

# MEHTA'S EIGENVECTORS FOR THE FINITE HARTELY TRANSFORM.

FETHI BOUZEFFOUR

ABSTRACT. This paper presents a novel approach for evaluating analytical eigenfunctions of the finite Hartley transform. The approach is based on the use of  $N = 1/2$ -supersymmetric quantum mechanics as a fundamental tool, which builds on the key observation that the Hartley transform commutes with the supercharge operator. Using the intertwining operator between the Hartley transform and the finite Hartley transform, our approach provides an overcomplete basis of eigenvectors expressed in terms of supersymmetric Hermite polynomials.  
2000MSC: 33D45, 42A38

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The finite Fourier transform  $\Phi^{(N)}$  maps a function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  to its Fourier coefficients, which are given by the formula [15]

$$(1.1) \quad (\Phi^{(N)} f)(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{N} rs\right) f(s), \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}.$$

Equivalently, if we identify the  $N$ -periodic function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$  with the vector

$$(f(0), f(1), \dots, f(N-1))$$

in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , then  $\Phi^{(N)}$  is a unitary operator on  $\mathbb{C}^N$  with matrix elements  $\Phi_{rs}^{(N)}$  given by

$$\Phi_{rs}^{(N)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{N} rs\right), \quad r, s = 0, 1, \dots, N-1.$$

In his seminal paper [13], Mehta investigated the eigenvalue problem of the finite Fourier transform  $\Phi^{(N)}$  and found a set of eigenvectors  $F_n^{(N)}$  analytically. These eigenvectors satisfy the following eigenvalue problem:

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \Phi_{rs}^{(N)} F_n^{(N)}(s) = i^n F_n^{(N)}(r) \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

where  $F_n^{(N)}$  are given by the expression

$$(1.3) \quad F_n^{(N)}(r) := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{N}(kN+r)^2} H_n\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{N}}(kN+r)\right), \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

Here,  $H_n(x)$  denotes the Hermite polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $x$ .

It was conjectured by Mehta [13] that the  $F_n^{(N)}$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$  if  $N$  is odd and  $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-2, N$  if  $N$  is even) are linearly independent, but this problem has not been any further considered until now. As shown by Ruzzi [19], these systems are in general not orthogonal. While the literature has extensively discussed eigenvectors of the finite Fourier transform, with various approaches and techniques proposed (see, for example [13, 14, 2, 3, 4] and references therein), the analytical derivation of eigenvectors for the finite Fourier transform remains an unsolved problem.

Recently, Zhedanov studied some algebraic properties of two finite-dimensional intertwining operators associated with the DFT matrix. These operators are represented by matrices  $X$  and  $Y$  of the same size,  $N \times N$ , such that the intertwining relations are given by

$$(1.4) \quad Y\Phi = \Phi X, \quad X\Phi = -\Phi Y.$$

**Proposition 1.1** (Zhedanov). *The operators  $X$  and  $Y$  provide a representation of the Askey-Wilson algebra with commutation relations*

$$\begin{aligned} X^2Y + YX^2 - (q + q^{-1})XYX &= -(q - q^{-1})^2Y, \\ Y^2X + XY^2 - (q + q^{-1})YXY &= -(q - q^{-1})^2X. \end{aligned}$$

In this paper, we consider the finite Hartley transform  $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ , a closed discrete transform that maps a function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  to its Hartley coefficients. The Hartley coefficients are given by the formula

$$(1.5) \quad (\mathcal{H}^{(N)}f)(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \left( \cos\left(\frac{2\pi rs}{N}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{2\pi rs}{N}\right) \right) f(s), \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}.$$

The finite Hartley transform shares many important properties with the finite Fourier transform, including linearity, invertibility, and Parseval's identity. These transforms are widely used in various areas of mathematics, physics, and engineering, such as signal processing, data analysis, and number theory. Compared to the finite Fourier transform, the finite Hartley transform has some unique advantages, such as being real-valued and symmetric, which makes it particularly useful for certain applications, such as image processing. However, the finite Fourier transform is more

commonly used and has a richer theory and wider range of applications.

