

# STIEFEL-WHITNEY CLASSES FOR FINITE SPECIAL LINEAR GROUPS OF EVEN RANK

NEHA MALIK AND STEVEN SPALLONE

ABSTRACT. We compute the total Stiefel-Whitney Classes (SWCs) for orthogonal representations of special linear groups  $SL(n, q)$  when  $n$  and  $q$  are odd. These classes are expressed in terms of character values at diagonal elements of order 2. We give several consequences, and work out the 4th SWC explicitly, and the 8th SWC when the 4th vanishes.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notations and Preliminaries	3
3. Elementary Abelian 2-groups	6
4. A review of Joshi-Ganguly's work on $GL(n, q)$	8
5. Special Linear Groups of even rank	9
6. The Case of $SL(3, q)$	12
7. The Case of $SL(5, q)$	14
8. Universal SWCs	15
References	17

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Stiefel-Whitney Classes (SWCs) are important cohomological invariants of (orthogonal complex) vector bundles. This paper is part of a study of SWCs of vector bundles arising from orthogonal representations of well-known groups. SWCs for  $GL(n, q)$  were treated in [GJ23], and in [MS23] we studied  $SL(2, q)$ . Earlier work on computing SWCs includes [Gui10], which in particular presents a computer program that treats 2-groups up to order 64. Appendix A of the same paper surveys earlier work in this topic.

---

*Date:* June 28, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20G40, Secondary 55R40.

*Key words and phrases.* Stiefel-Whitney classes, special linear group, elementary abelian 2-groups.

Let  $q$  be an odd prime power,  $n \geq 3$  be odd, and  $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, q)$ . Let  $\pi$  be an orthogonal representation of  $G$ . We show how to compute each SWC  $w_k(\pi)$  in principle, and perform this calculation explicitly for some small  $k$ .

The first three (nontrivial) SWCs of  $\pi$  automatically vanish. Generally, the degree of the first nonvanishing SWC is a power of 2. We explicitly compute  $w_4(\pi)$ , and when  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , we also give  $w_8(\pi)$ . To mention here a resulting vanishing condition, put  $b_2 = \mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, 1, \dots, 1)$  and  $b_4 = \mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, -1, -1, 1, \dots, 1) \in G$ . Let

$$m_1 = \frac{1}{16}(\chi_\pi(\mathbb{1}) + 2\chi_\pi(b_2) - 3\chi_\pi(b_4)) \text{ and}$$

$$m_2 = \frac{1}{16}(\chi_\pi(\mathbb{1}) - 2\chi_\pi(b_2) + \chi_\pi(b_4)).$$

In fact,  $m_1 \equiv m_2 \pmod{2}$ . When  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , these are both even.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\pi$  be an orthogonal representation of  $\mathrm{SL}(n, q)$  with odd  $n \geq 5$ . Then  $w_4(\pi) = 0$  iff  $m_1 \equiv m_2 \pmod{4}$ . Moreover, when  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $w_4(\pi) = 0$ , then  $w_8(\pi) = 0$  iff  $m_1 \equiv m_2 \pmod{8}$ .*

In fact, it is enough to do the  $w_4$ -calculation for  $G = \mathrm{SL}(5, q)$  when  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , since the restriction map on (degree 4) cohomology is injective. Similarly, one can make “universal” calculations for any  $w_k$  with  $k$  even, by working with  $\mathrm{SL}(k+1, q)$ . (See Remark 8.2.)

For  $G = \mathrm{SL}(3, q)$  we also determine the subring  $H_{\mathrm{SW}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  of  $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  generated by SWCs  $w_k(\pi)$ , the subgroup  $\mathcal{W}(G)$  generated by the total SWCs  $w(\pi)$ , and for each  $\pi$  determine the smallest nontrivial  $w_k(\pi)$ .

The layout of the paper is as follows: Section 2 sets up notations and recalls some fundamental notions used throughout the paper. In some sense, our calculation reduces to a study of  $S_n$  and  $S_{n+1}$ -invariant representations of elementary abelian 2-groups, which we consider in Section 3. In Section 4, we review the calculation of SWCs for general linear groups in [GJ23]. In Section 5, we compute the total SWCs for  $\mathrm{SL}(n, q)$ . For  $G = \mathrm{SL}(3, q)$ , the calculation of obstruction classes,  $H_{\mathrm{SW}}^*(G, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  and the top SWC are also carried out in Section 6. We also treat the group  $\mathrm{SL}(5, q)$  in Section 7. In the final Section 8, we illustrate the method of universal calculations, by computing  $w_4$  and  $w_8$  for all  $\mathrm{SL}(n, q)$ .

**Acknowledgments.** The authors would like to thank Rohit Joshi for helpful discussions, and Varun Shah for the calculations of Section 3.4. Part of this paper comes out of the first author’s Ph.D. thesis [Mal22] at IISER Pune, during which she was supported by a Ph.D. fellowship from the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, India. Both authors thank the Chennai Mathematical Institute for its hospitality during many visits.

## 2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Representations.** Let  $G$  be a finite group. We will only consider finite dimensional complex representations  $(\pi, V)$  of  $G$ . Write  $(\pi^\vee, V^\vee)$  for the dual representation. Write  $\chi_\pi(g)$  for the character value of  $\pi$  at  $g \in G$ . A *linear character*  $\chi$  is a degree 1 representation, and  $\chi$  is *quadratic* when  $\chi(g) = \pm 1$  for all  $g$ . If  $\pi_1$  is a representation of  $G_1$  and  $\pi_2$  is a representation of  $G_2$ , write  $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$  for the external tensor product representation of  $G_1 \times G_2$ .

When  $V$  admits a  $G$ -invariant symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form, we say that  $\pi$  is *orthogonal*. A linear character  $\chi$  is orthogonal if and only if it is quadratic. Given any representation  $\pi$ , one can form the orthogonal representation  $S(\pi) = \pi \oplus \pi^\vee$  on  $V \oplus V^\vee$ , by defining the inner product  $((v, v^*), (w, w^*)) = \langle v^*, w \rangle + \langle w^*, v \rangle$ . An orthogonal representation  $\pi$  is *orthogonally irreducible* (an OIR), when it cannot be decomposed as the sum of two orthogonal representations. There are two kinds of OIRs: irreducible representations which are orthogonal, and representations of the form  $S(\pi)$  when  $\pi$  is irreducible but not orthogonal. Every orthogonal representation is decomposable into the sum of OIRs.

**2.2. Stiefel-Whitney Classes.** In this section we briefly review notations for SWCs of representations; please see [MS23, Section 2.3] for a more generous introduction. Throughout this paper write  $H^*(G)$  for the group cohomology ring  $H^*(G, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ .

