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#### Abstract

Elephant random walk is a special type of random walk that incorporates the memory of the past to determine its future steps. The probability of this walk taking a particular step ( +1 or -1 ) at a time point, conditioned on the entire history, depends on a linear function of the proportion of that step till that time point. In this work, we investigate how the dynamics of the random walk will change if we replace this linear function by a generic map satisfying some analytic conditions. We also propose a new model, called the multidimensional generalized elephant random walk, that incorporates several variants of elephant random walk in one and higher dimensions and their generalizations thereof. Using tools from the theory of stochastic approximation, we derive the asymptotic behavior of our model leading to newer results on the phase transition boundary between diffusive and superdiffusive regimes. We also mention a few open problems in this context.


## 1. Introduction

Random walk models find many applications in theoretical physics, biology, neuroscience, computer science, and econometrics. Among various random walk models, the simple symmetric random walk, whose steps are assumed to be independent of each other, has been studied extensively. Exhibiting diffusive behavior, it grows at a rate equal to the square root of the number of steps taken. However, anomalous diffusion appears in many physical, biological, or social systems whose analysis often requires theoretical models that include the memory of the past. Elephant random walk was introduced in [21] to study the effect of memory on random walks. Unlike the simple symmetric random walk, it exhibits anomalous diffusion as a result of incorporating the memory of its entire history. The elephant random walk has garnered considerable attention in the last two decades; see, e.g. [3, 5, 6, 7, 6].

The classical elephant random walk is a one-dimensional discrete-time random walk in which the walker, also referred to as the elephant, moves along the integer line $\mathbb{Z}$, one step $( \pm 1)$ at a time. The walk initiates from the origin. At epoch 1, the elephant moves to the right $(+1)$ with probability $q$ or to the left $(-1)$ with probability $1-q$, for some $0<q<1$. Subsequently, for all future epochs, it chooses a step uniformly at random from the previous steps and then either repeats it with probability $p$, or moves in the direction opposite to the chosen past step with probability $1-p$. Here $p \in(0,1)$ is the important parameter indicating the strength of the memory of the elephant and $q \in(0,1)$ is the other (not so relevant) parameter specifying its initial distribution. An important question regarding the elephant random walk pertains to the impact of the memory parameter $p$ on the asymptotic behavior of the model. Depending on the value of $p$, it exhibits distinct behavior and undergoes a

[^0]phase transition at $p=3 / 4$, where it changes from diffusive ( $p<3 / 4$ ) to superdiffusive ( $p>3 / 4$ ) growth due to the effect of memory.

There is a time-inhomogeneous Markovian structure inherent in the dynamics of the elephant random walk. The probability that the next step of the elephant is +1 (respectively, -1 ), conditioned on the past, is a linear function of the current proportion of +1 (respectively, -1 ) steps. Because of the nature of its propagation, the elephant random walk is ideal for modeling scenarios in which a series of actions are taken dynamically, among two (or more) choices of actions, such that the next action depends on the relative frequencies of each of the ones taken in the past. For example, a customer buys a product over other competing products based on how often the product was purchased in the past, or YouTube suggests a video to the user based on how many times the user watched similar videos in the past, etc.

However, one limitation of using the elephant random walk to model such situations is that the relative frequencies of the actions in the past may not be observable quantities. Instead, one can readily observe the values of a possibly nonlinear function of the same. For example, how frequently a product has been purchased in the past (subsequently, we refer to the relative frequency of purchases of a product as the market share of that product) is not known to the customers, but the current price of the product, which can be viewed as a nonlinear function of the market share, is known; see Section 2.2 below. Motivated by this limitation, in Section 2, we propose a generalization of the elephant random walk (called the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk) where we generalize the probability of the next step being +1 (respectively, -1 ). Instead of linearly depending on the current proportion of +1 (respectively, -1 ) steps, the probability of the next step being +1 (respectively, -1 ) depends on a potentially nonlinear function of the same.

Several variations of elephant random walk have also been considered in recent times. For example, a unidirectional elephant random walk model (known as the minimal random walk) was introduced in [13] (see also [8]). Elephant random walk with random step sizes was analyzed in [10] and [11]. Elephant random walk has been extended to higher dimensions in [4]. In Section 3, we consider similar generalizations of these variations of elephant random walk. We propose a very general random walk model whose various special cases provide the generalizations of the above-mentioned variations. We call this general random walk model the multidimensional generalized elephant random walk. It is needless to say that the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk is also a special case of this model and its behavior is analyzed more intricately than its multidimensional counterpart leading to finer results in the one-dimensional case.

We establish a connection between the (multidimensional) generalized elephant random walk and a class of recursive algorithms, known as stochastic approximation, for determining its asymptotic behavior. Stochastic approximation was originally introduced by 20] as a method of finding the root of an unknown function in presence of random noise. Since then, this machinery has become quite popular with wide applications in various areas including but not limited to econometrics, clinical trials, queuing networks, wireless communications, manufacturing systems, neural nets, etc. A survey of stochastic approximation algorithms and their applications can be found in [17].

In summary, our main contributions are the following. We obtain a single random walk model, namely the multidimensional generalized elephant random walk, that brings the elephant random walk and many of its one and multidimensional variants and their extensions
thereof (including the generalizations proposed by us) under the same umbrella. Borrowing tools and techniques from the theory of stochastic approximation, we establish strong law of large numbers (see Theorems [2.2, (3.6) and study the phase transition (from the diffusive to the superdiffusive regime) of the fluctuations of the scaled random walk around its almost sure limit (see Theorems 2.11, 3.12). With the help of a detailed analysis, we also derive the law of iterated logarithm in the one-dimensional case (see Theorems 2.5, 3.14), which enables us to investigate the recurrence and transience of the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk (see Proposition 2.9). Further, in this case, we provide expansion of the scaled location of the walk around its almost sure limit in the superdiffusive regime. The order of the expansion depends on the smoothness of the underlying function (see Theorems 2.14, 3.15). We provide several interesting and illustrative examples (see Section 2.5), which can be of independent interest. We also propose a bunch of conjectures and potentially future directions (see Open Problems 2.10, 2.12, 2.13).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk model along with its possible applications and present the main results for this model. We formally define the multidimensional generalized elephant random walk in Section 3 along with important examples and the statements of the main theoretical results for the same. Section 4 discusses the stochastic approximation procedures and their connections to our models as well as all the supporting Lemmas and their proofs. Finally, the proofs of the main theoretical results are given in Section 5.

## 2. One-dimensional Generalized Elephant Random Walk

In this section, we consider the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk introduced in Section 1. Section 2.1 depicts the dynamics of the random walk. In Section 2.2, an application of the model is illustrated. One-dimensional generalized elephant random walk can be connected to some other existing processes in the literature, which we describe in Section [2.3] In Section [2.4, we describe the main results for this model. We conclude Section 2 by providing some interesting examples in Section 2.5 ,

We begin by recalling the formal description of the elephant random walk. Let $S_{n}$ denote the location of the walker (i.e. the elephant) at time $n \geq 0$. Then $S_{0}=0$ and for $n \geq 0$,

$$
S_{n+1}=S_{n}+X_{n+1}
$$

where, for each $n \geq 0, X_{n+1}$ is the $(n+1)$-th step of the elephant defined as follows:

$$
X_{1}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { with probability } q  \tag{2.1}\\ -1 & \text { with probability } 1-q\end{cases}
$$

and for $n \geq 1$, with $U_{n+1} \sim \operatorname{Uniform}\{1, \ldots, n\}$,

$$
X_{n+1}= \begin{cases}+X_{U_{n+1}} & \text { with probability } p  \tag{2.2}\\ -X_{U_{n+1}} & \text { with probability } 1-p\end{cases}
$$

The walk has the following Markovian structure. Given $n \geq 1$, let $V_{n}$ (respectively, $W_{n}$ ) be the number of +1 (respectively, -1 ) steps till time $n$. In other words,

$$
V_{n}:=\#\left\{1 \leq i \leq n: X_{i}=+1\right\}, \quad W_{n}:=\#\left\{1 \leq i \leq n: X_{i}=-1\right\}=n-V_{n}
$$

At time $n+1$, the probability of selecting +1 (respectively, -1 ) step from the previous steps is $V_{n} / n$ (respectively, $W_{n} / n$ ). Thus for $n \geq 1$, given $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}$,

$$
V_{n+1}= \begin{cases}V_{n}+1 & \text { with probability } p \frac{V_{n}}{n}+(1-p)\left(1-\frac{V_{n}}{n}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\ V_{n} & \text { with probability } p\left(1-\frac{V_{n}}{n}\right)+(1-p) \frac{V_{n}}{n}\end{cases}
$$

and $\quad W_{n+1}=n+1-V_{n+1}$.
This implies that $\left\{V_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a time-inhomogeneous Markov chain and for $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{n+1}=+1 \mid X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=p \frac{V_{n}}{n}+(1-p)\left(1-\frac{V_{n}}{n}\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our model replaces the term $\frac{V_{n}}{n}$ in (2.4) by a function of the same.
2.1. The Model. The walk starts from $S_{0}=0$ at time $n=0$. For $n \geq 0$, the location $S_{n+1}$ of the elephant at time $n+1$ is given by,

$$
S_{n+1}=S_{n}+X_{n+1}
$$

where,

$$
X_{1}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { with probability } q \\ -1 & \text { with probability } 1-q\end{cases}
$$

and for $n \geq 1$, given $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$,

$$
X_{n+1}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { with probability } p f\left(\frac{V_{n}}{n}\right)+(1-p)\left(1-f\left(\frac{V_{n}}{n}\right)\right)  \tag{2.5}\\ -1 & \text { with probability } p\left(1-f\left(\frac{V_{n}}{n}\right)\right)+(1-p) f\left(\frac{V_{n}}{n}\right)\end{cases}
$$

where, $f:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a function and $V_{n}:=\#\left\{1 \leq i \leq n: X_{i}=+1\right\}$ as above. We interpret (2.5) in the following way. At time $n+1, n \geq 1$, the elephant chooses a step as follows. Unlike the classical elephant random walk where it chooses the step uniformly from the previous steps, the chosen step is +1 (respectively, -1 ) with probability $f\left(V_{n} / n\right)$ (respectively, $1-f\left(V_{n} / n\right)$ ). Then, the elephant repeats the chosen step with probability $p$, or moves in the opposite direction with probability $1-p$. This model reduces to the elephant random walk for $f(x)=x$. Though the probability of choosing +1 step at epoch $n+1$ is $f\left(V_{n} / n\right)$, the same for -1 step, in general, is not equal to $f\left(W_{n} / n\right)$. So, there is an asymmetry in the model dynamics unless

