

Jet interaction with galaxy cluster mergers

P. DOMÍNGUEZ-FERNÁNDEZ ¹, J. ZUHONE ¹, R. WEINBERGER ², E. BELLOMI ¹, L. HERNQUIST ¹, P. NULSEN ¹,
AND G. BRUNETTI ³

¹*Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

²*Leibniz-Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam (AIP), An der Sternwarte 16, 14482 Potsdam, Germany*

³*INAF/Osservatorio di Radioastronomia, via Gobetti 101, I-40129 Bologna, Italy*

ABSTRACT

AGN bubbles in cool-core galaxy clusters are believed to significantly facilitate the transport of cosmic ray electrons (CRE) throughout the cluster. Recent radio observations are revealing complex morphologies of cluster diffuse emission, potentially linked to interactions between AGN bursts and the cluster environment. We perform three-dimensional magneto-hydrodynamical simulations of binary cluster mergers and inject a bi-directional jet at the center of the main cluster. Kinetic, thermal, magnetic and CRE energy are included in the jet and we use the two-fluid formalism to model the CRE component. We explore a wide range of cluster merger and jet parameters. We discuss the formation of various wide-angle-tail (WAT) and X-shaped sources in the course of the early evolution of the jet and merger. During the last phase of the evolution, we find that the CR material efficiently permeates the central region of the cluster reaching radii of $\sim 1\text{--}2$ Mpc within $\sim 5\text{--}6$ Gyr, depending on the merger mass ratio. We find that solenoidal turbulence dominates during the binary merger and explore the possibility for the CRE jet material to be re-accelerated by super-Alfvénic turbulence and contribute to cluster scale radio emission. We find that the emission can be volume-filling, $\gtrsim 70\%$. Finally, we study the merger shock interaction with the CRE material and show that it is unlikely that this material significantly contributes to the radio relic emission associated with the shocks. We suggest that multiple jet outbursts and/or off-center radio galaxies would increase the likelihood of detecting these merger shocks in the radio due to shock re-acceleration.

Keywords: Galaxy clusters (584) — Intracluster medium (858) — Magnetohydrodynamics (1964) — High energy astrophysics (739) — Shocks (2086) — Plasma astrophysics (1261)

1. INTRODUCTION

The diffuse synchrotron radio emission observed in galaxy clusters is typically produced by cosmic-ray (CR) electrons, with Lorentz factors ranging from $\gamma \sim 10^3$ to 10^4 , emitting in microgauss magnetic fields (Feretti et al. 2012; van Weeren et al. 2019). The origin of these CR electrons (CRE) remains uncertain. Acceleration mechanisms, commonly assumed in models to explain the synchrotron emission, suggest that thermal electrons can be energized to CR energies. This is particularly theorized for radio relics, where shocks are believed to accelerate thermal electrons (see van Weeren et al. 2019;

Botteon et al. 2020). Yet, sources of mildly relativistic electrons have frequently been invoked as necessary to explain some of the diffuse radio emission in radio relics through diffusive shock acceleration (DSA; Drury & Voelk 1981; Kang & Ryu 2016); giant radio haloes (see van Weeren et al. 2019) and the radio emission observed in some cool-core clusters (e.g., Bonafede et al. 2014; Biava et al. 2024) through turbulent re-acceleration (Brunetti et al. 2001; Petrosian 2001). This population of fossil CRE could be injected by jets launched by AGN (Fabian 2012) and/or by hadronic processes (secondary electrons; see Blasi & Colafrancesco 1999; Pfrommer & Enßlin 2004; Brunetti & Blasi 2005) and/or by shocks (Ryu et al. 2003), merger turbulence (Dolag et al. 2005; Vazza et al. 2009) and/or sloshing motions (Mazzotta & Giacintucci 2008; ZuHone et al. 2013) in the intracluster medium (ICM; see Brunetti & Jones 2014 for a review).

The often reported tailed and bent-tailed radio galaxies embedded within the ICM supply CRe to the cluster environment. The evolution of this remnant AGN plasma can be further affected by the dynamics of the galaxy cluster. For instance, gas sloshing motions and mild shocks can distort AGN radio lobes, dispersing their relativistic material up to several hundred kpc or even Mpc from their initial location. (ZuHone et al. 2021b; Vazza et al. 2021; Fabian et al. 2022). Shock waves can sweep through a radio bubble, compressing it adiabatically and re-energizing the fossil plasma (Enßlin & Gopal-Krishna 2001). These sources, referred to as “phoenices” in the literature, are mostly irregular sources with a steep spectrum and without clear spatial trends. Another example are the so-called gently re-energized tails (GRaETs de Gasperin et al. 2017) of radio galaxies with spectral aging starting from the host radio galaxy and with a sudden re-brightening at the end of the tails coinciding with a flatter spectral index (see e.g., Edler et al. 2022; Ignesti et al. 2022). Finally, this AGN fossil plasma is also thought to be essential for the formation of mini-halos (Richard-Laferrrière et al. 2020). With the detection of an increasing number of these sources, our comprehension of the widespread presence of fossil plasma pools in clusters deepens, highlighting the need for more extensive theoretical and numerical investigations.

In addition to CRe, it is believed that the inflation of radio lobes and expansion of radio jets contribute to the injection of energy into the ICM, thereby playing a significant role in controlling its thermodynamic properties (e.g., Bîrzan et al. 2004; O’Sullivan et al. 2011; Eckert et al. 2021; Donahue & Voit 2022). Central AGN activity is capable of channeling mechanical energy through radio jets, which, as they inflate cavities, work against the ambient gas pressure as observed in X-rays (McNamara et al. 2000). While the conditions that lead to the onset of AGN activity are not fully known, it is clear that multiple cycles of activity can occur as hinted by the existence of multiple X-ray cavities due to the radio activity in the brightest central galaxy (Fabian et al. 2005; Vantyghem et al. 2014; Hlavacek-Larrondo et al. 2015; Ubertosi et al. 2024). These successive phases of radio activity can result in complex radio morphologies of the injected plasma, indicating different levels of mixing with the ICM (e.g., Wilber et al. 2018; Mandal et al. 2020).

In ZuHone et al. (2021a,b), the authors proposed that AGN bubbles could evolve into radio relics. In particular, they suggested that merger-driven gas motions could transport CRe to larger radii in the tangential direction. The observed Mpc-scale thin filaments of jet material,

coupled with the amplification of magnetic fields parallel to these structures, suggest the possibility that such dynamics could account for the appearance of radio relics. In the present paper, we generalize this idea by doing a parameter study of different binary merger setups while a central black hole injects jets into the ICM. The focus of this work is to study the spatial distribution of CRs injected by a single AGN burst during a binary merger event. Our first aim is to show how plausible it is for a binary merger to reproduce some shocks detected in the radio, specifically by analysing the amount of the fossil material that is associated with the merger shock. Our second aim is to understand under what conditions the CRs could permeate a large central region of a galaxy cluster. A follow-up paper will include the synchrotron modelling for our simulations.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we describe our numerical set-up and initial conditions. In Section 3 we show our results. We discuss our results in the context of observed radio galaxies, radio relics and radio halos in sub-sections 4.1–4.3. We summarize our work in Section 5.

2. NUMERICAL SET-UP

2.1. Initial conditions

We carry out simulations of idealized binary mergers of two spherically symmetric galaxy clusters in hydrostatic and virial equilibrium following the method described in ZuHone (2011). The gas in each cluster is fully ionized, with an assumed mean molecular weight $\mu = 0.6$, ideal, with a constant adiabatic index $\gamma_0 = 5/3$, and, magnetized, with an initial plasma beta $\beta_p = P_{th}/P_B = 100$. We follow the procedure of Brzycki & ZuHone (2019) to set up a divergence-free Gaussian random magnetic field with a Kolmogorov spectrum with exponential cutoffs at wavelengths $k_0 = 2\pi/\lambda_0$ and $k_1 = 2\pi/\lambda_1$. The corresponding scales are $\lambda_0 = 10$ kpc and $\lambda_1 = 500$ kpc.

We explored mass ratios $R = M_2:M_1$ of 1:2 and 1:5, in which the primary cluster has an initial mass of $M_1 = M_{200,1} = 5.9 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$. This main cluster is assumed to be a Perseus-like, relaxed, cool-core cluster. This assumption is appropriate for our study because an active central AGN (see Section 2.2) is typically found in cool-core environments. We follow closely the initial set-up from Bellomi et al. (2023) where a super-Navarro-Frenk-White (sNFW) profile (see Lilley et al. 2018) is assumed for the total mass density of the main cluster:

$$\rho_{\text{sNFW}}(r) = \frac{3M}{16\pi a^3} \frac{1}{x(1+x)^{5/2}}, \quad (1)$$

where $M = 1.5 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$ is the total mass of the main cluster dark matter (DM) halo, $x = r/a$ and $a = 389.5$ kpc is its scale radius. The electron number density of the main cluster is modeled by a sum of a β -model profile (Cavaliere & Fusco-Femiano 1976) and the modified β -model profile from Vikhlinin et al. (2006):

$$n_e(r) = n_{e,c1} \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_{c1}} \right)^2 \right]^{-3\beta_1/2} + n_{e,c2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_{c2}} \right)^2 \right]^{-3\beta_2/2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{r_s} \right)^{\gamma_s} \right]^{-\varepsilon/2\gamma_s}, \quad (2)$$

where $n_{e,c1} = 4.5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and $n_{e,c2} = 4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $r_{c1} = 55$ kpc, $r_{c2} = 180$ kpc, $r_s = 1800$ kpc, $\beta_1 = 1.2$, $\beta_2 = 0.58$, $\gamma_s = 3$ and $\varepsilon = 3$. The second cluster has an initial mass of $M_2 = R M_1$ and the number density profile of its ICM is given by the second term in Eq. 2. In this case, we have $r_s = 1000$ kpc, $\beta_2 = 2/3$, $\gamma_s = 3$ and $\varepsilon = 3$. We derived $n_{e,c2}$ by using the scaling relations determined by Vikhlinin et al. (2009) (see Eqs. 8–9). The scale radius is $r_{c2} = 222.5$ kpc and $r_{c2} = 318.6$ kpc for $R = 1 : 5$ and $R = 1 : 2$, respectively.

