

Separable integer partition classes and partitions with congruence conditions

Thomas Y. He¹, C.S. Huang², H.X. Li³ and X. Zhang⁴

^{1,2,3,4} School of Mathematical Sciences, Sichuan Normal University, Chengdu 610066, P.R. China

¹heyao@sicnu.edu.cn, ²huangchushu@stu.sicnu.edu.cn, ³lihaixia@stu.sicnu.edu.cn, ⁴zhangxi@stu.sicnu.edu.cn

Abstract. In this article, we first investigate the partitions whose parts are congruent to a or b modulo k with the aid of separable integer partition classes with modulus k introduced by Andrews. Then, we introduce the (k, r) -overpartitions in which only parts equivalent to r modulo k may be overlined and we will show that the number of (k, k) -overpartitions of n equals the number of partitions of n such that the k -th occurrence of a part may be overlined. Finally, we extend separable integer partition classes with modulus k to overpartitions and then give the generating function for (k, r) -modulo overpartitions, which are the (k, r) -overpartitions satisfying certain congruence conditions.

1 Introduction

A partition π of a positive integer n is a finite non-increasing sequence of positive integers $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m)$ such that $\pi_1 + \pi_2 + \dots + \pi_m = n$. The π_i are called the parts of π . Let $\ell(\pi)$ be the number of parts of π . The weight of π is the sum of parts, denoted $|\pi|$.

Throughout this article, we let k be a positive integer. We assume that a and b are integers such that $1 \leq a < b \leq k$. We use $\ell_a(\pi)$ and $\ell_b(\pi)$ to denote the number of parts equivalent to a and b modulo k in a partition π respectively.

We define (a, b, k) -partition to be the partition with parts equivalent to a or b modulo k . Then, $(1, 2, 3)$ -partitions are 3-regular partitions, in which none of the parts is a multiple of 3, and $(1, 4, 5)$ -partitions and $(2, 3, 5)$ -partitions are the partitions with congruence conditions in the first and the second Rogers-Ramanujan identities [6, 7] respectively.

Let $\mathcal{P}_{a,b,k}$ be the set of (a, b, k) -partitions. The generating function for the partitions in $\mathcal{P}_{a,b,k}$ is

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{a,b,k}} \mu^{\ell_a(\pi)} \nu^{\ell_b(\pi)} q^{|\pi|} = \frac{1}{(\mu q^a; q^k)_\infty (\nu q^b; q^k)_\infty}.$$

Here and in the sequel, we assume that $|q| < 1$ and employ the standard notation [1]:

$$(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i) \quad \text{and} \quad (a; q)_n = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(aq^n; q)_\infty}.$$

One of the objectives of this article is to give a new generating function for the partitions in $\mathcal{P}_{a,b,k}$ given below with the aid of separable integer partition classes with modulus k introduced by Andrews [2].

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{a,b,k}} \mu^{\ell_a(\pi)} \nu^{\ell_b(\pi)} q^{|\pi|} \\ &= \sum_{m,h,i \geq 0} \frac{\mu^{m-h-i} \nu^{h+i} q^{ma+kh^2+(b-a)(h+i)}}{(q^k; q^k)_m} \begin{bmatrix} h+i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} m-h-i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}_k$ is the q -binomial coefficient, or Gaussian polynomial for non-negative integers A and B defined as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}_k = \begin{cases} \frac{(q^k; q^k)_A}{(q^k; q^k)_B (q^k; q^k)_{A-B}}, & \text{if } A \geq B \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this article, we also investigate the overpartitions with certain congruence conditions. An overpartition, introduced by Corteel and Lovejoy [3], is a partition such that the first occurrence of a part can be overlined. We use $\ell_o(\pi)$ to denote the number of overlined parts in an overpartition π . In the remaining of this article, we assume that r is an integer such that $k \geq r \geq 1$. An overpartition is called a (k, r) -overpartition if only parts equivalent to r modulo k may be overlined. Then, $(1, 1)$ -overpartitions are overpartitions. For example, there are fifteen $(3, 3)$ -overpartitions of 6.

$$\begin{aligned} & (6), (5, 1), (4, 2), (4, 1, 1), (3, 3), (3, 2, 1), (3, 1, 1, 1), \\ & (2, 2, 2), (2, 2, 1, 1), (2, 1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), \\ & (\bar{6}), (\bar{3}, 3), (\bar{3}, 2, 1), (\bar{3}, 1, 1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{O}_{k,r}$ be the set of all (k, r) -overpartitions. The generating function for the overpartitions in $\mathcal{O}_{k,r}$ is

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{O}_{k,r}} x^{\ell_o(\pi)} z^{\ell(\pi)} q^{|\pi|} = \frac{(-xzq^r; q^k)_\infty}{(zq; q)_\infty}. \quad (1.2)$$

A k -partition is a partition such that the k -th occurrence of a part can be overlined. Then, 1-partitions are overpartitions. For example, there are fifteen 3-partitions of 6.

