

# Rateless Stochastic Coding for Delay-constrained Semantic Communication

Cheng Peng

Huazhong University of Science and Technology  
Wuhan, China

Yong Xiao

Huazhong University of Science and Technology  
Wuhan, China

Rulong Wang

Huazhong University of Science and Technology  
Wuhan, China

**Abstract**—We consider the problem of joint source-channel coding with distortion and perception constraints from a rateless perspective, the purpose of which is to settle the balance between reliability (distortion/perception) and effectiveness (rate) of transmission over uncertain channels. We find a new finite-blocklength bound for the achievable joint source-channel code rate with the above two constraints. To achieve a superior rateless characteristic of JSCC coding, we perform multi-level optimization on various finite-blocklength codes. Based on these two, we then propose a new JSCC coding scheme called rateless stochastic coding (RSC). We experimentally demonstrate that the proposed RSC can achieve variable rates of transmission maintaining an excellent trade-off between distortion and perception.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Amid the ongoing global revolution in the information industry, with a focus on 5G, 6G, and artificial intelligence technologies, numerous human-oriented intelligent services and applications such as virtual reality, augmented reality, tactile Internet, and autonomous driving are constantly being researched and deployed, highlighting the increasing importance of information semantics. This motivates a new communication paradigm, known as semantic communication, which concentrates on identifying, delivering, and utilizing critical meanings in messages during communication [1].

The concept of semantic communication was originally introduced through Weaver's proposition of a three-tiered communication problem that involves the "technical problem", the "semantic problem", and the "effectiveness problem" [2]. According to Weaver, the semantic problem is mainly focused on the general interpretation of the received information. In other words, the key to addressing semantic issues is to extract the statistical semantic characteristics of the transmitted information. This also means that the typical symbol-level distortion metric employed in conventional communication is insufficient for evaluating the semantic distance. Recent research in generative modeling suggests that the divergence between data distributions can effectively represent the semantic perceptual quality. These studies offer a fresh perspective for assessing what Weaver refers to as statistical semantic characteristics.

Coding schemes in semantic communication face two challenges, the first is how to adaptively meet the individualized

communication needs of different scenarios in terms of reliability and latency, and the second is the trade-off between protecting the semantic feature and symbolic accuracy of information during lossy encoding and the transmission of unknown channel. Coupled with feedback from the receiver, incremental redundancy hybrid automated repeat request (IR-HARQ) techniques achieve communication under various reliability constraints [3]. Rateless codes, which can be considered a form of continuous IR-HARQ [4], exhibit the capability to adapt to diverse channel conditions, achieving an effective balance between reliability and latency through dynamic coding rate adjustments. On the other hand, recent studies in neural compression, both simulated and theoretical, have illustrated a trade-off between divergence-based semantic perceptual quality and symbol-level distortion [5] [6]. Additionally, for low transmission rates with imperfect channels, ensuring an exact level of signal distortion is highly challenging while there is potential for enhancing visual perceptual quality. For instance, the generation of high-visual-quality images by generative models can be viewed as a transmission process with a rate of zero. Therefore, incorporating the properties of rateless codes into the coding of semantic communication is a viable approach to achieving a flexible trade-off between distortion, perceptual quality, and latency via adaptive coding rate control.

It is well known that the trade-off between distortion, perceptual quality, and coding rate is generally a problem of source compression. Considering that in rateless codes the codeword assigned to each message is always infinite, while the actual effective length depends on the channel conditions and the receiver's decoding strategy. Based on the above observations, we believe that trying to apply the rateless code directly to an uncompressed source to achieve good compression and reliable communication simultaneously is a meaningful problem. Therefore, we consider the rateless strategy in Joint Source-Channel Coding (JSCC). Furthermore, to satisfy the delay constraints, we analyze the bounds on the distortion and the perceptual quality that can be optimized in the time-limited decoding, which provides a theoretical basis for our actual coding program.