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)+f(1-x)=1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk symmetric if $f$ satisfies (2.6).
Remark 2.1. Consider the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk with $f$ replaced by $f^{*}$, given by, $f^{*}(x)=1-f(x)$ and $p$ replaced by $p^{*}=1-p$. Then, the dynamics of the walk remain the same. Observe that the graph of $f^{*}$ is the reflection of the graph of $f$ with respect to the line $y=1 / 2$. Additionally, if $f$ satisfies (2.6), then $f^{*}(x)=f(1-x)$ and the graph of $f^{*}$ is the reflection of the graph of $f$ with respect to the point $(1 / 2,1 / 2)$.
2.2. An Illustrative Application. As mentioned earlier, the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk is useful for modeling situations where actions are taken dynamically, depending on past actions. A typical example of such a situation is the following. Consider a market with two competing brands $D$ and $S$. We are interested in knowing whether $D$ dominates $S$ in the market in the long run. Let us denote the price of $D$ (respectively, $S$ ) by $\pi_{D}$ (respectively, $\pi_{S}$ ). At any given time, both $\pi_{D}$ and $\pi_{S}$ depend on the market share (recall that the market share of a product is the relative frequency of purchases of that brand in the past) of the corresponding brand at that time. Thus, if $x$ denotes the market share of $D$ at a given time (observe that $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ), the market share of $S$ at that time is $1-x$. At that time, the price of $D$ (respectively, $S$ ) is $\pi_{D}(x)$ (respectively, $\pi_{S}(1-x)$ ). The customers initially decide which brand to buy depending on the difference between the prices of $D$ and $S$, namely, $\pi_{D}(x)-\pi_{S}(1-x)$. The exact dependence structure varies from market to market. For illustration, we use the following. If $\pi_{D}(x)-\pi_{S}(1-x)$ is less than a threshold $L<0$ (respectively, more than a threshold $U>0$ ), the customers initially prefer $D$ (respectively, $S$ ), as the price of $D$ is significantly less (respectively, greater) than $S$. If $\pi_{D}(x)-\pi_{S}(1-x) \in(L, U)$ i.e. when the prices are not much different, the customers follow a randomized rule and initially prefer $D$ if and only if $\pi_{D}(x)-\pi_{S}(x)<X$, where, $X$ is a Uniformly distributed random variable on $(L, U)$. But, other factors (e.g. tempting advertisements) may affect this initial preference and so, ultimately, the customers buy the preferred brand (respectively, the other brand) with probability $p$ (respectively, with probability $1-p)$. The correspondence between the customers' decisions and the steps of the random walk is straightforward. For $n \geq 0$, if $D$ (respectively, $S$ ) is purchased at time $n+1$, we set $X_{n+1}=1$ (respectively, $X_{n+1}=-1$ ). Then, $S_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}$ represents the market dominance of $D$ over $S$ at time $n$. It follows that $S_{n}$ is a one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk with the corresponding $f$ given by,

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}1, & \pi_{D}(x)-\pi_{S}(1-x) \leq L \\ \frac{U-\pi_{D}(x)+\pi_{S}(1-x)}{U-L} & \pi_{D}(x)-\pi_{S}(1-x) \in(L, U) \\ 0, & \pi_{D}(x)-\pi_{S}(1-x) \geq U\end{cases}
$$

In Section 2.5 (cf. Example 2.5.3), we illustrate the long-run behavior of such a market. We emphasize that the above situation can not be modeled using the classical elephant random walk.
2.3. Connections to Other Processes. The one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk can be represented in terms of various other processes existing in the literature.
2.3.1. Generalized Urn Process. A connection of the elephant random walk to the Urn Model has been established by [1] (see also [19]). A similar one-to-one correspondence exists between the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk and the generalized urn process, which was introduced in [14]. The generalized urn process describes the discrete-time evolution of an urn containing balls of two colors, say, red and black. The urn composition at time $n \geq 1$ is denoted by $U_{n}=\left(R_{n}, B_{n}\right)$, where $R_{n}$ and $B_{n}$ are the numbers of red balls and black balls, respectively, at that time. We start with an empty urn. At time $n=1$, a red ball is added to the urn with probability $q$, or a black ball is added to the urn with probability $1-q$. At time $n \geq 2$, a red ball (respectively, a black ball) is drawn from the urn with probability $f\left(R_{n} / n\right)$ (respectively, $1-f\left(R_{n} / n\right)$ ). Then the drawn ball is returned to the urn along with another ball of the same color with probability $p$ or a ball of the opposite
color with probability $1-p$. The following relation describes the above-mentioned one-to-one correspondence

$$
\left(S_{n}: n \geq 1\right) \stackrel{d}{=}\left(R_{n}-B_{n}: n \geq 1\right)
$$

2.3.2. Location-dependent Random Walk. The dynamics of the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk can also be described as a location-dependent random walk in the sense that the next location of the elephant depends on its current (time-normalized) location. For $n \geq 1$, as $S_{n}=2 V_{n}-n$, we can rewrite (2.5) as:

$$
S_{n+1}= \begin{cases}S_{n}+1 & \text { with probability } \frac{1}{2}+\left(p-\frac{1}{2}\right) g\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}\right),  \tag{2.7}\\ S_{n}-1 & \text { with probability } \frac{1}{2}-\left(p-\frac{1}{2}\right) g\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}\right),\end{cases}
$$

where, $g:[-1,1] \mapsto[-1,1]$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=2 f\left(\frac{x+1}{2}\right)-1 . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This puts one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk in one-to-one correspondence with this location-dependent random walk and we shall study them interchangeably. Note that $f$ satisfies (2.6) if and only if $g$ is an odd function, as evident from (2.8). So the onedimensional generalized elephant random walk is symmetric if and only if the function $g$ of the corresponding location-dependent random walk is odd.
2.3.3. Sequence of Dependent Bernoulli Random Variables. The sequence $\left(Y_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$, given by, $Y_{1}=V_{1}, Y_{n}=V_{n}-V_{n-1}, n \geq 2$, is a sequence of dependent Bernoulli variables whose evolution is given by, for $n \geq 1$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(Y_{n+1}=+1 \mid Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)=(1-p)+(2 p-1) f\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right)
$$

For a discussion on such sequences of Bernoulli variables, see [22] and the references therein.
2.4. Main Results. The function $f$, and equivalently $g$, plays a crucial role in determining the dynamics of the walk. Define $h:[0,1] \mapsto[0,1]$, given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x):=(1-p)+(2 p-1) f(x)=\frac{1}{2}+\left(p-\frac{1}{2}\right) g(2 x-1) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6), it follows that the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk is symmetric if and only if $h(x)+h(1-x)=1$. Our first result gives a sufficient condition for the almost sure convergence of the walk.

Theorem 2.2 (Almost sure convergence). Suppose there exists unique $y_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that $h\left(y_{0}\right)=y_{0}$ and for any closed $C \subseteq(0,1) \backslash\left\{y_{0}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{y \in C}\left\{\left(y-y_{0}\right)(h(y)-y)\right\}<0 . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{n}}{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} s_{0}:=2 y_{0}-1 \text {. } \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.3. Note that if $f$ (equivalently, $g$ and $h$ ) is continuous and

$$
\left(y-y_{0}\right)(h(y)-y)<0 \text { for all } y \neq y_{0},
$$

then (2.10) automatically holds for all closed set $C \subseteq(0,1) \backslash\left\{y_{0}\right\}$. In that case, the graph of $h$ crosses the diagonal at $y_{0}$ from the above to below. Thus, $y_{0}$ is called a downcrossing and (2.10) is referred to as downcrossing condition.

Remark 2.4. Suppose the walk is symmetric (i.e., $f$ satisfies (2.6)) so that $h(1 / 2)=1 / 2$. If (2.10) is satisfied with $y_{0}=1 / 2$, then

$$
\frac{S_{n}}{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0 .
$$

If the walk almost surely converges to some non-random $s_{0} \in(-1,1)$, then the secondorder behavior of the walk depends on $\eta:=h^{\prime}\left(\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2\right)$ (assuming $h$ to be differentiable in a neighborhood of $\left.\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2\right)$ and shows different behaviors for different values of $\eta$. If the assumptions of Theorem [2.2 holds with $s_{0}=2 y_{0}-1$ and $h$ is differentiable in a a neighborhood of $y_{0}=\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$, then we necessarily have

$$
\eta=h^{\prime}\left(y_{0}\right)=\lim _{y \rightarrow y_{0}} \frac{h(y)-y}{y-y_{0}}+1=\lim _{y \rightarrow y_{0}} \frac{\left(y-y_{0}\right)(h(y)-y)}{\left(y-y_{0}\right)^{2}}+1 \leq 1 .
$$

Similar to the elephant random walk, a phase transition happens at $\eta=1 / 2$. We call the regimes diffusive, critical, or superdiffusive accordingly as $\eta<,=$ or $>1 / 2$. The following result describes the rate of almost sure convergence of $S_{n} / n$ in the diffusive and critical regimes. For the same in the superdiffusive regime, see Theorem 2.14.

Theorem 2.5 (Law of iterated logarithm). Suppose the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied so that almost surely $S_{n} / n$ converges to some $s_{0} \in(-1,1)$. Let $h$ be differentiable in a neighborhood of $\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$ with $\eta:=h^{\prime}\left(\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2\right) \leq 1 / 2$. Then, we have the following.
a) Diffusive regime: if $\eta<\frac{1}{2}$, almost surely

$$
\begin{align*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right) & =-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)  \tag{2.12}\\
& =\sqrt{\frac{1-s_{0}^{2}}{1-2 \eta}}
\end{align*}
$$

b) Critical regime: if $\eta=\frac{1}{2}$ and $h$ is also twice differentiable at $\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$, then almost surely

$$
\begin{gather*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log n \log \log \log n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
=-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log n \log \log \log n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)=\sqrt{1-s_{0}^{2}} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The following is a consequence of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.5.
Corollary 2.6. Suppose the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied with $s_{0} \neq 0$. Then $s_{0}>0$ (respectively, $s_{0}<0$ ) implies that almost surely

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n}=\infty\left(\text { respectively, } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n}=-\infty\right)
$$

On the other hand, if the assumptions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied with $s_{0}=0$ and $\eta \leq 1 / 2$, then almost surely

$$
\left\{\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n}=-\infty, \quad \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n}=\infty\right\}
$$

Definition 2.7 (Transience and Recurrence). We call the one-dimensional generalized random walk transient (respectively, recurrent) if the walk, starting from the origin, returns to the origin finitely many times (respectively, infinitely often) with probability one.
Remark 2.8. The Markovian nature of the one-dimensional generalized random walk is not time-homogeneous. So, it is not necessary that the walk is either transient or recurrent.

The following result follows immediately from Corollary 2.6.
Proposition 2.9 (Transience and Recurrence). Suppose the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied with $s_{0} \neq 0$. Then the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk is transient in all three regimes. On the other hand, if the assumptions of Theorem 2.5 are satisfied with $s_{0}=0$, then the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk is recurrent in the diffusive and critical regimes.

We have the following open problem regarding the transience/recurrence of the walk in the superdiffusive regime.

Open Problem 2.10. If the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied with $s_{0}=0$ and $h$ is differentiable around $1 / 2$ with $h^{\prime}(1 / 2)>1 / 2$, then transience or recurrence of the walk is an open problem. We conjecture that the walk is transient in this case.

Our next result depicts the behavior of fluctuations of the walk around the almost sure limit of Theorem 2.2 in the three regimes.

Theorem 2.11 (Fluctuations around the almost sure limit). Suppose the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied so that almost surely $S_{n} / n$ converges to some $s_{0} \in(-1,1)$. Let $h$ be differentiable in a neighborhood of $\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$ with $\eta:=h^{\prime}\left(\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2\right)<1$. Then, we have the following.
a) Diffusive regime: if $\eta<\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{1-s_{0}^{2}}{1-2 \eta}\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\eta \geq 1 / 2$, we also assume that $h$ is twice differentiable at $\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$.
b) Critical regime: if $\eta=\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{n}{\log n}}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0,1-s_{0}^{2}\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

c) Superdiffusive regime: if $1 / 2<\eta<1$, there exists a finite random variable $L$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{1-\eta}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} L . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above result naturally gives rise to the following open problems.
Open Problem 2.12. For $1 / 2<\eta<1$, almost nothing is known about the properties of the distribution of the limiting random variable $L$ obtained in (2.16).