Let  $\pi$  be an orthogonal representation of  $G$  of degree  $d$ . Associated to  $\pi$  are cohomological invariants

$$w_k(\pi) \in H^k(G) \text{ for } k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, d$$

known as the *kth Stiefel-Whitney Class* (SWC) of  $\pi$ . Their sum

$$w(\pi) = w_0(\pi) + w_1(\pi) + \dots$$

is called the *total SWC* of  $\pi$ . One takes  $w_0(\pi) = 1$  and  $w_k(\pi) = 0$  for  $k > d$ . When  $d = 1$ , the first SWC  $w_1$  comes from the isomorphism  $\text{Hom}(G, \{\pm 1\}) \cong H^1(G)$ . More generally,  $w_1(\pi) := w_1(\det \pi)$ . When  $\det \pi$  is trivial, the second SWC  $w_2(\pi)$  vanishes iff the representation  $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{SO}(V)$  lifts to the spin group.

The image of the Euler class  $e(\pi) \in H^d(G, \mathbb{Z})$  under the coefficient map to  $H^d(G)$  is  $w_d(\pi)$ , by [MS16, Property 9.5]. We will denote this class by  $w_{\text{top}}(\pi)$ . Let  $H^\bullet(G) = \prod_i H^i(G)$  be the complete mod 2 cohomology ring of  $G$ , consisting of all formal infinite series  $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots$ , with each  $\alpha_i \in H^i(G)$ . A series with  $\alpha_0 = 1$  is invertible in  $H^\bullet(G)$ , and therefore we may define  $w(\pi)$  for virtual representations of  $G$  by  $w(\pi \ominus \pi') = w(\pi) \cup w(\pi')^{-1} \in H^\bullet(G)$ , when  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  are orthogonal representations.

Write  $\mathcal{W}(G)$  for the ‘‘Stiefel-Whitney group’’, meaning the multiplicative subgroup of  $H^\bullet(G)$  generated by the total SWCs  $w(\pi)$  of orthogonal representations. Write  $H_{\text{SW}}^*(G)$  for the subring of  $H^*(G)$  generated by SWCs  $w_k(\pi)$  of orthogonal representations  $\pi$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, q)$  for  $n \geq 3$  a positive integer and  $q$  odd. For any orthogonal representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ , we have  $w_1(\pi) = w_2(\pi) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $G$  is perfect,  $\det \pi$  is trivial. But  $G$  also has trivial Schur multiplier and therefore  $\pi$  lifts to the spin group, e.g., by [JS21, Proposition 6].  $\square$

Let  $\pi$  be an orthogonal representation of  $G$ , and  $G' \leq G$  a subgroup. Write  $w^{G'}(\pi)$  be the SWC of the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $G'$ .

**2.3. Detection.** An important technique in this subject is cohomological detection. A subgroup  $G' \leq G$  *detects the mod 2 cohomology of  $G$* , when the restriction map  $i^* : H^*(G) \rightarrow H^*(G')$  is injective. Moreover we say  $G'$  *detects SWCs of  $G$* , when the restriction of  $i^*$  to  $H_{\mathrm{SW}}^*(G)$  is injective. For example, a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$  detects its mod 2 cohomology, e.g., by [AM04, Cor. 5.2, Ch. II].

**Theorem 2.2** ([Qui72], Theorem 3). *The diagonal subgroup  $T$  detects the mod 2 cohomology of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ .*

Let  $N = N_G(G')$  be the normalizer in  $G$  of  $G'$ , and put  $W = N/G'$ . Then the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $G'$  is a  $W$ -invariant representation, and the image of  $i^*$  lies in the fixed points  $H^*(G')^W$ . For instance, the image of  $H^*(\mathrm{GL}(n, q))$  lies in  $H^*(T)^W$ , where  $W$  is the subgroup of permutation matrices in  $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ .

When  $G'$  detects the mod 2 cohomology or SWCs of  $G$ , and  $\pi$  is an orthogonal representation of  $G$ , we may write  $w(\pi)$  for  $w^{G'}(\pi)$  by abuse of notation.

**2.4. Symmetric Functions.** The elementary symmetric functions  $\mathcal{E}_k(\mathbf{x})$  over  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  in variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are defined for  $k \leq n$  as,

$$\mathcal{E}_k(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k} \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

(By convention these are 0 for  $k > n$ .) For example,  $\mathcal{E}_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$  and  $\mathcal{E}_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1 x_2$ . We have

$$\prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i) = 1 + \mathcal{E}_1(\mathbf{x}) + \dots + \mathcal{E}_n(\mathbf{x}).$$

Similarly, there are complete symmetric functions  $\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  which are defined as,

$$\mathcal{H}_k(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k} \quad \text{for } k \leq n$$

and 0 for  $k > n$ . For example,  $\mathcal{H}_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$  and  $\mathcal{H}_2(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_1 x_2$ .

**2.5. Cyclic Groups.** Let  $C = C_\ell$ , the cyclic group of even order  $\ell$ , and fix a generator  $g$ . We set  $-1 = g^{\ell/2}$ , the unique order 2 element of  $C$ . Write  $\text{sgn} : C \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  for the linear character with  $\text{sgn}(g) = -1$ . A linear character  $\psi$  of  $C$  is *even* when  $\psi(-1) = 1$ , and *odd* when  $\psi(-1) = -1$ . Let  $\psi_\bullet$  be the linear character of  $C$  with  $\psi_\bullet(g) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\ell}}$ . It is known [KT67] that

$$H^*(C) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[s, t]/(s^2), & \ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[v], & \ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

where  $s = w_1(\text{sgn})$ ,  $t = w_2(S(\psi_\bullet))$  for  $\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , and  $v = w_1(\text{sgn})$  when  $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\pi$  be an orthogonal representation of  $C$ . Put  $b_\pi = \frac{1}{2}(\deg \pi - \chi_\pi(-1))$ .*

- (i) *If  $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $w(\pi) = (1 + v)^{b_\pi}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $b_\pi$  is even and  $w(\pi) = (1 + \delta_\pi s)(1 + t)^{b_\pi/2}$ , where*

$$\delta_\pi = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \det \pi = 1 \\ 1, & \text{if } \det \pi = -1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Straightforward; see [Mal22, Proposition 2.14]. □

