

A TRIANGULATION OF THE FLOW POLYTOPE OF THE ZIGZAG GRAPH

RACHEL BRUNNER AND CHRISTOPHER R. H. HANUSA

ABSTRACT. We show that the dual graph of the triangulation of the flow polytope of the zigzag graph adorned with the length-reverse-length framing is a subgraph of a grid graph. Through Mészáros, Morales, and Striker’s bijection between simplices of the triangulation, integer flows of a different, supplemental flow polytope, we provide a simple numerical characterization of the adjacency between the triangulation’s simplices in terms of their corresponding integer flows. The proofs result from the development of Postnikov and Stanley’s sequences of noncrossing bipartite trees as combinatorial objects we call groves. We propose two new statistics derived from this construction that we conjecture recover the h^* -polynomial of the flow polytope of the zigzag graph.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Flow Polytopes. Let G be a (directed) graph with vertex set $V = [n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$ and edge set E . Designate a *net flow vector* $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ to specify an amount of flow a_i generated or destroyed at each vertex $i \in V$. A *flow* on G with net flow \mathbf{a} is a function $\varphi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies *conservation of flow* at every vertex $i \in V$; that is,

$$\sum_{e \in \text{in}(i)} \varphi(e) + a_i = \sum_{e \in \text{out}(i)} \varphi(e),$$

where $\text{in}(i)$ and $\text{out}(i)$ are the sets of incoming and outgoing edges of i , respectively. The *flow polytope* $\mathcal{F}_G(\mathbf{a})$ is the set of all flows on G with net flow \mathbf{a} . The simplest choice for \mathbf{a} is the vector $\mathbf{u} = (1, 0, \dots, 0, -1) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$; in this case the flow polytope $\mathcal{F}_G(\mathbf{u})$ embodies all ways to send one unit of flow through G from vertex 1 to vertex n .

Two polytopes $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ are said to be *integrally equivalent* if there is an affine transformation $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ whose restriction to \mathcal{P} is a bijection to \mathcal{Q} that preserves the lattice. Two integrally equivalent polytopes can be thought of as essentially the same polytope—they have the same face structure, normalized volume, and Ehrhart polynomial.

Flow polytopes have been studied in multiple contexts over the past few decades in algebra, combinatorics, geometry, and representation theory. There are connections to representation theory and Lie Algebras through the study of Kostant partition functions [BV08, MM15]. They have been used to study Tesler matrices and diagonal harmonics [MMR17, LMM19]. They are related to subdivision algebras and Grothendieck polynomials [MS20]. Toric varieties associated to flow polytopes connect to moduli spaces of quiver representations [Hil96, DJ22].

Version of July 1, 2024.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05A19, 05C21, 52B12, 52B05, 52C07; Secondary: 05C05, 05C20, 05C30, 52A38, 52B11.

Key words and phrases. flow polytope, zigzag graph, zigzag poset, triangulation, DKK triangulation, framing, grove, maximal clique, integer flow, integer lattice, square grid graph, h^* polynomial, swap statistic, sz statistic, zs statistic, descent statistic.




FIGURE 1. The zigzag graph Zig_7 (in black) and the zigzag poset \mathcal{Z}_5 (in red).




FIGURE 2. The contracted zigzag graph $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n$

1.2. Zigzag graphs. This article explores flow polytopes of zigzag graphs. The *zigzag graph* Zig_n has vertices $[n]$ and two types of directed edges: $i \rightarrow i+1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $i \rightarrow i+2$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. It has many nice properties—it is a planar graph, a spinal graph, and the distance graph $G(2, n)$. (See [GHMY23] for the latter definitions.)

This graph gets its name from the zigzag poset \mathcal{Z}_n , defined by the covering relations $1 < 2 > 3 < 4 > \dots < n$. The poset \mathcal{Z}_n is intricately related to *alternating permutations*—permutations $\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_n$ such that $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 < \alpha_4 > \dots$. The linear extensions of the zigzag poset, when read as permutations in one-line notation, are the inverses of the alternating permutations. The reader is referred to [Sta10, PZ24].

The poset \mathcal{Z}_n also appears naturally as the truncated dual graph of the planar drawing of Zig_{n+2} as in Figure 1. Because of this, a result of Postnikov [MMS19, Theorem 3.11] proves that $\mathcal{F}_{\text{Zig}_{n+2}}(\mathbf{u})$ is integrally equivalent to the order polytope $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}_n)$. The object $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Z}_n)$ is studied by Coons and Sullivant [CS19] and its h^* -polynomial is described in terms of a *swap* statistic. We discuss these connections in more detail in Section 4.

Following [GHMY23, Example 2.15], we create the *contracted zigzag graph* $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n$ from Zig_{n+2} by contracting edges $1 \rightarrow 2$ and $n+1 \rightarrow n+2$ and reindexing the vertices to run from 1 to n as shown in Figure 2. As a result, every *inner vertex* (2 through $n-1$) of $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n$ has in-degree and out-degree 2.

The edges $x_i : i \rightarrow i+1$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ are called *slack edges* and the edges $y_0 : 1 \rightarrow 2$, $y_{n-1} : n-1 \rightarrow n$, and $y_i : i \rightarrow i+2$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ are called *nonslack edges*. For the inner vertices, define the set of *incoming edges* $\text{In}(i) = \{x_{i-1}, y_{i-2}\}$ and the set of *outgoing edges* $\text{Out}(i) = \{x_i, y_i\}$.

A path from 1 to n will be called a *route*. A path from 1 to i will be called a *prefix* and a path from i to n will be called a *suffix*.

[MS20, Lemma 2.2] and [GHMY23, Proposition 2.12] prove that the flow polytopes $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n}(\mathbf{u})$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\text{Zig}_{n+2}}(\mathbf{u})$ are integrally equivalent, so this modification does not affect the combinatorics. From now on, we focus our study only on the contracted zigzag graph.

1.3. Framings and DKK Triangulations. We aim to understand the structure of the flow polytope $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n}(\mathbf{u})$ by exploring its decomposition into unimodular triangulations. In [DKK12], Danilov, Karzanov, and Koshevoy introduce a way to generate many different triangulations of $\mathcal{F}_G(\mathbf{u})$ through different framings on the graph G . Following the presentation




FIGURE 3. The length-reverse-length framing at every inner vertex of $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n$.

of González D’León et al. [GMP⁺23], a *framing* F on G is a choice of linear orders $<_{\text{In}(i)}$ and $<_{\text{Out}(i)}$ associated to the sets of incoming and outgoing edges of every inner vertex $i \in V(G)$.

Two framings have been the focus of recent study—the length framing [BBB⁺22, BGM21] and the planar framing [BGM21, MMS19]. In the length framing, edges are ordered by their lengths—longer edges come before shorter edges. The planar framing is defined when G is a planar graph and is drawn with a planar embedding; the order of the edges is determined by the relative spacial positions of the edges.

In this paper we use the *length-reverse-length (LRL) framing*. The LRL framing applies different orderings to the incoming edges and the outgoing edges. On incoming edges, the “longer” nonslack edges are first and the “shorter” slack edges are second (so $y_{i-2} <_{\text{In}(i)} x_{i-1}$ at vertex i); whereas, on the outgoing edges, the slack edges are first and the nonslack edges are second (so $x_i <_{\text{Out}(i)} y_i$ at vertex i). See Figure 3.

A framing induces a total order on the sets of prefixes and suffixes at all inner vertices i , as follows. Let P and P' be two different prefixes at i . There is some last vertex j (at i or before) where P and P' come together, along edges e and e' , respectively. We order $P < P'$ if $e <_{\text{In}(j)} e'$. Similarly, if Q and Q' are two different suffixes at i , there is some first vertex k (at i or after) where Q and Q' diverge, along edges e and e' ; we order $Q < Q'$ if $e <_{\text{Out}(k)} e'$.

