

# METRIC DUALITY FOR ABELIAN GROUPS

PIOTR NIEMIEC

ABSTRACT. The main aim of the paper is to introduce the concept of metric duality in the category of topological Abelian groups that extends the classical notion of duality for normed vector spaces and behaves quite nicely for LCA groups (equipped with *nice* metrics). In particular, it is shown that each Polish LCA group admits a reflexive proper metric and, more generally, all LCA groups possess reflexive (proper) metric structures.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Duality appears quite naturally in categories of locally compact Abelian groups and of Banach spaces. It is not only an interesting notion but also an important tool. Although in both these realms reflexivity is defined in a quite similar way, due to our best knowledge so far no efforts have been made to explain that these two different concepts (of both duality and reflexivity) actually arise from a more general notion that leads to the Pontryagin's dual group for LCA groups and to the dual Banach space for (real or complex) normed vector spaces. In this paper we propose such a concept and present most fundamental properties of this new notion. We call it *metric duality* to distinguish it from a classical duality for topological Abelian groups (with a special emphasis on almost periodic groups; the reader interested in this topic is referred to, e.g., [3]) which we call *topological duality*. The main differences between these two ideas are the following:

- the metric dual of a metric Abelian group is metric as well, which, in general, fails to hold for the topological dual;
- all Banach spaces are topologically reflexive (as topological groups) [7], whereas the metric dual of a normed vector space is naturally isometrically isomorphic to its ordinary dual Banach space;
- the topology of the metric dual **does** depend on the compatible metric on a given metrisable group — in particular, the metric dual of a Polish metric LCA group topologically coincides with its Pontryagin dual group iff its metric is proper (see Theorem 3.3 in Section 3 below).

We consider our concept of metric duality as a natural generalisation of duality in Banach spaces (not in LCA groups). However, in a fully general context (that is, when dealing with metric Abelian groups other than normed vector spaces) a new phenomenon appears (that is not present in normed vector spaces): the canonical homomorphism from a given group into its second dual group may not be isometric (even in case it is a topological embedding). This strange behaviour leads to the notions of *regularity* and *semireflexivity* of metrics.

It is also worth underlying that, in general, although the metric dual of a Banach space  $E$  naturally 'coincides' with the ordinary dual Banach space of  $E$ , the weak

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 22D35; Secondary 46B10, 43A40.

*Key words and phrases*. Pontryagin's duality; LCA group; invariant metric; dual group; reflexive topological Abelian group; reflexive Banach space.

Research supported by the National Center of Science, Poland under the Weave-UNISONO call in the Weave programme [grant no 2021/03/Y/ST1/00072].

topologies on  $E$  induced by these two duals differ (simply because the weak topology of the LCA group  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  does not coincide with its natural topology).

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we define values on Abelian groups (they are single variable functions that naturally correspond to invariant metrics) and their dual values (defined on dual groups). We distinguish regular, semiregular, reflexive and semireflexive values. In Theorem 2.8 we show that the metric dual group of a normed vector space is naturally isometrically isomorphic to the ordinary dual Banach space. In particular, a Banach space is reflexive as a metric group iff it is so as a Banach space. In the next section we deal with Polish LCA groups and prove (in Theorem 3.3) that the dual value of a compatible value  $p$  on such a group  $G$  is compatible with the Pontryagin's topology of the dual group iff  $p$  is proper. As a consequence, we show (in Proposition 3.4) that there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between reflexive proper values on  $G$  and such values on the dual group of  $G$ . In the fourth part we establish fundamental properties of reflexive metric Abelian groups. In particular, in Theorem 4.1 we show that two reflexive equivalent values on an Abelian group have equivalent dual values and that metrically reflexive groups are topologically reflexive. It is also shown that the metric dual group of a reflexive Polish metric group is Polish as well. Section 5 is devoted to a generalisation of the notions introduced in the second part to the context of (so-called) quasi-values on Abelian groups. This material may be considered preparatory to introducing the concept of metric duality for non-metrisable topological Abelian groups (e.g., for all LCA groups). We establish there basic properties of regular quasi-values (among other things, we show in Theorem 5.3 that all such quasi-values on a given Abelian group form a complete lattice; and in Theorem 5.7 that the restriction of a regular quasi-value to an arbitrary subgroup is regular as well). In Section 6 we define metric structures on both abstract and topological Abelian groups and introduce and study (metric) duality and reflexivity for groups with such structures. We conclude this part by proving (in Theorem 6.7) that each LCA group admits a reflexive proper metric structure. In the final, seventh part we pose some problems related to the topics discussed in earlier parts.

**1.1. Notation and terminology.** In this paper  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$  and  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ . The (multiplicative) circle group  $\mathbb{T}$  shall be sometimes identified with the additive group  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ .

All topological groups are Hausdorff, unless otherwise stated. The neutral element of a group  $G$  is denoted by  $e_G$ . A [semi]value on  $(G, \cdot)$  is a function  $p: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that the formula  $G \times G \ni (x, y) \mapsto p(x^{-1}y) \in \mathbb{R}_+$  defines a [pseudo]metric on  $G$ . (More often authors use the term a *norm* instead of a value, but in this paper we will also deal with normed vector spaces and therefore have chosen the latter term to avoid confusions.) By a *metric* group we mean a triple  $(G, \cdot, p)$  where  $(G, \cdot)$  is a metrisable topological group and  $p: G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a compatible value on  $G$ . (In this paper almost all groups under consideration are Abelian.) *LCA* is the abbreviation of *locally compact Abelian*. For a LCA group  $G$ , the compact-open topology on its dual group (that is, on the group of all continuous homomorphisms from  $G$  into  $\mathbb{T}$ ) is called by us *Pontryagin's topology*.

For any semivalued  $p$  on a group  $G$  and a positive real number  $r$  we use  $B_p(r)$  and  $\bar{B}_p(r)$  to denote the open and closed  $p$ -balls around  $e_G$ ; that is,  $B_p(r)$  [resp.  $\bar{B}_p(r)$ ] consists of all  $g \in G$  such that  $p(x) < r$  [resp.  $p(x) \leq r$ ].

For two topological groups  $G$  and  $H$ ,  $\text{Hom}_c(G, H)$  stands for the set of all continuous homomorphisms from  $G$  into  $H$ . When, in addition,  $H$  is Abelian,  $\text{Hom}_c(G, H)$  becomes a group with (natural) pointwise binary action. We underline that, unless otherwise stated,  $\text{Hom}_c(G, H)$  is equipped with no topology.

## 2. METRIC-DUAL GROUP

Before passing to metric LCA groups, first we discuss the concept of metric duality in a more general context. The lemma below is a key tool of the whole paper (and a counterpart of the analogous result for linear operators between normed vector spaces). It is likely it is known. However, we did not find it in the existing literature.

**2.1. Lemma.** *Let  $q$  be a value on  $(\mathbb{T}, \cdot)$  such that*

$$(2-1) \quad \frac{|z-1|}{M} \leq q(z) \leq M|z-1|$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{T}$  and some constant  $M > 1$ . For any metric group  $(G, \cdot, p)$  and a homomorphism  $\phi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\phi$  is continuous;
- (ii) there exists a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that

$$(2-2) \quad q(\phi(x)) \leq cp(x) \quad (x \in G).$$

It is worth noting here that condition (2-1) is equivalent to the statement that  $q$  induces a Gleason metric on the Lie group  $\mathbb{T}$  (for the definition and more on Gleason metrics the reader is referred to Chapter 1 of [9], with a special focus on Definition 1.3.8 and Theorem 1.5.5 therein). The proof below shows that the conclusion of Lemma 2.1 remains valid if  $\mathbb{T}$  equipped with  $q$  is replaced by a (possibly non-Abelian) Lie group equipped with a Gleason metric.

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* We only need to show that (ii) follows from (i). To this end, first note that it follows from (2-1) that there exists a constant  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$(\star) \quad \text{If } z \in \mathbb{T} \text{ and } n > 0 \text{ are such that } q(z^j) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n, \text{ then } q(z^n) \geq \varepsilon n q(z).$$

Now take a positive real number  $r > 0$  such that  $\phi(B_p(r)) \subset B_q(\varepsilon)$ . We will show that (2-2) holds for  $c \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{4M}{\varepsilon r}$ . So, fix  $x \in G$ . We may and do assume that  $\phi(x) \neq 1$ . If  $x \notin B_p(r)$ , then  $q(\phi(x)) \leq M|\phi(x) - 1| \leq 2M \leq \frac{2M}{r}p(x)$ . On the other hand, if  $p(x) < r$ , take the greatest integer  $N > 0$  such that  $Np(x) < r$ . Then  $p(x) \geq \frac{r}{2N}$ ,  $q(\phi(x)^j) \leq \varepsilon$  for  $j = 1, \dots, N$  (because  $x^j \in B_p(r)$ ) and hence, thanks to  $(\star)$ ,  $q(\phi(x)) \leq \frac{q(\phi(x)^N)}{N\varepsilon} \leq \frac{2M}{N\varepsilon} \leq \frac{4M}{r\varepsilon}p(x)$  and we are done.  $\square$

In the sequel we will define the dual value (to a given one on a group  $G$ ) as a function that assigns to a character  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_c(G, \mathbb{T})$  the least constant  $c$  in (2-2). But first we should choose a certain ‘nice’ value on  $\mathbb{T}$  that will be fixed in the whole theory. Actually, each value on  $\mathbb{T}$  that satisfies (2-1) may serve as such a value, but then not all results presented in this paper remain valid (e.g., explicit formulas for the dual value or the regularisation of a given value can become incorrect). To justify our choice, we introduce the following (well-known and quite easy)

**2.2. Proposition.** *Let  $\lambda$  denote the quotient value on  $\mathbb{T} \equiv \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  induced by the natural one on  $\mathbb{R}$ ; that is:*

$$(2-3) \quad \lambda(z) = \min\{|t|: t \in \mathbb{R}, e^{2\pi ti} = z\}.$$

For a compatible value  $p$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- for any  $z \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{1\}$  there exists an isometric path from  $[0, p(z)]$  into  $(\mathbb{T}, p)$  that joins 1 with  $z$ ;
- $p = c\lambda$  for some constant  $c > 0$ .

We leave the proof of Proposition 2.2 to the reader. The conclusion of this result lets us call values of the form  $\lambda_c \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} c\lambda$  *canonical*. (Note that the diameter of the space  $(\mathbb{T}, \lambda_c)$  is  $\frac{c}{2}$ .)

**From now on, the group  $\mathbb{T}$  is equipped with the value  $\lambda_c$  where  $c > 0$  is some fixed constant. (We will set  $c$  as 1 after Proposition 2.5.)** Note that  $\lambda_c$  satisfies (2-1).

