

# Topological Connectivity of Random Permutation Complexes

Roy Meshulam\*      Omer Moyal†

June 28, 2024

## Abstract

Let  $\mathbb{S}_n$  denote the symmetric group on  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$  with the uniform probability measure. For a permutation  $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$  let  $X_\pi$  denote the simplicial complex on the vertex set  $[n]$  whose simplices are all  $\{i_0, \dots, i_m\} \subset [n]$  such that  $i_0 < \dots < i_m$  and  $\pi(i_0) < \dots < \pi(i_m)$ . For  $r \geq 0$  let  $p_r(n)$  denote the probability that  $X_\pi$  is not topologically  $r$ -connected for  $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$ . It is shown that for fixed  $r \geq 0$  there exist constants  $0 < C_r, C'_r < \infty$  such that

$$C_r \frac{(\log n)^r}{n} \leq p_r(n) \leq C'_r \frac{(\log n)^{2r}}{n}.$$

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{S}_n$  denote the symmetric group on  $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$  with the uniform probability measure. For a permutation  $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$  let  $\prec_\pi$  denote the partial order on  $[n]$  given by  $i \prec_\pi j$  if both  $i < j$  and  $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$ . Let  $X_\pi$  denote the order complex of the poset  $([n], \prec_\pi)$ , i.e. the simplicial complex on the vertex set  $[n]$  whose  $m$ -simplices are all  $\{i_0, \dots, i_m\} \subset [n]$  such that  $i_0 < \dots < i_m$  and  $\pi(i_0) < \dots < \pi(i_m)$ . The following result had been proved in an equivalent formulation by Przytycki and Silvero [3], and independently but later by Chacholski, Levi and Meshulam [2].

**Theorem 1.1** ([3, 2]). *For any  $n \geq 1$  and  $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$  the complex  $X_\pi$  is either contractible or is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of spheres*

$$X_\pi \simeq S^{k_1} \vee \dots \vee S^{k_m}. \quad (1)$$

*Conversely, for any  $k_1, \dots, k_m \geq 0$  there exist an  $n \geq 1$  and  $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$  such that (1) holds.*

**Example 1.2.** *Let  $\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1234567 \\ 3254176 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $X_\pi$  depicted in Figure 1 is homotopy equivalent to  $S^1 \vee S^2$ .*

Let  $r \geq 0$ . Recall that a nonempty space  $X$  is  $r$ -connected if for all  $0 \leq i \leq r$ , any continuous map from the  $i$ -sphere  $S^i$  to  $X$  can be extended continuously to the  $(i+1)$ -th ball. By convention, any nonempty space is  $(-1)$ -connected, while the empty set is not

---

\*Department of Mathematics, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel. e-mail: meshulam@technion.ac.il . Supported by ISF grant 686/20.

†Department of Mathematics, Technion, Haifa 32000, Israel. e-mail: omer.moyal@campus.technion.ac.il .




Figure 1:  $X_\pi$  for  $\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1234567 \\ 3254176 \end{pmatrix}$

(-1)-connected. Note that  $X_\pi$  is  $r$ -connected if either  $X_\pi$  is contractible, or if  $r$  is strictly less than all  $k_i$ 's appearing in the decomposition (1). In this paper we study the connectivity of  $X_\pi$  for a random  $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$ . Let

$$p_r(n) := \Pr[\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n : X_\pi \text{ is not } r\text{-connected}].$$

It is easy to check (see Claim 4.2) that  $p_0(n) = \frac{2+o(1)}{n}$ . A much deeper result due to Winkler [5] asserts that asymptotically almost surely the 1-skeleton of  $X_\pi$  has diameter 3. Let  $c_0 = 0$  and for  $r \geq 1$  let  $c_r = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{i!}$ . Our main result is the following

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $r \geq 0$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Then*

(i)

$$p_r(n) \leq 40^{r+1} \frac{(\log 3n)^{2r}}{n}.$$

(ii)

$$p_r(n) \geq \frac{1}{r!} \frac{(\log n)^r}{n} - c_r \frac{(\log n)^{r-1}}{n}.$$

