

THE GAUSS MAP OF A PROJECTIVE FOLIATION

C. R. ALCÁNTARA, D. CERVEAU, AND A. LINS NETO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the Gauss map of a holomorphic codimension one foliation on the projective space \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 2$, mainly the case $n = 3$. Among other things, we will investigate the case where the Gauss map is birational.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Basic properties, Results, and Examples	2
2.1. Problems	18
3. Proofs	19
3.1. Proof of proposition 1	19
3.2. Proof of theorem 1	20
3.3. Proof of proposition 2	21
3.4. Proof of proposition 3	22
3.5. Proof of theorem 2	22
3.6. Proofs of theorem 3 and of corollaries 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4.	23
3.7. Proof of theorem 4	24
3.8. Proofs of proposition 4 and of corollary 2.5	25
4. Exceptional components	26
4.1. The exceptional component $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3)$.	27
4.2. The boundary of the exceptional component $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3)$	27
4.3. The exceptional component $\mathcal{E}(3, 4; 4)$.	32
4.4. Exceptional examples on \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 5$.	33
References	37

1. INTRODUCTION

In a certain sense, this article gives us a generalization in the context of foliation theory of a classic concept in algebraic geometry, we refer to projective duality. The study of projective duality was started by Monge and his student Poncelet and later developed by Plücker, who was interested in the study of the dual curve of a plane

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37F75, 34M15, 53Axx.

Key words and phrases. birational, foliation, Gauss map.

The 1st author was partially supported by Universidad de Guanajuato and Université de Rennes 1.

The 2st author was supported by CNRS and Henri Lebesgue center, program ANR-11-LABX-0020-0.

The 3rd author was partially supported by CNPq (Brazil) and Université de Rennes 1.

curve (that is, the curve defined by the tangent lines). He proved that the degree of the dual curve $\check{\Gamma}$ of a curve Γ satisfies: $\deg \check{\Gamma} = \deg \Gamma(\deg \Gamma - 1)$, when the curve is smooth. For the singular case, the nature of the singularities of the curve must be taken into account: in this case, we have the famous Plücker's formulas. He also studied self-dual curves, which are those that are projectively equivalent to their dual. In the case of hypersurfaces with isolated singularities in a projective space \mathbb{P}^n , it is necessary to understand the works by Katz and Laumon to obtain significant results. For the smooth case, we have an analogous formula to the previous one: $\deg \check{H} = \deg H(\deg H - 1)^{n-1}$, result that Laumon attributes to Katz. The case of hypersurfaces with singularities is more subtle, for which we refer to the work of Laumon (see [18]). In this work he uses the invariants developed by Teissier in [29]. These invariants generalize the classical Milnor number of singularities.

As we will see later, we can associate to a foliation \mathcal{F} of codimension 1 of the complex projective space \mathbb{P}^n , its Gauss map. This is a rational map that sends to a non-singular point m , the hyperplane tangent to the leaf that passes through m . The space of codimension 1 foliations in \mathbb{P}^n for $n > 2$ is not completely known. Only spaces of degrees 0, 1 and 2 in \mathbb{P}^n , for $n \geq 3$ have been fully described (see [8]). The case of degree 3 seems approachable after works [9] and [11].

In this work we give results on the Gauss map of codimension one foliations in \mathbb{P}^n , with special attention to the case where the map is birational. As well as a large number of examples where we make explicit calculations to clarify what happens in each case. In section 2 we give some statements of structure, and we give a list of open problems that we find interesting. In section 3, we can find the proofs of the results. Finally, in section 4 we study the exceptional component of the space of foliations of codimension 1 in \mathbb{P}^n .

2. BASIC PROPERTIES, RESULTS, AND EXAMPLES

In order not to write many subscripts, we will adopt the following convention for the notation of the coordinates: If we are working in a projective space \mathbb{P}^n with $n = 2$, $n = 3$ and $n = 4$ we will use (x, y, z, t, s) . For general n we will use (z_0, \dots, z_n) . Except in section 3.7 where, due to the nature of the proof of theorem 4, it is more useful to work with (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) .

Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one holomorphic foliation on a n -dimensional complex manifold X , $n \geq 2$, with singular set $Sing(\mathcal{F})$. This foliation defines a codimension one distribution on $X \setminus Sing(\mathcal{F})$ denoted as $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$: given $z \notin Sing(\mathcal{F})$ then $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}(z) =$ the tangent space at z of the leaf of \mathcal{F} through z . We will always assume that $cod(Sing(\mathcal{F})) \geq 2$.

When X is the complex projective space \mathbb{P}^n , then the distribution $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}$ gives origin to a meromorphic map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \check{\mathbb{P}}^n$, which we call the Gauss map, whose indetermination set is $Sing(\mathcal{F})$. It is defined as:

$$z \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus Sing(\mathcal{F}) \mapsto \text{the hyperplane } \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(z) \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^n, \text{ tangent at } z \text{ to } \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{F}}(z).$$

In other words, if we fix an affine coordinate system $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ then \mathcal{F} is defined by some polynomial integrable 1-form, say $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j(z) dz_j$. In this affine system, we have

$$Sing(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathbb{C}^n = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid P_j(z) = 0, \forall 1 \leq j \leq n\}.$$

In particular, if $z_o = (z_{o1}, \dots, z_{on}) \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(z_o) =$ the plane which in this affine system is given by $\ell(z) = 0$, where

$$\ell(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n P_j(z_o)(z_j - z_{oj}).$$

The map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ can be expressed also in homogeneous coordinates. This is done as follows: let $\Pi: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be the canonical projection. It is known that the foliation $\Pi^*(\mathcal{F})$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$ can be extended to a foliation on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} , say $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$. This foliation can be defined by a polynomial integrable 1-form, say $\tilde{\omega} = \sum_{j=0}^n Q_j(z) dz_j$, where the coefficients Q_j 's are all homogeneous polynomials of the same degree $d = \text{deg}(\mathcal{F}) + 1$ and such that $i_R \tilde{\omega} = 0$, where $R = \sum_{j=0}^n z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$, is the radial vector field on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} and i_R denotes the interior product by R .

In homogeneous coordinates we have $\text{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) = \Pi^{-1}(\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})) \cup \{0\} =$

$$= \{z = (z_0, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid Q_0(z) = \dots = Q_n(z) = 0\}$$

and the map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is expressed as $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}[z] = [Q_0(z) : \dots : Q_n(z)] \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^n$.

Note also that $\Pi(\text{Sing}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}))$ is the indetermination set of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

We say that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dominant if it is generically of maximal rank.

Remark 2.1. If $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}} = [Q_0 : \dots : Q_n]$ in homogeneous coordinates, then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dominant if, and only if, $dQ_0 \wedge dQ_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dQ_n \neq 0$.

Let $dQ_0 \wedge dQ_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dQ_n = J(z) dz_0 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n$. If $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dominant then $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \subset (J(z) = 0)$. Let us prove this fact.

Let R be the radial vector field on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Since Q_0, \dots, Q_n are homogeneous of the same degree, say k , by Euler's identity we have

$$i_R[dQ_0 \wedge dQ_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dQ_n] = k \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j Q_j dQ_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dQ_j} \wedge \dots \wedge dQ_n := \Theta$$

In the above formula the symbol $\widehat{dQ_j}$ means the omission of dQ_j in the product.

This implies that

$$\Theta = i_R[J(z) dz_0 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n] = J(z) \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j z_j dz_0 \wedge \dots \wedge \widehat{dz_j} \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n := J(z) \Gamma$$

On the other hand, if $z \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ then $\Theta(z) = 0$ which implies $J(z) = 0$, because $\Gamma(z) \neq 0$. \square

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on \mathbb{P}^n such that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dominant. We say that $m \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is a point of Morse tangency for \mathcal{F} if the leaf L_m of \mathcal{F} through m has a Morse tangency with the hyperplane $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(m)$. We say also that L_m has a Morse tangency with $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(m)$.

This means that there is a local coordinate system $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ around m such that $z(m) = 0$, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(m) = (z_n = 0)$ and the leaf L_m has a local parametrization at m of the form $z_n = z_1^2 + \dots + z_{n-1}^2 + h.o.t..$

Remark 2.2. Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on \mathbb{P}^n . Then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dominant if, and only if, the set

$$\mathcal{U} := \{m \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid m \text{ is a point of Morse tangency}\}$$

is Zariski open and dense in \mathbb{P}^n .

The proof is based in the fact that $m \in \mathcal{U}$ if, and only if, the derivative, $D\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(m): T_m\mathbb{P}^n \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(m)}\check{\mathbb{P}}^n$ is an isomorphism. This computation is straightforward and is left for the reader.

As a consequence, if $\mathcal{F} = L^*(\mathcal{F}')$ where, \mathcal{F}' is a codimension one foliation on \mathbb{P}^m with $m < n$, and $L: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^m$ is a linear projection, then the Gauss map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ cannot be dominant. In fact, if $z \in \mathbb{P}^n \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ then the leaf \mathcal{L}_z of \mathcal{F} through z contains a straight line through z such that \mathcal{F} is tangent to \mathcal{L}_z along the line. In particular, the tangency with the tangent hyperplane cannot be of Morse type.

In the case of foliations on \mathbb{P}^3 we have the following:

Proposition 1. *If the Gauss map of a foliation \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^3 is not dominant then, either \mathcal{F} is a linear pull-back of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 , or \mathcal{F} has a rational first integral F , which can be written in homogeneous coordinates as*

$$(1) \quad F(x, y, z, t) = \frac{zP(x, y) + Q(x, y)}{tP(x, y) + R(x, y)},$$

where P , Q and R are homogeneous polynomials such that $dg(P) + 1 = dg(Q) = dg(R)$.

The proof will be done in §3.1.

Remark 2.3. We would like to observe that the map $F: \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of (1) in the statement of proposition 1 is birationally equivalent to the map $G(x', y', z', t') = z'/t'$. In particular, the closure of the levels of F in \mathbb{P}^3 are rational surfaces.

In fact, if $G: \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is defined as

$$\Phi[x : y : z : t] = [x : y : zP(x, y) + Q(x, y) : tP(x, y) + R(x, y)] := [x' : y' : z' : t']$$

then Φ is birational and $G[x' : y' : z' : t'] = F \circ \Phi^{-1}[x' : y' : z' : t'] = z'/t'$.

Remark 2.4. Here we discuss the foliations of \mathbb{P}^3 , with a fixed degree, inducing Gauss maps with the maximum number of points in the fiber.

Let $\mathbb{F}ol(d; n)$ be the space of foliations of degree d on \mathbb{P}^n . Given $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}ol(d; n)$ denote its Gauss map as $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$. The topological degree of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined as $tdg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \#(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m))$, where m is a regular value of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ (see [26]). For instance, if $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not dominant then $tdg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$, because a regular value of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not in the image.

If $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dominant then $tdg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) < +\infty$. Set

$$\mathcal{N}(d, n) := \{tdg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) \mid \mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}ol(d; n)\}.$$

and $\mathcal{M}(d, n) = \max(\mathcal{N}(d, n))$. In §3.2 we will prove the following:

Theorem 1. $\mathcal{M}(d, 3) = d^2$. *Moreover, a foliation $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{F}ol(d; 3)$ such that $tdg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = d^2$ must have a first integral of the form F/L^{d+1} , where F is of degree $d + 1$ and L of degree one.*

Remark 2.5. A natural problem is to determine exactly the set $\mathcal{N}(d, n)$. For instance, $\mathcal{N}(2, 3) = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$. This is a consequence of the classification of degree two foliations of \mathbb{P}^3 (see [8] and example 9). In example 11 we will see that $\mathcal{N}(3, 3) = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9\}$ and in remark 2.7 that $1 \in \mathcal{N}(d, 3)$, for all $d \geq 1$.

An example of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^3 of degree d and with Gauss map with topological degree $d^2 - d$ is the following: let $d = p + q + r$ and let

$$\omega_d = fyz \left(\alpha \frac{df}{f} + \beta \frac{dy}{y} + \gamma \frac{dz}{z} \right),$$

where $f = y^q z^r (xy^{p-1} + t^p) + y^d + z^d$ and $\alpha d + \beta + \gamma = 0$. Using theorem 3 it is possible to prove that $tdg(\mathcal{F}_{\omega_d}) = d^2 - d$.

Remark 2.6. If \mathcal{F} is a foliation in \mathbb{P}^n with more than one Morse singularity then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ cannot be birational.

For instance, assume that \mathcal{F} has two Morse singularities p and q . In particular, p and q are isolated singularities of \mathcal{F} . Suppose first that there is a hyperplane Σ , passing through p and q , such that p and q are Morse singularities of the foliation $\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma}$. Since Morse singularities are stable by small perturbations, there is a neighborhood U of Σ in $\check{\mathbb{P}}^n$ such that for any $\Sigma' \in U$ then $\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma'}$ has at least two Morse singularities, say p' and q' . If Σ' is generic then p' and q' are not singularities of \mathcal{F} and $p' \neq q'$, so that p' and q' are points of tangency of \mathcal{F} with Σ' . Therefore $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(p') = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(q') = \Sigma'$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not birational.

In the general case, the idea is that a generic hyperplane Σ passing through p and q admits a small perturbation $\Sigma' \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^n$ such that:

1. $p' \neq q'$ and $p', q' \notin \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$.
2. p' and q' are Morse tangencies between \mathcal{F} and Σ' .

We leave the details of the proof of this fact for the reader.

For instance, if \mathcal{F} has the first integral, given in affine coordinate by $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - x^3$, then it has two Morse singularities in \mathbb{C}^3 ; the points $(0, 0, 0)$ and $(2/3, 0, 0)$, so that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not birational.

Note that if the foliation \mathcal{F} has less than two isolated singularities then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not necessarily birational. For instance, if \mathcal{F} has an isolated singularity with Milnor number $\mu \geq 2$. A typical example is the foliation defined in affine coordinates $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ by the 1-form df , where $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^3$.

If $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is birational, but not an isomorphism, then it necessarily contracts some hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$.

Proposition 2. *If $n \geq 2$ then a birational map $\mathcal{G}: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, which is not an automorphism, always contracts a hypersurface of \mathbb{P}^n ; there exists a hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $\mathcal{G}(V)$ is an algebraic subset of \mathbb{P}^n of dimension $< n - 1$.*

More precisely, let $\hat{\mathcal{G}}: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be the covering of \mathcal{G} , so that $\Pi \circ \hat{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G} \circ \Pi$, where $\Pi: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is the canonical projection. If $\hat{\mathcal{G}}^{-1} \circ \hat{\mathcal{G}} = f \cdot I$, where $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n]$, then \mathcal{G} contracts all irreducible components of $(f = 0)$.

Conversely, if the hypersurface $(h = 0)$ is contracted by \mathcal{G} and h is irreducible then $h \mid f$.

The proof will be done in §3.3. See also [6].

Corollary 2.1. *If the Gauss map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ of a foliation \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^n is birational then it always contracts some hypersurface $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n$. Moreover, V is contracted to a point of $\check{\mathbb{P}}^n$ if, and only if V is an invariant hyperplane.*

Another interesting fact is the following:

Proposition 3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on \mathbb{P}^n with birational Gauss map \mathcal{G} . Then the Gauss map of the transformed foliation $\mathcal{G}_*(\mathcal{F})$ is also birational.*

The proof will be done in §3.4.

Next, we will see some examples for which the Gauss map is birational.

Example 1. *The case of foliations on \mathbb{P}^2 .* Recall that the degree of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^n is, by definition, the number of tangencies of a generic line $\ell \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ with the leaves of the foliation. For instance, if \mathcal{F} is a foliation of degree $d = 0$ on \mathbb{P}^2 then \mathcal{F} is a radial foliation (a pencil of lines) and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbb{P}^2) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

On the other hand, if \mathcal{F} is a foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 of degree $d \geq 1$ then the Gauss map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is generically d to one. Therefore $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathbb{P}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is birational if, and only if $d = 1$.

In homogeneous coordinates, a degree one foliation in \mathbb{P}^2 is defined by an action of \mathbb{C}^2 on \mathbb{C}^3 generated by the radial vector field $R = \sum_{j=0}^2 z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ and a linear vector field L of \mathbb{C}^3 such that $R \wedge L \neq 0$. In the generic case, after a linear change of variables, we can suppose that $L = \sum_{j=0}^2 \lambda_j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$, where $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ if $i \neq j$.

