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#### Abstract

In this paper, we mainly establish the existence of at least three non-trivial solutions for a class of nonhomogeneous quasilinear elliptic systems with Dirichlet boundary value or Neumann boundary value in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $N \geq 1$. We exploit the method which is based on [6]. This method let us obtain the concrete open interval about the parameter $\lambda$. Since the quasilinear term depends on $u$ and $\nabla u$, it is necessary for our proofs to use the theory of monotone operators and the skill of adding one dimension to space.
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## 1 Introduction and main results

Stuart [30] established the result of at least two non-negative weak solutions for the following nonhomogeneous problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\phi\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla u\right)+\phi\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right) u=\lambda u+h, \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\
u(x)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $h \in L^{2}(\Omega), h \geq 0, \Omega$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \phi \in C([0,+\infty), \mathbb{R}), \Phi(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \phi(\tau) d \tau$ and satisfies
$\left(\Phi_{1}\right) \Phi(0)=0, \phi=\Phi^{\prime}$ is non-increasing on $[0,+\infty)$ and $\phi(\infty)=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \phi(s)>0 ;$
( $\Phi_{2}$ ) putting $\Phi\left(s^{2}\right)=g(s)$, there exists $\rho>0$ such that

$$
g(t) \geq g(s)+g^{\prime}(s)(t-s)+\rho(t-s)^{2}, \quad \text { for all } s, t \geq 0
$$

$\left(\Phi_{3}\right) \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Phi(s)-\Phi^{\prime}(s) s\right)<+\infty$.
( $\Phi 2$ ) is used to ensure the ellipticity of (1.1). More specifically, when $\phi(\infty)+\phi(\infty) \lambda_{1}<\lambda<\phi(0)+\phi(\infty) \lambda_{1}$, he obtained results that the equation (1.1) has a trivial solution and another non-negative non-trivial weak solution for $h \equiv 0$ and if there exists $H_{\lambda}$ and $0<\|h\|_{2}=\left[\int_{\Omega} h^{2} d x\right]^{1 / 2}<H_{\lambda}$, the equation (1.1) has at least two distinct non-negative weak solutions. He claimed that in both cases one is a strict local minimum solution and the other is a mountain pass type solution.

In the equation (1.1), the quasilinear term $\phi$ depends on $\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}$ which drives from a nonlinear optical model. The optical model described the propagation about self-trapped transverse magnetic field modes in a cylindrical optical fiber made from a self-focusing dielectric material. For more details, see [26 29].

[^0]In recent years, there have been some studies on the particular quasilinear term $\phi$. For example, Jeanjean and Rădulescu [14] extended the results in [30]. They studied the following second order quasilinear elliptic equation:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\phi\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla u\right)+\phi\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right) u=f(u)+h, \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\
u=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $h \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ is non-negative, $\Omega$ is a bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $N \geq 1$. They optimized the assumptions about the quasilinear part $\phi$. Specifically, they assumed that $\phi$ satisfies the following conditions:
$\left(\phi_{1}\right) \phi \in C([0,+\infty), \mathbb{R})$ and there exist some constants $0<\phi_{\min } \leq \phi_{\max }$ such that

$$
\phi_{\min } \leq \phi(s) \leq \phi_{\max }, \quad s \in[0,+\infty)
$$

$\left(\phi_{2}\right)$ the function $s \mapsto \Phi\left(s^{2}\right)$ is convex on $\mathbb{R}$,
where the condition $\left(\phi_{2}\right)$ ensures the ellipticity of (1.2) and is weaker than $\left(\Phi_{2}\right)$. They obtained that the problem (1.2) has a non-negative solution, when $h \ngtr 0$ and $f$ satisfies sublinear growth. For $h \equiv 0$, they got the result that the equation (1.2) has a non-trivial solution if $f$ satisfies sublinear growth. Meanwhile, they also claimed the nonexistence of solutions under some appropriate conditions. They deduced that the problem (1.2) has at least one non-negative solution for $\|h\|_{2}$ sufficiently small and the solution is non-trivial if $h \not \equiv 0$, when $f$ satisfies linear growth, and $\Phi$ satisfies $\left(\phi_{2}\right)$ and the following condition,
$\left(\phi_{3}\right) \phi \in C([0,+\infty), \mathbb{R})$, there exist some constants $0<\phi_{\min } \leq \phi_{\max }$ such that

$$
\phi_{\min } \leq \phi(s) \leq \phi_{\max }, \quad s \in[0,+\infty)
$$

and there exists $\phi(\infty)>0$ such that $\phi(s) \rightarrow \phi(\infty)$ as $s \rightarrow \infty$.
They also claimed that the problem (1.2) has at least two non-trivial non-negative solutions for $h \not \equiv 0$ and at least a non-trivial non-negative solution for $h \equiv 0$ when $\phi$ satisfies $\left(\phi_{3}\right)$ and the following condition:
$\left(\phi_{4}\right)$ the function $s \mapsto \Phi\left(s^{2}\right)$ is strictly convex on $\mathbb{R}$.
What's more, combining the mountain pass theorem and some analytical skills, Pomponio and Watanabe 18] got that the equation (1.2) has a radial ground state solution $u$ and $u \in C^{1, \sigma}$ for some $\sigma \in(0,1)$, when $h \equiv 0$ and the nonlinearity satisfies a variant of Berestycki-Lions' conditions.

In this paper, we first establish the existence of at least three non-trivial solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems with Dirichlet boundary value:

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla u\right)+\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right) u=\lambda G_{u}(x, u, v), & x \in \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\ -\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla v\right)+\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right) v=\lambda G_{v}(x, u, v), & x \in \Omega \\ u=v=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a non-empty bounded open subset in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 1,|\Omega|:=\operatorname{vol}(\Omega)=\int_{\Omega} 1 d x<+\infty, \lambda$ is a positive constant, $(u, v) \in W:=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\Phi_{i}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} \phi_{i}(\tau) d \tau, i=1,2$. We assume that $\phi_{i}$ satisfies $\left(\phi_{4}\right)$ and the following conditions:
$\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime} \phi_{i} \in C([0,+\infty), \mathbb{R})$ and there exist constants $0<\phi_{i}^{0} \leq \phi_{i}^{m}$, such that

$$
\phi_{i}^{0} \leq \phi_{i}(s) \leq \phi_{i}^{m}, \quad \text { for all } s \in[0,+\infty)
$$

And the nonlinearity $G(x, \cdot, \cdot)$ is $C^{1}$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and satisfies $G(\cdot, s, t)$ is measurable in $\Omega$ for any $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and the following assumptions:
$\left(G_{1}\right)$ there exist non-negative constants $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $p, q \in\left[1,2^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|G_{s}(x, s, t)\right| \leq a_{1}+a_{2}|s|^{p-1}, \quad\left|G_{t}(x, s, t)\right| \leq a_{1}+a_{2}|t|^{q-1}, \quad \forall(x, s, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}
$$

$\left(G_{2}\right) G(x, s, t) \geq 0$ for every $(x, s, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $G(x, 0,0)=0$;
$\left(G_{3}\right)$ there exist contants $0<\alpha, \beta<2$ and $b>0$ such that

$$
G(x, s, t) \leq b\left(1+|s|^{\alpha}+|t|^{\beta}\right)
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and every $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(G_{4}\right)$ there exist contants $\gamma_{1}>0$ and $\sigma_{1}>0$ with $\sigma_{1}>\gamma_{1} \tau$, such that

$$
\frac{\inf _{x \in \Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}>2 a_{1} \frac{A_{1}}{\gamma_{1}}+a_{2} A_{2} \gamma_{1}^{p-2}+a_{2} A_{3} \gamma_{1}^{q-2}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{1}=C_{1} \phi_{m}\left(\frac{1}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad A_{2}=\frac{\left(C_{p}\right)^{p} \phi_{m}}{p}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{p / 2} \\
& A_{3}=\frac{\left(C_{q}\right)^{q} \phi_{m}}{q}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{q / 2}, \quad \tau=\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\left(\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\right] \frac{\pi^{N / 2}}{\Gamma(1+N / 2)} D^{N}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$a_{1}, a_{2}$ are given in $\left(G_{1}\right), \phi_{0}=\min \left\{\phi_{1}^{0}, \phi_{2}^{0}\right\}, \phi_{m}=\max \left\{\phi_{1}^{m}, \phi_{2}^{m}\right\}, D:=\sup _{x \in \Omega} \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega), C_{1}, C_{p}$ and $C_{q}$ denote the embedding constants. Specifically, fixing $p \in\left[1,2^{*}\right]$, there exists the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)$ which is continuous and there exists a positive constant $C_{p}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p} \leq C_{p}\|\nabla u\|_{2} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)$ is compact when $p \in\left[1,2^{*}\right) . H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ denotes the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}$ in the Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$, equipped with the inner product and norm

$$
(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v d x, \quad\|u\|_{0}=(u, u)^{1 / 2}
$$

where $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is defined as a space of all functions $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and the partial derivative $D^{\alpha} u \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ for $0 \leq|\alpha| \leq 1 . L^{p}(\Omega)(1 \leq p<\infty)$ denotes the Lebesgue space with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{p}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p}
$$

