

EXISTENCE OF SOLUTION OF A TRIANGULAR DEGENERATE REACTION-DIFFUSION SYSTEM


SAUMYAJIT DAS

ABSTRACT. In this article we study a chemical reaction-diffusion system with m unknown concentration. The non-linearity in our study comes from a particular chemical reaction where one unit of a particular species generated from other $m - 1$ species and disintegrates to generate all those $m - 1$ species in the same manner, i.e., triangular in nature. Our objective is to find whether global in time solution exists for this system where one or more species stops diffusing. In particular weak global in time solution exists for all the degenerate cases in any dimension. We are further able to show classical global in time solution exists for all the degenerate cases in any dimension except one and this particular case too attain classical global in time solution upto dimension 2. We also analyze global in time existence result for the case of quadratic non-linear rate functions and also analyze a three dimensional case.

1. INTRODUCTION

Reaction-Diffusion system, originating from chemical kinetics, governs the evolution of concentration of species in time at various spatial locations. The nature of such system is the species are simultaneously diffusing and undergoing chemical reactions i.e., reversible in nature.

In this article we consider a m species triangular reaction-diffusion system where in a domain m species X_1, \dots, X_m are undergoing through the following reversible system:



The spatial domain is taken to be a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ with $C^{2+\nu}$ boundary. For the unknown $a_i : [0, T) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, represents the concentrations species X_i , for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. The reaction-diffusion system is given by the following system of partial differential equations

$$(1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t a_i - d_i \Delta a_i = a_m - \prod_1^{m-1} (a_j)^{\alpha_j} & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\ \partial_t a_m - d_m \Delta a_m = \prod_1^{m-1} (a_j)^{\alpha_j} - a_m & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\ n \cdot \nabla_x a_i = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega \\ a_i(0, x) = a_{i,0} (\in C^\infty(\Omega)) \geq 0, & \end{array} \right.$$

where $n(x)$ denotes the outward unit normal to Ω at the point $x \in \partial\Omega$. Furthermore α_i is the stoichiometric coefficient corresponds to the species a_i , generally taken as non-negative integer and the diffusion coefficients d_i are taken to be non-negative real number.

A reaction-diffusion system is called triangular system if there exists some positive lower triangular matrix whose action with the source terms makes it linearly dominated by the unknowns. The rate functions corresponding to our system

$$\begin{cases} f_i = a_m - \prod_1^{m-1} (a_j)^{\alpha_i} & \forall i = 1, \dots, m-1 \\ f_m = -f_1. \end{cases}$$

Consider the positive lower triangular matrix


$$P = (p_{ij})_{m \times m} = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j, i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \\ 1 & j = i - 1, i \in \{2, \dots, m\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The action of this matrix on the rate function vector (f_1, \dots, f_m) is the the following product

$$P \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ f_m \end{pmatrix} \leq \left[1 + \sum_1^m a_i \right] \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ \cdot \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which makes our system a triangular one.

When all the diffusion coefficients are strictly positive i.e., $d_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$ (here onwards we call this as non-degenerate setting), well posedness of this triangular system and global in time existence of classical solution is established in articles [12] [11]. In the article [5], authors first analyze the simplest three species triangular reaction-diffusion system where one or more species stops diffusing (here onwards we call this as degenerate setting). Authors consider the following reversible reaction:



where the concentrations a_1, a_2, a_3 satisfy the following system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a_1 - d_1 \Delta a_1 = a_3 - a_1 a_2 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\ \partial_t a_2 - d_2 \Delta a_2 = a_3 - a_1 a_2 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\ \partial_t a_3 - d_3 \Delta a_3 = a_1 a_2 - a_3 & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\ n \cdot \nabla_x a_i = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial \Omega, \forall i = 1, 2, 3 \\ a_i(0, x) = a_{i,0} (\in C^\infty(\Omega)) \geq 0 & \forall i = 1, 2, 3. \end{cases}$$

There are following kinds of degeneracies:

- $d_1 = 0, d_2, d_3 > 0$ or $d_2 = 0, d_1, d_3 > 0$,
- $d_3 = 0, d_1, d_2 > 0$,
- $d_1 = d_2 = 0, d_3 > 0$,
- $d_1 = d_3 = 0, d_2 > 0$ or $d_2 = d_3 = 0, d_1 > 0$.

In the article [5], it has been shown except for the case $d_3 = 0, d_1, d_2 > 0$, system always has smooth global in time solution. However and for the degenerate case $d_3 = 0, d_1, d_2 > 0$ one can find global classical solution upto 3 dimension, although global in time weak $L^1((0, T) \times \Omega)$ solution exists in any dimension. It requires various duality arguments as described in [2] [6][1][14]. The following two results one of which ensures positivity of solution and another lays the idea of maximal time interval, where we can find classical solution, are extremely useful.

Theorem (Positivity of solution). *Let $u_i : (0, T) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfies the following equation (weak sense too included) with $d_i \geq 0$*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_i - d_i \Delta u_i = f_i(u_1, \dots, u_i) & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \forall i = 1, \dots, m \\ n \cdot \nabla_x u_i = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega \\ u_i(0, x) \in C^\infty(\Omega) \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore let $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is quasi-positive i.e.,

$$f_i(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{i-1}, 0, r_{i+1}, \dots, r_n) \geq 0, \quad \forall (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \in [0, +\infty)^n.$$

Then the solution remains non-negative i.e., $u_i \geq 0, \forall i = 1, \dots, m$.

Consider triangular reaction-diffusion equation (1). Rate functions corresponding to this system satisfy above quasi-positive condition. Hence if solution exists then it will be non-negative provided the initial condition is non-negative [12][11][1].

Theorem (Maximal interval of solution). *Let $u : (0, T) \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, satisfies the following equation (weak sense is also included) with $d \geq 0$ and $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$:*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - d \Delta u = f(u) & (0, T) \times \Omega \\ n \cdot \nabla_x u = 0 & (0, T) \times \partial\Omega \\ u(0, x) \in C^\infty(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

if T_{max} denotes the maximal interval of existence then

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T_{max}} \sup_{\Omega} |u| < +\infty \text{ and vice versa.}$$

This indicates, to show global in time existence we need to show the space-time supremum norm bound of a species at any particular time is bounded i.e., showing $\lim_{t \uparrow T} \sup_{\Omega} |u| < +\infty$ is enough. Proof of this theorem can be found in the article [1].

This idea is followed widely to show global in time existence of solution [12][5][8][6][7]. In particular global in time smooth solution for non-degenerate triangular system has shown in the article [12]. Similar for three species degenerate model has shown in the article [5].

