ON THE HAHN-WITT SERIES AND THEIR GENERALIZATIONS

ALEXANDER I. EFIMOV

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the field of Hahn-Witt series $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ with residue field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ (also known as a p-adic Malcev-Neumann field [La86, Po93]), and its generalizations. Informally, the Hahn-Witt series are possibly infinite linear combinations of rational powers of p, in which the coefficients are Teichmüller representatives, and the set of exponents is well-ordered. They form an algebraically closed extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , with a canonical automorphism φ , coming from the absolute Frobenius of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. We prove that the action of φ on the p-power roots of unity is given by $\varphi(\zeta) = \zeta^{-1}$, answering a question of Kontsevich.

More generally, we consider the π -typical Hahn-Witt series $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, where π is a uniformizer in a local field K with residue field \mathbb{F}_q . Again, this field is an algebraically closed extension of K, and it has a canonical automorphism φ_{π} , coming from the relative Frobenius of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ over \mathbb{F}_q . We prove that the action of φ_{π} on the maximal abelian extension K^{ab} corresponds via local class field theory to the uniformizer $-\pi \in K^*$.

CONTENTS

0.	Introduction	2
1.	Hahn series and π -typical Hahn-Witt series	5
2.	Some computations	8
3.	Local class field theory	10
4.	Ramification theory	12
5.	Lubin-Tate theory	12
6.	Proof of Theorem 0.3	15
6.1	. The equal characteristic case.	16
6.2	2. The mixed characteristic case	16
References		18

The author was partially supported by the European Research Council (ERC, CurveArithmetic, 101078157).

0. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the field $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ of Hahn-Witt series and its generalizations. The field $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is also known as a *p*-adic Malcev-Neumann field [La86, Po93], with residue field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and value group \mathbb{Q} . Informally, the elements of this field are expressions of the form $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}} [a_i]p^i$, where $a_i \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ and the set $\{i : a_i \neq 0\} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ is well-ordered (i.e. there are no infinite strictly decreasing sequences of elements in this set). Here $[a_i]$ is the Teichmüller representative of a_i . The addition and multiplication are defined essentially by the same rules as for the usual Witt vectors of perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebras. Replacing $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ with an arbitrary perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebra R, we obtain a functor

$$HW$$
: {perfect \mathbb{F}_p -algebras} $\rightarrow {\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -algebras}

We refer to Section 1 and Definition 1.3 (applied to $K = \mathbb{Q}_p, \pi = p$) for details.

By [Po93, Theorem 1], the field $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is maximally complete, i.e. it has no proper immediate extensions (that is, proper extensions with a valuation extended from $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$, with the same value group and with the same residue field). Note that the Gelfand-Mazur theorem can be thought of as a statement about "maximal completeness" of the field of complex numbers: there are no proper extensions of \mathbb{C} with a norm extended from \mathbb{C} .

The maximal completeness of the field $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ implies that it is algebraically closed [Po93, Proposition 6]. The *p*-adic completion of the integral closure of $W(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ in $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ was described by Kedlaya [Ke01] (in fact, in loc. cit. $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is replaced by an arbitrary algebraically closed field of characteristic p, but for $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ the description simplifies considerably). The description in loc. cit. implies in particular that if a Hahn-Witt series $f = \sum_i [a_i]p^i$ is algebraic over \mathbb{Q}_p , then the set $\{i : a_i \neq 0\}$, considered as an ordinal (the order type of f), is at most ω^{ω} . This is a very strong condition: for a general Hahn-Witt series, its order type can be arbitrary at most countable ordinal.

Another remarkable property of Hahn-Witt series which are algebraic over \mathbb{Q}_p was proved by Lampert [La86]: all the accumulation values of the set of exponents are rational. Namely, by [La86, Theorem 2] the Hahn-Witt series with this property form an algebraically closed field. For more results on the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p in $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ we refer to [Po93, Section 7].

Unlike the Tate field \mathbb{C}_p (which is defined only up to a non-canonical continuous isomorphism), the field $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ has the canonical automorphism φ , which comes from the (absolute) Frobenius automorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$. Explicitly, we have

$$\varphi(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}[a_i]p^i)=\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}}[a_i^p]p^i.$$

This automorphism can be thought of as a p-adic analogue of complex conjugation. Note that φ induces a canonical conjugacy class in the absolute Galois group $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, and in particular in $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The subfield of φ -invariants in $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is exactly $HW(\mathbb{F}_p)$. In particular, the field $HW(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is quasi-finite (i.e. its Galois group is isomorphic to $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$), and its finite extensions are exactly of the form $HW(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$, $n \ge 1$.

Now, consider the group $\mu_{p^{\infty}}$ of p-power roots of unity in $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. This group is noncanonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$, hence there is a unique invertible p-adic integer $u_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ such that

$$\varphi(\zeta) = \zeta^{u_p}, \quad \zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty}.$$

The following natural question, attributed to Kontsevich, was suggested to me by Robert Burklund and Achim Krause.

Question 0.1 (Kontsevich). What is $u_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*$?

In this paper we obtain the following answer as a special case of our main result (Theorem 0.3).

Theorem 0.2. Within the above notation, we have $u_p = -1$ for all prime numbers p.

In Section 2 we do some computations of (approximations of) p-power roots of unity in $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. These computations illustrate that a direct approach to Question 0.1 is unrealistic.

We now formulate a generalization of Theorem 0.2, which will be also necessary for the proof. Let K be a local field, i.e. a complete discrete valuation field with a finite residue field. Denote by \mathcal{O}_K the valuation ring, and choose a uniformizer $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$. We identify the residue field $\mathbf{k} = \mathcal{O}_K/\pi$ with \mathbb{F}_q . Recall that we have a functor of π -typical Witt vectors

$$W_{(K,\pi)}: \{\mathcal{O}_K\text{-algebras}\} \to \{\mathcal{O}_K\text{-algebras}\},\$$

introduced in [Dr76]. Our notation is somewhat cumbersome, but we want to stress that we make a choice of the uniformizer π in what follows.

The functor $W_{(K,\pi)}$ is defined similarly to the usual functor of *p*-typical Witt vectors, but the ghost coordinates are now given by

$$w_n(x_0, x_1, \dots) = \sum_{i=0}^n \pi^i x_i^{q^{n-i}}, \quad n \ge 0.$$

Also, the Joyal's approach to p-typical Witt vectors via δ -rings [J85] has a natural generalization to the case of π -typical Witt vectors, see [Bo11]. Here δ -rings are replaced by δ_{π} - \mathcal{O}_E -algebras.

There is a unique functorial multiplicative section $[\cdot] : R \to W_{(K,\pi)}(R)$ of the projection $w_0 : W_{(K,\pi)}(R) \to R$, such that $w_n([r]) = r^{q^n}$ for n > 0, $r \in R$. As usual, [r] is called the Teichmüller representative of r.