By simple caculation, there exists $x_{0} \in \Omega$ such that the ball $B\left(x_{0}, D\right) \subseteq \Omega$ and meas $\left(B\left(x_{0}, D\right)\right)=\frac{\pi^{N / 2}}{\Gamma(1+N / 2)} D^{N}$.
Presently, the existence of three solutions for p-Laplacian equations and systems has been followed and many excellent results have been obtained. In [21], Ricceri studied a class of elliptic eigenvalue problems:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=\lambda[f(u)+\mu g(u)], \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.6}\\
u(x)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is bounded, $N \geq 3, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, f, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two continuous functions. Combining 20, Theorem $1]$ and [19, Corollary 1], he obtained the existence of three non-trivial solutions for (1.6) when $\lambda>0$ and $|\mu|$ is small enough. [21, Remarks 5.3 and 5.4] shows that there is a trivial solution of (1.6) for $g \equiv 0$. That is, there are only two non-trivial solutions for $g \equiv 0$. Then, Ricceri improved the result of [21, Theorem 3.1] to [22, Theorem 1] which shows that the conclusion of [21, Theorem 3.1] holds for each $\lambda$ in an open sub-interval of an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ by replacing the convexity of the operator term with sequential weak lower semicontinuity. Under the additional assumption that the operator term is bounded on each bounded set of $\Omega$, Ricceri [23] reached the existence of three solutions for each $\lambda$ in a non-empty open interval $A$ and $A \subseteq I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. However, neither 22] or 23] gave the further information on the size and location of the set of $A$. Hereafter, a new three critical points theorem was established in 24], which ensures that the conclusion of Theorem 1 in [23] actually holds for each compact interval A contained in $(a,+\infty)$, where $a$ is given, please refer to [24] for details. Three critical points theorems for non-differentiable functionals were established in [4], when the functions $f, g$ may be discontinuous on $u$, which extended the results previously in [22] from differentiable functionals to non-smooth functionals and determined a precise interval of parameter $\lambda$.

In [3, Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 ], Bonanno also got a well-determined interval of parameter $\lambda$ in the smooth and the non-smooth framework for the functionals which have at least three critical points under weaker regularity and compactness conditions. In [6], the existence of three non-trivial solutions for non-autonomous elliptic Dirichlet problems without any small perturbation of the nonlinearity is presented:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta u=\lambda f(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.7}\\
u(x)=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function and satisfies the following assumptions:
$\left(F_{1}\right)$ there exist two non-negative constants $a_{1}, a_{2}$ and $q \in\left[1,2^{*}\right)$ such that

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq a_{1}+a_{2}|t|^{q-1}, \quad \forall(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}
$$

$\left(F_{2}\right) F(x, \xi) \geq 0$ for every $(x, \xi) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$;
$\left(F_{3}\right)$ there exist contants $0<s<2$ and $b>0$ such that

$$
F(x, \xi) \leq b\left(1+|\xi|^{s}\right)
$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$;
$\left(F_{4}\right)$ there exist contants $\gamma>0$ and $\delta>0$ with $\delta>\gamma \kappa$, such that

$$
\frac{\inf _{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}{\delta^{2}}>a_{1} \frac{K_{1}}{\gamma}+a_{2} K_{2} \gamma^{q-2}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are given in $\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $\kappa, K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are given in equation (10) of [6].
They reached that the equation (1.7) has at least three non-trivial solutions in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ for each parameter $\lambda$ belonging to

$$
\Lambda_{\gamma, \delta}:=\left(\frac{2\left(2^{N}-1\right)}{D^{2}} \frac{\delta^{2}}{\inf _{x \in \Omega} F(x, \delta)}, \frac{2\left(2^{N}-1\right)}{D^{2}} \frac{1}{a_{1} K_{1} / \gamma+a_{2} K_{2} \gamma^{q-2}}\right)
$$

The method they used is based on a recent three critical points theorem [3, Theorem 3.6] for differentiable functional, which can be rewrited as 6, Theorem 2.1].
Theorem I. (6, Theorem 2.1]) Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space, $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a coercive, continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*}, \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact such that

$$
\Phi(0)=\Psi(0)=0
$$

Assume that there exist $r>0$ and $\bar{x} \in X$, with $r<\Phi(\bar{x})$, such that:
$\left(a_{1}\right) \frac{\sup _{\Phi(x) \leq r} \Psi(x)}{r}<\frac{\Psi(\bar{x})}{\Phi(\bar{x})} ;$
( $a_{2}$ ) for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda}:=\left(\frac{\Phi(\bar{x})}{\Psi(\bar{x})}, \frac{r}{\sup _{\Phi(x) \leq r} \Psi(x)}\right)$, the functional $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ is coercive.
Then, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\lambda}$ the functional $\Phi-\lambda \Psi$ has at least three distinct critical points in $X$.
Moreover, using variational methods and three non-trivial critical points theorem in [3, Theorem 3.6] or a more precise version of [6, Theorem 2.1], Bonanno-Heidarkhani-O'regan proved the existence of three non-zero solutions for a gradient nonlinear Dirichlet elliptic system driven by a $(p, q)$-Laplacian operator in [5], when $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is bounded and $p, q>N \geq 1$. In [1], Averna and Bonanno investigated the existence of three non-trivial weak solutions for the Dirichlet elliptic system in [5], when $N \geq 3,1<q \leq p<N$ and $f$ satisfies some appropriate assumptions. The results in [1] determine an appropriate interval about the parameter $\lambda$ which improve the conclusions in 2], 5] and 15].

Meanwhile, the existence of three solutions for the Neumann problem is also followed. In [9], applying Theorem 1 of [22], Bonanno and Candito proved the existence of three non-trivial weak solutions for the Neumann problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\Delta_{p} u+a(x)|u|^{p-2} u=\lambda f(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.8}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is bounded, $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, p>N \geq 1, a \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, ess $\inf _{x \in \Omega} a(x)>0$ and $\nu$ is the outward unit normal to $\partial \Omega$. In [12], applying the result which is obtained in [3] and a more precise version of [4, Theorem 3.2 ], D'Agui and Bisci proved the existence of at least three non-zero solutions for the problem of (1.8) and determined a precise interval of values of the parameter $\lambda$, when $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is bounded, $N \geq p>1$. Using [4, Theorem 3.2 ], Bonanno-Bisci-Rădulescu [8] studied the following quasilinear elliptic Neumann problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}(\alpha(|\nabla u|) \nabla u)+\alpha(|u|) u=\lambda f(x, u), \quad x \in \Omega  \tag{1.9}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ is bounded, $N \geq 3$, and

$$
\varphi(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha(|t|) t, \quad \text { for } t \neq 0 \\
0, \quad \text { for } t=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

They obtained that the Neumann problem of (1.9) has at least three nontrivial solutions, when $p_{0}>N \geq 3$, where

$$
p_{0}:=\inf _{t>0} \frac{t \varphi(t)}{\Phi(t)}, \quad \Phi(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \varphi(s) d s
$$

For a class of quasilinear elliptic systems involving the $p(x)$-Laplace operator with Neumann boundary condition, the existence of at least three solutions is also established in [33] by using the three critical points theorem in [23].

Inspired by [5, 66, 8, 33], we investigate the following non-autonomous nonhomogeneous quasilinear elliptic Neumann problem:

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla u\right)+\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right) u=\lambda G_{u}(x, u, v), & x \in \Omega  \tag{1.10}\\ -\operatorname{div}\left(\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla v\right)+\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right) v=\lambda G_{v}(x, u, v), & x \in \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a non-empty bounded open subset of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 1, \lambda$ is a positive constant, $(u, v) \in$ $X:=H^{1}(\Omega) \times H^{1}(\Omega)$. We mainly study the existence of at least three non-trivial solutions for (1.10), under the assumptions $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime},\left(\phi_{4}\right),\left(G_{1}\right)-\left(G_{3}\right)$ and the following condition:
$\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime}$ there exist two positive contants $\gamma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{2}$, with $\sigma_{2}>\gamma_{2} \bar{\tau}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\inf _{x \in \Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}>2 a_{1} \frac{\bar{A}_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}+a_{2} \bar{A}_{2} \gamma_{2}^{p-2}+a_{2} \bar{A}_{3} \gamma_{2}^{q-2} \\
\bar{A}_{1}=c_{1} \phi_{m}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \bar{A}_{2}=\frac{\left(c_{p}\right)^{p} \phi_{m}}{p}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{p / 2} \\
\bar{A}_{3}=\frac{\left(c_{q}\right)^{q} \phi_{m}}{q}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{q / 2}, \quad \bar{\tau}=\tau=\frac{\sigma_{2}}{\left(\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{2}^{2}}{2}\right)\right] \frac{\pi^{N / 2}}{\Gamma(1+N / 2)} D^{N}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{1.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are given in $\left(G_{1}\right), \phi_{0}, \phi_{m}$ are given in $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}, c_{1}, c_{p}$ and $c_{q}$ are the embedding constants which are related with the embedding $H^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)$. That is, when $p \in\left[1,2^{*}\right), H^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)$ and the following embedding inequality holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{p} \leq c_{p}\|u\| \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$. $H^{1}(\Omega)$ denotes the space $W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Next, we show our main results.
Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\phi_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $G$ satisfy $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime},\left(\phi_{4}\right)$ and $\left(G_{1}\right)-\left(G_{4}\right)$ respectively. Then for $N \geq 1$ and each parameter $\lambda$ belonging to