A *maximal clique* is a maximal set of coherent routes. For a framing F on a graph G , we denote by \mathcal{C}_G^F the set of all maximal cliques. Since every route is a vertex of $\mathcal{F}_G(\mathbf{u})$, we can take the convex hull of these vertices and interpret a maximal clique C as a simplex $\Delta_C \subseteq \mathcal{F}_G(\mathbf{u})$. For a graph G with a framing F , the *DKK triangulation* \mathcal{T}_G^F of $\mathcal{F}_G(\mathbf{u})$ is the set of simplices

$$\mathcal{T}_G^F = \{\Delta_C \text{ for } C \in \mathcal{C}_G^F\}.$$

This construction gives a regular unimodular triangulation of $\mathcal{F}_G(\mathbf{u})$, as proved in [DKK12].


Theorem 1.1 ([DKK12, Theorems 1 and 2]). \mathcal{T}_G^F contains the maximal cells of a regular triangulation of $\mathcal{F}_G(\mathbf{u})$.

When $G = \widehat{\text{Zig}}_n$ and F is the LRL framing, we simplify notation and write $\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}}$ for $\mathcal{T}_{\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n}^{\text{LRL}}$.

We say that two simplices in a triangulation are *adjacent* if they share a co-dimension 1 face, or equivalently, their sets of vertices differ by exactly one vertex. Therefore, adjacent simplicies in a DKK triangulation correspond to maximal cliques that differ by exactly one route. Danilov, Karzanov, and Koshevoy explain exactly how these two differing routes are related.

Theorem 1.2 ([DKK12, Proposition 3]). Two maximal cliques C and C' correspond to two adjacent simplices in \mathcal{T}_G^F if the routes $R = PiQ$ and $R' = P'iQ'$ that they differ by (a) share a common inner vertex i and (b) P and P' are consecutive in the total ordering of prefixes at i and Q and Q' are consecutive in the total ordering of suffixes at i .

Given a triangulation \mathcal{T} of the flow polytope $\mathcal{F}_G(\mathbf{u})$, we can construct the *dual graph* of the triangulation, $D(\mathcal{T})$, with vertex set $\{\Delta \mid \Delta \text{ is a simplex in } \mathcal{T}\}$ and edges between two

FIGURE 4. $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_4$'s routes.

simplices if they are adjacent. The main focus of this paper is to determine the structure of $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$.

1.4. Integer flows. For a graph G with vertices $\{1, \dots, n\}$, define its *shifted in-degree vector* to be

$$\mathbf{d} = \left(0, d_2, \dots, d_{n-1}, -\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} d_i \right),$$

where $d_i = \text{indeg}_G(i) - 1$ for an inner vertex i . For $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n$, $\mathbf{d} = (0, 1, 1, \dots, 1, -(n-2))$.

Mészáros, Morales, and Striker [MMS19, Section 7] show that for any framing F , there is a bijection between \mathcal{T}_G^F and the set $\mathcal{F}_G^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{d})$ of integer flows on G with net flow vector \mathbf{d} , which we discuss in Section 2. We will again simplify notation and write $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n}^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{d})$.

1.5. An example. Let $G = \widehat{\text{Zig}}_4$. The flow polytope $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{F}_G(\mathbf{u})$ lives in \mathbb{R}^7 because there are seven edges in G . However, the three independent constraints given by the conservation of flow equations embed \mathcal{P} in a four-dimensional subspace. As such, a simplex in a triangulation of \mathcal{P} is defined by its five vertices. The polytope \mathcal{P} is the convex hull of the eight routes in G , labelled $\boxed{1}$ through $\boxed{8}$ and shown in Figure 4.

The LRL framing induces a total ordering on the prefixes and suffixes at vertices 2 and 3 of G . At vertex 2, the prefixes are ordered $y_1 < x_1$ and the suffixes are ordered $x_2x_3 < x_2y_3 < y_2$. At vertex 3, the prefixes are ordered $y_2 < y_1x_2 < x_1x_2$ and the suffixes are ordered $x_3 < y_3$.

The normalized volume of \mathcal{P} is 5, so every triangulation of \mathcal{P} consists of five simplices. We generate the DKK triangulation of \mathcal{P} for the LRL framing, consisting of maximal cliques of routes, which specify the vertices of each simplex; see Figure 5. We label the simplices $\triangle_{\mathbf{A}}$ through $\triangle_{\mathbf{E}}$.

Note that routes $\boxed{3}$ and $\boxed{7}$ appear as vertices in every simplex. If we project onto the appropriate two-dimensional subspace, we can visualize the adjacency relations between the simplices in $\mathcal{T}_4^{\text{LRL}}$ in Figure 6; the other three vertices of each simplex are at its corners. (The reader should note that all vertices of \mathcal{P} lie on its boundary; it is only because of the projection that vertex $\boxed{5}$ appears to be in the interior of \mathcal{P} .)

We can see the adjacency between Simplex $\triangle_{\mathbf{A}}$ and Simplex $\triangle_{\mathbf{B}}$ as exchanging route $\boxed{1}$ ($x_1x_2x_3$) for route $\boxed{5}$ ($y_1x_2y_3$). This is a manifestation of Theorem 1.2 because setting $i = 2$, $P = x_1$, $Q = x_2x_3$, $P' = y_1$, and $Q' = x_2y_3$, we see that $P' < P$ and $Q < Q'$ as consecutive prefixes and suffixes at vertex 2.




FIGURE 5. The combinatorics of the DKK triangulation $\mathcal{T}_G^{\text{LR}}$ of $G = \widehat{\text{Zig}}_4$. Each simplex corresponds to an integer flow $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_G^{\mathbb{Z}}(0, 1, 2, -3)$, a maximal clique C of five routes, and a grove Γ of two noncrossing bipartite trees.




FIGURE 6. The adjacency structure of the five simplices of $\mathcal{T}_4^{\text{LR}}$.

1.6. Our Main Results. When we concern ourselves only with the graph $G = \widehat{\text{Zig}}_n$ and the length-reverse-length framing, we can understand the structure of the dual graph $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$.

We are able to show that adjacency of simplices in this triangulation translates to a predictable numerical condition between the corresponding integer flows, which we call an elementary move. Here is how an elementary move applies to a flow φ .

Definition 1.3. Define the *elementary moves* m_i^+ and m_i^- from $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$ to $\mathcal{F}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ as follows.

If $\varphi(x_i) > 0$ and $\varphi(y_{i+1}) > 0$, define $m_i^+(\varphi)$ to be the flow that subtracts one from $\varphi(x_i)$ and $\varphi(y_{i+1})$ and adds one to $\varphi(x_{i+2})$ and $\varphi(y_i)$. If $\varphi(x_i) = 0$ or $\varphi(y_{i+1}) = 0$, m_i^+ does not apply to φ .

If $\varphi(x_{i+2}) > 0$ and $\varphi(y_i) > 0$, define $m_i^-(\varphi)$ to be the flow that subtracts one from $\varphi(x_{i+2})$ and $\varphi(y_i)$ and adds one to $\varphi(x_i)$ and $\varphi(y_{i+1})$. If $\varphi(x_{i+2}) = 0$ or $\varphi(y_i) = 0$, m_i^- does not apply to φ .

(When i is $n-2$ or $n-1$, ignore all conditions and operations on nonsensical values such as $\varphi(x_n)$, $\varphi(y_n)$, or $\varphi(x_{n+1})$.)

Consider the integer flows corresponding to the simplices in Section 1.5. We can compute that $m_2^+(\triangle_B) = \triangle_D$, $m_3^+(\triangle_B) = \triangle_C$, and $m_3^-(\triangle_B) = \triangle_A$. On the other hand, m_2^- does not apply to \triangle_B because $\varphi(y_2) = 0$. It is not a coincidence that we have found all the simplices adjacent to \triangle_B in the triangulation. Indeed, we will prove the following result.

