

Large quark mass Euler-Heisenberg type action for QCD with quark sources

Igor de M. Froldi, Fabio L. Braghin,
Instituto de Física, Federal University of Goiás,
Av. Esperança, s/n, 74690-900, Goiânia, GO, Brazil

Abstract

The quark determinant in the presence of a background gluon field is calculated in a large quark mass derivative expansion by considering quark sources. The resulting low-energy QCD Effective Field Theory (EFT) is valid for non-homogeneous fields and it presents, besides the usual Euler-Heisenberg type gluon self-interactions, different types of corrections to the minimal quark-gluon interaction among which there are several imaginary terms. The Abelian limit of this effective action, i.e. an expanded Euler-Heisenberg effective action with fermion sources, is exhibited and it contains, therefore, corrections to the fermion-photon interactions, including imaginary parts. Different resulting properties are calculated such as: their contributions to the energy-momentum tensor and its trace, the Poynting vector, the chromo-electric permittivity and chromo-magnetic permeability. Conditions for these quantities, as well as for the corresponding Abelian limits, to be real are exhibited.

1 Introduction

The first historical example of a low energy effective field theory (EFT) was found by Euler and Heisenberg [1, 2] by calculating the fermion polarization contribution for the electromagnetic field dynamics. The Euler-Heisenberg (EH) effective action, re-derived later by using different methods, among others by Schwinger [3], has been extensively investigated since then, and it is suitable to shed light on different types of processes such as light-light scattering birefringence and pair production [4, 5, 6]. Usually, its calculation reduces to the case of a constant electromagnetic field being that only a few cases have been successfully reached for time-dependent or spatially non-homogeneous configurations [4, 7]. The standard one loop EH is one particle irreducible (1PI) although further loop corrections are not [8, 9, 10]. Whereas in the case of the electromagnetic effective action, the integration of the fermion field in the presence of background Abelian gauge fields can be handled exactly, the equivalent effective action for non-Abelian QCD, that may include not only quark polarization but also gluon and ghost loops, usually can be calculated by resorting to some approximation method or in specific limits [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. The imaginary part of the electromagnetic EH, arising from a strong electric field, has been associated with pair creation [3] and results for the whole low energy QCD effective action suggests strongly that a stable QCD vacuum is rather chromo-magnetic being supported by lattice QCD [16, 19, 20]. The EH-type effective action for zero quark mass limit, or strong field, has been explicitly calculated in [21] expecting to correspond to a glasma phase that may occur in relativistic heavy. It has also been derived in the presence of constant electromagnetic fields, or magnetized, massless QCD [22, 23, 24]. For the large quark mass limit, where a corresponding expansion may be envisaged [25], one may expect to correspond not only to heavy (charm and beauty) quark contributions but also to weak fields around low-energy quark constituent masses largely corrected by mass generation mechanisms such as Dynamical Chiral Symmetry (DChSB). This latter method has been applied to compute heavy quark effects in different systems, for example [26, 27, 28]. This approach will be adopted in the present work to provide a first estimation of the fermion source (quark source) contributions to both, the EH and QCD-EH-type actions.

Despite the non-linear character of effective actions of the type of the Euler-Heisenberg action, its expansion in polynomials of the fields offers a more transparent way to interpret their physical content and their contribution to physical processes. All different possible couplings between the relevant effective degrees of freedom in terms of the fields, allowed by symmetry arguments, should emerge [29]. This search of all the allowed low-energy interaction terms will be followed in this work for the expansion of the quark determinant being the contributions of the gluon and ghost determinants left outside the scope. At the classical level, Lagrangian mass terms break scale symmetry, being quantum effects responsible for its anomalous breaking even in the case of massless fields classically [29]. Therefore, it must be associated to a mass-generation mechanism. The contribution of trace anomaly to the electron mass was calculated in [30] and it has been shown to be needed for the understanding of hadron masses [31]. When describing quark and gluon dynamics (or photon charged fermion), gauge field coupling to matter may involve (weaker) higher-order couplings besides the minimal coupling and the magnetic moment couplings. Accordingly, as well understood in QED, different electromagnetic field configurations can induce different types of fermion interactions. In QCD,

quarks and gluons have enormously more possible interaction structures. Accordingly, chromo-electric and chromo-magnetic properties of the ground state (vacuum) and the corresponding gauge field interactions with matter are much more difficult to investigate and to be assessed and we expect to provide some insight in this subject.

In this work, the one-loop (massive) quark determinant is computed with background gluon field by considering quark currents as sources. A large quark mass is performed, along the lines presented in [25], up to operators of dimension M^8 in terms of quantities as $G^{\mu\nu}$, G^2 , J_μ and $\mathcal{D}^\mu J^\nu$. The work is organized as follows. In the next section, the quark determinant is expanded to make it possible to write it as a sum of a scalar-field determinant and an intrinsically fermionic part. The Abelian limit is explicitly exhibited in Section (2.4). Some properties and observables are calculated in Section (3), with the corresponding conditions for their zero imaginary parts. The Abelian limit of the observables are also exhibited. Besides some identities, used along the derivation of the effective action, the corresponding corrections to the classical (dynamical) Yang-Mills equations are shown in Appendices. In the last section (4) a summary is presented.

2 The quark determinant in a gluon background: large quark mass or weak gluon field expansion

The QCD path integral can be written as:

$$Z[\eta, \bar{\eta}, J_\mu, \xi] = \int D[\psi, \bar{\psi}] D[A_\mu] e^{i \int d^4x (\mathcal{L}_{QCD} + L_{g.f.g.})}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{g.g.f}$ contains the sources $(\eta, \bar{\eta}, J_\mu, \xi)$, ghost terms, and the gauge fixing that will not be investigated in the present work. The Lagrangian density is given by:

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \bar{\psi} (\not{M} - m) \psi - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_C G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

Being $\not{M} = i\not{\partial} + g\not{A}$ and the gluon stress tensor $G^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu + ig[A^\mu, A^\nu]$ for $A^\mu = A_a^\mu \cdot \lambda_a$.

The quark part of the QCD action can be integrated out of exactly. It yields the following effective action:

$$i\Gamma_q = \text{Tr} \ln \left(\frac{\not{M} - m}{\not{p} - m} \right), \quad (3)$$

that has been normalized with respect to the free theory, where the momentum operator was written as $\not{M} = \not{p} + g\not{A}$, and the trace Tr is taken in all internal and spacetime indices. This expression naturally diverges, and a Pauli-Villars regulator will be introduced later to make it possible to renormalize the resulting effective action.

To proceed with the calculation in a more clear way, the derivative of this effective action with respect to the quark mass will be taken. The action is then calculated through:

$$\Gamma_q = im \text{Tr} \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Lambda^m \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{\Pi^2 - m'^2 + \frac{ig}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu}} - \frac{1}{p^2 - m'^2} \right) dm', \quad (4)$$

where Λ reduces to the Pauli-Villars regulator. The $\sigma_{\mu\nu}$ matrices are defined through the Dirac gamma matrices as:

$$\sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]. \quad (5)$$

Equation (3) has been calculated exactly for the background constant field case in [24]. Here we employ the expansion introduced by Novikov et al [25] and calculate the corrections up to operators of [mass]⁸. To do so, an expansion for $G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} / m^4 \ll 1$ is made, which is why we call it the large mass or weak field limit. The first part of this expansion can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_q = im \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Lambda^m \text{Tr} \left(D - D_0 - D^2 \frac{ig}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} + D^2 \frac{ig}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} D \frac{ig}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} \right. \\ \left. - D^2 \frac{ig}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} D \frac{ig}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} D \frac{ig}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} \right. \\ \left. + D^2 \frac{ig}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} D \frac{ig}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} D \frac{ig}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} D \frac{ig}{2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}([\text{mass}]^8) \right) dm', \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

where $D = 1/(\Pi^2 - m'^2)$ and $D_0 = 1/(p^2 - m'^2)$.

Note that the field $G_{\mu\nu}(x)$ does not commute with operators of Π , in particular those in D , so that they can be isolated into local operators of x with coefficients containing the momentum integrations p . This is done by using the

commutators found in Appendix A for which we found some small differences with respect to the equations presented in [25]. Equation (6) is the starting point for the derivative expansion. The effective action can be more compactly written as:

$$\frac{d\Gamma_q}{dm^2} = \frac{i}{2} \text{Tr} \left[(D - D_0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n D \left(\frac{ig}{2} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} G^{\alpha\beta} D \right)^n \right] \equiv I_s + I_f = \sum_n I_{s,n} + \sum_n I_{f,n}, \quad (7)$$

where I_s does not have Fermionic structures, while I_f contains all the terms from the Dirac parts of Eq. (7). The first term is the same as a bosonic scalar particle interacting with the gluon field, corresponding therefore to the scalar boson determinant. Therefore, it will be called the *scalar QCD*. This term will be used for the action with the multiplication of -2 due to the inverse squared root that appears in the scalar field determinant. All the resulting terms will be considered in the local limit.

The expansion will be done up to operators of order [mass]⁸, corresponding to terms such as G^4 or $G^{\mu\nu} J^\mu J^\nu$, where $J^\mu = \lambda_a \cdot \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \lambda^a \psi$ is the quark current. Besides that, several terms will depend on the covariant derivative \mathcal{D} , such that the expansion and the final results will always be written in terms of gauge invariant quantities:

$$\Gamma_q = \text{Tr}_{\text{C,F,L}} \sum_{ijk} c^{ijk} (G_{\mu\nu})^i (\mathcal{D}_\alpha)^j (J_\beta)^k, \quad (8)$$

where c^{ijk} are the coefficients of the expansion, with dimensions of [mass]^{-(2i+j+3k)}. We stress that the derivative appearing in the expansion above is the covariant derivative in the adjoint rep. This leads to a crucial difference from the covariant derivative appearing in the original action Π_μ/i , as we can see, for instance, when acting on a generic functional built out of $G_{\mu\nu}$ such as $F(G)$:

$$[\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu] F(G) = -ig [G_{\nu\mu}, F(G)]. \quad (9)$$

We have two different conditions on the index in the possible terms of the operator expansion (8): one to ensure the mass order and the other from the requirement that each operator forms a Lorentz scalar. These two conditions are respectively given by:

$$2i + j + 3k \leq 8, \quad 2i + j + k = \text{even}.$$

A list of all the possible terms according to these criteria is shown in Appendix A. Several resulting terms can be written in different ways, leading to a partial ambiguity in the sector of quark current interactions. All these terms show up in the resulting expanded effective action below.

2.1 Expansion of the scalar part

The I_s part of Eq. (7) can be developed by using the translational invariance trick. Since the expressions for the derivative of the action are convergent, we can translate the momentum by $p_\mu \rightarrow p_\mu - q_\mu$, and, by expanding up to the second order in q , the following identity is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} (D - D_0) &= \text{Tr} \left(\frac{1}{(\Pi_\mu - q_\mu)^2 - m^2} - \frac{1}{(p_\mu - q_\mu)^2 - m^2} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr} (D - D_0) + \text{Tr} (D 2\Pi_\mu D - 2D_0 p_\mu D_0) q^\mu \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (D 2\Pi_\nu D 2\Pi_\mu D + 2g_{\mu\nu} D^2 + D 2\Pi_\mu D 2\Pi_\nu D \\ &\quad - D_0 2p D_0 2p D_0 - 2g_{\mu\nu} D_0^2 + D_0 2p_\mu D_0 2p_\nu D_0) q^\mu q^\nu + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Simple integration arguments can be used to conclude that each coefficient of powers of q_μ must identically vanish. In particular, the coefficient of the second-order term will be multiplied by $g^{\mu\nu}$, and we may rewrite this term using the commutators in Appendix:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} (D^3 \Pi^2 - D^2 - D_0^3 p^2 + D_0^2) &= -\text{Tr} (D^2 [\Pi^2, \Pi_\mu] D \Pi^\mu) \\ \text{Tr} (D^3 - D_0^3) &= -\frac{1}{m^2} \text{Tr} (D^2 [\Pi^2, \Pi_\mu] D \Pi^\mu). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The equation above is precisely the second derivative of the scalar part in Eq. (7). Here we pass all the D operators to the right, and thus write:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^3 \Gamma_q}{d(m^2)^3} &= -\frac{i}{m^2} \text{Tr} (D^3 [\Pi^2, \Pi_\mu] \Pi^\mu - D^4 [\Pi^2, \Pi_\mu] [\Pi^2, \Pi^\mu] + D^5 [\Pi^2, \Pi_\mu] [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, \Pi^\mu]] \\ &\quad - D^6 [\Pi^2, \Pi_\mu] [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, \Pi^\mu]]] + D^7 [\Pi^2, \Pi_\mu] [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, \Pi^\mu]]]] \\ &\quad - D^8 [\Pi^2, \Pi_\mu] [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, \Pi^\mu]]]]] + \mathcal{O}([\text{mass}]^9)). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We listed all the terms that can contribute to $[\text{mass}]^8$. The appearance of the operators \mathcal{D}_μ , $G_{\mu\nu}$ and J_μ comes from the commutators, but also remember that the A_μ field is still present in the scalar propagator $D = 1/(\Pi^2 - m^2)$. Therefore, we must supplement the commutators in Appendix A.3 with the identities $\text{Tr}(D^n F(\mathcal{D}, G, J))$ up to $[\text{mass}]^4$, $\text{Tr}(D^n \Pi^\alpha F_\alpha(\mathcal{D}, G, J))$ up to $[\text{mass}]^5$, $\text{Tr}(D^n \{\Pi^\alpha, \Pi^\beta\} F_{\alpha\beta}(\mathcal{D}, G, J))$ up to $[\text{mass}]^4$, $\text{Tr}(D^n \Pi^\alpha \Pi^\beta \Pi^\mu F_{\alpha\beta\mu}(\mathcal{D}, G, J))$ up to $[\text{mass}]^5$ and $\text{Tr}(D^n \Pi^\alpha \Pi^\beta \Pi^\mu \Pi^\nu F_{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}(\mathcal{D}, G, J))$ up to $[\text{mass}]^2$, which can be found in the Appendix A.2.

