

Sandwiching the Riemann hypothesis

R.C. McPhedran,
School of Physics,
University of Sydney

July 2, 2024

Abstract

We consider a system of three analytic functions, two of which are known to have all their zeros on the critical line $\Re(s) = \sigma = 1/2$. We construct inequalities which constrain the third function, $\xi(s)$, on $\Im(s) = 0$ to lie between the other two functions, in a sandwich structure. We investigate what can be said about the location of zeros and radius of convergence of expansions of $\xi(s)$, with promising results.

1 Introduction

The Riemann hypothesis has a long history and important consequences in number theory, which are treated in numerous textbooks e.g. [1, 2]. Riemann's hypothesis was that all the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ lie on the critical line $\Re(s) = 1/2$. There have been many methods developed in order to try to prove this result by analytic reasoning and by impressive computer evaluations (with the hypothesis verified numerically up to 3×10^{12} [3]).

Among the many threads followed in the literature, we concentrate here on one based on sums over inverse powers of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$ on the critical line [4, 5, 6]. This is chosen because it permits a combination of numerical exploration and analytic reasoning, with significant examples of both in the literature. The focus of this work will be on the Keiper-Li theory, developed independently by J. B. Keiper and X. J. Li. This is based on expansions of the symmetrized counterpart of $\zeta(s)$, $\xi(s)$. The expansions involve two sets of constants, a_n and $\lambda(n)$, the first due to Li, and the second to both authors. Li proved that the Riemann hypothesis holds if and only if all $\lambda(n)$ are non-negative. The author and colleagues have recently developed an expression for the positive constants a_n , and evaluated to good accuracy the first 4000 of them [7, 8].

We will combine the Keiper-Li framework with results from the literature concerning two functions, denoted here as $\xi_+(s)$ and $\xi_-(s)$. These consist of combinations of $\xi(s + 1/2)$ and $\xi(s - 1/2)$, and it is known that all their zeros

lie on the critical line and are simple [9, 10, 11, 12]. We will consider the properties of $\xi_+(s)$ and $\xi_-(s)$, and show that along the positive real axis $\xi(s)$ is constrained to lie between $\xi_+(s)$ and $\xi_-(s)$, in what we will call a sandwich. We will reason from the properties of the sandwich that, under a mapping of the complex variable $s = 1/2 + it$ onto the unit circle, the radius of convergence of the expansion of $\xi(s)$ is unity. This result is highly significant with respect to the Riemann hypothesis.

2 Basic equations and some results

We will be interested here in some functions related to the Riemann ξ function:

$$\xi(s) = \frac{1}{2}s(s-1)\frac{\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s)}{\pi^{s/2}}. \quad (1)$$

(Note that Li [6] uses a definition of $\xi(s)$ which is two times larger than that used here.) This is an entire function, with $\log \xi(s)$ having logarithmic singularities at the roots ρ of $\xi(s)$ and no other singularities [2]. The particular functions of interest are $\xi(s)$, $\xi(s+1/2)$, $\xi(s-1/2)$ and two combinations of the latter two:

$$\xi_-(s) = \xi(s+1/2) - \xi(s-1/2), \quad \xi_+(s) = \xi(s+1/2) + \xi(s-1/2). \quad (2)$$

The functions $\xi_-(s)$ and $\xi_+(s)$ are notable in that it has been proved that these functions obey the Riemann hypothesis: all their zeros ρ lie on the critical line $\Re(s) = \sigma = 1/2$. The functions were studied by Taylor [9], Lagarias and Suzuki [11] and Ki[12]. The fact that all the non-trivial zeros of the $\xi_-(s)$ combination lie on the critical line was first established by P.R. Taylor, and published posthumously. Lagarias and Suzuki considered the $\xi_+(s)$ combination, and showed that all its complex zeros lie on the critical line, while Ki proved that all the complex zeros were simple. A further useful property is that the complex zeros of the $\xi_+(s)$ and $\xi_-(s)$ combinations strictly alternate on the critical line, and have the same distribution function of zeros. The common distribution function is indeed that corresponding to any prescribed argument value of $\xi(s)$ on the line $\sigma = 1$.