Similarly to ZuHone et al. (2021b) and Bellomi et al. (2023), for all our simulations, the clusters start at a separation of $d = 3$ Mpc along the +y-axis from the main cluster center, and with an initial impact parameter $b \simeq d \sin \theta$. The corresponding initial velocity vector of the second cluster is $\vec{v}_2 = (v_{2,0} \sin \theta, v_{2,0} \cos \theta, 0)$, where $v_{2,0} \simeq 1370$ km/s. We tried two different angles, $\theta = 20^\circ$ and $\theta = 10^\circ$, corresponding to initial impact parameters of $b \simeq 1026$ kpc and $b \simeq 520$ kpc, respectively.

2.2. AREPO jet simulations

We carry out 3D magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations using the moving-mesh code AREPO (Springel 2010; Pakmor et al. 2011) which employs a finite-volume Godunov method on an unstructured moving Voronoi mesh to solve the ideal MHD equations, and a Tree-PM solver to compute the self-gravity from gas and dark matter. The MHD Riemann problems at cell interfaces are solved using a Harten-Lax-van Leer-Discontinuities (HLLD) Riemann solver (see Pakmor et al. 2011). The condition on $\nabla \cdot \vec{B}$ is controlled using the Powell 8-wave scheme (Powell et al. 1999) employed in Pakmor & Springel (2013); Marinacci et al. (2018). In addition to ideal MHD, we include a CR component using the two-fluid approximation implemented in AREPO (Pakmor et al. 2016; Pfrommer et al. 2017). The CR component has an adiabatic index of $\gamma_{CR} = 4/3$ and is injected as part of the jet.

The simulation domain is chosen to be a cubic box of size $L = 40$ Mpc with periodic boundary conditions. Nevertheless, in the remainder of the paper we will only present results of the inner 10 Mpc region. Following ZuHone et al. (2021b), we ensure that the initial condition is free of spurious gas density and pressure fluctuations by performing a mesh relaxation step for ~ 100 timesteps before each simulation. Each low-resolution (high-resolution) simulation initially has 10^6 (10^7) gas cells and 10^6 (10^7) DM particles. While the DM particles and gas cells are initialized to all have the same mass, they are allowed to undergo mesh refinement and derefinement during the simulation. The reference mass for our low-resolution (high-resolution) runs is $8.626 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ ($8.626 \times 10^6 M_\odot$). We perform a total of 48 simulations at $8.626 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ resolution and we indicate which of these were also performed at $8.626 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ resolution in Table 1.

We follow a similar jet simulation set-up to ZuHone et al. (2021b). We provide a short summary in the following. We place a black hole particle at the center of the main galaxy cluster that has a mass of $M_{\text{BH}} = 6.7 \times 10^8 M_\odot$ ¹ and use the approach of Weinberger et al. (2017, 2023). This method injects a bi-directional jet which is kinetically dominated, low density, and collimated. Kinetic, thermal, magnetic and CR energy are injected into two small spherical regions a few kpc from the location of a black hole particle. We do not consider accretion onto the black hole particle in our simulations. In each simulation, we considered a jet power of $P_{\text{jet}} = 3 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ and a jet density of $\rho_{\text{jet}} = 1.51 \times 10^{-28} \text{ g cm}^{-3}$. In all simulations, the jet is active for 100 Myr which corresponds to a total injected energy of $E_{\text{jet}} = 9.46 \times 10^{60} \text{ erg}$. The runs are performed with a magnetized jet and the injected magnetic field is purely toroidal. We considered equal magnetic and thermal pressures, $\beta_{\text{jet}} = P_{\text{th}}/P_B = 1$, and equal CR and thermal pressures, $\beta_{\text{jet,CR}} = P_{\text{th}}/P_{CR} = 1$, inside the jet regions. Most of the energy at the injection scale is added as kinetic energy and only thermalizes once the jet slows down, leading to highly thermally dominated lobes (Weinberger et al. 2017). To allow a fraction of 10% of the injected jet energy to be deposited as cosmic rays in the lobes, we additionally inject a passive scalar that causes the jet material to gradually, over the characteristic time of 1.5 Myr², convert the desired

¹ The value of the mass is of little importance because we do not perform accretion onto the black hole particle.

² Thus, the conversion from thermal energy to cosmic rays happens far more quickly than any of the relevant timescales of a galaxy cluster.

ID	R	θ [°]	P_{jet} [erg s ⁻¹]	Jet direction	$t_{\text{jet},0}$ [Gyr]
R0p5_jetdir-x_50Myr_theta20★	1:2	20	3×10^{45}	x	0.05
R0p5_jetdir-y_50Myr_theta20	1:2	20	3×10^{45}	y	0.05
R0p5_jetdir-z_50Myr_theta20	1:2	20	3×10^{45}	z	0.05
R0p5_jetdir-x_1Gyr_theta20	1:2	20	3×10^{45}	x	1
R0p5_jetdir-y_1Gyr_theta20	1:2	20	3×10^{45}	y	1
R0p5_jetdir-z_1Gyr_theta20	1:2	20	3×10^{45}	z	1
R0p5_jetdir-x_2Gyr_theta20	1:2	20	3×10^{45}	x	2
R0p5_jetdir-y_2Gyr_theta20	1:2	20	3×10^{45}	y	2
R0p5_jetdir-y_2Gyr_theta20	1:2	20	3×10^{45}	z	2
R0p5_jetdir-x_5Gyr_theta20	1:2	20	3×10^{45}	x	5
R0p5_jetdir-y_5Gyr_theta20	1:2	20	3×10^{45}	y	5
R0p5_jetdir-y_5Gyr_theta20	1:2	20	3×10^{45}	z	5
R0p5_jetdir-x_50Myr_theta10	1:2	10	3×10^{45}	x	0.05
R0p5_jetdir-y_50Myr_theta10	1:2	10	3×10^{45}	y	0.05
R0p5_jetdir-z_50Myr_theta10	1:2	10	3×10^{45}	z	0.05
R0p5_jetdir-x_1Gyr_theta10	1:2	10	3×10^{45}	x	1
R0p5_jetdir-y_1Gyr_theta10	1:2	10	3×10^{45}	y	1
R0p5_jetdir-z_1Gyr_theta10	1:2	10	3×10^{45}	z	1
R0p5_jetdir-x_2Gyr_theta10	1:2	10	3×10^{45}	x	2
R0p5_jetdir-y_2Gyr_theta10	1:2	10	3×10^{45}	y	2
R0p5_jetdir-y_2Gyr_theta10	1:2	10	3×10^{45}	z	2
R0p5_jetdir-x_5Gyr_theta10	1:2	10	3×10^{45}	x	5
R0p5_jetdir-y_5Gyr_theta10	1:2	10	3×10^{45}	y	5
R0p5_jetdir-y_5Gyr_theta10	1:2	10	3×10^{45}	z	5
R0p2_jetdir-x_50Myr_theta20★	1:5	20	3×10^{45}	x	0.05
R0p2_jetdir-y_50Myr_theta20	1:5	20	3×10^{45}	y	0.05
R0p2_jetdir-z_50Myr_theta20	1:5	20	3×10^{45}	z	0.05
R0p2_jetdir-x_1Gyr_theta20★	1:5	20	3×10^{45}	x	1
R0p2_jetdir-y_1Gyr_theta20	1:5	20	3×10^{45}	y	1
R0p2_jetdir-z_1Gyr_theta20	1:5	20	3×10^{45}	z	1
R0p2_jetdir-x_2Gyr_theta20★	1:5	20	3×10^{45}	x	2
R0p2_jetdir-y_2Gyr_theta20	1:5	20	3×10^{45}	y	2
R0p2_jetdir-y_2Gyr_theta20	1:5	20	3×10^{45}	z	2
R0p2_jetdir-x_5Gyr_theta20★	1:5	20	3×10^{45}	x	5
R0p2_jetdir-y_5Gyr_theta20	1:5	20	3×10^{45}	y	5
R0p2_jetdir-y_5Gyr_theta20	1:5	20	3×10^{45}	z	5
R0p2_jetdir-x_50Myr_theta10	1:5	10	3×10^{45}	x	0.05
R0p2_jetdir-y_50Myr_theta10	1:5	10	3×10^{45}	y	0.05
R0p2_jetdir-z_50Myr_theta10	1:5	10	3×10^{45}	z	0.05
R0p2_jetdir-x_1Gyr_theta10	1:5	10	3×10^{45}	x	1
R0p2_jetdir-y_1Gyr_theta10	1:5	10	3×10^{45}	y	1
R0p2_jetdir-z_1Gyr_theta10	1:5	10	3×10^{45}	z	1
R0p2_jetdir-x_2Gyr_theta10	1:5	10	3×10^{45}	x	2
R0p2_jetdir-y_2Gyr_theta10	1:5	10	3×10^{45}	y	2
R0p2_jetdir-y_2Gyr_theta10	1:5	10	3×10^{45}	z	2
R0p2_jetdir-x_5Gyr_theta10	1:5	10	3×10^{45}	x	5
R0p2_jetdir-y_5Gyr_theta10	1:5	10	3×10^{45}	y	5
R0p2_jetdir-y_5Gyr_theta10	1:5	10	3×10^{45}	z	5

Table 1. Simulation runs. Columns: Simulation ID, merger mass ratio, impact parameter ($b=3000 \sin \theta$ kpc), jet power, jet direction, time for jet ignition. The symbol ★ indicates those simulations that were also re-simulated at high-resolution.

amount of thermal to cosmic ray energy, leading to substantial fractions of cosmic rays in the lobes. The precise algorithm will be detailed in a forthcoming paper (Weinberger et al., in prep.). We explored different orientations of the jet axis and different times for the jet initialization, $t_{\text{jet},0} = 50 \text{ Myr}, 1 \text{ Gyr}, 2 \text{ Gyr}, 5 \text{ Gyr}$. Finally, all our simulations are run for 9 Gyr.

In Table 1 we summarize the simulations considered for this work.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Merger and jet characteristics

We begin by presenting projection plots for all the simulations listed in Table 1. Figs. 1 and 2 show cases with $\theta = 20^\circ$ and a mass ratio $R = 1 : 2$, while Figs. 3 and 4 show those with a mass ratio $R = 1 : 5$. In each figure, the first row features density projection maps, followed by CR pressure maps in the subsequent rows. We also show the corresponding projection maps for the $\theta = 10^\circ$ runs in Appendix A. The binary merger evolves as follows: initially, the infall of the secondary cluster onto the primary sets up gas sloshing of the central core within the dark-matter-dominated potential. After the first core passage, the secondary cluster starts to lose mass and momentum as it is stripped of gas and DM by ram-pressure and dynamical friction. The core of the secondary then drifts to the outskirts of the primary cluster (approximately 2 Mpc away from the main core) before gravitating back towards the center. During this journey, gas stripped from the secondary blends with the primary cluster’s gas through mixing driven by fluid instabilities and turbulence. The final phase occurs after the second core passage and it culminates in the complete disruption of the secondary’s core. This process is very similar for both mass ratios (see Ricker & Sarazin 2001; Poole et al. 2006; ZuHone 2011; Brzycki & ZuHone 2019, for previous studies for off-center, unequal-mass cases).