Let  $C^r$  be the  $r$ -fold product of  $C$ , with projection maps  $\text{pr}_i : C^r \rightarrow C$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . By Künneth, we have

$$(1) \quad H^*(C^r) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[s_1, \dots, s_r, t_1, \dots, t_r]/(s_1^2, \dots, s_r^2), & \ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_r], & \ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

where we put  $s_i = w_1(\text{sgn} \circ \text{pr}_i)$  and  $t_i = w_2(S(\psi_\bullet) \circ \text{pr}_i)$  for  $\ell \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , and  $v_i = w_1(\text{sgn} \circ \text{pr}_i)$ , for  $\ell \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

We will later need the following:

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\ell$  be a multiple of 4. The restriction map  $H^2(C^r) \rightarrow H^2(\{\pm 1\}^r)$  takes  $t_i$  to  $v_i^2$ .*

*Proof.* This amounts to the fact that the restriction of  $\psi_\bullet$  to  $\{\pm 1\}$  is nontrivial. □

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\ell$  be a multiple of 4, and  $\pi$  an orthogonal representation of  $A = C_\ell^r$ . The multiplicity of a nontrivial linear character of  $E = C_2^r$  in the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $E < A$  is even.*

*Proof.* We may assume  $\pi$  is orthogonally irreducible. It is easy to see that an orthogonal linear character of  $A$  restricts to the trivial representation of  $E$ . Note that if  $\psi$  is a representation of  $A$ , then  $\text{Res}_E^A \psi \cong \text{Res}_E^A \psi^\vee$ . It follows that the multiplicity of a nontrivial linear character of  $E$  in  $\text{Res}_E^A S(\psi)$  is even. □

## 3. ELEMENTARY ABELIAN 2-GROUPS

**3.1. Linear Characters.** Let  $E = C_2^r$ , an elementary abelian 2-group. All irreducible representations of  $E$  are quadratic linear characters. Since  $H^*(E)$  is a polynomial ring (1), the largest  $k$  with  $w_k(\pi) \neq 0$  is the number of nontrivial irreducible constituents of  $\pi$ . In particular:

**Proposition 3.1.** *The class  $w_{\text{top}}(\pi) \neq 0$  iff  $\pi$  does not contain the trivial representation.*

View  $E$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector space, say with basis  $e_1, \dots, e_r$ . For  $e \in E$ , we write  $|e| = \#\{i : c_i = 1\}$  when  $e = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i e_i$  with  $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$ . Let  $E^\vee = \text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{F}_2)$  and consider the basis  $v_1, \dots, v_r$  of  $E^\vee$  dual to the  $e_i$ . For  $v \in E^\vee$ , put  $|v| = \#\{i : c_i = 1\}$  when  $v = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i v_i$  with  $c_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$ .

**3.2.  $S_r$ -invariant Representations of  $E$ .** The symmetric group  $S_r$  acts on  $E$  by permuting the  $e_i$ . For  $0 \leq k \leq r$ , put

$$\mathcal{O}_k = \left\{ e \in E : |e| = k \right\};$$

these are the  $S_r$ -orbits in  $E$ . Identifying  $E^\vee$  with  $H^1(E)$ , we may write

$$H^*(E) = \text{Sym}(E^\vee) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[v_1, \dots, v_r]$$

where  $\text{Sym}(E^\vee)$  is the symmetric algebra of  $E^\vee$ . The dual of above action of  $S_r$  on  $E^\vee$  permutes the dual basis. Now the  $S_r$ -orbits in  $E^\vee$  are the sets

$$\mathcal{O}_k^* = \{v \in E^\vee : |v| = k\},$$

for  $0 \leq k \leq r$ . The representation

$$\sigma_k = \bigoplus_{v \in \mathcal{O}_k^*} v \quad ; \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, r$$

is  $S_r$ -invariant, of degree  $\binom{r}{k}$ . Moreover, any  $S_r$ -invariant representation of  $E$  is a direct sum of  $\sigma_k$ 's.

For a polynomial  $f$ , write  $[f]_i$  for the coefficient of the degree  $i$  term of  $f$ ; in other words so that  $f(x) = \sum_i [f]_i x^i$ .

**Lemma 3.2** ([GJ23], Proposition 2). *For  $v \in \mathcal{O}_k$ , we have*

$$\chi_{\sigma_i}(v) = \left[ (1-x)^k (1+x)^{r-k} \right]_i.$$

**3.3.  $S_{r+1}$ -invariant representations of  $E$ .** Now suppose that the rank of  $E$  is even, say  $r = 2\ell$ . We can identify  $E$  with the hyperplane  $E_0^+ = \{(a_1, \dots, a_{r+1}) : \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} a_i = 0\}$  in  $E^+ = \mathbb{F}_2^{r+1}$  via  $\sum a_i e_i \mapsto (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r, a_1 + \dots + a_r)$ . Since  $E_0^+$  is fixed by  $S_{r+1}$ , this gives an action of  $S_{r+1}$  on  $E$  which further induces an action of  $S_{r+1}$  on  $E^\vee$ . We let  $\vartheta_k = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_k \in \mathcal{O}_k^*$  for  $k = 1, \dots, r$ . Then  $\{\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_r\}$  is a basis for  $E^\vee$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** (i) *The orbits of  $E$  under the action of  $S_{r+1}$  are,*

$$\mathcal{O}_0, \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \mathcal{O}_2, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{2i-1} \cup \mathcal{O}_{2i}, \dots, \mathcal{O}_{r-1} \cup \mathcal{O}_r.$$

(ii) *The orbits of  $E^\vee$  under  $S_{r+1}$  are,*

$$\mathcal{O}_0^*, \mathcal{O}_1^* \cup \mathcal{O}_r^*, \dots, \mathcal{O}_k^* \cup \mathcal{O}_{r+1-k}^*, \dots, \mathcal{O}_\ell^* \cup \mathcal{O}_{r+1-\ell}^*.$$

*Proof.* (1) is elementary. Write  $\vartheta \sim \vartheta'$  when  $\vartheta, \vartheta'$  are in the same  $S_{r+1}$ -orbit. Since  $\vartheta_k \in \mathcal{O}_k^*$ , for (2) it is enough to show that  $\vartheta_i \sim \vartheta_j$  are in the same  $S_{r+1}$ -orbit iff  $j = i$  or  $j = r + 1 - i$  for non-zero  $i, j$ . Let  $\tau = (1, r + 1) \in S_{r+1}$ . One can check

$$\tau \cdot \vartheta_k = v_1 + v_{k+1} + \dots + v_r \in \mathcal{O}_{r+1-k}^*$$

so that  $\vartheta_k \sim \vartheta_{r+1-k}$ . Now by a result of Brauer (see [Isa06, Cor. 6.33]), the number of  $S_{r+1}$ -orbits in  $E^\vee$  is the same as the number of  $S_{r+1}$ -orbits in  $E$ , namely  $\ell + 1$ . This forces (2).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.1.** *The representations  $\tilde{\sigma}_k = \sigma_k \oplus \sigma_{r+1-k}$  with  $1 \leq k \leq \ell$ , together with  $\sigma_0$ , are the minimal  $S_{r+1}$ -invariant representations of  $E$ .*

