

Decomposition of an $L^1(T)$ -bounded martingale and Applications in Riesz spaces

Mounsif NIOUAR^{a,*}, Tarik BOUKARA^a, Kawtar RAMDANE^a, Youssef BENTALEB^a,

^a*Science of Engineering Laboratory, National School of Applied Sciences of Kenitra , Ibn Tofail University, Kenitra, Morocco.*

Abstract

In this paper, we give a decomposition of a martingale into three martingales with applications to certain types of inequalities in the new theory of Stochastic Analysis in Vector Lattices.

Keywords: Riesz space. Decomposition for martingale. Inequalities stochastic in Riesz spaces.

2000 MSC: 60G48,60G42,47B60

1. Introduction and main results

When the Theory of Stochastic Analysis on Riesz Spaces was first initially introduced, much attention was given to this theory, particularly by the South African and Tensian schools see, e.g., [7, 10, 16, 18]. In this paper, a significant contribution is made to the program, focusing on a major decomposition for bounded martingales in martingale Theory.

The decomposition theorem states in probability theory that for an L^1 -bounded martingale f , it can be decomposed into three martingales a, b and d , such that $f = u + v + w$. The martingale u is L^1 -bounded and has a small increment sequence, while v is absolutely convergent, and w is uniformly bounded. This decomposition can be used to obtain inequality for a certain

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: mounssif.niouar@uit.ac.ma (Mounsif NIOUAR),
tarik.boukara@uit.ac.ma (Tarik BOUKARA), kawtar.ramdane@uit.ac.ma (Kawtar RAMDANE), youssef.bentaleb@uit.ac.ma (Youssef BENTALEB)

class of random variables. The mappings f^* and $S(f)$ belong to this class, where f is a martingale. If L is a mapping belonging to class \mathcal{A} and f is an L^1 -bounded martingale, then $\mathbb{P}(|Lf| > \lambda) \leq C\|f\|/\lambda$. This inequality has been proven through the previous decomposition of the martingale. Besides this decomposition provides also a direct proof of certain inequalities due to Burkholder, eliminating the need for his indirect and difficult technique for establishing maximal inequalities. The presentation of the decomposition is self-contained and does not require any additional knowledge beyond the standard lore of martingale theory.

In Section 3, we complete the Riemann integral in Riesz spaces introduced in [8] with a certain property and Hölder's theorem, which is the aim of this section. In addition, the latter theorem enables us to prove the proposition in the next section.

In section 4, the context of martingale theory in Riesz spaces, the paper introduces a class of quasi-linear operators from $E_u^{\mathbb{N}}$ to E_s , referred to as class \mathcal{A} . The main result of the paper is the decomposition theorem of a martingale in Riesz space, where for an $L^1(T)$ -bounded martingale f and a constant C , the martingale f can be decomposed into three martingales a, b and d , satisfying specific conditions. The paper also presents Theorem 4.4, which provides a direct proof of certain inequalities, and concludes by demonstrating an inequality related to the notion of transform martingale in Riesz space. The paper relies on books as the sole sources of unexplained terminology and notation on Probability Theory and Riesz Spaces.

2. Preliminaries

In this paper, E is considered a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit $e > 0$. A linear order-continuous projection $T : E \rightarrow E$, strictly positive, such that range $R(T)$ of T forms a Dedekind complete Riesz subspace of E and $Te = e$ is called a conditional expectation. A filtration in E is a family of conditional expectations $(T_i)_{i \geq 1}$ with $T = T_1$ and $T_i T_j = T_j T_i = T_j$ for every $j \leq i$. A stopping time adapted to the filtration $(T_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is defined by an increasing sequence $(P_i)_{i \geq 1}$ of band projections on E , satisfying the condition $P_i T_j = T_j P_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq j$, see [18]. If (f_i) is an increasing sequence with $f_i \in R(T_i)^+$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, then the sequence $(P_i = P_{f_i})_{i \geq 1}$ is a stopping time. Now, let $(P_i)_{i \geq 1}$ be a stopping time adapted to the filtration $(T_i)_{i \geq 1}$ on E . Set $\Delta P_1 = P_1$ and $\Delta P_i = P_i - P_{i-1}$ for each $i \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$. In [18], Definition 4.2] if the stopping time $P = (P_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is bounded, then the

stopped process (f_P, T_P) is defined by

$$f_P = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta P_i f_i \quad \text{and} \quad T_P = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \Delta P_i T_i.$$

Besides in [9]

$$f_{P_{-1} \wedge n} = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \Delta P_i f_{i-1} + P_{n-1}^d f_{n-1}.$$

In addition if $(T_i)_{i \geq 1}$ is a filtration and $f_i \in R(T_n)$ with

$$T_i(f_j) = f_i \quad \text{for all } i, m \text{ with } i \leq j,$$

then the family $(f_i, T_i)_{i \geq 1}$ defines a martingale on E .

In this paper, we define E_u as the universal completion of E , if E_u satisfies two conditions: E_u is universally complete, meaning it is Dedekind complete and every subset of E containing mutually disjoint elements possesses a supremum, and E is contained in E_u as an order-dense Riesz subspace. If E is archimedean Riesz space, then there exists a unique universal completion of E (up to a Riesz isomorphism) such that e is a weak order unit for E_u if e is a weak order unit for E . Moreover, we extend the multiplication on the order ideal E_e (generated by e in E) to E_u providing E_u an f -algebra structure in which e is both multiplicative unit and weak order unit. This multiplication is also order continuous, see [20].