For the proof of the upper bound it will be convenient to use an equivalent model for random permutation complexes (see e.g. [5]). Let  $\leq$  be the standard partial order on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , i.e.  $q = (a, b) \leq q' = (a', b')$  if  $a \leq a'$  and  $b \leq b'$ . For  $n \geq 1$  and an  $n$ -tuple  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in (\mathbb{R}^2)^n$  let  $Y_q$  denote the order complex of  $\{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ , i.e. the simplicial complex on the vertex set  $[n]$  whose  $m$ -simplices are the subsets  $\{i_0, \dots, i_m\}$  such that  $q_{i_0} < \dots < q_{i_m}$ . Let  $\Omega_n = ([0, 1]^2)^n$  denote the probability space of  $n$ -tuples  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$  where the  $q_i$ 's are picked independently and uniformly from  $[0, 1]^2$ . Let  $\Omega'_n$  denote the subspace of all  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \Omega_n$  with  $q_i = (a_i, b_i)$  such that  $|\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}| = |\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}| = n$ . For  $q \in \Omega'_n$  as above let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{S}_n$  be the unique permutations such that  $a_{\alpha(1)} < \dots < a_{\alpha(n)}$  and  $b_{\beta(1)} < \dots < b_{\beta(n)}$ , and let  $\varphi(q) = \beta^{-1}\alpha \in \mathbb{S}_n$ . Extending  $\varphi$  arbitrarily to the whole of  $\Omega_n$ ,

it is clear that  $\Pr_{\Omega_n}[\varphi^{-1}(\pi)] = \frac{1}{n!} = \Pr_{\mathbb{S}_n}[\{\pi\}]$ . As  $\Pr_{\Omega_n}[\Omega'_n] = 1$  and  $Y_q \cong X_{\varphi(q)}$  for all  $q \in \Omega'_n$ , it follows that

$$p_r(n) = \Pr[q \in \Omega_n : Y_q \text{ is not } r\text{-connected}].$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give a simple nerve type sufficient condition (Proposition 2.2) for  $r$ -connectivity of  $X_\pi$ . In Section 3 we establish an upper bound on a certain integral related to  $p_r(n)$  (Proposition 3.1). The results of Sections 2 and 3 are the key ingredients in the proof of Theorem 1.3(i) given in Section 4. In Section 5 we prove Theorem 1.3(ii) using a homotopy decomposition of  $X_\pi$  (Proposition 5.1). We conclude in Section 6 with some remarks and open problems.

## 2 A Sufficient Condition for $r$ -Connectivity

Let  $K$  be a finite simplicial complex and let  $\mathcal{K} = \{K_j\}_{j=1}^m$  be a family of subcomplexes of  $K$  such that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^m K_j = K$ . For a nonempty  $J \subset [m]$  let  $K_J = \bigcap_{j \in J} K_j$ . The *nerve*  $N(\mathcal{K})$  of  $\mathcal{K}$  is the simplicial complex on the vertex set  $[m]$  whose simplices are all  $J \subset [m]$  such that  $K_J \neq \emptyset$ . As usual, let  $\pi_0(K)$  denote the set of connected components of  $K$  and for  $i \geq 1$  let  $\pi_i(K)$  denote the  $i$ -th homotopy group of  $K$ . We shall need Björner's version of the nerve theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** ([1]). *Let  $r \geq 0$ . Assume that  $K_J$  is either empty or  $(r - |J| + 1)$ -connected for all  $\emptyset \neq J \subset [m]$  such that  $|J| \leq r + 1$ . Then  $\pi_i(K) \cong \pi_i(N(\mathcal{K}))$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq r$ . In particular,  $K$  is  $r$ -connected iff  $N(\mathcal{K})$  is  $r$ -connected.*

Fix  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \Omega'_n$  where  $q_i = (a_i, b_i)$  and let

$$M(q) = \{i \in [n] : q_i \text{ is a minimal element of } \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}\}.$$