In this case, \mathcal{F} is defined in homogeneous coordinates by the form

$$\tilde{\omega} = \mu_0 z_1 z_2 dz_0 + \mu_1 z_0 z_2 dz_1 + \mu_2 z_0 z_1 dz_2,$$

where $\mu_0 = \lambda_2 - \lambda_1$, $\mu_1 = \lambda_0 - \lambda_2$ and $\mu_2 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_0$. In particular, the Gauss map has the expression:

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}[z_0 : z_1 : z_2] = [\mu_0 z_1 z_2 : \mu_1 z_0 z_2 : \mu_2 z_0 z_1] = [w_0 : w_1 : w_2],$$

which is birational. Its inverse has the expression

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}[w_0 : w_1 : w_2] = [\mu_0 w_1 w_2 : \mu_1 w_0 w_2 : \mu_2 w_0 w_1].$$

The transformed foliation $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}*}(\mathcal{F})$ is represented by the form $\tilde{\omega} = \mu_0 w_1 w_2 dw_0 + \mu_1 w_0 w_2 dw_1 + \mu_2 w_0 w_1 dw_2$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ contracts the three coordinate planes given by $(z_j = 0)$, $0 \leq j \leq 2$, which are \mathcal{F} invariant.

This is an example of what we will call **self-dual foliation**. As in the case of curves, this is a foliation such that the foliation given by the inverse of the birational Gauss map (if it exists), is projectively equivalent to the original.

In the sequel, we will use the notation $\mathcal{L}(p_1, \dots, p_k; n)$ for the closure of the space of foliations on \mathbb{P}^n defined in homogeneous coordinates by logarithmic 1-forms of the type

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j},$$

where $f_j \in \mathbb{C}[z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n]$ is homogeneous of degree p_j , $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j p_j = 0$.

Example 2. *The case of foliations of degree one on \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 3$.* It is known (see [17]) that the space of foliations of degree one in \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 3$, has two irreducible components:

1. The logarithmic component $\mathcal{L}(1, 1, 1; n)$ whose generic element is, as we said before, defined in homogeneous coordinates by a 1-form linearly equivalent to the following:

$$\omega = xyz \left(\lambda_1 \frac{dx}{x} + \lambda_2 \frac{dy}{y} + \lambda_3 \frac{dz}{z} \right),$$

where $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, and $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$. In this case, the foliation \mathcal{F}_{ω} is the linear pull-back of the foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 defined by the same form. The map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}_{\omega}}$ is degenerated and its generic rank is two.

2. The component $\mathcal{L}(2, 1; n)$, whose elements have a rational first integral of the form $\frac{q}{\ell^2}$, where q is irreducible of degree two and ℓ of degree one.

In the generic case, the Gauss map of the associated foliation is birational and not a linear isomorphism from \mathbb{P}^n to \mathbb{P}^n . In fact, in this case, we can assume that in some homogeneous coordinates $z = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ we have $q(z) = \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^2$ and $\ell(z) = z_0$. The foliation is defined by the form

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \ell \cdot q \left(\frac{dq}{q} - 2 \frac{dz_0}{z_0} \right) = -q dz_0 + z_0 \sum_{j=1}^n z_j dz_j,$$

so that in homogeneous coordinates we have

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(z) = \left(-\sum_{j=1}^n z_j^2, z_0 z_1, \dots, z_0 z_n \right) = (y_0, y_1, \dots, y_n) = y.$$

Its inverse is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(y) = \left(-\sum_{j=1}^n y_j^2, y_0 y_1, \dots, y_0 y_n \right),$$

so that

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(z)(z) = -z_0 \sum_{j=1}^n z_j^2 (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_n) = -\ell q \cdot z$$

As a consequence $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ contracts the hypersurfaces $(\ell = 0)$ and $(q = 0)$, which are leaves of \mathcal{F} . The hyperplane $(x_0 = 0)$ is contracted to the point $[1 : 0 : \dots : 0]$ and the quadric $(q = 0)$ to the quadric of codimension two $(y_0 = 0) \cap (q(y) = 0)$. Note that this case, in dimension three, is one of the homaloids, that we will study in example 6.

In the non-generic case, it can be verified that the Gauss map is not dominant.

Example 3. A generalization of the generic case of example 1 is the foliation \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^n defined in homogeneous coordinates by the 1-form

$$(2) \quad \omega = z_0 z_1 \dots z_n \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_j \frac{dz_j}{z_j},$$

where $\mu_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$, $0 \leq j \leq n$, and $\sum_{j=0}^n \mu_j = 0$. This last condition is equivalent to $i_R \omega = 0$.

Note that this foliation is in the irreducible component $\mathcal{L}(1, \dots, 1; n)$ ($n+1$ ones) of the space of codimension one foliations on \mathbb{P}^n of degree $n-1$. The Gauss map in this case is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}[z_0 : z_1 : \dots : z_n] = [\mu_0 z_1 \dots z_n : \mu_1 z_0 z_2 \dots z_n : \dots : \mu_n z_0 z_1 \dots z_{n-1}],$$

which is birational and whose inverse has a similar expression, this is a self-dual foliation. The map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ contracts the union of the hyperplanes $(z_j = 0)$, $0 \leq j \leq n$.

Remark 2.7. Here we will see that for any degree $d \geq 1$ there are associated foliations on \mathbb{P}^3 whose associated Gauss map is birational.

Let \mathcal{F}_k be the foliation on \mathbb{P}^3 with the first integral, which in homogeneous coordinates is written as

$$F_k(x, y, z, t) = \frac{g}{y^{k+2}} = \frac{(xz + yt)y^k + x^{k+2}}{y^{k+2}}$$

It is defined by the 1-form

$$\omega = yg \left[\frac{dg}{g} - (k+2) \frac{dy}{y} \right] =$$

$$= y[(k+2)x^{k+1} + y^k z] dx - [(k+2)x^{k+2} + xy^k z + y^{k+1} t] dy + xy^{k+1} dz + (k+2)y^{k+2} dt,$$

and the Gauss map is $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}_k}[x : y : z : t] =$

$$= [y[(k+2)x^{k+1} + y^k z] : -[(k+2)x^{k+2} + xy^k z + y^{k+1} t] : xy^{k+1} : (k+2)y^{k+2}].$$

In this example we have $Sing_2(\mathcal{F}) = (x = y = 0) := \ell$ and that the hyperplane $\Sigma = (y = 0)$ is \mathcal{F} -invariant and contracted to a point by $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}_k}$.

In the affine coordinates $(y = 1) \simeq \mathbb{C}^3$ the foliation has the first integral

$$f_k(x, z, t) = F_k(x, 1, z, t) = t + xz + x^{k+2}.$$

Consider the hyperplane $H = (t = \alpha x + \beta z + \gamma)$. It can be checked directly that $f_k|_H$ has a unique singularity of Morse type. This implies that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}_k}$ is birational.

We would like to remark that if $k = 1$ then $\mathcal{F}_1 \in \mathcal{L}(3, 1; 3) \subset \mathbb{F}ol(2; 3)$. For a generic member $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{L}(3, 1; 3)$ it can be shown that $tdg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 3$, so that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not birational (see example 9).

If $k \geq 2$ then $\mathcal{F}_k \in \mathcal{L}(k+2, 1; 3) \subset \mathbb{F}ol(k+1; 3)$. For a generic member of $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{L}(k+1, 3; 3)$ then $Sing_2(\mathcal{F})$ is a plane curve of degree $k+2$, but in the case of \mathcal{F}_k then $Sing_2(\mathcal{F}_k)$ degenerates in a straight line of multiplicity $k+2$.

Example 4. *Magic example.* Here is an example for which the associated foliation has no special transversal structure and contracts hypersurfaces that are not invariant for the foliation. Let $\omega = A(u, v)du + B(u, v)dv$, where A and B are non zero polynomials. We consider the pull-back map $\Phi(x, y, z, t) = (x/t, y/z)$. Note that

$$\Phi^*(\omega) = A(x/t, y/z)d\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) + B(x/t, y/z)d\left(\frac{y}{z}\right) := \theta$$

We have

$$\theta = A(x/t, y/z) \frac{t dx - x dt}{t^2} + B(x/t, y/z) \frac{z dy - y dz}{z^2}$$

and so

$$z^2 t^2 \theta = z^2 t A(x/t, y/z) dx + z t^2 B(x/t, y/z) dy - y t^2 B(x/t, y/z) dz - x z^2 A(x/t, y/z) dt$$

In homogeneous coordinates the Gauss map is $\mathcal{G}[x : y : z : t] =$

$$= [z^2 t A(x/t, y/z) : z t^2 B(x/t, y/z) : -y t^2 B(x/t, y/z) : -x z^2 A(x/t, y/z)].$$

In particular, the hypersurfaces $(A(x/t, y/z) = 0)$ and $(B(x/t, y/z) = 0)$ are contracted by \mathcal{G} . These hypersurfaces in general are not invariant for the foliation defined by θ .

The Gauss map \mathcal{G} associated to the foliation $\Phi^*(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ is birational because in the affine coordinate system $t = 1$ we have

$$\mathcal{G}(x, y, z) = \left(-\frac{1}{x}, -\frac{B(x, y/z)}{xz A(x, y/z)}, \frac{y B(x, y/z)}{xz^2 A(x, y/z)} \right)$$

We can solve $\mathcal{G}(x, y, z) = (a, b, c)$ as

$$x = -1/a, \quad y/z = -c/b, \quad z = -\frac{B(-1/a, -c/b)}{bx A(-1/a, -c/b)}, \quad y = -\frac{cz^2 A(-1/a, -c/b)}{aB(-1/a, -c/b)}.$$

We note that, in general, the original foliation in \mathbb{P}^2 , has no invariant curve as in the Jouanolou foliation [23]. Sometimes can even have some leaves that are dense (see [24] and [25]).

With this method, we can obtain foliations on \mathbb{P}^3 with Gauss map of any desired degree ≥ 2 , without rational first integral.

Note: We have called this example "magic example" because we don't see a geometric reason why $\mathcal{F} = \Phi^*(\mathcal{G})$ always has birational Gauss map.

In example 4 all fibers of the pull-back map $[x : y : z : t] \dashrightarrow (x/t, y/z)$ are straight lines. A natural question is: what happens if the fibers are not plane curves?

In this case, we have the following:

Theorem 2. *Let $F: \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be a rational map whose fibers are not plane curves, except for a finite number of them. Suppose that there is a foliation \mathcal{G} of \mathbb{P}^2 with the property that the foliation $\mathcal{F} = F^*(\mathcal{G})$ has Gauss map birational and a contracted hypersurface which is not invariant. Then \mathcal{F} has a rational first integral.*

We will prove theorem 2 in §3.5. Let us see an example.

Example 5. Let \mathcal{F} be the foliation having in affine coordinates the first integral $f(x, y, z) = z + (y - x^2)^2$. Since $f(x, y, z) = (z + y^2) + (x^4 - 2yx^2)$, if $F: \mathbb{C}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ is defined by $F(x, y, z) = (z + y^2, x^2(x^2 - 2y))$ then $f = g \circ F$, where $g(u, v) = u + v$.

Let us prove that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is birational. First of all, the first integral in homogeneous coordinates is $\frac{t^3z + (ty - x^2)^2}{t^4}$ and so \mathcal{F} is defined in homogeneous coordinates by

$$\omega = 4xt(x^2 - yt)dx + 2t^2(ty - x^2)dy + t^4dz + (-4x^4 + 6x^2yt - 2y^2t^2 - zt^3)dt$$

and the Gauss map is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}[x : y : z : t] = [4xt(x^2 - yt) : 2t^2(ty - x^2) : t^4 : -4x^4 + 6x^2yt - 2y^2t^2 - zt^3]$$

This implies that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ contracts the hypersurface $H = (x^2 - yt = 0)$, which is not \mathcal{F} invariant. Note that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ contracts also $(t = 0)$, but this hyperplane is \mathcal{F} -invariant.

In order to see that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is birational it is sufficient to prove that \mathcal{F} has just one tangency point with a generic hyperplane of the form $\ell = (z = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma)$ in the affine coordinate $t = 1$. This is true because $f|_{\ell}(x, y) = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma + (x^2 - y)^2 := g(x, y)$ and the system $g_x(x, y) = g_y(x, y) = 0$ has an unique solution $x = -\frac{\alpha}{2\beta}$ and $y = \frac{\alpha^2}{4\beta^2} - \frac{\beta}{2}$.

Before stating the next results let us recall some facts about a codimension one foliation \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 3$.

The singular set of \mathcal{F} always has irreducible components of codimension two (cf [20]). We will denote as $Sing_2(\mathcal{F})$ the union of the irreducible components of codimension two of $Sing(\mathcal{F})$.

Let $V \subset Sing_2(\mathcal{F})$ be an irreducible component of codimension two. We define the transversal multiplicity of V as follows: fix a smooth point $p \in V$ and a transverse 2-dimensional disk Σ through p such that Σ is transverse to \mathcal{F} outside p . Then the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma}$, is a foliation on Σ with an isolated singularity at p . The multiplicity of \mathcal{F} at (Σ, p) is the Milnor's number $\mu(\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma}, p)$ (see [4]).

We define the multiplicity of \mathcal{F} at $p \in V$ as:

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}, V, p) = \min\{\mu(\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma}, p) \mid \Sigma \text{ is a transverse section through } p \in V\}.$$

It can be proved that the function $p \in V \mapsto \mu(\mathcal{F}, V, p) \in \mathbb{N}$ is constant in a Zariski open and dense subset of V . This constant will be denoted as $\mu(\mathcal{F}, V)$. It is called the transverse multiplicity of \mathcal{F} at V .

Remark 2.8. If V has a Zariski open and dense set, say $V' \subset V$, of locally product points (see [21]) then the number $\mu(\mathcal{F}, V', p)$ is constant. A typical case is when all points of V' are of Kupka type (see [21]).

For instance, when \mathcal{F} is defined by a logarithmic form that is the case (see proposition 4).

Theorem 3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on \mathbb{P}^3 of degree d . Let $Sing_2(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{j=1}^k V_j$, where V_1, \dots, V_k are the irreducible components of dimension one of $Sing(\mathcal{F})$. Then:*

- (a). $\sum_{j=1}^k \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_j) \cdot dg(V_j) \leq d^2 + d + 1$. The equality is attained when \mathcal{F} is a pull-back of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 by a linear map $\Psi: \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ (see [8]).
- (b). Let $m(\mathcal{F}) = d^2 + d + 1 - \sum_{j=1}^k \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_j) \cdot dg(V_j)$. Assume that $m(\mathcal{F}) > 0$ and that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dominant. Then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \check{\mathbb{P}}^3$ has topological degree $m(\mathcal{F})$, that is, it is generically $m(\mathcal{F})$ to one. In particular, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is birational if, and only if $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dominant and $m(\mathcal{F}) = 1$.

Corollary 2.2. *Let \mathcal{F}_o be a foliation of degree d on \mathbb{P}^3 . Assume that there is a 2-plane $\mathbb{P}^2 \simeq \Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ such that the restricted foliation $\mathcal{F}_o|_{\Sigma}$ has $d^2 + d$ singularities with generic linear part, in the sense that the determinant and the trace are non zero. Suppose further that the Gauss map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}_o}$ is dominant. Let Z be the irreducible component of $\mathbb{F}ol(3, d)$ containing \mathcal{F}_o . Then:*

1. $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}_o}$ is birational.
2. If $\mathcal{F} \in Z$ is a generic foliation in the same component then its Gauss map is birational.

Corollary 2.3. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on \mathbb{P}^n of degree d , $n \geq 4$. Let V_1, \dots, V_k be the irreducible components of $Sing_2(\mathcal{F})$. Assume that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dominant and that $\sum_{j=1}^k \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_j) \deg(V_j) = d^2 + d$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is birational.*

Corollary 2.4. *Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on \mathbb{P}^n of degree d , $n \geq 4$. Let V_1, \dots, V_k be the irreducible components of $Sing_2(\mathcal{F})$. Assume that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dominant and that $\sum_{j=1}^k \deg(V_j) = d^2 + d$. Then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is birational.*

Remark 2.9. We would like to note that the hypothesis of corollary 2.3 is sufficient for the Gauss map of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^n to be birational, but is not necessary when $n \geq 4$. For instance, in the case of example 3, where $\omega = z_0 z_1 \dots z_n \sum_{j=0}^n \mu_j \frac{dz_j}{z_j}$, we have:

1. $Sing(\mathcal{F}_{\omega}) = \bigcup_{i < j} V_{ij}$, where $V_{ij} = (z_i = z_j = 0)$.
2. $\mu(\mathcal{F}_{\omega}, V_{ij}) = 1$ and $deg(V_{ij}) = 1, \forall i < j$.

This implies $\sum_{i < j} \mu(\mathcal{F}_{\omega}, V_{ij}) \deg(V_{ij}) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} =: S$.

3. Since $deg(\mathcal{F}_{\omega}) = n - 1 := d$ we get

$$(*) \quad d^2 + d + 1 - S = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} := m$$

As the reader can check, we have $m = 1$ if, and only if, $n = 3$. Therefore if $n \geq 4$ the condition in corollary 2.3 is not necessary.

Let us see some applications of theorem 3.