Open Problem 2.13. Theorem 2.11 does not consider the case $\eta=1$. In fact, for $\eta=1$, the behavior of fluctuations of the walk around the almost sure limit of Theorem 2.2 is open.

The next result deals with the behavior of the walk in the superdiffusive regime in a more elaborate manner. For a simpler version of this result, see Corollary 2.17.

Theorem 2.14. Suppose the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied so that almost surely $S_{n} / n$ converges to some $s_{0} \in(-1,1)$. Let $h$ be differentiable in a neighborhood of $\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$ with $\eta:=h^{\prime}\left(\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2\right) \in(1 / 2,1)$ and $L$ be as in Theorem 2.11. If $h$ has further $m$ derivatives, i.e. $(m+1)$ derivatives in all, around $\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$, then there exist constants $\beta_{0}=1, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{m}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{j}=-\frac{h^{(j+1)}\left(\frac{s_{0}+1}{2}\right)}{2^{j}(j+1)!(1-\eta)}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the following holds.
a) If

$$
m \geq \frac{\eta-1 / 2}{1-\eta}, \text { then for } m_{0}=\left\lfloor\frac{\eta-1 / 2}{1-\eta}\right\rfloor
$$

then we have, almost surely,
$\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}}\left(\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m_{0}} \beta_{j}\left(\frac{L}{n^{1-\eta}}\right)^{j+1}\right)$
$=-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}}\left(\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m_{0}} \beta_{j}\left(\frac{L}{n^{1-\eta}}\right)^{j+1}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{1-s_{0}^{2}}{2 \eta-1}}$,
and

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m_{0}} \beta_{j}\left(\frac{L}{n^{1-\eta}}\right)^{j+1}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{1-s_{0}^{2}}{2 \eta-1}\right) .
$$

b) If

$$
m<\frac{\eta-1 / 2}{1-\eta}
$$

then, we have, almost surely,

$$
\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m} \beta_{j}\left(\frac{L}{n^{1-\eta}}\right)^{j+1}=o\left(n^{-(1-\eta)(m+1)}\right) .
$$

Remark 2.15. Theorem 2.14 gives an expansion of $S_{n} / n$ around $s_{0}$ in terms of powers of $n^{-(1-\eta)} L$. The number of terms in the expansion depends on the smoothness of the function $h$ around $\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$. If there are sufficiently large number of derivatives of $h$, then we obtain the law of iterated logarithm and asymptotic normality of the error term. If the function $h$ lacks enough number of derivatives, we only have almost sure order bound for the error term.

Remark 2.16. From (2.17), it follows that if $h^{(i+1)}\left(\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2\right)=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $\beta_{i}=0$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$. In the classical elephant random walk, $h$, being linear, is infinitely differentiable around $\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$. If further $1 / 2<\eta<1$, then $\beta_{i}=0$ for all $i \geq 1$. Thus

Theorem 2.14 a ) applies with the sum in the expansion having only the linear term, which is $n^{-(1-\eta)} L$. This agrees with Theorem 3 of [16].

The following result is a special case of Theorem [2.14] when $m=1$.
Corollary 2.17. Suppose the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied so that almost surely $S_{n} / n$ converges to some $s_{0} \in(-1,1)$. Let $h$ be twice differentiable in a neighborhood of $\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$ with $\eta:=h^{\prime}\left(\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2\right) \in(1 / 2,1), \eta_{1}:=h^{\prime \prime}\left(\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2\right)$ and $L$ be as in Theorem 2.11. Then the following holds.
a) If $1 / 2<\eta<3 / 4$, then almost surely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}}\left(\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)-n^{-(1-\eta)} L\right) \\
= & -\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}}\left(\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)-n^{-(1-\eta)} L\right)=\sqrt{\frac{1-s_{0}^{2}}{2 \eta-1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)-n^{-(1-\eta)} L\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{1-s_{0}^{2}}{2 \eta-1}\right) .
$$

b) If $\eta=3 / 4$, then almost surely,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}}\left(\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)-n^{-1 / 4} L+\eta_{1} n^{-1 / 2} L^{2}\right) \\
=-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}}\left(\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)-n^{-1 / 4} L+\eta_{1} n^{-1 / 2} L^{2}\right)=\sqrt{2\left(1-s_{0}^{2}\right)},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)-n^{1 / 4} L \xrightarrow{d} N\left(\eta_{1} L^{2}, 2\left(1-s_{0}^{2}\right)\right) .
$$

c) If $3 / 4<\eta<1$, then

$$
n^{2(1-\eta)}\left(\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-s_{0}\right)-n^{-(1-\eta)} L\right) \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }}-\frac{\eta_{1}}{4(1-\eta)} L^{2} .
$$

2.5. Examples. We now give some examples of functions that satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 so that the corresponding one-dimensional generalized elephant random walks converge almost surely. Consequently, we also discuss whether they undergo a phase transition.

Example 2.5.1. Let $f$ be given by $f(x)=a x+b, a, b \in \mathbb{R}, 0 \leq b, a+b \leq 1$. For $a=0, b=0.5$ (i.e. $f(x)=0.5$ ), this reduces to the simple symmetric random walk, and for $a=1, b=0$ (i.e. $f(x)=x$ ), this reduces to the classical elephant random walk. If $a+2 b=1$, the walk is symmetric (i.e. $f$ satisfies (2.6)). Theorem 2.2 implies that

$$
\frac{S_{n}}{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \frac{(2 p-1)(a+2 b-1)}{1-(2 p-1) a} .
$$

Theorem 2.11 implies that the second-order dynamics of the walk depend on the value of $(2 p-1) a(<1)$. The walk is diffusive (respectively, critical, superdiffusive) if and only if $(2 p-1) a<1 / 2$ (respectively, $(2 p-1) a=1 / 2,(2 p-1) a>1 / 2)$.

Example 2.5.2. Let $f$ be given by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}x^{2}+\frac{1}{4}, & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{3}{4}-(1-x)^{2}, & \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

The walk is symmetric (i.e. $f$ satisfies (2.6)). Theorem 2.2 implies that, $S_{n} / n \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0$ and Theorem 2.11 implies that the walk is diffusive (respectively, critical, superdiffusive) if and only if $p<3 / 4$ (respectively, $p=3 / 4, p>3 / 4$ ).

The following example illustrates the application of the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk in modeling the market dynamics, discussed in Section 2.2.

Example 2.5.3. For this illustration, using the notations of Section 2.2, we take $U=-L=0.5$ and $\pi_{D}=\pi_{S}=\pi$, where, $\pi:[0,1] \mapsto[0,1]$, given by, $\pi(x)=x^{3} / 2$. The corresponding walk is symmetric (i.e. $f$ satisfies (2.6)). Theorem 2.2 implies that $S_{n} / n \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0$ and Theorem 2.11 implies that a (second-order) phase transition occurs (from superdiffusive to diffusive) at $p=1 / 6$.

Further examples are easier to describe in terms of the function $g$ of the equivalent locationdependent random walk (discussed in Section (2.3.2) rather than in terms of $f$. We can easily get the corresponding $f$ using (2.8). We also recall that, as argued in Section 2.3.2, the walk is symmetric if and only if $g$ is odd.
Example 2.5.4. Let $g$ be a polynomial of the form

$$
g(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_{i} x^{i}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{d} i\left|a_{i}\right|<1 .
$$

The restrictions on the coefficients $\left\{a_{i}\right\}_{i=0}^{d}$ of the polynomial $g$ are sufficient conditions to ensure that $g$ takes values in $[-1,1]$ and the corresponding $f$, given by (2.8), satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 with $s_{0}=0$. Thus, for such class of polynomials, by Theorem 2.2, we get $S_{n} / n \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0$. An application of Theorem 2.11 indicates that the dynamics of the walk depend on the value of $(2 p-1) a_{1}$. The walk is diffusive (respectively, critical, superdiffusive) if and only if $(2 p-1) a_{1}<1 / 2$ (respectively, $\left.(2 p-1) a_{1}=3 / 4,(2 p-1) a_{1}>3 / 4\right)$.
Example 2.5.5. Let $g$ be given by $g(x)=\phi(x)^{k}$, where, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi$ is any odd function on $[-1,1]$ satisfying $\phi(x)<x$ for all $x \in(0,1]$. Certain choices for the function $\phi(x)$ can be $\phi(x)=\sin x, \phi(x)=\tanh x$ etc. For all odd $k, g$ is odd and so the walk is symmetric. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, Theorem 2.2 implies that $S_{n} / n \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0$. Using Theorem 2.11 we get that if $k>1$, the walk is always diffusive. If $k=1$, the walk is diffusive (respectively, critical, superdiffusive) if and only if $(2 p-1) \phi^{\prime}(0)<1 / 2$ (respectively, $\left.(2 p-1) \phi^{\prime}(0)=1 / 2,(2 p-1) \phi^{\prime}(0)>1 / 2\right)$.

## 3. Multidimensional Generalised Elephant Random Walk

In this section, we extend the generalized elephant random walk to higher dimensions. In Section 3.1, we describe the dynamics of the multidimensional generalized elephant random walk model. Section 3.2 describes the dynamics of different variations of the elephant random walk that we consider here along with their generalizations and mentions how all these models fit into the dynamics of the multidimensional generalized elephant random walk. Finally in Section 3.3, we state the main results for the multidimensional generalized elephant random walk model.

We use the following notations in the rest of the article. For $s \in \mathbb{N}$, denote $[s]:=\{1, \ldots, s\}$. For any $s \times 1$ vector (including random vector) $\boldsymbol{V}$ and $\emptyset \neq E=\left\{j_{1}<j_{2}<\ldots<j_{l}\right\} \subseteq[s]$, $\boldsymbol{V}^{(E)}$ is defined to be a $s \times 1$ vector, given by the following:

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{V}^{(E)}\right)_{i}= \begin{cases}\boldsymbol{V}_{i}, & i \in E  \tag{3.1}\\ 0, & i \in[s] \backslash E\end{cases}
$$

and $\boldsymbol{V}_{(E)}$ is defined to be a $l \times 1$ vector, given by the following:

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{V}_{(E)}\right)_{\alpha}=\boldsymbol{V}_{j_{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha \in[l] .
$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{V}^{(E)}$ is obtained from $\boldsymbol{V}$ by replacing the coordinates other than those indexed by $E$ with zeros, while for $\boldsymbol{V}_{(E)}$ the coordinates other than those indexed by $E$ are dropped. We define $\boldsymbol{V}^{(\emptyset)}$ to be the zero vector but do not define $\boldsymbol{V}_{(E)}$ when $E=\emptyset$.

For any $s \times s$ matrix $\boldsymbol{M}$ and $\emptyset \neq E=\left\{j_{1}<j_{2}<\ldots<j_{l}\right\} \subseteq[s], \boldsymbol{M}^{(E)}$ is defined to be a $s \times s$ matrix, given by the following:

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{M}^{(E)}\right)_{i, k}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\boldsymbol{M}_{i, k}, & i, k \in E \\
0, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\boldsymbol{M}_{(E)}$ is defined to be a $l \times l$ matrix, given by the following:

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{M}_{(E)}\right)_{\alpha, \beta}=\boldsymbol{M}_{j_{\alpha}, j_{\beta}}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in[l] .
$$

Note that $\boldsymbol{M}_{(E)}$ is the principal submatrix of $\boldsymbol{M}$ corresponding to the coordinates of $E$, while $\boldsymbol{M}^{(E)}$ is obtained by replacing all other entries with zeros. We define $\boldsymbol{M}^{(\emptyset)}$ to be the zero matrix but do not define $\boldsymbol{M}_{(E)}$ when $E=\emptyset$.