We summarize the key contributions of this paper as follows:

- *The characterization of JSCC with distortion and perception constraints in the finite blocklength regime:* We first define  $(\epsilon, \gamma, n)$ -achievability that is related to distortion, perception, and coding blocklength, then show the achievable capacity region of JSCC in the finite blocklength regime.
- *New rateless stochastic coding scheme:* We propose a novel JSCC scheme for semantic communication. This coding scheme considers both the symbol-level information and the global semantic statistical characteristics in the message. To enhance semantic perception, we introduce common randomness to implement stochastic encoding and decoding. Additionally, through the characteristics of rateless codes, the scheme achieves effective compression and transmission of the above two types of information over various coding rates.
- *Extensive experimental results:* We evaluate the performance of our proposed scheme by simulating the encoding and transmission over Gaussian channels on two standard image datasets. Compared to the traditional AE-based JSCC scheme, our coding scheme achieves better rate-distortion performance, especially at low coding rates. Additionally, our scheme achieves superior semantic visual perception which benefits the receiver in completing the artifact-free reconstruction of image data..

## II. RELATED WORK

### A. Divergence-based Perception

In recent years, numerous studies have explored lossy compression that incorporates visual perceptual constraints. According to Blau and Michaeli [7], decreasing symbol-level distortion during data reconstruction does not always enhance visual perceptual quality. In contrast, compression algorithms that cater to visual perceptual constraints often perform inferior on distortion metrics, such as PSNR and SSIM. Subsequently, they proposed and proved a theory about the trade-off between distortion, perception, and rate under Bernoulli source [5]. Zhang et al. further demonstrated that the above properties also exist under Gaussian sources with specific distortion and perception metrics [6]. Additionally, Wagner et al. developed a coding theory related to this trade-off and proved its reachability [8]. Theis et al. presented a coding example to demonstrate that by incorporating common randomness into the encoder and decoder, coding performance can be enhanced while accounting for perceptual quality [9]. In other words, the stochastic encoders may outperform deterministic encoders when considering perceptual quality. Wagner et al. subsequently investigated the influence of varying levels of common randomness on the trade-off among rate, distortion, and perception [10]. Inspired by these works, we introduce common randomness to construct a stochastic encoder/decoder in our coding scheme.

### B. Rateless code

Rateless codes can adapt to various channel conditions by dynamically adjusting the coding rate. Therefore, they are

commonly employed in erasure channels, time-varying channels, and broadcast channels. The rateless code is typically formulated as a streaming code with the capability to generate coded symbols indefinitely, ceasing transmission only upon the receiver's recovery of a single-bit ACK. As a class of classic rateless coding schemes, the fountain codes such as LT codes [11] and raptor codes [12], which were initially designed as a coding scheme to achieve the capacity of binary erasure channels (BEC), refined through various enhancements, also exhibit superior performance in Gaussian noise channels and wireless fading channels [13] [14]. However, the rateless codes mentioned above use infinitely long codewords for bitstream transmission. Although they generally have a better expected effective length than fixed-rate codes, they lack theoretical reliability functions in scenarios with delay constraints. Draper et al. investigated the rateless code under arbitrary time-varying channels and provided an upper bound of error probability related to the decoding time. Blits derived the achievable rate of rateless codes through maximum posterior probability decoding under finite source message sets. It is evident from these works that in the finite coding regime, the error probability cannot converge to zero. This means that the channel does not guarantee reliable transmission, and therefore the separation theorem cannot be used to directly characterize rateless codes under distortion and perceptual constraints. Therefore, We model the problem as JSCC and present the achievable capacity region for rate-distortion-perception based on the dispersion proposed by Verdu. This result may provide theoretical support for Rateless JSCC under delay constraints.

## III. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND MAIN RESULTS

### A. System Model

We consider the semantic communication system introduced in [15] as shown in Fig. 2. However, it is important to note that we take into account the limitation of delay in channel transmission. In this system, a semantic information source involves some intrinsic knowledge or states that cannot be directly observed by the encoder. By adopting some sampling techniques, the transmitter can collect a limited number of indirect observations of semantic source, i.e., explicit signals that reflect some properties or attributes of the semantic information source. The transmitter will then compress the indirect observations using a joint source-channel encoder  $f$  according to the channel capacity to be sent to the receiver. The main objective is to recover the complete semantic information including all the intrinsic knowledge with minimized semantic dissimilarity, called semantic distance, at the receiver.

More formally, the semantic information is formulated as an  $m$ -length i.i.d. random variable,  $W^m$ , generated by the semantic information source. The encoder can obtain a limited number  $n$  of indirect observations of the semantic information source, denoted by  $U^n$ . The indirect observation of the encoder may correspond to a limited number of random samples of noisy observations about the semantic information source obtained by the encoder. Let  $p_{W|U}$  be the conditional probability induced by the indirect observation at the transmitter under




Fig. 1: Illustration of a JSCC problem over a noisy communication channel.

a given semantic information source  $W$ . Due to the limited channel capacity and maximum latency  $M$ , The signals sent to the channel by the encoder contain only  $k \leq M$  valid sequences, denoted as  $X^k$ .

