# Existence and regularity results for a Neumann problem with mixed local and nonlocal diffusion

Craig Cowan<sup> $a_*$ </sup>, Mohammad El Smaily <sup> $b_{\dagger}$ </sup> and Pierre Aime Feulefack <sup> $b_{\ddagger}$ </sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Manitoba,

Winnipeg, MB, Canada

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, University of Northern British Columbia,

Prince George, BC, Canada

#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider an elliptic problem driven by a mixed local-nonlocal operator with drift and subject to nonlocal Neumann condition. We prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  of the considered problem with  $L^p$ -source function when p and s are in a certain range.

Keywords. Maximum principle, operators of mixed order with Drift,

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35A09, 35B50, 35B65, 35R11, 35J67, 47A75.

## **1** Introduction and main results

In this paper, we are interested in the study of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} Lu := -\Delta u(x) + (-\Delta)^s u(x) - q(x) \cdot \nabla u(x) + a(x)u = f(x) & \text{in} \quad \Omega \\ & \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on} \quad \partial \Omega \\ & \mathcal{N}_s u = 0 & \text{on} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

with a mixed diffusion and a new type of Neumann boundary conditions. The new boundary condition is  $\mathcal{N}_s u = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ , where  $\mathcal{N}_s u$  — known as the nonlocal normal derivative of u is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_s u(x) = C_{N,s} \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N + 2s}} \, dy \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}.$$
(1.2)

The diffusion term is a superposition of the classical Laplacian (local diffusion) and the fractional Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^s$  for certain values of  $s \in (0, 1)$  that will be specified later. It is well known that the fractional Laplacian represents a nonlocal diffusion in the medium.

<sup>\*</sup>Email: craig.cowan@umanitoba.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. Email: mohammad.elsmaily@unbc.ca

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Email: pierre.feulefack@aims-cameroon.org

We recall that the operator  $(-\Delta)^s$ , with  $s \in (0,1)$ , stands for the fractional Laplacian and it is defined for compactly supported function  $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$  of class  $\mathcal{C}^2$  by

$$(-\Delta)^{s}u(x) = C_{N,s} \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy$$
(1.3)

with the same normalization constant  $C_{N,s}$  as in (1.2) given by

$$C_{N,s} := \pi^{-\frac{N}{2}} 2^{2s} s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{N}{2} + s)}{\Gamma(1 - s)}.$$
(1.4)

We refer the reader to the results of Silvestre [10] about the spaces that  $(-\Delta)^s u$  belongs to according to the regularity of the function u.

The boundary conditions in (1.1) consist of the classical Neumann boundary condition  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0$  on  $\partial \Omega$  ( $\nu$  is the outward unit normal on  $\partial \Omega$ ) and the nonlocal boundary condition  $\mathcal{N}_s u = 0$  (see [8]) on  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$ . The classical Neumann condition states that there is no flux through the boundary of the domain. On the other hand, the nonlocal boundary condition  $\mathcal{N}_s u = 0$  states that if a particle is in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ , it may come back to any point  $y \in \Omega$  with the probability density of jumping from x to y being proportional to  $|x - y|^{-N-2s}$ . A detailed description of (1.1) is given in [9]. The condition  $\mathcal{N}_s u = 0$  is interpreted in [9] as a condition that arises from the superposition of Brownian and Lévy processes.

The PDE

$$-\Delta u(x) + (-\Delta)^s u - q(x) \cdot \nabla u(x) + a(x)u = f(x) \quad \text{in} \quad \Omega \tag{1.5}$$

has been extensively studied when  $q \equiv 0$  and the boundary condition is of Dirichlet type. Tat is,  $u \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega$ . Existence and regularity of solutions for (1.5), as well as maximum principles are among the results obtained in [3], [4], [5], and [11], where the advection q is absent and the boundary condition is of Dirichlet type. The authors of this paper studied (1.5) in the recent work [6], where an advection term is present and (1.5) is coupled with the Dirichlet condition  $u \equiv 0$  on  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ .

The recent work [7] considers (1.5) with  $q \equiv 0$  and  $a \equiv 0$  to provide spectral properties of the mixed diffusion operator. The work [8] considers a purely nonlocal diffusion and provides existence results for the problem with nonlocal Neumann conditions. It is important to note that [8] does not consider a PDE with a mixed diffusion and it does not account for advection.

The domain and the coefficients. Throughout this paper, we assume that the domain  $\Omega$  is an open bounded connected subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . The coefficients q and a are assumed to be smooth with  $a \ge 0$  and not identically zero.

The normal derivative of u on  $\partial\Omega$ . Our solutions will, in general, be  $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$  but the extension ( $\widetilde{u}$  defined later) will not be sufficiently smooth. Hence to compute  $\partial_{\nu} u(x)$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , we are using

$$\partial_{\nu}u(x) = \lim_{t \to 0^{-}} \frac{u(x_0 + t\nu(x_0)) - u(x_0)}{t},$$

where  $\nu(x)$  is the unit outward normal to  $\partial\Omega$  at  $x \in \partial\Omega$ .

We prove the following results for problem (1.1).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded set of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with smooth boundary and  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ . Then,

1. if  $\frac{N-1}{2N} < s < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $N , problem (1.1) admits a unique solution <math>u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ .

2. if 
$$p > N$$
 and  $\frac{1}{2} \le s < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2p}$ , problem (1.1) admits a unique solution  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ .

## 2 Some functional spaces

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an open bounded set. For a vector field  $q: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^N$ , we write  $q \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ (resp.  $q \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ ) whenever  $q_j \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $q_j \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ ),  $j = 1, 2, \cdots, N$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}), 0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , the Banach space of functions  $u \in \mathcal{C}^k(\overline{\Omega})$  such that derivative of order k belong to  $\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  with the norm

$$\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} := \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{k}(\overline{\Omega})} + \sum_{|\tau|=k} [D^{\tau}u]_{\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})},$$

where the Hölder seminorm  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}$  is given by

$$[u]_{\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} = \sup_{x,y\in\overline{\Omega}, x\neq y} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x - y|^{\alpha}}$$

and  $\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  is the Banach space of functions  $u \in \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\Omega})$  which are Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha$  in  $\Omega$  and the norm  $\|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})} = \|u\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} + [u]_{\mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})}$ . We refer the reader to the results of Silvestre [10] about the spaces that  $(-\Delta)^s u$  belongs to according to the regularity of the function u.

