

p -ELEMENTS IN PROFINITE GROUPS

ANDREA LUCCHINI AND NOWRAS OTMEN

ABSTRACT. We investigate some properties of the p -elements of a profinite group G . We prove that if p is odd and the probability that a randomly chosen element of G is a p -element is positive, then G contains an open prosolvable subgroup. On the contrary, there exist groups that are not virtually prosolvable but in which the probability that a randomly chosen element of G is a 2-element is arbitrarily close to 1. We prove also that if a profinite group G has the property that, for every p -element x , it is positive the probability that a randomly chosen element y of G generates with x a pro- p group, then G contains an open pro- p subgroup.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a profinite group and p a prime. We say that g is a p -element of G if the order of G , as a supernatural number, is a power of p . This is equivalent to say that $\langle g \rangle N/N$ is a finite p -group for every open normal subgroup N of G . We denote by $\Omega_p(G)$ the set of the p -elements of G . It is a closed subset of G , so we may view $P_p(G) := \mu(\Omega_p(G))$, where μ is the normalized Haar measure on G , as the probability that a randomly chosen element of G is a p -element. One of the aims of the paper is to investigate how the structure of a profinite G is influenced by the fact that $P_p(G)$ is positive. Note that if G is virtually pro- p , then it follows immediately that $P_p(G) > 0$. However, the converse is not true. Consider p and q distinct primes and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that p^n divides $q - 1$. Take the semidirect product $G = \mathbb{Z}_q \rtimes \langle \gamma \rangle$, where \mathbb{Z}_q is the group of the q -adic integers and γ is an automorphism of \mathbb{Z}_q of order p^n . While G clearly is not virtually pro- p , we can see that $\Omega_p(G) = (G \setminus \mathbb{Z}_q) \cup \{1\}$ and $P_p(G) = \frac{p^n - 1}{p^n}$. This example shows that $P_p(G)$ can be arbitrarily close to 1 without this guaranteeing that G is virtually pro- p . However, we prove that if p is an odd prime and $P_p(G)$ is positive, then G must contain an open prosolvable subgroup. This is indeed a corollary of a result concerning finite groups, that we prove using some consequences of the classification of the finite simple groups.

Theorem 1. *Let p be an odd prime. If N is a non-solvable normal subgroup of a finite group G , then*

$$P_p(G) \leq \frac{p \cdot P_p(G/N)}{2(p-1)}.$$

This result implies that, if p is odd, then we can bound the number of non-abelian factors in a chief series of a finite group G in terms of $P_p(G)$. More precisely, we have the following.

Key words and phrases. Profinite groups, prosolvable groups, p -elements.

Project funded by the European Union – NextGenerationEU under the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), Mission 4 Component 2 Investment 1.1 - Call PRIN 2022 No. 104 of February 2, 2022 of Italian Ministry of University and Research; Project 2022PSTWLB (subject area: PE - Physical Sciences and Engineering) " Group Theory and Applications".

Corollary 2. *Let p be an odd prime. Given a finite group G , let t be the number of non-abelian factors in a chief series of G . Then*

$$t \leq \log_{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{P_p(G)} \right).$$

If N is an open normal subgroup of a profinite group G , then $P_p(G) \leq P_p(G/N)$. In particular, for every open normal subgroup N of G , the number of non-abelian factors in a chief series of G/N is at most $\log_{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \left(\frac{1}{P_p(G)} \right)$. But then Corollary 2 has the following consequences for profinite groups.

Corollary 3. *Let G be a profinite group and p an odd prime. If $P_p(G) > \frac{p}{2(p-1)}$, then G is prosolvable.*

Corollary 4. *Let G be a profinite group and p an odd prime. If $P_p(G) > 0$, then G is virtually prosolvable.*

The previous results are *not* true for $p = 2$. In Section 3, we explore the different behavior when $p = 2$. In the almost simple group M_{10} , whose socle is the alternating group A_6 , all the elements which are not in the socle are 2-elements. We use this property of M_{10} in order to construct examples of profinite groups G which contain a closed normal subgroup isomorphic to a cartesian product of infinitely many copies of A_6 but in which $P_2(G)$ is arbitrarily closed to 1. In Proposition 14, we show that $A_6 \cong \text{PSL}(2, 9)$ is not the unique simple group S admitting an automorphism α with the property that all the elements in the coset αS are 2-elements. We prove in Proposition 16 that this exceptional behavior is in fact shared by all and only the simple groups $\text{PSL}(2, 3^f)$, where f is a 2-power. This result leads us to propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 5. *Let Σ be an infinite family of finite non-abelian simple groups $\text{PSL}(2, 3^f)$, with $f = 2^a$ for a positive integer a . Suppose that a profinite group G satisfies the condition $P_2(G) > 0$. Then either G is virtually prosolvable or, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists an open normal subgroup N of G such that a composition series of G/N has at least k factors which belong to Σ .*

To introduce the second part of the paper, we recall some definitions and results from [2]. Let \mathcal{C} be a class of finite groups closed under taking subgroups, quotients and direct products. Given a profinite group G and an element $x \in G$, the subset $\Omega_{\mathcal{C}}(x, G)$ of elements of G which generate a pro- \mathcal{C} subgroup together with x is a closed subset of G . We say that G is \mathcal{C} -positive if $\mu(\Omega_{\mathcal{C}}(x, G)) > 0$ for every $x \in G$ and that G is \mathcal{C} -uniformly-positive if there exists a positive real number c such that $\mu(\Omega_{\mathcal{C}}(x, G)) > c$ for every $x \in G$. In [2], the authors prove that if \mathcal{C} is the class of finite solvable groups or the class of finite nilpotent groups, then G is \mathcal{C} -uniformly-positive if and only if it is \mathcal{C} -positive, and if the previous properties are satisfied then $\mu(\bigcap_{x \in G} \Omega_{\mathcal{C}}(x, G))$ is positive. One can ask whether similar statements hold when \mathcal{C} is the class of the finite p -groups for a given prime p . In this case we will prefer to use the notation $\Omega_p(g, G)$ to denote the subset $\{x \in G : \langle x, g \rangle \text{ is a pro-} p \text{ group}\}$ (here with the notation $\langle x, g \rangle$ we mean the subgroup of G topologically generated by x and g , the smallest closed subgroup of G which contains x and g). Moreover, we will set $P_p(g, G) := \mu(\Omega_p(g, G))$. Clearly $P_p(g, G) = 0$ if g is not a p -element of G , so we need to adapt the definitions of positive and uniformly-positive saying that G is p -positive if $P_p(g, G) > 0$ for every p -element of G and that it is p -uniformly-positive if there exists a positive real number c such that $P_p(g, G) > c$ for every

