

ON A SUPERCRITICAL HARDY-SOBOLEV TYPE INEQUALITY WITH LOGARITHMIC TERM AND RELATED EXTREMAL PROBLEM

JOSÉ FRANCISCO DE OLIVEIRA AND JEFERSON SILVA

ABSTRACT. Our main goal is to investigate supercritical Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities with a logarithmic term and their corresponding variational problem. We prove the existence of extremal functions for the associated variational problem, despite the loss of compactness. As an application, we show the existence of weak solution to a general class of related elliptic partial differential equations with a logarithmic term.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 3$ be the unit ball and denote by $H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B)$ the first order Sobolev space of radial functions. The following class of elliptic partial differential equations has recently been investigated by several authors (cf. [17, 21, 22, 27, 35] and the references therein)

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = g(x, u)|u|^{2^*-2}u, & u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B) \quad \text{in } B, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases}$$

where $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$ is the critical Sobolev exponent and $g : \overline{B} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a suitable function. In the purely critical case $g \equiv 1$, it is well known that problem (1.1) has no positive solution by Pohozaev's identity. To overcome the nonexistence, in the celebrated paper [4] Brézis and Nirenberg proposed adding a lower-order perturbation term that makes it possible to avoid the loss of compactness arising from the critical growth. This type of problem is currently known as Brézis–Nirenberg problem, and there is a vast literature on this subject [2, 7, 20, 34, 37]. Recently, J.M. do Ó et al. [27] proposed a new type of “supercritical” perturbation that plays a similar role of the Brézis–Nirenberg lower-order perturbation term in overcoming the loss of compactness. Namely, by choosing $g(x, u) = u^{|x|^\beta}$, $u > 0$ they were able to prove that (1.1) admits at least one positive solution under the condition

$$(1.2) \quad 0 < \beta < \min\{N/2, N-2\}.$$

The approach used in [27] also allowed them to prove the existence of extremal function to the variational problem

$$(1.3) \quad \sup \left\{ \int_B |u(x)|^{2^*+|x|^\beta} dx : u \in H_{0,\text{rad}}^1(B), \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B)} = 1 \right\}$$

provided that β satisfies the condition (1.2). The supercritical problem (1.1)-(1.3) has attracted the attention of several authors and there are many extensions to different contexts. In [6], the existence of nodal solutions for (1.1) was investigated. Extensions for the k -Hessian equation can be found in [15, 16], for Hardy-Sobolev type inequality in [17], and for higher order

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E35, 35J35, 35J61, 35B33.

Key words and phrases. Sobolev-type inequality; Logarithmic term; Elliptic equations; Extremal problem.

First author was partially supported by CNPq grant number 309491/2021-5.

derivative Sobolev spaces in [35]. For the analogous supercritical problem for Trudinger-Moser type growth, we recommend [18, 28, 36] and the references therein.

In [21], the authors investigated the problem (1.1)-(1.3) with $g(x, u) = |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{\beta}$ with $\tau \geq 1$ and were able to achieve results in line with those obtained in [27]. Namely, they proved the following Sobolev inequality with a logarithmic term

$$(1.4) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\tau, \beta} = \sup \left\{ \int_B |u|^{2^*} |\ln(\tau + |u(x)|)|^{\beta} dx : u \in H_{0, \text{rad}}^1(B), \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B)} = 1 \right\} < \infty,$$

where $\beta, \tau > 0$ are real constants. In addition, the supremum in (1.4) is attained under the conditions (1.2) and $\tau \geq 1$. As an application, the authors are able to prove the existence of a positive solution for (1.1) with supercritical logarithmic term, i.e, the equation

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = (\ln(\tau + |u|))^{\beta} |u|^{2^*-2} u, & \text{in } B, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial B, \end{cases}$$

admits a positive solution $u \in H_{0, \text{rad}}^1(B)$ provided that (1.2) holds and $1 \leq \tau < \infty$. We also recommend [22] for an investigation into the existence of nodal solutions to the equation (1.5).

Motivated by the works [17, 21, 27] and the classical Hardy inequality [32], in this paper, we are interested in investigating Hardy-Sobolev type inequalities with a supercritical logarithmic term and their associated quasilinear elliptic equations. In order to make our findings precise, we will first briefly introduce the weighted Sobolev spaces, which will be our working setting. For $\theta \geq 0$ and $q \geq 1$, set $L_{\theta}^q = L_{\theta}^q(0, R)$, $0 < R \leq \infty$ the Lebesgue space associated with the weighted measure $\mu = r^{\theta} dr$ on the interval $(0, R)$. Let us denote by $AC_{\text{loc}}(0, R)$ the set of all locally absolutely continuous functions on the interval $(0, R)$. Then, we consider the Sobolev spaces

$$X_R^{1,p} = X_R^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) = cl\{u \in AC_{\text{loc}}(0, R) : \lim_{r \rightarrow R} u(r) = 0, u \in L_{\alpha_0}^p \text{ and } u' \in L_{\alpha_1}^p\}$$

with the norm $\|u\|_{X_R} = (\|u\|_{L_{\alpha_0}^p}^p + \|u'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p}^p)^{1/p}$. In spite of its simple aspect, mainly due to their connection with the classical Hardy inequality [9, 17, 32], fractional dimension function spaces [12–14], and a general class of quasilinear elliptic operators including the p -Laplacian and the k -Hessian [15–17, 19, 25, 26], the weighted spaces $X_R^{1,p}$ has drawn the attention of several authors. According to the relationship between the parameters α_1 and p , we can distinguish three important cases for the spaces $X_R^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$, namely, the Sobolev case $\alpha_1 - p + 1 > 0$, the Trudinger-Moser case $\alpha_1 - p + 1 = 0$, and the Morrey case $\alpha_1 - p + 1 < 0$, see for instance [19, 26]. In this paper, we are mainly interested in the bounded case $0 < R < \infty$ submitted to the Sobolev condition $\alpha_1 - p + 1 > 0$ and the transition condition $\alpha_0 \geq \alpha_1 - p$. In this case, the norm $\|u\|_{X_R}$ is equivalent to the gradient norm $\|u\| := \|u'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p}$ and we have the continuous embedding

$$(1.6) \quad X_R^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \hookrightarrow L_{\theta}^q, \text{ if } 1 < q \leq p^* \text{ and } \min\{\theta, \alpha_0\} \geq \alpha_1 - p,$$

where

$$p^* = \frac{(\theta + 1)p}{\alpha_1 - p + 1}$$

represents the critical (optimal) Sobolev exponent of $X_R^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$. In addition, in the strict case $q < p^*$, the embedding (1.6) is compact.

From now on, we shall assume the following conditions on the parameters α_0, α_1, p and θ :

$$(1.7) \quad p > 1, \quad \alpha_1 - p + 1 > 0, \quad \alpha_0 \geq \alpha_1 - p \quad \text{and} \quad \theta > \alpha_1 - p.$$

The first part of this article is dedicated to the investigation of the supremum

$$(1.8) \quad \mathcal{S}_{\theta,\tau}(\varphi) = \sup \left\{ \int_0^1 r^\theta |u(r)|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u(r)|)|^{\varphi(r)} dr : u \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1), \|u\| = 1 \right\},$$

where $\tau > 0$ and $\varphi : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying the hypothesis

- (h₁) $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(r) > 0$ for all $r \in (0, 1)$
- (h₂) there exist $c > 0$ and $\sigma > 1$ such that $\varphi(r) |\ln r|^\sigma \ln |\ln r| \leq c$, for r near 0.
- (h₃) $\varphi(r) = o(|\ln(1-r)|)$, as $r \rightarrow 1^-$, i.e. $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \varphi(r) (|\ln(1-r)|)^{-1} = 0$.

Our first result is presented below.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $\varphi \in C[0, 1)$ satisfies (h₁)-(h₃). Then $\mathcal{S}_{\theta,\tau}(\varphi) < \infty$ for any $\tau > 0$.*

By choosing $\varphi(r) = r^\beta$, $\beta > 0$ we can see that Theorem 1.1 improves and complements (1.4) since it includes both $p \neq 2$ and non-integer values of θ satisfying (1.7). In addition, it represents a counterpart of [17, Theorem 1.1] with supercritical logarithmic term.

To illustrate the scope of Theorem 1.1, for $\tau \geq 1$, $a > 1$ and $0 \leq b \leq 1$ let us define $\Gamma_{a,b} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\Gamma_{a,b}(t) = t^a |\ln(\tau + t)|^b$ (if $b = 0$ and $\tau = 1$ we set $\Gamma_{a,b}(0) = 0$). Then, the pair $(\Gamma_{a,b}, (0, 1))$ is Δ -regular (see [1] and Lemma 2.2 below) and we are able to consider the associated weighted Orlicz space $L_{\theta, \Gamma_{a,b}} = L_{\theta, \Gamma_{a,b}}(0, 1)$ endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$(1.9) \quad \|u\|_{\Gamma_{a,b}} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_0^1 r^\theta \Gamma_{a,b} \left(\frac{|u(r)|}{\lambda} \right) dr \leq 1 \right\}.$$

According with (1.6), the continuous embedding

$$X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \hookrightarrow L_{\theta, \Gamma_{p^*,0}}$$

is optimal, that is, the Orlicz space $L_{\theta, \Gamma_{p^*,0}}$ is optimal to the above continuous embedding. In addition, if $0 < b \leq 1$, for any $\delta > 0$ we have $\Gamma_{p^*,0}(t)/\Gamma_{p^*,b}(\delta t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ which means that $\Gamma_{p^*,b}$ increases strictly more rapidly than $\Gamma_{p^*,0}$. Hence $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ may not be continuously embedded in any weighted Orlicz space $L_{\theta, \Gamma_{p^*,b}}$ with $0 < b \leq 1$. In spite of that, if we consider $b = b(r) = r^\beta$, $\beta > 0$ as a variable exponent, Theorem 1.1 yields the following:

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\tau \geq 1$ and $\beta > 0$ be real numbers. Set $L_{\theta, \ln}^\beta$ the generalized weighted Orlicz space be given by*

$$L_{\theta, \ln}^\beta = \left\{ u : (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \int_0^1 r^\theta |u(r)|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u(r)|)|^{r^\beta} dr < \infty \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$(1.10) \quad \|u\|_{L_{\theta, \ln}^\beta} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_0^1 r^\theta \left| \frac{u(r)}{\lambda} \right|^{p^*} \left| \ln \left(\tau + \left| \frac{u(r)}{\lambda} \right| \right) \right|^{r^\beta} dr \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Then, we have the continuous embedding $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \hookrightarrow L_{\theta, \ln}^\beta$.

Note that $b(r) = r^\beta$ with $r \in [0, 1)$ satisfies $0 < b(r) \leq 1$, except for $r = 0$. For this reason, we say that either the inequality with logarithmic term in Theorem 1.1 or the embedding in Corollary 1.2 are of the supercritical type.

In order to state our next results, we will consider the best Sobolev type constant

$$(1.11) \quad \Sigma_p = \sup \left\{ \int_0^1 r^\theta |u|^{p^*} dr : u \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1), \|u\| = 1 \right\}$$

see for instance [9, 17] for more details.

Our next result establishes the relation between (1.8) with $\varphi(r) = r^\beta$, $\beta > 0$ and (1.11) that will allows us to analyze the attainability of (1.8). Firstly, let us denote

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta} = \mathcal{S}_{\theta,\tau}(r^\beta) = \sup \left\{ \int_0^1 r^\theta |u|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{r^\beta} dr : u \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1), \|u\| = 1 \right\},$$

where $\beta > 0$. With this notation, we have the following

Theorem 1.3. *For any $\tau > 0$, we have*

- (i) $\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta} \geq \Sigma_p$ for any $\beta > 0$
- (ii) $\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta} > \Sigma_p$ if $0 < \beta < \min\{(\theta + 1)/p, (\alpha_1 - p + 1)/(p - 1)\}$
- (iii) $\lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta} = \Sigma_p$.

Our attainability result reads below.

Theorem 1.4. *If $\tau \geq 1$ and $0 < \beta < \min\{(\theta + 1)/p, (\alpha_1 - p + 1)/(p - 1)\}$, then the supremum $\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta}$ is attained.*

Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 extend results from [21, Theorem 1.4] to the context of the weighted Sobolev spaces $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$. Related results can be found [17] for $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ and [15] for the k -admissible function spaces $\Phi_{0,rad}^k(B)$ which is the natural setting for studying the k -Hessian equation, see for instance [5, 38] for more details.