In addition to their input signals, both the encoder and the decoder can also have access to two types of side information:

**Common Randomness (CR):** is a source of randomness that is involved in the encoding function to generate the coded messages sent to the channel, but independent of the semantic source. This may correspond to the stochastic encoder in which the input of the encoder includes both indirect observation and a source of randomness. The source of randomness can be the result of a randomized quantization process included in the encoding process for compressing the indirect observation. Although the source of common randomness is not a costly resource in practical compression scenarios, we characterize the amount of common randomness as a random integer distributed uniformly over  $[2^{nR_c}]$ , which is useful for the theoretical analysis of our main results.

**Side Information (SI):** corresponds to some background information related to the semantic information source. For example, in the human communication scenario [16], different human users may use different languages or preferred sequences of words to express the same idea. In this case, side information may correspond to the language background and preference of users when converting the semantic information source, i.e., ideas, to a sequence of words. Generally speaking, both encoder and decoder may have some side information. The side information at the encoder and decoder does not have to be the same. Let  $S$  be the side information at the encoder and decoder, respectively.

### B. $(\epsilon, \gamma, n)$ -definition

Under the maximum delay limit  $M$ , we must consider the existence of finite-length codes. This implies that traditional asymptotic properties may not hold. To show the tradeoff between the transmission error and the finite blocklength of the recovered sequence in the rateless coding scheme, we introduce the notion of the achievability of semantic communication in the regime of finite blocklength with excess distortion and perception. Firstly, we give the formal definition of  $n$ -length encoder and decoder as follows:

*Definition 1:* For an arbitrary set  $\mathcal{W}^m$ , a (stochastic) encoder is a function

$$e_n : \mathcal{U}^n \times \mathcal{S} \times \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR_c}\} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^k, \quad (1)$$

and a (stochastic) decoder is a function

$$g_n : \mathcal{X}^k \times \mathcal{S} \times \{1, 2, \dots, 2^{nR_c}\} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{W}}^m. \quad (2)$$

*Definition 2:* Given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ ,  $n$ , a rate-distortion-perception tuple  $(R, R_c, D, P)$  is  $(\epsilon, \gamma, n)$ -achievable with strong perception constraint if there exists a code  $(f_n, g_n)$  that satisfies both the distortion constraint

$$\mathbb{P}\{d(W^m, \hat{W}^m) > D\} \leq \epsilon, \quad (3)$$

and the perception constraint

$$d_{TV}(p_{W^m}, p_{\hat{W}^m}) \leq P + \gamma. \quad (4)$$

where  $d_{TV}$  is the total variation distance.

## IV. RSC FOR DELAY-CONSTRAINED COMMUNICATION

In this section, we present our RSC scheme, which can realize the distortion-perception trade-off, while performing rateless transmission for the delay-constrained transmission. In addition, we introduce the dithered quantization multi-objective optimization, which plays a key role in the stochastic and rateless characteristic of RSC.

### A. Design of RSC

Our design of RSC is inspired by the recent successful application of deep NNs (DNNs), and autoencoders, in particular, to the problem of distribution-preserving lossy compression [17], as well as by the promising initial results in the design of end-to-end communication systems using autoencoder architectures [18] [19]. Fig.2 displays a structure of RSC in specific applications, e.g., image transmission. With a slight abuse of notation, the encoder maps  $n$ -dimensional input image  $x$  to a quantized  $M$ -length feature vector  $z_q$ . Following the encoding operation, the source-channel coded  $z_q$  will lose the tail information by the tail drop operation, which effectively simulates transmission with a maximum delay of  $M$ , allowing for decoding at different rates depending on the channel's state.