Lagarias and Suzuki [11] in their Theorem 4 present a general result relating to functions consisting of a superposition of two identical parts, each of the parts having zeros symmetrically placed about a midline in a critical strip, and the two parts separated sufficiently so their critical strips do not overlap. Then the symmetry of the superposition guarantees that the moduli of the two parts can only be equal halfway between the two separated critical regions. In turn, if the superposition of the two parts is a sum with a phase factor of unit modulus, that sum will have all its zeros on the midline of the total system. This result then includes Taylor's result for $\xi_-(s)$ as a special case, along with that for $\xi_+(s)$. Related previous work is [1, 13].

We investigate the properties of the five ξ functions using power series known to have coefficients all of which are known to be positive. The first has been

studied intensively by Pustyl'nikov [14, 15] and subsequent authors. The set of coefficients ξ_r occurs in the following expansion:

$$\xi(s + 1/2) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \xi_r s^{2r}, \quad (3)$$

where $\xi_0 = \xi(1/2) \approx 0.49712077818831410991$. Pustyl'nikov proved that all the ξ_r are positive and that this is a necessary condition for the Riemann hypothesis to hold. Accurate numerical techniques for the evaluation of the ξ_r have been developed in subsequent work- see for example [16]. A comprehensive and accurate tabulation of values due to Dr. Rick Kreminski [17] was previously available on the Internet, but it appears to be currently inaccessible.

The expansion (3) can be re-expressed to give

$$\xi_+(s) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \xi_n s^{2n} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=n+1}^{\infty} \left[s \binom{2r}{2n+1} - \binom{2r}{2n} \right] \xi_r s^{2n}, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\xi_-(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=n+1}^{\infty} \left[s \binom{2r}{2n+1} - \binom{2r}{2n} \right] \xi_r s^{2n}. \quad (5)$$

These are respectively even and odd under the substitution $s \rightarrow 1 - s$. Adding and subtracting (4) and (5) gives the expansion (3) for $\xi(s + 1/2)$ and the corresponding equation for $\xi(s - 1/2)$.

The corresponding result for $2\xi(s)$ also contains both odd and even powers of s and can be written

$$2\xi(s) = 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{E}_l s^{2l} - \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_l s^{2l-1}, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_l = 2 \sum_{r=l}^{\infty} \binom{2r}{2l} \frac{\xi_r}{2^{2r-2l}}, \quad \mathcal{O}_l = 2 \sum_{r=l}^{\infty} \binom{2r}{2l-1} \frac{\xi_r}{2^{2r-2l+1}}. \quad (7)$$

The first ten coefficients \mathcal{E} of even order are

$$\begin{aligned} &0.023343864534226183135, 0.00025318173031652700506, 1.7209870418615355778 * 10^{-6}, \\ &8.3159682500277216307 * 10^{-9}, 3.0655602327633313510 * 10^{-11}, 9.0229664497612087603 * 10^{-14}, \\ &2.1893251340686846583 * 10^{-16}, 4.4843405072454944930 * 10^{-19}, 7.8974339566658717737 * 10^{-22}, \\ &1.2134779622875435114 * 10^{-24}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The first ten coefficients \mathcal{O} of odd order are

$$\begin{aligned} &0.023095708966121033814, 0.00049798384992294867235, 5.0502547922191741696 * 10^{-6}, \\ &3.2378414618810769603 * 10^{-8}, 1.4852419214918940045 * 10^{-10}, 5.2238407222768796166 * 10^{-13}, \\ &1.4729420831622495667 * 10^{-15}, 3.4352126539793423994 * 10^{-18}, 6.7821598786771781572 * 10^{-21}, \\ &1.1540437076606000624 * 10^{-23}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The second set of power series is based around the Li coefficients a_n [6]. These occur in the expansion

$$2\xi\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) = \phi(z) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j z^j, \quad (10)$$

for z in the unit disc. An equivalent form for w to the right of the critical line is obtained using the substitution $1-z = 1/w$:

$$2\xi(w) = \phi\left(\frac{w-1}{w}\right) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \left(\frac{w-1}{w}\right)^j = \phi_1(w). \quad (11)$$

To the left of the critical line, using the symmetry property $\xi(s) = \xi(1-s)$, we find:

$$2\xi(w) = \phi\left(\frac{w}{w-1}\right) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \left(\frac{w}{w-1}\right)^j = \phi_2(w). \quad (12)$$

Note that $\phi_1(1) = 1$ exactly, and $\phi_2(0) = 1$ exactly.