If  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , as usual we set

$$W^{k,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : D^{\alpha}u \text{ exists for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N, \, |\alpha| \le k \text{ and } D^{\alpha}u \in L^p(\Omega) \right\}$$

for the Banach space of k-times (weakly) differentialable functions in  $L^p(\Omega)$ . Moreover, in the fractional setting, for  $s \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , we set

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{\frac{n}{p} + s}} \in L^p(\Omega \times \Omega) \right\}.$$

The space  $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$  is a Banach space with the norm

$$||u||_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} = \left( ||u||_{L^{p}(\Omega)}^{p} + \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p}}{|x - y|^{n + sp}} \, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Next, we set  $\mathcal{Q} := (\mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N) \setminus (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega)^2$  and define the space  $\mathcal{X}^s(\Omega)$  by

$$\mathcal{X}^{s}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^{2}(\Omega) : \ u|_{\Omega} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega); \ [u]_{\mathcal{X}^{s}(\Omega)} < \infty \right\}.$$

Here, the corresponding Gagliardo seminorm  $[\cdot]_s$  is given by

$$([u]_s)^2 := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \iint_{\mathcal{Q}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dx dy.$$

We also define the space

$$\mathcal{X}_K^s(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^2(\Omega) : \ u|_{\Omega} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega); \ [u]_{s,K} < \infty \right\},\$$

where,

$$([u]_{s,K})^{2} := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |u(x) - u(y)|^{2} K_{\Omega}(x,y) dxdy,$$

and  $K_{\Omega}: \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is a measurable (regional) kernel given by

$$K_{\Omega}(x,y) := \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} + k_{\Omega}(x,y)$$
(2.1)

with

$$k_{\Omega}(x,y) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \setminus \Omega} \frac{1}{|x-z|^{N+2s} |y-z|^{N+2s} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|z-z'|^{N+2s}} dz'} dz, \qquad x, y \in \Omega.$$
(2.2)

Note that the space  $\mathcal{X}^{s}(\Omega)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{X}^{s}_{K}(\Omega)$ ) is a Hilbert space when furnished with the scalar product  $\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{X}^{s}(\Omega)}$  (resp.  $\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{X}^{s}_{K}(\Omega)}$ )

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\mathcal{X}^s(\Omega)} := \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \iint_{\mathcal{Q}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dxdy$$

and the corresponding norm given  $\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}^{s}(\Omega)} = \langle u, u \rangle_{\mathcal{X}^{s}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}}$  (resp.  $\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}^{s}(\Omega)} = \langle u, u \rangle_{\mathcal{X}^{s}_{K}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ ). Finally, we define the space  $\mathcal{L}^{1}_{s}(\mathbb{R}^{N})$  by

 $\mathcal{L}^1_s(\mathbb{R}^N) := \big\{ u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}, \text{ such that } u \text{ is measurable and } \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1_s(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \infty \big\},$ 

where

$$||u||_{\mathcal{L}_1^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(y)|}{1+|y|^{N+2s}} dx.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $u \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega})$  and define the function  $\widetilde{u}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  as

$$\widetilde{u}(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) & \text{if } x \in \overline{\Omega} \\ \\ u_1(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \end{cases}$$
(2.3)

where

$$u_1(x) := \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dy}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dy}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}.$$
(2.4)

**Remark 2.2.** We note that  $\mathcal{N}_s \widetilde{u}(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ .

We now recall the following results that lead to integration by parts in a fractional setting from [2]:

**Lemma 2.3** ([2]). Let  $u, v : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$  be two functions such that  $\mathcal{N}_s v = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ . Then

$$\int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} (u(x) - u(y))(v(x) - v(y))K_{\Omega}(x, y) dxdy$$

$$= C_{N,s} \iint_{\mathcal{Q}} \frac{(\widetilde{u}(x) - \widetilde{u}(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dxdy$$
(2.5)

From Lemma 2.3 and [8, Lemma 3.3], we deduce the integration by parts formula

$$\iint_{\mathcal{Q}} \frac{(\widetilde{u}(x) - \widetilde{u}(y))(v(x) - v(y))}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dxdy = \int_{\Omega} v(-\Delta)^s \widetilde{u} \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega} v \mathcal{N}_s \widetilde{u} \, dx \qquad (2.6)$$

for u and v being two  $\mathcal{C}^2$  bounded functions in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

## **2.1** Estimates on $\tilde{u}$

Let  $N and suppose <math>u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  and hence u is  $C^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega})$ . Then note that  $\widetilde{u}$  is smooth near x for any  $x \notin \overline{\Omega}$ . So the only real question on the smoothness of  $\widetilde{u}$  is when  $x \notin \Omega$  and  $\delta(x) = dist(x, \partial\Omega) < 1$ . For  $x \notin \overline{\Omega}$ , let  $\hat{x} \in \partial\Omega$  be such that

$$|x - \hat{x}| = \inf_{z \in \partial\Omega} |z - x|.$$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\Omega$  be an open bounded set of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with smooth boundary and let N $and suppose <math>u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  with  $||u||_{W^{2,p}} \leq 1$ . The following estimates are all independent of u.

(i) For 0 < s < 1/2 there is some C such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$  with  $\delta(x) < 1$  we have

$$|\nabla u_1(x)| \le \frac{C}{(\delta(x))^{1-2s}}.$$

Therefore,  $\widetilde{u} \in W^{1,q}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for all  $1 < q < \frac{1}{1-2s}$  — after applying the co-area formula.

(ii) If s = 1/2 then  $\widetilde{u} \in W^{1,q}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for all  $1 \le q < \infty$ .

(iii) Let 1/2 < s < 1. Then, there is some C > 0 such that  $|\nabla \widetilde{u}(x)| \leq C$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$  with  $\delta(x) < 1$ . For simplicity, we set

$$F(x) = \int_{\Omega} |x - y|^{-N - 2s} dy$$

Since  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  with p > N, we have that  $u \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega})$ . It follows from [8, Proposition 5.2] and the regularity of  $\Omega$  that  $\widetilde{u}$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Moreover, a direct computation shows that

$$\frac{(F(x))^2 \nabla u_1(x)}{N+2s} = \int_{\Omega} (u(y) - u(\hat{x})) |x - y|^{-N-2s} dy \int_{\Omega} |x - y|^{-N-2s-2} (x - y) dy + \int_{\Omega} |x - y|^{-N-2s} dy \int_{\Omega} (u(\hat{x}) - u(y)) |x - y|^{-N-2s-2} (x - y) dy.$$

We now use the bound on u given by  $|u(y) - u(\hat{x})| \le C_0 |y - \hat{x}|$  to give

