

Exploring 6G Potential for Industrial Digital Twinning and Swarm Intelligence in Obstacle-Rich Environments

Siyu Yuan, Khurshid Alam, Bin Han, Dennis Krummacker, and Hans D. Schotten

Abstract - With the advent of 6G technology, the demand for efficient and intelligent systems in industrial applications has surged, driving the need for advanced solutions in target localization. Utilizing swarm robots to locate unknown targets involves navigating increasingly complex environments. Digital Twinning (DT) offers a robust solution by creating a virtual replica of the physical world, which enhances the swarm's navigation capabilities. Our framework leverages DT and integrates Swarm Intelligence to store physical map information in the cloud, enabling robots to efficiently locate unknown targets. The simulation results demonstrate that the DT framework, augmented by Swarm Intelligence, significantly improves target location efficiency in obstacle-rich environments compared to traditional methods. This research underscores the potential of combining DT and Swarm Intelligence to advance the field of robotic navigation and target localization in complex industrial settings.

I. Introduction

In contemporary industrial scenarios, the imperative for robust multi-device connectivity and seamless communication is increasingly critical. The next phase of industrial advancement necessitates more powerful and modern communication methodologies, with Sixth Generation (6G) [1], [2] poised to play a pivotal role in this evolution. The continuous evolution of network communication technology indicates that 6G has the potential to integrate with various emerging technologies, such as digital twin (DT) technology [3], [4], machine learning, Multi-Access Edge Computing (MEC), and Distributed Ledger Technology, etc. These integrations, facilitated by 6G, will create substantial advancements in various industries.

One of the most promising applications of this integration is DT [5], [6], which leverages real-time data processing, communication, and synchronization to optimize operations and decision-making in complex environments. With DT technology, various features, states, and behaviors of physical objects can be accurately simulated and reflected in digital space. On the other

hand, swarm intelligence (SI), as a branch of artificial intelligence, SI studies the collective intelligence demonstrated by groups of simple agents [7], [8]. It exhibits a notably advanced ability of sharing local information and experiences among the swarm members, which improves the performance along with the swarm size, and therewith grants SI a superior scalability by effectively combining diverse inputs and resources. However, in wireless scenarios, this advantage may be compromised by the limited channel capacity, especially when the deployment is dense. Our previous work [9] demonstrated the benefits of integrating DT with SI in terms of improving communication efficiency. By synchronizing the agents' information to their DTs aggregated on a MEC server, and virtualizing the information exchange among agents, the bottleneck of air interface can be well resolved for SI.

However, investigating a multi-unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) localization problem, the study of [9] oversimplified the real-world scenario by neglecting the presence of obstacles, a common factor in practical industrial scenarios, if not inevitable. Indeed, it invoked the classical Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) [10], which is insufficient for direct use in scenarios with obstacles. While several classical obstacle avoidance algorithms, such as the A* algorithm [11] and Dijkstra's algorithm [12], have been maturely developed and widely applied, they are predominantly utilized for independent decision making of individual robots. Developing novel SI-oriented obstacle avoidance solutions, and leveraging the conveniences offered by DT therein, becomes thus an interesting topic.

To address the limitations, this paper proposes an approach that combines the strengths of PSO with DT technology. Our research digitizes each swarm agent, creating virtual twins that model agent behavior and an obstacle-rich map. This architecture enables agents to communicate effectively and implement an obstacle avoidance mechanism, facilitating rapid location of the leak source. Agents navigate the physical map using Swarm Intelligence (SI) and exchange global optimal information via a base station, converging towards unknown target points. By leveraging DT for real-time synchronization

between virtual and physical environments, our approach enhances swarm coordination and navigation in complex, obstacle-rich settings, thereby improving system performance and reliability. The integration of these methods and techniques aims to develop a robust system where agents can quickly and efficiently identify the source of the leakage in challenging environments.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Sec II is a relatively specific problem setup, Sec III proposes method with used technology in detail. Sec IV introduces a more specific simulation, including the description of the process and the analysis of the results. Sec V includes some supplemental discussion, and finally, the conclusion and outlooks are presented in Sec VI.

II. Problem Setup

The application scenarios in this paper revolve around emergency response, particularly in the case of a chemical leakage within a production facility. When a chemical leakage occurs at a plant, the dispersion of the contaminant in the surrounding area is altered, resulting in elevated concentrations in the vicinity. Each UAV in swarm equipped with a concentration sensor can determine the distance between its location and the target site, but pinpointing the source of the spill is still a challenge. Even with multiple UAVs patrolling indoors, they may not be able to converge to the source of the leak.