**3.4. Dickson Factors.** We define polynomials  $\mathcal{D}^{[k]} = \mathcal{D}_r^{[k]} \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  as,

$$\mathcal{D}^{[k]}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq r} (1 + x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k}),$$

where  $\mathbf{x}$  is the unordered tuple  $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ . Also put  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}) := \prod_k \mathcal{D}^{[k]}(\mathbf{x})$ . For example, if  $r = 3$ , then

$$\mathcal{D}^{[2]}(\mathbf{x}) = (1 + x_1 + x_2)(1 + x_1 + x_3)(1 + x_2 + x_3).$$

Let  $E$  be as in the previous section, and put  $\mathbf{v} = \{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$ . In this paper, we will encounter expressions of the form  $\prod_{|v|=k} (1 + v) \in \text{Sym}(E^\vee)$ . We note that

$$\prod_{|v|=k} (1 + v) = \mathcal{D}^{[k]}(\mathbf{v}).$$

The homogeneous terms of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x})$  are well-understood: we have  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{x}) = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^r d_i(\mathbf{x})$ , where  $d_i$  are certain  $\text{GL}(r, 2)$ -invariant polynomials of degree  $2^r - 2^{r-i}$ , known as *Dickson invariants*. For elaboration, see [MS23, Section 5.2] and [Wil83]. We call  $\mathcal{D}^{[k]}$ , the  $k$ th *Dickson factor*.

Clearly,  $\mathcal{D}^{[k]}$  is a symmetric polynomial. We have  $\mathcal{D}^{[1]} = 1 + \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2 + \cdots + \mathcal{E}_r$ , where  $\mathcal{E}_i = \mathcal{E}_i(\mathbf{x})$  is the  $i$ th elementary symmetric polynomial in the  $x_i$ . Also,  $\mathcal{D}^{[r]} = 1 + \mathcal{E}_1$ . So for  $r = 2$ ,

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^{[1]}\mathcal{D}^{[2]} = 1 + \underbrace{\mathcal{E}_1^2 + \mathcal{E}_2}_{d_1} + \underbrace{\mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_2}_{d_2}.$$

If  $r = 3$ , then

$$\mathcal{D}^{[2]} = 1 + (\mathcal{E}_1^2 + \mathcal{E}_2) + (\mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{E}_3).$$

If  $r = 4$ , then

$$\mathcal{D}^{[2]} = 1 + \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_1^2 + \mathcal{E}_1^3 + (\mathcal{E}_2^2 + \mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_3) + (\mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_2^2 + \mathcal{E}_1^2\mathcal{E}_3) + (\mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_2\mathcal{E}_3 + \mathcal{E}_3^2 + \mathcal{E}_1^2\mathcal{E}_4)$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}^{[3]} = 1 + \mathcal{E}_1 + (\mathcal{E}_1^2 + \mathcal{E}_2) + (\mathcal{E}_3 + \mathcal{E}_1^3) + (\mathcal{E}_1^2\mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_3 + \mathcal{E}_4).$$

#### 4. A REVIEW OF JOSHI-GANGULY'S WORK ON $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$

The SWCs of orthogonal representations of  $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, q)$  were found in [GJ23]. By Theorem 2.2,  $H^*(G)$  injects into  $H^*(T)^{S_n}$ , where  $T < G$  is the diagonal subgroup. Write  $T[2] < T$  for the subgroup of diagonal matrices, all of whose eigenvalues are  $\pm 1$ . It is an elementary abelian 2-group of rank  $n$ .

4.1. **Case  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .** Here,  $T[2]$  is the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $T$ , hence detects the mod 2 cohomology of  $G$ . We view  $T[2]$  as a mod 2 vector space  $E$  with basis

$$e_i = \mathrm{diag}(1, \dots, 1, \underbrace{-1}_i, 1, \dots, 1)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\mathbf{v} = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  be the basis of  $E^\vee = H^1(E)$  dual to the  $e_i$ . With notation from Section 3.2, an  $S_n$ -invariant representation  $\sigma$  of  $T[2]$  has the form

$$(2) \quad \sigma = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n m_k(\sigma) \sigma_k$$

where  $m_k(\sigma)$  are certain non-negative integers. Suppose  $\pi$  is an orthogonal representation of  $G$  with  $\mathrm{res}_{T[2]}^G \pi = \sigma$ . Following [GJ23, Theorem 1] we have

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} w(\pi) &= \prod_{k=1}^n \left( \prod_{|v|=k} (1+v) \right)^{m_k(\pi)} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^n \mathcal{D}_n^{[k]}(\mathbf{v})^{m_k(\pi)}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $m_k(\pi) = m_k(\sigma)$ . Then,  $w^{T[2]}(\pi)$  is given by (3) in the case of  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , since  $T[2]$  is a detecting subgroup.



4.2. **Case  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .** In this case,  $T \cong C_{q-1}^n$  is the detecting subgroup. From (1), the mod 2 group cohomology of  $T$  is of the form  $H^*(T) \cong \Lambda \otimes \mathcal{P}$ , where  $\Lambda$  is the exterior algebra  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[s_1, \dots, s_n]/(s_1^2, \dots, s_n^2)$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  is the polynomial algebra  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$ . For an orthogonal representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ , [GJ23, Theorem 1] gives

$$(4) \quad w(\pi) = (1 + \delta \cdot \mathcal{E}_1(\mathbf{s})) \prod_{k=1}^n \mathcal{D}_n^{[k]}(\mathbf{t})^{m_k(\pi)/2}.$$

Here,  $\delta = 0$  if  $\det \pi = 1$  and otherwise  $\delta = 1$ , also  $\mathbf{s} = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  and  $\mathbf{t} = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ .

4.3. **Calculating the Exponents.** The exponents  $m_k(\pi)$  appearing in (3) and (4) can be expressed in terms of character values of  $\pi$  at the elements of  $T[2]$ . For  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , put

$$a_k = \text{diag}(\underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_k, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-k}).$$

Then,

$$(5) \quad m_k(\pi) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{i=0}^n \chi_{\sigma_i}(a_k) \chi_{\pi}(a_i).$$

From Lemma 3.2, the character value  $\chi_{\sigma_i}(a_k)$  is the coefficient of  $x^i$  in the expression  $(1-x)^k(1+x)^{n-k}$ .

