

Involves averaging arithmetic and integral partial functions over sparse set

Zhaoxi Ye and Zhefeng Xu*

School of Mathematics, Northwest University, Xi'an 710127, P.R.China

Abstract: Let p be a prime number, $k \geq 0$ and f be a class of arithmetic functions satisfying some simple conditions. In this short paper, we study the asymptotical behaviour of summation function

$$\psi_{f,k}(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \frac{f\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right)}{\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^k}, \quad \pi_{f,k}(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{f\left(\left[\frac{x}{p}\right]\right)}{\left[\frac{x}{p}\right]^k}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $[\cdot]$ is the integral part function, $\Lambda(n)$ is the von Mangoldt function..

Keywords: Asymptotic formula; Sparse set; Integral part; Arithmetic function

MSC: 11A25, 11L05, 11N37

§1. Introduction

As usual, denote by $[t]$ the integral part of real number t , by $\varphi(n)$ the Euler function, by $\sigma(n)$ the sum-of-divisors function, respectively. Recently, Bordellès, Dai, Heyman, Pan and Shparlinski[2] proposed to investigate the asymptotical behaviour of the summation function

$$S_f(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} f\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right)$$

under various reasonable hypotheses on f . Subsequently, Many scholars have studied the arithmetic properties of such summations when f is a different arithmetic function (see[3],[6]-[12]). Very recently, Ma, Wu and Zhao[10] has established a more general result: Assume r_1, r_2, r_3 be three increasing functions defined on $[1, \infty)$ such that

$$1 \leq r_\eta(x) \ll x^{\eta_j}, \quad (j = 1, 2, 3), \quad r_3(x) \rightarrow \infty$$

for $x \geq 1$, where $\eta_j \in (0, 1)$ are constants. Let f and g be an arithmetic function and propose the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(n)| &\ll nr_1(n) \quad (n \geq 1), \\ \sum_{n \leq x} |g(n)| &\ll xr_2(x) \quad (x \geq 1), \\ \sum_{n \leq x} |g(n)| &= D_g x + O(x/r_3(x)) \quad (x \geq 1), \end{aligned}$$

*Corresponding author: zfxu@nwu.edu.cn

†Zhaoxi Ye: yezhaoxi@stumail.nwu.edu.cn

where f and g satisfies the relation $f = g * id$ and D_g is a constant (eventually equal to 0). They proved

$$S_f(x) = C_f x \log x + O_A(x \mathcal{R}(x, z))$$

hold uniformly for $x \geq 3$, $1 \leq z \leq e^{A^{1/3}(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3}}$ and $A > 0$, where $C_f := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^2}$ and

$$\mathcal{R}(x, z) := (\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3} r_1(x) + \frac{z \log x}{r_3(\sqrt{x}/z)} + \frac{r_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{r_2(x/z) \log x}{z}.$$

Furthermore, Saito, Suzuki and Yoshida[14] considered prime-analogue of $S_f(x)$. Let $\Lambda(n)$ be the von Mangoldt function and define

$$\psi_f(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) f\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right), \quad \pi_f(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} f\left(\left[\frac{x}{p}\right]\right).$$

They studied the asymptotic behaviour $\psi_f(x)$ and $\pi_f(x)$ when $f = \varphi$ and f is small arithmetic function(see [14]). Subsequently, with the help of the Huxley's prime number theorem in short intervals, they generalised some results of Saito, Suzuki and Yoshida[14] and proved that: suppose that f satisfies the conditions mentioned above, for any $A \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant $B = B(A)$ such that

$$\psi_f(x) = C_f x \log x + O_A(x \mathcal{R}_\psi(x, z))$$

hold uniformly for $x \geq 3$, $(\log x)^B \leq z \leq x^{1/2}$ and $A > 0$, where $C_f := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^2}$ and

$$\mathcal{R}_\psi(x, z) := (\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3} r_1(x) + \frac{z \log x}{r_3(x/z)} + \frac{r_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{\log x}{r_3(x^{1/4}/z)}.$$

They also proved that suppose that f satisfies the conditions mentioned above and $0 \leq f(n) \leq Cn$ ($n \geq 1$), for any $A \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant $B = B(A)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{3} C_f x \log_2 x + O_A(x \mathcal{R}_\pi(x, z)) \leq \pi_f(x) \leq \frac{1}{3} (C_f + 2C) x \log_2 x + O_A(x \mathcal{R}_\pi(x, z))$$

where $C_f := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^2}$ and

$$\mathcal{R}_\psi(x, z) := \log_3 x + \frac{z \log x}{r_3(x/z)} + \frac{r_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{\log x}{r_3(x^{1/4}/z)}.$$