Example 6. Let $F \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ be a homogeneous polynomial. We say that F is a *homaloid* if the map $dF := (F_{z_1}, \dots, F_{z_n}) : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is birational.

The problem of classification of homaloids was studied originally by Dolgachev in [14]. Essentially he proved that the reduced homaloids in \mathbb{C}^3 are the following:

(1) a smooth conic: $F(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2$. The foliation, given by the level sets of F , has degree one.

(2) the union of three lines in general position: $F(x, y, z) = xyz$. The foliation in this case has degree two (see example 9).

(3) the union of a smooth conic and a line tangent to it: $F(x, y, z) = y(x^2 + yz)$. The foliation has degree two and is in the component $\mathcal{L}(2, 1, 1; 3)$, however, it is not generic in the component (see [8]).

A. Dimca and S. Papadima also studied this problem in [13]. They have expressed the topological degree of the Gauss map in terms of the variety ($F = 0$).

T. Fassarella and J. Vitório Pereira have also considered this problem (see [15]), but from the point of view of the theory of foliations.

All these examples can be verified using theorem 3. Let us verify case (3). In homogeneous coordinates, it has a rational first integral of the form $F(x, y, z, t) = \frac{y(x^2 + yz)}{t^3}$ whose foliation \mathcal{F} is defined by the form

$$\omega = 2xyt \, dx + t(x^2 + 2yz) \, dy + y^2t \, dz - 3y(x^2 + yz) \, dt,$$

so that $Sing_2(\mathcal{F}) = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$, where $V_1 = (x = y = 0)$, $V_2 = (y = t = 0)$ and $V_3 = (t = x^2 + yz = 0)$. It can be verified that $\mu(\mathcal{F}_\omega, V_1) = 3$, $\mu(\mathcal{F}_\omega, V_2) = 1$ and $\mu(\mathcal{F}_\omega, V_3) = 1$. For instance, $\Sigma = (z = t = 1)$ is a transversal section to V_1 and

$$\omega|_\Sigma = 2xy \, dx + (x^2 + 2y) \, dy \implies \mu(\mathcal{F}_\omega, V_1) = 3.$$

Since $deg(V_1) = deg(V_2) = 1$ and $deg(V_3) = 2$, we get $\sum_j \mu(\mathcal{F}_\omega, V_j) deg(V_j) = 6$. On the other hand, the degree of the foliation is two, and so $d^2 + d + 1 - 6 = 1$, so that $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{F}$ is birational.

Remark 2.10. A particular case of example 4 is $\omega = u^p du + v^q dv$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= \frac{x^p(ydx - xdy)}{y^{p+2}} + \frac{z^q(tdz - zdt)}{t^{q+2}} = \\ &= \frac{1}{y^{p+2}t^{q+2}} (x^p yt^{q+2} dx - x^{p+1} t^{q+2} dy + y^{p+2} z^q t dz - y^{p+2} z^{q+1} dt) \end{aligned}$$

whose Gauss map is

$$\mathcal{G}[x : y : z : t] = [x^p yt^{q+2} : -x^{p+1} t^{q+2} : y^{p+2} z^q t : -y^{p+2} z^{q+1}]$$

with inverse $\mathcal{G}^{-1}[a : b : c : d] =$

$$= [(-1)^{q+1} b^{p+1} c^{q+2} : (-1)^q a b^p c^{q+2}, (-1)^{p+1} a^{p+2} d^{q+1} : (-1)^p a^{p+2} c d^q]$$

We say that a foliation on \mathbb{P}^n is *monomial* if it is defined in homogeneous coordinates by an integrable polynomial 1-form of the type

$$(3) \quad \omega = \sum_{j=0}^n M_j(z) dz_j,$$

where the M_j 's are monomials of the same degree; $M_j(z) = \lambda_j z^{\sigma_j}$. As usually, we use the notations $\sigma = (\sigma(0), \dots, \sigma(n))$ and $z^\sigma = z_0^{\sigma(0)} z_1^{\sigma(1)} \dots z_n^{\sigma(n)}$. We will assume that in (3) the monomials are not scalar multiples of one particular monomial:

$[M_0 : M_1 : \dots : M_n] \neq [\lambda_0 : \lambda_1 : \dots : \lambda_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n$. A typical example in \mathbb{P}^3 is the one with $\omega = u^p du + v^q dv$ with $u = x/y$ and $v = z/t$.

Here we will prove the following:

Theorem 4. *Let $\omega = \sum_{i=0}^3 A_i(z) dz_i$ be a monomial integrable 1-form on \mathbb{C}^4 , defining a monomial foliation \mathcal{F}_ω on \mathbb{P}^3 of degree ≥ 2 . If the Gauss map \mathcal{G}_ω of \mathcal{F}_ω is dominant then ω is a pull-back of a form on \mathbb{C}^2 like in example 4. In particular, $\mathcal{G}_\omega: \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ is birational.*

The proof of theorem 4 will be done at §3.7.

Example 7. Here is an application of theorem 3. The exceptional foliation of degree two on \mathbb{P}^3 , $\mathcal{E} \in \mathbb{Fol}(2; 3)$, is defined in homogeneous coordinates by the form

$$\omega = t(2y^2 - 3xz)dx + t(3tz - xy)dy + t(x^2 - 2yt)dz + (2x^2z - yzt - xy^2)dt$$

This example is studied in detail in [8].

In homogeneous coordinates, it has a rational first integral of the form $F = f^2/g^3$, where $\deg(f) = 3$ and $\deg(g) = 2$. It is called exceptional because the logarithmic form $\frac{dF}{F} = 2\frac{df}{f} - 3\frac{dg}{g}$ has t as divisor of zeroes. We note that $f(x, y, z, t) = zt^2 - xyt + x^3/3$, $g(x, y, z, t) = yt - x^2/2$ (see [8]) and $fg\frac{dF}{F} = t\omega$ and $\text{cod}(\text{Sing}(\omega)) = 2$, so that ω defines \mathcal{E} in homogeneous coordinates. We would like to observe that it was proved in [8] that the irreducible component of $\mathbb{Fol}(2, 3)$ that contains the exceptional foliation is the closure of the orbit of \mathcal{E} by the natural action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^3)$. From now on this component will be denoted as $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3)$.

In [8] it is also proven that $\text{Sing}_2(\mathcal{E})$ has three irreducible components:

1. The closure in \mathbb{P}^3 of the twisted cubic $V_3 = \{(s, s^2/2, s^3/6) \mid s \in \mathbb{C}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3 = \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus (t = 0)$.
2. The straight line $V_1 = (x = t = 0)$.
3. A conic $V_2 = (y^2 - 2xz = t = 0)$.

It is proven also that $\mu(\mathcal{F}, V_j) = 1$, $j = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore, in this case we have $m(\mathcal{E}) = 7 - (3 + 2 + 1) = 1$ and $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{E}$ is birational. The inverse $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{E}^{-1}$ will be done in §4.1.

This example will be studied in detail in §4.1 and in §4.2.

Example 8. Another exceptional example, but now in \mathbb{P}^4 , has also a rational first integral of the form $F = \frac{f^3}{g^4}$, where $\deg(f) = 4$ and $\deg(g) = 3$. Let \mathcal{E}' be the foliation on \mathbb{P}^4 having the following rational first integral in homogeneous coordinates $(s, x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{C}^5$:

$$F(s, x, y, z, t) = \frac{(st^3 - (2xz + y^2)t^2 + 2yz^2t - \frac{1}{2}z^4)^3}{(xt^2 - yzt - \frac{1}{3}z^3)^4} := \frac{f^3}{g^4}$$

The logarithmic form $\frac{dF}{F} = 3\frac{df}{f} - 4\frac{dg}{g}$ has t^2 as zero divisor. In fact, a direct computation shows that $fg\frac{dF}{F} = t^2\omega$, where the components of ω have degree 4, so that $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 3$. This example will be studied in detail in §4.3. In §4.3 we will see that:

- (a). $\text{Sing}_2(\mathcal{E}')$ has three irreducible components, which we denote as V_1 , V_2 and V_3 .
- (b). $\mu(\mathcal{F}, V_i) = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$.
- (c). $\deg(V_1) = 1$, $\deg(V_2) = 3$ and $\deg(V_3) = 8$.

In particular, we get $\sum_{j=1}^3 \mu(\mathcal{E}', V_j) \deg(V_j) = 12$, so that the Gauss map of \mathcal{E}' is birational, by theorem 3.

We would like to observe that this example was originally introduced in [7]. It was proved in [10] that the irreducible component of $\text{Fol}(3; 4)$ that contains \mathcal{E}' is the closure of its orbit by the natural action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^4)$. From now on this component will be denoted as $\mathcal{E}(3, 4; 4)$.

We define $\mathcal{E}(3, 4; n)$, $n \geq 4$, as the set

$$\mathcal{E}(3, 4; n) = \{L^*(\mathcal{E}(3, 4; 4)) \mid L \text{ is a linear map}\}.$$

It can be proved that $\mathcal{E}(3, 4; n)$ is an irreducible component of $\text{Fol}(4; n)$ for all n . However, if $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{E}(3, 4; n)$ and $n > 4$ then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is not dominant.

Remark 2.11. In § 4.4 we will generalize these examples of exceptional foliations for foliations of degree $n - 1$ on \mathbb{P}^n . As in the case of examples 7 and 8, these examples will have a rational first integral of the form f^{n-1}/g^n , where $\deg(f) = n$ and $\deg(g) = n - 1$.

Example 9. *Foliations of degree two on \mathbb{P}^3 .* The irreducible components of the space of foliations of degree two on \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 3$, were classified in [8]. There it is proved that this space has exactly six irreducible components. Using theorem 3 it is not difficult to see that there are two of these components for which the generic element has birational Gauss map: the exceptional component of example 7 and the logarithmic component $\mathcal{L}(1, 1, 1, 1; 3)$ of example 3.

Using theorem 3 we obtain the:

1. A generic foliation in $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{L}(2, 1, 1; 3)$ has $\text{tdg}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 2$.
2. A generic foliation in $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{L}(3, 1; 3)$ has $\text{tdg}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 3$.
3. A generic foliation in $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{L}(2, 2; 3)$ has $\text{tdg}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 4$.

In particular, we have $\mathcal{N}(2, 3) = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

However, there are degree two foliations on \mathbb{P}^3 , in the boundary of the components, for which the Gauss map is birational. An example is the homaloid (3) of example 6. This foliation, in homogeneous coordinates, has a first integral of the form

$$F(x, y, z, t) = \frac{y(x^2 + yz)}{t^3} := \frac{f(x, y, z)}{t^3}$$

and belongs to $\mathcal{L}(1, 3; 3) \cap \mathcal{L}(1, 1, 2; 3)$. The foliation is defined in homogeneous coordinates by the form

$$\omega = t f \left(\frac{df}{f} - 3 \frac{dt}{t} \right) = 2xyt dx + t(x^2 + 2yz)dy + y^2t dz - 3y(x^2 + yz) dt.$$

The irreducible components of $\text{Sing}_2(\mathcal{F}_{\omega})$ are $V_1 = (x = y = 0)$, $V_2 = (y = t = 0)$, $V_3 = (x^2 + xz = t = 0)$. In the affine coordinate system ($z = 1$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega|_{z=1} &= 2xyt dx + t(x^2 + 2y)dy - 3y(x^2 + y) dt \implies \\ \mu(\mathcal{F}_{\omega}, V_1) &= 3, \quad \mu(\mathcal{F}_{\omega}, V_2) = \mu(\mathcal{F}_{\omega}, V_3) = 1 \implies \\ d^2 + d + 1 - \sum_{j=1}^3 \mu(\mathcal{F}_{\omega}, V_j) \cdot \deg(V_j) &= 7 - 6 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}_{\omega}}$ is birational.

Another example in $\mathcal{L}(1, 3; 3)$ whose Gauss map is birational, is defined in homogeneous coordinates by the form $\omega = 3f dz - z df$, where $f(x, y, z, t) = xz^2 + yzt + y^3$.

In this case, we have $Sing(\mathcal{F}_\omega) = (y = z = 0) := V$ and $\mu(\mathcal{F}_\omega, V) = 6$, so that its Gauss map, \mathcal{G}_ω , is birational by theorem 3. We have:

$$\mathcal{G}_\omega(x, y, z, t) = (-z^3, -z(3y^2 + zt), xz^2 + 2yzt + 3y^3, -yz^2)$$

The inverse can be calculated as:

$$\mathcal{G}_\omega^{-1}(a, b, c, e) = (a^2c + 2abe - 3e^3, -a^2e, -a^3, a(3e^2 - ab)).$$

The foliation associated to this map has the rational first integral:

$$\frac{a^2c + abe - e^3}{a^3},$$

then this is also a self-dual foliation.

Example 10. *Foliations of degree two on \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 4$.* Here we will see that the Gauss map of the generic foliation of degree two on \mathbb{P}^n is not birational if $n \geq 4$. According to [8] the space of foliations of degree two on \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 3$, has six irreducible components:

- (a). $PB(2; n)$; the component containing pull-back of foliations of degree two on \mathbb{P}^2 by a linear map $L: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$.
- (b). $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; n)$; the component containing the exceptional foliations on \mathbb{P}^n .
- (c). $\mathcal{L}(1, 1, 1, 1; n)$; component of foliations defined by logarithmic forms of the type $\sum_{j=1}^4 \lambda_j \frac{d\ell_j}{\ell_j}$, where $deg(\ell_j) = 1$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$.
- (d). $\mathcal{L}(1, 1, 2; n)$; component of foliations defined by logarithmic forms of the type $\lambda_1 \frac{d\ell_1}{\ell_1} + \lambda_2 \frac{d\ell_2}{\ell_2} + \lambda_3 \frac{dq}{q}$, where $deg(\ell_1) = deg(\ell_2) = 1$ and $deg(q) = 2$.
- (e). $\mathcal{L}(1, 3; n)$; component of foliations with a first integral of the form f/ℓ^3 , where $deg(\ell) = 1$ and $deg(f) = 3$.
- (f). $\mathcal{L}(2, 2; n)$; component of foliations with a first integral of the form q_1/q_2 , where $deg(q_1) = deg(q_2) = 2$.

Examples (a), (b) and (c), cannot be dominant on \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 4$. For instance, an exceptional foliation on \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 4$, is a linear pull-back of the exceptional foliation \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^3 (cf. [8]), and so its Gauss map cannot be dominant.

Generic foliations in components (d), (e), and (f), have dominant Gauss maps, but not birational. For instance, in case (e) we can assume that f is a generic cubic polynomial. In homogeneous coordinates (s, t, x, y, z) we can suppose that $\ell = s$, so that in the affine coordinate system $s = 1$ the foliation $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{R}(1, 3)$ has the first integral $f(1, t, x, y, z)$. The hyperplane $(t = c)$ is tangent to \mathcal{F} at the points for which $f_x(1, c, x, y, z) = f_y(1, c, x, y, z) = f_z(1, c, x, y, z) = 0$. If f and c are generic this set contains 8 points and so the Gauss map cannot be birational.

However, there are degenerated foliations in some of these components whose Gauss map is birational. For instance, in $\mathcal{L}(1, 1, 2; 4)$ we have the foliation \mathcal{F} with first integral

$$f(s, t, x, y, z) = \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{st} := \frac{q}{st}$$

which is defined in homogeneous coordinates by the form

$$\omega = stq \left(\frac{dq}{q} - \frac{ds}{s} - \frac{dt}{t} \right) = stdq - tqds - sqdt.$$

The Gauss map in the affine coordinate system $t = 1$ is

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(x, y, z, s) = \left(-\frac{2x}{q}, -\frac{2y}{q}, -\frac{2z}{q}, \frac{1}{s} \right) = (a, b, c, e)$$

and has the inverse

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(a, b, c, e) = \left(-\frac{4a}{q(a, b, c)}, -\frac{4b}{q(a, b, c)}, -\frac{4c}{q(a, b, c)}, \frac{1}{e} \right)$$

Next, we will consider foliations defined by closed forms. Among them we have the logarithmic foliations on \mathbb{P}^n , say $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, \dots, p_k; n)$. They are defined in homogeneous coordinates $z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ by a logarithmic 1-form of the type

$$\omega_{\mathcal{F}} = f_1 \dots f_k \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j},$$

where $f_j \in \mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n]$ is homogeneous of degree p_j and $\sum_{j=1}^k p_j \lambda_j = 0$ (see [3] and [8]). If $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ has no zero divisor, that is $\text{cod}(\text{Sing}(\omega)) \geq 2$, then we have $dg(\mathcal{F}) = p_1 + \dots + p_k - 2$.

In this case, we have the following:

Proposition 4. *Let \mathcal{F} be as above, given by $\omega_{\mathcal{F}} = f_1 \dots f_k \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j}$, $\sum_j \lambda_j p_j = 0$. Assume that:*

1. $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ has no zero divisor.
2. The hypersurfaces V_i and V_j of \mathbb{P}^n , given by $V_i = (f_i = 0)$ and $V_j = (f_j = 0)$, are generically transverse, for all $i < j$.