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(F(x))^2 |\nabla u_1(x)|}{N+2s} &\leq C_0 \int_{\Omega} \frac{|y-\hat{x}|}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s+1}} dy \\ &+ C_0 \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{\Omega} \frac{|y-\hat{x}|}{|x-y|^{N+2s+1}} dy \end{aligned}$$

Now note that for  $y \in \Omega$  we have  $|x - \hat{x}| \leq |x - y|$  by the definition of  $\hat{x}$  and hence we have

$$|y - \hat{x}| \le |y - x| + |x - \hat{x}| \le 2|y - x|.$$

It follows using the above inequality that

$$\frac{(F(x))^2 |\nabla u_1(x)|}{N+2s} \leq C_1 \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s-1}} dy \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s+1}} dy + C_1 \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy.$$

Noticing that the second term in the right hand side is just  $(F(x))^2$ , we have

$$\frac{|\nabla u_1(x)|}{N+2s} \le C_1 + C_2 \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s-1}} dy \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s+1}} dy}{(F(x))^2}.$$
(2.7)

Now, it well known from [1, Lemma 2.1] that there are constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  such that for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ , we have

$$C_1 \min\{(\delta(x))^{-2s}, (\delta(x))^{-N-2s}\} \le F(x) \le C_2 \min\{(\delta(x))^{-2s}, (\delta(x))^{-N-2s}\}.$$
 (2.8)

With the assumption  $\delta(x) < 1, x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ , this reduces to

$$C_1(\delta(x))^{-2s} \le F(x) \le C_2(\delta(x))^{-2s}.$$
 (2.9)

We have the more general result that for  $\tau > 0$  there is some  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that for  $x \notin \overline{\Omega}$  but with  $\delta(x)$  small we have

$$\frac{C_1}{(\delta(x))^{\tau}} \le \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+\tau}} dy \le \frac{C_2}{(\delta(x))^{\tau}}.$$
(2.10)

We now distinguish three cases:  $s \in (0, 1/2)$ , s = 1/2 or  $s \in (1/2, 1)$ .

**Case (i):** 0 < s < 1/2. Set  $R_0 = 2\text{diam}(\Omega)$ , where  $\text{diam}(\Omega)$  is the diameter of  $\Omega$ . Since  $\Omega$  is bounded and  $\delta(x) < 1$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ , we have that  $\Omega \subset B_{2R_0+1}(x)$ . It follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s-1}} dy \le \int_{B_{2R_0+1}(0)} \frac{1}{|z|^{N+2s-1}} dz = C \int_{0}^{2R_0+1} \rho^{-2s} d\rho = C(2R_0+1)^{1-2s}.$$
 (2.11)

Putting (2.9), (2.11) and (2.10) together, we get

$$\frac{|\nabla u_1(x)|}{N+2s} \le C_1 + \frac{C_3}{(\delta(x))^{1-2s}}.$$

We then apply the coarea formula now to get the desired result.

Case (ii): s = 1/2. We know from (2.7) that

$$|\nabla u_1(x)| \le C_1 + C_2 \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2}} dy \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^N} dy}{(F(x))^2},$$

and this gives combining (2.9) and (2.10),

$$|\nabla u_1(x)| \le C_1 + C_3 \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^N} dy$$

We now estimate the last term. Since  $\Omega \subset B_{2R_0+1}(x)$  and  $x \notin \Omega$  with  $\delta(x) < 1$ . Then we have

$$G(x) = \int_{y \in \Omega} \frac{1}{|x - y|^N} dy \le C \int_{\{z:\delta(x) \le |z| \le 2R_0 + 1\}} \frac{1}{|z|^N dz} = C \ln\left(\frac{2R_0 + 1}{\delta(x)}\right).$$

Then we have, after using the co-area formula,

$$\begin{split} \int_{\{x\notin\Omega,\delta(x)<1\}} G(x)^q dx &\leq C^q \int_{\{x\notin\Omega:\delta(x)<1\}} \left(\ln\left(\frac{2R_0+1}{\delta(x)}\right)\right)^q dx \\ &= C^q \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\{x\notin\Omega:\delta(x)=t\}} \left\{\ln\left(\frac{2R_0+1}{\delta(x)}\right)\right\}^q d\sigma(x)\right) dt \\ &= C^q \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\{x\notin\Omega:\delta(x)=t\}} \left\{\ln\left(\frac{2R_0+1}{t}\right)\right\}^q d\sigma(x)\right) dt \end{split}$$

There is some C > 0 such that  $|\{x \notin \Omega : \delta(x) = t\}| \leq C$  for all 0 < t < 1, where |A| refers the the N-1 measure of A. From this we see (after doing a change of variables r = 1/t that we have

$$\int_{\{x \notin \Omega, \delta(x) < 1\}} G(x)^q dx \le C \int_1^\infty \frac{\left(\ln((2R_0 + 1)r)\right)^q}{r^2} dr,$$

and this is finite for any  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . Therefore, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  and  $|x| \leq R$ , we have

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla \widetilde{u}(x)|^q \, dx \le C_R$$

This shows that  $\widetilde{u} \in W^{1,q}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  for all  $1 < q < \infty$ .

**Case (iii):** 1/2 < s < 1. For  $x \notin \overline{\Omega}$ , we have from (2.7) that

$$\frac{|\nabla u_1(x)|}{N+2s} \le C_1 + C_2 \frac{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s-1}} dy \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s+1}} dy}{(F(x))^2}$$

Now, since  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_{\delta(x)}(x)$  for all  $x \in \Omega^c$ , we compute for s > 1/2,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s-1}} \, dy \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{d(x)}} \frac{1}{|z|^{N+2s-1}} \, dz = C \int_{d(x)}^{\infty} \rho^{-2s} \, d\rho = C\delta(x)^{1-2s}.$$

This combined with (2.9) and (2.10) yield

$$\frac{|\nabla u_1(x)|}{N+2s} \le C_1 + C_4.$$

Hence, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$  we have

$$|\nabla u_1(x)| \le C$$

### Lemma 2.5. The following results hold:

- 1. Suppose  $\frac{N-1}{2N} < s < 1/2$  and  $N . Then, the mapping <math>u \mapsto (-\Delta)^s \widetilde{u}$  is continuous and compact from  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  to  $L^p(\Omega)$ .
- 2. Suppose p > N and  $1/2 \le s < \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2p}$ . The mapping  $u \mapsto (-\Delta)^s \widetilde{u}$  is continuous and compact from  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  to  $L^p(\Omega)$ .

