}_Γ by replacing the first n components by $\overline{\Gamma}'_i \mapsto \nu_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Hence the highest weight of the corresponding $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$ -module is given by (4.2) and (4.3), with the relation (4.7). This completes the proof of the sufficiency of the conditions (4.4). \square

Theorem 4.1 thus confirms Conjecture 3.8 in the case of linear highest weights.

A Isomorphism between the Yangians associated with the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}$ and $\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}$

We start by recalling the Gauss decomposition of the generators matrix $T(u)$ associated with the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{n|m})$ or the extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2n|2m})$. Denoting by N the size of the matrix $T(u)$, write

$$T(u) = F(u) H(u) E(u),$$

where $F(u)$, $H(u)$ and $E(u)$ are uniquely determined matrices of the form

$$F(u) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ f_{21}(u) & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{N1}(u) & f_{N2}(u) & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad E(u) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & e_{12}(u) & \dots & e_{1N}(u) \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & e_{2N}(u) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and $H(u) = \text{diag}[h_1(u), \dots, h_N(u)]$. The entries of the matrices $F(u)$, $H(u)$ and $E(u)$ are expressed by universal quasideterminant formulas [5].

As in Sec. 3, the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011})$ associated with the parity sequence 011 is defined as the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra with generators $\bar{t}_{ij}^{(r)}$ of parity $\bar{i} + \bar{j} \bmod 2$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq 3$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots$; here \bar{i} takes the respective values 0, 1, 1 for $i = 1, 2, 3$. The defining relations are given in (3.5) for the generators combined into series (3.4). Its presentation in terms of the Gaussian generators was given in [6] so that the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011})$ is generated by the coefficients of the series $\bar{h}_1(u), \bar{h}_2(u), \bar{h}_3(u)$ together with $\bar{e}_{12}(u), \bar{e}_{23}(u)$ and $\bar{f}_{21}(u), \bar{f}_{32}(u)$. We use barred notation to distinguish the Gaussian generators of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011})$ from those of the extended orthosymplectic Yangian.

The extended Yangian $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$ associated with the parity sequence 01 is generated by the coefficients of the series $h_1(u), h_2(u), h_3(u)$ together with $e_{12}(u), e_{23}(u)$ and $f_{21}(u), f_{32}(u)$. The presentation in terms of these generators is given in [4, Sec. 5.2.4].

Proposition A.1. *The mapping*

$$\bar{h}_i(u) \mapsto h_1(2u - 1) h_i(2u), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\bar{e}_{12}(u) \mapsto e_{12}(2u), \quad \bar{e}_{23}(u) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} e_{23}(2u), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and

$$\bar{f}_{21}(u) \mapsto f_{21}(2u), \quad \bar{f}_{32}(u) \mapsto f_{32}(2u), \quad (\text{A.3})$$

defines an isomorphism $\phi : Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011}) \rightarrow X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$.

Proof. Recall the tensor product decomposition

$$Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011}) = ZY(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011}) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{sl}_{1|2}^{011})$$

established in [6, Prop. 3], where $ZY(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011})$ denotes the center of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011})$, which is generated by the coefficients of the series

$$\beta(u) = \bar{h}_1(u)^{-1} \bar{h}_2(u) \bar{h}_3(u + 1).$$

Its inverse coincides with the *quantum Berezinian* as shown in [6, Sec. 7].

On the other hand, we have the decomposition

$$X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01}) = ZX(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01}) \otimes Y(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$$

implied by (2.5), where the center $ZX(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$ is generated by the coefficients of the series

$$\sigma(u) = h_1(u)^{-1} h_2(u) h_1(u + 1) h_3(u + 2)$$

which coincides with $c_V(u + 1)$ in the notation of [4, Lem. 4.45]. Hence, we derive from (A.1) that the mapping takes $\beta(u)$ to $\sigma(2u)$, thus yielding an isomorphism $ZY(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011}) \rightarrow ZX(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$.