## 5. SPECIAL LINEAR GROUPS OF EVEN RANK

Let  $n \geq 3$  be odd, and  $G = \text{SL}(n, q)$ . (Throughout this paper,  $q$  is an odd prime power.)

5.1. **Detection.** Let

$$M = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & \det(A)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : A \in \text{GL}(n-1, q) \right\},$$

isomorphic to  $\text{GL}(n-1, q)$ . We view  $T$  and  $T[2]$  as subgroups of  $G$  via  $M$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *The diagonal subgroup  $T$  detects the mod 2 cohomology of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* The subgroup  $M$  has odd index in  $G$ , hence contains a Sylow 2-subgroup. Thus  $\text{GL}(n-1, q)$  detects the mod 2 cohomology of  $G$ . This, with Theorem 2.2, gives the injectivity of the composition  $H^*(G) \hookrightarrow H^*(M) \hookrightarrow H^*(T)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** If either  $n$  or  $q$  is even, then  $[G : M]$  is even, so this argument breaks down in those cases.

**Lemma 5.3.** *The subgroup  $T[2]$  detects the SWCs of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* When  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , the lemma is clear since  $T[2]$  is the 2-Sylow of  $T$ . So assume  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $\pi$  be an orthogonal representation of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is perfect,  $\delta = 0$  in formula (4), hence  $w^T(\pi) \in \mathcal{P}$ . But by Lemma 2.4, the restriction map  $H^*(T) \rightarrow H^*(T[2])$  is injective on  $\mathcal{P}$ .  $\square$

Combining this with Proposition 3.1 gives:

**Corollary 5.3.1.** *If  $\pi$  is an orthogonal representation of  $G$ , then  $w_{\text{top}}(\pi) \neq 0$  iff the trivial representation of  $T[2]$  does not occur in the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $T[2]$ .*

**5.2. SWC Calculations.** We put

$$b_k = \text{diag}(\underbrace{-1, \dots, -1}_k, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{n-1-k}, (-1)^k) \in G.$$

Let  $\pi$  be an orthogonal representation of  $G$ . By restriction we may regard it as an orthogonal representation of  $\text{GL}(n-1, q) < G$ , which is a detecting subgroup. Therefore,  $w(\pi)$  is given by (3), (4) above. These formulas used the fact that the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $T$  is  $S_{n-1}$ -invariant. But for us,  $\pi$  comes from the bigger group  $\text{SL}(n, q)$ , and so this restriction is invariant under the larger Weyl group  $S_n$ .

In this section, we exploit this larger symmetry to give a simpler formula for  $w(\pi)$ .

For  $1 \leq k < n$ , define  $\vartheta_k : T[2] \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  by

$$\vartheta_k(\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \text{sgn}(a_1 \cdots a_k),$$

and let  $m_k(\pi)$  be the multiplicity of  $\vartheta_k$  in the restriction of  $\pi$  to  $T[2]$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ , we define  $\bar{\sigma}_i = \sigma_{2i-1} \oplus \sigma_{2i}$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *For  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ , we have*

$$(6) \quad m_k(\pi) = m_{n-k}(\pi)$$

and

$$m_k(\pi) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left( \deg \pi + \sum_{i=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \chi_{\bar{\sigma}_i}(b_k) \chi_{\pi}(b_{2i}) \right).$$

Moreover, the character value  $\chi_{\bar{\sigma}_i}(b_k)$  is the coefficient of  $x^{2i}$  in

$$(1-x)^k (1+x)^{n-k}.$$

*Proof.* The restriction of  $\pi$  to  $T[2]$  is  $S_n$ -invariant. The minimal  $S_n$ -invariant representations are of the form  $\tilde{\sigma}_k = \sigma_k \oplus \sigma_{n-k}$  from Corollary 3.3.1. Therefore both sides of (6) equal the multiplicity of  $\tilde{\sigma}_k$  in  $\pi$ .

From Section 4.3, we have

$$m_k(\pi) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \chi_{\sigma_i}(b_k) \chi_{\pi}(b_i) \right).$$

Now  $b_{2i}$  and  $b_{2i-1}$  are conjugate in  $G$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ , so  $\chi_\pi(b_{2i}) = \chi_\pi(b_{2i-1})$ , which gives the first statement. For the last statement, note that

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_{\bar{\sigma}_i}(b_k) &= [(1-x)^k(1+x)^{n-1-k}]_{2i-1} + [(1-x)^k(1+x)^{n-1-k}]_{2i} \\ &= [(1-x)^k(1+x)^{n-k}]_{2i}.\end{aligned}$$

□

For  $k = 0$  we have

$$m_0(\pi) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \binom{n}{2i} \chi_\pi(b_{2i}),$$

and so Corollary 5.3.1 now gives:

**Proposition 5.5.** *We have  $w_{\text{top}}(\pi) \neq 0$  iff  $m_0(\pi) = 0$ .*

Now we come to the central result.

**Theorem 5.6.** *Let  $\pi$  be an orthogonal representation of  $G = \text{SL}(n, q)$ .*

(i) *For  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ,*

$$w(\pi) = \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left( \mathcal{D}^{[k]}(\mathbf{t}) \mathcal{D}^{[n-k]}(\mathbf{t}) \right)^{m_k(\pi)/2}.$$

(ii) *For  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,*

$$w(\pi) = \prod_{k=1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left( \mathcal{D}^{[k]}(\mathbf{v}) \mathcal{D}^{[n-k]}(\mathbf{v}) \right)^{m_k(\pi)}.$$

Here as in Section 4,  $\mathbf{t} = \{t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}\}$  with  $t_i \in H^*(T)$ , and  $\mathcal{D}^{[k]} = \mathcal{D}_{n-1}^{[k]}$  when  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , and similarly for  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . Note that  $m_k(\pi)$  is given by Lemma 5.4.

*Proof.* The restriction of  $\pi$  to  $\text{GL}(n-1, q)$  has  $\det \pi = 1$ . The theorem thus follows from formulas (3), (4) together with (6). □

**Remark 5.7.** See [Mal22] for a direct proof, which does not make use of [GJ23]. (In fact, both these papers grew out of the same seminar.)