p -element of G . Notice that it follows from Baer's theorem (see for example [9, Theorem 2.12]), that the intersection

$$\bigcap_{g \in \Omega_p(G)} \Omega_p(g, G)$$

coincides with $O_p(G)$, defined as the largest normal pro- p subgroup of G . Finally, denote by $P_p(G, G)$ the probability that two randomly chosen elements in G generate a pro- p subgroup. The main result of the second part of the paper is of similar flavor to [2, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2].

Theorem 6. *Let G be a profinite group and p a prime. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) G is p -positive;
- (2) G is p -uniformly-positive;
- (3) $P_p(G, G) > 0$;
- (4) G is virtually pro- p ;
- (5) $\mu(\bigcap_{g \in \Omega_p(G)} \Omega_p(g, G)) = |G : O_p(G)|^{-1} > 0$.

Acknowledgements. We thank Pablo Spiga for fruitful discussions and his precious help in the proof of Proposition 14.

2. PROBABILITY THAT A RANDOM ELEMENT IS A p -ELEMENT

Let G be a finite group and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . It follows from the Sylow theorems that $\Omega_p(G) = \cup_{g \in G} P^g$ and therefore

$$(2.1) \quad P_p(G) \leq \frac{n_p(G)|P|}{|G|} = \frac{|P|}{|N_G(P)|}.$$

The following consequence of the classification of the finite non-abelian simple groups will play a relevant role in our considerations.

Theorem 7. [6, Theorem 1.1] *Let p be an odd prime and P a Sylow p -subgroup of a finite group G . If $p = 3$, assume that G has no composition factors of type $\text{PSL}(2, 3^f)$, $f = 3^a$ with $a \geq 1$. If $P = N_G(P)$, then G is solvable.*

We will combine 2.1 and Theorem 7 to bound the ratio $P_p(G)/P_p(G/N)$ when N is an unsolvable normal subgroup of G and p is an odd prime. We need a preliminary lemma for this purpose. For any subset X of G , we set $\Omega_p(X) = \Omega_p(G) \cap X$.

Lemma 8. *Let N be a normal subgroup of a finite group G and p a prime and assume that G/N is a p -group. Then, for $g \in G$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(p, i) = 1$, the map $\rho_i : \Omega_p(gN) \rightarrow \Omega_p(g^iN)$ which sends x to x^i is bijective.*

Proof. First we prove that ρ_i is injective. Assume $\rho_i(x) = \rho_i(y)$. Then $x^i = y^i$. In particular $|x^i| = |y^i|$ and since x and y are p -elements and $(p, i) = 1$, it follows that $|x| = |y| = p^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(i, p^r) = 1$, there exist two integers a and b such that $ai + bp^r = 1$ and, thus, $x = x^{ai} = y^{ai} = y$. Now, assume $z = g^i n \in \Omega_p(g^iN)$ and let $|z| = p^s$. Choose integers a, b such that $ia + p^s b = 1$. Notice that p^s is divisible by the order of g modulo N . Then $z^a = (g^i)^a n_1 = g^{ia+p^s b} (g^{-p^s b} n_1) = g n_2$ for suitable $n_1, n_2 \in N$ and $\rho_i(z^a) = z^{ai} = z^{ai} z^{bp^s} = z$. \square

Lemma 9. *Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime. If N is a non-solvable normal subgroup of a finite group G and $g \in \Omega_p(G)$, then*

$$\frac{|\Omega_p(gN)|}{|N|} \leq \frac{p}{2(p-1)}.$$

Proof. Let N be a non-solvable subgroup of a finite group G and assume that gN is a p -element of G/N . Let p^n be the order of gN , $H = \langle g, N \rangle$ and P a Sylow p -subgroup of H . By Theorem 7, we have

$$|\Omega_p(H)| \leq \frac{|H||P|}{|N_H(P)|} \leq \frac{|H|}{2}.$$

By Lemma 8, $|\Omega_p(g^jN)| = |\Omega_p(gN)|$ if $(j, p) = 1$ and this implies

$$(p^n - p^{n-1})|\Omega_p(gN)| = |\cup_{1 \leq j < p^n, (j,p)=1} \Omega_p(g^jN)| \leq |\Omega_p(H)| \leq \frac{|H|}{2} = \frac{p^n|N|}{2},$$

therefore,

$$|\Omega_p(gN)| \leq \frac{p|N|}{2(p-1)}. \quad \square$$

We are going to prove that the statement of the previous lemma is true even if $p = 3$. But in that case the argument used in the proof of Lemma 9 does not work, since there exist unsolvable groups whose Sylow 3-subgroups are self-normalizing. The following precise classification of the finite almost simple groups with this property is required.

Lemma 10. [11, Lemma 5] *Assume that G is an almost simple group. If the Sylow 3-subgroups of G are self-normalizing, then $S = \text{soc}(G) = \text{PSL}(2, 3^{3^n})$ and $G = S \rtimes \langle \phi \rangle$, with ϕ a field automorphism of S of order 3^n .*

To deal with the almost simple groups described in the previous Lemma we need to estimate the number of 3-elements in the coset $\phi\text{PSL}(2, 3^{3^n})$.

