

SYMMETRIC $(15, 8, 4)$ -DESIGNS IN TERMS OF THE GEOMETRY OF BINARY SIMPLEX CODES OF DIMENSION 4

MARK PANKOV, KRZYSZTOF PETELCZYC, MARIUSZ ŻYNEL

ABSTRACT. Let $n = 2^k - 1$ and $m = 2^{k-2}$ for a certain $k \geq 3$. Consider the point-line geometry of $2m$ -element subsets of an n -element set. Maximal singular subspaces of this geometry correspond to binary simplex codes of dimension k . For $k \geq 4$ the associated collinearity graph contains maximal cliques different from maximal singular subspaces. We investigate maximal cliques corresponding to symmetric $(n, 2m, m)$ -designs. The main results concern the case $k = 4$ and give a geometric interpretation of the five well-known symmetric $(15, 8, 4)$ -designs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Points of the projective space $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$ can be naturally identified with non-empty subsets of an n -element set. The subgeometry $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ formed by all $2m$ -element subsets is investigated in [5] (note that $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ is defined only if $n \geq 3m$). Maximal singular subspaces of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ correspond to equidistant binary linear codes. We will suppose that $m = 2^{k-2}$ and $n = 4m - 1 = 2^k - 1$ for a certain integer $k \geq 3$. Then the maximal singular subspaces are related to binary simplex codes of dimension k . The geometry $\mathcal{P}_2(7)$ is a rank 3 polar space and every maximal clique of the corresponding collinearity graph is a maximal singular subspace (a Fano plane). For $k \geq 4$ the collinearity graph contains maximal cliques which are not singular subspaces. If such a clique consists of n elements, then it defines a symmetric $(2^k - 1, 2^{k-1}, 2^{k-2})$ -design (whose points are elements of the n -element set and blocks are elements of the clique).

We consider so-called *centered* maximal n -element cliques of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$. Every such clique is the union of $2^{k-1} - 1$ lines passing through a common point and it can be obtained as a special product of two maximal $(2m-1)$ -element cliques of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_{m/2}(2m-1)$. Using this observation and the fact that every maximal clique of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_2(7)$ is a Fano plane, we show that there are precisely four types of centered maximal 15-element cliques in the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ (Theorem 1). Also, we construct a maximal 15-element cliques in the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ which is not centered; it is the union of a maximal singular subspace of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ ($\text{PG}(3, 2)$) with a plane deleted and a plane disjoint with this subspace (Theorem 2). In this way we come to a geometric interpretation of the five well-known, pairwise non-isomorphic, symmetric $(15, 8, 4)$ -designs.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 51E20, 51E22.

Key words and phrases. point-line geometry, collinearity graph, simplex code, symmetric design.

One way to establish our results (Theorems 1 and 2) would be a laborious step-by-step verification based on the list of $(15, 8, 4)$ -designs (see, for example, [2, Table 1.23, p. 11]). We use more theoretical arguments showing that the classification of centered maximal 15-element cliques in the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ is equivalent to the classification of bijective transformations of a Fano plane; this explains the emergence of these four types. At the end, we provide a simple geometric construction of a non-centered maximal 15-element clique.

As an application of our approach, we simplify the proof of Praeger-Zhou result [6, Proposition 1.5] concerning the action of automorphism groups of symmetric $(15, 8, 4)$ -designs (Section 6).

2. BASICS

A *point-line geometry* is a pair $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$, where \mathcal{P} is a non-empty set whose elements are called *points* and \mathcal{L} is a family formed by subsets of \mathcal{P} called *lines*. Every line contains at least two points and the intersection of two distinct lines contains at most one point. Two distinct points are *collinear* if there is a line containing them. The associated *collinearity graph* is the simple graph whose vertex set is \mathcal{P} and two distinct vertices are connected by an edge if they are collinear points. A subset $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{P}$ is called a *subspace* if for any two collinear points from \mathcal{X} the line joining them is contained in \mathcal{X} . A subspace is *singular* if any two distinct points of this subspace are collinear.

Consider the n -dimensional vector space \mathbb{F}^n over the two-element field $\mathbb{F} = \{0, 1\}$. The vectors

$$e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, e_n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$$

form the standard basis of \mathbb{F}^n and every non-zero vector of \mathbb{F}^n is $e_I = \sum_{i \in I} e_i$, where I is a non-empty subset of the n -element set $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$, i.e. the i -th coordinate of e_I is 1 if $i \in I$ and 0 otherwise. So, the points of the associated projective space $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$ can be naturally identified with the non-empty subsets of $[n]$ and for any distinct non-empty subsets $X, Y \subset [n]$ the third point on the line containing X, Y is the symmetric difference $X \Delta Y$. Observe that the set of all t -element subsets of $[n]$ contains lines of $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$ only when t is even and $n \geq \frac{3}{2}t$ (see [5] for the details).

For a positive integer m satisfying $n \geq 3m$ we denote by $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ the point-line geometry whose points are all $2m$ -element subsets of $[n]$ and lines are the lines of $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$ consisting of such subsets. Two $2m$ -element subsets $X, Y \subset [n]$ are collinear points of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ if and only if $|X \cap Y| = m$. Maximal singular subspaces of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ correspond to maximal equidistant binary linear codes of length n and Hamming weight $2m$.