This paper inspired by Tang and Wu[1], we would like to consider the asymptotic properties of the summation function

$$\psi_{f,k}(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \frac{f\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right)}{\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^k}, \quad \pi_{f,k}(x) := \sum_{p \leq x} \frac{f\left(\left[\frac{x}{p}\right]\right)}{\left[\frac{x}{p}\right]^k}$$

when f satisfies the following conditions:

$$|f(n)| \ll n^{k+1} r_1(n) \quad (n \geq 1), \tag{1.1}$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{|g(n)|}{n^k} \ll x r_2(x) \quad (x \geq 1), \tag{1.2}$$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{|g(n)|}{n^k} = H_{g,k}x + O(x/r_3(x)) \quad (x \geq 1), \quad (1.3)$$

where f and g are arithmetic functions satisfies the relation $f = g * id^{k+1}$ (k is a non-negative number) and $H_{g,k}$ is a constant (eventually equal to 0). With the help of the Huxley's prime number theorem in short intervals and methods on multiple exponential sum, we can derive the following results.

Theorem 1.1 *Let f be an arithmetic function satisfying the conditions (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3). Then for $x \geq 3$, any constant $A \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant $B = B(A)$ and $(\log x)^B \leq z \leq x^{\frac{1}{12}}$, we have*

$$\psi_{f,k}(x) := \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \frac{f\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right)}{\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^k} = C_{f,k}x \log x + O_A(x \Upsilon_\psi(x, z)), \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$C_{f,k} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n)/n^{k+2} \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$\Upsilon_\psi(x, z) := (\log x)^{2/3} (\log_2 x)^{1/3} r_1(x) + \frac{z \log x}{r_3(x/z)} + \frac{r_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{\log x}{r_3(x^{1/4}/z)}. \quad (1.6)$$

Here the implied constant depends on A only.

Remark 1 When the size of $r_j(x)$ ($1 \leq j \leq 3$) and the choice of parameter z are suitable, we have an asymptotic formula of $\psi_{f,k}$ by (1.4) of Theorem 1.1. Otherwise, it leads to the order of the error terms will be higher than the order of the main term.

As application of Theorem 1.1, we consider the following arithmetic function:

$$v := id^2 * \sigma, \quad \vartheta := id^3 * \varphi.$$

Then we have two corollaries as follows.

Corollary 1.2 *Let $k = 1$, we have*

$$\psi_{v,1}(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \frac{v\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right)}{\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]} = C_{v,1}x \log x + O(x(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{7/3}) \quad (1.7)$$

hold uniformly for $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Corollary 1.3 *Let $k = 2$, we have*

$$\psi_{\vartheta,2}(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \frac{\vartheta\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right)}{\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^2} = C_{\vartheta,2}x \log x + O(x(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{4/3}) \quad (1.8)$$

hold uniformly for $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 1.4 *Let f be an arithmetic function satisfying the conditions $0 \leq f(n) \leq Ln^{k+1}$ ($n \geq 1$), (1.2) and (1.3). Then for $x \geq 3$, any constant $A \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant $B = B(A)$ and $(\log x)^B \leq z \leq x^{\frac{1}{12}}$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{3}C_{f,k}x\log_2x + O_A(x\Upsilon_\pi(x, z)) \leq \pi_{f,k}(x) \leq \frac{1}{3}(C_{f,k} + 2L)x\log_2x + O_A(x\Upsilon_\pi(x, z)), \quad (1.9)$$

where $C_{f,k} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(n)/n^{k+2}$ and

$$\Upsilon_\pi(x, z) := \log_3x + \frac{z\log x}{r_3(x/z)} + \frac{r_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{\log x}{r_3(x^{1/4}/z)}. \quad (1.10)$$

Here the implied constant depends on A only.

As application of Theorem 1.4, we consider the following arithmetic function:

$$\rho := id^2 * \varphi.$$

Then we have a corollary as follows.

Corollary 1.5 *Let $k = 1$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{3}C_{\rho,1}x\log_2x + O_A(x\log_3x) \leq \pi_{\rho,1}(x) \leq \frac{1}{3}(C_{\rho,1} + 2)x\log_2x + O_A(x\log_3x) \quad (1.11)$$

hold uniformly for $x \rightarrow \infty$.