If R is a perfect \mathbb{F}_q -algebra, considered as an \mathcal{O}_K -algebra, then $W_{(K,\pi)}(R)$ is a π complete, π -torsion free \mathcal{O}_K -algebra. The functor

 $W_{(K,\pi)}$: {perfect \mathbb{F}_q -algebras} \rightarrow { π -complete, π -torsion free \mathcal{O}_K -algebras}

is the partially defined left adjoint functor to the mod π reduction

 $\{\pi\text{-complete}, \pi\text{-torsion free } \mathcal{O}_K\text{-algebras}\} \rightarrow \{\mathbb{F}_q\text{-algebras}\}.$

In particular, we have $W_{(K,\pi)}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathcal{O}_K$. If R is a perfect \mathbb{F}_q -algebra, then any element of $W_{(K,\pi)}(R)$ can be uniquely written as an infinite sum $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} [a_i]\pi^i$, where $a_i \in R$.

Now, the K-algebra of π -typical Hahn-Witt series $HW_{(K,\pi)}^{i-0}(R)$ of a perfect \mathbb{F}_q -algebra R can be defined informally as the set of expressions of the form $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Q}} [a_i]\pi^i$, where $a_i \in R$ and the set $\{i: a_i \neq 0\}$ is well-ordered. The addition and multiplication are defined by the same rules as for the usual π -typical Witt vectors, and the map $K \to HW_{(K,\pi)}(R)$ is the tautological inclusion (assuming that $R \neq 0$). We refer to Section 1 and Definition 1.3 for details. We obtain a functor

$$HW_{(K,\pi)}$$
: {perfect \mathbb{F}_q -algebras} \rightarrow {K-algebras}.

Now we formulate a generalization of Theorem 0.2. Consider the K-algebra $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. This K-algebra is in fact an algebraically closed field, by a straightforward generalization of [Po93, Theorem 1, Proposition 6]. By functoriality, the relative Frobenius automorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ over \mathbb{F}_q induces a K-linear automorphism φ_{π} of $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. Explicitly, we have

$$\varphi_{\pi}(\sum_{i} [a_i]\pi^i) = \sum_{i} [a_i^q]\pi^i.$$

Denoting by K^{sep} the separable algebraic closure of K in $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$, we see that φ_{π} induces an element of the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(K^{\text{sep}}/K)$, which induces the relative Frobenius automorphism on the residue field. In particular, this element is contained in the Weil group W_K , and it is of degree 1. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 0.3. Let K be a local field, and choose a uniformizer $\pi \in K$. We identify the residue field \mathcal{O}_K/π with \mathbb{F}_q . Consider the canonical automorphism φ_{π} of the field of π -typical Hahn-Witt series $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. Consider the induced element $\overline{\varphi}_{\pi} \in W_K^{\mathrm{ab}}$ of degree 1. Applying the inverse of the Artin reciprocity map $\theta_K^{-1}: W_K^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sim} K^*$ to $\overline{\varphi}_{\pi}$, we obtain a

uniformizer $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi) \in K^*$. Then we have

$$\operatorname{can}_K(\pi) = -\pi.$$

Note that Theorem 0.2 is indeed a special case of Theorem 0.3: the equality $\operatorname{can}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(p) = -p$ exactly means that φ is acting on the *p*-power roots of unity by $\varphi(\zeta) = \zeta^{-1}$.

We have an immediate corollary, which is quite surprising (to the author).

Corollary 0.4. Let K be a local field, and denote by $W_K^{\deg=1}$ the set of elements of the Weil group of degree 1, and similarly for $W_K^{\operatorname{ab,deg=1}}$. Denote by $\operatorname{cl}(W_K^{\deg=1})$ the set of conjugacy classes. Then the surjection $\operatorname{cl}(W_K^{\deg=1}) \twoheadrightarrow W_K^{\operatorname{ab,deg=1}}$ has a canonical section which sends $\theta_K(\pi)$ to the conjugacy class coming from $\varphi_{-\pi}$.

It would be interesting to understand more about the conjugacy classes in $W_K^{\text{deg}=1}$ coming from φ_{π} .

Remark 0.5. Using Lubin-Tate theory [LT65] and Hazewinkel's classification of the logarithms of Lubin-Tate formal group laws [Haz78, Proposition I.8.3.6], we observe that Theorem 0.3 is equivalent to the following: the zeroes of the function

$$H(x) = x - \frac{x^q}{\pi} + \frac{x^{q^2}}{\pi^2} - \frac{x^{q^3}}{\pi^3} + \dots$$

in (the maximal ideal of the valuation ring of) $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ are fixed by φ_{π} . In other words, these zeroes are contained $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Again, the computational approach to this statement is unrealistic, unless char K = p.

We will prove Theorem 0.3 in Section 6, using essentially only the standard results from local class field theory, Lubin-Tate theory and local ramification theory, together with the Krasner's lemma.

Notation. All the valuations below will take values in $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$, and they are not normalized unless otherwise stated. The valuations will be denoted by v. In particular, for a discrete valuation field K with a uniformizer π , in general we do not require that $v(\pi) = 1$ unless we choose to do so.

Acknowledgements. I am grateful to Robert Burklund and Achim Krause for introducing me to Hahn-Witt series and for suggesting the Question 0.1.

1. Hahn series and π -typical Hahn-Witt series

In this section we first give some background on Hahn series [Hahn95, Kru32, Pa77], then we define the π -typical Hahn-Witt series (Definition 1.3). We also summarize the properties of π -typical Hahn-Witt series of a perfect field (Theorem 1.4). Almost all the statements

in this section, including Theorem 1.4, are obtained by a straightforward generalization of results from [Po93].

For a commutative ring R we have a ring of Hahn series $R((t^{\mathbb{Q}}))$. It is formed by expressions $f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}} a_i t^i$, where $a_i \in R$ and the support

$$\operatorname{Supp}(f) := \{i \in \mathbb{Q} : a_i \neq 0\}$$

is well-ordered. The sum and product of such expressions are well-defined. Namely, by [Pa77, Lemma 2.9] for well-ordered subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{Q}$, the sets $A \cup B$ and A + B are also well-ordered, and the map

$$A \times B \to A + B$$
, $(a, b) \mapsto a + b$,

has finite fibers. Moreover, the subring $R[[t^{\mathbb{Q}}]] \subset R((t^{\mathbb{Q}}))$ (formed by expressions with non-negative exponents) is t-adically complete. Just like in the case of usual power series, the Jacobson radical rad $(R[[t^{\mathbb{Q}}]])$ consists of Hahn series whose constant term is contained in rad(R).