Every permutation on the set $[n]$ (a monomial linear automorphism of \mathbb{F}^n) induces an automorphism of the geometry $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$. All automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ are determined in [5]. In some cases, for example, if the below condition (1) is satisfied, there are automorphisms which are not induced by permutations. A description of automorphisms of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ is an open problem, every automorphism of the geometry is an automorphism of the collinearity graph and the converse is not necessarily true.

Remark 1. Let \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}' be maximal singular subspaces of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$. Suppose that their dimension is not less than 2. Then every isomorphism of \mathcal{S} to \mathcal{S}' is induced by a linear isomorphism between the corresponding equidistant binary linear codes

(the Fundamental Theorem of Projective Geometry). The latter can be extended to a monomial linear automorphism of \mathbb{F}^n by MacWilliams theorem (see, for example, [3, Section 7.9]). Therefore, every isomorphism of \mathcal{S} to \mathcal{S}' can be extended to an automorphism of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ induced by a permutation.

From this moment we assume that

$$(1) \quad m = 2^{k-2} \quad \text{and} \quad n = 4m - 1 = 2^k - 1$$

for a certain integer $k \geq 2$. Then every maximal singular subspace of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ corresponds to a binary simplex code of dimension k (by [1], see also [3, Theorem 7.9.5], such codes can be characterized as maximal equidistant binary linear codes of length $n = 2^k - 1$ and Hamming weight $2m = 2^{k-1}$). There is the unique binary simplex code of dimension 2 and $\mathcal{P}_1(3)$ is a line formed by three points. The geometry $\mathcal{P}_2(7)$ is a rank 3 polar space, see [4, Subsection 4.1] and [5, Proposition 2].

By [7], every maximal clique of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ contains at most n elements. Therefore, every maximal singular subspace of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ is a maximal clique of the collinearity graph (it is $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)$ and, consequently, contains $2^k - 1 = n$ elements). Since $\mathcal{P}_2(7)$ is a polar space, every maximal clique of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_2(7)$ is a maximal singular subspace (if a point of a polar space is collinear to two distinct points on a line, then this point is collinear to all points on this line). In the case when $k \geq 4$, there exist maximal cliques of the collinearity graph which are not singular subspaces. If \mathcal{C} is a maximal clique of the collinearity graph containing n elements, then it can be considered as a symmetric $(n, 2m, m)$ -design whose points are elements of $[n]$ and whose blocks are elements of \mathcal{C} .

Recall that a symmetric $(n, 2m, m)$ -design is an incidence structure formed by n points and n blocks. Each block consists of $2m$ points and the intersection of two distinct blocks contains precisely m points.

A well-known example of symmetric $(n, 2m, m)$ -design is the design of points and hyperplane complements of $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)$. Every hyperplane H of $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)$ and its complement H^c contain precisely $2^{k-1} - 1$ and $2^k - 1 - (2^{k-1} - 1) = 2^{k-1} = 2m$ points, respectively. The intersection of the complements of two distinct hyperplanes of $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)$ consists of $2^{k-2} = m$ points.

Proposition 1. *The design of points and hyperplane complements of $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)$ is isomorphic to the design corresponding to a maximal singular subspace of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$.*

Proof. Let H_1 and H_2 be distinct hyperplanes of $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)$. There is a unique hyperplane H_3 distinct from H_1, H_2 and containing $H_1 \cap H_2$. We have

$$H_1^c \triangle H_2^c = H_1 \triangle H_2$$

and this set coincides with H_3^c (since $H_1 \cup H_2 \cup H_3$ contains all points of $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)$). So, H_1^c, H_2^c, H_3^c form a line in the geometry of $2m$ -element subsets of the point set of $\text{PG}(k-1, 2)$ and all complements of hyperplanes form a singular subspace of the geometry. This subspace contains precisely n elements and, consequently, it is a maximal singular subspace. \square

Remark 2. Symmetric block designs are closely related to *Hadamard matrices*, square matrices whose entries are either 1 or -1 and whose rows are mutually orthogonal. A Hadamard matrix is *normalized* if its first row and column contain only 1. There is a one-to-one correspondence between normalized Hadamard matrices

of order $4t$ and symmetric $(4t - 1, 2t, t)$ -designs: if in such a matrix we replace 1 and -1 by 0 and 1 (respectively) and remove the first column and row, then we obtain the incidence matrix of a certain symmetric $(4t - 1, 2t, t)$ -design. In particular, we have a one-to-one correspondence between maximal n -element cliques of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ and normalized Hadamard matrices of order $n + 1 = 2^k$.

3. CENTERED MAXIMAL CLIQUES

Let \mathcal{C} be a maximal clique of the collinear graph of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$. We say that $O \in \mathcal{C}$ is a *center point* of \mathcal{C} if for every $C \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{O\}$ the line joining C and O is in \mathcal{C} , in other words, there is $C' \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $C \Delta C' = O$. In this case, the maximal clique \mathcal{C} is called *centered*. A maximal clique can contain more than one center point. For example, every maximal singular subspace of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ is a maximal clique where each point is center.