Li's paper [6] is important in that it establishes as a necessary condition for the Riemann hypothesis to hold that the logarithmic derivative of the function $\xi(1/(1-z))$ be analytic in the unit disc: see also Bombieri and Lagarias [10] and Coffey [18]. Important related work by Keiper [5] predated that of Li. Note that the following expression has been established for the a_n [7, 8]:

$$a_n = 2 \sum_{p=1}^n C_{n,p} \Sigma_p^\xi, \quad (13)$$

where the Σ_p^ξ are positive-valued sums involving the ξ_r :

$$\Sigma_p^\xi = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi_r}{2^{2r}} r^p, \quad (14)$$

and $\Sigma_0^\xi = 1/2 - \xi_0$. The $C_{n,p}$ arise in coefficients of polynomials $a_r(n)$ generated by the expansion of a quotient function:

$$\left(\frac{1+w}{1-w}\right)^r = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_r(n) w^n. \quad (15)$$

All the $C_{n,p}$ are non-negative, being zero if the pair n, p mixes an even and an odd integer, and being positive if both are even or odd. Note that $C_{n,n} = 4^n/n!$ with $C_{n,p}$ tending to zero as n increases. The $C_{n,p}$ obey a simple recurrence relation [7, 8], from which they may be determined exactly.

The equation (13) has been used to obtain the first 4000 a_n values to 200 decimal places accuracy [7, 8], and they may be downloaded. The first 500 ξ_r values were sufficient to supply this accuracy. Note that

$$a_1 = \lambda_1 = \sigma_1 = 1 + \gamma/2 - \log(4\pi)/2 = 8\Sigma_1^\xi. \quad (16)$$

Here $a_1 \approx 0.023095708966121033814$.

The $\mathcal{C}_{n,p}$ obey the useful relation:

$$\sum_{p=1}^n \mathcal{C}_{n,p} = 4n. \quad (17)$$

Also, from equation (14) the Σ_p^ξ increase monotonically with p . Hence, the a_n for $n > 1$ satisfy the bounds

$$na_1 < a_n < 8n\Sigma_n^\xi. \quad (18)$$

From [7] $\log \Sigma_n^\xi$ has the leading terms for n large

$$n[\log n - \log \log n - 2] \quad (19)$$

so the lower and upper bounds in (18) differ considerably in their growth rates as n increases.

One important consequence of the inequalities (18) is that

$$\sum_{n=1}^N a_n > a_1 \sum_{n=1}^N n = a_1 \frac{N(N+1)}{2}, \quad (20)$$

which diverges quadratically as $N \rightarrow \infty$. A second is that the series $\phi_1(w)$ must diverge if $|(w-1)/w| > 1$, i.e. if $Re(w) < 1/2$ and $|z| > 1$, while the series $\phi_2(w)$ must diverge if $|w/(w-1)| > 1$, i.e. if $Re(w) > 1/2$ and $|z| < 1$.

3 The first two sandwiches

The equation (11) can be used to construct inequalities and monotonicity properties among the key functions introduced in the previous section. Consider the difference $\xi(u+a) - \xi(u+b)$ where u, a and b are real with $a > b$ and $u+a$ and $u+b$ both exceeding $1/2$:

$$2\xi(u+a) - 2\xi(u+b) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{u+a}\right)^j - \left(1 - \frac{1}{u+b}\right)^j \right] > 0, \quad (21)$$

since every element of the summand is positive. Hence, $\xi(u+1/2) > \xi(u)$ and $\xi(u) > \xi(u-1/2)$. For $\xi(u-1/2)$ we have

$$2\xi(u-1/2) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j \left(1 - \frac{1}{u-1/2}\right)^j > 1, \text{ for } u > 3/2. \quad (22)$$

The full set of inequalities for the first three functions of interest is then

$$\xi\left(u + \frac{1}{2}\right) > \xi(u) > \xi\left(u - \frac{1}{2}\right) > 0. \quad (23)$$

Each of the three functions increases monotonically as the argument u increases, and also if the full sum is replaced by a partial sum, the partial sums increase monotonically with the upper limit on j .