Suppose that $r \in R$ is a non-zero-divisor such that R is r-adically complete. Following the terminology of [Po93, Section 4] (in the case r = p), we say that an element $f = \sum_{i} a_i t^i \in R((t^{\mathbb{Q}}))$ is a null series (with respect to r) if for any $i \in \mathbb{Q}$ we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{i+n} r^n = 0 \in R[r^{-1}].$$

The same proof as in [Po93, Proposition 3] shows that the null series form an ideal in $R((t^{\mathbb{Q}}))$. Moreover, we observe that this ideal is principal, and it is generated by the non-zero-divisor (t-r). Namely, if $f = \sum_{i} a_i t^i$ is a null-series, then we have

$$\frac{f}{t-r} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}} a_{i+n+1} r^n \right) t^i.$$

Note that $\frac{f}{t-r}$ is a well-defined element of $R((t^{\mathbb{Q}}))$, since $\operatorname{Supp}(\frac{f}{t-r}) \subset \operatorname{Supp}(f) + \mathbb{N}$, and the latter set is well-ordered.

Choose a set-theoretic section $s: R/r \to R$, such that s(0) = 0. Then the same proof as in [Po93, Proposition 4] shows that any element of $R((t^{\mathbb{Q}}))/(t-r)$ has a unique representative of the form

(1.1)
$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}} s(b_i) t^i \in R((t^{\mathbb{Q}})), \quad b_i \in R/x, \quad \{i \in \mathbb{Q} : b_i \neq 0\} \text{ is well-ordered.}$$

Definition 1.1. We denote by $R((r^{\mathbb{Q}}))$ the quotient $R((t))^{\mathbb{Q}}/(t-r)$, assuming that $r \in R$ is a non-zero-divisor such that R is r-adically complete. We will write $\sum_{i} s(b_i)r^i$ for the image of the element (1.1).

Note that the assignment $(R, r) \mapsto R((r^{\mathbb{Q}}))$ is functorial, and we have a natural inclusion $R[r^{-1}] \hookrightarrow R((r^{\mathbb{Q}}))$. The following (almost tautological) observation will be very useful.

Proposition 1.2. Let R be a commutative ring and let $r \in R$ be a non-zero-divisor such that R is r-adically complete. Choose a section $s : R/r \to R$, such that s(0) = 0. Denote by $R' = R[r^{\frac{1}{n}}]$ the ring $R[X]/(X^n - r)$, where n > 0 is an integer. We identify $R/r \cong R'/r^{\frac{1}{n}}$, and we choose the map $R/r \xrightarrow{s} R \to R'$ as a section. Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$R((r^{\mathbb{Q}})) \xrightarrow{\sim} R'(((r^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\mathbb{Q}})), \quad \sum_{i} s(b_{i})r^{i} \mapsto \sum_{i} s(b_{i})(r^{\frac{1}{n}})^{ni}.$$

Proof. Indeed, the inverse isomorphism is given by

$$R'(((r^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\mathbb{Q}})) = R'((t^{\mathbb{Q}}))/(t - r^{\frac{1}{n}}) \cong R((t^{\mathbb{Q}}))[X]/(X^{n} - r, t - X)$$
$$\cong R((t^{\mathbb{Q}}))/(t^{n} - r) = R((r^{\mathbb{Q}})). \quad \Box$$

We now define the π -typical Hahn-Witt series. Recall that we denote by $W_{(K,\pi)}(-)$ the π -typical Witt vectors, as defined in [Dr76].

Definition 1.3. Let K be a local field with a chosen uniformizer π , and we identify \mathcal{O}_K/π with \mathbb{F}_q . For a perfect \mathbb{F}_q -algebra R, we define the K-algebra of Hahn-Witt series of R as follows:

$$HW_{(K,\pi)}(R) := W_{(K,\pi)}(R)((\pi^{\mathbb{Q}})) = W_{(K,\pi)}(R)((t^{\mathbb{Q}}))/(t-\pi).$$

Choosing the section $[\cdot] : R \cong W_{(K,\pi)}(R)/\pi \to W_{(K,\pi)}(R)$ to be the unique multiplicative section (given by the Teichmüller representatives), we see that the elements of $HW_{(K,\pi)}(R)$ are expressions of the form

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Q}} [a_i] \pi^i, \quad a_i \in R, \quad \{i \in \mathbb{Q} : a_i \neq 0\} \text{ is well-ordered}.$$

A straightforward generalization of the results of [Po93, Sections 4 and 5] gives the following.

Theorem 1.4. [Po93] Let K and π be as above, and let E be a perfect field, which is an extension of \mathbb{F}_q .

1) The K-algebra $HW_{(K,\pi)}(E)$ is a field.

2) The valuation $K \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$, sending π to 1, extends to a valuation $v : HW_{(K,\pi)}(E) \to \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$, given by

$$v(\sum_{i} [a_i]\pi^i) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{Q} : a_i \neq 0\},\$$

where $\inf(\emptyset) = \infty$. The inclusion $K \subset HW_{(K,\pi)}(E)$ induces an isomorphism on the residue fields.

3) $HW_{(K,\pi)}(E)$ is a maximally complete valued field, i.e. it has no immediate extensions other than itself. Recall that if F is a field with a valuation $v: F \to \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$, then an immediate extension of F is a field extension F' of F with a valuation $v': F' \to \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $v'_{|F} = v$, and F and F' have the same value group and the same residue field. 4) If E is algebraically closed, then $HW_{(K,\pi)}(E)$ is also algebraically closed.

Proof. We give references to the corresponding results from [Po93]. The proofs of 1)-3) are obtained from the proofs from [Po93] by replacing p with π , and restricting to the case $G = \mathbb{Q}$ (of course, all the statements are still valid for a general totally ordered value group G containing \mathbb{Z} , which must be divisible in part 4)). Part 4) follows from 3) by [Po93, Proposition 6].

Part 1) is a generalization of [Po93, Corollary 3]. Part 2) is a generalization of [Po93, Proposition 5]. Part 3) is a generalization of [Po93, Theorem 1]. \Box

As mentioned in the introduction, we will be interested in the canonical automorphism

$$\varphi_{\pi}: HW_{(K,\pi)}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \to HW_{(K,\pi)}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q), \quad \varphi_{\pi}(\sum_{i} [a_i]\pi^i) = \sum_{i} [a_i^q]\pi^i,$$

especially in its action on the maximal abelian extension K^{ab} of K.

If $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\pi = p$, then we write simply HW(-) and φ instead of $HW_{(K,\pi)}(-)$ and φ_{π} , assuming the choice of a prime p.

Remark 1.5. If K is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , then the fields $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ and $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ are non-canonically isomorphic as valued fields by [Po93, Corollary 6]. Namely, there is only one maximally complete field of characteristic zero with value group \mathbb{Q} and residue field $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ (up to a non-canonical isomorphism). Moreover, the group of continuous automorphisms of $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ is huge, even over \mathbb{C}_p , see [Po93, Proposition 11].