More specifically, we are concerned with the analytic solutions of following eigenvalue problem:

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \mathcal{H}_{rs}^{(N)} F_n^{(N)}(s) = \lambda_n F_n^{(N)}(r), \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

where the matrix elements  $\mathcal{H}_{rs}^{(N)}$  are given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{rs}^{(N)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left( \cos\left(\frac{2\pi rs}{N}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{2\pi rs}{N}\right) \right).$$

The finite Hartley transform can be considered as a discrete analogue of the well-known continuous Hartley, which is defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}\psi)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) (\cos(\lambda x) - \sin(\lambda x)) dx.$$

In this work, we present a method for constructing families of polynomials with transformation properties similar to the integral Hartley transform. Our approach is based on a crucial observation that the Hartley transform commutes with the supercharge operator of  $N = 1/2$ -supersymmetric quantum mechanics, which was previously studied in [18]. Readers interested in further information on supersymmetric quantum mechanics can refer to [16, 17, 18, 8]. Using this property, we construct eigenfunctions of the finite Hartley transform expressed in terms of supersymmetric Hermite polynomials [18], using the same method as earlier work by Mehta [13], and further developed by Dahlquist [9], Matveev [14], and Atakishiyeva et al. [1, 15].

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we provide an overview of the Hartley transform and difference-differential operator, and recall general properties of supersymmetric quantum mechanics with reflection. We establish a connection between the Hartley transform and SUSY quantum mechanics, and use it to obtain overcomplete bases for Hartley transform eigenvectors.

In Section 3, we leverage the idea presented by Matveev [14, Theorem 4.1] to construct functions that exhibit favorable properties under the finite Hartley transform. Specifically, we use these functions and their Hartley images to obtain eigenfunctions of the finite Hartley transform.

Finally, in Section 4, we conclude the paper with a brief discussion of some further research directions of interest.

## 2. HARTLEY TRANSFORM AND DUNKL-SUSY HERMITE POLYNOMIALS

The Hartley transform is a linear operator defined on a suitable function  $\psi(x)$  as follows:

$$(\mathcal{H}\psi)(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x) \text{cas}(\lambda x) dx,$$

where the kernel of the integral, known as the cas function, is given by

$$(2.1) \quad \text{cas}(x) = \cos(x) - \sin(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\binom{n}{2}}}{n!} x^n,$$

with  $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$  being the binomial coefficient.

The cas function (2.1) can be regarded as a generalization of the exponential function exp. This can be seen by considering a simple computation that shows the cas function is the unique  $C^\infty$ -solution to the differential-reflection problem.

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_x R)u(x) = \lambda u(x), \\ u(0) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Here  $\partial_x$  is the first order derivative and  $R$  is the reflection operator acting on the functions  $f(x)$  as:

$$(Rf)(x) := f(-x).$$

Mapping and inversion properties of the Hartley transforms in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , in [20] In order to find explicit eigenfunctions of the Hartley transform, we can use the technique of finding a self-adjoint operator with a simple spectrum that commutes with the Hartley transform.

To do so, let us start with the first order difference-differential operator  $\partial_x R$ . By performing integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_x Rf)(x)g(x) dx &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)(R\partial_x g)(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)(\partial_x R)g(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R})$  ( $S(\mathbb{R})$  is the Schwartz space).

Consequently, the Hartley transform satisfies the following intertwining relations:

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{H} \partial_x R = x \mathcal{H}, \quad \mathcal{H} x = \partial_x R \mathcal{H}.$$

Now, we define the following Dunkl-type operator  $Q$ , which acts on  $S(\mathbb{R})$  as:

$$(2.4) \quad Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\partial_x R + x).$$

Combining the two previous intertwining relations, we can easily see that the Hartley transform commutes with the operator  $Q$ , that is

$$Q\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}Q.$$

Before going further into the physical interpretation of the operators  $Q$ , let us first briefly summarize the main ideas of supersymmetric quantum mechanics. Supersymmetric quantum mechanics is a framework that extends the usual quantum mechanics to include both bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom. In this framework, a Hamiltonian  $H$  is said to be supersymmetric if there exist supercharges  $Q$  and  $Q^\dagger$  that satisfy the following superalgebra relations hold:

$$(2.5) \quad [Q, H] = 0, \quad [Q^\dagger, H] = 0, \quad H = \{Q, Q^\dagger\},$$

where  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  and  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  denote the commutator and anticommutator, respectively. The supercharges  $Q$  and  $Q^\dagger$  are Hermitian conjugates of each other and act on the same Hilbert space as  $H$ . The physical interpretation of the operators  $Q$  and  $Q^\dagger$  is that they correspond to transformations that mix bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom. These transformations are useful in studying a wide range of physical systems, including high-energy physics, condensed matter physics, and statistical mechanics.