Theorem 1.4. *If two simplices of $\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}}$ are adjacent, then their corresponding integer flows differ by one of the elementary moves.*

The elementary moves play the role of $n-2$ orthogonal directions along which one simplex can be adjacent to another, which allows us to embed the dual graph $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ in an integer lattice. Let \square_n be the n -dimensional square grid graph.

Theorem 1.5. *The dual graph $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ is a subgraph of \square_{n-2} .*

We see this in our example in Section 1.5—the dual graph $D(\mathcal{T}_4^{\text{LRL}})$ in Figure 6 is embeds in \mathbb{Z}^2 in a straightforward manner with \triangle_A at $(0,0)$, \triangle_B at $(1,0)$, \triangle_C at $(2,0)$, \triangle_D at $(1,1)$, and \triangle_E at $(2,1)$.

This is half the story. We also present a numerical method for determining which neighboring points in the integer lattice correspond to adjacent simplices in $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$.

Definition 1.6. Given an integer flow $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and an inner vertex i , define the *sequence of offsets of φ at i* , $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\varphi) = (z_{i+1}, \dots, z_{n-1})$, as follows.

If $i = n-1$, define $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\varphi)$ to be the empty sequence and STOP.

Otherwise, initialize $z_{i+1} = \varphi(y_{i+1})$. Then, as long as some entry of $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\varphi)$ is not defined, find the largest index j for which z_j is defined, and compute subsequent terms:

If $z_j < 0$, define $z_{j+1} = z_j + \varphi(y_{j+1})$.

If $z_j > 0$, define $z_{j+1} = \emptyset$ and, if $j < n-2$, $z_{j+2} = z_j - \varphi(x_{j+2})$.

If $z_j = 0$, define $z_k = 0$ for all $k \geq j$.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be an integer flow where $\varphi' = m_i^+(\varphi)$ is defined. The two simplices in $\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}}$ corresponding to φ and φ' are adjacent in $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ if and only if the sequence of offsets of φ at i contains no zeroes.*




FIGURE 7. Two integer flows φ_1 and φ_2 and their respective images φ'_1 and φ'_2 under m_2^+ . See Example 1.8.

Example 1.8. Consider the integer flows φ_1 and φ_2 in $\mathcal{F}_6^{\mathbb{Z}}$ shown in Figure 7. The elementary move m_2^+ applies to both φ_1 and φ_2 , giving φ'_1 and φ'_2 respectively. The sequences of offsets are

$$\mathbf{z}^{(2)}(\varphi_1) = (2, \emptyset, -1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{z}^{(2)}(\varphi_2) = (1, \emptyset, 0).$$

Theorem 1.7 shows that the simplices in $D(\mathcal{T}_6^{\text{LRL}})$ corresponding to φ_1 and φ'_1 are adjacent while that the simplices in $D(\mathcal{T}_6^{\text{LRL}})$ corresponding to φ_2 and φ'_2 are not adjacent.

The proofs of the theorems in this section will be provided in Section 3. They rely heavily on a third family of combinatorial objects—sequences of noncrossing bipartite trees we call groves—that are in bijection with the simplices and the integer flows. We have found this construct to be an essential part of this story. Indeed, the focus on groves as a combinatorial object worthy of study is a new perspective we bring to the discussion. The general theory of groves is developed and discussed in Section 2.

Section 4 conjectures two new statistics— \mathbf{sz} and \mathbf{zs} —for the h^* -polynomial of the flow polytope $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n}(\mathbf{u})$ that arise from the structure of $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$. Our examples show that \mathbf{sz} and \mathbf{zs} are distinct from (but equidistributed with) statistics used to find the h^* -polynomial in the past—the \mathbf{swap} statistic given in [CS19] and the descent statistic given in [AJR20, GHMY23]. We conclude this article with questions for future study.

2. GROVES

2.1. Introducing groves. We now discuss another family of combinatorial objects that are in bijection with the maximal cliques and integer flows introduced in Section 1. Each object is a sequence of trees, so we affectionately call them *groves*[†]. Groves, referred to as “tuples of noncrossing bipartite trees” in [MMS19], were introduced by Postnikov in unpublished work, were first used in publication by Mézáros and Morales in [MM15], and were used to establish the bijection between \mathcal{T}_G^F and $\mathcal{F}_G^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{d})$ by Mézáros, Morales, and Striker in [MMS19] where they form the backbone of framed Postnikov-Stanley triangulations.

We wish to highlight groves as fundamental combinatorial objects in their own right because they provide a balance between the complexity of maximal cliques and the simplicity of integer flows. They appear to have just enough information about the structure of an integer flow to form the basis for key proofs in [MMS19] and here.

Given a graph G with vertex set $[n]$ and a framing F on G , a *grove* $\Gamma = (\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-1})$ consists of $n - 2$ noncrossing bipartite trees. Each tree γ_i has left vertices l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{p_i} and right vertices r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{o_i} , where o_i is the number $|\text{Out}(i)|$ of outgoing edges from i in G .

[†]These *flow groves* have no relation to Carroll and Speyer’s *domino tiling groves*.

The number p_i of left vertices[‡] is determined by the structure of the previous trees γ_2 through γ_{i-1} as follows.

For each edge $e : h \rightarrow i$ in G , determine the position q of e in the total ordering $<_{\text{Out}(h)}$ and define $\deg(e)$ to be the degree of r_q in γ_h . (If h is the source vertex, set $\deg(e) = 1$.) Then $p_i = \sum_{e \in \text{In}(i)} \deg(e)$ is the sum of the degrees corresponding to incoming edges to i in G .

An important consequence of this construction is that the tree γ_i depends only on the structure of the graph G at vertex i and *before*, and not on vertices *after* vertex i .

We denote by \mathcal{G}_G^F the set of all groves associated to a graph G with a framing F . We will simplify notation by writing $\mathcal{G}_n^{\text{LRL}}$ for $\mathcal{G}_{\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n}^{\text{LRL}}$.

Example 2.1. Let us determine the set $\mathcal{G}_4^{\text{LRL}}$ of groves $\Gamma = (\gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ for $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_4$ with the LRL framing.

Tree γ_2 has two left vertices because its two incoming edges y_0 and x_1 start at the source vertex so they each contribute 1 to the sum of the degrees. Further, γ_2 has two right vertices r_1 and r_2 corresponding to the two outgoing edges x_2 and y_2 , respectively. Therefore there are exactly two possible noncrossing bipartite trees for γ_2 , shown here.

$$\gamma_2^{(1)} = \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \quad \gamma_2^{(2)} = \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array}$$

Tree γ_3 must have two right vertices because vertex 3 has two outgoing edges, x_3 and y_3 . The number of left vertices in γ_3 depends on γ_2 . We need to determine the contribution to the sum of the degrees from y_1 and x_2 . The contribution from y_1 is always 1 since it starts at vertex 1. Since x_2 is first in $<_{\text{Out}(2)}$, the contribution from x_2 depends on the degree of r_1 in γ_2 (its lower right vertex). When $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2^{(1)}$, the degree of r_1 is 2, so there are three left vertices in γ_3 . When $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2^{(2)}$, the degree of r_1 is 1, so there are two left vertices in γ_3 .

Enumerating the possible noncrossing bipartite trees on either 3 and 2 or 2 and 2 vertices, we determine that $\mathcal{G}_4^{\text{LRL}}$ consists of the following five groves.

$$\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagdown \\ \diagup \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \diagup \\ \diagdown \end{array}$$

2.2. Maximal cliques and groves. Let F be a framing on a graph $G = ([n], E)$, and let C be a maximal clique made up of routes R_1 through $R_{|E|-n+2}$. We will construct the grove $\Gamma = (\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-1})$ corresponding to C .