$$
\Lambda_{\gamma_{1}, \sigma_{1}}:=\left(\frac{\phi_{m} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{\inf _{x \in \Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)}, \frac{\phi_{m}}{\left(2 a_{1} \frac{A_{1}}{\gamma_{1}}+a_{2} A_{2} \gamma_{1}{ }^{p-2}+a_{2} A_{3} \gamma_{1}^{q-2}\right)}\right)
$$

the problem (1.3) possesses at least three weak solutions in $W$, where $A_{1}, A_{2}$ and $A_{3}$ are given in (1.4).
Particularly, for $N=1$, since the embedding $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ is compact, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
C:=\sup _{u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{\infty}}{\|u\|_{0}}<\infty . \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without the assumption $\left(G_{1}\right)$ and replacing $\left(G_{4}\right)$ with the following condition $\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime \prime}$, $\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ there exist contants $\gamma_{1}>0$ and $\sigma_{1}>0$ with $\sigma_{1}>\gamma_{1} \tau$, such that

$$
\frac{\inf _{x \in \Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}>b\left(A_{11} \gamma_{1}^{-2}+A_{21} \gamma_{1}^{\alpha-2}+A_{31} \gamma_{1}^{\beta-2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{11}=\phi_{m}|\Omega|, \quad A_{21}=\phi_{m}|\Omega| C^{\alpha}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{\alpha / 2} \\
& A_{31}=b|\Omega| C^{\beta}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{\beta / 2}, \quad \tau=\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\left(\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\right]|\Omega|\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{1.14}
\end{align*}
$$

we also get the existence of three non-zero solutions as the following.
Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\phi_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $G$ satisfy $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime},\left(\phi_{4}\right),\left(G_{2}\right)-\left(G_{3}\right)$ and $\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. Then for $N=1$ and each parameter $\lambda$ belonging to

$$
\bar{\Lambda}_{\gamma_{1}, \sigma_{1}}:=\left(\frac{\phi_{m} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{\inf _{x \in \Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)}, \frac{\phi_{m}}{b\left(A_{11} \gamma_{1}^{-2}+A_{21} \gamma_{1}^{\alpha-2}+A_{31} \gamma_{1}^{\beta-2}\right)}\right)
$$

the problem (1.3) possesses at least three weak solutions in $W$, where $A_{11}, A_{21}$ and $A_{31}$ are given in (1.14).
For the problem (1.10), by replacing $\left(G_{4}\right)$ with $\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime}$, we can reach the following conclusion.
Theorem 1.3. Assume that $\phi_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $G$ satisfy $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime},\left(\phi_{4}\right)$ and $\left(G_{1}\right)-\left(G_{3}\right)$ and $\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime}$ respectively. Then for $N \geq 1$ and each parameter $\lambda$ belonging to

$$
\Lambda_{\gamma_{2}, \sigma_{2}}:=\left(\frac{\phi_{m} \sigma_{2}^{2}}{\inf _{x \in \Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)}, \frac{\phi_{m}}{\left(2 a_{1} \frac{\bar{A}_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}+a_{2} \bar{A}_{2} \gamma_{2}^{p-2}+a_{2} \bar{A}_{3} \gamma_{2}^{q-2}\right)}\right)
$$

the problem (1.10) possesses at least three non-zero solutions in $X$, where $\bar{A}_{1}, \bar{A}_{2}$ and $\bar{A}_{3}$ are given in (1.11).
Furthermore, for $N=1$, the embedding $H^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ is compact, and it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
c:=\sup _{u \in H^{1}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|u\|_{\infty}}{\|u\|}<\infty . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce the existence of three non-zero solutions for the problem (1.10) when $N=1$. The condition $\left(G_{1}\right)$ is not needed and we replace $\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime}$ with the following condition $\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}$,
$\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}$ there exist two positive contants $\gamma_{3}$ and $\sigma_{3}$, with $\sigma_{3}>\gamma_{3} \hat{\tau}$ such that

$$
\frac{\inf _{x \in \Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{3}\right)}{\sigma_{3}^{2}}>b\left(\hat{A}_{1} \gamma_{3}^{-2}+\hat{A}_{2} \gamma_{3}^{\alpha-2}+\hat{A}_{3} \gamma_{3}^{\beta-2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{A}_{1}=\phi_{m}|\Omega|, \quad \hat{A}_{2}=\phi_{m}|\Omega|(c)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{\alpha / 2} \\
& \hat{A}_{3}=\phi_{m}|\Omega|(c)^{\beta}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{\beta / 2}, \quad \hat{\tau}=\tau=\frac{\sigma_{3}}{\left(\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{3}^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{3}^{2}}{2}\right)\right]|\Omega|\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

and $a_{1}, a_{2}$ are given in $\left(G_{1}\right)$.
Theorem 1.4. Assume that $\phi_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $G$ satisfy $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime},\left(\phi_{4}\right)$ and $\left(G_{1}\right)-\left(G_{3}\right)$ and $\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}$ respectively. Then for $N=1$ and each parameter $\lambda$ belonging to

$$
\Lambda_{\gamma_{3}, \sigma_{3}}:=\left(\frac{\phi_{m} \sigma_{3}^{2}}{\inf _{x \in \Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{3}, \sigma_{3}\right)}, \frac{\phi_{m}}{b\left(\hat{A}_{1} \gamma_{3}^{-2}+\hat{A}_{2} \gamma_{3}{ }^{\alpha-2}+\hat{A}_{3} \gamma_{3}^{\beta-2}\right)}\right)
$$

the problem (1.10) possesses at least three weak solutions in $X$, where $\hat{A}_{1}, \hat{A}_{2}$ and $\hat{A}_{3}$ are given in (1.16).

## 2 Preliminaries

Clearly, the corresponding functional of (1.3) is defined on $W=H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(u, v)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} G(x, u, v) d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the space $W$ equiped with the norm

$$
\|(u, v)\|_{W}=\|u\|_{0}+\|v\|_{0}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}+\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

With the similar arguements in [35, Appendix A.1], from $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(G_{1}\right)$, one has $J \in C^{1}(W, \mathbb{R})$. For any $(u, v)$ and $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \in W$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle J^{\prime}(u, v),\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right\rangle= & \int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)\left(u u_{1}+\nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{1}\right)+\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)\left(v v_{1}+\nabla v \cdot \nabla v_{1}\right)\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{u}(x, u, v) u_{1}+G_{v}(x, u, v) v_{1}\right] d x \\
= & \left\langle J_{u}(u, v), u_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle J_{v}(u, v), v_{1}\right\rangle \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, the corresponding functional of (1.10) is defined on $X=H^{1}(\Omega) \times H^{1}(\Omega)$ by

$$
L(u, v)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} G(x, u, v) d x
$$

The space $X=H^{1}(\Omega) \times H^{1}(\Omega)$ equiped with the norm

$$
\|(u, v)\|_{X}=\|u\|+\|v\|=\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d x\right]^{1 / 2}+\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right) d x\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

From $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(G_{1}\right)$, it is easy to know $L \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$. For any $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$ and $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right) \in X$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle L^{\prime}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}),\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right\rangle= & \int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{1}\left(\frac{\bar{u}^{2}+|\nabla \bar{u}|^{2}}{2}\right)\left(\bar{u} u_{2}+\nabla \bar{u} \cdot \nabla u_{2}\right)+\phi_{2}\left(\frac{\bar{v}^{2}+|\nabla \bar{v}|^{2}}{2}\right)\left(\bar{v} v_{2}+\nabla \bar{v} \cdot \nabla v_{2}\right)\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{u}(x, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) u_{2}+G_{v}(x, \bar{u}, \bar{v}) v_{2}\right] d x \\
= & \left\langle L_{\bar{u}}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}), u_{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle L_{\bar{v}}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}), v_{2}\right\rangle \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

First, we recall a convergence result [16, Lemma 6] about the monotone operators.
Proposition 2.1. Let $X$ be a finite dimensional real Hilbert space with norm $|\cdot|$ and inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. Assume that $\beta \in C(X, X)$ which is strictly monotone, that is

$$
\left\langle\beta(\eta)-\beta\left(\eta_{1}\right), \eta-\eta_{1}\right\rangle>0, \quad \text { for any } \eta, \eta_{1} \in X \text { with } \eta \neq \eta_{1}
$$

Setting $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\} \subset X, \eta \in X$ and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left\langle\beta\left(\eta_{n}\right)-\beta(\eta), \eta_{n}-\eta\right\rangle=0
$$

Then $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}$ converges to $\eta$ in $X$.
Similar to [14, Lemma 3.4], we have the following conclusion,
Lemma 2.2. Assume that $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(\phi_{4}\right)$ hold. Let $\beta: \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N+1}$ given by

$$
\beta(\eta)=\phi\left(\frac{|\eta|^{2}}{2}\right) \eta
$$

Then $\beta$ is strictly monotone.
Proof. Let $\eta=(u, \nabla u)$ and $\eta_{1}=(\bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u})$. Following from $\left(\phi_{4}\right)$, it is easy to see that $\phi\left(\frac{t^{2}}{2}\right) t$ is strictly increasing on $\mathbb{R}$. In the view of [14, Lemma 3.4], it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\phi\left(\frac{|\eta|^{2}}{2}\right) \eta-\phi\left(\frac{\left|\eta_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) \eta_{1}, \eta-\eta_{1}\right\rangle>0, \quad \text { for any } \eta, \eta_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{N+1} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we obtain that $\beta$ strictly monotone.
Remark 2.3. In this paper, the quasilinear term depends on a function of $\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}$ but not $|\nabla u|$. This particular quasilinear term makes our problems are different from the problems about p-Laplacian[6, 15] and $\Phi$-Laplacian [8, 31]. To obtain the continuity of $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ and $\left(I_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$, it is necessary for our arguments to use the theory of monotone operators and the skill of adding one dimension to space. The definition of $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ and $\left(I_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ will be given in section 3 and section 4.