$$\begin{aligned} & (6), (5, 1), (4, 2), (4, 1, 1), (3, 3), (3, 2, 1), (3, 1, 1, 1), \\ & (2, 2, 2), (2, 2, 1, 1), (2, 1, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), \\ & (3, 1, 1, \bar{1}), (2, 2, \bar{2}), (2, 1, 1, \bar{1}, 1), (1, 1, \bar{1}, 1, 1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let $O_{k,k}(\ell_o, m, n)$ (resp. $P_k(\ell_o, m, n)$) denote the number of (k, k) -overpartitions (resp. k -partitions) of n with exactly ℓ_o overlined parts and m parts. We will show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. For $\ell_o, m, n \geq 0$, we have

$$O_{k,k}(\ell_o, m, n) = P_k(\ell_o, m + (k-1)\ell_o, n). \quad (1.3)$$

For example, it can be checked that

$$O_{3,3}(1, m, 6) = P_3(1, m + 2, 6) = 1 \text{ for } 1 \leq m \leq 4.$$

Then, we focus on a subset of $\mathcal{O}_{k,r}$. Let $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m)$ be an overpartition in $\mathcal{O}_{k,r}$. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, we define

$$\varphi_{k,r}(\pi_i) = s, \text{ where } -k + r + 1 \leq s \leq r \text{ and } \pi_i \equiv s \pmod{k}.$$

Now, we give the definition of (k, r) -modulo overpartitions.

Definition 1.2. A (k, r) -modulo overpartition π is a (k, r) -overpartition of the form $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $\pi_m \equiv 1, 2, \dots, r \pmod{k}$;
- (2) for $1 \leq i < m$, if $\varphi_{k,r}(\pi_i) < \varphi_{k,r}(\pi_{i+1})$, then π_{i+1} is overlined.

For example, there are eleven $(3, 1)$ -modulo overpartitions of 6.

$$\begin{aligned} & (5, \bar{1}), (4, 1, 1), (\bar{4}, 1, 1), (4, \bar{1}, 1), (\bar{4}, \bar{1}, 1), (3, 2, \bar{1}), (3, \bar{1}, 1, 1), \\ & (2, 2, \bar{1}, 1), (2, \bar{1}, 1, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1), (\bar{1}, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$ be the set of all (k, r) -modulo overpartitions. We will give the following generating function for the overpartitions in $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$ with the aid of separable overpartition classes with modulus k , which is an extension of separable integer partition classes with modulus k introduced by Andrews [2].

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}_{k,r}} x^{\ell_o(\pi)} z^{\ell(\pi)} q^{|\pi|} = \sum_{n,j \geq 0} \frac{x^j z^{n+j}}{(q^k; q^k)_{n+j}} q^{n+k\binom{j}{2}+rj} \begin{bmatrix} n+kj+r-1 \\ kj+r-1 \end{bmatrix}_1. \quad (1.4)$$

This article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition of separable integer partition classes with modulus k introduced by Andrews [2] and give a proof of (1.1). Section 3 is devoted to presenting two proofs of Theorem 1.1, an analytic proof and a combinatorial proof. Finally, we introduce separable overpartition classes with modulus k and give a proof of (1.4) in Section 4.

2 (a, b, k) -partitions

In this section, we first recall the definition of separable integer partition classes with modulus k introduced by Andrews [2]. Then, we show that $\mathcal{P}_{a,b,k}$ is a separable integer partition class with modulus k and give a equivalent statement of (1.1), which is given in (2.2). Finally, we give a proof of (2.2).

Andrews [2] first introduced separable integer partition classes with modulus k and analyzed some well-known theorems, such as the first Göllnitz-Gordon identity, Schur's partition theorem, partitions with n copies of n , and so on. Based on separable integer partition classes with modulus k , Passary [5, Section 3] studied two cases of partitions with parts separated by parity, little Göllnitz identities and the second Göllnitz-Gordon identity, and Chen, He, Tang and Wei [4, Section 3] investigated the remaining six cases of partitions with parts separated by parity.

Definition 2.1. A separable integer partition class \mathcal{P} with modulus k is a subset of all the partitions satisfying the following:

There is a subset \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{P} (\mathcal{B} is called the basis of \mathcal{P}) such that for each integer $m \geq 1$, the number of partitions in \mathcal{B} with m parts is finite and every partition in \mathcal{P} with m parts is uniquely of the form

$$(\lambda_1 + \mu_1) + (\lambda_2 + \mu_2) + \cdots + (\lambda_m + \mu_m), \quad (2.1)$$

where $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ is a partition in \mathcal{B} and $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m)$ is a non-increasing sequence of nonnegative integers, whose only restriction is that each part is divisible by k . Furthermore, all partitions of the form (2.1) are in \mathcal{P} .

For $m \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{B}_{a,b,k}(m)$ be the set of partitions in $\mathcal{P}_{a,b,k}$ with m parts of the form $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $\lambda_m = a$ or b ;
- (2) for $1 \leq i < m$, $\lambda_i < \lambda_{i+1} + k$.

For $m \geq 1$, assume that $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ is a partition in $\mathcal{B}_{a,b,k}(m)$. For $1 \leq i < m$, if $\lambda_{i+1} = kh + a$, then we have $kh + a \leq \lambda_i < k(h+1) + a$, and so $\lambda_i = kh + a$ or $kh + b$; if $\lambda_{i+1} = kh + b$, then we have $kh + b \leq \lambda_i < k(h+1) + b$, and so $\lambda_i = kh + b$ or $k(h+1) + a$. Therefore, we see that there are 2^m partitions in $\mathcal{B}_{a,b,k}(m)$.