In scenarios where multiple UAVs must cooperate and share information to locate the source of a spill, SI algorithms, like PSO, are often employed for their efficiency in distributed problem-solving. In the PSO algorithm, agents lack precise knowledge of the target's exact location. Instead, they rely on distributed information that is denser near the target. Each agent

determines its individual optimal position based on its proximity to the target. Through inter-agent communication, the swarm collectively identifies the most promising position as group best position (G-Best). Each agent then calculates with individual best position and G-Best for its next move accordingly. Over multiple iterations, the agents gradually converge towards the target location. But the challenge of navigating around obstacles and how agents communicate remains.

In the event of a chemical leakage, the primary goal is to quickly identify the source of the leakage and then take immediate corrective action. As shown in Figure 1, the speed with which the source of the leak can be pinpointed is directly related to the ability of the UAVs to mitigate the hazard in a timely manner and minimize the potential economic consequences. The faster the source of the leak is identified, the lower the associated risks and economic losses. However, the structural layout of chemical plants, often containing numerous fixed walls and other machinery, complicates the task of locating the spill source. This environment can be seen as a map filled with obstacles, making it difficult for single or multiple independent UAVs to solve the location problem quickly.

III. System Framework: DT-Empowered UAV System

The proposed system consists of two main components: the MEC Server, which integrates the DT module, and the swarm UAVs. The DT module, hosted on the MEC Server, virtualizes the swarm UAVs and the 2D map, providing real-time updates and synchronization. The MEC Server collects and processes the location and distance data uploaded by the UAVs, and calculates the G-Best. Upon receiving the G-Best information from the MEC Server, the swarm UAVs locally perform PSO to determine their next movement step, aiming to quickly converge towards the target location.

DT facilitates efficient data processing and communication among UAVs and the cloud. More specifically, for each UAV as well as for the deployment environment, associated DTs are created. All DTs are maintained and managed by the same MEC server, which periodically exchanges information with the UAVs over the wireless links, as illustrated in Figure 2. Instead of directly communicating with each other, the UAVs periodically upload their positions and distance data to the MEC server, where the information exchange processes are carried out by their DTs. As a response, the MEC server then replies in downlink to every UAV that has successfully downloaded information in the current round. This downlink message




Figure 1 Problem scene




Figure 2 Scene with digital twinning

includes G-Best of the swarm, or a dedicated command that may be appended based on the mode of the agent. Compared to individual agents communicating with each other, DT allows all agents to quickly access the G-Best information, significantly enhancing the efficiency and coordination of the swarm.

After receiving the G-Best from the MEC Server, each UAV performs local PSO calculations. The PSO computation takes into account the UAV's own historical best position and the G-Best. However, this process can lead to a significant issue: the PSO calculated position may result in a collision with obstacles. To address this, UAVs locally incorporate the Vector Field Histogram (VFH) algorithm [13], a conventional obstacle avoidance method. The VFH algorithm collects the distribution of obstacles (occupied) in various directions within the sensor range, centering on the robot in polar coordinates, and aggregates them into a histogram. From the generated histogram, the optimal direction is chosen to avoid obstacles, effectively mitigating collision risks. The calculation of the UAV's new position at this time will consider the direction resulting from the fusion of PSO and VFH. However, this still has its shortcomings.

As shown in Figure 2, when encountering L-shaped obstacles, the UAV may become trapped in a specific area. At this point, the UAV will send a help request signal to the MEC Server. Once the signal is received, the MEC Server will generate a path to the current round's G-Best for the UAV based on the 2D virtual map. To ensure consistency in downlink data format, once the complete path is calculated, it is decomposed into waypoints with step lengths equivalent to the maximum speed reachable by the agent. Only the waypoint closest to the agent's current position is then transmitted.

There is another issue that cannot be ignored. Therefore, the system incorporates a random walk mode. During the UAV's random walk, the VFH algorithm is also integrated to avoid obstacles. Since wireless links are generally subject to packet errors, the UAVs may fail to upload data to the MEC server or receive response therefrom, especially when they move into areas with poor channel conditions. When the UAV stays in the same area, it may exhaust its energy without moving at all. In such case, the involved UAVs will switch to an offline mode, randomly exploring around for a better link quality. In this mode, they rely on their onboard distance sensors to avoid collisions with obstacles, and continue attempting to upload their new positions and sensor data in every round. Once an offline UAV successfully receives a downlink response from the MEC, it switches back to the DT mode.