Let E be Dedekind complete Riesz space. The sup-completion E_s of E is a unique Dedekind complete ordered cone that has a biggest element, and E_s contains E as a sub-cone equal to its group of invertible elements, see [11, 12]. Additionally, if $f \in E_s$, then $f = \sup\{g \in E : g \leq f\}$. Now, let f be a positive element in E_s , and T be a strictly positive conditional expectation on E . The definition of Tf is given by $Tf = \sup Tf_\alpha \in E_s$ for every increasing net $(f_\alpha) \in E^+$ such that $f_\alpha \uparrow f$.

Recall that, from [16], the natural domain of T is a Dedekind complete Riesz space with a weak order unit $e > 0$ that satisfies $Te = e$ and is denoted by $L^1(T)$. In this paper, $L^1(T)$ denotes the natural domain of T . Notice that $L^1(T)$ is a Dedekind complete Riesz space with a weak order unit $e > 0$, and $Te = e$, for more detail, see [16]. Grobler in [12] defines the p -power f^p for $p \in (1, \infty)$ and f an elements in $L^p(T)^+$. It should be pointed out that f^p lies in the universal completion of $L^1(T)$. The work in [10] establishes that

$$L^p(T) = \{f \in L^1(T) : |f|^p \in L^1(T)\}$$

and

$$\|f\|_p = T(|f|^p)^{1/p} \text{ for all } f \in L^p(T)$$

for each $p \neq \infty$. Since, if $p = \infty$ we have

$$L^\infty(T) = \{f \in L^1(T) : |f| \leq u \text{ for some } u \in R(T)\}$$

and

$$\|f\|_\infty = \inf\{u \in R(T) : |f| \leq u\} \text{ for all } f \in L^\infty(T).$$

For a martingale $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots)$ we define $\|f\|_p = \sup_n \|f_n\|_p \in E_s$ for each $p \in [1, \infty]$.

3. Riemann integral

In this section, we prove several properties of the Riemann integral as defined in [8]. One of these properties is Hölder's inequality, which plays a vital role in establishing one of the key findings in this paper. To provide context, let's recall that E is a Dedekind complete Riesz space with a weak order unit, denoted as $e > 0$. When dealing with two real numbers a and b , where $a < b$, we define a function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow E$. We say that this function is bounded if there exists an element $M \in E^+$ such that $|f(x)| \leq M$ for all $x \in [a, b]$.

Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow E$ be a bounded function, and let $\alpha = \{a = \alpha_0 < \dots < \alpha_n = b\}$ be a partition of the interval $[a, b]$. The mesh of α is defined as the maximum of the differences between consecutive partition points:

$$\|\alpha\| = \max\{\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

For each i in the range 1 to n , we define $M_i(f)$ as the supremum of $f(x)$ for x in the subinterval $[\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i]$, and $m_i(f)$ as the infimum of $f(x)$ in the same subinterval. We can then calculate the upper and lower sums of f with respect to the partition α_n as follows:

$$U(f, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n M_k(\alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1})$$

$$L(f, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^n m_k(\alpha_k - \alpha_{k-1})$$

Additionally, if we have two partitions α and β where β is finer (contains more subintervals) than α , we observe the following relationships:

$$L(f, \alpha) \leq L(f, \beta) \leq U(f, \beta) \leq U(f, \alpha).$$

Given that E is Dedekind complete, we can conclude that the limits:

$$L(f) = \sup L(f, \alpha)$$

and

$$U(f) = \inf U(f, \alpha)$$

exist, with the supremum and infimum taken over all possible partitions of $[a, b]$. Importantly, it follows that $L(f) \leq U(f)$.

Definition 3.1 ([8], Definition 1). . . Let a, b be two real numbers with $a < b$. A bounded function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow E$ is considered Riemann integrable if and only if its lower Riemann sum $L(f)$ is equal to its upper Riemann sum $U(f)$. The common value of these sums is denoted as $\int_a^b f(t)dt$ (or simply $\int_a^b f$).

The set of all Riemann integrable functions from $[a, b]$ to E is lattice-ordered and denoted by $\mathcal{RI}([a, b], E)$ in this work.

Lemma 3.2. *Let f be a function in $\mathcal{RI}([a, b], E)$ and k be a constant in $(0, \infty)$. Assume $g : [a, b] \rightarrow E$ is a function that meets the following criteria:*

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \leq k|f(x) - f(y)| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in [a, b].$$

Then the function g is Riemann integrable.

Proof. Let f be a function Riemann integrable. Choose a fixed partition $\alpha = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = b\}$ of $[a, b]$, we have

$$U(f, \alpha) - L(f, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n (M_i(f) - m_i(f)) (x_i - x_{i-1}),$$

and for all $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $x_{i-1} \leq x, y \leq x_i$

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq M_i(f) - m_i(f)$$

This implies that

$$|g(x) - g(y)| \leq K (M_i(f) - m_i(f)).$$

Then

$$(M_i(g) - m_i(g)) \leq k(M_i(f) - m_i(f)).$$

It follows that

$$0 \leq U(g, \alpha) - L(g, \alpha) \leq k(U(f, \alpha) - L(f, \alpha)).$$

Now, consider two partitions σ, δ of $[a, b]$, and putting $\alpha = \sigma \cup \delta$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq U(g) - L(g) &\leq U(g, \alpha) - L(g, \alpha) \\ &\leq k(U(f, \alpha) - L(f, \alpha)) \\ &\leq k(U(f, \sigma) - L(f, \delta)) \end{aligned}$$

