

ON PÓLYA-YOUNG URN MODELS AND GROWTH PROCESSES

MARKUS KUBA

ABSTRACT. This work is devoted to Pólya-Young urns, a class of periodic Pólya urns of importance in the analysis of Young tableaux. We provide several extension of the previous results of Banderier, Marchal and Wallner [5, Ann. Prob. (2020)] on Pólya-Young urns and also generalize the previously studied model. We determine the limit law of the generalized model, involving the the local time of noise-reinforced Bessel processes. We also uncover a martingale structure, which leads directly to almost-sure convergence of the random variable of interest. This allows us to add second order asymptotics by providing a central limit theorem for the martingale tail sum, as well as a law of the iterated logarithm. We also turn to random vectors and obtain the limit law of Pólya-Young urns with multiple colors. Additionally, we introduce several growth processes and combinatorial objects, which are closely related to urn models. We define increasing trees with periodic immigration and we related the dynamics of the Pólya-Young urns to label-based parameters in such tree families. Furthermore, we discuss a generalization of Stirling permutations and obtain a bijection to increasing trees with periodic immigration. Finally, we introduce a chinese restaurant process with competition and relate it to increasing trees, as well as Pólya-Young urns.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Periodic Pólya-Eggenberger urn models. In the classical Pólya urn model, also called Pólya-Eggenberger urn scheme [16, 17, 48], one starts with an urn containing w_0 white and b_0 black balls at time $N = 0$. At every discrete time step $N \in \mathbb{N}$ a single ball is drawn uniformly at random. Its color is inspected and the drawn ball is reinserted into the urn. The dynamics of the urn process proceed according to the observed color: if the ball is white, a white and b black balls are added into the urn; if the ball is black, c black balls and d white balls are added. Here, a, b, c, d are usually non-negative integers; in some models the conditions are relaxed to non-negative real values; also certain negative values can be considered [13]. It is usually assumed that the urn is tenable, the process of sampling balls and adding/replacing balls can be continued ad infinitum. This process is encoded the so-called ball replacement matrix, sometimes also called transition matrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

An urn model and its associated replacement matrix M is called balanced, if the total number of added balls is constant, $\sigma = a + b = c + d$. Thus, the total number of balls T_N after N steps is deterministic,

$$T_N = w_0 + b_0 + \sigma \cdot N.$$

Quantities of of interest are the number of white balls W_N after N draws, and the number of black balls B_N after N draws, related by $W_N + B_N = T_N$. Pólya urn schemes are useful mathematical models for growth processes with a wide range of applications in several areas. This includes the analysis of random trees, graphs and algorithms, population genetics and the spread of epidemics. For a discussion of these applications and further information, we refer to the monographs of Johnson and Kotz [37], Mahmoud [48], as well as the article of Janson [32].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60F05, 60C05, 60G42.

Key words and phrases. Pólya-Young urn models, exact distribution, limit laws, martingale tail sum, law of the iterated logarithm, increasing trees, chinese restaurant process, Stirling permutations.

Recently, the following extension of the Pólya-Eggenberger urn model has been given.

Definition 1 (Periodic Pólya urns [5]). A periodic Pólya urn of period p with replacement matrices M_1, M_2, \dots, M_p is a variant of a Pólya urn in which the replacement matrix M_k is used at steps $N = np + k$. Such a model is called balanced if each of its replacement matrices is balanced.

For $p = 1$ such models reduce to the classical Pólya urn model. A certain class of periodic Pólya urns called Pólya-Young urns is of great interest due to its connection to Young tableaux. More precisely, with the help of what the so-called density method, results for Pólya-Young urns allow to study the distribution of the southeast corner of a triangular Young tableau [4, 5, 6]. This has important implications for the analysis of the continuous limit of large random Young tableaux and has links with random surfaces, see the impressive work [5]. The analysis of Pólya-Young urns was carried out in [5] using analytic combinatorics and the method of moments; in more detail, they used a generating function approach based on the history counting technique, leading to solvable differential equations (see [15] for details about this method), which were used to obtain asymptotics of the moments.

We consider here a generalization of this class, with an additional positive real parameter σ and relaxed conditions on other parameters.

Definition 2 (Generalized Pólya-Young urns). Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma, \ell > 0$ denote positive reals. A Young-Pólya urn of period p , balance σ and parameter ℓ is a periodic Pólya urn of period p (with $w_0 > 0$ to avoid degenerate cases) and replacement matrices

$$M_1 = M_2 = \dots = M_{p-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } M_p = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \ell \\ 0 & \sigma + \ell \end{pmatrix}.$$

We note that in the previous work σ was restricted to the value $\sigma = 1$, and also only $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ was considered. The random variable of interest is the number of white balls W_N after N draws, as well as its limit law for N tending to infinity.

In the following we provide an analysis of generalized Pólya-Young urns, extending the previous results in several ways. We uncover a martingale structure, leading directly to almost sure convergence of the scaled random variable. We also obtain simple explicit formulas for the moments and also the probability generating function. We obtain a limit law for the number of white balls and also moment convergence. In the special case treated earlier [5], the limit law was described in terms of the Beta distribution and generalized Gamma random variables. For the generalized Young-Pólya urns, we observe a different nature of the limit law. It is related to the local time of the noise-reinforced Bessel process [9]. For special choices of σ , p and ℓ we also obtain product distributions, involving the Beta distribution and generalized Gamma random variables.

We also provide new second order asymptotics, analyzing martingale tail sums. We remind the reader that for a martingale (\mathcal{W}_N) converging almost surely to a random variable \mathcal{W}_∞ , the sequence $(\mathcal{W}_N - \mathcal{W}_\infty)$ is called martingale tail sum. Informally, our results for generalized Pólya-Young urns reads as

$$W_N \sim \kappa N^\Lambda \cdot \mathcal{W}_\infty + \beta^{-1} \sqrt{\mathcal{W}_\infty} \cdot N^{\frac{\Lambda}{2}} \cdot \mathcal{N},$$

where \mathcal{W}_∞ is the almost sure limit of $g_N W_N$, where Λ and g_N are defined in Theorem 4, or in other words, a multiple of the first order limit of W_N/N^Λ . Here, we write $X_N \sim Y_N + Z_N \mathcal{N}$ when $Z_N^{-1}(X_N - Y_N) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ in distribution, and \mathcal{N} denotes a zero-mean normal random variable with variance one. Moreover, we also obtain a law of the iterated logarithm. We rely mostly on the theory of discrete martingales, as well as tools from discrete mathematics. Furthermore, we extend Pólya-Young models to urns with multiple colors and provide an analysis of the corresponding random vector, counting the number of balls of different colors. Concerning applications, generalized Pólya-Young

urns are then related to a new model of increasing trees, called increasing trees with immigration, and parameters appearing in this new tree models. Furthermore, we discuss also a generalization of Stirling permutations and obtain a bijection to increasing trees with periodic immigration. We define a chinese restaurant process with competition and relate it to increasing trees, as well as Pólya-Young urns. Finally, we also consider a chinese restaurant process with competition and a cocktail bar.

1.2. Notation. Throughout this work we denote with \mathbb{N} the positive integers and with \mathbb{N}_0 the non-negative integers. We use the notation $x^{\bar{s}} = x(x+1)\dots(x+s-1)$ for the rising factorials, $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $x^{\bar{0}} = 1$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We collect here the basic properties of several distributions, needed later for the identification of limit laws appearing subsequently. We encounter classical distribution, such as the Beta distribution and the (generalized) Gamma distribution, but also new laws like the local time of a noise reinforced Bessel process, introduced by Bertoin [9], and the three parameter Mittag-Leffler law [3, 51].

Definition 3. The beta-distribution $\beta(a, b)$ with real parameters $a, b > 0$ is defined by the density function

$$f(x) = \frac{x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1}}{B(a, b)}, \quad x \in (0, 1),$$

with $B(a, b)$ denoting the Beta-function.

The sequence (μ_s) of raw moments of a beta-distribution satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}(X^s) = \frac{\Gamma(a+s)\Gamma(a+b)}{\Gamma(a)\Gamma(a+b+s)}.$$

Definition 4. The generalized gamma distribution $\text{GenGemma}(a, b)$ with with real parameters $a, b > 0$ is defined by the density function

$$f(x) = \frac{bx^{a-1}e^{-x^b}}{\Gamma(a/b)}, \quad x \in (0, \infty)$$

where Γ is the classical gamma function.

We note that the generalized gamma distribution can be obtained from the classical Gamma distribution taking a suitable power. We also point out that the Generalized Gamma distribution with parameters a, b is determined by its sequence of raw moments (μ_s) :

$$\mu_s = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{a+s}{b})}{\Gamma(\frac{a}{b})}, \quad s \geq 0.$$

For a random variable X with density function $f(x)$, the tilt of $f(x)$ by a nonnegative integrable function $g(x)$ is the density

$$\frac{g(x)}{\mathbb{E}(g(X))} \cdot f(x).$$

An important class of tilted densities are the *polynomially tilted densities*, where one tilts by a polynomial $g(x) = x^c$ (with c being any real value such that $\mathbb{E}(X^c)$ is well defined). We then use the notation

$$\text{tilt}_c(f(x)) = \frac{x^c}{\mathbb{E}(X^c)} \cdot f(x).$$

Such tilted densities occur in many places in the literature, see [3] and the references therein. They are also called [2, 9] size-biased distributions (case $c = 1$); see [2] for a general discussion of biased distributions. We note in passing that many classes of distributions like the beta distribution, generalized gamma distribution, the F -distribution, the

beta-prime distribution, and distributions with gamma-type moments [35] are closed under the tilting operation. The following lemma shows that the operator tilt_c admits in fact several equivalent definitions using the density, the moments, or the Laplace transform.

Lemma 1 (Polynomially tilted density functions and moment shifts [3]). *Consider a random variable X with moment sequence $(\mu_s)_{s \geq 0}$ and density $f(x)$ with support $[0, \infty)$. Now consider a random variable X_c with $c \in \mathbb{N}$, having a distribution uniquely determined by its moments. Then the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) *Tilted density: X_c is a random variable with density $f_c(x) = \frac{x^c}{\mu_c} \cdot f(x)$.*
- (2) *Shifted moments: X_c is a random variable with moments $\mathbb{E}(X_c^s) = \frac{\mu_{s+c}}{\mu_c}$.*
- (3) *Differentiated moment generating function: X_c satisfies $\mathbb{E}(e^{tX_c}) = \frac{1}{\mu_c} \frac{d^c}{dt^c} \mathbb{E}(e^{tX})$.*

We write $\text{tilt}_c(X) = X_c$ for corresponding tilted random variable.

We also note the following property:

$$\text{tilt}_{c_1}(\text{tilt}_{c_2}(X)) = \text{tilt}_{c_2}(\text{tilt}_{c_1}(X)) = \text{tilt}_{c_1+c_2}(X) = X_{c_1+c_2}. \quad (1)$$

This follows by direct computation, for example

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tilt}_{c_1}(\text{tilt}_{c_2}(f)) &= \text{tilt}_{c_1}\left(\frac{x^{c_2}}{\mu_{c_2}} \cdot f(x)\right) = \frac{x^{c_1+c_2}}{\mu_{c_1+c_2} \cdot \mu_{c_2}} \cdot f(x) \\ &= \frac{x^{c_1+c_2}}{\mu_{c_1+c_2}} \cdot f(x) = \text{tilt}_{c_1+c_2}(f(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1 (Tilt with $c \in \mathbb{R}$). One may extend the equivalence of the properties (1) and (2) to $c \in \mathbb{R}$, assuming that the corresponding moments exist. For non-integer real c property (3) involves the fractional derivative.

Next, we apply the tilt-operator to a random variable arising in the context of a noise reinforced Bessel process of dimension $0 < d < 2$ and with reinforcement parameter $r \in (-\infty, 1/2)$ ¹. Bertoin [9] studied the local time \hat{L}_t of the noise reinforced Bessel process with parameters d and r at level t and obtained various properties of it. This random variable also appears as a limit law in one-sided tree destruction procedures [30, 45], as well as in the context of so-called α -sun distributions [61]. In the following we collect a result on the structure of the moments of \hat{L}_t based on [9].

Lemma 2 (Moments of the local time of a noise reinforced Bessel process). *Let T denote a random variable with raw moments*

$$\mathbb{E}(T^s) = \prod_{j=1}^s j \cdot \frac{\Gamma(j\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + j\beta)} = \Gamma(s+1) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\Gamma(j\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha + j\beta)}.$$

Let $L = \hat{L}_t/\kappa$ denote the scaled local time of the noise reinforced Bessel process with parameters d and r at level t , with scale parameter

$$\kappa = \frac{(2t)^\alpha \cdot \Gamma(1+\alpha)}{(1-2r)^\alpha \cdot \Gamma(1-\alpha)}.$$

Then, $T \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \text{tilt}_1(L)$. The parameters α, β of T are related to the dimension d and the reinforcement parameter r of the process by

$$\alpha = 1 - d/2 \in (0, 1) \text{ and } \beta = \frac{\alpha}{1-2r} > 0, \quad (2)$$

or equivalently

$$d = 2(1-\alpha), \quad r = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}.$$

¹Bertoin uses the letter p for the reinforcement, which is reserved in our work for the periodicity parameter of Pólya-Young urns

We refer the reader to Bertoin [9] and Simon [61] for more properties of \hat{L}_t , as well as T , and a different characterizations of T as an exponential functional [9, Corollary 4.3]. We note that for $\alpha = \beta$, $r = 0$, the random variable $L = \hat{L}_t/\kappa$ reduces to the classical Mittag-Leffler distribution $\text{ML}(\alpha) = S_\alpha^{-\alpha}$, given in terms of a positive stable law of parameter $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, see [12, 18, 19], with moment sequence $\mu_s = \Gamma(s+1)/\Gamma(s\alpha+1)$. The special case $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ leads to a half-normal distribution for L , and thus $T \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \text{tilt}_1(L)$ is given by a Rayleigh law. For the reader's convenience we include the short proof of Lemma 2.

Proof. The identification is directly done using moment sequences appearing in [9] (see also [45]). There, a noise reinforced Bessel process of dimension $0 < d < 2$ and with reinforcement parameter $r \in (-\infty, 1/2)$ was considered. Using the parametrization (2), the local time \hat{L}_t of the noise reinforced Bessel process has power moments (see [9, Theorem 1.2]), which can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}(\hat{L}_t^s) = \kappa(r, t)^s \cdot \frac{1-2r}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \cdot \Gamma(s) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} \frac{\Gamma(j\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+j\beta)}, \quad s \geq 1,$$

where the scale factor $\kappa = \kappa(\alpha, r, t)$ is given by

$$\kappa = \frac{(2t)^\alpha \cdot \Gamma(1+\alpha)}{(1-2r)^\alpha \cdot \Gamma(1-\alpha)}. \quad (3)$$

This implies that the scaled random variable $L = \hat{L}_t/\kappa$ has moment sequence $\mu_s = \mathbb{E}(L^s)$, given by

$$\mu_s = \frac{1-2r}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \cdot \Gamma(s) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{s-1} \frac{\Gamma(j\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+j\beta)}, \quad s \geq 1.$$

We readily observe that the random variable $T = \text{tilt}_1(L)$ has the stated moment sequence $\mathbb{E}(T^s) = \frac{\mu_{s+1}}{\mu_1}$. \square

Next, we add another simple but very useful observation.