2. Some computations

This section, which can be skipped on the first reading, contains some computations of the *p*-power roots of unity in $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$. These are mostly to demonstrate that it is probably impossible to prove Theorem 0.2 by a direct approach. Some of the computations below were done previously by Lampert [La86], however the formulas in [La86, Theorem 1] are incorrect (see below). Also, some of the computations for p = 2 below were done recently by Achim Krause (private communication). Recall from the introduction that u_p denotes the unique *p*-adic integer such that $\varphi(\zeta) = \zeta^{u_p}$, where ζ runs through *p*-power roots of unity in $HW(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$.

Looking at the Newton polygons, we see that to prove that some p-power root of unity is contained in $HW(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$ for a particular n, it suffices to check this for the coefficients of p^i for $i \leq \frac{1}{p-1}$. Indeed, for higher degrees the coefficients are uniquely determined by successive approximation, and they will automatically be (Teichmüller representatives of elements) in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} . We refer to [La86] for details.

Now, assuming p > 2, we first compute

$$\sqrt[p]{1} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left[\frac{a^i}{i!} \right] \cdot p^{\frac{i}{p-1}} + \left[a \cdot \frac{1 + (p-1)!}{p} \right] \cdot p^{\frac{p}{p-1}} + \text{(higher order terms)},$$

where $a \in \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, $a^{p-1} = -1$. This is simply the computation within the finite extension $W(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})[p^{\pm \frac{1}{p-1}}]$ of \mathbb{Q}_p . In particular, we have $\sqrt[p]{1} \in HW(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$. Since $a^p = -a$, we have $u_p \equiv -1 \mod p$. We remark that the formula for $\sqrt[p]{1} \mod p$ in [La86, Theorem 1] is incorrect for $p \ge 5$: this can be checked by raising it to the power p and looking at the coefficient of $p^{\frac{p+2}{p-1}}$ (which equals $[\frac{\zeta_1^3}{12}]$ in the notation of loc. cit.).

Knowing the expression for $\sqrt[p]{1}$ up to degree $p^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$ allows to compute the expression for (one of the primitive roots) $\sqrt[p^2]{1}$ up to degree $p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$. We obtain:

$$\sqrt[p^2]{1} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left[\frac{(-a)^i}{i!} \right] \cdot p^{\frac{i}{p(p-1)}} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [-a] \cdot p^{\frac{1}{p-1} - \frac{1}{p^n}} + 0 \cdot p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} + (\text{higher order terms}).$$

In particular, $\sqrt[p^2]{1} \in HW(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ and $u_p \equiv -1 \mod p^2$. However, computing $\sqrt[p^3]{1}$ up to degree $p^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$ seems to be just too difficult, and for general p-power roots of unity this seems to be simply impossible. Assuming Theorem 0.2, we can only say that $\sqrt[p^n]{1} \in HW(\mathbb{F}_{p^2})$ for all n.

For p = 2 the computational approach gives a slightly better result, i.e. $u_2 \equiv -1 \mod 16$, or equivalently $\sqrt{-1} \notin HW(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and $\sqrt[16]{-1} \in HW(\mathbb{F}_4)$. First, Lampert [La86] computed:

$$\sqrt{-1} = (2^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2^{\frac{3}{4}} + 2^{\frac{7}{8}} \dots) + [a] \cdot 2^1 + (\text{higher order terms}),$$

where $a \in \mathbb{F}_4$, $a^2 + a = 1$. We see that $\sqrt{-1} \notin HW(\mathbb{F}_2)$ and $u_2 \equiv -1 \mod 4$. We also see that for any non-zero element $x \in HW(\mathbb{F}_2)$, either x or -x is a square, but not both. This follows from Kummer theory, since the Galois group of $HW(\mathbb{F}_2)$ is isomorphic to $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Next, we can directly compute that $\sqrt{1+2^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ is contained in $HW(\mathbb{F}_2)$:

$$\sqrt{1+2^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2^{1-\frac{3}{2^n}} + \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} 2^{1-\frac{1}{2^n}} + 0 \cdot 2^1 + \text{(higher order terms)}.$$

Now, either $2 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2}$ or $-2 + \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{2}$ is a square in $HW(\mathbb{F}_2)$, but not both of them, since their product equals $-\sqrt{2}(1+\sqrt{2})^{-1}$, which is not a square. We obtain

$$\sqrt[16]{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pm 2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pm 2 - \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}} \cdot \sqrt{-1}.$$

In particular, $u_2 \equiv -1 \mod 16$. Moreover, $u_2 \equiv -1 \mod 32$ if and only if $2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}$ is a square in $HW(\mathbb{F}_2)$. This is the case by Theorem 0.2, but computing the explicit Hahn-Witt series expression for $\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}}$ seems to be too difficult, even if we try do it only up to the relevant degree $2^{\frac{9}{8}}$.

Remark 2.1. In fact, Theorem 0.2 for p = 2 is equivalent to the following: all the square roots

$$\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2+\ldots\sqrt{2}}}$$

are contained in $HW(\mathbb{F}_2)$.

3. Local class field theory

In this section we will recall only the main statements from the local class field theory, that is, the properties of the local Artin reciprocity maps. We refer to [CF67, N99, M20] for a detailed study.

We will deduce a very useful relation between the uniformizers $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi)$, introduced in Theorem 0.3, for different pairs (K, π) . The precise statement is Proposition 3.1.

Let K be a local field, and denote by k its (finite) residue field. Denote by $v: K^* \to \mathbb{Z}$ the surjective discrete valuation. Denote by G_K the absolute Galois group of K, and denote by G_K^{ab} (the profinite completion of) its abelianization. Then G_K^{ab} is the Galois group of the maximal abelian extension K^{ab} of K.

It is convenient to replace the group G_K with the Weil group $W_K \subset G_K$. By definition, W_K is the preimage of $\mathbb{Z} \subset \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ under the natural map $G_K \to G_k \cong \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$, and similarly for $W_K^{ab} \subset G_K^{ab}$. The induced map deg : $W_K \to \mathbb{Z}$ (which of course factors through W_K^{ab}) is called the degree map.