In this article we will discuss global in time existence of positive solutions of various degenerate triangular reaction-diffusion equation. We will show for $d_m > 0$ or for $d_m = 0$ alongwith some other $d_i = 0$ system-(1) always have global in time smooth solution with the help of various duality estimates and parabolic regularity. Furthermore for the degeneracy $d_m = 0$ and all other diffusion coefficients strictly positive, we are able to show global in time weak $(H^1((0, T) \times \Omega_T))^{m-1} \times L^1((0, T) \times \Omega)$ solution exists for any dimension. However for this particular degeneracy we will show global in time classical solution exists upto dimension 2. We further discuss two special cases one on quadratic bound on the rate function and another is a special 3 dimensional case. Many of our ideas are borrowed from [12][5][14][8] [7] [15].

We divide all the triangular degenerate cases in three different classes:

- (2) System - A₁ : $d_m > 0, d_i = 0$; for some $i \neq m$.
- (3) System - A₂ : $d_m = d_i = 0$, where $i \neq m$.
- (4) System - A₃ : $d_m = 0, d_i > 0; \forall i = 1, \dots, m - 1$.

Furthermore we divide the diffusion coefficients into two different index classes basically one index class corresponds to diffusing species i.e., where the diffusion coefficient is non-zero and other

corresponds to the non-diffusing species i.e., where the diffusion coefficient is zero. We denote it by the following notation

$$\Lambda_1 = \{i : d_i = 0\}, \quad \Lambda_2 = \{i : d_i > 0\}.$$

Some Further Notation:

- $\Omega_\tau = (0, \tau) \times \Omega, \quad \forall 0 < \tau \leq T.$
- $\alpha_m = 1.$

For all these three different classes of degenerate systems we first introduce one common approximate system where our rate functions will be smooth, Lipschitz and the approximate system carries the same quasi-positive character. Hence for the approximate system global in time smooth positive solution exists provided the initial condition is smooth and positive [14][16]. The approximate system is described below

$$(5) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t a_i^n - d_i \Delta a_i^n = \frac{a_m^n - \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n} & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega, \forall i = 1, \dots, m-1 \\ \partial_t a_m^n - d_m \Delta a_m^n = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j} - a_m^n}{\phi^n} & \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega \\ n \cdot \nabla_x a_i^n = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega \\ a_i^n(0, x) = a_{i,0} \in C^\infty(\Omega) \geq 0, & \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$\phi^n := 1 + \frac{1}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n \right)^{Q+2} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad Q = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i.$$

We obtain a solution of the degenerate triangular reaction-diffusion system (1) as a weak limit of the solutions of the approximate system. We use various duality estimates motivated from the article [12]. The key steps are described below.

Step 1: We extract a weak solution for those species which are diffusing i.e., non-degenerate species, which is the weak limit of the approximate solutions thanks to property of heat kernel and Dunford-Pettis' theorem.

Step 2: We will find some uniform norm bound of the solutions of a particular species of the approximate system in some large L^p space where the bound will be uniform with respect to the index n .

Step 3: By duality we will find uniform norm bound of the solutions of all species of the approximate system in same large L^p space where the bound will be uniform with respect to the index n .

Step 4: Using integrability estimate [see appendix (28)] we will arrive at a uniform L^∞ time-space bound for all the species where the bound is uniform with respect to the index n . In the light

of "maximal interval of solution" theorem, this estimate will establish global in time existence of solution.

Step 5: The approximate system corresponds to a degenerate species satisfies a sequence of o.d.e.'s, instead of p.d.e.'s. As the unknown also presents in rate functions, by Picard type iteration and Vitali convergence lemma we can extract a weak solution for the ordinary differential equation corresponding to degenerate species. To obtain this weak limit from the sequence of o.d.e.'s, we need some condition on stoichiometric coefficients. The particular condition is stated below

Assumption 1 (Stoichiometric Condition(SC)).

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_i \in [1, \infty), \forall i \in \Lambda_1, \\ \exists \alpha_j \in \{1\} \cup [2, \infty), \text{ for some } j \in \Lambda_1. \end{cases}$$

Note: For the degenerate class system-A₃(4) the stoichiometric condition(1) is automatically satisfied. So we don't need this condition for any result related to system-A₃.

The above mentioned iterative argument can be found in the article [5], where the authors analyze the existence result of a particular four species degenerate reaction-diffusion system.

For system-A₃(4) step 1 and step 5 directly yields a global in time positive weak solution. However the step 3, we are able to obtain it upto 2 dimension thanks to the heat kernel estimate by fundamental solution of the heat equation as described in the articles [10][3].

Next we state our main results.

Theorem 1. *Under condition SC(1), there exists unique positive global in time classical solution in any dimension for system-A₁(2).*

Theorem 2. *Under condition SC(1), there exists unique positive global in time classical solution in any dimension for system-A₂(3).*

Theorem 3. *There exists positive global in time weak $(H^1(\Omega_T))^{m-1} \times L^1(\Omega_T)$ solution for system-A₃(4), in any dimension. However unique positive global in time classical solution exists for system-A₃(4) in dimension 1 and 2.*

2. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTION OF DEGENERATE TRIANGULAR SYSTEM-A₁

Our first goal is to extract a weak convergent subsequence of non-degenerate species from the solution of approximate system.

Rate functions associated with each of the approximate system(5) is globally Lipschitz with positive smooth initial condition. Hence we have positive smooth global in time solution $a_i^n : \Omega_T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, for each of the approximate system. Positivity comes from quasi-positive nature of each of the approximate system [1] [14][13].

Let's define a positive functional based on the solutions of the approximate system

$$E(a_i^n : i = 1, 2 \dots, m) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_1^m \alpha_i (a_i^n (\ln a_i^n - 1) + 1).$$

Differentiating entropy with respect to time and replacing time derivative of the species from the approximate system(5), we obtain:

$$\frac{dE(a_i^n : i = 1, 2 \dots, m)}{d t} = - \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i d_i \frac{|\nabla a_i^n|^2}{a_i^n} - \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left(a_m^n - \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j} \right)}{\phi^n} \ln \left(\frac{a_m^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}} \right).$$

Integrating the above relation with respect to time yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (a_i^n (\ln a_i^n - 1) + 1) + \int_{\Omega_T} \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i d_i \frac{|\nabla a_i^n|^2}{a_i^n} \\ + \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{\left(a_m^n - \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j} \right)}{\phi^n} \ln \left(\frac{a_m^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}} \right) \leq E(a_{i,0} : i = 1, 2 \dots, m). \end{aligned}$$

The following two bounds follows from the above relation

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n \leq M_2, \\ \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i^n \ln a_i^n| \leq M_2 + E(a_{i,0} : i = 1, 2 \dots, m) + e^{-1} |\Omega| > 0, \end{cases}$$

where, $M_2 = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{\alpha_i\} e^2 |\Omega| + \frac{\max_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{\alpha_i\}}{\min_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \{\alpha_i\}} E(a_{i,0} : i = 1, 2 \dots, m) > 0$.