The evolution of the binary merger significantly influences the dispersal of CRs injected by the jets. We identify three distinct phases. In the *early phase* ($\tau \lesssim 0.5 \text{ Gyr}$ ³), the jets form cavities within the ICM density. For both examined mass ratios, these cavities appear less disturbed at $t_{\text{jet},0} = 50 \text{ Myr}$ and $t_{\text{jet},0} = 1 \text{ Gyr}$ due to the secondary cluster not yet completing its initial core passage. Conversely, cavities produced by jet bursts occurring post-first core passage tend to be disrupted more noticeably and quickly. In the $R = 1 : 5$ cases, none or only one cavity is distinctly visible (see Fig. 4). While

this will be subject to future work, it is worth noting that the environmental disruption of the AGN lobes is likely also common in galaxy groups (see Giacintucci et al. 2011; Brienza et al. 2022, for observational examples). During the *mid-phase* ($1.5 \lesssim \tau \lesssim 3 \text{ Gyr}$), the jet lobes expand, creating diverse morphologies, including wide-angle tailed (WAT)-like or head tailed-like structures. We will further discuss the morphology of specific cases and snapshots in Section 4.1. Finally, in the *later phase* ($\tau \gtrsim 5.5 \text{ Gyr}$), the jet remnant predominantly occupies the main cluster’s center with a rounded shape. By the end of the simulation, the jet material covers a projected linear size extending up to approximately 2-4 Mpc. Notably, the $R = 1 : 5$ case with $t_{\text{jet},0} = 50 \text{ Myr}$ reaches a projected linear extent of about 6 Mpc (see Fig. 3).

The binary merger process naturally generates shocks within the ICM of the main cluster. Using the AREPO shock-finder (Schaal & Springel 2015), we identify the shocked regions. The upper row of Fig. 5 illustrates the evolution of the distribution of Mach numbers for our two mass-ratio and two impact-parameter cases. The merger with a mass ratio of $R = 1 : 2$ induces slightly higher Mach numbers compared to the $R = 1 : 5$ merger, peaking at $\mathcal{M} \sim 9$ and $\mathcal{M} \sim 7$, respectively. This is true for both $\theta = 20^\circ$ and $\theta = 10^\circ$, and mainly due to the fact that the final mass is larger in the systems with $R = 1 : 2$. The first shocks typically begin to form when the core of the secondary cluster is within $\sim 1.5 \text{ Mpc}$ of the main cluster’s core. Each core passage generates shock waves that propagate from the inner region towards the outskirts. Towards the end of the simulation, as the cluster settles into a more relaxed state, the strength of the shocks diminishes, showing Mach numbers in the range of $\mathcal{M} \sim 1-2$. In Fig. 5 we also show a comparison of the Mach number distribution for different initial jet orientations (see different line styles). It can be observed that there are not noticeable differences in the Mach number distributions for different jet orientations. This implies that the shocks in our simulations primarily originate from the merger rather than the AGN activity. In the following section and Section 4.2, we will focus more on the interaction between these shocks and the CR plasma ejected by the AGN.

In the lower row of Fig. 5, we present the evolution of the entropy profile within a 1 Mpc radius. Similar to the upper row, we show the two mass ratio and two impact parameters cases in different panels. In the later stages of the simulation, $t \gtrsim 4 \text{ Gyr}$, there is a marked increase in entropy at $r \lesssim 200 \text{ kpc}$, which is influenced by the initial merger conditions. The level of this increase is predominantly due to mixing and is notably dependent on the mass ratio and impact parameter.

³ Note that $\tau = t - t_{\text{jet},0}$.




Figure 1. Case $R = 1 : 2$ and starting jet activity after 50 Myr (upper panels) and 1 Gyr (lower panels). Note that $\tau = t - t_{\text{jet},0}$.




Figure 2. Case $R = 1:2$ and starting after 2 Gyr and 5 Gyr. Note that the times shown are different for the 5 Gyr case.




Figure 3. Case $R = 1 : 5$ and starting jet activity after 50 Myr (upper panels) and 1 Gyr (lower panels).




Figure 4. Case $R = 1 : 5$ and starting after 2 Gyr (upper panels) and 5 Gyr (lower panels). Note that the times shown are different for the 5 Gyr case.




Figure 5. Upper panels: Histogram evolution of the mass-weighted Mach number distribution inside the central region ($r < 5$ Mpc) of the main cluster for both mass ratios and impact parameters. The solid, dashed and dotted lines correspond to cases where the jet direction is initialized in the x -direction, y -direction and z -direction. Lower panels: Mass-weighted entropy radial profiles as function of time. The profiles are computed with a sphere with radius 1 Mpc. The markers indicate the initial profile of the central cluster. We only show the cases where the jet direction is initialized in the x -direction.

3.2. Evolution of jet material

In this section, we describe more in detail the evolution of the jet material throughout the merger event. Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 illustrate the temporal progression of this material⁴ in all runs with $\theta = 20^\circ$ and $\theta = 10^\circ$, respectively. In the first rows, we show the volume-averaged radius reached by the jet material. We considered the coordinates of the black-hole particle as the center for computing this radial extent. As discussed in Section 3.1 (see Fig. 5), we keep track of the shocked regions/cells with the AREPO shock-finder (Schaal & Springel 2015) throughout the simulations.

⁴ For a detailed explanation of how we tracked the jet material, refer to Appendix B.

These shocks induced by the binary merger, also propagate through the jet material. In the second rows of Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 we show the mean Mach number corresponding to those shocked cells that overlap with the CR jet material. In the third rows, we show the volume fraction of the shocked jet material relative to the total jet material volume.

We see that the CR material can reach very large radii, up to 1-2 Mpc. Rapid increments occur at the times of the first and second core passages. This is especially the case for cases with $t_{\text{jet},0} = 50$ Myr, where the maximum radial extend of the CR jet material is observed at $t \sim 2$ Gyr, corresponding to the time of the second core passage. This is also the case for runs with $\theta = 10^\circ$ shown in Fig. 7, suggesting that the final extension of the CR material is independent of the initial θ or impact param-

eter. Similarly, the jet’s initial direction—whether in the x -, y -, or z -axis—does not significantly affect the final mean radius of the distribution. On the other hand, we find that the final mean radii depend on the launching time of the jets, $t_{\text{jet},0}$ (compare columns in Figs. 6–7). The earlier the jet outburst occurs, the larger the final radial extent. For example, in the cases where $t_{\text{jet},0} = 5$ Gyr, the radial extent reaches only ~ 300 – 500 kpc. This suggests that the combination of the mass ratio, and thus the merger history, along with the launching time of the jets primarily influence the maximum spread of CRs coming from AGN bubbles.

Note that during the initial disruption of the lobes, these three parameters, θ , $t_{\text{jet},0}$ and the initial direction of the jets, significantly impact the early disruption and resultant morphology of the lobes, as we will further explore in Section 4.1.

We note that, contrary to the shock statistics in the whole central volume ($r < 5$ Mpc, see Fig. 5), the mean Mach numbers detected only in the jet-material regions (see second rows of Figs. 6–7) are typically low and depending on θ and R . In the $\theta = 20^\circ$ runs, the mean Mach number is especially low, ~ 1.5 – 2 , in both mass ratio cases. Spurious large Mach numbers are detected for example in the $t_{\text{jet},0} = 50$ Myr, x -direction, $R = 1 : 5$ run, reaching $\mathcal{M} \sim 4$, and the $t_{\text{jet},0} = 2$ Gyr, z -direction, $R = 1 : 2$ run reaching $\mathcal{M} \sim 3.5$. This picture is slightly different for the $\theta = 10^\circ$ runs where the mean shock Mach number ranges between $\mathcal{M} \sim 1.5$ and $\mathcal{M} \sim 2.7$ for both mass ratios. We note that a burst episode taking place at the late binary merger evolution is less prone to interact with any merger shock. In this case, a late time would correspond to a time past the second core passage. This can be seen in the fourth columns of Figs. 6–7 where we show the results from the $t_{\text{jet},0} = 5$ Gyr runs. Additionally, a smaller initial impact parameter, such as $\theta = 10^\circ$, results in more extended periods of interaction between the jet material and merger shock. For instance, while the $\theta = 20^\circ$, $t_{\text{jet},0} = 50$ Myr runs exhibit a jet-shock interaction period lasting for about 4 Gyr, the $\theta = 10^\circ$, $t_{\text{jet},0} = 50$ Myr runs exhibit more prolonged periods that can extend to ~ 7 Gyr. This is of particular relevance for the possible formation of radio relics through the re-acceleration of fossil electrons via DSA. These results indicate that smaller initial impact parameters increase the likelihood of the jet material being shocked by the merger, thereby enhancing the potential for forming radio relics.

Finally, in all our runs, the fraction of CR material that encounters a merger shock amounts to $\lesssim 1\%$. The only exception is the $R=1:5$, $\theta = 10^\circ$, $t_{\text{jet},0} = 50$ Myr

run, where $\sim 10\%$ of the CR material is shocked at $t \sim 1.5$ Myr.

We refer the reader to Appendix C for a comparison of these evolutionary trends at higher resolution (see simulations that were performed at $8.626 \times 10^7 M_\odot$ in Table 1). In that Appendix we mainly discuss the results of the $R = 1 : 5$, $\theta = 20^\circ$ runs where we set the jet to ignite in the x -direction and compare to the results shown in the upper panels of Fig. 6. We show that the evolutionary trends discussed in this section are consistent at higher resolution.

3.3. Magnetic field characteristics

In Fig. 8, we present phase plots of the magnetic field and density from the R2_jetdir-x_50Myr run. The left-hand side illustrates values derived from 3D data. Data points are color-coded based on CR pressure. Through these plots, we can trace the dynamics of jet plasma mixing with the ICM. In the initial stage, CRs remain tightly contained within the bubbles, showing no signs of mixing with the ICM, indicated by the clear separation between cyan and black points. The subsequent phase begins approximately 1.7 Gyr after the jet is ignited, coinciding with the first core passage. This marks the onset of bubble disruption and the commencement of material mixing with the ICM. This mixing process extends until ~ 4 Gyr post-jet ignition, characterized by progressively lower CR pressure values in regions of lower density that are also weakly magnetized. It is important to note that during these mixing intervals, regions with a stronger magnetic field do not always correspond to higher CR energy, as illustrated by the purple points in the second and third rows of Fig. 8. In the final stage, occurring ~ 9 Gyr after the jet ignition, the material has completely integrated with the ICM. This is evident in Figs. 4-1, where the material adopts a rounded shape, occupying the central ~ 1 – 2 Mpc of the cluster. During this last phase, a more pronounced correlation emerges between regions of stronger magnetic fields and higher CR pressure.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Resemblance with observed radio sources

In this section, we briefly explore the formation of various radio sources and their connection to the initial conditions of the merger and the jet ignition. We concentrate on the early development phase of the jet material, prior to its widespread dispersal throughout the central region of the main cluster, as detailed in Section 3.2.