This case can be called *scalar QCD* and must be addressed carefully. By that, we mean that the resulting corresponding terms for the fermionic action can be considered to be the same as the resulting determinant from the Klein-Gordon action of a (real) scalar field ϕ , *i.e.* $\phi(-\Pi^2 - m^2)\phi$. The corresponding scalar determinant, with the same normalization chosen for the QCD case, is given by

$$\Gamma_{\text{sQCD}} = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\text{C,F,p}} \ln \left(\frac{-\Pi^2 - m^2}{-p^2 - m^2} \right), \quad (13)$$

where, now, we make explicit that there is no trace in Dirac indices, *i.e.* $\text{Tr}_{\text{C,F,p}}$ for momentum, color and flavor indices. Therefore, we can refer to it as scalar QCD provided that when adding to the strict fermionic part Eq. (7) it is supplemented by the trace in Dirac indices with the above extra multiplicative factor $(-1/2)$,

2.2 Expansion of the Fermionic part

For the Fermionic I_f in Eq. (7), terms already contain structures with $G_{\mu\nu}$ field explicitly. It can be seen that the term $I_{f,4}$ is already at the desired order in $G_{\mu\nu}$. The terms in I_f can immediately be written by taking the traces in Dirac indices:

$$I_f = \text{Tr}_{\text{C,F}} \int_k \left(-ig^2 D^2 G^{\mu\nu} D G_{\mu\nu} - 2g^3 D^2 G_{\mu\nu} D G^\nu_\alpha D G^{\alpha\mu} + iD^5 \left(\frac{3}{2} G^4 + \frac{1}{2^2} [G_{\mu\nu}, G_{\alpha\beta}]^2 - \frac{1}{2} \{G_{\mu\nu}, G^{\nu\alpha}\}^2 - \frac{3}{2} [G_{\mu\nu}, G^{\nu\alpha}]^2 \right) \right) \quad (14)$$

To develop the first two terms to $[\text{mass}]^8$, the structures in $G^{\mu\nu}$ can be isolated again by employing the commutators, and this yields the following equation:

$$I_f = \text{Tr}_{\text{C,F}} \int_k \left(-ig^2 D^3 \{G^2 - D G^{\mu\nu} [\Pi^2, G_{\mu\nu}] - D^2 [\Pi^2, G^{\mu\nu}] [\Pi^2, G_{\mu\nu}] - D^3 [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, G^{\mu\nu}]] [\Pi^2, G_{\mu\nu}] - D^4 [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, G^{\mu\nu}]]] [\Pi^2, G_{\mu\nu}]\} - 2g^3 D^4 \{G_{\mu\nu} G^\nu_\alpha G^{\alpha\mu} + D ([\Pi^2, G_{\mu\nu}] G^\nu_\alpha G^{\alpha\mu} - G_{\mu\nu} G^\nu_\alpha [\Pi^2, G^{\alpha\mu}]) - D^2 ([\Pi^2, G_{\mu\nu} G^\nu_\alpha] [\Pi^2, G^{\alpha\mu}] + [\Pi^2, G_{\mu\nu}, [\Pi^2, G^\nu_\alpha G^{\alpha\mu}]] - D^2 [\Pi^2, G_{\mu\nu}] G^\nu_\alpha [\Pi^2, G^{\alpha\mu}]\} + iD^5 \left(\frac{3}{2} G^4 + \frac{1}{2^2} [G_{\mu\nu}, G_{\alpha\beta}]^2 - \frac{1}{2} \{G_{\mu\nu}, G^{\nu\alpha}\}^2 - \frac{3}{2} [G_{\mu\nu}, G^{\nu\alpha}]^2 \right) \right). \quad (15)$$

Here we stress that the equation above can be written in different ways, depending on if we pass the D operator in Eq. (14) to the left, to the right, or mix these ways in the calculation. Note that all but the first term in Eq. (15) are UV finite when we perform the momentum integrals, so only the first term will renormalize the charge.

2.3 Complete fermionic action: EFT

Next, we present the resulting local limit of the effective action after resolving all the commutators and by adding the terms from the fermionic part -Eq. (15) - to the scalar QCD part - Eq. (12). For that, the identities shown in (A.2) are employed. Let us denote the resulting dimensionless coefficients (coupling constants) after integrations in momentum of the fermionic part by ζ_i ($i = 1, \dots, 10$) and the ones from the sQCD by g_i . As noted above, they are related to the QCD couplings λ_i via:

$$\lambda_i = -2g_i + \zeta_i, \quad (16)$$

The final result, in the local limit, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_q = & \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{2N_F g^2}{48\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} \right) (G_a^{\mu\nu})^2 + \bar{\psi} (\not{M} - m) \psi \right. \\
& + \frac{\lambda_1}{m^2} f_{abc} G_a^{\mu\nu} G_{\nu\rho b} G_{\rho\mu c} + \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} k_{abcd} G_{\mu\nu a} G_b^{\mu\nu} G_{\alpha\beta c} G_d^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\lambda_4}{m^4} f_{abc} f_{ade} G_{\mu\alpha b} G_c^{\alpha\nu} G_d^{\mu\beta} G_{\beta\nu e} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_5}{m^4} f_{abc} f_{ade} G_{\mu\nu b} G_{\alpha\beta c} G_d^{\mu\nu} G_e^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\lambda_6}{m^4} k_{bcde} G_{\mu\alpha b} G_c^{\alpha\nu} G_d^{\mu\beta} G_{\beta\nu e} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_7}{m^4} f_{abc} (\mathcal{D}^\mu J_\nu)_a G_{\mu\alpha b} G_c^{\alpha\nu} + \frac{\lambda_8}{m^4} d_{abc} (\mathcal{D}^\mu J_\nu)_a G_{\mu\alpha b} G_c^{\alpha\nu} \\
& \left. + \frac{\lambda_2}{m^2} J_a^\mu J_{a\mu} + \frac{\lambda_9}{m^4} f_{abc} G_{\mu\nu a} J_b^\mu J_c^\nu + \frac{\lambda_{10}}{m^4} (\mathcal{D}_\alpha J_\mu)_a (\mathcal{D}^\alpha J^\mu)_a \right\}, \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

where f_{abc} (d_{abc}) are the antisymmetric (symmetric) structure constants for SU(3) [32] and the following tensor was defined:

$$k_{abcd} = \frac{\delta_{ab}\delta_{cd}}{3} + \frac{d_{abe}d_{cde}}{2}. \tag{18}$$

The resulting structures from the expansion of the scalar and purely fermionic parts are the same, and they add to each other to form the above expression. The only exception is the correction to the effective quark interaction with coupling constant λ_2 that arises exclusively from sQCD part. This term is the leading interaction term in the large quark mass expansion ($\sim \lambda/m^2$) although its coupling constants is not larger than the others. Since we are exploiting the local limit of the effective action, the term λ_2 can be seen as a one-loop correction to effective contact interaction due to vacuum polarization that should add to different other contributions [33, 34]. Different types of dynamical couplings show up: momentum dependent fourth order gluon interactions (G^4) - $\lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6$ - (and corresponding to higher order gluon interactions $A^{\mu n}$ for $4 \leq n \leq 8$). Two gluon fusion into one or one gluon splitting into two gluons in the coupling λ_1 , that also carries higher order terms with A_μ^m with $3 \leq m \leq 6$. The quark-gluon interactions contain several types of quark current-gluons couplings (λ_7, λ_8) and also quark current interactions with at least one gluon external line (λ_9, λ_{11}). For constant chromo-electric or chromo-magnetic fields, these terms also represent corrections to effective four-quark contact interactions. Concerning the pure gluonic terms, some of the terms above are manifestation of the non-Abelian character of the gluon dynamics, λ_1, λ_4 , and λ_5 . Other terms survive in the Abelian limit, as shown below. The terms λ_3, λ_4 and λ_5, λ_6 can be written in different ways, being that they may add differently in each way and this is due to their non-Abelian content. Although the exclusive gluon field contributions for this resulting Euler-Heisenberg-type QCD-effective action do not have an imaginary part, the gauge field interaction with fermions has imaginary parts - terms λ_8 . The regime of pair-creation can be then analyzed. Furthermore, seen in a different channel, these terms may describe the emission and absorption of gauge field configurations seen below.

Numerical values for the dimensionless couplings λ_i, g_i and ζ_i , of Eq. (17) can be found in the first three columns of Table (1). In the last three column the results obtained in [25] being not entirely equal to ours. As the authors of this last work point out, there are several conflicting results in the literature. For some terms, the two contributions have opposite signs, although one cannot forget that the relative sign of each contribution (Dirac part and sQCD part) are opposite - see Eq. (16). Therefore, it is interesting to see how these effective interactions in full QCD are different from the ones in scalar-QCD. The coupling constants do not show to be numerically convergent at this order of the expansion, so the convergence of the series can be assured by requiring small quantities of the type:

$$\frac{G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}}{m^4}, \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}^\nu J^\mu}{m^4}, \quad \frac{J^\mu J^\nu}{m^6} < 1. \tag{19}$$

Table 1: Resulting dimensionless coupling constants for the scalar QCD (g_i), the fermionic part ζ_i , Eq. (??), and the sum $\lambda_i = g_i + (-2)\zeta_i$. The last three columns are the results from [25].

i	g_i	ζ_i	λ_i	g_i [25]	ζ_i [25]	λ_i [25]
i = 1	$+\frac{g^2}{5760\pi^2}$	$+\frac{71g^2}{10944\pi^2}$	$+\frac{7g^2}{1440\pi^2}$	$+\frac{g^2}{5760\pi^2}$	$+\frac{3g^2}{10520\pi^2}$	$-\frac{g^2}{2880\pi^2}$
i = 2	$+\frac{13g^2}{34560\pi^2}$	0	$-\frac{13g^2}{17280\pi^2}$	-	-	-
i = 3	$+\frac{g^4}{18432\pi^2}$	$-\frac{g^4}{3072\pi^2}$	$-\frac{g^4}{2304\pi^2}$	$+\frac{g^4}{18432\pi^2}$	$-\frac{g^4}{3072\pi^2}$	$-\frac{g^4}{2304\pi^2}$
i = 4	$-\frac{47g^4}{161280\pi^2}$	$-\frac{9g^4}{2560\pi^2}$	$-\frac{473g^4}{161280\pi^2}$	$+\frac{g^4}{64512\pi^2}$	$-\frac{51g^4}{71680\pi^2}$	$+\frac{29g^4}{40320\pi^2}$
i = 5	$+\frac{g^4}{23040\pi^2}$	$+\frac{43g^4}{80640\pi^2}$	$+\frac{g^4}{2240\pi^2}$	$+\frac{g^4}{645120\pi^2}$	$+\frac{289g^4}{1290240\pi^2}$	$-\frac{g^4}{2240\pi^2}$
i = 6	$+\frac{g^4}{23040}$	$+\frac{g^4}{768\pi^2}$	$+\frac{7g^4}{5760\pi^2}$	$+\frac{g^4}{23040\pi^2}$	$+\frac{g^4}{768\pi^2}$	$+\frac{7g^4}{5760\pi^2}$
i = 7	$-\frac{79g^3}{6912\pi^2}$	$-\frac{2863g^3}{92160\pi^2}$	$-\frac{2269g^3}{276480\pi^2}$	-	-	-
i = 8	$+\frac{29ig^3}{35840\pi^2}$	$-\frac{41ig^3}{10240\pi^2}$	$-\frac{403ig^3}{71680\pi^2}$	-	-	-
i = 9	$+\frac{97g^3}{3870720\pi^2}$	$-\frac{49g^3}{92160\pi^2}$	$-\frac{563g^3}{967680\pi^2}$	-	-	-
i = 10	$+\frac{1609g^2}{967680\pi^2}$	$+\frac{g^2}{1920\pi^2}$	$-\frac{1357g^2}{483840\pi^2}$	-	-	-

In Eq. (17) there is one single UV divergent structure (G^2) - for instance, the first term. It is eliminated by a subtraction of the kinetic gluon term, and it brings the need to renormalize the (running) coupling constant. With the Pauli-Villars subtraction (3), by generalizing to N_F quarks, the renormalized coupling constant becomes, respectively for scalar QCD (g_R^2) and QCD (λ_R^2):

$$g_R^2 = \frac{g^2}{1 + \frac{2N_F g^2}{96\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right)}; \quad (20)$$

$$\lambda_R^2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{1 + \frac{2N_F \lambda^2}{48\pi^2} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right)}. \quad (21)$$

The scalar QCD part contributes to half of the complete one-loop fermionic divergent term. The second result is the usual fermionic part of the complete QCD one-loop running coupling constant [35], which does not exhibit the complete behavior because of the absence of the gluon contribution.