As a byproduct of the developments in Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.3, and Theorem 1.4, we will investigate the existence of solutions for the differential equation associated with the following class of quasilinear elliptic operators in radial form

$$(1.13) \quad Lu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -r^{-\theta}(r^{\alpha_1}|u'|^{p-2}u')'$$

where $\alpha_1, \theta \geq 0$ and $p > 1$ are real numbers and $u \in C^2(0, R)$, with $0 < R \leq \infty$. The operator in (1.13) can be applied to the study of microelectromechanical systems MEMS (cf. [29, 30]) and has a close relationship with classical operators such as Laplace, p -Laplace and k -Hessian, when acting on radially symmetric functions. For an in-depth discussion on the class of operators (1.13), see for instance the papers [9–11, 29, 33] and references quoted therein. Here, we shall prove the existence of solutions for the supercritical elliptic equation with logarithmic term associated with (1.13).

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \theta$, and p be positive real numbers satisfying (1.7). For each $\tau \in [1, \infty)$ and $0 < \beta < \min\{(\theta + 1)/p, (\alpha_1 - p + 1)/(p - 1)\}$, the problem*

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{cases} Lu = (\ln(\tau + |u|))^{r^\beta} |u|^{p^*-2} u & \text{in } (0, 1) \\ u(1) = 0, \end{cases}$$

admits a nontrivial weak solution $u \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$.

We observe that if we drop the logarithmic term in the equation (1.14), the problem has no solution, as noted by [9, Theorem 4.1]. Thus, the logarithmic term plays a similar role to the lower order term proposed by Brezis and Nirenberg [4] (see also [9]), allowing us to avoid the levels of loss of compactness of the associated functional, see Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove the supercritical inequality with logarithmic term in stated Theorem 1.1 and its consequence Corollary 1.2. Section 3 is devoted to prove of Theorem 1.3. In Section 4 we will prove the result stated in Theorem 1.4. The proof of the existence result in Theorem 1.5 is given in Section 5.

2. SUPERCRITICAL INEQUALITY: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we will prove the supercritical Sobolev type inequality with logarithmic term stated in Theorem 1.1. We start recalling the following pointwise estimate result which can be found in [17, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $0 < R < \infty$ and assume $\alpha_1 - p + 1 > 0$. Then, for any $u \in X_R^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ we have*

$$(2.1) \quad |u(r)| \leq \left[\frac{p-1}{\alpha_1 - p + 1} \left(1 - \left(\frac{r}{R} \right)^{\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p-1}} \right) \right]^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \frac{\|u\|}{r^{\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}}}, \quad 0 < r \leq R.$$

Proof of the Theorem 1.1. Let $u \in X_1^{1,p}$ with $\|u\| = 1$. Directly from (2.1), we can write

$$(2.2) \quad |u(r)| \leq \frac{\kappa}{r^{\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}}}, \quad 0 < r \leq 1$$

where $\kappa = ((p-1)/(\alpha_1 - p + 1))^{(p-1)/p}$. In addition, for any $\tau > 0$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\ln \tau| + \ln \left(1 + \frac{\kappa t}{\tau} \right)}{|\ln(\tau + t)|} = 1.$$

Hence, there exists $C = C(\tau, \kappa) > 0$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad |\ln \tau| + \ln \left(1 + \frac{\kappa t}{\tau} \right) \leq C |\ln(\tau + t)|, \quad t \geq 1.$$

From (2.2) and (2.4) we have

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |\ln(\tau + |u|)| &\leq |\ln \tau| + \ln \left(1 + \frac{|u|}{\tau} \right) \\ &\leq |\ln \tau| + \ln \left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{\tau} r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \\ &\leq C |\ln(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}})|, \end{aligned}$$

for any $r \in (0, 1]$. Now, we write the split

$$(2.6) \quad \int_0^1 r^\theta |u|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{\varphi(r)} dr = I_1 + I_2 + I_3$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{\varphi(r)} dr \\ I_2 &= \int_\rho^{\hat{\rho}} r^\theta |u|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{\varphi(r)} dr \\ I_3 &= \int_{\hat{\rho}}^1 r^\theta |u|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{\varphi(r)} dr \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < \rho < \hat{\rho} < 1$ will be chosen later. From (2.2) and (2.5) (c.f (1.11)) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{\varphi(r)} dr &\leq \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u|^{p^*} \left(|\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{\varphi(r)} - 1 \right) dr + \Sigma_p \\
 &\leq \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u|^{p^*} \left(\left| C \ln \left(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \right|^{\varphi(r)} - 1 \right) dr + \Sigma_p \\
 (2.7) \quad &= \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u|^{p^*} \left(e^{\varphi(r) \ln \left| C \ln \left(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \right|} - 1 \right) dr + \Sigma_p \\
 &\leq \int_0^\rho \frac{\kappa^{p^*}}{r} \left(e^{\varphi(r) \ln \left| C \ln \left(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \right|} - 1 \right) dr + \Sigma_p,
 \end{aligned}$$

for $\rho > 0$ small enough. For any $r \in (0, \rho)$, there exists $\vartheta = \vartheta(r) \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$e^{\varphi(r) \ln \left| C \ln \left(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \right|} - 1 = e^{\varphi(r) \vartheta \ln \left| C \ln \left(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \right|} \varphi(r) \ln \left| C \ln \left(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \right|.$$

By using (h_2) we can see that

$$\varphi(r) \ln \left| C \ln \left(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \right| \leq c \frac{\ln \left| C \ln \left(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \right|}{|\ln r|^\sigma \ln |\ln r|}, \quad \sigma > 1$$

which implies

$$e^{\varphi(r) \vartheta \ln \left| C \ln \left(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \right|} \leq C,$$

for some $C > 0$ large enough and r near 0. Then, for $r > 0$ small enough

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{\varphi(r) \ln \left| C \ln \left(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \right|} - 1 &\leq C \varphi(r) \ln \left| C \ln \left(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \right| \\
 (2.8) \quad &\leq C \varphi(r) \ln |\ln r| \\
 &\leq \frac{C_1}{|\ln r|^\sigma},
 \end{aligned}$$

for some $C_1 > 0$, where in the last inequality we used the hypothesis (h_2) . From (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$(2.9) \quad I_1 = \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{\varphi(r)} dr \leq \kappa^{p^*} C_1 \int_0^\rho \frac{1}{r |\ln r|^\sigma} dr + \Sigma_p < \infty,$$

if $\rho > 0$ is chosen small enough. In order to estimate I_3 , we first observe that the function $r \mapsto \ln \left| C \ln \left(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \right|$ is bounded near 1. Thus, from the assumption (h_3) , there is $\hat{\rho} < 1$ near 1 such that

$$(2.10) \quad \varphi(r) \ln \left| C \ln \left(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\ln(1 - r)|, \quad \text{for all } r \in (\hat{\rho}, 1).$$

By using (2.2), (2.5) and (2.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_3 &= \int_{\hat{\rho}}^1 r^\theta |u|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{\varphi(r)} dr \leq \int_{\hat{\rho}}^1 \frac{\kappa^{p^*}}{r} \left| C \ln(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}}) \right|^{\varphi(r)} dr \\
 &= \int_{\hat{\rho}}^1 \frac{\kappa^{p^*}}{r} e^{\varphi(r) \ln \left| C \ln(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}}) \right|} dr \\
 &\leq \int_{\hat{\rho}}^1 \frac{\kappa^{p^*}}{r(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}}} dr \\
 &= \kappa^{p^*} [2 \ln((1 - \hat{\rho})^{\frac{1}{2}} + 1) - \ln \hat{\rho}].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.11}$$

Finally, (2.2) and (2.5) yield

$$I_2 = \int_{\rho}^{\hat{\rho}} r^\theta |u|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{\varphi(r)} dr \leq \int_{\rho}^{\hat{\rho}} \frac{\kappa^{p^*}}{r} \left| C \ln(\tau + r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}}) \right|^{\varphi(r)} dr < \infty,
 \tag{2.12}$$

where it is used that the function in the last integral is continuous on the compact interval $[\rho, \hat{\rho}]$. By combining (2.6), (2.9), (2.11), and (2.12), we obtain the result. \square

The next result ensures that the generalized weighted Orlicz space $L_{\theta, \ln}^\beta$ is well-defined, see for instance [23] for a more in-depth discussion on this topic.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $a > 1$, $0 < b \leq 1$ and $\tau \geq 1$ be real numbers. Set $\Gamma_{a,b} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $\Gamma_{a,b}(t) = t^a |\ln(\tau + t)|^b$. Then*

- (a) $\Gamma_{a,b}$ is continuous on $[0, \infty)$
- (b) $\Gamma_{a,b}$ is convex on $[0, \infty)$
- (c) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \Gamma_{a,b}(t)/t = 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{a,b}(t)/t = \infty$.

Proof. Given that (a) and (c) are clearly true, we will only prove (b). First, we note that

$$t\Gamma_{a,b}(t) = \Gamma_{a+1,b}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{a,b}(t) \ln(\tau + t) = \Gamma_{a,b+1}(t), \quad t > 0.$$

It is sufficient to show that $\Gamma_{a,b}''(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, \infty)$. Note that

$$\Gamma'_{a,b}(t) = a\Gamma_{a-1,b}(t) + \frac{b}{\tau + t} \Gamma_{a,b-1}(t).
 \tag{2.13}$$

Let $g(t) = \frac{1}{\tau + t} \Gamma_{a,b-1}(t)$, with $t > 0$. From (2.13), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 g'(t) &= -\frac{1}{(\tau + t)^2} \Gamma_{a,b-1}(t) + \frac{1}{\tau + t} \left[a\Gamma_{a-1,b-1}(t) + \frac{b-1}{\tau + t} \Gamma_{a,b-2}(t) \right] \\
 &= -\frac{1}{(\tau + t)^2} \Gamma_{a,b-1}(t) + \frac{1}{\tau + t} \left[\frac{a}{t} \Gamma_{a,b-1}(t) + \frac{b-1}{\tau + t} \frac{1}{\ln(\tau + t)} \Gamma_{a,b-1}(t) \right] \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma_{a,b-1}(t)}{(\tau + t)^2 \ln(\tau + t)} \left[-\ln(\tau + t) + a(\tau + t) \frac{\ln(\tau + t)}{t} + b - 1 \right] \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma_{a,b-1}(t)}{(\tau + t)^2 \ln(\tau + t)} \left[(a-1) \ln(\tau + t) + a\tau \frac{\ln(\tau + t)}{t} + b - 1 \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.14}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma'_{a-1,b}(t) &= (a-1)\Gamma_{a-2,b}(t) + \frac{b}{\tau+t}\Gamma_{a-1,b-1}(t) \\
 (2.15) \quad &= \frac{a-1}{t^2}\ln(\tau+t)\Gamma_{a,b-1}(t) + \frac{b}{(\tau+t)t}\Gamma_{a,b-1}(t) \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma_{a,b-1}(t)}{(\tau+t)^2\ln(\tau+t)} \left[(a-1) \left((\tau+t) \frac{\ln(\tau+t)}{t} \right)^2 + b \left((\tau+t) \frac{\ln(\tau+t)}{t} \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

By setting $h_\tau(t) = (\tau+t) \frac{\ln(\tau+t)}{t}$, $t > 0$ and using (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma''_{a,b}(t) &= a\Gamma'_{a-1,b}(t) + bg'(t) \\
 (2.16) \quad &= \frac{\Gamma_{a,b-1}(t)}{(\tau+t)^2\ln(\tau+t)} \left[a(a-1)[h_\tau(t)]^2 + abh_\tau(t) + b(b-1) \right] \\
 &\quad + \frac{\Gamma_{a,b-1}(t)}{(\tau+t)^2\ln(\tau+t)} \left[b(a-1)\ln(\tau+t) + ab\tau \frac{\ln(\tau+t)}{t} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.16), we only need to show that

$$(2.17) \quad \Phi_\tau(t) = a(a-1)[h_\tau(t)]^2 + b[ah_\tau(t) + b-1], \quad t > 0$$

is a positive function. We note that for any $\tau \geq 1$, we have $h_\tau(t) \geq h_1(t) = (1+t) \frac{\ln(1+t)}{t}$. In addition, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h_1(t) = 1$ and

$$(2.18) \quad h'_1(t) = \frac{1}{t} \left[1 - \frac{\ln(1+t)}{t} \right] > 0$$

for any $t > 0$. Hence, h_1 is an increasing function on $(0, \infty)$ and, for any $\tau \geq 1$ we have $h_\tau(t) \geq h_1(t) \geq 1$, for all $t \in (0, \infty)$. It follows that

$$\Phi_\tau(t) \geq a(a-1) + b[a+b-1] > 0$$

which completes the proof. \square

Proof of the Corollary 1.2. First of all, we will see that $L_{\theta,\ln}^\beta$ is a Banach space. Let us define $\psi : (0, 1) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by $\psi(r, t) = t^{p^*} |\ln(\tau+t)|^{r^\beta}$, with $\beta > 0$. From Lemma 2.2, ψ is a generalized Φ -function (cf. [23, Definition 2.3.9]), that is,

- (i) for every $r \in (0, 1)$, the function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ given by $g(t) = \psi(r, t)$ is convex, left-continuous, $g(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} g(t) = 0$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty$.
- (ii) $r \mapsto \psi(r, t)$ is a Lebesgue measurable function for any $t \geq 0$.