Lemma 3. *Given the random variable $T \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \text{tilt}_1(L)$, where L denotes the scaled local time of a noise-reinforced Bessel process (see Lemma 2, satisfying $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{m}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$). Then, the sequence of raw moments of T can be written as a product, ranging from one to m :*

$$\mathbb{E}(T^s) = \Gamma(s+1) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(\frac{j\alpha}{m})}{\Gamma(\frac{(s+j)\alpha}{m})}, \quad s \geq 1.$$

Proof. As the observation was stated in [45] without a proof, we add the simple computations. For $s \leq m$ we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\Gamma(j\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+j\beta)} &= \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha j}{m})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha(m+j)}{m})} = \left(\prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha j}{m})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha(m+j)}{m})} \right) \cdot \prod_{j=s+1}^m \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha j}{m})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha j}{m})} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\frac{\alpha j}{m})}{\left(\prod_{j=1}^s \Gamma(\frac{\alpha(m+j)}{m}) \right) \prod_{k=s+1}^m \Gamma(\frac{\alpha k}{m})}. \end{aligned}$$

Regrouping the denominator gives the stated result. For $s > m$ we have:

$$\prod_{j=1}^s \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha j}{m})}{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha(m+j)}{m})} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(\frac{\alpha j}{m})}{\prod_{j=s+1-m}^s \Gamma(\frac{\alpha(m+j)}{m})} \cdot \frac{\prod_{j=m+1}^s \Gamma(\frac{\alpha j}{m})}{\prod_{j=1}^{s-m} \Gamma(\frac{\alpha(m+j)}{m})}.$$

Shifting the index and cancellation of the latter products gives the desired representation. \square

Finally, we collect a recently discovered distribution [3, 51], which also appeared earlier in the literature [15]. It generalizes the classical Mittag-Leffler distribution, as well as the two-parameter Mittag-Leffler law [24, 31].

Definition 5 (Three-parameter Mittag-Leffler distribution [3, 51]). The *three-parameter Mittag-Leffler distribution* $\text{ML}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is defined as the distribution of the product of independent random variables

$$Z \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} Y \cdot B^\alpha$$

where $Y \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \text{tilt}_{\beta/\alpha}(S_\alpha^{-\alpha})$ denotes a moment-tilted positive stable law of parameter $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $B \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \text{Beta}(\beta, \gamma)$, with $\beta > 0$, and $\gamma \geq 0$.

We note that the three-parameter Mittag-Leffler distribution $\text{ML}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, also has the following moments of order s (for $s > 0$):

$$\mathbb{E}(Z^s) = \frac{\Gamma\left(s + \frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right) \Gamma(\beta + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha s + \beta + \gamma) \Gamma\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)}. \quad (4)$$

3. ANALYSIS OF GENERALIZED PÓLYA-YOUNG URNS

Throughout this work we use discrete martingales, a classical method used in the analysis of urn models [25, 26, 27, 36, 44, 52]. We refer the reader to the book of Williams [63] for a gentle introduction to this topic and to the book of Hall and Heyde [28] for more advanced content.

3.1. Martingale structure and moments. First, we emphasize that the total number of balls T_N in a Pólya-Young urn at time $N = np + k$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $0 \leq k \leq p - 1$ is given by

$$T_N = w_0 + b_0 + (n(p-1) + k)\sigma + n(\sigma + \ell) = n(p\sigma + \ell) + k\sigma + w_0 + b_0. \quad (5)$$

Theorem 4 (Distribution, martingale and almost sure limit). *The s th rising factorial moments of the random variable W_N , counting the number of white balls in a generalized Pólya-Young urn, are given by*

$$\mathbb{E}((W_N/\sigma)^{\bar{s}}) = (w_0/\sigma)^{\bar{s}} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{T_j + s\sigma}{T_j}.$$

The probability mass function of W_N/σ is determined by the probability generating function, given by

$$\mathbb{E}(v^{W_N/\sigma}) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{(v-1)^s}{s} \sum_{r=0}^s L_{s,r} (-1)^{s-r} (w_0/\sigma)^{\bar{r}} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{T_j + r\sigma}{T_j},$$

where $L_{s,r} = \binom{s}{r} \frac{(s-1)!}{(r-1)!}$ denote the Lah numbers. Let $N = np + k$, $0 \leq k \leq p$ and let $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$g_N = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{T_j}{T_j + \sigma}, \quad g_N \sim \kappa \cdot N^{-\Lambda}, \quad \kappa = p^\Lambda \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma\psi} + \frac{1}{\psi}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma\psi}\right)}, \quad (6)$$

with $\psi = p + \ell/\sigma$ and $\Lambda = \frac{p}{\psi} = \frac{p}{p + \ell/\sigma}$.

The random variable $\mathcal{W}_N = g_N \cdot W_N$ is a non-negative martingale and converges almost surely to a limit \mathcal{W}_∞ . Furthermore, the random variable W_N/N^Λ satisfies

$$W_N/N^\Lambda \rightarrow \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathcal{W}_\infty.$$

Remark 2 (Pólya-Young urns and Pólya urns). For $\ell \rightarrow 0$ the Pólya-Young urn models degenerate to ordinary Pólya urns. Similarly, for $p = 1$ we also reobtain the Pólya urns. One readily may perform a sanity check: for $\ell \rightarrow 0$ we have $\psi \rightarrow p$, as well as $\Lambda \rightarrow 1$. Additionally, in Theorem 5 we observe that for $\ell \rightarrow 0$ we re-obtain the classical Beta limit law of ordinary Pólya urns.

Remark 3 (Universality of explicit expressions: triangular models). Interestingly, the explicit expressions persist for a much more general class of urn models. Following [5] we introduce generalized Young–Pólya urns of period p , balance σ and parameters $\ell_j \geq 0$, with replacement matrices

$$M_j = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \ell_j \\ 0 & \sigma + \ell_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p.$$

For all such urns Theorem 4 stays true, except for the formula for T_N and the resulting asymptotic expansion of g_N . This can be directly seen from the proof of Theorem 4, as the parameters ℓ_j only change the value of T_N . Similarly, the methods applied subsequently for the proof of Theorem 5 can be used to obtain limit laws for this general class.

Remark 4 (Universality of the martingale structure). We emphasize that the martingale structure persists for even much more general class. Following [5] we introduce generalized Young–Pólya urns of period p , balances $\sigma_j > 0$ and parameters $\ell_j \geq 0$, with replacement matrices

$$M_j = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_j & \ell_j \\ 0 & \sigma_j + \ell_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p.$$

For all such urns we can define a value g_N such that $\mathcal{W}_N = g_N \cdot W_N$ is a non-negative martingale. However, the moments turn out to be more complicated compared to Theorem 4.

The limit law \mathcal{W}_∞ and the closely related limit law of $W_N \cdot N^{-\Lambda}$ are readily obtained using the explicit expressions of the rising factorial moments. Similar to [5], we the limit law is related to the Beta distribution and generalized Gamma random variables.

Theorem 5 (Moments of the limit law, density and characterization). *The raw moments of W_N/N^Λ converge for $N \rightarrow \infty$. For $s \geq 1$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}(W_N^s / \sigma^s N^{s\Lambda}) \rightarrow \mu_s = \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{w_0}{\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0}{\sigma})} \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma} + \frac{s}{\psi})}.$$

The limit law $\frac{1}{\sigma\kappa} \mathcal{W}_\infty$ is uniquely determined by its moment sequence (μ_s) . It has a density $f(x)$ given by

$$f(x) = \frac{\prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0}{\sigma})} \cdot \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^j}{j! \prod_{\ell=0}^{p-1} \Gamma(\frac{\ell}{\psi} + \frac{b_0}{\psi\sigma} - \frac{j}{\psi})} x^{j + w_0/\sigma - 1}, \quad x > 0.$$

For $\ell/\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$, the limit law can be decomposed into a product of a Beta law and generalized Gamma distributions, all being mutually independent:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma\kappa} \mathcal{W}_\infty = \psi^\psi B\left(\frac{w_0}{\sigma}, \frac{b_0}{\sigma}\right) \cdot \prod_{\ell=p}^{\psi-1} GG\left(\frac{w_0 + b_0 + \ell\sigma}{\sigma}, \psi\right).$$

In the general case the random variable can be presented in terms of the scaled local time L of a noise-reinforced Bessel process, of dimension $d = \frac{2\ell}{p\sigma + \ell}$ and reinforcement parameter $r = -\frac{p-1}{2}$, and a Beta distribution:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma\kappa} \mathcal{W}_\infty = B\left(\frac{w_0}{\sigma}, \frac{b_0}{\sigma}\right) \cdot \text{tilt}_{\frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma}}(L).$$

Proof of Theorem 4. Our analysis follows [36, 44, 52]. We note first that for arbitrary $N = np + k \geq 1$

$$\mathbb{E}(W_N | \mathcal{F}_{N-1}) = \mathbb{E}(W_{N-1} + \Delta_N | \mathcal{F}_{N-1}) = W_{N-1} + \sigma \frac{W_{N-1}}{T_{N-1}} = \frac{T_{N-1} + \sigma}{T_{N-1}} \cdot W_{N-1}.$$

Interestingly, the nature of the particular urn - triangular or diagonal - is solely hidden in T_{N-1} . Thus, the random variable $\mathcal{W}_N := g_N \cdot W_N$ satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{W}_N | \mathcal{F}_{N-1}) = g_N \mathbb{E}(W_N | \mathcal{F}_{N-1}) = g_N \frac{T_{N-1} + \sigma}{T_{N-1}} \cdot W_{N-1} = g_{N-1} W_{N-1} = \mathcal{W}_{N-1}, \quad (7)$$

proving the martingale structure. In order to obtain explicit expressions for the moments and the probability mass function we proceed by using again the martingale structure in another way. We consider the quantity $\binom{W_N/\sigma + s - 1}{s}$. First, we note that

$$\frac{W_N}{\sigma} = \frac{W_{N-1} + \Delta_N}{\sigma} = \frac{W_{N-1}}{\sigma} + \delta_N,$$

where δ_N is supported on $\{0, 1\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\left(\binom{\frac{W_N}{\sigma} + s - 1}{s} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{N-1}\right) &= \mathbb{E}\left(\binom{\frac{W_{N-1}}{\sigma} + s - 1}{s}\right) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{E}\left(\binom{\frac{W_{N-1}}{\sigma} + \delta_N + s - 1}{s} - \binom{\frac{W_{N-1}}{\sigma} + s - 1}{s} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{N-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By the basic identity

$$\binom{c}{s} = \binom{c-1}{s} + \binom{c-1}{s-1},$$

we get further

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\binom{\frac{W_N}{\sigma} + s - 1}{s} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{N-1}\right) = \binom{\frac{W_{N-1}}{\sigma} + s - 1}{s} + \frac{W_{N-1}}{T_{N-1}} \binom{\frac{W_{N-1}}{\sigma} + s - 1}{s-1}.$$

Further simplifications give

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\binom{\frac{W_N}{\sigma} + s - 1}{s} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{N-1}\right) = \frac{T_{N-1} + s\sigma}{T_{N-1}} \binom{\frac{W_{N-1}}{\sigma} + s - 1}{s}.$$

Taking expectations gives the recurrence relation

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\binom{\frac{W_N}{\sigma} + s - 1}{s}\right) = \frac{T_{N-1} + s\sigma}{T_{N-1}} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left(\binom{\frac{W_{N-1}}{\sigma} + s - 1}{s}\right), \quad N \geq 1,$$

leading to the result

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\binom{\frac{W_N}{\sigma} + s - 1}{s}\right) = \binom{\frac{w_0}{\sigma} + s - 1}{s} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{T_j + s\sigma}{T_j}.$$

Turning to rising factorials, we get

$$\mathbb{E}((W_N/\sigma)^{\bar{s}}) = (w_0/\sigma)^{\bar{s}} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{T_j + s\sigma}{T_j}.$$

Finally, we turn to the probability mass function of W_N . The probability generating function of W_N/σ , expanded around $v = 1$, is given by

$$\mathbb{E}(v^{W_N/\sigma}) = \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{\mathbb{E}((W_N/\sigma)^{\bar{s}})}{s!} (v-1)^s.$$

We convert the falling to the rising factorials and use the binomial theorem. We get

$$x^{\underline{s}} = (x - (s-1))^{\overline{s}} = \sum_{r=0}^s \binom{s}{r} x^{\overline{r}} (-s+1)^{\overline{s-r}} = \sum_{r=0}^s \binom{s}{r} x^{\overline{r}} (-1)^{s-r} \frac{(s-1)!}{(r-1)!}.$$

The number $L_{s,r} = \binom{s}{r} \frac{(s-1)!}{(r-1)!}$ are also known as the Lah numbers, leading to the stated result.

Finally, we turn to the asymptotics of g_N . From (5) we observe that

$$T_N/\sigma = n(p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma}) + k + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma}, \quad N = np + k, \quad 0 \leq k \leq p-1.$$

This gives for $N = np + k$, where we use the shorthand notation $\psi = p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma}$.

$$\begin{aligned} g_N &= \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{j(p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma}) + r + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma}}{j(p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma}) + r + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma} + 1} \right) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{n(p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma}) + r + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma}}{n(p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma}) + r + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma} + 1} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{j + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma\psi}}{j + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma\psi} + \frac{1}{\psi}} \right) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma\psi}}{n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma\psi} + \frac{1}{\psi}}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Writing the products in terms of the Gamma function gives

$$g_N = \left(\prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma\psi}) \Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma\psi} + \frac{1}{\psi})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma\psi}) \Gamma(n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma\psi} + \frac{1}{\psi})} \right) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma\psi}}{n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma\psi} + \frac{1}{\psi}}. \quad (9)$$

Using Stirling's formula for the Gamma function

$$\Gamma(z) = \left(\frac{z}{e}\right)^z \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{z}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{12z} + \frac{1}{288z^2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{z^3}\right)\right), \quad (10)$$

we obtain the asymptotics stated in Theorem 1, noting that $n^{-\Lambda} \sim p^\Lambda N^{-\Lambda}$. Finally, we note that by the asymptotics of g_N from Theorem 4 the almost sure convergence of W_N/N^Λ follows. \square

Next we turn to the moments of the limit law and its identification.