Lemma 11. *Let $S = \text{soc}(G) = \text{PSL}(2, 3^{3^n})$ and $G = S \rtimes \langle \phi \rangle$, with ϕ the field automorphism of S of order 3^n . Then*

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{|\Omega_3(\phi S)|}{|S|} = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Proof. It can be easily checked that $C := C_S(\phi) = \text{PSL}(2, 3) \cong A_4$. In particular, there exists $z \in C$ of order 2. Let $y = \phi z$. Then $y^S = \{y^s \mid s \in S\} \subseteq \phi S$ and $|y^S| = |S|/|C_S(y)| = |S|/4$ (for $C_S(y) = C_S(\phi) \cap C_S(z) \cong C_2 \times C_2$). Since $|y| = 2 \cdot 3^n$, we have that $y^S \cap \Omega_3(G) = \emptyset$, so $|\Omega_3(\phi S)| \leq |S| - |y^S| \leq \frac{3|S|}{4}$. Finally, it is straightforward to verify using [1] that $|\Omega_3(\phi S)|/|S| = 3/4$ in the particular case when $n = 1$. \square

Corollary 12. *If S is a finite non-abelian simple group and $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$, then*

$$\frac{|\Omega_3(gS)|}{|S|} \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

Proof. Let $H = \langle g, S \rangle \leq \text{Aut}(S)$ and let P be a Sylow 3-subgroup of G . If $N_H(P) > P$, then, arguing as in the proof of Lemma 9, we deduce that $|\Omega_3(gS)| \leq 3|S|/4$. If $N_H(P) = P$, then, by Lemma 10, $S = \text{PSL}(2, 3^{3^n})$ and $H = S \rtimes \langle \phi \rangle$, with ϕ a field automorphism of S of order 3^n . Thus $gS = \phi^i S$ for some positive integer i coprime with 3, and, by Lemmas 8 and 11, $|\Omega_3(gS)| = |\Omega_3(\phi S)| \leq 3|S|/4$. \square

Lemma 13. *Assume that N is a normal subgroup of G and let $g \in \Omega_3(G)$. If N is a non-solvable normal subgroup of a finite group G , then*

$$\frac{|\Omega_3(gN)|}{|N|} \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

Proof. It is not restrictive to assume that N is a minimal normal subgroup of G . So $N \cong S^t$ with $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and S a finite non-abelian simple group. Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N)$ be the conjugation action. If gn is a 3-element, then $(gn)^\rho$ is a 3-element, so it suffices to prove that N contains at most $3|N|/4$ elements n such that $(gn)^\rho = g^\rho n^\rho$ is a 3-element. For this purpose, we may assume that $\ker \rho = 1$ (or equivalently that N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G). Thus, we may identify G with a subgroup of the wreath product $\text{Aut } S \wr S_t$.

Let $g = \sigma(h_1, \dots, h_t)$, with $h_1, \dots, h_t \in \text{Aut } S$ and $\sigma \in S_t$, be a 3-element. We have to prove that the number of elements $n = (s_1, \dots, s_t) \in S^t$ such that $gn = \sigma(h_1 s_1, \dots, h_t s_t)$ is a 3-element has size at most $3|S|^t/4$. Since σ is a 3-element, it is the product of disjoint cycles of length a power of 3. It suffices to prove the statement in the particular case when σ is a cycle of length t . Up to conjugacy in $\text{Aut}(N)$, we may then assume $g = \sigma(h, 1, \dots, 1)$ with $\sigma = (1, 2, \dots, t)$ and $t = 3^a$. Since g is a 3-element, $g^t = (h, \dots, h) \in (\text{Aut } S)^t$ is a 3-element, hence h is a 3-element in $\text{Aut } S$. Moreover, if $n = (s_1, \dots, s_t)$, then

$$(gn)^t = (s_2 s_3 \cdots s_t h s_1, s_3 s_4 \cdots h s_1 s_2, \dots, h s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{t-1} s_t),$$

so gn is a 3-element if, and only if, $h s_1 s_2 \cdots s_{t-1} s_t$ is a 3-element. Since this element belongs to the almost simple group $\langle h, S \rangle$, then by Corollary 12, the number of choices for the product $s_1 \cdots s_t$ such that $h s_1 \cdots s_t$ is a 3-element is at most $3|S|^t/4$ and this implies that the number of choices for n is at most $3|N|/4$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $\Omega_p(G) = \cup_{gN \in \Omega_p(G/N)} \Omega_p(gN)$, it follows from Lemmas 9 and 13 that

$$\begin{aligned} P_p(G) &= \frac{|\Omega_p(G)|}{|G|} \leq \sum_{gN \in \Omega_p(G/N)} \frac{|\Omega_p(gN)|}{|G|} \leq \sum_{gN \in \Omega_p(G/N)} \frac{p|N|}{2(p-1)|G|} \\ &\leq \frac{p}{2(p-1)} \frac{|\Omega_p(G/N)||N|}{|G|} \leq \frac{pP_p(G/N)}{2(p-1)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3. EXCEPTIONAL BEHAVIOR FOR $p = 2$

In this section, we give examples to show why the results of Section 2 do not hold for $p = 2$ and we highlight that our counterexamples rely on the exceptional behaviour of the set of the 2-elements in the Mathieu group M_{10} .

Consider $X = M_{10}$. It is an almost simple group with $S = \text{soc}(X) = A_6$ and $X/S \cong C_2$. It can be deduced from the information given in [1], that X contains 496 2-elements, 136 of which are in S . In particular all the elements of $X \setminus S$ are 2-elements.

Given now a positive integer t , consider the following subgroup of the direct power X^t :

$$X_t = \{(x_1, \dots, x_t) \in X^t \mid x_1 \equiv \dots \equiv x_t \pmod{S}\}.$$

Notice that $\text{soc}(X_t) = S^t$ and $X_t/\text{soc}(X_t) \cong C_2$. Let $\alpha = (a, \dots, a) \in X^t$, with $X = \langle a, S \rangle$. Then X_t is the disjoint union of the two cosets αS^t and S^t . All the

elements of αS^t have order dividing 8, while S^t contains precisely 136^t 2-elements. It follows

$$P_2(G_t) = \frac{(136)^t + (360)^t}{2(360)^t} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(136)^t}{(360)^t}.$$