At an inner vertex i , identify the distinct prefixes that arrive at i and occur in at least one route of C . Create one left vertex in γ_i for each prefix, ordered from bottom to top by the total ordering induced by the framing. Create one right vertex in γ_i for each outgoing edge from i , ordered from bottom to top by the framing. The edges of γ_i connect left vertices corresponding to prefixes to right vertices corresponding to outgoing edges whenever there is a route that contains that prefix and outgoing edge.

This construction where left vertices correspond to prefixes and right vertices correspond to outgoing edges was presented in [MMS19]; they develop this construction further by recursively computing a sequence of auxiliary graphs through which they arrive at the corresponding routes in C . We do not need that entire construction; Theorem 7.8 of [MMS19] establishes the following.

[‡]In Section 2.2 we see left vertices correspond to route prefixes, hence the variable p_i .




FIGURE 8. For a graph G with a framing F in which $e_1 <_{\text{In}(i)} e_2 <_{\text{In}(i)} e_3$ and $e'_1 <_{\text{Out}(i)} e'_2 <_{\text{Out}(i)} e'_3 <_{\text{Out}(i)} e'_4$, the bijection between an integer flow φ and the grove Γ is understood by the relationship between the flow in edges of G incident with vertex i and the degrees of the vertices in tree γ_i .

Theorem 2.2 ([MMS19, Theorem 7.8]). *This correspondence is a bijection between \mathcal{C}_G^F and \mathcal{G}_G^F .*

In $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n$, by construction every tree γ_i has two right vertices corresponding to outgoing edges x_i and y_i , in that order from bottom to top.

Example 2.3. Consider the maximal clique C associated to simplex $\triangle A$ in Figure 5. We will show that C corresponds to the grove $\Gamma = (\gamma_2, \gamma_3)$ shown directly below it.

To construct γ_2 , notice that at vertex 2 there are two distinct prefixes, $y_0 < x_1$, and two outgoing edges, $x_2 < y_2$. The prefix y_0 only occurs in route $\square 2$ and continues along the edge x_2 . This gives the bottom edge of γ_2 . The prefix x_1 occurs in routes $\square 1$, $\square 4$, and $\square 7$. The former two routes continue along edge x_2 while the last route continues along y_2 . Therefore in γ_2 , the upper left vertex corresponding to x_1 connects to both right vertices.

Similarly, γ_3 is constructed by identifying the three prefixes that occur as routes passing through vertex 3, $y_1 < y_0x_2 < x_1x_2$, and the two outgoing edges $x_3 < y_3$. All three prefixes continue along edge x_3 (routes $\square 1$, $\square 2$, and $\square 3$), while only prefix x_1x_2 continues along edge y_3 in route $\square 4$.

2.3. Groves and integer flows. The correspondence between a grove $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_G^F$ and an integer flow $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_G^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{d})$ is straightforward.

Let $\Gamma = (\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{G}_G^F$ be a grove. We construct an integer flow $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_G^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{d})$ by determining the flow $\varphi(e)$ on every edge of G . First, define $\varphi(e) = 0$ for every outgoing edge from vertex 1. Every other edge e is an outgoing edge from an inner vertex i . Find the right vertex r in γ_i that corresponds to edge e . Define $\varphi(e)$ to be the number of neighbors of r minus 1. See Figure 8. The following is Lemma 7.9 of [MMS19].

Theorem 2.4 ([MMS19, Lemma 7.9]). *This correspondence is a bijection between \mathcal{G}_G^F and $\mathcal{F}_G^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{d})$.*

Example 2.5. Returning to Figure 5, we explore the correspondence between the grove and the integer flow for Simplex $\triangle A$. We proceed by assigning flow to edges in one vertex at a time. First, assign flow 0 to edges x_0 , y_0 , and y_1 . For the edges leaving vertex 2, consult γ_2 . We see that x_2 has two neighbors and y_2 has one neighbor; therefore, $\varphi(x_2) = 1$




FIGURE 9. How edges in trees correspond to left vertices in future trees. The middle edge in γ_2 (in blue) is tracked through the grove. The corresponding flow in $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_6$ is also shown.

and $\varphi(y_2) = 0$. For the edges leaving vertex 3, consult γ_3 . Notice that x_3 has three neighbors and y_3 has one neighbor; therefore $\varphi(x_3) = 2$ and $\varphi(y_3) = 0$.

2.4. Tracking edges in groves. An important aspect of a grove is that it is not simply a sequence of trees; the edges in the trees are intricately linked. This can be seen through the edge labelings presented in [MMS19]; one can also see them spacially as we describe here.

Through the bijection in Theorem 2.2, an edge $\eta : l \rightarrow r$ in tree γ_i corresponds to a pair: the left vertex l corresponds to a prefix P at i in G and the right vertex r corresponds to an outgoing edge $e : i \rightarrow j$ in G . The existence of η implies there is a route R with prefix P that continues along edge e to vertex j . This, in turn, associates the edge η to a vertex l' in γ_j , corresponding to the prefix Pe . (Except when $j = n$, in which case $R = Pe$.)

This leads to a spacial way to associate the connections between edges and vertices across trees in a grove. All edges incident with the right vertex of γ_i (corresponding to an edge $e : i \rightarrow j$) move together and arrive in γ_j as left vertices. (We like imagining them as moving in parallel along a ribbon.) Each of these collections of left vertices remains in the same order as when they left γ_i ; whereas, the multiple arriving collections of left vertices are assembled to match the incoming framing at vertex j . This is visualized in Figure 9.

This means we can *track* an edge $\eta : l \rightarrow r$ in tree γ_i forward through higher indexed trees in Γ . Let r be right vertex corresponding to an edge $e : i \rightarrow j$ in G . If $j < n$, there are two options for the left vertex l' in tree γ_j that corresponds to Pe . The first option is that l' is adjacent to two or more vertices in γ_j . In this case, we will say that the tracking process is unsuccessful. The second option is that l' is adjacent to exactly one vertex r' in γ_j . In this case, continue the tracking process by tracking this new edge $\eta' : l' \rightarrow r'$ forward in Γ . If $j = n$, the tracking process terminates and is successful. We will call an edge η of γ_i *trackable* if the tracking process is successful. This property will be important in Section 3.

Example 2.6. Figure 9 shows that the middle edge in γ_2 is trackable. The circled left vertices in γ_3 and γ_5 correspond to the tracked edges from γ_2 and γ_3 , respectively.

Lemma 2.7. *An edge of γ_i corresponding to prefix P and outgoing edge e is trackable if and only if there is a unique route $R \in C$ with prefix Pe .*

Proof. The tracking process is unsuccessful if and only if in some tree γ_k , the arriving prefix is the prefix of two or more routes in C . \square




FIGURE 10. A visualization of the difference between Γ and $\Gamma' = m_i^+(\Gamma)$.

3. THE STRUCTURE OF THE DUAL GRAPH

3.1. Operations of Groves. Section 2 describes the relationship between maximal cliques, groves, and integer flows. We use the characterization of adjacency between cliques in Theorem 1.2 to determine a characterization of adjacency between groves in $\mathcal{G}_n^{\text{LRL}}$. We start by defining an operation that will recover Definition 1.3 when translated to the world of integer flows.

Definition 3.1. Define the *elementary move* $m_i^+ : \mathcal{G}_n^{\text{LRL}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_n^{\text{LRL}}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ as follows.

Start with a grove $\Gamma = (\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-1})$.