- i) *Wide-Angle-Tail (WAT) sources*: Our simulations indicate that the formation of sources like those




Figure 6. First row: Volume-averaged radial extent of jet material centered on the black hole particle coordinates (solid lines), with shaded areas representing ± 1 standard deviation. Second row: Volume-averaged Mach number of the shocked cells within the jet material, with shaded areas indicating the range from minimum to maximum values. Third row: Volume fraction of the shocked jet material relative to the total jet material volume. The figure's upper and lower panel sets correspond to the mass ratios $R=1:5$ and $R=1:2$, respectively, both with an impact parameter of $\theta = 20^\circ$.




Figure 7. Same as Fig. 6 but for the runs with $\theta = 10^\circ$.




Figure 8. Phase-plot of the magnetic field and density colored by the CR pressure. We show points corresponding to the 3D data. The insets correspond to projection maps of the CR pressure (left) and magnetic field strength (right). The dotted white line shows the expected scaling based on adiabatic compression.

observed is primarily influenced by bulk motions rather than the presence of substructure. Specifically, we observe the emergence of WAT-like sources (see O’Dea & Baum 2023, and references therein) with tails extending tens of kpc, starting around $\tau \sim 1.5$ Gyr, which correlates closely with the timing of the first core passage (see the second columns of Figs. 1, 3, 15). These sources arise regardless of the merger’s mass ratio but depend on the jet’s initial burst timing and the position of the minor cluster, only forming if the burst precedes the first core passage. WATs have been found in both cool- and non-cool-core clusters (see Douglass 2012; Blanton et al. 2015). Examples such as Abell 2029 (Paterno-Mahler et al. 2013) and Abell 1763 (Douglass et al. 2018) showcase WATs in sloshing cool-core clusters, which would be more relevant for comparison with our current simulations. As shown in Fig. 5, our simulations initiate within a cool-core setup, with AGN activity affecting only the central $\lesssim 20$ kpc region for less than 2 Gyr. After that period, the cluster remains to be a cool-core cluster.

- ii) *X-shaped sources*: In our simulations, X-shaped radio sources resembling the observed pair of weak secondary lobes that form a cross-like shape (Leahy & Williams 1984) are found under specific conditions. These configurations appear in cases with $R=1:2$ and $t_{\text{jet},0} = 2$ Gyr, particularly when $\theta = 20^\circ$ and $\theta = 10^\circ$ (see Fig. 2 and Fig. 14 upper panels, third column, respectively). This indicates that the formation of such structures may require a high mass ratio cluster merger and jet activity post-first core passage. While our simulations do not precisely mimic classic X-shaped radio galaxies like PKS 2014-55 (Cotton et al. 2020), they do resemble others such as the radio galaxy in Abell 3670 (Bruno et al. 2019). Several studies propose alternative mechanisms for producing these sources, including jet precession (Liska et al. 2018; Nolting et al. 2023), axisymmetric systems with intermittent jets (Lalakos et al. 2022), and jet backflows altered by the surrounding environment conditions (Hodges-Kluck & Reynolds 2011) among others (for a comprehensive review, see Giri et al. 2024). Observationally, it has been pointed out that the environment related to a cluster or group merger could be of relevance for the observed radio morphology (Hardcastle et al. 2019). Further analysis is necessary to specifically target these phenomena, but our results indicate poten-

tial links between cluster mergers and the emergence of X-shaped radio sources.

- iii) *Wobbling/disrupted tails*: Disrupted tails from WAT and hybrid sources are occasionally seen in observations. For instance, the radio galaxy MRC 0600-399 in Abell 3376 (Chibueze et al. 2021) and source J142914.03+465611.32 from the LOFAR Two-metre Sky Survey (LoTSS) (Shimwell et al. 2017, 2019), discussed in Dabhade et al. 2020, both display tails that are distinctly disrupted. Notably, the end of one tail in these observations bends nearly perpendicularly to the jet direction, exhibiting a wobbling morphology. This is similar to what we simulate in scenarios with $R=1:5$, $\theta = 20^\circ$, and $t_{\text{jet},0} = 5$ Gyr, as shown in the lower panel, second and third columns of Fig. 4. Our findings suggest that a minor merger passing above the central black hole ~ 0.4 Gyr after jet ignition can sufficiently alter the tail’s trajectory, resulting in noticeable wobbling substructures.

A comprehensive analysis of the formation of these different sources exceeds the scope of this paper and will be addressed in future studies.

4.2. Contribution to radio relic emission?

In this section, we show some examples of merger shocks interacting with the CR material (see Fig. 9) and we discuss its potential to contribute to radio relic emission. As previously discussed in Section 3.1, during the merger, each core passage generates shocks with Mach numbers typically ranging from 2 to 4. Specifically, during the period from $t \sim 2-5$ Gyr, the peak of the Mach number distribution is $\sim \mathcal{M} \sim 2-3$ for a merger mass ratio of $R=1:5$ and $\mathcal{M} \sim 3-4$ for a mass ratio of $R=1:2$ (see Fig. 5). Later on, as the sub-cluster loses mass and momentum, the shocks in the main cluster ICM are weaker and thus their Mach numbers are lower. In Fig. 9, we show one example of the CR jet material interacting with shocks at an early phase (see upper left panel in Fig 9), and three other examples where the now more dispersed CR jet material interacts with the weaker shocks generated during the last phase of the merger. We overplot Mach number contours to visualize the location of the shocks.

The underlying cluster turbulence naturally induces substructure along the shock fronts. We expect that this substructure will be also present in the synchrotron emission (e.g., Roh et al. 2019; Domínguez-Fernández et al. 2020; Domínguez-Fernández et al. 2021; Wittor et al. 2021). Yet, the observed radio surface brightness variations could differ in morphology depending on

whether the thermal or fossil electron population participates in the DSA mechanism (see Domínguez-Fernández et al. 2024). In Fig. 9, the thin slices show the alignment of the magnetic field vectors predominantly along the bulk motions that impact and shape the CR jet material. Nevertheless, these vectors do not clearly align along the shock fronts, primarily due to the low Mach numbers of these shocks. Specifically, in MHD shocks, the magnetic field component parallel to the shock normal is conserved across the shock. However, the components perpendicular to the shock normal scale with the compression ratio, r , as $B_{\text{post}}/B_{\text{pre}} \propto r$ (with equality in perpendicular shocks). For these weak shocks, the compression ratio is close to unity, resulting in minimal amplification of the magnetic field in the perpendicular direction. In the upper sub-plots of each panel we show the Mach number distribution of those shocks that are in full or partial contact with the CR jet material (shown in blue). We additionally identify which shocked cells are in contact with the CR jet material and show the corresponding Mach number distribution (shown purple). Shocks generated early on in the merger evolution (see upper left panel) are stronger with $\mathcal{M} \sim 2-3$ than those interacting with the jet material at later times, $\tau \gtrsim 5$ Gyr, with $\mathcal{M} \sim 1-2$.

We will now discuss the importance of distinguishing between the early and late evolutionary phases in understanding these contributions:

- i) *Early merger phase and early burst*: During this phase where the shocks are stronger, the jet material is not volume filling because the lobes are in the process of being completely disrupted. Therefore, merger shocks during this epoch would only partially interact with the jet material. For example, in the upper panels of Fig. 9 we can see that $\lesssim 1/2$ of the shock interacts with the jet material. Furthermore, in this example, the Mach number distribution corresponding to the part of the shock that interacts with the jet material has a mean $\mathcal{M} \sim 1.4$. It is still not understood whether DSA would work at such weak shocks (see point below).
- ii) *Late merger phase and old lobe material*: During this phase, the jet material has already permeated the central region of the cluster (see also Figs. 6–7). Hence, it is more likely for merger shocks to fully interact with the CR jet material. This in principle would mean that the fossil population of electrons could participate in the DSA and account for the whole area of the radio shock. But here the generated shocks are already weak. Re-




Figure 9. Thin CR pressure slices through the center of the main cluster. We overplot the Mach number contours. The upper panels show in blue the Mach number distribution of the whole shock that is crossing the CR jet material and in purple the Mach number distribution corresponding to the region that overlaps with the CR material. The magnetic field vectors are weighted with $B^2 \rho_{CR}$.

sults from particle-in-cell and hybrid-kinetic simulations suggest that there is a critical Mach number, $\mathcal{M}_{cr} \sim 2.3$, below which thermal electrons cannot participate in DSA (Ha et al. 2021) because such shocks do not develop shock surface ripples (Boula et al. 2024). For the case of fossil electrons, this is yet to be understood. Pre-energized electrons and/or turbulence could influence electron acceleration in subcritical shocks, such as the ones shown in Fig 9. Nevertheless, Ha et al. 2022 argues that pre-energized electrons in the pre-shock region alone would not resolve the issue of electron pre-acceleration at sub-critical ICM shocks.

Therefore we conclude that merger shocks that could be observed in radio and associated with a single outburst from a central AGN should be scarce based on the low volume fraction of the shocked CR jet material and the associated low mean Mach number in the majority of our runs (see Section 3.2). A combination of multiple jet outbursts and/or off-center radio galaxies and/or multiple mergers would enhance the probability of finding these merger shocks lightening up in the radio band through shock re-acceleration. Another possibility would be to have an earlier outburst that has already spread the CR jet material around the center of the cluster ~ 1 Gyr before the merger.

4.3. Contribution to radio halo emission?

In this section, we investigate whether the CR jet material could be efficiently accelerated by turbulence and become luminous in the radio band. We analyze average quantities related to turbulence and energy losses throughout the temporal evolution of the CR jet material, as indicated by the jet tracers. This is of particular interest for the formation of radio halos or mega halos whose observed statistical properties seem to indicate that turbulent re-acceleration is responsible for the observed radio emission (e.g., Brunetti et al. 2001; Petrosian 2001; Fujita et al. 2003; Nishiwaki et al. 2024).