It $t_2 \geq t_1$, then G_{t_1} is an epimorphic image of G_{t_2} so we may consider the profinite group

$$G := \varprojlim_{t \in \mathbb{N}} G_t.$$

On one hand, G is not virtually prosolvable because every open subgroup contains an infinite product of copies of A_6 and, on the other, we have

$$P_2(G) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_2(G_t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{(136)^t}{(360)^t} \right) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We build now a more complicated example to show that we can find a non-virtually prosolvable profinite group G with $P_2(G)$ arbitrarily close to 1. Let $\sigma = (1, \dots, n) \in S_n$ with $n = 2^t$. Choose again $a \in X \setminus S$ and take the element $g = (a, 1, \dots, 1)\sigma$ in the wreath product $X \wr \langle \sigma \rangle$ and let $Y_t = N \langle g \rangle$ be the subgroup of $X \wr \langle \sigma \rangle$ generated by the base subgroup $N = S^n$ and g . Let $\pi : Y_t \rightarrow \langle \sigma \rangle$ be the restriction to Y_t of the projection $X \wr \langle \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \langle \sigma \rangle$. If $z \in Y_t \setminus N$, then $|\pi(z)| = 2^r$ with $r \leq t$ and $z^{2^r} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $x_i \in X \setminus S$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. In particular, (x_1, \dots, x_n) is a 2-element and therefore z is a 2-element. Consequently,

$$P_2(Y_t) \geq \frac{|Y_t| - |N|}{|Y_t|} = \frac{2^{t+1} - 1}{2^{t+1}}.$$

Now, given $u \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X_u = \prod_{t \geq u} Y_{2^t}$. Then

$$P_2(X_u) \geq \prod_{t \geq u} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^{t+1}} \right).$$

Since the infinite product $\prod_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^t} \right)$ converges to a non-zero value (because the series $\sum_t \frac{1}{2^t}$ converges), for every $0 < c < 1$, there exists $u \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P_2(X_u) \geq c$.

The previous examples rely on the fact that $A_6 \cong \text{PSL}(2, 9)$ has an automorphism g of order 4 with the property that all the elements in the coset gA_6 are 2-elements. This is a particular instance of a more general situation.

Proposition 14. *Let $S = \text{PSL}(2, 3^f)$, with $f \neq 1$. Let $g \in \text{PGL}(2, 3^f) \setminus \text{PSL}(2, 3^f)$ and ϕ be the Frobenius automorphism of S of order f . Then $\alpha = g\phi \in \text{Aut}(S)$ and all the elements of the cosets αS have order dividing $4f$. In particular, if f is a 2-power, then αS contains only 2-elements.*

Proof. Let \mathbb{F} be the algebraic closure of the field with 3 elements, consider $X = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{F})$ and let ϕ be the Frobenius endomorphism of X induced by the automorphism of \mathbb{F} which maps a to a^3 . For any $f \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $X_{\phi^f} = C_X(\phi^f) = \text{PGL}(2, 3^f)$. If $f > 1$, then X_{ϕ^f} is ϕ -stable and ϕ restricts to an automorphism $\tilde{\phi}$ of X_{ϕ^f} of order f . Let $G_f = \text{PGL}(2, 3^f) \rtimes \langle \tilde{\phi} \rangle$. Choose $a \in X$ such that $g = aa^{-\phi^{-1}}$. By [7, Theorem 3.1.4], we have the Shintani map

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \{(g\tilde{\phi})^{X_{\phi^f}} \mid g \in X_{\phi^f}\} &\rightarrow \{(x)^{X_\phi} \mid x \in X_\phi\} \\ (g\tilde{\phi})^{X_{\phi^f}} &\mapsto (a^{-1}(g\tilde{\phi})^e a)^{X_\phi}. \end{aligned}$$

This is a well-defined bijection between the X_{ϕ^f} -conjugacy classes in G_f that are contained in the coset $X_{\phi^f}\tilde{\phi}$ and the X_ϕ -conjugacy classes in X_ϕ . Since $\langle \mathrm{PSL}(2, 3^f), \tilde{\phi} \rangle \trianglelefteq G_f$, then σ restricts to a bijection

$$\{(g\tilde{\phi})^{X_{\phi^f}} \mid g \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, 3^f)\} \rightarrow \{(x)^{X_\phi} \mid x \in \mathrm{PSL}(2, 3)\}$$

by [7, Corollary 3.2.3]. Consequently, it also restricts to a bijection

$$\{(g\tilde{\phi})^{X_{\phi^f}} \mid g \in X_{\phi^f} \setminus \mathrm{PSL}(2, 3^f)\} \rightarrow \{(x)^{X_\phi} \mid x \in X_\phi \setminus \mathrm{PSL}(2, 3)\}.$$

From the isomorphisms $X_\phi = \mathrm{PGL}(2, 3) \cong S_4$ and $\mathrm{PSL}(2, 3) \cong A_4$, it follows that $x^4 = 1$ for every element $x \in \mathrm{PGL}(2, 3) \setminus \mathrm{PSL}(2, 3)$. In particular, if $g \in \mathrm{PGL}(2, 3^f) \setminus \mathrm{PSL}(2, 3^f)$, then $(g\tilde{\phi})^{4f} = 1$. If f is a power of 2, then $g\tilde{\phi}$ is a 2-element. \square

We now prove that if S is a finite non-abelian simple group and g is an automorphism of S with the property that all the elements of gS are p -elements for some prime p , then $p = 2$ and the pair (S, g) is one of those described in the previous proposition.

Lemma 15. *Let $G = \langle g, S \rangle$ be an almost simple group with $S = \mathrm{soc}(G)$ and $|G/S| = p^n$ for some prime p . If $gS \subseteq \Omega_p(G)$, then $p = 2$ and $N_G(P) = P$ for any Sylow p -subgroup P of G .*

Proof. As in the proof of Proposition 9,

$$|\Omega_p(gS)| \leq \frac{p|S|}{(p-1)|N_G(P) : P|}.$$

In particular, if $gS \subseteq \Omega_p(G)$, then $|N_G(P) : P| \leq p/(p-1)$. If p is odd, then $p/(p-1) < 2$, so $|N_G(P) : P| < 2$, and therefore $N_G(P) = P$, but it follows from Theorem 7 and Corollary 12 that this case cannot occur. So $p = 2$ and $|N_G(P) : P| \leq 2$. Since 2 does not divide $|N_G(P) : P|$, it follows that $N_G(P) = P$. \square