2.4 The Abelian limit

The above effective action reproduces Euler-Heisenberg's results for QED [2, 3] up to the fourth order. For colorless fields with one fermionic component $N_F = 1$, we make the following identifications:

$$\text{Tr}_C (G^4) = \frac{k_{abcd}}{4} G_{\mu\nu a} G_b^{\mu\nu} G_{\alpha\beta c} G_d^{\alpha\beta} \rightarrow (F^2)^2; \quad (22)$$

$$\text{Tr}_C (\{G_{\mu\nu}, G^{\nu\alpha}\}^2) = k_{abcd} G_{\mu\nu a} G_b^{\nu\alpha} G_c^{\mu\nu} G_{\nu\alpha d} \rightarrow 4(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\nu\alpha})^2; \quad (23)$$

$$\text{Tr}_C (J_\mu J^\mu) = \frac{1}{2} J_\mu J_a^\mu \rightarrow j^2; \quad (24)$$

$$\text{Tr}_C ((\mathcal{D}^\mu J_\nu)\{G_{\mu\alpha}, G^{\alpha\nu}\}) = \frac{1}{2} d_{abc} (\mathcal{D}^\mu J_\nu)_a G_{\mu\alpha b} G_c^{\alpha\nu} \rightarrow 2(\partial^\mu j_\nu) F_{\mu\alpha} F^{\alpha\nu}; \quad (25)$$

$$\text{Tr}_C ((\mathcal{D}_\mu J_\alpha) \mathcal{D}^\mu J^\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{D}_\mu J_\alpha)_a (\mathcal{D}^\mu J^\alpha)_a \rightarrow (\partial_\mu j_\alpha)^2, \quad (26)$$

where $F^{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic strength tensor and $j_\mu = q\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\psi$ the electromagnetic current. With these, the action is written as:

$$S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{EH+j}} = \int d^4x \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} \right) F^2 + \frac{4\lambda_3}{m^4} (F^2)^2 + \frac{4\lambda_6}{m^4} (F^{\mu\nu} F_{\nu\alpha})^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2\lambda_2}{m^2} j_\mu j^\mu + \frac{4\lambda_8}{m^4} (\partial_\mu j^\nu) F^{\mu\alpha} F_{\alpha\nu} + \frac{2\lambda_{10}}{m^4} (\partial_\mu j_\nu)^2 \right). \quad (27)$$

This is an extended Euler Heisenberg effective action in the presence of fermion currents. It contains momentum independent and dependent fermion-fermion effective four-point interactions (respectively λ_2 and λ_{10}) and a momentum-dependent fermion current interaction with two photon insertions λ_8 .

By making the identifications of the strength tensor with the electric \mathbf{E} and magnetic \mathbf{H} fields [36], and the four-current with the charge density ρ and vector current \mathbf{j} :

$$\begin{aligned} F^{0i} &= E^i, \\ F^{ij} &= \epsilon^{ijk} H^k, \\ j^0 &= \rho, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{EH}} &= \int d^4x \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} \right) (\mathbf{H}^2 - \mathbf{E}^2) + \frac{2\lambda_2}{m^2} (\rho^2 - \mathbf{j}^2) + \frac{4\lambda_3}{m^4} (\mathbf{H}^2 - \mathbf{E}^2)^2 \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{4\lambda_6}{m^4} (2(\mathbf{H}^2 - \mathbf{E}^2)^2 + 4(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{E})^2) + \frac{4\lambda_8}{m^4} \left(-(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla) + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H} \cdot \nabla)) \cdot \mathbf{j} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{H}^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{E} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{j}}{\partial t} + \nabla \rho \right) \right) + \frac{2\lambda_{10}}{m^4} \left(\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{j}}{\partial t} \right)^2 - (\nabla \rho)^2 + (\partial_i j_k) \cdot (\partial_i j_k) \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The usual Euler-Heisenberg type terms composing the higher order electromagnetic effective dynamics λ_3 and λ_6 bring effects such as light-light interaction and birefringence. There are higher order terms with momentum independent and dependent effective fermion self-interactions, λ_2, λ_{10} . Besides that, there are several electromagnetic field interactions with fermions in λ_8 , that is imaginary. These contributions are dynamical in the sense of fermion density and current spatially non-uniform or time-dependent. They may be associated with pair production. However, by seeing in a different channel, the last term of λ_8 , has an structure of an electromagnetic wave either emitted or absorbed by a time and spatial variation of the charge density/current. These imaginary terms disappear either in the absence or in the presence of electric fields, being the general condition of real effective action given by:

$$\text{Im}(S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{EH}}) = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad 0 = -[\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla) + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H} \cdot \nabla)] \cdot \mathbf{j} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{H}^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{E} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{j}}{\partial t} + \nabla \rho \right). \quad (30)$$

This condition can be guaranteed, for example, by requiring homogeneous and time independent fermion density and current. By choosing different conditions for $\mathbf{H} = 0$ or $\mathbf{E} = 0$, it may also be guaranteed in particular sectors, such as:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}(S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{EH}}) = 0 &\quad \rightarrow \quad -\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H} \cdot \nabla) \cdot \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{H}^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} = 0, & \mathbf{E} = 0, \\ \text{Im}(S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{EH}}) = 0 &\quad \rightarrow \quad -\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla) \cdot \mathbf{j} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}^2 = 0, & \mathbf{B} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Non-homogeneous or time-varying charge or current densities also have particular contributions with λ_{10} self-interaction terms.

In the absence of sources, $J_\mu = 0$, the weak field limit of the Euler-Heisenberg action is recovered [2, 3]:

$$S_{\text{eff}}^{\text{EH}} = \int d^4x \left(-\frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} \right) (\mathbf{H}^2 - \mathbf{E}^2) + \frac{2\alpha^2}{45m^4} ((\mathbf{H}^2 - \mathbf{E}^2)^2 + 7(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{E})^2) \right). \quad (32)$$

3 Some properties of QCD-EH effective action

In this section, some properties of Eq. (17) are shown. For that, the c-electric and c-magnetic fields are defined in the same way done in electromagnetism (28) by:

$$G_a^{0i} = E_a^i, \quad G_a^{ij} = \epsilon^{ijk} H_a^k. \quad (33)$$

3.1 Chromo-electric and chromo-magnetic fields

The above resulting effective action can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_q = & \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2N_F g^2}{48\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} \right) (\mathbf{H}_a^2 - \mathbf{E}_a^2) + \bar{\psi} (\not{M} - m) \psi \right. \\
& + \frac{\lambda_1}{m^2} f_{abc} (3 (\mathbf{E}_a \times \mathbf{E}_b) \cdot \mathbf{H}_c - (\mathbf{H}_a \times \mathbf{H}_b) \cdot \mathbf{H}_c) + \frac{4\lambda_3}{m^4} k_{abcd} (\mathbf{H}_a \cdot \mathbf{H}_b - \mathbf{E}_a \cdot \mathbf{E}_b) (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_d - \mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_d) \\
& + \left[\frac{\lambda_4}{m^4} f_{abc} f_{ade} + \frac{\lambda_6}{m^4} k_{bcde} \right] ((\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_d - \mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_d) (\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_e - \mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_e) + (\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_c - \mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_c) (\mathbf{E}_d \cdot \mathbf{E}_e - \mathbf{H}_d \cdot \mathbf{H}_e) \\
& + (\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_e) (\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_d + \mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_d) + (\mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_e) (\mathbf{H}_d \cdot \mathbf{E}_c) + \frac{4\lambda_5}{m^4} f_{abc} f_{ade} (\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_d - \mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_d) (\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_e - \mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_e) \\
& + \left[\frac{\lambda_7}{m^4} f_{abc} + \frac{\lambda_8}{m^4} d_{abc} \right] ((\mathcal{D}_t \rho_a) \mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_c - (\mathcal{D}_t \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_b \times \mathbf{H}_c - (\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathcal{D} \mathbf{j}_a) \mathbf{E}_c + (\mathcal{D} \rho_a) \cdot (\mathbf{H}_b \times \mathbf{E}_c) \\
& + (\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{j}_a) \mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_c - (\mathbf{H}_c \mathcal{D} j_a^i) H_b^i) + \frac{\lambda_9}{m^4} f_{abc} (2 \mathbf{E}_a \cdot \mathbf{j}_b \rho_c + \mathbf{H}_a \cdot (\mathbf{j}_b \times \mathbf{j}_c)) \\
& \left. + \frac{\lambda_2}{m^2} ((\rho_a)^2 - \mathbf{j}_a \cdot \mathbf{j}_a) + \frac{\lambda_{10}}{m^4} [(\mathcal{D}_t \rho^a)^2 + (\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{j}^a)^2 - (\mathcal{D} \rho^a)^2 - (\mathcal{D}_t \mathbf{j}^a)^2] \right\}. \tag{34}
\end{aligned}$$

For the terms exclusively dependent on the c-electromagnetic fields a wide variety of couplings show up, most of them carrying the non-Abelian character either in the c-electric, c-magnetic sectors or mixed. The original results of this work, however, are present in the gluon couplings to quark currents. The imaginary part (λ_8) contains several quark current or quark density interactions with c-electric and/or c-magnetic fields. Some of the terms present the structure of the (Abelian) Poynting vector that is present in the non-Abelian one, as shown below. Although these terms may be related to pair creation, they may be possibly identified to emission or absorption of a gluon-wave or a related effect that can include attenuation. It is also interesting to notice that the imaginary part (λ_8) does not disappear in the pure c-magnetic sector. To produce an entirely real effective action in the presence of matter fields, one needs further restrictions:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Im}(\Gamma_q) = 0 & \quad \rightarrow \quad d_{abc} \{ [(\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathcal{D}) \mathbf{j}^a] \cdot \mathbf{H}^b - (\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{j}^a) \mathbf{H}^b \cdot \mathbf{H}^c \} = 0, & \quad \mathbf{E}_a = 0, \\
\text{Im}(\Gamma_q) = 0 & \quad \rightarrow \quad d_{abc} \{ (\mathcal{D}_t \rho_a) \mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_c - (\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathcal{D} \mathbf{j}_a) \mathbf{E}_c \} = 0, & \quad \mathbf{B}_a = 0, \\
& \quad \text{Or mixed conditions for } \mathbf{E}_a, \mathbf{B}_a \neq 0. & \tag{35}
\end{aligned}$$

In general time and spatial covariant derivatives cannot be reduced to simple derivatives due to contributions of gluon field, being consequently higher order in the chromo-electromagnetic field. Except for these terms in the covariant derivatives, one may have real effective action for time-independent and homogeneous quark densities and currents. With these conditions, in the vacuum or around quark sources, the reality of the action with purely c-magnetic fields (c-electric fields), is ensured at this order in the expansion of the quark determinant. Besides that, there are very different structures corresponding to quark-antiquark-gluon interactions in the c-electric, and c-magnetic sectors and for both. These terms, except for λ_2 and λ_9 , depend necessarily on time-dependent and/or spatial non-uniform quark densities or currents. For uniform quark sources, two terms survive: λ_2 and non-Abelian terms from λ_9 .