Proof of Theorem 5. Our starting point for the moments is the expression for the rising factorial moments of Theorem 4. We convert the raw moments to the rising factorial moments using the Stirling numbers of the second kind $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} s \\ r \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$,

$$x^s = \sum_{r=0}^s \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} s \\ r \end{smallmatrix} \right\} (-1)^{s-r} x^{\overline{r}}. \quad (11)$$

This implies that

$$\mathbb{E}(W_N^s) = \sigma^s \cdot \sum_{r=0}^s \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} s \\ r \end{smallmatrix} \right\} (-1)^{s-r} \mathbb{E}((W_N/\sigma)^{\overline{r}}). \quad (12)$$

Next we determine the asymptotics of the rising factorials, similar to the asymptotics of g_N . Neglecting the factor $(w_0/\sigma)^{\overline{s}}$, we obtain for $N = np + k$

$$\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{T_j + s\sigma}{T_j} = \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{j(p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma}) + r + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma} + s}{j(p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma}) + r + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma}} \right) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{n(p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma}) + r + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma} + s}{n(p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma}) + r + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma}}.$$

We write again $\psi = (p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma})$ and write the products in terms of Gamma functions.

$$\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{T_j + s\sigma}{T_j} = \left(\prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma} + \frac{s}{\psi}) \Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma} + \frac{s}{\psi}) \Gamma(n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma})} \right) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma} + \frac{s}{\psi}}{n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma}}.$$

Stirling's formula (10) leads to

$$\mathbb{E}((W_N/\sigma)^{\bar{s}}) = (w_0/\sigma)^{\bar{s}} \cdot n^{s\Lambda} \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\psi\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\psi\sigma} + \frac{s}{\psi})}$$

Thus, by (12) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n^{s\Lambda}} \mathbb{E}(W_N^s) \sim \mu_s = \sigma^s \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{w_0}{\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0}{\sigma})} \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\psi\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\psi\sigma} + \frac{s}{\psi})}. \quad (13)$$

The existence of a distribution, uniquely described by its moment sequence (μ_s) , is readily checked by using Carleman's criterion [11, pp. 189–220]. We apply Stirling's formula (10) to the moment sequence, leading to

$$\mu_s^{-\frac{1}{2s}} \sim \psi^{\frac{p}{2\psi}} \left(\frac{e}{s}\right)^{\frac{1-p/\psi}{2}},$$

as s tends to infinity. We obtain further

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu_s^{1/(2s)}} = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \psi^{\frac{p}{2\psi}} \left(\frac{e}{s}\right)^{\frac{1-p/\psi}{2}} = \infty, \quad (14)$$

so Carleman's criterion is satisfied, as $1 - \frac{p}{\psi} < 1$. The convergence of W_N/N^Λ to a limit law follows by the Fréchet-Shohat moment convergence theorem [21], as by (13) as integer moments converge.

Next, we obtain the density function $f(x)$ of the limit law. Recall that by analytic continuation the reciprocal gamma function $1/\Gamma(z)$ is an entire function, with zeros at $z \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ is never zero and its only singularities are simple poles at the negative integers. Therefore μ_s , considered as a complex function of s , has simple poles at $\rho_j = -\frac{w_0}{\sigma} - j$, $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; Then, as μ_{s-1} is the Mellin transform of the density $f(x)$ of $\mathcal{W}_\infty/\kappa$, an inverse Mellin computation² implies that $f(x)$ is expressible in terms of the residues of μ_s :

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\sigma-i\infty}^{\sigma+i\infty} \mu_{s-1} x^{-s} ds = \sum_{\substack{\sigma_j = 1 + \rho_j \\ \sigma_j < \sigma}} \text{Res}_{s=\sigma_j} (\mu_{s-1}) x^{-\sigma_j},$$

which is valid for $x > 0$, and where $\sigma = 1 + \rho_0 + \epsilon$ is in the fundamental strip of μ_{s-1} , and

$$\text{Res}_{s=\sigma_j} (\mu_{s-1}) = \text{Res}_{s=\rho_j} (\mu_s) = \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0}{\sigma})} \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\psi\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{b_0}{\psi\sigma} - \frac{j}{\psi})}.$$

Summing for $j \geq 0$ gives the stated density function.

Next, we provide a representation of the limit law. We determine a product formula for the limit law based on its moments in the special case when $\ell/\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\psi = p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma} \in \mathbb{N}$. First, we convert the moments into a different structure, the extended full product (compare with the discussion in [5]) using the multiplicative formula for the Gamma function:

$$\prod_{k=0}^{m-1} \Gamma\left(z + \frac{k}{m}\right) = (2\pi)^{\frac{m-1}{2}} m^{\frac{1}{2}-mz} \Gamma(mz).$$

We use

$$\prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\psi\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\psi\sigma} + \frac{s}{\psi})} = \left(\prod_{r=0}^{\psi-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\psi\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\psi\sigma} + \frac{s}{\psi})} \right) \cdot \prod_{r=p}^{\psi-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\psi\sigma} + \frac{s}{\psi})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\psi\sigma})}.$$

²See [20, Appendix B.7] for more details on this method; see also [35, Theorem 6.9] and [35, Equation (6.12)] for similar results on the class of functions with moments of Gamma type.

Let

$$z_1 = \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma}, \quad z_2 = \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma} + \frac{s}{\psi}.$$

We have

$$\prod_{r=0}^{\psi-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{r}{p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma}} + z_j\right) = (2\pi)^{\frac{\psi-1}{2}} \psi^{\frac{1}{2} - \psi z_j} \Gamma(\psi z_j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq 2.$$

This implies that

$$\mu_s = \sigma^s \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{w_0}{\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0}{\sigma})} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(w_0 + b_0)}{\Gamma(w_0 + b_0 + s\sigma)} \psi^{s\psi} \prod_{r=p}^{\psi-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\psi\sigma} + \frac{s}{\psi})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\psi\sigma})}.$$

The factor

$$\frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{w_0}{\sigma})\Gamma(\frac{w_0+b_0}{\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0}{\sigma})\Gamma(\frac{w_0+b_0}{\sigma} + s)}$$

is readily identified as a Beta-distribution. Since the product of independent random variables satisfy $\mathbb{E}((X_1 \cdot X_2)^s) = \mathbb{E}(X_1^s)\mathbb{E}(X_2^s)$, the decomposition into an independent Beta law and generalized Gamma distributions with parameters $a = \frac{w_0+b_0+r\sigma}{\sigma}$ and $b = \psi$ follows, leading to the stated result.

Finally, we turn to the general case and we use the random variable T of Lemma 3:

$$\mathbb{E}(T^s) = \Gamma(s+1) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(\frac{j\alpha}{m})}{\Gamma(\frac{(s+j)\alpha}{m})}, \quad s \geq 1.$$

We set $\alpha = p/\psi = p/(p + \ell/\sigma)$, where we readily observe that $0 < \alpha < 1$. Next we use $m = p$, such that $\beta = \alpha/p = \frac{1}{\psi}$, and obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(T^s) = \Gamma(s+1) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\Gamma(\frac{j}{\psi})}{\Gamma(\frac{(s+j)}{\psi})}, \quad s \geq 1.$$

We tilt the moment sequence by $\frac{w_0+b_0}{\sigma} - 1$ to obtain the sequence

$$\frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0+b_0}{\sigma})} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\Gamma(\frac{j-1}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\sigma\psi})}{\Gamma(\frac{(s+j-1)}{\psi} + \frac{w_0+b_0}{\sigma\psi})}, \quad s \geq 1.$$

Finally, in order to obtain the sequence (μ_s) , we use a Beta distribution with parameters $\frac{w_0}{\sigma}$ and $\frac{b_0}{\sigma}$ and Beta-Gamma algebra, noting that Beta acts as a shift on the moments of a Gamma distribution. At last, we note that by (1)

$$\text{tilt}_{\frac{w_0+b_0}{\sigma}-1}(T) = \text{tilt}_{\frac{w_0+b_0}{\sigma}-1}(\text{tilt}_1(L)) = \text{tilt}_{\frac{w_0+b_0}{\sigma}}(L),$$

leading to the stated result. \square

3.2. Second order asymptotics: martingale tail sums. Given a martingale (\mathcal{W}_N) converging almost surely to a random variable \mathcal{W}_∞ . The sequence $(\mathcal{W}_N - \mathcal{W}_\infty)$, quantifying the difference between the random variable and its almost sure limit is called a martingale tail sum. A classical result for urn models is the central limit theorem and the law of the iterated logarithm by Heyde [29] for the martingale tail sum in the original Pólya urn model; see also [28]. Also, a central limit theorem for triangular urns is in the functional limit theorems in [26]. The following proposition on martingale tail sums is essentially a restatement of the results of Heyde [29] (compare also with [47]).

Proposition 1. *Let $Z_n, n \geq 0$, be a zero-mean, L_2 -bounded martingale with respect to a filtration $\mathcal{G}_n, n \geq 0$. Let $X_n = Z_n - Z_{n-1}, n \geq 1, X_0 := 0$, and $s_n^2 = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_i^2)$.*

Denote Z the almost sure limit of Z_n . Assume that, for some non-zero and finite random variable η , we have, almost surely,

$$s_n^{-2} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_i^2 | \mathcal{G}_{i-1}) \rightarrow \eta^2, \quad (15)$$

and, for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$s_n^{-2} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_i^2 \mathbb{I}|X_i| \geq \varepsilon s_n) \rightarrow 0. \quad (16)$$

Then,

$$(\eta s_n)^{-1}(Z_n - Z) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}, \quad s_n^{-1}(Z_n - Z) \rightarrow \eta' \mathcal{N}, \quad (17)$$

in distribution, where η' and \mathcal{N} are independent and η' is distributed like η . If

- L1.** $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i^{-1} \mathbb{E}[|X_i| \mathbb{I}|X_i| \geq \varepsilon s_i] < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$,
- L2.** $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} s_i^{-4} \mathbb{E}[X_i^4] < \infty$,

then, almost surely,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Z_n - Z}{\eta s_n \sqrt{2 \log \log s_n^{-1}}} = 1, \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Z_n - Z}{\eta s_n \sqrt{2 \log \log s_n^{-1}}} = -1.$$

In the following we study the fluctuations of the scaled number of white balls $\mathcal{W}_N = g_N W_N$ in Pólya-Young urns around their almost sure limit \mathcal{W}_∞ and provide a central limit theorem. In view of Proposition 1 we set

$$Z_N = \mathcal{W}_N - \mathcal{W}_0, \quad X_N = Z_N - Z_{N-1} = \mathcal{W}_N - \mathcal{W}_{N-1}, \quad (18)$$

and study the properties of X_N . In the following we collect the asymptotic expansions of the conditional and unconditional expected value of the second moment X_N^2 .

Lemma 6. *The second moment of $X_N = \mathcal{W}_N - \mathcal{W}_{N-1}$ satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{N+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_N) \sim N^{-\Lambda-1} \sigma^2 \kappa \cdot \mathcal{W}_\infty, \quad \mathbb{E}(X_{N+1}^2) \sim N^{-\Lambda-1} \sigma^2 \kappa \cdot \mathcal{W}_0.$$

and

$$s_N^2 \sim N^{-\Lambda} \frac{\sigma^2}{\Lambda} \kappa \cdot \mathcal{W}_0.$$

Proof. For the sake of convenience, we study $X_{N+1} = \mathcal{W}_{N+1} - \mathcal{W}_N$. We obtain

$$X_{N+1} = g_{N+1} \left(\left(\frac{1}{g_N} - \frac{1}{g_{N+1}} \right) \mathcal{W}_N + \Delta_{N+1} \right). \quad (19)$$

By the dynamics of the urn we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X_{N+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_N) &= g_{N+1}^2 \left(\left(\frac{1}{g_N} - \frac{1}{g_{N+1}} \right) \mathcal{W}_N \right)^2 \cdot \frac{B_N}{T_N} \\ &\quad + g_{N+1}^2 \left(\left(\frac{1}{g_N} - \frac{1}{g_{N+1}} \right) \mathcal{W}_N + \sigma \right)^2 \frac{W_N}{T_N}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $B_N = T_N - W_N$, as well as $W_N = \mathcal{W}_N / g_N$. Simplification gives

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{N+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_N) = g_{N+1}^2 \left(\frac{1}{g_N} - \frac{1}{g_{N+1}} \right)^2 \mathcal{W}_N^2 \quad (20)$$

$$+ 2\sigma \frac{g_{N+1}^2}{g_N} \left(\frac{1}{g_N} - \frac{1}{g_{N+1}} \right) \cdot \frac{\mathcal{W}_N^2}{T_N} + \frac{g_{N+1}^2}{g_N} \sigma^2 \frac{W_N}{T_N}. \quad (21)$$

Concerning asymptotics, we know that g_N is of order $N^{-\Lambda}$ (6), and we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{g_N} - \frac{1}{g_{N+1}} \right) = \mathcal{O}(n^{\Lambda-1}). \quad (22)$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{N+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_N) \sim N^{-\Lambda-1} \sigma^2 \kappa \cdot \mathcal{W}_\infty.$$

For the expected value we turn again to (20) and take expectations. By the tower property of the expected value and the martingale property for \mathcal{W}_N , such that

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{W}_N) = \mathbb{E}(\mathcal{W}_0) = g_0 W_0 = W_0,$$

we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{N+1}^2) \sim N^{-\Lambda-1} \sigma^2 \kappa \cdot W_0. \quad \square$$

Next, we study the fourth moment of X_N .

Lemma 7. *The fourth moment of $X_N = \mathcal{W}_N - \mathcal{W}_{N-1}$ satisfies*

$$\mathbb{E}(X_{N+1}^4) \sim N^{-3\Lambda-1} \kappa^3 \sigma^4 W_0.$$

Proof. From (19) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X_{N+1}^4 | \mathcal{F}_N) &= g_{N+1}^4 \left(\left(\frac{1}{g_N} - \frac{1}{g_{N+1}} \right) \mathcal{W}_N \right)^4 \cdot \frac{T_N - W_N}{T_N} \\ &\quad + g_{N+1}^4 \left(\left(\frac{1}{g_N} - \frac{1}{g_{N+1}} \right) \mathcal{W}_N + \sigma \right)^4 \frac{W_N}{T_N}. \end{aligned}$$

We simplify using the binomial theorem and apply the expansions (6) and (22). This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(X_{N+1}^4 | \mathcal{F}_N) &= g_{N+1}^4 \left(\left(\frac{1}{g_N} - \frac{1}{g_{N+1}} \right) \mathcal{W}_N \right)^4 \\ &\quad + \frac{g_{N+1}^4}{g_N} \frac{W_N}{T_N} \sigma^4 + \mathcal{O}(N^{-2\Lambda-2}), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to the stated asymptotics of the expected value using the expansion (6). \square

We state the main result of this section, a central limit theorem for the martingale tail sum of the number of white balls.