For a subset  $S \subset [n]$  let  $Y_q[S] = \{\sigma \in Y_q : \sigma \subset S\}$  denote the induced subcomplex of  $Y_q$  on the vertex set  $S$ . For  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$  let  $q_i \vee q_j = (\max\{a_i, a_j\}, \max\{b_i, b_j\})$  and let

$$Y_{q,ij} = Y_q[\{\ell : q_\ell \geq q_i \vee q_j\}].$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $r \geq 1$ . If  $Y_{q,ij}$  is  $(r - 1)$ -connected for all distinct  $i, j \in M(q)$  then  $Y_q$  is  $r$ -connected.*

**Proof.** Let  $K = Y_q$  and for  $i \in M(q)$  let  $K_i = Y_q[\{j : q_j \geq q_i\}]$ . Then  $K = \bigcup_{i \in M(q)} K_i$ . Let  $\emptyset \neq J \subset M(q)$  such that  $|J| \leq r + 1$ . If  $J = \{j\}$  is a singleton, then  $K_J = K_j$  is a cone with apex  $j$ , hence contractible and therefore  $\ell$ -connected for all  $\ell$ . Suppose on the other hand that  $|J| \geq 2$ . Let  $i, j \in J$  satisfy  $a_i = \max\{a_t : t \in M(q)\}$  and  $b_j = \max\{b_t : t \in M(q)\}$ . Then  $K_J = Y_{q,ij}$ . By assumption  $K_J$  is  $(r - 1)$ -connected and thus  $(r - |J| + 1)$ -connected. Therefore  $\{K_i\}_{i \in M(q)}$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1. As  $K_J \neq \emptyset$  for all  $J \subset M(q)$ , it follows that the nerve  $N(\{K_i\}_{i \in M(q)})$  is a simplex and hence  $r$ -connected. Therefore  $K = Y_q$  is  $r$ -connected as well. □

### 3 A Key Estimate

For  $k \geq 1$  let  $Q_k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k : x_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \leq 1\}$  denote the  $k$ -simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and let  $\Delta_k = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} : x_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i = 1\}$  denote the  $k$ -simplex in  $\mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ . Recall (see e.g. Exercise 13, Chapter 10 in [4]) that for any integers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k+1} \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{x \in \Delta_k} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{k+1}^{\alpha_{k+1}} dx &= \sqrt{k+1} \int_{x \in Q_k} x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_k^{\alpha_k} (1 - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i)^{\alpha_{k+1}} dx \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{k+1} \alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_{k+1}!}{(k + \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{k+1})!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In particular, the  $k$ -dimensional volume of  $\Delta_k$  is  $\text{vol}_k(\Delta_k) = \sqrt{k+1} \text{vol}_k(Q_k) = \frac{\sqrt{k+1}}{k!}$ . For  $p \geq 1$  let  $A_{k,p} = \{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^p : \alpha_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^p \alpha_i = k\}$ . For  $k, \ell \geq 0$  define

$$I(k, \ell) = \text{vol}_2(\Delta_2)^{-2} \int_{(x,y) \in \Delta_2^2} (x_1 y_3 + x_2 (y_2 + y_3) + x_3 (y_1 + y_2))^k (x_3 y_3)^\ell dx dy.$$

The main result of this section is the following estimate.

**Proposition 3.1.**

$$I(k, \ell) \leq \frac{40}{k+2} \binom{k+\ell+2}{2}^{-1} \binom{k+\ell}{k}^{-1} (1 + \log(k+1)). \quad (3)$$

The proof of Proposition 3.1 depends on some preliminary observations. For integers  $N, t \geq 0$  such that  $N \geq 2t$  let

$$F(N, t) = \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + t} \sum_{i=0}^t \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + i}^{-1}.$$