This system also includes a minor design feature: when the UAV holding the current G-Best realizes it is in the optimal position, it does not wait for other UAVs at the same spot. Instead, it will perform a small-scale random walk to explore for an even better position. The UAV will continue this random walk until it confirms it has reached the target position, at which point it will stop the random walk mode.

With the help of DT, the entire system enables the UAV swarm to quickly converge to the target position, even in a complex obstacle-laden map.

IV. Simulation

A. Simulation Setup

The process illustrated in Figure 3 depicts the gradual convergence of multiple agents. They move from random initial positions towards an unknown target location. This process unfolds within a map occupied by obstacles, progressing from left to right across the three maps.

The map is sized at $600\text{m} \times 600\text{m}$ and acts as the area where agents move towards their goal. The red cross, slightly offset from the map's center, denotes the target location.

The packet error rate (PER) for the map is calculated in ns-3 by positioning the base station at the center and employing the 3GPP propagation loss model. In the PER Map, lighter shades denote regions with better signal quality, while darker shades indicate relatively poorer signal quality.

The initial positions of the agents are randomly distributed. Agents in the map follow specific rules, such as a maximum speed limit of 5 meters per second, and how to respond to data transmission failures. Each agent is equipped with two sensors, one of which is used to estimate the distance to the unknown target location, and the other is used to detect the surrounding environment to avoid obstacles. Additionally, the sensor used for distance measurement is enhanced with Gaussian noise to simulate real-world conditions more accurately.

B. Numerical Results

The simulation results include both DT and P2P modes. Specifically, two sub-modes are designed for DT-based communication.

The difference lies in the communication methods: in peer-to-peer (P2P) mode, each agent needs to interact with every other agent in the swarm to obtain the G-Best, resulting in

pairwise exchanges among all agents. Conversely, in digital twinning mode, each agent only needs to perform one upload and one download operation. For instance, in the P2P mode, if a swarm contains n agents and each agent aims to obtain the swarm's G-Best, it would require $n(n-1)$ transmissions in the uplink/downlink. In contrast, in DT mode, only n transmissions in the uplink/downlink are needed.

DT mode 1 represents a standard DT deployment simulated in a base station environment, while DT mode 2 serves as a comparison with the P2P mode. The difference between DT mode 1 and DT mode 2 lies in their transmission methods. In DT mode 1, if an agent loses network connectivity somewhere, it will randomly move to a random next position and continue attempting to upload or download data. Conversely, in DT mode 2, if an agent loses network connection somewhere, it will remain in the same place and attempt to retransmit the specified number of times, until successful or until the attempts are exhausted. Then, it will randomly move to another location. The number of retransmissions in DT mode 2 is consistent with the number of times an agent needs to upload or download data in one round in P2P mode.

The system is tested with different agent numbers ranging from 10 to 100, with 300 runs of Monte Carlo simulation for each setup in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. The measured distribution of simulation rounds, agent moves, and data traffics till convergence are illustrated in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. All the Figures illustrate that the numbers in P2P mode are noticeably higher than in DT mode.

Figures 4b, 5 show that traffic volume in both uplink and downlink increases as the number of agents rises at a gradually slowing rate. A clear comparison among the three modes shows






Figure 3 Simulation convergence phases



a) Rounds till 90% convergence in different number of agents



b) Total moves till 90% convergence in different number of agents

Figure 4 Convergence performance in different modes

that the consumption of communication resources in both DT mode 1 and DT mode 2 is significantly lower than that in the P2P mode. In DT mode, the transmissions in the downlink are less than in the uplink, which is closely related to the simulation mechanism. In this mode, if an agent fails to upload, it means the server does not know the current position of the agent and will not send data to the agent. In this case, only the transmissions in the uplink accumulate. Another reason is that in DT mode, any agent reaching the target can cease uploading its location information. However, in P2P mode, even if an agent

reaches the target position, it still needs to continuously upload its location information so that other agents that have not reached it can obtain the current G-Best of the swarm.