For example, the number of partitions in $\mathcal{B}_{a,b,k}(3)$ is $2^3 = 8$.

$$(a, a, a), (b, a, a), (b, b, a), (k + a, b, a), \\ (b, b, b), (k + a, b, b), (k + a, k + a, b), (k + b, k + a, b).$$

Set

$$\mathcal{B}_{a,b,k} = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \mathcal{B}_{a,b,k}(m).$$

Obviously, $\mathcal{B}_{a,b,k}$ is the basis of $\mathcal{P}_{a,b,k}$. So, we have

Theorem 2.2. $\mathcal{P}_{a,b,k}$ is a separable integer partition class with modulus k .

For $m \geq 1$, we define

$$g_{a,b,k}(m) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{a,b,k}(m)} \mu^{\ell_a(\lambda)} \nu^{\ell_b(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|}.$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}_{a,b,k}} \mu^{\ell_a(\pi)} \nu^{\ell_b(\pi)} q^{|\pi|} = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{g_{a,b,k}(m)}{(q^k; q^k)_m}.$$

We find that (1.1) is equivalent to the following identity.

$$g_{a,b,k}(m) = \sum_{h,i \geq 0} \mu^{m-h-i} \nu^{h+i} q^{ma+kh^2+(b-a)(h+i)} \begin{bmatrix} h+i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} m-h-i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k. \quad (2.2)$$

In order to show (2.2), we need to give the generating functions for the partitions in $\mathcal{B}_{a,b,k}(m)$ with the largest part l , denoted $g_{a,b,k}(m, l)$.

Lemma 2.3. For $m \geq 1$, we have

$$g_{a,b,k}(m, a) = \mu^m q^{ma}. \quad (2.3)$$

For $m \geq 1$ and $h \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{a,b,k}(m, kh+a) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \mu^{m-h-i} \nu^{h+i} q^{ma+kh^2+(b-a)(h+i)} \begin{bmatrix} h+i-1 \\ h-1 \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} m-h-i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

For $m \geq 1$ and $h \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g_{a,b,k}(m, kh+b) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \mu^{m-h-i-1} \nu^{h+i+1} q^{ma+kh^2+kh+(b-a)(h+i+1)} \begin{bmatrix} h+i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} m-h-i-1 \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. It is clear that for $m \geq 1$, there is only one partition

$$\underbrace{(a, a, \dots, a)}_{m/s}$$

in $\mathcal{B}_{a,b,k}(m)$ with the largest part a , which leads to (2.3).

Then, we proceed to show (2.4). For $m \geq 1$ and $h \geq 1$, let λ be a partition in $\mathcal{B}_{a,b,k}(m)$ with the largest part $kh + a$. There exist parts

$$kh + a, k(h - 1) + b, k(h - 1) + a, k(h - 2) + b, \dots, k + a, b$$

in λ . We remove one $kh + a$, one $k(h - 1) + b, \dots$, one $k + a$ and one b from λ and denote the resulting partition by α . Clearly, there are $m - 2h$ parts in α and the parts of α do not exceed $kh + a$. Let $\alpha^{(a)}$ (resp. $\alpha^{(b)}$) be the partition consisting of the parts equivalent to a (resp. b) modulo k in α . Then, the parts in $\alpha^{(a)}$ (resp. $\alpha^{(b)}$) do not exceed $kh + a$ (resp. $k(h - 1) + b$). Assume that $\ell(\alpha^{(b)}) = i$, then we have $\ell(\alpha^{(a)}) = m - 2h - i$. The process above to get $\alpha^{(a)}$ and $\alpha^{(b)}$ could be run in reverse.

The generating function for the partitions with i parts not exceeding $k(h - 1) + b$ and equivalent to b modulo k is

$$q^{bi} \begin{bmatrix} h + i - 1 \\ h - 1 \end{bmatrix}_k.$$

The generating function for the partitions with $m - 2h - i$ parts not exceeding $kh + a$ and equivalent to a modulo k is

$$q^{a(m-2h-i)} \begin{bmatrix} m - h - i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k.$$

So, we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_{a,b,k}(m, kh + a) &= \mu^h q^{k \binom{h+1}{2} + ah} \nu^h q^{k \binom{h}{2} + bh} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{i \geq 0} \nu^i q^{bi} \begin{bmatrix} h + i - 1 \\ h - 1 \end{bmatrix}_k \mu^{m-2h-i} q^{a(m-2h-i)} \begin{bmatrix} m - h - i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, (2.4) is verified.

With a similar argument above, we get (2.5). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

We also need the following recurrence.

Lemma 2.4. For $m \geq 1$ and $h \geq 0$, we have

$$g_{a,b,k}(m + 1, kh + b) = \nu q^{kh+b} (g_{a,b,k}(m, kh + a) + g_{a,b,k}(m, kh + b)). \quad (2.6)$$

We will present an analytic proof and a combinatorial proof of Lemma 2.4. We first give an analytic proof of Lemma 2.4.

Analytic proof of Lemma 2.4. For $h = 0$, by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$g_{a,b,k}(m, a) + g_{a,b,k}(m, b) = \mu^m q^{ma} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mu^{m-i-1} \nu^{i+1} q^{ma+(b-a)(i+1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=-1}^{m-1} \mu^{m-i-1} \nu^{i+1} q^{ma+(b-a)(i+1)} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^m \mu^{m-i} \nu^i q^{ma+(b-a)i} \\
&= (\nu q^b)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^m \mu^{(m+1)-i-1} \nu^{i+1} q^{(m+1)a+(b-a)(i+1)} \\
&= (\nu q^b)^{-1} g_{a,b,k}(m+1, b).
\end{aligned}$$

So, (2.6) holds for $h = 0$.