Take the infimum over σ and supremum over δ , we obtain $U(g) = L(g)$. \square

We purposefully choose the Riesz space E_e over E in the following propositions because E_e is an Archimedean f -algebra equipped with commutative multiplication. It is a well-known fact that multiplication is commutative in every Archimedean f -algebra. which help us to establish certain results such as the Hölder theorem, particularly when we use the function defined as follows $f : [a, b] \rightarrow E_e$. The Riesz space of Riemann integrable functions defined on the interval $[a, b]$ with valued in E_e is denoted by the set $\mathcal{RI}([a, b], E_e)$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let f, g be two functions in $\mathcal{RI}([a, b], E_e)$ and u be an element in E_e , then we have the following*

- (i) uf is Riemann integrable and $\int_a^b uf(t)dt = u \int_a^b f(t)dt$.
- (ii) f^2 is Riemann integrable.
- (iii) fg is Riemann integrable.

Proof. (i) Obvious by ([8], Theorem 4).

(ii) Let f be a Riemann integrable function on $[a, b]$, then there exist a real number non-negative λ such that

$$|f(x)| \leq \lambda e \quad \text{for each } x \in [a, b].$$

On the other hand, for every $x, y \in [a, b]$ we have that

$$|f^2(x) - f^2(y)| \leq 2\lambda|f(x) - f(y)|.$$

By Lemma 3.2, this completes the proof.

(iii) Observe that by the following remark, the product fg can be expressed as follows:

$$fg = \frac{1}{2}((f+g)^2 - (f^2 + g^2))$$

□

Now we're interested to prove that every Riemann integrable function f^p is also Riemann integrable for every real number $p > 0$. Note here that we use the forme x^p introduced by Grobler in [12]. To this we need to prove the flowing technical lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *Let x and y be tow positives elements in E_e . We have the following inequalities*

- (1) $|x^p - y^p| \leq p|x - y|(x^{p-1} + y^{p-1})$ for each real number $p \geq 1$.
- (2) $|x^p - y^p| \leq |x - y|^p$ for each real number $0 < p < 1$.

Proof. (1) Assume that $\beta e \leq x, y \leq \mu e$ for some $\beta, \mu \in (0, \infty)$, then x, y are bounded in E so, by [[6], Lemma 2], x^p and y^p exists for each real number $p > 0$ and the inverse y^{-1} of y exist in E_e ; see [[15], Theorem 3.4]. Putting the function

$$f(z) = (p-1)z^p - pz^{p-1} + pz - (p-1)e \quad \text{for each } e \leq z \in E_e.$$

Note also that the real function $f(t) = (p-1)t^p - pt^{p-1} + pt - (p-1)$ is continuous and increasing on $[1, \infty)$. Therefore, from [[6], Lemma 2], f is increasing function for every $e \leq z$ in E_e with $f(e) = 0$.

Firstly, choose that $x \geq y$. For $z = xy^{-1} \geq e$, we obtain

$$(p-1)(xy^{-1})^p - p(xy^{-1})^{p-1} + p(xy^{-1}) - (p-1)e \geq 0.$$

Multiplying by y^p , we have that

$$(p-1)x^p - px^{p-1}y + pxy^{p-1} - (p-1)y^p \geq 0.$$

Thus

$$|x^p - y^p| \leq p|x - y|(x^{p-1} + y^{p-1}).$$

Secondly, if x and y are arbitrary positives elements in E_e , we put

$$u = x \vee y \quad \text{and} \quad v = x \wedge y.$$

Hence

$$|u^p - v^p| \leq p|u - v|(u^{p-1} + v^{p-1}).$$

Moreover,

$$|u^p - v^p| = (x \vee y)^p - (x \wedge y)^p = x^p \vee y^p - x^p \wedge y^p = |x^p - y^p|,$$

and

$$u^{p-1} + v^{p-1} = x^{p-1} + y^{p-1}.$$

It follows from this that

$$|x^p - y^p| \leq p|x - y|(x^{p-1} + y^{p-1}).$$

(2) Similarly of (1) with using the function $f(z) = (z - e)^p - (z^p - e)$. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let f be an element of $\mathcal{RI}([a, b], E_e)$, then f^p is Riemann integrable for all real number $p \geq 1$.*

Proof. We will show that $(f^+)^p$ and $(f^-)^p$ are Riemann integrable. It is easy to see that if f in $\mathcal{RI}([a, b], E_e)$, then f^+ and f^- in $\mathcal{RI}([a, b], E_e)$. Note now that the f^+ , f^- are positives elements verified the inequalities in Lemma 3.4. So, by Lemma 3.2, $(f^+)^p$ and $(f^-)^p$ are Riemann integrable functions. As observed earlier, we claim that $f^p = (f^+)^p - (f^-)^p$ in the f -algebras. We conclude f^p in $\mathcal{RI}([a, b], E_e)$ \square

Theorem 3.6. [*Hölder Inequality*]. *Let f, g be two functions in a Riesz space $\mathcal{RI}([a, b], E_e)$. Then we have*

$$\left| \int_a^b fg \right| \leq \left(\int_a^b |f|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b |g|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

for each $1 \leq p, q < \infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proof. Without losing generality, we assume that $0 < f, g \in \mathcal{RI}([a, b], E_e)$. Then there are two real numbers $\alpha, \beta \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\alpha e \leq f(x), g(x) \leq \beta e$ for any $x \in [a, b]$ and simply we write $\alpha e \leq f, g \leq \beta e$. Thus, for each $p \in [1, \infty)$ we have

$$f^p \geq \alpha^p e > 0,$$

and

$$\int_a^b f^p \geq \int_a^b \alpha^p e = (b-a)\alpha^p e > 0.$$

Hence $\left(\int_a^b f^p\right)^{-1}$ exist in E_e because $(b-a)\alpha^p e$ is invertible in the f -algebra E_e , see [[15], Theorem 3.4].