*Proof.* 1. For the convenience of the reader we show the continuous argument and compact argument in separate steps. Let  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  with  $||u||_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq 1$  and let  $x \in \Omega$  and then note we have

$$(-\Delta)^s \tilde{u}(x) = I + II + III$$

where

$$\begin{split} I(u)(x) &= \int_{\{y \in \Omega: |y-x| \le 1\}} \frac{\tilde{u}(x) - \tilde{u}(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy, \\ II(u)(x) &= \int_{\{y \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}: |y-x| \le 1\}} \frac{\tilde{u}(x) - \tilde{u}(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy, \\ \text{and} \quad III(u)(x) &= \int_{\{y: |y-x| \ge 1\}} \frac{\tilde{u}(x) - \tilde{u}(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy. \end{split}$$

Note that

$$|I(u)(x)| \le \int_{\{y \notin \Omega: |y-x| \le 1\}} \frac{C|x-y|}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \le C_2$$

since  $s < \frac{1}{2}$ . Also, note that

$$|III(u)(x)| \le \int_{\{y:|y-x|\ge 1\}} \frac{C}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy,$$

since  $\widetilde{u}$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and hence |III(u)(x)| is bounded in  $\Omega$  by some C. We now estimate II(u). Using z = y - x we have

$$|II(u)(x)| \le \int_{|z|\le 1} \frac{|\widetilde{u}(x+z) - \widetilde{u}(x)|}{|z|^{N+2s}} dz$$

Note that

$$|\widetilde{u}(x+z) - \widetilde{u}(x)| \le |z| \int_0^1 |\nabla \widetilde{u}(x+tz)| dt$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |II(u)(x)|^{p} dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|z|^{N+2s-1}} \int_{0}^{1} |\nabla \widetilde{u}(x+tz)| dt dz \right)^{p} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|z|^{N+2s-1}} \int_{0}^{1} |\nabla \widetilde{u}(x+tz)|^{p} dt dz dx \quad \text{(Jensen's inquality applied twice)} \\ &= C \int_{0}^{1} \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|z|^{N+2s-1}} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \widetilde{u}(x+tz)|^{p} dx \right) dz dt \\ &\leq C \int_{0}^{1} \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|z|^{N+2s-1}} \left( \int_{|x| \leq R} |\nabla \widetilde{u}(x)|^{p} dx \right) dz dt, \end{split}$$

for some large R and hence we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |II(u)(x)|^p dx \le C_2 \int_{|x| \le R} |\nabla \widetilde{u}(x)|^p dx.$$

Combining this with the results on I(u) and III(u), we see that  $\Delta^s \widetilde{u} \in L^p(\Omega)$  and is continuous from  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ .

We now consider the compactness. Since  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  is a reflexive space its sufficient to show that if  $u_m \to 0$  in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  then  $\Delta^s \tilde{u}_m \to 0$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$ . Let  $u_m \to 0$  in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  and hence it converges to zero in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and uniformly in  $\Omega$ .

$$\frac{(F(x))^2 \nabla \widetilde{u}_m(x)}{N+2s} = \int_{\Omega} (u_m(y) - u_m(\hat{x})) |x-y|^{-N-2s} dy \int_{\Omega} |x-y|^{-N-2s-2} (x-y) dy + \int_{\Omega} |x-y|^{-N-2s} dy \int_{\Omega} (u_m(\hat{x}) - u_m(y)) |x-y|^{-N-2s-2} (x-y) dy.$$

From this we see that  $|\nabla \widetilde{u}_m(x)| \to 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and note that we can use the result of Lemma 2.4 with the dominated convergence theorem to see that  $\widetilde{u}_m \to 0$  in  $W^{1,p}(B_R)$  for all  $0 < R < \infty$ .

Let  $x \in \Omega$  and then note

$$(-\Delta)^s \widetilde{u}_m(x) = J_1(u_m)(x) + III(u_m)(x)$$

where

$$J_1(u_m)(x) = I(u_m)(x) + II(u_m)(x) = \int_{\{y:|y-x|\le 1\}} \frac{\widetilde{u}_m(x) - \widetilde{u}_m(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy.$$

As before, we can write this as

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} |J_1(u_m)(x)|^p dx \\ &\leq C_1 \int_0^1 \int_{\{|z| \leq 1\}} \frac{1}{|z|^{N+2s-1}} \left( \int_{x \in \Omega} |\nabla \widetilde{u}_m(x+tz)|^p dx \right) dz dx \\ &\leq C_2 \int_{|x| < R} |\nabla \widetilde{u}_m(x)|^p dx, \end{split}$$

for some large R and we know this goes to zero from the earlier results. Let R > 1 be big and note we have

$$\begin{aligned} |III(u_m)(x)| &\leq \int_{\{y:1\leq |y-x|\leq R\}} \frac{|\widetilde{u}_m(x)| + |\widetilde{u}_m(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \\ &+ \int_{\{y:|y-x|\geq R\}} \frac{|\widetilde{u}_m(x)| + |\widetilde{u}_m(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \\ &\leq \int_{\{y:1\leq |y-x|\leq R\}} \frac{|\widetilde{u}_m(x)| + |\widetilde{u}_m(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy + CR^{-2s}, \end{aligned}$$

where C is from the fact that  $|\tilde{u}_m(x)| \leq C_1$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  (independent of m). From this we see that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} |III(u_m)(x)|^p dx &\leq C_p R^{-2sp} + C_p \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{1 \leq |y-x| \leq R} \frac{|\widetilde{u}_m(x)| + |\widetilde{u}_m(y)|}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \right)^p dx \\ &\leq C_p R^{-2sp} + C_p \int_{\Omega} \left( 2 \sup_{|\zeta| \leq R} |\widetilde{u}_m(\zeta)| \int_{|z| \geq 1} \frac{1}{|z|^{N+2s}} dz \right)^p dx \end{split}$$

and note that the second term goes to zero when  $m \to \infty$ . Hence, we have

$$\limsup_{m} \int_{\Omega} |III(u_m)(x)|^p dx \le C_p R^{-2sp},$$

and consequently  $\int_{\Omega} |III(u_m)(x)|^p dx \to 0$  since we can set  $R \to \infty$ .