**2.3. Definition.** *Dual value* to a value  $p$  on an Abelian group  $(G, +)$  is a function  $p': \text{Hom}_c(G, \mathbb{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  (where  $G$  is topologised by  $p$ ) given by the formula:

$$p'(\chi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \inf\{M > 0: \lambda_c(\chi(x)) \leq Mp(x) \ (x \in G)\} \quad (\chi \in \text{Hom}_c(G, \mathbb{T})).$$

The triple  $(G', \cdot, p')$  where  $G' = \text{Hom}_c(G, \mathbb{T})$  is called the *metric-dual group* of  $(G, +, p)$ . In other words,  $(G, +, p) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (G', \cdot, p')$ .

To complete the above definition, we recall that every Abelian group becomes topological when equipped with a value (or, more precisely, with an invariant metric induced by a value). It can also easily be checked that the dual value of any value is again a value. In this way we can define inductively metric-dual groups of higher order by  $(G, +, p)^{(n)} = (G^{(n)}, \cdot, p^{(n)}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (G', \cdot, p')^{(n-1)}$ .

It follows from the definition of a dual value that for any value  $p$  on an Abelian group  $G$ :

$$\lambda_c(\chi(x)) \leq p'(\chi)p(x) \quad (x \in G, \chi \in G').$$

Here, at the very preliminary stage of our considerations, we underline that, in general, the topology of the metric-dual group of a metric LCA group may differ from the Pontryagin's topology of the dual group. However, both these dual groups always coincide as sets with binary actions. A complete characterisation of values on metrisable LCA groups whose dual values are compatible with the Pontryagin's topology of the dual group will be given in Theorem 3.3 below.

**2.4. Example.** Considering (in a natural and standard manner) the topological group  $\mathbb{Z}$  as the Pontryagin's dual of  $\mathbb{T}$  and vice versa, it can be easily checked that the metric-dual group of  $(\mathbb{T}, \cdot, \lambda_c)$  is  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, m)$  where  $m(k) = |k|$ . Conversely, the metric-dual group of  $(\mathbb{Z}, +, m)$  is  $(\mathbb{T}, \cdot, \lambda_c)$ . The details are left to the reader.

To fix uniquely the value on  $\mathbb{T}$ , we establish the following easy property.

**2.5. Proposition.** *Let the quotient epimorphism of  $\mathbb{R}$  onto  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \equiv \mathbb{T}$  be realised as  $p: \mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto e^{2\pi ti} \in \mathbb{T}$ . For any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  let  $\phi_t \in \mathbb{R}'$  be given by  $\phi_t(x) = p(tx)$ . (In this way the assignment  $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto \phi_t \in \mathbb{R}'$  defines an isomorphism of topological groups.)*

*For any compatible value  $\rho$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  let  $\rho^*$  be the value on  $\mathbb{R}$  corresponding to  $\rho'$  via the above isomorphism; that is,  $\rho^*(t) = \rho'(\phi_t)$ . Then, for  $\tau(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |t|$ :*

$$\tau^* = c\tau.$$

*Proof.* It follows from (2-3) that  $\lambda_c(\phi_t(x)) \leq c|tx|$  and hence  $\tau^*(t) \leq c|t|$ . On the other hand, when  $t$  is fixed and  $x \neq 0$  is very close to 0, then  $\lambda_c(\phi_t(x)) = c|tx|$ , which yields the reverse inequality and finishes the proof.  $\square$

Taking into account the above result, it is quite natural to require ‘‘axiomatically’’ that the natural value on the group  $\mathbb{R}$  is *self-dual* (after identifying this group with its dual via isomorphism described in the above proposition). Hence we set  $c = 1$ . (Note that this is the case when the metric length of  $\mathbb{T}$  is 1, which best fits to the probabilistic Haar measure on  $\mathbb{T}$ .)

**From now on to the end of the paper, the group  $\mathbb{T}$  is equipped with the value  $\lambda$  (given by (2-3)).**

As it is done for Banach spaces as well as for LCA groups, for any value  $p$  on an Abelian group  $(G, +)$  we have a *canonical* homomorphism  $\kappa_G: (G, +, p) \ni x \rightarrow \mathbf{e}_x \in (G, +, p)''$ :

$$(2-4) \quad \mathbf{e}_x(\phi) = \phi(x) \quad (x \in G, \phi \in G').$$

Observe that  $p''(\mathbf{e}_x) \leq p(x)$  and therefore  $\kappa_G$  is continuous (even non-expansive). However, it is one-to-one iff  $G$  is maximally almost periodic (cf. [3]); that is, if  $G'$  separates the points of  $G$ . In particular,  $\kappa_G$  may be non-isometric. Taking these remarks into account, we introduce

**2.6. Definition.** A value  $p$  on an Abelian group  $(G, +)$  (as well as the metric group  $(G, +, p)$ ) is said to be

- *regular* if  $\kappa_G$  is isometric;
- *semiregular* if  $\kappa_G$  is a topological embedding;
- *reflexive* if  $\kappa_G$  is both isometric and surjective;
- *semireflexive* if  $\kappa_G$  is a topological isomorphism.

*Regularisation* of  $p$  is a semivalue  $p_{\text{reg}}$  on  $G$  defined by  $p_{\text{reg}}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p''(\mathbf{e}_x) (\leq p(x))$ . (In particular,  $p = p_{\text{reg}}$  iff  $p$  is regular; and  $p$  is semiregular iff  $p_{\text{reg}}$  is a value equivalent to  $p$ .) We use  $\text{RV}(G)$  to denote the collection of all regular values on  $G$ .

**2.7. Proposition.** *Let  $(G, +, p)$  be a metric Abelian group. Then:*

(a) *for any  $x \in G$ ,*

$$p_{\text{reg}}(x) = \sup_{\chi \in G' \setminus \{1\}} \left( \inf_{g \notin \ker(\chi)} \frac{\lambda(\chi(x))}{\lambda(\chi(g))} p(g) \right)$$

(where  $\sup(\emptyset) = 0$ );

(b)  $p^{(n)} \in \text{RV}(G^{(n)})$  for all  $n > 1$ ;

(c) *if  $p$  is semiregular, then  $p_{\text{reg}} \in \text{RV}(G)$  and  $(p_{\text{reg}})' = p'$ .*

It is worth noting here that in Section 5 we will extend the notions of regularity and regularisations to (so-called) quasi-values and prove that the regularisation is always regular (so, the conclusion of item (c) above holds without any additional assumptions on  $p$ ).

*Proof of Proposition 2.7.* Part (a) is left to the reader. We turn to (b). For transparency, set  $q = p'$ . We have  $\lambda(\xi(\chi)) \leq q(\chi)q'(\xi)$  for all  $\chi \in G'$  and  $\xi \in G''$ . Substituting  $\xi = \mathbf{e}_x$  (with  $x \in G$ ), we get

$$(2-5) \quad \lambda(\chi(x)) \leq p_{\text{reg}}(x)p'(\chi).$$

Applying the last inequality to  $q$  instead of  $p$  yields  $\lambda(\xi(\chi)) \leq q_{\text{reg}}(\chi)q'(\xi)$  for all  $\chi \in G'$  and  $\xi \in G''$ . Again, substituting  $\xi = \mathbf{e}_x$ , we obtain  $\lambda(\chi(x)) \leq p_{\text{reg}}(x)q_{\text{reg}}(\chi) \leq p(x)q_{\text{reg}}(\chi)$ . Since  $q(\chi)$  is the least Lipschitz constant of  $\chi$ , we conclude that  $q(\chi) \leq q_{\text{reg}}(\chi)$ . So,  $q = q_{\text{reg}}$  and an easy induction argument finishes the proof of (b).

Finally, to show (c), denote  $\rho = p_{\text{reg}}$ . Since  $\rho \leq p$ , we get  $p' \leq \rho'$  (here we use the property that  $\rho$  is equivalent to  $p$ ). On the other hand, (2-5) implies that  $\rho' \leq p'$  and, consequently,  $\rho' = p'$  and  $\rho'' = p''$ , which is followed by (c).  $\square$

The above result shows that for any value  $p$  on an Abelian group  $(G, \cdot)$ , the sequence  $(G', \cdot, p')$ ,  $(G'', \cdot, p'')$ ,  $\dots$  behaves similarly as the classical sequence of consecutive dual spaces of a Banach space in the sense that each of these groups embeds isometrically into its second dual in a canonical way. We leave it as a warm-up exercise to give an example of a non-regular metric Abelian group. That this cannot happen for normed vector spaces is shown in the following

**2.8. Theorem.** *A norm on a real or complex vector space is a regular value. Moreover, for any normed vector space  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  over the field  $\mathbb{K} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$  the assignment*

$$(2-6) \quad E^* \ni u \mapsto p \circ (\operatorname{Re} u) \in E'$$

*defines an isometric group isomorphism from the dual Banach space  $E^*$  of  $E$  onto the metric-dual group  $E'$  of  $E$  where  $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is given by  $p(t) = e^{2\pi ti}$ .*

*In particular,  $E$  is a reflexive metric group iff it is a reflexive Banach space.*

*Proof.* It is well-known that (since  $E$  is a simply connected topological group) for any character  $\chi \in E'$  there exists a unique continuous  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear functional  $\phi: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $p \circ \phi = \chi$ . If  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ , any continuous  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear functional  $\phi: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  extends to a unique  $\psi \in E^*$  such that  $\|\psi\| = \|\phi\|$ . So, to show that (2-6) is isometric and surjective, we only need to verify that the Lipschitz constants of  $\phi$  and  $p \circ \phi$  coincide for any  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear functional  $\phi: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . But the last property easily follows from the fact that  $p$  is non-expansive (w.r.t.  $\lambda$  and the natural value on  $\mathbb{R}$ ) and  $p(\phi(tx)) = |\phi(tx)|$  for any  $x \in E$  and sufficiently small  $t > 0$ .

For simplicity, denote by  $\Lambda_E$  the isomorphism given by (2-6), and by  $J_E: E \rightarrow E^{**}$  the canonical embedding of  $E$  into its second dual Banach space. Observe that then  $\Lambda_{E^*}: E^{**} \rightarrow (E^*)'$  and  $\Phi: E^{**} \ni \xi \mapsto \Lambda_{E^*}(\xi) \circ \Lambda_E^{-1} \in E''$  is a well defined isometric group isomorphism such that  $\kappa_E = \Phi \circ J_E$ . Therefore  $\kappa_E$  is isometric, and  $E$  is reflexive as a normed vector space iff it is so as a metric group.  $\square$

The above result shows that the concept of metric duality introduced in Definition 2.3 naturally extends duality for normed vector spaces.