It is worth noting in Figure 5 that the disparity between transmissions in the uplink and downlink in DT mode 2 is notably larger than that in DT mode 1. This is due to the data retransmission mechanism in DT mode 2. In an area with good signal quality, the difference between upload and download counts is closer to the expected value for that region. However, in areas with poor signal quality, the difference between upload




Figure 5 Total traffic in uplink and downlink till 90% convergence

and download counts increases. It is also not difficult to understand why the uplink traffic in DT mode 2 is higher than that in DT mode 1, while its downlink traffic is lower than that of DT mode 1. In areas with better channel conditions, the downlink retransmission count often doesn't need to be exhausted to receive data from the MEC server. This data is highly likely to be superior to randomly obtained data through wandering. Therefore, agents in DT mode 2 are more likely to locate the target position compared to agents in DT mode 1 (Figure 5).

V. Discussions

The proposed method enables multiple agents to safely converge to unknown leakage points in obstacle-laden environments while saving considerable channel resources compared to the P2P mode. This efficiency is primarily achieved by leveraging the advantages of DT. For instance, in the DT mode, once an agent reaches the target point, it no longer needs to upload or download information. Additionally, if at least one agent has passed through the target area, even if it later leaves the area, the position of the target can be shared with other agents when another agent reaches a region with decent signal strength. Furthermore, this method is device-agnostic, allowing agents to be UAVs, Automated Guided Vehicle (AGV)s, or other Internet of Things (IoT) devices.

In the physical map, agents make moving decisions locally using historical data and environmental information, eliminating the need to transmit raw data over the network. This approach means individual information and raw data of agents do not need to be frequently transmitted to the MEC server. By making decisions locally, agents can maintain user data confidentiality while only transmitting necessary shared information to other agents. This reduces the spread of sensitive personal information over the network, enhancing privacy protection. Additionally, localized decision-making reduces the need for communication and computational resources compared to the P2P mode.

When the MEC server receives a help request signal from any agent, the path planning algorithm can fully utilize the known 2D map, which corresponds to the digital twin, for path planning. The computational load for path planning is smaller compared to traditional path planning algorithms. Moreover, the path planning computation only begins upon receiving a signal from an agent, further reducing computational power consumption.

However, the current model primarily addresses static obstacles, suggesting the need for further research to handle dynamic scenarios, such as moving obstacles and internal agent collisions. These enhancements would provide a more comprehensive understanding of practical applications. Future research should also explore optimizing signal propagation in

complex environments. This includes forming realistic radio source distributions based on obstacle layouts to better reflect practical conditions. Additionally, optimizing the placement of wireless access points, predicting signal strength and quality, and modeling how wireless signals propagate in complex environments will enhance our understanding of practical applications. This is particularly relevant in critical scenarios like emergency response and autonomous navigation.

VI. Conclusion

This study demonstrates the effectiveness of utilizing DT for enabling multiple agents to converge on unknown leakage point in obstacle-laden environments. The proposed method conserves both communication and energy resources by reducing the number of necessary transmissions. Additionally, local decision-making based on historical and environmental data enhances privacy protection by minimizing the transmission of raw data. The flexibility of this method allows it to be applied to various types of agents, such as UAVs, AGVs, and other IoT devices, making it versatile for different practical applications.

References

- [1] W. Jiang, B. Han, M. A. Habibi, and H. D. Schotten, "The road towards 6G: A comprehensive survey," *IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society*, vol. 2, pp. 334 – 366, 2021.
- [2] M. Z. Chowdhury, M. Shahjalal, S. Ahmed, and Y. M. Jang, "6G wireless communication systems: Applications, requirements, technologies, challenges, and research directions," *IEEE Open Journal of the Communications Society*, vol. 1, pp. 957 – 975, 2020.
- [3] L. U. Khan, W. Saad, D. Niyato, Z. Han, and C. S. Hong, "Digital-twin-enabled 6G: Vision, architectural trends, and future directions," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 60, no. 1, pp. 74 – 80, 2022.
- [4] B. Han, M. A. Habibi, B. Richerzhagen, K. Schindhelm, F. Zeiger, F. Lamberti, F. G. Praticò, K. Upadhy, C. Korovesis, I.-P. Belikaidis, et al., "Digital twins for industry 4.0 in the 6G era," *IEEE Open Journal of Vehicular Technology*, 2023.
- [5] N. P. Kuruvatti, M. A. Habibi, S. Partani, B. Han, A. Fellan, and H. D. Schotten, "Empowering 6G communication systems with digital twin technology: A comprehensive survey," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 112 158 – 112 186, 2022.
- [6] D. Krummacker, M. Reichardt, C. Fischer, and H. D. Schotten, "Digital Twin Development: Mathematical Modeling," in *ICPS 2023 – 6th IEEE International Conference on Industrial Cyber-Physical Systems*. IEEE, 5 2023, p. 8.
- [7] E. Bonabeau, M. Dorigo, and G. Theraulaz, *Swarm intelligence: From natural to artificial systems*. Oxford university press, 1999.
- [8] D. Martens, B. Baesens, and T. Fawcett, "Editorial survey: Swarm intelligence for data mining," *Machine Learning*, vol. 82, pp. 1 – 42, 2011.
- [9] S. Yuan, B. Han, D. Krummacker, and H. D. Schotten, "Massive twinning to enhance emergent intelligence," in *2nd International*