If $n = 3$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dominant then generically $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is ℓ to one, where

$$(4) \quad \ell = \text{tdg}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \sum_{j=1}^k p_j^2 + \sum_{i < j} p_i p_j - 3 \sum_{j=1}^k p_j + 3.$$

In the statement, by generically transverse we mean that the set of tangencies between V_i and V_j has codimension > 2 .

As a consequence we have:

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose that the Gauss map of the generic member of $\mathcal{L}(p_1, \dots, p_k; 3)$ is birational. Then either $k = 4$ and $p_1 = \dots = p_4 = 1$, or $k = 2$ and $(p_1, p_2) = (1, 2)$.*

Remark 2.12. We would like to observe that a foliation $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{L}(1, 1, 1, 1; 3)$ with Gauss map birational can be defined in some homogeneous coordinate system $(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ by the logarithmic form

$$\theta = \alpha \frac{dx}{x} + \beta \frac{dy}{y} + \gamma \frac{dz}{z} + \delta \frac{dt}{t},$$

whereas if $(p_1, p_2) = (1, 2)$ then the foliation has a rational first integral of the form Q/L^2 where $\text{deg}(Q) = 2$ and $\text{deg}(L) = 1$.

Let us prove the first assertion. Since $p_j = 1$, $1 \leq j \leq 4$, the foliation can be defined in homogeneous coordinates by

$$\theta = \alpha \frac{d\ell_1}{\ell_1} + \beta \frac{d\ell_2}{\ell_2} + \gamma \frac{d\ell_3}{\ell_3} + \delta \frac{d\ell_4}{\ell_4}$$

where $\ell_j \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4]$ has degree one, $1 \leq j \leq 4$. Since the Gauss map is dominant we must have

$$d\ell_1 \wedge d\ell_2 \wedge d\ell_3 \wedge d\ell_4 = c \cdot dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge dz_3 \wedge dz_4,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. This implies that $\ell := (\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4): \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^4$ is an automorphism, so in some coordinate system $(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{C}^4$, we have $(\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4) = (x, y, z, t)$.

Example 11. As an application of theorem 3 and of proposition 4, we will see examples of foliations of degree three with topological degrees $\in \{1, 2, \dots, 8, 9\}$.

1. In the case $tdg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 1$ we take the foliation with first integral $F_2(x, y, z, t) = \frac{(xz+yt)y^2+x^4}{y^4}$, like in remark 2.7. As we have seen, this foliation has degree three and its Gauss map is birational.

2. The reader can verify directly using (4) of proposition 4 that a foliation in $\mathcal{L}(1, 1, 1, 1; 3)$ has topological degree three.

3. A generic foliation in $\mathcal{L}(2, 1, 1, 1; 3)$ has topological degree four.

4. A generic foliation in $\mathcal{L}(2, 2, 1; 3)$ has topological degree five.

5. A generic foliation in $\mathcal{L}(3, 1, 1; 3)$ has topological degree six.

6. A generic foliation in $\mathcal{L}(3, 2; 3)$ has topological degree seven.

7. A generic foliation in $\mathcal{L}(4, 1; 3)$ has topological degree nine.

8. The case of $tdg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 2$ can be realized by a foliation with first integral $f(x, y, z, t) = [z^3t + (yt - x^2)^2]/t^4$. This foliation is represented by the form

$$\omega = 4xt(ty + x^2)dx + 2t^2(ty + x^2)dy + 3z^2t^2 dz - [3z^3t + 2(ty + x^2)(ty + 2x^2)]dt$$

Here, we have $Sing_2(\mathcal{F}) = V_1 \cup V_2$, $V_1 = (x = t = 0)$ and $V_2 = (z = yt + x^2 = 0)$, $\mu(\mathcal{F}, V_1) = 7$ and $\mu(\mathcal{F}, V_2) = 2$, so that $\sum_j \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_j)deg(V_j) = 11$ and $tdg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 13 - 11 = 2$.

9. The case $tdg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 8$ is more involved. Let

$$Q(x, y, z, t) = t^2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2) + t(x^3 + y^3 + z^3) + x^2(x^2 + yz).$$

The foliation \mathcal{F} with first integral $F = Q/t^4$ has $tdg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 8$. Let us prove this fact.

First of all, the foliation is represented in homogeneous coordinates by $\omega = tdQ - 4Qdt$ and in the affine system $(t = 1)$ by $dQ|_{(t=1)} := Adx + Bdy + Cdz$, where

$$A = x(2 + 3x + 4x^2 + 2yz), \quad B = 2y + 3y^2 + x^2z \quad \text{and} \quad C = 2z + 3z^2 + x^2y.$$

This implies that $Sing_2(\mathcal{F}) = (t = x = 0) \cup (t = x^2 + xy = 0)$, because $dim(A = B = C = 0) = 0$. We have done this computation and we have found 14 solutions of the system.

Let $V_1 = (t = x = 0)$ and $V_2 = (t = x^2 + xy = 0)$. We assert that $\mu(\mathcal{F}, V_1) = 3$ and $\mu(\mathcal{F}, V_2) = 1$. In fact, let $\Sigma = (y = z = 1)$. Note that Σ is transverse to both varieties V_1 and V_2 . Moreover,

$$F|_{\Sigma} = \frac{t^2(x^2 + 2) + t(x^3 + 2) + x^2(x^2 + 1)}{t^4} \implies$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega|_{\Sigma} &= tx(2 + 4x^2 + 3xt + 2t^2)dx - (2t^2(x^2 + 2) + 3t(x^3 + 2) + 4x^2(x^2 + 1))dt \\ &:= tx M(x, t)dx + N(x, t)dt. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\Sigma \cap V_1 = (0, 0) = 0$ and $\Sigma \cap V_2 = (t = 0, x = i) \cup (t = 0, x = -i) = \{p, q\}$. Since $M(0, 0) \neq 0$, we have

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}, V_1) = \mu_0(tx M, N) = \mu_0(t, x^2) + \mu_0(x, t) = 2 + 1 = 3.$$

Similarly, it can be checked that $\mu(\mathcal{F}, V_2) = \mu_p(tx M, N) = \mu_q(tx M, N) = 1$, and so $\sum_j \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_j) \deg(V_j) = 5$. This implies that $tdg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = 13 - 5 = 8$.

Remark 2.13. From a foliation \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}^3 , of degree d , whose Gauss map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is birational, we can produce a family of rational curves on \mathbb{P}^3 of degree $d + 1$ as we explain next. Let

$$\mathcal{L} = \{\ell \in G_1(\mathbb{P}^3) \mid \ell \cap \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) = \emptyset \text{ and } \ell \text{ has } d \text{ tangencies with } \mathcal{F}\},$$

where $G_1(\mathbb{P}^3)$ denotes the grassmanian of lines of \mathbb{P}^3 . Note that \mathcal{L} is a Zariski open and dense subset of $G_1(\mathbb{P}^3)$.

Fix $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ and denote as \mathcal{P}_{ℓ} the pencil of planes of \mathbb{P}^3 that contain the line ℓ . Now, we observe the following facts:

1. A plane $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\ell}$, transverse to $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$, has exactly one Morse tangency with \mathcal{F} , by proposition 3. This Morse tangency is in fact $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(P)$, which we will denote as $\mathcal{M}_{\ell}(P)$.
2. Let $T = \{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\ell} \mid P \text{ is not transverse to } \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})\}$. Then, T is finite.
3. The set $\mathcal{P}_{\ell} \setminus T$ is isomorphic to the complement of a finite subset of \mathbb{P}^1 .
4. The map $\mathcal{M}_{\ell}: \mathcal{P}_{\ell} \setminus T \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ is algebraic.

In particular, if we fix $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ then the map \mathcal{M}_{ℓ} extends analytically to \mathcal{P}_{ℓ} , so that the image $C_{\ell} := \mathcal{M}_{\ell}(\mathcal{P}_{\ell})$ is a rational curve of $\check{\mathbb{P}}^3$.

It remains to prove that C_{ℓ} has degree $d + 1$. Let us fix a point of tangency between ℓ and \mathcal{F} , say $p \in \ell$. Let $P = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(p) \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^3$.

Claim 2.1. *The plane $P = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(p)$ is in the pencil \mathcal{P}_{ℓ} . In particular, $\ell \subset P$. Moreover, $\mathcal{M}(P) = p$ and the curve C_{ℓ} is tangent to P with tangent space $T_p C_{\ell}$ transverse to $T_p \ell$ (in the plane P).*

Proof. Since ℓ and P are tangent to \mathcal{F} at p , and p is a Morse tangency, it is clear that $\ell \subset P$. Let $f \in \mathcal{O}_p$ be a local first integral of \mathcal{F} near p , with $f(p) = 0$. Choose an affine coordinate system $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ such that $P = (z = 0)$, $\ell = (y = z = 0)$, and $p = (0, 0, 0)$. Since f is a first integral of \mathcal{F} , and p is the Morse tangency of P with \mathcal{F} , we can assume that $f(x, y, z) = z + q(x, y, z) + h.o.t$, where $q(x, y, 0)$ is a non degenerate quadratic form and $h.o.t$ are terms of order ≥ 3 .

After a linear change of variables, we can assume that $q(x, y, 0) = x^2 + y^2$, so that $f(x, y, z) = z + x^2 + y^2 + axz + byz + cz^2 + h.o.t$. Since $\ell = (y = z = 0)$, the pencil \mathcal{P}_{ℓ} can be parametrized near $z = 0$ as $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto z = \alpha y$, so that

$$f|_{z=\alpha y}(x, y) = \alpha y + x^2 + y^2 + a\alpha xy + b\alpha y^2 + c\alpha^2 y^2 + h.o.t$$

This implies that $\mathcal{M}(z = \alpha y)$ can be calculated as the zeroes of

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y, \alpha) &:= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y, \alpha y), \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y, \alpha y) \right) = \\ &= (2x + a\alpha y, \alpha + a\alpha x + (2 + 2b\alpha)y) + h.o.t \end{aligned}$$

Using the implicit function theorem, we can solve $F(x, y, \alpha) = (0, 0)$ as

$$\alpha \mapsto (X(\alpha), Y(\alpha)) = (o(\alpha^2), -\alpha/2 + o(\alpha^2)).$$

Since we are in the pencil $z = \alpha y$, we get

$$\mathcal{M}(z = \alpha y) = (o(\alpha^2), -\alpha/2 + o(\alpha^2), -\alpha^2/2 + o(\alpha^3)) \implies$$

$T_p C_{\ell} = (x = z = 0)$ which is transverse to $T_p \ell$, in the plane P . \square

Now, fix another point q of tangency between ℓ and \mathcal{F} . Note that $\ell \subset \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(q) \neq \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(p)$. Since $T_q C_\ell$ is contained in $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(q)$ and transverse to ℓ , we conclude that $T_q C_\ell$ is transverse to $P = \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(p)$. This implies that C_ℓ cuts P exactly in the d points of tangency of ℓ with \mathcal{F} , but in just one of these points it is not transverse to P . Therefore, the degree of C_ℓ is $d + 1$.

2.1. Problems. Here we state some problems that arise naturally from the results and examples.

Let $G: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, $G[z] = [G_0[z], \dots, G_n[z]]$ be a birational map on \mathbb{P}^n . The degree of G , $\deg(G)$, is by definition the degree of the polynomials G_j . The bidegree of G is the pair $(\deg(G), \deg(G^{-1}))$. If $n = 2$ it is known that $\deg(G) = \deg(G^{-1})$ (see [5]), but in dimension $n \geq 3$ this is not true in general (see [27]).

We observe that all the examples \mathcal{F} given in this paper are such that $\deg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \deg(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1})$. A natural question is:

Problem 1. Let $\mathcal{G}: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be a birational Gauss map of some foliation. Is $\deg(\mathcal{G}) = \deg(\mathcal{G}^{-1})$? If not, is there a formula relating $\deg(\mathcal{G})$ with $\deg(\mathcal{G}^{-1})$, like Plücker's formulae for curves?

Problem 2. When the Gauss map of a foliation is not birational, then the image of the foliation by its Gauss map defines a k -web, where k is the topological degree of the foliation. Then, the problem would be to find a relationship between the degree of the foliation and the degree of the k -web that its Gauss map defines.

A good reference for the theory of k -webs is the book [28].

We have seen in remark 2.9 that the hypothesis of corollary 2.3 on foliations of \mathbb{P}^n is not necessary for a Gauss map to be birational, although it is sufficient.

Problem 3. Are there conditions on a foliation of \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 4$, that are necessary and sufficient for the Gauss map to be birational?

A related question is:

Problem 4. Classify all the foliations of degree two on \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 3$, for which the Gauss map is birational. It is reasonable to start with this classification for $n = 3$ (see section 4.2, in particular).

We have seen that a foliation \mathcal{F} of degree d of \mathbb{P}^3 such that $\text{tdg}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = d^2$ has a first integral.

Problem 5. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \mathbb{Fol}(d; 3)$ such that $\text{tdg}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}) = d^2 - 1$. Is it true that \mathcal{F} has a rational first integral?

In corollary 2.4 we have classified the generic logarithmic foliations on \mathbb{P}^3 whose Gauss map is birational.

Problem 6. Is there such classification in the case of \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 4$.

We have seen in examples 9 and 11 that if $d = 2$ or $d = 3$ that $\mathcal{N}(d, 3) = \{0, 1, \dots, d^2\}$. A natural problem is the following:

Problem 7. Is $\mathcal{N}(d, 3) = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, d^2\}$ for all $d \geq 4$?

Finally, maybe the more interesting problem:

Problem 8. Is there an algebraic algorithm to calculate the inverse of a rational map $G: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, once we know a priori, that it is birational? For instance, if $n = 3$ and G is the Gauss map of a foliation satisfying theorem 3.

We would like to thank Serge Cantat and Julie Deserti for helpful discussions.

3. PROOFS

3.1. Proof of proposition 1. Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on \mathbb{P}^3 and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathbb{P}^3 \rightarrow \check{\mathbb{P}}^3$. Given $p \in \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ then \mathcal{F} has a local analytic first integral in a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ of p , say $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Denote as \tilde{f} the restriction of f to $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(p) \cap U$. Since $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(p)$ is tangent to \mathcal{F} at p , then the derivative $D\tilde{f}(p) = 0$. Therefore, we can write in local coordinates $(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(p) \cap U$, where $x(p) = y(p) = 0$, that:

$$\tilde{f}(x, y) = f(p) + ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 + h.o.t$$

We say that \mathcal{F} has a Morse tangency at p , if the quadratic form $q(x, y) := ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$ is non degenerated: $b^2 - 4ac \neq 0$. Otherwise, we say that the tangency is degenerated.

The proof of proposition 1 is based in the fact that if \mathcal{F} is foliation on \mathbb{P}^3 without Morse tangencies then it is, either a linear pull-back of a foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 , or has a rational first integral like in (1) of the statement of proposition 1. The proof can be found in [8]. On the other hand, we have the following:

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathcal{F} be a codimension one foliation on \mathbb{P}^3 with a Morse tangency at some point $p \in \mathbb{P}^3 \setminus \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$. Then the image of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ contains a neighborhood of the hyperplane $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(p)$ in $\check{\mathbb{P}}^3$. In particular, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ has maximal rank.*

Proof. Since $p \notin \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$, let f be a holomorphic first integral of \mathcal{F} defined in a some neighborhood of p with $f(p) = 0$. Let (x, y, z) be local coordinates around p such that $x(p) = y(p) = z(p) = 0$. Since $df(p) \neq 0$, after a linear change of variables we can assume that $df(p) = dz$, so that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}(p)$ is the plane $(z = 0)$. The hypothesis implies that $f(x, y, 0)$ has a Morse singularity at $p = 0$. In particular, by Morse lemma, we can assume that $f(x, y, 0) = xy + h.o.t$, so that

$$f(x, y, z) = z + xy + \phi(x, y, z),$$

where ϕ has order ≥ 3 at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^3$.

The idea is that when we take a plane $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = (z - \alpha x - \beta y - \gamma = 0)$ near $(z = 0)$, then $f_{\alpha\beta\gamma} := f|_{\Sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}}$ has a Morse singularity. In fact, we have

$$f_{\alpha\beta\gamma}(x, y) = \gamma + \alpha x + \beta y + xy + \phi(x, y, \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma) := F(x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma).$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to prove that if $|\alpha|$, $|\beta|$ and $|\gamma|$ are small then the system $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x}(x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial y}(x, y, \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = 0$ has a solution of the form $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \mapsto (x(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), y(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))$. This is a consequence of the implicit function theorem, because

$$\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x^2} \cdot \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial y^2} - \left(\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial x \partial y} \right)^2 \Bigg|_{(x=y=\alpha=\beta=\gamma=0)} = -1 \neq 0 \quad \square$$

3.2. Proof of theorem 1. Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 , defined in some affine chart $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ by a polynomial vector field X . Let $p \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \mathbb{C}^2$, so that $X(p) = 0$. We say that p is a non-degenerate singularity if $\det(DX(p)) \neq 0$. We say that p is a singularity with trace zero if $\text{tr}(DX(p)) = 0$.