2. We now take  $1/2 \le s < 1$  and for these cases we split the integral in the definition of the fractional Laplacian as

$$\begin{split} (-\Delta)^{s}\widetilde{u}(x) &= \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{[\widetilde{u}(x) - \widetilde{u}(x+z)] + [\widetilde{u}(x) - \widetilde{u}(x-z)]}{|z|^{N+2s}} \, dz \\ &= \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{4} \int_{A_{x}^{i}} \frac{[\widetilde{u}(x) - \widetilde{u}(x+z)] + [\widetilde{u}(x) - \widetilde{u}(x-z)]}{|z|^{N+2s}} \, dz \\ &\quad + \frac{C_{N,s}}{2} \int_{\{z \in \mathbb{R}^{N} : |z| > 1\}} \frac{[\widetilde{u}(x) - \widetilde{u}(x+z)] + [\widetilde{u}(x) - \widetilde{u}(x-z)]}{|z|^{N+2s}} \, dz, \end{split}$$

where for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ , the sets  $A_x^i$  are defined as

$$\begin{split} A_x^1 &= \{ z : |z| \le 1, x+z, x-z \in \Omega \}, \\ A_x^2 &= \{ z : |z| \le 1, x+z \notin \Omega, x-z \in \Omega \}, \\ A_x^3 &= \{ z : |z| \le 1, x+z \in \Omega, x-z \notin \Omega \}, \\ A_x^4 &= \{ z : |z| \le 1, x+z \notin \Omega, x-z \notin \Omega \}. \end{split}$$

We first estimate the following

$$\int_{x\in\Omega} \left( \int_{\{z\in\mathbb{R}^N: |z|>1\}} \frac{|\widetilde{u}(x+z)+\widetilde{u}(x-z)-2\widetilde{u}(x)|}{|z|^{N+2s}} dz \right)^p dx.$$

First note that  $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{R}^N}|\widetilde{u}(z)|\leq \sup_{x\in\Omega}|u(x)|$  and hence the above quantity is bounded,

$$\int_{x \in \Omega} \left( \int_{\{z \in \mathbb{R}^N : |z| > 1\}} \frac{|\widetilde{u}(x+z) + \widetilde{u}(x-z) - 2\widetilde{u}(x)|}{|z|^{N+2s}} dz \right)^p dx \le C ||u||_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}^p$$

We now estimate the integrals over  $A_x^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ .

The  $A_x^1$  term. Let  $1/2 \leq s < 1$ ,  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  with  $||u||_{W^{2,p}} \leq 1$  and let v denote is  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  extension to all of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  which is compactly supported. Using a density we assume u, v smooth. We want to estimate

$$\int_{x\in\Omega} \left( \int_{z\in A_x^1} \frac{|\widetilde{u}(x+z) + \widetilde{u}(x-z) - 2\widetilde{u}(x)|}{|z|^{N+2s}} dz \right)^p dx,$$

and note we can replace  $\tilde{u}$  with u in  $A_x^1$  and then we can replace u with v. We now estimate this quantity. First note that for  $|z| \leq 1$  we have

$$|v(x+z) - v(x) - \nabla v(x) \cdot z| \le |z|^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |D^2 v(x+t\tau z)| d\tau dt,$$

and from this we see that

$$|v(x+z) + v(x-z) - 2v(x)| \le |z|^2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |D^2 v(x \pm t\tau z)| d\tau dt,$$

where the  $\pm$  indicates there is two terms we need to consider. Then we have

$$\int_{x\in\Omega} \left( \int_{z\in A_x^1} \frac{|\widetilde{u}(x+z) + \widetilde{u}(x-z) - 2\widetilde{u}(x)|}{|z|^{N+2s}} dz \right)^p dx$$

is bounded above by

$$\int_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} \left( \int_{z\in A^1_x} |z|^{-N-2s+2} \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |D^2 v(x\pm t\tau z)| d\tau dt \right) dz \right)^p dx$$

and we can apply Jensen's inequality twice to get this bounded above by

$$C_1 \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{z \in A_x^1} |z|^{-N-2s+2} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |D^2 v(x \pm t\tau z)|^p d\tau dt dz dx$$

and by Fubini we see this bounded above by

$$C\int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{1}\int_{|z|\leq 1}|z|^{-N-2s+2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|D^{2}v(x\pm t\tau z)|^{p}dx\right)dzdtd\tau,$$

and the Extension Theorem (see [?, Theorem 1, Page 259]) we have the term in the brackets bounded by the constant

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |D^2 v(x)|^p dx \le C ||u||_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}^p$$

and this gives us the desired bound, that is

$$\int_{x\in\Omega} \left( \int_{z\in A^1_x} \frac{|\widetilde{u}(x+z) + \widetilde{u}(x-z) - 2\widetilde{u}(x)|}{|z|^{N+2s}} dz \right)^p dx \le C \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)}^p$$

The  $A_x^i$  term for i = 2, 3, 4. Note that if  $z \in A_x^i$  for i = 2, 3, 4, we must have  $|z| > \delta(x)$ . In what follows we will estimate

$$\int_{x\in\Omega} \left( \int_{z\in A_x^i} \frac{|\widetilde{u}(x+z)-\widetilde{u}(x)|}{|z|^{N+2s}} dz \right)^p dx.$$

The same argument can be used to also estimate

$$\int_{x\in\Omega} \left( \int_{z\in A_x^i} \frac{|\widetilde{u}(x-z)-\widetilde{u}(x)|}{|z|^{N+2s}} dz \right)^p dx$$

since the only fact we will use will be that  $|z| > \delta(x)$ . So to estimate the full quantity we group the three terms into the following pairings

$$[\widetilde{u}(x+z) - \widetilde{u}(x)] + [\widetilde{u}(x-z) - \widetilde{u}(x)]$$

and then estimate

$$\int_{x\in\Omega} \left( \int_{z\in A_x^i} \frac{|\widetilde{u}(x+z) + \widetilde{u}(x-z) - 2\widetilde{u}(x)|}{|z|^{N+2s}} dz \right)^p dx \quad \text{for} \quad i=2,\cdots,3.$$

We split the proof into two cases:  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $s \in (1/2, 1)$ .

The case  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $2 \le i \le 4$  and note that we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{x\in\Omega} \left( \int_{z\in A_x^i} \frac{|\widetilde{u}(x+z)-\widetilde{u}(x)|}{|z|^{N+1}} dz \right)^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{x\in\Omega} \left( \int_{z\in A_x^i} \frac{\int_0^1 |\nabla \widetilde{u}(x+tz)dt}{|z|^N} dz \right)^p dx \\ &= \int_{x\in\Omega} \left( \int_{z\in A_x^i} \frac{\int_0^1 |\nabla \widetilde{u}(x+tz)dt}{|z|^{N-\alpha}|z|^{\alpha}} dz \right)^p dx \quad \text{for some } \alpha > 0 \text{ small, picked later} \\ &\leq \int_{x\in\Omega} \frac{1}{(\delta(x))^{\alpha p}} \left( \int_{z\in A_x^i} \frac{\int_0^1 |\nabla \widetilde{u}(x+tz)dt}{|z|^{N-\alpha}} dz \right)^p dx \\ &\leq C \int_{x\in\Omega} \frac{1}{(\delta(x))^{\alpha p}} \left( \int_{z\in A_x^i} \frac{\int_0^1 |\nabla \widetilde{u}(x+tz)|^p dt}{|z|^{N-\alpha}} dz \right) dx \quad (\text{applying Jensen's inequality twice}) \\ &\leq C \int_{x\in\Omega} \frac{1}{(\delta(x))^{\alpha p}} \left( \int_{\delta(x) < |z| \le 1} \frac{\int_0^1 |\nabla \widetilde{u}(x+tz)|^p dt}{|z|^{N-\alpha}} dz \right) dx \\ &= C \int_0^1 \int_{|z| \le 1} \frac{1}{|z|^{N-\alpha}} \left( \int_{\{x\in\Omega:\delta(x) \le |z|\}} \frac{|\nabla \widetilde{u}(x+tz)|^p}{(\delta(x))^{\alpha p}} dx \right) dz dt. \end{split}$$