For $h \geq 1$, again by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_{a,b,k}(m, kh+a) + g_{a,b,k}(m, kh+b) \\
&= \mu^{m-h} \nu^h q^{ma+kh^2+(b-a)h} \begin{bmatrix} m-h \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \geq 1} \mu^{m-h-i} \nu^{h+i} q^{ma+kh^2+(b-a)(h+i)} \begin{bmatrix} h+i-1 \\ h-1 \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} m-h-i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \geq 1} \mu^{m-h-i} \nu^{h+i} q^{ma+kh^2+kh+(b-a)(h+i)} \begin{bmatrix} h+i-1 \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} m-h-i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \\
&= \mu^{m-h} \nu^h q^{ma+kh^2+(b-a)h} \begin{bmatrix} m-h \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \geq 1} \mu^{m-h-i} \nu^{h+i} q^{ma+kh^2+(b-a)(h+i)} \begin{bmatrix} m-h-i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} h+i-1 \\ h-1 \end{bmatrix}_k + q^{kh} \begin{bmatrix} h+i-1 \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining with the standard recurrence for the q -binomial coefficients [1, (3.3.4)]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix}_k = \begin{bmatrix} A-1 \\ B-1 \end{bmatrix}_k + q^{kB} \begin{bmatrix} A-1 \\ B \end{bmatrix}_k, \tag{2.7}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_{a,b,k}(m, kh+a) + g_{a,b,k}(m, kh+b) \\
&= \mu^{m-h} \nu^h q^{ma+kh^2+(b-a)h} \begin{bmatrix} m-h \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \geq 1} \mu^{m-h-i} \nu^{h+i} q^{ma+kh^2+(b-a)(h+i)} \begin{bmatrix} m-h-i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} h+i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i \geq 0} \mu^{m-h-i} \nu^{h+i} q^{ma+kh^2+(b-a)(h+i)} \begin{bmatrix} m-h-i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} h+i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \\
&= (\nu q^{kh+b})^{-1} \sum_{i \geq 0} \mu^{m-h-i} \nu^{h+i+1} q^{(m+1)a+kh^2+kh+(b-a)(h+i+1)} \begin{bmatrix} m-h-i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} h+i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \\
&= (\nu q^{kh+b})^{-1} g_{a,b,k}(m+1, kh+b).
\end{aligned}$$

We arrive at (2.6) for $h \geq 1$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Then, we give a combinatorial proof of Lemma 2.4.

Combinatorial proof of Lemma 2.4. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{m+1})$ be a partition in $\mathcal{B}_{a,b,k}(m+1)$ with the largest part $kh+b$. Then, we have $\lambda_1 = kh+b$. By the definition of $\mathcal{B}_{a,b,k}(m+1)$, we get $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1 - k = k(h-1) + b$, and so $\lambda_2 = kh+b$ or $kh+a$. If we remove the largest part $kh+b$ from λ , then we can get a partition in $\mathcal{B}_{a,b,k}(m)$ with the largest part $kh+b$ or $kh+a$, and vice versa. This implies that (2.6) holds. The proof is complete. \blacksquare

We are now in a position to give a proof of (2.2).

Proof of (2.2). Combining Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we obtain that for $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{a,b,k}(m) &= \sum_{h \geq 0} (g_{a,b,k}(m, kh+a) + g_{a,b,k}(m, kh+b)) \\
&= \sum_{h \geq 0} (\nu q^{kh+b})^{-1} g_{a,b,k}(m+1, kh+b) \\
&= \sum_{h \geq 0} \sum_{i \geq 0} \mu^{m-h-i} \nu^{h+i} q^{ma+kh^2+(b-a)(h+i)} \begin{bmatrix} h+i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k \begin{bmatrix} m-h-i \\ h \end{bmatrix}_k.
\end{aligned}$$

We arrive at (2.2). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

3 Proofs of Theorem 1.1

The main objective of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. We will present two proofs, an analytic proof and a combinatorial proof. We first give an analytic proof of Theorem 1.1.

Analytic proof of Theorem 1.1. It is clear from (1.2) that

$$\sum_{\ell_o, m, n \geq 0} O_{k,k}(\ell_o, m, n) x^{\ell_o} z^m q^n = \frac{(-xzq^k; q^k)_\infty}{(zq; q)_\infty}. \quad (3.1)$$

The generating function for $P_k(\ell_o, m, n)$ is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\ell_o, m, n \geq 0} P_k(\ell_o, m, n) x^{\ell_o} z^m q^n \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + zq^j + \cdots + z^{k-1} q^{(k-1)j} + (1+x)z^k q^{kj} + (1+x)z^{k+1} q^{(k+1)j} + \cdots) \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1 - z^k q^{kj}}{1 - zq^j} + \frac{(1+x)z^k q^{kj}}{1 - zq^j} \right) \\
&= \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 + xz^k q^{kj}}{1 - zq^j} \\
&= \frac{(-xz^k q^k; q^k)_{\infty}}{(zq; q)_{\infty}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Letting $x \rightarrow xz^{-(k-1)}$ in (3.2), we get

$$\sum_{\ell_o, m, n \geq 0} P_k(\ell_o, m + (k-1)\ell_o, n) x^{\ell_o} z^m q^n = \frac{(-xzq^k; q^k)_{\infty}}{(zq; q)_{\infty}}.$$

Combining with (3.1), we arrive at (1.3). This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Then, we give a combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.1.

Combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.1: Let $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m)$ be an overpartition counted by $O_{k,k}(\ell_o, m, n)$. Assume that $\overline{k\zeta_1}, \overline{k\zeta_2}, \dots, \overline{k\zeta_{\ell_o}}$ are the ℓ_o overlined parts in π , where $\zeta_1 > \zeta_2 > \cdots > \zeta_{\ell_o} \geq 1$. We first remove $\overline{k\zeta_1}, \overline{k\zeta_2}, \dots, \overline{k\zeta_{\ell_o}}$ from π and then add

$$\underbrace{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_1}_{(k-1)'s}, \underbrace{\overline{\zeta_1}, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_2}_{(k-1)'s}, \overline{\zeta_2}, \dots, \underbrace{\zeta_{\ell_o}, \dots, \zeta_{\ell_o}}_{(k-1)'s}, \overline{\zeta_{\ell_o}}$$

as new parts into the resulting partition to get a partition λ . Clearly, λ is a partition enumerated by $P_k(\ell_o, m + (k-1)\ell_o, n)$. Obviously, the process above is reversible. The proof is complete. \blacksquare

For example, let $\pi = (\overline{9}, 7, 6, 6, 5, \overline{3}, 3, 1, 1)$ be an overpartition enumerated by $O_{3,3}(2, 9, 41)$. We first remove $\overline{9}$ and $\overline{3}$ from π and then add $3, 3, \overline{3}, 1, 1, \overline{1}$ as new parts into the resulting partition to get $\lambda = (7, 6, 6, 5, 3, 3, \overline{3}, 3, 1, 1, \overline{1}, 1, 1)$. Clearly, λ is a partition enumerated by $P_3(2, 13, 41)$. Moreover, the process to get λ could be run in reverse.

4 (k, r) -modulo overpartitions

In this section, we first introduce separable overpartition classes with modulus k . Then, we show that $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$ is a separable overpartition class with modulus k . Finally, we give a proof of (1.4).

4.1 Separable overpartition classes with modulus k

In [4], Chen, He, Tang and Wei extended separable integer partition classes with modulus 1 introduced by Andrews [2] to overpartitions, called separable overpartition classes, and then studied overpartitions and the overpartition analogue of Rogers-Ramanujan identities from the view of separable overpartition classes.

In this article, we extend separable integer partition classes with modulus k to overpartitions, which is stated as follows. Here and in the sequel, we adopt the following convention: For positive integer t and non-negative integer b , we define

$$\bar{t} \pm b = \overline{t \pm b} \text{ and } \bar{t} - \bar{b} = t - b.$$

Definition 4.1. A subset \mathcal{P} of all the overpartitions is called a separable overpartition class with modulus k if \mathcal{P} satisfies the following:

There is a subset \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{P} (\mathcal{B} is called the basis of \mathcal{P}) such that for each integer $m \geq 1$,

- (1) the number of overpartitions in \mathcal{B} with m parts is finite;
- (2) every overpartition in \mathcal{P} with m parts is uniquely of the form

$$(\lambda_1 + \mu_1) + (\lambda_2 + \mu_2) + \cdots + (\lambda_m + \mu_m), \quad (4.1)$$

where $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ is an overpartition in \mathcal{B} and $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m)$ is a non-increasing sequence of nonnegative integers, whose only restriction is that each part is divisible by k ;

- (3) all overpartitions of the form (4.1) are in \mathcal{P} .

Assume that \mathcal{P} is a separable overpartition class with modulus k and \mathcal{B} is the basis of \mathcal{P} . Let $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m)$ be an overpartition in \mathcal{P} . Then, there exist unique overpartition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ in \mathcal{B} and non-increasing sequence $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m)$ of nonnegative integers divisible by k such that $\pi_i = \lambda_i + \mu_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Clearly, the number of overlined parts in π equals the number of overlined parts in λ .

For $m \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{B}(m)$ be the set of overpartitions in \mathcal{B} with m parts. Then, the generating function for the overpartitions in \mathcal{P} is

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}} x^{\ell_o(\pi)} z^{\ell(\pi)} q^{|\pi|} = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{z^m}{(q^k; q^k)_m} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{B}(m)} x^{\ell_o(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|}. \quad (4.2)$$

4.2 The basis of $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$

The objective of this subsection is to show that $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$ is a separable overpartition class with modulus k . To do this, we are required to find the basis of $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$, which involves the following set. We impose the following order on the parts of an overpartition:

$$1 < \bar{1} < 2 < \bar{2} < \cdots.$$

Definition 4.2. For $m \geq 1$, define $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)$ to be the set of overpartitions of the form $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) Only parts equivalent to r modulo k may be overlined;
- (2) $\lambda_m \leq \bar{r}$;
- (3) for $1 \leq i < m$, if $\overline{k(j-1) + r} \leq \lambda_{i+1} \leq kj + r$ then we have $\lambda_i \leq \overline{kj + r}$.

For example, there are nineteen overpartitions in $\mathcal{B}_{3,1}(3)$.

$$\begin{aligned} & (1, 1, 1), (\bar{1}, 1, 1), (2, \bar{1}, 1), (3, \bar{1}, 1), (4, \bar{1}, 1), (\bar{4}, \bar{1}, 1), \\ & (2, 2, \bar{1}), (3, 2, \bar{1}), (4, 2, \bar{1}), (\bar{4}, 2, \bar{1}), (3, 3, \bar{1}), (4, 3, \bar{1}), (\bar{4}, 3, \bar{1}), \\ & (4, 4, \bar{1}), (\bar{4}, 4, \bar{1}), (5, \bar{4}, \bar{1}), (6, \bar{4}, \bar{1}), (7, \bar{4}, \bar{1}), (\bar{7}, \bar{4}, \bar{1}). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{B}_{k,r} = \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m).$$

We proceed to show that $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$ is a separable overpartition class with modulus k .