**2.9. Example.** Consider the discrete value  $\delta_G$  on an Abelian group  $(G, \cdot)$  (that is,  $\delta_G(g) = 1$  for  $g \neq e_G$ ). Then  $\delta'_G(\chi) = \sup_{g \in G} \lambda(\chi(g))$  for any homomorphism  $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ . In particular:

- if  $\chi$  has infinite or (finite) even order, then  $\delta'_G(\chi) = \lambda(-1) = \frac{1}{2}$ ;
- if  $\chi$  has odd order  $k$ , then  $\delta'_G(\chi) = \frac{k-1}{2k}$ .

In particular,  $\delta'_G$  induces the discrete topology on  $G'$ . So, if  $G$  is infinite,  $\delta'_G$  is not a compatible value on the Pontryagin's dual of (the discrete group)  $G$ .

To simplify notation, denote  $q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\delta_G)_{\text{reg}}$ . We know that  $q \leq \delta_G$ . If  $g \in G$  has infinite or (finite) even order, then there is  $\chi \in G'$  such that  $\chi(g) = -1$  and therefore  $q(g) \geq \frac{\lambda(\chi(g))}{\delta'_G(\chi)} = 1$ , which shows that  $q(g) = 1$  for such  $g$ . On the other hand, if  $g \neq e_G$  has odd order  $k$ , take  $\chi \in G'$  such that  $\chi(g) = e^{2\pi \frac{k-1}{2k}i}$  to conclude that  $q(g) \geq \frac{\lambda(\chi(g))}{\delta'_G(\chi)} \geq \frac{2}{3}$ . This shows that  $q$  and  $\delta_G$  are Lipschitz equivalent and, in particular,  $\delta_G$  is semiregular. However, in general,  $\delta_G$  may be non-regular. For example, if  $G$  contains an element  $g$  of order 9, then  $q(g^3) \neq 1$ . Indeed, if  $\chi \in G'$  has order 3, then  $\chi(g^3) = 1$ . On the other hand, if the order of  $\chi$  differs from 3, then  $\delta'_G(\chi) \geq \frac{2}{5}$  and  $\lambda(\chi(g^3)) \leq \frac{1}{3}$ . So,  $\frac{\lambda(\chi(g^3))}{\delta'_G(\chi)} \leq \frac{5}{6}$  and, consequently,  $q(g^3) \leq \frac{5}{6}$  as well.

Our nearest goal is to generalise fundamental properties of dual Banach spaces to the context of metric-dual groups. Adapting terminology from Banach space theory, for every metric Abelian group  $G$  we call the pointwise convergence topology of  $G'$  the *weak\** topology. The part (C) of the result below is a counterpart of the classical Banach-Alaoglu theorem for Banach spaces.

**2.10. Theorem.** *Let  $p$  be an arbitrary value on an Abelian group  $(G, +)$ .*

- (A) *The value  $p'$  is complete.*
- (B) *The topology of  $G'$  induced by  $p'$  coincides with the topology of uniform convergence on  $p$ -bounded subsets of  $G$ .*

(C) All closed  $p'$ -balls are compact in the weak\* topology.

*Proof.* Part (A) is left as an exercise. To see (C), it is enough to observe that  $\bar{B}_{p'}(r)$  is a closed subset (in the pointwise convergence topology) of the set of all (possibly discontinuous) functions from  $G$  into  $\mathbb{T}$ , and to apply Tychonoff theorem. Here we focus only on (B). Since the topology of uniform convergence on  $p$ -bounded subsets of  $G$  is metrisable, we shall investigate convergence of “usual” sequences in both the topologies on  $G'$ .

First assume that

(†) characters  $\chi_1, \chi_2, \dots \in G'$  converge to 1 uniformly on all  $p$ -bounded subsets of  $G$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$  and choose  $N$  so large that

$$(2-7) \quad p(g) < \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \implies \lambda(\chi_n(g)) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n \geq N.$$

Now fix  $n \geq N$  and observe that  $\chi_n(B_p(\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2})) \subset B_\lambda(\varepsilon)$ . It follows from the proof of Lemma 2.1 that then  $p'(\chi_n) \leq 4M\varepsilon$  where  $M > 0$  is a constant chosen so that (2-1) holds for  $q = \lambda$ . This shows that

$$(2-8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p'(\chi_n) = 0.$$

Since (2-8) trivially implies (†), the proof is finished.  $\square$

Recall that the *weak* topology on a topological Abelian group  $G$  is the smallest topology on  $G$  with respect to which all characters from  $G'$  are continuous. (In other words, a net  $(x_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \subset G$  converges to  $g \in G$  in the weak topology iff  $\lim_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \chi(x_\sigma) = \chi(g)$  for any continuous homomorphism  $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ .) We underline that the weak topology on  $G$  may be non-Hausdorff (it is Hausdorff iff  $G'$  separates the points of  $G$ ).

It follows from the very definitions of the weak and weak\* topologies that for any reflexive value  $p$  on an Abelian group  $G$  the canonical homomorphism  $\kappa_G: G \rightarrow G''$  is a topological isomorphism when  $G$  and  $G''$  are equipped with, respectively, the weak and the weak\* topology. This remark combined with Theorem 2.10 yields

**2.11. Corollary.** *For any reflexive value  $p$  on an Abelian group  $(G, +)$  the following properties hold.*

- (A) *The value  $p$  is complete.*
- (B) *The weak topology of  $(G, +, p)$  is Hausdorff.*
- (C) *All closed  $p$ -balls in  $G$  are weakly compact.*
- (D) *The value  $p'$  (on  $G'$ ) is reflexive.*

More on reflexive values the reader will find in Section 4.

**2.12. Remark.** In the theory of Banach spaces, to each continuous linear operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  there naturally corresponds dual operator  $T^*: Y^* \rightarrow X^*$  given by  $T^*(\phi) = \phi \circ T$ , which is continuous as well. A similar concept of duality for continuous homomorphisms between LCA groups is also well studied. However, in metric duality (of metric Abelian groups) a strange phenomenon occurs: although for each continuous homomorphism  $\rho: (G, +, p) \rightarrow (H, +, q)$  the dual homomorphism  $\rho': (H', \cdot, q') \rightarrow (G', \cdot, p')$  is well defined (by  $\rho'(\chi) = \chi \circ \rho$ ), in general it may be discontinuous (see an example below). In this concept, a more appropriate “arrows” (using the language of category theory) between metric Abelian groups (than just continuous homomorphisms) are homomorphism that are both continuous and *bounded* (that is, those that transform metrically bounded subsets of the domain to such subsets of the target group). It may briefly be shown that if

$\phi: (G, +, p) \rightarrow (H, +, q)$  is such a homomorphism, then  $\phi'$  is so as well. (Continuity follows from the boundedness of  $\phi$  and from part (B) of Theorem 2.10, whereas boundedness from equicontinuity of bounded sets in  $H'$  and from the continuity of  $\phi$ ; cf. the proof of Lemma 2.1).

Let us here give a short example of a continuous homomorphism whose dual is discontinuous. To this end, denote by  $\delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ , as in Example 2.9, the discrete value on  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  and let  $m$  and  $e$  be, respectively, the natural value on  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  and the identity homomorphism from  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \delta_{\mathbb{R}})$  into  $(\mathbb{R}, +, m)$ . It is clear that  $e$  is continuous and that its dual homomorphism  $e'$ , from  $(\mathbb{R}, +, m)' \equiv (\mathbb{R}, +, m)$  into  $(\mathbb{R}, +, \delta_{\mathbb{R}})'$ , is the identity as well. But then  $e'$  is discontinuous, since its domain is connected and the target group is discrete (consult Example 2.9).

### 3. METRIC DUALITY FOR POLISH LCA GROUPS

In this section we shall discuss in a greater detail metric duality for LCA groups. We are mainly interested in such values on LCA groups  $G$  for which the dual value is compatible with the Pontryagin's topology of the dual group. In particular, both the groups  $G$  and  $G'$  have to be metrisable. This is the case precisely when  $G$  is Polish. That is why in the results of this part we make such an assumption. Metric duality for general LCA groups will be discussed in Section 6.

The main tool of this section is a classical Glicksberg's theorem [4] which reads as follows.

**3.1. Theorem.** *A weakly compact subset of a LCA group is compact.*

We begin with a simple

**3.2. Proposition.** *Let  $p$  be a compatible value on a compact metrisable Abelian group  $G$ . Then:*

- (a)  $p$  is semireflexive;
- (b)  $p'$  is compatible with the Pontryagin's topology of  $G'$  (that is,  $p'$  induces the discrete topology);
- (c)  $p_{\text{reg}}$  and  $p'$  are reflexive.

*Proof.* First observe that  $p_{\text{reg}}$  is a value. Since  $p_{\text{reg}} \leq p$  and  $G$  is compact,  $p_{\text{reg}}$  is compatible. Consequently,  $p$  is semiregular and  $p_{\text{reg}}$  is regular.

Further, there is a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $p(g) \leq M$  for any  $x \in G$ . Hence,  $p'(\chi) \geq \frac{1}{3M}$  for any non-constant  $\chi \in G'$ , because for each such  $\chi$  there is  $g \in G$  such that  $\lambda(\chi(g)) \geq \frac{1}{3}$ . This shows (b). In particular,  $\kappa_G$  is surjective, by the Pontryagin's theorem. But then  $p$  is semireflexive and both  $p_{\text{reg}}$  and  $p'$  are reflexive.  $\square$

In general Polish LCA groups situation is more subtle, as shown by

**3.3. Theorem.** *The dual value of a compatible value  $p$  on a metrisable LCA group  $G$  is compatible with the Pontryagin's topology of  $G'$  iff  $p$  is proper; that is, if  $\bar{B}_p(r)$  is a compact set in  $G$  for each  $r > 0$ . If  $p$  is proper, it is semireflexive and  $p_{\text{reg}}$  and  $p'$  are both reflexive and proper.*

*In particular, a Polish LCA group admits a compatible reflexive value whose dual value is compatible with the Pontryagin's topology of the dual group.*

*Proof.* First assume  $p$  is a proper value on a Polish LCA group. Since each compact set in  $G$  is  $p$ -bounded, it follows from part (B) of Theorem 2.10 that  $p'$  is compatible with the Pontryagin's topology of  $G'$ . In particular,  $(G', \cdot, p')$  is LCA,  $\kappa_G$  is a topological isomorphism (thanks to the Pontryagin–van Kampen theorem) and the

weak\* topology of this group coincides with the weak one. So, item (C) of Theorem 2.10 combined with Theorem 3.1 yields that  $p'$  is proper as well. Consequently,  $p_{\text{reg}}$  and  $p'$  are reflexive (cf. Proposition 2.7) and  $p$  is semireflexive.

Now assume  $p$  is an arbitrary compatible value on a metrisable LCA group  $G$  such that  $p'$  is compatible with the Pontryagin's topology of  $G'$ . Then, again thanks to Theorem 2.10 and Theorem 3.1,  $p'$  is proper. So, it follows from the first part of the proof that  $p''$  is compatible, proper and reflexive. Consequently,  $p$  is semireflexive and  $p_{\text{reg}}$  is proper and reflexive. But then, since  $p_{\text{reg}} \leq p$ , also  $p$  is proper.