We now consider mappings which move the location of lines along which zeros are located onto circles in the complex plane. For the case of $\xi(s)$ a convenient mapping from the critical line $\Re(s) = \sigma = 1/2$ onto the unit circle has already been given:

$$w = u + iv = 1 - \frac{1}{s} = \frac{s-1}{s}. \quad (24)$$

The inverse transformation is

$$s = \frac{1}{1-w}. \quad (25)$$

For the function $\xi(s+1/2)$ the corresponding forward transformation is

$$w_h = 1 - \frac{1}{s+1/2} = \frac{s-1/2}{s+1/2}. \quad (26)$$

Its inverse transformation is

$$s = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1-w_h}. \quad (27)$$

The known zeros of $\xi(s+1/2)$ lie on $\sigma = 0$ and are mapped onto the unit circle in the plane of complex w_h .

For the function $\xi(s-1/2)$ the corresponding forward transformation is

$$w_m = 1 - \frac{1}{s-1/2} = \frac{s-3/2}{s-1/2}. \quad (28)$$

Its inverse transformation is

$$s = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{1-w_m}. \quad (29)$$

The known zeros of $\xi(s-1/2)$ lie on $\sigma = 1$ and are mapped onto the unit circle in the plane of complex w_m .

We next connect w_h and w_m to the complex variable w . Eliminating s between (24) and (27) we find

$$w_h = \frac{1+w}{3-w}, \quad w = \frac{3w_h-1}{w_h+1}. \quad (30)$$

The equation for the fixed point of this transformation is

$$w(3-w) = 1+w, \quad \text{or } (w-1)^2 = 0. \quad (31)$$

The fixed point is then of second order at $w = w_h = 1$. The corresponding equations relating to w_m are

$$w_m = \frac{3w-1}{w+1}, \quad w = \frac{1+w_m}{3-w_m}, \quad (32)$$

while again the fixed point for the transformation yields $w = 1 = w_m$ being of second order.

Figure 1 illustrates curves of constant modulus in the complex w plane pertaining to these discussions. The black unit circle corresponds to $|w| = 1$, and thus to the mapping of the critical line through equation (24). The black circle centred on $w = 1/2$ of radius $1/2$ corresponds to the constraint $|w_m| = 1$, and the mapping of the line $\sigma = 1$, with the black vertical line being $|w_m| = 3$, $u = -1/3$. The red lines are for $|w_h| = 1$ and $|w_h| = 2$. The two fixed points occur where the two circles touch, with the line $|w_h| = 1$ being tangent to both.




Figure 1: The transformations pertaining to w (blue circle), w_m (black circle and black line) and w_h (red lines).

The behaviour of the three functions $\xi(s + 1/2)$, $\xi(s)$ and $\xi(s - 1/2)$ along a part of the real axis is shown in Fig. 2, and is in accord with the inequalities (23). The figure shows the first of the two sandwiches we will study. Our aim will be to understand the location of the singularities of the three functions, as reflected in their power series and their behaviour along the real axis.

Titchmarsh [19] gives two useful results concerning the radius of the circle of convergence of power series with coefficients a_n in the variable z and their behaviour along the real axis. For convenience the radius of the circle of convergence is normalised to be unity. The first is that if $a_n \geq 0$ for all n , then $z = 1$ is a singular point. The second is that if a_n is real for all values of n and $\sum a_n$ is properly divergent, then $z = 1$ is a singular point. We note that both criteria apply to power series with the coefficients which are the Li a_n (see equation (20)). If the coefficients are the ξ_n , the first will apply.

We thus deduce that the circle of convergence of $\phi(z)$ cuts the positive real




Figure 2: The behaviour of the three functions $\xi(s + 1/2)$ (red), $\xi(s)$ (blue) and $\xi(s - 1/2)$ (black) along part of the real axis is shown.

axis at a singular point of its power series (10). The same conclusion applies to the circles of convergence pertaining to $\phi(z_h)$ where $z_h = z + 1/2$ and to $\phi(z_m)$ where $z_m = z - 1/2$.