§2. Preliminary Lemmas

In this section, we shall cite two lemmas, which will be needed in the next section.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $\psi(t) = \{t\} - \frac{1}{2}$, where $\{t\}$ means the fractional part of the real number t . For $x \geq 1$ and $H \geq 1$, we can*

$$\psi(t) = - \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \Phi\left(\frac{h}{H+1}\right) \frac{e(hx)}{2\pi ih} + R_H(x), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\Phi(t) := \pi t(1 - |t|) \cot(\pi t) + |t|$, $e(t) := e^{2\pi it}$ and the error term $R_H(x)$ satisfies

$$|R_H(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2H+2} \sum_{0 \leq |h| \leq H} \left(1 - \frac{|h|}{H+1}\right) e(hx). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof This is Theorem A.6 of Vaaler[15]. \square

Lemma 2.2 *Let $l \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for any $A > 1$, there is a positive constant $B = B(A) \geq 2A + 8$ such that the inequalities*

$$\Xi(x; N, N') := \sum_{N \leq n < N'} \Lambda(n) \psi\left(\frac{x}{n} - l\right) \ll_A N(\log x)^{-A-1} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\sum_{N \leq n < N'} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n} - l\right) \ll_A (\log x)^{-A} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\sum_{N \leq p < N'} \frac{1}{p} \psi\left(\frac{x}{p} - l\right) \ll_A (\log x)^{-A} \quad (2.5)$$

hold uniformly for $x \geq 10$, $\exp(B(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3}) \leq N \leq x(\log x)^{-B}$ and $N < N' \leq 2N$. The implied constant depend on A and are independent of l .

Proof This is Lemma 3.2 of Tang and Wu[1]. \square

§3. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and 1.4

To prove Theorem 1.1 and 1.4, we need to prove the asymptotical of $\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{f(n)}{n^k}$ and the estimate of $\Theta_{g,\delta}^\psi(x, z)$ and $\Theta_{g,\delta}^\pi(x, z)$ as following.

3.1 The key tools

The aim of this section is to prove two propositions, which play a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.1 and 1.4.

Proposition 3.1 *Assume that the arithmetic function $f(n)$ satisfies the conditions (1.1) ~ (1.3). For $x \geq 2$ and $1 \leq z \leq x^{1/3}$, we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{f(n)}{n^k} = \frac{1}{2} C_{f,k} x^2 - H_{g,k} x \frac{(z - [z]^2) + [z]}{2z} - \Theta_g(x, z) + O\left(\frac{x r_2(x/z)}{z} + \frac{xz}{r_3(x/z)}\right), \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\Theta_g(x, z) := x \sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{g(d)}{d^{k+1}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right). \quad (3.2)$$

Further for $x \geq 2$ we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{f(n)}{n^k} = \frac{1}{2} B_{f,k} x^2 + O(x(\log x) r_2(x)). \quad (3.3)$$

Proof For an integer $n \geq 1$, by using the relation $f = id^{k+1} * g$ and the hyperbole principle, we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{f(n)}{n^k} = \sum_{md \leq x} \frac{g(d)}{d^k} m = S_1 + S_2 - S_3, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$S_1 := \sum_{d \leq x/z} \sum_{m \leq x/d} \frac{g(d)}{d^k} m, \quad S_2 := \sum_{d \leq x/m} \sum_{m \leq z} \frac{g(d)}{d^k} m, \quad S_3 := \sum_{d \leq x/z} \sum_{m \leq z} \frac{g(d)}{d^k} m.$$

Firstly, we can derive that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{g(d)}{2d^k} \left(1 + \left[\frac{x}{d}\right]\right) \left[\frac{x}{d}\right] \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{g(d)}{2d^k} \left(\frac{x}{d} - \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{x}{d} - \psi\left(\frac{x}{d}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{g(d)}{2d^k} \left\{ \left(\frac{x}{d} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{x}{d} \psi \left(\frac{x}{d} \right) + \left(\psi \left(\frac{x}{d} \right) \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right\} \\
&= \frac{x^2}{2} \sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{g(d)}{d^{k+2}} - \Theta_g(x, z) + O\left(\frac{xr_2(x/z)}{z} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta_g(x, z)$ be defined by (3.2). Next, since (1.3), we can apply a simple partial integration to obtain that

$$\sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{g(d)}{d^{k+2}} = C_{f,k} - \int_{x/z}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2} d(H_{g,k}t + O(\frac{t}{r_3(t)})) = C_{f,k} - H_{g,k} \frac{z}{x} + O\left(\frac{z}{xr_3(x/z)} \right). \quad (3.5)$$

Inserting (3.5) into the preceding formula, we have

$$S_1 = \frac{1}{2} C_{f,k} x^2 - \frac{1}{2} H_{g,k} x z + O\left(\frac{xz}{r_3(x/z)} + \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{z} \right) - \Theta_g(x, z). \quad (3.6)$$

On the other hand, by (1.3), we derive that

$$S_2 = \sum_{m \leq z} m \left\{ H_{g,k} \frac{x}{m} + O\left(\frac{x}{mr_3(x/m)} \right) \right\} = H_{g,k} x [z] + O\left(\frac{xz}{r_3(x/z)} \right), \quad (3.7)$$

$$S_3 = \left\{ H_{g,k} \frac{x}{z} + O\left(\frac{x}{zr_3(x/z)} \right) \right\} \frac{[z]([z]+1)}{2} = H_{g,k} x \frac{[z]([z]+1)}{2} + O\left(\frac{xz}{r_3(x/z)} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

Then, by inserting (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.4), we can obtain (3.1).