**Claim 3.2.**

$$F(N, t) \leq 1 + \min \left\{ t, \frac{N}{t} \right\}.$$

**Proof.** The bound  $F(N, t) \leq 1 + t$  is clear. For the other inequality observe that if  $0 \leq i \leq t$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + t} \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + i}^{-1} &= \frac{(\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + i)! (\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor - i)!}{(\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + t)! (\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor - t)!} \\ &= \prod_{j=0}^{t-i-1} \frac{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor - i - j}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + t - j} \leq \left( \frac{\frac{N}{2} - i}{\frac{N}{2} + t} \right)^{t-i} = \left( 1 - \frac{t+i}{\frac{N}{2} + t} \right)^{t-i} \\ &\leq \exp \left( -\frac{(t+i)(t-i)}{\frac{N}{2} + t} \right) \leq \exp \left( -\frac{t^2}{N} \right) \cdot \exp \left( \frac{i^2}{N} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \exp\left(\frac{i^2}{N}\right) &\leq \int_0^t \exp\left(\frac{x^2}{N}\right) dx = \int_0^t \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2j}}{N^j j!}\right) dx \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N^j j!} \int_0^t x^{2j} dx = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2j+1}}{N^j j! (2j+1)} \\
&\leq \frac{N}{t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{2(j+1)}}{N^{j+1} (j+1)!} = \frac{N}{t} \left(\exp\left(\frac{t^2}{N}\right) - 1\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Combining (4) and (5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
F(N, t) &= 1 + \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + t} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + i}^{-1} \\
&\leq 1 + \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{N}\right) \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \exp\left(\frac{i^2}{N}\right) \\
&\leq 1 + \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{N}\right) \cdot \frac{N}{t} \left(\exp\left(\frac{t^2}{N}\right) - 1\right) < 1 + \frac{N}{t}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 3.3.** For any  $\ell, j \geq 0$

$$\binom{2\ell + j}{\ell + j} \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{2\ell + j}{\ell + i}^{-1} \leq \frac{10(\ell + j + 1)}{j + 1}. \tag{6}$$

**Proof.** Write  $N = 2\ell + j$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=0}^j \binom{2\ell + j}{\ell + i}^{-1} &= \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil - \lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil + i}^{-1} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil - 1} \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil - \lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil + i}^{-1} + \sum_{i=\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil}^j \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil - \lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil + i}^{-1} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil \frac{j}{2} \rceil} \binom{N}{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor + i}^{-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor} \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + i}^{-1} \\
&= 2 \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor} \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + i}^{-1} - \frac{1 + (-1)^N}{2} \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& \binom{2\ell+j}{\ell+j} \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{2\ell+j}{\ell+i}^{-1} = \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{2\ell+j}{\ell+i}^{-1} \\
& \leq 2 \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor} \binom{N}{\lceil \frac{N}{2} \rceil + i}^{-1} = 2F(N, \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor) \\
& \leq 2 + 2 \min \left\{ \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor, \frac{N}{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor} \right\} = 2 + 2 \min \left\{ \lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor, \frac{2\ell+j}{\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \rfloor} \right\} \\
& \leq \frac{10(\ell+j+1)}{j+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Claim 3.4.** For integers  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \geq 0$

$$\text{vol}_2(\Delta_2)^{-1} \int_{y \in \Delta_2} y_3^{\beta_1} (y_2 + y_3)^{\beta_2} (y_1 + y_2)^{\beta_3} dy \leq \frac{2\beta_1! \beta_3!}{(\beta_2 + 1)(\beta_1 + \beta_3 + 1)!}. \quad (7)$$

**Proof.** Applying a change of variables  $\phi : \{(z_1, z_2) : 0 \leq z_1 \leq z_2 \leq 1\} \rightarrow \Delta_2$  given by  $\phi(z_1, z_2) = (1 - z_2, z_2 - z_1, z_1)$  with Jacobian  $|J_\phi(z_1, z_2)| = \sqrt{3}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{vol}_2(\Delta_2)^{-1} \int_{y \in \Delta_2} y_3^{\beta_1} (y_2 + y_3)^{\beta_2} (y_1 + y_2)^{\beta_3} dy \\
& = \sqrt{3} \text{vol}_2(\Delta_2)^{-1} \int_{0 \leq z_1 \leq z_2 \leq 1} z_1^{\beta_1} z_2^{\beta_2} (1 - z_1)^{\beta_3} dz_1 dz_2 \\
& = 2 \int_{z_1=0}^1 z_1^{\beta_1} (1 - z_1)^{\beta_3} \left( \int_{z_2=z_1}^1 z_2^{\beta_2} dz_2 \right) dz_1 \\
& \leq \frac{2}{\beta_2 + 1} \int_{z_1=0}^1 z_1^{\beta_1} (1 - z_1)^{\beta_3} dz_1 \\
& = \frac{2\beta_1! \beta_3!}{(\beta_2 + 1)(\beta_1 + \beta_3 + 1)!}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proof of Proposition 3.1.**