Let $\mathbf{0}_{s}$ (respectively, $\mathbf{1}_{s}$ ) denotes the $s$-dimensional vector with 0 (respectively, 1 ) everywhere. Let $\iota$ denotes the imaginary unit, $\sqrt{-1}$. By $\delta_{\boldsymbol{V}}$, we denote the distribution of the random variable degenerate at the deterministic vector $\boldsymbol{V}$. We denote the trace and transpose of the matrix $\boldsymbol{M}$ by $\operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{M}$ and $\boldsymbol{M}^{\top}$, respectively. Whenever the dimensions of a vector $\boldsymbol{V}$ (respectively, matrix $\boldsymbol{M})$ is 1 (respectively, $1 \times 1$ ), we denote it by $V$ (respectively, $M$ ). The Euclidean $\left(l_{2}\right)$ norm is denoted by $\|\cdot\|$.
3.1. The Model. The multidimensional generalized elephant random walk $\left(\boldsymbol{S}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is a random walk on $\mathbb{R}^{d}, d \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $n \geq 0, \boldsymbol{S}_{n}$, the location of the walk at time $n$, is given by an affine transformation of $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}$, the location at time $n$ of another auxiliary random walk $\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ on $[0, \infty)^{s}, s \in \mathbb{N}$, through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{S}_{n}=\boldsymbol{A} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}+n \boldsymbol{b} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\boldsymbol{A}$ is a deterministic $d \times s$ matrix and $\boldsymbol{b}$ is a deterministic $d \times 1$ vector. The matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$ transforms the location $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}$ of the auxiliary walk into a location on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $n \boldsymbol{b}$ is a timedependent drift component.

To describe the dynamics of $\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$, we define $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{r}^{s}=\left\{\pi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{r}$ for $s \in \mathbb{N}, r \in[s+1]$ as follows. For $i \in[r], \pi_{i}=\left\{j_{i-1}+1, \ldots, j_{i}\right\}$, where, $0=j_{0}<j_{1}<j_{2}<\ldots<j_{r-2}<j_{r-1} \leq j_{r}=s$. If $j_{r-1}=j_{r}$, we take $\pi_{r}=\emptyset$. Thus, $\Pi_{r}^{s}=\left\{\pi_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{r}$ forms a partition of [s] of size $r$. The auxiliary walk $\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ starts from the origin $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{0}=\mathbf{0}_{s}$ at time $n=0$. For $n \geq 0$,

$$
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n+1}=\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}+\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1}
$$

where, $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{1}$ is a $D_{s}$-valued random variable where $D_{s} \subseteq[0, \infty)^{s}$ is an $s$-dimensional rectangle (possibly unbounded) with the origin as one of the corners. For $n \geq 1$, given $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n}$,

$$
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1}= \begin{cases}\boldsymbol{Y}_{n+1}^{\left(\pi_{1}\right)} & \text { with probability } \mathcal{P}_{1}\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n} / n\right),  \tag{3.3}\\ \boldsymbol{Y}_{n+1}^{\left(\pi_{2}\right)} & \text { with probability } \mathcal{P}_{2}\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n} / n\right), \\ \vdots & \\ \boldsymbol{Y}_{n+1}^{\left(\pi_{r}\right)} & \text { with probability } \mathcal{P}_{r-1}\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n} / n\right) \\ \boldsymbol{Y}_{n+1}^{\left(\pi_{r}\right)} & \text { with probability } 1-\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \mathcal{P}_{j}\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n} / n\right)\end{cases}
$$

where, $\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}, \boldsymbol{Y}_{2}, \boldsymbol{Y}_{3}, \ldots$ are independent and identically distributed $D_{s}$-valued random variables, for $n \geq 1$ and $i \in[r], \boldsymbol{Y}_{n+1}^{\left(\pi_{i}\right)}$ is defined according to (3.1) and $\mathcal{P}_{i}: D_{s} \mapsto[0,1]$, $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \mathcal{P}_{j}(\boldsymbol{x})<1$ for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in D_{s}$.
3.2. Some Special Cases. In this section, we describe how some variations of the elephant random walk can be generalized in the same way we generalized the classical elephant random walk in Section 2 and then show that all these models are special cases of the multidimensional generalized elephant random walk.

### 3.2.1. One-dimensional Generalized Elephant Random Walk.

The Model: The evolution of this model has already been described in Section 2.1.
By taking $\widetilde{S}_{n}=V_{n}=\#\left\{1 \leq i \leq n: X_{i}=1\right\}$, one can easily show that it is a multidimensional generalized elephant random walk model with the parameters $s=d=1, r=2$, $Y_{1} \sim \delta_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{2}^{1}=\{\{1\}, \emptyset\}, A=2, b=-1$ and $\mathcal{P}_{1}=h$, where $h$ is given by (2.9).
3.2.2. Generalized Minimal Random Walk. This is a generalization of the minimal random walk model, first introduced in [13].

The Model: The walk starts from the origin at time 0 . At time $n=1$, the elephant moves one step in the positive direction (respectively, does not move) with probability $r \in(0,1)$ (respectively, $1-r$ ). For $n \geq 1$, let $V_{n}$ be the number of +1 steps till time $n$ (observe that, here $V_{n}=S_{n}$ ). Let $f:[0,1] \mapsto[0,1]$. At time $n+1, n \geq 1$, the elephant chooses a step $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}$. Given $S_{n}, \mathcal{X}_{n+1}=+1$ (respectively, $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}=0$ ) with probability $f\left(V_{n} / n\right)$ (respectively, $1-f\left(V_{n} / n\right)$ ). If $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}=+1$, the elephant takes the step +1 (respectively, 0 ) with probability $p \in(0,1)$ (respectively, $1-p)$. If $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}=0$, the elephant takes the step +1 (respectively, 0 ) with probability $q \in(0,1)$ (respectively, $1-q$ ). Thus for any $n \geq 0$, the location $S_{n}$ of the elephant at time $n$ is given by

$$
S_{n+1}=S_{n}+X_{n+1},
$$

where $S_{0}=0$,

$$
X_{1}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { with probability } r \\ 0 & \text { with probability } 1-r, \quad 0<r<1\end{cases}
$$

and $X_{n+1}, n \geq 1$, are as follows. For $n \geq 1$,

$$
\mathcal{X}_{n+1}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { with probability } f\left(S_{n} / n\right) \\ 0 & \text { with probability } 1-f\left(S_{n} / n\right)\end{cases}
$$

Given $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}$, if $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}=+1$,

$$
X_{n+1}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { with probability } p \\ 0 & \text { with probability } 1-p, \quad 0<p<1\end{cases}
$$

and if $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}=0$,

$$
X_{n+1}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { with probability } q \\ 0 & \text { with probability } 1-q, \quad 0<q<1\end{cases}
$$

This model reduces to the minimal random walk of [13] for $f(x)=x$.
By taking $\widetilde{S}_{n}=V_{n}$, we can show that it is a multidimensional generalized elephant random walk model with the parameters $s=d=1, r=2, Y_{1} \sim \delta_{1}, \Pi_{2}^{1}=\{\{1\},\{\emptyset\}\}, A=1$, $b=0$ and $\mathcal{P}_{1}:[0,1] \mapsto[0,1]$, given by, $\mathcal{P}_{1}(x)=(p-q) f(x)+q$.

Remark 3.1. Like the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk, there is an asymmetry in the dynamics of the generalized minimal random walk. Namely, though the probability of choosing the +1 step at epoch $n+1$ is equal to $f\left(V_{n} / n\right)$, the same for choosing the 0 step at epoch $n+1$, in general, is not equal to $f\left(W_{n} / n\right)$, where, $W_{n}=n-V_{n}=$ is the number of 0 steps till time $n$. We call the generalized minimal random walk symmetric if $f$ satisfies (2.6). Examples of such $f$ can be found in Section 2.5.
3.2.3. Generalized Elephant Random Walk with Random Step Sizes. This is a generalization of the elephant random walk with random step sizes, first introduced in [11].

The Model: This walk replaces the simple $( \pm 1)$ steps of Model 3.2.1 by steps of random magnitude, while the directions evolve in the previous way. The walk starts from the origin at time 0 . Let $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots$ be independent and identically distributed positive realvalued random variables with finite mean. At time $n=1$, the elephant moves in the positive direction (respectively, negative direction) with probability $q \in(0,1)$ (respectively, $1-q$ ), with the magnitude of the step being $Z_{1}$, irrespective of the direction. For $n \geq 1$, let $V_{n}$ be the number of steps in the positive direction till time $n$. Let $f:[0,1] \mapsto[0,1]$. At time $n+1, n \geq 1$, the elephant chooses a direction $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}$. Given $V_{n}, \mathcal{X}_{n+1}=+1$ (respectively, $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}=-1$ ) with probability $f\left(V_{n} / n\right)$ (respectively, $1-f\left(V_{n} / n\right)$ ). Then the elephant moves in the direction $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}$ (respectively, $-\mathcal{X}_{n+1}$ ) with probability $p \in(0,1)$ (respectively, $1-p$ ), with the magnitude of the step being $Z_{n+1}$, irrespective of the direction. So, for any $n \geq 0$, the location $S_{n}$ of the elephant at time $n$ is given by

$$
S_{n+1}=S_{n}+X_{n+1}
$$

where $S_{0}=0$, given $Z_{1}$,

$$
X_{1}= \begin{cases}+Z_{1} & \text { with probability } q \\ -Z_{1} & \text { with probability } 1-q, \quad 0<q<1\end{cases}
$$

and $X_{n+1}, n \geq 1$, are as follows. For $n \geq 1, V_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}\left\{X_{i}>0\right\}$,

$$
\mathcal{X}_{n+1}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { with probability } f\left(V_{n} / n\right) \\ -1 & \text { with probability } 1-f\left(V_{n} / n\right)\end{cases}
$$

Given $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}$ and $Z_{n+1}$,

$$
X_{n+1}= \begin{cases}+Z_{n+1} \mathcal{X}_{n+1} & \text { with probability } p \\ -Z_{n+1} \mathcal{X}_{n-1} & \text { with probability } 1-p, \quad 0<p<1\end{cases}
$$

This model reduces to the elephant random walk with random step sizes of [11] for $f(x)=x$.
By taking

$$
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\boldsymbol{n}}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
V_{n} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} \mathbf{1}\left\{V_{n}-V_{n-1}=1\right\} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} \mathbf{1}\left\{V_{n}-V_{n-1}=0\right\}
\end{array}\right)
$$

it can be shown that this is a multidimensional generalized elephant random walk model with the parameters $s=3, d=1, r=2, \boldsymbol{Y}_{n} \stackrel{d}{=}\left(1 \quad Z_{n} \quad Z_{n}\right)^{\top}, n \geq 1, \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{2}^{3}=\{\{1,2\},\{3\}\}, \boldsymbol{A}_{1 \times 3}=$ $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 1 & -1\end{array}\right], \boldsymbol{b}_{1 \times 1}=0$ and, $\mathcal{P}_{1}:[0,1] \times[0, \infty)^{2} \mapsto[0,1]$ given by, $\mathcal{P}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=(2 p-1) f\left(x_{1}\right)+1-p$.
Remark 3.2. Like the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk, there is an asymmetry in the dynamics of the generalized elephant random walk with random step sizes. Namely, though the probability of choosing the +1 direction at epoch $n+1$ is equal to $f\left(V_{n} / n\right)$, the same for choosing the -1 direction at epoch $n+1$, in general, is not equal to $f\left(W_{n} / n\right)$, where, $W_{n}=n-V_{n}=$ is the number of steps in the negative direction till time $n$. We call the generalized elephant random walk with random step sizes symmetric if $f$ satisfies (2.6). Examples of such $f$ can be found in Section 2.5.
3.2.4. $k$-dimensional Generalized Elephant Random Walk. This is a generalization of the $k$ dimensional elephant random walk, first introduced in (4).