Proposition 16. *Let S be a finite non-abelian simple group and $g \in \mathrm{Aut}(S)$. If gS consists only of 2-elements, then $S \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2, 3^f)$ with f a 2-power and $g = \phi^i x$ with $x \in \mathrm{PGL}(2, 3^f) \setminus \mathrm{PSL}(2, 3^f)$, where ϕ is the Frobenius automorphism of S of order f and i is odd.*

Proof. By assumption, g is a 2-element. Since S is not a 2-group, we may exclude that g is an inner automorphism of S . Using the information in [1], it can be seen that S cannot be a sporadic simple group. Moreover if $S = A_n$ and $n \neq 6$, then $\mathrm{Aut}(A_n) = S_n$ and $gA_n = S_n \setminus A_n$ contains $(1, 2, 3)(4, 5)$. Moreover it follows from [10, Lemma 6] and its proof, that if S is a simple group of Lie type defined over a field of characteristic p , then either $S = \mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ or gS contains an element of order divisible by p . Thus if $gS \subseteq \Omega_2(G)$, then either S is defined over a field of characteristic 2 or $S = \mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$.

In the first case, $\mathrm{Inndiag}(S)/S$ has odd order, so we may assume that g is the product of a graph automorphism τ and a Frobenius automorphism ϕ . However, it can be easily checked that $C_S(\langle \phi, \tau \rangle)$ contains at least one non-trivial element s of odd order, which would imply that gs is an element of the coset gS which is not a 2-element.

So we may assume $S = \mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ with q odd. We may exclude again that g is a Frobenius automorphism because in this case $C_S(g)$ contains an element of odd

order; moreover g cannot be inner-diagonal, because in this case gS contains an element of order $q - 1$ and one of order $q + 1$ ([8, Kapitel II, Hauptsatz 8.27]), and either $q - 1$ or $q + 1$ is divisible by an odd prime (unless $q = 3$, but in this case $\text{PSL}(2, 3)$ is not simple). We view $\text{PSL}(2, q)$ as the factor group $\text{SL}(2, q)/Z$, where Z is the set of the scalar matrices in $\text{SL}(2, q)$. We deduce from the previous considerations that $g = \phi\alpha$ where α is a diagonal non-inner automorphism of S and ϕ is a Frobenius automorphism. By Lemma 8 we may assume that there exists a prime-power r such that ϕ is the Frobenius automorphism induced by the automorphism $x \mapsto x^r$ of the field of order $q = r^t$. Since t divides $|g|$, t is a 2-power. In particular, denoting by \tilde{y} the automorphism induced by the conjugation with $y \in \text{GL}(2, q)$, we have that

$$gS = \{\phi\tilde{y} \mid y \in \text{GL}(2, q) \text{ and } \det(y) \notin \mathbb{F}_q^2\}.$$

Let $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ be an element of order $q - 1$. First, consider the matrix

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$(\phi y)^t = \begin{pmatrix} a^{\left(\frac{q-1}{r^t-1}\right)} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\phi\tilde{y}$ is a 2-element and t is a 2-power, $(\phi\tilde{y})^t$ is also a 2-element and thus $r - 1$ must be a 2-power. Secondly, we consider the matrix

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -a \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$(\phi y)^t = \begin{pmatrix} a^{\left(\frac{q-1}{r^{2t}-1}\right)} & 0 \\ 0 & a^{r\left(\frac{q-1}{r^{2t}-1}\right)} \end{pmatrix}$$

and from the fact that $(\phi\tilde{y})^t$ is a 2-element, it follows that $r + 1$ is also a 2-power. We have so proved that $r - 1$ and $r + 1$ are both 2-powers, and this is possible only if $r = 3$ and $q = 3^t$ with t a 2-power. \square

Notice that the argument in the proof of Lemma 13 can be adapted to prove the following result:

Lemma 17. *Assume that N is a minimal normal subgroup of a finite group G and let S be a composition factor of N . Assume that there exists a constant $\gamma_S < 1$ with the property that $|\Omega_2(gS)| \leq \gamma_S|S|$ for every $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$. Then $|\Omega_2(gN)| \leq \gamma_S|N|$ for every $g \in \Omega_2(G)$.*

Given a positive real number $\gamma < 1$, let Σ_γ be the set of the non-abelian simple groups S with the property that $|\Omega_2(gS)| \leq \gamma|S|$ for every $g \in \text{Aut}(S)$. As a consequence of Lemma 17, the following holds:

Theorem 18. *Let G be a profinite group and suppose that there exist $\gamma < 1$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$ with the property that for every open normal subgroup N of G , a composition series of G/N contains at most t non-abelian factors which are not in Σ_γ . If $P_2(G) > 0$, then G is virtually prosolvable.*

This motivates the following question:

Question 19. *Does there exist a constant $\gamma < 1$ with the property that either $S \in \Sigma_\gamma$ or $S \cong \text{PSL}(2, 3^f)$ with f a 2-power?*

If the answer to the previous question were affirmative, then Conjecture 5 would be true. The following small result implies that in order to answer Question 19, one has only to consider the simple groups of Lie type.

Lemma 20. *There exists $\gamma < 1$ such that $A_n \in \Sigma_\gamma$ for every $n \neq 6$.*

Proof. It follows from [4, (4.1)] that the probability that an element of S_n has order coprime with 3 is at most $3 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\log(n/3)}{3}\right)$. So the proportion of 2-elements in S_n tends to zero as n goes to infinity and the same is true for A_n and $S_n \setminus A_n$. \square

4. p -POSITIVE PROFINITE GROUPS

In this section our aim is to prove Theorem 6. The first observation is that if a profinite group G is p -positive then $P_p(G) = P_p(1, G) > 0$. If p is odd, we immediately deduce from Corollary 4 that G is virtually prosolvable. We are going to prove that this is true also for $p = 2$, but this requires some additional work which uses again some consequences of the classification of the finite non-abelian simple groups. In particular a key role is played by the following lemma.