Workshop on Distributed and Intelligent Systems (DistInSys 2022), 2022, pp. 1 – 4.

- [10] J. Kennedy and R. Eberhart, "Particle swarm optimization," in *Proceedings of ICNN '95 - International Conference on Neural Networks*, vol. 4, 1995, pp. 1942 – 1948.
- [11] R. Dechter and J. Pearl, "Generalized best-first search strategies and the optimality of A*," *Journal of the ACM*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 505 – 536, jul 1985. [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1145/3828.3830>
- [12] E. W. Dijkstra, "A note on two problems in connexion with graphs." *Numerische Mathematik*, vol. 1, pp. 269 – 271, 1959.
- [13] J. Borenstein, Y. Koren et al., "The vector field histogram-fast obstacle avoidance for mobile robots," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 278 – 288, 1991.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) through the projects Open6GHub (Grant No. 16KISK003K, 16KISK004) and 6G-Terafactory (Grant No. 16KISK186). The corresponding author for this work is B. Han (bin.han@rptu.de).



Siyu Yuan received her M.Sc. degree in Automation and Robotics from the University of Dortmund in 2019, Germany. Since then, she has been a researcher with Wireless Communication and Navigation group (WiCON) in University of Kaiserslautern (RPTU). Her main research interests are in drone communication, swarm intelligence with digital twinning, distributed simultaneous localization and mapping, machine learning in robotics.



Khurshid Alam received his M. Sc. degree in Applied Computer Science from the University of Kaiserslautern (RPTU), Germany in 2018. Since then, he has been a researcher with the Intelligent Networks research group at the German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI) GmbH, Germany. His main research interests include industrial wireless communication, software defined networking, radio access network architecture, radio resource management, artificial intelligence, network function virtualization, and network slicing.



Bin Han (Senior Member, IEEE) received his B.E. degree in 2009 from Shanghai Jiao Tong University, M.Sc. in 2012 from the Technical University of Darmstadt, and a Ph.D. degree in 2016 from Karlsruhe Institute of Technology. He has been with University of Kaiserslautern (RPTU) since 2016, and was granted his

Habilitation (Venia Legendi) in 2023. His research interests are in the broad area of wireless communications and networking, with the current focus on 5G/6G and MEC. He is the author of two books, six book chapters, and over 70 research papers. He has participated in multiple EU 5G/6G research projects.



Dennis Krummacker received the M.Sc. degree in Electrical and Computer Engineering from the University of Kaiserslautern (RPTU) in 2016. Since 2016, he has been a Researcher at the German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence GmbH (DFKI) in the Department of Intelligent Networks,

where he is coordinating the research areas regarding Industrial Communication and Intelligent Infrastructures. His main research interests include Network Management and Orchestration, Softwarization, Virtualization, Software-defined Networking (SDN), and Network Function Virtualization (NFV).



Hans D. Schotten (Member, IEEE) received the Ph.D. degree from the RWTH Aachen University of Technology, Germany, in 1997. From 1999 to 2003, he worked for Ericsson. From 2003 to 2007, he worked for Qualcomm. He became manager of a R&D group, Research Coordinator for Qualcomm Europe, and

Director for Technical Standards. In 2007, he accepted the offer to become a full professor at the University of Kaiserslautern. In 2012, he - in addition - became the scientific director of the German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI) and head of the Department for Intelligent Networks. Professor Schotten served as dean of the Department of Electrical Engineering of the University of Kaiserslautern from 2013 until 2017. Since 2018, he is chairman of the German Society for Information Technology and a member of the Supervisory Board of the VDE. He is the author of more than 200 papers and participated in 30+ European and national collaborative research projects.