Now to conclude the proof it is sufficient to apply Struble's theorem [8] which asserts that each Polish locally compact group admits a proper compatible left-invariant metric.  $\square$

The above result implies that a proper compatible value on a LCA group is reflexive iff it is regular.

The reader will easily prove the following

**3.4. Proposition.** *For any Polish LCA group  $G$  the assignment*

$$p \leftrightarrow p'$$

*establishes a one-to-one correspondence between reflexive proper compatible values  $p$  on  $G$  and such values  $q = p'$  on  $G'$ .*

**3.5. Remark.** It is readily seen that for two reflexive proper compatible values  $p$  and  $q$  on a Polish LCA group  $G$  the following equivalence holds:

$$p \leq q \iff q' \leq p'.$$

In particular, the concept of metric duality can be used to translate the structure of  $G$  "in the large" [resp. "in the small"] to the structure of  $G'$  "in the small" [resp. "in the large"]. This remark seems to be particularly interesting when investigating metrisable compact Abelian groups "in the small" or countable Abelian groups "in the large."

The following result illustrates how the regularisation (introduced in Definition 2.6) works. Recall that a function  $\omega: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is said to be *quasi-concave* (consult, e.g., Definition 5.6 on page 69 in [2]) if it is monotone increasing, vanishes at 0 and the function  $(0, \infty) \ni t \mapsto \frac{\omega(t)}{t} \in \mathbb{R}_+$  is monotone decreasing. Continuous quasi-concave functions may be regarded as very "nice" moduli of continuity, as they automatically satisfy the inequality

$$(3-1) \quad \omega(x+y) \leq \omega(x) + \omega(y) \quad (x, y \geq 0).$$

Recall also that if  $\omega: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a monotone increasing function, then the assignment  $\mathbb{R} \ni x \mapsto \omega(|x|) \in \mathbb{R}_+$  defines a proper compatible value on  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  iff  $\omega$  is continuous, vanishes only at 0, satisfies (3-1) and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \omega(t) = \infty$ .

**3.6. Proposition.** *Let  $p$  be a proper compatible value on  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  that is monotone increasing on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . Under a canonical identification of  $\mathbb{R}'$  with  $\mathbb{R}$  introduced in Proposition 2.5,*

$$(3-2) \quad p'(t) = \sup_{s \in (0, 1/2]} \frac{s}{p(\frac{s}{t})} \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}).$$

*In particular:*

- $p$  is reflexive iff  $p \upharpoonright \mathbb{R}_+$  is quasi-concave;
- if  $p$  is reflexive, then  $p'(t) = \frac{1}{2p(1/(2t))}$ .

*Proof.* Observe that  $\lambda(e^{2\pi ti}) = \text{dist}(t, \mathbb{Z})$  (where  $\text{dist}(t, \mathbb{Z}) = \inf\{|t - k| : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ) and therefore

$$p'(t) = \sup_{x>0} \frac{\text{dist}(tx, \mathbb{Z})}{p(x)}.$$

In what follows, we assume  $t > 0$  and express  $tx$  in the form  $tx = k + u$  where  $k$  is a non-negative integer and  $u \in [0, 1)$ . Then  $x = \frac{k+u}{t}$  and:

$$\begin{aligned} p'(t) &= \sup_{k,u} \frac{\text{dist}(k+u, \mathbb{Z})}{p(\frac{k+u}{t})} = \sup_{k,u} \frac{\text{dist}(u, \mathbb{Z})}{p(\frac{k+u}{t})} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sup_{u \in (0,1)} \frac{\text{dist}(u, \mathbb{Z})}{p(\frac{u}{t})} \\ &= \sup_{u \in (0,1)} \frac{\min(u, 1-u)}{p(\frac{u}{t})} = \sup_{s \in (0,1/2]} \max\left(\frac{s}{p(\frac{s}{t})}, \frac{s}{p(\frac{1-s}{t})}\right) = \sup_{s \in (0,1/2]} \frac{s}{p(\frac{s}{t})}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equation and the one denoted by  $(*)$  follow from the property that  $p$  is monotone increasing on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . This last property combined with (3-2) yields that  $p' \upharpoonright \mathbb{R}_+$  is monotone increasing as well. Moreover,

$$(3-3) \quad p'(t)/t = \sup_{v \in (0,1/(2t)]} \frac{v}{p(v)}$$

and therefore  $p' \upharpoonright \mathbb{R}_+$  is quasi-concave.

Taking into account that  $p'$  satisfies all the conditions assumed about  $p$ , we conclude that  $p''$ , or  $p_{\text{reg}}$ , is quasi-concave. So, if  $p$  is regular, it is quasi-concave. Conversely, if  $p$  is quasi-concave, then (3-3) reduces to  $p'(t)/t = \frac{1}{2tp(1/(2t))}$ . Replacing in this argument  $p$  by  $p'$ , we get that  $p'' = p$  and thus  $p$  is reflexive, which finishes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. REFLEXIVE METRIC GROUPS

In this section we establish fundamental properties of reflexive metric groups. Our main goal is to show that the topology of the metric-dual group of such a group does not depend on the choice of a compatible reflexive value. To be more precise, first note that if a metrisable Abelian group  $G$  is equipped with a compatible value  $p$ , the group  $G'$  as a set with a binary action is independent of  $p$  (indeed,  $G' = \text{Hom}_c(G, \mathbb{T})$ ), but its topology (induced by  $p'$ ) does depend on  $p$ , even if  $p$  is regular — as shown by a combination of Example 2.9 and Theorem 3.3. A situation dramatically changes when one deals with reflexive values: if  $p$  and  $q$  are two reflexive compatible values on  $G$ , then  $p'$  and  $q'$  are equivalent. In particular, if a compatible value on a metrisable LCA group is reflexive, then it is proper and hence the group is Polish (see Corollary 4.7 below).

To formulate the main result of this section, we recall the concept of (topological) duality for topological Abelian groups [3]. If  $G$  is such a group, then its *topological dual* is the group  $\widehat{G} = \text{Hom}_c(G, \mathbb{T})$  endowed with the compact-open topology. (So,  $\widehat{G}$  and  $G'$  coincide as sets with binary actions, but their topologies may differ.) In a similar manner one defines the *topological second dual*  $\widehat{\widehat{G}}$  (as the topological dual of  $\widehat{G}$ ). We call  $G$  *topologically reflexive* if the homomorphism  $G \ni x \mapsto \epsilon_x \in \widehat{\widehat{G}}$  (where  $\epsilon_x$  is given by (2-4)) is an isomorphism of topological groups. (Classically a group with such a property is called briefly reflexive. We have added here adverb “topologically” to distinguish this notion from metric reflexivity.)

**4.1. Theorem.** *Let  $(G, +, p)$  be a reflexive metric group. Then:*

- (A) *the topology of  $(G', \cdot, p')$  coincides with the topology of uniform convergence on weakly compact subsets of  $G$ ;*
- (B) *the topological weights of  $G$  and  $G'$  coincide;*
- (C)  *$G$  is topologically reflexive.*

In particular, if  $G$  is Polish, so is  $G'$ .

A proof of the above result needs some preparations. Regarding part (C), it is worth noting that the topological dual group of a topologically reflexive metrisable Abelian group is in general non-metrisable. Moreover, Smith [7] proved that all Banach spaces are topologically reflexive, in contrast to metric duality which in this context coincides with Banach space duality. So, in general, the reverse implication in item (C) (that is, statement that *the value of a topologically reflexive metric Abelian group is reflexive*) is false.

In the sequel we shall need the following result due to Pettis (cf. Corollary 2.1 in [6]).

**4.2. Lemma.** *Let  $u_n: M \rightarrow H$  ( $n > 0$ ) be a sequence of continuous homomorphisms from a completely metrisable metric group  $(M, \cdot, \rho)$  into a metric group  $(H, \cdot, q)$  such that the sequence  $(u_n(x))_{n=1}^\infty$  converges in  $H$  for any  $x \in M$ . Then all  $u_n$  are equicontinuous; that is, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $g \in G$ :*

$$\rho(g) \leq \delta \implies q(u_n(g)) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for any } n > 0.$$

As we will see in the proof, the following result is a consequence of Lemma 4.2. It is likely this result is known.

**4.3. Proposition.** *Let  $(G, \cdot, \rho)$  be a completely metrisable metric group and  $(H, \cdot, q)$  be any metric group. If a set  $L \subset \text{Hom}_c(G, H)$  is compact in the pointwise convergence topology, then it is equicontinuous; that is, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $x \in G$ :*

$$\rho(x) \leq \delta \implies q(f(x)) \leq \varepsilon \text{ for any } f \in L.$$

*Proof.* Assume, on the contrary, there exists a constant  $\varepsilon > 0$  and two sequences  $x_1, x_2, \dots \in G$  and  $u_1, u_2, \dots \in L$  such that

$$(4-1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad q(u_n(x_n)) \geq \varepsilon \quad (n > 0).$$

For each  $n > 0$  the set  $\{f(x_n): f \in L\}$  is compact in  $H$ . So, after passing to a (usual) subsequence, we may and do assume that

(‡) the sequence  $(u_n(x_k))_{n=1}^\infty$  converges in  $H$  for all  $k > 0$ .

Denote by  $M$  the closure in  $G$  of the subgroup generated by all  $x_k$ . Since the set  $\{f \upharpoonright M: f \in L\}$  is compact in the pointwise convergence topology of  $\text{Hom}_c(M, H)$ , we may also (and do) assume that  $G = M$ . But then the compactness of  $L$  combined with (‡) implies that the closure  $K$  of  $U \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{u_n: n > 0\}$  in the topology of  $L$  contains at most one element from outside the set  $U$ . So,  $K$  is a countable compact Hausdorff space and therefore it is metrisable. Hence, passing to another subsequence, we may assume that the homomorphisms  $u_1, u_2, \dots$  converge pointwise. But then Lemma 4.2 applies and its assertion contradicts (4-1).  $\square$

As an immediate consequence, we obtain the following result that is of great importance for us.

**4.4. Theorem.** *If  $p$  is a complete value on an Abelian group  $G$ , then each weak\* compact subset of  $G'$  is  $p'$ -bounded. In particular, all weak\* compact sets in  $G''$  are  $p''$ -bounded.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to show only the first statement. If  $K \subset G'$  is compact in the weak\* topology, then it is compact in the pointwise convergence topology of  $\text{Hom}_c(G, \mathbb{T})$ , and hence, by Proposition 4.3, there is  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $p(x) \leq \delta$ , then  $\lambda(\chi(x)) < 1/4$  for all  $\chi \in K$ . But then  $p'(\chi) \leq \frac{16M}{\delta}$  where  $M$  is chosen so that (2-1) holds for  $q = \lambda$ , which follows from the proof of Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**4.5. Corollary.** *Let  $(G, +, p)$  be a reflexive metric group.*

- (A) *Each weakly compact subset of  $G$  is  $p$ -bounded.*
- (B) *The topology of  $(G', \cdot, p')$  is the topology of uniform convergence on weakly compact subsets of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* To show (A), repeat the argument used to explain Corollary 2.11. Part (B) follows from (A) and Theorem 2.10.  $\square$

Another consequence of Theorem 4.4 is formulated below.