3.2 Energy momentum tensor and its Trace

The symmetrized energy-momentum tensor (EMT) can be obtained in a gauge-invariant form, as proposed in [37]. To do so, firstly we decompose the effective Lagrangian into:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_I(G, J, \mathcal{D}J, G\mathcal{D}J) + \mathcal{L}_D(D\psi) + \mathcal{L}_0(\psi), \tag{36}$$

where Lagrangian terms were split into the QCD-EH interaction part (\mathcal{L}_I), a Dirac (kinetic) part (\mathcal{L}_D), and a pure fermion part (\mathcal{L}_0) simply given by the self interacting term J^2 and the mass terms in equation (17). By considering that only \mathcal{L}_I depends on derivatives of $A_{\mu a}$, the canonical EMT becomes :

$$T_{\text{can}}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_{\rho a})} \partial^\nu A_{\rho a} + \partial^\nu \bar{\psi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_D}{\partial (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_D}{\partial (\partial_\mu \psi)} \partial^\nu \psi + \partial^\nu \bar{\psi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial (\partial_\mu \psi)} \partial^\nu \psi - g^{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{L}_I + \mathcal{L}_D + \mathcal{L}_0). \tag{37}$$

Since \mathcal{L}_I depends on $\partial_\mu A_{\nu a}$ only through $G_{\mu\nu a}$, we can do a variable change and use the definition $G_{\mu\rho a} = \partial_\mu A_{\rho a} - \partial_\rho A_{\mu a} + g f_{abc} A_{\mu b} A_{\rho c}$ in the first term of Eq. (47):

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial (\partial_\mu A_{\rho a})} \partial^\nu A_{\rho a} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} G_{\rho a}^\nu + 2 \partial_\rho \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} A_a^\nu \right) + \left(\partial_\rho \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial (\partial_\rho A_{\mu a})} \right) A_a^\nu - 2g f_{abc} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} A_b^\nu A_{\rho c}. \tag{38}$$

The second term is of the form $-\partial_\rho \chi^{\mu\rho\nu}$, with $\chi^{\mu\rho\nu} = -\chi^{\rho\mu\nu}$. Since the EMT can be redefined by adding such a function without any loss [35], we disregard this term from now on, and call the EMT simply by $T^{\mu\nu}$, since it is not the canonical EMT anymore. Using the tree-level Euler-Lagrange equations, without the EH terms in the expression above, it yields:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial(\partial_\mu A_{\rho a})} \partial^\nu A_{\rho a} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} G^\nu{}_{\rho a} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_D}{\partial A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu - 2gf_{abc} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} A_b^\nu A_{\rho c} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu. \quad (39)$$

The last two terms of equation (39) must be treated carefully. The derivative with respect to $A_{\mu a}$ can come from two types of terms:

- The ones that contain the field $G_{\mu\nu a}$;
- The ones that contain the covariant derivative \mathcal{D}_μ .

With that in mind, we make the definition:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial A_{\mu a}} = \frac{\partial'}{\partial A_{\mu a}} + \frac{\partial''}{\partial A_{\mu a}}, \quad (40)$$

where the derivative with prime means deriving with respect to $A_{\mu a}$ from the $G_{\mu\nu a}$ field and the derivative with two primes is deriving with respect to $A_{\mu a}$ from the \mathcal{D}_μ . With these, the last two terms in Eq. (39):

$$\begin{aligned} I^{\mu\nu} &\equiv -2gf_{abc} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} A_b^\nu A_{\rho c} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu \\ &= -2gf_{abc} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} A_b^\nu A_{\rho c} + \frac{\partial' \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu + \frac{\partial'' \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu \\ &= -2gf_{abc} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} A_b^\nu A_{\rho c} + \frac{\partial' \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial' G_{\alpha\beta b}} \frac{\partial' G_{\alpha\beta b}}{\partial' A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu + \frac{\partial'' \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial'' A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu \\ &= -2gf_{abc} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} A_b^\nu A_{\rho c} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\alpha\beta b}} g f_{bcd} \left(\delta_{ca} g_\alpha^\mu A_{\beta d} + \delta_{da} g_\beta^\mu A_{\alpha c} \right) A_a^\nu + \frac{\partial'' \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial'' A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu \\ &= -2gf_{abc} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} A_b^\nu A_{\rho c} + g f_{bad} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\beta b}} A_{\beta d} A_a^\nu + g f_{bca} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\alpha\mu b}} A_{\alpha c} A_a^\nu + \frac{\partial'' \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial'' A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu \\ &= -2gf_{abc} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} A_b^\nu A_{\rho c} + g f_{abc} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} A_{\rho c} A_b^\nu + g f_{acb} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\rho\mu a}} A_{\rho c} A_b^\nu + \frac{\partial'' \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial'' A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu \\ &= \frac{\partial'' \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial'' A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

By writing all the terms in eq. (47):

$$\begin{aligned} T^{\mu\nu} &= 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} G^\nu{}_{\rho a} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_D}{\partial A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu + \partial^\nu \bar{\psi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_D}{\partial(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_D}{\partial(\partial_\mu \psi)} \partial^\nu \psi + \frac{\partial'' \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial'' A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu + \partial^\nu \bar{\psi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial(\partial_\mu \psi)} \partial^\nu \psi \\ &\quad - g^{\mu\nu} (\mathcal{L}_I + \mathcal{L}_D + \mathcal{L}_0). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

By rearranging these terms, it follows:

$$T^{\mu\nu} = T_I^{\mu\nu} + T_D^{\mu\nu} + T_c^{\mu\nu} - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_0, \quad (43)$$

where:

$$T_I^{\mu\nu} = 2 \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial G_{\mu\rho a}} G^\nu{}_{\rho a} - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_I; \quad (44)$$

$$T_D^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_D}{\partial A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu + \partial^\nu \bar{\psi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_D}{\partial(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_D}{\partial(\partial_\mu \psi)} \partial^\nu \psi - g^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}_D; \quad (45)$$

$$T_c^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial'' \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial'' A_{\mu a}} A_a^\nu + \partial^\nu \bar{\psi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial(\partial_\mu \bar{\psi})} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_I}{\partial(\partial_\mu \psi)} \partial^\nu \psi. \quad (46)$$

By using Eq. (43), we calculate the complete symmetrized energy-momentum tensor and we obtain the following

equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{\mu\nu} = & -\frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda_R^2} G_z^{\mu\xi} G^\nu_{\xi z} + 6\frac{\lambda_1}{m^2} f_{abc} G_a^{\nu\xi} G_{\xi\rho b} G_c^{\rho\mu} + 8\frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} k_{zbcd} G_b^{\mu\xi} G_{\alpha\beta c} G_d^{\alpha\beta} G^\nu_{\xi z} \\
& + 4\frac{\lambda_4}{m^4} f_{azc} f_{ade} \left(G_c^{\xi\zeta} G_d^{\mu\beta} + G_c^{\mu\beta} G_d^{\xi\zeta} \right) G_{\beta\zeta e} G^\nu_{\xi z} + 8\frac{\lambda_5}{m^4} f_{azc} f_{ade} G_{\alpha\beta c} G_d^{\mu\xi} G_e^{\alpha\beta} G^\nu_{\xi z} \\
& + 4\frac{\lambda_6}{m^4} k_{zcde} \left(G_c^{\xi\zeta} G_d^{\mu\beta} + G_c^{\mu\beta} G_d^{\xi\zeta} \right) G_{\beta\zeta e} G^\nu_{\xi z} + \frac{\lambda_7 f_{azb} + \lambda_8 d_{azb}}{m^4} \left(\{(\mathcal{D}^\mu J_\zeta)_a - (\mathcal{D}_\zeta J^\mu)_a\} \right. \\
& \times G_c^{\xi\zeta} G^\nu_{\xi b} - \{(\mathcal{D}^\xi J_\zeta)_a - (\mathcal{D}_\zeta J^\xi)_a\} G_c^{\mu\zeta} G^\nu_{\xi b} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{D}^\nu J^\beta)_a G_b^{\mu\alpha} G_{\alpha\beta c} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{D}^\mu J^\beta)_a G_b^{\nu\alpha} G_{\alpha\beta c} \left. \right) \\
& + \frac{i}{4} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu D^\nu \psi - (D^\nu \bar{\psi}) \gamma^\mu \psi + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)) + 2\frac{\lambda_9}{m^4} f_{zbc} J_b^\mu J_c^\xi G^\nu_{\xi z} \\
& + 2\frac{\lambda_{10}}{m^4} (\mathcal{D}^\mu J^\beta)_a (\mathcal{D}^\nu J_\beta)_a - g^{\mu\nu} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \frac{g^2}{g_R^2} (G_a^{\mu_1 \nu_1})^2 + \bar{\psi} (\not{D} - m) \psi + \frac{\lambda_1}{m^2} f_{abc} G_a^{\mu_1 \nu_1} G_{b\nu_1 \rho} G_c^\rho_{\mu} \right. \\
& + \frac{\lambda_2}{m^2} J_a^{\mu_1} J_{a\mu_1} + \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} k_{abcd} G_{\mu_1 \nu_1 a} G_b^{\mu\nu} G_{\alpha\beta c} G_d^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\lambda_4}{m^4} f_{abc} f_{ade} G_{\mu_1 \alpha b} G_c^{\alpha\nu_1} G_d^{\mu_1 \beta} G_{\beta\nu_1 e} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_5}{m^4} f_{abc} f_{ade} G_{\mu_1 \nu_1 b} G_{\alpha\beta c} G_d^{\mu_1 \nu_1} G_e^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{\lambda_6}{m^4} k_{bcde} G_{\mu_1 \alpha b} G_c^{\alpha\nu_1} G_d^{\mu_1 \beta} G_{\beta\nu_1 e} \\
& + \frac{\lambda_7}{m^4} f_{abc} (\mathcal{D}^{\mu_1} J_{\nu_1})_a G_{\mu_1 \alpha b} G_c^{\alpha\nu_1} + \frac{\lambda_8}{m^4} d_{abc} (\mathcal{D}^{\mu_1} J_{\nu_1})_a G_{\mu_1 \alpha b} G_c^{\alpha\nu_1} \\
& \left. + \frac{\lambda_9}{m^4} f_{abc} G_{\mu_1 \nu_1 a} J_b^{\mu_1} J_c^{\nu_1} + \frac{\lambda_{10}}{m^4} (\mathcal{D}_\alpha J_{\mu_1})_a (\mathcal{D}^\alpha J^{\mu_1})_a \right), \tag{47}
\end{aligned}$$

where $(\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)$ means last expression, placed before that symbol, with exchanged indices μ, ν .

3.2.1 Trace of the EMT

The trace of the EMT can then be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
T^\mu_\mu = & m\bar{\psi}\psi + 2\frac{\lambda_1}{m^4} f_{abc} G_a^{\mu\xi} G_{\xi\rho b} G_c^{\rho\mu} - 4\frac{\lambda_2}{m^2} (J_a^\mu)^2 + 4\frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} k_{abcd} G_a^{\mu\xi} G_{\mu\xi b} G_c^{\alpha\beta} G_{\alpha\beta d} \\
& + 4\frac{\lambda_4}{m^4} f_{abc} f_{ade} G_{\mu\xi b} G_c^{\mu\beta} G_d^{\xi\zeta} G_{\beta\zeta e} + 4\frac{\lambda_5}{m^4} f_{abc} f_{ade} G_{\alpha\beta c} G_d^{\mu\xi} G_e^{\alpha\beta} G_{\mu\xi z} \\
& + 4\frac{\lambda_6}{m^4} k_{bcde} G_{\mu\xi b} G_c^{\xi\zeta} G_d^{\mu\beta} G_{\beta\zeta e} - \frac{\lambda_7 f_{azb} + \lambda_8 d_{azb}}{m^4} (\mathcal{D}_\zeta J^\mu)_a G_b^{\xi\zeta} G_{\mu\xi z} \\
& - 2\frac{\lambda_9}{m^4} f_{abc} G_{\mu\nu a} J_b^\mu J_c^\nu - 3\frac{\lambda_{10}}{m^4} ((\mathcal{D}_\mu J_\nu)_a)^2. \tag{48}
\end{aligned}$$

Scale invariance is well known to be broken by fermion mass (the first term in the Eq. above) and quantum gluon self interactions [29], terms $\lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5$ and λ_6 . Furthermore, there are also anomalous terms from the quark-gluon interactions λ_7, λ_8 and quark-quark interactions with or without additional gluon insertions λ_9, λ_{10} and λ_2 . These quark-gluon interaction terms contain both derivative dependent and independent terms. The imaginary part of the effective action, given by the term with λ_8 , leads to a nontrivial imaginary contribution.