The behaviour of the three functions $\xi_+(s)$, $\xi(s)$ and $\xi_-(s)$ along an arc of the unit circle is shown in Fig. 3. Specifically, the function plotted is $\log \xi[1/(1 - \exp(i\theta))]$, where θ denotes the angular position on the unit circle. The dips in the graph indicate zeros of the function. For ξ_+ they occur where $\arg[\xi(1 + (i/2) \cot(\theta/2))]$ is an odd multiple of $\pi/2$, and for ξ_- where it is an even multiple of $\pi/2$. Note that zeros of $\xi_+(s)$ occur closer to those of $\xi(s)$ than is the case for zeros of $\xi_-(s)$, and that zeros of $\xi_+(s)$ strictly alternate with those of $\xi_-(s)$. (This is a consequence of the monotonic variation of $\arg[\xi(1 + (i/2)t)]$ with t .)

The behaviour of $\xi_+(s)$, $\xi(s)$ and $\xi_-(s)$ along a part of the real axis is shown in Fig. 4. The figure gives evidence for the following inequalities:

$$\xi_+(\sigma) > \xi(\sigma) > \xi_-(\sigma) > 0 \text{ for } \sigma \geq 1. \quad (33)$$

These inequalities follow immediately from (23), with the exception of $\xi(\sigma) >$

$\xi_-(\sigma)$. This last follows from the asymptotic expression:

$$2\xi(\sigma) - 2[\xi(\sigma + 1/2) - \xi(\sigma - 1/2)] = 1 + \sum_j a_j \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right)^j \left\{ 1 + \exp \left[j \left(\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{(\sigma - 1/2)} \right) - \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \right) \right] - \exp \left[j \left(\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{(\sigma + 1/2)} \right) - \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \right) \right] \right\} \quad (34)$$

giving for $j \ll \sigma^2$:

$$2\xi(\sigma) - 2[\xi(\sigma + 1/2) - \xi(\sigma - 1/2)] = 1 + \sum_j a_j \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right)^j \left[1 - \frac{j}{\sigma^2} - \frac{j}{\sigma^3} + O\left(\left(\frac{1}{\sigma}\right)^4\right) \right] \quad (35)$$

This is increasing with σ , diverging as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$ by virtue of the increasing values of a_j . The functions $\xi_+(\sigma)$, $\xi(\sigma)$ and $\xi_-(\sigma)$ also increase monotonically with $\sigma > 1$ and are all positive for $\sigma > 1/2$. They must all diverge for $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$.

4 The third sandwich

We next consider the logarithms of the three functions $\xi_+(s)$, $\xi(s)$ and $\xi_-(s)$, as shown in Fig. 5. The curves there illustrate a similar behaviour to previous figures for $\xi_+(s)$ and $\xi(s)$. For $\xi_-(s)$ there is a notable influence of the first order zero at $s = 1/2$. The monotonic behaviour of the logarithm enables us to go from the inequalities (33) to

$$\log \xi_+(\sigma) > \log \xi(\sigma) > \log \xi_-(\sigma) > 0 \text{ for } \sigma \geq 1. \quad (36)$$

The monotonic increase of the three functions of (36) with σ is also preserved.

A power series for $\log \xi(s)$ with coefficients depending on the equation (6) can be constructed in two ways. The first is to use Mathematica or a similar symbolic package. The second is to differentiate the series for $\xi(s)$ and divide the result by $\xi(s)$, before integrating the result for the quotient. The result is the same, to within slight numerical differences:

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\xi(s)) = & -0.023095708966121033814s + 0.023077158647902301379s^2 + 0.0000370527438173686409s^3 \\ & - 0.00001840680531542237958s^4 - 1.43018671152521547 * 10^{-7}s^5 + 4.6906069489794377 * 10^{-8}s^6 \\ & + 6.534558785292532 * 10^{-10}s^7 - 1.5860851386884509 * 10^{-10}s^8 - 3.141596838950986 * 10^{-12}s^9 \\ & + 5.99771484715187 * 10^{-13}s^{10} + 1.53698978205383 * 10^{-14}s^{11} - 2.39484594174383 * 10^{-15}s^{12} \\ & - 7.5638205856654 * 10^{-17}s^{13} + 9.851878115521 * 10^{-18}s^{14} + 3.727558439514 * 10^{-19}s^{15} \\ & - 4.123828024852 * 10^{-20}s^{16} - 1.836227510375 * 10^{-21}s^{17} + 1.743694268780 * 10^{-22}s^{18} \\ & + 9.03450466141 * 10^{-24}s^{19} - 7.4119966493 * 10^{-25}s^{20} \quad (37) \end{aligned}$$