**4.6. Proposition.** *If  $(G, +, p)$  is a reflexive metric group, then it is topologically reflexive.*

*Proof.* It follows from Corollary 4.5 that the topology of  $\widehat{G}$  is weaker than the topology of  $G'$  and from Corollary 2.11 that  $p$  is complete. Thus,  $\text{Hom}_c(\widehat{G}, \mathbb{T}) \subset \text{Hom}_c(G', \mathbb{T})$ . This implies that  $\widehat{\widehat{G}}$  and  $G''$  coincide as sets with binary actions (because of the metric reflexivity of  $G$ ). It is also clear that the function  $G \ni x \mapsto \epsilon_x \in \widehat{G}$  is a continuous bijection. To convince ourselves that this map is actually a homeomorphism, it is sufficient to show that the topologies of  $\widehat{G}$  and of  $G''$  coincide. Since  $p''$  induces the topology of uniform convergence on weakly compact subsets  $K$  of  $G'$  (by Corollary 4.5), we only need to check that each such a set  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\widehat{G}$  (that is, in the compact-open topology). But this follows from the Ascoli-type theorem, since:

- the weak and the weak\* topologies of  $G'$  coincide (by the metric reflexivity of  $G$ );
- each weak\* compact set in  $G'$  is  $p'$ -bounded (thanks to Theorem 4.4) and closed in the compact-open topology;
- all characters from a  $p'$ -bounded subset of  $G'$  have a common Lipschitz constant.

$\square$

We now give

*Proof of Theorem 4.1.* Parts (A) and (C) are covered by, respectively, Corollary 4.5 and Proposition 4.6. We pass to item (B), which is the hardest part of the result. In what follows, we will denote by  $w(X)$  the topological weight of a topological space  $X$  and by  $C(X, \mathbb{C})$  the space of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $X$  equipped with the uniform convergence topology (we will use this space only for compact  $X$ ).

The case when  $G$  is finite is covered e.g. by the Pontryagin's duality. Hence we assume  $G$  is infinite. Since  $G$  is isometric to  $G''$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$(4-2) \quad w(G') \leq w(G).$$

To this end, for each positive integer  $n$  equip  $K_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{B}_p(n)$  with the topology induced from the weak one of  $G$ , and recall that:

- $K_n$  is a compact Hausdorff space (thanks to Corollary 2.11);
- $w(C(K_n, \mathbb{C})) = w(K_n)$  (by the compactness of  $K_n$ );
- $G'$  embeds (as a topological space) into  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C(K_n, \mathbb{C})$  via a map

$$\chi \mapsto (\chi \upharpoonright K_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

(thanks to part (B) of Theorem 2.10).

So, to establish (4-2) we only need to check that

$$(4-3) \quad w(K_n) \leq w(G)$$

for each  $n$ . To simplify notation, we fix  $n$  and set  $Q = K_n$ . Further, we equip  $M_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bar{B}_{p'}(m) \subset G'$  with the topology inherited from the weak\* topology of  $G'$ . We infer from Theorem 2.10 that  $M_m$  is a compact Hausdorff space. Observe that the function

$$\Phi_m: Q \ni x \mapsto \epsilon_x \upharpoonright M_m \in C(M_m, \mathbb{C})$$

is continuous if  $C(M_m, \mathbb{C})$  is equipped with the pointwise convergence topology. In particular,  $E_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Phi_m(Q)$  is compact in that topology. As it is also a bounded set in  $C(M_m, \mathbb{C})$ , it follows from a result due to Grothendieck [5] that  $\Phi_m(Q)$  is compact in the weak topology of the Banach space  $C(M_m, \mathbb{C})$  (here the *weak topology* is understood as it is in the Banach space theory). In other words,  $E_m$  is an Eberlein compactum. It is well-known that all such compacta  $E$  satisfy  $\text{dens}(E) = w(E)$  where  $\text{dens}(E)$  is the least cardinality among the sizes of dense sets in  $E$ . Since the map

$$Q \ni x \mapsto (\Phi_m(x))_{m=1}^\infty \in \prod_{m=1}^\infty E_m$$

is one-to-one (so, it is a topological embedding) and countable products of Eberlein compacta are Eberlein as well, we conclude that  $Q$  is an Eberlein compactum. Consequently,  $w(Q) = \text{dens}(Q)$ . Now it is sufficient to take a set  $D \subset Q$  which is dense in  $Q$  in the topology of  $G$  induced by the value  $p$  and satisfies  $\text{card}(D) \leq w(G)$  to conclude that  $D$  is dense in  $Q$  in the weak topology and therefore  $w(Q) \leq \text{card}(D)$ , which finishes the proof of (4-3) and of the theorem.  $\square$

**4.7. Corollary.** *If  $p$  is a non-proper compatible value on a metrisable LCA group  $G$ , then  $p$  is not semireflexive.*

*Proof.* Assume  $p$  is semireflexive. Then  $p_{\text{reg}}$  is compatible and reflexive. So,  $G$  is weakly  $\sigma$ -compact, thanks to Corollary 2.11, and actually  $G$  is  $\sigma$ -compact, by Theorem 3.1. Consequently,  $G$  is Polish, and admits a reflexive proper compatible value  $q$  (see Theorem 3.3). Now it follows from Theorem 4.1 that  $p'$  and  $q'$  are equivalent and thus, by Theorem 3.3,  $p$  is proper.  $\square$

**4.8. Corollary.** *If  $p$  is a bounded value on an Abelian group  $(G, +)$ , then either  $(G, +, p)$  is compact or  $p$  is not semireflexive.*

*Proof.* Since all compatible values on metrisable compact Abelian groups are semireflexive, it suffices to show the compactness of  $G''$  for semi-reflexive  $p$  (as in that case  $G$  is topologically isomorphic to  $G''$ ). It readily follows from the boundedness of  $p$  that  $p'$  induces the discrete topology on  $G'$ . In particular,  $p'$  is a reflexive compatible value on a LCA group. So, Corollary 4.7 implies that  $p'$  is proper and consequently  $G''$  is compact (since  $G'$  is discrete; cf. Theorem 3.3).  $\square$

**4.9. Remark.** Let us call a metrisable Abelian group *reflexifiable* if it admits a compatible value that is reflexive. It seems to be an interesting question of when a metrisable Abelian group is reflexifiable. Theorem 4.1 provides us some insight into this issue. Firstly, each such a group  $G$  needs to be complete and topologically reflexive. Secondly, if we endow  $G$  and  $G^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}_c(G, \mathbb{T})$  with the weak and weak\* topologies (respectively), both these topological groups need to be *hemicompact* (a topological Hausdorff space  $X$  is hemicompact if it may be covered by a countable family of its compact subsets such that any compact set in  $X$  is contained in some member of that family.) Moreover, the given topology of  $G$  needs to coincide with the topology of uniform convergence on weak\* compact subsets of  $G^*$ . Finally,

denoting by  $G'$  the group  $G^*$  endowed with topology of uniform convergence on weakly compact subsets of  $G$ , each continuous character on  $G'$  needs to have the form  $\epsilon_x$  for some  $x \in G$ . This last property seems to be the hardest part of the above list. (Note that  $G'$  is metrisable if  $G$  is weakly hemicompact.)

As it is easily seen, the product of a finite number of reflexifiable topological Abelian groups is reflexifiable as well.

**4.10. Example.** Reflexive Banach spaces, when considered as topological Abelian groups, can be characterised as reflexifiable groups that are both connected and locally connected and whose fundamental groups are trivial. Instead of giving a detailed proof, here we list its main steps. Below  $G$  denotes a metrisable Abelian group with all the properties specified above,  $p$  is a reflexive compatible value on  $G$  and  $(\mathbb{R}, +)$  is endowed with the natural value.

- (ST1) Being completely metrisable,  $G$  is both arcwise and locally arcwise connected.
- (ST2) Each character on  $G$  is of the form  $q \circ \phi$  where  $\phi \in G^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}_c(G, \mathbb{R})$  and  $q: \mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto e^{2\pi it} \in \mathbb{T}$ . The assignment  $G' \ni \phi \mapsto q \circ \phi \in G^*$  is a bijective homomorphism of abstract groups. Denote the inverse assignment by  $\chi \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{R}}$ . So, for  $\chi \in G'$ ,  $\chi_{\mathbb{R}} \in G^*$  satisfies  $q \circ \chi_{\mathbb{R}} = \chi$ . Endow  $G^*$  with a topology such that the mapping  $G' \ni \chi \mapsto \chi_{\mathbb{R}} \in G^*$  is a homeomorphism. In this way  $G^*$  is a completely metrisable Abelian group. Note also that  $G_{\mathbb{R}}$  is a real vector space (with pointwise actions).
- (ST3) Each  $\phi \in G^*$  is bounded on  $\bar{B}_p(R)$  for all  $R > 0$  (by the weak compactness of closed  $p$ -balls and Theorem 3.1).
- (ST4) If  $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots \in G^*$  converge to 0 uniformly on  $p$ -bounded subsets of  $G$ , then these homomorphisms converge to 0 in the topology of  $G^*$ .
- (ST5) For any  $\phi \in G^*$ , the mapping  $\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto t\phi \in G^*$  is continuous.
- (ST6) The homomorphism  $G^* \ni \phi \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\phi \in G^*$  is continuous.
- (ST7)  $G^*$  is a topological vector space. (This follows only from (ST5), (ST6) and complete metrisability of  $G^*$ . It is likely that such a general theorem on topological Abelian groups is known.)
- (ST8) The topology of  $G^*$  coincides with the topology of uniform convergence on  $p$ -bounded subsets of  $G$ .
- (ST9)  $G^*$  is a completely metrisable locally convex space.
- (ST10)  $G$  is a completely metrisable locally convex space as well. (Since we can apply all the previous steps to  $G'$  which is isomorphic to  $G^*$  to conclude analogous properties of  $G''$  which is isomorphic to  $G$ .)
- (ST11) All closed  $p$ -balls in  $G$  are compact in the weak topology of a locally convex space.
- (ST12) Considered as a locally convex space,  $G$  is normable and a reflexive Banach space. (Since  $G$  contains a weakly compact neighbourhood of 0.)