Let O be a $2m$ -element subset of $[n]$, i.e a point of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$. The complement $O^c = [n] \setminus O$ consists of $n - 2m = 2m - 1$ elements. By (1), we have

$$m/2 = 2^{k-3} \quad \text{and} \quad 2m - 1 = 4(m/2) - 1 = 2^{k-1} - 1.$$

We take a maximal clique \mathcal{X} in the collinearity graph of the point-line geometry formed by m -element subsets of O^c (this geometry is naturally isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_{m/2}(2m - 1)$). Let also \mathcal{Y} be a maximal clique in the collinearity graph of the geometry of m -element subsets of a certain $(2m - 1)$ -element subset of O (the geometry is also isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_{m/2}(2m - 1)$). Suppose that \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} both contain $2m - 1$ elements, i.e. correspond to symmetric $(2m - 1, m, m/2)$ -designs.

We take $Y' = O \setminus Y$ for every subset $Y \subset O$ and denote by \mathcal{Y}' the set consisting of all Y' such that $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. For every bijection $\delta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'$ we denote by $\mathcal{X} \#_{\delta} \mathcal{Y}$ the subset of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ formed by O and all $X \cup \delta(X)$, $X \cup \delta(X)'$, where $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proposition 2. *$\mathcal{X} \#_{\delta} \mathcal{Y}$ is a centered maximal n -element clique of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ and O is its center point.*

Proof. Every element of $\mathcal{X} \#_{\delta} \mathcal{Y}$ distinct from O is $X \cup \delta(X)$ or $X \cup \delta(X)'$ for a certain $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and it intersects O in $\delta(X)$ or $\delta(X)'$, respectively. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{X} \#_{\delta} \mathcal{Y}$ consists of $2|\mathcal{X}| + 1 = n$ elements and $X \cup \delta(X)$, $X \cup \delta(X)'$, O form a line for every $X \in \mathcal{X}$.

Let X and \tilde{X} be distinct elements of \mathcal{X} . Then $X \cup \delta(X)$ intersects $\tilde{X} \cup \delta(\tilde{X})$ and $\tilde{X} \cup \delta(\tilde{X})'$ in

$$(2) \quad (X \cap \tilde{X}) \cup (\delta(X) \cap \delta(\tilde{X})) \quad \text{and} \quad (X \cap \tilde{X}) \cup (\delta(X) \cap \delta(\tilde{X})'),$$

respectively. Since X, \tilde{X} and $\delta(X), \delta(\tilde{X})$ are distinct elements of \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} (respectively), we have

$$|X \cap \tilde{X}| = m/2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\delta(X) \cap \delta(\tilde{X})| = m/2.$$

The latter equality implies that $|\delta(X) \cap \delta(\tilde{X})'| = m/2$ (since $\delta(X), \delta(\tilde{X}), \delta(\tilde{X})'$ are m -element subsets of the $2m$ -element set O). Therefore, each of the subsets (2) consists of m element and, consequently, $X \cup \delta(X)$ is collinear to $\tilde{X} \cup \delta(\tilde{X})$ and $\tilde{X} \cup \delta(\tilde{X})'$. Similarly, we establish that $X \cup \delta(X)'$ is collinear to $\tilde{X} \cup \delta(\tilde{X})$ and $\tilde{X} \cup \delta(\tilde{X})'$. So, $\mathcal{X} \#_{\delta} \mathcal{Y}$ is an n -element clique and, consequently, it is a maximal clique. \square

Remark 3. Let $H_{\mathcal{X}}$ and $H_{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the normalized Hadamard matrices of order $2m$ corresponding to \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , respectively. Then the normalized Hadamard matrix of order $4m$ corresponding to $\mathcal{X}\#_{\delta}\mathcal{Y}$ is

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} H_{\mathcal{X}} & \tilde{H}_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \hline H_{\mathcal{X}} & -\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{Y}} \end{array} \right],$$

where $\tilde{H}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is the normalized Hadamard matrix obtained from $H_{\mathcal{Y}}$ by the row permutation corresponding to the bijection $\delta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.

Proposition 3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a centered maximal n -element clique of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_m(n)$ and let O be a center point of \mathcal{C} . There is a unique maximal $(2m-1)$ -element clique \mathcal{X} in the collinearity graph of the geometry formed by m -element subsets of O^c and for every $(2m-1)$ -element subset $Z \subset O$ there is a unique maximal $(2m-1)$ -element clique \mathcal{Y} in the collinearity graph of the geometry of m -element subsets of Z such that $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{X}\#_{\delta}\mathcal{Y}$ for a certain bijection $\delta : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.*

Proof. If $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is distinct from O , then each of the intersections

$$X_C = C \cap O^c \quad \text{and} \quad Y_C = C \cap O$$

consists of m element. As above, we put $Y' = O \setminus Y$ for every subset $Y \subset O$. Since O is a center point, $O\Delta C$ (the third point on the line joining O and C) belongs to \mathcal{C} and the equality $O\Delta C = X_C \cup Y'_C$ implies that

$$X_{O\Delta C} = X_C \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{O\Delta C} = Y'_C.$$