## 3 Dirichlet boundary Problem

In this section, we will give the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. Firstly, we drive some lemmas those are useful for the proofs.

In order to study problem (1.3), we put the functional $I_{1}, \Upsilon_{1}: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}(u, v)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon_{1}(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} G(x, u, v) d x \quad \forall(u, v) \in W \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.1. Proofs of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.1. Assume that $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(\phi_{4}\right)$ hold. Then functional $I_{1} \in C^{1}(W, \mathbb{R})$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, coercive and whose Gâteaux derivative $I_{1}^{\prime}$ admits a continuous inverse $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ on the dual space $W^{*}$ of $W$.
Proof. Similar to [14, Lemma 3.2 (ii)], from $\left(\phi_{4}\right)$, it is easy to know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}(u, v)-I_{1}(\bar{u}, \bar{v})-\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}),(u, v)-(\bar{u}, \bar{v})\right\rangle \geq 0, \quad \text { for any }(u, v),(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in W, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $I_{1}$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, that is

$$
I_{1}(u, v) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{1}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right), \quad \text { for }\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup(u, v) \text { in } W
$$

Following from $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$, (3.1) and the Mean value theorems for definite integrals, there exist $\xi_{1}^{x} \in\left(0, \frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)$ and $\xi_{2}^{x} \in\left(0, \frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)$ for every $x \in \Omega$, such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}(u, v) & =\int_{\Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{\left(u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right) / 2} \phi_{1}(s) d s+\int_{0}^{\left(v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right) / 2} \phi_{2}(t) d t\right) d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{1}\left(\xi_{1}^{x}\right) \frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}+\phi_{2}\left(\xi_{2}^{x}\right) \frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right] d x \\
& \geq \frac{\phi_{0}}{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\phi_{0}}{4}\|(u, v)\|_{W}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $I_{1}(u, v) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|(u, v)\|_{W} \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence, $I_{1}$ is coercive.
Next, we claim that $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \in C\left(W^{*}, W\right)$. From $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ and (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|I_{1}^{\prime}(u, v)\right\|_{W^{*}} & =\sup _{\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \in W /\{0\}} \frac{\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}(u, v),\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right\rangle}{\left\|\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right\|_{W}} \\
& \geq \frac{\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle}{\|(u, v)\|_{W}} \\
& =\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)\left(u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)\left(v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right)\right] d x}{\|(u, v)\|_{W}} \\
& \geq \frac{\phi_{0}\|u\|_{0}^{2}+\phi_{0}\|v\|_{0}^{2}}{\|(u, v)\|_{W}} \\
& =\frac{\phi_{0}\|(u, v)\|_{W},}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $(u, v) \in W$. This shows that $I_{1}^{\prime}$ is coercive in $W$. Let $\eta=(u, \nabla u), \eta_{1}=(\bar{u}, \nabla \bar{u}), \xi=(v, \nabla v)$ and $\xi_{1}=(\bar{v}, \nabla \bar{v})$. Following from (2.2), (2.4) and (3.1), it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}(u, v)-I_{1}^{\prime}(\bar{u}, \bar{v}),(u, v)-(\bar{u}, \bar{v})\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{\Omega} \phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)[u(u-\bar{u})+\nabla u \cdot(\nabla u-\nabla \bar{u})] d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)[v(v-\bar{v})+\nabla v \cdot(\nabla v-\nabla \bar{v})] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} \phi_{1}\left(\frac{(\bar{u})^{2}+|\nabla \bar{u}|^{2}}{2}\right)[\bar{u}(u-\bar{u})+\nabla \bar{u} \cdot(\nabla u-\nabla \bar{u})] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\int_{\Omega} \phi_{2}\left(\frac{(\bar{v})^{2}+|\nabla \bar{v}|^{2}}{2}\right)[\bar{v}(v-\bar{v})+\nabla \bar{v} \cdot(\nabla v-\nabla \bar{v})] d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{1}\left(\frac{|\eta|^{2}}{2}\right) \eta-\phi_{1}\left(\frac{\left|\eta_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) \eta_{1}\right] \cdot\left(\eta-\eta_{1}\right) d x+\int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{2}\left(\frac{|\xi|^{2}}{2}\right) \xi-\phi_{2}\left(\frac{\left|\xi_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) \xi_{1}\right] \cdot\left(\xi-\xi_{1}\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
>0
$$

It holds that $I_{1}^{\prime}$ is strictly monotone in $W$. From $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$, it is easy to see that $I_{1}^{\prime}$ is continuous. The continuity of $I_{1}^{\prime}$ shows $I_{1}^{\prime}$ is hemicontinuous. Together with [34, Theorem 26] and the fact that $I_{1}^{\prime}$ is strictly monotone in $W$, it holds that there exists the inverse $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ of $I_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ is bounded in $W^{*}$. Next, we claim that $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ is continuous by proving the inverse $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ of $I_{1}^{\prime}$ is sequentially continuous. Put any sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\} \subset W^{*}$ such that $w_{n} \rightarrow w \in W^{*}$. Let $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)=\left(I_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\left(w_{n}\right)$ and $(u, v)=\left(I_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(w)$. We will prove that $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow(u, v)$ in $W$. Following from $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ is bounded and $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $W^{*}$, it holds that $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded in $W$. From the fact that $W$ is a reflexive Banach Space, we have $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ in $W$, which shows that $u_{n} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v_{0}$ in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, respectively. From the boundness of $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$ and $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $W^{*}$, it holds that

$$
\left\langle w_{n}-w,\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-I_{1}^{\prime}(u, v),\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ in $W$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}(u, v),\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right),\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right),\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right),\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right\rangle\right] \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right),\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right\rangle \\
= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) u_{n}-\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u_{0}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) u_{0}\right]\left(u_{n}-u_{0}\right) d x\right. \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla u_{n}-\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u_{0}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla u_{0}\right] \cdot\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u_{0}\right) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) v_{n}-\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v_{0}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) v_{0}\right]\left(v_{n}-v_{0}\right) d x \\
& \left.+\int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla v_{n}-\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v_{0}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla v_{0}\right] \cdot\left(\nabla v_{n}-\nabla v_{0}\right) d x\right\} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Define operators $\psi_{i}: H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow\left(H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$, where $i=1,2$, and

$$
\left\langle\psi_{1}(u), u_{1}\right\rangle:=\int_{\Omega} \phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)\left(u u_{1}+\nabla u \cdot \nabla u_{1}\right) d x \quad \forall u, u_{1} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\psi_{2}(v), v_{1}\right\rangle:=\int_{\Omega} \phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)\left(v v_{1}+\nabla v \cdot \nabla v_{1}\right) d x \quad \forall v, v_{1} \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

From Lemma 2.2, it has that both $\psi_{1}$ and $\psi_{2}$ are strictly monotone in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. Together with (3.7), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) u_{n}-\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u_{0}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) u_{0}\right]\left(u_{n}-u_{0}\right) d x\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla u_{n}-\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u_{0}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla u_{0}\right] \cdot\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u_{0}\right) d x\right\} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) v_{n}-\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v_{0}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) v_{0}\right]\left(v_{n}-v_{0}\right) d x\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla v_{n}-\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v_{0}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla v_{0}\right] \cdot\left(\nabla v_{n}-\nabla v_{0}\right) d x\right\} . \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.6), (3.8) and (3.9), it is easy to obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u_{0}\right)+\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla u_{n} \cdot\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u_{0}\right)\right] d x \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) v_{n}\left(v_{n}-v_{0}\right)+\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right) \nabla v_{n} \cdot\left(\nabla v_{n}-\nabla v_{0}\right)\right] d x \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [14, Lemma 3.5], we get the conclusion that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla u_{n}(x) \rightarrow \nabla u(x), \quad \text { a.e. in } \Omega . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (3.3), let $u=u_{0}, v=v_{0}, \bar{u}=u_{n}$ and $\bar{v}=v_{n}$. Combining (3.10) and (3.11), it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{1}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \leq I_{1}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to [14, Lemma 5.4] or [18, Lemma 2.3], let $j(s, t)=\Phi_{1}\left(s^{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(t^{2}\right), p_{n}=h_{n}-h$ and $q_{n}=g_{n}-g$, where

$$
h_{n}=\left(\frac{u_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad h=\left(\frac{u_{0}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
g_{n}=\left(\frac{v_{n}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{n}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad g=\left(\frac{v_{0}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{0}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Following from $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(\phi_{4}\right)$, it is easy to know that the function $j$ is continuous, strictly convex on $\mathbb{R}$ and $j(0,0)=0$. Using [14, Lemma 5.4] and (3.12), it holds that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left[j\left(h+p_{n}, g+q_{n}\right)-j\left(p_{n}, q_{n}\right)-j(h, g)\right] d x \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