Furthermore condition (6) implies uniform integrability of $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^n$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider the following algebraic inequality for two positive quantity x, y

$$x \leq \kappa y + \frac{1}{\ln \kappa} (y - x) \ln \left(\frac{y}{x} \right) \quad \forall \kappa > 1.$$

Replacing x by $\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n}$ and y by $\frac{a_m^n}{\phi^n}$, we obtain the following inequality

$$\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n} \leq \kappa \frac{a_m^n}{\phi^n} + \frac{1}{\ln \kappa} \frac{\left(a_m^n - \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j} \right)}{\phi^n} \ln \left(\frac{a_m^n}{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}} \right)$$

The following two bounds one can deduce as a conclusion of the above algebraic inequality and relation (6)

$$(7) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n} \leq 2TM_2 + \frac{1}{\ln 2} E(a_{i,0} : i = 1, 2, \dots, m). \\ \left\{ \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is uniformly integrable.} \end{array} \right.$$

Define $g^n = \frac{a_m^n - \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n}$, the above bounds yields

$$(8) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \|g^n\|_{L^1(\Omega_T)} \leq M_2T + 2TM_2 + \frac{1}{\ln 2} E(a_{i,0} : i = 1, 2, \dots, m). \\ \{g^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is uniformly integrable.} \end{array} \right.$$

By Dunford Petties' theorem g^n is weakly compact. So from the property of heat kernel we can conclude $\exists a_i$, such that, a_i^n converges to a_i a.e in $[0, T) \times \Omega, \forall i \in \Lambda_2$. Vitali convergence lemma furthermore yields $a_i^n \rightarrow a_i$ in $L^1(\Omega_T), \forall i \in \Lambda_2$.

Next we are moving to show uniform L^∞ time space bound for all the species by showing some uniform $L^p(\Omega_T)$ norm bound, where p can be large. All these bounds will be uniform with respect to the index n . The proposition is as follows

Proposition 4. *There exists a positive constant \tilde{C} , independent of the index 'n' and depends only on initial condition and time T , such that*

$$\|a_i^n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} < \tilde{C}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proof: We have the following identity for $i \in \Lambda_1$

$$(9) \quad -\partial_t a_i^n = \partial_t a_m^n - d_m \Delta a_m^n, \quad n \cdot \nabla_x a_i^n = n \cdot \nabla_x a_m^n = 0.$$

Consider $\Theta \geq 0 \in C_c^\infty(\Omega_\tau)$ (space of all compactly supported smooth function), satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} -[\partial_t \phi + d_m \Delta \phi] &= \Theta && \text{in } \Omega_\tau \\ n \cdot \nabla_x \phi &= 0 && \text{on } (0, \tau) \times \partial\Omega \\ \phi(\tau) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We have $\phi \geq 0$ and the following estimate [12][13][9]

$$\|\phi_t\|_{L^q(\Omega_\tau)} + \|\Delta \phi\|_{L^q(\Omega_\tau)} + \sup_{s \in [0, \tau]} \|\phi(s)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|\phi\|_{L^q((0, \tau) \times \partial\Omega)} \leq C_{q, T, d_m} \|\Theta\|_{L^q(\Omega_\tau)},$$

where $C_{q, T, d_m} > 0$ is a constant and $q \in (1, \infty)$, arbitrary.

Multiply equation (9) by ϕ and integration by parts yields

$$\int_{\Omega_\tau} a_m^n \Theta = \int_{\Omega} (a_{m,0} + a_{i,0}) \phi(0) + \int_{\Omega_\tau} a_i^n \partial_t \phi.$$

Let $p \in (1, \infty)$ be the Hölder conjugate of q . Applying Hölder inequality on the previous relation we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_\tau} a_m^n \Theta \leq (\|a_{m,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}) \|\phi_0\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + \|a_i^n\|_{L^p(\Omega_\tau)} \|\partial_t \phi\|_{L^q(\Omega_\tau)}.$$

Let $C_1 = C_{q,T,d_m} \max\{1, \|a_{m,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}\}$, then

$$\int_{\Omega_\tau} a_m^n \Theta \leq C_1 (1 + \|a_i^n\|_{L^p(\Omega_\tau)}) \|\Theta\|_{L^q(\Omega_\tau)}.$$

Hence by duality

$$\|a_m^n\|_{L^p(\Omega_\tau)} \leq C_1 (1 + \|a_i^n\|_{L^p(\Omega_\tau)}) \quad \forall \tau \in (0, T].$$

Replacing τ by t , we can rewrite the relation as

$$(10) \quad \|a_m^n\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)} \leq C_1 (1 + \|a_i^n\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)}) \quad \forall t \in (0, T].$$

Consider the o.d.e.

$$\partial_t a_i^n \leq a_m^n.$$

An application of Minkowski's integral inequality and Jensen inequality yields,

$$\|a_i^n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \leq 2^{p-1} \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega_T)}^p + 2^{p-1} T^{p-1} \int_0^t \|a_m^n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p.$$

Raising to the power p in relation (10), yields

$$\int_0^t \|a_m^n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \leq 2^{(p-1)} C_1^p + 2^{(p-1)} C_1^p \|a_i^n\|_{L^p(\Omega_t)}^p.$$

Consider the constant $C_2 = \max\{(1+T)^{p-1} 2^{(2p-2)} C_1^p, 2^{p-1} \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega_T)}^p : \forall i = 1, \dots, m\}$. From the two relations above we can conclude

$$\|a_i^n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \leq C_2 \left(1 + \int_0^t \|a_i^n\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p\right).$$

Gröwnwall inequality yields

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|a_i^n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \left(1 + e^{C_2 T} + \max_{\{i=1, \dots, m\}} \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} e^{C_2 T}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

which implies

$$\|a_i^n\|_{L^p(\Omega_T)} \leq \left(T + T e^{C_2 T} + T \max_{\{i=1, \dots, m\}} \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} e^{C_2 T}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Relation (10) further yields

$$\|a_m^n\|_{L^p(\Omega_T)} \leq C_1 \left(1 + \left(T + T e^{C_2 T} + T \max_{\{i=1, \dots, m\}} \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} e^{C_2 T}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right).$$