**Example 5.8.** If  $\pi$  is the regular representation of  $G$ , then  $\chi_\pi(b_i) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ , so

$$m_k(\pi) = m := \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} |G|$$

for all  $k$ . Therefore

$$w(\pi) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t})^{m/2} & \text{when } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{v})^m & \text{when } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

6. THE CASE OF  $SL(3, q)$ 

Let us now specialize Theorem 5.6 to  $G = SL(3, q)$ . First note that Lemma 5.4 gives  $m_1(\pi) = \frac{1}{4}(\deg \pi - \chi_\pi(b_2))$ , where

$$b_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For two variables, we have  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^{[1]}\mathcal{D}^{[2]}$ , so that

$$w(\pi) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t})^{\frac{m_1(\pi)}{2}}, & \text{for } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{v})^{m_1(\pi)}, & \text{for } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 6.1.** *The integer  $m_1(\pi)$  is even.*

*Proof.* When  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , this follows from Lemma 2.5. For  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , the above gives  $w_2(\pi) = m_1(\pi)(v_1^2 + v_2^2 + v_1v_2)$ , which vanishes by Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

So write  $e(\pi) = \frac{1}{2}m_1(\pi) = \frac{1}{8}(\deg \pi - \chi_\pi(b_2))$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , put

$$d_i = \begin{cases} d_i(t_1, t_2) & q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ d_i(v_1^2, v_2^2) & q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\deg d_1 = 4$  and  $\deg d_2 = 6$ . Also put  $\mathcal{D} = 1 + d_1 + d_2$ . From Theorem 5.6, we simply have:

$$(7) \quad w(\pi) = \mathcal{D}^{e(\pi)} = (1 + d_1 + d_2)^{e(\pi)}.$$

In particular,  $w_4(\pi) = e(\pi)d_1$  and  $w_6(\pi) = e(\pi)d_2$ .

**Corollary 6.1.1.** *The obstruction class of an orthogonal representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  is  $d_1^{2^r}$ , where  $r = \text{ord}_2(e(\pi))$ .*

*Proof.* From (7) we have

$$w(\pi) = \sum_{i=0}^{e(\pi)} \binom{e(\pi)}{i} (d_1 + d_2)^i.$$

By elementary number theory,  $\binom{e(\pi)}{2^r}$  is the first odd binomial coefficient appearing in the above sum. Therefore the obstruction class is  $d_1^{2^r}$ , as claimed.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.1.2.** *We have  $H_{\text{SW}}^*(G) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[d_1, d_2]$ . Moreover, the Stiefel-Whitney group  $\mathcal{W}(G)$  is infinite cyclic with generator  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

*Proof.* We will produce two orthogonal representations  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  with  $e(\Pi_1)$  and  $e(\Pi_2)$  coprime. This will suffice to prove the second assertion. One of these two integers must be odd, say  $e(\Pi_1)$ . Then  $w_4(\Pi_1) = d_1$  and  $w_4(\Pi_2) = d_2$ , and this gives the first assertion.

Let  $B$  be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices, and  $L$  be the following Levi subgroup of  $G$ :

$$L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & * \\ 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Note that  $L$  is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{GL}(2, q)$ . Let  $P$  be the parabolic subgroup generated by  $L$  and  $B$ .

Let  $\chi$  be a linear character of  $\mathbb{F}_q^\times$  with  $\chi(-1) = -1$ , and let  $\alpha$  be the linear character  $\chi \circ \det$  of  $L$ . Then  $\alpha(\mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, 1)) = -1$ . We consider the parabolic induction  $\pi_1 = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \alpha$ . Put  $\Pi_1 = S(\pi_1)$ . We have  $\deg(\pi_1) = q^2 + q + 1$  and  $\chi_{\pi_1}(b_2) = -q$ , whence  $e(\Pi_1) = \frac{1}{4}(q^2 + 2q + 1)$ . [Here and below we use the Frobenius character formula for induced representations and identified the  $G$ -set  $G/P$  with the projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Note that  $b_2$  acts on  $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  by  $(x : y : z) \mapsto (-x : -y : z)$ .]

Let  $\varphi$  a linear character of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times$  with  $\varphi(-1) = -1$ , and write  $\sigma_\varphi$  be the corresponding cuspidal representation of  $L$ . (In [FH91, Section 5.2] this is called  $X_\varphi$ .) Such a representation has degree  $(q-1)$ . Let  $\pi_2$  be the parabolically induced representation  $\pi_2 = \mathrm{Ind}_P^G \sigma_\varphi$ , and put  $\Pi_2 = S(\pi_2)$ . Now  $\deg \pi_2 = (q-1)(q^2+q+1)$  and  $\chi_{\pi_2}(b_2) = 1-q$ , whence  $e(\Pi_2) = \frac{1}{4}(q-1)(q^2+q+2)$ .

The integers  $\frac{1}{4}(q^2 + 2q + 1)$  and  $\frac{1}{4}(q-1)(q^2+q+2)$  are clearly coprime.  $\square$

Now Proposition 5.5 gives:

**Corollary 6.1.3.** *The class  $w_{\mathrm{top}}(\pi)$  vanishes unless  $\deg \pi = -3\chi_\pi(b_2)$ , in which case  $w_{\mathrm{top}}(\pi) = d_2^{e(\pi)}$ .*

This curious condition invites further study. We offer the following consequence:

**Corollary 6.1.4.** *If  $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , then  $w_{\mathrm{top}}(\pi) = 0$  for any orthogonal representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{SL}(3, q)$ .*

*Proof.* Say  $\pi$  is irreducible. By Exercise 6.9 in [Ser77], the quantity

$$\frac{\chi_\pi(b_2)}{\deg \pi} \mathcal{C}(b_2)$$

is an integer. Here  $\mathcal{C}(b_2)$  is the size of the conjugacy class of  $b_2$ . Since the centralizer of  $b_2$  is  $M \cong \mathrm{GL}(2, q)$ , we have  $\mathcal{C}(b_2) = q^2(q^2+q+1) \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Now by Corollary 6.1.3, if  $w_{\mathrm{top}}(\pi) \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{C}(b_2)$  must be a multiple of 3, a contradiction. It is a routine matter to extend this to the case of  $\pi$  reducible.  $\square$

7. THE CASE OF  $\mathrm{SL}(5, q)$ 

Let  $G = \mathrm{SL}(5, q)$  with  $b_2 = \mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, 1, 1, 1)$ ,  $b_4 = \mathrm{diag}(-1, -1, -1, -1, 1) \in G$ . Let  $\pi$  be an orthogonal representation of  $G$ .