$$\begin{aligned}
I(k, \ell) &= \text{vol}_2(\Delta_2)^{-2} \int_{(x,y) \in \Delta_2^2} (x_1 y_3 + x_2(y_2 + y_3) + x_3(y_1 + y_2))^k (x_3 y_3)^\ell dx dy \\
&= \sum_{\alpha \in A_{k,3}} \binom{k}{\alpha_1; \alpha_2; \alpha_3} \text{vol}_2(\Delta_2)^{-2} \int_{(x,y) \in \Delta_2^2} (x_1 y_3)^{\alpha_1} (x_2(y_2 + y_3))^{\alpha_2} (x_3(y_1 + y_2))^{\alpha_3} (x_3 y_3)^\ell dx dy \\
&= \sum_{\alpha \in A_{k,3}} \frac{k!}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2! \alpha_3!} \left( \text{vol}_2(\Delta_2)^{-1} \int_{x \in \Delta_2} x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} x_3^{\alpha_3 + \ell} dx \right) \left( \text{vol}_2(\Delta_2)^{-1} \int_{y \in \Delta_2} y_3^{\alpha_1 + \ell} (y_2 + y_3)^{\alpha_2} (y_1 + y_2)^{\alpha_3} dy \right) \\
&\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \sum_{\alpha \in A_{k,3}} \frac{k!}{\alpha_1! \alpha_2! \alpha_3!} \cdot \left( \frac{2\alpha_1! \alpha_2! (\alpha_3 + \ell)!}{(k + \ell + 2)!} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{2(\alpha_1 + \ell)! \alpha_3!}{(\alpha_2 + 1)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \ell + 1)!} \right) \\
&= \frac{4k!}{(k + \ell + 2)!} \sum_{\alpha \in A_{k,3}} \frac{(\alpha_1 + \ell)! (\alpha_3 + \ell)!}{(\alpha_2 + 1)(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \ell + 1)!} \\
&= \frac{4k!}{(k + \ell + 2)!} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{(k - j + 1)(j + \ell + 1)!} \sum_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_3) \in A_{j,2}} (\alpha_1 + \ell)! (\alpha_3 + \ell)! \\
&= \frac{4k!}{(k + \ell + 2)!} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(2\ell + j)!}{(k - j + 1)(j + \ell + 1)!} \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{2\ell + j}{\ell + i}^{-1} \\
&= \frac{4k!}{(k + \ell + 2)!} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{\ell! (\ell + j)!}{(k - j + 1)(j + \ell + 1)!} \binom{2\ell + j}{\ell + j} \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{2\ell + j}{\ell + i}^{-1} \\
&\stackrel{(b)}{\leq} \frac{4k! \ell!}{(k + \ell + 2)!} \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{1}{(k - j + 1)(j + \ell + 1)} \cdot \frac{10(\ell + j + 1)}{j + 1} \\
&= \frac{40k! \ell!}{(k + 2)(k + \ell + 2)!} \sum_{j=0}^k \left( \frac{1}{k - j + 1} + \frac{1}{j + 1} \right) \\
&= \frac{40}{k + 2} \binom{k + \ell + 2}{2}^{-1} \binom{k + \ell}{k}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{j} \\
&\leq \frac{40}{k + 2} \binom{k + \ell + 2}{2}^{-1} \binom{k + \ell}{k}^{-1} (1 + \log(k + 1)),
\end{aligned}$$

where (a) follows from (2) and (7), and (b) follows from (6).

□

## 4 The Upper Bound

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3(i). We first obtain a recursive upper bound on  $p_r(n)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $r \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Then*

$$p_r(n) \leq 20 (1 + \log n) \left( \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \frac{p_{r-1}(n-2-k)}{k+2} \right). \quad (8)$$




Figure 2:  $E_-(c), E(c), E_+(c)$

**Proof.** For  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and a subset  $D \subset [n] \setminus \{i, j\}$  let  $R_{i,j,D}$  denote the set of all  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \Omega_n$  such that

(C1)  $i, j \in M(q)$ .