### B. Dithered Quantization

Then dithered quantization, a kind of quantization with additive uniform noise, is applied to the output of the perceptron  $y$ , i.e.,  $z_q = \lfloor y - \mathbf{u} \rfloor$ , where  $\mathbf{u} \sim \mathcal{U}([- \frac{\Delta}{2}, \frac{\Delta}{2}]^n)$  and  $\Delta$  is minimum quantization interval, i.e.,  $\Delta = \frac{2}{L_q - 1}$ . In the experiment, we set the quantization level to  $L_q = 4$  and the dimension of  $z_q$  is set to 12 on the MINIST dataset. Accordingly, the decoder will add the same noise before decoding with the assumption that  $u$  is available to both the encoder and the decoder as a common randomness. Specifically, we generate noise by using a pseudorandom number generator with the same random seed. Without considering the channel noise and coding rate changes, the entire process of noise addition and denoising can be expressed as [20]:

$$\lfloor \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{u} \rfloor - \mathbf{u} \sim \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{u}', \quad (5)$$

where  $u'$  is another uniform noise independent of  $u$ . That is, adding a noise before quantization and then subtracting a noise




Fig. 2: RSC method architecture diagram.

at the decoding end is equivalent to adding noise directly. This process maps the quantized discrete distribution into a continuous distribution, effectively protecting the original information distribution during the encoding and decoding process.

### C. Multi-objective Optimization

The use of Theorem 1 in a specific rateless implementation requires careful consideration of an appropriate optimization method. The distortion between  $w$  and the decoder output reconstructed image  $\hat{w}$  can be defined by the MSE as:  $d(w, \hat{w}) = \|w - \hat{w}\|^2$ . To aid in the assessment and optimization of perceived quality, we adopt the Wasserstein distance, which is the minimum cost of transforming one distribution into another. Specifically, the Wasserstein distance between the source distribution  $p_W$  and the reconstruction distribution  $p_{\hat{w}}$  can be approximated by calculating the output of the discriminator in Wasserstein generative adversarial networks (WGAN) [21]. Since the optimization goal of the discriminator is to maximize the distance between the two distributions, we evaluate the perceptual quality of our reconstruction by pre-training an optimal discriminator  $f_d^*$ . Therefore, the perceptual distance in the experiment is defined as follows:

$$d_P(p_w, p_{\hat{w}}) = \mathbb{E}_{\hat{w} \sim P_{\hat{w}}} [f_d^*(\hat{w})] - \mathbb{E}_{w \sim P_W} [f_d^*(w)]. \quad (6)$$

Achieving an appropriate Distortion-Perception trade-off is a key point in the design of the JSCC. We first consider the optimization problem under a fixed coding rate. Based on the encoder input  $W$  and decoder output  $\hat{W} = f_g(f_e(W))$ , We consider the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{f_e, f_g} d_P(P_{\hat{W}}, P_W) + \lambda \mathbb{E}_{W, f_g} [d(W, \hat{W})], \quad (7)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a hyperparameter. And the loss function of fixed coding rate  $R$  can be expressed as:

$$\min_{f_e, f_g} \bar{\mathcal{L}}_R(f_e, f_g) = \min_{f_e, f_g} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[-\log(f_d^*(f_g(f_e(w; R))), w)]}_{\text{perception loss}} + \lambda \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[d(w, f_g(f_e(w; R)))]}_{\text{distortion loss}}. \quad (8)$$

In order to better realize the rateless characteristics of our proposed scheme, given the maximum coding rate  $M$ , we need

to consider the following multi-objective problem:

$$\min_{f_e, f_g} [\bar{\mathcal{L}}_1(f_e, f_g), \bar{\mathcal{L}}_2(f_e, f_g), \dots, \bar{\mathcal{L}}_M(f_e, f_g)]. \quad (9)$$

By assigning different weights to each rate optimization, we express the multi-objective optimization as the following single objective loss function:

$$\min_{f_e, f_g} \sum_{R=1}^M \omega_R \bar{\mathcal{L}}_R(f_e, f_g), \quad (10)$$

where  $\omega_R \geq 0$ . The choice of weight distribution should be selected in accordance with the specific JSCC scheme.

Thus far, based on the construction of finite-length code-words from Theorem 1 and the optimization approach mentioned earlier, we have developed a new rateless stochastic coding scheme (RSC), which is characterized by its adaptability to channel conditions without a fixed rate, as well as the stochasticity due to shared common randomness. In the next part, we will conduct a detailed simulation and analysis of RSC.

## V. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

### A. Simulation Setup

To demonstrate the superiority of our proposed rateless stochastic coding scheme, we train and evaluate the scheme on two standard datasets of MNIST and CIFAR-10. And our model mainly consists of an encoder and a generative decoder. For MNIST, we use a fully-connected five-layer perceptron with Batch Normalization and LeakyReLU activation functions at the encoder. In particular, we use the Tanh function after the last layer.