Consider the set \mathcal{X} formed by all X_C , $C \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{O\}$. Since $O\Delta C$ belongs to \mathcal{C} and $X_{O\Delta C} = X_C$, we have

$$|\mathcal{X}| = (n-1)/2 = 2m-1.$$

Every $\tilde{C} = X_{\tilde{C}} \cup Y_{\tilde{C}}$ belonging to $\mathcal{C} \setminus \{O, C, O\Delta C\}$ intersects each of $C = X_C \cup Y_C$, $O\Delta C = X_C \cup Y'_C$ and O in an m -element subset. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C} \cap C &= (X_{\tilde{C}} \cap X_C) \cup (Y_{\tilde{C}} \cap Y_C), \\ \tilde{C} \cap (O\Delta C) &= (X_{\tilde{C}} \cap X_C) \cup (Y_{\tilde{C}} \cap Y'_C), \\ \tilde{C} \cap O &= \tilde{C} \cap (Y_C \cup Y'_C) = (Y_{\tilde{C}} \cap Y_C) \cup (Y_{\tilde{C}} \cap Y'_C), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that

$$|X_{\tilde{C}} \cap X_C| + |Y_{\tilde{C}} \cap Y_C| = |X_{\tilde{C}} \cap X_C| + |Y_{\tilde{C}} \cap Y'_C| = |Y_{\tilde{C}} \cap Y_C| + |Y_{\tilde{C}} \cap Y'_C| = m$$

and

$$|X_{\tilde{C}} \cap X_C| = |Y_{\tilde{C}} \cap Y_C| = |Y_{\tilde{C}} \cap Y'_C| = m/2.$$

In particular, \mathcal{X} is a clique in the collinearity graph of the geometry of m -element subsets of O^c . This clique consists of $2m-1$ elements and, consequently, it is maximal.

Now, we consider the set $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ formed by all Y_C , $C \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{O\}$. Observe that every Y belongs to $\tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ together with Y' (every $C \neq O$ belongs to \mathcal{C} together with $O\Delta C$ and $Y_{O\Delta C} = Y'_C$). If $Y_1, Y_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $Y_2 \neq Y'_1$, then $|Y_1 \cap Y_2| = m/2$ (it was established above). Therefore, for any distinct $Y_1, Y_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ we have

$$|Y_1 \cap Y_2| = m/2 \quad \text{or} \quad Y_2 = Y'_1.$$

Let Z be a $(2m-1)$ -element subset of O and let \mathcal{Y} be the set of all $Y \in \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ contained in Z . If $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, then $Y' \notin \mathcal{Y}$. This means that \mathcal{Y} consists of $(n-1)/2 = 2m-1$

elements and is a clique in the collinearity graph of the geometry of m -element subsets of Z . This clique is maximal (since it consists of $2m - 1$ elements).

For every $X \in \mathcal{X}$ there is a unique $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that $X \cup Y$ belongs to \mathcal{C} and we take $\delta(X) = Y$. Then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{X} \#_{\delta} \mathcal{Y}$. \square

Remark 4. Let Z_1 and Z_2 be distinct $(2m - 1)$ -element subsets of O . Then

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{X} \#_{\delta_1} \mathcal{Y}_1 = \mathcal{X} \#_{\delta_2} \mathcal{Y}_2,$$

where \mathcal{Y}_i is a maximal $(2m - 1)$ -element clique in the collinearity graph of the geometry of m -element subsets of Z_i . Suppose that s is the element of O which does not belong to Z_2 . Then $s \in Z_1$. Replacing every $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_1$ containing s by Y' we obtain \mathcal{Y}_2 . Therefore, the multiplying some $2m$ rows (corresponding to all $Y \in \mathcal{Y}_1$ containing s) in the Hadamard matrix associated to \mathcal{Y}_1 by -1 gives the Hadamard matrix associated to \mathcal{Y}_2 .

Let $\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \mathcal{P}'_1, \mathcal{P}'_2$ be four exemplars of $\text{PG}(t, 2)$. We say that maps

$$\delta : \mathcal{P}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \delta' : \mathcal{P}'_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}'_2$$

are *equivalent* if there are isomorphisms $g_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$ of \mathcal{P}_i to \mathcal{P}'_i such that

$$\delta' = g_2 \delta g_1^{-1}.$$

Now, we consider centered maximal n -element cliques

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{X} \#_{\delta} \mathcal{Y} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{X}' \#_{\delta'} \mathcal{Y}'$$

with center points O and O' , respectively. As above, $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}'$ are maximal $(2m - 1)$ -element cliques in the collinearity graphs of the geometries formed by m -element subsets of O^c and O'^c (respectively) and $\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}'$ are maximal $(2m - 1)$ -element cliques in the collinearity graphs of the geometries formed by m -element subsets of $(2m - 1)$ -element subsets $Z \subset O$ and $Z' \subset O'$ (respectively).

Lemma 1. *If $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X}', \mathcal{Y}'$ are maximal singular subspaces of the corresponding geometries and δ, δ' are equivalent, then there is a permutation on the set $[n]$ transferring \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C}' .*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume that $O = O'$ and $Z = Z'$. By our assumption, there are isomorphisms $g : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'$ and $h : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}'$ such that $\delta' = h \delta g^{-1}$. MacWilliams theorem (Remark 1) shows that g and h are induced by permutations on O^c and Z , respectively. The second permutation can be uniquely extended to a permutation on O . The union of the above permutations is a permutation on $[n]$ sending \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C}' . \square

Remark 5. The converse statement is not obvious. Our cliques may contain more than one center point and a permutation sending \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C}' may transfer O to a center point different from O' .