Theorem 8. *Let W_N be the number of white balls at time N in a generalized Pólya-Young urn. Then, $\mathcal{W}_N = g_N W_N$ is an almost surely convergent martingale. In distribution, we obtain the central limit theorem for the martingale tail sum $\mathcal{W}_N - \mathcal{W}_\infty$:*

$$N^{\frac{\Lambda}{2}} \frac{\beta}{\eta} (\mathcal{W}_N - \mathcal{W}_\infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{N},$$

with $\beta_N = \frac{\sqrt{\Lambda}}{\sigma \sqrt{\kappa}}$ and $\eta = \sqrt{\mathcal{W}_\infty}$. Moreover, it holds almost surely that

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{W}_N - \mathcal{W}_\infty}{\eta N^{\frac{\Lambda}{2}} \beta \sqrt{2 \log \log N^{\frac{\Lambda}{2}} \beta}} = 1, \quad \liminf_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{W}_\infty}{\eta N^{\frac{\Lambda}{2}} \beta \sqrt{2 \log \log N^{\frac{\Lambda}{2}} \beta}} = -1.$$

Proof. We begin to check condition (16) and use Lemma 7:

$$\mathbb{E}(X_i^2 \mathbb{I}_{|X_i| \geq \varepsilon s_N}) = \int_{\Omega} X_i^2 (\mathbb{I}_{|X_i| \geq \varepsilon s_N}) d\mathbb{P} = \int_{|X_i| \geq \varepsilon s_N} X_i^2 d\mathbb{P} \leq \int_{|X_i| \geq \varepsilon s_N} \frac{X_i^4}{\varepsilon^2 s_N^2} d\mathbb{P} \quad (23)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 s_N^2} \int_{\Omega} X_i^4 d\mathbb{P} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2 s_N^2} \mathbb{E}(X_i^4) \sim \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} N^{-2\Lambda-1} \kappa^3 \frac{\sigma^2 \kappa}{\Lambda}, \quad (24)$$

for $i \geq N$. This implies that

$$\frac{1}{s_N^2} \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_i^2 \mathbb{I}_{|X_i| \geq \varepsilon s_N})$$

is of order $N^{-2\Lambda} \cdot N^\Lambda = N^{-\Lambda}$ and tends to zero for $N \rightarrow \infty$. Concerning condition (15) we use Lemma 6 and obtain

$$s_N^2 \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}(X_{N+1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_N) \rightarrow W_0 W_\infty = \eta^2.$$

Next we check the conditions **L1.** and **L2.** For **L2.** we combine Lemmata 6 and 7. As

$$s_N^{-4} \mathbb{E}(X_N^4) = \mathcal{O}(N^{-\Lambda-1}),$$

the sum is convergent. For **L1.** we proceed similarly to the proof of condition (16):

$$\mathbb{E}(|X_i| \mathbb{I}|X_i| \geq \varepsilon s_i) = \int_{\Omega} |X_i| (\mathbb{I}|X_i| \geq \varepsilon s_i) d\mathbb{P} \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|X_i|^4}{\varepsilon^3 s_i^3} d\mathbb{P} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3 s_i^3} \mathbb{E}(X_i^4).$$

By the asymptotics of s_N and $\mathbb{E}(X_N^4)$ from Lemmata 6 and 7 we note that $\frac{\mathbb{E}(X_i^4)}{s_i^4}$ is of order $i^{-1-3\Lambda} \cdot i^{2\Lambda} = i^{-1-\Lambda}$, proving **L1.** \square

4. PÓLYA-YOUNG URNS WITH MULTIPLE COLORS

Definition 6 (Multicolor Pólya-Young urns). For period p , balance σ and parameter $\ell \geq 0$ we introduce Pólya-Young urns with $t \geq 2$ different types (colors):

$$M_1 = \dots = M_{p-1} = \text{diag}(\sigma, \dots, \sigma), \quad M_j = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \dots & 0 & \ell \\ 0 & \sigma & 0 \dots & \ell \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \sigma + \ell \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p.$$

Let $W_{N,\ell}$ denote the number of balls of type ℓ , $1 \leq \ell \leq t$ at time N , with $T_N = \sum_{\ell=1}^t W_{N,\ell}$. We are interested in the distribution of the random vector

$$\mathbf{W}_N = (W_{N,1}, \dots, W_{N,t-1})$$

both for fixed $N \geq 1$, as well as in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.

4.1. Explicit Moments and limit law. In our to obtain the mixed moments of \mathbf{W}_N we use again martingales. Given positive integers s_1, \dots, s_{t-1} , we use the shorthand notation $\mathbf{W}_{N,\mathbf{s}}$ for the product

$$\mathbf{W}_{N,\mathbf{s}} = \prod_{\ell=1}^{t-1} \binom{\frac{W_{N,\ell}}{\sigma} + s_\ell - 1}{s_\ell} = \prod_{\ell=1}^{t-1} \frac{(W_{N,\ell}/\sigma)^{\overline{s_\ell}}}{s_\ell!}.$$

Lemma 9. Let $g_{N,\mathbf{s}} = \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \left(\frac{T_k}{T_k + \sigma \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} s_\ell} \right)$. The random variable $g_{N,\mathbf{s}} \mathbf{W}_{N,\mathbf{s}}$ is a non-negative martingale.

Proof. By definition of the urn process, only one of the random variables can increase by σ after a draw. Consequently, only a single random variable $\frac{W_{N,\ell}}{\sigma}$ may increase by one. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{W}_{N,\mathbf{s}} | \mathcal{F}_{N-1}) &= \mathbf{W}_{N-1,\mathbf{s}} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} \binom{\frac{W_{N,\ell}}{\sigma} + s_\ell - 1}{s_\ell - 1} \frac{W_{N-1,\ell}}{T_{N-1}} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq \ell}}^{t-1} \binom{\frac{W_{N,\ell}}{\sigma} + s_j - 1}{s_j} \\ &= \mathbf{W}_{N-1,\mathbf{s}} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} \binom{\frac{W_{N,\ell}}{\sigma} + s_\ell - 1}{s_\ell - 1} \frac{\mathbf{W}_{N-1,\mathbf{s}}}{\binom{\frac{W_{N,\ell}}{\sigma} + s_\ell - 1}{s_\ell}} \cdot \sigma \cdot \frac{W_{N-1,\ell}}{T_{N-1}} \\ &= \mathbf{W}_{N-1,\mathbf{s}} \left(1 + \frac{\sigma \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} s_\ell}{T_{N-1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This directly leads to the stated martingale structure. \square

From the martingale structure we directly obtain the mixed rising factorial moments and then the limit law.

Theorem 10. *The mixed rising factorial moments of $W_{N,1}, \dots, W_{N,t-1}$ are given by*

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{t-1} (W_{N,\ell}/\sigma)^{\overline{s_\ell}}\right) = \frac{1}{g_{N,\mathbf{s}}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{t-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{w_{0,\ell}}{\sigma} + s_\ell)}{\Gamma(\frac{w_{0,\ell}}{\sigma})}.$$

The scaled random variables $W_{N,1}/(\sigma N^\Lambda), \dots, W_{N,t-1}/(\sigma N^\Lambda)$ jointly converge to a limit law, determined by its moment sequence

$$\mu_{s_1, \dots, s_{t-1}} = \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{t-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{w_{0,\ell}}{\sigma} + s_\ell)}{\Gamma(\frac{w_{0,\ell}}{\sigma})} \right) \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^t w_{0,\ell}}{\psi\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^t w_{0,\ell}}{\psi\sigma} + \frac{s_1 + \dots + s_{t-1}}{\psi})}.$$

For $\ell/\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$, the distribution of the limit law is given by a product of a Dirichlet distribution and independent generalized Gamma distributions,

$$(D_1 \cdot G, \dots, D_{t-1} \cdot G), \quad \text{where } (D_1, \dots, D_t) = \text{Dir}\left(\frac{w_{0,1}}{\sigma}, \dots, \frac{w_{0,t}}{\sigma}\right)$$

and G denotes a product of generalized Gamma distributions

$$G = \prod_{\ell=p}^{\psi-1} GG\left(\frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^t w_{0,\ell} + \ell\sigma}{\sigma}, \psi\right).$$

In the general case, the distribution of the limit law is given by a Dirichlet distribution and the scaled local time L of a noise-reinforced Bessel process of dimension $d = \frac{2\ell}{p\sigma + \ell}$ and reinforcement parameter $r = -\frac{p-1}{2}$:

$$(D_1 \cdot G, \dots, D_{t-1} \cdot G),$$

where

$$(D_1, \dots, D_t) = \text{Dir}\left(\frac{w_{0,1}}{\sigma}, \dots, \frac{w_{0,t}}{\sigma}\right), \quad \text{and } G = \text{tilt}_{\frac{\sum_{\ell=1}^t w_{0,\ell}}{\sigma}}(L).$$

Proof. Our proof strategy follows closely the proofs of Theorems 4 and 5. First, we observe the obvious fact that the total number of balls (5) has the form

$$T_N = (n(p-1) + k)\sigma + n(\sigma + \ell) + \sum_{j=1}^t w_{0,j} = n(p\sigma + \ell) + k\sigma + \sum_{j=1}^t w_{0,j}. \quad (25)$$

From Lemma 9 and the tower property of expectations we readily obtain a recurrence relation for $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{W}_{N,\mathbf{s}})$:

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{W}_{N,\mathbf{s}}) = \frac{T_{N-1} + \sigma \sum_{\ell=1}^{t-1} s_\ell}{T_{N-1}} \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{W}_{N-1,\mathbf{s}}), \quad N \geq 1.$$

From this recurrence relation we directly get an explicit expression for $\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{W}_{N,\mathbf{s}})$,

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbf{W}_{N,\mathbf{s}}) = \frac{1}{g_{N,\mathbf{s}}} \mathbf{W}_{0,\mathbf{s}}.$$

Next, we translate the result to rising factorials, obtaining the stated formula for the moments. By (11) the raw mixed moments are expressed in terms of the rising factorial moments. An application of Stirling's formula (10) leads then to moment convergence:

$$\mathbb{E}(W_{N,1}^{s_1}/(\sigma N^\Lambda)^{s_1}, \dots, W_{N,t-1}^{s_{t-1}}/(\sigma N^\Lambda)^{s_{t-1}}) \rightarrow \mu_{s_1, \dots, s_{t-1}},$$

for $s_1, \dots, s_{t-1} \geq 0$. In order to identify the moments we first note that the appearance of the sum $\sum_{j=1}^{t-1} s_j$ indicates a common factor in the limit law. Following the proof of Theorem 5 step by step this factor leads either to a product of generalized Gamma distributed random variables or to the local time of a Bessel process. Finally, we look at

the moments of a Dirichlet distribution $(D_1, \dots, D_t) = \text{Dir}(\frac{w_{0,1}}{\sigma}, \dots, \frac{w_{0,t}}{\sigma})$, which are given by

$$\mathbb{E}(D_1^{s_1} \cdots D_{t-1}^{s_{t-1}}) = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^t \frac{w_{0,j}}{\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_{0,t}}{\sigma} + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} (\frac{w_{0,j}}{\sigma} + s_j))} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{\Gamma(s_i + \frac{w_{0,i}}{\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_{0,i}}{\sigma})}.$$

In the case of $p + \ell/\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$ we directly observe the moments of the Dirichlet distribution, whereas in the general case we simply multiply with

$$1 = \frac{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^t \frac{w_{0,j}}{\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_{0,t}}{\sigma} + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} (\frac{w_{0,j}}{\sigma} + s_j))} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{w_{0,t}}{\sigma} + \sum_{j=1}^{t-1} (\frac{w_{0,j}}{\sigma} + s_j))}{\Gamma(\sum_{j=1}^t \frac{w_{0,j}}{\sigma})}$$

to observe the stated decomposition. \square

5. INCREASING TREE FAMILIES WITH PERIODIC IMMIGRATION

5.1. Introduction to increasing tree families. Increasing trees, also called increasingly labelled trees, are rooted labelled trees. The nodes of a tree T of size $|T| = n$, with integer $n \geq 1$, are labeled with distinct integers from a label set \mathcal{M} of size $|\mathcal{M}| = n$. Here, the size $|T|$ of a tree denotes the number of vertices of T (and thus coincides with the number of labels). One chooses as label set the first n positive integers, i.e., $\mathcal{M} = [n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, in such a way that the label of any node in the tree is smaller than the labels of its children. As a consequence, the labels of each path from the root to an arbitrary node in the tree are forming an increasing sequence, which explains the name of such a labelling. Various increasing tree models turned out to be appropriate in order to describe the growth behavior of quantities in a number of applications and occurred in the probabilistic literature.




FIGURE 1. Two recursive trees of size three (no left-to-right order); three plane recursive trees of size three; six binary increasing trees.

E.g., they are used to describe the spread of epidemics, to model pyramid schemes, and as a simplified growth model of the world wide web. See Mahmoud and Smythe [50] for a survey collecting results about recursive trees, a subfamily of increasing trees, prior 1995. For general results about increasing trees, especially their enumeration, we refer to [8, 54], as well as the book of Drmota [14] and references therein. Increasing trees are also intimately connected to urn models, see for example [49] or the recent works [1, 33]. Next we are going to describe in more detail the tree evolution process which generates random trees (of arbitrary size n) of grown simple families of increasing trees. This description is a consequence of the considerations made by Panholzer and Prodinger [54]. We also refer to their work, as well as [8, 14], for more information about combinatorial properties of increasing tree families, especially enumerative results.

- Step 1: The process starts with the root labelled by 1.

- Step $i + 1$: At step $i + 1$ the node with label $i + 1$ is attached to any previous node v with out-degree $d(v)$ of the already grown tree of size i with probabilities given by

$$p(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i}, & \text{for recursive trees (A),} \\ \frac{d - d(v)}{(d - 1)i + 1}, & \text{for } d\text{-ary increasing trees (B),} \\ \frac{d(v) + \alpha}{(\alpha + 1)i - 1}, & \text{for GPORTs (C);} \end{cases}$$

here the abbreviation GPORTs stands for generalized plane-oriented recursive trees; the special case $\alpha = 1$ leads to ordinary plane-oriented recursive trees. We note in passing that the naming of these trees is not uniform: recursive trees are sometimes called increasing Cayley trees, and (generalized) plane-oriented recursive trees are also known as [1, 7, 53, 60, 62] heap-ordered trees, scale-free trees³, preferential attachment trees, and Barabasi-Albert trees.




FIGURE 2. Generating recursive trees, plane recursive trees and binary increasing trees by insertion of node labeled three.

5.2. Periodic immigration. In this section we generalize the growth processes for classical increasing trees, as stated in the introduction, to increasing trees with immigration. We start with a single tree of size 1. Given a period p , $p > 1$: we perform ordinary growth steps, entering nodes labeled two, three, etc., according to one of the three families, i.e. recursive trees, d -ary increasing trees and generalized plane-oriented recursive trees. When $N = np$ is a multiple of p step, we first add an ordinary node labeled N , and then additionally add a new special root node, also labeled p . These new root nodes have connectivity ℓ , with real $\ell > 0$ for recursive trees and generalized plane-oriented increasing trees, and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ for d -ary increasing trees, leading to the creation of an increasing forest. For $N = np + k \geq 1$, with $0 \leq k < p$, let C_N denote the total connectivity, a quantity which governs the probabilities of connections of nodes.

$$C_N = (n(p - 1) + k)\sigma + n(\sigma + \ell) - \kappa = n(p\sigma + \ell) + k\sigma + \kappa, \quad (26)$$

where the constants σ and κ depend on the specific tree family:

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} 1, \\ d - 1, \\ 1 + \alpha, \end{cases}, \quad \kappa = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for recursive trees,} \\ 1, & \text{for } d\text{-ary increasing trees,} \\ -1, & \text{for GPORTs.} \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

We describe the growth processes of increasing trees with periodic immigration in more detail. We distinguish between ordinary nodes labeled one, two, three, etc. and special nodes which are created at time steps which are multiples of the period p . These special nodes are new roots, which may attract ordinary nodes. This leads to an increasing forest,

³Albeit with a slight different initial configuration

with an ordinary root labeled one and additional roots created at times $p, 2p, 3p, \dots$. We label the new root nodes by their creation time stamp. Thus, there are two nodes labeled $mp, m \geq 1$: a root node and an ordinary node. In the following we use the term ordinary nodes for the nodes labeled one up to N , including the original root labeled one.