Note that, if the supercharge  $Q$  is self-adjoint, i.e.,  $Q = Q^\dagger$ , then it follows from the above relations that

$$H = 2Q.$$

In this case the system is said to be  $N = 1/2$  supersymmetric, since the operator  $Q$  transforms bosonic states into fermionic states and vice versa.

One-dimensional  $N = 1/2$  supersymmetric quantum mechanics can be realized by taking the supercharge  $Q$  defined in (2.4). The corresponding supersymmetric Hamiltonian  $H$  takes the form

$$(2.6) \quad H = Q^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{1}{2} x^2 - \frac{1}{2} R.$$

The potential term  $\frac{1}{2}x^2$  comes from the harmonic oscillator potential and the superpotential term  $-\frac{1}{2}R$  arises from the presence of reflection symmetry. The spectrum of  $H$  is easily obtained by observing that

$$(2.7) \quad H = H_0 - \frac{1}{2}R,$$

where  $H_0$  is the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator given by

$$H_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} - \frac{1}{2} x^2.$$

It is well-known that the harmonic oscillator  $H_0$  (or its self-adjoint extension) possesses a discrete spectrum, and its corresponding eigenfunctions are the Hermite functions. The eigenfunctions, denoted by  $\psi_n(x)$ , have eigenvalues  $\lambda_n = n + \frac{1}{2}$  and are expressed as:

$$(2.8) \quad \psi_n(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n n!} \sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^2} H_n(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where  $H_n(x)$  denotes the  $n$ th Hermite polynomial. Since

$$R\psi_n(x) = (-1)^n \psi_n(x),$$

it follows from (2.7) that

$$(2.9) \quad H\psi_n(x) = \left(n + \frac{1 - (-1)^n}{2}\right) \psi_n(x).$$

Then the ground state wave function  $\psi_0(x)$  is given by

$$\psi_0(x) = \pi^{-1/4} e^{-x^2/2}$$

and satisfies

$$Q\psi_0 = 0.$$

Let us now carry out the gauge transformation of  $Q$  with the ground state  $\psi_0$ . Put

$$\tilde{Q} = \psi_0^{-1} Q \psi_0.$$

It is straightforward to see that

$$\tilde{Q} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{d}{dx} R + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} x(1 - R).$$

So, in this way, one reduces the problem of finding the eigenfunctions of the Hartley transform to one of solving the following difference-differential equation

$$(2.10) \quad -u'(-x) + x(u(x) - u(-x)) = \sqrt{2}\lambda u(x).$$

To solve this equation, it is crucial to note that any function  $u$  can be expressed as the sum of an even function  $u_e$  and an odd function  $u_o$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} u_e(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(u(x) + u(-x)), \\ u_o(x) &= \frac{1}{2}(u(x) - u(-x)). \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $u_e$  and  $u_o$  are respectively the even and odd parts of  $u$ . We can rewrite the difference-differential equation (2.10) as a system of two linear differential equations of first order:

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{cases} u'_e = \lambda\sqrt{2}u_o \\ u'_o - 2xu_o = -\lambda\sqrt{2}u_e. \end{cases}$$

We can eliminate the function  $u_o(x)$  from this system (2.11) and obtain a second-order differential equation for  $u_e(x)$ :

$$(2.12) \quad u''_e(x) - 2xu'_e(x) = -2\lambda^2u_e(x).$$

We make the change of variable  $t = x^2$  and equation (2.12) becomes:

$$tv'' + \left(\frac{1}{2} - t\right)v' = -\frac{\lambda^2}{2}v.$$

Then the general even solution of (2.12) takes the form

$$u_e(x) = A {}_1F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; x^2\right),$$

where  $A$  is constant and

$${}_1F_1\left(\begin{matrix} a \\ b \end{matrix}; z\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n z^n}{(b)_n n!}$$

is Kummer's confluent hypergeometric function [21]. From (2.11) we obtain the solution for the function  $u_o(x)$

$$u_o(x) = -A\sqrt{2}\lambda x {}_1F_1\left(\begin{matrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix}; x^2\right).$$