Finally, taking $z = 1$ in (3.1), we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{f(n)}{n^k} = \frac{1}{2} C_{f,k} x^2 + O(xr_2(x)) - \Theta_g(x, 1). \quad (3.9)$$

Further, in view of the condition (1.2), we have the following bound

$$\Theta_g(x, 1) \ll x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{|g(d)|}{d^{k+1}} \ll x(\log x)r_2(x).$$

Inserting this into (3.9), we can obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{f(n)}{n^k} = \frac{1}{2} B_{f,k} x^2 + O(x(\log x)r_2(x)).$$

This shows Proposition 3.1. \square

Proposition 3.2 *There exists the arithmetic function $f(n)$ that satisfies the conditions (1.2) and (1.3). Assume $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, $\lambda > \frac{12}{11}$, $A \geq 1$ and assume B be a positive constant $B = B(A) \geq 2A + 8$. Define*

$$N_0 := \exp(B(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3}), \quad (3.10)$$

and let $\Theta_g(x, z)$ be defined by (3.2) of Proposition 3.1. Then for $x \geq 10$ and $(\log x)^B \leq z \leq x^{1/12}$, we have

$$\Theta_{g,\delta}^\psi(x, z) := \sum_{N_0 < n \leq x^{1/\lambda}} \Lambda(n) \Theta_g\left(\frac{x}{n} - \delta, z\right) \ll_{A,\lambda} \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{x \log x}{r_3(x^{1-1/\lambda}/z)} \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\Theta_{g,\delta}^\pi(x, z) := \sum_{N_0 < p \leq x^{1/\lambda}} \Theta_g\left(\frac{x}{p} - \delta, z\right) \ll_{A,\lambda} \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{x \log x}{r_3(x^{1-1/\lambda}/z)}. \quad (3.12)$$

Proof Firstly, we bound $\Theta_{g,\delta}^\psi(x, z)$. We can use (3.2) of Proposition 3.1 to get that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{g,\delta}^\psi(x, z) &= \sum_{N_0 < n \leq x^{1/\lambda}} \Lambda(n) \left(\frac{x}{n} - \delta\right) \sum_{d \leq (x/n-\delta)/z} \frac{g(d)}{d^{k+1}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dn} - \frac{\delta}{d}\right) \\ &= x \sum_{N_0 < n \leq x^{1/\lambda}} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} \sum_{d \leq x/nz} \frac{g(d)}{d^{k+1}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dn} - \frac{\delta}{d}\right) + O(|R_1| + |R_2|), \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_1 &:= \sum_{N_0 < n \leq x^{1/\lambda}} \Lambda(n) \sum_{d \leq (x/n-\delta)/z} \frac{g(d)}{d^{k+1}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dn} - \frac{\delta}{d}\right), \\ R_2 &:= \sum_{N_0 < n \leq x^{1/\lambda}} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} \sum_{(x/n-\delta)/z < d \leq x/nz} \frac{g(d)}{d^{k+1}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dn} - \frac{\delta}{d}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By using the condition (1.2) and the prime number theorem, we can derive that

$$R_1 \ll \sum_{n \leq x^{1/\lambda}} \Lambda(n) \sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{|g(d)|}{d^{k+1}} \ll x^{1/\lambda} r_2(x/z) \log x,$$

where the prime number theorem: there is $c > 0$ is a positive constant such that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Lambda(n) = x + O\left(xe^{-c(\log x)^{3/5}} (\log_2 x)^{-1/5}\right) \quad (x \geq 3)$$

On the other hand, we can use the condition (1.3), the prime number theorem and $\delta/z < 1$ to get that

$$R_2 \leq x \sum_{n \leq x^{1/\lambda}} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} \frac{|g([x/nz])|}{[x/nz]^{k+1}} \ll x \sum_{n \leq x^{1/\lambda}} \frac{1}{r_3([x/nz])} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} \ll \frac{x \log x}{r_3(x^{1-1/\lambda}/z)}.$$

Inserting these results into (3.13), we find that

$$\Theta_{g,\delta}^\psi(x, z) = x \sum_{N_0 < n \leq x^{1/\lambda}} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} \sum_{d \leq x/nz} \frac{g(d)}{d^{k+1}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dn} - \frac{\delta}{d}\right) + O\left(\frac{x \log x}{r_3(x^{1-1/\lambda}/z)} + x^{1/\lambda} r_2(x/z) \log x\right).$$