Lemma 21. *There exists a positive real number ϵ with the following property. For every finite group G , every non-abelian minimal normal subgroup N of G and every $x \in \Omega_2(G)$ there exists $z \in \Omega_2(Nx)$ such that*

$$P_2(z, G) \leq (1 - \epsilon)P_2(xN, G/N).$$

Proof. Let Σ be the set of the elements $y \in G$ such that $\langle x, y \rangle N/N$ is a 2-group. For any $z \in \Omega_2(xN)$ and $y \in \Sigma$, define $\Lambda_{z,y} = \{n \in N \mid \langle z, yn \rangle$ is a 2-group $\}$. If we prove that there exists a $z \in \Omega_2(xN)$ such that $|\Lambda_{z,y}| \leq (1 - \epsilon)|N|$ for every $y \in \Sigma$, then the statement follows from the equality

$$\Omega_2(z, G) = \bigcup_{y \in \Sigma} \Lambda_{z,y}.$$

Considering the map $\gamma : G \rightarrow \text{Aut } N$ induced by the conjugation action, we have that $|\Lambda_{z,y}| \leq |\{n \in N \mid \langle z^\gamma, (yn)^\gamma \rangle$ is a 2-group $\}|$. So, we may assume that γ is injective. In this case, $N = S^m$ with S a finite non-abelian simple group and $G \leq \text{Aut}(S) \wr S_m$. We have $x = (h_1, \dots, h_m)\sigma$ with $h_i \in \text{Aut}(S)$ and $\sigma \in S_m$. We write $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_r$ as a product of disjoint cycles. Since $x \in \Omega_2(G)$, then $|\sigma_i| = 2^{t_i}$ for some non-negative integer t_i . We may assume $2^{t_1} \geq \dots \geq 2^{t_r}$ and $\sigma_1 = (1, \dots, 2^{t_1})$. We have $x^{2^{t_1}} = (k_1, \dots, k_m)$ with $k_i \in \text{Aut}(S)$. In particular, $k_1 = h_1 \cdots h_{2^{t_1}}$. If $k_1 \neq 1$, we take $z = x$. If $k_1 = 1$, we consider $z = (s, 1, \dots, 1)x$. Notice that $z^{2^{t_1}} = (s, \dots, s, k_{2^{t_1}+1}, \dots, k_m)$, therefore, if we choose $1 \neq s \in \Omega_2(S)$, then $z \in \Omega_2(xN)$ and $z^{2^{t_1}} = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in (\text{Aut}(S))^m$ with $u_1 \neq 1$.

Now, assume $y = (v_1, \dots, v_m)\tau$ and write $\tau = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_l$ as product of disjoint cycles, with $\tau_1 = (1, j_2, \dots, j_d)$. Let $n = (s_1, \dots, s_m) \in N$ and consider the subgroups $S_1 = \{(s, 1, \dots, 1) \mid s \in S\} \cong S$ and $X = N_G(S_1)$, and let $\nu : X \rightarrow \text{Aut}(S_1)$ be the homomorphism which maps x to the automorphism of S_1 induced by the conjugation with x . We have that $z^{2^{t_1}}$ and $(ny)^d$ belong to X with $\nu(z^{2^{t_1}}) = u_1$ and $\nu((ny)^d) = s_1 v_1 s_{j_2} v_{j_2} \cdots s_{j_d} v_{j_d}$. Choose s_2, \dots, s_m arbitrarily. By [5, Corollary

1.2], with probability at least ϵ , a randomly chosen element $s_1 \in S$ has the property that $\langle u_1, s_1 v_1 s_{j_2} v_{j_2} \cdots s_{j_d} v_{j_d} \rangle$ is nonsolvable, and consequently $\langle z, ny \rangle$ is not a 2-group. In particular, $|\Lambda_{z,y}| \leq (1 - \epsilon)|N|$. \square

Corollary 22. *If a profinite group G is p -positive, then G is virtually prosolvable.*

Proof. As we noticed before, if G is p -positive then $P_p(G) = P_p(1, G) > 0$. If p is odd, it follows from Corollary 4 that G is virtually prosolvable. So it suffices to prove that if a profinite group G is not virtually prosolvable, then there exists $g \in G$ such that $P_2(g, G) = 0$.

Assume that G is not virtually prosolvable. Then there exists a descending chain of open normal subgroups of G

$$G \geq N_1 > M_1 \geq N_2 > M_2 \geq N_3 > M_3 \dots$$

such that N_t/M_t is a non-abelian minimal normal subgroup of G/M_t for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $P_2(G) \leq P_2(G/L)$ for every closed normal L subgroup of G , it is not restrictive to assume $\bigcap_{t \in \mathbb{N}} M_t = 1$. In particular, we may identify $G \cong \varprojlim_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G/M_i$ with the subgroup of the cartesian product $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} G/M_i$ consisting of the elements $(g_i M_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $g_i M_j = g_j M_j$ whenever $j \leq i$.

Consider $g_1 \in G$ such that $g_1 M_1 \in \Omega_2(G/M_1)$. We may assume that $g_1 \in \Omega_2(G)$. In this case, $g_1 N_2 \in \Omega_2(G/N_2)$ and, by applying Lemma 21, we can find $g_2 \in g_1 N_2$ such that

$$P_2(g_2 M_2, G/M_2) \leq (1 - \epsilon) P_2(g_1 N_2, G/N_2) \leq (1 - \epsilon) P_2(g_1 M_1, G/M_1).$$

By construction, it follows also that $g_2 M_1 = g_1 M_1$. Inductively, we obtain a sequence $(g_t M_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of G such that $g = (g_t M_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Omega_2(G)$ and

$$P_2(g, G) = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{N}} P_2(g_{t+1} M_{t+1}, G/M_{t+1}) \leq \inf_{t \in \mathbb{N}} (1 - \epsilon)^t P_2(g_1 M_1, G/M_1) = 0. \quad \square$$

Lemma 23. *Let G be a finite group, N an abelian normal subgroup of G and g a p -element of G . If p does not divide $|N|$, then*

$$\frac{P_p(g, G)}{P_p(gN, G/N)} = \frac{1}{|N| |\Omega_p(gN, G/N)|} \sum_{xN \in \Omega_p(gN, G/N)} \frac{|C_N(g)|}{|C_N(g) \cap C_N(x)|}.$$

In particular, if $C_N(g) \neq N$, then $P_p(g, G) \leq P_p(gN, G/N)/2$.