The main statement of local class field theory is the existence of a natural isomorphism, called Artin reciprocity map:

$$\theta_K : K^* \xrightarrow{\sim} W_K^{\mathrm{ab}}.$$

The degree map deg : $W_K^{ab} \to \mathbb{Z}$ corresponds to the valuation:

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
K^* & \stackrel{v}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{Z} \\
 \theta_K & & & & \\
 W_K^{\mathrm{ab}} & \stackrel{\mathrm{deg}}{\longrightarrow} & \mathbb{Z}
\end{array}$$

Given a finite extension L/K, the natural map $W_L^{ab} \to W_K^{ab}$ corresponds to the norm map:

$$(3.1) \qquad \begin{array}{c} L^* & \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Nm}_{L/K}} & K^* \\ \theta_L \downarrow & \theta_K \downarrow \\ W_L^{\operatorname{ab}} & \longrightarrow & W_K^{\operatorname{ab}} \end{array}$$

The inclusion $K^* \to L^*$ corresponds to the transfer map:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} K^* & \longrightarrow & L^* \\ \theta_K & & \theta_L \\ W_K^{ab} & \xrightarrow{T} & W_L^{ab}. \end{array}$$

Recall that for an inclusion of (say, abstract) groups $H \subset G$ of finite index, the transfer map $T: G^{ab} \to H^{ab}$ is given by the composition

$$T: G^{\mathrm{ab}} \cong \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(\mathbb{Z})) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}[H]}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{\mathrm{ab}}.$$

Now, let K be a local field with a uniformizer π , and we identify $\mathcal{O}_K/\pi \cong \mathbb{F}_q$. Recall that the uniformizer $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi) \in K$, introduced in Theorem 0.3, is characterized by the following: the action of $\theta_K(\operatorname{can}_K(\pi))$ on $K^{\operatorname{ab}} \subset HW_{(K,\pi)}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ is the same as the action of $\varphi_{\pi} \in \operatorname{Aut}_K(HW_{(K,\pi)}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q))$.

Proposition 3.1. Consider the extension $K' = K(\pi^{\frac{1}{n}})$ of K, for some n > 0. Then we have

(3.2)
$$\operatorname{Nm}_{K'/K}(\operatorname{can}_{K'}(\pi^{\frac{1}{n}})) = \operatorname{can}_{K}(\pi).$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.2, we have a natural isomorphism

(3.3)
$$\psi: HW_{(K,\pi)}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \xrightarrow{\sim} HW_{(K',\pi^{\frac{1}{n}})}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q), \quad \psi(\sum_i [a_i]\pi^i) = \sum_i [a_i](\pi^{\frac{1}{n}})^{ni},$$

where $a_i \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Moreover, ψ is compatible with the canonical automorphisms φ_{π} and $\varphi_{\pi\frac{1}{n}}$. Hence, the equality (3.2) follows from the commutative square (3.1), applied to the extension K'/K.

4. RAMIFICATION THEORY

We will need only one well known statement about the norm map for a particular wildly ramified extension. Let K be a local field of characteristic 0 and with the residue characteristic p. Choose a uniformizer $\pi \in K$. Consider the extension $K' = K(\pi^{\frac{1}{p}})$ of K.

Lemma 4.1. Let n and k be positive integers, such that

$$n \leq k$$
, $(n - \frac{k}{p})v(\pi) \leq v(p)$.

Then we have

$$\operatorname{Nm}_{K'/K}(1+\pi^{\frac{k}{p}}\mathcal{O}_{K'}) \subset 1+\pi^n\mathcal{O}_K.$$

Proof. This is completely standard, but we were not able to find a reference (note that for p > 2 the extension K'/K does not have to be Galois). We give a proof for completeness.

It suffices to prove that for $m \ge k$ and for $a \in \mathcal{O}_K/\pi$ we have

$$\operatorname{Nm}_{K'/K}(1-[a]\pi^{\frac{m}{p}}) \in 1+\pi^n \mathcal{O}_K.$$

If m is not divisible by p, then we have

$$Nm_{K'/K}(1-[a]\pi^{\frac{m}{p}}) = 1-[a^{p}]\pi^{m} \in 1+\pi^{n}\mathcal{O}_{K},$$

since $m \ge n$. If m is divisible by p, then we have

$$\operatorname{Nm}_{K'/K}(1-[a]\pi^{\frac{m}{p}}) = (1-[a]\pi^{\frac{m}{p}})^p \in 1 + p\pi^{\frac{m}{p}}\mathcal{O}_K + \pi^m \mathcal{O}_K \subset 1 + \pi^n \mathcal{O}_K,$$

since $m \ge n$ and

$$v(p) + v(\pi^{\frac{m}{p}}) \ge (n - \frac{k}{p})v(\pi) + \frac{m}{p}v(\pi) \ge v(\pi^n).$$

This proves the lemma.

5. LUBIN-TATE THEORY

Let K be a local field with the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_K , and choose a uniformizer $\pi \in K$. We identify $\mathcal{O}_K/(\pi) \cong \mathbb{F}_q$. Choose a separable algebraic closure K^{sep} of K, and denote by $K^{\text{ab}} \subset K^{\text{sep}}$ the maximal abelian extension of K. Denote by $K_{\pi} \subset K^{\text{ab}}$ the subfield fixed by $\theta_K(\pi)$. Then K_{π} is a maximal totally ramified abelian extension of K, and moreover the assignment $\pi \mapsto K_{\pi}$ defines a bijection

$$\{ \text{ uniformizers of } K \} \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{cases} \text{maximal totally ramified} \\ \text{abelian extensions of } K \end{cases}$$

The composition

$$\overline{\theta}_{K,\pi}: \mathcal{O}_K^* \to K^* \xrightarrow{\theta_K} W_K^{\mathrm{ab}} \to \mathrm{Gal}(K_\pi/K)$$

is an isomorphism.

The Lubin-Tate theory [LT65] provides a geometric (more precisely, formal schemetheoretic) interpretation of the field K_{π} and of the isomorphism $\overline{\theta}_{K,\pi} : \mathcal{O}_{K}^{*} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(K_{\pi}/K)$. We will very briefly recall the main statements, and we refer to [LT65, CF67, Haz78] for details. Then we will deduce an application (Lemma 5.3) to the uniformizers $\text{can}_{K}(\pi)$ introduced in Theorem 0.3.

The main ingredient is the unique (up to a non-canonical isomorphism) 1-dimensional formal \mathcal{O}_K -module over \mathcal{O}_K such that the action of π induces the relative Frobenius endomorphism modulo π . More precisely, there exists a pair (F, α) , where $F(X, Y) \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X, Y]]$ is a formal group law over \mathcal{O}_K and

$$\alpha : \mathcal{O}_K \to \operatorname{End}(\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_K[[X]], F), \quad \alpha(r) = [r]_F,$$

is a homomorphism of rings satisfying

$$[r]_F(X) \equiv rX \mod X^2 \text{ for } r \in \mathcal{O}_K, \quad [\pi]_F(X) \equiv X^q \mod \pi.$$

Such F is called a Lubin-Tate formal group law, and the formal \mathcal{O}_K -module (F, α) is called a Lubin-Tate formal \mathcal{O}_K -module.