(C2)  $\{t \in [n] \setminus \{i, j\} : q_t \not\leq q_i \vee q_j\} = D$ .

Fix  $c = (a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2) \in [0, 1]^4$  such that  $a_1 < a_2$  and  $b_2 < b_1$ , and let

$$\begin{aligned} E_-(c) &= [0, a_1] \times [0, b_1] \cup [0, a_2] \times [0, b_2] \setminus \{(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2)\}, \\ E_+(c) &= [a_2, 1] \times [b_1, 1], \\ E(c) &= [0, 1]^2 \setminus (E_-(c) \cup E_+(c)). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose now that  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \Omega_n$  satisfies  $q_i = (a_1, b_1), q_j = (a_2, b_2)$ , see Figure 2. Then  $q \in R_{i,j,D}$  iff  $q_t \in E(c)$  for  $t \in D$ , and  $q_t \in E_+(c)$  for  $t \in [n] \setminus (\{i, j\} \cup D)$ . Writing

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (a_1, a_2 - a_1, 1 - a_2), \\ y &= (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (b_2, b_1 - b_2, 1 - b_1), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Pr [q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in R_{i,j,D} \mid q_i = (a_1, b_1), q_j = (a_2, b_2)] \\ &= \text{vol}_2(E(c))^{|D|} \text{vol}_2(E_+(c))^{n-2-|D|} \\ &= (a_1(1-b_1) + (a_2-a_1)(1-b_2) + (1-a_2)b_1)^{|D|} ((1-a_2)(1-b_1))^{n-2-|D|} \\ &= (x_1 y_3 + x_2(y_2 + y_3) + x_3(y_1 + y_2))^{|D|} (x_3 y_3)^{n-2-|D|}. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Combining (9) and (3) and noting that the probability that  $q_i$  and  $q_j$  are incomparable is  $\frac{1}{2}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr [R_{i,j,D}] &= \frac{1}{2} \text{vol}_2(\Delta_2)^{-2} \int_{(x,y) \in \Delta_2^2} (x_1 y_3 + x_2(y_2 + y_3) + x_3(y_1 + y_2))^{|D|} (x_3 y_3)^{n-2-|D|} dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2} I(|D|, n-2-|D|) \leq \frac{20}{|D|+2} \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \binom{n-2}{|D|}^{-1} (1 + \log(|D|+1)). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.2 implies that if  $Y_q$  is not  $r$ -connected then there exist  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  and  $D \subset [n] \setminus \{i, j\}$  such that  $q \in R_{i,j,D}$  and the induced complex  $Y_q[[n] \setminus (D \cup \{i, j\})]$  is not  $(r-1)$ -connected. Therefore by the union bound

$$\begin{aligned} p_r(n) &\leq \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sum_{D \subset [n] \setminus \{i, j\}} \Pr [q \in R_{i,j,D} : Y_q[[n] \setminus (D \cup \{i, j\})] \text{ is not } (r-1)\text{-connected}] \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sum_{D \subset [n] \setminus \{i, j\}} \Pr [R_{i,j,D}] p_{r-1}(n-2-|D|) \\ &\leq \binom{n}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-2}{k} \left( \frac{20}{k+2} \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \binom{n-2}{k}^{-1} (1 + \log n) \right) \cdot p_{r-1}(n-2-k) \\ &= 20(1 + \log n) \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{p_{r-1}(n-2-k)}{k+2} \\ &= 20(1 + \log n) \left( \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \frac{p_{r-1}(n-2-k)}{k+2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

We also need the following observation.