Therefore the general solution of (2.10)

$$u(x) = A {}_1F_1\left(\begin{matrix} -\frac{\lambda^2}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix}; x^2\right) - A\sqrt{2}\lambda x {}_1F_1\left(\begin{matrix} 1 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \\ \frac{3}{2} \end{matrix}; x^2\right).$$

It is straightforward to observe that polynomial solutions are only possible if  $\lambda = \pm\sqrt{2n}$ , where  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . In such cases, the first term in the above equation is a polynomial of degree  $2n$  and the second term is a polynomial of degree  $2n - 1$ . We denote the eigenfunction of  $Q$  corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda_n = \pm\sqrt{2n}$  as  $\widehat{\psi}(x)$ .

By using the well known explicit expressions of the Hermite polynomials in terms of the confluent hypergeometric series:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2n}(x) &= (-1)^n \frac{(2n)!}{n!} {}_1F_1\left(\frac{-n}{\frac{1}{2}}; x^2\right), \\ H_{2n+1}(x) &= (-1)^n \frac{(2n+1)!}{n!} 2x {}_1F_1\left(\frac{-n}{\frac{3}{2}}; x^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the explicit expressions for these eigenfunctions given below.

$$(2.13) \quad \widehat{\psi}(x) = \kappa_{\pm n}^{-1} e^{-x^2/2} \mathcal{H}_{\pm n}(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

where

$$(2.14) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\pm n}(x) = H_{2n}(x) \pm 2\sqrt{n}H_{2n-1}(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The normalized constants  $\kappa_{\pm n}$  are also chosen so that

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\psi}_{\pm n}^2(x) dx = 1.$$

A simple computation shows that

$$(2.16) \quad \kappa_n = \kappa_{-n} = \pi^{\frac{1}{4}} 2^{n+1/2} (2n!)^{1/2}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The polynomials  $\mathcal{H}_n(x)$  with  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  are called supersymmetric Hermite polynomials. They satisfy the orthogonality relations

$$(2.17) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_{\pm n}(x) \mathcal{H}_{\pm m}(x) e^{-x^2} dx = \sqrt{\pi} 2^{2n+1} (2n)! \delta_{nm}, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

The system  $\{\widehat{\psi}_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  forms an orthonormal set in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  and is complete, similar to the argument used to show that the classical Hermite functions form a complete orthogonal set in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ . Moreover, the operator  $Q$  is essentially self-adjoint, and we have

$$(2.18) \quad Q\widehat{\psi}_{\pm n}(x) = \pm\sqrt{2n}\widehat{\psi}_{\pm n}(x), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *The eigenfunctions of the Hartley transform are given by  $\{e^{-x^2/2}\mathcal{H}_{\pm n}(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Moreover, we have*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \text{cas}(xy) e^{-y^2/2} \mathcal{H}_n(y) dy = (-1)^n e^{-x^2/2} \mathcal{H}_n(x),$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_n(x)$  is the supersymmetric Hermite polynomial of order  $n$  given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\pm n}(x) = H_{2n}(x) \pm 2\sqrt{n}H_{2n-1}(x), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$



## 3. METHA'S EIGENFUNCTIONS FOR THE FINITE HARTLEY TRANSFORM

Mehta's eigenfunctions for the finite Hartley transform arise similarly as the general relationship between the integral Fourier transform and the finite Fourier transform and can be derived as an immediate consequence of a generalized Poisson summation formula for the finite Hartley transform.

The Hartley series of a periodic real-valued function  $f$  with period  $a > 0$  is given by [11, §2]

$$(3.1) \quad f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n \text{cas}\left(\frac{2\pi n}{a}x\right),$$

where the coefficients  $a_n$  are given explicitly by

$$(3.2) \quad a_n = \frac{1}{a} \int_{-a/2}^{a/2} f(x) \text{cas}\left(\frac{2\pi n}{a}x\right) dx.$$

In order to proceed further, we will require the following generalized Poisson summation formula for the Hartley transform.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $f \in S(\mathbb{R})$ , we have*

$$(3.3) \quad ab \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} f(b(ar + x)) = \sqrt{2\pi} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{H}f)\left(\frac{2m\pi}{ab}\right) \text{cas}\left(\frac{2m\pi x}{a}\right).$$