Definition 7. The following process generates increasing tree families with periodic immigration.

- Step 1: The process starts with the root labeled by 1.
- Step $i+1$: the node with label $i+1$ is attached to any previous ordinary node v with out-degree $d(v)$ in the increasing forest with i ordinary nodes with probabilities given by

$$p(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{C_i}, & \text{for recursive trees,} \\ \frac{d - d(v)}{C_i}, & \text{for } d\text{-ary increasing trees,} \\ \frac{d(v) + \alpha}{C_i}, & \text{for GPORTs;} \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

Additionally, the node with label $i+1$ is attached to any previously created new root nodes v , there are $\lfloor \frac{i}{p} \rfloor$ many of them, with probability given by

$$p(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{\ell}{C_i}, & \text{for recursive trees,} \\ \frac{\ell - d(v)}{C_i}, & \text{for } d\text{-ary increasing trees,} \\ \frac{d(v) + \ell}{C_i}, & \text{for GPORTs;} \end{cases}$$

- Step $i+1$, with $i+1 \bmod p = 0$: a new root is created.

We point out that we always have to wait p insertion steps for the creation of a new root. However, as the node labeled one is the root of the original tree, the first new root is actually created $p-1$ steps after the original root. Of course, one may readily modify this process to start with the original root labeled zero, leading to a waiting time of p insertion steps. Later on, such a modified process will turn out to be useful to relate this growth process to the chinese restaurant process.




FIGURE 3. An increasing tree of size 10 with periodic immigration, $p = 3$; the three additional new roots have the same labels as the time stamp of their creation.

Remark 5 (Restrictions on the immigration). For recursive trees this generalized growth process is closely related to so-called weighted recursive trees. Let $N \geq 1$. Starting with a tree of size N , we attach node $N+1$ to the vertex labeled k with probability $\frac{w_k}{W_N}$, with total weight $W_N = \sum_{k=1}^N w_k$. Such trees are known in the literature (see, e.g., [10]) as

weighted recursive trees, as for constant $w_k = c$, $k \geq 1$, this reduces to ordinary recursive trees. In our case, the weights of ordinary nodes are $w_k = 1$, whereas immigrated nodes, the new roots in the increasing forests, have weight $w_k = \ell$. For d -ary increasing trees we assume that $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, the forest consists of new trees with ℓ -ary roots. It is possible to extend the construction to real $\ell > 0$. But then, in order to obtain a well-defined growth process, $p(v) = \frac{\ell}{C_i}$ for new root nodes v , and further $\frac{d-1-d(v)}{C_i}$ for the children of the new roots.

5.3. Descendants in increasing trees with periodic immigration. In the following we turn to so-called label-based parameters [39] in increasing tree families and relate them with Pólya-Young urns. We are interested in the number of descendants of a specific node j (with $1 \leq j \leq N$), i.e. the size of the subtree rooted at j (where size is measured as usual by the number of nodes), in a random size- N increasing tree with immigration. Note that j is counted as a descendant of itself. This parameter is a classical parameter of interest, see [40, 49] and the references therein. Concerning classical increasing trees, it is well known that the number of descendants of node j , can be modeled not only by trees, but also by urn models [44, 46, 49]. We extend this connection to increasing trees with immigration.

Theorem 11 (Pólya-Young urns and Descendants of node j). *The descendants $D_{N,j}$ of node j , $j \geq 1$, in grown increasing tree families with immigration of size N , with parameters $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell > 0$, are distributed as the number of white balls in the Pólya-Young urns at time $N - j$, with period p and parameter $\ell > 0$:*

$$D_{N,j} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \frac{W_{N-j} - \kappa}{\sigma},$$

with constants κ and balance σ given by (27). The initial conditions are given by

$$w_0 = \kappa + \sigma = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for recursive trees,} \\ d, & \text{for } d\text{-ary increasing trees,} \\ \alpha, & \text{for GPORTs} \end{cases} \quad b_0 = C_j - w_0,$$

where C_N denotes the connectivity (26).

Remark 6 (Multivariate Connection). This connections extend to the joint distribution of the descendants of ordinary nodes j_1, \dots, j_t , but with a random initial configuration, as we start the urn process when node j_t is present, but the initial configurations depends on the events from time step $j_1 + 1$ to step j_t . Moreover, one has to take care whether a node j_k is contained in another subtree of j_1, \dots, j_{k-1} .

Remark 7 (Descendants of the roots). Ordinary increasing trees only possess a only single root, such that $D_{N,1} = N$. In contrast, for increasing trees with periodic immigration, the number of descendants $D_{N,1}$ of the root is not deterministic anymore, as the new root nodes may also attract nodes. In it also possible to track the individual tree sizes in the forest using different initial conditions: for the number of descendants $D_{N,mp}^{(r)}$ of the root created at time $m \cdot p$ the initial condition is $w_0 = \ell$, and the connection to the urn is

$$D_{N,mp}^{(r)} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \frac{W_{N-mp} - w_0}{\sigma}.$$

We point out that the root nodes satisfy the identity

$$D_{N,1} + \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor N/p \rfloor} D_{N,mp}^{(r)} = N$$

Additionally, we note that the descendants of the roots labeled $1, p, \dots, (t-1)p$ are related to the distribution of the balls of type $1, \dots, t-1$ in multicolor Pólya-Young urns of

dimension t : one starts with an urn of size two at time p , and increases the number of types each time a new root is created until time t , where the time tp , where type t encodes the number of nodes not contained in the subtrees rooted at $1, p, \dots, (t-1)p$.

Proof. Following [44], in order to observe this connection, we observe first that $D_{j,j} = 1$ for all three tree families. Next, we proceed similar to [41]. Let $\{N+1 <_c v\}$ denote the event that the node labeled $N+1$ is attached to the node v . We analyse the conditional probabilities $\mathbb{P}\{D_{N+1,j} = m+1 | D_{N,j} = m\}$. Under our assumption the subtree rooted at the node labeled j has size m and consists of nodes $v_k, 1 \leq k \leq m$, (with labels larger than j). We obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\{D_{N+1,j} = m+1 | D_{N,j} = m\} = \sum_{k=1}^m \mathbb{P}\{N+1 <_c v_k\}.$$

For each family the probabilities are determined by (28). The sum of outdegrees in the subtree rooted at label j is deterministic. By our assumption $D_{N,j} = m$ we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^m d(v_k) = m-1.$$

Consequently,

$$\mathbb{P}\{D_{N+1,j} = m+1 | D_{N,j} = m\} = \begin{cases} \frac{m}{C_N}, & \text{for recursive trees,} \\ \frac{(d-1)m+1}{C_N}, & \text{for } d\text{-ary increasing trees,} \\ \frac{(\alpha+1)m-1}{C_N}, & \text{for GPORTs;} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $\mathbb{P}\{D_{N+1,j} = m | D_{N,j} = m\} = 1 - \mathbb{P}\{D_{N+1,j} = m+1 | D_{N,j} = m\}$. This implies that the stated connection, as the dynamics of the urn model, as described in the introduction, matches the dynamics of the process generating increasing trees with periodic immigration. \square

5.4. Outdegree distribution in increasing trees with periodic immigration. Next, we turn to the distribution of the outdegree of label j in generalized plane-oriented recursive trees [39].

Theorem 12 (Pólya-Young urns and Outdegree of node j). *The outdegree $X_{N,j}$ of node j , $j \geq 2$, in an generalized plane-oriented recursive tree of size n with periodic immigration with parameters $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\ell > 0$, is related to the number of white balls W_{N-j} after $N-j$ draws in a modified Pólya-Young urn with period p and $\ell > 0$:*

$$X_{N,j} = W_{N-j} - \alpha, \quad w_0 = \alpha, \quad b_0 = C_j - w_0.$$

The transition matrices are given by

$$M_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1+\alpha \\ 0 & 1+\alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p-1, \quad M_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1+\alpha+\ell \\ 0 & 1+\alpha+\ell \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. By (28) we have $X_{j,j} = 0$ and

$$\mathbb{P}\{X_{N+1,j} = m+1 | X_{N,j} = m\} = \frac{m+\alpha}{C_N}.$$

Thus, we readily observe the stated connection to the urn model with initial conditions $w_0 = \alpha$ and $b_0 = C_j - w_0$. \square

This guides us to study the following model of periodic urns.

Definition 8 (Periodic triangular urns). Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma, \ell_1, \ell_2 > 0$ denote positive reals. A periodic triangular urn of period p , with parameters σ, ℓ_1, ℓ_2 is determined by the replacement matrices

$$M_1 = M_2 = \dots = M_{p-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \ell_1 \\ 0 & \sigma + \ell_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } M_p = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \ell_2 \\ 0 & \sigma + \ell_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\ell_1 = \ell_2$ the model degenerates to an ordinary triangular urn. We emphasize that the total number of balls T_N in a periodic triangular urn at time $N = np + k$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}_0, 0 \leq k \leq p - 1$ is given by

$$T_N = w_0 + b_0 + (n(p-1) + k)(\sigma + \ell_1) + n(\sigma + \ell_2) = N\sigma_1 + n(\ell_2 - \ell_1) + w_0 + b_0, \quad (29)$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \sigma + \ell_1. \quad (30)$$

Next we collect the exact results for W_N , as well as the martingale structure.

Lemma 13 (Periodic triangular urns: distribution and almost sure limit). *The distribution of W_N is determined by its rising factorial moments and its probability generating function, given verbatim as in Theorem 4. Let $N = np + k, 0 \leq k < p$ and let $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

$$g_N = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{T_j}{T_j + \sigma}, \quad g_N \sim \kappa \cdot N^{-\Lambda}, \quad \kappa = p^\Lambda \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \psi} + \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_1 \psi})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\phi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \psi})}, \quad (31)$$

with T_N given by (29),

$$\psi = p + \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\sigma + \ell_1} \quad \text{and } \Lambda = \frac{p\sigma}{(\sigma + \ell_1)p + \ell_2 - \ell_1}.$$

The random variable $\mathcal{W}_N = g_N \cdot W_N$ is a non-negative martingale and converges almost surely to a limit \mathcal{W}_∞ . Furthermore, the random variable W_N/N^Λ satisfies

$$W_N/N^\Lambda \rightarrow \frac{1}{\kappa} \mathcal{W}_\infty.$$

Remark 8 (Degenerated periodic triangular urns). Setting $\ell_1 = 0$ and $\ell_2 = \ell$ periodic triangular urns degenerate to Pólya-Young urns. Thus, the results of Lemma 13 and the theorem stated below generalize our earlier results of Theorems 4 and 5. Indeed, by $\ell_1 = 0$ and $\ell_2 = \ell$ we readily re-obtain the previous values of ψ and Λ :

$$\psi = p + \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\sigma + \ell_1} = p + \frac{\ell}{\sigma} \quad \text{and } \Lambda = \frac{p\sigma}{(\sigma + \ell_1)p + \ell_2 - \ell_1} = \frac{p}{p + \ell/\sigma}.$$

Theorem 14 (Periodic triangular urns: limit law and characterization). *The raw moments of W_N/N^Λ converge for $N \rightarrow \infty$. For $s \geq 1$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}(W_N^s / \sigma^s N^{s\Lambda}) \rightarrow \mu_s = p^{s\Lambda} \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{w_0}{\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0}{\sigma})} \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \psi})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \psi} + \frac{s\sigma}{\sigma_1 \psi})}.$$

The limit law $\frac{1}{\sigma\kappa} \mathcal{W}_\infty$ is uniquely determined by its moment sequence (μ_s) . It has a density $f(x), x > 0$, given by

$$f(x) = \frac{\prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma_1})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0}{\sigma})} \cdot \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^j}{j! \prod_{\ell=0}^{p-1} \Gamma(\frac{\ell}{\psi} + \frac{b_0}{\sigma_1 \psi} - \frac{j\sigma}{\sigma_1 \psi})} x^{j + w_0/\sigma - 1}.$$

For $\frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\sigma + \ell_1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the limit law can be decomposed into a product of a Mittag-Leffler law and generalized Gamma distributions, all being mutually independent:

$$\frac{1}{\sigma\kappa} \mathcal{W}_\infty \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \psi^\psi \cdot \text{ML}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \cdot \prod_{r=p}^{\psi-1} \text{GenGemma}(a_r, b_r),$$

with $\alpha = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_1}$, $\beta = \frac{w_0}{\sigma_1}$ and $\gamma = \frac{b_0}{\sigma_1}$, as well as $a_r = \frac{r\sigma_1 + w_0 + b_0}{\sigma}$, $b_r = \frac{\sigma_1\psi}{\sigma}$. In the general case $\frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\sigma + \ell_1} \notin \mathbb{N}_0$ the random variable can be presented in terms of the scaled local time L of a noise-reinforced Bessel process with parameters $\alpha = p/\psi$ and $\beta = 1/\psi$: let $T = \text{tilt}_1(L)$, then

$$\frac{1}{\sigma\kappa} \mathcal{W}_\infty = \text{ML}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \cdot \text{tilt}_{\frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma} - \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma} + 1}(T \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_1}).$$

Remark 9. We note that second order limit theorems for W_N can also be obtained by considering the martingale tail sum for $\mathcal{W}_N = g_N \cdot W_N$, similar to the Pólya-Young urn.