This is a power series with coefficients of mixed sign, unlike that for $\xi(s)$. It has been obtained using Mathematica, by the first method, with 20 decimal places requested as the accuracy goal. Note that higher order coefficients have fewer decimals than specified in the accuracy goal.

The main focus of this section will be a discussion of the radius of convergence of the expansion (10), and its equivalent for $\log[2\xi(1/(1-z))]$. From [19], the radius of convergence associated with the series in (10) is

$$R = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left[\frac{-\log a_n}{n} \right]. \quad (38)$$

In Fig. 6 we show the variation of $\log a_n/n$ with n , with a rapid rise for values of n up to around 300 being succeeded by a slow fall off for higher values. Also shown are the associated values of R_n from equation (38) and a numerical fit for the range of n from 1000 to 4000:

$$\frac{\log a_n}{n} \approx 0.11652745618 - 0.01092578334 \log n. \quad (39)$$

The simple fit function gives a reasonably accurate representation of the variation of $\log a_n/n$ in the range shown, and confirms that its leading varying term is logarithmic. Values of a_n for n much larger than 4000 would be needed to get additional terms in the expression for $\log a_n/n$ and thus for R_n .

Titchmarsh [19] gives a necessary and sufficient condition that a power series with coefficients a_n (known here to be non-negative) should have a singularity at the point $z = 1$ lying on its circle of convergence. Let b_n denote the quantities

$$b_n = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} a_m. \quad (40)$$

Then the criterion for a singularity to occur at $z = 1$ is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n^{-\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (41)$$

The application of this criterion to the power series with coefficients a_n is shown in Fig. 7. While both the radius of convergence estimate and the singularity estimate converge slowly with increasing n , they are confirmatory of there being a singularity at $z = 1$ lying on the unit circle of convergence.

Of more importance to the following discussion than the series for $\log \xi(s)$ are those for $2\xi\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) = \phi(z)$ and $\phi'(z)/\phi(z)$. For the last, Li states that a necessary and sufficient condition for the nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ to lie on the critical line is that $\phi'(z)/\phi(z)$ is analytic in the unit disc. Furthermore, given that the series for $\phi'(z)/\phi(z)$ around $z = 0$ is

$$\frac{\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n+1} z^n, \quad (42)$$

the necessary and sufficient condition is equivalent to the requirement that $\lambda_n \geq 0$ for every positive n . Keiper had previously shown that non-negativity of the λ_n was a necessary condition for the Riemann hypothesis, and had calculated the λ_n to high accuracy up to $n = 4000$. Note that Keiper based his definition of the λ_n around the expansion of $\log \phi(z)$, resulting in a factor of n between his λ_n^K and those of Li (λ_n^L).

One way of going from equation (10) to the required series for $\phi'(z)/\phi(z)$ is to form the series for $1/\phi(z)$:

$$\frac{1}{\phi(z)} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j z^j, \quad (43)$$

via the recurrence relation

$$A_1 = -a_1, \quad A_j = -a_j - \sum_{p=1}^{j-1} a_p A_{j-p}. \quad (44)$$

The required series then comes from the product:

$$\left[\sum_{j=1}^J j a_j z^{j-1} \right] \times \left[1 + \sum_{j=1}^J A_j z^j \right], \quad (45)$$

where J specifies the number of values for λ 's occurring in the series.

