

Anisotropic extended Burgers model, its relaxation tensor and properties of the associated Boltzmann viscoelastic system

Maarten V. de Hoop^{*} Masato Kimura[†] Ching-Lung Lin[‡]
 Gen Nakamura[§] Kazumi Tanuma[¶]

June 28, 2024

Abstract

We provide a new method for constructing the anisotropic relaxation tensor and proving its exponential decay property for the extended Burgers model (abbreviated by EBM). The EBM is an important viscoelasticity model in rheology, and used in Earth and planetary sciences. Upon having this tensor, the EBM can be converted to a Boltzmann-type viscoelastic system of equations (abbreviated by BVS). Historically, the relaxation tensor for the EBM is derived by solving the constitutive equation using the Laplace transform. (We refer to this approach by the L-method.) Since inverting the inverse Laplace transform needs a partial fractions expansion, the L-method needs to assume that the EBM elasticity tensors satisfy a commutivity condition. The new method not only avoids this condition but also enables obtaining several important properties of the relaxation tensor, including its positivity, smoothness with respect to the time variable, its exponential decay property together with its derivative, and its causality. Furthermore, we show that the BVS converted from the EBM has the exponential decay property. That is, any solution for its initial boundary value problem with homogeneous boundary data and source decays exponentially as time tends to infinity.

Keywords: viscoelasticity, relaxation tensor, extended Burgers model, Boltzmann-type viscoelastic system.

MSC(2010): 35Q74, 35Q86, 35R09.

^{*}Simons Chair in Computational and Applied Mathematics and Earth Science, Rice University, Houston TX, USA (Email: mdehoop@rice.edu).

[†]Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan (mkimura@se.kanazawa-u.ac.jp).

[‡]Department of Mathematics, National Cheng-Kung University, Tainan 701, Taiwan (Email: cllin2@mail.ncku.edu.tw).

[§]Department of Mathematics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0808, Japan and Research Center of Mathematics for Social Creativity, Research Institute for Electronic Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060-0812, Japan (Email: gnaka@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp).

[¶]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Gunma University, Kiryu 376-8515, Japan (Email: tanuma@gunma-u.ac.jp).

1 Introduction

The anisotropic extended Burgers model (EBM) is a model of viscoelasticity inherently constructed from springs and dashpots. The EBM is a parametric model widely applied in the Earth and planetary sciences [12, 13]. It is fundamentally distinct from the anisotropic extended Maxwell model, which can be easily converted into a Boltzman-type viscoelastic system of equations, abbreviated by BVS [9].

The constitutive equation between the stress $\sigma(x, t)$ and strain $e(x, t)$ of a Boltzman-type system is given in a form where $\sigma(x, t)$ is expressed in terms of an integral of the time derivative $\dot{e}(x, t)$ with a kernel, G , identified as the relaxation tensor. That is,

$$\sigma(x, t) = \int_0^t G(x, t, s) \dot{e}(x, s) ds.$$

We note that this is a rough way to introduce the relaxation tensor. (We refer to the second paragraph after Assumption 2.1 for the precise explanation.)

In this paper, we will obtain the relaxation tensor for the EBM in the convolutional form:

$$G(x, t, s) = G(x, t - s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t.$$

In general, the relaxation tensor does not have to be of the convolutional form. The relaxation tensor of the general type, $G(x, t, s)$, was considered before in [7].

As suggested by Anderson and Minster [1], Andrade’s rheology and fractional power law can be interpreted as a continuum of Kelvin-Voigt elements in series, which can itself be approximated by a discrete series of Kelvin-Voigt elements (e.g., Birk and Song [3] and Ben Jazia *et al.* [2]). The resulting model is known as the extended Burgers model.

While capturing the full range of the observed mechanical behavior of the upper mantle materials and reconciling the behavior of the mantle across the “complete” spectrum of geodynamic time-scales, the EBM has emerged as the model of choice [18]. Dissipation data with frequency dependence of attenuation across, say, six orders of magnitude, are indeed typically best fitted to this model. The EBM yields a consistent description across normal modes, seismic body waves and body tides, and from laboratory ultrasound to the Wilson cycle; see Lau and Faul [14]. It also captures the long time scale of mantle convection on which Earth behaves viscously. The Andrade creep model, and EBM, have been introduced in the astronomical community by Efroimsky and Lainey [10]; it has been applied, for example, to Enceladus’ dissipation of energy due to forced libration (see Gevorgyan *at al.* [11]).