That is,

$$
I_{1}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-I_{1}\left(u_{n}-u_{0}, v_{n}-v_{0}\right)-I_{1}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Together with (3.13) and the fact that $I_{1} \geq 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{1}\left(u_{n}-u_{0}, v_{n}-v_{0}\right) \\
& \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left[I_{1}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-I_{1}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{1}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-I_{1}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{1}\left(u_{n}-u_{0}, v_{n}-v_{0}\right)=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.1), it holds

$$
I_{1}(u, v) \geq \frac{\phi_{0}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}+v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right) d x \geq 0, \quad \text { for any }(u, v) \in W
$$

Put $u=u_{n}-u_{0}$ and $v=v_{n}-v_{0}$. Following (3.14), it has

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{1}\left(u_{n}-u_{0}, v_{n}-v_{0}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\phi_{0}}{2} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(u_{n}-u_{0}\right)^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u_{0}\right|^{2}+\left(v_{n}-v_{0}\right)^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{n}-\nabla v_{0}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& \geq 0 \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

We get $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$ in $W$. Together with the continuity of $I_{1}^{\prime}$, this shows $I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightarrow I_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=I_{1}^{\prime}(u, v)$ in $W^{*}$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $I_{1}^{\prime}$ is continuous and strictly monotone in $W^{*}$, it has $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=(u, v)$. Therefore, we conclude that $\left(I_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ is continuous.
Lemma 3.2. Assume that $\left(G_{1}\right)-\left(G_{4}\right)$ hold. Then the functional $\Upsilon_{1} \in C^{1}(W, \mathbb{R})$ with compact derivative. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\Upsilon_{1}^{\prime}(u, v),\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \Upsilon_{1 u}(u, v) u_{1} d x+\int_{\Omega} \Upsilon_{1 v}(u, v) v_{1} d x \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \in W$.
Proof. Following from $\left(G_{1}\right)$, (1.5) and (3.2), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Upsilon_{1}(u, v) & \leq \int_{\Omega}\left[a_{1}(|u|+|v|)+a_{2} \frac{|u|^{p}}{p}+a_{2} \frac{|v|^{q}}{q}\right] d x \\
& \leq a_{1} C_{1}\|\nabla u\|_{2}+a_{1} C_{1}\|\nabla v\|_{2}+\frac{a_{2}\left(C_{p}\right)^{p}}{p}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{p}+\frac{a_{2}\left(C_{q}\right)^{q}}{q}\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\Upsilon_{1}(u, v)$ is well defined in $W$. From $\left(G_{1}\right)$, it is easy to know that $\Upsilon_{1} \in C^{1}(W, \mathbb{R})$ and the equation (3.16) holds.
To reach the compactness of $\Upsilon_{1}^{\prime}$, we put any sequence $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\} \subset W$ which is bounded. Following from the reflexivity of $W$ and the Sobolev embedding Theorem, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\left\{\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)\right\}$, such that $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \rightharpoonup\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in W$, and $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $v_{n} \rightarrow v_{0}$ in $L^{q}(\Omega)$, respectively. Similar to 32], following from (3.16), the Hölder's inequality and the Sobolev embedding inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\Upsilon_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\Upsilon_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right),\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right| \\
= & \left|\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{u}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{u}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right] u_{1} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{v}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{v}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right] v_{1} d x\right| \\
\leq & \bar{C}\left\{\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|G_{u}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{u}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|^{p /(p-1)} d x\right]^{(p-1) / p}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|G_{v}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{v}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|^{q /(q-1)} d x\right]^{(q-1) / q}\right\}\left\|\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right\|_{W} \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \in W$, where $\bar{C}$ is a positive constant. Meanwhile, by (3.17) and the continuity of $G_{u}$ and $G_{v}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|G_{u}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{u}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|^{p /(p-1)} d x \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|G_{v}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{v}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|^{q /(q-1)} d x \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.17), (3.18) and (3.19), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\Upsilon_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\Upsilon_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right\|_{*} \\
& =\sup _{\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \in W,\left\|\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\right\|=1}\left|\left\langle\Upsilon_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-\Upsilon_{1}^{\prime}\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right),\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\right\rangle\right| \\
& =\sup _{\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \in W,\left\|\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\right\|=1}\left|\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{u}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{u}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right] \phi_{1} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{v}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{v}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right] \phi_{2} d x\right| \\
& \leq \sup _{\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \in W,\left\|\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\right\|=1}\left\{\int_{\Omega}\left|\left[G_{u}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{u}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right] \phi_{1}\right| d x+\int_{\Omega}\left|\left[G_{v}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{v}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right] \phi_{2}\right| d x\right\} \\
& \leq \sup _{\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \in W,\left\|\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\right\|=1}\left\{\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|G_{u}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{u}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|^{p /(p-1)} d x\right]^{(p-1) / p}\left\|\phi_{1}\right\|_{p}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\int_{\Omega} \mid G_{v}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{v}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)^{q /(q-1)} d x\right]^{(q-1) / q}\left\|\phi_{2}\right\|_{q}\right\} \\
& \leq \sup _{\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \in W,\left\|\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\right\|=1}\left\{C_{p}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|G_{u}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{u}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|^{p /(p-1)} d x\right]^{(p-1) / p}\left\|\nabla \phi_{1}\right\|_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+C_{q}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|G_{v}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{v}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|^{q /(q-1)} d x\right]^{(q-1) / q}\left\|\nabla \phi_{2}\right\|_{2}\right\} \\
& \leq C\left\{\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|G_{u}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{u}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|^{p /(p-1)} d x\right]^{(p-1) / p}\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\int_{\Omega}\left|G_{v}\left(x, u_{n}, v_{n}\right)-G_{v}\left(x, u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|^{q /(q-1)} d x\right]^{(q-1) / q}\right\}\left\|\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right)\right\|_{W} \\
& =0 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, we reach the conclusion that $\Upsilon_{1}^{\prime}$ is compact.
Next, we will claim the assumptions $\left(a_{1}\right)$ and $\left(a_{2}\right)$ in Theorem I are satisfied. Following from $\left(G_{1}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, \xi, \zeta) \leq a_{1}(|\xi|+|\zeta|)+a_{2} \frac{|\xi|^{p}}{p}+a_{2} \frac{|\zeta|^{q}}{q}, \quad \text { for every }(x, \xi, \zeta) \in(\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r_{1} \in(0,+\infty)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(r_{1}\right):=\frac{\sup _{(u, v) \in I_{1}^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)} \Upsilon_{1}(u, v)}{r_{1}} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following from (1.5) and (3.20), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\Upsilon_{1}(u, v) & =\int_{\Omega} G(x, u, v) d x \\
& \leq a_{1}\|u\|_{1}+a_{1}\|v\|_{1}+a_{2} \frac{\|u\|_{p}^{p}}{p}+a_{2} \frac{\|v\|_{q}^{q}}{q} \\
& \leq a_{1} C_{1}\|\nabla u\|_{2}+a_{1} C_{1}\|\nabla v\|_{2}+a_{2}\left(C_{p}\right)^{p} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{p}}{p}+a_{2}\left(C_{q}\right)^{q} \frac{\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{q}}{q} \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