The series for $1/\phi(z)$ is to order 20:

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - 0.0230957089661210338143102479065z - 0.0459061617276994534365358813998z^2 \\ & - 0.0681486316594069122599620826562z^3 - 0.089545433048398089752378459144z^4 \\ & - 0.109826396050622458253486711461z^5 - 0.128731287368373527211506921969z^6 \\ & - 0.146012159089427308368403139905z^7 - 0.161435608581258419635214039431z^8 \\ & - 0.174784932895325800969831596126z^9 - 0.185862161803051549940505866001z^{10} \\ & - 0.194489954353028235590838150534z^{11} - 0.200513344703746739263814823922z^{12} \\ & - 0.203801323942739062262628361287z^{13} - 0.20424824564594307240714200573z^{14} \\ & - 0.20177504405427703081208059797z^{15} - 0.19633025494134585958756091470z^{16} \\ & - 0.18789083050993567984657371103z^{17} - 0.17646274097813564381563835719z^{18} \\ & - 0.16208135689084447159310105477z^{19} - 0.14481160761104406228773575035z^{20} \\ & + O(z^{21}). \quad (46) \end{aligned}$$

Here, all coefficients of z are negative. This results in a monotonic decreasing function, as shown in Fig. 8. Note that the truncated series (46) works well until z approaches the exponential region near unity.

The series for $\phi'(z)/\phi(z)$ is to order 19:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n+1}^L z^n \\ &= 0.0230957089661210338143102479065 + 0.0923457352280466703857284861921z \\ &+ 0.207638920554324803791492046618z^2 + 0.368790479492241638590511489638z^3 \\ &+ 0.575542714461177452431106405493z^4 + 0.82756601228237929742500282202z^5 \\ &+ 1.12446011757095949058282010802z^6 + 1.46575567714706063265551454198z^7 \\ &+ 1.85091604838253415532604486792z^8 + 2.27933936319315774369303405737z^9 \\ &+ 2.75036083822019606035454709285z^{10} + 3.26325532062461984807908598991z^{11} \\ &+ 3.81724005784794598710436795129z^{12} + 4.4114776786805985120806412969z^{13} \\ &+ 5.0450793720267934585351114375z^{14} + 5.7171082488687926394190666698z^{15} \\ &+ 6.4265828721172029011455409609z^{16} + 7.1724809382917229592529707263z^{17} \\ &+ 7.9537430943119003048082250779z^{18} + 8.7692768720932151295994613534z^{19} \\ &+ O(z^{20}) \quad (47) \end{aligned}$$

All coefficients of z being positive, this is a monotonically increasing function, positive within the radius of convergence of the series. We noted at the beginning of this section that the monotonic increase of the functions $\xi_+(s)$, $\xi(s)$ and $\xi_-(s)$ for s real and larger than unity was preserved for $\log \xi_+(s)$, $\log \xi(s)$ and $\log \xi_-(s)$, whose series incorporate the same coefficients divided by their power as do their derivatives.

More definite information comes from the knowledge that $\xi_+(s)$ and $\xi_-(s)$ have all their zeros on the critical line, mapped by $s \rightarrow 1/(1-z)$ onto the boundary of the unit circle. The point $z = 1$ is then a limit point for a sequence of zeros (see Fig. 3), and is thus an essential singularity of the logarithms of these functions. For each of $\log[\xi_+(\sigma)]$ and $\log[\xi_-(\sigma)]$, the function tends to infinity as $\sigma \rightarrow 1$. By virtue of the inequalities (36), we know then that $\log[\xi(\sigma)]$ tends to infinity as $\sigma \rightarrow 1$ (in keeping with equation (10)).

Consider what would be the situation if the Riemann hypothesis failed. There would then be at least one zero lying properly inside the unit circle, and a singularity of $\log[\phi(z_*)]$ at a point z_* with $|z_*| < 1$ (with naturally a corresponding singularity occurring outside the unit circle). Take ρ_* to be the minimum of all such $|z_*|$. Then the circle of radius ρ_* centred on $z = 0$ is the circle of convergence of the logarithm of the expansion in (10). This means that the series diverges on any ray as the modulus of z approaches ρ_* . Applying this to the real axis, the modulus of $\log[\phi(\sigma)]$ has to diverge to positive infinity at $\sigma = \rho_* < 1$, and to exceed the modulus of $\log[\xi_+(\sigma)]$ there, in contradiction with (36).

Another way of looking at this situation is that the sandwiching shows that $\log[\phi(\sigma)]$ cannot diverge before $\log[\xi_+(\sigma)]$ diverges. It also cannot diverge after $\log[\xi_-(\sigma)]$ diverges.