The proof of theorem 1 is based in the following:

Proposition 5. A foliation of \mathbb{P}^2 of degree d has at most d^2 non-degenerate singularities with trace zero. Moreover, if $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Fol}(d; 2)$ has d^2 non-degenerate singularities with trace zero then it has a first integral of the form F/L^{d+1} where $\deg(F) = d + 1$ and $\deg(L) = 1$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation of degree d on \mathbb{P}^2 , having d^2 non-degenerate singularities with trace zero. Let $\mathbb{C}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ be an affine coordinate system such that $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. In this case, the line at infinity $\ell_\infty = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \mathbb{C}^2$ is not \mathcal{F} -invariant.

Note that \mathcal{F} can be defined in these coordinates by a polynomial vector field $X = P(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, or equivalently by the form $\omega = P(x, y) dy - Q(x, y) dx$, where:

1. $P(x, y) = p(x, y) + xg(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y) = q(x, y) + yg(x, y)$.
2. $\max(\deg(p), \deg(q)) = d$ and g is homogeneous of degree d . Since ℓ_∞ is not \mathcal{F} -invariant we have $g \neq 0$.

Let p_1, \dots, p_{d^2} be the singularities, with linear part L_1, \dots, L_{d^2} respectively, where $\det(L_i) \neq 0$ and $\text{tr}(L_i) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq d^2$.

Note that

$$\text{tr}(L_i) = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x}(p_i) + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}(p_i) = 0$$

and $d\omega = \Delta dx \wedge dy$, where

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y}$$

so that $d\omega(p_i) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq d^2$. A straightforward computation gives

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} + 2g + x \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} + (d + 2)g,$$

because g is homogeneous of degree d .

Claim 3.1. We assert that $(P = 0)$ and $(\Delta = 0)$ (resp. $(Q = 0)$ and $(\Delta = 0)$) meet transversely in \mathbb{C}^2 exactly at the points p_1, \dots, p_{d^2} .

Proof. Let $V := \overline{(P = 0)}$ and $W := \overline{(\Delta = 0)}$ be the closures in \mathbb{P}^2 of $(P = 0)$ and $(\Delta = 0)$, respectively. Since $\deg(P) = d + 1$ and $\deg(\Delta) = d$ the intersection $V \cap W$ contains $d^2 + d$ points counted with multiplicity. Among these points we have the d^2 points p_1, \dots, p_{d^2} . The other points of intersection are at the line of infinity ℓ_∞ and correspond to the d linear factors of g . This implies the claim. \square

At this point, we can assert that p_1, \dots, p_{d^2} are all singularities of \mathcal{F} with trace zero, because $\Delta = 0$ contains all such singularities. Therefore, the maximum number of non-degenerate singularities with zero trace is d^2 : there is no other such singularity.

We have proved above that V and W meet transversely in \mathbb{C}^2 at the points p_i , $1 \leq i \leq d^2$, and at ℓ_∞ at the points corresponding to $g = 0$. Since $Q(p_i) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq d^2$, and it meets ℓ_∞ and W at the points corresponding to the d linear factors of g , we obtain that $Q \in \langle P, \Delta \rangle$, the ideal generated by P and Δ .

Therefore, we can write $Q = \alpha P + f \Delta$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\deg(f) = 1$. In particular, if $p \in \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}) \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_{d^2}\}$ then its trace is non zero and $f(p) = 0$, because $P(p) = Q(p) = 0$, but $\Delta(p) \neq 0$.

We have concluded that the $d + 1$ singularities (counted with multiplicities) of \mathcal{F} which are not in the set $\{p_1, \dots, p_{d^2}\}$ must be in the line $(f = 0)$. Since \mathcal{F} has degree d and $(f = 0)$ contains $d + 1$ singularities of \mathcal{F} the line $L := (f = 0)$ must be \mathcal{F} -invariant (see [1]).

Now, we change the affine coordinate system. Let $\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus L$. In this new affine coordinate system, the foliation can be represented by a form $\eta = A(x, y)dx + B(x, y)dy$, where $\max(\deg(A), \deg(B)) = d$, because the line at infinity $\ell_\infty = L$ is \mathcal{F} -invariant. In fact, since $\{p_1, \dots, p_{d^2}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ and $A(p_i) = B(p_i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d^2$, we must have $\deg(A) = \deg(B) = d$.

Claim 3.2. $d\eta = 0$. In particular, we have $\eta = dF$, where $\deg(F) = d + 1$.

Proof. Since $A(p_i) = B(p_i) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq d^2$, and $\deg(A) = \deg(B) = d$, the curves $(A = 0)$ and $(B = 0)$ meet transversely at exactly the d^2 points p_i . In particular the ideal $\mathcal{I} = \langle A, B \rangle$, generated by A and B , has the following property: if $H \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ is such that $H(p_i) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq d^2$ then $H \in \mathcal{I}$. In particular, if $H \in \mathcal{I}$ and $H \neq 0$ then $\deg(H) \geq d$.

Now, since p_1, \dots, p_{d^2} are of trace zero for the dual vector field, we must have $d\eta(p_i) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq d^2$. Note that $d\eta = G dx \wedge dy$, where $G = \frac{\partial B}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial y}$, so that $G(p_i) = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq d^2$. Therefore $G \in \mathcal{I}$, but this implies $G = 0$ because $\deg(G) < d$.

Hence $\eta = dF$ where $\deg(F) = d + 1$. This implies that \mathcal{F} has the first integral F/L^{d+1} . This finishes the proof of the claim and of proposition 5. \square

Let us finish the proof of theorem 1. Suppose that a foliation \mathcal{F} of degree d on \mathbb{P}^3 has a first integral of the form F/L^{d+1} , where $F \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, t]$ is homogeneous of degree $d + 1$. If F is non singular then for a generic hyperplane $\mathbb{P}^2 \simeq \Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ the restriction $F|_\Sigma$ has d^2 Morse points, so that the fiber of the Gauss map associated has d^2 points.

Conversely, let \mathcal{F} be a foliation of \mathbb{P}^3 such that the generic fiber of the Gauss map has d^2 points. In particular, if $\Sigma \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^3$ is a generic hyperplane then $\mathcal{F}|_\Sigma$ has d^2 singularities with trace zero, so that by proposition 5, $\mathcal{F}|_\Sigma$ has a first integral of the form f/ℓ^{d+1} . This implies that \mathcal{F} has a first integral of the form F/L^{d+1} (see [22]). This finishes the proof of theorem 1. \square

3.3. Proof of proposition 2. Let $\Phi = \mathcal{G}^{-1}: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be the inverse of \mathcal{G} . Then there are polynomial maps $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and $\widehat{\Phi}: \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ such that $\Pi \circ \widehat{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G} \circ \Pi$ and $\Pi \circ \widehat{\Phi} = \Phi \circ \Pi$, so that $\mathcal{G}[z_0 : \dots : z_n] = [\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_0(z) : \dots : \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_n(z)]$ and $\Phi[w_0 : \dots : w_n] = [\widehat{\Phi}_0(w) : \dots : \widehat{\Phi}_n(w)]$.

Note that the components of $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}$ are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree $\deg(\widehat{\mathcal{G}})$. Similarly, the components of $\widehat{\Phi}$ have the same degree $\deg(\widehat{\Phi})$.

The indetermination set of $\widehat{\Phi}$ has codimension > 1 , and this implies that the components of $\widehat{\Phi}$ have no common factor.

Now, from $\Phi \circ \mathcal{G} = I$, we must have $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \widehat{\mathcal{G}} = f.I$, where f is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $\deg(\widehat{\Phi}).\deg(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}) - 1$. Note that $\deg(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}) > 1$ and $\deg(\widehat{\Phi}) > 1$,

because otherwise \mathcal{G} and Φ would be automorphisms of \mathbb{P}^n . In particular, f is non constant and $(f = 0)$ defines in homogeneous coordinates a hypersurface, say V . We assert that $\mathcal{G}(V)$ has codimension ≥ 2 .

In fact, from $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \widehat{\mathcal{G}} = f.I$ we get $\widehat{\Phi} \circ \widehat{\mathcal{G}}(f = 0) = 0$ and this implies that $\widehat{\Phi}_j(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(f = 0)) = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Since the components of $\widehat{\Phi}$ have no common components, we must have $\text{cod}(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(f = 0)) \geq 2$, which implies that $\text{cod}(\mathcal{G}(V)) \geq 2$.

It remains to prove that if h is not a factor of f then it is not contracted by \mathcal{G} . In this case, we have that $(f = 0) \cap (h = 0)$ has codimension two and the set

$$U := \{[z] \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid h(z) = 0 \text{ and } f(z) \neq 0\}$$

has dimension $n - 1$ and is Zariski dense in $(h = 0)$. Let $[z] \in U$. In homogeneous coordinates we have

$$[z] \in U \implies \widehat{\Phi} \circ \widehat{\mathcal{G}}(z) = f(z).z \neq 0 \implies$$

$$\dim(\Phi \circ \mathcal{G}(U)) = n - 1 \implies \dim(\mathcal{G}(U)) = n - 1,$$

so that, $\dim(\mathcal{G}(h = 0)) = n - 1$ and $(h = 0)$ is not contracted, as we wished. \square

3.4. Proof of proposition 3. Let $\eta = \sum_{j=0}^n A_j(z)dz_j$ be an integrable form representing \mathcal{F} in homogeneous coordinates, where A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree satisfying the relation $i_R \eta = \sum_{j=0}^n z_j A_j(z) = 0$. In this case, we have

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}[z] = [\widehat{\mathcal{G}}(z)] = [A_0(z) : \dots : A_n(z)] = [w_0 : \dots : w_n],$$

which by hypothesis is birational. Let $\Phi[z] = [\widehat{\Phi}(z)] = [\widehat{\Phi}_0(z) : \widehat{\Phi}_1(z) : \dots : \widehat{\Phi}_n(z)]$ be the inverse of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$, so that $\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \widehat{\Phi}(w) = g(w).w$, where $g \in \mathbb{C}[z_0, \dots, z_n]$ is non constant. Then

$$\widehat{\Phi}^*(\eta) = \sum_{j=0}^n A_j(\widehat{\Phi}(w)) d\widehat{\Phi}_j = g(w) \sum_{j=0}^n w_j d\widehat{\Phi}_j,$$

so that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}^*}(\mathcal{F})$ is represented by the form $\theta := \sum_{j=0}^n w_j d\widehat{\Phi}_j$. We assert that $\theta = -\sum_{j=0}^n \widehat{\Phi}_j(w) dw_j$.

In fact, composing the relation $\sum_{j=0}^n z_j A_j(z) = 0$ with $\widehat{\Phi}$ we get

$$0 = \left(\sum_{j=0}^n z_j A_j(z) \right) \circ \widehat{\Phi}(w) = \sum_{j=0}^n \widehat{\Phi}_j(w) A_j \circ \widehat{\Phi}(w) = g(w) \sum_{j=0}^n w_j \widehat{\Phi}_j(w) \implies$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^n w_j \widehat{\Phi}_j(w) = 0 \implies \theta = \sum_{j=0}^n w_j d\widehat{\Phi}_j = -\sum_{j=0}^n \widehat{\Phi}_j(w) dw_j$$

Since $\widehat{\Phi}$ is birational, we get the result. \square

3.5. Proof of theorem 2. Let $\mathcal{F} = F^*(\mathcal{G})$, where $F: \mathbb{P}^3 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ has non planar fibers, except for a finite number of them. We assume that the Gauss map $G_{\mathcal{F}}$ is birational and that $G_{\mathcal{F}}$ contracts a hypersurface S not \mathcal{F} -invariant. Remark that S is not a plane, because it is not invariant. The idea is to prove that \mathcal{F} has infinitely many algebraic leaves. By a theorem of Darboux, this implies that \mathcal{F} has a rational first integral (see [17]). Let us prove this fact.

Since S is not contracted to a point, $C := G_{\mathcal{F}}(S)$ is a curve of $\check{\mathbb{P}}^3$. In particular, there is a finite subset $A \subset C$ such that for any $m \in C' := C \setminus A$ then $G_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m)$ is a

curve of S . Note that $G_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m)$ is a plane curve, because it is contracted to a point by $G_{\mathcal{F}}$. Moreover, $G_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m)$ is contained in a leaf of \mathcal{F} , by the definition of $G_{\mathcal{F}}$.

Since the generic fibers of F are not plane curves, taking a generic $m \in C'$, we can suppose also that $G_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m)$ is not a fiber of F , so that \hat{C} , the closure of $F(G_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m))$, is a curve of \mathbb{P}^2 , contained in a leaf of \mathcal{G} .

$$(\mathbb{P}^2, \hat{C}) \xleftarrow{F} (\mathbb{P}^3, S \supset G_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m)) \xrightarrow{G_{\mathcal{F}}} (\check{\mathbb{P}}^3, C \ni m)$$

Now, since the fibers of F are not plane curves $G_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m) \subset F^{-1}(\hat{C})$, but $G_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m) \neq F^{-1}(\hat{C})$, so that $F^{-1}(\hat{C})$ is an algebraic surface of \mathbb{P}^3 . Since $\mathcal{F} = F^*(\mathcal{G})$ we get the leaf of \mathcal{F} containing $G_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m)$ is algebraic.

Now, if $m' \in C'$ and $m' \neq m$ then $G_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m') \neq G_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m)$, so that the leaves of \mathcal{F} that contain $G_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m)$ and $G_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(m')$ are different. This proves the result. \square

3.6. Proofs of theorem 3 and of corollaries 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4.

3.6.1. *Proof of theorem 3.* Let \mathcal{F} be a foliation on \mathbb{P}^3 with $Sing_2(\mathcal{F}) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$ and $deg(\mathcal{F}) = d$. Let $\mathbb{P}^2 \simeq \Sigma \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ be a 2-plane in general position with \mathcal{F} , in the sense that Σ intersects transversely $Sing(\mathcal{F})$. Since $dim(\Sigma) = 2$, this implies that:

1. $\Sigma \cap Sing(\mathcal{F}) \subset Sing_2(\mathcal{F})$.
2. For all $i = 1, \dots, k$, $\Sigma \cap V_i$ contains exactly $deg(V_i)$ points, say p_{ij} , $1 \leq j \leq deg(V_i)$.

Since $p \in V_i \mapsto \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_i, p)$ is constant in a Zariski dense subset of V_i , we can assume also that:

3. $\mu(\mathcal{F}, V_i, p_{ij}) = \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_i)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq deg(V_i)$.
4. $cod(Sing(\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma})) \geq 2$. In particular, all singularities of $\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma}$ are isolated.

In this case, we have (see [23]):

$$\sum_{p \in Sing(\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma})} \mu(\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma}, p) = d^2 + d + 1.$$

Since $\Sigma \cap Sing(\mathcal{F}) \subset Sing_2(\mathcal{F})$ we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_i) \cdot deg(V_i) = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{deg(V_i)} \mu(\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma}, p_{ij}) \leq d^2 + d + 1$$

Suppose now that $\sum_{i=1}^k \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_i) \cdot deg(V_i) < d^2 + d + 1$. Let $m = (d^2 + d + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^k \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_i) \cdot deg(V_i)$. In this case, if $\Sigma \simeq \mathbb{P}^2$ is a 2-plane of \mathbb{P}^3 transverse to $Sing_2(\mathcal{F})$ then the singularities of the restricted foliation $\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma}$ are of two kinds: the points of $\Sigma \cap Sing_2(\mathcal{F})$ and the tangencies between the leaves of \mathcal{F} and Σ . After a small perturbation of the plane Σ , we can assume that these tangencies are all of Morse type (cf. [8]). On the other hand, the number of singularities of $\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma}$ on $\Sigma \cap \bigcup_i V_i$, counted with multiplicities, is exactly

$$\sum_{p \in \Sigma \cap Sing_2(\mathcal{F})} \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_i) \cdot deg(V_i) \implies$$

the other singularities of $\mathcal{F}|_{\Sigma}$ are the m Morse tangencies. Hence $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(\Sigma)$ contains m points. \square

3.6.2. *Proof of corollary 2.2.* First of all, let p_1, \dots, p_N , $N = d^2 + d$, be the singularities of $\mathcal{F}_o|_\Sigma$ with generic linear part. Since $\mathcal{F}_o|_\Sigma$ has degree d , it must have a total of $d^2 + d + 1 = N + 1$ singularities, counted with multiplicity. But, the hypothesis implies that $\mu(\mathcal{F}_o|_\Sigma, p_j) = 1$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, so that \mathcal{F}_o must have another singularity, say q , with $\mu(\mathcal{F}_o, q) = 1$. Since $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}_o}$ is dominant, the singularity q is necessarily a Morse center and q is Morse tangency of \mathcal{F}_o with Σ . Now, we use the known fact that singularities with non degenerate linear part are stable by small deformations of the foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 (cf [23]). This implies that:

1. The irreducible component V_{oj} of $Sing_2(\mathcal{F}_o)$ containing p_j satisfies $\mu(\mathcal{F}_o, V_{oj}) = 1$, so that

$$\sum_{V \subset Sing_2(\mathcal{F}_o)} \mu(\mathcal{F}_o, V).deg(V) = d^2 + d.$$

2. When we deform \mathcal{F}_o inside the irreducible component Z of $Fol(3, d)$, the deformed foliation, say $\mathcal{F} \in Z$, must also satisfy (see [23]):

$$\sum_{V \subset Sing_2(\mathcal{F})} \mu(\mathcal{F}, V).deg(V) = d^2 + d.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

3.6.3. *Proof of corollary 2.3.* Let \mathcal{F} and V_1, \dots, V_k be as in the hypothesis of corollary 2.3 and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be its Gauss map. The idea is to prove that a generic hyperplane of \mathbb{P}^n has just one tangency point with the foliation. This implies that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is birational because it is dominant.