Furthermore, the respective subalgebras $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_{1|2}^{011}) \subset Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011})$ and $Y(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01}) \subset X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$ are generated by the coefficients of the series

$$\bar{\kappa}_1(u) = \bar{h}_1(u)^{-1} \bar{h}_2(u), \quad \bar{\kappa}_2(u) = \bar{h}_2(u + 1/2)^{-1} \bar{h}_3(u + 1/2),$$

$$\bar{\xi}_1^+(u) = \bar{f}_{21}(u), \quad \bar{\xi}_1^-(u) = \bar{e}_{12}(u), \quad \bar{\xi}_2^+(u) = \bar{f}_{32}(u + 1/2), \quad \bar{\xi}_2^-(u) = -\bar{e}_{23}(u + 1/2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_1(u) &= h_1(u)^{-1} h_2(u), & \kappa_2(u) &= h_2(u + 1)^{-1} h_3(u + 1), \\ \xi_1^+(u) &= f_{21}(u), & \xi_1^-(u) &= e_{12}(u), & \xi_2^+(u) &= f_{32}(u + 1), & \xi_2^-(u) &= -\frac{1}{2} e_{23}(u + 1); \end{aligned}$$

see [6, Sec. 9] and [4, Sec. 6.2], respectively. The presentations of the algebras $Y(\mathfrak{sl}_{1|2}^{011})$ and $Y(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$ given in *loc. cit.* show that the mapping defined in the proposition provides an isomorphism between these algebras given by

$$Y(\mathfrak{sl}_{1|2}^{011}) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01}), \quad \bar{\kappa}_i(u) \mapsto \kappa_i(2u), \quad \bar{\xi}_i^\pm(u) \mapsto \xi_i^\pm(2u), \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

completing the proof. \square

We can find the images of the generators of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011})$ under the isomorphism of Proposition A.1 in terms of the *RTT* presentations.

Corollary A.2. *Under the isomorphism ϕ of Proposition A.1 we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{t}_{11}(u) &\mapsto t_{11}(2u - 1) t_{11}(2u), & \bar{t}_{12}(u) &\mapsto t_{11}(2u - 1) t_{12}(2u), \\ \bar{t}_{21}(u) &\mapsto t_{21}(2u) t_{11}(2u - 1), & \bar{t}_{13}(u) &\mapsto \frac{1}{2} t_{11}(2u - 1) t_{13}(2u), \\ \bar{t}_{31}(u) &\mapsto t_{31}(2u) t_{11}(2u - 1), \end{aligned}$$

together with

$$\bar{t}_{i2}(u) \mapsto t_{i2}(2u) t_{11}(2u - 1) + t_{i1}(2u) t_{12}(2u - 1) - t_{i1}(2u) t_{11}(2u)^{-1} t_{11}(2u - 1) t_{12}(2u)$$

for $i = 2, 3$, and

$$\bar{t}_{23}(u) \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \left(t_{23}(2u) t_{11}(2u - 1) + t_{21}(2u) t_{13}(2u - 1) - t_{21}(2u) t_{11}(2u)^{-1} t_{11}(2u - 1) t_{13}(2u) \right),$$

while the image of $\bar{t}_{33}(u)$ is found from the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\bar{t}_{33}(u)) &= \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \phi(\bar{t}_{3i}(u)) \phi(\bar{A}_{ij}(u)) \phi(\bar{t}_{j3}(u)) \\ &= t_{11}(2u - 1) \left(t_{33}(2u) - \sum_{i,j=1}^2 t_{3i}(2u) A_{ij}(2u) t_{j3}(2u) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the matrix $A(u) = [A_{ij}(u)]$ and its barred counterpart are defined in (3.19).

Proof. The relations are immediate from Proposition A.1 and the quasideterminant formulas for the Gaussian generators given in (3.14) and (3.19) together with

$$e_{12}(u) = t_{11}(u)^{-1} t_{12}(u), \quad e_{23}(u) = h_2(u)^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} t_{11}(u) & t_{13}(u) \\ t_{21}(u) & \boxed{t_{23}(u)} \end{vmatrix}$$

and

$$f_{21}(u) = t_{21}(u) t_{11}(u)^{-1}, \quad f_{32}(u) = \begin{vmatrix} t_{11}(u) & t_{12}(u) \\ t_{31}(u) & \boxed{t_{32}(u)} \end{vmatrix} h_2(u)^{-1}.$$