Then, inverting the order of summations, we have

$$\Theta_{g,\delta}^\psi(x, z) = \Theta_{g,\delta}^{\psi,\sharp}(x, z) + O\left(\frac{x \log x}{r_3(x^{1-1/\lambda}/z)} + x^{1/\lambda} r_2(x/z) \log x\right). \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$\Theta_{g,\delta}^{\psi,\sharp}(x,z) := x \sum_{d \leq x/N_0 z} \frac{g(d)}{d^{k+1}} \sum_{N_0 < n \leq \min\{x^{1/\lambda}, x/dz\}} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dn} - \frac{\delta}{d}\right).$$

For $0 \leq i \leq (\log(\min\{x^{1/\lambda}, x/dz\}/N_0))/\log 2$, let $N_i := 2^i N_0$ and define

$$\mathfrak{U}_i^\psi(d) := \sum_{N_i < n \leq 2N_i} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dn} - \frac{\delta}{d}\right).$$

In view of that $N_0 \leq N_i \leq x/dz \leq (x/d)(\log x)^{-B} \leq (x/d)(\log(x/d))^{-B}$, we can apply (2.4) of Lemma 2.2 with $l = \frac{\delta}{d}$ to derive that

$$\mathfrak{U}_i^\psi(d) \ll (\log \frac{x}{d})^{-B} \ll (\log x)^{-A-2},$$

where we have used the bounds $\log(x/d) \geq \log N_0 \geq B(\log x)^{2/3}$ and note that the implied constant is independent from $\frac{\delta}{d}$. By using condition (1.2), we insert this into the preceding formula $\Theta_{g,\delta}^{\psi,\sharp}(x,z)$ to derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{g,\delta}^{\psi,\sharp}(x,z) &\ll x \sum_{d \leq x/N_0 z} \frac{|g(d)|}{d^{k+1}} \sum_{2^i N_0 \leq x/dz} |\mathfrak{U}_i^\psi(d)| \\ &\ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{A+1}} \sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{|g(d)|}{d^{k+1}} \\ &\ll \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Next, inserting (3.15) into (3.14), we can obtain

$$\Theta_{g,\delta}^\psi(x,z) = O\left(\frac{x \log x}{r_3(x^{1-1/\lambda}/z)} + \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A}\right).$$

This shows (3.11) of Proposition 3.2.

Secondly, bounding $\Theta_{g,\delta}^\pi(x,z)$ in the same way as $\Theta_{g,\delta}^\psi(x,z)$ and getting

$$\Theta_{g,\delta}^\pi(x,z) = \Theta_{g,\delta}^{\pi,\sharp}(x,z) + O\left(\frac{x \log x}{r_3(x^{1-1/\lambda}/z)} + x^{1/\lambda} r_2(x/z) \log x\right). \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$\Theta_{g,\delta}^{\pi,\sharp}(x,z) := x \sum_{d \leq x/N_0 z} \frac{g(d)}{d^{k+1}} \sum_{N_0 < p \leq \min\{x^{1/\lambda}, x/dz\}} \frac{1}{p} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dp} - \frac{\delta}{d}\right).$$

For $0 \leq i \leq (\log(\min\{x^{1/\lambda}, x/dz\}/N_0))/\log 2$, let $N_i := 2^i N_0$ and define

$$\mathfrak{U}_i^\pi(d) := \sum_{N_i < p \leq 2N_i} \frac{1}{p} \psi\left(\frac{x}{dp} - \frac{\delta}{d}\right).$$

In view of that $N_0 \leq N_i \leq x/dz \leq (x/d)(\log x)^{-B} \leq (x/d)(\log(x/d))^{-B}$, we can apply (2.5) of Lemma 2.2 with $l = \frac{\delta}{d}$ to derive that

$$\mathfrak{U}_i^\pi(d) \ll (\log \frac{x}{d})^{-B} \ll (\log x)^{-A-2},$$

where we have used the bounds $\log(x/d) \geq \log N_0 \geq B(\log x)^{2/3}$ and note that the implied constant is independent from $\frac{\delta}{d}$. By using condition (1.2), we insert this into the preceding formula $\Theta_{g,\delta}^{\pi,\sharp}(x, z)$ to derive that

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{g,\delta}^{\pi,\sharp}(x, z) &\ll x \sum_{d \leq x/N_0 z} \frac{|g(d)|}{d^{k+1}} \sum_{2^i N_0 \leq x/dz} |\mathcal{U}_i^\pi(d)| \\ &\ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{A+1}} \sum_{d \leq x/z} \frac{|g(d)|}{d^{k+1}} \\ &\ll \frac{x r_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.17}$$

Next, inserting (3.17) into (3.16), we can obtain

$$\Theta_{g,\delta}^\pi(x, z) = O\left(\frac{x \log x}{r_3(x^{1-1/\lambda}/z)} + \frac{x r_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A}\right).$$