Let's now consider the diffusing i.e., non-degenerate species. For $i \in \Lambda_2$, we have the following relation

$$\partial_t(a_i^n + a_m^n) - \Delta(d_i a_i^n + d_m a_m^n) = 0, \quad n \cdot \nabla_x a_i^n = n \cdot \nabla_x a_m^n = 0.$$

Here we use duality argument as in theorem 9[see appendix]. There exists constant $C_3 > 0$, depends only on the initial condition, domain and the time T , such that the following relation holds

$$(11) \quad \|a_i^n\|_{L^p(\Omega_T)} \leq C_3, \quad \forall i \in \Lambda_2, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Consider the following constant

$$\hat{C} = \max \begin{cases} C_3, \\ \left(2^{(p-1)} C_1^p + 2^{(p-1)} T C_1^p \left(C_2 + C_2^2 + C_2^2 e^{C_2 T} + C_2 \max_{\{i=1, \dots, m\}} \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} e^{C_2 T} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \\ \left(T C_2 + T C_2^2 + T C_2^2 e^{C_2 T} + T C_2 \max_{\{i=1, \dots, m\}} \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} e^{C_2 T} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{cases}$$

The following norm bound holds

$$\|a_i^n\|_{L^p(\Omega_T)} \leq \hat{C}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m.$$

If we in particular take $p = 2NQ$ then we have $g^n = \frac{a_m^n - \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n} \in L^{2N}(\Omega_T)$. The L^∞ time space bound is a consequence of theorem 10[see appendix]. For a positive constant $\tilde{C} > 0$, independent of the index n , we have the following bound

$$(12) \quad \|a_i^n\|_{L^\infty([0,T] \times \Omega)} \leq \tilde{C}, \quad \forall i \in 1, \dots, m, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In the next proposition we find a weak limit for the degenerate species.

Proposition 5. *For all $i \in \Lambda_1$, a_i^n has an almost everywhere convergent subsequence, converges to a_i , which weakly satisfies the equation*

$$\partial_t a_i = a_m - \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j)^{\alpha_j}.$$

Proof: Let $i, j \in \Lambda_1$, then $a_i^n - a_j^n = a_{i,0} - a_{j,0} = \phi_{i,j}$. We fix a $j \in \Lambda_1$, such that $\alpha_j \in \{1\} \cup [2, \infty)(1)$.

$$\partial_t a_j^n = \frac{a_m^n - \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (a_i^n)^{\alpha_i}}{\phi^n}.$$

Let us define some quantities

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_n^1 &= (\phi_n)^{-1}, \\ \delta_n^2(a_j^n) &= (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j - 1} \prod_{i \in \Lambda_1} (\phi_{ij} + a_j^n)^{\alpha_i}, \\ \delta_n^3 &= \prod_{i \in \Lambda_2 \setminus \{m\}} (a_i^n)^{\alpha_i}.\end{aligned}$$

We can rewrite the above relation as follows

$$(13) \quad a_j^n(t, x) = a_{j,0}(x) e^{-\int_0^t \delta_1^n \delta_2^n(a_j^n) \delta_3^n ds} + \int_0^t a_m^n \delta_1^n e^{-\int_s^t \delta_1^n \delta_2^n(a_j^n) \delta_3^n d\sigma} ds,$$

Thanks to proposition (4) we obtain the following pointwise bound

$$a_j^n(t, x) \leq a_{j,0}(x) + T\tilde{C} \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \text{ a.e.}$$

We can conclude $a_j^n(t, x)$ bounded uniformly for a given $x \in \Omega$ a.e., which implies $\delta_n^1 \rightarrow 1$ pointwise a.e. Furthermore Vitali convergence lemma yields $\delta_n^1 \rightarrow 1$ in $L^1(0, T)$ for a given $x \in \Omega$ a.e.

Let's introduce a Picard type iteration on (13)

$$\begin{aligned}a_{j,p+1}^n(t, x) &= a_{j,0}(x) e^{-\int_0^t \delta_1^n \delta_2^n(a_{j,p}^n) \delta_3^n ds} + \int_0^t a_m^n \delta_1^n e^{-\int_s^t \delta_1^n \delta_2^n(a_{j,p}^n) \delta_3^n d\sigma} ds, \\ a_{j,p}^n(0, x) &= a_{j,0} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.\end{aligned}$$

Again thanks to proposition (4), we obtain the following pointwise bound

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{j,p}^n(t, x)| \leq a_{j,0}(x) + T\tilde{C} = C_4 < +\infty, \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \text{ a.e.}$$

$$\begin{aligned}|a_{j,p+1}^n(t, x) - a_j^n(t, x)| &\leq \\ &\left((1+T)(a_{j,0}(x) + T\tilde{C}) \prod_{i \in \Lambda_2 \setminus \{m\}} \tilde{C}^{\alpha_i} \sup_{r \in [0, C_4]} \left[\left| \frac{d(\zeta(r))}{dr} \right| \right] \right) \int_0^t |a_{j,p}^n(s, x) - a_j^n(s, x)| ds,\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where } \zeta(r) = r^{\alpha_j - 1} \prod_{i \in \Lambda_1} (\phi_{ij} + r)^{\alpha_i}.$$

Consider the constant $C_5 = (1+T)(a_{j,0}(x) + T\tilde{C}) \prod_{i \in \Lambda_2 \setminus \{m\}} \tilde{C}^{\alpha_i} \sup_{r \in [0, C_4]} \left[\left| \frac{d(\zeta(r))}{dr} \right| \right]$. The previous relation can be rewritten as

$$|a_{j,p+1}^n(t, x) - a_j^n(t, x)| \leq C_5 \int_0^t |a_{j,p}^n(s, x) - a_j^n(s, x)| ds.$$

Induction on p yields

$$|a_{j,p+1}^n(t, x) - a_j^n(t, x)| \leq \frac{C_5^p t^p}{p!} \int_0^t |a_{j,0}^n(s, x) - a_j^n(s, x)| \leq 2TC_4 \frac{C_5^p t^p}{p!}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \text{ a.e.}$$

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |a_{j,p+1}^n(t, x) - a_j^n(t, x)| \leq 2TC_4 \frac{C_5^p T^p}{p!}.$$

From the above relation we can conclude

$$(14) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |a_{j,p}^n(t, x) - a_j^n(t, x)| = 0.$$

For $p \geq 1$, a similar calculation on $a_{j,p}^n(t, x)$ yields the following estimates

$$|a_{j,p+2}^n(t, x) - a_{j,p+1}^n(t, x)| \leq \frac{C_5^{p-1} t^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} \int_0^t |a_{j,1}^n(s, x) - a_{j,0}^n(s, x)| \leq 2TC_4 \frac{C_5^{p-1} t^{p-1}}{(p-1)!}.$$

$$\implies \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |a_{j,p+2}^n(t, x) - a_{j,p+1}^n(t, x)| \leq 2TC_4 \frac{C_5^{p-1} T^{p-1}}{(p-1)!}.$$