Proof of Lemma 13. Our starting point is Theorem 4, whose results are valid for the periodic triangular urns, except for the asymptotics (see also Remark 3). Thus, we need the asymptotics g_N , with T_N given by (29). We observe that

$$T_N/\sigma_1 = N + n \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\sigma_1} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1}, \quad N = np + k, \quad 0 \leq k \leq p - 1.$$

Using the notation $\psi = p + \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\sigma_1}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_N &= \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{jp + r + j \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\sigma_1} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1}}{jp + r + j \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\sigma_1} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1} + \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_1}} \right) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{np + r + n \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\sigma_1} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1}}{np + r + n \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\sigma_1} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1} + \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_1}} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n-1} \prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{j + \frac{r}{\phi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \phi}}{j + \frac{r}{\phi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \phi} + \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_1 \phi}} \right) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{n + \frac{r}{\phi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \phi}}{n + \frac{r}{\phi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \phi} + \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_1 \phi}}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Writing the products in terms of the Gamma function gives

$$g_N = \left(\prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \phi}) \Gamma(\frac{r}{\phi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \phi} + \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_1 \phi})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\phi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \phi}) \Gamma(n + \frac{r}{\phi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \phi} + \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_1 \phi}} \right) \cdot \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{n + \frac{r}{\phi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \phi}}{n + \frac{r}{\phi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \phi} + \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_1 \phi}}. \quad (33)$$

Using Stirling's formula for the Gamma function (10), we obtain the stated asymptotics, noting that

$$p \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_1 \phi} = \frac{p\sigma}{(\sigma + \ell_1)p + \ell_2 - \ell_1}, \quad n^{-\Lambda} \sim p^\Lambda N^{-\Lambda}.$$

Finally, we note that the asymptotics of g_N imply the almost sure convergence of W_N/N^Λ . \square

Proof of Theorem 14. By Lemma 13, see also Theorem 4, the rising factorial moments are given by

$$\mathbb{E}((W_N/\sigma)^\bar{s}) = (w_0/\sigma)^\bar{s} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{T_j + s\sigma}{T_j}.$$

The asymptotics of $\prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{T_j + s\sigma}{T_j}$ are readily obtained similar to the asymptotics of g_N (31) using Stirling's formula for the Gamma function (10):

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{T_j + s\sigma}{T_j} &= \left(\prod_{r=0}^{p-1} \frac{\Gamma(n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \psi} + \frac{s\sigma}{\sigma_1 \psi}) \Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \psi})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \psi} + \frac{s\sigma}{\sigma_1 \psi}) \Gamma(n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \psi})} \right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{r=0}^{k-1} \frac{n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \psi} + \frac{s\sigma}{\sigma_1 \psi}}{n + \frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1 \psi}} \sim n^{s\lambda} \mu_s = \frac{N^{s\lambda}}{p^{s\lambda}} \cdot \mu_s. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

The density of the limit law is obtained using an identical argument as in the proof of Theorem 5 and therefore omitted. Similarly, Carleman's criterion is readily checked. Next

we turn to the identification of the limit law. For $\frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\sigma + \ell_1} \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\psi \in \mathbb{N}$ we extend the range of the product to $r = \psi - 1$. This implies that

$$\mu_s = \sigma^s \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{w_0}{\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0}{\sigma})} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0 + b_0 + s\sigma}{\sigma_1})} \psi^{s\psi} \prod_{r=p}^{\psi-1} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma_1} + \frac{s\sigma}{\sigma_1\psi})}{\Gamma(\frac{r}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\psi\sigma_1})}.$$

The factors in the product are readily identified as generalized Gamma distributions with parameters $a_r = \frac{r\sigma_1 + w_0 + b_0}{\sigma}$, $b_r = \frac{\sigma_1\psi}{\sigma}$. For the two prefactors

$$\frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{w_0}{\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0}{\sigma})} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(\frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0 + b_0 + s\sigma}{\sigma_1})}$$

we use (4) and identify the limit law as a three parameter Mittag-Leffler distribution with $\alpha = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_1}$, $\beta = \frac{w_0}{\sigma_1}$ and $\gamma = \frac{b_0}{\sigma_1}$.

In the general case we use gain the random variable T of Lemma 3, but taken to the power σ/σ_1 :

$$\mathbb{E}(T^{\frac{\sigma s}{\sigma_1}}) = \Gamma(\frac{\sigma s}{\sigma_1} + 1) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{\Gamma(\frac{j\alpha}{m})}{\Gamma(\frac{s\sigma/\sigma_1 + j\alpha}{m})}, \quad s \geq 1.$$

We set $\alpha = p/\psi = p/(p + \frac{\ell_2 - \ell_1}{\sigma + \ell_1})$, where $0 < \alpha < 1$, as $\ell_2 \neq \ell_1$. Next we use $m = p$, such that $\beta = \alpha/p = \frac{1}{\psi}$, and obtain

$$\mathbb{E}(T^{\frac{\sigma s}{\sigma_1}}) = \Gamma(\frac{\sigma s}{\sigma_1} + 1) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\Gamma(\frac{j}{\psi})}{\Gamma(\frac{(\sigma s/\sigma_1 + j)}{\psi})}, \quad s \geq 1.$$

We tilt the moment sequence by $\frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma} - \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma}$ to obtain the sequence

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\sigma s}{\sigma_1} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1})} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{\Gamma(\frac{j-1}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1\psi})}{\Gamma(\frac{(s\sigma/\sigma_1 + j-1)}{\psi} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1\psi})}, \quad s \geq 1.$$

Finally, in order to obtain the sequence (μ_s) , we observe that a three parameter Mittag-Leffler distribution X with $\alpha = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma_1}$, $\beta = \frac{w_0}{\sigma_1}$ and $\gamma = \frac{b_0}{\sigma_1}$, such that

$$\frac{\Gamma(\frac{\sigma s}{\sigma_1} + \frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0 + b_0}{\sigma_1})} \cdot \mathbb{E}(X^s) = \frac{\Gamma(s + \frac{w_0}{\sigma})}{\Gamma(\frac{w_0}{\sigma})}.$$

□

6. PERIODIC STIRLING PERMUTATIONS

Stirling permutations were introduced by Gessel and Stanley [23]. A Stirling permutation is a permutation of the multiset $\{1, 1, 2, 2, \dots, n, n\}$ such that, for each i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, the elements occurring between the two occurrences of i are larger than i . E.g., 1122, 1221 and 2211 are Stirling permutations, whereas the permutations 1212 and 2112 of $\{1, 1, 2, 2\}$ aren't. The name of these combinatorial objects is due to relations with the Stirling numbers, see [23] for details. A natural generalization of Stirling permutations is to consider permutations of a more general multiset $\{1^d, 2^d, \dots, n^d\}$, with $d \geq 2$, which are called d -Stirling permutations. Note that $d = 2$ yields exactly Stirling permutations, whereas setting $d = 1$ gives just ordinary permutations. This class of d -Stirling permutations has been introduced already by Park [55, 56, 57] under the name d -multipermutations. We note that by the Janson-Koganov bijection [38, 34] Stirling permutation are relation to plane-oriented recursive trees. The bijection of Gessel-Janson-Kuba-Panholzer [22, 36] relates d -Stirling permutations with $(d + 1)$ -ary increasing trees; see also [22, 36, 42] for additional bijections, references to the literature and further information.

Let p and t denote positive integers, where $p \geq 2$. In the following we introduce periodic d -Stirling permutations with period p and thickness t . There, after insertion steps

$p, 2p, \dots$ additional t boldfaced labels appear, so labels $p, 2p$, etc. are thicker than their ordinary counterparts. As we will see in the following, these new boldfaced labels will relax the condition that the elements occurring between the two occurrences of i are larger than i . First, we collect a few basics. The order of a periodic d -Stirling permutation σ is the number of different labels appearing in permutation. Let $N = np + k$, $0 \leq k < p$, $n \geq 0$. A periodic d -Stirling permutation of with thickness t order N has $N - n$ labels $\{1, \dots, N\} \setminus \{p, 2p, \dots, np\}$ appearing d times and n labels $p, 2p, \dots, np$ appearing $d + t$ times. Thus, the number of insertion places for label $N + 1$ is given by

$$q_N = N \cdot d + 1 + n \cdot t$$

Consequently, there are

$$Q_N = \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} q_j$$

different periodic d -Stirling permutations with N labels, period p , and thickness t .

Next we define the process generating all periodic d -Stirling permutation of order N in a step-by-step fashion.

Definition 9. Periodic d -Stirling permutation are created by the following procedure.

- Step 1: we start with the string $1^d = 11 \dots 1$.
- Step $N + 1$, with $N \geq 1$: Given a permutation σ of order N , we insert the complete string $(N + 1)^d$ at any of the q_N possible insertion places, obtaining a permutation τ of order $N + 1$: insertion in between two neighboring labels σ_m, σ_{m+1} , $1 \leq m \leq q_N - 1$, creates

$$\tau = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_m (N + 1)^d \sigma_{m+1} \dots \sigma_{q_N},$$

or on the left, $\tau = (N + 1)^d \sigma$, or on the right, $\tau = \sigma (N + 1)^d$.

- Step $N + 1$: if $(N + 1) \bmod p = 0$, then additionally put a (boldfaced/thick) String $(N + 1)^t$ on the right of σ .

We note that for $t = 0$ we re-obtain the usual d -Stirling permutations. For even t , we can add half of the labels on the left and half on the right for a more symmetric permutation.

Remark 10 (Creating random periodic d -Stirling permutation). If we enter each new label $N + 1$, with $N \geq 1$, uniformly at random according to the q_N available positions, this gives a growth process generating random periodic d -Stirling permutation.

Remark 11. We note that for each ordinary label i , $1 \leq i \leq N$, the elements occurring between the two occurrences of i are still larger than i . However, if at least one label i is boldfaced, and thus created at times $p, 2p$, etc., then this rule is not valid.

Example 1. We consider a periodic Stirling permutation with $p = 2$ and thickness $t = 3$. The first few permutations are as follows: size one 11 , size two $2211\mathbf{222}$, $1221\mathbf{222}$, $1122\mathbf{222}$; here, the extra labels are printed in boldface. For size three permutations we have now eight different positions for insertion of 3^2 , compared to only five different positions for ordinary Stirling permutations.

Next, we relate periodic d -Stirling permutations with period p and thickness t to increasing trees with immigration. We use $(d + 1)$ -ary increasing trees with the same parameter p , and use for the connectivity of the additional roots $\ell = t$. These new roots are realized as special trimmed $t + 1$ -ary roots, where the first insertion place is inactive, compare with Figure 4.

Theorem 15. Periodic k -Stirling permutations with period $p \geq 2$ and thickness $t \geq 1$ are in bijection with increasing trees with immigration of period p and parameter $\ell = t$.




FIGURE 4. A periodic Stirling permutation $\sigma = 23321122442444$ of order $N = 4$, with period $p = 2$ and thickness $t = 3$ and the corresponding ternary increasing tree with immigration, obtained by using the depth-first walk (a contour)

Proof. We use a depth-first walk of a rooted plane tree, starting at the root with the smallest label. We go first to the leftmost child of the root, explore that branch (recursively, using the same rules), return to the root, and continue with the next child of the root, until there are no more children left. Then, we proceed with the remaining We use a version of $(d+1)$ -ary increasing trees with exterior nodes. Hence, at any time, any (interior) node has $d + 1$ children, some of which may be exterior nodes. Between these $d + 1$ edges going out from a node labelled v , we place d integers v . (Exterior nodes have no children and no labels.) Now we perform the depth-first walk and code the $(d + 1)$ -ary increasing tree by the sequence of the labels visited as we go around the tree (one may think of actually going around the tree like drawing the contour). In other words, we add label v to the code the d first times we return to node v , but not the first time we arrive there or the last time we return. \square

We are interested in the distribution of the number of *blocks* in a random periodic d -Stirling permutation σ of order N . A block is a substring $a_r \cdots a_s$ with $a_r = a_s$ of σ that is maximal, i.e. not contained in any larger such substring. The size of a block is the number of labels creating the block, in our example $s+1-r$. There is obviously at most one block for every ordinary label $j = 1, \dots, N$, extending from the first occurrence of j to the last, where we distinguish between ordinary labels $1, 2, \dots$, versus their thick counterparts, appearing periodically. By acclamation, the thick labels create blocks of minimal length t on their own. We say that an ordinary label j forms a block when this substring really is a block, i.e. when it is not contained in a string $i \cdots i$ for some $i < j$. In particular, j forms a block if for any i with $1 \leq i \leq j - 1$, there do not exist indices m_0, \dots, m_{s+1} , with $1 \leq m_0 < \cdots < m_{s+1} \leq q_N$, such that $\sigma_{m_0} = \sigma_{m_{s+1}} = i$ and $\sigma_{m_1} = \cdots = \sigma_{m_s} = j$. It is easily seen by induction that any σ has a unique decomposition as a sequence of its blocks. Note that if we add a string $(N + 1)^d$ to a periodic d -Stirling permutation, this string will either be swallowed by one of the existing blocks, or form a block on its own; the latter happens when it is added first, last, or in a gap between two blocks.

Example 2. The periodic Stirling permutation $\sigma = 23321122442444$ of order $N = 4$ has block decomposition $\sigma = [2332][11][22442][444]$, one block of size four, one block of size two, one block of size four and a block of size five.

By the bijection in Theorem 15 the size of the block formed by label one correspond to a restricted number of descendants of the original root, where the two outmost branches are neglected. Thus, Theorem 4 and also Theorem 5 applies and we obtain also obtain second order asymptotics for the size of the block X_N formed by label one in a random periodic d -Stirling permutation. Actually, for $d > 2$ one can do more, as the block generated by

one has the form

$$1 X_{N,1} 1 X_{N,2} 1 \dots 1 X_{N,d-1} 1$$

has at $d - 1 \geq 2$ different, possibly empty, subblocks. They satisfy the identity

$$X_N = d + \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} X_{N,j}.$$

The distribution of these subblocks $X_{N,j}$ is exchangeable, and the (limiting) distribution can be described by our multivariate Pólya-Young urn.

The total number S_N of blocks in a random periodic d -Stirling permutation is described by another urn model. We use a periodic triangular urn with period p and replacement matrices

$$M_1 = M_2 = \dots = M_{p-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d-1 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } M_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & d-1+t \\ 0 & d+t \end{pmatrix}.$$

We start with $w_0 = 2$ white balls and $d - 1$ black balls, as in the beginning there are two positions where a new block can be created in 1^d , and $d - 1$ positions for entering 2^d into the block formed by the ones. The total number of blocks S_N is related to W_N by

$$S_N = W_N - 1 + n,$$

as for $N = np+k$ we created n blocks with the boldfaced labels. Consequently, Lemma 13, as well as Theorem 14, can be applied leading to the limit law for S_N .

7. CHINESE RESTAURANT PROCESS WITH COMPETITION

The Chinese restaurant process with parameters a and θ is a discrete-time stochastic process. Its value at discrete time $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is one of the B_n partitions of the set $[n] = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$, see Pitman [58, 59]. The two parameters a and θ are real values, satisfying the constraints $0 < a < 1$ and $\theta > -a$. Here, the B_n denotes the Bell numbers, counting the number of partitions of an n -element set $B_0 = B_1 = 1$, $B_2 = 2$, $B_3 = 5$, etc.⁴ in OEIS. One fancifully imagines a Chinese restaurant with an infinite number of tables, and each round table has an infinite number of seats. In the beginning the first customer takes place at the first table. At each discrete time step a new customer arrives and either joins one of the existing tables, or he takes place at the next empty table in line. Each table corresponds to a block of a random partition. In the beginning at time $n = 1$, the trivial partition $\{\{1\}\}$ is obtained with probability 1. Given a partition T of $[n]$ with $|T| = m$ parts t_i , $1 \leq i \leq m \leq n$, of sizes $|t_i|$. At time $n + 1$ the element $n + 1$ is either added to one of the existing parts $t_i \in T$ with probability

$$\mathbb{P}\{n + 1 <_c t_i\} = \frac{|t_i| - a}{n + \theta}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

or added to the partition T as a new singleton block with probability

$$\mathbb{P}\{n + 1 <_c t_{|T|+1}\} = \frac{\theta + m \cdot a}{n + \theta}.$$

This model thus assigns a probability to any particular partition T of $[n]$. Above, the notation $n + 1 <_c t_i$ stands for customer $n + 1$ connects to the table t_i . Of classical interest is the total number of tables, as well the number of parts of size j in a partition of $[n]$ generated by the Chinese restaurant process [44, 58, 59]. As pointed out in [44], this process can be embedded into a variant of the growth process of generalized plane-oriented recursive trees with two different connectivity parameters $\ell > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. Here, the root node r attracts node with a probability proportional to ℓ plus its outdegree $d(r)$, and the other nodes attract with α instead of ℓ . This allows to study properties of the Chinese restaurant process using analytic combinatorial tools, see [3, 44] for results.