*Proof.* The left-hand side of the equation is a periodic function with a period  $a$ , while the right-hand side is its expression as the sum of its Hartley series.  $\square$

In particular for

$$\begin{aligned} a &= n, & x &= j, & j &= 0, \dots, n-1, \\ m &= nr + k, & b &= \sqrt{2\pi/N}, & r &\in \mathbb{Z}, & k &= 0, \dots, n-1, \end{aligned}$$

the formula (3.3) takes the form

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} f(b(ar + x)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \left\{ \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{H}f)\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{N}}(Nr + k)\right) \right\} \text{cas}\left(\frac{2m\pi x}{N}\right).$$

We define a linear map  $\mathcal{M}_N$  from the Schwartz space  $S(\mathbb{R})$  into the space of  $N$ -periodic functions on  $\mathbb{Z}$  as follows: for  $f \in S(\mathbb{R})$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad (\mathcal{M}_N f)(r) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{N}}(kN + r)\right).$$

The finite Hartley transform pair for a  $N$ -periodic function  $f$ , is given by

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{cases} (\mathcal{H}^{(N)})f(r) := N^{-1/2} \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} f(s) \operatorname{cas}\left(\frac{2irs\pi}{N}\right), \\ f(s) := N^{-1/2} \sum_{r=0}^{N-1} (\mathcal{H}^{(N)}f)(r) \operatorname{cas}\left(\frac{2irs\pi}{N}\right). \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R})$  are related by the integral Hartely transform*

$$(3.7) \quad g(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{cas}(xy) f(x) dx,$$

and if  $F := \mathcal{M}_N f$ ,  $G := \mathcal{M}_N g$  then  $F$  and  $G$  are related by the finite Hartely transform:

$$G(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{s=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{cas}\left(\frac{2irs\pi}{N}\right) F(s).$$

Let us now apply Theorem 3.2 to the case where  $f$  and  $g$  are given by :

$$f(x) := e^{-x^2/2} \mathcal{H}_n(x), \quad g(y) := e^{-y^2/2} \mathcal{H}_n(y).$$

Put

$$(3.8) \quad g_n^{(N)}(r) := (\mathcal{M}_N f)(r) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{\pi}{N}(kN+r)^2} \mathcal{H}_n\left(\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{N}}(kN+r)\right).$$

**Proposition 3.3.** *The finite Hartley transform of the functions  $g_n^{(N)}(r)$ , is given by*

$$(3.9) \quad (\mathcal{H}^{(N)}g_n^{(N)})(r) = (-1)^n g_n^{(N)}(r).$$

#### 4. CONCLUDING COMMENTS AND OUTLOOK

Our work has demonstrated the connection between the supersymmetric Hermite polynomials and the Hartley transform. Building upon earlier research by Mehta [13], Dahlquist [9], Matveev [14], and Atakishiyeva et al. [1, 15], we have employed a similar method to construct eigenfunctions of the finite Hartley transform. Notably, in [15], the authors used a related technique to construct  $q$ -extended eigenvectors of the finite Fourier transform, which were then utilized to obtain eigenvectors of the finite Hartley transform.

An intriguing avenue for further exploration is the investigation of families of  $q$ -polynomials from the Askey hierarchy of basic hypergeometric polynomials [21] and their potential for possessing simple transformation properties with respect to the finite Hartley transform. If such properties exist, they could lead to novel  $q$ -variants of Mehta's eigenfunctions (3.8) of the finite Hartley transform.

Additionally, an area worth exploring is the study of symmetry properties exhibited by finite-dimensional intertwining operators with the discrete Hartley transform matrix, as discussed in [13, 14, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Another interesting direction would involve investigating a discrete analogue of  $N = 1/2$  supersymmetric quantum mechanics.