From the above relation we obtain the following limit

$$(15) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |a_{j,p+r}^n(t, x) - a_{j,p}^n(t, x)| = 0, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}..$$

Vitali convergence lemma yields the two following limits

$$(16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \left| \prod_{i \in \Lambda_2 \setminus \{m\}} a_i^{\alpha_i} - \delta_1^n \delta_3^n \right| = 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega \text{ a.e.}, \forall t \in [0, T],$$

$$(17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t |a_m - a_m^n \delta_1^n| = 0 \quad \forall x \in \Omega \text{ a.e.}, \forall t \in [0, T].$$

for a given $x \in \Omega$ a.e., let us consider another Picard type iteration

$$b_{p+1}(t, x) = a_{j,0} e^{-\int_0^t \delta_n^2(b_p) \delta_n^4} + \int_0^t a_m e^{-\int_s^t \delta_n^2(b_p) \delta_n^4 d\sigma} ds,$$

$$b_0 = a_{j,0},$$

$$\text{where } \delta_n^4 = \prod_{i \in \Lambda_2 \setminus \{m\}} a_i^{\alpha_i}.$$

Thanks to proposition (4) we obtain the following pointwise bound

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{p \in \mathbb{N}} |b_p(t, x)| \leq a_{j,0}(x) + T\tilde{C} = C_4 < +\infty, \quad x \in \Omega \text{ a.e.}$$

For $p = 0$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |a_{j,0}^n - b_0| = 0$. Induction on p yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|a_{j,p+1}^n - b_{p+1}| &\leq a_{j,0} \left[\left| \int_0^t (\delta_n^4 - \delta_n^1 \delta_n^3) \delta_n^2(a_{j,p}^n) \right| + \sup_{r \in [0, \tilde{C} + C_4]} \left[\left| \frac{d(\zeta(r))}{dr} \right| \right] \int_0^t |a_{j,p}^n - b_p| \right] \\
&+ \int_0^t |a_m - a_m^n \delta_1^n| e^{-\int_s^t \delta_n^1 \delta_n^2 \delta_n^3} + (C_4 + \tilde{C}) T \sup_{r \in [0, \tilde{C} + C_4]} \left[\left| \frac{d(\zeta(r))}{dr} \right| \right] \int_0^t |a_{j,p}^n - b_p| \\
&+ (\tilde{C} + C_4) T \left| \int_0^t (\delta_n^4 - \delta_n^1 \delta_n^3) \delta_n^2(a_{j,p}^n) \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |a_{j,p}^n - b_p| = 0$. Then Vitali convergence lemma along with the relations (16) and (17) implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |a_{j,p+1}^n - b_{p+1}| = 0.$$

So by induction on p , we obtain

$$(18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |a_{j,p}^n - b_p| = 0 \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{N}, \forall x \in \Omega \text{ a.e.}$$

Triangle inequality yields

$$|b_{p+r} - b_p| \leq |a_{j,p+r}^n - b_{p+r}| + |a_{j,p}^n - b_p| + |a_{j,p+r}^n - a_{j,p}^n|, \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From the two relations (18) and (15), we conclude

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |b_{p+r} - b_p| = 0 \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N},$$

which further implies

$$\{b_p(t)\} \text{ is Cauchy in } L^\infty[0, T] \text{ for } x \in \Omega \text{ a.e.}$$

Let $b_p(t, x)$ converges to $a_j(t, x)$ for a given $x \in \Omega$ a.e., $\forall t \in [0, T]$. Furthermore as this convergence is uniform with respect to time we can take the limit inside the integral. Hence for all $x \in \Omega$ a.e., $a_j(t, x)$ satisfies the following relation

$$a_j(t, x) = a_{j,0}(x) e^{-\int_0^t \prod_1^{m-1} (a_j)^{\alpha_j}} + \int_0^t a_m e^{-\int_s^t \prod_1^{m-1} (a_j)^{\alpha_j} d\sigma} ds \quad \forall t \in [0, T]$$

From the relations (14) and (15), for a given $x \in \Omega$ a.e., we can conclude $a_j^n(t, x)$ converges to $a_j(t, x)$ uniformly $\forall t \in [0, T]$. Now this pointwise limit and uniform integrability character of $a_j^n(t, x)$, (from relation (6)) provides us (Vitali converges lemma)

$$(19) \quad a_j^n(t, x) \rightarrow a_j(t, x) \text{ in } L^1(\Omega_T).$$

As $a_i^n - a_j^n = a_{i,0} - a_{j,0} = \phi_{i,j}$, $\forall i, j \in \Lambda_1$, we have

$$a_i^n(t, x) \rightarrow a_i(t, x) = \phi_{i,j} - a_j(t, x) \text{ in } L^1(\Omega_T), \quad \forall i \in \Lambda_1.$$

Proof of theorem 1: The weak limit (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) is a solution of our triangular system- A_1 (in distributional sense), where a_i satisfies the following pointwise bound (proposition (4))

$$\|a_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \leq \tilde{C} \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Therefore parabolic regularity gives us a smooth unique solution [5] [7] [8] [13].

3. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTION OF DEGENERATE TRIANGULAR SYSTEM-A₂

We need uniform L^∞ time-space bound of the solution of approximate solution, where the bound will be uniform with respect to index n . It can be derived easily from the degenerate nature of system-A₂, as described in the following proof.

Proof of theorem-2: Just as in the previous section we consider the approximate system (5) with $d_m = 0$ and one or more $d_i = 0$, where $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$. Addition of a degenerate equation corresponding to a_i where $i \neq m$ with that of a_m , yields

$$a_m^n + a_i^n = a_{m,0} + a_{i,0} \text{ i.e., } a_m^n \text{ uniformly bounded.}$$

Similar duality arguments as in the previous section yields

$$a_j^n \text{ all uniformly bounded, } \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, m. (\text{theorem 10 [see appendix]}).$$

Hence we have existence of unique smooth global solution.

4. EXISTENCE OF SOLUTION OF DEGENERATE TRIANGULAR SYSTEM-A₃

It is evident from our discussion in first section that the rate function for the approximate system

$g^n = \frac{\left(a_m^n - \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}\right)}{\frac{1}{\phi^n}}$ are uniformly bounded in $L^1(\Omega_T)$ and uniformly integrable(8). Furthermore the approximate solutions a_i^n are also uniformly bounded in $L^1(\Omega_T)$ and uniformly integrable(6). Based on these we can extract a weak solution for the system-A₃.