At the decoder, the denoised  $\hat{z}$  will pass through a fully-connected three-layer perceptron with Batch Normalization and LeakyReLU activation functions, which is followed by a deconvolution module. The deconvolution module contains three transposed convolution layers.

To achieve the rateless characteristic of the proposed encoding scheme, we adopt the tail drop scheme proposed by Koike-Akino et al. [22]. during the training process. Specifically, the output of the quantizer in the encoder passes through a random rate control unit, which makes the tail information of the sequence lost with variable length by using dropout on  $z_q$ , i.e.,  $z = dropout(z_q)$ .

The distribution of dropped tails used in the experiment is power cumulative distribution, the same as the method in [22]. Given the maximum coding rate  $M$ , the probability distribution of the actual transmission coding rate  $D$  satisfies:  $Pr(D < \psi M) = \psi^\beta$ , where  $\psi$  is the ratio of practical rate to maximum rate  $M$  and  $\beta$  is set to 0.67 in all experiments to demonstrate the performance of our model without generality. Accordingly, we allocate weights to the loss function of each rate by  $\omega_R = Pr(D = M - R)$  in (15). Note that unless otherwise noted, the Rate  $R$  in our experiment refers to the dimension of the latent dimension after the dropout operation, and the actual coding rate is  $\frac{R \times \log_2 L_q}{s}$  bits per pixel (bpp) given the image size  $s$ . In addition, the coding rate control




Fig. 3: The rate-distortion-perception trade-off of MNIST images based on the RSC. (a) Equi-rate lines plotted on the R-D-P trade-off surface highlight the tradeoff between distortion and perceptual quality at different rates. (b) Cross sections of the R-D-P trade-off surface along perception-distortion planes. (c) Cross-sections of the R-D-P trade-off surface along rate-perception planes

unit requires the ACK signal passed by the receiver to ensure that the sent rateless code is successfully decoded and the sender sends the next codeword.

In the experiment, we only consider the most widely used additive white Gaussian noise(AWGN) channel in our communication system. Specifically, the symbols encoded by the stochastic encoder will be passed through a fixed additive noise module to simulate channel transmission. This process can be expressed as  $\hat{z} = z + n_c$ ,  $n_c \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 I_n)$ .

We mainly compare the proposed rateless stochastic coding(RSC) with AE-based traditional JSCC coding (TC) and SAE-based sparse coding (SC), which are widely used by many JSCC programs. Compared with TC, SC encourages the activation of hidden neural unit parts by adding a KL regularization term to the loss function, which is beneficial to the flexibility of the coding rate. For SC, we use 0.1 sparsity degree as a baseline to reflect the flexibility and robustness of coding. To ensure identity, the latent variable dimension of the SC in the experiment is set to 12, the same as the RSC.

### B. Quantitative Analysis

We first evaluate the rate-distortion-perception(R-D-P) performance of the proposed coding scheme on the MNIST dataset and quantitatively analyze it without considering the channel noise. Then we identify the following key observations:

*Observation 1:* In Fig. 2, we can observe that the R-D-P trade-off as well as its cross sections along the other axis

aligned planes of the proposed RSC. In Fig. 2(a), Equi-rate lines plotted on the R-D-P trade-off surface highlight the trade-off between distortion and perceptual quality at different coding rates.

*Observation 2:* Fig. 2(b) shows cross sections of the R-D-P trade-off surface along perception-distortion planes. The results show that the proposed RSC can achieve a flexible perception-distortion trade-off at low rates, while changes in perception cause less disturbance to distortion at high bit rates. That is, the proposed RSC can achieve a trade-off between distortion and perception as required at low coding rates. At high coding rates, RSC can achieve good perceptual quality at the expense of small distortion loss. Instead of adjusting the network structure for different rates like common JSCC schemes, the proposed RSC can adaptively change the trade-off strategy at different rates to achieve a more wise reconstruction, which benefits from its rateless characteristics.

*Observation 3:* Fig. 2(c) shows the rate-perception trade-off that increasing the rate benefits perceptual quality at constant distortion. Additionally, the slope of the curve indicates that there is significant potential for optimizing perceptual quality under the given coding rate and distortion constraints.