**4.11. Remark.** In Remark 2.12 we have given an example of a continuous homomorphism from a complete metric Abelian group into a reflexive metric Abelian group whose dual homomorphism is discontinuous. If we assume, in addition, that the source group (that is, the domain) is reflexive as well, the aforementioned phenomenon does not occur, as all continuous homomorphisms between reflexive groups are automatically bounded, which easily follows from the weak compactness of closed balls (in such groups) and from the boundedness of weakly compact sets in such groups.

## 5. DUALITY AND REGULARISATION FOR QUASI-VALUES

In this section we propose a more general (and more abstract) approach to duality in Abelian groups, where no topological structures are considered (in particular, all groups are endowed with no topologies). These ideas will be applied in the next section to a non-metrisable groups.

From now on to the end of this section, all groups are Abelian and endowed with no topologies, and we use multiplicative notation for their actions. For any such a group  $G$ ,  $G^\#$  stands for the group of all homomorphisms from  $G$  into  $\mathbb{T}$ . Additionally, we set  $G^{\#\#} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (G^\#)^\#$  and introduce *canonical embedding*  $J_G: G \rightarrow G^{\#\#}$  given by a standard rule:  $J_G(x) = \mathbf{e}_x$  where  $\mathbf{e}_x(\chi) = \chi(x)$  (where  $x \in G$  and  $\chi \in G^\#$ ). (Recall that  $J_G$  is always one-to-one and it is surjective iff  $G$  is finite.) Further, for any set  $A \in G$  we use  $A^\perp$  to denote the set of all  $\phi \in G^\#$  such that  $\phi \upharpoonright A \equiv 1$ .

**5.1. Definition.** A *quasi-value* on a group  $G$  is any function  $p: G \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  such that:

- $p(e_G) = 0$ ;
- $p(x^{-1}) = p(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ ;
- $p(xy) \leq p(x) + p(y)$  for any  $x, y \in G$ .

For any quasi-value  $p$  on  $G$  we define:

- its *dual* quasi-value  $p^\#$  on  $G^\#$  by:

$$p^\#(\chi) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\lambda(\chi(g))}{p(g)} : g \in G, \chi(g) \neq 1 \right\} \quad (\chi \in G^\#),$$

under the following conventions:  $\sup(\emptyset) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$ ,  $\frac{a}{0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \infty$  and  $\frac{a}{\infty} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} 0$  for all  $a \in (0, \infty)$ ;

- $p^{\#\#} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (p^\#)^\#$  (a quasi-value on  $G^{\#\#}$ );
- *regularisation*  $p_{\text{reg}}$  of  $p$  (a quasi-value on  $G$ ) by:  $p_{\text{reg}}(x) = p^{\#\#}(\mathbf{e}_x)$  ( $x \in G$ ).

The quasi-value  $p$  is said to be *regular* if  $p = p_{\text{reg}}$ . We use  $\text{RQV}(G)$  to denote the set of all regular quasi-values on  $G$ . Additionally,  $\ker(p)$  and  $\text{bd}(p)$  will stand for the sets, respectively,  $p^{-1}(\{0\})$  and  $p^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$ . Note that  $\ker(p)$  and  $\text{bd}(p)$  are subgroups of  $G$ . Further, for each real  $r > 0$  we use  $B_p(r)$  and  $\bar{B}_p(r)$  to denote the sets of all  $g \in G$  such that  $p(g) < r$  and  $p(g) \leq r$ , respectively.

Basic properties of the notions introduced above are collected below.

**5.2. Proposition.** For any quasi-values  $p$  and  $q$  on  $G$ :

- (a) if  $p \leq q$ , then  $p_{\text{reg}} \leq q_{\text{reg}}$  and  $p^\# \geq q^\#$ ;
- (b) if  $p, q \in \text{RQV}(G)$ , then  $p \leq q$  iff  $p^\# \geq q^\#$ ;
- (c) if  $p \in \text{RQV}(G)$  and  $p^\# = q^\#$ , then  $p \leq q$ ; in particular,  $p_{\text{reg}} \leq p$ ;
- (d)  $p_{\text{reg}} \in \text{RQV}(G)$  and  $(p_{\text{reg}})^\# = p^\#$ ;
- (e)  $p^\# \in \text{RQV}(G^\#)$ ;
- (f) for any quasi-value  $\tau$  on  $G^\#$ , the quasi-value  $\sigma: G \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  given by  $\sigma(x) = \tau^\#(\mathbf{e}_x)$  is regular;
- (g)  $\ker(p^\#) = \text{bd}(p)^\perp$  and  $\text{bd}(p^\#)$  consists of all homomorphisms  $\phi \in G^\#$  that are continuous w.r.t.  $p$ ; that is,  $\phi \in \text{bd}(p^\#)$  iff  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(g_n) = 1$  for any sequence  $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in G$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(g_n) = 0$  (in particular,  $\ker(p) \subset \ker(\phi)$  for all  $\phi \in \text{bd}(p^\#)$ ).

*Proof.* First of all, notice that for all  $x \neq e_G$ :

$$(5-1) \quad p_{\text{reg}}(x) = \sup_{\chi \in G^\# \setminus \{x\}^\perp} \left( \inf_{g \notin \ker(\chi)} \frac{\lambda(\chi(x))}{\lambda(\chi(g))} p(g) \right)$$

(cf. Proposition 2.7), which easily implies part (a) and that  $p_{\text{reg}} \leq p$ . Note also that (b) follows from (a), since regular  $p$  is determined by  $p^{\#\#}$ , and that the former part of (c) is implied by its latter part and by (b).

Further, observe that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lambda(\chi(g)) \leq (p(g) + \varepsilon)(p^{\#}(\chi) + \varepsilon) \quad (g \in G, \chi \in G^{\#})$$

(with natural conventions that  $\infty + a = \infty \cdot a = a \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot \infty = \infty$  for any  $a \in (0, \infty)$ ) and  $p^{\#}(\chi)$  is the least possible value from  $[0, \infty]$  for which the above inequality holds for all  $g \in G$  (with fixed  $\chi$ ). Using this characterisation and proceeding similarly as in the proof of Proposition 2.7 one gets (e) and that  $(p_{\text{reg}})^{\#} = p^{\#}$ . Since the first claim of (d) is a special case of (f), it remains to show (f) and (g). Instead of giving a detailed proof of (f), we refer the reader to Theorem 5.7 (stated below) which combined with (e) yields (f). Finally, the former claim of (g) is left to the reader, whereas the latter one follows from the proof of Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

As a consequence of the above result, we obtain the following two important properties of regular quasi-values.

**5.3. Theorem.** *Let  $\{p_s\}_{s \in S}$  be an arbitrary non-empty collection of regular quasi-values on a group  $G$ . Then its pointwise supremum—that is, the function  $\bigvee_{s \in S} p_s$  given by the formula*

$$\left(\bigvee_{s \in S} p_s\right)(g) = \sup_{s \in S} p_s(g) \quad (g \in G)$$

*is a regular quasi-value as well.*

*Proof.* For simplicity, put  $q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigvee_{s \in S} p_s$ . It is clear that  $q$  is a quasi-value. We have already known that  $q_{\text{reg}} \leq q$ . On the other hand, for any  $s \in S$  we have  $p_s = (p_s)_{\text{reg}} \leq q_{\text{reg}}$  (since  $p_s \leq q$ ) and consequently  $q \leq q_{\text{reg}}$ , which finishes the proof.  $\square$

**5.4. Proposition.** *Let  $p_s$  be a regular quasi-value on a group  $G_s$  (for  $s \in S \neq \emptyset$ ). Then the quasi-value  $q: \prod_{s \in S} G_s \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  given by*

$$q((g_s)_{s \in S}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sup_{s \in S} p_s(g_s) \quad ((g_s)_{s \in S} \in \prod_{s \in S} G_s)$$

*is regular as well.*

*Proof.* To simplify notation, put  $H_s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G_s^{\#}$ ,  $r_s \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_s^{\#}$  and  $G \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{s \in S} G_s$ , denote by  $H = \bigoplus_{s \in S} H_s$  the direct product of all the groups  $H_s$ , and define  $\tau: H \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  and  $\sigma: G \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by the rules:  $\tau((h_s)_{s \in S}) = \sum_{s \in S} r_s(h_s)$  and

$$\sigma((g_s)_{s \in S}) = \tau^{\#}((J_{G_s}(g_s))_{s \in S}).$$

(Note that  $H^{\#}$  is naturally isomorphic to the full product  $\prod_{s \in S} H_s^{\#}$  and therefore the above  $(J_{G_s}(g_s))_{s \in S}$  may naturally be considered as an element of  $H^{\#}$ .) As the mapping  $G \ni (g_s)_{s \in S} \mapsto (J_{G_s}(g_s))_{s \in S} \in H^{\#}$  is a monomorphism, it is sufficient to check that  $q = \sigma$  (thanks to part (e) of Proposition 5.2 and to Theorem 5.7 stated below). To this end, observe that

$$\tau^{\#}((\phi_s)_{s \in S}) = \sup_{s \in S} r_s^{\#}(\phi_s) \quad ((\phi_s)_{s \in S} \in \prod_{s \in S} H_s^{\#})$$

and that  $r_s^{\#}(J_{G_s}(g_s)) = p_s^{\#\#}(J_{G_s}(g_s)) = p_s(g_s)$  for any  $s \in S$  and  $g_s \in G_s$ , since  $p_s$  is regular. These two observations show that indeed  $\sigma = q$  and finish the proof.  $\square$

5.5. *Remark.* Theorem 5.3 shows that for any group  $G$  the set  $\text{RQV}(G)$  is order complete. Since the pointwise minimum of two quasi-values is not a quasi-value in general, a natural question arises of how to find order infima of non-empty sets of regular quasi-values. With the aid of the concept of dual quasi-values this issue may be solved in a straightforward way as follows: for any collection  $\{p_s\}_{s \in S}$  of regular quasi-values on a group  $G$  define  $q: G^\# \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  and  $\bigwedge_{s \in S} p_s: G \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by  $q(\phi) = \sup_{s \in S} p_s^\#(\phi)$  and  $(\bigwedge_{s \in S} p_s)(g) = q^\#(J_G(g))$ . It follows from part (f) of Proposition 5.2 that  $\bigwedge_{s \in S} p_s$  is a regular quasi-value on  $G$  and from the other parts of that result that it is the infimum of the set  $\{p_s\}_{s \in S}$  in the poset  $\text{RQV}(G)$ .

It is also worth noting that, in general, regular quasi-values on  $G^\#$  may not be of the form  $p^\#$  where  $p$  is a quasi-value on  $G$ . However, for any finite group  $G$  the assignment  $p \mapsto p^\#$  establishes a one-to-one correspondence between regular quasi-values  $p$  on  $G$  and regular ones  $q = p^\#$  on  $G^\#$ .