4. CENTERED MAXIMAL CLIQUES. THE CASE $m = 4$ AND $n = 15$

4.1. Classification. In this section, we obtain the following classification of centered maximal 15-element cliques of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$.

Theorem 1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a centered maximal 15-element clique of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$. Then one of the following possibilities is realized:*

- (C1) \mathcal{C} is a maximal singular subspace of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ and every point of \mathcal{C} is a center point, see Figure 5.
- (C2) \mathcal{C} is the union of three Fano planes whose intersection is a line formed by center points; every line in \mathcal{C} is contained in one of these planes, see Figure 6.
- (C3) \mathcal{C} contains a unique Fano plane and a unique center point belonging to this plane; every line in \mathcal{C} is contained in this plane or passes through the center point, see Figure 7.
- (C4) \mathcal{C} contains a unique center point and every line in \mathcal{C} passes through the center point, see Figure 8.

For any two centered maximal 15-element cliques of the same type there is a permutation on the set [15] transferring one of these cliques to the other.

Recall that $\mathcal{P}_2(7)$ is a rank 3 polar space and, consequently, every maximal clique of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_2(7)$ is a singular subspace isomorphic to a Fano plane. By Proposition 3, every centered maximal 15-element clique of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ with a center point O is $\mathcal{F}_1 \#_{\delta} \mathcal{F}_2$, where $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ are Fano planes whose points are 4-element subsets of O^c and O (respectively) and δ is a bijection of \mathcal{F}_1 to \mathcal{F}_2 . Note that points of \mathcal{F}_2 are subsets of a 7-element subset of O which is not uniquely defined. Our proof of Theorem 1 is based on a classification of bijections between \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 which will be given in the next subsection.

4.2. Bijective maps of Fano planes. As above, we assume that \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are Fano planes. Recall that bijections δ and δ' of \mathcal{F}_1 to \mathcal{F}_2 are *equivalent* if there are automorphisms g_1 and g_2 of \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 (respectively) such that $\delta' = g_2 \delta g_1$. The *index* $\text{ind}(\delta)$ of a bijection $\delta : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ is the number of lines which go to lines under δ . Therefore, δ is an isomorphism if and only if the index of δ is 7.

Proposition 4. *Two bijections between \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are equivalent if and only if they are of the same index. There are precisely four classes of equivalence and the corresponding index values are 0, 1, 3, 7.*

Without loss of generality, we assume that $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}$. The points of \mathcal{F} are denoted as follows: P_1, P_2, P_3 are non-collinear points, P_{ij} is the third point on the line connecting P_i and P_j , $i \neq j$ and P_{123} is the seventh point (see Fig. 1).




FIGURE 1. A labelling of the points of \mathcal{F}

Recall that a *simplex* in \mathcal{F} is formed by four points such that any three of them are not on a line. It is easy to see that a subset of \mathcal{F} is a simplex if and only if its complement is a line. For example, $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_{123}\}$ is a simplex. For any two

simplices $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^4$ and $\{Y_i\}_{i=1}^4$ there is a unique automorphism g of \mathcal{F} satisfying $g(X_i) = Y_i$ for every i .

Lemma 2. *If δ is a bijective transformation of \mathcal{F} sending a certain simplex to a simplex, then $\text{ind}(\delta) \in \{1, 3, 7\}$. A bijective transformation δ' of \mathcal{F} sending a simplex to a simplex is equivalent to δ if and only if δ, δ' are of the same index.*

Proof. Let δ be a bijective transformation of \mathcal{F} sending a certain simplex to a simplex. There are automorphisms g_1, g_2 such that $g_2\delta g_1$ leaves fixed every point of the simplex $\mathcal{S} = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_{123}\}$. Since δ and $g_2\delta g_1$ are equivalent, we can assume that the restriction of δ to \mathcal{S} is identity. Then δ induces a permutation on the line $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ formed by P_{12}, P_{13}, P_{23} and one of the following possibilities is realized:

- The restriction of δ to $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ is identity which implies that δ is identity and, consequently, it is an automorphism.
- The restriction of δ to $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ is a transposition. Then δ is of index 3 (see Fig. 2 – the dotted lines are preserved).




FIGURE 2. The case when δ is of index 3

- The restriction of δ to $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ is a 3-cycle. Then δ is of index 1 (see Fig. 3 – the dotted line is preserved).




FIGURE 3. The case when δ is of index 1

Suppose that δ' is a bijective transformation of \mathcal{F} which sends a simplex to a simplex and whose index is equal to the index of δ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that δ' leaves fixed every point of the simplex \mathcal{S} . Then the restriction of δ' to the line $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ is one of the permutations considered above. Since $\text{ind}(\delta) = \text{ind}(\delta')$, there is a permutation s on $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ such that $s^{-1}\delta s$ coincides with the restriction of δ' to $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{S}$. We extend s to an automorphism g of \mathcal{F} and obtain that $\delta' = g^{-1}\delta g$. \square

Proof of Proposition 4. Let δ be a bijective transformation of \mathcal{F} . As above, we denote by \mathcal{S} the simplex formed by P_1, P_2, P_3, P_{123} . Suppose $\delta(\mathcal{S})$ is not a simplex. Then $\delta(\mathcal{S})$ consists of a line and a point not on this line. Recall that any bijection between simplices can be extended to an automorphism of \mathcal{F} . Also, for any lines L_1, L_2 in \mathcal{F} and any points P_1, P_2 such that $P_i \notin L_i$ every bijection of L_1 to L_2 can be extended to an automorphism of \mathcal{F} transferring P_1 to P_2 . Since δ can be replaced by $g_1\delta g_2$ for any pair of automorphisms g_1, g_2 , we can assume that

$$\delta(\mathcal{S}) = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_{23}\},$$