- (1) Modify γ_i . From bottom to top, label the left vertices l_1 through l_{p_i} and label the right vertices r_1 and r_2 . Find the vertex l_j that is adjacent to both r_1 and r_2 . If $j = 1$, m_i^+ does not apply to Γ . Otherwise, replace edge $l_j r_1$ by $l_{j-1} r_2$ to create the tree γ'_i . (Informally, we call this an “S to Z move” because we replace a Σ by a Z .)
- (2) If $i < n-1$, modify γ_{i+1} . If the top vertex on the left side $l_{p_{i+1}}$ is adjacent to both vertices on the right side, m_i^+ does not apply to Γ . Otherwise, delete $l_{p_{i+1}}$ (and its incident edge) to create the tree γ'_{i+1} .
- (3) If $i < n-2$, modify γ_{i+2} . Add a new vertex l_0 at the bottom of the left side and the edge $l_0 r_1$ to create the tree γ'_{i+2} .
- (4) If m_i^+ applies to Γ , define $m_i^+(\Gamma)$ to be the grove Γ' where γ_i is replaced by γ'_i , γ_{i+1} is replaced by γ'_{i+1} and γ_{i+2} is replaced by γ'_{i+2} (as appropriate).

This procedure is visualized in Figure 10. The *elementary move* m_i^- is the inverse operation that takes Γ' to Γ . We say that Γ and Γ' are *adjacent* if they are related by an elementary move.

Theorem 3.2. *If two simplices of $\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}}$ are adjacent, then their corresponding groves differ by one of the elementary moves.*

Proof. Let Δ_C and $\Delta_{C'}$ be two adjacent simplices that correspond to maximal cliques C and C' . By Theorem 1.2, there are two routes $R \in C$ and $R' \in C'$ that satisfy $R = PiQ$ and $R' = P'iQ'$ for prefixes $P' < P$ and suffixes $Q < Q'$ adjacent in their total orderings at i . This vertex i may not be unique because it may be a vertex along a maximal path including i that R and R' share. Choose i to be the last vertex on this path. In this way, Q and Q' start with the edges x_i and y_i .

The groves $\Gamma = (\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-1})$ and $\Gamma' = (\gamma'_2, \dots, \gamma'_{n-1})$ are related in a predictable way, as described here and visualized in Figure 10.

For all $j < i$, $\gamma_j = \gamma'_j$ because each tree only reflects the behavior of routes up to and including that vertex. The first trees where the groves differ is at the i -th tree. The subtrees of γ_i and γ'_i corresponding to P , P' , x_i , and y_i are as shown in Figure 11; the remainder of each tree is unchanged.




FIGURE 11. Subtrees in two adjacent groves

Up to two additional pairs of trees in the groves are different, depending on the value of i .

If $i \leq n - 2$, γ_{i+1} and γ'_{i+1} are different. In tree γ_{i+1} of Γ , there is a vertex corresponding to the prefix Px_i and this prefix is the highest in the total ordering of prefixes at vertex $i + 1$. Furthermore, this prefix can't be adjacent to both x_{i+1} and y_{i+1} in γ_{i+1} or else its removal would delete two routes from C . In tree γ'_{i+1} of Γ' , this prefix does not exist.

If $i \leq n - 3$, γ_{i+2} and γ'_{i+2} are different. In tree γ'_{i+2} of Γ' , there is a vertex corresponding to the prefix $P'y_i$ and this prefix is the lowest in the total ordering of prefixes at vertex $i + 2$. Furthermore, this prefix can't be adjacent to both right vertices in γ'_{i+2} or else its removal would delete two routes from C' . In tree γ_{i+2} of Γ , this prefix does not exist.

Because of the structure imposed by the graph $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n$ and the LRL framing, an noteworthy consequence is that $\gamma_j = \gamma'_j$ for all $j \geq i + 3$. This is because in trees γ_{i+3} and γ'_{i+3} (if they exist), the position of the corresponding prefixes $Px_i y_{i+1}$ in γ_{i+3} and $P'y_i x_{i+2}$ in γ'_{i+3} coincide in the total order of prefixes at vertex $i + 3$. The prefix $Px_i y_{i+1}$ is the lowest prefix in the prefixes that end in y_{i+1} and the prefix $P'y_i x_{i+2}$ is the highest prefix in the prefixes that end in x_{i+2} . No other prefixes differ in this tree.

The overlapping of these prefixes in tree $i + 3$ means that all future trees are the same, even if the label that corresponds to the continuation of the modified prefix is different. In other words, if $i \geq n + 4$, the routes being exchanged between C and C' are necessarily $R = Px_i y_{i+1} S$ and $R' = P'y_i x_{i+2} S$ for the same suffix S starting at vertex $i + 3$.

An important observation about this configuration is that at no point can the prefix of the route R arriving at a vertex $j \geq i + 3$ and be adjacent to both right vertices because then C would differ from C' by more than one route. \square

Theorem 1.4 now follows as a direct corollary.

Theorem 1.4. *If two simplices of $\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}}$ are adjacent, then their corresponding integer flows differ by one of the elementary moves.*

Proof. Theorem 2.4 proves that the only edges whose flows change when m_i^+ or m_i^- is applied are the edges x_i , y_{i+1} , y_i , and x_{i+2} .

The conditions for m_i^+ and m_i^- for integer flows are a direct translation of the conditions for m_i^+ and m_i^- for groves. \square




FIGURE 12. The result of applying m_2^+ to the element in Figure 9. The edge flows that have changed are highlighted in red.

The parallels between the elementary moves in groves and in integer flows are visualized in Figure 12. Note that the relative position of the circled vertex in γ_5 is the same as the relative position of the circled vertex in γ'_5 , as discussed in the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Let Γ_0 be the grove in \mathcal{G}_n^F that corresponds to the integer flow $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$ in which all the flow is in slack variables. In other words,

$$\varphi_0 = (0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 2, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let Γ be a grove in \mathcal{G}_n^F . Then there is a sequence of elementary moves $(m_{j_1}^{\epsilon_1}, \dots, m_{j_l}^{\epsilon_l})$ with $\epsilon_k \in \{+, -\}$ for $1 \leq k \leq l$ such that $\Gamma = m_{j_l}^{\epsilon_l} \circ \dots \circ m_{j_1}^{\epsilon_1}(\Gamma_0)$.*

Proof. Let Δ_0 and Δ be the simplices in the triangulation \mathcal{T} corresponding to Γ_0 and Γ , respectively. The dual graph to the DKK triangulation $D(\mathcal{T})$ is connected, so there is a path in $D(\mathcal{T})$ from Δ_0 to Δ . The sequence of simplices along that path are adjacent, so their corresponding groves are related by an elementary move, and the result follows. \square

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.5. *The dual graph $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ is a subgraph of \square_{n-2} .*

Proof. We prove this theorem by specifying the coordinates of the embedding of each vertex of the dual graph $D = D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ and then showing that the only edges connecting vertices in D are edges of the square grid graph.

Notate by $\mathbf{e}_k \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-2}$ the basis vector with a 1 in position k and 0's elsewhere. Consider a simplex $\Delta \in \mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}}$ corresponding to a grove Γ and an integer flow φ . By Lemma 3.3, there is a sequence of elementary moves $(m_{j_1}^{\epsilon_1}, \dots, m_{j_l}^{\epsilon_l})$ associated to Γ .

We embed the corresponding vertex of D at the lattice point

$$\sum_{i=1}^l \epsilon_i \mathbf{e}_{j_i}.$$

This coordinate designation is well defined. By Definition 1.3, applying the move $m_k^{\epsilon_k}$ to a flow results in a new flow that differs by $\epsilon_k \cdot (0, \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, 0, 0, \dots, 1, -1, \dots, 0)$. If two sequences of elementary moves $(m_{j_1}^{\epsilon_1}, \dots, m_{j_l}^{\epsilon_l})$ yield the same lattice point, then the resulting integer flows (and therefore simplices) also coincide.

By Theorem 3.2, the edges of D only connect two integer lattice points that differ by \mathbf{e}_k for some $1 \leq k \leq n - 2$, which is precisely the condition that they are edges of the square grid graph. \square

3.2. Adjacency of Groves. The embedding of $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ in Theorem 1.5 shows that the vertices of $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ lie in a predictable lattice structure. However, this does not determine which neighboring lattice points of \square_{n-2} are adjacent in $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$. We now provide a characterization of this adjacency.