First of all, if $\mathbb{P}^2 \simeq \Sigma_2$ is a generic two plane of \mathbb{P}^n then it has exactly one Morse tangency point with \mathcal{F} at a point in $\Sigma_2 \setminus Sing(\mathcal{F})$. This can be proved using the condition $\sum_{j=1}^k \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_j).deg(V_j) = d^2 + d$. The proof is done in the same way of the proof of theorem 3. We leave the details for the reader.

Now, since $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is dominant a generic $(n-1)$ -plane of \mathbb{P}^n has at least one tangency of Morse type with \mathcal{F} at a non singular point. Suppose by contradiction that there exists a hyperplane, say Σ_{n-1} of \mathbb{P}^n with at least two Morse tangencies with \mathcal{F} , say m_1 and m_2 . In this case, let $\Sigma_2 \subset \Sigma_{n-1}$ be a 2-plane containing the points m_1 and m_2 . Then it is clear that Σ_2 would have Tangencies of Morse type with \mathcal{F} at these points, a contradiction. \square

3.6.4. *Proof of corollary 2.4.* Let \mathcal{F} and V_1, \dots, V_k be as before. Since $\mu(\mathcal{F}, V_i) \geq 1$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_j).deg(V_j) \geq \sum_{j=1}^k deg(V_j) = d^2 + d \quad \square$$

3.7. **Proof of theorem 4.** Let ω be an integrable 1-form on \mathbb{C}^4 defining a monomial foliation on \mathbb{P}^3 . We can write $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^4 A_i(z)dz_i$, where the A_i 's are monomials of degree ≥ 3 .

$$A_i(z) = \lambda_i z_1^{a_{i1}} \dots z_4^{a_{i4}}, \quad a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \quad i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}.$$

Setting $B_i = z_i A_i$, we can write

$$\omega = B_1 \frac{dz_1}{z_1} + B_2 \frac{dz_2}{z_2} + B_3 \frac{dz_3}{z_3} + B_4 \frac{dz_4}{z_4}$$

The condition $i_R\omega = 0$ implies that $B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4 = 0$. Note that $B_i \neq 0$, $\forall i$. In fact, if $B_4 = 0$ for instance, then $\omega = A_1 dz_1 + A_2 dz_2 + A_3 dz_3$ and \mathcal{G}_ω cannot be dominant. We assert that after a permutation of the indexes, we can assume that $B_1 + B_2 = 0$ and $B_3 + B_4 = 0$.

We will prove by induction on the degree $d \geq 1$ of the B_i 's that $B_1 = -B_i$ for some $i > 1$.

For $d = 1$ the proof is left to the reader. Suppose by induction that the assertion is true for $d \geq 1$ and let us prove that it is true for $d + 1$.

Since $B_1 \neq 0$ there exists j such that $\frac{\partial B_1}{\partial z_j} \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{\partial B_i}{\partial z_j} = 0$. If $\frac{\partial B_i}{\partial z_j} \neq 0$ for all $i > 1$, then $\frac{\partial B_i}{\partial z_j}$ is a monomial of degree d for all i and the induction hypothesis implies that there there is $k > 1$ such that $\frac{\partial B_1}{\partial z_j} = -\frac{\partial B_k}{\partial z_j}$, so that $B_1 + B_k = 0$, because they are monomials. After a permutation of the indexes we can assume that $B_1 + B_2 = 0$ and $B_3 + B_4 = 0$.

If there is $i > k > 1$ such that $\frac{\partial B_i}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial B_k}{\partial z_j} = 0$, then after a permutation of the indexes, we can assume that $\frac{\partial B_1}{\partial z_j} = -\frac{\partial B_2}{\partial z_j} \neq 0$, which implies $B_1 + B_2 = 0$ and $B_3 + B_4 = 0$.

The remaining possibility is that for just one $i > 1$, for instance $i = 4$, we have $\frac{\partial B_4}{\partial z_j} = 0$. But, as the reader can check, this is not possible.

Now, if $B_1 = -B_2$ and $B_3 = -B_4$ we have $\omega = B_1\Theta_{12} + B_3\Theta_{34}$, where $\Theta_{ij} = \frac{dz_i}{z_i} - \frac{dz_j}{z_j}$. Since $d\Theta_{ij} = 0$ and $\Theta_{ij} = \frac{z_i}{z_i}d\left(\frac{z_i}{z_j}\right)$, the reader can check that integrability condition $\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = d\left(\frac{B_1}{B_3}\right) \wedge \Theta_{12} \wedge \Theta_{34} = 0 &\implies d\left(\frac{B_1}{B_3}\right) \wedge d\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) \wedge d\left(\frac{z_3}{z_4}\right) = 0 \\ &\implies \frac{B_1}{B_3} = \lambda \left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)^{\pm p} \left(\frac{z_3}{z_4}\right)^{\pm q}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $p + q \geq 1$. In particular, B_1/B_3 is non-constant and after a linear change of variables, we can assume $\lambda = 1$. This implies,

$$\begin{aligned} B_3^{-1} \cdot \omega &= \pm \frac{B_1}{B_3} \left(\frac{dz_1}{z_1} - \frac{dz_2}{z_2}\right) + \left(\frac{dz_3}{z_3} - \frac{dz_4}{z_4}\right) = \\ &= B_3^{-1} \cdot \omega = \left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right)^{\pm p} \left(\frac{z_3}{z_4}\right)^{\pm q} \left[\pm \frac{z_2}{z_1} d\left(\frac{z_1}{z_2}\right) + \frac{z_4}{z_3} d\left(\frac{z_3}{z_4}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

If we set $u = z_1/z_2$ and $v = z_3/z_4$ then we get

$$v^{\mp q} B_3^{-1} \omega = \pm u^{\pm p - 1} du + v^{\mp q - 1} dv,$$

as we wished. \square

3.8. Proofs of proposition 4 and of corollary 2.5.

3.8.1. *Proof of proposition 4.* We will apply theorem 3. The idea is to use that $Sing_2(\mathcal{F}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} V_{ij}$, where $V_{ij} = (f_i = f_j = 0)$ (cf. [3]). This is true by the assumption that $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ has no zero divisor.

Since the hypersurfaces $(f_i = 0)$ and $(f_j = 0)$ are generically transverse we have $\mu(\mathcal{F}, V_{ij}) = 1$, and so

$$\sum_{i < j} deg(V_{ij}) \mu(\mathcal{F}, V_{ij}) = \sum_{i < j} deg(V_{ij}) = \sum_{i < j} p_i p_j.$$

Now, since $\omega_{\mathcal{F}}$ has no zero divisor, we have $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^k p_i - 2$ (see [23]) and

$$\deg(\mathcal{F})^2 + \deg(\mathcal{F}) + 1 - \sum_{i < j} p_i p_j = \sum_{j=1}^k p_j^2 + \sum_{i < j} p_i p_j - 3 \sum_{j=1}^k p_j + 3 := \ell$$

Note that necessarily $\ell > 0$, for otherwise $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not dominant. In particular, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is generically ℓ to one by theorem 3. This proves proposition 4. \square

3.8.2. *Proof of corollary 2.5.* Let $\mathcal{F}_{\omega} \in \mathcal{L}(p_1, \dots, p_k; 3)$:

$$\omega = \sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j \frac{df_j}{f_j},$$

where $\deg(f_j) = p_j$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. If ω is generic, then the hypersurfaces $(f_i = 0)$ and $(f_j = 0)$ are transverse, for all $i < j$, so that we can apply proposition 4. In particular, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is birational if, and only if,

$$\sum_{j=1}^k p_j^2 + \sum_{i < j} p_i p_j - 3 \sum_{j=1}^k p_j + 3 = 1.$$

Let

$$h_k(x) = f_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{j=1}^k x_j^2 + \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j - 3 \sum_{j=1}^k x_j + 3.$$

We are interested in the values of h_k in $\mathbb{N}^k \subset \mathbb{R}^k$. Moreover, since h_k is symmetric we will assume that $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \Delta$, where

$$\Delta := \{x \in \mathbb{N}^k \mid 1 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_k\}.$$

First of all, if $k = 4$ then $h_4(1, 1, 1, 1) = 1$. Similarly, if $k = 2$ then $h_2(1, 2) = 1$. We will prove that these are the only possible solutions.

Note that if $x \in \Delta$ and $k \geq 3$ then:

$$\frac{\partial h_k}{\partial x_j}(x) = x_j + \sum_{i=1}^k x_i - 3 \geq k - 2 > 0.$$

Therefore, in Δ , h_k is strictly increasing with respect to x_j , $\forall j$. In particular, in Δ we have

$$h_k(x) \geq h_k(1, \dots, 1) = k + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} - 3k + 3 = \frac{1}{2}(k-2)(k-3) := g(k).$$

If $k \geq 5$ and $x \in \Delta$, then $h_k(x) \geq g(5) = 3$. Hence $k \leq 4$ and if $k = 4$ we must have $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (1, 1, 1, 1)$.

It remains to prove that if $k \leq 3$ then $k = 2$ and $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 2)$. In fact, we have $h_3(1, 1, 1) = g(3) = 0$ and $h_3(1, 1, 2) = 2$, so that $h_3(x_1, x_2, x_3) \neq 1 \forall (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \Delta$. Therefore $k = 2$ as we wished. Finally, if $x_1 > 1$ or $x_2 > 2$ then $h_2(x_1, x_2) > h_2(1, 2) = 1$, so that $(x_1, x_2) = (1, 2)$ (see example 2). \square

4. EXCEPTIONAL COMPONENTS

The computations of this section were done by using elimination theory and Groebner basis, with the help of the free computer algebra system **Singular**.

4.1. **The exceptional component $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3)$.** In homogeneous coordinates (x, y, z, t) the generic exceptional foliation in $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3)$ is defined by the form

$$(5) \quad \omega = t(2y^2 - 3xz)dx + t(3tz - xy)dy + t(x^2 - 2yt)dz + (2x^2z - yzt - xy^2)dt$$

In affine coordinates $(t = 1) \simeq \mathbb{C}^3$ the Gauss map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}}$ can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}} : \mathbb{C}^3 &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \\ (x, y, z) &\mapsto \left(\frac{2y^2 - 3xz}{\Delta}, \frac{3z - xy}{\Delta}, \frac{x^2 - 2y}{\Delta} \right) = (a, b, c), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(x, y, z) = 2x^2z - yz - xy^2$. As we have seen in example 7, this map is birational. Its inverse is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^3 &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \\ (a, b, c) &\rightarrow \left(\frac{ab - 3c}{2ac - b^2}, \frac{3b - 2a^2}{2ac - b^2}, \frac{a^2b + ac - 2b^2}{c(2ac - b^2)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

the associated foliation in \mathbb{P}^3 , expressed in homogeneous coordinates $(a, b, c, e) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ is given by the 1-form:

$$\tilde{\omega} = c(ab - 3ce)da + c(3be - 2a^2)db + (a^2b + ace - 2b^2e)dc + c(2ac - b^2)de.$$

$\tilde{\omega}$ is conjugated to ω , with the isomorphism:

$$\Phi(a, b, c, e) = (b, a, e, c) = (x, y, z, w).$$

This is an example of a self-dual foliation.

Proposition 6. *In homogeneous coordinates, we have:*

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}} = t^2(2yt - x^2)(-3x^2y^2 + 6x^3z + 8y^3t - 18xyzt + 9z^2t^2)Id_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}}$ contracts the reduced hypersurface

$$\mathbb{V}(t(2yt - x^2)(-3x^2y^2 + 6x^3z + 8y^3t - 18xyzt + 9z^2t^2)).$$

We would like to observe that all these three hypersurfaces are \mathcal{E} -invariant. In fact, they are branches of levels of the first integral $F = f^2/g^3$, where $f(x, y, z, t) = zt^2 - xyt + x^3/3$ and $g(x, y, z, t) = yt - x^2/2$.

Since $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; n)$ is the set of linear pull-back foliations of $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3)$ by a linear map $L: \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$, by remark 2.2, if $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{E}(2, 3; n)$ and $n \geq 4$ then $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{F}}$ cannot be dominant.

4.2. **The boundary of the exceptional component $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3)$.**

4.2.1. *The boundary of $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3)$.* As we saw in example 7, the component $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3)$ is the closure of the orbit of one foliation by the action of $SL_4(\mathbb{C})$. In particular, if \mathcal{E} is the foliation defined by ω like in example 7 then (see [8]):

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3) = \overline{\{g^*(\mathcal{E}_{\omega}) \mid g \in SL_4(\mathbb{C})\}}.$$

Here we will use this fact to determine some representative elements of the boundary of $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3)$. A well-known result says that every 1-parameter subgroup of $SL_4(\mathbb{C})$ is diagonalizable. This type of subgroup is conjugated to one given as below:

$$\lambda_{(n_1, n_2, n_3)} : \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow SL_4(\mathbb{C})$$

$$s \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} s^{n_1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s^{n_2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s^{n_3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & s^{n_4} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n_1 + n_2 + n_3 + n_4 = 0$.

The 1-parameter subgroup acts on the variables as:

$$\lambda_{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}(s)(x, y, z, t) = (s^{n_1}x, s^{n_2}y, s^{n_3}z, s^{n_4}t)$$

In order to see how $\lambda_{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}$ acts on \mathcal{E} we set $\omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \omega_3$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= y(2ytdx - xtdy - xydt) \\ \omega_2 &= x(-3ztdx + xtdz + 2xzdt) \\ \omega_3 &= t(3tzdy - 2ytdz - yzdt), \end{aligned}$$

It can be seen directly that:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}(s) \cdot \omega_1 &= s^{n_2 - n_3} \omega_1, \\ \lambda_{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}(s) \cdot \omega_2 &= s^{n_1 - n_2} \omega_2, \\ \lambda_{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}(s) \cdot \omega_3 &= s^{n_4 - n_1} \omega_3. \end{aligned}$$

If we take $\lambda_1(s) = \lambda_{(1, 1, -3)}(s)$, $\lambda_2(s) = \lambda_{(1, -1, -1)}(s)$ and $\lambda_3(s) = \lambda_{(-1, -1, -1)}(s)$ then we have $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \lambda_i(s) \cdot \omega = \omega_j + \omega_k$, where $i, j, k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ are all different from each other.

From the above, we conclude that the forms $\omega_1 + \omega_2$, $\omega_2 + \omega_3$ and $\omega_1 + \omega_3$ are integrable and the foliations associated to them are in the boundary of $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3)$. Let \mathcal{E}_{ij} the foliation defined in homogeneous coordinates by $\omega_i + \omega_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. Then $\partial\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3) \supset \bigcup_{i,j} E_{ij}$, where

$$E_{ij} = \overline{\{g^*(\mathcal{E}_{ij}) \mid g \in SL_4(\mathbb{C})\}}$$

Next we study the foliations in E_{ij} , $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$. We will see that the Gauss map of the three are birational.