To confirm that our proposed scheme is an efficient JSCC scheme, we consider examples of image encoding and decoding over additive white Gaussian channels. We first investigate the performance of the proposed RSC by two trade-offs in Fig.3(a), (c), and evaluate its performance under different SNRs in Fig.3 (b), (d). We then make several observations as follows:

*Observation 4:* As can be seen from Fig.3 (a), compared with TC, the curve of distortion with the coding rate of the proposed RSC is convex, while the curve of TC and SC is approximately linear. This shows that in traditional coding schemes, the information contained in each dimension of the compressed and encoded vector is roughly equal, whereas our scheme compresses and encodes vectors with unequal information in different dimensions. Therefore, when reducing coding rates, our scheme tends to preferentially discard data in dimensions that contain less information as a way to achieve better rate-distortion performance, at the cost of only slightly worse performance than the traditional scheme at high coding rates. Note that here we did not introduce perception when evaluating rate-distortion performance to reflect the benefits of adding rateless.

*Observation 5:* Fig. 3(a), (b) show that our scheme can achieve lower distortion at most rates compared against baselines, especially significant at low rates and high SNRs. The result demonstrates that our RSC scheme has better robustness under different channel environments in practical transmission and achieves image reconstruction in terms of lower distortion standards.

More importantly, it is difficult for the common JSCC schemes to optimize distortion at low rates under the constraints of the rate-distortion criterion. That is, low-rate transmission renders traditional distortion metrics, i.e., MSE, ineffective. To verify that the advantages of the proposed RSC




Fig. 4: Performance comparison of RSC with baseline JSCC schemes on the MNIST dataset over AWGN channels.

scheme are not limited to the distortion metrics, we present the RSC vs baselines perceptual performance and observe as follows:

*Observation 6:* In Fig. 3(c). we evaluate perceptual performance under the fixed SNR. The results show that RSC can stably reduce the perceptual distance by more than 60% at various rates.

*Observation 7:* Observing Fig. 3(d), we can analyze the impact of SNR on different schemes. Under different channel SNRs, the proposed RSC also outperforms baselines significantly. We also discovered a counter-intuitive phenomenon that the perception of low-rate reconstruction is not sensitive to the variety of SNRs. This can be explained that low-rate encoding will result in a large amount of information being lost, or even compressed into noise. In this case, SNR has a very weak impact on the compressed information. The generative decoder will adopt a random reconstruction strategy when receiving noise so that the perceptual quality of reconstruction depends more on the performance of the generative decoder rather than the coded information received over the channel.

### C. Qualitative Comparison

We present qualitative comparisons with SC in Fig. 4 and have the following observation:

*Observation 8:* As the rate decreases, the image reconstructed by our scheme is less affected. Especially at lower bit rates, our scheme still achieves high-fidelity image reconstruction well, while SC suffers from more severe blur. The remarkable performance validates that the proposed encoding and decoding scheme effectively realizes the perceptual reconstruction of image semantics at different rates from a subjective perspective intuition. However, we have to admit that there are some errors in the reconstruction, i.e., when  $\text{SNR} = 5$  and rate = 8, number 8 is reconstructed as 5




Fig. 5: Examples of reconstructed images from baselines and the proposed RSC on MNIST and CIFAR-10 varying the survivor rate. The top row of each reconstruction snapshot is the original image, and subsequent rows are reconstructed images for a reduced rate = {12, 10, 8, 6, 4, 2}.

wrongly. It is undeniable that the traditional JSCC scheme with the goal of distortion optimization fails at low rates. Our scheme reconstructs more natural and realistic pictures in this case while allowing some errors. This interesting characteristic can be explained by the fact that our proposed solution can optimize distortion while optimizing perceptual distance, and achieve flexible coding rate strategies during practical transmission. Furthermore, as the SNR decreases, the uncertainty of the proposed RSC reconstruction increases, This indicates that excessive noise makes our scheme tend to prefer images that conform to visual perception rather than reconstructing meaningless blurred images. Surprisingly, even in the case of a harsh channel environment ( $\text{SNR} = 0$ ), a more perfect reconstruction is still achieved at the rate = 5.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we first showed the JSCC achievability bound with distortion and perception constraints in the finite blocklength regime, which provides a theoretical basis for rateless JSCC schemes under two metrics. Secondly, we proposed rateless stochastic coding (RSC) based on the aforementioned theory, a novel delay-constrained coding scheme that enables rateless while achieving a favorable distortion-