3.3 Energy and Poynting vector in terms of \mathbf{E}_c \mathbf{B}_c

From the EMT, we also extract the energy and the Poynting vector in terms of the chromo-electric and chromo-magnetic fields. The energy can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon \equiv T^{00} = & -\bar{\psi} (\not{D} - m) \psi + \frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 D_t \psi - (D_t \bar{\psi}) \gamma^0 \psi) + \frac{\lambda^2}{2\lambda_R^2} (\mathbf{H}_a \cdot \mathbf{H}_a + \mathbf{E}_a \cdot \mathbf{E}_a) + \frac{\lambda_1}{m^2} f_{abc} (5\mathbf{E}_a \times \mathbf{E}_b + \mathbf{H}_a \times \mathbf{H}_b) \cdot \mathbf{H}_c \\
& - 4 \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} k_{abcd} [2(\mathbf{E}_a \cdot \mathbf{E}_b)(\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_d) - 3(\mathbf{E}_a \cdot \mathbf{E}_b)(\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_d) + (\mathbf{H}_a \cdot \mathbf{H}_b)(\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_d)] \\
& + \left(\frac{\lambda_4 f_{abc} f_{ade} + \lambda_6 k_{bcde}}{m^4} \right) [3(\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_c)(\mathbf{E}_d \cdot \mathbf{E}_e - \mathbf{H}_d \cdot \mathbf{H}_e) + (\mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_c)(\mathbf{E}_d \cdot \mathbf{E}_e - \mathbf{H}_d \cdot \mathbf{H}_e)] \\
& + 3(\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_d)(\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_e - \mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_e) + (\mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_d)(\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_e - \mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_e) + 3(\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_e)(\mathbf{E}_d \cdot \mathbf{H}_c + \mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_d) \\
& - (\mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_e)(\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_d + \mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_d)] + 4 \frac{\lambda_5}{m^4} f_{abc} f_{ade} [3\mathbf{E}_d \cdot \mathbf{E}_b (\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_e - \mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_e) + \mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_d (\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_e - \mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_e)] \\
& + \frac{\lambda_7 f_{azb} + \lambda_8 d_{azb}}{m^4} [-2(\mathbf{H}_c \times \mathcal{D}_t \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_b + (\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{j}_a) \mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_c - (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathcal{D} \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot \mathbf{H}_b \\
& + (\mathbf{H}_c \times \mathcal{D} \rho_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_b - (\mathbf{H}_b \times \mathcal{D} \rho_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_c - 2(\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathcal{D} \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_c + (\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathcal{D} \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_b + 2(\mathcal{D}_t \rho_a) \mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_c] \\
& - \frac{\lambda_9}{m^4} f_{abc} [\mathbf{H}_a \cdot (\mathbf{j}_b \times \mathbf{j}_c) - \mathbf{E}_a \cdot (\rho_b \times \mathbf{j}_c) + \mathbf{E}_a \cdot (\mathbf{j}_b \rho_c)] \\
& + \frac{\lambda_{10}}{m^4} [(\mathcal{D}_t \rho_a)^2 - (\mathcal{D}_t \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot (\mathcal{D}_t \mathbf{j}_a) + (\mathcal{D} \rho_a) \cdot (\mathcal{D} \rho_a) - (\mathcal{D} j_a^j) \cdot (\mathcal{D} j_a^j)] - \frac{\lambda_2}{m^2} (\rho_a^2 - \mathbf{j}_a \cdot \mathbf{j}_a).
\end{aligned}$$

This equation contains static and time-dependent terms that survive or disappear in the limit of homogeneous quark sources and chromo-electromagnetic fields. This expression is intricate, with many possible contributions from different configurations. The imaginary part λ_8 maybe set to zero for the following general conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & d_{azb} [-2(\mathbf{H}_c \times \mathcal{D}_t \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_b + (\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{j}_a) \mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_c - (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathcal{D} \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot \mathbf{H}_b \\
& + (\mathbf{H}_c \times \mathcal{D} \rho_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_b - (\mathbf{H}_b \times \mathcal{D} \rho_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_c - 2(\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathcal{D} \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_c + (\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathcal{D} \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_b + 2(\mathcal{D}_t \rho_a) \mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_c].
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Specific conditions for the real energy in the c-magnetic and c-electric sectors are respectively given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = & d_{azb} [(\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{j}_a) \mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_c - (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathcal{D} \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot \mathbf{H}_b], \quad \mathbf{E}_b = 0, \\
0 = & d_{azb} [-2(\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathcal{D} \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_c + (\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathcal{D} \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_b + 2(\mathcal{D}_t \rho_a) \mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_c], \quad \mathbf{H}_b = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Whereas the condition for the c-magnetic sector (when $\mathbf{E}_b = 0$) is the same as the condition for real effective action - as seen in Eq. (35), in the c-electric sector ($\mathbf{H}_b = 0$) the conditions for these two quantities, to be real, are different.

The Poynting vector, corresponding to the momentum, can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{S} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^3 T^{i0} \mathbf{e}_i = & \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda_R^2} \mathbf{E}_a \times \mathbf{H}_a + 6 \frac{\lambda_1}{m^2} f_{abc} (\mathbf{E}_a \cdot \mathbf{H}_c) \mathbf{H}_b + 16 \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} k_{abcd} (\mathbf{E}_a \times \mathbf{H}_b) (\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_d - \mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_d) \\
& + 4 \frac{\lambda_4}{m^4} f_{azc} f_{ade} (2((\mathbf{E}_c \times \mathbf{E}_d) \cdot \mathbf{H}_e) \mathbf{E}_z + (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_e - \mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_e) \mathbf{E}_d \times \mathbf{H}_z - (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_d + \mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_d) \mathbf{H}_e \times \mathbf{H}_z) \\
& + 16 \frac{\lambda_5}{m^4} f_{azc} f_{ade} \mathbf{E}_z \times \mathbf{H}_d (\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_e - \mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_e) \\
& + 4 \frac{\lambda_6}{m^4} k_{zcd} (2[(\mathbf{E}_c \times \mathbf{E}_d) \cdot \mathbf{H}_e] \mathbf{E}_z + (\mathbf{E}_d \times \mathbf{H}_z) (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_e - \mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_e) + (\mathbf{E}_c \times \mathbf{H}_z) (\mathbf{H}_d \cdot \mathbf{H}_e - \mathbf{E}_d \cdot \mathbf{E}_e) \\
& - \mathbf{H}_e \times \mathbf{H}_z (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_d + \mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_d)) \\
& + \frac{\lambda_7 f_{azb} + \lambda_8 d_{azb}}{m^4} \left(\{(\mathcal{D} \rho)_a - (\mathcal{D}^0 \mathbf{j})_a\} \mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_z + \{(\mathcal{D} j^j)_a - (\mathcal{D}^j \mathbf{j})_a\} (\mathbf{H}_b \times \mathbf{E}_z)^j \right. \\
& \left. \{((\mathcal{D} \rho)_a - (\mathcal{D}_t \mathbf{j})_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_z\} \mathbf{E}_b + \{(\mathcal{D}^j \mathbf{j})_a - (\mathcal{D} j^j)_a\} \times \mathbf{H}_b E_z^j \right) \\
& + \frac{i}{4} (\bar{\psi} \gamma D_t \psi - (D_t \bar{\psi}) \gamma \psi + \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \mathbf{D} \psi - (\mathbf{D} \bar{\psi}) \gamma^0 \psi) \\
& + \frac{\lambda_7 f_{abc} + \lambda_8 d_{abc}}{2m^4} [((\mathcal{D}^t \mathbf{j})_a \cdot \mathbf{E}_c) \mathbf{E}_b + (\mathcal{D}^t \rho)_a \mathbf{E}_c \times \mathbf{H}_b - (\mathcal{D}^t \mathbf{j})_a \mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_c + ((\mathcal{D}^t \mathbf{j}) \cdot \mathbf{H}_b) \mathbf{H}_c \\
& + (\mathcal{D} \rho)_a \mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_c - (\mathcal{D} j^j)_a (\mathbf{E}_b \times \mathbf{H}_c)^j] \\
& - 2 \frac{\lambda_9}{m^4} f_{zbc} \mathbf{j}_b (\mathbf{j}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_z) + 2 \frac{\lambda_{10}}{m^4} ((\mathcal{D} \rho)_a (\mathcal{D}^t \rho)_a - (\mathcal{D} j^j)_a (\mathcal{D}^t j^j)_a).
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

All the structures analyzed above are present. Gluon interactions carry momenta in different ways in the non-Abelian generalization to the ones typical of the electromagnetic wave, as seen for $\lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5, \lambda_6$. Quark-gluon interactions

also may carry momentum for different configurations. Among these terms, the imaginary contributions from λ_8 contain different structures both in the chromo-electric sector and in the chromo-magnetic term with time-dependent quark sources. Real Poynting vector is obtained, in general, with the following condition:

$$0 = d_{abc} [((\mathcal{D}^t \mathbf{j})_a \cdot \mathbf{E}_c) \mathbf{E}_b + (\mathcal{D}^t \rho)_a \mathbf{E}_c \times \mathbf{H}_b - (\mathcal{D}^t \mathbf{j})_a \mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_c + ((\mathcal{D}^t \mathbf{j}) \cdot \mathbf{H}_b) \mathbf{H}_c + (\mathcal{D} \rho)_a \mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_c - (\mathcal{D} j^j)_a (\mathbf{E}_b \times \mathbf{H}_c)^j]. \quad (52)$$

Two terms are non-zero for c-electric and c-magnetic field mutually perpendicular, which can be associated with the Abelian Poynting vector coupled to time-dependent quark density and spatial varying quark current. These terms show radiation absorption/emission may be switched on and off for suitable configurations. However, other c-electric c-magnetic field configurations may contribute to the complex Poynting vector and may correspond, for example, to radiation attenuation. The specific conditions for real vector Poynting in the c-electric and c-magnetic sectors are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d_{abc} [((\mathcal{D}^t \mathbf{j})_a \cdot \mathbf{E}_c) \mathbf{E}_b + (\mathcal{D} \rho)_a \mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_c], & \mathbf{H}_c &= 0, \\ 0 &= d_{abc} [-(\mathcal{D}^t \mathbf{j})_a \mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_c + ((\mathcal{D}^t \mathbf{j}) \cdot \mathbf{H}_b) \mathbf{H}_c], & \mathbf{E}_c &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

All these conditions, at this order in the c-electric and c-magnetic fields - i.e. by reducing the covariant derivative to simple derivatives - are related to time and spatial (non)variation of the quark current and density. In addition to these dynamical quark terms, there are higher-order non-homogeneous chromo-electric and chromo-magnetic fields.

3.3.1 Limit of constant fields

To illustrate our results so far, we consider the background constant case:

$$\begin{aligned} A_a^\mu &= \frac{1}{2} G^{\mu\nu} x_\nu n_a, \\ j_a^\mu &= j^\mu n_a, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where $G^{\mu\nu}$ and j^μ are constants and n_a is a unit vector in color space. By selecting the scalar sector of the quark current that may bring contribution for the vacuum, a Fierz transformation can be performed and we pick up $j_{\mu a} j_a^\mu \rightarrow \frac{g^2}{2} (\bar{\psi} \psi)^2$. In this limit, the energy density and Poynting vector will be given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{E}, \bar{\psi}, \psi) &= + \frac{\lambda^2}{2\lambda_R^2} (\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E}) - 2 \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} [2(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E})(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E}) - (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E})^2 + (\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H})^2] \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_6}{2m^4} [6(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E})(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H}) + 2(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H})(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H}) + 4(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H})^2] \\ &+ m \bar{\psi} \psi - \frac{\lambda_2 g^2}{2m^2} (\bar{\psi} \psi)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{E}) = \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda_R^2} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} + 4 \frac{(2\lambda_3 + \lambda_6)}{m^4} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H})(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H}). \quad (56)$$

Note that, in this limit of constant fields, the Poynting vector does not depend on the matter fields. This can be expected, since only inhomogeneous quark currents should carry momenta. Note that, by subtracting the correction to the classical energy density ($\epsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{H}^2 + \mathbf{E}^2) + m \bar{\psi} \psi$) we have the contribution exclusively due to the fermionic loop is then:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \epsilon(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{E}, \bar{\psi}, \psi) &\equiv \epsilon - \epsilon_0 = \frac{2N_F g^2}{48\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} - 2 \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} [2(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E})(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E}) - (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E})^2 + (\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H})^2] \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_6}{2m^4} [6(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E})(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H}) + 2(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H})(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H}) + 4(\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H})^2] \\ &- \frac{\lambda_2 g^2}{2m^2} (\bar{\psi} \psi)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

The profile of this correction to the energy density is given in Figs. 1 and 2 as a function of two quantities: a scalar quark density (possibly associated to a scalar quark chiral condensate) $\bar{\psi} \psi$, and the c-electric field (\mathbf{E}) in Fig. 1 or c-magnetic field (\mathbf{H}) in Fig. 2.




Figure 1: Heat-map of $2^7 3^2 \pi^2 \Delta \epsilon(\mathbf{H} = 0, \mathbf{E}, \bar{\psi}, \psi)$ as a function of the absolute value of the chromo-electric field, at energy scale $\Lambda = m$.




Figure 2: Heat-map of $2^7 3^2 \pi^2 \Delta \epsilon(\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{E} = 0, \bar{\psi}, \psi)$ as a function of the absolute value of the chromo-magnetic field, at energy scale $\Lambda = m$.

Although the variation of the c-electric field leads to larger variations of the energy density, the dependence on the c-magnetic field can lead to a negative contribution to the energy density for values of the quark scalar density (that gives rise to the chiral quark-antiquark scalar condensate) not large. This should make the vacuum energy still more negative. Several works indicate that the Yang-Mills vacuum is rather chromo-magnetic and the c-electric field destabilizes it [16, 19, 20]. The contributions from the fermion determinant, in this limit of weak fields, go along this picture. It seems that the scalar quark current may help to make the ground state more stable in the c-magnetic sector.