Now, let us consider the semimodular induced by ψ , that is, $\varrho_\ln : L_\theta^0 \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ given by

$$\varrho_\ln(u) = \int_0^1 r^\theta |u|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau+|u|)|^{r^\beta} dr,$$

where $L_\theta^0 = L^0((0, 1), r^\theta dr)$ denotes the space of all Lebesgue measurable functions on $(0, 1)$. Since ψ is a generalized Φ -function, from [23, Theorem 2.3.13] we have that the generalized Orlicz space $L_{\theta,\ln}^\beta$ is a Banach space endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$(2.19) \quad \|u\|_{L_{\theta,\ln}^\beta} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \varrho_\ln\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Now, for any $u \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda_0 > 1$ large such that $\lambda_0^{p^*} \geq \mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta}$, Theorem 1.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varrho_{\ln}\left(\frac{u}{\lambda_0\|u\|}\right) &= \frac{1}{\lambda_0^{p^*}} \int_0^1 r^\theta \left|\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right|^{p^*} \left|\ln\left(\tau + \frac{1}{\lambda_0}\left|\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right|\right)\right|^{r^\beta} dr \\
 (2.20) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0^{p^*}} \int_0^1 r^\theta \left|\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right|^{p^*} \left|\ln\left(\tau + \left|\frac{u}{\|u\|}\right|\right)\right|^{r^\beta} dr \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda_0^{p^*}} \mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta} \leq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(2.21) \quad \|u\|_{L_{\theta,\ln}^\beta} \leq \lambda_0 \|u\|, \quad \text{for all } u \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$$

which proves the result. \square

3. SHARP ESTIMATES: PROOF THEOREM 1.3

This section is devoted to prove the sharp estimates stated in Theorem 1.3. The proof is based on the modified *Bliss function* introduced by [9] and follows some ideas in [4] and [17, 21]. Firstly, for each $0 < R \leq \infty$, let

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{S}(p^*, R) = \inf \left\{ \int_0^R r^{\alpha_1} |u'|^p dr : u \in X_R^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_{L_\theta^{p^*}} = 1 \right\}.$$

It is known that $\mathcal{S}(p^*, R)$ is independent of R and that it is achieved only in the case $R = +\infty$. In addition, for each $\varepsilon > 0$, if we set

$$(3.2) \quad u_\varepsilon^*(r) = \frac{\widehat{c}\varepsilon^s}{(\varepsilon^n + r^n)^{\frac{1}{m}}}, \quad r \geq 0$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} s = \frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p^2 - p} \\ n = \frac{\theta - \alpha_1 + p}{p - 1} \\ m = \frac{\theta - \alpha_1 + p}{\alpha_1 - p + 1} \\ \widehat{c} = \left[(\theta + 1) \left(\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p - 1} \right)^{p-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{p} \frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{\theta - \alpha_1 + p}} \end{cases}$$

then

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}} = \int_0^\infty r^\theta |u_\varepsilon^*|^{p^*} dr = \int_0^\infty r^{\alpha_1} |(u_\varepsilon^*)'|^p dr,$$

where \mathcal{S} denotes the value common of $\mathcal{S}(p^*, R)$ for all $R \in (0, \infty]$, see for instance [9, Proposition 1.4] and [17] for more details. Since $\mathcal{S}(p^*, R)$ is independent of R , by using (1.11), (3.1) and (3.4) we get the identity

$$(3.5) \quad \Sigma_p = \mathcal{S}^{-\frac{p^*}{p}} = \mathcal{S}^{-\frac{\theta+1}{\alpha_1-p+1}}.$$

Let us take a suitable cut-off $\eta \in C_0^\infty(0, 1)$ such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ on $[0, 1]$ and

$$(3.6) \quad \eta(r) \equiv 1 \text{ on } (0, r_0] \text{ and } \eta(r) \equiv 0 \text{ on } [2r_0, 1]$$

for some $0 < r_0 < 2r_0 < 1$. Then, according to [17, Claim 1] we have

$$(3.7) \quad \|(\eta u_\varepsilon^*)'\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p}^p = \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}} + O(\varepsilon^{sp}), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$(3.8) \quad \|\eta u_\varepsilon^*\|_{L_\theta^{p^*}}^{p^*} = \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}} + O(\varepsilon^{sp^*}), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

For $\widehat{A} > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $A = \widehat{A}\widehat{c}$ we define

$$(3.9) \quad u_\varepsilon(r) = \widehat{A}\eta(r)u_\varepsilon^*(r) = A\eta(r)\frac{\varepsilon^s}{(\varepsilon^n + r^n)^{\frac{1}{m}}}.$$

In order to get a precise estimate for the *bubbles* functions u_ε along as the supercritical logarithmic functional, for any $t > 0$ and $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$, we introduce the notation

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{E}_t(a, b) = \int_a^b r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} \left(|\ln(\tau + t|u_\varepsilon|)|^{r^\beta} - 1 \right) dr.$$

Let us also highlight some useful relations on the parameters in (3.3).

$$(3.11) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{sm}{n} = \frac{1}{p} \\ n - sm = \frac{\theta - \alpha_1 + p}{p} \\ sp^* = \frac{\theta + 1}{p - 1} \\ sp = \frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p - 1} \\ \theta - \frac{np^*}{m} + 1 = -\frac{\theta + 1}{p - 1} \\ s - \frac{n}{m} = -\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p} \\ \left(s - \frac{n}{m}\right)p^* = -(\theta + 1) \end{array} \right.$$

These identities will appear throughout our calculations, and we will use them whenever necessary without further comments.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (t_ε) be any positive real sequence such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} t_\varepsilon = t_0 > 0$. Then, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$(3.12) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1) \geq \begin{cases} C\varepsilon^\beta \ln |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}), & \text{if } 0 < \tau < e \\ C\varepsilon^\beta \ln |\ln \varepsilon|, & \text{if } e \leq \tau < \infty, \end{cases}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small sufficiently.

Proof. Firstly, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, $\eta \equiv 1$ on $(0, \varepsilon)$, and thus $t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(r) \geq d\varepsilon^{-\frac{\theta+1}{p^*}} \geq e$ for all $r \in (0, \varepsilon)$, where $d = t_0 A / 2^{1+1/m}$. Thus, $\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon |u_\varepsilon|) \geq \ln(\tau + d\varepsilon^{-\frac{\theta+1}{p^*}}) \geq 1$ and

$\ln |\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon |u_\varepsilon|)| \geq 0$ on $(0, \varepsilon)$ and since $e^t \geq 1 + t$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, \varepsilon) &= \int_0^\varepsilon r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} \left(|\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon |u_\varepsilon|)|^{r^\beta} - 1 \right) dr \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{d}{t_\varepsilon} \right)^{p^*} \varepsilon^{-(\theta+1)} \int_0^\varepsilon r^\theta \left(e^{r^\beta \ln |\ln(\tau + d\varepsilon^{-\frac{\theta+1}{p^*}})|} - 1 \right) dr \\
 (3.13) \quad &\geq \left(\frac{d}{t_\varepsilon} \right)^{p^*} \varepsilon^{-(\theta+1)} \int_0^\varepsilon r^{\theta+\beta} \ln |\ln(\tau + d\varepsilon^{-\frac{\theta+1}{p^*}})| dr \\
 &\geq C \varepsilon^{-(\theta+1)} \ln |\ln \varepsilon| \int_0^\varepsilon r^{\theta+\beta} dr \\
 &\geq C \varepsilon^\beta \ln |\ln \varepsilon|,
 \end{aligned}$$

for suitable $C > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Now, we divide our argument into two cases.

Case 1. $0 < \tau < e$.

Firstly, we consider the sub-case $0 < \tau < 1/e$. We observe that (3.9) and $\hat{A} = A/\hat{c}$ imply

$$|\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon \hat{A} u_\varepsilon^*)| \leq 1 \quad \text{iff} \quad e^{-1} - \tau \leq \frac{t_\varepsilon A \varepsilon^s}{(\varepsilon^n + r^n)^{\frac{1}{m}}} \leq e - \tau.$$

Then, by setting

$$(3.14) \quad a_\varepsilon = \left[\left(\frac{t_\varepsilon A \varepsilon^s}{e - \tau} \right)^m - \varepsilon^n \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} = \varepsilon^{\frac{sm}{n}} \left[\left(\frac{t_\varepsilon A}{e - \tau} \right)^m - \varepsilon^{n-sm} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

and

$$(3.15) \quad b_\varepsilon = \left[\left(\frac{t_\varepsilon A \varepsilon^s}{e^{-1} - \tau} \right)^m - \varepsilon^n \right]^{\frac{1}{n}} = \varepsilon^{\frac{sm}{n}} \left[\left(\frac{t_\varepsilon A}{e^{-1} - \tau} \right)^m - \varepsilon^{n-sm} \right]^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

we can see that

$$(3.16) \quad |\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon \hat{A} u_\varepsilon^*)| \leq 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad a_\varepsilon \leq r \leq b_\varepsilon.$$

Note that $b_\varepsilon > a_\varepsilon > 0$ and, from (3.11), $a_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}})$ and $b_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}})$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. In particular, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough we have

$$(3.17) \quad 0 < \varepsilon < a_\varepsilon < b_\varepsilon < r_0 < 1$$

where r_0 is given in (3.6). Now, according to the partition (3.17), we write the split

$$(3.18) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1) = \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, \varepsilon) + \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(\varepsilon, a_\varepsilon) + \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(a_\varepsilon, b_\varepsilon) + \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(b_\varepsilon, r_0) + \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(r_0, 1).$$

Since $u_\varepsilon = \hat{A} u_\varepsilon^*$ on $(\varepsilon, a_\varepsilon)$, (3.16) implies

$$(3.19) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(\varepsilon, a_\varepsilon) = \int_\varepsilon^{a_\varepsilon} r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} \left(|\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon |u_\varepsilon|)|^{r^\beta} - 1 \right) dr \geq 0.$$

By using (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \int_{a_\varepsilon}^{b_\varepsilon} r^\theta \frac{\varepsilon^{sp^*}}{(\varepsilon^n + r^n)^{\frac{p^*}{m}}} dr \leq \varepsilon^{sp^*} \int_{a_\varepsilon}^{b_\varepsilon} r^{\theta - \frac{np^*}{m}} dr \\
 (3.20) \quad &= \frac{p-1}{\theta+1} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}} \left(a_\varepsilon^{-\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}} - b_\varepsilon^{-\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}} \right) \\
 &= \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}} \frac{p-1}{\theta+1} \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}}{a_\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}} - \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}}{b_\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}} \right] = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq u_\varepsilon = \widehat{A}u_\varepsilon^*$ on $(a_\varepsilon, b_\varepsilon)$, (3.20) yields

$$(3.21) \quad 0 \leq \int_{a_\varepsilon}^{b_\varepsilon} r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr \leq A^{p^*} \int_{a_\varepsilon}^{b_\varepsilon} r^\theta \frac{\varepsilon^{sp^*}}{(\varepsilon^n + r^n)^{\frac{p^*}{m}}} dr = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}).$$