The presence of turbulence and magnetic field amplification via small-scale dynamos is suggested to be ubiquitous within galaxy clusters, as indicated by cosmological MHD simulations (e.g., Vazza et al. 2018; Domínguez-Fernández et al. 2019; Steinwandel et al. 2022). Turbulent energy can be transferred into CRs through stochastic wave-particle interactions. The two turbulent re-acceleration mechanisms that are broadly discussed in the literature are: i) Transit Time Damping (TTD) model which considers the resonant interaction with the fast magneto-sonic compressive modes of the turbulence (e.g., Brunetti & Lazarian 2007; Miniati 2015; Pinzke et al. 2017), and ii) the super-Alfvénic non-




Figure 10. Volume average of the turbulent energy flux per unit volume for solenoidal (purple) and compressive (pink) modes as a function of time. We show the evolution for the R=1:2 (solid line) and R=1:5 (dashed line) runs with $\theta = 20^\circ$, $t_{\text{jet},0} = 50$ Myr and the jet orientation initialized in the x -direction.

resonant acceleration model which considers stochastic diffusion across magnetic reconnection and dynamo regions and interaction with the super-Alfvénic solenoidal turbulence (Brunetti & Lazarian 2016). In these cases, the momentum diffusion coefficient becomes hard-sphere type, that is $D_{pp} \propto p^2$. Previous MHD simulations studies show that the turbulent velocity solenoidal modes typically dominate over compressive modes in the ICM (e.g., Miniati 2015; Porter et al. 2015; Vazza et al. 2017). We examine the fluid divergence ($\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}$) and vorticity ($\nabla \times \mathbf{v}$) which serve as proxies for the solenoidal and compressive modes of the turbulence. We keep track of these two turbulent velocity modes in the Voronoi cells where the jet material is located in our simulations. We compute the turbulent kinetic energy flux as

$$F_{\text{turb}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho \delta V^3(L_0)}{L_0} (\Delta x)^3, \quad (3)$$

where ρ is the density, δV is the velocity fluctuation measured within the scale L_0 and Δx is the typical cell size. Note that $\delta V^3/L_0$ is the specific energy rate. We used the gas vorticity measured by the jet tracers to estimate the local level of solenoidal turbulence, $\delta V_{\text{sol}} = |\nabla \times \mathbf{v}| L_0$, and compressive turbulence, $\delta V_{\text{com}} = |\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v}| L_0$. We used as reference scale the fixed cell scale used to compute either the divergence or vorticity⁵. The kinetic turbulent velocity spectrum could be sensitive to the dynamical state of the cluster and to the numerical scheme. There have been previ-

⁵ We output the volume of the Voronoi cells and for the sake of simplicity we assumed that these are spherical to extract a typical value of Δx .

ous numerical studies reporting either different slopes for the solenoidal and compressive components (e.g., Miniati 2014, 2015; Vazza et al. 2017) or roughly same slopes agreeing with the Kolmogorov prediction (Vallés-Pérez et al. 2021). We assume the latter is true for simplicity. Under this assumption, F_{turb} is insensitive to the specific choice of L_0 as long as it is in the inertial range. In Fig. 10, we present the time evolution of the volume-averaged turbulent energy flux per unit volume, for both solenoidal and compressive modes, at the location of the CR material. We show the evolution for runs with $\theta = 20^\circ$, $t_{\text{jet},0} = 50$ Myr, and jets oriented in the x -direction for both mass ratios. Similar time evolution patterns occur in other runs, so they are not shown here. Notably, apart from the initial burst and the first core passage (indicated by the first spike around ~ 1 Gyr in Fig. 10), the solenoidal component dominates throughout the entire period where jet material mixes with the ICM. In the following we will explore the efficiency of the turbulent acceleration particle mechanism by these solenoidal modes.

CRe typically undergo energy losses in the cluster environment due to inverse Compton (IC), synchrotron, Bremsstrahlung, and Coulomb interactions. For re-acceleration processes to be effective, they must occur more rapidly than these energy losses. We first calculate the cooling timescales using volume-averaged fluid quantities traced by the jet material. The synchrotron and IC losses can be modelled as (Rybicki & Lightman 1979)

$$\left| \frac{dp}{dt} \right|_{\text{Sync+IC}} = \frac{4}{9} r_0^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 [B^2 + B_{\text{CMB}}^2 (1+z)^4], \quad (4)$$

where p is the momentum, $r_0 = q_e^2 / (m_e c^2)$ is the electron radius, $\beta^2 = 1 - \gamma^{-2}$, $\gamma = p / (m_e c) - 1$ and B_{CMB} is the IC equivalent magnetic field due to the cosmic background radiation (CMB). The Coulomb losses can be estimated (Schlickeiser 2002) as

$$\left| \frac{dp}{dt} \right|_{\text{Coul}} = \frac{4\pi r_0^2 n_{th} m_e c^2}{\beta_e} \ln \Lambda, \quad (5)$$

where n_{th} is the thermal number density,

$$\ln \Lambda = 37.8 + \log \left(\frac{T_{[K]}}{10^8 \text{ K}} \left(\frac{n_{th}}{10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}} \right)^{-1/2} \right), \quad (6)$$

is the Coulomb logarithm at $T > 4 \times 10^5$ K (Sarazin 1999) and $T_{[K]}$ is the temperature in units of K. In Fig. 11 we show the typical timescales as a function of momentum. We illustrate the time evolution of energy losses using differently colored lines, as calculated from Eqs. 5–6. These calculations are based on the fluid average quantities from the jet material at each timestep.




Figure 11. Timescales of synchrotron + IC losses (solid lines), Coulomb losses (dashed lines) and super-Alfvénic turbulent (re-)acceleration (blue dot-dashed lines) as a function of momentum. We show the R=1:5 (upper panel) and R=1:2 (lower panel) cases with $\theta = 20^\circ$, the jet initialized at $t_{\text{jet},0} = 50$ Myr and in the x -direction. The losses lines are colored according to the evolution after the launching time. The acceleration times computed with Eq. 8 for different values of ψ are shown in the horizontal shaded areas. The extent of each shaded area corresponds to the minimum and maximum estimated t_{acc} throughout the simulation.

We omit the Bremsstrahlung cooling timescales as they are generally larger than those of interest in our study. The cooling timescale for the radio-emitting electrons with $p = 10^3 - 10^4 m_e c$ (see gray area in Fig. 11) is about 0.1–1 Gyr for a typical magnetic field strength of a few μG . To aid in visualization, we have included the expected synchrotron + IC (assuming $z = 0.018$) and Coulomb cooling times for typical ICM values, represented by black lines. We show two representative cases with $\theta = 20^\circ$ and the jet initiated at $t_{\text{jet},0} = 50$ Myr in the x -direction. The upper panel depicts the mass ratio R=1:5, while the lower panel shows R=1:2. We also overplot the re-acceleration timescale

$$t_{\text{acc}} = \frac{p^2}{4D_{pp}}, \quad (7)$$

where D_{pp} is the re-acceleration diffusion coefficient in the particle momentum space in the isotropic Fokker-Planck equation (Schlickeiser 2002). The coefficient D_{pp} depends on the particles mean free path. Focusing on super-Alfvénic turbulence where the interaction is dominated by the largest reconnection regions (Brunetti & Lazarian 2016), meaning that the maximum effective mean free path is limited to the Alfvén scale, $l_A = L_0 \mathcal{M}_A^{-3}$ (Kolmogorov scaling), where \mathcal{M}_A is the Alfvén Mach number, respectively. Following Brunetti & Lazarian (2016), in this mechanism one can write the diffusion coefficient as

$$D_{pp} \simeq 3 \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} \frac{c_s^2}{c} \frac{\sqrt{\beta_p}}{L_0} \mathcal{M}_t^3 \psi^{-3} p^2 \quad (8)$$

where ψ defines an average effective mean free path (mfp) as a fraction of l_A , that is $\lambda_{\text{mfp}} = \psi l_A$, where $\psi < 1$ (see Brunetti & Vazza 2020; Nishiwaki et al. 2024, for studies where Eq. 8 has also been computed from MHD simulations).

In Fig. 11, we show the acceleration timescale for different values of ψ using Eqs. 7-8 (see horizontal shaded areas). Similar to the energy losses, each line in this plot would correspond to a different timestep. For purposes of better visualization, we show only shaded areas encompassing the minimum and maximum estimated t_{acc} within the jet material at a fixed ψ value. It has been previously argued that ψ should satisfy $0.1 \lesssim \psi \lesssim 0.5$ because of the effect of particle scattering due to mirroring in a super-Alfvénic flow (Brunetti & Lazarian 2016). In Fig. 11 we show the parameter values $\psi = 0.1$, $\psi = 0.2$, and $\psi = 0.3$. Increasing values of ψ lead to longer acceleration timescales. Nevertheless, it is only when $t_{\text{acc}} < t_{\text{cool}}$ that this turbulent re-acceleration mechanism can efficiently re-accelerate CRe that radiate synchrotron emission. Our analysis using jet tracers shows that during the evolution and mixing of the jet material within the ICM, the diffusion coefficient D_{pp} varies over time. Specifically, the acceleration timescale t_{acc} spans from approximately 0.02 Myr to tens of Myr for $\psi = 0.1$, 0.2 Myr to hundreds of Myr for $\psi = 0.2$, and 0.6 Myr to a few Gyr for $\psi = 0.1$. We see that these results are almost independent of the mass ratio of the merger (compare upper and lower panels in Fig. 11).

A better way to visualize the periods when the turbulent acceleration energy gain could be more efficient than the cooling energy losses is shown in Fig 12. In this figure, we show the median of the $t_{\text{acc}}/t_{\text{cool}}$ distribution⁶ and the volume fraction for cells where $t_{\text{acc}}/t_{\text{cool}} < 1$ as a

function of time for all our simulations. We use Eq. 8 to calculate t_{acc} and in this case we show the results for the parameter values $\psi = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3$ and 0.5 , with a fixed momentum value of $5 \times 10^3 m_e c$. The typical pattern for the fraction of these timescales is characterized by an increment at the time of the first core passage, followed by a gradual decline. In the $\theta = 10^\circ$, $t_{\text{jet},0} = 1$ Gyr and $t_{\text{jet},0} = 2$ Gyr cases (see second and third columns), $t_{\text{acc}}/t_{\text{cool}}$ slowly rises in the last ~ 5 Gyr of the simulations. Notably, one can see that an increase in $t_{\text{acc}}/t_{\text{cool}}$ during the first core passage comes along with a decrease in the volume fraction, which can drop to as low as $\sim 0.1\%$ (see first column of Fig 12). This is particularly expected in the $t_{\text{jet},0} = 50$ Myr cases, where the first core passage can quickly displace the CR jet material to the cluster’s outskirts. This would make the radio emission less volume filling. However, we see that, shortly after ~ 0.5 Gyr, the volume fraction could easily increase to $\gtrsim 50\%$.