Proof of theorem-3, First part, weak solution: By Dunford Petties' theorem g^n is weakly compact and $\exists a_i$ such that a_i^n converges to a_i a.e in $[0, T) \times \Omega$, thanks to the property of heat kernel, $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$. Hence by Vitali convergence lemma $a_i^n \rightarrow a_i$ in $L^1(\Omega_T)$, $\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$. The differential equation corresponds to a_m^n is the following

$$\partial_t a_m^n = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j} - a_m^n}{\phi^n}.$$

$$a_m^n = \int_0^t \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n} e^{(-\int_s^t \frac{1}{\phi^n} d\sigma)} ds.$$

From relation (7), we have

$$\int_{\Omega_T} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_i^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n} \leq 2TM_2 + \frac{1}{\ln 2} E(a_{i,0} : i = 1, 2, \dots, m),$$

which implies $a_m^n(t, x)$ bounded for $\forall t \in (0, T), x \in \Omega$ a.e. So ϕ^n converges to 1 pointwise a.e.

Uniform integrability of $\left\{ \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (relation-(7)) implies $\frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j^{\alpha_j}$ in $L^1(\Omega_T)$ (by Vitali convergence lemma). Furthermore Fatou's lemma yields

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} \liminf \int_0^T \left| \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n} - \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j)^{\alpha_j} \right| \leq \liminf \int_{\Omega} \int_0^T \left| \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n} - \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j)^{\alpha_j} \right| \leq 0.$$

It yields the following limit

$$\liminf \int_0^T \left| \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n} - \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j)^{\alpha_j} \right| = 0.$$

We can conclude from the above relation that there exists a subsequence indexed by n_k (without loss of generality we take n_k as n), such that for all $x \in \Omega$ a.e.,

$$\lim \int_0^t \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j^n)^{\alpha_j}}{\phi^n} e^{(-\int_s^t \frac{1}{\phi^n} d\sigma)} ds = \int_0^t \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} (a_j)^{\alpha_j} e^{(s-t)} ds = a_m.$$

Furthermore uniform integrability character (6) yields a_m^n converges to a_m in $L^1(\Omega_T)$ (Vitali converges lemma).

Consider the following relation

$$\partial_t (a_i^n + a_m^n) - d_i \Delta a_i^n = 0, \quad n \cdot \nabla_x a_i^n = n \cdot \nabla_x a_m^n = 0$$

The following uniform integral estimate holds (see appendix theorem8)

$$(20) \quad \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (a_i^n)^2 + a_i^n a_m^n \leq C_{\Omega}(1 + T), \quad \forall T \geq 0, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1,$$

where the constant $C_{\Omega} > 0$, independent of index n .

Next we multiply a_i^n to the equation corresponding to a_i^n in the approximate system and integrate over Ω_T . We obtain the following relation

$$(21) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (a_i^n)^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} |\nabla a_i^n|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (a_{i,0})^2 + \int_{\Omega_T} \frac{a_i^n a_m^n}{\phi^n}, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1.$$

Above relation yields a n index free uniform bound for ∇a_i^n in $L^2(\Omega_T)$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m-1$. So it weakly converges (upto a subsequence) to ∇a_i (in distributional sense), for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$. We can conclude (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m) is a global in time positive weak solution of triangular system- $A_3(4)(d_m = 0)$.

We can extend this weak solution to classical solution for dimension $N = 1, 2$, by the L^p integral estimation of Neumann green function for the heat equation.

Proof of theorem-3, second part, smooth solution: a_i satisfies the following equation weakly

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t a_i - d_i \Delta a_i = \psi = a_m - \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j^{\alpha_j} & \text{in } \Omega_T, \forall i = 1, \dots, m-1 \\ \nabla a_i \cdot \gamma = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega \\ a_i(0, x) = a_{i,0} & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Let $G_i(t, s, x, y)$ be the Neumann Green function corresponding to the operator $\partial_t - d_i \Delta$, we can express a_i in the following way

$$(22) \quad a_i(t, x) = \tilde{a}_i(t, x) + \int_0^t G_i(t, s, x, y) \psi(s, y) dy ds,$$

where $\tilde{a}_i(t, x)$ satisfies the following pde,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \tilde{a}_i - d_i \Delta \tilde{a}_i = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_T, \forall i = 1, \dots, m-1 \\ \nabla \tilde{a}_i \cdot \gamma = 0 & \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega \\ \tilde{a}_i(0, x) = a_{i,0} & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We use the following estimates from the articles [10][3]. There exists $C_H, \kappa, C_S > 0$, such that

$$(23) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \leq G_i(t, s, x, y) \leq C_H \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\kappa \frac{\|x-y\|^2}{(t-s)}} = g(t-s, x-y), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m-1, \\ \|\tilde{a}_i(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_S \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m-1. \end{cases}$$

Non-negativity of solution yields

$$a_i(t, x) \leq \tilde{a}_i(t, x) + \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} G_i(t, s, x, y) a_m(s, y) dy ds.$$

Applying Minkowski's integral inequality we obtain

$$\|a_i(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_S \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \int_0^t \|g_j(t-s, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \|a_m\|_{L^1(\Omega)}.$$

There exists a positive constant $C_{H,p}$, such that

$$(24) \quad \|a_i(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_S \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + C_{H,p} M_2 \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})}.$$

In dimension $N = 1, 2$, the integral $\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{N}{2}(1-\frac{1}{p})} < +\infty, \forall p \in [1, \infty)$. Hence the $p = 4Q$ yields us the following relation

$$\|a_i(t, x)\|_{L^{4Q}(\Omega)} \leq K_p, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m-1,$$

where K_p is a positive constant.

Next consider the o.d.e.,

$$\partial_t a_m \leq \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j^{\alpha_j}$$

Applying Hölder inequality on the integral relation corresponding to above differential equation, we obtain

$$\|a_m(t, x)\|_{L^4(\Omega)} \leq \int_0^t \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \|a_i(t, x)\|_{L^{4Q}(\Omega)}^Q \leq mTK_p^Q.$$

Above bounds yields $\psi \in L^4(\Omega_T)$. As $4 > \frac{N+2}{2}$ for $N = 1, 2$, by integrability estimation (see appendix theorem10)

$$\|a_i(t, x)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} < +\infty, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Next parabolic regularity gives us unique global smooth solution for dimension $N = 1$ and 2[13][7].