3.4 Chromo-magnetic permeability and chromo-electric permittivity

We can also define the chromo- \mathbf{B} and chromo- \mathbf{D} fields, and corresponding chromo-permeability and chromo-permittivity, from the effective action as [19]:

$$\mathbf{B}_a = - \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{H}_a} \right|_{\mathbf{E}_a=0}, \quad (58)$$

$$\mathbf{D}_a = + \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \mathbf{E}_a} \right|_{\mathbf{H}_a=0}. \quad (59)$$

In the case of vanishing sources, we can relate each of these fields with $\mu \mathbf{H}_a$ and $\epsilon \mathbf{E}_a$ respectively, defining, therefore, corrections to the chromo-permeability and to the chromo-permittivity due to the quark determinant. In the presence of sources, however, there will still appear reminiscent \mathbf{B}_a and \mathbf{D}_a fields even in the absence of \mathbf{H}_a and \mathbf{E}_a fields due to the non-Abelian term λ_9 . Besides that, quark current and density may contribute to non-homogeneous

and time-dependent configurations. The resulting expressions for (58) and (59) are the following ones:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}_a = & \left(1 + \frac{2N_F g^2}{48\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2}\right) \mathbf{H}_a + \frac{3\lambda_1}{m^2} f_{abc} \mathbf{H}_b \times \mathbf{H}_c - 16 \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} k_{abcd} \mathbf{H}_b (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_d) \\
& - \frac{4(\lambda_4 + 4\lambda_5)}{m^4} f_{abc} f_{dec} \mathbf{H}_d (\mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_e) - \frac{4\lambda_6}{m^4} k_{abcd} (\mathbf{H}_c (\mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_d) + \mathbf{H}_b (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathbf{H}_d)) \\
& + \frac{\lambda_7}{m^4} f_{abc} ((\mathcal{D}j_b^i) H_c^i + (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathcal{D}) \mathbf{j}_b) + \frac{\lambda_8}{m^4} d_{abc} ((\mathcal{D}j_b^i) H_c^i + (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathcal{D}) \mathbf{j}_b - 2(\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{j}_b) \mathbf{H}_c) \\
& - \frac{\lambda_9}{m^4} f_{abc} \mathbf{j}_b \times \mathbf{j}_c,
\end{aligned} \tag{60}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{D}_a = & \left(1 + \frac{2N_F g^2}{48\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2}\right) \mathbf{E}_a + 16 \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} k_{abcd} \mathbf{E}_b (\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_d) + \frac{4(\lambda_4 + 4\lambda_5)}{m^4} f_{abc} f_{dec} (\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_e) \mathbf{E}_d \\
& + \frac{4\lambda_6}{m^4} k_{abcd} (\mathbf{E}_c (\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_d) + \mathbf{E}_b (\mathbf{E}_c \cdot \mathbf{E}_d)) + \frac{\lambda_7}{m^4} f_{abc} ((\mathcal{D}j_b^l) E_c^l + (\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathcal{D}) \mathbf{j}_c) \\
& + \frac{\lambda_8}{m^4} d_{abc} (2(\mathcal{D}_t \rho_b) \mathbf{E}_c - (\mathcal{D}j_b^i) E_c^i + (\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathcal{D}) \mathbf{j}_c) - \frac{2\lambda_9}{m^4} f_{abc} \mathbf{j}_b \rho_c.
\end{aligned} \tag{61}$$

These expressions have a strong non-linear character with anisotropic terms even in the absence of matter. Some anisotropic terms survive in the Abelian limit in the absence and the presence of matter.

Conditions for zero imaginary parts are, respectively, given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Im}(\mathbf{B}_a) = 0 & \quad d_{abc} [(\mathcal{D}j_b^i) H_c^i + (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathcal{D}) \mathbf{j}_b - 2(\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{j}_b) \mathbf{H}_c] = 0, \\
\text{Im}(\mathbf{D}_a) = 0 & \quad d_{abc} [2(\mathcal{D}_t \rho_b) \mathbf{E}_c - (\mathcal{D}j_b^i) E_c^i - (\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathcal{D}) \mathbf{j}_c] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

By replacing the covariant derivatives by simple derivatives, in general, real observables can be obtained within the limit of time-independent charge density and spatially homogeneous currents.

3.4.1 Limit of constant fields

In the case of background constant fields, Eq. (54), we can write the fermionic loop correction to the \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{B} fields in Eq.'s (60) and (61) as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_1 \equiv \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{H} &= \frac{2N_F g^2}{48\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} \mathbf{H} - 8 \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H}) - 4 \frac{\lambda_6}{m^4} \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H}), \\
\Delta_2 \equiv \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{E} &= \frac{2N_F g^2}{48\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} \mathbf{E} + 8 \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E}) + 4 \frac{\lambda_6}{m^4} \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E}).
\end{aligned}$$

These functions are related to the chromo-electric and chromo-magnetic susceptibilities (χ_E and χ_M respectively) as $\Delta_1 = \chi_E \mathbf{E}$ and $\Delta_2 = \chi_M \mathbf{H}$ respectively. In Fig. 3 and 4 we show the behavior of these quantities.




Figure 3: Heat-map of $2^3 3 \pi^2 |\Delta_1|$ as a function of the absolute value of the chromo-electric field and energy scale Λ .




Figure 4: Heat-map of $2^3 3 \pi^2 |\Delta_2|$ as a function of the absolute value of the chromo-magnetic field and energy scale Λ .

Figs. 3 and 4 show these contributions favor predominantly chromo-dielectric behavior with positive values of Δ_1 , *i.e.* the application of a chromo-electric field is enhanced. From the second figure, it shows these contributions from the quark determinant may favor diamagnetic behavior, negative values of Δ_2), *i.e.*, with the application of a chromo-magnetic field. However, these contributions may also favor chromo-paramagnetic behavior. A complete analysis, however, needs further gluon and ghost determinants and possibly higher order contributions.

3.5 Some conditions for zero imaginary quantities in the Abelian limit

In this section, we rewrite some equations in the Abelian limit for the EH electromagnetic case. This makes explicit the corresponding conditions for real electromagnetic observables.

The trace of the energy-momentum tensor (48) in the Abelian limit can be written as:

$$T_\mu^\mu = -8 \frac{\lambda_2}{m^2} (j^\mu)^2 + 16 \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} (F^2)^2 + 16 \frac{\lambda_6}{m^4} (F^{\mu\alpha} F_{\alpha\beta})^2 - 4 \frac{\lambda_8}{m^4} (\partial_\mu j^\nu) F^{\mu\alpha} F_{\alpha\nu} - 6 \frac{\lambda_{10}}{m^4} (\partial_\mu j_\nu)^2 + m \bar{\psi} \psi. \quad (63)$$

There still is an imaginary part in the fermion-photon field interaction for time-dependent and/or spatial non-homogeneous fermion density and current.

The Poynting vector (51) in the Abelian limit becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S} &\equiv \sum_{i=1}^3 T^{i0} \mathbf{e}_i = \left(1 + \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2}\right) \mathbf{E}_a \times \mathbf{H}_a + 64 \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) (\mathbf{E}^2 - \mathbf{H}^2) + 32 \frac{\lambda_6}{m^4} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{H}^2 - \mathbf{E}_c^2) + \\ &+ 4 \frac{\lambda_8}{m^4} \left(\{(\nabla \rho) - (\partial_t \mathbf{j})\} \mathbf{E}^2 + \{(\nabla j^j) - (\partial^j \mathbf{j})\} (\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{E})^j + \{((\nabla \rho) - (\partial_t \mathbf{j})) \cdot \mathbf{E}\} \mathbf{E} + \{(\partial^j \mathbf{j}) - (\nabla j^j)\} \times \mathbf{H} E^j \right) \\ &+ 2 \frac{\lambda_{10}}{m^4} ((\nabla \rho)_a (\partial_t \rho)_a - (\nabla j^j)_a (\partial_t j^j)_a) + \frac{i}{2} (\bar{\psi} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \partial_t \psi - (\partial_t \bar{\psi}) \boldsymbol{\gamma} \psi + \bar{\psi} \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 \nabla \psi - (\nabla \bar{\psi}) \boldsymbol{\gamma}^0 \psi). \end{aligned}$$

There are several imaginary terms for the coupling λ_8 . Emission and absorption of electromagnetic waves seem to correspond to at least two of these terms with imaginary coefficient.

The induced fields \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{B} can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D} &= \left(1 + \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2}\right) \mathbf{E} + \frac{32(2\lambda_3 + \lambda_6)}{m^4} \mathbf{E} (\mathbf{E}^2) - \frac{4\lambda_8}{m^4} ((\nabla j^i) E^i + (\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{j} - 2(\partial_t \rho) \mathbf{E}), \\ \mathbf{B} &= \left(1 + \frac{g^2}{24\pi^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2}\right) \mathbf{H} - \frac{32(2\lambda_3 + \lambda_6)}{m^4} \mathbf{H} (\mathbf{H}^2) + \frac{4\lambda_8}{m^4} ((\nabla j^i) H^i + (\mathbf{H} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{j} - 2(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}) \mathbf{H}). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

These fields will be real for the following situations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im } \mathbf{D} = 0, & \quad (\nabla j^i) H^i + (\mathbf{H} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{j} - 2(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}) \mathbf{H} = 0, \\ \text{Im } \mathbf{B} = 0, & \quad (\nabla j^i) E^i + (\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{j} - 2(\partial_t \rho) \mathbf{E} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

4 Summary

We have presented a large quark mass expansion of the quark determinant in the presence of a gluon background field by including quark current sources, along the derivative expansion proposed by Novikov *et al* for the local limit of the effective action [25]. As a result, contributions not only to the gluon self-interaction terms, known as Euler-Heisenberg-type QCD action already computed previously, but also different quark-gluon interactions and quark self interactions. Besides the interactions responsible for the effects known in the Abelian electromagnetic EH-action such as light-light interaction and birefringence, the QCD-action presents several interactions with strict non-Abelian character. Interestingly, some of them disappear when written in terms of chromo-electromagnetic fields corresponding to *trivial* (topological) constraints, mainly in the gluon sector. In this expansion of the quark determinant, there are all the terms allowed by symmetry up to the order of mass⁸. The same is valid for the Abelian limit of electromagnetism.

In the limit of Abelian fields, the EH action was therefore extended with the fermion-photon interaction terms and some fermion self interactions. There are imaginary parts in the fermion-photon interaction sector that can be associated not only with pair production but, seemingly, also with absorption and emission of electromagnetic waves due to the Poynting vector coupling to time-dependent or spatial varying quark densities and currents. These structures also manifest partially in the non-Abelian case, being that the Poynting vector shows a considerably more intricate structure. The condition for the real Abelian electromagnetic EH action with sources is given by

$$0 = \left(-(\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{E} \cdot \nabla) + \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H} \cdot \nabla)) \cdot \mathbf{j} + \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} \mathbf{E}^2 + \mathbf{H}^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{E} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{j}}{\partial t} + \nabla \rho \right) \right).$$

There may exist several possible configurations that satisfy this condition, either in the electric or in the magnetic sectors, or in mixed configurations. Therefore, it is possible to envisage fine-tuning time-dependent and spatial-non-homogeneous configurations that assure real-valued action and corresponding dynamics. By switching off these conditions, the imaginary terms can contribute by destabilizing the system. Or vice versa: out of a system with these terms that drive pair creation or absorption/emission of electromagnetic field configurations, such as light, one can search for configurations that drive these imaginary terms to zero, by fine-tuning of the time and spatial behavior of matter density and current for a particular wave frequency or wavelength. Notwithstanding, other (perturbative) contributions to a complete Low-energy effective action can bring higher order corrections to these terms and modify the coefficient λ_8 .

Similarly, for the QCD-EH action, most of the different higher-order quark-gluon interactions are dependent on time and spatial variations of the quark density and quark current. The exception to this, are the corrections to the quark-quark (or quark-antiquark interaction, terms λ_2 and the purely non-Abelian λ_9 . The higher order quark-gluon interaction terms have different structures, some of them reminding chromo-electromagnetic wave coupling (emission or absorption) to varying quark current and density or higher order chromo-electric field coupling to the time-dependent quark density - analogously to the Abelian case. However, the non-Abelian Poynting vector is far more intricate. A general condition for the reality of the effective action can be written as:

$$0 = d_{abc} [(\mathcal{D}_t \rho_a) \mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathbf{E}_c - (\mathcal{D}_t \mathbf{j}_a) \cdot \mathbf{E}_b \times \mathbf{H}_c - (\mathbf{E}_b \cdot \mathcal{D} \mathbf{j}_a) \mathbf{E}_c + (\mathcal{D} \rho_a) \cdot (\mathbf{H}_b \times \mathbf{E}_c) + (\mathcal{D} \cdot \mathbf{j}_a) \mathbf{H}_b \cdot \mathbf{H}_c - (\mathbf{H}_c \cdot \mathcal{D} \mathbf{j}_a) \mathbf{H}_b],$$

for which one may have particular cases such as $\mathbf{H}_c = 0$ or $\mathbf{E}_c = 0$, with corresponding conditions Eq. (35). The quark-gluon higher-order interactions of the effective action (17) can also be expected to be useful for QCD low-energy effective models. The trace of the energy-momentum tensor was also presented, containing the different types of effects from the gluon sector and quark-gluon interaction sector. The corresponding contributions to the chromo-magnetic induction B and chromo-electric field D were also calculated in the presence of matter density and currents, exhibiting strong non-linear behavior. Conditions for the reality of observables, and their Abelian limits, were also established. The contributions from the gluon and ghost determinants were left outside the scope of this work.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank a short conversation with A.J. Mizher. F.L.B. is member of INCT-FNA 464898/2014-5. I. de M. F. acknowledges financial support from CAPES, and F. L. B. acknowledges partial support from CNPq-312750/2021-8 and CNPq-407162/2023-2.