**7.1. Total SWC.** From Theorem 5.6, the total SWC of  $\pi$  is

$$(8) \quad w(\pi) = \begin{cases} (\mathcal{D}^{[1]}(\mathbf{t})\mathcal{D}^{[4]}(\mathbf{t}))^{m_1/2}(\mathcal{D}^{[2]}(\mathbf{t})\mathcal{D}^{[3]}(\mathbf{t}))^{m_2/2} & q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ (\mathcal{D}^{[1]}(\mathbf{v})\mathcal{D}^{[4]}(\mathbf{v}))^{m_1}(\mathcal{D}^{[2]}(\mathbf{v})\mathcal{D}^{[3]}(\mathbf{v}))^{m_2} & q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

where

$$m_1 = \frac{1}{16}(\chi_\pi(\mathbb{1}) + 2\chi_\pi(b_2) - 3\chi_\pi(b_4)) \text{ and} \\ m_2 = \frac{1}{16}(\chi_\pi(\mathbb{1}) - 2\chi_\pi(b_2) + \chi_\pi(b_4)).$$

From Section 3.4, we have

$$\mathcal{D}^{[1]}\mathcal{D}^{[4]} = 1 + (\mathcal{E}_1^2 + \mathcal{E}_2) + (\mathcal{E}_3 + \mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_2) + (\mathcal{E}_4 + \mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_3) + \mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_4.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}^{[2]}\mathcal{D}^{[3]} &= 1 + (\mathcal{E}_1^2 + \mathcal{E}_2) + (\mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{E}_3) + (\mathcal{E}_1^4 + \mathcal{E}_2^2 + \mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_3 + \mathcal{E}_4) + \mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_4 \\ &\quad + (\mathcal{E}_1^6 + \mathcal{E}_1^4\mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{E}_2^3 + \mathcal{E}_3^2) + (\mathcal{E}_1^5\mathcal{E}_2 + \mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_2^3 + \mathcal{E}_1^4\mathcal{E}_3 + \mathcal{E}_2^2\mathcal{E}_3) \\ &\quad + (\mathcal{E}_1^4\mathcal{E}_2^2 + \mathcal{E}_1^2\mathcal{E}_2^3 + \mathcal{E}_1^5\mathcal{E}_3 + \mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_2^2\mathcal{E}_3 + \mathcal{E}_1^2\mathcal{E}_3^2 + \mathcal{E}_2\mathcal{E}_3^2 + \mathcal{E}_1^4\mathcal{E}_4 + \mathcal{E}_1^2\mathcal{E}_2\mathcal{E}_4 + \mathcal{E}_2^2\mathcal{E}_4 \\ &\quad + \mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_3\mathcal{E}_4) + \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

where we have omitted the terms of degrees 9 and 10.

**Remark 7.1.** By Proposition 5.5,  $w_{\mathrm{top}}(\pi)$  is non-zero iff  $\deg \pi = -10\chi_\pi(b_2) - 5\chi_\pi(b_4)$ .

**7.2. First few SWCs.** For nonnegative integers  $A_1, A_2$ , put

$$f = f^{A_1, A_2} = (\mathcal{D}^{[1]}\mathcal{D}^{[4]})^{A_1}(\mathcal{D}^{[2]}\mathcal{D}^{[3]})^{A_2} \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_4].$$

Write  $f_k$  for the degree  $k$  term of  $f$ . We have  $f_1 = 0$  and  $f_2 = (A_1 + A_2)\mathcal{H}_2$ . (Recall that  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{E}_1^2 + \mathcal{E}_2$ .) Hence  $f_2 = 0$  iff  $A_1 \equiv A_2 \pmod{2}$ . When this holds, then

$$f_4 = \left( \binom{A_1}{2} + \binom{A_2}{2} \right) \mathcal{H}_2^2,$$

and this vanishes iff  $A_1 \equiv A_2 \pmod{4}$ . When the latter holds, then (by a long calculation):

$$f_8 = \left( \binom{A_1}{4} + \binom{A_2}{4} \right) \mathcal{H}_2^4 + A_1 (\mathcal{E}_1^2\mathcal{E}_2\mathcal{E}_4 + \mathcal{E}_1\mathcal{E}_3\mathcal{E}_4 + \mathcal{E}_4^2 + \mathcal{E}_1^2\mathcal{E}_3^2 + \mathcal{H}_2^4).$$

We have

$$w(\pi) = \begin{cases} f^{\frac{1}{2}m_1, \frac{1}{2}m_2}(\mathbf{t}) & \text{when } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ f^{m_1, m_2}(\mathbf{v}) & \text{when } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

From this we immediately obtain formulas for  $w_4(\pi)$ ,  $w_8(\pi)$ , and  $w_{16}(\pi)$  (subject to vanishing conditions) when  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , and  $w_4(\pi)$  and  $w_8(\pi)$  when  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . For example, when  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $m_1 \equiv m_2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $w_4(\pi) = 0$  and

$$w_8(\pi) = \left( \binom{\frac{1}{2}m_1}{2} + \binom{\frac{1}{2}m_2}{2} \right) \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbf{t})^2.$$

When  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , supposing  $m_1 \equiv m_2 \pmod{8}$ , then

$$w_{16}(\pi) = \left[ \left( \binom{\frac{1}{2}m_1}{4} + \binom{\frac{1}{2}m_2}{4} \right) \mathcal{H}_2^4 + \frac{1}{2}m_1 \cdot (\mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathcal{E}_2 \mathcal{E}_4 + \mathcal{E}_1 \mathcal{E}_3 \mathcal{E}_4 + \mathcal{E}_4^2 + \mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathcal{E}_3^2 + \mathcal{H}_2^4) \right] (\mathbf{t}).$$

When  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $m_1 \equiv m_2 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$w_8(\pi) = \left[ \left( \binom{m_1}{4} + \binom{m_2}{4} \right) \mathcal{H}_2^4 + m_1 \cdot (\mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathcal{E}_2 \mathcal{E}_4 + \mathcal{E}_1 \mathcal{E}_3 \mathcal{E}_4 + \mathcal{E}_4^2 + \mathcal{E}_1^2 \mathcal{E}_3^2 + \mathcal{H}_2^4) \right] (\mathbf{v}).$$

## 8. UNIVERSAL SWCS

Let  $G_n = \mathrm{SL}(n, q)$ . For  $n_0 < n$ , we may view  $G_{n_0}$  as subgroup of  $G_n$  via  $i_{n_0}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & I \end{pmatrix}$ . In this section we give a detection theorem for  $G_{n_0} \leq G_n$ , which allows for a ‘‘universal’’ calculation of  $w_k(\pi)$  in terms of character values, valid for all  $n$  sufficiently large.