Following from (3.4), for every $(u, v) \in W$ and $I_{1}(u, v) \leq r_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
I_{1}(u, v) \geq \frac{\phi_{0}}{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right)  \tag{3.23}\\
\frac{1}{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}+\|\nabla v\|_{2}\right)^{2} \leq\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2} \leq \frac{2 r_{1}}{\phi_{0}} \tag{3.24}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{2}+\|\nabla v\|_{2} \leq 2\left(\frac{r_{1}}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Together with (3.22), it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{(u, v) \in I_{1}^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)} \Upsilon_{1}(u, v) \leq 2 a_{1} C_{1}\left(\frac{r_{1}}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{a_{2}\left(C_{p}\right)^{p}}{p}\left(\frac{2 r_{1}}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{p / 2}+\frac{a_{2}\left(C_{q}\right)^{q}}{q}\left(\frac{2 r_{1}}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{q / 2} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following from (3.21) and (3.25), it holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(r_{1}\right) \leq 2 a_{1} C_{1}\left(\frac{1}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2} r_{1}^{-1 / 2}+\frac{a_{2}\left(C_{p}\right)^{p}}{p}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{p / 2} r_{1}^{p / 2-1}+\frac{a_{2}\left(C_{q}\right)^{q}}{q}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{q / 2} r_{1}^{q / 2-1}, \quad r_{1}>0 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
u_{\sigma_{1}}(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
0, & \text { if } x \in \Omega \backslash B\left(x_{0}, D\right) \\
\sigma_{1}, & \text { if } x \in B\left(x_{0}, D\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
v_{\sigma_{1}}(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
0, & \text { if } x \in \Omega \backslash B\left(x_{0}, D\right) \\
\sigma_{1}, & \text { if } x \in B\left(x_{0}, D\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly, $\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right) \in W$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right) & =\int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{u_{\sigma_{1}}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{\sigma_{1}}^{2}\right|}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{v_{\sigma_{1}}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{\sigma_{1}}^{2}\right|}{2}\right)\right] d x \\
& =\int_{B\left(x_{0}, D\right)}\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d x \\
& =\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\right] \operatorname{meas}\left(B\left(x_{0}, D\right)\right) \\
& =\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\right] \frac{\pi^{N / 2}}{\Gamma(1+N / 2)} D^{N} \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

From $\left(G_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Upsilon_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right) & =\int_{\Omega} G\left(x, u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right) d x \\
& \geq \int_{B\left(x_{0}, D\right)} G\left(x, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) d x \\
& \geq \inf _{\Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right) \frac{\pi^{N / 2}}{\Gamma(1+N / 2)} D^{N} \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.2), (3.27), (3.28) and $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\Upsilon_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right)}{I_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right)} & \geq \frac{\inf _{\Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)}{\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\right]} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\phi_{m}} \frac{\inf G\left(x, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)}{\sigma_{1}^{2}} . \tag{3.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\tau=\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\left[I_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}$. Then $I_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right)=\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}}{\tau}\right)^{2}$. Taking into account that $\sigma_{1}>\gamma_{1} \tau$, it holds $\gamma_{1}^{2}<$ $I_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right)$. Together with (3.21), (3.26), (3.29) and $\left(G_{4}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}\right): & =\frac{\sup _{(u, v) \in I_{1}^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, \gamma_{1}^{2}\right]\right)} \Upsilon_{1}(u, v)}{\gamma_{1}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{2 a_{1} C_{1}}{\gamma_{1}}\left(\frac{1}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{a_{2}\left(C_{p}\right)^{p}}{p}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{p / 2} \gamma_{1}^{p-2}+\frac{a_{2}\left(C_{q}\right)^{q}}{q}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{q / 2} \gamma_{1}^{q-2} \\
& =\frac{1}{\phi_{m}}\left(2 a_{1} \frac{A_{1}}{\gamma_{1}}+a_{2} A_{2} \gamma_{1}^{p-2}+a_{2} A_{3} \gamma_{1}^{q-2}\right) \\
& <\frac{1}{\phi_{m}} \frac{\inf _{\Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)}{\sigma_{1}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{\Upsilon_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right)}{I_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the condition $\left(a_{1}\right)$ in Theorem I is satisfied.
Moreover, for every $u, v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega), 0<\alpha<2$ and $0<\beta<2$, it is easy to know that

$$
|u|^{\alpha} \in L^{2 / \alpha}(\Omega), \quad|v|^{\beta} \in L^{2 / \beta}(\Omega) .
$$

Together with the Hölder's inequality, it has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha} d x \leq\|u\|_{2}^{\alpha}|\Omega|^{(2-\alpha) / 2}, \quad \forall u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|v|^{\beta} d x \leq\|v\|_{2}^{\beta}|\Omega|^{(2-\beta) / 2}, \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following from (1.5), (2.1), (3.30), (3.31) and $\left(G_{3}\right)$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u, v) & \geq \frac{\phi_{0}}{2}\left(\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|v\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right)-\lambda b \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|u|^{\alpha}+|v|^{\beta}\right) d x \\
& \geq \frac{\phi_{0}}{2}\left(\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|v\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right)-\lambda b|\Omega|-\lambda b\|u\|_{2}^{\alpha}|\Omega|^{(2-\alpha) / 2}-\lambda b\|v\|_{2}^{\beta}|\Omega|^{(2-\beta) / 2} \\
& \geq \frac{\phi_{0}}{2}\left(\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right)-\lambda b|\Omega|-\lambda b\left(C_{2}\right)^{\alpha}|\Omega|^{(2-\alpha) / 2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{\alpha}-\lambda b\left(C_{2}\right)^{\beta}|\Omega|^{(2-\beta) / 2}\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{\beta} \\
& \geq \frac{\phi_{0}}{4}\|(u, v)\|_{W}^{2}-\lambda b|\Omega|-\lambda b\left(C_{2}\right)^{\alpha}|\Omega|^{(2-\alpha) / 2}\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{\alpha}-\lambda b\left(C_{2}\right)^{\beta}|\Omega|^{(2-\beta) / 2}\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{\beta},
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $(u, v) \in W$. Therefore, $J(u, v)$ is coercive for every positive constant $\lambda$. Particularly, $J(u, v)$ is coercive for every $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\gamma_{1}, \sigma_{1}} \subseteq\left(\frac{I_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right)}{\Upsilon_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right)}, \frac{\gamma_{1}^{2}}{\sup _{(u, v) \in I_{1}^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, \gamma_{1}^{2}\right)\right)} \Upsilon_{1}(u, v)}\right)$. This shows that the condition $\left(a_{2}\right)$ in Theorem

I is satisified. Therefore, the conditions of Theorem I are satisfied. That is, we can reach the conclusion that the problem (1.3) has at least three non-trivial weak solutions which are the critical point of the functional $J$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\gamma_{1}, \sigma_{1}}$.

### 3.2. Proofs of Theorem 1.2.

Since $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{0}(\bar{\Omega})$ is compact, when $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and is a bounded domain with $N=1$. It holds the Sobolev embedding inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\infty} \leq C\|u\|_{0}<+\infty \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and $\|u\|_{\infty}:=\sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}|u(x)|$. It is easy to prove that both Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2 hold. Following from $\left(G_{3}\right)$ and (3.32), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\Upsilon_{1}(u, v) & =\int_{\Omega} G(x, u, v) d x \leq b \int_{\Omega}\left(1+|u|^{\alpha}+|v|^{\beta}\right) d x \\
& \leq b|\Omega|+b|\Omega|\|u\|_{\infty}^{\alpha}+b|\Omega|\|v\|_{\infty}^{\beta} \\
& \leq b|\Omega|+b(C)^{\alpha}|\Omega|\|u\|_{0}^{\alpha}+b(C)^{\beta}|\Omega|\|v\|_{0}^{\beta} \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $I_{1}(u, v) \leq r_{1}$. Following from (3.23) and (3.24), it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{0} \leq\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2} r_{1}^{1 / 2}, \quad\|v\|_{0} \leq\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2} r_{1}^{1 / 2}, \quad\|u\|_{0}+\|v\|_{0} \leq 2\left(\frac{r_{1}}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.33), (3.34) and $\left(G_{3}\right)$, it follows that

$$
\Upsilon_{1}(u, v) \leq b|\Omega|+b|\Omega|(C)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{\alpha / 2} r_{1}^{\alpha / 2}+b(C)^{\beta}|\Omega|\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{\beta / 2} r_{1}^{\beta / 2}
$$

for $I_{1}(u, v) \leq r_{1}$. Thus, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{1}\left(r_{1}\right) & =\frac{\sup _{(u, v) \in I_{1}^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{1}\right]\right)} \Upsilon_{1}(u, v)}{r_{1}} \\
& \leq b|\Omega| r_{1}^{-1}+b|\Omega|(C)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{\alpha / 2} r_{1}^{\alpha / 2-1}+b|\Omega|(C)^{\beta}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{\beta / 2} r_{1}^{\beta / 2-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
u_{\sigma_{1}}(x):=\sigma_{1} \quad v_{\sigma_{1}}(x):=\sigma_{1}, \quad \text { for } x \in \Omega
$$

Clearly, $\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right) \in X$. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right) & =\int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{u_{\sigma_{1}}^{2}+\left|\nabla u_{\sigma_{1}}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{v_{\sigma_{1}}^{2}+\left|\nabla v_{\sigma_{1}}\right|^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d x \\
& =\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\right]|\Omega|
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Upsilon_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right)}{I_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right)} & \geq \frac{\inf _{\Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)}{\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\right]} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\phi_{m}} \frac{\inf _{\Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Following from $\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime \prime}$, put $r_{1}=\gamma_{1}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(\gamma_{1}^{2}\right): & =\frac{\sup _{(u, v) \in I_{1}^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, \gamma_{1}^{2}\right]\right)} \Upsilon_{1}(u, v)}{\gamma_{1}^{2}} \\
& \leq b|\Omega| \gamma_{1}^{-2}+b|\Omega|(C)^{\alpha}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{\alpha / 2} \gamma_{1}^{\alpha-2}+b|\Omega|(C)^{\beta}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{\beta / 2} \gamma_{1}^{\beta-2} \\
& =\frac{1}{\phi_{m}}\left(b A_{11} \gamma_{1}^{-2}+b A_{21} \gamma_{1}^{\alpha-2}+b A_{31} \gamma_{1}^{\beta-2}\right) \\
& <\frac{1}{\phi_{m}} \frac{G\left(x, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)}{\sigma_{1}^{2}} \\
& \leq \frac{\Upsilon_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}}, v_{\sigma_{1}}\right)}{I_{1}\left(u_{\sigma_{1}} v_{\sigma_{1}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This claims that the assumption $\left(a_{1}\right)$ in Theorem I holds.
Moreover, one has