A Derivative expansion and Identities

In this Appendix, several important manipulations and identities needed to calculate the expansion of the effective action are presented.

A.1 Derivative expansion

The gluon field and its consequences encoded in the covariant derivative in D must be developed. Terms of the following form, for a function $F(G, J)$ of G and J , will arise:

$$Tr [D^n F(G, J)]. \quad (66)$$

If the operators in $F(G, J)$ have an overall dimension smaller than $[\text{mass}]^8$, this expression must be developed (in the present case, expanded) to produce terms of the maximal order $[\text{mass}]^8$ with the gluon fields in the operator D .

For that, consider a regularized generic basic term S for which, being finite, a momentum shift $p_\mu \rightarrow p_\mu + q_\mu$ can be done in the integrand. It can be written that:

$$S = Tr [(D - D_0)F(G, J)] \rightarrow Tr \left[\left(\frac{1}{(\Pi - q)^2 - m^2} - \frac{1}{(p - q)^2 - m^2} \right) F(G, J) \right], \quad (67)$$

The derivative expansion, as proposed in [38], can be implemented as it follows:

$$T = -\frac{\sigma \cdot F}{2}, \quad D^{-1} = [(\partial^\mu - gA^\mu)(\partial_\mu - gA_\mu) - M^2] \quad (68)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (DT)^n, \quad (69)$$

$$TD = DT + D[D^{-1}, T]D = DT + D^2[D^{-1}, T] + D^2[D^{-1}, [D^{-1}, T]D] + \dots \quad (70)$$

A.2 Identities

The required identities for implementing the procedure up to [mass]⁸ are given below:

$$\text{Tr}\{D^3 F(G, J)\} = -\frac{i}{2^5 \pi^2 (m^2)} \text{Tr}_{C,F} F(G, J) + \frac{ig}{2^6 3 \pi^2 (m^2)^3} \text{Tr}_{C,F} G^2 F(G, J); \quad (71)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{D^4 \Pi^\alpha F(G, J)\} &= \frac{ig}{2^7 \cdot 3 \cdot \pi^2 \cdot (m^2)^3} \text{Tr}_{C,F} J^\alpha F(G, J) \\ &+ \frac{g^2}{2^6 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot \pi^2 \cdot (m^2)^4} \text{Tr}_{C,F} \{(-6g\mathcal{D}^\alpha G^2 + 66gG^{\alpha\rho} J_\rho - 10g(\mathcal{D}^\mu G^{\alpha\rho})G_{\rho\mu} \\ &- \frac{9i}{2}\mathcal{D}^2 J^\alpha + \frac{3i}{2}\mathcal{D}^\rho \mathcal{D}^\alpha J_\rho + 6i\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}^2 G^{\alpha\mu} + 2gG^{\rho\mu} \mathcal{D}^\alpha G_{\rho\mu} \\ &- 3gG_{\phi\mu} \mathcal{D}^\phi G^{\alpha\mu} - 2g\{J_\rho, G^{\rho\alpha}\} - 2g\{G_{\phi\rho}, \mathcal{D}^\phi G^{\rho\alpha}\} \\ &+ 2g(\mathcal{D}^\alpha G^{\mu\rho})G_{\rho\mu} + 2g(\mathcal{D}^\mu G^{\alpha\rho})G_{\rho\mu} - 45gJ_\rho G^{\rho\alpha} \\ &- 3gJ_\rho G^{\rho\alpha}\} F(G, J) \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{D^5 \{\Pi^\alpha, \Pi^\beta\} F(G, J)\} &= \frac{i}{2^7 \cdot 3 \cdot \pi^2 \cdot (m^2)^4} \text{Tr}_{C,F} g^{\alpha\beta} F(G, J) \\ &- \frac{ig^2}{2^8 \cdot 3 \cdot \pi^2 \cdot (m^2)^4} \text{Tr}_{C,F} (G^2 g^{\alpha\beta} + 2\{G^{\alpha\mu}, G_\mu^\beta\}) F(G, J) \\ &- \frac{g}{2^7 \cdot 3 \cdot \pi^2 (m^2)^4} \text{Tr}_{C,F} \{(\mathcal{D}^\alpha J^\beta + \mathcal{D}^\beta J^\alpha) F(G, J)\}; \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{D^6 \Pi^\alpha \Pi^\beta \Pi^\mu F(G, J)\} &= -\frac{11ig}{2^{10} \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \pi^2 (m^2)^4} \text{Tr}_{C,F} J^\alpha g^{\beta\mu} + J^\beta g^{\mu\alpha} + J^\mu g^{\alpha\beta} \\ &- \frac{ig}{2^6 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \pi^2 (m^2)^4} \text{Tr}_{C,F} \mathcal{D}^\mu G^{\beta\alpha} + \mathcal{D}^\beta G^{\mu\alpha}; \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{D^7 \Pi^\alpha \Pi^\beta \Pi^\mu \Pi^\nu F(G, J)\} &= \frac{-i}{2^9 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot \pi^2 \cdot (m^2)^3} \text{Tr}_{C,F} (g^{\alpha\beta} g^{\mu\nu} + g^{\alpha\mu} g^{\beta\nu} + g^{\alpha\nu} g^{\beta\mu}) F(G, J) \\ &- \frac{g}{2^9 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \pi^2 \cdot (m^2)^4} \text{Tr}_{C,F} \{ (g^{\alpha\beta} G^{\mu\nu} + g^{\alpha\mu} G^{\beta\nu} \\ &+ g^{\alpha\nu} G^{\beta\mu} + g^{\beta\mu} G^{\alpha\nu} + g^{\beta\nu} G^{\alpha\mu} + g^{\mu\nu} G^{\alpha\beta}) F(G, J) \} \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

A.3 Commutators

Here we present the needed commutators up to [mass]⁶. They are a generalization of the source case to the ones found in [25]:

$$[\Pi^2, \Pi_\mu] = 2ig\Pi^\alpha G_{\alpha\mu} + gJ_\mu; \quad (76)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, \Pi_\mu]] &= -4g\Pi^\alpha \Pi^\beta \mathcal{D}_\beta G_{\alpha\mu} + \Pi^\alpha (-4g^2 G_\alpha^\beta G_{\beta\mu} + 2ig\mathcal{D}^2 G_{\alpha\mu} + 2ig\mathcal{D}_\alpha J_\mu) \\ &+ 2ig^2 J^\alpha G_{\alpha\mu} + g\mathcal{D}^2 J_\mu; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, \Pi_\mu]]] &= -8ig\Pi^\alpha \Pi^\beta \Pi^\gamma \mathcal{D}_\gamma \mathcal{D}_\beta G_{\alpha\mu} + \Pi^\alpha \Pi^\beta (-8ig^2 G_\alpha^\nu \mathcal{D}_\beta G_{\nu\mu} - 8ig^2 G_\beta^\nu \mathcal{D}_\nu G_{\alpha\mu} \\ &- 4g\mathcal{D}^2 \mathcal{D}_\beta G_{\alpha\mu} - 4g^2 \mathcal{D}_\beta (G_\alpha^\nu G_{\nu\mu}) - 4g\mathcal{D}_\beta \mathcal{D}^2 G_{\alpha\mu} - 4g\mathcal{D}_\beta \mathcal{D}_\alpha J_\mu) \\ &+ \Pi^\alpha (-8g^2 (\mathcal{D}_\gamma G_\alpha^\beta) \mathcal{D}^\gamma G_{\beta\mu} - 4g^2 J^\beta \mathcal{D}_\alpha G_{\beta\mu} - 4g^2 J^\beta \mathcal{D}_\beta G_{\alpha\mu} - 8ig^3 G_\alpha^\beta G_\beta^\gamma G_{\gamma\mu} \\ &- 4g^2 G_\alpha^\beta \mathcal{D}^2 G_{\beta\mu} - 4g^2 G_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{D}^\beta J_\mu - 4g^2 \mathcal{D}^2 (G_\alpha^\beta G_{\beta\mu}) + 2ig\mathcal{D}^4 G_{\alpha\mu} + 2ig\mathcal{D}^2 \mathcal{D}_\alpha J_\mu \\ &+ 2ig\mathcal{D}_\alpha \mathcal{D}^2 J_\mu - 4g^2 \mathcal{D}^\alpha \mathcal{D}^2 (J^\beta G_{\beta\mu})) \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, \Pi_\mu]]]] &= 16g\Pi^\alpha \Pi^\beta \Pi^\gamma \Pi^\phi \mathcal{D}_\phi \mathcal{D}_\gamma \mathcal{D}_\beta G_{\alpha\mu} + \Pi^\alpha \Pi^\beta \Pi^\gamma (16g^2 G^{\alpha\nu} \mathcal{D}_\gamma \mathcal{D}_\beta G_{\nu\mu} + 16g^2 G^{\beta\nu} \mathcal{D}_\gamma \mathcal{D}_\nu G_{\alpha\mu} \\ &+ 16g^2 G_{\gamma\nu} \mathcal{D}^\nu \mathcal{D}_\beta G_{\alpha\mu} - 8ig\mathcal{D}^2 \mathcal{D}_\gamma \mathcal{D}_\beta G_{\alpha\mu} + 16g^2 \mathcal{D}_\gamma (G_\alpha^\nu \mathcal{D}_\beta G_{\nu\mu}) \\ &+ 16g^2 \mathcal{D}_\gamma (G_{\beta\nu} \mathcal{D}^\nu G_{\alpha\mu}) - 8ig\mathcal{D}_\gamma \mathcal{D}^2 \mathcal{D}_\beta G_{\alpha\mu} - 8ig^2 \mathcal{D}_\gamma \mathcal{D}_\beta (G_\alpha^\nu G_{\nu\mu}) \\ &- 8ig\mathcal{D}_\gamma \mathcal{D}_\beta \mathcal{D}^2 G_{\alpha\mu} - 8ig\mathcal{D}_\gamma \mathcal{D}_\beta \mathcal{D}_\alpha J_\mu) \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

$$[\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, [\Pi^2, \Pi_\mu]]]]] = 32ig\Pi^\alpha \Pi^\beta \Pi^\gamma \Pi^\phi \Pi^\lambda \mathcal{D}_\lambda \mathcal{D}_\phi \mathcal{D}_\gamma \mathcal{D}_\beta G_{\alpha\mu}. \quad (79)$$

A.4 Structure of terms from the expansion

The resulting low-energy effective field theory can be written in terms of the following quantities: \mathcal{D}_μ , $G_{\mu\nu}$ and J_μ . The expansion was truncated in the order M^8 , with the following conditions

$$2k + l + 3n \leq 8, \quad 2k + l + n = 2, 4, 6, \dots \quad (80)$$

. Accordingly, the following terms can be written in the operator expansion:

	k	l	n	2k+l+3n	2k+l+n	Terms
a	4	0	0	8	8	EH
b	3	0	0	6	6	EH
c	3	2	0	8	8	$(\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\nu G_{\alpha\beta}) G^{\mu\nu} G^{\alpha\beta}$
d	2	0	0	4	4	EH
e	2	1	1	8	6	$G^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\alpha} \mathcal{D}^\alpha J_\nu, (\mathcal{D}^\alpha G^{\mu\nu}) G_{\mu\alpha} J_\nu$
f	2	2,4	0	6,8	6,8	$(\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\nu G^{\mu\alpha}) G^\nu_\alpha, (\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\alpha \mathcal{D}_\beta G^{\mu\nu}) G^{\alpha\beta}$
g	1	0	0	2	2	EH = 0
h	1	1	1	6	4	$G_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}^\mu J^\nu$
i	1	3	1	8	4	$G_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}^\alpha \mathcal{D}^\mu \mathcal{D}^\nu J_\alpha$
j	1	2,4,6	0	4,6,8	4,6,8	$\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\nu G^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}^\alpha \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}_\alpha G^{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{D}_\beta \mathcal{D}^\beta \mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\alpha \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}^\alpha G^{\mu\nu}$
k	1	0	2	8	4	$G_{\mu\nu} J^\mu J^\nu$
l	0	0	2	6	2	$J_\mu J^\mu$
m	0	2	2	8	4	$\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\nu J^\mu J^\nu$
n	0	1	1	4	2	$\mathcal{D}_\mu J^\mu = 0$
o	0	3	1	7	4	$\mathcal{D}_\mu \mathcal{D}_\nu \mathcal{D}^\mu J^\nu$

Table 2: Terms that possibly arise in expansion up to the order [mass]⁸. Terms with ‘‘EH’’ stand for those arising in the Euler-Heisenberg action.