Definition 3.4. Given a grove $\Gamma = (\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{n-1})$ and an index $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, define the *sequence of offsets* of Γ at i , $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\Gamma) = (z_{i+1}, \dots, z_{n-1})$ by using the tracking method from Section 2.4 as follows.

If $i = n-1$, define $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\Gamma)$ to be the empty sequence. Otherwise, track the topmost edge connected to r_1 in γ_i . This process arrives first at tree γ_{i+1} . When the tracking process arrives at tree γ_j , consider the left vertex l corresponding to the arriving prefix P :

- If l is adjacent to **both** right vertices, record $z_k = 0$ for all $k \geq j$ and STOP.
- If l is **only** adjacent to right vertex r_1 , record z_j to be -1 times the number of edges above lr_1 that are adjacent to r_1 . If $j = n-1$, STOP. Otherwise, continue the tracking process in tree γ_{j+1} with the left vertex corresponding to prefix Px_j .
- If l is **only** adjacent to right vertex r_2 , record z_j to be the number of edges below lr_2 that are adjacent to r_2 . If $j \leq n-2$, record z_{j+1} to be \emptyset . If $j \geq n-2$, STOP. Otherwise, continue the tracking process in tree γ_{j+2} with the left vertex corresponding to prefix Py_j .

This sequence of offsets provides numerical information about the tracking process. A $z_j = \emptyset$ corresponds to the tracked edge bypassing tree γ_j . The first j for which $z_j = 0$ corresponds to a left vertex corresponding to the incoming prefix in tree γ_j being adjacent to both right vertices. A non-zero integer value for z_j corresponds to the (signed) distance in tree γ_j between the left vertex corresponding to the incoming prefix and the left vertex that is connected to both right vertices.

The following lemma follows directly from the definition of trackable.

Lemma 3.5. *The topmost edge incident to r_1 in γ_i is trackable if and only if $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\Gamma)$ contains no zeroes.*

The definition of the sequence of offsets of a flow φ is the translation of the definition of the sequence of offsets of its corresponding grove Γ .

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_n^{\text{LRL}}$ and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be its corresponding integer flow. For all $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, The sequence of offsets $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\Gamma)$ in Definition 3.4 equals the sequence of offsets $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\varphi)$ of in Definition 1.6.*

Proof. If $i = n-1$, the sequence of offsets is the empty sequence in both definitions. When $i < n-1$, we first show that the values of z_{i+1} agree and that the rest of the values agree by induction.

We find z_{i+1} from Γ by tracking the topmost edge lr_1 connected to r_1 in γ_i . This edge arrives in γ_{i+1} as the topmost left vertex l' , which must be adjacent to r'_2 in γ_{i+1} . Definition 3.4 gives z_{i+1} as the number of edges in γ_{i+1} below $l'r'_2$ that are adjacent to r'_2 . By Theorem 2.4, this equals $\varphi(y_{i+1})$, which is exactly the value of z_{i+1} from φ given in Definition 1.6.

Now suppose that $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\varphi)$ and $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\Gamma)$ agree up to the j -th entry and $z_j \neq 0$. We will show that the next entry (or entries) also agree.

Suppose $z_j < 0$. This means that in tree γ_j , the tracked edge is lr_1 , which is the $(-z_j+1)$ -st edge from the top of the edges adjacent to r_1 . This, in turn, ensures that this edge becomes

the $(-z_j + 1)$ -st left vertex l' from the top in tree γ_{j+1} . There are $\varphi(y_{j+1}) + 1$ left vertices adjacent to r'_2 in γ_{j+2} . So the signed distance between l' and the vertex adjacent to both r'_1 and r'_2 is $z_j + \varphi(y_{j+1})$ and the values of $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\varphi)$ and $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\Gamma)$ agree up to the $(j + 1)$ -st entry.

Suppose $z_j > 0$. In tree γ_j , the tracked edge is lr_2 , which is the $(z_j + 1)$ -st edge from the bottom of the edges adjacent to r_2 . This, in turn, ensures that this edge becomes the $(z_j + 1)$ -st left vertex l' from the bottom in tree γ_{j+2} . There are $\varphi(x_{j+2}) + 1$ left vertices adjacent to r'_1 in γ_{j+2} . So the signed distance between l' and the vertex adjacent to both r'_1 and r'_2 is $z_j - \varphi(x_{j+2})$. In both $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\varphi)$ and $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\Gamma)$, $z_{j+1} = \emptyset$ (if it exists), and we also have agreement in z_{j+2} , so the values agree up to the $(j + 2)$ -st entry.

If at any point $z_j = 0$, then $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\Gamma)$ and $\mathbf{z}^{(i)}(\varphi)$ agree for all subsequent entries. This completes the inductive step. \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let Γ and Γ' be two groves that satisfy $\Gamma' = m_i^+(\Gamma)$ for some i . The two simplices in $\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}}$ corresponding to Γ and Γ' are adjacent in $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ if and only if the sequence of offsets of Γ at i contains no zeroes.*

Proof. Suppose Γ and Γ' satisfy $\Gamma' = m_i^+(\Gamma)$ for some i . Let C and C' be the maximal cliques that correspond to Γ and Γ' , respectively.

By Lemma 3.5, the sequence of offsets of Γ at i contains zeroes if and only if the topmost edge e incident to r_1 in γ_i (corresponding to a prefix P) is not trackable. By Lemma 2.7, this is true if and only if there are multiple distinct routes R_1 and R_2 that have prefix Pe . Performing the elementary move m_i^+ removes e from Γ ; as a consequence, the previous statement is equivalent to C and C' differing by at least two routes, or, in other words, their corresponding simplices are not adjacent. \square

Theorem 1.7 now follows directly as a corollary of Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 to provide a complete combinatorial characterization of $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be an integer flow where $\varphi' = m_i^+(\varphi)$ is defined. The two simplices in \mathcal{T}^{LRL} corresponding to φ and φ' are adjacent in $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ if and only if the sequence of offsets of φ at i contains no zeroes.*

4. THE h^* -VECTOR

A popular refinement of the normalized volume of a flow polytope is its h^* -vector; our constructions provide two new conjectured statistics that both recover this vector. We now provide some context and refer the reader to [BR15, Chap. 3, 10] for more background information.

For an integral convex polytope \mathcal{P} of dimension d in \mathbb{R}^n , the Ehrhart series $L_{\mathcal{P}}(t)$ of \mathcal{P} is the generating function that encodes the number of integer lattice points in dilates $t\mathcal{P}$ of \mathcal{P} . The h^* -polynomial of \mathcal{P} is the polynomial $h_{\mathcal{P}}^*(z)$ defined by

$$1 + \sum_{t \geq 1} L_{\mathcal{P}}(t)z^t = \frac{h_{\mathcal{P}}^*(z)}{(1 - z)^{d+1}}.$$

The vector of coefficients of $h_{\mathcal{P}}^*(z)$ is called the h^* -vector of \mathcal{P} . Stanley [Sta80] proved that the coefficients are non-negative integers and the sum of the entries is $\text{vol}(\mathcal{P})$.

When a polytope \mathcal{P} admits a regular unimodular triangulation \mathcal{T} , we can explore \mathcal{T} as a simplicial complex. The simplicial complex has an associated h -polynomial which, in this special case, is equal to the h^* -polynomial of \mathcal{P} .

Theorem 4.1 ([BR15]). *If \mathcal{P} is an integral polytope that admits a unimodular triangulation \mathcal{T} , then the h^* -polynomial of \mathcal{P} is given by the h -polynomial of the triangulation \mathcal{T}*

Definition 4.2. A *shelling* of a triangulation \mathcal{T} is an ordering $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots$ of the simplices of \mathcal{T} such that for all $i \geq 2$, $\Delta_i \cap (\Delta_1 \cup \dots \cup \Delta_{i-1})$ is a union of some number s_i of codimension 1 faces of Δ_i . A triangulation \mathcal{T} is *shellable* if a shelling of \mathcal{T} exists.