⁴See sequence [A000110](#)




FIGURE 5. A plane-oriented recursive tree of size 15, where the root node labeled zero has one size-one, one size-two, one size-three, and two size-four branches, as well as the corresponding table structure in the Chinese restaurant model.

7.1. Competition. We introduce a generalization of the chinese restaurant process. In the chinese restaurant process with competition at each time step N being a multiple of p a new restaurant opens and attracts customers. Thus, from time one to time p the process behaves just as the ordinary chinese restaurant process. Starting with time $p + 1$, the probabilities change, as customers may sit in old restaurant, as well as the new restaurant(s). Especially at time $p + 1$, a new customer may either go to the first restaurant, taking a table for himself or joining an existing group, or go to the just opened new restaurant, taking a table for himself.

Definition 10 (Chinese restaurant process with competition). Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the duration, the time it takes to create a new restaurant and $N = np + k$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq k < p$. Furthermore, let

$$c_N = np + k + (n + 1)\theta = N + (n + 1)\theta.$$

- At time $N = 1$ the trivial partition $\{\{1\}\}$ is obtained with probability 1.
- Time $N = np + k$: Given a partition T of $[N]$ with $|T| = m$ parts t_i , $1 \leq i \leq m \leq N$, of sizes $|t_i|$. At time $N + 1$ the element $N + 1$ is either added to one of the existing parts $t_i \in T$ with probability

$$\mathbb{P}\{N + 1 <_c t_i\} = \frac{|t_i| - a}{c_N}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

or added to the partition T as a new singleton block with probability

$$\mathbb{P}\{N + 1 <_c t_{|T|+1}\} = \frac{(n + 1)\theta + m \cdot a}{c_N}.$$

Remark 12 (Competition and singletons). The creation of new restaurants and the competition is hidden in the value of N and n . For example, at time $N = p + 1$ the probability of a singleton jumps from being proportional to $\theta + m \cdot a$ to being proportional to $2\theta + m \cdot a$, as the new restaurant also attracts customers.

Remark 13 (No competition). We can readily reobtain the ordinary restaurant process by setting $n = 0$ and p tending to infinity such that $np = 0$, as no new restaurants are being created. Then $c_N = k + \theta$, $0 \leq k < \infty$, with k taking the role of the discrete time, similar to n in the ordinary setting.

Remark 14 (Cocktails). It is also possible to add a so-called cocktail bar to the model (see [51]), which may also attract customers. This corresponds then to increasing trees with immigration, starting with two root nodes. See Subsection 7.2 for details.

There are plenty of parameters of interest in the chinese restaurant process with competition. One may study the number of tables occupied in the first restaurant, the second one,




FIGURE 6. LHS: an increasing forest of size 16, created by the (modified) growth process for increasing trees with immigration, $p = 8$. RHS: the corresponding table structure with two competing chinese restaurants.

and so on, as well as the individual tables sizes in each restaurant. Moreover, one may also consider the total number of tables in all restaurants, or the complete table structure in the original restaurant. These parameters are all intimately related to generalized Pólya-Young urn models, as well as periodic triangular urns. In order to make this connection clear, we relate this new process to the increasing trees with immigration.

Theorem 16 (Chinese restaurant process with competition and increasing trees with immigration). *Given a random partition of $\{1, \dots, N\}$ generated by the Chinese restaurant process with immigration, parameters $0 < a < 1$, $\theta > 0$ and duration $p \in \mathbb{N}$. The random partition can be generated equivalently by the growth process of the family of a modified generalized plane-oriented recursive trees $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \ell}$ with immigration parameter p , when generating such a tree of size $N + 1$ with label set $\{0, 1, \dots, N\}$. The parameters a, θ and $\alpha, \ell > 0$, respectively, are related via*

$$a = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha}, \quad \theta = \frac{\ell}{1 + \alpha}.$$

Remark 15 (Creation of the first new root). We note that the first new root is actually created after label p is entered. As the original root is labeled zero, this requires p insertions instead of $p - 1$, is a modification of the growth process of increasing trees with immigration.

Proof of Theorem 16. Concerning the total connectivity (27), we have to take care as there are $N + 1$ labels, zero up to N , present. Moreover, as the original root has connectivity $\ell + d(r)$, the total connectivity changes to,

$$C_N = N(1 + \alpha) + (n + 1)\ell. \quad (35)$$

Given a size- N forest T of the family $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \ell}$ with labels $\{0, 1, \dots, N\}$. Assume that the original root has m_0 branches t_i , $1 \leq i \leq m_0$, of sizes $|t_i|$, and the new roots labeled jp created at times $p, 2p, \dots, np$ each have m_j branches t_i , $1 \leq i \leq m_j$, $1 \leq j \leq n$. Moreover, we denote with $m = \sum_{j=0}^n m_j$ the total number of branches. At time $N + 1$ the element $N + 1$ is either attached to one of the existing non-root nodes v with probability

$$\mathbb{P}\{N + 1 <_c v\} = \frac{d(v) + \alpha}{C_N},$$

or to the $n + 1$ different roots of the tree with probability

$$\mathbb{P}\{N + 1 <_c \text{root}_j\} = \frac{d(\text{root}_j) + \ell}{C_N} = \frac{m_j + \ell}{C_N}.$$

Consequently, element $N + 1$ is a singleton with probability

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \mathbb{P}\{N + 1 <_c \text{root}_j\} = \frac{m + (n + 1)\ell}{N(1 + \alpha) + (n + 1)\ell} = \frac{m \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha+1} + (n + 1)\frac{\ell}{\alpha+1}}{N + (n + 1)\frac{\ell}{\alpha+1}}.$$

Moreover, element $N + 1$ is attached to one of the branches $t_i \in T$ with probability

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\{N + 1 <_c t_i\} &= \sum_{v \in t_i} \mathbb{P}\{N + 1 <_c v\} = \sum_{v \in t_i} \frac{d(v) + \alpha}{C_N} \\ &= \frac{|t_i| - 1 + |t_i|\alpha}{N(1 + \alpha) + (n + 1)\ell} = \frac{|t_i| - \frac{1}{\alpha+1}}{N + (n + 1)\frac{\ell}{\alpha+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, setting $a = \frac{1}{1+\alpha}$ and $\theta = \frac{\ell}{1+\alpha}$ proves the stated relation. \square

We note that quantities of interest include the number of tables of the first (original) restaurant, the table sizes, etc. By Theorem 16 and Theorem 12, slightly modified according to a new initial value, the distribution of number of tables of the first (original) restaurant as well as the limit law is covered by Lemma 13 and Theorem 14. The number of costumers of the first restaurant is random variable, covered by Theorems 4 and 5. Concerning the table structure, one may also study the number of tables of a certain size, compare with the results of [44], where we anticipate a Mixed-Poisson type phase transition. However, we need a new periodic urn model with multiple colors for the branching structure, which by Theorem 16 also determines the number of tables of a certain size. The urn model is reminiscent of the model used for the block sizes in k -Stirling permutations [43], but its analysis seems to be much more involved.

Definition 11 (Periodic urn model for branches). Given the period p . Consider a periodic urn models with balls of $j + 2$ colours and let $(Z_{N,0}, Z_{N,1}, \dots, Z_{N,j+1})$ count the number of balls of each color at time N . We use the ball addition matrices

$$M_k = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha & 2(\alpha+1)-1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2(\alpha+1)+1 & 3(\alpha+1)-1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & -(j-1)(\alpha+1)+1 & j(\alpha+1)-1 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & -j(\alpha+1)+1 & (j+1)(\alpha+1)-1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1+\alpha \end{pmatrix}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$, and

$$M_p = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ell \\ 0 & -\alpha & 2(\alpha+1)-1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \ell \\ 0 & 0 & -2(\alpha+1)+1 & 3(\alpha+1)-1 & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & \ell \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \ell \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \ell \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & -(j-1)(\alpha+1)+1 & \ell j(\alpha+1)-1 & \ell \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 & 0 & -j(\alpha+1)+1 & (j+1)(\alpha+1)-1+\ell \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1+\alpha+\ell \end{pmatrix}$$

The initial configuration of the urn is specified by $(Z_{1,0}, \dots, Z_{1,j+2}) = (\ell, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Next we state the connection between the branching structure (and thus the table structure) and the urn model.

Proposition 2. *The random variables $(Z_{N,1}, \dots, Z_{N,j})$ of the urn model in the definition stated before are related to the number branches $B_{N,m}$ of size m of the original root node in generalized plane-oriented recursive trees with immigration by*

$$B_{N,m} = \frac{Z_{N,m}}{m(\alpha + 1) - 1}, \quad 1 \leq m \leq j.$$

Proof. The color zero represents the root node. The random variable $Z_{N,0}$ encodes the connectivity of the original root, which increases by one after a new node has been attached to it. The random variable $Z_{N,m}$, $1 \leq m \leq j$, represent the connectivity of the subtrees of size m , given by $m(\alpha + 1) - 1$. Once a new node is attached to a size m trees, the tree size changes to $m + 1$, and thus we add a $(m + 1)(\alpha + 1) - 1$ for type $m + 1$, but remove the $m(\alpha + 1) - 1$ from color m . Finally, the last color $j + 1$ is a dummy color (sometimes called super color or super ball in the literature), collecting all other nodes, including the new roots. Consequently, at multiples of p the dummy color increases by ℓ , regardless of the sampled color. \square

The analysis of this model seems to be much more complicated, as we are also interested in letting j tend to infinity. We plan to report on these distributional results in a future work.

7.2. Adding a cocktail bar. Möhle [51] considered a chinese restaurant process, where an additional bar also attracts costumers. We generalize this process to restaurants with competition⁵.

Definition 12 (Chinese restaurant process with and a single bar and competition). Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the duration, the time it takes to create a new restaurant and $N = np + k$, with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq k < p$. We start with a single restaurant with tables and also a cocktail bar. All tables and the bar are assumed to have infinite capacity. At the beginning the first original restaurant and the bar is empty. Let the quantity c_N be given by

$$c_N = c_N = np + k + (n + 1)\theta_1 + \theta_2 = N + (n + 1)\theta_1 + \theta_2,$$

with θ_1, θ_2 denote two positive real parameters. If at stage $N = np + k$ there are $b \geq 0$ customers at the bar and m occupied tables with $t_i > 0$ customers at the i th table, $1 \leq i \leq m$, the new customer $N + 1$ sits

- at the bar with probability $\mathbb{P}\{N + 1 <_c \text{Bar}\} = \frac{b + \theta_2}{c_N}$
- at table t_i , $1 \leq i \leq m \leq N$ of sizes $|t_i|$ with probability

$$\mathbb{P}\{N + 1 <_c t_i\} = \frac{|t_i| - a}{c_N}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

or at new new table with probability

$$\mathbb{P}\{N + 1 <_c t_{m+1}\} = \frac{m \cdot a + (n + 1)\theta_1}{c_N}.$$

Remark 16 (Boundary cases). In the boundary case $\theta_2 = 0$ the bar stays empty and can be neglected. In the case $\theta_1 = 0$ all customers go to the bar, but no one stays at restaurant(s).

Remark 17 (More cocktail bars). One may readily generalize the process in various ways. For example, new bars could open at times $p, 2p, \dots$; or bars open according to a period $p_2 \neq p_1 = p$.

We can readily define a new family $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta, \ell}$ of increasing trees with immigration, whose branching structure correspond to the new process with a bar. Here α, β and ℓ denote positive real parameters. Let the quantity C_n be given by

$$C_n = (N + 1)(1 + \alpha) + n\ell - 1 + \ell - \alpha + \beta, = N(1 + \alpha) + (n + 1)\ell + \beta.$$

⁵Our choice of parameters slightly differs from [51].

In the following we distinguish between three types of nodes: first, the root of the bar tree called r_{bar} (labeled zero); second, the original root labeled zero, as well as the root nodes created at times $p, 2p, \dots$, and third the ordinary nodes labeled $1, 2, \dots$ inserted into the forest.

- Step 1: The process starts with two roots labeled by 0: a special "bar root" r_{bar} , as well as another root node.
- Step $i+1$ with $i \geq 0$: the node with label $i+1$ is attached to any previous non-root ordinary node v with out-degree $d(v)$ in the increasing forest with i ordinary non-root nodes with probabilities $p(v) = \frac{d(v) + \alpha}{C_i}$. Additionally, the node with label $i+1$ is attached to any previously created new root nodes v , there are $\lfloor \frac{i}{p} \rfloor$ many of them, as well as the original root, with probability given by $p(v) = \frac{d(v) + \ell}{C_i}$.
The bar root node v attracts node $i+1$ with probability $p(v) = \frac{d(v) + \beta}{C_i}$.
- Step $i+1$, with $i+1 \bmod p = 0$: a new root is created.

The correspondence between the increasing trees and the restaurant process with a bar follows now similar to the proof of Theorem 16. The only new ingredient is the connection between the tree rooted at the bar root node and the bar itself. The rest of the argument can be copied verbatim. Assume that the bar tree B has consists of b non-root nodes.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}\{N+1 <_c B\} &= \sum_{v \in B} \mathbb{P}\{N+1 <_c v\} \\
&= \sum_{v \in B \setminus \{r_{\text{bar}}\}} \mathbb{P}\{N+1 <_c v\} + \mathbb{P}\{N+1 <_c r_{\text{bar}}\} \\
&= \sum_{v \in B \setminus \{r_{\text{bar}}\}} \frac{d(v) + \alpha}{C_N} + \frac{d(r_{\text{bar}}) + \beta}{C_N} = \frac{b + b\alpha + \beta}{N(1 + \alpha) + (n+1)\ell + \beta} \\
&= \frac{b + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+1}}{N + (n+1)\frac{\ell}{\alpha+1} + \frac{\beta}{\alpha+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $a = \frac{1}{1+\alpha}$, $\theta_1 = \frac{\ell}{1+\alpha}$ and $\theta_2 = \frac{\beta}{1+\alpha}$ leads to the following theorem.