Similarly, $\left(\int_a^b g^q\right)^{-1}$ existe in E_e . and by Young Inequality, see [[10], Propostion 3.6]. We claim

$$\left(\int_a^b g^q\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b f^p\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} fg \leq \frac{1}{p} f^p \int_a^b g^q + \frac{1}{q} g^q \int_a^b f^p.$$

Integrating the two members and (i) of Proposition 3.3.

$$\left(\int_a^b g^q\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b f^p\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \int_a^b fg \leq \left(\int_a^b f^p\right) \left(\int_a^b g^q\right).$$

This show,

$$\int_a^b fg \leq \left(\int_a^b f^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_a^b g^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

□

4. Main results

We abstract these features and list them under the heading "Class \mathcal{A} ". If only for attention, this definition seems reasonable on the essential characteristics of the following arguments.

Definition 4.1. Let E be a Dedekind complete Riesz space with weak order unit e . an operator L from $E_u^{\mathbb{N}}$ to E_s is said to be of class \mathcal{A} if:

- (1) L is quasi-linear, i.e $|L(f+g)| \leq C(|L(f)| + |L(g)|)$,
- (2) $TP_{|L(f)|}e \leq CTP_{f^*}e$,
- (3) The mapping L satisfies the following inequalities

- (i) If $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots)$ where $f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta f_k$, then $\|Lf\|_1 \leq C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta f_k| \right\|_1$.

$$(ii) \|Lf\|_2 \leq C\|f\|_2.$$

Decomposition theorem plays an important role in the demonstration of certain class of operators.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(P_{a_i}), (P_{b_i})$ be an increasing bands projections. If $P_i = P_{a_i} \vee P_{b_i}$ then $\Delta P_i \leq \Delta P_{a_i} + \Delta P_{b_i}$.*

Proof. It is easily to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta P_i &= P_{a_i} \vee P_{b_i} - P_{a_{i-1}} \vee P_{b_{i-1}} \\ &= \Delta P_{a_i} + \Delta P_{b_i} - P_{a_i} P_{b_i} + P_{a_{i-1}} P_{b_{i-1}} \\ &\leq \Delta P_{b_i} + \Delta P_{a_i}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(x_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a stochastic process in E^+ . Put*

$$\left(P_k = P_{\bigvee_{i=1}^k (x_i - \lambda e)^+} \right)_{k \geq 1}$$

for every $\lambda > 0$. Then $\Delta P_k \Delta x_k \geq 0$.

Proof. Note that the case of $k = 1$ is obvious and for each $k \geq 2$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta P_k \Delta x_k &= P_k P_{k-1}^d (x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ &= P_k P_{k-1}^d (x_k - \lambda e)^+ - P_k P_{k-1}^d (x_k - \lambda e)^- \\ &\quad - P_k P_{k-1}^d (x_{k-1} - \lambda e)^+ + P_k P_{k-1}^d (x_{k-1} - \lambda e)^-. \end{aligned}$$

In the other hand, we have

$$(x_{k-1} - \lambda e)^+ \in B_{(x_{k-1} - \lambda e)^+} \subset B_{k-1}.$$

This implies

$$P_{k-1}^d (x_{k-1} - \lambda e)^+ = 0.$$

Now, we show $P_k P_{k-1}^d (x_k - \lambda e)^- = 0$. Since

$$P_{(x_k - \lambda e)^+}^d (x_k - \lambda e)^- = (x_k - \lambda e)^-.$$

Then

$$P_k P_{k-1}^d (x_k - \lambda e)^- = P_k P_{k-1}^d P_{(x_k - \lambda e)^+}^d (x_k - \lambda e)^- = P_k P_k^d (x_k - \lambda e)^- = 0.$$

This complete the proof. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $P = (P_n)$ sequence of band projection such that $P_n \uparrow P_\infty$ and (a_n) be sequence in E^+ . We have the following*

$$P_\infty \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k-1}^d(a_k) \right) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Delta P_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{i+1} \right)$$

Proof. Its easily to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \Delta P_k \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_{i+1} \right) &= \Delta P_2(a_2) + \Delta P_3(a_2 + a_3) + \Delta P_4(a_2 + a_1 + a_3) + \cdots \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Delta P_n(a_2) + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \Delta P_n(a_3) + \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} \Delta P_n(a_4) + \cdots \\ &= (P_\infty - P_1)(a_2) + (P_\infty - P_2)(a_3) + \cdots \\ &= P_\infty \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_{k-1}^d(a_k) \right) \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 4.5. *Let f be an $L^1(T)$ -bounded martingale. Corresponding to all $\lambda > 0$, the martingale f may be decomposed into three martingales u, v, w so that $f = u + v + w$ with*