This shows (3.12) of Proposition 3.2. \square

3.2 Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and 1.4

Firstly, we prove Theorem 1.1. Decomposing $\psi_{f,k}(x)$ into three parts:

$$\psi_{f,k}(x) = \psi_{f,k}^1(x) + \psi_{f,k}^2(x) + \psi_{f,k}^3(x),\tag{3.18}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{f,k}^1(x) &= \sum_{n \leq N_0} \Lambda(n) \frac{f\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right)}{\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^k}, \\ \psi_{f,k}^2(x) &= \sum_{N_0 < n \leq x^{3/4}} \Lambda(n) \frac{f\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right)}{\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^k}, \\ \psi_{f,k}^3(x) &= \sum_{x^{3/4} < n \leq x} \Lambda(n) \frac{f\left(\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]\right)}{\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^k},\end{aligned}$$

where $N_0 := \exp(B(\log x)^{2/3}(\log_2 x)^{1/3})$ is defined as in (3.10) of Proposition 3.2.

With the help of the prime number theorem and the condition (1.1), it follows that

$$\psi_{f,k}^1(x) \leq \sum_{n \leq N_0} \Lambda(n) \frac{x}{n} r_1(x) \ll x r_1(x) \log N_0.\tag{3.19}$$

Putting $d = \left[\frac{x}{n}\right]$, we derive $\frac{x}{n} - 1 < d \leq \frac{x}{n}$. Then using Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{f,k}^2(x) &= \sum_{N_0 < n \leq x^{3/4}} \Lambda(n) \sum_{x/n-1 < d \leq x/n} \frac{f(d)}{d^k} \\ &= \sum_{N_0 < n \leq x^{3/4}} \Lambda(n) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} C_{f,k} \left(\frac{2x}{n} - 1 \right) - H_{g,k} \frac{(z - [z]^2) + [z]}{2z} - \Theta_g \left(\frac{x}{n}, z \right) \right\}\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \Theta_g\left(\frac{x}{n} - 1, z\right) + O\left(\frac{xr_2(x/z)}{nz} + \frac{xz}{nr_3(x/z)}\right)\}$$

hold uniformly for $(\log x)^B \leq z \leq x^{1/12} \leq (x/n)^{1/3}$, where $\Theta_g(x, z)$ be defined by (3.2) of Proposition 3.1. On the other hand, taking $\lambda = \frac{4}{3}$ in (3.11) of Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\psi_{f,k}^2(x) = \frac{3}{4}C_{f,k}x \log x + O\left(\frac{xr_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{xz \log x}{r_3(x/z)} + \frac{x \log x}{r_3(x^{1/4}/z)}\right), \quad (3.20)$$

where we have removed the error term $\frac{xr_2(x/z) \log x}{z}$ by using the following bound

$$\frac{xr_2(x/z) \log x}{z} \ll \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A}.$$

Next, we bound $\psi_{f,k}^3(x)$. Putting $d = \lfloor \frac{x}{n} \rfloor$ and decomposing $\psi_{f,k}^3(x)$ into two parts:

$$\psi_{f,k}^3(x) = \sum_{d \leq x^{1/4}} \frac{f(d)}{d^k} \sum_{\max\{x/(d+1), x^{3/4}\} < n \leq x/d} \Lambda(n) = \psi_{f,k}^{3,b}(x) + \psi_{f,k}^{3,\natural}(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{f,k}^{3,b}(x) &:= \sum_{d \leq x^{1/4}} \frac{f(d)}{d^k} \sum_{x/(d+1) < n \leq x/d} \Lambda(n), \\ \psi_{f,k}^{3,\natural}(x) &:= \sum_{x^{1/4}-1 < d \leq x^{1/4}} \frac{f(d)}{d^k} \sum_{x/(d+1) < n \leq x/d} \Lambda(n). \end{aligned}$$

Since the condition (1.1), we can derive that

$$\psi_{f,k}^{3,\natural}(x) \ll x \log x \sum_{x^{1/4}-1 < d \leq x^{1/4}} \frac{f(d)}{d^{k+1}} \ll x \log x \frac{f(\lfloor x^{1/4} \rfloor)}{\lfloor x^{1/4} \rfloor^{k+2}} \ll x^{3/4} r_1(x) \log x.$$

To prove $\psi_{f,k}^{3,b}(x)$ we introduce Huxley's prime number theorem in short intervals (see[16]): For any $\varepsilon > 0$, $x \geq 3$ and $x^{7/12+\varepsilon} \leq y \leq x$,

$$\sum_{x < n \leq x+y} \Lambda(n) = y \left\{ 1 + O(e^{-c(\log x)^{1/3}(\log_2 x)^{-1/3}}) \right\}, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\sum_{x < p \leq x+y} 1 = \int_x^{x+y} \frac{dt}{\log t} \left\{ 1 + O(e^{-c(\log x)^{1/3}(\log_2 x)^{-1/3}}) \right\}. \quad (3.22)$$