There are several things to note: 1) This re-acceleration mechanism appears to be effective only during specific intervals; 2) The initial direction of the jet does not significantly alter the evolutionary trends observed; 3) Parameters $\psi \sim 0.2-0.3$ result in periods typically lasting 1-2 Gyr where $t_{\text{acc}}/t_{\text{cool}} < 1$. These conditions correspond to volume fractions ranging from $\sim 20\%$ to $\sim 70\%$, indicating sufficient volume filling to produce observable radio emission at ~ 100 MHz. Specifically, $\psi \sim 0.3$ provides a more conservative estimate, ensuring a significant volume filling emission that may generate diffuse radio emission with a steep spectrum. Conversely, $\psi = 0.1$ is too efficient, maintaining $t_{\text{acc}}/t_{\text{cool}} < 1$ throughout most of the simulation and achieving volume fractions exceeding 70%. More importantly, a non-significant volume fraction with $t_{\text{acc}} < 10$ Myr would generate too much power; 4) The initial jet ignition time, $t_{\text{jet},0}$, in relation to the evolution of the merger is critical. Particularly, if the jet burst occurs briefly before the first core passage, there will be a larger displacement of the CR jet material and the compressive turbulent energy flux becomes relevant (see also Fig. 10). This results in longer re-acceleration timescales than the cooling timescales, thus reducing the efficiency of the turbulent re-acceleration mechanism.

It is not entirely understood whether there is a specific onset of turbulent re-acceleration related to minor and major merger events and accretion flows (e.g., Casano et al. 2016) or multiple onsets. Our findings suggest that the super-Alfvénic re-acceleration mechanism exhibits periodic efficiency, particularly noticeable after ~ 0.5 Gyr following the second core passage. This is ev-

⁶ We note that the $t_{\text{acc}}/t_{\text{cool}}$ distribution is a highly positive skewed function and therefore, we show the median evolution.




Figure 12. Acceleration and cooling time ratio, $t_{\text{acc}}/t_{\text{cool}}$ (1st, 3rd, 5th and 7th rows), and volume fraction where $t_{\text{acc}}/t_{\text{cool}} < 1$ (2nd, 4th, 6th and 8th rows) as a function of time for all our simulations at a fixed momentum value of $5 \times 10^3 m_e c$ (where electrons in a μG magnetic field will contribute to the ~ 100 MHz emission). Similar to Fig 6, each column shows the evolution for runs with different initial jet ignition times, $t_{\text{jet},0}$. Runs with an initial direction of the jet in the x -direction, y -direction and z -direction are colored in black, purple and cyan, respectively. Larger line widths and smaller opacity lines refer to a larger parameter value ψ . The horizontal gray (coral) solid lines are a reference for $t_{\text{acc}}/t_{\text{cool}} = 1$ (50% of the volume fraction).

identified by an increase in the volume fraction to over 50% in most of our simulations.

While studying the evolution of CRe with a Fokker-Planck solver would complement our current conclusions, our findings suggest that a single merger event producing sloshing motions coupled with AGN activity effectively facilitates super-Alfvénic re-acceleration mechanism. In reality, galaxy clusters experience multiple minor and major mergers over their lifetimes, which would only generate more turbulence and/or sustain it

for longer. In this case, we can conclude that turbulent re-acceleration would be an even more plausible mechanism to explain the extended diffuse radio emission in galaxy clusters. We leave the comparison of these results with other turbulent re-acceleration and/or secondaries models for future work.

Our study further supports the important role of jets and AGN in providing a pool of seed electrons that can be re-accelerated as proposed in radio halo models.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We conducted a suite of binary galaxy cluster merger MHD simulations using the moving-mesh code AREPO, including a bi-directional jet at the core of the primary galaxy cluster. We varied the initial mass ratio ($R=1:2$ and $R=1:5$), impact parameter ($\theta = 10^\circ$ and $\theta = 20^\circ$), jet orientation (x -, y -, z -direction), and jet ignition time ($t_{\text{jet},0} = 0.05, 1, 2, \text{ and } 5 \text{ Gyr}$). Additionally, our simulations incorporated a CR component in the jet model, treated using the two-fluid approximation. This study focuses on the interaction between the jet and the ICM, particularly examining the lifetime and spatial distribution of CR material during merger evolution. We also analyzed the effects of merger-induced shocks on the CR material. Our main findings can be summarized as follows:

- i) *Spatial distribution*: Any initial merger and/or jet configuration leads to a final CR spatial distribution concentrated towards the center of the main cluster with a roundish morphology in $\sim 5\text{--}6 \text{ Gyr}$. The maximum spatial extension of the CR material is $\sim 1\text{--}2 \text{ Mpc}$.
- ii) *The role of the mass ratio and impact parameter*: The mass ratio is the most important parameter for the final maximum spread of the CR injected by the jet. A larger mass ratio and a smaller impact parameter (closer to a head-on collision) result in stronger shocks and a higher central entropy of the cluster.
- iii) *Timing and initial direction of the outburst*: The later the AGN burst occurs during the merger, the less interaction there is between the CR jet material and merger shocks. While the initial direction of the jet does not significantly impact the late evolution of the CR jet material, it can be crucial for replicating specific radio source morphologies in the early phase of the jet.
- iv) *Mixing*: An ongoing binary merger leads to rather quick mixing of the CR jet material into the ICM, lasting around 1.5 Gyr .
- v) *Contribution to the radio relic emission*: Merger-induced shocks interact with the CR jet material; however, it appears unlikely that this material significantly contributes to the radio relic emission associated with these shocks. In the early phases of the merger, only a small volume fraction of the CR jet material is shocked. Later, as the merger progresses, the shocks are weaker ($\mathcal{M} \lesssim 2$), mak-

ing it challenging for fossil electrons to participate in DSA.

- vi) *Contribution to the radio halo emission*: Due to the large radii that the CR jet material can reach and the amount of (solenoidal) cluster turbulence, it is plausible for the CR jet material to contribute to the halo emission. We examined the cooling and re-acceleration timescales in the case of super-Alfvénic turbulent re-acceleration and found that this mechanism seems promising to produce volume-filling synchrotron emission observable at 100 MHz .

While the primary focus of this work is on the late-phase propagation of jet CRs throughout the main galaxy cluster, we also discussed the initial phase, where we found a diversity of morphologies including WAT-like and X-shaped-like sources. In our simulations, the emergence of WAT-like sources is influenced more by the timing of the initial radio outburst and the subsequent merger, rather than the merger mass ratio. Specifically, these sources typically develop within 250 Myr after the first core passage and approximately 1.75 Gyr after the initial outburst. On the other hand, we spot a few X-shaped-like sources in our simulations. These emerge only with the $R=1:2$ mass ratio and a rather later initial burst happening after the first core passage. Overall, our simulations highlight the relevance of the cluster environment in shaping various radio sources.

We showed that the late propagation of the CR jet material fills up the core region of the cluster which could provide seed electrons for radio mini-halos as originally proposed by [ZuHone et al. \(2013\)](#) and possibly even radio halo-like emission. While in this work we do not model the synchrotron emission, we showed that the super-Alfvénic turbulent re-acceleration mechanism proposed by [Brunetti & Lazarian \(2016\)](#) could be efficient enough to power radio emission at the center of clusters for long periods of time. The acceleration efficiency depends on the mean free path of a CR particle, which is expressed in terms of the parameter ψ . We suggest that a parameter value of $\psi \sim 0.3$ is enough to re-accelerate CRs effectively during periods of $\sim 1\text{--}2 \text{ Gyr}$ and produce a volume filling emission, $\gtrsim 50\%$, which would be detectable at $\sim 100 \text{ MHz}$. It is clear that other factors could come into play here, such as multiple mergers that generate more turbulence and/or multiple AGN bursts that provide more seed electrons and/or multiple onsets of turbulent re-acceleration and/or the action of other turbulence re-acceleration processes and/or the generation of secondary electrons. Furthermore, to more accurately model the energetic properties of the CRs that can

produce radio emission we should make use of a Fokker-Planck solver and tracer particles (see e.g., [Vazza et al. 2023](#); [Böss et al. 2023](#), for examples using cosmological simulations).

The same limitations apply for the case of radio relics. We do not model the DSA acceleration at shocks and follow the energy losses (e.g., [Domínguez-Fernández et al. 2020](#); [Domínguez-Fernández et al. 2024](#)). Nevertheless, we do not expect that a more complicated CR modelling will change our main conclusions regarding how challenging would it be to get a substantial contribution from the interaction of a central AGN with merger shocks to the radio relic emission. In this case, our conclusions rely mostly on the properties of the merger, strength of the shock, and timing of the jet ignition. We believe that a combination of multiple jet outbursts and/or off-center radio galaxies and/or multiple mergers would enhance the probability of finding these merger shocks lightening up in the radio band through shock re-acceleration.

In future work we will include the modelling of synchrotron emission following the ageing of CRe, study the role of different AGN cycles, different jet radio powers, and off-center and multiple AGNs. Finally, we also recognize that in reality, diffusion and/or streaming would smooth the CR distribution, potentially affecting the

observed radio emission ([ZuHone et al. 2021a](#)). This aspect merits further investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge useful discussions with Elizabeth Blanton and Ewan O’Sullivan. We would also like to acknowledge the main developer of the CR module in AREPO, Christoph Pfrommer. The simulations presented in this work made use of computational resources on the Canon cluster at Harvard University. P. Domínguez-Fernández acknowledges the Future Faculty Leaders Fellowship at the Center for Astrophysics, Harvard-Smithsonian. R. Weinberger acknowledges funding of a Leibniz Junior Research Group (project number J131/2022).

Software: The source codes used for the simulations of this study, AREPO ([Springel 2010](#); [Pakmor et al. 2011](#)) is freely available on <https://arepo-code.org/>. The main tools for our analysis are: python ([Van Rossum & Drake Jr 1995](#)), matplotlib ([Hunter 2007](#)), numpy ([Harris et al. 2020](#)) and the yt analysis toolkit ([Turk et al. 2011](#)) freely available at <https://matplotlib.org/>, <https://www.numpy.org> and <https://yt-project.org/>.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data underlying this article will be shared on reasonable request to the corresponding author.