Next we discuss two special cases, one where the rate function grows at most quadratically and another a 3 dimensional case. The three species degenerate triangular model as discussed in the article [5] has rate function with quadratic growth. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 6. *If $G = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \leq 2$, unique global in time classical solution exists upto dimension $N = 5$ for system- $A_3(4)$.*

It is enough to show global in time classical solution exists for $G = 2$ in dimension $N = 3, 4, 5$. From representation of solution (22), we have

$$a_i(t, x) \leq \tilde{a}_i(t, x) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega G_i(t-s, x, y) a_m(s, y) dy ds.$$

Let $1 + \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{q}$. Applying Minkowski's integral inequality and Young convolution inequality, we obtain

$$\|a_i(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{S,0} \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \int_0^t \|g_j(t-s, x)\|_{L^r(\Omega)} \|a_m\|_{L^q(\Omega)}.$$

There exists a positive constant $C_{H,r}$, such that[3][10]

$$(25) \quad \|a_i(t, x)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C_{S,0} \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + C_{H,r} \int_0^t t^{-\frac{N}{2}(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p})} \|a_m\|_{L^q(\Omega)}.$$

From Sobolev embedding theorem, we have there exists positive constant C_{SE} , depending only on the domain, such that

$$\|a_i\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)} \leq C_{SE} (\|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}), \quad \forall i = 1 \dots, m-1.$$

Consider the o.d.e.,

$$\partial_t a_m \leq \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j^{\alpha_j}$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality and Hölder inequality on the integral relation corresponding to above differential equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_m\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(\Omega)} &\leq \|a_{m,0}\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(\Omega)} + \int_0^t \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|a_i\|_{L^{\frac{2N}{N-2}}(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq \|a_{m,0}\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(\Omega)} + \int_0^t \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} 2C_{SE}^2 (\|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Relation (21) and (20) yields

$$\|a_m\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(\Omega)} \leq \|a_{m,0}\|_{L^{\frac{N}{N-2}}(\Omega)} + C_{SE}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + 4mC_{SE}^2 C_\Omega (1+T) = C_1.$$

For dimension $N = 3$, $a_m \in L^3(\Omega)$. In the relation (25) we take $q = 3$ and $p = +\infty$. It yields

$$\|a_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_S \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + 2C_{H, \frac{3}{2}} \sqrt{T}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

This further implies $a_m \in L^\infty(\Omega_T)$. Hence smooth unique global in time solution exists in dimension $N = 3$.

Similarly for dimension $N = 4$ and 5 , our goal will be to prove $a_i \in L^\infty(\Omega_T) \forall i = 1, \dots, m$. For this, it is enough to show that $a_m \in L^q(\Omega)$, where $q > \frac{N}{2}$. Rest follows from (25).

N=4 CASE: We obtain $a_m \in L^2(\Omega)$. In relation (25) we take $q = 2$ and $p = 6$. It yields $a_i \in L^6(\Omega)$. Let $a_i \in L^{2p}(\Omega)$, then application of Minkowski's integral inequality and Hölder inequality provide us

$$(26) \quad \|a_m\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \|a_{m,0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \|a_i\|_{L^{2p}(\Omega_T)}^2,$$

which implies $a_m \in L^p(\Omega)$. As we have $a_i \in L^6(\Omega)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, we obtain $a_m \in L^3(\Omega)$. As $3 > \frac{4}{2}$, relation (25) yields $a_i \in L^\infty(\Omega_T)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence smooth unique global in time solution exists.

N=5 CASE: We obtain $a_m \in L^{\frac{5}{3}}(\Omega)$. Relation (25) yields $a_i \in L^{\frac{5}{1.1}}(\Omega)$ (taking $q = \frac{5}{3}, p = \frac{5}{1.1}$). Relation (26) further provides $a_m \in L^{\frac{5}{2.2}}(\Omega)$. Again we use relation (25) with $q = \frac{5}{2.2}$ and $p = \frac{50}{3}$. We obtain $a_i \in L^{\frac{50}{3}}(\Omega)$. Relation (26) further yields $a_m \in L^{\frac{25}{3}}(\Omega)$. As $\frac{25}{3} > \frac{5}{2}$, relation (25) yields $a_i \in L^\infty(\Omega_T)$. Hence smooth unique global in time solution exists.

Next we discuss a special 3 dimensional case. The proposition is as follows.

Proposition 7. *Let dimension $N = 3$. Then system-A₃(4) has unique global in time classical solution for $G = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \alpha_i \leq \frac{10}{3}$.*

It is enough to show for $G = \frac{10}{3}$. In relation (25) we take $q = 1$. It yields $a_i \in L^{3-\delta}(\Omega)$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m-1$, where $\delta = \frac{9}{243}$. In other words there exists positive constant C_{δ_1} , such that

$$\|a_i\|_{L^{\frac{234}{81}}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\delta_1}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Next we will apply Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation. We obtain

$$\|a_i\|_{L^{3.9}(\Omega)} \leq C_{GN} \|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|a_i\|_{L^{\frac{234}{81}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_{GN} \|a_i\|_{L^{\frac{234}{81}}(\Omega)}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m-1,$$

where the positive constant C_{GN} depends only on domain and

$$\frac{1}{3.9} = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3}\right) \frac{1}{2} + \frac{81}{234} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Integrating with respect to time variable and applying Hölder inequality on right hand side, we obtain:

$$\int_0^t \|a_i\|_{L^{3.9}(\Omega)}^{3.9} \leq 2^{2.9} C_{GN}^{3.9} C_{\delta_1}^{1.95} (1+T) \int_0^T \|\nabla a_i\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2^{2.9} C_{GN}^{3.9} T C_{\delta_1}^{3.9}, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Relations (21) and relation (20) yield

$$\int_0^t \|a_i\|_{L^{3.9}(\Omega)}^{3.9} \leq 2^{2.9} C_{GN}^{3.9} (C_{\delta_1}^{1.95} + C_{\delta_1}^{3.9}) (1+T) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \|a_{i,0}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + C_{\Omega} (1+T) \right) = C_2, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

Consider the o.d.e.,

$$\partial_t a_m \leq \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j^{\alpha_j}$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality and Hölder inequality on the integral relation corresponding to above differential equation, we obtain

$$\|a_m\|_{L^{\frac{11.7}{10}}(\Omega)} \leq \|a_{m,0}\|_{L^{\frac{3.9}{3}}(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \int_0^t \|a_i\|_{L^{\frac{10}{3}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{10}{3}} \leq \|a_{m,0}\|_{L^{\frac{3.9}{3}}(\Omega)} + m C_2^{\frac{100}{117}} T^{\frac{17}{117}} = C_3.$$

From relation (25) again, we take $q = \frac{11.7}{10}, p = \frac{116}{22}$. It yields $a_i \in L^{\frac{116}{22}}(\Omega)$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m-1$. Let's assume there exists constant $C_{\delta_2} > 0$, such that $\|a_i\|_{L^{\frac{116}{22}}(\Omega)} \leq C_{\delta_2}$.