**Claim 4.2.** (i)  $\frac{1}{n} \leq p_0(n) \leq \frac{4}{n}$  for  $n \geq 2$ . (ii)  $p_0(n) = \frac{2+o(1)}{n}$ .

**Proof.**  $X_\pi$  is disconnected iff there exists a  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$  such that  $1 \leq \pi(i) \leq n-k$  for all  $k+1 \leq i \leq n$ . It follows that for  $n \geq 5$

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(n) &\leq \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k!(n-k)! \leq \frac{2}{n} + \frac{4}{n(n-1)} + (n-5) \binom{n}{3}^{-1} \\ &\leq \min \left\{ \frac{4}{n}, \frac{2}{n} + \frac{10}{n(n-1)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the upper bounds in (i) and (ii). For the lower bounds note that if  $n \geq 2$  then

$$\Pr[X_\pi \text{ is disconnected}] \geq \Pr[\pi(1) = n \text{ or } \pi(n) = 1] = \frac{2}{n} - \frac{1}{n(n-1)}.$$

□

**Proof of Theorem 1.3(i).** We argue by induction on  $r$ . The induction base  $r = 0$  follows from Claim 4.2(i). Assume now that  $r \geq 1$ . Applying (8) and the induction hypothesis, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
p_r(n) &\leq 20(1 + \log n) \left( \frac{1}{n} + \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \frac{p_{r-1}(n-2-k)}{k+2} \right) \\
&\leq 20 \log 3n \left( \frac{1}{n} + 40^r \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \frac{\log(3(n-k-2))^{2(r-1)}}{(k+2)(n-k-2)} \right) \\
&\leq 20 \log 3n \left( \frac{1}{n} + 40^r (\log 3n)^{2(r-1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \frac{1}{(k+2)(n-k-2)} \right) \\
&= 20 \log 3n \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{40^r (\log 3n)^{2(r-1)}}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-3} \left( \frac{1}{k+2} + \frac{1}{n-k-2} \right) \right) \\
&= 20 \log 3n \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{40^r (\log 3n)^{2(r-1)}}{n} \left( \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-2} \frac{1}{k} \right) \right) \\
&\leq 20 \log 3n \left( \frac{1}{n} + \frac{40^r (\log 3n)^{2(r-1)}}{n} (1 + 2 \log n) \right) \\
&\leq 40^{r+1} \frac{(\log 3n)^{2r}}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

## 5 The Lower Bound

The proof of Theorem 1.3(ii) depends on a homotopy decomposition result for permutation complexes given in [2]. For  $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$  and a subset  $A \subset [n]$ , let  $\psi_A(\pi) \in \mathbb{S}_{|A|}$  denote the permutation pattern of  $\pi$  restricted to  $A$ , i.e. if  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  where  $a_1 < \dots < a_k$ , then  $\psi_A(\pi) = \sigma^{-1}$  where  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_k$  satisfies  $\pi(a_{\sigma(1)}) < \dots < \pi(a_{\sigma(k)})$ . For  $1 \leq t \leq n$  define

$$\pi'_t = \psi_{[n] \setminus \{t\}}(\pi) \in \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \quad , \quad \pi''_t = \psi_{[n] \setminus [t]}(\pi) \in \mathbb{S}_{n-t}.$$

When  $t = n$ , we view  $\pi''_t$  as the empty permutation and define  $X_{\pi''_t} = \emptyset$ .

Let  $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$  and let  $i = \pi^{-1}(1), j = \pi^{-1}(2)$ . As usual, let  $\Sigma X = S^0 * X$  denote the suspension of a complex  $X$ .

**Proposition 5.1** ([2]). *Let  $\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n$  and let  $i = \pi^{-1}(1), j = \pi^{-1}(2)$ . Then*

- (i) *If  $i < j$  then  $X_\pi \simeq X_{\pi'_j}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $i > j$  then  $X_\pi \simeq X_{\pi'_i} \vee \Sigma X_{\pi''_i}$ .*

**Proposition 5.2.** *For  $r \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$*

$$p_r(n) \geq \frac{1}{n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (i-1) p_{r-1}(n-i) \right). \quad (10)$$