Stanley [Sta79, Prop. 3.6] proved that if a simplicial complex is shellable, its h -vector can be calculated combinatorially. The coefficient h_j is the number of simplices Δ_i such that $s_i = j$.

We conjecture two shellings of $\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}}$. To do so, convert the undirected graph $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ into a directed graph $\vec{D}(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ by orienting its edges in the same direction as the positive basis vectors \mathbf{e}_k when $D(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ is embedded in \square_{n-2} as in the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Define the *modified lexicographic ordering* on simplices of $\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}}$ by associating to every simplex its coordinate vector from the proof of Theorem 1.5. First order these vectors by the sum of their coordinates and then order the vectors that have the same sum lexicographically.

Conjecture 4.3. The DKK-triangulation $\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}}$ is shellable because both the modified lexicographic ordering and its reverse are shellings.

Based on this construction, we can define two statistics \mathbf{sz} and \mathbf{zs}^\dagger on combinatorial objects that correspond to the vertices of $D(\mathcal{T})$: simplices $\Delta \in \mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}}$, integer flows $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}$, groves $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_n^{\text{LRL}}$, and maximal cliques $C \in \mathcal{C}_n^{\text{LRL}}$.

Definition 4.4. The statistic $\mathbf{sz}(\Delta)$ is the number of edges of $\vec{D}(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ oriented away from Δ ; the statistic $\mathbf{zs}(\Delta)$ is the number of edges of $\vec{D}(\mathcal{T}_n^{\text{LRL}})$ oriented toward Δ .

In other words, \mathbf{sz} counts combinatorial objects that are adjacent along a positive elementary move and \mathbf{zs} counts combinatorial objects that are adjacent along a negative elementary move. Assuming Conjecture 4.3, these two statistics recover the h^* -polynomial of $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n}(\mathbf{u})$.

Conjecture 4.5. The h^* -polynomial of $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n}(\mathbf{u})$ is $\sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}} z^{\mathbf{sz}(\varphi)} = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_n^{\mathbb{Z}}} z^{\mathbf{zs}(\varphi)}$.

In the example from Section 1.5, the modified lexicographic ordering of $\mathcal{T}_4^{\text{LRL}}$ is

$$(\triangle_A, \triangle_B, \triangle_C, \triangle_D, \triangle_E).$$

From Figure 6 we compute

$$\mathbf{sz}(\triangle_A) = 1, \mathbf{sz}(\triangle_B) = 2, \mathbf{sz}(\triangle_C) = 1, \mathbf{sz}(\triangle_D) = 1, \text{ and } \mathbf{sz}(\triangle_E) = 0,$$

as well as

$$\mathbf{zs}(\triangle_A) = 0, \mathbf{zs}(\triangle_B) = 1, \mathbf{zs}(\triangle_C) = 1, \mathbf{zs}(\triangle_D) = 1, \text{ and } \mathbf{zs}(\triangle_E) = 2.$$

We see that indeed,

$$\sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_4^{\mathbb{Z}}} t^{\mathbf{sz}(\varphi)} = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_4^{\mathbb{Z}}} t^{\mathbf{zs}(\varphi)} = 1 + 3z + z^2 = h^*(\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\text{Zig}}_4}(\mathbf{u})).$$

The h^* -polynomials of $\mathcal{F}_{\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n}(\mathbf{u})$ may be referred to as the zig-zag Eulerian polynomials (see [PZ24]) and have been computed using other statistics. In [CS19], Coons and Sullivant

[†]The names \mathbf{sz} and \mathbf{zs} come from the concepts of S-to-Z and Z-to-S moves in a grove. See Definition 3.1.

computed h^* using a *swap statistic* **swap** on alternating permutations. Separately, building on Ayer, Josuat-Vergès, and Ramassamy’s [AJR20], González D’León, Hanusa, Morales, and Yip [GHMY23] computed h^* using a *descent statistic* **des** on cyclic permutations. Table 1 calculates the **sz** and **zs** statistics for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_5^{\mathbb{Z}}$. We see that **sz** and **zs** are equidistributed with **swap** and **des** but match neither of them. Indeed,

$$\sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_5^{\mathbb{Z}}} t^{\text{sz}(\varphi)} = \sum_{\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_5^{\mathbb{Z}}} t^{\text{zs}(\varphi)} = (1 + 7t + 7t^2 + t^3),$$

which agrees with $h^*(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{Zig}_5}(\mathbf{u}))$ as we expect from Conjecture 4.5.

We conclude this section by compiling a guide to walk through the bijections between these combinatorial objects and the computations of their associated statistics. The shaded row of Table 1, corresponding to the alternating permutation $\alpha = 45231$, will serve as a running example.

Starting with an alternating n -permutation $\alpha = \alpha_1\alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n$, first compute its inverse permutation $\beta = \beta_1\beta_2 \cdots \beta_n$. The **swap** statistic (see [CS19, Definition 1.2]) is computed by counting the number of indices i such that $\beta_i < \beta_{i+1} - 1$.

The inverse permutation of $\alpha = 45231$ is $\beta = 53412$. Since $5 \geq 2$, $3 \geq 3$, $4 \geq 0$, and $1 \geq 1$, we compute that $\text{swap}(\alpha) = 0$.


We now determine the integer flow φ that corresponds to α by following [MMS19, Figure 11]. We start with the inverse permutation β and construct a maximal clique C on the planar framing of Zig_{n+2} . See Figure 1 for the appropriate a planar embedding except with vertices numbered 0 through $n + 1$; in this picture, label the regions containing the red vertices from left to right by the numbers 1 through n .

Start constructing C with the path P_0 that follows the lowest edges of Zig_{n+2} . For $1 \leq i \leq n$, we will augment C to include a path P_i determined from the path P_{i-1} by replacing the edges below the region labeled β_i by the edges above the region labeled β_i . The set $C = \{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ is then a maximal clique. Paths in Zig_{n+2} directly correspond to paths in $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n$ by ignoring the contracted edges x_0 and x_{n+1} .

In our example, the maximal clique C is therefore:

$$P_0 = y_0y_2y_4, P_1 = y_0y_2x_4, P_2 = y_0x_2x_3x_4, P_3 = y_0x_2y_3, P_4 = x_1x_2y_3, \text{ and } P_5 = y_1y_3.$$

This maximal clique is then converted to the flow φ shown here by Theorem 2.2.



We notice that the only elementary moves that apply to φ to give adjacent integer flows are m_2^+ , m_3^- , and m_4^+ . We conclude that $\text{sz}(\varphi) = 2$ and $\text{zs}(\varphi) = 1$.

We now determine the cyclic permutation π that corresponds to the integer flow φ by following [GHMY23, Definition 4.2]. We first must reindex the labels on the nonslack edges of $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n$ to run from y_1 through y_n . Then we can compute that $\text{ACT}(1) = \{0\}$, $\text{INACT}(1) = \{1\}$, and $\text{ACT}(j) = \{0, \dots, j - 2\}$ and $\text{INACT}(j) = \{j - 1, j\}$ and for $j \geq 2$.

These conditions imply that the construction of the cyclic permutation will be extremely well behaved. The numbers 0, 1, and 2 are first placed in a circle, then the numbers 3 through n are placed in the cyclic permutation as follows. Place number j in the position that is $\varphi(y_j)$ positions after number $(j - 1)$.