So, from (3.16) and (3.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(a_\varepsilon, b_\varepsilon) &= \int_{a_\varepsilon}^{b_\varepsilon} r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} \left(|\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon |u_\varepsilon|)|^{r^\beta} - 1 \right) dr \\
 (3.22) \quad &= \int_{a_\varepsilon}^{b_\varepsilon} r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon |u_\varepsilon|)|^{r^\beta} dr - \int_{a_\varepsilon}^{b_\varepsilon} r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the interval (b_ε, r_0) we also have $0 \leq u_\varepsilon = \widehat{A}u_\varepsilon^*$. Thus, (3.16) implies

$$(3.23) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(b_\varepsilon, r_0) = \int_{b_\varepsilon}^{r_0} r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} \left(|\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon |u_\varepsilon|)|^{r^\beta} - 1 \right) dr \geq 0.$$

For any $r \in (r_0, 1)$, we have the estimate

$$0 \leq t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(r) = t_\varepsilon A \eta(r) \varepsilon^s (\varepsilon^n + r^n)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \leq t_\varepsilon A \varepsilon^s (\varepsilon^n + r_0^n)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \leq 2t_0 A \varepsilon^s r_0^{-\frac{n}{m}},$$

which, together with the assumption $0 < \tau < 1/e$, implies that $\tau + t_\varepsilon |u_\varepsilon| \leq 1/e$ on $(r_0, 1)$, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. Thus, $|\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon |u_\varepsilon|)| \geq 1$ on $(r_0, 1)$. It follows that

$$(3.24) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(r_0, 1) = \int_{r_0}^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} \left(|\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon |u_\varepsilon|)|^{r^\beta} - 1 \right) dr \geq 0.$$

By combining (3.13), (3.18), (3.19), (3.22), (3.23) and (3.24), we obtain

$$(3.25) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1) \geq C \varepsilon^\beta \ln |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}).$$

Next, we treat the sub-case $1/e \leq \tau < e$. Here, there holds

$$(3.26) \quad |\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon \widehat{A}u_\varepsilon^*)| \leq 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad a_\varepsilon < r < 1$$

where a_ε is defined in (3.14). In this case, by choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that $0 < \varepsilon < a_\varepsilon < r_0 < 1$, we consider the split

$$(3.27) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1) = \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, a_\varepsilon) + \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(a_\varepsilon, 1).$$

Since $u_\varepsilon = \widehat{A}u_\varepsilon^*$ on $(0, a_\varepsilon)$, from (3.26) and (3.13) we can write

$$(3.28) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, a_\varepsilon) = \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, \varepsilon) + \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(\varepsilon, a_\varepsilon) \geq \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, \varepsilon) \geq C \varepsilon^\beta \ln |\ln \varepsilon|,$$

for suitable $C > 0$. Analogous to (3.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\leq \int_{a_\varepsilon}^1 r^\theta \frac{\varepsilon^{sp^*}}{(\varepsilon^n + r^n)^{\frac{p^*}{m}}} dr \leq \varepsilon^{sp^*} \int_{a_\varepsilon}^1 r^{\theta - \frac{np^*}{m}} dr \\
 (3.29) \quad &= \frac{p-1}{\theta+1} \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}} \left(a_\varepsilon^{-\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}} - 1 \right) \\
 &= \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}} \frac{p-1}{\theta+1} \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}}{a_\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}} - \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p^2-p}} \right] = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (3.29) we have

$$(3.30) \quad 0 \leq \int_{a_\varepsilon}^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr \leq A^{p^*} \int_{a_\varepsilon}^1 r^\theta \frac{\varepsilon^{sp^*}}{(\varepsilon^n + r^n)^{\frac{p^*}{m}}} dr = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}).$$

Now, for any $r \in (a_\varepsilon, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.31) \quad 0 \leq t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(r) &\leq \bar{d} \varepsilon^s (\varepsilon^n + a_\varepsilon^n)^{-\frac{1}{m}} = \bar{d} \varepsilon^{s - \frac{n}{mp}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}}{a_\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{n}{m}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a_\varepsilon} \right)^n \right)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \\
 &= \bar{d} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}}{a_\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{n}{m}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{a_\varepsilon} \right)^n \right)^{-\frac{1}{m}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{d} = 2t_0A$, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. Since $a_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}})$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have that $\ln \tau \leq \ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) \leq s_\tau$ on $(a_\varepsilon, 1)$ for some positive constant s_τ depending only on τ . Hence, $|\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)|^{r^\beta} \leq c$ on $(a_\varepsilon, 1)$, for some $c > 0$ depending only on β and τ . Thus, from (3.30) we have

$$(3.32) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(a_\varepsilon, 1) = \int_{a_\varepsilon}^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)|^{r^\beta} dr - \int_{a_\varepsilon}^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}).$$

From (3.27), (3.28) and (3.32), it follows that

$$(3.33) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1) \geq C \varepsilon^\beta \ln |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}).$$

By (3.25) and (3.33), we get (3.12) for the case $0 < \tau < e$.

Case 2. $e \leq \tau < \infty$.

In this case, we have $|\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)| \geq 1$ on $(0, 1)$. Hence, from (3.13) we have

$$\mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1) \geq \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, \varepsilon) \geq C \varepsilon^\beta \ln |\ln \varepsilon|$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. This proves (3.12) for $e \leq \tau < \infty$. \square

Next, we will provide an upper estimate for $\mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1)$. Namely,

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\beta > 0$ and (t_ε) as in Lemma 3.1. Then, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have*

(a) *If $0 < \tau < e$,*

$$(3.34) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1) \leq \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^\beta |\ln \varepsilon| \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}) & \text{if } \beta = \frac{\theta+1}{p-1} \\ O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1+\beta}{p}} \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) + O(\varepsilon^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \frac{\theta+1}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

(b) If $e \leq \tau < \infty$,

$$(3.35) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1) \leq \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^\beta |\ln \varepsilon| \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) & \text{if } \beta = \frac{\theta+1}{p-1} \\ O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+\beta+1}{p}} \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) + O(\varepsilon^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \frac{\theta+1}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Firstly, note that

$$(3.36) \quad 0 \leq t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(r) = t_\varepsilon A \eta(r) \varepsilon^s (\varepsilon^n + r^n)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \leq \bar{d} \varepsilon^{s-\frac{n}{m}}, \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, 1).$$

where $\bar{d} = 2t_0 A$, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough.

Case A. $0 < \tau < e$.

Let a_ε and b_ε be given by (3.14) and (3.15), for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough such that (3.17) holds. By definition, for all $r \in (0, a_\varepsilon)$, we have $\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) \geq 1$. Hence, by using (3.36) we obtain

$$(3.37) \quad |\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)| = \ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) \leq \ln(\tau + \bar{d} \varepsilon^{s-\frac{n}{m}}) = |\ln \varepsilon| \left(\frac{n}{m} - s + o_\varepsilon(1) \right) \leq \xi |\ln \varepsilon| \quad \text{on } (0, a_\varepsilon)$$

for some $\xi > 0$. Thus, $0 \leq r^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|) \leq a_\varepsilon^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, for $r \in (0, a_\varepsilon)$. Hence, by using that $(e^x - 1)/x \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow 0$, we can write

$$(3.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, a_\varepsilon) &= \int_0^{a_\varepsilon} r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} \left(e^{r^\beta \ln |\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)|} - 1 \right) dr \\ &\leq \int_0^{a_\varepsilon} r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} \left(e^{r^\beta \ln(\xi |\ln \varepsilon|)} - 1 \right) dr \\ &\leq c_1 \ln(\xi |\ln \varepsilon|) \int_0^{a_\varepsilon} r^{\theta+\beta} |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr \\ &= c_1 A^{p^*} \ln(\xi |\ln \varepsilon|) \int_0^{a_\varepsilon} r^{\theta+\beta} \frac{\varepsilon^{sp^*}}{(\varepsilon^n + r^n)^{\frac{p^*}{m}}} dr \\ &\leq c_1 A^{p^*} \ln(\xi |\ln \varepsilon|) \left[\varepsilon^{(s-\frac{n}{m})p^*} \int_0^\varepsilon r^{\theta+\beta} dr + \varepsilon^{sp^*} \int_\varepsilon^{a_\varepsilon} r^{\theta+\beta-\frac{np^*}{m}} dr \right] \\ &= c_1 A^{p^*} \varepsilon^\beta \ln(\xi |\ln \varepsilon|) \left[\frac{1}{\theta + \beta + 1} + \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}-\beta} \int_\varepsilon^{a_\varepsilon} r^{\beta-1-\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}} dr \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon^{1/p})$ we also can write

$$(3.39) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, a_\varepsilon) \leq \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^\beta |\ln \varepsilon| \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) & \text{if } \beta = \frac{\theta+1}{p-1} \\ O(\varepsilon^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1+\beta}{p}} \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) & \text{if } \beta \neq \frac{\theta+1}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

Sub-case. $0 < \tau < 1/e$.

For any $r \in (b_\varepsilon, 1)$, from the same argument in (3.31), we have

$$(3.40) \quad 0 \leq t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon(r) \leq \bar{d} \left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}}{b_\varepsilon} \right)^{\frac{n}{m}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{b_\varepsilon} \right)^n \right)^{-\frac{1}{m}}$$

where $\bar{d} = 2t_0 A$, if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. Since $b_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}})$, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have that $\ln \tau \leq \ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) \leq s_\tau$ on $(b_\varepsilon, 1)$ for some positive constant s_τ depending only on τ . Hence,

$|\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)| \leq c_\tau$ on $(b_\varepsilon, 1)$, for some $c_\tau > 1$. So, $\ln(|\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)|) \leq \ln c_\tau$ on $(b_\varepsilon, 1)$. Consequently, since $e^x - 1 = e^{\vartheta x} x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and for some $0 < \vartheta = \vartheta_x < 1$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(b_\varepsilon, 1) &= \int_{b_\varepsilon}^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} \left(e^{r^\beta \ln |\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)|} - 1 \right) dr \\
 &\leq \int_{b_\varepsilon}^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} \left(e^{r^\beta \ln c_\tau} - 1 \right) dr \\
 &\leq C_\tau \int_{b_\varepsilon}^1 r^{\beta+\theta} |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr. \\
 &\leq C_\tau A^{p^*} \varepsilon^{sp^*} \int_{b_\varepsilon}^1 r^{\theta+\beta-\frac{np^*}{m}} dr.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.41}$$

and, recalling that $b_\varepsilon = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}})$

$$\varepsilon^{sp^*} \int_{b_\varepsilon}^1 r^{\theta+\beta-\frac{np^*}{m}} dr = \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^\beta |\ln \varepsilon|) & \text{if } \beta = \frac{\theta+1}{p-1} \\ O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}}) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+\beta+1}{p}}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \frac{\theta+1}{p-1}. \end{cases}
 \tag{3.42}$$

Hence, from (3.41) and (3.42)

$$\mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(b_\varepsilon, 1) \leq \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^\beta |\ln \varepsilon|) & \text{if } \beta = \frac{\theta+1}{p-1} \\ O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}}) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+\beta+1}{p}}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \frac{\theta+1}{p-1}. \end{cases}
 \tag{3.43}$$

From (3.22), (3.39) and (3.43) we get the result for $0 < \tau < 1/e$.

Sub-case. $1/e \leq \tau < e$.

Here, the result follows directly from (3.32) and (3.39).

Case B. $e \leq \tau < \infty$.