Such a pair (F, α) is unique up to an isomorphism, i.e. up to a formal change of the coordinate. Moreover, the pair (F, α) is uniquely determined by the power series $f(X) = [\pi]_F(X)$, which must satisfy the condition

(5.1)
$$f(X) \equiv \pi X + X^q \mod \pi X^2.$$

For any f satisfying (5.1), the Lubin-Tate formal module (F, α) such that $[\pi]_F = f$ is described as follows:

• the power series F(X, Y) is the unique power series such that

$$F(X,Y) \equiv X + Y \mod (X,Y)^2, \quad F(f(X),f(Y)) = f(F(X),F(Y));$$

• for $r \in \mathcal{O}_K$ the power series $[r]_F(X)$ is the unique power series such that

$$[r]_F(X) \equiv rX \mod X^2, \quad [r]_F(f(X)) = f([r]_F(X)).$$

Choose a Lubin-Tate formal \mathcal{O}_K -module (F, α) , and consider its $\mathfrak{m}_{K^{sep}}$ -valued points. We obtain a non-standard structure of an abelian group on the set $\mathfrak{m}_{K^{sep}}$, and the structure of an \mathcal{O}_K -module on this abelian group:

$$x + y = F(x, y), \quad r \cdot F = [r]_F(x), \quad x, y \in \mathfrak{m}_{K^{sep}}, r \in \mathcal{O}_K.$$

Denote by $\mathfrak{m}_{K^{\text{sep}}}[\pi^{\infty}]$ the \mathcal{O}_{K} -module of π -power torsion elements of $\mathfrak{m}_{K^{\text{sep}}}$ (with respect to the above \mathcal{O}_{K} -module structure). Then we have a non-canonical \mathcal{O}_{K} -linear

isomorphism $\mathfrak{m}_{K^{sep}}[\pi^{\infty}] \cong K/\mathcal{O}_K$. It follows that we have a canonical isomorphism of rings

$$\mathcal{O}_K \cong \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathfrak{m}_{K^{\operatorname{sep}}}[\pi^\infty]).$$

Theorem 5.1. [LT65] 1) The extension of K generated by the subset $\mathfrak{m}_{K^{sep}}[\pi^{\infty}] \subset K^{sep}$ is exactly the field K_{π} .

2) The canonical map $\psi_{K,\pi}$: $\operatorname{Gal}(K_{\pi}/K) \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}_K}(\mathfrak{m}_{K^{\operatorname{sep}}}[\pi^{\infty}]) \cong \mathcal{O}_K^*$ is an isomorphism.

3) The composition $\psi_{K,\pi} \circ \overline{\theta}_{K,\pi} : \mathcal{O}_K^* \to \mathcal{O}_K^*$ sends u to u^{-1} .

We choose the Lubin-Tate formal module (F, α) for which we have $f(X) = [\pi]_F(X) = X^q + \pi X$. Denote by $f^{[n]}(X)$ the *n*-th iteration of *f*, where $f^{[0]}(X) = X$. Then for n > 0 the primitive π^n -torsion elements of $\mathfrak{m}_{K^{\text{sep}}}[\pi^{\infty}]$ are exactly the roots of the (separable) Eisenstein polynomial

(5.2)
$$g_n(X) = \frac{f^{[n]}(X)}{f^{[n-1]}(X)} = (f^{[n-1]}(X))^{q-1} + \pi$$

over K. These roots have valuation $\frac{v(\pi)}{q^n-q^{n-1}}$. The following statement is immediate from Theorem 5.1.

Corollary 5.2. Let π and ϖ be uniformizers of a local field K with the residue field \mathbb{F}_q , let n be a positive integer, and consider the polynomial $g_n(X)$ defined in (5.2) (corresponding to the uniformizer π). The following are equivalent.

- (i) We have $\varpi \equiv \pi \mod \pi^{n+1}$.
- (ii) There exists a root y of g_n such that $\theta_K(\varpi)(y) = y$.

We now use this to obtain a useful tool for approximating the uniformizer $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi)$, introduced in Theorem 0.3. Since we want this uniformizer to be $-\pi$, we replace $X^q + \pi X$ by $X^q - \pi X$.

Lemma 5.3. Let π be a uniformizer in a local field K, and we identify $\mathcal{O}_K/\pi \cong \mathbb{F}_q$. Let n be a positive integer. Denote by $f^{[n]}$ the n-th iteration of the polynomial $f(X) = X^q - \pi X$, and put $g_n(X) = (f^{[n-1]}(X))^{q-1} - \pi$. Then the following are equivalent.

- (i) We have $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi) \equiv -\pi \mod \pi^{n+1}$.
- (ii) There exists a root of g_n in $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$.
- (iii) There exists an element $y \in HW_{(K,\pi)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $v(g_n(y)) > nv(\pi)$.

Proof. The equivalence (i) \iff (ii) is a direct application of Corollary 5.2 to the uniformizers $-\pi$ and $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi)$: a root y of g_n is contained in $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ if and only if

$$y = \varphi(y) = \theta_K(\operatorname{can}_K(\pi))(y).$$

Tautologically, we have (ii) \implies (iii).

It remains to prove (iii) \implies (ii). We normalize the valuation on $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ so that $v(\pi) = 1$. Let y be as in (iii), and denote by $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{q^n - q^{n-1}}$ the roots of $g_n(X)$ in $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$. By Krasner's lemma [O17, Kra46], it suffices to prove that there exists $i \in \{1, \ldots, q^n - q^{n-1}\}$ such that $v(y - \beta_i) > v(y - \beta_j)$ for $j \neq i$. We will use the following obvious statement on the valuations of the differences of the roots of g_n . If $i \neq j$, then $v(\beta_i - \beta_j) = \frac{1}{q^m - q^{m-1}}$ if the difference of β_i and β_j in $\mathfrak{m}_{K^{sep}}[\pi^{\infty}]$ is a primitive π^m -torsion element.

Now the assumption $v(g_n(y)) > n$ means that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q^{n}-q^{n-1}} v(y-\beta_{i}) > n \ge 1.$$

We may and will assume that $v(y - \beta_1) > \frac{1}{q^n - q^{n-1}}$. There are exactly q^{n-1} roots β_i such that $v(\beta_1 - \beta_i) > \frac{1}{q^n - q^{n-1}}$. We will assume that these are $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{q^{n-1}}$. If n = 1, then we are done. Assuming $n \ge 2$, we see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q^{n-1}} v(y-\beta_i) > n - \sum_{i=q^{n-1}+1}^{q^n-q^{n-1}} v(y-\beta_i) = n - \frac{q^n - 2q^{n-1}}{q^n - q^{n-1}} = n - 1 + \frac{1}{q-1} \ge \frac{q}{q-1}.$$