(1) The first one E_{12} is defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 + \omega_2 &= (2y^2t - 3xzt)dx - xyt dy + x^2tdz + (2x^2z - xy^2)dt = \\ &xt(2xz - y^2) \left(-2\frac{dx}{x} + \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d(2xz - y^2)}{2xz - y^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The singular set is:

$$\mathbb{V}(x, y) \cup \mathbb{V}(x, t) \cup \mathbb{V}(t, 2xz - y^2).$$

Its Gauss map at the chart $t = 1$ is:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{12} : \mathbb{C}^3 &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \\ (x, y, z) &\rightarrow \left(\frac{2y^2 - 3xz}{\Delta_{12}}, \frac{-xy}{\Delta_{12}}, \frac{x^2}{\Delta_{12}} \right) = (a, b, c),\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta_{12} = 2x^2z - xy^2$; its inverse satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned}cy + bx &= 0 \\ (2ac^2 - b^2c)z - ac + 2b^2 &= 0 \\ 2by + 3cz + ax &= 0\end{aligned}$$

Solving the above system, we get the inverse:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{12}^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^3 &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \\ (a, b, c) &\rightarrow \left(\frac{-3c}{2ac - b^2}, \frac{3b}{2ac - b^2}, \frac{ac - 2b^2}{c(2ac - b^2)} \right),\end{aligned}$$

The associated foliation in \mathbb{P}^3 , using homogeneous coordinates (a, b, c, e) , is given by the 1-form:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega'_1 + \omega'_2 &= -3c^2e da + 3bce db + (ace - 2b^2e) dc + (2ac^2 - b^2c) de \\ &= ce(2ac - b^2) \left(2\frac{dc}{c} + \frac{de}{e} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{d(2ac - b^2)}{2ac - b^2} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Its singular set is:

$$\mathbb{V}(b, c) \cup \mathbb{V}(c, e) \cup \mathbb{V}(e, 2ac - b^2).$$

Proposition 7. *In homogeneous coordinates, we have:*

$$\Phi_{12}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{12} = t^2 x^4 (-y^2 + 2xz) Id_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3},$$

In particular, the reduced hypersurface that Φ_{12} contracts is

$$\mathbb{V}(tx(-y^2 + 2xz)).$$

(2) The second one is:

$$\omega_1 + \omega_3 = 2y^2t dx + (3t^2z - xyt) dy - 2yt^2 dz - (xy^2 + yzt) dt,$$

its singular set is:

$$\mathbb{V}(x, t) \cup \mathbb{V}(y, t) \cup \mathbb{V}(y, z).$$

The Gauss map at the chart $z = 1$ is:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{13} : \mathbb{C}^3 &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \\ (x, y, t) &\rightarrow \left(\frac{-y}{t}, \frac{xy - 3t}{2yt}, \frac{xy + t}{2t^2} \right),\end{aligned}$$

the inverse is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{13}^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^3 &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \\ (a, b, e) &\rightarrow \left(\frac{ab - 3e}{a(e + ab)}, \frac{-2a}{e + ab}, \frac{2}{e + ab} \right), \end{aligned}$$

the associated foliation in \mathbb{P}^3 , in homogeneous coordinates (a, b, c, e) , is given by the 1-form:

$$\omega'_1 + \omega'_3 = c(ab - 3ec) da - 2a^2 cdb + a(ec + ab) dc + 2ac^2 de$$

Its singular set is:

$$\mathbb{V}(a, e) \cup \mathbb{V}(a, c) \cup \mathbb{V}(b, c).$$

Proposition 8. *In homogeneous coordinates, we have:*

$$\Phi_{13}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{13} = y^4 t^4 Id_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3},$$

In particular, the reduced hypersurface that Φ_{13} contracts is $\mathbb{V}(yt)$.

(3) The third one is defined by:

$$\omega_2 + \omega_3 = -3xzt dx + 3t^2 z dy + (x^2 t - 2yt^2) dz + (2x^2 z - yzt) dt$$

Its singular set is:

$$\mathbb{V}(x, t) \cup \mathbb{V}(z, t) \cup \mathbb{V}(z, x^2 - 2yt).$$

The Gauss map at the chart $t = 1$ is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{23} : \mathbb{C}^3 &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \\ (x, y, z) &\rightarrow \left(\frac{3x}{y - 2x^2}, \frac{3}{2x^2 - y}, \frac{x^2 - 2y}{z(2x^2 - y)} \right) = (a, b, c). \end{aligned}$$

The inverse is:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{23}^{-1} : \mathbb{C}^3 &\dashrightarrow \mathbb{C}^3 \\ (a, b, c) &\rightarrow \left(-\frac{a}{b}, \frac{2a^2 - 3b}{b^2}, \frac{-a^2 + 2b}{cb} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and the associated foliation in \mathbb{P}^3 , in homogeneous coordinates (a, b, c, e) , is given by the 1-form:

$$\omega'_2 + \omega'_3 = (-abc) da + c(2a^2 - 3be) db + b(-a^2 + 2be) dc + (b^2 c) de$$

Its singular set is:

$$\mathbb{V}(b, c) \cup \mathbb{V}(b, a) \cup \mathbb{V}(c, 2bc - a^2).$$

Proposition 9. *In homogeneous coordinates, we have:*

$$\Phi_{23}^{-1} \circ \Phi_{23} = z^2 t^4 (-x^2 + 2yt) \text{Id}_{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3}.$$

In particular, the reduced hypersurface that Φ_{23} contracts is

$$\mathbb{V}(zt(-x^2 + 2yt)).$$

As a consequence, we can deduce that if $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ and at least one of the α_i 's is not zero, then the Gauss map of the foliation defined by $\alpha_1 \omega_1 + \alpha_2 \omega_2 + \alpha_3 \omega_3$ is birational.

Another interesting thing about these foliations is that they are in the logarithmic component $\mathcal{L}(1, 1, 2; 3)$, because:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 + \omega_2 &= xt(2xz - y^2) \left(-2 \frac{dx}{x} + \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d(2xz - y^2)}{2xz - y^2} \right), \\ \omega_2 + \omega_3 &= zt(x^2 - 2yt) \left(2 \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{dz}{z} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{d(x^2 - 2yt)}{x^2 - 2yt} \right), \\ \omega_1 + \omega_3 &= yt(xy - zt) \left(-3 \frac{dy}{y} - \frac{dt}{t} + 2 \frac{d(xy - zt)}{xy - zt} \right). \end{aligned}$$

As we saw before, they have birational Gauss map but they are not in the logarithmic components where the generic foliation has birational Gauss map (see corollary 2.5).

Another result is the following:

Proposition 10. *We have that $\omega_1 + \omega_3$ is a self-dual foliation. The foliation $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ is projectively equivalent to $\omega'_2 + \omega'_3$; and the foliation $\omega_2 + \omega_3$ is projectively equivalent to $\omega'_1 + \omega'_2$.*

Proof. For the proof we must observe the following calculation, where we have the logarithmic form of the foliations:

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'_1 + \omega'_2 &= ce(2ac - b^2) \left(2 \frac{dc}{c} + \frac{de}{e} - \frac{3}{2} \frac{d(2ac - b^2)}{2ac - b^2} \right), \\ \omega'_2 + \omega'_3 &= bc(a^2 - 2be) \left(-2 \frac{db}{b} + \frac{dc}{c} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d(a^2 - 2be)}{a^2 - 2be} \right), \\ \omega'_1 + \omega'_3 &= ac(ce - ab) \left(-3 \frac{da}{a} - \frac{dc}{c} + 2 \frac{d(ce - ab)}{ce - ab} \right). \end{aligned}$$

If we compare with the logarithmic form of the original foliations (that we wrote before); we have the result. \square

One final thing we notice with this component is the following: we have found in the boundary of the component $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3)$ a foliation whose Gauss map is not birational, since it is a foliation that is pull-back from a foliation on \mathbb{P}^2 . This tells us that the property of having a birational Gauss map is not necessarily preserved in the limits. For the construction of this foliation, we consider the 1-parameter subgroup β as follows:

$$(x, y, z, t) \mapsto (sx, sy, (s - s^{-3})y + s^{-3}z, st), s \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

now we apply this to the foliation given by ω :

$$\begin{aligned}\beta(s)\omega &= ((-3s^4 + 3)xyt + 2s^4y^2t - 3xzt)dx \\ &+ ((s^4 - 1)x^2t - s^4xyt + (s^4 - 1)yt^2 + 3zt^2)dy \\ &+ (x^2t - 2yt^2)dz + ((2s^4 - 2)x^2y - s^4xy^2 + 2x^2z + (-s^4 + 1)y^2t - yzt)dt,\end{aligned}$$

therefore we can conclude that:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \beta(s)\omega = y(-3x + 2y)dx + xt(x - y + t)dy + y(x^2 - xy - yt)dt,$$

is in the closure of the orbit. It is easy to see that this foliation has singular set of dimension 1 and it is a pull-back from a foliation in \mathbb{P}^2 of degree 2. Then its Gauss map is not dominant.

4.3. The exceptional component $\mathcal{E}(3, 4; 4)$. As we have said in example 8 the foliation \mathcal{E}' on \mathbb{P}^4 having the first integral

$$F(s, x, y, z, t) = \frac{(st^3 - (2xz + y^2)t^2 + 2yz^2t - \frac{1}{2}z^4)^3}{(xt^2 - yzt - \frac{1}{3}z^3)^4} := \frac{f^3}{g^4}$$

has birational Gauss map. This section is dedicated to exposing the details. As before, the computations were done by using elimination theory and Groebner basis.

First of all, the foliation \mathcal{E}' is defined in homogeneous coordinates by the form

$$(*) \quad \omega = t^{-2} fg \frac{dF}{F} = t^{-2}(3g df - 4f dg) := A ds + B dx + C dy + E dz + F dt,$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}A &= t(z^3 - 3yzt + 3xt^2) \\ B &= -2t(yz^2 - 2y^2t - xzt + 2st^2) \\ C &= -2t(-y^2z + xz^2 + 3xyt - 2szt) \\ E &= -2t(2y^3 - 5xyz + 2sz^2 + 3x^2t - 2syt) \\ F &= 2y^3z - 6xyz^2 + 3sz^3 + 2xy^2t + 4x^2zt - 5syzt + sxt^2\end{aligned}$$

The inverse of the Gauss map $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}'}(s, x, y, z, t) = (a, b, c, e, f)$ is $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}'}^{-1}(a, b, c, e, f) = (S, X, Y, Z, T)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}S &= -bc^3 + 3b^2ce - 2ac^2e - 4abe^2 - 3b^3f + 10abcf - 4a^2ef \\ X &= a(2c^3 - 5bce + 6ae^2 + 4b^2f - 8acf) \\ Y &= a(-8abf + b^2e + 2bc^2 - 3bc^2 + 6ace) \\ Z &= a(16a^2f - 2abe - 4ac^2 + b^2c) \\ T &= a(6abc - 12a^2e - b^3).\end{aligned}$$

Finally, we have $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}'}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}'} = t^3 \cdot h_3 \cdot h_9 \text{Id}_{\mathbb{P}^4}$, where $h_3 = 3xt^2 - 3yzt + z^3$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h_9 = & 8s^3t^6 - 48s^2xzt^5 - 24s^2y^2t^5 + 48s^2yz^2t^4 - 12s^2z^4t^3 + 96sx^2z^2t^4 + 96sxy^2zt^4 \\ & - 192sxyz^3t^3 + 48sxz^5t^2 + 24sy^4t^4 - 96sy^3z^2t^3 + 120sy^2z^4t^2 - 48sy^2z^6t + 6sz^8 \\ & + 81x^4t^5 - 324x^3yzt^4 + 44x^3z^3t^3 + 390x^2y^2z^2t^3 - 132x^2yz^4t^2 + 6x^2z^6t - 48xy^4zt^3 \\ & - 132xy^3z^3x_4^2 + 84xy^2z^5x_4 - 12xyz^7 - 8y^6t^3 + 48y^5z^2t^2 - 27y^4z^4t + 4y^3z^6 \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{E}'}$ contracts three hypersurfaces t , h_3 and h_9 . All these hypersurfaces are irreducible and \mathcal{E}' -invariant.

4.4. Exceptional examples on \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 5$. Here we generalize the exceptional components $\mathcal{E}(2, 3; 3) \subset \mathbb{F}\text{ol}(2; 3)$ and $\mathcal{E}(3, 4; 4) \subset \mathbb{F}\text{ol}(3; 4)$. A typical foliation on this component has a rational first integral of the form f^{n-1}/g^n , where f and g are irreducible and $\deg(f) = n$ and $\deg(g) = n - 1$. It will be generated by a Lie algebra of dimension n of linear vector fields on \mathbb{C}^{n+1}

Lemma 4.1. *Let $R = \sum_{j=0}^n z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ (radial vector field), $S = \sum_{j=1}^n j z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ and $N = \sum_{j=1}^n z_{j-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = z_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} + \dots + z_{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$.*

We will use the notation N^j for the vector field associated to the nilpotent matrix:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^j.$$

We assert that $\mathcal{G} := \langle R, S, N, N^2, \dots, N^n \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Lie algebra and $\mathcal{G}_k := \langle R, S, N, N^2, \dots, N^k \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Lie sub-algebra of \mathcal{G} , for all $k \geq 1$.

The generators of \mathcal{G} satisfy the following relations:

$$[R, S] = [R, N^k] = 0, \forall k \geq 1$$

$$[S, N^k] = -kN^k, \forall k \geq 1$$

$$[N^i, N^j] = 0, \forall i, j \geq 1$$

Proof. A direct computation shows that $[S, N] = -N$ and that $[R, L] = 0$ for all linear vector field $L \in \mathcal{L}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$. On the other hand, from $SN - NS = [S, N] = -N$ we get $SN = NS - N$ and $NS = SN + N$. From this we get

$$[S, N^2] = SN^2 - N^2S = (NS - N)N - N(SN + N) = -2N^2.$$

If $k \geq 2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} [S, N^{k+1}] &= SN^{k+1} - N^{k+1}S = (SN)N^k - N^k(NS) = (NS - N)N^k - N^k(SN + N) = \\ &= NSN^k - N^kSN - 2N^{k+1} = N(SN^{k-1} - N^{k-1}S)N - 2N^{k-1} = \\ &= N[S, N^{k-1}]N - 2N^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose by induction on $j \geq 1$ that $[S, N^j] = -jN^j$, $\forall 1 \leq j \leq k$, where $k \geq 2$. Then the above computation implies that

$$[S, N^{k-1}] = -(k-1)N^{k-1} \implies [S, N^{k+1}] = -(k+1)N^{k+1}.$$

This proves that \mathcal{G} is a Lie algebra and that \mathcal{G}_k is a Lie sub-algebra of \mathcal{G} , $\forall k \geq 1$. \square

A consequence of lemma 4.1 is that the 1-form

$$\omega = i_R i_S i_N \dots i_{N^{n-2}} \nu, \text{ where } \nu = dz_1 \wedge dz_2 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_{n+1},$$

is integrable: $\omega \wedge d\omega = 0$. Moreover, ω defines a codimension one foliation \mathcal{F}_ω , of degree $n-1$ of \mathbb{P}^n , because their coefficients are homogeneous of degree n . The foliation \mathcal{F}_ω is generated on homogenous coordinates by the Lie algebra \mathcal{G}_{n-2} .

Proposition 11. *The Gauss map of the foliation \mathcal{F}_ω is birational. Moreover it has a rational first integral of the form f^{n-1}/g^n , where $\deg(f) = n$ and $\deg(g) = n-1$.*

Proof. Consider the n -vectors on \mathbb{C}^{n+1} :

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &= S \wedge N \wedge N^2 \wedge \dots \wedge N^{n-1} := f \cdot \mu \\ \rho &= S \wedge N \wedge N^2 \wedge \dots \wedge N^{n-2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} := g \cdot \mu \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}$. Note that f is homogeneous of degree n and g of degree $n-1$. We assert that $S(f) = nf$, $S(g) = (n-1)g$ and $N(f) = N(g) = 0$. We will use that

$$(7) \quad L_Y(X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge X_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n X_1 \wedge \dots \wedge [Y, X_j] \wedge \dots \wedge X_n.$$

Relation (7) implies that $L_S(\mu) = -tr(S)\mu$, $tr(S) = 1 + 2 + \dots + n$, so that:

$$L_S(\theta) = S(f)\mu + fL_S(\mu) = S(f)\mu - tr(S)f\mu$$

On the other hand, by lemma 4.1 we have:

$$L_S\theta = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} S \wedge N \wedge \dots \wedge [S, N^j] \wedge \dots \wedge N^{n-1} = -[tr(S) - n]f\mu \implies$$

$$S(f) = nf.$$

In the case of g , we have $L_S(\rho) = S(g)\mu - tr(S)g\mu$ and from lemma 4.1:

$$L_S\left(S \wedge N \wedge N^2 \wedge \dots \wedge N^{n-2} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}\right) = -[tr(S) - (n-1)]\rho \implies$$

$S(g) = (n-1)g$. This implies

$$\frac{S(f^{n-1}/g^n)}{f^{n-1}/g^n} = 0 \implies$$

f^{n-1}/g^n is a first integral of S . Since $[N, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_0}] = [N, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n}] = 0$, from (7) we get $N(f) = N(g) = 0$, so that $N(f^{n-1}/g^n) = 0$. Finally, since $\deg(f^{n-1}) = \deg(g^n) = n(n-1)$ we get $R(f^{n-1}/g^n) = 0$ and f^{n-1}/g^n is a first integral of all the generators of \mathcal{G}_{n-2} , and so of the form ω .