We have $\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) \geq 1$ in $(0, 1)$. As in (3.37) we can write

$$|\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon)| \leq \xi |\ln \varepsilon| \quad \text{on } (0, 1).
 \tag{3.44}$$

We consider the partition

$$0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} < r_0 < 1.
 \tag{3.45}$$

Note that for $r \in (0, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}})$, we have $0 \leq r^\beta \ln(\xi |\ln \varepsilon|) \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \ln(\xi |\ln \varepsilon|) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus, we can proceed as in (3.38) to write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}) &\leq \int_0^{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}} r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} \left(e^{r^\beta \ln(\xi |\ln \varepsilon|)} - 1 \right) dr \\
 &\leq c_1 \ln(\xi |\ln \varepsilon|) \int_0^{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}} r^{\theta+\beta} |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr \\
 &= c_1 \ln(\xi |\ln \varepsilon|) \left[\int_0^\varepsilon r^{\theta+\beta} |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr + \int_\varepsilon^{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}} r^{\theta+\beta} |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.46}$$

We have

$$\int_0^\varepsilon r^{\theta+\beta} |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr = A^{p^*} \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\varepsilon^{sp^*}}{(\varepsilon^n + r^n)^{\frac{p^*}{m}}} r^{\theta+\beta} dr \leq A^{p^*} \varepsilon^{(s-\frac{n}{m})p^*} \int_0^\varepsilon r^{\theta+\beta} dr = O(\varepsilon^\beta).$$

In addition,

$$(3.47) \quad \int_\varepsilon^{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}} r^{\theta+\beta} |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr = A^{p^*} \int_\varepsilon^{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}} \frac{\varepsilon^{sp^*}}{(\varepsilon^n + r^n)^{\frac{p^*}{m}}} r^{\theta+\beta} dr \leq A^{p^*} \varepsilon^{sp^*} \int_\varepsilon^{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}} r^{\theta+\beta-\frac{n}{m}p^*} dr$$

and thus

$$\int_\varepsilon^{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}} r^{\theta+\beta} |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr \leq \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^\beta |\ln \varepsilon|) & \text{if } \beta = \frac{\theta+1}{p-1} \\ O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+\beta+1}{p}}) & \text{if } \beta \neq \frac{\theta+1}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

From (3.46), we have

$$(3.48) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}) \leq \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) + O(\varepsilon^\beta |\ln \varepsilon| \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) & \text{if } \beta = \frac{\theta+1}{p-1} \\ O(\varepsilon^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+\beta+1}{p}} \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) & \text{if } \beta \neq \frac{\theta+1}{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

In addition, for any $r \in (\varepsilon^{1/p}, 1)$, arguing as in (3.31) and (3.32), we can deduce that

$$(3.49) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}, 1) = \int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}}^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon |u_\varepsilon|)|^{r^\beta} dr - \int_{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{p}}}^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}).$$

From (3.48) and (3.49) we get (3.35). \square

Definition 3.3. We say a sequence (u_j) in $X_R^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ is a normalized concentrating sequence at origin, NCS for short, if

$$\|u_j\| = 1, \quad u_j \rightharpoonup 0 \text{ weakly in } X_R^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \text{ and } \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{r_0}^R r^{\alpha_1} |u'_j|^p dr = 0, \quad \forall r_0 > 0.$$

Next, we will show that the best constant Σ_p in (1.11) is an upper bound for maximal concentrated level of the functional $J(u) = \int_0^1 r^\theta |u|^{2^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{r^\beta} dr$, with $u \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$.

Lemma 3.4. Set $\mathcal{M} = \{(u_j) \subset X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) : (u_j) \text{ is NCS}\}$. Then there holds

$$(3.50) \quad \sup_{(u_j) \in \mathcal{M}} \left\{ \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^\beta} dr \right\} \leq \Sigma_p,$$

for $\tau > 0$ and $\beta > 0$.

Proof. Let $(u_j) \in \mathcal{M}$. It is sufficient to show that given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\rho = \rho(\varepsilon) \in (0, 1)$ and $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $j \geq j_0$

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^\beta} dr \leq \Sigma_p + \varepsilon; \\ (b) \quad & \int_\rho^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^\beta} dr \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove (a), we first use the Lemma 2.1 (c.f (2.2)) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)| &= \left| \ln \tau + \ln \left(1 + \frac{|u_j|}{\tau} \right) \right| \leq |\ln \tau| + \ln \left(1 + \frac{|u_j|}{\tau} \right) \\
 &\leq |\ln \tau| + \ln \left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{\tau} r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \\
 &= |\ln r| \left(\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p} + \frac{\ln(\frac{\kappa}{\tau} + r^{\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}}) + |\ln \tau|}{|\ln r|} \right).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.51}$$

Thus, by choosing $\eta = (\alpha_1 + 1)/p$ and $\rho > 0$ small enough, we can write

$$|\ln(\tau + |u_j|)| \leq \eta |\ln r|, \quad r \in (0, \rho). \tag{3.52}$$

By using $(e^x - 1)/x \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$ and that the function $r \mapsto r^\beta \ln |\eta \ln r|$ is increasing near 0, for some $\delta_1 > 0$ small and $0 < \rho < \delta_1$, (3.52) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} \left(|\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^\beta} - 1 \right) dr &= \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} \left(e^{r^\beta \ln |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|} - 1 \right) dr \\
 &\leq \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} \left(e^{r^\beta \ln |\eta \ln r|} - 1 \right) dr \\
 &\leq C \int_0^\rho r^{\theta + \beta} |u_j|^{p^*} \ln |\eta \ln r| dr \\
 &\leq C \rho^\beta \ln |\eta \ln \rho| \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} dr \\
 &\leq C \rho^\beta \ln |\eta \ln \rho| \Sigma_p.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.53}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^\beta} dr &= \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} dr + \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} \left(|\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^\beta} - 1 \right) dr \\
 &\leq \Sigma_p + C \rho^\beta \ln |\eta \ln \rho| \Sigma_p \\
 &\leq \Sigma_p + \varepsilon,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.54}$$

for $\rho = \rho(\varepsilon) \in (0, \delta_1)$ sufficiently small. To get (b), for any $r \in (\rho(\varepsilon), 1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u_j(r)| &\leq \int_r^1 |u'_j(s)| ds = \int_r^1 s^{\frac{\alpha_1}{p}} |u'_j(s)| s^{-\frac{\alpha_1}{p}} ds \\
 &\leq \left(\int_\rho^1 s^{\alpha_1} |u'_j|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_r^1 s^{\frac{-\alpha_1}{p-1}} ds \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
 &\leq \kappa_j r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.55}$$

where $\kappa_j := C \left(\int_\rho^1 s^{\alpha_1} |u'_j|^p ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, for some $C = C(\alpha_1, p)$. Since (u_j) is NCS, we have $\kappa_j \rightarrow 0$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$. From (3.55), if $\tau \in (0, 1)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)| &\leq |\ln \tau| + \ln \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_j}{\tau} r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \\
 &\leq |\ln \tau| + \ln \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_j}{\tau} \rho^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}} \right) \leq C_1, \quad \text{for } r \in (\rho, 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

and, if $\tau \geq 1$ then

$$|\ln(\tau + |u_j|)| \leq \ln\left(\tau + \kappa_j \rho^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}}\right) \leq C_2, \quad \text{for } r \in (\rho, 1)$$

for j large enough, where C_1 and C_2 depend only on ρ and τ . For $C = \max\{1, C_1, C_2\}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\rho}^1 r^{\theta} |u_j|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^{\beta}} dr &\leq C \int_{\rho}^1 r^{\theta} (\kappa_j r^{-\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}})^{p^*} dr \\ &= C \kappa_j^{p^*} \int_{\rho}^1 \frac{1}{r} dr < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough. \square

Proof of the Theorem 1.3. By choosing $\hat{A} = \mathcal{S}^{-\frac{\theta+1}{(\theta-\alpha_1+p)p}}$ in (3.9), from (3.5), (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$(3.56) \quad \|u_{\varepsilon}\|^p = 1 + O(\varepsilon^{sp}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L_{\theta}^{p^*}}^{p^*} = \Sigma_p + O(\varepsilon^{sp^*}).$$

Firstly, the Lemma 3.1 with $t_{\varepsilon} = 1/(1 + O(\varepsilon^{sp}))$ and (3.56) imply

$$(3.57) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^1 r^{\theta} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*} \left| \ln\left(\tau + \frac{|u_{\varepsilon}|}{1 + O(\varepsilon^{sp})}\right) \right|^{r^{\beta}} dr &= \mathcal{E}_{t_{\varepsilon}}(0, 1) + \|u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L_{\theta}^{p^*}}^{p^*} \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \Sigma_p + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}}) + C\varepsilon^{\beta} \ln |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}), & \text{if } 0 < \tau < e \\ \Sigma_p + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}}) + C\varepsilon^{\beta} \ln |\ln \varepsilon|, & \text{if } e \leq \tau < \infty \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$. Hence, (3.56) and (3.57) yield

$$(3.58) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\tau, \beta, \theta} &\geq \int_0^1 r^{\theta} \left(\frac{|u_{\varepsilon}|}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|} \right)^{p^*} \left| \ln\left(\tau + \frac{|u_{\varepsilon}|}{\|u_{\varepsilon}\|}\right) \right|^{r^{\beta}} dr \\ &= (1 + O(\varepsilon^{sp})) \int_0^1 r^{\theta} |u_{\varepsilon}|^{p^*} \left| \ln\left(\tau + \frac{|u_{\varepsilon}|}{1 + O(\varepsilon^{sp})}\right) \right|^{r^{\beta}} dr \\ &\geq \begin{cases} \Sigma_p + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}}) + C\varepsilon^{\beta} \ln |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}) + O(\varepsilon^{sp}), & \text{if } 0 < \tau < e \\ \Sigma_p + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}}) + C\varepsilon^{\beta} \ln |\ln \varepsilon| + O(\varepsilon^{sp}), & \text{if } e \leq \tau < \infty \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

if $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, for all $\tau > 0$ and $\beta > 0$.

(i). Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (3.58), we get $\mathcal{F}_{\tau, \beta, \theta} \geq \Sigma_p$ for all $\tau > 0$ and $\beta > 0$.

(ii). From (3.58), we can write

$$(3.59) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\tau, \beta, \theta} \geq \begin{cases} \Sigma_p + \varepsilon^{\beta} \ln |\ln \varepsilon| \left[C + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1} - \beta}}{\ln |\ln \varepsilon|}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p} - \beta}}{\ln |\ln \varepsilon|}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{sp - \beta}}{\ln |\ln \varepsilon|}\right) \right], & \text{if } 0 < \tau < e \\ \Sigma_p + \varepsilon^{\beta} \ln |\ln \varepsilon| \left[C + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1} - \beta}}{\ln |\ln \varepsilon|}\right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{sp - \beta}}{\ln |\ln \varepsilon|}\right) \right], & \text{if } e \leq \tau < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Taking into account the assumption $0 < \beta < \min\{(\theta + 1)/p, sp\}$, (3.59) yields (ii).

(iii). In view of (i), in order to get (iii), it is enough to show that

$$(3.60) \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \sup \mathcal{F}_{\tau, \beta, \theta} \leq \Sigma_p.$$

By contradiction, suppose that there exists a sequence (β_j) such that

$$(3.61) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \beta_j = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma_p < \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}_{\tau, \beta_j, \theta}.$$

Of course, we can assume $\beta_j > 1$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$. For each j , we can take $u_j \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ such that

$$(3.62) \quad \|u_j\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\tau, \beta_j, \theta} - \frac{1}{j} \leq \int_0^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^{\beta_j}} dr.$$

Passing to a subsequence if necessary, the compact embedding (1.6) implies that there exists $u_0 \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ such that

$$(3.63) \quad u_j \rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ weakly in } X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1), \quad u_j \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } L_\theta^q \text{ and } u_j \rightarrow u_0 \text{ a. e. in } (0, 1),$$

for any $1 < q < p^*$. Let us denote by $X_1^{1,p}([\rho, 1])$ the space $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ on the interval $(\rho, 1]$ instead of $(0, 1]$. According to [17, pag. 3356], we have the compact embedding

$$X_1^{1,p}([\rho, 1]) \hookrightarrow L_\theta^q([\rho, 1])$$

for any $q \geq p$. From (3.63) and Lemma 2.1, we can apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem to see that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\rho^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^{\beta_j}} dr = \int_\rho^1 r^\theta |u_0|^{p^*} dr = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_\rho^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} dr,$$

for any fixed $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Then, for $\tau > 0$ holds

$$(3.64) \quad \int_\rho^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^{\beta_j}} dr = \int_\rho^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} dr + o_j(1).$$

Arguing as in (3.51) we can write

$$|\ln(\tau + |u_j|)| \leq |\ln r| \left(\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p} + \frac{\ln(\frac{\kappa}{\tau} + r^{\frac{\alpha_1 - p + 1}{p}}) + |\ln \tau|}{|\ln r|} \right).$$

Hence, for $0 < \rho < \delta_0$ small enough and by choosing $C_0 = \max\{\frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{p}, 1\}$ we have

$$|\ln(\tau + |u_j|)| \leq C_0 |\ln r|, \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, \rho).$$

Since $\beta_j > 1$ and $C_0 \geq 1$ we also can write

$$|\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^{\beta_j}} \leq (C_0 |\ln r|)^{r^{\beta_j}} \leq (C_0 |\ln r|)^r, \quad \text{for all } r \in (0, \rho).$$

Since $(C_0 |\ln r|)^r \rightarrow 1$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$|\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^{\beta_j}} - 1 \leq (C_0 |\ln r|)^r - 1 < \varepsilon,$$

for r near 0. Then, choosing $\rho > 0$ small enough we can deduce that

$$(3.65) \quad \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^{\beta_j}} dr \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_0^\rho r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} dr.$$

Combining (3.62), (3.64) and (3.65) we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta_j,\theta} - \frac{1}{j} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\Sigma_p + o_j(1).$$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get a contradiction. \square

4. ATTAINABILITY: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

This section is dedicated to proving our attainability result. The main idea is based on the two-step argument due to Carleson-Chang, which first appeared in the seminal work [8]: Precisely, under the conditions $\tau \geq 1$ and $0 < \beta < \min\{(\theta + 1)/p, (\alpha_1 - p + 1)/(p - 1)\}$, we will prove the following:

- I) $\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta} > \Sigma_p$,
- II) If $\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta}$ is not attained, then $\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta} \leq \Sigma_p$.