$\delta(P_i) = P_i$ for every i and $\delta(P_{123}) = P_{23}$. Then δ induces a non-trivial permutation on the set

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{F} \setminus \{P_1, P_2, P_3\} = \{P_{12}, P_{13}, P_{23}, P_{123}\}.$$

One of the following possibilities is realized:

- (1) the restriction of δ to \mathcal{T} is the transposition P_{123}, P_{23} ;
- (2) the restriction of δ to \mathcal{T} is the sum of the transpositions P_{123}, P_{23} and P_{12}, P_{13} ;
- (3) the restriction of δ to \mathcal{T} is the 3-cycle P_{123}, P_{23}, P_{1i} with $i \in \{2, 3\}$;
- (4) the restriction of δ to \mathcal{T} is a 4-cycle.

In the cases (1) and (2), δ sends the simplex $\{P_2, P_3, P_{12}, P_{13}\}$ to itself (it leaves fixed all points of this simplex in the first case and transposes two points in the second) and we apply Lemma 2. In the case (3), δ sends the simplex $\{P_i, P_{1i}, P_{23}, P_{123}\}$ to itself and we use Lemma 2 again.

Consider the case (4). A direct verification shows that the index of δ is zero. The restriction of δ to \mathcal{T} is one of the following 4-cycles:

$$\tau_1 = P_{123}, P_{23}, P_{12}, P_{13} \quad \text{or} \quad \tau_2 = P_{123}, P_{23}, P_{13}, P_{12}.$$

Denote by δ_i , $i \in \{1, 2\}$ the transformation of \mathcal{F} which leaves P_1, P_2, P_3 fixed and whose restriction to \mathcal{T} is τ_i . Then δ is one of δ_i . The arguments from the beginning of our proof show that every bijective transformation of \mathcal{F} with index 0 is equivalent to one of δ_i . It is easy to see that $\delta_2 = g\delta_1g$, where g is the automorphism of \mathcal{F} which transposes the pair P_2, P_3 , the pair P_{12}, P_{13} and leaves fixed all remaining points. So, δ_1 and δ_2 are equivalent. \square

4.3. Proof of Theorem 1. Let \mathcal{C} be a centered maximal 15-element clique of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ and let O be a center point of \mathcal{C} . Then $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{F}_1 \#_{\delta} \mathcal{F}_2$, where $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ are Fano planes whose points are 4-element subsets of O^c and a certain 7-element subset of O (respectively) and $\delta : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ is a bijection. Denote by \mathcal{C}_+ and \mathcal{C}_- the set of all $X \cup \delta(X)$ and, respectively, $X \cup (O \setminus \delta(X))$ with $X \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Each of these sets contains precisely 7 elements. For every $C \in \mathcal{C}_+$ we have $O \Delta C \in \mathcal{C}_-$.

Lemma 3. *If \mathcal{C} contains a certain line L of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ which does not pass through O , then the plane spanned by L and O is contained in \mathcal{C} . This plane contains a line formed by points of \mathcal{C}_+ .*

Proof. The first statement is obvious. To prove the second statement we need the following observation: there is no line of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ contained in \mathcal{C}_- .

Indeed, the points of \mathcal{F}_2 are 4-element subsets of a certain 7-element subset $Z \subset O$ and, consequently, for every $Y \in \mathcal{F}_2$ the complement $O \setminus Y$ contains the unique $i \in O \setminus Z$ which implies that every element of \mathcal{C}_- contains i . This gives

the claim, since for any three points A, B, C on a line of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ the intersection $A \cap B \cap C$ is empty.

Now, consider two distinct lines L_1, L_2 in the plane spanned by L and O which pass through O . The line L_i contains a point $C_i \in \mathcal{C}_+$. Then $O\Delta C_1, O\Delta C_2$ belong to \mathcal{C}_- and the third point on the line joining them belongs to \mathcal{C}_+ (it was noted above that \mathcal{C}_- does not contain a line). This point is also the third point on the line joining C_1, C_2 . \square

Let $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{X}$. Then the line joining $X_1 \cup \delta(X_1)$ and $X_2 \cup \delta(X_2)$ is contained in \mathcal{C}_+ if and only if

$$\delta(X_1 \Delta X_2) = \delta(X_1) \Delta \delta(X_2),$$

in other words, δ transfers the line joining X_1, X_2 in \mathcal{F}_1 to a certain line of \mathcal{F}_2 . Therefore, \mathcal{C}_+ contains precisely $\text{ind}(\delta)$ lines. By Proposition 4, $\text{ind}(\delta) \in \{0, 1, 3, 7\}$.

If the index is 7, then \mathcal{C}_+ is a plane and \mathcal{C} is the maximal singular subspace of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ spanned by this plane and O .

In the case when the index is 3, three lines of \mathcal{F}_1 whose images are lines of \mathcal{F}_2 have a common point (see Fig.2 in the proof of Lemma 2). Then \mathcal{C}_+ is the union of three lines passing through a point which implies that \mathcal{C} is the union of three planes with a common line. Lemma 3 guarantees that every line contained in \mathcal{C} is on one of these planes.