Proof. Take $xN \in \Omega_p(gN, G/N)$. There exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of G such that $\langle g, x \rangle \leq PN$ and it is not restrictive to assume $\langle g, x \rangle \leq P$. Suppose that $n \in N$ is such that $\langle g, xn \rangle$ is a p -group. Then there exists $y \in N$ such that $\langle g, xn \rangle \leq P^y$. Since $P \cap N = 1$, it follows that $g^y = g$ and $xn = x^y$ and therefore the number of $n \in N$ such that $\langle g, xn \rangle$ is a p -group coincides with the number $|C_N(g) : C_N(g) \cap C_N(x)|$ of conjugates of x with elements of $C_N(g)$. Now, from

$$\Omega_p(g, G) = \bigsqcup_{xN \in \Omega_p(gN, G/N)} \Omega_p(g, G) \cap xN$$

we get the desired results. \square

To state the following result we need a definition. Let G be a finite group, p a prime and g a p -element of G . We denote by $\omega_p(g, G)$ the number of abelian factors X/Y in a chief series of G such that p does not divide $|X/Y|$ and g does not centralize X/Y .

Corollary 24. *If G is a finite group and g is a p -element of G , then*

$$P_p(g, G) \leq \frac{1}{2^{\omega_p(g, G)}}.$$

Proof. Take a chief series $1 = N_t \trianglelefteq N_{t-1} \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq N_0 = G$ of G and apply Lemma 23 repeatedly to the abelian p' -chief factors which are not centralized by g . \square

Let us recall a result concerning finite solvable groups.

Theorem 25. *Let G be a finite solvable group and p a prime divisor of G . Then a p -element g of G centralizes all the p' -chief factors of G if and only if $g \in O_p(G)$.*

Proof. Let q be a prime divisor of $|G|$ and let $C_q(G)$ be the intersection of the centralizers of the chief factors of G whose order is divisible by q . By [3, Theorem 13.8], we have that $C_q(G) = O_{q',q}(G)$. So it is sufficient to prove that a Sylow p -subgroup P of $C = \cap_{q \neq p} O_{q',q}(G)$ is normal in C and coincides with $O_p(G)$. It follows from [3, Theorem 13.4] that C is q -nilpotent for every $q \neq p$. If K_q is the normal q -complement in C , then $P = \cap_{q \neq p} K_q$. Since C is a normal subgroup of G , it follows that $P \leq O_p(G)$. On the other hand $O_p(G) \leq O_{q',q}(G)$ for every $q \neq p$, so $O_p(G) \leq P$. \square

For a profinite group G and a p -element $g \in G$, we say that g *centralizes all the abelian chief factors of G of order coprime to p* (henceforth, p' -chief factors) if g centralizes all abelian chief factors of G/N for every open normal subgroup N of G . We will say that g *centralizes almost all the abelian chief factors of G of order coprime to p* if there exists a non-negative integer t such that, for every open normal subgroup N of G , a chief series of G/N contains at most t abelian factors of order coprime to p that are not centralized by g . With these definitions, we may formulate Theorem 25 in the context of profinite groups.

Corollary 26. *Let G be a prosolvable group and let g be a p -element of G which centralizes all the chief factors of G whose orders are coprime with p . Then $g \in O_p(G)$.*

Theorem 27. *Let G be a virtually prosolvable profinite group and let g be a p -element of G . If $P_p(g, G) > 0$, then there is an open subgroup H of G such that $g \in O_p(H)$. In particular, g has finite order modulo $O_p(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose $P_p(g, G) > \eta$. By Corollary 24, $\omega_p(gN, G/N) \leq -\log_2 \eta$ for every open normal subgroup N of G and we deduce from this that g centralizes almost all abelian p' -chief factors of G . In particular, K contains an open normal subgroup M of G such that g centralizes all the p' -chief factors of M . It follows from Corollary 26 that $g \in O_p(M\langle g \rangle)$. Moreover, $\langle g \rangle \cap M \leq O_p(M) \leq O_p(G)$ implies $|gO_p(G)| \leq |G/M|$. \square

A direct observation, which is implicit in the proof of Theorem 27, is that if a p -element g of a profinite group G centralizes all the p' -chief factors of an open normal prosolvable subgroup M of G which contains g , then $g \in O_p(M)$.

Corollary 28. *Let G be a p -positive profinite group. If the p -Sylow subgroups of G are topologically finitely generated, then G is virtually pro- p .*

Proof. Let P be a p -Sylow subgroup of G and g_1, \dots, g_d a set of topological generators for P . By Corollary 22, G is virtually prosolvable, so it follows from Theorem 27,

that, for each $1 \leq i \leq d$, there exists an open normal subgroup M_i of G such that g_i centralizes all the p' -chief factors of M_i . Let $M = M_1 \cap \dots \cap M_d$. By construction, every p' -chief factor of M is centralized by every element of P . If we consider any other p -element z , there exist $x \in P$ and $y \in G$ such that $z = x^y$. If H/L is a p' -chief factor of M and $h \in H$, then

$$[z, h] = [x^y, h] = [x, h^{y^{-1}}]^y \in L,$$

so every p -element of G centralizes every p' -chief factor of M . In particular, it follows from Corollary 26 that the p -Sylow subgroup $X = P \cap M$ of M is normal in G . We may assume $X = 1$, which implies that P is finite. Moreover, $P \leq O_p(PM)$ by the observation after Theorem 27 and therefore $[P, M] = 1$. Thus, $N_G(P)$ contains M and, consequently, $\Omega_p(G)$ is finite. Since $P_p(1, G) = \mu(\Omega_p(G))$ is positive, we conclude that G is finite. \square

Theorem 29. *Let G be a p -positive profinite group. Then G is virtually pro- p .*

Proof. We may assume $O_p(G) = 1$, since G is virtually pro- p if, and only if, $G/O_p(G)$ is virtually pro- p . First, suppose that G is countably based. By Corollary 22, G contains an open normal prosolvable subgroup K . Thus, there exists a descending chain $\{N_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open normal subgroups of G such that $N_0 = G$, $N_j = K$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i = 1$ and N_i/N_{i+1} is a chief factor of G/N_{i+1} for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let P be a p -Sylow subgroup of G . Moreover let Δ be the set of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $u \geq j$ and N_u/N_{u+1} is a p' -chief factor and let Λ be the set of cofinite subsets of Δ . For every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have that $C_\lambda := \bigcap_{i \in \lambda} C_P(N_i/N_{i+1})$ is a closed subgroup of P and, by Corollary 24, it follows that $P = \bigcup_\lambda C_\lambda$. By the Baire Category Theorem, there exist $\lambda \in \Lambda$, an open normal subgroup M of P and $g \in P$ such that $gM \subseteq C_\lambda$. Since C_λ is a subgroup of G , this implies that M itself is contained in C_λ . In particular, we can find an open normal subgroup N of G contained in K such that M centralizes all the p' -chief factors of N . Given that $O_p(G) = 1$, it follows from $M \cap N \leq O_p(N) \leq O_p(G)$ that $M \cap N = 1$ and, consequently, that P is finite. Now we may apply Corollary 28 and reach the desired conclusion.