- i) *The martingale $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots)$ is $L^1(T)$ -bounded, $\|u\|_1 \leq C\|f\|_1$ and $\lambda TP_{\Delta u^*} e \leq C\|f\|_1$.*
- ii) *The martingale $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots)$ where $v_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta v_k$ is absolutely convergent and $\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Delta v_k| \right\|_1 \leq C\|f\|_1$.*
- iii) *The martingale $w = (w_1, w_2, \dots)$ is uniformly bounded, $\|w\|_\infty \leq C\lambda e$, $\|w\|_1 \leq C\|f\|_1$ and $\|w\|_2^2 \leq C\lambda\|f\|_1$.*

Proof. Let f be an $L^1(T)$ -bounded martingale. without loss of generality, we assume that f is non-negative. Indeed, if f is of arbitrary sign then f can be written as the sum of two non-negative martingales by Krickeberg's decomposition theorem, $f = g - h$ with $\|g\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$ and $\|h\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1$, see [14].

Now, we define three stopping times. The first is given by

$$R = \left(P_{\bigvee_{k=1}^n (f_k - \lambda e)^+} \right)_{n \geq 1}.$$

The second stopping time is as

$$S = \left(P_{\bigvee_{k=1}^n (g_k - \lambda e)^+} \right)_{n \geq 1}$$

where $g_n = \sum_{k=0}^n T_k(\epsilon_{k+1})$ and $\epsilon_n = (R_n - R_{n-1})(\Delta f_n)$, from this definition of ϵ_n is a positive by Lemma 4.3. The last stopping time $\tau = R \vee S$. So, from Lemma 4.2

$$\Delta \tau_n e \leq \Delta R_n e + \Delta S_n e.$$

Then,

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \Delta \tau_n e \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \Delta R_n e + \sum_{n \geq 1} \Delta S_n e$$

We will try to upper bound each term on the right side of the inequality by $C\|f\|_1/\lambda$.

Note that

$$\lambda \Delta R_n e \leq \Delta R_n f_n.$$

Then by section 4 in [8]

$$\lambda T \sum_{n \geq 1} \Delta R_n e \leq T f^R \leq C\|f\|_1.$$

In the other hand

$$\lambda \Delta S_n e \leq \Delta S_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^n T_k(\epsilon_{k+1}) \right) \leq \Delta S_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k(\epsilon_{k+1}) \right)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda T \sum_{n \geq 1} \Delta S_n e &\leq T \sum_{n \geq 1} \Delta S_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k (\Delta R_{k+1} (\Delta f_{k+1})) \right) \\
&\leq T \sup_n S_n \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k (\Delta R_{k+1} (|\Delta f_{k+1}|)) \right) \\
&\leq T \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_k (\Delta R_{k+1} (f_{k+1} + f_k)) \right) \\
&\leq T f^R + T f^{R-1} \\
&\leq C \|f\|_1.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$T \sum_{n \geq 1} \Delta \tau_i e \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \|f\|_1.$$

To show that the martingale u satisfies these properties in (i). We set

$$u = f - f^\tau.$$

Observe that $u_n = f_n - f_{n \wedge \tau}$ and $\Delta u_n = \tau_{n-1} \Delta f_n$.

This implies that

$$\|u\|_1 = \sup_n T |u_n| \leq 2 \|f\|_1,$$

and we have

$$\tau_{n-1} \Delta f_n \in B_{\tau_{n-1} e}.$$

Hence

$$\sup_n P_{\tau_{n-1} \Delta f_n} e \leq \sup_n P_{\tau_{n-1} e} e \leq \sup_n \tau_n e = \sum_{n \geq 1} \Delta \tau_n e.$$

So

$$\lambda T P_{\Delta u^*} e \leq \lambda T \sum \Delta \tau_i e \leq C \|f\|_1.$$

This completes the properties of (i).

To construct the martingales v and w , let's look at the martingale f^τ defined as

$$f_{n \wedge \tau} = \sum_{k=1}^n \tau_{k-1}^d \Delta f_k.$$

With

$$\tau_{k-1}^d = R_{k-1}^d S_{k-1}^d.$$

Observe that

$$\tau_{k-1}^d \Delta f_k = S_{k-1}^d (R_{k-1}^d \Delta f_k + R_k^d \Delta f_k - R_k^d \Delta f_k).$$

Set

$$y_k = R_k^d \Delta f_k \quad , \quad \varepsilon_k = (R_{k-1}^d - R_k^d) \Delta f_k,$$

$$v_n = \sum_{k=1}^n S_{k-1}^d (\varepsilon_k - T_{k-1} \varepsilon_k),$$

and

$$w_n = \sum_{k=1}^n S_{k-1}^d (y_k + T_{k-1} \varepsilon_k).$$

Clearly

$$f_{\tau \wedge n} = \sum_{k=1}^n S_{k-1}^d (y_k + \varepsilon_k) = v_n + w_n$$

Let's show in short proof that v and w are martingales. Its obvious v_n, w_n in $R(T_n)$ for each n and we have:

$$\begin{aligned} T_n v_{n+1} &= T_n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} S_{k-1}^d (\varepsilon_k - T_{k-1} \varepsilon_k) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n S_{k-1}^d (T_n \varepsilon_k - T_n T_{k-1} \varepsilon_k) + T_n S_n^d (\varepsilon_{n+1} - T_n \varepsilon_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Or $f_k, f_{k-1} \in R(T_k) \subset R(T_n)$ implies $T_n \varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_k$. Then $T_n v_{n+1} = v_n$. For the proof of w , just see that

$$T_{k-1} y_k = T_{k-1} (y_k - R_{k-1}^d \Delta f_k) = -T_{k-1} (\varepsilon_k).$$

And applying the even technical used in v .