5.6. **Example.** As it may easily be shown, the quasi-values  $\text{zero}_G, \text{inf}_G: G \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  defined as  $\text{zero}_G(g) = 0$  and  $\text{inf}_G(g) = \infty$  for each  $g \in G \setminus \{e_G\}$  are, respectively, the least and the greatest elements of the poset  $\text{RQV}(G)$ . It may also easily be verified that  $\text{zero}_{G^\#} = \text{inf}_{G^\#}$  and  $\text{inf}_{G^\#} = \text{zero}_{G^\#}$ .

Less obvious property of regular quasi-values is formulated in the following

5.7. **Theorem.** *A restriction of a regular quasi-value to a subgroup is regular as well. More precisely, if  $p \in \text{RQV}(G)$  and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , then  $p \upharpoonright H \in \text{RQV}(H)$ .*

*Proof.* To simplify notation, set  $q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (p \upharpoonright H)_{\text{reg}}$ . We need to show that  $q(h) = p(h)$  for any  $h \in H$ . We have already known that  $q \leq p \upharpoonright H$ . To show the reverse inequality, fix  $u \in H \setminus \{e_G\}$  and real  $m < p(u)$ , and using (5-1) and the regularity of  $p$  choose  $\rho \in G^\#$  such that  $\rho(u) \neq 1$  and  $\lambda(\rho(u))p(g) \geq m\lambda(\rho(g))$  for all  $g \in G$ . Then  $\chi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho \upharpoonright H$  belongs to  $H^\#$  and satisfies

$$\inf_{h \in H \setminus \ker(\chi)} \frac{\lambda(\chi(u))}{\lambda(\chi(h))} p(h) \geq m,$$

and thus  $q(u) \geq m$  (again by (5-1)), which finishes the proof.  $\square$

The following result will find applications in the next section.

5.8. **Corollary.** *Let  $p$  be a quasi-value on a group  $G$  and  $H$  be an arbitrary subgroup of  $G^\#$  that contains all homomorphisms from  $G$  into  $\mathbb{T}$  which are continuous w.r.t.  $p$ . Then,  $q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p^\# \upharpoonright H$  is regular and  $p_{\text{reg}}(g) = q^\#(\epsilon_g \upharpoonright H)$  for all  $g \in G$ .*

*Proof.* The former claim is a special case of the previous theorem (thanks to part (e) of Proposition 5.2). To see the latter, observe that, for  $g \neq e_G$ :

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\text{reg}}(g) &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\lambda(\phi(g))}{p^\#(\phi)} : \phi \in G^\#, \phi(g) \neq 1 \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \frac{\lambda(\phi(g))}{p^\#(\phi)} : \phi \in G^\#, \phi(g) \neq 1, p^\#(\phi) < \infty \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \sup \left\{ \frac{\lambda(\phi(g))}{q(\phi)} : \phi \in H, \phi(g) \neq 1 \right\} = q^\#(\epsilon_g \upharpoonright H), \end{aligned}$$

where the equation denoted by (\*) follows from the assumption about  $H$ .  $\square$

## 6. METRIC DUALITY FOR NON-METRISABLE ABELIAN GROUPS

In this part we apply the results from the previous section to discuss metric duality for non-metrisable topological Abelian groups. We start from recalling basic concepts of topologising Abelian groups by families of quasi-values.

Let  $G$  be an Abelian group. A collection of quasi-values  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_s\}_{s \in S}$  on an Abelian group  $G$  is said to be *separating* if for any  $g \in G \setminus \{e_G\}$  there is an index  $s \in S$  such that  $p_s(g) > 0$ . If  $\mathcal{P}$  is such a family (that is, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is separating), it induces a topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{P}}$  on  $G$  which makes  $G$  a Hausdorff topological group: finite intersections of any translations of sets  $B_{p_s}(r)$  (where  $s \in S$  and real  $r > 0$  are arbitrary) form a basis of  $\tau_{\mathcal{P}}$ . We call this topology *induced by  $\mathcal{P}$* . Observe that  $\mathcal{P}$  consists of continuous quasi-values (w.r.t.  $\tau_{\mathcal{P}}$ ). In particular, the groups  $\text{bd}(p_s)$  are all open in  $G$ .

Conversely, for any (Hausdorff) topological Abelian group  $A$  there is a separating collection  $\mathcal{Q}$  of (continuous) real-valued quasi-values on  $A$  that induces the given topology of  $A$ . (This statement is an immediate consequence of a classical result due to A.A. Markov on the existence of continuous semivalues with arbitrarily small balls of radius 1; consult e.g. [1, Theorem 3.3.9].)

As it is commonly practised, in what follows, we will assume that a collection  $\mathcal{P}$  of quasi-values is *upward directed*; that is, we will assume that:

$$\forall p, q \in \mathcal{P} \exists r \in \mathcal{P}: p \leq r, q \leq r.$$

(Similarly, we will say that  $\mathcal{P}$  is *downward directed* if for any two its members  $p$  and  $q$  there is  $v \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $v \leq p$  and  $v \leq q$ .)

As it was done in Definition 2.3, for a topological Abelian group  $G$  we set  $G' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}_c(G, \mathbb{T})$  and call  $G'$  the *dual* group. (At this very preliminary stage of our considerations, the group  $G'$  is equipped with no topology.) For any **continuous** quasi-value  $p$  on  $G$ , we use  $p'$  to denote the quasi-value  $p^\# \upharpoonright G'$  on  $G'$  and call it *dual* to  $p$ . Additionally,  $p_{\text{reg}}$  stands for the regularisation of  $p$  defined in Definition 5.1, and  $\kappa_G: G \rightarrow (G')^\#$  is a homomorphism given by the rule:  $\kappa_G(g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{e}_G(g) \upharpoonright G'$ .

As an immediate consequence of Corollary 5.8, we obtain

**6.1. Proposition.** *For any continuous quasi-value  $p$  on  $G$ ,  $p'$  and  $p_{\text{reg}}$  are regular quasi-values. Moreover,  $p_{\text{reg}}(g) = (p')^\#(\kappa_G(g))$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to observe that each homomorphism taken from  $G^\#$  that is continuous w.r.t.  $p$  belongs to  $G'$  and to apply Corollary 5.8.  $\square$

To define a metric structure on a non-metrisable (Abelian) group, we make a crucial observation:

**6.2. Proposition.** *For an upward directed separating collection  $\mathcal{P}$  of **regular** quasi-values on a topological Abelian group  $(G, \cdot)$  that induces the topology of  $G$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the collection  $\mathcal{P}' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{p': p \in \mathcal{P}\}$  is both separating (on  $G'$ ) and upward directed;*
- (ii)  *$\mathcal{P}$  is downward directed and*

$$(6-1) \quad \forall g \in G \exists p \in \mathcal{P}: p(g) < \infty;$$

- (iii)  *$\mathcal{P}'$  is separating, both downward and upward directed and for any  $\phi \in G'$  there is  $q \in \mathcal{P}'$  such that  $q(\phi) < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Of course, (i) follows from (iii). To see that (ii) is implied by (i), for  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ , using (i), take  $v \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $p' \leq v'$  and  $q' \leq v'$  and then conclude that

$(v')^\# \leq (p')^\#$  as well as  $(v')^\# \leq (q')^\#$ , from which it follows (thanks to Proposition 6.1 and the regularity of  $p, q$  and  $v$ ) that  $v \leq p$  and  $v \leq q$ . Further, since each homomorphism from  $G$  into  $\mathbb{T}$  that vanishes on the subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  generated by  $\bigcup_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \text{bd}(p)$  is continuous, and  $\mathcal{P}$  is separating, we infer from part (g) of Proposition 5.2 that  $H = G$ . So, for any  $g \in G$  there are  $h_1 \in \text{bd}(p_1), \dots, h_n \in \text{bd}(p_n)$  (with suitably chosen  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  from  $\mathcal{P}$ ) such that  $g = h_1 \cdot \dots \cdot h_n$ . Finally, as we have already shown that  $\mathcal{P}$  is downward directed, there exists  $q \in \mathcal{P}$  that is pointwise upper bounded by each of  $p_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ). So,  $q(g) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n p_j(h_j) < \infty$ , which finishes the proof of (ii).

It remains to show that (ii) is followed by (iii). We conclude from part (a) of Proposition 5.2 that  $\mathcal{P}'$  is both downward and upward directed (as  $\mathcal{P}$  is so). Further, if  $\phi \in G'$  differs from  $e_{G'}$ , we take arbitrary  $g \in G$  such that  $\phi(g) \neq 1$  and then, using (6-1), find  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $p(g) < \infty$ . Consequently,  $p'(\phi) \geq \frac{\lambda(\phi(g))}{p(g)}$  and hence  $\mathcal{P}'$  is separating. Finally, since  $\phi$  is continuous and the family  $\mathcal{P}$  is upward directed and induces the topology of  $G$ , there are  $q \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\phi(B_q(\varepsilon)) \subset B_\lambda(\frac{1}{4})$ . But then  $q'(\phi) < \infty$  (cf. the proof of Lemma 2.1) and we are done.  $\square$

Although the equivalence expressed in the above result holds (only) for families  $\mathcal{P}$  of regular quasi-values, for simplicity we introduce the following

**6.3. Definition.** A *metric structure* on an Abelian group  $G$  (equipped with no topology) is any non-empty collection  $\mathcal{P}$  of quasi-values on  $G$  that is separating and both downward and upward directed, and satisfies condition (6-1).

A *metric structure* on a **topological** Abelian group  $A$  is a metric structure on  $A$  that induces the topology of  $A$ .

For any metric structure  $\mathcal{P}$  on a topological Abelian group  $G$  the collection  $\mathcal{P}' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{p' : p \in \mathcal{P}\}$  is called *dual to*  $\mathcal{P}$ .  $\mathcal{P}'$  is a metric structure on  $G'$ , thanks to Proposition 6.2.

**6.4. Example.** It may easily be shown that any (Hausdorff) topological Abelian group  $G$  admits a metric structure. Indeed, we can find a separating collection  $\mathcal{P}_0$  of semivalues on  $G$  that induces the topology of  $G$  and then build a metric structure  $\mathcal{P}$  by adding to  $\mathcal{P}_0$  the quasi-values  $\text{zero}_G$  and  $\max(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  where  $n > 0$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in \mathcal{P}_0$  are arbitrary. However, when  $\text{zero}_G$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}$ , then  $\text{inf}_G$  is in  $\mathcal{P}'$  and thus  $\mathcal{P}'$  induces the discrete topology on  $G'$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a metric structure on a topological Abelian group  $(G, +)$ . We equip  $G'$  with the topology induced by  $\mathcal{P}'$  to obtain a topological group  $(G', \cdot)$ , which we will denote by  $(G, +, \mathcal{P})' = (G', \cdot, \mathcal{P}')$  and call *metric dual of  $G$  induced by  $\mathcal{P}$* . Applying this procedure to  $(G', \cdot)$  in place of  $(G, +)$ , we obtain *metric second dual of  $G$  (induced by  $\mathcal{P}$ )*, to be denoted by  $(G, +, \mathcal{P})'' = (G'', \cdot, \mathcal{P}'') = (G', \cdot, \mathcal{P}')'$ . For simplicity, for each  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  we will write  $p''$  to denote  $(p')'$  where the latter quasi-value is computed with respect to the collection  $\mathcal{P}'$ . It is worth underlying here that both the topology of  $G'$  as well as the domain of  $p''$  does depend on the metric structure  $\mathcal{P}$  of the topological group  $G$ .