Remark 4.1. Note that $f = \det(A)$ and $g = \det(B)$, where A is the $n \times n$ matrix:

$$(*) \quad A = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 2z_2 & 3z_3 & \dots & (n-1)z_{n-1} & nz_n \\ z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & \dots & z_{n-2} & z_{n-1} \\ 0 & z_0 & z_1 & \dots & z_{n-3} & z_{n-2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & z_1 & z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & z_0 & z_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and B is the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ matrix:

$$(**) \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 2z_2 & 3z_3 & \dots & (n-2)z_{n-2} & (n-1)z_{n-1} \\ z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & \dots & z_{n-3} & z_{n-2} \\ 0 & z_0 & z_1 & \dots & z_{n-4} & z_{n-3} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & z_1 & z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & z_0 & z_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Using (*) and (**) we obtain that $f(0, z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_1^n$ and $g(0, z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_1^{n-1}$, so that $(z_0 = 0)$ is contained in the leaf $f^{n-1} - g^n = 0$.

Now, let

$$\eta = fg \frac{d(f^{n-1}/g^n)}{f^{n-1}/g^n} = (n-1)g df - n f dg.$$

Since f^{n-1}/g^n is a first integral of \mathcal{F}_ω we must have $\eta = h \cdot \omega$, where h is homogeneous polynomial of degree $\deg(\eta) - \deg(\omega) = (2n-2) - n = n-2 \neq 0$.

Lemma 4.2. We have $h(z) = \alpha z_0^{n-2}$, where $\alpha = \pm n(n-1)$.

Proof. The idea is to prove that in the affine chart $(z_0 = 1)$ we have $\eta|_{(z_0=1)} = n(n-1)\omega|_{(z_0=1)}$, which implies $h(z) = \pm n(n-1)z_0^{n-2}$.

First of all, we note that

$$(*) \quad \omega = \det \begin{bmatrix} dz_0 & dz_1 & dz_2 & \dots & dz_{n-2} & dz_{n-1} & dz_n \\ z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & \dots & z_{n-2} & z_{n-1} & z_n \\ 0 & z_1 & 2z_2 & \dots & (n-2)z_{n-2} & (n-1)z_{n-1} & nz_n \\ 0 & z_0 & z_1 & \dots & z_{n-3} & z_{n-2} & z_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & z_0 & \dots & z_{n-4} & z_{n-3} & z_{n-2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & z_0 & z_1 & z_2 \end{bmatrix} := \sum_{j=0}^n A_j dz_j$$

Explicitly, we have

$$A_n = (-1)^{(n-1)} z_0 \det \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 2z_2 & \dots & (n-2)z_{n-2} & (n-1)z_{n-1} \\ z_0 & z_1 & \dots & z_{n-3} & z_{n-2} \\ 0 & z_0 & \dots & z_{n-4} & z_{n-3} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z_1 & z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z_0 & z_1 \end{bmatrix} \implies$$

$\frac{\partial A_n}{\partial z_{n-1}} = (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)z_0^{n-1}$. We have also

$$A_{n-1} = (-1)^{(n-2)}z_0 \det \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & 2z_2 & \dots & (n-2)z_{n-2} & nz_n \\ z_0 & z_1 & \dots & z_{n-3} & z_{n-1} \\ 0 & z_0 & \dots & z_{n-4} & z_{n-2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z_1 & z_3 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z_0 & z_2 \end{bmatrix} \implies$$

$\frac{\partial A_{n-1}}{\partial z_n} = (-1)^{n-2}nz_0^{n-1}$. In particular, we get

$$\omega|_{(z_0=1)} = (-1)^{(n-1)}[-nz_n dz_{n-1} + (n-1)z_{n-1} dz_n] + \sum_{0 < j < n-1} A_j(1, z_1, \dots, z_n) dz_j.$$

On the other hand, from (*) and (**) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n}(z) = nz_0^{n-1} & \implies f|_{(z_0=1)} = nz_n + \tilde{f}(z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}) \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial z_{n-1}}(z) = (n-1)z_0^{n-2} & \implies g|_{(z_0=1)} = (n-1)z_{n-1} + \tilde{g}(z_1, \dots, z_{n-2}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\eta|_{(z_0=1)} = n(n-1)[-nz_n dz_{n-1} + (n-1)z_{n-1} dz_n] + \sum_{0 < j < n-1} B_j(z) dz_j$$

Since $\eta = h\omega$, this implies that $h = \pm n(n-1)z_0^{n-2}$ and the lemma. \square

Lemma 4.3. *The Gauss map of \mathcal{F}_ω is birational.*

Proof. The idea is to prove that \mathcal{F}_ω satisfies the hypothesis of corollary 2.4 of theorem 3. Let us compute the irreducible components of $Sing_2(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$. First of all, from lemma 4.2 we have $\omega|_{(z_0=1)} = \alpha^{-1}[(n-1)g df - nf dg]$, so that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} df \wedge \omega|_{(z_0=1)} &= \alpha^{-1} nf df \wedge dg|_{(z_0=1)} \\ dg \wedge \omega|_{(z_0=1)} &= -\alpha^{-1} (n-1)g df \wedge dg|_{(z_0=1)} \end{aligned} \right\} \implies$$

$Sing(\mathcal{F}_\omega) \cap (z_0 = 1) = (f = g = 0) \cap (z_0 = 1)$, because $df \wedge dg \neq 0$. Moreover, $Sing(\mathcal{F}_\omega) \cap (z_0 = 1)$ is a union of (singular) orbits of the action of \mathcal{G}_{n-2} . In fact, it is composed of just one orbit: the orbit of the point $p_o := (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in (z_0 = 1)$, because

$$Sing(\mathcal{F}_o) \cap (z_0 = 1) \cap (z_1 = z_2 = \dots = z_{n-2} = 0) = \{p_o\},$$

as the reader can check. In particular, $Sing(\mathcal{F}_\omega) \cap (z_0 = 1)$ is a connected smooth sub-variety of codimension two of $(z_0 = 1) \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$. We denote it by V_1 . Since $(f = 0)$ cuts transversely $(g = 0)$ along $(z_0 = 1)$, the transversal type of \mathcal{F}_ω along V_1 has linear part $(n-1)u dv - nv du$, so that $\mu(\mathcal{F}_\omega, V_1) = 1$.

The varieties $(f = 0)$ and $(g = 0)$ cut $(z_0 = 0)$ along $V_2 := (z_0 = z_1 = 0)$, but they are tangent along V_2 in order $n-1$. This implies that, as a scheme, the variety $(f = g = 0)$ is $V_1 \oplus V_2^n$. In particular, since $deg(f) = n$ and $deg(g) = n-1$, we have $deg(V_1) = n(n-1) - n = n^2 - 2n$.

Now, from (\star) we have $Sing(\mathcal{F}_\omega) \cap (z_0 = 0) = (z_1 \cdot F = 0)$, where

$$F(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z_2 & \dots & z_{n-2} & z_{n-1} & z_n \\ 1 & 2z_2 & \dots & (n-2)z_{n-2} & (n-1)z_{n-1} & nz_n \\ 0 & z_1 & \dots & z_{n-3} & z_{n-2} & z_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z_{n-4} & z_{n-3} & z_{n-2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \dots & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & z_1 & z_2 \end{bmatrix} \implies$$

$Sing(\mathcal{F}_\omega) \cap (z_0 = 0) = V_2 \cup V_3$, where $V_3 = (F = 0)$ and $deg(V_3) = n - 1$.

Finally, since $deg(V_1) + deg(V_2) + deg(V_3) = n^2 - n = (n-1)^2 + (n-1) = deg(\mathcal{F}_\omega) + deg^2(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ the Gauss map of \mathcal{F}_ω is birational, by corollary 2.4. \square

For instance, in the case $n = 5$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f &= z_1^5 - 5z_0z_1^3z_2 + 5z_0^2z_1z_2^2 + 5z_0^2z_1^2z_3 - 5z_0^3z_2z_3 - 5z_0^3z_1z_4 + 5z_0^4z_5 \\ g &= z_1^4 - 4z_0z_1^2z_2 + 2z_0^2z_2^2 + 4z_0^2z_1z_3 - 4z_0^3z_4. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the form defining the foliation is $\omega = \sum_{j=0}^5 A_j(z) dz_j$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0 &= z_1z_2^4 - 4z_1^2z_2^2z_3 + z_0z_2^3z_3 + 2z_1^3z_3^2 + 2z_0z_1z_2z_3^2 + 4z_1^3z_2z_4 - 6z_0z_1z_2^2z_4 - 5z_0z_1^2z_3z_4 + \\ &\quad 3z_0^2z_2z_3z_4 + 3z_0^2z_1z_4^2 - 4z_1^4z_5 + 11z_0z_1^2z_2z_5 - 3z_0^2z_2^2z_5 - 6z_0^2z_1z_3z_5 + z_0^3z_4z_5 \\ A_1 &= z_0(-2z_2^4 + 7z_1z_2^2z_3 - 3z_1^2z_3^2 - 5z_0z_2z_3^2 - 6z_1^2z_2z_4 + \\ &\quad 6z_0z_2^2z_4 + 7z_0z_1z_3z_4 - 4z_0^2z_4^2 + 5z_1^3z_5 - 10z_0z_1z_2z_5 + 5z_0^2z_3z_5) \\ A_2 &= z_0(z_1z_2^3 - 2z_1^2z_2z_3 - 3z_0z_2^2z_3 + 4z_0z_1z_3^2 + z_1^3z_4 + 3z_0z_1z_2z_4 - 4z_0^2z_3z_4 - 5z_0z_1^2z_5 + 5z_0^2z_2z_5) \\ A_3 &= z_0(-z_1^2z_2^2 + 2z_0z_2^3 + z_1^3z_3 - z_0z_1z_2z_3 - z_0z_1^2z_4 - 4z_0^2z_2z_4 + 5z_0^2z_1z_5) \\ A_4 &= z_0(z_1^3z_2 - 3z_0z_1z_2^2 - z_0z_1^2z_3 + 5z_0^2z_2z_3 + z_0^2z_1z_4 - 5z_0^3z_5) \\ A_5 &= z_0(-z_1^4 + 4z_0z_1^2z_2 - 2z_0^2z_2^2 - 4z_0^2z_1z_3 + 4z_0^3z_4). \end{aligned}$$

The singular set $Sing(\mathcal{F}_\omega)$ has three irreducible components, say V_1 , V_2 and V_3 , where $V_3 \cap (z_0 = 0)$ is $(f|_{z_0=1} = g|_{z_0=1} = 0)$. The closure of this variety is irreducible of degree 15. Finally, $Sing(\mathcal{F}_\omega) \cap (z_0 = 0) = V_1 \cup V_2$, where:

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= (z_0 = z_1 = 0) \\ V_2 &= (z_0 = z_2^4 - 4z_1z_2^2z_3 + 2z_1^2z_3^2 + 4z_1^2z_2z_4 - 4z_1^3z_5 = 0) \end{aligned}$$

the first one of degree 1 and the second of degree 4.

REFERENCES

- [1] Brunella, Marco: Birational geometry of foliations; Text book for a course in the First Latin America Congress of Mathematics, IMPA (2000).
- [2] Brunella, Marco: Sur les feuilletages de l'espace projectif ayant une composante de Kupka; Enseign. Math. (2) 55 , no. 3-4, 227- 234, (2009).

- [3] Calvo Andrade, Omegar: Irreducible components of the space of holomorphic foliations; *Math. Annalen*, no. 299, pp.751-767, (1994).
- [4] Camacho, César; Lins Neto, Alcides; Sad, Paulo: Topological invariants and equidesingularization for holomorphic vector fields; *J. of Diff. Geometry*, vol. 20, n^o 1, pg. 143-174, (1984).
- [5] Cantat, Serge: The Cremona group in two variables; *Proc. of the sixth European Congress of Math.* p. 211-225, (2013).
- [6] Cantat, Serge; de Cornulier, Yves: Commensuring actions of the birational group and groups of pseudo-automorphisms; *Jr. École Polytechnic Math.* 6, pp. 767-809, (2013).
- [7] Cerveau, Dominique; Deserti, Julie : Feuilletages et actions de groupes sur les espaces projectifs; *Mém. Soc. Math. de France (N.S.)*, (103): vi+124 pp. (2006).
- [8] Cerveau, Dominique; Lins Neto, Alcides: Irreducible components of the space of holomorphic foliations of degree two in $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}(n)$, $n \geq 3$ *Ann. of Math.* pp. 577-612, (1996).
- [9] Cerveau, Dominique; Lins Neto, Alcides: A structural theorem for codimension-one foliations on \mathbb{P}^n , $n \geq 3$, with an application to degree-three foliations *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.* (5), (2013).
- [10] Cuikerman, Fernando; Pereira, Jorge V.: Stability of holomorphic foliations with split tangent sheaf; *Am. Jr. of Math.*, 130 (2), pp. 413-439, (2008).
- [11] da Costa, Raphael Constant; Lizarbe, Ruben; Pereira, Jorge Vitório: Codimension one foliations of degree three on projective spaces; *Bull. Sci. Math.* 174, (2022).
- [12] Déserti, Julie; Han, Frédéric: On cubic maps of \mathbb{P}^3 ; *Soc. Math. de France*, tome 144, fasc. 2, (2016).
- [13] Dimca, Alexandru; Papadima, Stefan: Hypersurface complements, Milnor fibers and higher homotopy groups of arrangements. *Ann. of Math.* (2) 158, no. 2, pp. 473–507, (2003)
- [14] Dolgachev, Igor V.: Polar Cremona transformations. *Michigan Math. J.* 48, pp. 191–202, (2000).
- [15] Fassarella, Thiago; Pereira, Jorge V.: On the degree of polar transformations. An approach through logarithmic foliations; *Selecta Mathematica*, Vol. 13, No 2, pp. 239-252, (2007). arXiv:0705.1867
- [16] Hartshorne, Robin: *Algebraic Geometry*; Graduate Texts in Mathematics 52; Springer-Verlag, (1977).
- [17] Jouanolou, Jean-Pierre: *Équations de Pfaff algébriques*; Lecture Notes in Math. 708, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1979).
- [18] Laumon, Gérard: Degré de la variété duale d'une hypersurface à singularités isolées; *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 104, 1, pp. 51.63, (1976).
- [19] Lins Neto, Alcides: Construction of singular holomorphic vector fields and foliations in dimension two; *Journal of Diff. Geometry* 26, pg. 1-31, (1987).
- [20] Lins Neto, Alcides: A note on projective Levi flats and minimal sets of algebraic foliations; *Annales de L'Institut Fourier*, tome 49, fasc. 4, 1369-1385 (1999).
- [21] Lins Neto, Alcides: Locally transversely product singularities; *Annales Lebesgue*, vol. 4, pp. 485-502, (2021).
- [22] Lins Neto, Alcides; Sad, Paulo; Scárdua, Bruno: On Topological Rigidity of Projective Foliations; *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, v. 126, pp. 381-406, (1998).
- [23] Lins Neto, Alcides; Scardua, Bruno: *Complex Algebraic Foliations*; De Gruyter expositions in mathematics, De Gruyter, (2020).
- [24] Loray, Frank: Dynamique des groupes d'automorphismes de $(\mathbb{C}, 0)$; *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mexicana* (3) 5, no. 1, pp. 1–23, (1999).
- [25] Loray, Frank; Rebelo, Julio C.: Minimal, rigid foliations by curves on $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$; *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 5 , no.2, pp. 147–201, (2003)
- [26] Milnor, John: *Topology from the differentiable viewpoint*; The University Press of Virginia, (1965).
- [27] Pan, Iván: On Cremona transformations of \mathbb{P}^3 with all possible bidegrees; *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 351, pp. 11-12, (2013).
- [28] Pereira, Jorge Vitório; Pirio, Luc: *An invitation to web geometry*; IMPA Monographs (2), Springer, Cham, (2015).
- [29] Teissier, Bernard: Cycles évanescents, sections planes et conditions de Whitney; *Singularités à Cargèse. (Rencontre Singularités Géom. Anal., Inst. Études Sci., Cargèse)*. Astérisque, pp.285-362, (1972).

¹ DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE GUANAJUATO, A.P 420, C.P. 36000, GUANAJUATO, GTO. MÉXICO.

Email address: `claudia@cimat.mx`

²UNIVERSITÉ DE RENNES I, IRMAR, CAMPUS BEAULIEU, 35042, RENNES CEDEX, FRANCE.

Email address: `dominique.cerveau@univ-rennes1.fr`

³ IMPA, ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA, 110, HORTO, RIO DE JANEIRO, BRASIL.

Email address: `alcides@impa.br`