Theorem 4.3. $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$ is a separable overpartition class with modulus k and $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}$ is the basis of $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$.

Proof. We just need to show that $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}$ is the basis of $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$. It remains to prove that $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_{k,r}$ and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_{k,r}$ satisfies the conditions (1)-(3) in Definition 4.1.

By the conditions (2) and (3) in Definition 4.2, we find that for $m \geq 1$, the number of overpartitions in $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)$ does not exceed $(r+1) \times (k+1)^{m-1}$, which yields that the number of overpartitions in $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}$ with m parts is finite. So, $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}$ satisfies the condition (1) in Definition 4.1.

We proceed to show that $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$ satisfies the conditions (2) in Definition 4.1. For $m \geq 1$, let $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_m)$ be an overpartition in $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$ with m parts. There exists an unique overpartition $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ in $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}$ such that $\pi_i \equiv \lambda_i \pmod{k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. Moreover precisely, assume that there are j overlined parts in π , we consider the following two cases.

Case 1: $j = 0$. In this case, we have $\lambda_1 \leq r$.

Case 2: $j \geq 1$. Assume that $\pi_{i_1} < \pi_{i_2} < \dots < \pi_{i_j}$ are the j overlined parts in π . Then, we have $\lambda_{i_1} = \bar{r}$, $\lambda_{i_2} = \overline{k+r}$, \dots , $\lambda_{i_j} = \overline{k(j-1) + r}$.

In either case, we see that for $1 \leq i \leq m$, π_i and λ_i are both overlined or both non-overlined. For $1 \leq i \leq m$, we set $\mu_i = \pi_i - \lambda_i$. Clearly, $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_m)$ is a non-increasing

sequence of nonnegative integers divisible by k . This implies that $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$ satisfies the conditions (2) in Definition 4.1.

It is clear that $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$ satisfies the conditions (3) in Definition 4.1. Now, we can conclude that $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}$ is the basis of $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

4.3 Proof of (1.4)

In this subsection, we aim to give a proof of (1.4). To do this, we first give the generating functions for the overpartitions in $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)$ with the largest part l (resp. \bar{l}), denoted $g_{k,r}(m, l)$ (resp. $g_{k,r}(m, \bar{l})$).

Lemma 4.4. Assume that $m \geq 1$. For $j \geq 1$, we have

$$g_{k,r}(m, \overline{k(j-1)+r}) = q^{m-j+k\binom{j}{2}+rj} \begin{bmatrix} m-j+k(j-1)+r-1 \\ k(j-1)+r-1 \end{bmatrix}_1.$$

For $j = 1$ and $1 \leq s \leq r$, or $j \geq 2$ and $-k+r+1 \leq s \leq r$, we have

$$g_{k,r}(m, k(j-1)+s) = q^{m-j+k\binom{j}{2}+r(j-1)+s} \begin{bmatrix} m-j+k(j-1)+s-1 \\ k(j-1)+s-1 \end{bmatrix}_1. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. We first show that for $j \geq 1$,

$$g_{k,r}(m, \overline{k(j-1)+r}) = g_{k,r}(m, k(j-1)+r). \quad (4.4)$$

For an overpartition λ in $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)$ with the largest part $\overline{k(j-1)+r}$, we can get an overpartition in $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)$ with the largest part $k(j-1)+r$ by changing the overlined part $\overline{k(j-1)+r}$ in λ to a non-overlined part $k(j-1)+r$, and vice versa. This implies that (4.4) holds.

It remains to show that (4.3) is valid. Let λ be an overpartition in $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)$ with the largest part $k(j-1)+s$. We remove some parts from λ . There are two cases.

Case 1: $j = 1$ and $1 \leq s \leq r$. In this case, there are no overlined parts in λ . We remove one s from λ to get α and set $\beta = (s)$.

Case 2: $j \geq 2$ and $-k+r+1 \leq s \leq r$. In this case, there are $j-1$ overlined parts in λ , which are $\overline{k(j-2)+r}, \dots, \overline{k+r}, \overline{r}$. We remove the $j-1$ overlined parts and one $k(j-1)+s$ from λ to get α and set $\beta = (k(j-1)+s, \overline{k(j-2)+r}, \dots, \overline{k+r}, \overline{r})$.

In conclusion, $|\beta| = k\binom{j}{2} + r(j-1) + s$, there are $m-j$ parts in α , there are no overlined parts in α and the parts of α do not exceed $k(j-1)+s$. We delete the parts 1 in α and subtract one from the remaining parts of α to get γ . Then, γ is a partition with at most $m-j$ parts not exceeding $k(j-1)+s-1$. Clearly, the process above to get β and γ could be run in reverse.

The generating function for the partitions with at most $m - j$ parts not exceeding $k(j - 1) + s - 1$ is

$$\left[\begin{matrix} m - j + k(j - 1) + s - 1 \\ k(j - 1) + s - 1 \end{matrix} \right]_1.$$

So, we get

$$g_{k,r}(m, k(j - 1) + s) = q^{k\binom{j}{2} + r(j-1) + s} \times q^{m-j} \left[\begin{matrix} m - j + k(j - 1) + s - 1 \\ k(j - 1) + s - 1 \end{matrix} \right]_1.$$

Hence, (4.3) is verified. The proof is complete. ■

We also need the following recurrences.