We now fix  $0 < |z| \le 1$  and 0 < t < 1 and note for  $1 < q < \infty$  we have

$$\int_{\{x\in\Omega:\delta(x)\leq|z|\}}\frac{|\nabla\widetilde{u}(x+tz)|^p}{(\delta(x))^{\alpha p}}dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla\widetilde{u}(x+tz)|^{pq}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\int_{\Omega}\frac{1}{(\delta(x))^{\alpha pq'}}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q'}}dx$$

and so for fixed q we can take  $\alpha > 0$  small enough so that  $\alpha pq' < 1$  and the internal involving the distance function is bounded and the other integral is bounded (independent of z and t) after considering the earlier gradient bound on  $\tilde{u}$ . This shows that

$$\int_{x\in\Omega} \left( \int_{z\in A_x^i} \frac{|\widetilde{u}(x+z)-\widetilde{u}(x)|}{|z|^{N+1}} dz \right)^p dx$$

is bounded.

The case  $s \in (1/2, 1)$ . Let  $2 \le i \le 4$  and u be as above. Recalling for  $z \in A_x^i$  we have  $|z| > \delta(x)$  we have

$$\begin{split} &\int_{x\in\Omega} \left( \int_{z\in A_x^i} \frac{|\tilde{u}(x+z)-\tilde{u}(x)|}{|z|^{N+2s}} dz \right)^p dz \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{A_x^i} \frac{\int_0^1 |\nabla \tilde{u}(x+tz)| dt}{|z|^{N+2s-1}} dz \right)^p dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{A_x^i} \frac{\int_0^1 |\nabla \tilde{u}(x+tz)| dt}{|z|^{N+2s-1-\alpha} |z|^{\alpha}} dz \right)^p dx \quad \alpha > 0 \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(\delta(x))^{\alpha p}} \left( \int_{A_x^i} \frac{\int_0^1 |\nabla \tilde{u}(x+tz)| dt}{|z|^{N+2s-1-\alpha}} dz \right)^p dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{(\delta(x))^{\alpha p}} \left( \int_{A_x^i} \frac{\int_0^1 |\nabla \tilde{u}(x+tz)|^p dt}{|z|^{N+2s-1-\alpha}} dz \right) dx \quad (\text{Jensen's inequality applied twice}) \end{split}$$

If we now assume that  $|\nabla \widetilde{u}| \leq C$  then we get this is bounded above by

$$C\int_{x\in\Omega}\frac{1}{\delta(x)^{\alpha p}}\left(\int_{z\in A_x^i}\frac{1}{|z|^{N+2s-1-\alpha}}dz\right)dx$$

and since  $A_x^i \subset \{z : |z| \le 1, |z| > \delta(x)\}$  then to have this bounded its sufficient that  $\alpha p < 1$ and  $2s - 1 - \alpha < 0$ . Hence we see its sufficient that  $2s - 1 < \frac{1}{p}$ . The compactness proof follows the same ideas as the previous range of s.

The following result is a maximum principle that we will use in the proof of existence of a solution.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be an open bounded set, q and a be smooth with  $a(x) \ge 0$  in  $\Omega$ . Suppose  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  is a solution of

$$\begin{cases}
Lu = 0 & in & \Omega, \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & on & \partial\Omega, \\
\mathcal{N}_s u = 0 & on & \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}.
\end{cases}$$
(2.12)

Then,  $\widetilde{u} \equiv 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .

Proof. Let u denote the solution and, for notation, we also let u denote  $\tilde{u}$  outside of  $\Omega$ . Suppose  $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$  such that  $u(x_0) = \inf_{\Omega} u$ . We first rule out  $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ . If  $x_0 \in \partial \Omega$ , then we take  $x_m \notin \overline{\Omega}$  such that  $x_m \to x_0$  as  $m \to +\infty$ . Using the nonlocal boundary condition we get

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(y) - u(x_m)}{|y - x_m|^{N+2s}} dy$$

Passing to the limit, we see that

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} \frac{u(y) - u(x_0)}{|y - x_0|^{N+2s}} dy,$$

which shows that u = C = const. is constant in  $\Omega$  and hence constant in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then note from the equation we have a(x)C = f(x) in  $\Omega$  and this shows that  $u = C \ge 0$  provided a(x)not identically zero which we have assumed and hence we are done. We now suppose  $x_0 \in \Omega$ is such that  $u(x_0) = \inf_{\Omega} u$  and we suppose  $u(x_0) < 0$ .

We can also suppose that  $u(x_0) < \inf_{\partial\Omega} u$ . Note that, from the definition of  $u_1$ , we get  $u(x) > u(x_0)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ .

Fix  $\sigma > 0$  such that  $u(x_0) + 10\sigma < \min_{\partial\Omega} u$  and we also assume  $u(x_0) + 10\sigma < 0$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  small set  $\Omega_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in \Omega : \delta(x) > \varepsilon\}$  and  $\Gamma_{\varepsilon} = \{x \in \Omega : \delta(x) < \varepsilon\}$ . By continuity there is some  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that

$$u(x_0) + 8\sigma < \inf_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon_0}} u.$$

For  $x \notin \overline{\Omega}$  we have

$$\begin{split} u(x) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy &= \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon_0}} \frac{u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma_{\varepsilon_0}} \frac{u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \\ &\ge (u(x_0) + 8\sigma) \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon_0}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy + u(x_0) \int_{\Omega \setminus \Gamma_{\varepsilon_0}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \\ &= u(x_0) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy + 8\sigma \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon_0}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \end{split}$$

which gives

$$u(x) \ge u(x_0) + \frac{8\sigma \int_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon_0}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy}$$

From this we can show there is some  $c_{\varepsilon_0} > 0$  (without loss of generality we can take  $c_{\varepsilon_0} < 1$ ) such that  $u(x) \ge u(x_0) + 8\sigma c_{\varepsilon_0}$  for all  $x \notin \overline{\Omega}$ .