perception trade-off. Thirdly, the effectiveness of the proposed RSC was verified by simulating practical communication systems, showing it combines the benefits of stochastic coding and rateless coding. The RSC allowed receivers to obtain essentially artifact-free reconstructions at all rates, maintaining the distribution of original data from learning a generative decoder at low rates or low SNRs on the one hand, learning a decoding strategy with almost perfect reconstruction while considering distortion and perception at high rates or high SNRs on the other hand. That is, the proposed RSC is robust to rate reduction and noise in the AWGN channel.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Shi, Y. Xiao, Y. Li, and X. Xie, "From semantic communication to semantic-aware networking: Model, architecture, and open problems," *IEEE Communications Magazine*, vol. 59, no. 8, pp. 44–50, 2021.
- [2] W. Weaver, "Recent contributions to the mathematical theory of communication," *ETC: a review of general semantics*, pp. 261–281, 1949.
- [3] S. Sesia, G. Caire, and G. Vivier, "Incremental redundancy hybrid arq schemes based on low-density parity-check codes," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 52, no. 8, pp. 1311–1321, 2004.
- [4] J. Castura and Y. Mao, "Rateless coding and relay networks," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 27–35, 2007.
- [5] Y. Blau and T. Michaeli, "Rethinking lossy compression: The rate-distortion-perception tradeoff," in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2019, pp. 675–685.
- [6] G. Zhang, J. Qian, J. Chen, and A. Khisti, "Universal rate-distortion-perception representations for lossy compression," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 34, pp. 11 517–11 529, 2021.
- [7] Y. Blau and T. Michaeli, "The perception-distortion tradeoff," in *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2018, pp. 6228–6237.
- [8] L. Theis and A. B. Wagner, "A coding theorem for the rate-distortion-perception function," in *Neural Compression: From Information Theory to Applications–Workshop@ ICLR 2021*, 2021.
- [9] L. Theis and E. Agustsson, "On the advantages of stochastic encoders," in *Neural Compression: From Information Theory to Applications–Workshop@ ICLR 2021*, 2021.
- [10] A. B. Wagner, "The rate-distortion-perception tradeoff: The role of common randomness," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.04147*, 2022.
- [11] M. Luby, "Lt codes," in *The 43rd Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science, 2002. Proceedings*. IEEE Computer Society, 2002, pp. 271–271.
- [12] A. Shokrollahi, "Raptor codes," *IEEE transactions on information theory*, vol. 52, no. 6, pp. 2551–2567, 2006.
- [13] R. Palanki and J. S. Yedidia, "Rateless codes on noisy channels," in *International Symposium on Information Theory, 2004. ISIT 2004. Proceedings*. IEEE, 2004, p. 37.
- [14] J. Castura and Y. Mao, "Rateless coding over fading channels," *IEEE communications letters*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 46–48, 2006.
- [15] J. Chai, Y. Xiao, G. Shi, and S. Walid, "Rate-distortion-perception theory for semantic communication," in *to appear at 2023 IEEE ICNP Workshop*. IEEE, 2023.
- [16] Y. Xiao, X. Zhang, Y. Li, G. Shi, and T. Başar, "Rate-distortion theory for strategic semantic communication," in *2022 IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 279–284.
- [17] M. Tschannen, E. Agustsson, and M. Lucic, "Deep generative models for distribution-preserving lossy compression," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 31, 2018.
- [18] T. J. O’Shea, K. Karra, and T. C. Clancy, "Learning to communicate: Channel auto-encoders, domain specific regularizers, and attention," in *2016 IEEE International Symposium on Signal Processing and Information Technology (ISSPIT)*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 223–228.
- [19] T. O’Shea and J. Hoydis, "An introduction to deep learning for the physical layer," *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive Communications and Networking*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 563–575, 2017.
- [20] J. Ziv, "On universal quantization," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 344–347, 1985.
- [21] M. Arjovsky, S. Chintala, and L. Bottou, "Wasserstein generative adversarial networks," in *International conference on machine learning*. PMLR, 2017, pp. 214–223.
- [22] T. Koike-Akino and Y. Wang, "Stochastic bottleneck: Rateless auto-encoder for flexible dimensionality reduction," in *2020 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 2735–2740.