The Model: The $k$-dimensional walk starts from the origin at time 0 . For $1 \leq j \leq 2 k$, by the $j$-th direction, we mean the direction of $\boldsymbol{u}_{j}$, where,

$$
\boldsymbol{u}_{j}=(-1)^{j+1}(0 \ldots 0 \underbrace{1}_{\left\lfloor\frac{j+1}{2}\right\rfloor-\text { th position }} 0 \ldots 0)_{k \times 1},
$$

that is, they denote the unit vector successively in $2 k$ possible directions. At time $n=1$, the elephant moves one step in one of $2 k$ possible directions with equal probability. For $n \geq 1$, let $V_{n}^{j}, 1 \leq j \leq 2 k-1$ be the number of steps in the $j$-th direction till time $n$. Let $f:[0,1] \mapsto[0,1]$. At time $n+1, n \geq 1$, the elephant chooses a direction $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}$. Given $\left(V_{n}^{j}\right)_{j=1}^{2 k-1}$,

$$
\mathcal{X}_{n+1}= \begin{cases}j & \text { with probability } f\left(V_{n}^{j} / n\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2 k-1  \tag{3.4}\\ 2 k & \text { with probability } 1-\sum_{j=1}^{2 k-1} f\left(V_{n}^{j} / n\right), \quad j=2 k .\end{cases}
$$

Then the elephant moves in the direction $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}$ with probability $p \in(0,1)$, or moves in one of the remaining $2 k-1$ directions with probability $(1-p) /(2 k-1)$. So, for any $n \geq 0$, the location $\boldsymbol{S}_{n}$ of the elephant at time $n$ is given by

$$
\boldsymbol{S}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{S}_{n}+\boldsymbol{X}_{n+1}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{S}_{0}=\mathbf{0}_{k}$,

$$
\boldsymbol{X}_{1}=\boldsymbol{u}_{j} \text { with probability } \frac{1}{2 k}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2 k
$$

and and $\boldsymbol{X}_{n+1}, n \geq 1$, are as follows. For $n \geq 1, V_{n}^{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}\left\{\boldsymbol{X}_{i}=\boldsymbol{u}_{j}\right\}, 1 \leq j \leq 2 k-1$ and $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}$ is given by (3.4). Given $\mathcal{X}_{n+1}$,

$$
\boldsymbol{X}_{n+1}= \begin{cases}\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathcal{X}_{n+1}} & \text { w.p. } p \\ \boldsymbol{u}_{j} & \text { w.p. } \frac{1-p}{2 k-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2 k, j \neq \mathcal{X}_{n+1}, \quad 0<p<1\end{cases}
$$

This model reduces to the $k$-dimensional elephant random walk of [4] for $f(x)=x$.
By taking $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}V_{n}^{1} & V_{n}^{2} & \ldots & V_{n}^{2 k-1}\end{array}\right)^{\top}$, this can be shown to be a multidimensional generalized elephant random walk model with the parameters $s=2 k-1, d=k, r=2 k$, $\boldsymbol{Y}_{1} \stackrel{d}{=} \delta_{\mathbf{1 2 k - 1}}, \Pi_{2 k}^{2 k-1}=\{\{1\},\{2\}, \ldots,\{2 k-1\}, \emptyset\}$,

$$
\boldsymbol{A}_{k \times 2 k-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right], \quad \boldsymbol{b}_{k \times 1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
-1
\end{array}\right]
$$

and, $\mathcal{P}:[0,1]^{2 k-1} \mapsto[0,1]^{2 k-1}$ given by,

$$
\mathcal{P}_{j}(\boldsymbol{x})=p f\left(x_{j}\right)+\frac{1-p}{2 k-1}\left(1-f\left(x_{j}\right)\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2 k-1 .
$$

Remark 3.3. Like the one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk, there is an asymmetry in the dynamics of the $k$-dimensional generalized elephant random walk. Namely, though the probability of choosing the $j$-th direction at epoch $n+1$ is equal to $f\left(V_{n}^{j} / n\right)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2 k-1$, the same for choosing the $2 k$-th direction at epoch $n+1$, in general, is not equal to $f\left(V_{n}^{2 k} / n\right)$, where, $V_{n}^{2 k}=n-\sum_{j=1}^{2 k-1} V_{n}^{j}$ is the number of steps in the $2 k$-th direction till time $n$. We call the $k$-dimensional generalized elephant random walk symmetric if for any $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 k-1} \in[0,1]$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{2 k-1} x_{i}<1, f$ satisfies

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{2 k-1} f\left(x_{i}\right)+f\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{2 k-1} x_{i}\right)=1
$$

It is easy to see that for $k=2$, the $k$-dimensional generalized elephant random walk with a continuous $f$ is symmetric if and only if $f$ is of the form $f(x)=a x+b$ with $a+2 k b=a+4 b=1$.

Remark 3.4. The multidimensional generalized elephant random walk is indeed a generalized model in the sense that random walk models that are a combination of two or more of the above models (for example, we can consider the $k$-dimensional generalized minimal random walk as a combination of Model 3.2.2 and Model 3.2.4) can also be described (with appropriate parameters) and analyzed using our model.
3.3. Main Results. Define $\boldsymbol{\mu}:=\mathbb{E}\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{r}: D_{s} \mapsto[0,1]$ be given by $\mathcal{P}_{r}(\boldsymbol{x})=1-$ $\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \mathcal{P}_{j}(\boldsymbol{x})$. The behavior of the multidimensional generalized elephant random walk is determined by the function $\boldsymbol{H}: D_{s} \mapsto[0, \infty)^{s}$, given by,

$$
\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x}):=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{P}_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\left(\pi_{i}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{P}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\left(\pi_{1}\right)}  \tag{3.5}\\
\vdots \\
\mathcal{P}_{r}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\left(\pi_{r}\right)}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Remark 3.5. If $\pi_{r}=\emptyset$, then the vector representation of $\boldsymbol{H}$ in (3.5) should be interpreted as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\mathcal{P}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\left(\pi_{1}\right)} \\
\vdots \\
\mathcal{P}_{r-1}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\left(\pi_{r-1}\right)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Our first result states a sufficient condition for almost sure convergence of the multidimensional generalized elephant random walk.

Theorem 3.6 (Almost sure convergence). Let $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\|^{2}\right)<\infty$. Suppose there exists unique $\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \in D_{s}$ such that $H\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ and for any closed $C \subseteq D_{s} \backslash\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\boldsymbol{x} \in C}\left\{\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)^{\top}(\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})-\boldsymbol{x})\right\}<0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\boldsymbol{S}_{n}}{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\boldsymbol{b} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.7. Note that if $\boldsymbol{H}$ is continuous on $D_{s}$ and

$$
\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)^{\top}(\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})-\boldsymbol{x})<0 \text { for all } \boldsymbol{x} \in D_{s} \backslash\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right\}
$$

then (3.6) automatically holds for all closed set $C \subseteq D_{s} \backslash\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right\}$.
If $\boldsymbol{S}_{n} / n$ almost surely converges to $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\boldsymbol{b}$ for some non-random $\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \in D_{s}$, then the higher-order behavior of the multidimensional generalized elephant random walk depends on $J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$, the Jacobian matrix of $\boldsymbol{H}$ at $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ (assuming $\boldsymbol{H}$ to be differentiable in a neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ ). Similar to its one-dimensional counterpart, a phase transition may happen depending on the nature of $J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$. First, we need the following assumptions.

Assumption 3.8. Let $\boldsymbol{H}$ be differentiable in a neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ and $J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$ be the Jacobian matrix of $\boldsymbol{H}$ at $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ with $l$ blocks in its Jordan canonical form. Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{l}$ be the diagonal elements of the respective Jordan blocks and $\kappa_{1}, \ldots, \kappa_{l}$ be the corresponding block-sizes. Further, we assume that $\tau:=\max \left\{\operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right): 1 \leq j \leq l\right\}<1$, and denote $\kappa:=\max \left\{\kappa_{j}: \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=\tau\right\}$.
Remark 3.9. If $s=1$ (which automatically implies $d=1$ ), then Assumption 3.8 is equivalent to assuming that $H$ is differentiable in a neighborhood of $x_{0}$ with $\tau=H^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)<1$. Also, in this case, $\kappa=1$.

Note that, assumption 3.8 implies that

$$
\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)+J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)^{\top}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)+o\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right\|\right) \quad \text { as } \boldsymbol{x} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{x}_{0} .
$$

However, we need a slightly stronger condition on $\boldsymbol{H}$ for certain results.
Assumption 3.10. For some $\delta>0$, we have

$$
\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)+J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)^{\top}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)+o\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right\|^{1+\delta}\right) \quad \text { as } \boldsymbol{x} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{x}_{0} .
$$

Finally, denote $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\mathbb{E}\left(\boldsymbol{Y}_{1} \boldsymbol{Y}_{1}^{\top}\right)$ and

$$
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}:=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{P}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\left(\pi_{i}\right)}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{\top}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{P}_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\left(\pi_{1}\right)} & \cdots & \mathbf{0}  \tag{3.8}\\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathcal{P}_{r}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\left(\pi_{r}\right)}
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{\top} .
$$

Remark 3.11. If $\pi_{r}=\emptyset$, then the matrix representation of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}$ in (3.8) should be interpreted as

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{P}_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\left(\pi_{1}\right)} & \ldots & \mathbf{0} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{0} & \cdots & \mathcal{P}_{r-1}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\left(\pi_{r-1}\right)}
\end{array}\right]-\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}^{\top}
$$

The following result depicts the behavior of fluctuations of the walk around the almost sure limit of Theorem 3.6.