Continuing the above story, for any  $g \in G$  take  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $p(g) < \infty$  and observe that then  $\lambda(\chi(g)) \leq Mp'(\chi)$  for any  $\chi \in G'$  where  $M$  is an arbitrary real number greater than  $p(g)$  (we enlarge  $p(g)$  to avoid indeterminate form “ $0 \cdot \infty$ ”). This shows that  $\epsilon_g \upharpoonright G'$  is continuous and hence we are allowed to consider  $\kappa_G$  as a homomorphism from  $G$  into  $G''$ . Moreover, Proposition 6.1 yields that for each  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$p_{\text{reg}}(g) = p''(\kappa_G(g)) \quad (g \in G),$$

which is consistent with considerations from Section 2 (cf. Definition 2.6). In particular, regularity of  $p$  is equivalent to the statement that  $\kappa_G$  is *isometric* w.r.t.  $p$  and  $p''$ .

**6.5. Definition.** A metric structure  $\mathcal{P}$  on an Abelian group  $(G, +)$  is said to be:

- *regular* if it consists of regular quasi-values;
- *semireflexive* if  $\kappa_G: (G, +, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (G'', \cdot, \mathcal{P}'')$  is an isomorphism of topological groups;
- *reflexive* if it is both regular and semireflexive.

The main goal of this section says that each LCA group admits a reflexive metric structure such that its dual structure induces the Pontryagin's topology of the dual group. To prove it, we need a slight generalisation of Theorem 3.3 which reads as follows.

**6.6. Lemma.** *For a continuous quasi-value  $p$  on a LCA group  $G$  the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $p'$  is continuous in the Pontryagin's topology of  $G'$ ;
- (ii)  $p$  is proper; that is, the sets  $\bar{B}_p(r)$  are compact in  $G$  for all finite  $r > 0$ .

Moreover, if  $p$  is proper, so are  $p'$  and  $p_{\text{reg}}$ .

*Proof.* First assume  $p$  is proper. Similarly as presented in the proof of part (B) of Theorem 2.10, one shows that  $p'$  induces topology of uniform convergence on  $p$ -bounded subsets of  $G$ . Consequently, this topology is weaker than the compact-open one on  $G'$  (that is, than the Pontryagin's topology of  $G'$ ), which means that (i) holds. Conversely, if  $p'$  is continuous in the Pontryagin's topology, then for each finite  $r > 0$  the set  $\bar{B}_{p'}(r)$  is an equicontinuous family and a closed set in this topology. So, by the Ascoli-type theorem, this set is compact and therefore  $p'$  is proper. Now applying all that has been already established in this proof to  $p'$  in place of  $p$ , we get that  $p''$  is proper. Consequently,  $p_{\text{reg}}$  and  $p$  are proper as well (as  $\bar{B}_p(r) \subset \bar{B}_{p_{\text{reg}}}(r)$ ).  $\square$

For simplicity, let us call a metric structure on a LCA group *proper* if all its quasi-values are proper (consult Lemma 6.6).

And now it is time for the main result of the section.

**6.7. Theorem.** *Let  $G$  be a LCA group.*

- (A) *A metric structure  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $G$  is proper iff  $\mathcal{P}'$  induces the Pontryagin's topology of  $G'$ .*
- (B)  *$G$  admits a metric structure that is both proper and reflexive.*
- (C) *The assignment  $\mathcal{P} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{P}'$  establishes a one-to-one correspondence between reflexive proper metric structures  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $G$  and such structures  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{P}'$  on  $G'$ .*

*Proof.* In what follows, we consider  $G'$  with the Pontryagin's topology.

We start from (A). It follows from Lemma 6.6 that if  $\mathcal{P}'$  consists of continuous quasi-values, then  $\mathcal{P}$  is proper. Conversely, if  $\mathcal{P}$  is proper, all quasi-values in  $\mathcal{P}'$  are continuous and proper (by the same lemma). This implies that the topology of  $(G', \cdot, \mathcal{P}')$  is weaker than the Pontryagin's one. So, to finish the proof of (A), we only need to show that nets in  $G'$  that converge to the neutral element w.r.t. the topology induced by  $\mathcal{P}'$  also converge in the compact-open topology. To this end, fix a net  $(\phi_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \subset G'$  such that

$$(6-2) \quad \lim_{\sigma \in \Sigma} p'(\phi_\sigma) = 0$$

for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , and a quasi-value  $q$  from  $\mathcal{P}$ . It follows from (6-2) that  $q'(\phi_\sigma) \leq 1$  for  $\sigma$  sufficiently large. But then, since  $q'$  is proper, the net  $(\phi_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$  has a 'tail'

contained in a compact set in the compact-open topology of  $G'$  and therefore the separation property of  $\mathcal{P}'$  combined with (6-2) yields that this net converges to the neutral element (in the compact-open topology).

Now we pass to (B). Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the collection of all continuous proper quasi-values on  $G$ . We claim that:

- (Q1)  $\mathcal{Q}$  induces the topology of  $G$ ; and
- (Q2)  $\mathcal{Q}$  is a metric structure.

To show (Q1), we argue as follows. For each compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $S_K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A/K$  is Lie, denote by  $\pi_K: G \rightarrow S_K$  the quotient homomorphism. Further, for any  $g \in G$  there is a  $\sigma$ -compact open subgroup  $P(K, g)$  of  $S_K$  such that  $\pi_K(g) \in P(K, g)$ . Now let  $\rho_{K, g}$  be any compatible proper value on  $P(K, g)$ . Then a quasi-value  $q_{K, g}$  on  $G$  given by

$$q_{K, g}(x) = \begin{cases} \rho_{K, g}(\pi_K(x)) & \text{if } \pi_K(x) \in P(K, g) \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{Q}$ . The family of all  $q_{K, g}$  constructed above is separating and induces the topology of  $G$ —both these properties follow from the following well-known property of all LCA groups (consult, e.g., [9, Theorem 1.4.19]):

*Each neighbourhood of the neutral element of a LCA group  $A$  contains a compact subgroup  $K$  such that the quotient group  $A/K$  is Lie.*

(A detailed verification of the property of the family of all  $q_{K, g}$  postulated above is left to the reader.) So, (Q1) holds. Moreover:

- for any  $g \neq e_G$  one may find  $K$  such that  $0 < q_{K, g}(g) < \infty$ ;
- if  $u, v \in \mathcal{Q}$ , then  $\max(u, v) \in \mathcal{Q}$  as well.

Thus, to check (Q2) it is sufficient to show that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is downward directed. To this end, fix  $u, v \in \mathcal{Q}$  and define  $z: G' \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  and  $w: G \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  by  $z = \max(u', v')$  and  $w(g) = z^\#(\kappa_G(g))$ . It follows from Lemma 6.6 that  $z$  is a quasi-value on  $G'$  that is both continuous and proper. So, another application of that lemma yields that  $w \in \mathcal{Q}$ . But  $w \leq u$  and  $w \leq v$ , since  $z \leq u'$  and  $u_{\text{reg}} \leq u$  and, similarly,  $z \leq v'$  and  $v_{\text{reg}} \leq v$ . This concludes the proof of (Q1)–(Q2).

Now to finish the entire proof of (B), it is sufficient to apply part (A) and Lemma 6.6 to conclude that  $\mathcal{Q}'$  is both a proper and reflexive metric structure on  $G'$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{P} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{Q}'$  is a metric structure we searched for in (B).

Finally, part (C) easily follows from part (A) and from Lemma 6.6.  $\square$

## 7. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND OPEN PROBLEMS

Although we have managed to adopt the concept of metric duality (naturally present in the theory of Banach spaces) to the context of LCA groups, at this stage of our investigations we do not know if there exist reflexive metric Abelian groups that are, in a sense, far from both LCA groups and Banach spaces. To be more precise, we put the following

**7.1. Problem.** Find a reflexive metric Abelian group that is Polish and non-isomorphic to the product of a LCA group and a Banach space.

The next problem is inspired by a similar well-known property of Banach spaces.

**7.2. Problem.** Is it true that the topological weight of  $(G'', \cdot, p'')$  is not less than the topological weight of  $(G', \cdot, p')$  for an arbitrary value  $p$  on an Abelian group  $G$ ?

Taking into account Theorem 4.1, the following question naturally arises.

**7.3. Problem.** Is it true that if  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  are two reflexive metric structures on an Abelian group  $G$  that induce the same topology on  $G$ , then the collections  $\mathcal{P}'$  and  $\mathcal{Q}'$  induce the same topology on  $G'$ ?

A special case of the above problem is formulated below.

**7.4. Problem.** Is it true that if  $\mathcal{P}$  is a reflexive metric structure on a LCA group  $G$ , then the collection  $\mathcal{P}'$  induces the Pontryagin's topology of  $G'$ ?

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A.V. Arhangel'skii and M.G. Tkachenko, *Topological Groups and Related Structures*, Atlantis Press, Paris; World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2008.
- [2] C. Bennett and R.C. Sharpley, *Interpolation of Operators*, Academic Press, 1988.
- [3] M.J. Chasco, D. Dikranjan and E. Martín-Peinador, *A survey on reflexivity of abelian topological groups*, *Topology Appl.* **159** (2012), 2290–2309.
- [4] I. Glicksberg, *Uniform boundedness for groups*, *Canad. J. Math.* **14** (1962), 269–276.
- [5] A. Grothendieck, *Critères de compacité dans les espaces fonctionnels généraux*, *Amer. J. Math.* **74** (1952), 168–186.
- [6] B.J. Pettis, *On continuity and openness of homomorphisms in topological groups*, *Ann. Math.* **52** (1950), 293–308.
- [7] M.F. Smith, *The Pontryagin duality theorem in linear spaces*, *Ann. Math.* **56** (1952), 248–253.
- [8] R.A. Struble, *Metrics in locally compact groups*, *Compos. Math.* **28** (1974), 217–222.
- [9] T. Tao, *Hilbert's fifth problem and related topics*, EMS, 2012.

WYDZIAŁ MATEMATYKI I INFORMATYKI, UNIWERSYTET JAGIELLOŃSKI, UL. ŁOJASIEWICZA 6,  
30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND

*Email address:* piotr.niemiec@uj.edu.pl