### 8.1. Detection by Smaller Special Linear Groups.

**Proposition 8.1.** *Let  $n, n_0$  be odd with  $n \geq n_0$ . The restriction map  $i_{n_0}^* : H^k(G_n) \rightarrow H^k(G_{n_0})$  is injective for all  $k < n_0$  when  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and for  $k < 2n_0 - 1$  when  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .*

*Proof.* As before, we have subgroups

$$M_n = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & \det(A)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathrm{GL}(n-1, q) \right\}.$$

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_{n_0} & \longrightarrow & G_{n_0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow i_{n_0} \\ M_n & \longrightarrow & G_n \end{array}$$

does *not* commute: If  $g \in M_{n_0}$ , then going right, then down gives

$$(9) \quad \begin{pmatrix} g & & \\ & (\det g)^{-1} & \\ & & I, \end{pmatrix},$$

but going down, then right gives

$$(10) \quad \begin{pmatrix} g & & \\ & I & \\ & & (\det g)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

However there is an evident permutation matrix  $A$  (of order 2) conjugating (9) to (10). Write  $\theta$  for the automorphism of  $G_n$  induced by  $A$ . Then, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & H^k(G_n) & \xrightarrow{i_{n_0}^*} & H^k(G_{n_0}) \\ & \nearrow \theta^* & & & \downarrow \\ H^k(G_n) & \hookrightarrow & H^k(M_n) & \hookrightarrow & H^k(M_{n_0}) \end{array}$$

The first map in the lower row is injective by Lemma 5.1, as is the second map by [GJ23, Theorems 3 and 8] all  $k < n_0$  when  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and for  $k < 2n_0 - 1$  when  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Since  $\theta^*$  is an automorphism,  $i_{n_0}^*$  is injective, as claimed.  $\square$

**Remark 8.2.** When  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $k$  is even, the SWC  $w_k(\pi)$  can be computed by restricting to  $\mathrm{SL}(k+1, q)$ . When  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $k$  is a multiple of 4, then we may compute  $w_k(\pi)$  by restricting to  $\mathrm{SL}(\frac{k}{2}+1, q)$ .

## 8.2. Universal $w_4$ and $w_8$ .

**Theorem 8.3.** *Let  $\pi$  be an orthogonal representation of  $\mathrm{SL}(n, q)$  with  $n \geq 5$  odd. Put*

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= \frac{1}{16}(\chi_\pi(\mathbb{1}) + 2\chi_\pi(b_2) - 3\chi_\pi(b_4)) \text{ and} \\ m_2 &= \frac{1}{16}(\chi_\pi(\mathbb{1}) - 2\chi_\pi(b_2) + \chi_\pi(b_4)). \end{aligned}$$

(i) When  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,

$$w_4(\pi) = \left( \binom{m_1}{2} + \binom{m_2}{2} \right) \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbf{v})^2.$$

(ii) When  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , we have  $w_4(\pi) = \frac{1}{2}(m_1 + m_2)\mathcal{H}_2(\mathbf{t})$ . When also  $w_4(\pi) = 0$ ,

$$w_8(\pi) = \left( \binom{\frac{1}{2}m_1}{2} + \binom{\frac{1}{2}m_2}{2} \right) \mathcal{H}_2(\mathbf{t})^2.$$

*Proof.* (i) When  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , the restriction  $i_5^* : H^4(G_n) \rightarrow H^4(G_5)$  is injective by Proposition 8.1. So the conclusion for  $w_4(\pi)$  follows from Section 7.2.



- (ii) When  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , the restriction maps from  $H^4(G_n)$  and  $H^8(G_n)$  to  $H^4(G_5)$  and  $H^8(G_5)$  are injective, so we may use the formulas in Section 7.2.

□

Clearly Theorem 1.1 follows from this.

**8.3. Review.** We would like here to review the steps needed to determine SWCs for  $\pi$  an orthogonal representation for any  $G = \mathrm{SL}(n, q)$  with  $n$  and  $q$  odd.

Theorem 5.6 expresses  $w(\pi)$  as a certain product of Dickson factors, symmetric polynomials which can be expressed as intricate polynomials in the  $\mathcal{E}_i$ 's. The exponents occurring in the product are integer-valued rational combinations of character values of  $\pi$  on diagonal elements of order 2. To find an individual SWC  $w_k(\pi)$ , of course, one only needs these polynomials up to degree  $k$  or  $k/2$ , depending on whether  $q$  is 3 or 1 (mod 4). We have worked some of these out for  $\mathrm{SL}(3, q)$  and  $\mathrm{SL}(5, q)$  above.

By Proposition 8.1, one can also find formulas for  $w_k(\pi)$  valid for sufficiently large  $n$ . Here we have illustrated this for  $k = 4$ , and for  $k = 8$  when  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  (when earlier SWCs vanish). To find a universal formula for  $w_8(\pi)$  when  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , or for  $w_{16}(\pi)$  when  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , one would need to compute these in  $\mathrm{SL}(9, q)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [AM04] A. Adem and R.J. Milgram. *Cohomology of finite groups*, volume 309 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften (Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences)*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2004.
- [FH91] W. Fulton and J. Harris. *Representation theory*, volume 129 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. A first course, Readings in Mathematics.
- [GJ23] J. Ganguly and R. Joshi. Total Stiefel-Whitney classes for real representations of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q, \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ . *Research in the Mathematical Sciences*, 10(2):16, 2023.
- [Gui10] P. Guillot. The computation of stiefel-whitney classes. In *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, volume 60, pages 565–606, 2010.
- [Isa06] I.M. Isaacs. *Character theory of finite groups*, volume 359. American Mathematical Soc., 2006.
- [JS21] R. Joshi and S. Spallone. Spinorality of orthogonal representations of  $\mathrm{GL}(n, q)$ . *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 311(2):369–383, 2021.
- [KT67] F. Kamber and Ph. Tondeur. Flat bundles and characteristic classes of group-representations. *Amer. J. Math.*, 89:857–886, 1967.
- [Mal22] N. Malik. *Stiefel-Whitney Classes of Representations of Some Finite Groups of Lie Type*. PhD thesis, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Pune, 2022.
- [MS16] J. Milnor and J.D. Stasheff. *Characteristic Classes. (AM-76)*, volume 76. Princeton university press, 2016.
- [MS23] N. Malik and S. Spallone. Stiefel–Whitney classes of representations of  $\mathrm{SL}(2, q)$ . *Journal of Group Theory*, (0), 2023.
- [Qui72] D. Quillen. On the cohomology and  $K$ -theory of the general linear groups over a finite field. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 96:552–586, 1972.
- [Ser77] J.P. Serre. *Linear representations of finite groups*, volume 42. Springer, 1977.

- [Wil83] C. Wilkerson. A primer on the Dickson invariants. *Amer. Math. Soc. Contemp. Math. Series*, 19:421–434, 1983.

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, INDIA  
*Email address:* 51nehamalik94@gmail.com

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, PUNE-411008, MAHARASHTRA, INDIA  
*Email address:* sspallone@gmail.com