Theorem 3.12 (Fluctuations around the almost sure limit). Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.6 hold so that almost surely $\boldsymbol{S}_{n} / n$ converges to $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\boldsymbol{b}$ for some $\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \in D_{s}$. Moreover, let Assumption 3.8 hold. Then we have the following.
a) Diffusive regime: If $\tau<\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{n}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{S}_{n}}{n}-\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}-\boldsymbol{b}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1} \boldsymbol{A}^{\top}\right), \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\left(J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbb{I}_{s} / 2\right) u} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\left(e^{\left(J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbb{I}_{s} / 2\right) u}\right)^{\top} d u \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\tau \geq 1 / 2$, let additionally Assumption 3.10 hold also.
b) Critical regime: If $\tau=\frac{1}{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(\log n)^{\kappa-1 / 2}}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{S}_{n}}{n}-\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}-\boldsymbol{b}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2} \boldsymbol{A}^{\top}\right), \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(\log n)^{2 \kappa-1}} \int_{0}^{\log n} e^{\left(J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbb{I}_{s} / 2\right) u} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\left(e^{\left(J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbb{I}_{s} / 2\right) u}\right)^{\top} d u \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

c) Superdiffusive regime: If $1 / 2<\tau<1$, then there exist random variables $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}, \ldots$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n^{1-\tau}}{(\ln n)^{\kappa-1}}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{S}_{n}}{n}-\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}-\boldsymbol{b}\right)-\sum_{j: \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=\tau, \kappa_{j}=\kappa} e^{\iota \operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \log n} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \mathbf{0} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.13. If all the eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}$ of $J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$ is real (this is the case when $J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$ is real symmetric e.g. in Models 3.2.1, 3.2.2, 3.2.3 and 3.2.4) and $1 / 2<\tau<1$, then we conclude that there exists a random variable $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n^{1-\tau}}{(\ln n)^{\kappa-1}}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{S}_{n}}{n}-\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}-\boldsymbol{b}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\xi} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, when $s=1$ (Models 3.2.1 and 3.2.2), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{1-\tau}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-A x_{0}-b\right) \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} A \xi . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

3.3.1. Some additional results in the case $s=1$. When the underlying auxiliary random walk $\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}\right)$ is one-dimensional (i.e. $s=1$, e.g., Models 3.2.1 and 3.2.2), Theorems 3.14 and 3.15 describe the rate of almost sure convergences in (3.7) and (3.15), respectively.

Theorem 3.14 (Law of iterated logarithm). Let $s=1, \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{1}^{2+\epsilon}\right)<\infty$ for some $\epsilon>0$ and the assumptions of Theorem 3.6 hold so that almost surely $S_{n} / n$ converges to $A x_{0}+b$ for some $x_{0} \in D_{1}$. Moreover, let Assumption 3.8 (in particular, Remark 3.9) hold with $\tau \leq 1 / 2$. Then the following hold.
a) Diffusive regime: if $\tau<1 / 2$, we have almost surely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-A x_{0}-b\right)  \tag{3.16}\\
= & -\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-A x_{0}-b\right)=A \sqrt{\frac{x_{0} \mu^{-1 \Sigma}-x_{0}^{2}}{1-2 \tau}} .
\end{align*}
$$

b) Critical regime: if $\tau=1 / 2$ and $H$ is also twice differentiable at $x_{0}$, we have almost surely

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log n \log \log \log n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-A x_{0}-b\right)  \tag{3.17}\\
= & -\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log n \log \log \log n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-A x_{0}-b\right)=A \sqrt{x_{0} \mu^{-1} \Sigma-x_{0}^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.15. Let $s=1, \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{1}^{2+\epsilon}\right)<\infty$ for some $\epsilon>0$ and the assumptions of Theorem 3.6 hold so that almost surely $S_{n} / n$ converges to $A x_{0}+b$ for some $x_{0} \in D_{1}$. Moreover, let Assumption 3.8 (in particular, Remark 3.9) hold with $1 / 2<\tau<1$. Further, assume that $H$ is $(m+1)$-times differentiable in a neighborhood of $x_{0}$ for some $m \geq 1$ and $\xi$ be as in (3.15). Then there exist constants $b_{0}=1, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{m}$, given by,

$$
b_{j}=-\frac{H^{(j+1)}\left(x_{0}\right)}{(j+1)!(1-\tau)}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

such that the following hold.
a) If

$$
m \geq \frac{\tau-1 / 2}{1-\tau}, \text { then for } m_{0}=\left\lfloor\frac{\tau-1 / 2}{1-\tau}\right\rfloor
$$

almost surely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}}\left(\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-A x_{0}-b\right)-A \sum_{j=0}^{m_{0}} b_{j}\left(\frac{\xi}{n^{1-\tau}}\right)^{j+1}\right)  \tag{3.18}\\
= & -\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}}\left(\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-A x_{0}-b\right)-A \sum_{j=0}^{m_{0}} b_{j}\left(\frac{\xi}{n^{1-\tau}}\right)^{j+1}\right)=A \sqrt{\frac{x_{0} \mu^{-1} \Sigma-x_{0}^{2}}{2 \tau-1}},
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{n}\left(\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-A x_{0}-b\right)-A \sum_{j=0}^{m_{0}} b_{j}\left(\frac{\xi}{n^{1-\tau}}\right)^{j+1}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{A^{2}\left(x_{0} \mu^{-1} \Sigma-x_{0}^{2}\right)}{2 \tau-1}\right) . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) If

$$
m<\frac{\tau-1 / 2}{1-\tau}
$$

then almost surely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}-A x_{0}-b\right)-A \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{j}\left(\frac{\xi}{n^{1-\tau}}\right)^{j+1}=o\left(n^{-(1-\tau)(m+1)}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4. Stochastic Approximation

In this section, we recall the tool of stochastic approximation, set up the relevant notations, and discuss some results, which are useful to prove our main Theorems.
4.1. Stochastic Approximation and Random Walk. Let $\psi: \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be an unknown monotone (without loss of generality, increasing) function and we want to find a $\theta_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies the equation $\psi(\theta)=0$. If we can observe the value of the function at any given point, then there are various rapidly convergent methods available for solving this problem such as Newton's method. However, the situation is otherwise when the functional value can only be observed with some random noise. To solve this problem, [20] suggested the following procedure. The method involves constructing a random sequence $\left\{\Theta_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ which is expected to converge to $\theta_{0}$ almost surely. At time $n=1, \Theta_{1}$ is assigned some arbitrary value. Suppose at time $n \geq 2$, given that we have already constructed $\Theta_{n-1}$, we can only observe $\Lambda_{n-1}=\psi\left(\Theta_{n-1}\right)+\epsilon_{n}$, where, $\epsilon_{n}$ is the random noise at time $n$. It is assumed that $\mathbb{E}\left(\epsilon_{n} \mid \Theta_{1}, \ldots, \Theta_{n-1}\right)=0$ for all $n \geq 2$. Based on $\Lambda_{n-1}, \Theta_{n}$ is constructed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta_{n}=\Theta_{n-1}-a_{n} \Lambda_{n-1}, \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 2}$ is a sequence of positive numbers satisfying,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n}=\infty, \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n}^{2}<\infty \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This algorithm is known as stochastic approximation in the literature. The intuition behind the algorithm is as follows. Since,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\Theta_{n}-\Theta_{n-1} \mid \Theta_{n-1}\right)=-a_{n} \psi\left(\Theta_{n-1}\right) \gtrless 0, \text { accordingly as } \Theta_{n-1} \lessgtr \theta_{0}
$$

the procedure, on average, forces the sequence $\Theta_{n}$ to move toward $\theta_{0}$. However, we must ensure that the jumps $\Theta_{n}-\Theta_{n-1}$ are damped, for otherwise, the sequence will oscillate around $\theta_{0}$, and that they do not decrease too rapidly. These are guaranteed by the conditions (4.2). Also, the square-summability condition of $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 2}$ in (4.2) helps in obtaining an appropriate $L^{2}$-bounded martingale. It is shown in [20] that almost surely $\Theta_{n}$ converges to $\theta_{0}$ under conditions (4.2), provided certain restrictions are imposed on $\psi$.

We can describe the above stochastic approximation algorithm as a stochastic process. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{T})$ be a probability space with a filtration $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\psi}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}$ be a function (not
necessarily monotone) on $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k}$. We say the adapted process $\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{n}, \mathcal{T}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is a stochastic approximation process on $\mathcal{D}$ if it satisfies the following recurrence relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{\boldsymbol{n}}-a_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}\left(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{n}\right)+\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n+1}\right), \quad n \geq 1, \quad \boldsymbol{\Theta}_{1} \in \mathcal{D}, \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence of non-random positive numbers (called the step-size sequence) and the $\left\{\mathcal{T}_{n}\right\}_{n>2}$-adapted process $\left\{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n}\right\}_{n>2}$ is a random noise sequence. $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ is known as the regression function or the drift.

The auxiliary random walk that we use in our model, namely, $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}$ is a stochastic approximation process. For $n \geq 1$, as $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n+1}=\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}+\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n+1}}{n+1} & =\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}}{n}+\frac{1}{n+1}\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1}-\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}}{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}}{n}+\frac{1}{n+1}\left(\boldsymbol{H}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}}{n}\right)-\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}}{n}+\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1}-\boldsymbol{H}\left(\frac{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}}{n}\right)\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where, $\boldsymbol{H}$ is given by (3.5). Define, $\gamma: D_{s} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{s}$ by $\gamma(\boldsymbol{x}):=\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and for $n \geq 1$, let $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}=\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n} / n, \mathcal{G}_{n}=\sigma\left\{\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n}\right\}, \alpha_{n}=1 /(n+1)$ and $\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{H}\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n} / n\right)-\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1}$. From (4.4), we get for $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n+1}=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}-\alpha_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)+\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the process $\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}, \mathcal{G}_{n}\right)$ is a stochastic approximation process on $D_{s}$ with drift $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, step size $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ and random noise $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 2}$.
4.2. Supporting Lemmas and their Proofs. In this section, we establish various properties of the stochastic approximation process given by (4.5), which are required to prove our main results. The Lemmas and their proofs heavily use the notations defined in Section 3 (in particular, Section 3.1 and Section 3.3) and Section 4.1 .

Lemma 4.1. Assume that $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\|^{2}\right)<\infty$. Then the following properties hold.
(1) The step-size sequence $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}^{2}<\infty \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) The random noise $\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 2}$ is an $L^{2}$ martingale-difference sequence with respect to the filtration $\left(\mathcal{G}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 2}$. Also, $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)$ depends on $n$ only through $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}$ and we can define the function $\sigma^{2}: D_{s} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ given by

$$
\sigma^{2}(\boldsymbol{x}):=\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}=\boldsymbol{x}\right) .
$$

Moreover, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in D_{s}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\gamma(\boldsymbol{x})\|^{2}+\sigma^{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq C\left(1+\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Proof of (4.6) is trivial. Observe that $\left\|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1}\right\| \leq\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{n+1}\right\|$ and for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in D_{s}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})\|=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{P}_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\left(\pi_{i}\right)}\right\| \leq\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{P}_{i}(\boldsymbol{x})=\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\| . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}\right\| \leq\left\|\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)\right\|+\left\|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1}\right\| \leq\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|+\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{n+1}\right\| \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}\right\|\right) \leq\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|+\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\|\right)<\infty
$$

Also, from (3.3), it follows that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)-\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1} \mid \widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}\right)=\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{P}_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\left(\pi_{i}\right)}=0
$$

establishing $\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 2}$ is a martingale-difference sequence with respect to $\left(\mathcal{G}_{n}\right)_{n \geq 2}$. Using (4.8), for any $n \geq 1$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \leq 2\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right) \leq 2\left(\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right), \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it transpires that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right) \leq 2\left(\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|^{2}+\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\|^{2}\right)\right)<\infty .
$$

Equation (3.3) further yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right) & =\mathbb{E}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}^{\top} \boldsymbol{e}_{n+1} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right) \\
& =\mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1}^{\top} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1} \mid \widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}\right)-\left(\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)\right)^{\top} \boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{P}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right) \operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\left(\pi_{i}\right)}-\left(\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)\right)^{\top} \boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{n+1}\right\|^{2} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)$ depends on $n$ only through $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}$ and $\sigma^{2}: D_{s} \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma^{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) & =\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{P}_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\left(\pi_{i}\right)}-(\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x}))^{\top} \boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})  \tag{4.11}\\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{P}_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\left(\pi_{i}\right)} \leq \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in D_{s}$. Also, for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in D_{s}$,

$$
\|\gamma(\boldsymbol{x})\|^{2}=\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})\|^{2} \leq 2\left(\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2}+\|\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})\|^{2}\right) \leq 2\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2}+2\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|^{2} .
$$