Both arguments lead to the conclusion that indeed the radius of convergence of the function $\log[\phi(\sigma)]$ is unity, and that $\sigma = 1$ is an essential singularity.

References

- [1] Titchmarsh, E.C. & Heath-Brown, D.R., 1986 *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*, Oxford, Oxford pp. 254–291.
- [2] Edwards, H.M., *Riemann's Zeta Function*, Dover, Mineola (2001) pp. 132–134.
- [3] Platt, D. and Trudgian, T. 2020, The Riemann hypothesis is true up to 3×10^{12} , arXiv:2004.09765v1.
- [4] Lehmer, D.H. 1988 The sum of like powers of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function *Math. Comp.* **50** 265–273.
- [5] Keiper, J.B. 1992 Power Series Expansions of Riemann's ξ Function *Math. Comp.* **58** 765–773.
- [6] Li, X.J. 1997 The positivity of a sequence of numbers and the Riemann hypothesis *J. Number Th.* **65** 325–333.
- [7] McPhedran, R.C., Scott, T.C. and Maignan, A., 2022 Comments on and Extensions to Criteria of Keiper and Li for the Riemann Hypothesis *HAL Archive*, hal-03579652v1 and ACM Communications in Computer Algebra, **57**, pp. 85-110 (2023).
- [8] McPhedran, R.C. 2024 Numerical investigations of the Keiper-Li Criterion for the Riemann hypothesis arXiv:2311.06294, pp. 27.
- [9] Taylor, P.R. 1945 On the Riemann zeta-function, *Q.J.O.*, **16**, 1-21.
- [10] Bombieri, E. and Lagarias, J.C. 1999 Complements to Li's Criterion for the Riemann Hypothesis *J. Number Th.* **77** 274–287.
- [11] Lagarias, J.C. and Suzuki, M. 2006 The Riemann hypothesis for certain integrals of Eisenstein series *J. Number Theory* **118** 98–122.
- [12] Ki, H. 2006 Zeros of the constant term in the Chowla-Selberg formula *Acta Arithmetica* **124** 197-204.
- [13] Pólya, G. 1926 Bemerkung uber die Integraldarstellung der Riemannschen xi-Funktion *Acta Mathematica*, **48**, 305–317.
- [14] Pustyl'nikov, L.D. 1999 On a property of the classical zeta-function associated with the Riemann hypothesis *Russian Mathematical Surveys* **54** 262–263.
- [15] Pustyl'nikov, L.D. 2000 On the asymptotic behaviour of the Taylor series coefficients of $\xi(s)$ *Russian Mathematical Surveys* **55** 349–350.
- [16] Griffin, M., Ono, K., Rolen, L. and Zagier, D. Jensen polynomials for the Riemann zeta function and other sequences 2019 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **116**, 11103-11110.

- [17] Kreminski, R. 2005
<http://faculty.colostate-pueblo.edu/rick.kreminski/stieltjesrelated/sigmavalues2005.txt>
- [18] Coffey, M.W. 2005 Toward verification of the Riemann hypothesis: application of the Li criterion *Mathematical Physics, Analysis and Geometry* **8** 211-255.
- [19] Titchmarsh, E.C. 1939 *The Theory of Functions*, Oxford, Oxford, Chapter 7.




Figure 3: The behaviour of the three functions $\xi_+(s)$ (red), $\xi(s)$ (blue) and $\xi_-(s)$ (black) along part of unit circle is shown.




Figure 4: The behaviour of the three functions $\xi_+(s)$ (red), $\xi(s)$ (blue) and $\xi_-(s)$ (black) along part of the real axis is shown.




Figure 5: The behaviour of the three functions $\log(\xi_+(s))$ (red), $\log(\xi(s))$ (blue) and $\Re \log(\xi_-(s))$ (black) along part of the real axis is shown.




Figure 6: (Left) The values of $\log a_n/n$ for n ranging up to 4000. (Right) The R_n values (blue) and the fit function (39) (red) for n ranging from 1000 to 4000.




Figure 7: The values of the singularity estimate b_n as a function of n .




Figure 8: The function $1/\phi(z)$ as a function of z is compared with the series (46).