Let  $\eta$  denote a standard radial mollifier with  $\eta_{\varepsilon}$  the appropriately scaled function whose support is  $\overline{B_{\varepsilon}}$  and set  $u^{\varepsilon}(x) = (\eta_{\varepsilon} * u)(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ . For all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{0}$  we have

$$\inf_{\partial\Omega_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \ge \inf_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} u,$$

and also we have  $\inf_{\Omega_{\tau}} u^{\tau} \to u(x_0)$  as  $\tau \to 0$ . From this we see there is some  $0 < \varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_0$  such that for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$  we have

$$\inf_{\Omega_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + 6\sigma \le u(x_0) + 8\sigma < \inf_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon_0}} u,$$

but by the monotonicity of  $\varepsilon \mapsto \inf_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} u$  we have

$$\inf_{\Omega_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + 6\sigma < \inf_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon_0}} u \le \inf_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon}} u$$

for all  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$  and hence we have

$$\inf_{\Omega_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + 6\sigma < \inf_{\partial\Omega_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$$

for  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$  and hence the minimum is contained in the interior of  $\Omega_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$ . We now want to show that  $\min_{\Omega_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \le u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{\varepsilon_1}{10}$  with:

$$u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x_0) < u(x_0) + 8\sigma c_{\varepsilon_0},$$
 (2.13)

We consider the three cases:

(i) 
$$x \in \Omega$$
 with  $\delta(x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , (ii)  $x \notin \Omega$  with  $\delta(x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$  and (iii)  $x \notin \Omega$  with  $\delta(x) > \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ .

**Case (i).** Here we have  $x \in \Omega$  with  $\delta(x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . So in this case we have

$$u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x) = \int_{|y-x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \eta_{\varepsilon}(y-x)u(y)dy,$$

and note the integral can be decomposed as

$$\int_{|y-x|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2},y\in\Omega}\eta_{\varepsilon}(y-x)u(y)dy + \int_{|y-x|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2},y\notin\Omega}\eta_{\varepsilon}(y-x)u(y)dy$$

and from this we see that

$$u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x) \geq (u(x_0) + 8\sigma c_{\varepsilon_0}) \int_{|y-x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \eta_{\varepsilon}(y-x) dy$$
  
=  $u(x_0) + 8\sigma c_{\varepsilon_0}$   
>  $u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x_0)$   
 $\geq \inf_{\Omega_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}.$ 

**Case (ii).** In this case we have from the definition of  $\tilde{u}$  that

$$\begin{split} u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x) \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dy &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \int_{|y-z| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \eta_{\varepsilon}(z-y) u(z) dz dy \\ &\geq \inf_{\Omega_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dy, \end{split}$$

where we have used the computations of Case (i).

Case (iii). This follows similarly.

From the above we have, for small enough  $\varepsilon$ , that  $u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}) = \min_{\Omega_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$  (some  $x_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} \in \Omega_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$ ) and  $u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}) + 6\sigma < \inf_{\partial\Omega_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}$ . Also note we have (take  $\tau = \varepsilon/2$ )  $L(u^{\tau})(x) = f^{\tau}(x) \ge 0$  in  $\Omega_{\tau}$  and at  $x_{\tau}$  we have

$$-\Delta u^{\tau}(x_{\tau}) + (-\Delta)^{s} u^{\tau}(x_{\tau}) + q(x_{\tau}) \cdot \nabla u^{\tau}(x_{\tau}) = f^{\tau}(x_{\tau}) - a(x_{\tau})u^{\tau}(x_{\tau}) \ge 0.$$
(2.14)

But  $-\Delta u^{\tau}(x_{\tau}) \leq 0, \nabla u^{\tau}(x_{\tau}) = 0$  and note that

$$(-\Delta)^s u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}) = \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}) - u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(y)}{|x_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}} - y|^{N+2s}} dy,$$

and note  $y \mapsto u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}) - u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(y)$  is continuous in y on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , nonpositive and not identically zero. From this we see that  $(-\Delta)^s u^{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}(x_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2}}) < 0$  and this contradicts (2.14).

## **3** Proof of existence of a solution

For  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , we define

$$L_{\gamma}u(x) = -\Delta u + \gamma(-\Delta)^{s}\tilde{u}(x) + a(x)u(x) + q \cdot \nabla u(x), \quad x \in \Omega$$

and we consider the family of indexed problems

$$\begin{cases} L_{\gamma}u = f & \text{in} & \Omega\\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on} & \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \gamma \in [0,1] : \ \exists C_{\gamma} > 0 \text{ such that for all } f \in L^{p}(\Omega), (3.1) \text{ has a solution} \\ u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \text{ such that } \|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \le C_{\gamma} \|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)} \end{array} \right\}.$$
(3.2)

In (3.2), we take the constant  $C_{\gamma}$  to be the smallest constant such that  $||u||_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\gamma}||f||_{L^{p}(\Omega)}$  holds for all functions  $f \in L^{p}(\Omega)$ . In other words, if  $C_{\gamma} > \varepsilon > 0$  then there exists  $f_{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$  such that

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \ge (C_{\gamma} - \varepsilon) \|f_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}.$$
(3.3)

By classical theory,  $0 \in \mathcal{A}$ . Our goal is to show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is both open and closed and since [0,1] is connected we then see that  $\mathcal{A} \in \{\emptyset, [0,1]\}$ , and since its non empty, we must have  $\mathcal{A} = [0,1]$  and in particular  $1 \in \mathcal{A}$  which corresponds to the result we are trying to prove.

 $\mathcal{A}$  is closed. We prove  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed. Let  $\gamma_m \in \mathcal{A}$  with  $\gamma_m \to \gamma$  and let  $C_m = C_{\gamma_m}$  denote the associated constant. We first consider the case of  $C_m$  bounded. Let  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  with  $\|f\|_{L^p} = 1$ . Since  $\gamma_m \in \mathcal{A}$  there is some  $u_m \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  which satisfies (3.1), with  $\gamma_m$  in place of  $\gamma$ , and  $\|u_m\|_{W^{2,p}} \leq C_m \|f\|_{L^p} = C_m$ . Note we can rewrite the problem as

$$-\Delta u_m + au_m + q \cdot \nabla u_m = f + \gamma_m \Delta^s \widetilde{u}_m \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$
(3.4)

with  $\partial_{\nu}u_m = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Since  $C_m$  is bounded then we have  $u_m$  bounded in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  and by passing to a subsequence we can assume that  $u_m \rightharpoonup u$  in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  and  $||u||_{W^{2,p}} \leq \lim_m \inf ||u_m||_{W^{2,p}} \leq C_1$ . Also note by our earlier compactness result we have  $\Delta^s \widetilde{u}_m \rightarrow \Delta^s \widetilde{u}$ in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and this (along with the above weak  $W^{2,p}$  convergence) is sufficient convergence to pass to the limit in (3.4). This shows that  $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}$ .