Now, we aim to prove to absolute convergence of v and its associated inequality. Indeed since

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \Delta v_k \quad \text{where} \quad \Delta v_k = S_{k-1}^d (\varepsilon_k - T_{k-1} (\varepsilon_k)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
T \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |\Delta v_k| \right) &\leq T \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} |S_{k-1}^d (\varepsilon_k - T_{k-1} \varepsilon_k)| \right) \\
&\leq 2T \left(\sum_{k=1} \Delta R_k f_k \right) \\
&\leq 2T f^R \\
&\leq 2\|f\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $L^1(T)$ is T -universally complete implies the martingale v converges absolutely and applying the supemum we get directly associated inequality in property (ii).

Finally, we demonstrate that the martingale w satisfies the third assumption .

Indeed, for all $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^n y_k &= (I - R_n) f_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \Delta R_{k+1} f_k \\
&= R_n^d f_n + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_{k+1} R_k^d f_k.
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \sum_{k=0}^n y_k \right| &\leq R_n^d(\lambda e) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} R_{k+1} R_k^d(\lambda e) \\
&= R_n^d(\lambda e) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (R_{k+1} - R_k)(\lambda e) \\
&= \lambda e,
\end{aligned}$$

in addition

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^n S_{k-1}^d T_{k-1}(\varepsilon_k) &= S_\infty \left(\sum_{k=1}^n S_{k-1}^d T_{k-1}(\varepsilon_k) \right) + S_\infty^d \left(\sum_{k=1}^n S_{k-1}^d T_{k-1}(\varepsilon_k) \right) \\
&\leq S_\infty \sum \Delta S_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} T_{i-1}(\varepsilon_i) \right) + \sum S_\infty^d T_{k-1} \varepsilon_k \\
&\leq S_\infty \sum S_k S_{k-1}^d \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} T_{i-1}(\varepsilon_i) + \lambda e - \lambda e \right) + S_\infty^d \left(\sum T_{k-1} \varepsilon_k - \lambda e \right) + S_\infty^d(\lambda e) \\
&\leq S_\infty(\lambda e) + S_\infty^d(\lambda e) + \lambda e \\
&\leq 2\lambda e.
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
|w_n| &\leq \left| \sum_{k=1}^n y_k \right| + \left| \sum_{k=1}^n S_{k-1}^d T_{k-1}(\varepsilon_k) \right| \\
&\leq \lambda e + \lambda e \\
&\leq 2\lambda e.
\end{aligned}$$

Which implies $\|w\|_\infty \leq 2\lambda e$.

On the other hand

$$T \left(\sum_{k=1}^n y_k \right) = T(f_{R \wedge n}) \leq \|f\|_1.$$

This gives

$$\sup_n T \left(\sum_{k=1}^n y_k \right) \leq C \|f\|_1$$

In addition

$$T \left(\sum_{k=1}^n T_{k-1} \varepsilon_k \right) = \sum_{k=1}^n T \varepsilon_k \leq T \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \varepsilon_k \right) \leq C \|f\|_1.$$

Implies

$$\|w\|_1 = \sup_n w_n \leq C \|f\|$$

It follows that $\|w\|_1 \leq C \|f\|_1$. For the last property, see that

$$Tw_n^2 = T(w.w) \leq \|w\|_\infty T(|w|) \leq 2\lambda Tw_n$$

then $\|w\|_2 \leq C\lambda\|f\|_1$

□

The following theorem follows from the previous theorem and the properties of mapping Riesz space class \mathcal{A} .

Theorem 4.6. *Let f be an $L^1(T)$ -bounded martingale and L a mapping in the family of Riesz spaces class \mathcal{A} . Then we have*

$$\lambda TP_{(|L(f)|-\lambda e)^+e} \leq C\|f\|_1$$

for any $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. Let f be an $L^1(T)$ -bounded martingale. By Theorem 4.5 we can write

$$f = u + v + w.$$

From property (1) of class \mathcal{A} we have

$$|Lf| \leq C(|Lu| + |Lv| + |Lw|).$$

Moreover, for every $\lambda > 0$ we claim

$$TP_{(|L(f)|-\lambda e)^+e} \leq TP_{(|L(u)|-\frac{\lambda}{3C}e)^+e} + TP_{(|L(v)|-\frac{\lambda}{3C}e)^+e} + TP_{(|L(w)|-\frac{\lambda}{3C}e)^+e}.$$

Now, we try to prove that each term of the second member of this inequality is increased by $\frac{C\|f\|_1}{\lambda}$.