Lemma 4.5. For $m \geq 1$, we have

$$g_{k,r}(m + 1, \bar{r}) = q^r \sum_{s=1}^r g_{k,r}(m, s). \quad (4.5)$$

For $m \geq 1$ and $j \geq 1$, we have

$$g_{k,r}(m + 1, \overline{kj + r}) = q^{kj+r} \left(g_{k,r}(m, \overline{k(j-1) + r}) + \sum_{s=-k+r+1}^r g_{k,r}(m, kj + s) \right). \quad (4.6)$$

We will present an analytic proof and a combinatorial proof of Lemma 4.5. We first give an analytic proof of Lemma 4.5.

Analytic proof of Lemma 4.5. Combining Lemma 4.4 and the following recurrence for the q -binomial coefficients [1, (3.3.9)]:

$$\left[\begin{matrix} A + B + 1 \\ B + 1 \end{matrix} \right]_1 = \sum_{s=0}^A q^s \left[\begin{matrix} B + s \\ B \end{matrix} \right]_1 \text{ for } A, B \geq 0,$$

we obtain that for $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^r g_{k,r}(m, s) &= \sum_{s=1}^r q^{m-1+s} \left[\begin{matrix} m - 1 + s - 1 \\ s - 1 \end{matrix} \right]_1 \\ &= q^m \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} q^s \left[\begin{matrix} m - 1 + s \\ s \end{matrix} \right]_1 \\ &= q^m \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} q^s \left[\begin{matrix} m - 1 + s \\ m - 1 \end{matrix} \right]_1 \\ &= q^m \left[\begin{matrix} m + r - 1 \\ m \end{matrix} \right]_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= q^{-r} q^{(m+1)-1+r} \begin{bmatrix} (m+1) - 1 + r - 1 \\ r - 1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
&= q^{-r} g_{k,r}(m+1, \bar{r}).
\end{aligned}$$

So, (4.5) is verified.

Then, we proceed to show (4.6). Using Lemma 4.4, we obtain that for $m \geq 1$ and $j \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&g_{k,r}(m, \overline{k(j-1)+r}) + \sum_{s=-k+r+1}^r g_{k,r}(m, kj+s) \\
&= q^{m-j+k\binom{j}{2}+rj} \begin{bmatrix} m-j+k(j-1)+r-1 \\ k(j-1)+r-1 \end{bmatrix}_1 + \\
&\quad + \sum_{s=-k+r+1}^r q^{m-(j+1)+k\binom{j+1}{2}+rj+s} \begin{bmatrix} m-(j+1)+kj+s-1 \\ kj+s-1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
&= q^{m-j+k\binom{j}{2}+rj} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} m-j+k(j-1)+r-1 \\ k(j-1)+r-1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \right. \\
&\quad + q^{k(j-1)+r} \begin{bmatrix} m-j+k(j-1)+r-1 \\ k(j-1)+r \end{bmatrix}_1 + q^{k(j-1)+r+1} \begin{bmatrix} m-j+k(j-1)+r \\ k(j-1)+r+1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
&\quad \left. + \dots + q^{kj+r-1} \begin{bmatrix} m-j+kj+r-2 \\ kj+r-1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

By successively applying the standard recurrence for the q -binomial coefficients in (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{bmatrix} m-j+k(j-1)+r-1 \\ k(j-1)+r-1 \end{bmatrix}_1 + q^{k(j-1)+r} \begin{bmatrix} m-j+k(j-1)+r-1 \\ k(j-1)+r \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
&\quad + q^{k(j-1)+r+1} \begin{bmatrix} m-j+k(j-1)+r \\ k(j-1)+r+1 \end{bmatrix}_1 + \dots + q^{kj+r-1} \begin{bmatrix} m-j+kj+r-2 \\ kj+r-1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} m-j+k(j-1)+r \\ k(j-1)+r \end{bmatrix}_1 + q^{k(j-1)+r+1} \begin{bmatrix} m-j+k(j-1)+r \\ k(j-1)+r+1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
&\quad + \dots + q^{kj+r-1} \begin{bmatrix} m-j+kj+r-2 \\ kj+r-1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
&= \dots \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} m-j+kj+r-1 \\ kj+r-1 \end{bmatrix}_1.
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$g_{k,r}(m, \overline{k(j-1)+r}) + \sum_{s=-k+r+1}^r g_{k,r}(m, kj+s)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= q^{m-j+k\binom{j}{2}+rj} \begin{bmatrix} m-j+kj+r-1 \\ kj+r-1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
&= q^{-kj-r} q^{(m+1)-(j+1)+k\binom{j+1}{2}+r(j+1)} \begin{bmatrix} (m+1)-(j+1)+kj+r-1 \\ kj+r-1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
&= q^{-kj-r} g_{k,r}(m+1, \overline{kj+r}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we arrive at (4.6). This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Then, we give a combinatorial proof of Lemma 4.5.

Combinatorial proof of Lemma 4.5. Assume that $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{m+1})$ is an overpartition in $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m+1)$ with the largest part $\overline{kj+r}$, where $j \geq 0$. Then, we have $\lambda_1 = \overline{kj+r}$. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1: $j = 0$. In this case, we have $\lambda_1 = \overline{r}$. By the condition (3) in Definition 4.2, we deduce that $1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq r$. If we remove the largest part \overline{r} from λ , then we can get an overpartition in $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)$ with the largest part not exceeding r , and vice versa. This implies that (4.5) holds.