Alt. Perm.	Inv. Perm.	Flow	Circ. Perm.	swap	sz	zs	des
34251	53124		12345	1	1	0	0
34152	35124		51234	1	2	1	1
24153	31524		15234	3	2	1	1
23154	31254		12534	2	1	1	1
35241	53142		45123	1	2	1	1
35142	35142		41523	2	3	2	2
24153	31524		41253	2	2	2	2
45231	53412		14523	0	2	1	1
45132	35412		14253	1	1	2	2
24351	51324		34512	2	1	1	1
14352	15324		34152	2	2	2	2
14253	13524		34125	2	2	2	1
13254	13254		53412	2	1	1	2
24351	51324		31452	1	1	2	2
15342	15342		31425	1	1	3	2
15243	13542		53142	1	0	2	3

TABLE 1. The sixteen alternating permutations, alternating permutation inverses, integer flows, and circular permutations for $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_5$ and their associated swap, S-to-Z, Z-to-S, and descent statistics. The shaded row is discussed in the text.

For the integer flow φ in our running example (with re-indexed nonslack edges), $\varphi(y_3) = 0$, $\varphi(y_4) = 2$, and $\varphi(y_5) = 0$. Starting with 012, the 3 is placed directly after the 2 (giving 0123), the 4 is placed two positions after the 3 (skipping the 0 and the 1 to give 01423), and the 5 is placed in the position right after the 4 (giving 014523). Ignoring the leading 0, φ corresponds to the circular permutation $\pi = 14523$.

The descent statistic \mathbf{des} is read by seeing how many indices $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ satisfy $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$. In this case the only descent is $\pi_3 = 5 > 2 = \pi_4$, so $\mathbf{des}(\pi) = 1$.

5. OPEN QUESTIONS

As we saw in Sections 2 and 3, groves can be a powerful tool for proving statements about integer flows. Furthermore, Theorem 2.4 provides a bijection between \mathcal{G}_G^F and $\mathcal{F}_G^{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbf{d})$ for all graphs G and for all framings F .

The length-reverse-length framing of the contracted zigzag graph has the precise structure to make elementary moves on groves behave extremely well (as shown in Figure 10). This, in turn, led to a simple numerical condition to check for adjacency of the corresponding integer flows. It is natural to explore the possibilities for other framings.

Open Question 5.1. When the contracted zigzag graph is adorned with a different framing, are there easy-to-describe elementary moves for integer flows analogous to those in Definition 1.3? If so, are there explicit numerical conditions that guarantee adjacency of the corresponding integer flows?

Of course, we need not restrict ourselves to the contracted zigzag graph.

Open Question 5.2. Can we determine the concept of an elementary move for a general graph G with a framing F ? (Or for particular framings of G ?) If so, how does this allow us to determine the structure of $D(\mathcal{T}_G^F)$?

Building on Section 4 with respect to the h^* -polynomial for $\mathcal{F}_G(\mathbf{u})$, the \mathbf{sz} and \mathbf{zs} statistics seem powerful and relatively easy to compute. In addition for searching for a proof of Conjecture 4.3, we wonder whether there are analogous statistics for other framings and whether one of these framed statistics agrees with an existing statistic.

Open Question 5.3. Prove Conjecture 4.3.

Open Question 5.4. Are \mathbf{sz}^F and \mathbf{zs}^F well defined for other framings F on $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n$? Or for any framed graph G ?

Open Question 5.5. Is there a framing F on $\widehat{\text{Zig}}_n$ such that \mathbf{sz}^F or \mathbf{zs}^F agrees with either \mathbf{swap} or \mathbf{des} ?

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Carolina Benedetti, Rafael González D'León, Alejandro Morales, and Martha Yip for fruitful conversations. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Number 2150251 through the Queens Experiences in Discrete Mathematics REU at York College, CUNY and under Grant No. DMS-1929284 while the second author was in residence at the Institute for Computational and Experimental Research in Mathematics in Providence, RI, during the Computing Volumes of Flow Polytopes Collaborate@ICERM Program.

REFERENCES

- [AJR20] A. Ayer, M. Josuat-Vergès, and S. Ramassamy. Extensions of partial cyclic orders and consecutive coordinate polytopes. *Ann. H. Lebesgue*, 3:275–297, 2020.

- [BBB⁺22] Matias von Bell, Benjamin Braun, Kaitlin Bruegge, Derek Hanely, Zachery Peterson, Khrystyna Serhiyenko, and Martha Yip. Triangulations of flow polytopes, ample framings, and gentle algebras. *arXiv:2203.01896*, 2022.
- [BGM⁺21] Matias von Bell, Rafael S. González D’León, Francisco A. Mayorga Cetina, and Martha Yip. A unifying framework for the ν -Tamari lattice and principal order ideals in Young’s lattice. *arXiv:2101.10425*, 2021.
- [BR15] Matthias Beck and Sinai Robins. *Computing the continuous discretely*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, second edition, 2015.
- [BV08] Welleda Baldoni and Michèle Vergne. Kostant partitions functions and flow polytopes. *Transformation Groups*, 13:447–469, 2008.
- [CS19] Jane Ivy Coons and Seth Sullivant. The h^* -polynomial of the order polytope of the zig-zag poset. *arXiv:1901.07443*, 2019.
- [DJ22] M. Domokos and D. Joó. Low dimensional flow polytopes and their toric ideals. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 654:210–249, 2022.
- [DKK12] Vladimir I. Danilov, Alexander V. Karzanov, and Gleb A. Koshevoy. Coherent fans in the space of flows in framed graphs. In *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, pages 481–490, 2012.
- [GHMY23] Rafael S. González D’León, Christopher R. H. Hanusa, Alejandro H. Morales, and Martha Yip. Column convex matrices, G -cyclic orders, and flow polytopes. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 70:1593–1631, 2023.
- [GMP⁺23] Rafael S. González D’León, Alejandro H. Morales, Eva Philippe, Daniel Tamayo Jiménez, and Martha Yip. Realizing the s -permutahedron via flow polytopes. *arXiv:2307.03474*, 2023.
- [Hil96] Lutz Hille. Tilting line bundles and moduli of thin sincere representations of quivers. In *An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Ovidius Constanţa Ser. Mat.* 4, number 2, pages 76–82, 1996. Representation theory of groups, algebras, and orders (Constanţa, 1995).
- [LMM19] Ricky Ini Liu, Alejandro H. Morales, and Karola Mészáros. Flow polytopes and the space of diagonal harmonics. *Canad. J. Math.*, 71(6):1495–1521, 2019.
- [MM15] Karola Mészáros and Alejandro H. Morales. Flow polytopes of signed graphs and the Kostant partition function. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2015(3):830–871, 2015.
- [MMR17] Karola Mészáros, Alejandro H. Morales, and Brendon Rhoades. The polytope of Tesler matrices. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 23(1):425–454, 2017.
- [MMS19] Karola Mészáros, Alejandro H. Morales, and Jessica Striker. On flow polytopes, order polytopes, and certain faces of the alternating sign matrix polytope. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 62(1):128–163, 2019.
- [MS20] Karola Mészáros and Avery St. Dizier. From generalized permutahedra to Grothendieck polynomials via flow polytopes. *Algebraic Combinatorics*, 3(5):1197–1229, 2020.
- [PZ24] T. Kyle Petersen and Yan Zhuang. Zig-zag Eulerian polynomials. *arXiv:2403.07181*, 2024.
- [Sta79] Richard P Stanley. Balanced Cohen-Macaulay complexes. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 249(1):139–157, 1979.
- [Sta80] Richard P Stanley. Decompositions of rational convex polytopes. *Ann. Discrete Math*, 6(6):333–342, 1980.
- [Sta10] Richard P. Stanley. A survey of alternating permutations. *Contemp. Math*, 531:165–196, 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DREXEL UNIVERSITY, 15 S 33RD STREET, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104, U.S.A.

Email address: `rachel.brunner@drexel.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, QUEENS COLLEGE (CUNY), 65-30 KISSENA BLVD., QUEENS, NY 11367-1597, U.S.A.

Email address: `chanusa@qc.cuny.edu`