Firstly,

It is easy to see $B_{\Delta u^*}^d \subset B_{u^*}^d$, additionally by the property (2) of class \mathcal{A} and (i) of Theorem 4.5 we show

$$\begin{aligned} TP_{(|L(u)|-\frac{\lambda}{3C}e)^+e} &\leq TP_{|L(u)|}e \\ &\leq CTP_{u^*}e \\ &\leq \frac{C\|f\|_1}{\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, by [[13], Lemma 3.1], property (3i) of class \mathcal{A} and (ii) of Theorem 4.5 we prove

$$TP_{(|L(v)|-\frac{\lambda}{3C}e)^+e} \leq \frac{C\|f\|_1}{\lambda}.$$

Finally, We have

$$TP_{(|L(w)|-\frac{\lambda}{3C}e)^+}e \leq C \frac{T|L(w)|^2}{\lambda^2}$$

property 3ii of the class \mathcal{A} , and (iii) of Theorem 4.5 we have

$$TP_{(|L(w)|-\lambda e)^+}e \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^2} \|w\|_2^2 \leq \frac{C\|f\|_1}{\lambda}.$$

This complete proof. □

By this theorem we get the following result

Corollary 4.7. *Let f be an $L^1(T)$ -bounded martingale. Then*

(i) $\lambda TP_{(f^*-\lambda e)^+}e \leq C\|f\|_1.$

(ii) $\lambda TP_{(S(f)-\lambda e)^+}e \leq C\|f\|_1.$

Proof. (i) Let f be an $L^1(T)$ -bounded martingale. Set $L(f) = f^* = \sup_n |f_n|$. Then it suffices to show that it is to class \mathcal{A} . In fact, (1), (2) and (i) of (3) are obviously satisfied and by [[12], Theorem 6.5]

$$T \left(\sup_{k \leq n} |f_k| \right) \leq CT|f_n| \leq C \sup_n T|f_n|.$$

Then

$$T \left(\sup_n |f_k| \right) \leq C \sup_n T|f_n|.$$

So f^* is a class \mathcal{A} . This proof is a completed by theorem 4.6.

(ii) Similarly to (i). Put $L(f) = S(f)$, it is easy to see that

$$|L(f+g)| \leq C(|L(f)| + |L(g)|).$$

Then (1) and (ii) of (3) are obviously satisfied. For property (2), note that $P_{S_n} \uparrow P_{S(f)}$. So

$$P_{f^*} \geq P_{\sqrt{n}S_n} = P_{S_n}.$$

We deduce that

$$P_{f^*} \geq P_{S(f)}.$$

It remains (i) of (3). We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\Delta f_k)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta f_k \right)^2.$$

Then

$$\|S(f)\|_1 \leq \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\Delta f_k| \right\|_1.$$

Thus $S(f)$ is a class \mathcal{A} and by Theorem 4.6 the proof is finished. \square

From corollary, we try to major the first member of (i) by a quantity which depends on an operator of class \mathcal{A} .

Definition 4.8. Consider a martingale denoted as (f_n) on the space E . Let (v_n) be a sequence such that each v_n belongs to $R(T_{n-1})$. We define a martingale transform as the sum

$$h_n = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k \Delta f_k$$

where it is required that $v_n \Delta f_n \in E^u$.

Lemma 4.9. Let h be a martingale transform of an $L^1(T)$ -bounded martingale with $v_k \leq M e$ assume that $h^*, f^* \in E_s$. Then,

(i) $P_{h^*} e \leq P_{f^*} e$ and $P_{S(h)} e \leq P_{f^*} e$.

(ii) h^* and $S(h)$ are class \mathcal{A} .

Proof. (i) Let $x \in B_{f^*}^d$, we have

$$x \wedge f^* = 0$$

implies for each n

$$|x| \wedge |f_n - f_{n-1}| \leq |x| \wedge 2f^* = 0$$

then

$$P_{M|f_n - f_{n-1}|} x = 0$$

thus

$$P_{\sum_{k=1}^n v_k \Delta f_k} x \leq \sum_{k=1}^n P_{v_k \Delta f_k} = 0$$

finally $x \in B_{h^*}^d$ and

$$P_{h^*} e \leq P_{f^*} e.$$

For the second, note that $S(h) \leq MS(f)$. Then

$$P_{S(h)} e \leq CP_{f^*} e.$$

(ii) By (i) we have obvious h^* and $S(h)$ are class \mathcal{A} . □

Corollary 4.10. *Let f be an $L^1(T)$ -bounded martingale. If h is a martingale transform of f , then*

$$\lambda TP_{(h^* - \lambda e)^+} e \leq C \|f\|_1,$$

and

$$\lambda TP_{(S(h) - \lambda e)^+} e \leq C \|f\|_1.$$

Before giving the last applications in this paper we define the Rademacher functions in Riesz space. We remind you that Δ_n^k are the dyadic sub-intervals of the interval $[0, 1]$ if for each $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$

$$\Delta_n^k = \left(\frac{k-1}{2^n}, \frac{k}{2^n} \right).$$

The Rademacher functions $r_n(t)$ are defined on closed interval $[0, 1]$ by:

$$r_n(t) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k-1} & \text{if } t \in \Delta_n^k \\ 0 & \text{if } t = \frac{k}{2^n} \end{cases}$$

From this definitions, the possibles valued are 1, -1 and 0, furthermore r_n is non null if $t \neq k/2^n$ (see[5]). By this remark we extend this function in Riesz space as follows.

Definition 4.11. The Rademacher function in Riesz space \mathfrak{R}_n on $[0, 1]$ are given by $\mathfrak{R}_n(t) = r_n(t)e$ for each $t \in [0, 1]$, with r_n design the classical Rademacher function.