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha} d x \leq\|u\|_{\infty}^{\alpha}|\Omega|, \quad \forall u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

and

$$
\int_{\Omega}|v|^{\beta} d x \leq\|v\|_{\infty}^{\beta}|\Omega|, \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
$$

Combining with (3.32), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha} d x \leq\|u\|_{\infty}^{\alpha}|\Omega| \leq(C)^{\alpha}\|u\|_{0}^{\alpha}|\Omega|, \quad \forall u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|v|^{\beta} d x \leq\|v\|_{\infty}^{\beta}|\Omega| \leq(C)^{\beta}\|v\|_{0}^{\beta}|\Omega|, \quad \forall v \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following from $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime},\left(G_{3}\right)$, (2.1) (3.35) and (3.36), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u, v)= & \int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} G(x, u, v) d x \\
\geq & \int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} \lambda b d x-\int_{\Omega} \lambda b|u|^{\alpha} d x-\int_{\Omega} \lambda b|v|^{\beta} d x \\
\geq & \frac{\phi_{0}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left(u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}+v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right) d x-\lambda b|\Omega| \\
& -\lambda b\|u\|_{\infty}^{\alpha}|\Omega|-\lambda b\|v\|_{\infty}^{\beta}|\Omega| \\
\geq & \frac{\phi_{0}}{2}\left(\|u\|_{0}^{2}+\|v\|_{0}^{2}\right)-\lambda b|\Omega|-\lambda b(C)^{\alpha}\|u\|_{0}^{\alpha}|\Omega|-\lambda b(C)^{\beta}\|v\|_{0}^{\beta}|\Omega|, \quad \forall(u, v) \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha, \beta<2, J$ is a coercive functional for every positive parameter $\lambda$, and so for every

$$
\lambda \in \bar{\Lambda}_{\gamma_{1}, \sigma_{1}}=\left(\frac{\phi_{m} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{\inf _{x \in \Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{1}\right)}, \frac{\phi_{m}}{b\left(A_{11} \gamma_{1}^{-2}+A_{21} \gamma_{1}^{\alpha-2}+A_{31} \gamma_{1}^{\beta-2}\right)}\right) .
$$

This shows that $\left(a_{2}\right)$ in Theorem I holds. All these imply $J$ has at least three non-trivial critical points for any $\lambda \in \bar{\Lambda}_{\gamma_{1}, \sigma_{1}}$. We complete the proofs of Theorem 1.2.

## 4 Neuman boundary problem

In this section, we will give the proofs of Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 by replacing $\left(G_{4}\right)$ with $\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime \prime \prime}$ respectively. This proofs are similar to section 3 , here we mainly state the proofs related to $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ and the embedding inequality (1.12) or (1.15)

In order to study problem (1.10), we put the functional $I_{2}, \Upsilon_{2}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{2}(u, v)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d x \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon_{2}(u, v)=\int_{\Omega} G(x, u, v) d x, \quad \forall(u, v) \in X \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.1. Proofs of Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 4.1. Assume that $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ and $\left(\phi_{4}\right)$ hold. Then functional $I_{2} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is sequentially weakly lower semicontinuous, coercive, bounded on each bounded subset of $X$, and whose Gâteaux derivative $I_{2}^{\prime}$ admits a continuous inverse $\left(I_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ on the dual space $X^{*}$ of $X$.
Proof. The arguements are similar to Lemma 3.1. Following from $\left(\phi_{4}\right)$, it holds that

$$
I_{2}(u, v) \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{2}\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)
$$

From (4.1) and $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{2}(u, v) & \geq \frac{\phi_{0}}{2}\left(\|u\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla u\|_{2}^{2}+\|v\|_{2}^{2}+\|\nabla v\|_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{\phi_{0}}{2}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|v\|^{2}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\phi_{0}}{4}\|(u, v)\|_{X}^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies $I_{2}$ is coercive.
Next, we deduce that $\left(I_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \in C\left(X^{*}, X\right)$. From (2.3) and $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left\langle I_{2}^{\prime}(u, v),(u, v)\right\rangle}{\|(u, v)\|_{X}} & =\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left[\phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)\left(u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+\phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)\left(v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right)\right] d x}{\|(u, v)\|_{X}} \\
& \geq \frac{\phi_{0}\|u\|^{2}+\phi_{0}\|v\|^{2}}{\|(u, v)\|_{X}} \\
& \geq \frac{\phi_{0}}{2}\|(u, v)\|_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $I_{2}^{\prime}$ is coercive in $X$. Similar to Lemma 3.1, we also can obtain $\left(I_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ is continuous.
By the similar arguements of Lemma 3.2, we can get the following result.
Lemma 4.2. Assume that $\left(G_{1}\right)-\left(G_{3}\right)$ and $\left(G_{4}\right)^{\prime}$ hold. Then the functional $\Upsilon_{2} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ with compact derivative. Moreover,

$$
\left\langle\Upsilon_{2}^{\prime}(u, v),\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \Upsilon_{2 u}^{\prime}(u, v) u_{1} d x+\int_{\Omega} \Upsilon_{2 v}^{\prime}(u, v) v_{1} d x
$$

for all $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \in X$.

Similar to (3.16), we can obtain

$$
\left\langle\Upsilon_{2}^{\prime}(u, v),\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} \Upsilon_{2 u}^{\prime}(u, v) u_{1} d x+\int_{\Omega} \Upsilon_{2 v}^{\prime}(u, v) v_{1} d x
$$

for all $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right) \in X$. Next, we will show the conditions $\left(a_{1}\right)$ and $\left(a_{2}\right)$ in Theorem I are satisfied. Let $r_{2} \in(0,+\infty)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(r_{2}\right):=\frac{\sup _{(u, v) \in I_{2}^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{2}\right]\right)} \Upsilon_{2}(u, v)}{r_{2}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $I_{2}(u, v) \leq r_{2}$, together with (4.3), it has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi_{0}}{2}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\|v\|^{2}\right) \leq r_{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}(\|u\|+\|v\|)^{2} \leq\|u\|^{2}+\|v\|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{\phi_{0}} r_{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\| \leq\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2} r_{2}^{1 / 2}, \quad\|v\| \leq\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2} r_{2}^{1 / 2}, \quad\|u\|+\|v\| \leq 2\left(\frac{r_{2}}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following from $\left(G_{1}\right)$, (1.12), (4.2) and (4.5)-(4.7), we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Upsilon_{2}(u, v) & \leq a_{1}\|u\|_{1}+a_{1}\|v\|_{1}+\frac{a_{2}}{p}\|u\|_{p}^{p}+\frac{a_{2}}{q}\|v\|_{q}^{q} \\
& \leq a_{1} c_{1}(\|u\|+\|v\|)+\frac{a_{2}}{p}\left(c_{p}\right)^{p}\|u\|^{p}+\frac{a_{2}}{q}\left(c_{q}\right)^{q}\|v\|^{q} \\
& \leq 2 a_{1} c_{1}\left(\frac{1}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2} r_{2}^{1 / 2}+\frac{a_{2}}{p}\left(c_{p}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{p / 2} r_{2}^{p / 2}+\frac{a_{2}}{q}\left(c_{q}\right)^{q}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{q / 2} r_{2}^{q / 2}, \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

for $I_{2}(u, v) \leq r_{2}$. Combining (4.4) and (4.8), it holds that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi_{2}\left(r_{2}\right) & =\frac{\sup _{(u, v) \in I_{2}^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, r_{2}\right]\right)} \Upsilon_{2}(u, v)}{r_{2}} \\
& \leq 2 a_{1} c_{1}\left(\frac{1}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2} r_{2}^{-1 / 2}+\frac{a_{2}}{p}\left(c_{p}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{p / 2} r_{2}^{p / 2-1}+\frac{a_{2}}{q}\left(c_{q}\right)^{q}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{q / 2} r_{2}^{q / 2-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Put

$$
u_{\sigma_{2}}(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
0, & \text { if } x \in \Omega \backslash B\left(x_{0}, D\right) \\
\sigma_{2}, & \text { if } x \in B\left(x_{0}, D\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
v_{\sigma_{2}}(x):=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
0, & \text { if } x \in \Omega \backslash B\left(x_{0}, D\right) \\
\sigma_{2}, & \text { if } x \in B\left(x_{0}, D\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that $\bar{\tau}=\frac{\sigma_{2}}{\left[I_{2}\left(u_{\sigma_{2}}, v_{\sigma_{2}}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}$. Then, $I_{2}\left(u_{\sigma_{2}}, v_{\sigma_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{\sigma_{2}}{\bar{\tau}}\right)^{2}$. Taking into account that $\sigma_{2}>\gamma_{2} \bar{\tau}$, it holds $\gamma_{2}^{2}<$ $I_{2}\left(u_{\sigma_{2}}, v_{\sigma_{2}}\right)$.