We may and will assume that $v(y - \beta_1) > \frac{1}{q^{n-1}} \cdot \frac{q}{q-1} = \frac{1}{q^{n-1}-q^{n-2}}$. There are exactly q^{n-2} roots β_i such that $v(\beta_1 - \beta_i) > \frac{1}{q^{n-1}-q^{n-2}}$, and we will assume that these are $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{q^{n-2}}$. If n = 2, then we are done. Assuming $n \ge 3$, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q^{n-2}} v(y-\beta_i) > n-1 + \frac{1}{q-1} - \sum_{i=q^{n-2}+1}^{q^{n-1}} v(y-\beta_i) = n-1 + \frac{1}{q-1} - \frac{q^{n-1}-q^{n-2}}{q^{n-1}-q^{n-2}} = n-2 + \frac{1}{q-1} \ge \frac{q}{q-1}.$$

Continuing the process, we eventually find a root, say β_1 , such that $v(y - \beta_1) > \frac{1}{q-1}$ and $v(y - \beta_i) \leq \frac{1}{q-1}$ for $i \neq 1$. By Krasner's lemma, we have $\beta_1 \in HW_{(K,\pi)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, which proves the implication (iii) \implies (ii).

6. Proof of Theorem 0.3

Let K be a local field, and let $\pi \in K$ be a uniformizer, and we identify $\mathcal{O}_K/\pi \cong \mathbb{F}_q$. As in Lemma 5.3, consider the polynomial $f(X) = X^q - \pi X$, denote by $f^{[n]}$ its iterations, and put

$$g_n(X) = \frac{f^{[n]}(X)}{f^{[n-1]}(X)} = (f^{[n-1]}(X))^{q-1} - \pi.$$

The general idea of the proof of Theorem 0.3 is the following. We will first apply Lemma 5.3, (ii) \implies (i), to prove Theorem 0.3 in the equal characteristic case. Then we will

apply Lemma 5.3, (iii) \implies (i), to prove that $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi)$ and $-\pi$ are "very close" when K is sufficiently ramified over \mathbb{Q}_p (the precise statement is Lemma 6.1). Then we will use Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 4.1 to prove Theorem 0.3 in the mixed characteristic case.

6.1. The equal characteristic case. Suppose that K has characteristic p, i.e. $K \cong \mathbb{F}_q((\pi))$. In this case we have $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\mathbb{F}_q) \cong \mathbb{F}_q((\pi^{\mathbb{Q}}))$. We directly write down a root of $g_n(X)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q((\pi^{\mathbb{Q}}))$ for each n > 0. We put

(6.1)
$$y_n := \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_{n-1}} \pi^{\frac{1}{q-1} - q^{-k_1} - \dots - q^{-k_{n-1}}},$$

where the summation is over all (n-1)-tuples of strictly increasing positive integers (for n = 1 we have $y_1 = \pi^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$). Note that the lowest degree term of y_n is given by

$$\pi^{\frac{1}{q-1}-q^{-1}-\dots-q^{-n+1}} = \pi^{\frac{1}{q^n-q^{n-1}}}.$$

We have $f(y_1) = 0$, and for n > 1 we have

$$f(y_n) = \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_{n-1}} \pi^{\frac{q}{q-1} - q^{1-k_1} - \dots - q^{1-k_{n-1}}} - \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_{n-1}} \pi^{\frac{q}{q-1} - q^{-k_1} - \dots - q^{-k_{n-1}}} = \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_{n-1}} \pi^{\frac{q}{q-1} - q^{-k_1} - \dots - q^{-k_{n-1}}} = \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_{n-1}} \pi^{\frac{q}{q-1} - q^{-k_1} - \dots - q^{-k_{n-1}}} = \sum_{0 = k_1 < \dots < k_{n-1}} \pi^{\frac{1}{q-1} - q^{-k_2} - \dots - q^{-k_{n-1}}} = y_{n-1}.$$

It follows that y_n is a root of $f^{[n]}(X)$, but not a root of $f^{[n-1]}(X)$. Hence, y_n is a root of $g_n(X)$. By Lemma 5.3 we conclude that $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi) \equiv -\pi \mod \pi^{n+1}$ for all n > 0, hence $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi) = -\pi$. This proves Theorem 0.3 in the equal characteristic case.

6.2. The mixed characteristic case. Now we consider the mixed characteristic case, i.e. suppose that K is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . We normalize all the valuations so that v(p) = 1. Given the integers n > 0, $k \ge 0$, consider the following statement:

$$S(n,k)$$
: if $v(\pi) \leq \frac{1}{p^k}$ (i.e. $e(K/\mathbb{Q}_p) \geq p^k$), then $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi) \equiv -\pi \mod \pi^{n+1}$.

We first prove it for $n \leq p^k$.

Lemma 6.1. For $m = e(K/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we have $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi) \equiv -\pi \mod \pi^{m+1}$. In particular, the statement S(n,k) holds for $n \leq p^k$.

Proof. Denote by $z_m \in HW_{(K,\pi)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ the element given by the same expression as y_m from (6.1), i.e.

$$z_m = \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_{m-1}} \pi^{\frac{1}{q-1} - q^{-k_1} - \dots - q^{-k_{m-1}}}.$$

By Lemma 5.3, it suffices to prove that $v(g_m(z_m)) > mv(\pi) = 1$. We claim that this formally follows from the above computation in characteristic p. To make this precise, recall that $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathcal{O}_K((t^{\mathbb{Q}}))/(t-\pi)$. The element $z_m \in HW_{(K,\pi)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is the image of the element

$$\tilde{z}_m = \sum_{0 < k_1 < \dots < k_{n-1}} t^{\frac{1}{q-1} - q^{-k_1} - \dots - q^{-k_m - 1}} \in \mathbb{Z}((t^{\mathbb{Q}})).$$

Consider the polynomial $\tilde{f}(X) = X^q - tX \in \mathbb{Z}[t][X]$. We define $\tilde{f}^{[m]}(X), \tilde{g}_m(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[t][X]$ similarly. The above computation in the equal characteristic case implies that $\tilde{g}_m(\tilde{z}_m)$ is divisible by p in $\mathbb{Z}((t^{\mathbb{Q}}))$. Moreover, since the constant term of the polynomial $\tilde{g}_m(X)$ equals -t and since \tilde{z}_m is a sum of strictly positive powers of t, we conclude that all the exponents in the Hahn series expression of $\tilde{g}_m(\tilde{z}_m)$ are $\geq \varepsilon$ for some rational $\varepsilon > 0$. Applying the natural homomorphisms $\mathbb{Z}[t] \to \mathcal{O}_K$ and $\mathbb{Z}((t^{\mathbb{Q}})) \to HW_{(K,\pi)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, sending t to π , we conclude that $g_m(z_m)$ is divisible by $p\pi^{\varepsilon}$ in the valuation ring of $HW_{(K,\pi)}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Hence,

$$v(g_m(z_m)) \ge v(p\pi^{\varepsilon}) > 1$$

as required.