APPENDIX

A. PROJECTION MAPS OF THE $\theta = 10^\circ$ RUNS

We show the projection maps for all the $\theta = 10^\circ$ runs. Figs. 13 and 14 show cases with a mass ratio $R = 1 : 2$ (equivalent to Figs. 1 and 2 in Section 3), while Figures 15 and 16 show those with a mass ratio $R = 1 : 5$ (equivalent to Figs. 3 and 4 in Section 3). In each figure, the first row features density projection maps, followed by CR pressure maps in the subsequent rows.

B. TRACING THE JET MATERIAL

We monitor jet material by setting a threshold for the cosmic ray pressure to thermal pressure ratio, $\chi_{\text{CR}} = P_{\text{CR}}/P_{\text{th}}$. An additional method involves using the already defined AREPO scalar jet-tracer output. In Fig. 17, we present the volume-averaged evolution of velocity divergence and magnetic field strength in the jet material, using various thresholds. Throughout this work, we used $\chi_{\text{CR}} = 10^{-7}$. While selecting different thresholds could introduce slight variations in the statistics, as illustrated in Fig. 17, these differences are minimal and do not significantly impact our main re-

sults. More attention on the CR pressure ratio, χ_{CR} , would only be necessary in the case in future work for the modelling of synchrotron emission.

C. HIGHER RESOLUTION RUNS

In this section, we show the time evolution of the jet material in our high-resolution runs (see Table 1). In Fig. 18 we show the same time evolution as shown in Fig. 6 for the $R = 1 : 5$, $\theta = 20^\circ$ runs. We show the results of the high-resolution runs in orange color. The high-resolution runs are limited to the cases where we set the jet to ignite in the x -direction. In the first row, we see how the CR material reaches the same radius as the low-resolution runs. In particular, we see that at these two different resolutions, the CR material in these $R = 1 : 5$ cases reaches around 2 Mpc by the end of the simulation. In the second row, we see that at higher resolution, the shock finder tends to pick-up more shocks, which is expected. The majority of the newly detected shocks at higher resolution are rather weak, with $\mathcal{M} \lesssim 1.4$. In addition, the volume fraction of CR




Figure 13. Case $R = 1 : 2$, $\theta = 10^\circ$ and starting jet activity after 50 Myr (upper panels) and 1 Gyr (lower panels).




Figure 14. Case $R = 1 : 2$, $\theta = 10^\circ$ and starting after 2 Gyr and 5 Gyr. Note that the times shown are different for the 5 Gyr case.




Figure 15. Case $R = 1 : 5$, $\theta = 10^\circ$ and starting jet activity after 50 Myr (upper panels) and 1 Gyr (lower panels).




Figure 16. Case $R = 1 : 5$, $\theta = 10^\circ$ and starting after 2 Gyr (upper panels) and 5 Gyr (lower panels). Note that the times shown are different for the 5 Gyr case.




Figure 17. Case $R = 1 : 5$, $\theta = 10^\circ$ and starting after 2 Gyr (upper panels) and 5 Gyr (lower panels). Note that the times shown are different for the 5 Gyr case.

material that encounters these newly detected shocks amounts to $\lesssim 1\%$. These shocks are not expected to be relevant in the full DSA regime (see, e.g., Kang et al. 2019; Ha et al. 2021). Therefore, for the purposes of this study, we can safely disregard these weak shocks,

as they are unlikely to be relevant for potential radio emissions associated with radio relics.

We defer a high-resolution study, which would demand more computational resources, to future work. Such higher resolution simulations are more crucial for analyzing the early phase of inflated lobes, where internal shocks and turbulence significantly influence the energy transfer and impact the resulting radio emission.

REFERENCES

- Bellomi, E., ZuHone, J., Weinberger, R., et al. 2023, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2310.09422, doi: [10.48550/arXiv.2310.09422](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2310.09422)
- Biava, N., Bonafede, A., Gastaldello, F., et al. 2024, arXiv e-prints, arXiv:2403.09802, doi: [10.48550/arXiv.2403.09802](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.09802)
- Birzan, L., Rafferty, D. A., McNamara, B. R., Wise, M. W., & Nulsen, P. E. J. 2004, ApJ, 607, 800, doi: [10.1086/383519](https://doi.org/10.1086/383519)
- Blanton, E. L., Paterno-Mahler, R., Wing, J. D., et al. 2015, in Extragalactic Jets from Every Angle, ed. F. Massaro, C. C. Cheung, E. Lopez, & A. Siemiginowska, Vol. 313, 315–320, doi: [10.1017/S1743921315002410](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1743921315002410)
- Blasi, P., & Colafrancesco, S. 1999, Astroparticle Physics, 12, 169, doi: [10.1016/S0927-6505\(99\)00079-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-6505(99)00079-1)
- Bonafede, A., Intema, H. T., Bruggen, M., et al. 2014, MNRAS, 444, L44, doi: [10.1093/mnrasl/slu110](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slu110)
- Böss, L. M., Steinwandel, U. P., Dolag, K., & Lesch, H. 2023, MNRAS, 519, 548, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stac3584](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stac3584)
- Botteon, A., Brunetti, G., Ryu, D., & Roh, S. 2020, A&A, 634, A64, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201936216](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936216)
- Boula, S. S., Niemiec, J., Amano, T., & Kobzar, O. 2024, A&A, 684, A129, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202349091](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202349091)
- Brienza, M., Lovisari, L., Rajpurohit, K., et al. 2022, A&A, 661, A92, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202142579](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142579)
- Brunetti, G., & Blasi, P. 2005, MNRAS, 363, 1173, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09511.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09511.x)
- Brunetti, G., & Jones, T. W. 2014, International Journal of Modern Physics D, 23, 1430007, doi: [10.1142/S0218271814300079](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271814300079)
- Brunetti, G., & Lazarian, A. 2007, Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 378, 245, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11771.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2007.11771.x)
- Brunetti, G., & Lazarian, A. 2016, MNRAS, 458, 2584, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw496](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw496)
- Brunetti, G., Setti, G., Feretti, L., & Giovannini, G. 2001, MNRAS, 320, 365, doi: [10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.03978.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-8711.2001.03978.x)
- Brunetti, G., & Vazza, F. 2020, PhRvL, 124, 051101, doi: [10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.051101](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.051101)
- Bruno, L., Gitti, M., Zanichelli, A., & Gregorini, L. 2019, A&A, 631, A173, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201936240](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936240)
- Brzycki, B., & ZuHone, J. 2019, ApJ, 883, 118, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab3983](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab3983)
- Cassano, R., Brunetti, G., Giocoli, C., & Etori, S. 2016, A&A, 593, A81, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201628414](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201628414)
- Cavaliere, A., & Fusco-Femiano, R. 1976, Astronomy and Astrophysics, 49, 137, <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:118589894>
- Chibueze, J. O., Sakemi, H., Ohmura, T., et al. 2021, Nature, 593, 47, doi: [10.1038/s41586-021-03434-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03434-1)
- Cotton, W. D., Thorat, K., Condon, J. J., et al. 2020, MNRAS, 495, 1271, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa1240](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa1240)
- Dabhade, P., Röttgering, H. J. A., Bagchi, J., et al. 2020, A&A, 635, A5, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201935589](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201935589)




Figure 18. Same as Fig. 6 for the $R = 1 : 5$ cases. We overplot in orange the results of the higher resolution simulations.

de Gasperin, F., Intema, H. T., Shimwell, T. W., et al. 2017, *Science Advances*, 3, e1701634, doi: [10.1126/sciadv.1701634](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1701634)

Dolag, K., Vazza, F., Brunetti, G., & Tormen, G. 2005, *MNRAS*, 364, 753, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09630.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2005.09630.x)

Domínguez-Fernández, P., Ryu, D., & Kang, H. 2024, *A&A*, 685, A68, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202348398](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202348398)

Domínguez-Fernández, P., Vazza, F., Brüggén, M., & Brunetti, G. 2019, *MNRAS*, 486, 623, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz877](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz877)

Domínguez-Fernández, P., Brüggén, M., Vazza, F., et al. 2021, *MNRAS*, 507, 2714, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stab2353](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab2353)

Domínguez-Fernández, P., Brüggén, M., Vazza, F., et al. 2020, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 500, 795, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa3018](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa3018)

Donahue, M., & Voit, G. M. 2022, *PhR*, 973, 1, doi: [10.1016/j.physrep.2022.04.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2022.04.005)

Douglass, E. 2012, PhD thesis, Boston University, Massachusetts

Douglass, E. M., Blanton, E. L., Randall, S. W., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, 868, 121, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/aae9e7](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/aae9e7)

Drury, L. O., & Voelk, J. H. 1981, *ApJ*, 248, 344, doi: [10.1086/159159](https://doi.org/10.1086/159159)

Eckert, D., Gaspari, M., Gastaldello, F., Le Brun, A. M. C., & O’Sullivan, E. 2021, *Universe*, 7, 142, doi: [10.3390/universe7050142](https://doi.org/10.3390/universe7050142)

Eidler, H. W., de Gasperin, F., Brunetti, G., et al. 2022, *A&A*, 666, A3, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202243737](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202243737)

Enßlin, T. A., & Gopal-Krishna. 2001, *A&A*, 366, 26, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20000198](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20000198)

Fabian, A. C. 2012, *ARA&A*, 50, 455, doi: [10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125521](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-astro-081811-125521)

Fabian, A. C., Sanders, J. S., Taylor, G. B., & Allen, S. W. 2005, *MNRAS*, 360, L20, doi: [10.1111/j.1745-3933.2005.00037.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-3933.2005.00037.x)

Fabian, A. C., ZuHone, J. A., & Walker, S. A. 2022, *MNRAS*, 510, 4000, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stab3655](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab3655)

Feretti, L., Giovannini, G., Govoni, F., & Murgia, M. 2012, *The Astronomy and Astrophysics Review*, 20, 54, doi: [10.1007/s00159-012-0054-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00159-012-0054-z)

Fujita, Y., Takizawa, M., & Sarazin, C. L. 2003, *ApJ*, 584, 190, doi: [10.1086/345599](https://doi.org/10.1086/345599)

Giacintucci, S., O’Sullivan, E., Vrtilik, J., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 732, 95, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/732/2/95](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/732/2/95)

Giri, G., Fendt, C., Thorat, K., Bodo, G., & Rossi, P. 2024, *Frontiers in Astronomy and Space Sciences*, 11, 1371101, doi: [10.3389/fspas.2024.1371101](https://doi.org/10.3389/fspas.2024.1371101)