We now consider the case of  $C_m \to \infty$ . Then there is some  $f_m \in L^p(\Omega)$  and  $u_m \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ which solves  $L_{\gamma_m} u_m = f_m$  in  $\Omega$  with  $\partial_{\nu} u_m = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  and

$$||u_m||_{W^{2,p}} \ge (C_m - 1)||f_m||_{L^p}.$$

By normalizing we can assume  $||u_m||_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} = 1$  and hence  $||f_m||_{L^p} \to 0$ . By passing to subsequences we can assume that  $u_m \to u$  in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  and strongly in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . As before we rewrite the equation for  $u_m$  by

$$-\Delta u_m + au_m + q \cdot \nabla u_m = f_m + \gamma_m \Delta^s \widetilde{u}_m \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{3.5}$$

with  $\partial_{\nu}u_m = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . If u = 0 then note the right hand side of (3.5) converges to zero in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and by standard elliptic theory we have  $u_m \to 0$  in  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  which contradicts the normalization of  $u_m$ . We now assume  $u \neq 0$ . By compactness we can pass to the limit in (3.5) to see that  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  satisfies  $L_{\gamma}u = 0$  in  $\Omega$  with  $\partial_{\nu}u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  which contradicts Theorem 2.6.

 $\mathcal{A}$  is open. Let  $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  and take  $|\varepsilon|$  small; when  $\gamma_0 \in \{0, 1\}$  we need to restrict the sign of  $\varepsilon$ . Our goal is to show that  $\gamma = \gamma_0 + \varepsilon \in \mathcal{A}$ . Fix  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  with  $||f||_{L^p} = 1$  and since  $\gamma_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  there is some  $v_0 \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  which solves  $L_{\gamma_0}v_0 = f$  in  $\Omega$  with  $\partial_{\nu}v_0 = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . We look for a solution of (3.1) of the form  $u = v_0 + \phi$ . Writing out the details one sees we need  $\phi \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  to satisfy

$$L_{\gamma_0}\phi = \varepsilon \Delta^s \widetilde{v}_0 + \varepsilon \Delta^s \widetilde{\phi} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{3.6}$$

with  $\partial_{\nu}\phi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Define the operator  $J_{\varepsilon}(\phi) = \psi$  where  $\psi$  satisfies

$$L_{\gamma_0}\psi = \varepsilon \Delta^s \widetilde{v}_0 + \varepsilon \Delta^s \widetilde{\phi} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \tag{3.7}$$

with  $\partial_{\nu}\psi = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . We claim that for small enough  $\varepsilon$  that  $J_{\varepsilon}$  is a contraction mapping on  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  and hence by the Contraction Mapping Principle there is some  $\phi \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  with  $J_{\varepsilon}(\phi) = \phi$ . From (3.6) one would then get a  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  bound on  $\phi$  and hence we'd get the desired bound on u. We first note that  $J_{\varepsilon}$  is into  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  after noting the right hand side of (3.6) belongs to  $L^{p}(\Omega)$ . Let  $\phi_{i} \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\psi_{i} = J_{\varepsilon}(\phi_{i})$  and then note we have

$$L_{\gamma_0}(\psi_2 - \psi_1) = \varepsilon \Delta^s(\phi_2 - \phi_1)$$
 in  $\Omega$ ,

with the desired boundary condition. Then we have

$$\|\psi_2 - \psi_1\|_{W^{2,p}} \le C_{\gamma_0} |\varepsilon| \|\Delta^s (\widetilde{\phi}_2 - \widetilde{\phi}_1)\|_{L^p} \le C_{\gamma_0} |\varepsilon| C \|\phi_2 - \phi_1\|_{W^{2,p}},$$

and hence we see of  $|\varepsilon|$  small that  $J_{\varepsilon}$  is a contraction on  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$ .

# References

- N. Abatangelo, A remark on nonlocal Neumann conditions for the fractional Laplacian. Archiv der Mathematik 114, no. 6 (2020), pp. 699–708.
- [2] A. Audrito, J. C. Felipe-Navarro and X. Ros-Oton, *The Neumann problem for the fractional Laplacian: regularity up to the boundary.* Annali Scuola Normale Superiore-Classe di Scienze (2022).
- [3] S. Biagi, S. Dipierro, E. Valdinoci, and E. Vecchi, *Mixed local and nonlocal elliptic operators: regularity and maximum principles*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations 47, no. 3 (2022), pp. 585–629.
- [4] S. Biagi, E. Vecchi, S. Dipierro, and E. Valdinoci, Semilinear elliptic equations involving mixed local and nonlocal operators, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics 151, no. 5 (2021), pp. 1611-1641.
- [5] S. Biagi, S. Dipierro, E. Valdinoci and E. Vecchi, A Faber-Krahn inequality for mixed local and nonlocal operators, Journal d'Analyse Mathématique (2023): pp. 1–43.
- [6] C. Cowan, M. El Smaily, P. A. Feulefack, *The principal eigenvalue of a mixed local and nonlocal operator with drift*, preprint (2023).
- [7] S. Dipierro, E. Proietti Lippi, and E. Valdinoci, *Linear theory for a mixed operator with Neumann conditions*, Asymptotic Analysis 128, no. 4, (2022), pp. 571–594.
- [8] S. Dipierro, X. Ros-Oton, and E. Valdinoci, Nonlocal problems with Neumann boundary conditions. Revista Matemática Iberoamericana 33, no. 2, (2017), , pp. 377–416.
- [9] S. Dipierro and E. Valdinoci, Description of an ecological niche for a mixed local/nonlocal dispersal: An evolution equation and a new Neumann condition arising from the superposition of Brownian and Lévy processes, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 575, (2021), pp. 126052.
- [10] L. Silvestre, Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics: A Journal Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences 60, no. 1 (2007), pp. 67–112.
- [11] X. Su, E. Valdinoci, Y. Wei, and J. Zhang, Regularity results for solutions of mixed local and nonlocal elliptic equations Mathematische Zeitschrift 302, no. 3, (2022), pp. 1855–1878.