Next, we slightly improve the bound from Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 6.2. Suppose that $v(\pi) \leq \frac{p}{(p-1)n}$ for some integer n > 0. Then we have $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi) \equiv -\pi \mod \pi^{n+1}$. In particular, the statement S(n,k) holds for $n \leq \frac{p^{k+1}}{p-1}$.

Proof. Consider the extension $K' = K(\pi^{\frac{1}{p}})$ of K. We have $v(\pi^{\frac{1}{p}}) \leq \frac{1}{(p-1)n} \leq \frac{1}{n}$. Hence, by Lemma 6.1 we have $\operatorname{can}_{K'}(\pi^{\frac{1}{p}}) \equiv -\pi^{\frac{1}{p}} \mod \pi^{\frac{n+1}{p}}$.

Now, by Proposition 3.1, we have $\operatorname{Nm}_{K'/K}(\operatorname{can}_{K'}(\pi^{\frac{1}{p}})) = \operatorname{can}_{K}(\pi)$. Note that $\operatorname{Nm}_{K'/K}(-\pi^{\frac{1}{p}}) = -\pi$. Our assumption on n means exactly that $(n - \frac{n}{p})v(\pi) \leq 1 = v(p)$. Applying Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\frac{\operatorname{can}_{K}(\pi)}{-\pi} = \operatorname{Nm}_{K'/K}\left(\frac{\operatorname{can}_{K'}(\pi^{\frac{1}{p}})}{-\pi^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right) \in \operatorname{Nm}_{K'/K}(1 + \pi^{\frac{n}{p}}\mathcal{O}_{K'}) \subset 1 + \pi^{n}\mathcal{O}_{K},$$

ed.

as required.

Similarly, we obtain the following reduction.

Lemma 6.3. Let n > 0 and $k \ge 0$ be such that $n > \frac{p^{k+1}}{p-1}$. Then the statement $S(pn - p^{k+1}, k+1)$ implies the statement S(n, k).

Proof. The argument is basically the same as for Lemma 6.2. Suppose that $v(\pi) \leq \frac{1}{p^k}$ and suppose that the statement $S(pn-p^{k+1},k+1)$ holds. Consider the extension $K' = K(\pi^{\frac{1}{p}})$

of K. Then $v(\pi^{\frac{1}{p}}) \leq \frac{1}{p^{k+1}}$, and applying $S(pn - p^{k+1}, k+1)$ we obtain $\operatorname{can}_{K'}(\pi^{\frac{1}{p}}) \equiv -\pi^{\frac{1}{p}} \mod \pi^{\frac{1}{p}+n-p^{k}}.$

Our assumptions imply that $p(n-p^k) \ge n$ and

$$(n - (n - p^k))v(\pi) = p^k v(\pi) \le 1 = v(p).$$

Applying Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\frac{\operatorname{can}_{K}(\pi)}{-\pi} = \operatorname{Nm}_{K'/K}\left(\frac{\operatorname{can}_{K'}(\pi^{\frac{1}{p}})}{-\pi^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right) \in \operatorname{Nm}_{K'/K}(1 + \pi^{n-p^{k}}\mathcal{O}_{K'}) \subset 1 + \pi^{n}\mathcal{O}_{K},$$

ired.

as required.

Now we prove all the statements S(n,k) by induction on the positive integer parameter $r = \left\lceil \frac{n}{p^k} \right\rceil$. The base of induction r = 1 follows from Lemma 6.1.

Let r > 1 and suppose that the statements S(n,k) hold for $\left\lceil \frac{n}{p^k} \right\rceil \le r-1$. Take some n,k such that $\left\lceil \frac{n}{p^k} \right\rceil = r$. If $n \le \frac{p^{k+1}}{p-1}$ (which is possible only for r = 2), then S(n,k) holds by Lemma 6.2. Assuming $n > \frac{p^{k+1}}{p-1}$, it follows from the induction hypothesis that the statement $S(pn - p^{k+1}, k + 1)$ holds: we have

$$\left\lceil \frac{pn - p^{k+1}}{p^{k+1}} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{n}{p^k} - 1 \right\rceil = r - 1.$$

Applying Lemma 6.3, we conclude that S(n,k) holds, as required.

So we proved the statements S(n,k) for all n > 0, $k \ge 0$. Therefore, without any assumption on the ramification index $e(K/\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we have $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi) \equiv -\pi \mod \pi^{n+1}$ for all n > 0, i.e. $\operatorname{can}_K(\pi) = -\pi$. This proves Theorem 0.3 in the mixed characteristic case.

References

- [Bo11] J. Borger, "The basic geometry of Witt vectors, I: The affine case". Algebra and Number Theory 5 (2011), no. 2, pp 231-285.
- [CF67] J.W.S. Cassels, A. Fröhlich (Eds.), "Algebraic Number Theory". Academic Press, 1967.
- [Dr76] V.G. Drinfeld, "Coverings of p-adic symmetric domains". Functional Analysis and its Applications 10 (1976), no. 2, p. 29-40.
- [Hahn95] H. Hahn, "Über die nichtarchimedische Größensysteme". In Gesammelte Abhandlungen I, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [Haz78] M. Hazewinkel, "Formal groups and applications". Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 78, Academic Press, 1978.
- [J85] A. Joyal, " δ -anneaux et vecteurs de Witt". C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada, 7(3):177-182, 1985.
- [Ke01] K.S. Kedlaya, "Power series and p-adic algebraic closures". Journal of Number Theory, Volume 89, Issue 2, 2001, pp. 324-339.

- [Kra46] M. Krasner, "Théorie non abélienne des corps de classes pour les extensions finies et séparables des corps valués complets: principes fondamentaux; espaces de polynomes et transformation T ; lois d'unicité, d'ordination et d'existence". C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 222 (1946), 626-628.
- [Kru32] W. Krull, "Allgemeine Bewertungstheorie". J. für Math. 167 (1932), 160-196.
- [La86] D. Lampert, "Algebraic *p*-adic expansions". J. Number Theory 23 (1986) 279-284.
- [LT65] J. Lubin, J. Tate, "Formal complex multiplication in local fields". Ann. Math. 81 (1965), 380-387.
- [M20] J.S. Milne, "Class Field Theory". Course Notes (v4.03), available at: https://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/CFT.pdf (2020).
- [N99] J. Neukirch, "Algebraic Number Theory". Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1999).
- [O17] A. Ostrowski, "Über sogenannte perfekte Körper". J. Reine Angew. Math. 147 (1917), 191-204.
- [Pa77] D. Passman, "The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings". John Wiley and Sons, 1977, 598-602.
- [Po93] B. Poonen, "Maximally complete fields". Enseign. Math. 39 (1993) 87-106.

THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM *Email address:* efimov@mccme.ru