If the index is 1 or 0, then \mathcal{C}_+ contains a unique line or does not contain a line, respectively. Lemma 3 shows that the possibility (C3) or, respectively, (C4) is realized.

The second part of Theorem 1 is a direct consequence of Lemma 1.

5. NON-CENTERED MAXIMAL CLIQUES. THE CASE $m = 4$ AND $n = 15$

In this section, we construct a maximal 15-element clique of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ which is not centered.

Theorem 2. *There is a non-centered maximal 15-element clique in the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ which is the union of a maximal singular subspace of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ with a plane deleted and a plane disjoint with this subspace.*

Proof. For every integer $t > 0$ we define

$$[t] = \{1, \dots, t\} \text{ and } [-t] = \{-1, \dots, -t\}$$

and set

$$\{\pm i_1, \dots, \pm i_t\} = \{i_1, -i_1, \dots, i_t, -i_t\}$$

for any integers $i_1, \dots, i_t > 0$. We consider the 15-element set $[-7] \cup \{0\} \cup [7]$ instead of $[15]$. Then

$$X_1 = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4\},$$

$$X_2 = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 5, \pm 6\},$$

$$X_3 = \{\pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 6\}$$

form a line of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ and

$$X = \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 7\}$$

is collinear to each X_i . These four points span a plane \mathcal{F} contained in $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ and the remaining three points of \mathcal{F} are

$$X \Delta X_1 = \{\pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 7\},$$

$$X \Delta X_2 = \{\pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 6, \pm 7\},$$

$$X \Delta X_3 = \{\pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 6, \pm 7\}.$$

If $Y \in \mathcal{P}_4(15)$ contains 0 and precisely one of $i, -i$ for every $i \in [7]$, then it is collinear to all points of \mathcal{F} . We take $Y = \{0\} \cup [7]$.

For any distinct $i, j \in [6]$ the point

$$N_{ij} = \{0, i, j, 7\} \cup ([-6] \setminus \{-i, -j\})$$

is collinear to Y and all points of \mathcal{F} . The points N_{ij} and $N_{i'j'}$ are collinear if and only if

$$\{i, j\} \cap \{i', j'\} = \emptyset.$$

Indeed,

$$N_{ij} \cap N_{i'j'} = \{0, 7\} \cup (\{i, j\} \cap \{i', j'\}) \cup ([-6] \setminus (\{-i, -j\} \cup \{-i', -j'\}))$$

contains precisely 4 elements if and only if the above condition holds.

For any mutually distinct $i, j, t \in [6]$ the point

$$M_{ijt} = \{-7, 0, i, j, t\} \cup ([-6] \setminus \{-i, -j, -t\}).$$

is collinear to Y and all points of \mathcal{F} . The points M_{ijt} and $M_{i'j't'}$ are collinear if and only if

$$|\{i, j, t\} \cap \{i', j', t'\}| = 1.$$

Indeed,

$$M_{ijt} \cap M_{i'j't'} = \{-7, 0\} \cup (\{i, j, t\} \cap \{i', j', t'\}) \cup ([-6] \setminus (\{-i, -j, -t\} \cup \{-i', -j', -t'\}))$$

contains precisely 4 elements if and only if the latter condition holds. Similarly, N_{ij} and M_{tsl} are collinear if and only if

$$|\{i, j\} \cap \{t, s, l\}| = 1,$$

since

$$N_{ij} \cap M_{tsl} = \{0\} \cup (\{i, j\} \cap \{t, s, l\}) \cup ([-6] \setminus (\{-i, -j\} \cup \{-t, -s, -l\}))$$

contains precisely 4 elements if and only if the latter condition is satisfied.

The points

$$(3) \quad N_{13}, N_{25}, N_{46} \text{ and } M_{124}, M_{156}, M_{236}, M_{345}$$

are mutually collinear; see Fig. 4, where the solid lines correspond to the four points M_{tsl} and the dotted lines correspond to the three points N_{ij} .




FIGURE 4. Schematic diagram for non-coplanar points in non-centered maximal clique

For the points (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y \triangle N_{ij} &= ([-6] \setminus \{-i, -j\}) \cup ([6] \setminus \{i, j\}), \\ Y \triangle M_{ijt} &= ([-7] \setminus \{-i, -j, -t\}) \cup ([7] \setminus \{i, j, t\}), \\ N_{ij} \triangle N_{i'j'} &= \{\pm i, \pm j, \pm i', \pm j'\}, \\ M_{ijt} \triangle M_{i'j't'} &= \{\pm j, \pm t, \pm j', \pm t'\}, \\ N_{ij} \triangle M_{its} &= \{\pm j, \pm t, \pm s, \pm 7\}. \end{aligned}$$

More precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} Y \triangle N_{13} &= N_{25} \triangle N_{46} = M_{124} \triangle M_{156} = M_{236} \triangle M_{345} = \{\pm 2, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 6\}, \\ Y \triangle N_{25} &= N_{13} \triangle N_{46} = M_{124} \triangle M_{236} = M_{156} \triangle M_{345} = \{\pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 6\}, \\ Y \triangle N_{46} &= N_{13} \triangle N_{25} = M_{124} \triangle M_{345} = M_{156} \triangle M_{236} = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 5\}, \\ Y \triangle M_{124} &= N_{13} \triangle M_{156} = N_{25} \triangle M_{236} = N_{46} \triangle M_{345} = \{\pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 6, \pm 7\}, \\ Y \triangle M_{156} &= N_{13} \triangle M_{124} = N_{25} \triangle M_{345} = N_{46} \triangle M_{236} = \{\pm 2, \pm 3, \pm 4, \pm 7\}, \\ Y \triangle M_{236} &= N_{13} \triangle M_{345} = N_{25} \triangle M_{124} = N_{46} \triangle M_{156} = \{\pm 1, \pm 4, \pm 5, \pm 7\}, \\ Y \triangle M_{345} &= N_{13} \triangle M_{236} = N_{25} \triangle M_{156} = N_{46} \triangle M_{124} = \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 6, \pm 7\}. \end{aligned}$$

These seven points form a plane \mathcal{F}' (the first three points are on a line and the lines joining the fourth point with the first, second and third point contain the fifth, sixth and seventh point, respectively). Denote by \mathcal{S} the maximal singular subspace of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ spanned by \mathcal{F}' and Y . Then $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{F}'$ is formed by Y and the points (3). The clique $(\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{F}') \cup \mathcal{F}$ contains 15 elements and, consequently, is maximal. This clique is not centered, since it does not contain lines passing through points of $\mathcal{S} \setminus \mathcal{F}'$. \square

6. REMARK ON THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP ACTION

By Proposition 1, a clique of type (C1) (i.e. a maximal singular subspace of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$) corresponds to the symmetric $(15, 8, 4)$ -design whose points are points of $\text{PG}(3, 2)$ and blocks are hyperplane complements.

Proposition 5 (Proposition 1.5 in [6]). *The design of points and hyperplane complements of $\text{PG}(3, 2)$ is the unique symmetric $(15, 8, 4)$ -design admitting a flag-transitive, point-imprimitive subgroup of automorphisms.*

We prove the following.

Lemma 4. *If a symmetric $(15, 8, 4)$ -design corresponds to a clique of type (C2)-(C4) or the non-centered clique from Theorem 2, then the automorphism group of this design acts non-transitively on the set of blocks.*

Proof. Every permutation on the set [15] is an automorphism of the geometry $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$. So, a permutation preserving a clique of type (C2)-(C4) and sending a center point to a non-center point does not exist. From the same reason, there is no permutation preserving the non-centered clique from Theorem 2 which sends points of the maximal singular subspace with a plane deleted to points of the disjoint plane. Therefore, the automorphism group of a design acts non-transitively on the set of blocks if the blocks form a clique of one of these types. \square

Lemma 4 together with [6, Lemma 4.2] (which states that the design of points and hyperplane complements of $\text{PG}(3, 2)$ admits a flag-transitive, point-imprimitive subgroup of automorphisms) imply Proposition 5.

7. FIGURES

All five types of maximal 15-element cliques of the collinearity graph of $\mathcal{P}_4(15)$ together with the incidence matrices obtained from the corresponding normalized Hadamard matrices (see Remark 2) are presented on Fig. 5 – 9. Lines that are entirely contained in our cliques are pictured (except the non-centered clique with some additional dotted lines on Fig. 9).




FIGURE 5. Clique (C1) from Theorem 1 and its Hadamard matrix




FIGURE 6. Clique (C2) from Theorem 1 and its Hadamard matrix




FIGURE 7. Clique (C3) from Theorem 1 and its Hadamard matrix




FIGURE 8. Clique (C4) from Theorem 1 and its Hadamard matrix




FIGURE 9. Non-centered clique and its Hadamard matrix

Acknowledgment. The authors are grateful to Alessandro Montinaro for useful information concerning [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bonisoli, *Every equidistant linear code is a sequence of dual Hamming codes*, Ars Combin. 18(1984), 181-186.
- [2] C. J. Colbourn, J. H. Dinitz (Eds.), *The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs*, CRC Press Series on Discrete Mathematics and its Applications, CRC Press, Boca Raton 1996.
- [3] W. C. Huffman, V. Pless, *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [4] M. Kwiatkowski, M. Pankov, A. Pasini, *The graphs of projective codes*, Finite Fields Appl. 54(2018), 15-29.
- [5] M. Pankov, K. Petelczyc, M. Żynel, *Point-line geometry related to binary equidistant codes*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A (accepted).
- [6] C. E. Praeger, S. Zhou, *Imprimitive flag-transitive symmetric designs*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A 113 (2006), 1381-1395.
- [7] H. J. Ryser, *An extension of a theorem of de Bruijn and Erdős on combinatorial designs*, Journal of Algebra 10 (1968), 246-261.

MARK PANKOV: FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF WARMIA AND MAZURY, SŁONECZNA 54, 10-710 OLSZTYN, POLAND
Email address: `pankov@matman.uwm.edu.pl`

KRZYSZTOF PETELCZYC, MARIUSZ ŻYNEL: FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BIAŁYSTOK, CIOŁKOWSKIEGO 1M, 15-245 BIAŁYSTOK, POLAND
Email address: `kryzpet@math.uwb.edu.pl`, `mariusz@math.uwb.edu.pl`