Theorem 17 (Increasing trees and Chinese restaurant process with a single bar and competition). *Given a random partition of $\{1, \dots, N\}$ generated by the Chinese restaurant process with immigration and a bar, parameters $0 < a < 1$, $\theta_1, \theta_2 > 0$ and duration $p \in \mathbb{N}$. The random partition can be generated equivalently by the growth process of the family of a modified generalized plane-oriented recursive trees $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha, \beta, \ell}$, with immigration parameter p , when generating such a tree of size $N+1$ with label set $\{0, 1, \dots, N\}$. This family of trees starts with two roots labeled by 0: a special "bar root", as well as an ordinary root node. The parameters a, θ_1, θ_2 and $\alpha, \beta, \ell > 0$, respectively, are related via*

$$a = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha}, \quad \theta_1 = \frac{\ell}{1 + \alpha}, \quad \theta_2 = \frac{\beta}{1 + \alpha}.$$

8. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

8.1. Summary. We introduced and analyzed a generalized Pólya-Young urn model. We obtained exact formulas for the distribution and the moments of the number of white balls, as well as limit laws. The limit laws involve the Beta distribution, the generalized Gamma distribution and also the local time of noise-reinforced Bessel process. We also added second order limit theorems, stating a central limit theorem for the martingale tails sums. Crucial for these results is the martingale structure of the urn model. Furthermore, we generalized ordinary increasing trees by allowing nodes to immigrate, leading to increasing

forests. This new model was shown to be directly connected to generalized Pólya-Young urn models. Moreover, we also looked at periodic triangular urns, which also generalize the Pólya-Young urn model. For this class of urns the limit law also involves the Mittag-Leffler distribution with three parameters.




FIGURE 7. A schematic overview of the different connections for generalized Pólya-Young urns and periodic triangular urn; we also collected (in gray) the connections established before in [5].

Finally, we related both urn models and increasing trees with immigration to a new version of the chinese restaurant process, where new restaurants open and compete with the previously established restaurants for customers. The analysis of many parameters in the chinese restaurant process, as well as increasing trees with immigration and also periodic d -Stirling permutations can be reduced to the analysis of the corresponding urn model.

Urn model	Chinese restaurant process with competition	Increasing trees with competition
Generalized Pólya-Young	Number of costumers of specific restaurant	Descendants of label/root j
Periodic Triangular	Number of tables of specific restaurant	Degree of label/root j
Periodic urn for branches	Tables structure of specific restaurant	Branching structure of a tree

TABLE 1. Connection between certain parameters in urn models, the chinese restaurant process, as well as increasing trees. Additional connections to periodic d -Stirling permutations are given in Section 6.

8.2. Outlook. There are plenty of open questions and new directions for further investigations; see also the discussion in Subsection 7.1 and the connections summarized in Table 1. From the viewpoint of urn models the effect of periodicity to urn models with a normal limit or so-called large urns (or mixture models) has not been investigated. It is also of interest to extend the current work to unbalanced (triangular) urn models. Additionally, one may look at urn models with randomized entries and study the effect of the periodicity. Furthermore, the analysis of parameters in increasing trees with immigration, as well as the chinese restaurant process with competition is certainly of interest; in particular, a

combinatorial model for increasing trees with immigration is highly desirable, as it would enable us to use analytic combinatorial frameworks and schemes. As mentioned earlier, the author plans to report on limit laws for the branching structure and the table sizes in the chinese restaurant process in subsequent work, as well as for the different label-dependent limit laws in increasing trees with immigration.

Finally, we introduce an even more general urn model.

Definition 13 (Pólya urns associated to a sequence). Given different replacement $m \times m$ matrices A_1, A_2, \dots and a sequence of positive integers $(b_n)_{n \geq 1}$. A Pólya urn associated to the sequence (b_n) applies at time n the replacement matrix A_{b_n} .

Example 3 (Two-type ordinary periodic Pólya urns). Let $m = 2$. We choose two replacement matrices A_1 and A_2 for two different colors. Let the integer $p > 1$. We consider the periodic sequence

$$b_n = \begin{cases} 2, & n \in p\mathbb{N}, \\ 1, & n \notin p\mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we apply $M_1 = \dots = M_{p-1} = A_1$ and at every p th step we apply matrix $M_p = A_2$.

Example 4 (Two-type Pólya-Young urns associated to a sequence). Let again $m = 2$. We choose two replacement matrices A_1 and A_2 , given by

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \ell \\ 0 & \sigma + \ell \end{pmatrix}.$$

and a sequence (b_n) with $b_n \in \{1, 2\}$. We note that for all such sequences the finite time results of Theorem 4 stay valid with N replaced by n , except for the asymptotics of g_N . However, we expect that the asymptotic analysis of g_N for general classes of sequences b_n is much involved, as the total number of balls at time n becomes more irregular. For example, consider the (shifted) Thue-Morse sequence $b_n = t_n + 1$, with $t_0 = 0$, $t_{2n} = t_n$, $t_{2n+1} = 1 - t_n$. Moreover, an interesting question is at what frequency the effect of A_2 is negligible and to determine the corresponding sequences (b_n) and their properties.

Similarly, we can readily define increasing trees with immigration according to sequence (b_n) , a corresponding model of a chinese restaurant process with competition, or d -Stirling permutations associated to (b_n) , and their parameters correspond to our urn models of Examples 3 and 4.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author thanks Cyril Banderier and Michael Wallner for discussions about Pólya-Young urns and also about their connection to urn models with multiple drawings, which initiated this research. Furthermore, the author is indebted to Alois Panholzer for encouragement and interesting discussions about increasing trees with immigration, label-based parameters and urns models.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing financial or personal interests that influenced the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. ADDARIO-BERRY, L. DEVROYE, G. LUGOSI, AND V. VELONA, *Broadcasting on random recursive trees*, Annals of Applied Probability, 32 (2022), pp. 497–528.
- [2] R. ARRATIA, L. GOLDSTEIN, AND F. KOCHMAN, *Size bias for one and all*, 2018.
- [3] C. BANDERIER, M. KUBA, AND M. WALLNER, *Phase transitions of composition schemes: Mittag-leffler and mixed poisson distributions*. Accepted for publication in Annals of Applied Probability, 2022.

- [4] C. BANDERIER, P. MARCHAL, AND M. WALLNER, *Periodic Pólya Urns and an Application to Young Tableaux*, in 29th International Conference on Probabilistic, Combinatorial and Asymptotic Methods for the Analysis of Algorithms (AofA 2018), J. A. Fill and M. D. Ward, eds., vol. 110 of Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs), Dagstuhl, Germany, 2018, Schloss Dagstuhl–Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik, pp. 11:1–11:13.
- [5] C. BANDERIER, P. MARCHAL, AND M. WALLNER, *Periodic pólya urns, the density method and asymptotics of young tableaux*, *Ann. Probab.*, 48 (2020), pp. 1921–1965.
- [6] C. BANDERIER AND M. WALLNER, *Young Tableaux with Periodic Walls: Counting with the Density Method*, *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, 85B, Article 85B.47 (2021), p. 12 pp.
- [7] A.-L. BARABÁSI AND R. ALBERT, *Emergence of scaling in random networks*, *Science*, 286 (1999), pp. 509–512.
- [8] F. BERGERON, P. FLAJOLET, AND B. SALVY, *Varieties of increasing trees*, *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 581 (1992), pp. 24–48.
- [9] J. BERTOIN, *On the local times of noise reinforced besel processes*, *Annales Henri Lebesgue*, 5 (2022), pp. 1277–1294.
- [10] K. A. BOROVKOV AND V. A. VATUTIN, *On the asymptotic behaviour of random recursive trees in random environments*, *Advances in Applied Probability*, 38 (2006), pp. 1047–1070.
- [11] T. CARLEMAN, *Sur les équations intégrales singulières à noyau réel et symétrique*, Uppsala Universitets Årsskrift, 1923.
- [12] D. A. DARLING AND M. KAC, *On occupation times for Markoff processes*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 84 (1957), pp. 444–458.
- [13] A. DAVIDSON AND M. D. WARD, *The characterization of tenable pólya urns*, *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 135 (2018), pp. 38–43.
- [14] M. DRMOTA, *Random Trees*, Springer, 2009.
- [15] P. DUMAS, P. P. FLAJOLET, AND V. PUYHAUBERT, *Some exactly solvable models of urn process theory*, in Fourth Colloquium on Mathematics and Computer Science Algorithms, Trees, Combinatorics and Probabilities, *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 2006, pp. 59–118.
- [16] F. EGGENBERGER AND G. PÓLYA, *Über die Statistik verketteter Vorgänge*, *Z. Angew. Math. Mech.*, 3 (1923), pp. 279–290.
- [17] ———, *Sur l'interprétation de certaines courbes de fréquence*, *C. R. Acad. Sc.*, 187 (1928), pp. 870–872.
- [18] W. FELLER, *Fluctuation theory of recurrent events*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 67 (1949), pp. 98–119.
- [19] ———, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications. Vol. II*, Second edition (1st ed.: 1966), John Wiley & Sons, 1971.
- [20] P. FLAJOLET AND R. SEDGEWICK, *Analytic Combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [21] M. FRÉCHET AND J. SHOCHAT, *A proof of the generalized second limit theorem in the theory of probability*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 33 (1931), pp. 533–543.
- [22] I. GESSEL, *A note on stirling permutations*. (Originally written in 1978), 2020.
- [23] I. GESSEL AND R. P. STANLEY, *Stirling polynomials*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 24 (1978), pp. 24–33.
- [24] C. GOLDSCHMIDT AND B. HAAS, *A line-breaking construction of the stable trees*, *Electron. J. Probab.*, 20 (2015), p. 24. Id/No 16.
- [25] R. GOUET, *A martingale approach to strong convergence in a generalized pólya–eggenberger urn model*, *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, 8 (1989), pp. 225–228.
- [26] ———, *Martingale functional central limit theorems for a generalized pólya urn*, *Annals of Probability*, 21 (1993), pp. 1624–1639.
- [27] ———, *Strong convergence of proportions in a multicolor pólya urn*, *J. Appl. Probab.*, 34 (1997), pp. 426–435.
- [28] P. HALL AND C. C. HEYDE, *Martingale limit theory and its application. Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1980. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 196.
- [29] C. C. HEYDE, *On central limit and iterated logarithm supplements to the martingale convergence theorem*, *Journal of Applied Probability*, 14 (1977), pp. 758–775.
- [30] N. K. J. A. FILL AND A. PANHOLZER., *Destruction of very simple trees*, *Algorithmica*, 46 (2006), pp. 345–366.
- [31] L. F. JAMES, *Generalized Mittag Leffler distributions arising as limits in preferential attachment models*, arXiv, (2015).
- [32] S. JANSON, *Functional limit theorems for multitype branching processes and generalized Pólya urns*, *Stochastic Processes Appl.*, 110 (2004), pp. 177–245.
- [33] ———, *Asymptotic degree distribution in random recursive trees*, *Random Structures Algorithms*, 26 (2005), pp. 69–83.
- [34] ———, *Plane recursive trees, stirling permutations and an urn model*, in Proceedings of Fifth Colloquium on Mathematics and Computer Science, vol. AG, *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, Proceedings AG, 2008, pp. 541–548.

- [35] ———, *Moments of Gamma type and the Brownian supremum process area*, Probab. Surv., 7 (2010), pp. 1–52. With [addendum](#) on pages 207–208.
- [36] S. JANSON, M. KUBA, AND A. PANHOLZER, *Generalized Stirling permutations, families of increasing trees and urn models*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 118 (2011), pp. 94–114.
- [37] N. L. JOHNSON AND S. KOTZ, *Urn Models and Their Application*, John Wiley, New York, 1977.
- [38] L. M. KOGANOV, *Uspekhi mat. nauk*, J. Iran. Stat. Soc. (JIRSS), 51 (2004), pp. 165–166. English translation in Russian Math. Surveys 51, no. 2, 333–335, 1996.
- [39] M. KUBA AND A. PANHOLZER, *Analysis of label-based parameters in increasing trees.*, in Proceedings of Fourth Colloquium on Mathematics and Computer Science, vol. AG, Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, Proceedings AG, 2006, pp. 321–330.
- [40] ———, *Descendants in increasing trees*, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 13 (2006).
- [41] ———, *A combinatorial approach for analyzing the number of descendants in increasing trees and related parameters*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, 32 (2009), pp. 91–114.
- [42] ———, *Analysis of statistics for generalized stirling permutations*, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, 20 (2011), pp. 875–910.
- [43] ———, *Analysis of statistics for generalized stirling permutations*, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, 20 (2011), pp. 875–910.
- [44] ———, *On moment sequences and mixed Poisson distributions*, Probab. Surv., 13 (2016), pp. 89–155.
- [45] ———, *A note on the limit law of one-sided tree destruction*. Arxiv, 2023.
- [46] ———, *Tree evolution processes for bucket increasing trees*, Discrete Mathematics, 346 (2023), p. 113443.
- [47] M. KUBA AND H. SULZBACH, *On martingale tail sums in affine two-color urn models with multiple drawings*, Journal of Applied Probability, 54 (2017), pp. 1–21.
- [48] H. MAHMOUD, *Pólya Urn Models*, Chapman-Hall, Orlando, 2008.
- [49] H. MAHMOUD AND R. SMYTHE, *On the distribution of leaves in rooted subtrees of recursive trees*, Annals of Applied Probability, 1 (1991), pp. 406–418.
- [50] ———, *A survey of recursive trees*, Theoretical Probability and Mathematical Statistics, 51 (1995), pp. 1–37.
- [51] M. MÖHLE, *A restaurant process with cocktail bar and relations to the three-parameter mittag-leffler distribution*, J. Appl. Probab., 58 (2021), pp. 978–1006.
- [52] T. F. MÓRI, *The maximum degree of the Barabási–Albert random tree*, Combin. Probab. Comput., 14 (2005), pp. 339–348.
- [53] K. MORRIS, A. PANHOLZER, AND H. PRODINGER, *On some parameters in heap ordered trees*, Combinatorics, Probability & Computing, 13 (2004), pp. 677–696.
- [54] A. PANHOLZER AND H. H. PRODINGER, *The level of nodes in increasing trees revisited*, Random Structures and Algorithms, 31 (2007), pp. 203–226.
- [55] S. K. PARK, *Inverse descents of r -multipermutations*, Discrete Math., 132 (1994), pp. 215–229.
- [56] ———, *p -partitions and q -stirling numbers*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 68 (1994), pp. 33–52.
- [57] ———, *The r -multipermutations*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A, 67 (1994), pp. 44–71.
- [58] J. PITMAN, *Exchangeable and partially exchangeable random partitions*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields, 102 (1995), pp. 145–158.
- [59] J. PITMAN, *Combinatorial Stochastic Processes*, vol. 1875 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, 2006. Lectures from the 32nd Summer School on Probability Theory held in Saint-Flour, July 2002.
- [60] H. PRODINGER, *Descendants in heap ordered trees - or - a triumph of computer algebra*, Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 3 (# R29) (1996).
- [61] T. SIMON, *A note on the α -sun distribution*, Electron. Commun. Probab., 28 (2023), pp. 1–13.
- [62] J. SZYMAŃSKI, *On a nonuniform random recursive tree*, Annals of Discrete Mathematics, 33 (1987), pp. 297–306.
- [63] D. WILLIAMS, *Probability with Martingales*, Cambridge mathematical textbooks, Cambridge University Press, 1991.

MARKUS KUBA, DEPARTMENT APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF APPLIED SCIENCES - TECHNIKUM WIEN, HÖCHSTÄDTPLATZ 5, 1200 WIEN