Now, suppose G is an uncountably based profinite group such that $P_p(g, G) > 0$ for every p -element of G and suppose, by contradiction, that G is not virtually pro- p . For each open normal subgroup N of G , denote by $\alpha(N)$ the p' -part of $|G/N|$. Note that G is not virtually pro- p if, and only if, $\sup \alpha(N) = +\infty$. Now, we can choose a countable family $\{N_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open normal subgroups such that $\sup_i \alpha(N_i) = +\infty$. Let $M = \bigcap_i N_i$ and consider G/M . On one hand, by construction, G/M is not virtually pro- p and, on the other, it is a countably based profinite group such that $P_p(G/M, gM) > 0$ for every p -element gM of G/M , a contradiction with the previous paragraph. \square

Theorem 30. *Let $P_p(G, G)$ be the probability that two randomly chosen elements of G generate a pro- p group. If $P_p(G, G)$ is positive, then G is virtually pro- p .*

Proof. Suppose $P_p(G, G) > 0$. By the main result in [12], G contains an open normal subgroup N which is pronilpotent. If H is an open normal pro- p subgroup of G , it can be seen that

$$P_p(G/H, G/H) = P_p(G, G),$$

because $\langle x, y \rangle$ is a pro- p group if, and only if, $\langle x, y \rangle H/H$ is a pro- p group, so, in particular, it is not restrictive to assume that $O_p(G) = 1$. Let Ω be the set of the

pairs $(x_1N, x_2N) \in G/N \times G/N$ with the property that $\langle x_1N, x_2N \rangle$ is a p -subgroup of G/N and for any $\omega = (x_1N, x_2N) \in \Omega$, let

$$\Lambda_\omega = \{(x_1n_1, x_2n_2) \in x_1N_1 \times x_2N_2 \mid \langle x_1n_1, x_2n_2 \rangle \text{ is a pro-}p \text{ subgroup of } G\}.$$

Moreover let $\Lambda = \{(x_1, x_2) \in G \times G \mid \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle \text{ a pro-}p \text{ subgroup of } G\}$.

Since $\Lambda = \cup_{\omega \in \Omega} \Lambda_\omega$ and Ω is finite, if $P_p(G, G) = \mu(\Lambda) > 0$, then there exists $\omega = (x_1N, x_2N) \in \Omega$ such that $\mu(\Lambda_\omega) > 0$. In particular $\Lambda_\omega \neq \emptyset$ so it is not restrictive to assume $(x_1, x_2) \in \Lambda_\omega$. Since the order of N , as a supernatural number, is coprime with p , $(x_1n_1, x_2n_2) \in \Lambda_\omega$ if and only if $\langle x_1n_1, x_2n_2 \rangle$ is a p -Sylow subgroup of $N\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$, and therefore, by the profinite generalization of the Sylow theorems, if and only if $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = \langle x_1n_1, x_2n_2 \rangle^n$ for some $n \in N$. In other words, it follows that $\Lambda_\omega = \{(x_1^n, x_2^n) \mid n \in N\}$ and, from $\mu(\Lambda_\omega) > 0$, we conclude that N is finite. \square

Therefore, it remains simply to combine the results of this section to prove Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 6. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) follows from Theorem 29 and (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) follows from Theorem 30; it is clear that (4) \Leftrightarrow (5). \square

REFERENCES

1. J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker and R. A. Wilson, *ATLAS of finite groups. Maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups. With computational assistance from J. G. Thackray.* Oxford University Press, Eynsham, 1985.
2. E. Detomi, A. Lucchini, M. Morigi and P. Shumyatsky, Probabilistic properties of profinite groups, arXiv:2304.04573.
3. K. Doerk and T Hawkes, *Finite soluble groups.* De Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, 4. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1992.
4. P. Erdős and P. Turán, On some problems of a statistical group-theory. II. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 18 (1967), 151–163.
5. J. Fulman, D. Garzoni and R. Guralnick, Probabilistic Generation of Finite Almost Simple Groups, arXiv:2403.17291.
6. R. Guralnick, G. Malle, and G. Navarro, Self-normalizing Sylow subgroups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132, No. 4, 973-979 (2004).
7. S. Harper, *The spread of almost simple classical groups.* Lecture Notes in Math., 2286. Springer, Cham, 2021. viii+151 pp.
8. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen. I. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 134.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967. xii+793 pp.
9. M. Isaacs, *Finite group theory.* Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 92. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008. xii+350 pp.
10. A. Lucchini and D. Nemmi, On the connectivity of the non-generating graph, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 118 (2022), no. 6, 563–576.
11. E. P. Vdovin, On the structure of groups containing a Carter subgroup of odd order. (Russian) *Algebra Logika* 54 (2015), no. 2, 158–162, 295, 299; translation in *Algebra Logic* 54 (2015), no. 2, 105–107
12. J. S. Wilson, The probability of generating a nilpotent subgroup of a finite group, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* 40 (2008), 568–580.

A. LUCCHINI, UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “TULLIO LEVI-CIVITA”,
VIA TRIESTE 63, 35121 PADOVA, ITALY
Email address: lucchini@math.unipd.it

NOWRAS OTMEN, UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA, DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “TULLIO LEVI-CIVITA”,
VIA TRIESTE 63, 35121 PADOVA, ITALY
Email address: nowras.naufel@math.unipd.it