Case 2: $j \geq 1$. It is from the condition (3) in Definition 4.2 that $\overline{k(j-1)+r} \leq \lambda_2 \leq kj+r$. If we remove the largest part $\overline{kj+r}$ from λ , then we can get an overpartition in $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)$ with the largest part greater than or equal to $\overline{k(j-1)+r}$ and not exceeding $kj+r$, and vice versa. So, (4.6) is verified.

We conclude that (4.5) and (4.6) are valid. The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Combining Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, we can get the generating function for the overpartitions in $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)$.

Theorem 4.6. *For $m \geq 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)} x^{\ell_o(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|} = \sum_{j \geq 0} x^j q^{m-j+k\binom{j}{2}+rj} \begin{bmatrix} m-j+kj+r-1 \\ kj+r-1 \end{bmatrix}_1. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m)$ be an overpartition in $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)$. We consider the number of overlined parts in λ based on the largest part of λ . There are two cases.

Case 1: $1 \leq \lambda_1 \leq r$. In this case, there are no overlined parts in λ , and so $\ell_o(\lambda) = 0$.

Case 2: $\overline{k(j-1)+r} \leq \lambda_1 \leq kj+r$, where $j \geq 1$. In this case, there are j overlined parts in λ , which are $\overline{k(j-1)+r}, \dots, \overline{k+r}, \overline{r}$. So, we have $\ell_o(\lambda) = j$.

Combining with Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5, we get

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)} x^{\ell_o(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= g_{k,r}(m, 1) + g_{k,r}(m, 2) + \cdots + g_{k,r}(m, r) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \geq 1} x^j \left\{ g_{k,r}(m, \overline{k(j-1) + r}) + g_{k,r}(m, k(j-1) + r + 1) + \cdots + g_{k,r}(m, kj + r) \right\} \\
&= q^{-r} g_{k,r}(m+1, \bar{r}) + \sum_{j \geq 1} x^j q^{-kj-r} g_{k,r}(m, \overline{kj + r}) \\
&= \sum_{j \geq 0} x^j q^{-kj-r} g_{k,r}(m+1, \overline{kj + r}) \\
&= \sum_{j \geq 0} x^j q^{-kj-r} q^{(m+1)-(j+1)+k\binom{j+1}{2}+r(j+1)} \begin{bmatrix} (m+1) - (j+1) + kj + r - 1 \\ kj + r - 1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
&= \sum_{j \geq 0} x^j q^{m-j+k\binom{j}{2}+rj} \begin{bmatrix} m - j + kj + r - 1 \\ kj + r - 1 \end{bmatrix}_1.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. ■

Now, we are in a position to give a proof of (1.4).

Proof of (1.4). By Theorem 4.3, we know that $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$ is a separable overpartition class with modulus k and $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}$ is the basis of $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}$. Note that $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)$ is the set of overpartitions in $\mathcal{B}_{k,r}$ with m parts for $m \geq 1$, then by (4.2), we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}_{k,r}} x^{\ell_o(\pi)} z^{\ell(\pi)} q^{|\pi|} = 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{z^m}{(q^k; q^k)_m} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{B}_{k,r}(m)} x^{\ell_o(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|}. \quad (4.8)$$

Substituting (4.7) into (4.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}_{k,r}} x^{\ell_o(\pi)} z^{\ell(\pi)} q^{|\pi|} \\
&= 1 + \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{z^m}{(q^k; q^k)_m} \sum_{j \geq 0} x^j q^{m-j+k\binom{j}{2}+rj} \begin{bmatrix} m - j + kj + r - 1 \\ kj + r - 1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
&= \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{z^m}{(q^k; q^k)_m} \sum_{j=0}^m x^j q^{m-j+k\binom{j}{2}+rj} \begin{bmatrix} m - j + kj + r - 1 \\ kj + r - 1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
&= \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{m \geq j} \frac{z^m}{(q^k; q^k)_m} x^j q^{m-j+k\binom{j}{2}+rj} \begin{bmatrix} m - j + kj + r - 1 \\ kj + r - 1 \end{bmatrix}_1 \\
&= \sum_{j \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^{n+j}}{(q^k; q^k)_{n+j}} x^j q^{n+k\binom{j}{2}+rj} \begin{bmatrix} n + kj + r - 1 \\ kj + r - 1 \end{bmatrix}_1.
\end{aligned}$$

So, (1.4) is verified. The proof is complete. ■

References

- [1] G.E. Andrews, *The Theory of partitions*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1976.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, Separable integer partition classes, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 9 (2022) 619–647.
- [3] S. Corteel and J. Lovejoy, Overpartitions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 356 (4) (2004) 1623–1635.
- [4] Y.H. Chen, Thomas Y. He, F. Tang and J.J. Wei, Some separable integer partition classes, *Int. J. Number Theory* 20 (5) 1353–1371.
- [5] D. Passary, *Studies of partition functions with conditions on parts and parity*, PhD thesis, Penn. State University, 2019.
- [6] S. Ramanujan, Question 584, *J. Indian Math. Soc.* 6 (1914) 199.
- [7] L.J. Rogers, Second memoir on the expansion of certain infinite products, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 25 (1894) 318–343.