We plan to continue our research in both of these directions, with a particular focus on constructing an explicit finite-difference equation for the suitable eigenvectors (3.8) of the finite Hartley transform operator  $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ , derived from supersymmetric quantum mechanics. Analytically, this involves constructing a finite-difference operator  $L$  that commutes with  $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$ , thereby enabling the decomposition of eigenspaces of  $\mathcal{H}^{(N)}$  through the spectral decomposition of  $L$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Atakishiyev N.M., *On  $q$ -extensions of Mehta's eigenvectors of the finite Fourier transform*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A, **21**, 4993–5006, 2006.
- [2] Atakishiyeva M.K., Atakishiyev N.M., *On the raising and lowering operators for eigenvectors of the finite Fourier transform*, J. Phys: Conf. Ser., **597**, 012012, 2015.
- [3] Atakishiyeva M.K., Atakishiyev N.M., *On algebraic properties of the discrete raising and lowering operators, associated with the  $N$ -dimensional discrete Fourier transform*, Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl., **11**, 81–92, 2016.
- [4] Atakishiyeva M.K., Atakishiyev N.M., Loreto-Hernández J., *More on algebraic properties of the discrete Fourier transform raising and lowering operators*, 4 Open, **2**, 1–11, 2019.
- [5] Atakishiyeva M.K., Atakishiyev N.M., Zhedanov A., *An algebraic interpretation of the intertwining operators associated with the discrete Fourier transform*, J. Math. Phys., **62**, 101704, 2021.
- [6] Bracewell R.N. *The discrete Hartley transform*, Journal Opt. Soc. America, **73**, 1832–1835, 1983.
- [7] Bracewell R.N. *The Hartley Transform*, Oxford University Press, Oxford UK, 1985.
- [8] Cooper, F.; Khare, A.; Sukhatme, U. *Supersymmetry in Quantum Mechanics*; World Scientific: Singapore, 2001.
- [9] Dahlquist G, A, *"multigrid" extension of the FFT for the numerical inversion of Fourier and Laplace transforms*, Behaviour & Information Technology, Vol. **33**, pp. 85–112, 1993.
- [10] Dickinson B.W., Steiglitz, K., *Eigenvectors and functions of the discrete Fourier transform* IEEE Trans. Acoust. Speech, **30**, 25–31, 1982.
- [11] Heydt, G. T., Olejniczak, K. J., *The Hartley series and its application to power quality assessment*, in IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 522–527, May-June 1993
- [12] Hartley R.V.L. *A more symmetrical Fourier analysis applied to transmission problems*. Proc. IRE **30**, 144–150, 1942.
- [13] Mehta M.L., *Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the finite Fourier transform*, J. Math. Phys., **28**, 781–785, 1987.

- [14] Matveev V.B, *Intertwining relations between the Fourier transform and discrete Fourier transform, the related functional identities and beyond*, Inverse Prob., **17**, 633–657, 2001.
- [15] Mesuma K. Atakishiyeva et al. 2009 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 42 454004.
- [16] Plyushchay, M.S. *Deformed Heisenberg algebra, fractional spin fields and supersymmetry without fermions*. Ann. Phys. 1996, 245, 339–360.
- [17] Witten, E. *Dynamical breaking of supersymmetry*. Nucl. Phys. B 1981, 188, 513–554.
- [18] Post, S.; Vinet, L.; Zhedanov, A. *Supersymmetric quantum mechanics with reflections*. J. Phys. A Math. Theor. 2011, 44, 435301.
- [19] M. Ruzzi, Jacobi  $\theta$ -functions and discrete
- [20] S. Yakubovich and Yu. Luchko, *The Hypergeometric Approach to Integral Transforms and Convolutions*. Mathematics and its Applications, 287. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht (1994).
- [21] R. Koekoek and R. F. Swarttouw, *The Askey-scheme of hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and its  $q$ -analogue*, Report 98–17, Delft University of Technology, Delft, 1998.
- [22] M.K. Atakishiyeva, N.M. Atakishiyev and J. Loreto-Hernández, *On the discrete Fourier transform eigenvectors and spontaneous symmetry breaking*, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, **333**, 549–569, 2020. Fourier transforms, J. Math. Phys., Vol. **47**, 063507, 2006.
- [23] Karray, F., Atri, M. (2012). Digital Signal Processing: Concepts and Applications. New York: Wiley.
- [24] Oppenheim, A. V., Schafer, R. W. (1999). Discrete-Time Signal Processing. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- [25] Rabiner, L. R., Gold, B. (1975). Theory and Application of Digital Signal Processing. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Fethi Bouzeffour

Departemnt of Mathematics, King Saud University, P. O Box 2455 Riyadh 11451,  
Saud Arabia.

email: fbouzaffour@ksu.edu.sa