**Proof.** Fix  $1 \leq j < i \leq n$ . By Proposition 5.1(ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr [\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n : \pi^{-1}(1) = i, \pi^{-1}(2) = j, X_\pi \text{ is not } r\text{-connected}] \\
& \geq \Pr [\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n : \pi^{-1}(1) = i, \pi^{-1}(2) = j, X_{\pi_i''} \text{ is not } (r-1)\text{-connected}] \\
& = \frac{p_{r-1}(n-i)}{n(n-1)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Summing (11) over all  $1 \leq j < i \leq n$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
p_r(n) & \geq \sum_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} \Pr [\pi \in \mathbb{S}_n : \pi^{-1}(1) = i, \pi^{-1}(2) = j, X_\pi \text{ is not } r\text{-connected}] \\
& \geq \sum_{1 \leq j < i \leq n} \frac{p_{r-1}(n-i)}{n(n-1)} = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} (i-1)p_{r-1}(n-i) \\
& = \frac{1}{n} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (i-1)p_{r-1}(n-i) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proof of Theorem 1.3(ii).** We argue by induction on  $r$ . The induction base  $r = 0$  follows from Claim 4.2(i). Assume now that  $r \geq 1$ . Applying (10) and the induction hypothesis we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
p_r(n) & \geq \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (i-1)p_{r-1}(n-i) \\
& = \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-1-i)p_{r-1}(i) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (n-1-i) \left( \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \frac{(\log i)^{r-1}}{i} - c_{r-1} \frac{(\log i)^{r-2}}{i} \right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left( \frac{(\log i)^{r-1}}{(r-1)!i} - c_{r-1} \frac{(\log i)^{r-2}}{i} \right) - \frac{1}{(r-1)!n(n-1)} \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (\log i)^{r-1} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{(r-1)!n} \int_1^n \frac{(\log t)^{r-1} dt}{t} - c_{r-1} \frac{(\log n)^{r-2}}{n} \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{1}{i} - \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \frac{(\log n)^{r-1}}{n} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{r!} \frac{(\log n)^r}{n} - \left( c_{r-1} + \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \right) \frac{(\log n)^{r-1}}{n} \\
& = \frac{1}{r!} \frac{(\log n)^r}{n} - c_r \frac{(\log n)^{r-1}}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

## 6 Concluding Remarks

In this paper we studied the topological connectivity of the order complex  $Y_q$  of a sequence of  $n$  random points  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$  in the unit square. More generally, let  $Y_{d,q}$  denote the

order complex determined by sequence of  $n$  points  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \Omega_{d,n} = ([0, 1]^d)^n$ . Our work suggests a number of directions for further research.

- One natural problem is to close the gap between the lower and upper bounds in Theorem 1.3. It seems likely that the lower bound  $p_r(n) = \Omega\left(\frac{(\log n)^r}{n}\right)$  is closer to the truth.
- Theorem 1.1 asserts that  $Y_{2,q} = Y_q$  is a wedge of spheres. Is there a simple characterization of the homotopy type of  $Y_{d,q}$  for general  $d$ ?
- Let  $p_{d,r}(n)$  denote the probability that  $Y_{d,q}$  is  $r$ -connected for a random  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \Omega_{d,n}$ . It would be interesting to extend Theorem 1.3 to general  $d$ . Our proof of the upper bound  $p_{2,r}(n) = p_r(n) = O\left(\frac{(\log n)^{2r}}{n}\right)$  does not use very specific properties of two dimensional posets (such as Theorem 1.1 and the homotopy decomposition in Proposition 5.1), and may thus be relevant to higher dimensions as well.

**Acknowledgement:** We would like to thank Russ Woodroffe for bringing reference [3] to our attention.

## References

- [1] A. Björner, Nerves, fibers and homotopy groups, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **102**(2003) 88–93.
- [2] W. Chacholski, R. Levi and R. Meshulam, On the topology of complexes of injective words, *J. Appl. Comput. Topol.*, **4**(2020) 29–44.
- [3] J. H. Przytycki and M. Silvero, Homotopy type of circle graph complexes motivated by extreme Khovanov homology, *J. Algebraic Combin.*, **48**(2018) 119–156.
- [4] W. Rudin, Principles of mathematical analysis. Third edition. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Auckland-Düsseldorf, 1976.
- [5] P. Winkler, Connectedness and diameter for random orders of fixed dimension, *Order*, **2**(1985) 165–171.