Therefore, for all $a, b \in [0, 1]$ we obtain

$$\int_a^b \mathfrak{R}_n(t) dt = \int_a^b r_n(t) e dt = \left(\int_a^b r_n(t) dt \right) e.$$

Then $\mathfrak{R}_n \in \mathcal{RI}([a, b], E_e)$ and by corollary 1.2 of [5] we deduce the following properties.

Corollary 4.12. *Let \mathfrak{R}_n be the Rademacher function in Riesz spaces, then*

(i) \mathfrak{R}_n is Riemann integrable on $[0, 1]$ and $\int_0^1 \mathfrak{R}_k(t) dt = 0$.

(ii) $\int_0^1 \mathfrak{R}_n(t) \mathfrak{R}_m(t) dt = e$ if $n = m$ and $\int_0^1 \mathfrak{R}_n(t) \mathfrak{R}_m(t) dt = 0$ if $n \neq m$.

Proof. The proof of this proposition is based on the of classical case. For (i) we see

$$\int_0^1 \mathfrak{R}_n(t) dt = \int_a^b r_n(t) e dt = \left(\int_0^1 r_n(t) dt \right) e = 0$$

□

Theorem 4.13. *If f is $L^1(T)$ -bounded martingale, then*

$$\lambda TP_{(f^* - \lambda e)^+} e \leq C \|S(f)\|_1.$$

for any $\lambda > 0$.

Proof. This theorem can be proved in two steps.

Step 1. Let $\mathfrak{R}_k(t)$ be a Rademacher function in Riesz space. In this case $\mathfrak{R}_k(t)$ take e and $-e$ as possible valued, we have by using Hölder inequality, see 3.6

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 T \left| \sum_k^n \mathfrak{R}_k(t) \Delta f_k \right| dt &= T \int_0^1 \left| \sum_k^n \mathfrak{R}_k(t) \Delta f_k \right| \\ &\leq T \left(\int_0^1 \left| \sum_k^n \mathfrak{R}_k(t) \Delta f_k \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq TS_n(f) \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_0^1 \sup T \left| \sum_k^n \mathfrak{R}_k(t) \Delta f_k \right| dt \leq TS(f)$$

Step 2. Let $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots)$ be a martingale defined as $g_n = \sum_k^n \mathfrak{R}_k(t) \Delta f_k$. Note that f is a transform of g , corollary 4.10 gives the following

$$\lambda TP_{(f^* - \lambda e)^+ e} \leq C \|g\|_1$$

Integrating the two members

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda TP_{(f^* - \lambda e)^+ e} &\leq C \int_0^1 \sup T|g_n| dt \\ &\leq C \|S(f)\|_1 \end{aligned}$$

This proof is completed. □

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, H. Brezis and G. Cerami, Combined effects of concave and convex nonlinearities in some elliptic problems, *Journal of Functional Analysis*. 122(1994), 519-543.
- [2] T. Bartsch and M. Willem, On an elliptic equation with concave and convex nonlinearities, *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*. 123(1995), 3555-3561.
- [3] D. Cao and P. Han, A note on the positive energy solutions for elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponent, *Applied Mathematics Letters*. 16(2003), 1105-1113.
- [4] C. D. Aliprantis, O. Burkinshaw, *Positive operators*, Springer (2006)
- [5] S. V. Astashkin, L. Maligranda, Rademacher functions in Morrey spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 444(2016), 1133-1154.
- [6] Y. Azouzi, F. Ben Amor, Vector subspaces and operators with the Stone condition. *Positivity*, 14(4)(2010), 585-593.
- [7] Y. Azouzi, W.C. Kuo, K. Ramdane, Convergence in Riesz spaces with conditional expectation operators. *Positivity* 19(2015), 647-657.
- [8] Y. Azouzi, K. Ramdane, Burkholder inequalities in Riesz spaces. *Indag. Math.* 28(5)(2017), 1076-1094.

- [9] Y. Azouzi, K. Ramdane, Burkholder Theorem in Riesz space. *Positivity* 28(5)(2017), 1076-1094.
- [10] Y. Azouzi, M. Trabelsi, L^p -spaces with respect to conditional expectation on Riesz spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl* 447(2017), 798 – 816.
- [11] K. Donner, *Extension of Positive Operators and Korovkin Theorems*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 904, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Springer-Verlag (1982).
- [12] J.J. Grobler, Jensen's and martingale inequalities in Riesz spaces. *Indag. Math.* 25(2014), 275-295.
- [13] J.J. Grobler, The Kolmogorov-Centsov theorem and Brownian motion in vector lattices. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 410(2014), 891-901.
- [14] J.J. Grobler, C.A. Labuschagne, V. Marraffa, Quadratic variation of martingales in Riesz spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 410(2014), 418-426.
- [15] C. B. Huijsmans, B. De Pagter, Ideal theory in f-algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society.* 269.1(1982), 225-245.
- [16] W.C. Kuo, C.A. Labuschagne, B.A. Watson, Conditional expectations on Riesz spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 303(2005), 509-521.
- [17] W.C. Kuo, C.A. Labuschagne, B.A. Watson, Convergence of Riesz space martingales. *Indag. Math.* 17(2006), 271-283.
- [18] W.C. Kuo, C.A. Labuschagne, B.A. Watson, Discrete-time stochastic processes on Riesz spaces. *Indag. Math.* 15(2004), 435-451.
- [19] A.C. Zaanen, *Introduction to Operator Theory in Riesz Spaces*. Springer (1997).
- [20] A.C. Zaanen, *Riesz Spaces II*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York (1983).