Similar to the proofs of Theorem 1.1, from $\left(G_{4}\right)$, we get

$$
\chi_{2}\left(\gamma_{2}^{2}\right):=\frac{\sup _{(u, v) \in I_{1}^{-1}\left(\left(-\infty, \gamma_{2}^{2}\right]\right)} \Upsilon_{1}(u, v)}{\gamma_{2}^{2}}<\frac{\Upsilon_{2}\left(u_{\sigma_{2}}, v_{\sigma_{2}}\right)}{I_{2}\left(u_{\sigma_{2}}, v_{\sigma_{2}}\right)} .
$$

Therefore, the condition $\left(a_{1}\right)$ in Theorem I is satisified.
Moreover, from the Hölder inequality and $\alpha, \beta<2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha} d x \leq\|u\|_{2}^{\alpha}|\Omega|^{(2-\alpha) / 2}, \quad \forall u \in H^{1}(\Omega) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining with (1.12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha} d x \leq\left(c_{2}\right)^{\alpha}\|u\|^{\alpha}|\Omega|^{(2-\alpha) / 2}, \quad \forall u \in H^{1}(\Omega) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to (4.9) and (4.10), it also has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\beta} d x \leq\left(c_{2}\right)^{\beta}\|u\|^{\beta}|\Omega|^{(2-\beta) / 2}, \quad \forall u \in H^{1}(\Omega) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following from $\left(\phi_{1}\right)^{\prime},\left(G_{3}\right)$, (2.1) (4.10) and (4.11), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(u, v)= & \int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d x-\lambda \int_{\Omega} G(x, u, v) d x \\
\geq & \int_{\Omega}\left[\Phi_{1}\left(\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)+\Phi_{2}\left(\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} \lambda b d x-\int_{\Omega} \lambda b|u|^{\alpha} d x-\int_{\Omega} \lambda b|u|^{\beta} d x \\
\geq & \frac{\phi_{0}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}+v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}\right] d x-\lambda b|\Omega| \\
& -\lambda b\left(c_{2}\right)^{\alpha}\|u\|^{\alpha}|\Omega|^{(2-\alpha) / 2}-\lambda b\left(c_{2}\right)^{\beta}\|v\|^{\beta}|\Omega|^{(2-\beta) / 2} \\
\geq & \frac{\phi_{0}}{4}\|(u, v)\|_{X}^{2}-\lambda b|\Omega|-\lambda b\left(c_{2}\right)^{\alpha}\|u\|^{\alpha}|\Omega|^{(2-\alpha) / 2}-\lambda b\left(c_{2}\right)^{\beta}\|v\|^{\beta}|\Omega|^{(2-\beta) / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $(u, v) \in H^{1}(\Omega) \times H^{1}(\Omega)$. Hence, $L$ is a coercive functional for every positive parameter $\lambda$. So for every

$$
\lambda \in \Lambda_{\gamma_{2}, \sigma_{2}}=\left(\frac{\phi_{m} \sigma_{2}^{2}}{\inf _{x \in \Omega} G\left(x, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{2}\right)}, \frac{\phi_{m}}{\left(2 a_{1} \frac{\bar{A}_{1}}{\gamma_{2}}+a_{2} \bar{A}_{2} \gamma_{2}{ }^{p-2}+a_{2} \bar{A}_{3} \gamma_{2}^{q-2}\right)}\right)
$$

the condition $\left(a_{2}\right)$ in Theorem I holds. All these imply the problem (1.10) has at least three critical points for every $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\gamma_{2}, \sigma_{2}}$. We reach the result of Theorem 1.3.

### 4.2. Proofs of Theorem 1.4.

The proofs are similar to Theorem 1.2. We will omit them here.
Similar to [6, Example 3.1], there are some examples about Theorem 1.1.
Example. Let $\Omega$ be a non-empty bounded open subset of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Put $p, q \in\left(1,2^{*}\right), \alpha, \beta<2$ and

$$
w:=\max \left\{1, \tau,\left(A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}\right)^{1 /(p-2)} p^{1 /(p-2)},\left(A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}\right)^{1 /(q-2)} q^{1 /(q-2)}\right\}
$$

Let $r_{1}$ be a positive constant with $r_{1}>w$ and $G: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and satisfies

$$
G_{u}(x, y, z):=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1+|y|^{p-1}, & y \leq r_{1} \\
1+r_{1}^{p-\alpha}|y|^{\alpha-1}, & y>r_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
G_{v}(x, y, z):=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1+|z|^{q-1}, & z \leq r_{1} \\
1+r_{1}^{q-\beta}|z|^{\beta-1}, & z>r_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Clearly, $\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $\left(G_{2}\right)$ hold. Moreover, for any $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$, it has

$$
\begin{aligned}
G(x, s, t) & =\int_{0}^{s} G_{u}(x, y, t) d y+\int_{0}^{t} G_{v}(x, 0, z) d z \\
& \leq\left(r_{1}+\frac{r_{1}^{\alpha}}{r_{1}}\right)\left(1+|\xi|^{\max \{1, \alpha\}}\right)+\left(r_{1}+\frac{r_{1}^{\beta}}{r_{1}}\right)\left(1+|\eta|^{\max \{1, \beta\}}\right) \\
& \leq 2 \max \left\{\left(r_{1}+\frac{r_{1}^{\alpha}}{r_{1}}\right),\left(r_{1}+\frac{r_{1}^{\beta}}{r_{1}}\right)\right\}\left(1+|\xi|^{\max \{1, \alpha\}}+|\eta|^{\max \{1, \beta\}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\left(G_{3}\right)$ holds. Since $r_{1}>w$, it holds that

$$
\frac{G\left(x, r_{1}, r_{1}\right)}{r_{1}^{2}}=\frac{r_{1}^{p-2}}{p}+\frac{1}{r_{1}}+\frac{r_{1}^{q-2}}{q}+\frac{1}{r_{1}}>A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}
$$

Particularly, let $\Omega$ be an open ball with radius one in $\mathbb{R}^{N}, p=q=3 \in\left[1,2^{*}\right)$ and $\alpha=\beta=\frac{3}{2}<2$. Consider the following systems,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left[1+\left(1+\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right] \nabla u\right)+\left[1+\left(1+\frac{u^{2}+|\nabla u|^{2}}{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\right] u=\lambda G_{u}(x, u, v),  \tag{4.12}\\
-\operatorname{div}\left(\left[2+\left(1+\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)^{-1 / 3}\right] \nabla v\right)+\left[2+\left(1+\frac{v^{2}+|\nabla v|^{2}}{2}\right)^{-1 / 3}\right] v=\lambda G_{v}(x, u, v), \\
u=v=0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to see that $\phi_{0}=1$ and $\phi_{m}=3$. Following from the equations (6) and (7) in [6], we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}\right)^{1 /(p-2)} p^{1 /(p-2)} \\
= & \left(A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}\right)^{1 /(q-2)} q^{1 /(q-2)} \\
= & {\left[C_{1} \phi_{m}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{1 / 2}+\frac{\phi_{m}\left(C_{p}\right)^{p}}{p}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{3 / 2}+\frac{\phi_{m}\left(C_{q}\right)^{q}}{q}\left(\frac{2}{\phi_{0}}\right)^{3 / 2}\right] } \\
= & 3\left(A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}\right) \\
= & 3\left[3 \sqrt{2} C_{1}+2 \sqrt[2]{2^{3}}\left(C_{3}\right)^{3}\right] \\
\leq & 3\left\{3 \sqrt{2} \times \frac{\pi}{4} \sqrt[4]{3}+4 \sqrt{2} \times\left[\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{2}\right)^{1 / 4} \times(8 \pi)^{-1 / 2} \times(6)^{1 / 4}\right]^{3}\right\} \\
\approx & 14.9
\end{aligned}
$$

Put $r_{1}=\sigma_{1}=20>w$, and $G_{u}, G_{v}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denoted by

$$
G_{u}(x, y, z):=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1+y^{2}, & y \leq 20 \\
1+20 \sqrt{20 y}, & y>20
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
G_{v}(x, y, z):=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}
1+z^{2}, & z \leq 20 \\
1+20 \sqrt{20 z}, & z>20
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus, for any $\lambda \in\left(\frac{r_{1}^{2}}{G\left(x, r_{1}, r_{1}\right)}, \frac{1}{A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}}\right)=\left(\frac{3 r_{1}}{2 r_{1}^{2}+6}, \frac{1}{A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}}\right) \subseteq(0.07,4.9)$, the problem (4.12) has at least three non-trivial solution in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \times H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$.

The examples about Theorem 1.2, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4 can be obtained similarly.
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