It is clear that the contradiction derived from I) and II) ensures the existence of extremal function for $\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta}$. Note that Theorem 1.3-(ii) ensures that I) holds. In view of the Lemma 3.4, to conclude II) we only need to show the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\tau \geq 1$ and $\beta > 0$. If $\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta}$ is not attained, then any of its maximizing sequence is necessarily NCS.*

Proof. Let $(u_j) \subset X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ be a maximizing sequence for $\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta}$, i.e. it satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad \|u_j\| = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta} = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^\beta} dr.$$

Up to a subsequence if necessary, we can take $u \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ such that $u_j \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$, $u_j \rightarrow u$ a.e. in $(0, 1)$ and $\|u\| \leq \liminf \|u_j\| = 1$.

We will divide our proof into two steps:

Step 1: We prove that $u \equiv 0$. Suppose that $u \not\equiv 0$. Set $v_j = u_j - u$. By using Brezis-Lieb type argument in [3], we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^\beta} dr &= \int_0^1 r^\theta |v_j|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |v_j|)|^{r^\beta} dr \\ &+ \int_0^1 r^\theta |u|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{r^\beta} dr + o_j(1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad 1 = \int_0^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u_j'|^p dr = \int_0^1 r^{\alpha_1} |v_j'|^p dr + \int_0^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'|^p dr + o_j(1),$$

where $o_j(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. If $\|u\| = 1$ in (4.3), we obtain $u_j \rightarrow u$ strongly in $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ or $v_j \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$. Thus, by combining the continuous embedding in Corollary 1.2 with (4.2) we have that u is an extremal function for $\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta}$, which contradicts our assumption. Therefore, we may assume that $0 < \|u\| < 1$. In this case, from (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3) we can

write

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta} &= \|v_j\|^{p^*} \int_0^1 r^\theta \left(\frac{|v_j|}{\|v_j\|} \right)^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |v_j|)|^{r^\beta} dr \\
&\quad + \|u\|^{p^*} \int_0^1 r^\theta \left(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|} \right)^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{r^\beta} dr + o_j(1) \\
&\leq \|v_j\|^{p^*} \int_0^1 r^\theta \left(\frac{|v_j|}{\|v_j\|} \right)^{p^*} \left| \ln \left(\tau + \left| \frac{v_j}{\|v_j\|} \right| \right) \right|^{r^\beta} dr \\
&\quad + \|u\|^{p^*} \int_0^1 r^\theta \left(\frac{|u|}{\|u\|} \right)^{p^*} \left| \ln \left(\tau + \left| \frac{u}{\|u\|} \right| \right) \right|^{r^\beta} dr + o_j(1) \\
&\leq \mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta} (\|v_j\|^{p^*} + \|u\|^{p^*}) + o_j(1) \\
&= \mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta} \left((1 - \|u\|^p + o_j(1))^{\frac{p^*}{p}} + (\|u\|^p)^{\frac{p^*}{p}} \right) + o_j(1) \\
&< \mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta},
\end{aligned}$$

which is an contradiction, where we used that $(1-t)^{\frac{p^*}{p}} + t^{\frac{p^*}{p}} < 1$ for all $t \in (0, 1)$. Then $u \equiv 0$, as desired.

Step 2: For each $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ holds

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{r_0}^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_j|^p \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow 0.$$

From Lemma 2.1 we have

$$(4.5) \quad C_0 = C_0(\alpha_1, \beta, \tau, r_0) = \sup_{r \in [r_0, 1]} |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^\beta} < \infty.$$

Hence, the compact embedding

$$(4.6) \quad X_1^{1,p}([r_0, 1]) \hookrightarrow L_\theta^q([r_0, 1]), \quad q \geq p$$

yields

$$(4.7) \quad \int_{r_0}^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u_j|)|^{r^\beta} dr \leq C_0 \int_{r_0}^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} dr \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since (u_j) is a maximizing sequence, by the Ekeland's variational principle [31, Theorem 3.1] there exists a multiplier λ_j such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.8) \quad \lambda_j \int_0^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_j|^{p-2} u'_j \varphi' dr &= p^* \int_0^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*-2} (\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r^\beta} u_j \varphi dr \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 \frac{r^{\theta+\beta} |u_j|^{p^*-1} u_j \varphi}{(\tau + |u_j|) (\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{1-r^\beta}} dr + \langle o_j(1), \varphi \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\varphi = u_j$ in (4.8) we obtain

$$\lambda_j \int_0^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_j|^p dr \geq p^* \int_0^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} (\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r^\beta} dr + \langle o_j(1), u_j \rangle.$$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\liminf \lambda_j \geq p^* \mathcal{F}_{\tau, \beta, \theta}$. Let $\bar{\eta} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function such that $\bar{\eta} \equiv 0$ in $[0, r_0/2]$ and $\bar{\eta} \equiv 1$ in $[r_0, 1]$. From (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_0^1 \frac{r^{\theta+\beta} |u_j|^{p^*-1} u_j (\bar{\eta} u_j)}{(\tau + |u_j|) (\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{1-r\beta}} dr &= \int_{r_0/2}^1 \frac{r^{\theta+\beta} |u_j|^{p^*+1} \bar{\eta}}{(\tau + |u_j|) (\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{1-r\beta}} dr \\
 (4.9) \quad &\leq \int_{r_0/2}^1 \frac{r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*}}{(\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{1-r\beta}} dr \\
 &\leq C \int_{r_0/2}^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} dr \rightarrow 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used $0 \leq |u_j| < |u_j| + \tau$, $(\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r\beta} \leq C \ln(\tau + |u_j|)$ for $\tau \in [1, \infty)$. Thus, by taking $\varphi = \bar{\eta} u_j$ in (4.8) and combining (4.7) and (4.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 o_j(1) &= \int_{r_0/2}^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_j|^{p-2} u'_j (\bar{\eta} u_j)' dr \\
 (4.10) \quad &= \int_{r_0/2}^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_j|^p \bar{\eta} dr + \int_{r_0/2}^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_j|^{p-2} u'_j u_j \bar{\eta}' dr \\
 &\geq \int_{r_0}^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_j|^p dr - \|\bar{\eta}'\|_\infty \|u'_j\|_{L_{\alpha_1}^p}^{p-1} \left(\int_{r_0}^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u_j|^p dr \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &= \int_{r_0}^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_j|^p dr + o_j(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\int_{r_0}^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u_j|^p dr \leq C \int_{r_0}^1 r^\theta |u_j|^p dr \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, (4.10) ensures (4.4) holds. \square

5. APPLICATION TO A CLASS OF QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS

In this section we prove the existence of a nontrivial weak solution to problem (1.14) stated in Theorem 1.5. To achieve our result, we apply variational arguments to the functional $I : X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(5.1) \quad I(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'|^p dr - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_0^1 r^\theta |u|^{p^*} (\ln(\tau + |u|))^{r\beta} dr + \int_0^1 r^\theta G(r, u) dr,$$

where

$$G(r, u) = \int_0^u g(r, s) ds, \quad g(r, s) = \frac{r^\beta |s|^{p^*-1} s}{p^* (\tau + |s|) (\ln(\tau + |s|))^{1-r\beta}}.$$

Note that $g(0, u) = 0$ and, for any small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a large constant $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$(5.2) \quad |G(r, u)| \leq r^\beta (\varepsilon |u|^{p^*} + C_\varepsilon |u|^p) \quad \text{and} \quad |G(r, u)| \leq r^\beta (\varepsilon |u|^p + C_\varepsilon |u|^{p^*}).$$

From Theorem 1.1, we can deduce that the functional I is well-defined and of class C^1 on $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$. In fact, for all $\varphi \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ we have

$$(5.3) \quad \langle I'(u), \varphi \rangle = \int_0^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'|^{p-2} u' \varphi' dr - \int_0^1 r^\theta |u|^{p^*-1} (\ln(\tau + |u|))^{r\beta} \varphi dr.$$

So, the critical points of the functional I are weak solutions of (1.14). As in [27], we will apply a version of the mountain pass theorem without the Palais-Smale condition due to Brezis and

Nirenberg, see [4, Theorem 2.2] to obtain critical points of I . We will proceed in the following steps:

- (A) [Lemma 5.1] The functional I has the mountain pass geometry.
- (B) [Lemma 5.2] The mountain pass level c_{MP} satisfies

$$c_{MP} < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}}.$$

- (C) [Lemma 5.3] There is a loss of compactness for the functional I at the level

$$\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}}.$$

- (D) [Lemma 5.4] There is a nontrivial weak solution at the level $c_{MP} > 0$.

Lemma 5.1. *The functional I has the mountain pass geometry.*

- (a) $I(0) = 0$.
- (b) For each $u \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) \setminus \{0\}$ with $u \geq 0$, we have $I(tu) \rightarrow -\infty$, if $t \rightarrow +\infty$.
- (c) There are $\delta, \rho > 0$ such that $I(u) \geq \delta$, if $\|u\| = \rho$.

Proof. Obviously $I(0) = 0$. Let $u \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ with $u \geq 0$. If $t \geq 1$, then $\ln(\tau + tu) \geq \ln(\tau + u)$ on $(0, 1)$. Then, for all $t \geq 1$, (5.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} I(tu) &\leq \frac{t^p}{p} \int_0^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'|^p dr - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} \int_0^1 r^\theta |u|^{p^*} (\ln(\tau + |u|))^{r^\beta} dr \\ (5.4) \quad &+ \varepsilon t^{p^*} \int_0^1 r^{\theta+\beta} |u|^{p^*} dr + C_\varepsilon t^p \int_0^1 r^{\theta+\beta} |u|^p dr \\ &\leq \frac{t^p}{p} \|u\|^p - t^{p^*} \left[\frac{1}{p^*} \int_0^1 r^\theta |u|^{p^*} (\ln(\tau + |u|))^{r^\beta} dr - \varepsilon \|u\|_{L_\theta^p}^p \right] + C_\varepsilon t^p \|u\|_{L_\theta^p}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Since $p^* > p$, by choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that the term in the bracket is positive, and letting $t \rightarrow \infty$ we get (b). To prove (c) we note that, for any $u \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ with $0 < \|u\| < 1$, Theorem 1.1 implies

$$\frac{1}{\|u\|^{p^*}} \int_0^1 r^\theta |u|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{r^\beta} dr \leq \int_0^1 r^\theta \left| \frac{u}{\|u\|} \right|^{p^*} \left| \ln \left(\tau + \left| \frac{u}{\|u\|} \right| \right) \right|^{r^\beta} dr \leq \mathcal{F}_{\tau, \beta, \theta}$$

which means that

$$(5.5) \quad \int_0^1 r^\theta |u|^{p^*} |\ln(\tau + |u|)|^{r^\beta} dr \leq \mathcal{F}_{\tau, \beta, \theta} \|u\|^{p^*}, \quad \text{if } \|u\| < 1.$$

From the continuous embedding (1.6) and the estimates (5.2) and (5.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.6) \quad I(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta}}{p^*} \|u\|^{p^*} - \int_0^1 r^{\theta+\beta} (\varepsilon |u|^p + C_\varepsilon |u|^{p^*}) dr \\
&\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta}}{p^*} \|u\|^{p^*} - \int_0^1 r^\theta (\varepsilon |u|^p + C_\varepsilon |u|^{p^*}) dr \\
&= \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta}}{p^*} \|u\|^{p^*} - \varepsilon \|u\|_{L_\theta^p}^p - C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{L_\theta^{p^*}}^{p^*} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|^p - \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta}}{p^*} \|u\|^{p^*} - \varepsilon C_1 \|u\|^p - C_\varepsilon C_2 \|u\|^{p^*} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \varepsilon C_1 \right) \|u\|^p - \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\tau,\beta,\theta} + p^* C_2 C_\varepsilon}{p^*} \|u\|^{p^*}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $p^* > p$, we can choose $\rho > 0$ small enough and $\delta > 0$ satisfying $I(u) \geq \delta$ for $\|u\| = \rho$. This prove that (c) holds. \square

Let us take u_ε as in (3.9) with $\hat{A} = 1$. In view of Lemma 5.1, we can take the mountain pass level

$$(5.7) \quad c_{MP} = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in \gamma} I(u)$$

where

$$\Gamma = \{\gamma : [0, T] \rightarrow X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1) : \gamma \text{ is continuous, } \gamma(0) = 0 \text{ and } \gamma(T) = Tu_\varepsilon\}$$

with $T > 0$ large enough, so that $I(Tu_\varepsilon) < 0$. By Lemma 5.1 follows that $\Gamma \neq \emptyset$ and $c_{MP} \geq \delta > 0$.