We also use the observation that $[t_{11}(u), t_{11}(v)] = 0$ in $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$ and the following consequence of (2.6):

$$t_{11}(2u-1) t_{ij}(2u) + t_{i1}(2u-1) t_{1j}(2u) = t_{ij}(2u) t_{11}(2u-1) + t_{i1}(2u) t_{1j}(2u-1)$$

which holds for all $i, j \in \{2, 3\}$. □

A.1 Another proof of Corollary 3.5

We will use the assumptions of Proposition 3.3. By applying the isomorphism (3.8) we find that in the $X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$ -module $L(\lambda(u))^\zeta$ we have

$$t_{21}(u) \xi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad t_{ij}(u) \xi = 0$$

for all pairs $1 \leq i < j \leq 1'$ except for $(i, j) = (1, 2), (2', 1')$, whereas

$$t_{ii}(u) \xi = \lambda_{\sigma(i)}(u) \xi$$

for $i = 1, 2, 2', 1'$ with $\sigma = (12)(2'1')$. Recall that the series $\lambda_{1'}(u)$ is determined by the highest weight $\lambda(u)$ by relation (3.17).

We will also need the eigenvalue of the series $h_2(u)$ on the highest vector ξ . To calculate it we use an alternative expression for this series obtained by writing

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(u) h_1(u+1) &= (t_{22}(u) - t_{21}(u) t_{11}(u)^{-1} t_{12}(u)) t_{11}(u+1) \\ &= t_{22}(u) t_{11}(u+1) - t_{21}(u) t_{12}(u+1), \end{aligned}$$

where we used the relation $t_{12}(u) t_{11}(u+1) = t_{11}(u) t_{12}(u+1)$ implied by (2.6). As another consequence of (2.6), we then get

$$h_2(u) h_1(u+1) = t_{22}(u+1) t_{11}(u) + t_{12}(u+1) t_{21}(u). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Hence

$$h_2(u) \xi = \lambda_1(u+1) \lambda_2(u) \lambda_2(u+1)^{-1} \xi. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Now apply the isomorphism $\phi : Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011}) \rightarrow X(\mathfrak{osp}_{2|2}^{01})$ provided by Proposition A.1 to regard $L(\lambda(u))^\zeta$ as a $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011})$ -module. By Corollary A.2, in this module we have

$$\bar{t}_{21}(u) \xi = 0, \quad \bar{t}_{23}(u) \xi = 0, \quad \bar{t}_{13}(u) \xi = 0,$$

and

$$\bar{t}_{11}(u) \xi = \lambda_2(2u-1) \lambda_2(2u) \xi, \quad \bar{t}_{33}(u) \xi = \lambda_2(2u-1) \lambda_{1'}(2u) \xi.$$

To determine the action of $\bar{t}_{22}(u)$ on ξ , we use relation (A.5) which holds in the same form for the respective barred series $\bar{h}_i(u)$ and $\bar{t}_{ij}(u)$ in $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011})$. We have

$$\lambda_2(2u) \lambda_2(2u-1) \bar{t}_{22}(u+1) \xi = \lambda_2(2u+2) \lambda_2(2u+1) \bar{h}_2(u) \xi.$$

However, the image of $\bar{h}_2(u)$ under the isomorphism ϕ is found as $h_1(2u-1) h_2(2u)$ by (A.1) so that using (A.6) we get

$$\bar{h}_2(u) \xi = \lambda_1(2u+1) \lambda_2(2u-1) \lambda_2(2u) \lambda_2(2u+1)^{-1} \xi,$$

thus yielding

$$\bar{t}_{22}(u) \xi = \lambda_1(2u-1) \lambda_2(2u) \xi.$$

As the next step, apply the isomorphism

$$Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011}) \rightarrow Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{101}), \quad \bar{t}_{ij}(u) \mapsto \bar{t}_{\sigma(i),\sigma(j)}(u),$$

where $\sigma = (1\ 2)$ is the transposition. The same vector space $L(\lambda(u))$ can now be regarded as the highest weight $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{101})$ -module whose highest weight is the triple of formal series

$$\left(\lambda_1(2u-1) \lambda_2(2u), \lambda_2(2u-1) \lambda_2(2u), \lambda_2(2u-1) \lambda_{1'}(2u) \right).$$

Take its composition with the automorphism of $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{101})$ defined by

$$\bar{t}_{ij}(u) \mapsto f(u) \bar{t}_{ij}(u) \quad \text{with} \quad f(u) = \left(\frac{2u-1}{2u} \right)^p \lambda_2(2u)^{-1}.$$