When $d \leq x^{1/4}$, we have $x/d \geq x^{3/4}$ and $x/d(d+1) \geq (x/(d+1))^{3/5}$. Then inserting (3.21) into $\psi_{f,k}^{3,b}(x)$, we can obtain

$$\psi_{f,k}^{3,b}(x) = \sum_{d \leq x^{1/4}} \frac{f(d)}{d^k} \frac{x}{d(d+1)} \left\{ 1 + O(e^{-c(\log x)^{1/3}(\log_2 x)^{-1/3}}) \right\}.$$

Meanwhile, by using (3.3) of Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\sum_{d \leq x^{1/4}} \frac{f(d)}{d^{k+1}(d+1)} = \sum_{d \leq x^{1/4}} \frac{f(d)}{d^{k+2}} - \sum_{d \leq x^{1/4}} \frac{f(d)}{d^{k+2}(d+1)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{1^-}^{x^{1/4}} \frac{1}{t^2} d\left(\frac{1}{2}C_{f,k}t^2 + O(tr_2(t)\log t)\right) + O(1) \\
&= \frac{1}{4}C_{f,k}\log x + O(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into the preceding formula and combining these estimates, we obtain that

$$\psi_{f,k}^3(x) = \frac{1}{4}C_{f,k}x\log x + O(x + x^{3/4}r_1(x)\log x). \quad (3.23)$$

Next, inserting (3.19), (3.20) and (3.23) into (3.18), we can obtain the required result (1.4). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1

Secondly, we prove Theorem 1.4. Decomposing $\pi_{f,k}(x)$ into three parts as well:

$$\pi_{f,k}(x) = \pi_{f,k}^1(x) + \pi_{f,k}^2(x) + \pi_{f,k}^3(x), \quad (3.24)$$

where

$$\pi_{f,k}^1(x) := \sum_{p \leq N_0} \frac{f\left(\left[\frac{x}{p}\right]\right)}{\left[\frac{x}{p}\right]^k}, \quad \pi_{f,k}^2(x) := \sum_{N_0 < p \leq x^{3/4}} \frac{f\left(\left[\frac{x}{p}\right]\right)}{\left[\frac{x}{p}\right]^k}, \quad \pi_{f,k}^3(x) := \sum_{x^{3/4} < p \leq x} \frac{f\left(\left[\frac{x}{p}\right]\right)}{\left[\frac{x}{p}\right]^k}.$$

With the help of the prime number theorem and the condition $0 \leq f(n) \leq Ln^{k+1}$, we have

$$0 \leq \pi_{f,k}^1(x) \leq L \sum_{p \leq N_0} \frac{x}{p} = \frac{2}{3}Lx\log_2 x + O(x\log_3 x), \quad (3.25)$$

$$\pi_{f,k}^3(x) \leq L \sum_{x^{3/4} < p \leq x} \frac{x}{p} \ll x. \quad (3.26)$$

Putting $d = \left[\frac{x}{p}\right]$, we derive $\frac{x}{p} - 1 < d \leq \frac{x}{p}$. Thus for $(\log x)^B \leq z \leq x^{1/12} \leq (x/p)^{1/3}$, using Proposition 3.1 allows us to obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_{f,k}^3(x) &= \sum_{x^{3/4} < p \leq x} \sum_{x/p-1 < d \leq x/p} \frac{f(d)}{d^k} \\
&= \sum_{x^{3/4} < p \leq x} \left\{ \frac{1}{2}C_{f,k} \left(\frac{2x}{p} - 1\right) - H_{g,k} \frac{(z - [z]^2) + [z]}{2z} - \Theta_g \left(\frac{x}{p}, z\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Theta_g \left(\frac{x}{p} - 1, z\right) + O \left(\frac{xr_2(x/z)}{pz} + \frac{xz}{pr_3(x/z)} \right) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta_g(x, z)$ be defined by (3.2) of Proposition 3.1. On the other hand, taking $\lambda = \frac{4}{3}$ in (3.12) of Proposition 3.2, we have

$$\psi_{f,k}^2(x) = \frac{1}{3}C_{f,k}x\log_2 x + O \left(x + \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A} + \frac{xz\log x}{r_3(x/z)} + \frac{x\log x}{r_3(x^{1/4}/z)} \right), \quad (3.27)$$

where we have removed the error term $\frac{xr_2(x/z)\log x}{z}$ by using the following bound

$$\frac{xr_2(x/z)\log x}{z} \ll \frac{xr_2(x/z)}{(\log x)^A}.$$

Finally, inserting (3.25), (3.26) and (3.27) into (3.24), we can derive the required result (1.9). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4. \square

§4. Proofs of Corollary 1.2, 1.3 and 1.5

Firstly, in view of $v = id^2 * \sigma$, $\vartheta = id^3 * \varphi$ and $\rho = id^2 * \varphi$, we have $g = \sigma$ ($k = 1$) or $g = \varphi$ ($k = 2$) or $g = \varphi$ ($k = 1$). Thus, we have used the following bounds to verify that function v , ϑ and ρ satisfy the condition (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3).