Ha, J.-H., Kim, S., Ryu, D., & Kang, H. 2021, *ApJ*, 915, 18, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/abfb68](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abfb68)

Ha, J.-H., Ryu, D., Kang, H., & Kim, S. 2022, *ApJ*, 925, 88, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac3bc0](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac3bc0)

Hardcastle, M. J., Croston, J. H., Shimwell, T. W., et al. 2019, *MNRAS*, 488, 3416, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stz1910](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stz1910)

Harris, C. R., Millman, K. J., van der Walt, S. J., et al. 2020, *Nature*, 585, 357, doi: [10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2649-2)

- Hlavacek-Larrondo, J., McDonald, M., Benson, B. A., et al. 2015, *ApJ*, 805, 35, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/805/1/35](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/805/1/35)
- Hodges-Kluck, E. J., & Reynolds, C. S. 2011, *ApJ*, 733, 58, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/733/1/58](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/733/1/58)
- Hunter, J. D. 2007, *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 9, 90, doi: [10.1109/MCSE.2007.55](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCSE.2007.55)
- Ignesti, A., Brunetti, G., Shimwell, T., et al. 2022, *A&A*, 659, A20, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202142549](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202142549)
- Kang, H., & Ryu, D. 2016, *ApJ*, 823, 13, doi: [10.3847/0004-637X/823/1/13](https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/823/1/13)
- Kang, H., Ryu, D., & Ha, J.-H. 2019, *ApJ*, 876, 79, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab16d1](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab16d1)
- Lalakos, A., Gottlieb, O., Kaaz, N., et al. 2022, *ApJL*, 936, L5, doi: [10.3847/2041-8213/ac7bed](https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac7bed)
- Leahy, J. P., & Williams, A. G. 1984, *MNRAS*, 210, 929, doi: [10.1093/mnras/210.4.929](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/210.4.929)
- Lilley, E. J., Evans, N. W., & Sanders, J. L. 2018, *MNRAS*, 476, 2086, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty295](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty295)
- Liska, M., Hesp, C., Tchekhovskoy, A., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 474, L81, doi: [10.1093/mnrasl/slx174](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/slx174)
- Mandal, S., Intema, H. T., van Weeren, R. J., et al. 2020, *A&A*, 634, A4, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201936560](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936560)
- Marinacci, F., Vogelsberger, M., Pakmor, R., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 480, 5113, doi: [10.1093/mnras/sty2206](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/sty2206)
- Mazzotta, P., & Giacintucci, S. 2008, *ApJL*, 675, L9, doi: [10.1086/529433](https://doi.org/10.1086/529433)
- McNamara, B. R., Wise, M., Nulsen, P. E. J., et al. 2000, *ApJL*, 534, L135, doi: [10.1086/312662](https://doi.org/10.1086/312662)
- Miniati, F. 2014, *ApJ*, 782, 21, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/782/1/21](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/782/1/21)
- Miniati, F. 2015, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 800, 60, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/800/1/60](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/800/1/60)
- Nishiwaki, K., Brunetti, G., Vazza, F., & Gheller, C. 2024, *ApJ*, 961, 15, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ad11ce](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad11ce)
- Nolting, C., Ball, J., & Nguyen, T. M. 2023, *ApJ*, 948, 25, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/acc652](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/acc652)
- O'Dea, C. P., & Baum, S. A. 2023, *Galaxies*, 11, 67, doi: [10.3390/galaxies11030067](https://doi.org/10.3390/galaxies11030067)
- O'Sullivan, E., Giacintucci, S., David, L. P., et al. 2011, *ApJ*, 735, 11, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/735/1/11](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/735/1/11)
- Pakmor, R., Bauer, A., & Springel, V. 2011, *MNRAS*, 418, 1392, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19591.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19591.x)
- Pakmor, R., Pfrommer, C., Simpson, C. M., Kannan, R., & Springel, V. 2016, *MNRAS*, 462, 2603, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw1761](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw1761)
- Pakmor, R., & Springel, V. 2013, *MNRAS*, 432, 176, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stt428](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stt428)
- Paterno-Mahler, R., Blanton, E. L., Randall, S. W., & Clarke, T. E. 2013, *ApJ*, 773, 114, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/773/2/114](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/773/2/114)
- Petrosian, V. 2001, *ApJ*, 557, 560, doi: [10.1086/321557](https://doi.org/10.1086/321557)
- Pfrommer, C., & Enßlin, T. A. 2004, *A&A*, 413, 17, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361:20031464](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361:20031464)
- Pfrommer, C., Pakmor, R., Schaal, K., Simpson, C. M., & Springel, V. 2017, *MNRAS*, 465, 4500, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw2941](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2941)
- Pinzke, A., Oh, S. P., & Pfrommer, C. 2017, *MNRAS*, 465, 4800, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw3024](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw3024)
- Poole, G. B., Fardal, M. A., Babul, A., et al. 2006, *MNRAS*, 373, 881, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10916.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2006.10916.x)
- Porter, D. H., Jones, T. W., & Ryu, D. 2015, *ApJ*, 810, 93, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/810/2/93](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/810/2/93)
- Powell, K. G., Roe, P. L., Linde, T. J., Gombosi, T. I., & De Zeeuw, D. L. 1999, *Journal of Computational Physics*, 154, 284, doi: [10.1006/jcph.1999.6299](https://doi.org/10.1006/jcph.1999.6299)
- Richard-Laferrrière, A., Hlavacek-Larrondo, J., Nemmen, R. S., et al. 2020, *MNRAS*, 499, 2934, doi: [10.1093/mnras/staa2877](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/staa2877)
- Ricker, P. M., & Sarazin, C. L. 2001, *ApJ*, 561, 621, doi: [10.1086/323365](https://doi.org/10.1086/323365)
- Roh, S., Ryu, D., Kang, H., Ha, S., & Jang, H. 2019, *ApJ*, 883, 138, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ab3aff](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ab3aff)
- Rybicki, G. B., & Lightman, A. P. 1979, *Radiative processes in astrophysics*
- Ryu, D., Kang, H., Hallman, E., & Jones, T. W. 2003, *ApJ*, 593, 599, doi: [10.1086/376723](https://doi.org/10.1086/376723)
- Sarazin, C. L. 1999, *ApJ*, 520, 529, doi: [10.1086/307501](https://doi.org/10.1086/307501)
- Schaal, K., & Springel, V. 2015, *MNRAS*, 446, 3992, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu2386](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu2386)
- Schlickeiser, R. 2002, *Cosmic Ray Astrophysics*
- Shimwell, T. W., Röttgering, H. J. A., Best, P. N., et al. 2017, *A&A*, 598, A104, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201629313](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201629313)
- Shimwell, T. W., Tasse, C., Hardcastle, M. J., et al. 2019, *A&A*, 622, A1, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/201833559](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833559)
- Springel, V. 2010, *MNRAS*, 401, 791, doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15715.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2009.15715.x)
- Steinwandel, U. P., Böss, L. M., Dolag, K., & Lesch, H. 2022, *ApJ*, 933, 131, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ac715c](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ac715c)
- Turk, M. J., Smith, B. D., Oishi, J. S., et al. 2011, *ApJS*, 192, 9, doi: [10.1088/0067-0049/192/1/9](https://doi.org/10.1088/0067-0049/192/1/9)
- Ubertosi, F., Schellenberger, G., O'Sullivan, E., et al. 2024, *ApJ*, 961, 134, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/ad11d8](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/ad11d8)
- Vallés-Pérez, D., Planelles, S., & Quilis, V. 2021, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 504, 510–527, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stab880](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab880)
- Van Rossum, G., & Drake Jr, F. L. 1995, *Python reference manual (Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica Amsterdam)*

- van Weeren, R. J., de Gasperin, F., Akamatsu, H., et al. 2019, *SSRv*, 215, 16, doi: [10.1007/s11214-019-0584-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-019-0584-z)
- Vantyghem, A. N., McNamara, B. R., Russell, H. R., et al. 2014, *MNRAS*, 442, 3192, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stu1030](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stu1030)
- Vazza, F., Brunetti, G., Brügger, M., & Bonafede, A. 2018, *MNRAS*, 474, 1672, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx2830](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2830)
- Vazza, F., Brunetti, G., Kritsuk, A., et al. 2009, *A&A*, 504, 33, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/200912535](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/200912535)
- Vazza, F., Jones, T. W., Brügger, M., et al. 2017, *MNRAS*, 464, 210, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stw2351](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stw2351)
- Vazza, F., Wittor, D., Brunetti, G., & Brügger, M. 2021, *A&A*, 653, A23, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202140513](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202140513)
- Vazza, F., Wittor, D., Di Federico, L., et al. 2023, *A&A*, 669, A50, doi: [10.1051/0004-6361/202243753](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202243753)
- Vikhlinin, A., Kravtsov, A., Forman, W., et al. 2006, *ApJ*, 640, 691, doi: [10.1086/500288](https://doi.org/10.1086/500288)
- Vikhlinin, A., Burenin, R. A., Ebeling, H., et al. 2009, *ApJ*, 692, 1033, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/692/2/1033](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/692/2/1033)
- Weinberger, R., Ehlert, K., Pfrommer, C., Pakmor, R., & Springel, V. 2017, *MNRAS*, 470, 4530, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx1409](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx1409)
- Weinberger, R., Su, K.-Y., Ehlert, K., et al. 2023, *MNRAS*, 523, 1104, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stad1396](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad1396)
- Wilber, A., Brügger, M., Bonafede, A., et al. 2018, *MNRAS*, 473, 3536, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stx2568](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stx2568)
- Wittor, D., Etori, S., Vazza, F., et al. 2021, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 506, 396–414, doi: [10.1093/mnras/stab1735](https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stab1735)
- ZuHone, J., Ehlert, K., Weinberger, R., & Pfrommer, C. 2021a, *Galaxies*, 9, 91, doi: [10.3390/galaxies9040091](https://doi.org/10.3390/galaxies9040091)
- ZuHone, J. A. 2011, *ApJ*, 728, 54, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/728/1/54](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/728/1/54)
- ZuHone, J. A., Markevitch, M., Brunetti, G., & Giacintucci, S. 2013, *ApJ*, 762, 78, doi: [10.1088/0004-637X/762/2/78](https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/762/2/78)
- ZuHone, J. A., Markevitch, M., Weinberger, R., Nulsen, P., & Ehlert, K. 2021b, *ApJ*, 914, 73, doi: [10.3847/1538-4357/abf7bc](https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-4357/abf7bc)