Thus, for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in D_{s}$, we get a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\|\boldsymbol{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{x})\|^{2}+\sigma^{2}(\boldsymbol{x}) \leq C\left(1+\|\boldsymbol{x}\|^{2}\right) .
$$

This shows (4.7) completing the proof.
Lemma 4.2. Suppose that $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n} \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ for some $\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \in D_{s}, \mathcal{P}$ is continuous in a neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ and $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\|^{2}\right)<\infty$. Then the random noise sequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 2}$ of stochastic approximation process (4.5), satisfy the following.
(1) The following Lindeberg condition is satisfied: for all $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{k+1}\right\|^{2} \mathbf{1}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{k+1}\right\| \geq \delta \sqrt{n}\right\} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} 0 . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) For all $n \geq 1, \mathbb{E}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}^{\top}\right)<\infty$, and the conditional expectation $\mathbb{E}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}^{\top} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)$ depends on $n$ only through $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}$. So we can define the function $\Sigma$ on $D_{s}$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x}):=\mathbb{E}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}^{\top} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}=\boldsymbol{x}\right) . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also $\sigma^{2}(\boldsymbol{x})=\operatorname{tr} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and

$$
\Sigma\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \Sigma\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right), \quad \sigma^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \sigma^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) .
$$

(3) If additionally we have $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\|^{2+\epsilon}\right)<\infty$ for some $\epsilon>0$, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n \geq 1} \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}\right\|^{2+\epsilon} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)<\infty \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix $\delta>0$. Then, using (4.9) and (4.10), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{k+1}\right\|^{2} \mathbf{1}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{k+1}\right\| \geq \delta \sqrt{n}\right\} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{2}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{k+1}\right\|^{2}\right) \mathbf{1}\left\{\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|+\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{k+1}\right\| \geq \delta \sqrt{n}\right\} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k}\right) \\
= & 2 \mathbb{E}\left(\left(\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|^{2}+\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\|^{2}\right) \mathbf{1}\left\{\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|+\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\| \geq \delta \sqrt{n}\right\}\right) . \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\| \geq \delta \sqrt{n}-\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Also $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\|^{2}\right)<\infty$ and Dominated Convergence Theorem implies for all large enough $n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\|^{2} \mathbf{1}\left\{\|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|+\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\| \geq \delta \sqrt{n}\right\}\right) \\
\leq & \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\|^{2} \mathbf{1}\left\{\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\| \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \sqrt{n}\right\}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the expression in (4.16) converges to 0 which proves (4.12). For all $n \geq 1, \mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right)<$ $\infty$ implies $\mathbb{E}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}^{\top}\right)<\infty$. Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}^{\top} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right) & =\mathbb{E}\left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{X}}_{n+1}^{\top} \mid \widetilde{\boldsymbol{S}}_{n}\right)-\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)\right)^{\top} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{P}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\left(\pi_{i}\right)}-\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)\right)^{\top}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\mathbb{E}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{n+1} \boldsymbol{e}_{n+1}^{\top} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right)$ depends on $n$ only through $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}$ and $\Sigma$ is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{P}_{i}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{\left(\pi_{i}\right)}-\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x})(\boldsymbol{H}(\boldsymbol{x}))^{\top} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus continuity of $\mathcal{P}$ in a neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ implies $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$. The assumption that $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}\right\|^{2+\epsilon}\right)<\infty$, combined with (4.9), prove (4.15).

## 5. Proofs of the Main Results

The proofs heavily use the notations defined in Section 2 (in particular, Section 2.1 and Section (2.4), Section 3 (in particular, Section 3.1 and Section (3.3) and Section 4.1 .
5.1. Proofs of the Main Results of Section 2. The following proposition summarises some immediate properties for Model 3.2.1.

Proposition 5.1. The one-dimensional generalized elephant random walk can be parameterized as a multidimensional generalized elephant random walk as described in Model 3.2.1. Thus, in this case,
a) $s=d=1, r=2, \Pi_{2}^{1}=\{\{1\}, \emptyset\}, A=2$ and $b=-1$.
b) $Y_{1} \stackrel{d}{=} \delta_{1}$ and so $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|Y_{1}\right\|^{2+\epsilon}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{1}^{2+\epsilon}\right)<\infty$ for all $\epsilon \geq 0, \mu=\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{1}\right)=1$ and $\Sigma=\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{1} Y_{1}^{\top}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left(Y_{1}^{2}\right)=1$.
c) $\mathcal{P}_{1}=h$, where $h$ is given by (2.9) and so $D_{s}=D_{1}=(0,1)$ and $H:(0,1) \rightarrow(0,1)$ is given by

$$
H(x)=\mathcal{P}_{1}(x) \mu^{\left(\pi_{1}\right)}=h(x) .
$$

Proof of Theorem [2.2. By Proposition 5.1, we have $\mathbb{E}\left(\left\|Y_{1}\right\|^{2}\right)<\infty$ and $H=h$. So $x_{0}=$ $y_{0}=\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$ is the unique fixed point of $H$. Also, (2.10) implies that (3.6) is satisfied with $D_{1}=(0,1), H=h$ and $x_{0}=y_{0}$. With $A=2$ and $b=-1$, (3.7) of Theorem 3.6 implies (2.11). This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem [2.5. By Proposition 5.1, we get $s=1, \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{1}^{2+\epsilon}\right)<\infty$ for all $\epsilon>0, H=h$, $A=2$ and $b=-1$. As the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied, following the proof of Theorem [2.2] we get that the assumptions of Theorem 3.6 hold with $x_{0}=y_{0}=\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$. Also $\tau=H^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=h^{\prime}\left(\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2\right)=\eta$ implies Assumption 3.8 (equivalently, Remark 3.9) hold with $\tau \leq 1 / 2$. In case $\eta<1 / 2$, we have $\tau<1 / 2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \sqrt{\frac{x_{0} \mu^{-1} \Sigma-x_{0}^{2}}{1-2 \tau}}=2 \sqrt{\frac{\frac{s_{0}+1}{2}-\left(\frac{s_{0}+1}{2}\right)^{2}}{1-2 \eta}}=\sqrt{\frac{1-s_{0}^{2}}{1-2 \eta}} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, (3.16) of Theorem (3.14 and (5.1) imply (2.12). Similarly, in case $\eta=1 / 2$, we have $\tau=1 / 2$. In this case, as $h$ is twice differentiable at at $\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$, we have $H$ is also twice differentiable at $x_{0}$. Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \sqrt{x_{0} \mu^{-1} \Sigma-x_{0}^{2}}=2 \sqrt{\frac{s_{0}+1}{2}-\left(\frac{s_{0}+1}{2}\right)^{2}}=\sqrt{1-s_{0}^{2}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we get (2.13) from (3.17) of Theorem 3.14 and (5.2), completing the proof.
Proof of Corollary 2.6. If the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 hold with $s_{0}>0$ (respectively, $s_{0}<0$ ), then (2.11) implies almost surely $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n}=\infty$ (respectively, $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n}=-\infty$ ). Now, suppose that the assumptions of Theorem [2.5 hold with $s_{0}=0$ and $\eta \leq 1 / 2$. First, consider the case $\eta<1 / 2$. From (2.12) of Theorem 2.5 we get that almost surely

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-2 \eta}}
$$

Therefore, almost surely, for infinitely many $n$

$$
\frac{S_{n}}{\sqrt{2 n \log \log n}}>\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-2 \eta}}
$$

Hence, for any $K>0$, almost surely, there exist infinitely many $n$ such that $S_{n}>K$ and so almost surely $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n}=\infty$. Similarly, almost surely

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{S_{n}}{n}\right)=-\sqrt{\frac{1}{1-2 \eta}}
$$

implies that almost surely $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{n}=-\infty$. This completes the proof for the case $\eta<1 / 2$. The case $\eta=1 / 2$ can be concluded similarly using (2.13) of Theorem 2.5,
Proof of Theorem 2.11. As the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied, following the proof of Theorem 2.2 we get that the assumptions of Theorem 3.6 hold with $H=h$ and $x_{0}=$ $y_{0}=\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$. Consequently, $\tau=H^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=h^{\prime}\left(\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2\right)=\eta$ and so Assumption 3.8 (equivalently, remark (3.9) are satisfied with $\tau=\eta<1$ and $\kappa=1$. By Proposition 5.1, $A=2$, $b=-1, \Sigma=1$ and $\mathcal{P}_{1}\left(x_{0}\right)=h\left(y_{0}\right)=y_{0}=\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$. So,

$$
\Sigma_{0}=\mathcal{P}_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \Sigma^{\left(\pi_{1}\right)}-x_{0}^{2}=\frac{s_{0}+1}{2}-\left(\frac{s_{0}+1}{2}\right)^{2}=\frac{1-s_{0}^{2}}{4} .
$$

Thus, in case $\eta<1 / 2$, we have $\tau<1 / 2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{1}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Sigma_{0} e^{(2 \tau-1) u} d u=\frac{1-s_{0}^{2}}{4(1-2 \eta)} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, (2.14) is implied by (3.9) of Theorem 3.12 and (5.3). When $\eta \geq 1 / 2$, twice differentiability of $h$ at $\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$ implies Assumption 3.10 are satisfied. In case $\eta=1 / 2$, we have $\tau=1 / 2$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(\log n)^{2 \kappa-1}} \int_{0}^{\log n} \Sigma_{0} e^{(2 \tau-1) u} d u=\frac{1-s_{0}^{2}}{4} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, (2.15) is implied by (3.11) of Theorem 3.12 and (5.4). In case $1 / 2<\eta<1$, we have $1 / 2<\tau<1$ and we get (2.16) using (3.13) of Theorem 3.12 (equivalently, (3.15) of Remark (3.13) with $L$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=A \xi=2 \xi \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof.
Proof of Theorem 2.14. By Proposition 5.1, we have $s=1, \mathbb{E}\left(Y_{1}^{2+\epsilon}\right)<\infty$ for all $\epsilon>0, H=$ $h, A=2$ and $b=-1$. As the assumptions of Theorem [2.2 are satisfied, following the proof of Theorem [2.2 we get that the assumptions of Theorem 3.6 hold with $x_{0}=y_{0}=\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2$. Also $\tau=H^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=h^{\prime}\left(\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2\right)=\eta$ implies Assumption 3.8 (equivalently, Remark 3.9) hold with $1 / 2<\tau=\eta<1$. As $h$ is $(m+1)$-times differentiable around $\left(s_{0}+1\right) / 2, H$ is $(m+1)$-times differentiable around $x_{0}$. Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \sqrt{\frac{x_{0} \mu^{-1} \Sigma-x_{0}^{2}}{2 \tau-1}}=2 \sqrt{\frac{\frac{s_{0}+1}{2}-\left(\frac{s_{0}+1}{2}\right)^{2}}{2 \eta-1}}=\sqrt{\frac{1-s_{0}^{2}}{2 \eta-1}} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in case $m \geq(\eta-1 / 2) /(1-\eta)=(\tau-1 / 2) /(1-\tau)$, (2.18) (respectively, (2.19)) is obtained from (3.18) (respectively, (3.19)) of Theorem 3.15 and (5.6), with $m_{0}=\lfloor(\tau-1 / 2) /(1-\tau)\rfloor=$ $\lfloor(\eta-1 / 2) /(1-\eta)\rfloor, L$ given by (5.5) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{0}=\frac{b_{0}}{A^{0}}=1, \quad \beta_{j}=\frac{b_{j}}{A^{j}}=-\frac{H^{(j+1)}\left(x_{0}\right)}{A^{j}(j+1)!(1-\tau)}=-\frac{h^{(j+1)}\left(\frac{s_{0}+1}{2}\right)}{2^{j}(j+1)!(1-\eta)}, \quad j=1, \ldots, m \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, in case $m<(\eta-1 / 2) /(1-\eta)=(\tau-1 / 2) /(1-\tau),(2.20)$ is obtained from (3.20) of Theorem 3.15, with $L$ given by (5.5) and $\beta_{j}, j=0, \ldots, m$ given by (5.7). This concludes the proof.