The highest weight of the resulting representation will be

$$\left(\left(\frac{2u-1}{2u} \right)^p \lambda_1(2u-1), \left(\frac{2u-1}{2u} \right)^p \lambda_2(2u-1), \left(\frac{2u-1}{2u} \right)^p \frac{\lambda_2(2u-1) \lambda_{1'}(2u)}{\lambda_2(2u)} \right).$$

Under the assumptions of Proposition 3.3, the application of the odd reflection of [8, Cor. 4.6] yields the highest weight $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{1|2}^{011})$ -module whose highest weight is the triple

$$\left(\left(\frac{2u+1}{2u} \right)^p \lambda_2(2u+1), \left(\frac{2u+1}{2u} \right)^p \lambda_1(2u+1), \left(\frac{2u-1}{2u} \right)^p \frac{\lambda_2(2u-1) \lambda_{1'}(2u)}{\lambda_2(2u)} \right).$$

By the results of [16] (also reproduced in [8, Thm 4.4]), this representation is finite-dimensional if and only if the ratio of the last two components

$$\left(\frac{2u-1}{2u+1} \right)^p \frac{\lambda_2(2u-1) \lambda_{1'}(2u)}{\lambda_2(2u) \lambda_1(2u+1)}$$

coincides with $Q(u+1)/Q(u)$ for a monic polynomial $Q(u)$ in u . By replacing u by $u/2$ and using (3.17) we come to the condition (3.20), thus completing the proof.

Declarations

Competing interests

The author has no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Funding

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council, grant DP240101572.

Availability of data and materials

No data was used for the research described in the article.

References

- [1] D. Arnaudon, J. Avan, N. Crampé, L. Frappat, E. Ragoucy, *R-matrix presentation for super-Yangians $Y(\mathfrak{osp}(m|2n))$* , J. Math. Phys. **44** (2003), 302–308.
- [2] D. Arnaudon, A. Molev and E. Ragoucy, *On the R-matrix realization of Yangians and their representations*, Annales Henri Poincaré **7** (2006), 1269–1325.
- [3] S.-J. Cheng and W. Wang, *Dualities and representations of Lie superalgebras*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 144. AMS, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [4] R. Frassek and A. Tsymbaliuk, *Orthosymplectic Yangians*, [arXiv:2311.18818](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.18818).
- [5] I. M. Gelfand and V. S. Retakh, *Determinants of matrices over noncommutative rings*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **25** (1991), 91–102.
- [6] L. Gow, *Gauss decomposition of the Yangian $Y(\mathfrak{gl}_{m|n})$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **276** (2007), 799–825.
- [7] N. Guay and B. Kettle, *Yangians of orthosymplectic Lie superalgebras*, in preparation.
- [8] A. I. Molev, *Odd reflections in the Yangian associated with $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$* , Lett. Math. Phys. **112** (2022), no. 1, Paper No. 8, 15 pp.
- [9] A. I. Molev, *Representations of the Yangians associated with Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{osp}(1|2n)$* , Commun. Math. Phys. **398** (2023), 541–571.
- [10] A. I. Molev, *Representations of the super Yangians of types A and C*, Alg. Rep. Theory **26** (2023), 1007–1027.
- [11] A. I. Molev, *A Drinfeld-type presentation of the orthosymplectic Yangians*, Alg. Rep. Theory **27** (2024), 469–494.

- [12] A. Molev and E. Ragoucy, *Representations of the super-Yangian of type $B(n, m)$* , [arXiv:2311.12479](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.12479).
- [13] M. L. Nazarov, *Quantum Berezinian and the classical Capelli identity*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **21** (1991), 123–131.
- [14] A. B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, *Factorized S -matrices in two dimensions as the exact solutions of certain relativistic quantum field models*, *Ann. Phys.* **120** (1979), 253–291.
- [15] R. B. Zhang, *Representations of super Yangian*, *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995), 3854–3865.
- [16] R. B. Zhang, *The $\mathfrak{gl}(M|N)$ super Yangian and its finite-dimensional representations*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **37** (1996), 419–434.

School of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
alexander.molev@sydney.edu.au