Changes in the index and positions of the fields are possible by considering the operations discussed in the text. Several of these terms are not linearly independent since considering the identities and operations discussed in the text terms presented in one line may be written as combinations of terms of the other lines.

B Corrections to Yang-Mills and Maxwell equations

By using the Euler-Lagrange equations, the corrected Yang-Mills equations for the effective action (17) will be given by:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda_2^2}{\lambda_R^2} \mathcal{D}_\mu G_a^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\lambda_1}{m^2} \mathcal{D}^\mu (f_{abc} G_{\mu\rho b} G_c^{\rho\nu}) - 8 \left[\frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} k_{abcd} + \frac{\lambda_5}{m^4} f_{ace} f_{dbe} \right] \mathcal{D}_\mu (G_b^{\mu\nu} G_{\alpha\beta c} G_d^{\alpha\beta}) \\ & - 4 \left(\frac{\lambda_4}{m^4} f_{abe} f_{cde} + \frac{\lambda_6}{m^4} k_{abcd} \right) \mathcal{D}_\mu (G_b^{\nu\alpha} G_c^{\mu\beta} G_{\beta\alpha d} - G_b^{\mu\alpha} G_c^{\nu\beta} G_{\beta\alpha d}) \\ & = J_a^\nu + \left(-\frac{\lambda_7}{m^4} f_{abc} + \frac{\lambda_8}{m^4} d_{abc} \right) \mathcal{D}_\mu [(\mathcal{D}^\mu J_{\alpha b}) G_c^{\nu\alpha} - (\mathcal{D}^\nu J_{\alpha b}) G_c^{\mu\alpha} + (\mathcal{D}_\alpha J_c^\nu) G_b^{\alpha\mu} - (\mathcal{D}_\alpha J_{\mu c}) G_b^{\alpha\nu}] \\ & + 2 \frac{\lambda_9}{m^4} f_{abc} \mathcal{D}_\mu (J_b^\mu J_c^\nu) - 2 \frac{\lambda_{10}}{m^4} g f_{abc} J_b^\mu (\mathcal{D}^\nu J_{\mu c}) - f_{abc} \left(\frac{\lambda_7}{m^4} f_{cde} + \frac{\lambda_8}{m^4} d_{cde} \right) J_b^\alpha G_d^{\nu\beta} G_{\beta\alpha e}. \end{aligned}$$

Accordingly, the Abelian limit for the two inhomogeneous Maxwell equations will be given by,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda_R^2} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} - 64 \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \{ \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} \}) - 16 \frac{\lambda_6}{m^4} \nabla \cdot (-\mathbf{E} \{ \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} \} + \mathbf{E} \{ \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} \} - \mathbf{H} \{ \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{E} \}) \\ & = \rho_a - 4 \frac{\lambda_8}{m^4} \nabla \cdot ([\nabla J^i] E^i - [\partial_t \rho] \mathbf{E} - [\partial_t \mathbf{j}] \times \mathbf{H} - [\partial_t \rho] \mathbf{E} - [\nabla \rho] \times \mathbf{H} + [\partial^i \mathbf{j}] E^i) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda_R^2} (\partial_t \mathbf{E} - \nabla \times \mathbf{H}) - 64 \frac{\lambda_3}{m^4} (\partial_t [\mathbf{E} \{ \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H} \}] - \nabla \times [\mathbf{H} \{ \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{H} \}]) \\
& - 16 \frac{\lambda_6}{m^4} (\partial_t [2\mathbf{E} \{ \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{E} \}] - \mathbf{H} \{ \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{E} \}) + \partial^j \left[-2\mathbf{E} \{ \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} \}^j + 2E^j \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H} H^j \right. \\
& \left. + \{ \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{H} \}^j \mathbf{H} \right] - 2\nabla \times [\mathbf{H} \{ \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H} \}] \\
& = \mathbf{j} + 4 \frac{\lambda_8}{m^4} (\partial_t [-(\partial_t \mathbf{j}) \times \mathbf{H} + (\nabla J^j) E^j + (\partial^j \mathbf{j}) E^j + (\nabla \rho \times \mathbf{H})] \\
& + \partial^j [(\partial^j \rho) \mathbf{E} + (\partial^j \mathbf{j}) \times \mathbf{H} - (\nabla \rho) E^j - \epsilon^{jkl} (\nabla J^k) H^l + (\partial_t \mathbf{j}) E^j + \epsilon^{jkl} (\partial^k \mathbf{j}) H^l - (\partial_t J^j) \mathbf{E} - (\nabla J^j) \times \mathbf{H}])
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

Note that both equations receive several contributions from the imaginary term from the time-dependent and spatially non-homogeneous fermion-photon interactions. They indicate processes such as electromagnetic wave (or other configurations) emission or absorption and pair creation.

References

- [1] W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, “Folgerungen aus der Diracschen Theorie des Positrons,” *Zeitschrift for Physik*, vol. 98, no. 11-12, pp. 714–732, nov 1936. [Online]. Available: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF01343663>
- [2] H. Euler and B. Kockel, “Über die Streuung von Licht an Licht nach der Diracschen Theorie,” *Die Naturwissenschaften*, vol. 23, no. 15, pp. 246–247, apr 1935. [Online]. Available: <http://link.springer.com/10.1007/BF01493898>
- [3] J. Schwinger, “On Gauge Invariance and Vacuum Polarization,” *Physical Review*, vol. 82, no. 5, pp. 664–679, jun 1951. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.82.664>
- [4] G. V. Dunne, “Heisenberg Euler Effective Lagrangians: basics and extensions,” in *From Fields to Strings: Circumnavigating Theoretical Physics*. WORLD SCIENTIFIC, feb 2005, pp. 445–522. [Online]. Available: http://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/9789812775344_0014
- [5] A. J. Macleod and B. King, “Fundamental constants from photon-photon scattering in three-beam collisions,” 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.10342>
- [6] N. Ahmadiniaz and *et al*, “Letter of intent: Towards a vacuum birefringence experiment at the helmholtz international beamline for extreme fields,” 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.18063>
- [7] F. Karbstein, “Derivative corrections to the Heisenberg-Euler effective action,” *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2021, no. 9, p. 70, sep 2021. [Online]. Available: [https://link.springer.com/10.1007/JHEP09\(2021\)070](https://link.springer.com/10.1007/JHEP09(2021)070)
- [8] G. V. Dunne, A. Huet, D. Rivera, and C. Schubert, “Closed-form weak-field expansion of two-loop euler-heisenberg lagrangians,” *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2006, no. 11, p. 013, nov 2006. [Online]. Available: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1126-6708/2006/11/013>
- [9] F. Gies, Holger AU Karbstein, “An addendum to the heisenberg-euler effective action beyond one loop,” *Journal of High Energy Physics*, vol. 2017, p. 108, 2017. [Online]. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03\(2017\)108](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2017)108)
- [10] N. Ahmadiniaz, F. Bastianelli, O. Corradini, J. Edwards, and C. Schubert, “One-particle reducible contribution to the one-loop spinor propagator in a constant field,” *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 924, pp. 377–386, 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0550321317303061>
- [11] N. Nielsen and P. Olesen, “An unstable yang-mills field mode,” *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 144, no. 2, pp. 376–396, 1978. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0550321378903772>
- [12] H. Leutwyler, “Constant gauge fields and their quantum fluctuations,” *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 179, no. 1, pp. 129–170, 1981. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0550321381902522>
- [13] V. Schanbacher, “Gluon propagator and effective lagrangian in quantum chromodynamics,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 26, pp. 489–498, Jul 1982. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.26.489>
- [14] W. Dittrich and M. Reuter, “Effective qcd-lagrangian with zeta-function regularization,” *Physics Letters B*, vol. 128, no. 5, pp. 321–326, 1983. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/037026938390268X>

- [15] P. Cea, “Su(2) gauge theory in a constant chromomagnetic background field,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 37, pp. 1637–1654, Mar 1988. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.37.1637>
- [16] G. Savvidy, “Infrared instability of the vacuum state of gauge theories and asymptotic freedom,” *Physics Letters B*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 133–134, nov 1977. [Online]. Available: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0370269377907596>
- [17] N. Nielsen and P. Olesen, “Electric vortex lines from the yang-mills theory,” *Physics Letters B*, vol. 79, no. 3, pp. 304–308, 1978. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0370269378902496>
- [18] H. Gies, “Effective action for the order parameter of the deconfinement transition of Yang-Mills theories,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 63, no. 2, p. 025013, dec 2000. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.63.025013>
- [19] G. Savvidy, “From Heisenberg–Euler Lagrangian to the discovery of Chromomagnetic Gluon Condensation,” *The European Physical Journal C*, vol. 80, no. 2, p. 165, feb 2020. [Online]. Available: <http://link.springer.com/10.1140/epjc/s10052-020-7711-6>
- [20] G. S. Bali, C. Bauer, and A. Pineda, “Model independent determination of the gluon condensate in four dimensional su(3) gauge theory,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 113, p. 092001, Aug 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.092001>
- [21] S. Ozaki, “QCD effective potential with strong $U(1)_{em}$ magnetic fields,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 89, no. 5, p. 054022, mar 2014. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.89.054022>
- [22] B. V. Galilo and S. N. Nedelko, “Impact of the strong electromagnetic field on the qcd effective potential for homogeneous abelian gluon field configurations,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 84, p. 094017, Nov 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.84.094017>
- [23] E. J. Ferrer, V. de la Incera, and A. Sanchez, “Non-perturbative Euler–Heisenberg Lagrangian and paraelectricity in magnetized massless QED,” *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 864, no. 3, pp. 469–491, nov 2012. [Online]. Available: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0550321312003641>
- [24] S. Ozaki, T. Arai, K. Hattori, and K. Itakura, “Euler-heisenberg-weiss action for qcd+qed,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 92, no. 1, p. 016002, jul 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.92.016002>
- [25] V. A. Novikov, M. A. Shifman, A. I. Vainshtein, and V. I. Zakharov, “Calculations in external fields in quantum chromodynamics. Technical review,” *Fortschritte der Physik*, vol. 32, no. 11, pp. 585–622, 1984. [Online]. Available: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/prop.19840321102>
- [26] E. Shuryak and A. Vainshtein, “Theory of power corrections to deep inelastic scattering in quantum chromodynamics,” *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 201, no. 1, pp. 141–158, jun 1982. [Online]. Available: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/0550321382903777>
- [27] F. Araki, M. Musakhanov, and H. Toki, “Role of charm in the decay of b mesons to $\eta' k$,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 59, p. 037501, Jan 1999. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.037501>
- [28] M. Franz, M. Polyakov, and K. Goeke, “Heavy quark mass expansion and intrinsic charm in light hadrons,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 62, no. 7, p. 074024, sep 2000. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.62.074024>
- [29] S. Weinberg, *The Quantum Theory of Fields*. Cambridge University Press, aug 1996. [Online]. Available: <https://www.cambridge.org/core/product/identifier/9781139644174/type/book>
- [30] M. I. Eides, “One-loop electron mass and QED trace anomaly,” *The European Physical Journal C*, vol. 83, no. 5, p. 356, may 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://link.springer.com/10.1140/epjc/s10052-023-11535-6>
- [31] X. Ji, “Breakup of hadron masses and the energy-momentum tensor of QCD,” *Physical Review D*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 271–281, jul 1995. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.52.271>
- [32] G. Costa and G. Fogli, *Lecture Notes in Physics 823, Symmetries and Group Theory in Particle Physics*. Springer, 2012.
- [33] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, “Dynamical model of elementary particles based on an analogy with superconductivity. i,” *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 122, pp. 345–358, Apr 1961. [Online]. Available: <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRev.122.345>

- [34] U. Vogl and W. Weise, “The nambu and jona-lasinio model: Its implications for hadrons and nuclei,” *Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics*, vol. 27, pp. 195–272, 1991. [Online]. Available: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0146641091900059>
- [35] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, *An Introduction To Quantum Field Theory*. CRC Press, may 2018. [Online]. Available: <https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/9780429972102>
- [36] C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, *Quantum Field Theory*. Dover Publications, 2006.
- [37] D. N. Blaschke, F. Gieres, M. Reboud, and M. Schweda, “The energy–momentum tensor(s) in classical gauge theories,” *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 912, pp. 192–223, nov 2016. [Online]. Available: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0550321316301845>
- [38] U. Mosel, *Path Integrals in Field Theory*, ser. Advanced Texts in Physics. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, jun 2004, vol. 37, no. 25. [Online]. Available: <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0305-4470/37/25/B01http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-642-18797-1>