Lemma 5.2. *The mountain pass level c_{MP} satisfies*

$$0 < c_{MP} < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}}.$$

Proof. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, consider the path $\gamma_\varepsilon \in \Gamma$ given by $\gamma_\varepsilon(t) = tu_\varepsilon$, with $t \in [0, T]$. Then, from the definition of (5.7), there exists $t_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$(5.8) \quad (I \circ \gamma_\varepsilon)(t_\varepsilon) = \max_{t \in [0, T]} (I \circ \gamma_\varepsilon)(t) \geq c_{MP}.$$

We claim that

$$(5.9) \quad t_\varepsilon \rightarrow 1, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Indeed, from $\frac{d}{dt} I(\gamma_\varepsilon(t))|_{t=t_\varepsilon} = 0$ we obtain

$$(5.10) \quad t_\varepsilon^{p-1} \int_0^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_\varepsilon|^p dr = t_\varepsilon^{p^*-1} \int_0^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} (\ln(\tau + |t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon|))^{r^\beta} dr.$$

From (3.7), (3.8) and (5.10), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.11) \quad \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}} + O(\varepsilon^{sp}) &= t_\varepsilon^{p^*-p} \int_0^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} (\ln(\tau + |t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon|))^{r^\beta} dr \\
&= t_\varepsilon^{p^*-p} \int_0^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} dr + t_\varepsilon^{p^*-p} \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1) \\
&= t_\varepsilon^{p^*-p} \left[\mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}} + O(\varepsilon^{sp^*}) + \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1)$ is given by (3.10). By the mountain-pass geometry structure in Lemma 5.1, we have $\delta_1 \leq t_\varepsilon \leq T$ for some $\delta_1 > 0$. So, we can suppose that $t_\varepsilon \rightarrow t_0 > 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and, from the condition $0 < \beta < \min\{(\theta + 1)/p, sp\}$, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 we can write

$$(5.12) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1) = \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p}}), & \text{if } 1 \leq \tau < e \\ O(\varepsilon^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)), & \text{if } e \leq \tau < \infty \end{cases} = O(\varepsilon^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)).$$

From (5.11) and (5.12), we get

$$(5.13) \quad 1 + O(\varepsilon^{sp}) = t_\varepsilon^{p^*-p}(1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}}) + O(\varepsilon^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|))).$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain $t_\varepsilon \rightarrow 1$ as claimed in (5.9). Directly from (5.13) and using the condition $0 < \beta < \min\{(\theta + 1)/p, sp\}$ again, we get

$$t_\varepsilon^{p^*-p} = \frac{1 + O(\varepsilon^{sp})}{1 + O(\varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}}) + O(\varepsilon^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|))} = 1 + O(\varepsilon^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)).$$

Now, for any $q > 0$ we can write $(1 + x)^q = 1 + qx + O(x^2)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. Hence, from the above identity we can write

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{cases} t_\varepsilon = 1 + O(\varepsilon^\beta \ln(|\ln \varepsilon|)) \\ t_\varepsilon^p = 1 + pT_\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{2\beta} \ln^2(|\ln \varepsilon|)) \\ t_\varepsilon^{p^*} = 1 + p^*T_\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{2\beta} \ln^2(|\ln \varepsilon|)), \end{cases}$$

where $T_\varepsilon = t_\varepsilon - 1$. Now, from (3.9), (5.2), (5.9) we have

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 r^\theta G(r, t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) dr \right| &\leq C\varepsilon^{sp^* - \frac{np^*}{m}} \int_0^\varepsilon r^{\theta+\beta} dr + C\varepsilon^{sp^*} \int_\varepsilon^1 r^{\theta+\beta - \frac{np^*}{m}} dr \\ &+ C\varepsilon^{sp - \frac{np}{m}} \int_0^\varepsilon r^{\theta+\beta} dr + C\varepsilon^{sp} \int_\varepsilon^1 r^{\theta+\beta - \frac{np}{m}} dr \\ &\leq C \left(\varepsilon^\beta + \varepsilon^{\frac{\theta+1}{p-1}} \right) + C \left(\varepsilon^{\beta+\theta-\alpha_1+p} + \varepsilon^{\frac{\alpha_1-p+1}{p-1}} \right) \leq C_1 \varepsilon^\beta, \end{aligned}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. For $\tau \geq 1$, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that there exists $C > 0$ such that (recall $\beta < (\theta + 1)/p$)

$$(5.16) \quad \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1) \geq C\varepsilon^\beta \ln|\ln \varepsilon|$$

provided that $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough. At this point, we are in a position to estimate the level c_{MP} . From (5.8) is it enough to show that

$$I(t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}},$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. To do this, we first write

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} I(t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) &= \frac{t_\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_0^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_\varepsilon|^p dr - \frac{t_\varepsilon^{p^*}}{p^*} \int_0^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^{p^*} (\ln(\tau + t_\varepsilon |u_\varepsilon|))^{r^\beta} dr + \int_0^1 r^\theta G(r, t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) dr \\ &= \frac{t_\varepsilon^p}{p} \|u_\varepsilon\|^p - \frac{t_\varepsilon^{p^*}}{p^*} \left(\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L_\theta^{p^*}}^{p^*} + \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0, 1) \right) + \int_0^1 r^\theta G(r, t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.7), (3.8), (5.12), (5.14), (5.17), (5.15) and (5.16) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.18) \quad I(t_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} + T_\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{2\beta} \ln^2(|\ln \varepsilon|)) \right) \left(\mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}} + O(\varepsilon^{sp}) \right) \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{1}{p^*} + T_\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^{2\beta} \ln^2(|\ln \varepsilon|)) \right) \left(\mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}} + \mathcal{E}_{t_\varepsilon}(0,1) + O(\varepsilon^{sp^*}) \right) + O(\varepsilon^\beta) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}} + \varepsilon^\beta \ln |\ln \varepsilon| \left[-\frac{C}{p^*} + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{sp}}{\varepsilon^\beta \ln |\ln \varepsilon|} \right) + O\left(\varepsilon^\beta \ln |\ln \varepsilon| \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^\beta \ln |\ln \varepsilon| \left[O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{sp^*}}{\varepsilon^\beta \ln |\ln \varepsilon|} \right) + O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^\beta}{\varepsilon^\beta \ln |\ln \varepsilon|} \right) \right] \\
&< \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}},
\end{aligned}$$

for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. \square

Lemma 5.3. *The level $\left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}}$ is non-compactness level for the functional I .*

Proof. Let (u_ε) be defined as in (3.9) with $\hat{A} = 1$. We claim that (u_ε) is a concentrated sequence at the origin $r = 0$. Indeed, for $\rho < r_0$ and $r \in (0, \rho)$, we have $u_\varepsilon(r) = \varepsilon^{-(\alpha_1-p+1)/p} u_1^*(r/\varepsilon)$ and, from (3.4) we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^\rho r^{\alpha_1} |u'_\varepsilon(r)|^p dr = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{\rho/\varepsilon} s^{\alpha_1} |(u_1^*)'(s)|^p ds = \int_0^\infty s^{\alpha_1} |(u_1^*)'(s)|^p ds = \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}}.$$

Thus, (3.7) ensures

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\rho^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_\varepsilon(r)|^p dr &= \int_0^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_\varepsilon(r)|^p dr - \int_0^\rho r^{\alpha_1} |u'_\varepsilon(r)|^p dr \\
&= \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}} + O(\varepsilon^{sp}) - \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}} \\
&\rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. If $\rho \in [r_0, 1)$, it follows that

$$\int_\rho^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_\varepsilon(r)|^p dr \leq \int_{r_0/2}^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_\varepsilon(r)|^p dr \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{if } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, for any $0 < \rho < 1$ we have

$$(5.19) \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_\rho^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_\varepsilon(r)|^p dr = 0.$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon|^p dr &\leq \int_0^1 r^\theta |u_\varepsilon^*|^p dr \\
&= \varepsilon^{\theta-\alpha_1+p} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1}} s^\theta |u_1^*(s)|^p ds \\
&= \mathcal{C}^p \varepsilon^{\theta-\alpha_1+p} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \frac{s^\theta}{(1+s^n)^{\frac{1}{m}}} ds \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

where m and n are given in (3.3). In view of the compact embedding (1.6), we have $u_\varepsilon \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This together with (5.19) ensures that (u_ε) is a concentrated sequence at the origin $r = 0$. Now, by using (3.7), (3.8), (5.12) and (5.15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I(u_\varepsilon) &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}} + O(\varepsilon^{sp}) - O(\varepsilon^{sp^*}) + \frac{\mathcal{E}_1(0, 1)}{p^*} + \int_0^1 r^\theta G(r, u_\varepsilon) dr \\ &\rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}} \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence, (u_ε) is concentrating and converges weakly to 0, and thus it does not contain a strongly convergent subsequence in $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$. \square

In view of Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2 we are in a position to apply [4, Teorema 2.2], to get a Palais-Smale sequence (u_j) in $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ of I at level $c_{MP} < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}}$. That is, for any $\varphi \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ holds

$$(5.20) \quad I(u_j) \rightarrow c_{MP} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle I'(u_j), \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Lemma 5.4. *Up to a subsequence, (u_j) converges weakly to $u_0 \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$. In addition, u_0 is a nontrivial weak solution to (1.5).*

Proof. First, from (5.20) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c_{MP} + 1 &\geq I(u_j) - \frac{1}{p^*} \langle I'(u_j), u_j \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*}\right) \|u_j\|^p + \int_0^1 r^\theta G(r, u_j) dr. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that (u_j) is a bounded sequence in $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$. Hence, up to a subsequence, there exists u_0 in $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ such that

$$(5.21) \quad u_j \rightharpoonup u_0 \text{ weakly in } X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1), \quad u_j \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } L_\theta^q(p \leq q < p^*) \text{ and } u_j \rightarrow u_0 \text{ a.e in } (0, 1).$$

By a standard argument, we can verify that u_0 solves the equation (1.5), see for instance [10, 24] for more details. Thus, it is sufficient to prove the following:

Claim 1. $u_0 \not\equiv 0$.