### 5.2. Proofs of the Main Results of Section 3.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Note that there exists a unique root $\boldsymbol{x}_{0} \in D_{s}$ of the equation $\gamma(\boldsymbol{x})=\mathbf{0}$ and (3.6) implies that for all $\epsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\epsilon \leq\left\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)^{\top}(-\gamma(\boldsymbol{x}))<0 . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (4.5), (5.8) and Lemma 4.1, $\left\{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 5.1.1 of [2] (Part II), using which we conclude that almost surely $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}$ converges to $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$. Consequently, we get that almost surely $\boldsymbol{S}_{n} / n=\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}+\boldsymbol{b}$ converges to $\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}_{0}+\boldsymbol{b}$, completing the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3.12. As shown in the proof of Theorem 3.6, almost surely $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}$ converges to $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ where $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ is as described in Theorem [3.6. Note that Assumption 3.8 imply that $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ is differentiable at $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ and all the eigenvalues of $J_{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)$ have positive real parts. Thus,

$$
\rho:=\min \left\{\operatorname{Re}(\zeta): \zeta \in\left\{1-\lambda_{1}, \ldots, 1-\lambda_{l}\right\}\right\}=1-\tau>0
$$

So, $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ and its zero $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ satisfy Assumption 2.1 of [23]. From (4.13) and (4.14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{e}_{k+1}^{\top} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\boldsymbol{H}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$, we have that $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}$. Thus, (4.12) and (5.9) imply that $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 2}$ satisfies Assumption 2.3 of [23]. In case $\tau<1 / 2$, we have $\rho>1 / 2$. Hence, in view of (4.5), $\left\{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 of [23] (with the remainder sequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{r}_{n+1}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ of [23] being sequence of all zeros), which implies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1}\right), \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{1} & =\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\left(J_{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbb{I}_{s} / 2\right) u} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\left(e^{-\left(J_{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbb{I}_{s} / 2\right) u}\right)^{\top} d u \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{\left(J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbb{I}_{s} / 2\right) u} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\left(e^{\left(J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbb{I}_{s} / 2\right) u}\right)^{\top} d u \quad\left(\text { as } J_{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\mathbb{I}_{s}-J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $\tau \geq 1 / 2$, Assumption 3.10 imply

$$
\gamma(\boldsymbol{x})=J_{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)^{\top}\left(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)+o\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right\|^{1+\delta}\right) \quad \text { as } \boldsymbol{x} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{x}_{0},
$$

and so $\gamma$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}$ satisfy Assumption 2.2 of [23]. In case $\tau=1 / 2$, we have $\rho=1 / 2$. Hence, in view of (4.5), $\left\{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 of [23] (with $\left\{\boldsymbol{r}_{n+1}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ of [23] being sequence of all zeros), which implies,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sqrt{n}}{(\log n)^{\kappa-1 / 2}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{2} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(\log n)^{2 \kappa-1}} \int_{0}^{\log n} e^{-\left(J_{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbb{I}_{s} / 2\right) u} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\left(e^{-\left(J_{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbb{I}_{s} / 2\right) u}\right)^{\top} d u \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{(\log n)^{2 \kappa-1}} \int_{0}^{\log n} e^{\left(J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbb{I}_{s} / 2\right) u} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}\left(e^{\left(J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\mathbb{I}_{s} / 2\right) u}\right)^{\top} d u \quad\left(\text { as } J_{\gamma}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)=\mathbb{I}_{s}-J_{\boldsymbol{H}}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now observe that (4.13) and (4.14) imply that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{k+1} \boldsymbol{e}_{k+1}^{\top} \mid \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{k}\right)=\mathrm{O}(n) \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

and, consequently, $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 2}$ satisfies (2.10) of [23]. In case $\tau>1 / 2$, we have $\rho<1 / 2$. Hence, in view of (4.5), $\left\{\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 of [23] (with $\left\{\boldsymbol{r}_{n+1}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ of [23] being sequence of all zeros). Hence we conclude there exist random variables $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\xi}_{2}, \ldots$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{n^{\rho}}{(\log n)^{\kappa-1}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\sum_{j: \operatorname{Re}\left(1-\lambda_{j}\right)=\rho, \kappa_{j}=\kappa} e^{-\iota \operatorname{Im}\left(1-\lambda_{j}\right) \log n} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}  \tag{5.12}\\
& =\frac{n^{1-\tau}}{(\log n)^{\kappa-1}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}-\boldsymbol{x}_{0}\right)-\sum_{j: \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)=\tau, \kappa_{j}=\kappa} e^{\iota \operatorname{Im}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \log n} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j} \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\text { a.s. }} 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

As $\boldsymbol{S}_{n} / n=\boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{n}+\boldsymbol{b}$, (5.10) (respectively, (5.11), (5.12)) implies (3.9) (respectively, (3.11), (3.13)). This completes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3.14. As shown in the proof of Theorem 3.6, almost surely $\Gamma_{n}$ converges to $x_{0}$ where $x_{0}$ is as described in Theorem 3.6. Also, Assumption 3.8 (in particular, Remark 3.9) imply that $\gamma$ is differentiable in a neighborhood of $x_{0}$ and $\gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=1-\tau \geq 1 / 2$. Moreover, as $H\left(x_{0}\right)=x_{0}$, from (3.5) and (4.11), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma^{2}\left(x_{0}\right) & =\mathcal{P}_{1}\left(x_{0}\right) \operatorname{tr} \Sigma^{\left(\pi_{1}\right)}-\left(H\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{\top} H\left(x_{0}\right)  \tag{5.13}\\
& =H\left(x_{0}\right) \mu^{-1} \Sigma-H\left(x_{0}\right)^{2}=x_{0} \mu^{-1} \Sigma-x_{0}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

So, in case of $\tau<1 / 2$, we have $\gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)>1 / 2$. Therefore, in view of (4.5), Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, $\left\{\Gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 1 in [12], using which it follows that almost surely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{n}-x_{0}\right)=-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{n}-x_{0}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)}{2 \gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)-1}} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case of $\tau=1 / 2$, we have $\gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=1 / 2$. Also, as $H$ is twice differentiable at $x_{0}$ in this case, so is $\gamma$. Hence, in view of (4.5), Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, $\left\{\Gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 1 in [15], using which it follows that almost surely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log n \log ^{(3)} n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{n}-x_{0}\right)=-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{n}{2 \log n \log ^{(3)} n}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\Gamma_{n}-x_{0}\right)=\sigma\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $S_{n} / n=A \Gamma_{n}+b$, (5.14) and (5.13) (respectively, (5.15) and (5.13)) imply (3.16) (respectively, (3.17)). This concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3.15. As shown in the proof of Theorem 3.6, almost surely $\Gamma_{n}$ converges to $x_{0}$ where $x_{0}$ is as described in Theorem [3.6. Assumption 3.8 (in particular, Remark 3.9) imply that $\gamma$ is differentiable around $x_{0}$ and $0<\gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=1-\tau<1 / 2$. Also, $\gamma$ is $(m+1)$-times differentiable around $x_{0}$. If $m \geq(\tau-1 / 2) /(1-\tau)$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ such that

$$
\frac{\tau-1 / 2}{1-\tau}+l+1>m \geq \frac{\tau-1 / 2}{1-\tau}+l
$$

In case

$$
\frac{\tau-1 / 2}{1-\tau}+l+1>m>\frac{\tau-1 / 2}{1-\tau}+l, \text { we have } \frac{1}{2(m-l)}>\gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)>\frac{1}{2(m-l+1)} .
$$

In view of (4.5), Lemma4.1 and Lemma4.2, $\left\{\Gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem $(2 k+2)$ of [15] (with $k=m-l-1)$. Using this, we conclude that almost surely,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}}\left(\left(\Gamma_{n}-x_{0}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m-l-1} b_{j}\left(\frac{\xi}{n \gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right)^{j+1}\right)  \tag{5.16}\\
= & -\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}}\left(\left(\Gamma_{n}-x_{0}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m-l-1} b_{j}\left(\frac{\xi}{n \gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right)^{j+1}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{\sigma^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)}{1-2 \gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}},
\end{align*}
$$

where, $\sigma^{2}$ is given by (4.11), and

$$
b_{j}=\frac{\gamma^{(j+1)}\left(x_{0}\right)}{(j+1)!\gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}, \quad j=0, \ldots, m
$$

Similarly, (4.5), Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 also imply that $\left\{\Gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem $(3 k+3)$ of [18] (with $k=m-l-1$ ), using which, it follows that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{n}\left(\left(\Gamma_{n}-x_{0}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m-l-1} b_{j}\left(\frac{\xi}{n^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right)^{j+1}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{\sigma^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)}{1-2 \gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right) . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case

$$
m=\frac{\tau-1 / 2}{1-\tau}+l, \text { we have } \gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2(m-l+1)}
$$

Thus, using (4.5), Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 we conclude that $\left\{\Gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem $(2 k+1)$ of [15] (with $k=m-l)$. It follows that, almost surely,
(5.18) $\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}}\left(\left(\Gamma_{n}-x_{0}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m-l} b_{j}\left(\frac{\xi}{n^{\gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}}\right)^{j+1}\right)$

$$
=-\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{n}{2 \log \log n}}\left(\left(\Gamma_{n}-x_{0}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m-l} b_{j}\left(\frac{\xi}{n \gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}\right)^{j+1}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{m-l+1}{m-l} \sigma^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)} .
$$

Similarly, from (4.5), Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2, we get that $\left\{\Gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem $(3 k+1)$ of [18] (with $k=m-l)$ which imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{n}\left(\left(\Gamma_{n}-x_{0}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m-l} b_{j}\left(\frac{\xi}{n^{\gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}}\right)^{j+1}\right) \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \frac{m-l+1}{m-l} \sigma^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, in case

$$
m<\frac{\tau-1 / 2}{1-\tau}, \text { we have } 0<\gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)<\frac{1}{2(m+1)}
$$

From (4.5), Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 we see that $\left\{\Gamma_{n}\right\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem $(3 k+2)$ of [18] (with $k=m$ ), applying which we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{\gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)(m+1)}\left(\left(\Gamma_{n}-x_{0}\right)-\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} b_{j}\left(\frac{\xi}{n^{\gamma^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}}\right)^{j+1}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { a.s. }} b_{m} \xi^{m+1} . \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $S_{n} / n=A \Gamma_{n}+b$ and $\gamma(x)=x-H(x)$, (5.16) and (5.18) (respectively, (5.17) and (5.19), (5.20)) imply (3.18) (respectively, (3.19), (3.20)). This completes the proof.
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