Again applying Minkowski's integral inequality and Hölder inequality on the integral relation corresponding to $\partial_t a_m \leq \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} a_j^{\alpha_j}$, we obtain

$$\|a_m\|_{L^{\frac{348}{220}}(\Omega)} \leq \|a_{m,0}\|_{L^{\frac{348}{220}}(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \int_0^t \|a_i\|_{L^{\frac{116}{22}}(\Omega)}^{\frac{10}{3}} \leq \|a_{m,0}\|_{L^{\frac{348}{220}}(\Omega)} + m T C_{\delta_2}^{\frac{10}{3}} = C_4.$$

As $\frac{348}{220} > \frac{3}{2}$, in relation (25) we can simply put $q = \frac{348}{220}$ and $p = +\infty$, which provides

$$\|a_i\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} < +\infty, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m-1.$$

This further implies $a_m \in L^\infty(\Omega_T)$. Hence smooth unique global in time solution exists.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Amann. Global existence for semilinear parabolic systems. 1985.
- [2] J. A. Canizo, L. Desvillettes, and K. Fellner. Improved duality estimates and applications to reaction-diffusion equations. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 39(6):1185–1204, 2014.
- [3] M. Choulli and L. Kayser. Gaussian lower bound for the neumann green function of a general parabolic operator. *Positivity*, 19:625–646, 2015.
- [4] L. Desvillettes and K. Fellner. Entropy methods for reaction-diffusion equations: slowly growing a-priori bounds. *Revista Matemática Iberoamericana*, 24(2):407–431, 2008.

- [5] L. Desvillettes and K. Fellner. Duality and entropy methods for reversible reaction-diffusion equations with degenerate diffusion. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 38(16):3432–3443, 2015.
- [6] L. Desvillettes, K. Fellner, M. Pierre, and J. Vovelle. Global existence for quadratic systems of reaction-diffusion. *Advanced Nonlinear Studies*, 7(3):491–511, 2007.
- [7] A. Einav, J. J. Morgan, and B. Q. Tang. Indirect diffusion effect in degenerate reaction-diffusion systems. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 52(5):4314–4361, 2020.
- [8] K. Fellner, J. Morgan, and B. Q. Tang. Uniform-in-time bounds for quadratic reaction-diffusion systems with mass dissipation in higher dimensions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.06902*, 2019.
- [9] D. Lambertson. Equations d'évolution linéaires associées à des semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces lp. *Journal of functional analysis*, 72(2):252–262, 1987.
- [10] X. Mora. Semilinear parabolic problems define semiflows on c^k spaces. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 278(1):21–55, 1983.
- [11] M. Pierre. Weak solutions and supersolutions in l 1 for reaction-diffusion systems. *Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Related Topics: Dedicated to Philippe Bénilan*, pages 153–168, 2004.
- [12] M. Pierre. Global existence in reaction-diffusion systems with control of mass: a survey. *Milan Journal of Mathematics*, 78:417–455, 2010.
- [13] P. Quittner and P. Souplet. *Superlinear parabolic problems*. Springer, 2019.
- [14] F. Rothe. *Global solutions of reaction-diffusion systems*, volume 1072. Springer, 2006.
- [15] B. Q. Tang. Close-to-equilibrium regularity for reaction–diffusion systems. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 18(2):845–869, 2018.
- [16] M. Taylor. *Partial differential equations II: Qualitative studies of linear equations*, volume 116. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

APPENDIX A. SOME USEFUL RESULTS

Theorem 8 (L^1 Integrability estimate). *Let $d_1, \dots, d_k \geq 0$ and $a_1(t, x), \dots, a_k(t, x) \geq 0$, satisfy the following equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\sum_{i=1}^k a_i \right) - \Delta \left(\sum_{i=1}^k d_i a_i \right) &\leq 0 && \text{in } \Omega_T, \forall i = 1, \dots, k \\ n \cdot \nabla_x a_i &= 0 && \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega \\ a_i(0, \cdot) (\geq 0) &\in L^2(\Omega) && \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Then there exists a constant $\nu \geq 0$, depends on the initial data, domain and the dimension, such that

$$\int_{(0,T) \times \Omega} \left(\sum_1^k a_i \right) \left(\sum_1^k d_i a_i \right) \leq \nu.$$

Proof can be found in [6].

Theorem 9 (L^p Duality estimate). *If $\phi_1, \phi_2 \geq 0$, for some $\tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2 > 0$, satisfy the following relation*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\phi_1 + \phi_2) - \Delta(\tilde{d}_1 \phi_1 + \tilde{d}_2 \phi_2) &\leq 0 && \Omega_T \\ n \cdot \nabla_x \phi_1 = n \cdot \nabla_x \phi_2 &= 0 && (0, T) \times \Omega \\ \phi_1(0, x), \phi_2(0, x) &\in L^p(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\phi_1 \in L^p(\Omega_T) \implies \phi_2 \in L^p(\Omega_T)$ and vice versa.

Proof can be found in [2][4].

Theorem 10 (Integrability estimation). *Let $d > 0$, and let $\theta \in L^p(\Omega_T)$ for some $1 < p < +\infty$. Let ψ be the solution to the following parabolic equation*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \psi(t, x) - d\Delta \psi(t, x) &= \theta(t, x) && \text{in } \Omega_T \\ n \cdot \nabla_x \psi(t, x) &= 0 && \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial\Omega \\ \psi(0, x) &= 0 && \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following estimates

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\psi\|_{L^s(\Omega_T)} &\leq C_{IE} \|\theta\|_{L^p(\Omega_T)} \quad \forall s < \frac{(N+2)p}{N+2-2p} \text{ with } p < \frac{N+2}{2}, \\ \|\psi\|_{L^s(\Omega_T)} &\leq C_{IE} \|\theta\|_{L^p(\Omega_T)} \quad \forall s < +\infty \text{ with } p = \frac{N+2}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant $C_{IE} = C_{IE}(T, \Omega, d, p, s)$ and

$$(28) \quad \|\psi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_T)} \leq C_{IE}(T, \Omega, d, p) \|\theta\|_{L^p(\Omega_T)} \quad \text{with } p > \frac{N+2}{2}.$$

Proof of the estimates (27) can be found in [2, Lemma 3.3] and the estimate (28) was derived in [15, Lemma 4.6]. We refer to the constant C_{IE} as the integrability estimation constant.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI 400076 INDIA.
Email address: 194099001@iitb.ac.in