By contradiction, we suppose that $u_0 \equiv 0$. As well as in (4.7) we have

$$(5.22) \quad \int_\rho^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} (\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r^\beta} dr \rightarrow 0,$$

for any $\rho \in (0, 1)$ fixed. In addition, the pointwise convergence in (5.21), Lemma 2.1 and the dominated convergence theorem implies

$$(5.23) \quad \int_\rho^1 r^\theta |u_j|^{p^*} dr \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\bar{\eta} : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth function such that $\bar{\eta} \equiv 0$ in $[0, \rho/2]$ and $\bar{\eta} \equiv 1$ in $[\rho, 1]$. By choosing $\varphi = \bar{\eta} u_j$ in (5.20) we obtain

$$\int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u_j'|^{p-2} u_j' (\bar{\eta} u_j)' dr = \int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^1 r^\theta |u_j'|^{p^*} \bar{\eta} (\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r^\beta} dr + \langle o_j(1), \bar{\eta} u_j \rangle \rightarrow 0,$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that

$$\int_{\rho}^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'_j|^p dr \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{for } \rho \in (0, 1).$$

We claim that

$$(5.24) \quad I(u_j) = I_0(u_j) + o_j(1),$$

where

$$I_0(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^1 r^{\alpha_1} |u'|^p dr - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_0^1 r^{\theta} |u|^{p^*} dr.$$

Indeed, note that

$$I(u_j) - I_0(u_j) = -\frac{1}{p^*} \int_0^1 r^{\theta} |u_j|^{p^*} \left((\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r^{\beta}} - 1 \right) dr + \int_0^1 r^{\theta} G(r, u_j) dr.$$

We will estimate each integral above for j large enough. By using (5.22) and (5.23) we can see

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 r^{\theta} |u_j|^{p^*} \left((\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r^{\beta}} - 1 \right) dr \right| &\leq \left| \int_0^{\rho} r^{\theta} |u_j|^{p^*} \left((\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r^{\beta}} - 1 \right) dr \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{\rho}^1 r^{\theta} |u_j|^{p^*} \left((\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r^{\beta}} - 1 \right) dr \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_0^{\rho} r^{\theta} |u_j|^{p^*} \left((\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r^{\beta}} - 1 \right) dr \right| + o_j(1). \end{aligned}$$

For $\tau \geq e$, arguing as in (3.54) we have

$$(5.26) \quad \left| \int_0^{\rho} r^{\theta} |u_j|^{p^*} \left((\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r^{\beta}} - 1 \right) dr \right| = \int_0^{\rho} r^{\theta} |u_j|^{p^*} \left((\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r^{\beta}} - 1 \right) dr \leq o_{\rho}(1)$$

where $o_{\rho}(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on j . On the other hand, if $1 \leq \tau < e$, by using the $u_0 \equiv 0$ and (5.21) and taking j large enough. we can assume $|u_j| \leq e - \tau$ on $(0, \rho)$. Hence

$$(5.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{\rho} r^{\theta} |u_j|^{p^*} \left((\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r^{\beta}} - 1 \right) dr \right| &= \int_0^{\rho} r^{\theta} |u_j|^{p^*} \left(1 - (\ln(\tau + |u_j|))^{r^{\beta}} \right) dr \\ &\leq (e - \tau)^{p^*} \int_0^{\rho} r^{\theta} dr = o_{\rho}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Now, from (5.2) and (5.21) we obtain

$$(5.28) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^1 r^{\theta} |G(r, u_j)| dr &\leq \varepsilon \int_0^1 r^{\theta} |u_j|^{p^*} dr + C_{\varepsilon} \int_0^1 r^{\theta} |u_j|^p dr \\ &\leq \varepsilon \Sigma_p + C_{\varepsilon} o_j(1). \end{aligned}$$

By combining (5.25), (5.26), (5.27) and (5.28), we can write

$$|I(u_j) - I_0(u_j)| = o_{\rho}(1) + o_j(1) + o_{\varepsilon}(1)$$

which proves (5.24). Analogously, one shows that

$$\langle I'(u_j), \varphi \rangle = \langle I'_0(u_j), \varphi \rangle + \langle o_j(1), \varphi \rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1).$$

Then, using (5.20) we obtain (u_j) is a Palais-Smale sequence also to the functional I_0 at level c_{MP} . Namely, for any $\varphi \in X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ we have

$$(5.29) \quad I_0(u_j) \rightarrow c_{MP} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle I'_0(u_j), \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

In particular,

$$(5.30) \quad \|u_j\|^p = \|u_j\|_{L_\theta^{p^*}}^{p^*} + \langle I'_0(u_j), u_j \rangle$$

and

$$(5.31) \quad I_0(u_j) - \frac{1}{p^*} \langle I'_0(u_j), u_j \rangle = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \|u_j\|^p, \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

From (5.30), we can suppose that there exists $b \geq 0$ such that

$$0 \leq b = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_j\|^p = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_j\|_{L_\theta^{p^*}}^{p^*}.$$

By (1.6), we also have

$$\|u_j\|_{L_\theta^{p^*}}^p \leq \mathcal{S}^{-1} \|u_j\|^p$$

which implies

$$(5.32) \quad b^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq \mathcal{S}^{-1} b.$$

But, letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.31), we get

$$(5.33) \quad c_{MP} = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) b.$$

Combining the condition $c_{MP} < \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \mathcal{S}^{\frac{\theta+1}{\theta-\alpha_1+p}}$ with (5.32) and (5.33) we obtain $b = 0$.

Hence, up to a subsequence, we have $u_j \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $X_1^{1,p}(\alpha_0, \alpha_1)$ and thus $I(u_j) \rightarrow 0$ which contradicts $I(u_j) \rightarrow c_{MP} > 0$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Adams, J. J. F. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces, Second edition. Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), **140**. Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003 [3](#)
- [2] C. Bandle, R. Benguria, The Brézis-Nirenberg problem on \mathbb{S}^3 , J. Differential Equations, **178**, 264–279 (2002) [1](#)
- [3] H. Brezis, E. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **88**, 486–490 (1983) [20](#)
- [4] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg, Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **36**, 437–477 (1983) [1](#), [4](#), [9](#), [23](#), [27](#)
- [5] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg, J. Spruck, The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second-order elliptic equations. III: Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian, Acta Math., **155**, 261–301 (1985) [4](#)
- [6] D. Cao, S. Li, Z. Liu, Nodal solutions for a supercritical semilinear problem with variable exponent, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations, **57**, 38 (2018) [1](#)
- [7] D. Cao, P. Luo, S. Peng, The number of positive solutions to the Brézis-Nirenberg problem, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **374**, 1947–1985 (2021) [1](#)
- [8] L. Carleson, S. Y. A. Chang, On the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J. Moser, Bull. Sci. Math., **110**, 113–127 (1986) [20](#)
- [9] P. Clément, D. G. de Figueiredo, E. Mitidieri, Quasilinear elliptic equations with critical exponents, Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal., **7**, 133–170 (1996) [2](#), [4](#), [9](#)
- [10] D. G. de Figueiredo, J. V. Gonçalves, O. H. Miyagaki, On a class of quasilinear elliptic problems involving critical exponents, Commun. Contemp. Math., **2**, 47–59, (2000) [4](#), [27](#)

- [11] J. F. de Oliveira, O. H. Miyagaki, S. I. Moreira, On a class of degenerate quasilinear elliptic equations with zero mass, *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, **67**, 2719–2746 (2022) [4](#)
- [12] J. F. de Oliveira, J. M. do Ó, Trudinger–Moser type inequalities for weighted Sobolev spaces involving fractional dimensions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, **142**, 2813–2828 (2014) [2](#)
- [13] J. F. de Oliveira, J. M. do Ó, On a sharp inequality of Adimurthi–Druet type and extremal functions, *Calc. Var.*, **62**, 162 (2023) [2](#)
- [14] J. F. de Oliveira, J. M. do Ó, Equivalence of critical and subcritical sharp Trudinger–Moser inequalities in fractional dimensions and extremal functions, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, **39**, 1073–1096 (2023) [2](#)
- [15] J. F. de Oliveira, P. Ubilla, Extremal functions for a supercritical k -Hessian inequality of Sobolev-type, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* **60**, 103314 (2021) [1](#), [2](#), [4](#)
- [16] J. F. de Oliveira, J. M. do Ó, P. Ubilla, Existence for a k -Hessian equation involving supercritical growth, *J. Differential Equations*, **267**, 1001–1024 (2019) [1](#), [2](#)
- [17] J. F. de Oliveira, J. M. do Ó, P. Ubilla, Hardy–Sobolev type inequality and supercritical extremal problem, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **39**, 3345–3364 (2019) [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [9](#), [10](#), [19](#)
- [18] J. F. de Oliveira, J. M. do Ó, P. Ubilla, On a supercritical k -Hessian inequality of Trudinger–Moser type and extremal functions, *Annali di Matematica* (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10231-024-01455-x> [2](#)
- [19] J. F. de Oliveira, J. Silva, On a Sobolev-Type Inequality and its Minimizers, *Analysis and Applications* (2024). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219530524500210> [2](#)
- [20] M. del Pino, J. Dolbeault, M. Musso, The Brezis–Nirenberg problem near criticality in dimension 3, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **83**, 1405–1456 (2004) [1](#)
- [21] Y. Deng, S. Peng, X. Zhang, Y. Zhou, A class of supercritical Sobolev type inequalities with logarithm and related elliptic equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **341**, 150–188 (2022) [1](#), [2](#), [4](#), [9](#)
- [22] Y. Deng, X. Zhang, Nodal solutions for a supercritical problem with variable exponent and logarithmic nonlinearity, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems*, **43**, 4429–4453 (2023) [1](#), [2](#)
- [23] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Ruzicka, *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics* 2017, Springer (2011) [7](#), [8](#)
- [24] J. M. do Ó, J. F. de Oliveira, Concentration-compactness and extremal problems for a weighted Trudinger–Moser inequality, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **19**, 1650003 (2017) [27](#)
- [25] J. M. do Ó, G. Lu, R. Ponciano, Sharp Higher Order Adams’ Inequality with Exact Growth Condition on Weighted Sobolev Spaces, *J. Geom. Anal.*, **34**, 139 (2024) [2](#)
- [26] J. M. do Ó, G. Lu, R. Ponciano, Sharp Sobolev and Adams–Trudinger–Moser embeddings on weighted Sobolev spaces and their applications, *Forum Mathematicum*, 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1515/forum-2023-0292> [2](#)
- [27] J. M. do Ó, B. Ruf, P. Ubilla, On supercritical Sobolev type inequalities and related elliptic equations, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **55**, 83 (2016) [1](#), [2](#), [22](#)
- [28] J. M. do Ó, B. Ruf, P. Ubilla, A critical Moser type inequality with loss of compactness due to infinitesimal shocks, *Calc. Var.*, **62**, 8 (2023). [2](#)
- [29] J. M. do Ó, E. Silva, Quasilinear elliptic equations with singular nonlinearity, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, **16**, 363–379 (2016) [4](#)
- [30] P. Esposito, N. Ghoussoub, Y. Guo, *Mathematical analysis of partial differential equations modeling electrostatic MEMS*, *Courant Lect. Notes Math.* 20, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2010. [4](#)
- [31] I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **47**, 324–353 (1974) [21](#)
- [32] G. H. Hardy, Note on a theorem of Hilbert, *Math. Z.*, **6**, 314–317 (1920) [2](#)
- [33] J. Jacobsen, K. Schmitt, Radial solutions of quasilinear elliptic differential equations, *Handbook of differential equations*, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 359–435 (2004) [4](#)
- [34] J. Li, G. Lu, Q. Yang Higher order Brézis–Nirenberg problem on hyperbolic spaces: existence, nonexistence and symmetry of solutions, *Adv. Math.*, **399**, 108259 (2022) [1](#)
- [35] Q. A. Ngô, V. H. Nguyen, A supercritical Sobolev type inequality in higher order Sobolev spaces and related higher order elliptic problems, *J. Differential Equations*, **268**, 5996–6032 (2020) [1](#), [2](#)
- [36] Q. A. Ngô, V. H. Nguyen, Supercritical Moser–Trudinger inequalities and related elliptic problems, *Calc. Var.*, **59**, 69 (2020) [2](#)

- [37] M. Schechter, W. M. Zou, On the Brézis-Nirenberg problem, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., **197**, 337-356 (2010) [1](#)
- [38] X. -J. Wang, The k -Hessian Equation. Lecture Notes in Mathematics (1977), 177–252. Springer, Dordrecht (2009) [4](#)

(J.F. de Oliveira)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF PIAUÍ

64049-550 TERESINA, PI, BRAZIL

Email address: jfoliveira@ufpi.edu.br

(J.N. Silva)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

FEDERAL UNIVERSITY OF THE DELTA OF PARNAÍBA

CEP PARNAÍBA, PI, BRAZIL

Email address: j.n.silva@ufdpar.edu.br