The case of v :

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\sigma(n)}{n} = \sum_{dm \leq x} \frac{1}{m} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}x + O(\log x),$$

$$v(n) = \sum_{dm=n} \sigma(d)m^2 \ll n \log_2 n \sum_{dm=n} m \ll n^2 (\log_2 n)^2,$$

where we easily obtain that $H_{v,1} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$, $r_1(x) = (\log_2 n)^2$, $r_2(x) = 1$, $r_3(x) = \frac{x}{\log x}$, $A = 1$ and $z = (\log 3x)^{B(1)}$.

The case of ϑ :

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n^2} = \sum_{dm \leq x} \frac{\mu(m)}{m^2} \ll \log x,$$

$$\vartheta(n) = \sum_{dm=n} \varphi(d)m^3 \ll n \sum_{dm=n} m^2 \ll n^3 \log_2 n,$$

where we easily obtain that $H_{\vartheta,2} = 0$, $r_1(x) = \log_2 x$, $r_2(x) = 1$, $r_3(x) = \frac{x}{\log x}$, $A = 1$ and $z = (\log 3x)^{B(1)}$.

The case of ρ :

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\varphi(n)}{n} = \sum_{dm \leq x} \frac{\mu(m)}{m} = \frac{6}{\pi^2}x + O(\log x),$$

$$\rho(n) = \sum_{dm=n} \varphi(d)m^2 \ll n \sum_{dm=n} m \ll n^2 \log_2 n,$$

where we easily obtain that $H_{\rho,2} = \frac{6}{\pi^2}$, $r_1(x) = \log_2 x$, $r_2(x) = 1$, $r_3(x) = \frac{x}{\log x}$, $A = 1$, $L = 1$ and $z = (\log 3x)^{B(1)}$. Finally, bringing these results into Theorem 1 and Theorem 4, respectively, we have that the asymptotic formulas (1.7), (1.8) and (1.11). \square

§5. Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the N.S.F.(11971381, 12371007) of P. R. China and Shaanxi Fundamental Science Research Project for Mathematics and Physics(Grant No. 22JSY007).

§6. Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

References

- [1] H. Tang, J. Wu, *MEAN VALUES OF ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS ON A SPARSE SET AND APPLICATIONS*, HAL open science (2024) hal-04557186.
- [2] O. Bordellès, L. Dai, R. Heyman, H. Pan, I.E. Shparlinski, *On a sum involving the Euler function*, J. Number Theory 202 (2019) 278–297.

- [3] J. Wu, *Note on a paper by Bordellès, Dai, Heyman, Pan and Shparlinski*, Period. Math. Hung. 80(2020) 95–102 .
- [4] W. Zhai, *On a sum involving the Euler function*, J. Number Theory 211(2020) 199–219 .
- [5] J. Wu, *On a sum involving the Euler totient function*, Indag. Math.30 (2019) 536–541.
- [6] J. Ma, J. Wu, *On a sum involving the Mangoldt function*, Period. Math. Hung. 83 (2021) 39-48.
- [7] J. Ma, H. Sun, *On a sum involving the divisor function*, Period. Math. Hung. 83 (2021) 185-194.
- [8] K. Liu, J. Wu, Z. Yang, *A variant of the prime number theorem*, Indag. Math.33 (2022) 388–396.
- [9] J. Stucky, *The fractional sum of small arithmetic functions*, J. Number Theory 238 (2022) 731–739 .
- [10] J. Ma, J. Wu, F. Zha, *On a generalisation of Bordellès-Dai-Heyman-Pan-Shparlinski' conjecture*, J. Number Theory 236 (2022) 334–348.
- [11] W. Zhai, *On a sum involving small arithmetic functions*, Inter. J. Number Theory 18 (2022) 2029-2052.
- [12] K. Liu, J. Wu, Z. Yang, *On some sums involving the integral part function*, arXiv:21[math.NT], 3 Sep 2021.
- [13] J. Ma, H. Sun, *On a sum involving certain arithmetic functions and the integral part function*, Ramanujan J. 60 (2023) 1025-1032.
- [14] K. Saito, Y. Suzuki, W. Takeda, Y. Yoshida, *Some remarks on the $[x/n]$ -sequence*, arXiv:1811.02556v2[math.NT], 25 Dec 2023.
- [15] S.W. Graham, G. Kolesnik, *Van Der Corput'S Method of Exponential Sums* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [16] K. Ramachandra, *Some problems of analytic number theory*, Acta Arith. 31 (1976) 313-324.