Notably, though anisotropy is widely identified in purely elastic model descriptions of the mantle, in the geophysics literature it has not yet been included in the EBM description in view of the challenges that it has posed. The authors are not aware of any systematic methods to derive the relaxation tensor—that is, a Boltzmann-type representation—for the EBM, especially in the anisotropic case. Carcione [6] studied anisotropic viscoelasticity in the context of wave propagation and introduced a condition that reappears in our analysis using spectral representations, described below in Section 3.

The contributions of this paper are the following:

- (i) A new method to construct the relaxation tensor under weak conditions, while developing its properties.

- (ii) A proof of exponential decay of solutions $t \rightarrow \infty$ of the initial boundary value problem of the BVS with homogeneous boundary data and source term, obtained with the relaxation tensor for the EBM.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the EBM, its relaxation tensor, and the constitutive equation in an integro-differential form. In Section 3, we make a brief visit to the L-method which needs a commutativity assumption for the relevant elasticity tensors. In Section 4, an ordinary differential system of equations related to the EBM is introduced, and we observe that this is equivalent to the system of integro-differential equations introduced in the previous section. We also introduce tensor block matrices playing a role in Section 5, which is devoted to proving the mentioned aims, (i) and (ii) above. The last section contains the conclusion and a discussion.

2 EBM and BVS

In this section, we introduce the EBM and relaxation tensor. Then, we present the integro-differential equations for the total strain and total stress. The usual L-method given in Section 3 uses these equations and the Laplace transform to derive the relaxation tensor assuming that the elasticity tensors for the springs satisfy a commutativity condition. At the end of this section, we give an initial boundary value problem for the BVS assuming that we have constructed the relaxation tensor, and give a sufficient condition such that solutions of the mentioned problem decay exponentially in time.

We let Ω be a bounded domain in the d -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d with $d = 2, 3$. We assume that the boundary $\Gamma = \partial\Omega$ of Ω is Lipschitz smooth and denote its outward unit normal by $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We interpret Ω as a reference domain on which we consider a small viscoelastic deformation.

Now, for a while, we will ignore the smoothness of the functions and just focus on introducing more notation used throughout the paper. We denote a displacement for a small deformation of Ω by $u = u(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ for a position a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and a time $t \in [0, T)$, where $T \in (0, \infty]$. We also define the infinitesimal strain tensor by $e[u] := \frac{1}{2}\{\nabla u + (\nabla u^T)^t\} : \Omega \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}$, where ∇u is the gradient of u with respect to x and $(\nabla u^T)^t$ is the transpose of ∇u .

Further, we give the following definitions for matrices and tensors which will be used throughout the paper. For matrices $A = (a_{pq}), B = (b_{pq}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, their Frobenius inner product and the norm $|A|$ are defined by $A : B := a_{pq}b_{pq}, |A| := \sqrt{A : A}$, respectively. Here, we have used Einstein's summation convention. For a matrix $\xi = (\xi_{pq}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ and a fourth-order tensor $C = (c_{pqrs}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d}$, their product is denoted by $C\xi := (c_{pqrs}\xi_{rs}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$. The product of two fourth-order tensors $C = (c_{pqrs})$ and $D = (d_{pqrs})$ is defined by $CD = (c_{pqkl}d_{klrs})$ as well. Also, the set of d -dimensional symmetric matrices is represented by $\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}$. For a fourth-order tensor $C = (c_{pqrs})$, it is said that the major symmetry condition is satisfied when $c_{pqrs} = c_{rspq}$ holds and that the minor symmetry condition is satisfied when $c_{pqrs} = c_{qprs} = c_{pqsr}$ holds, for all $p, q, r, s = 1, 2, \dots, d$. Define the transpose C^t of $C = (c_{pqrs})$ by $C^t := (C_{rspq})$. Then, the major symmetry can be given as $C^t = C$. When the major and minor symmetries are both satisfied for C , it is said that full symmetry is satisfied for C , and we denote $C \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{f-sym}}^{d \times d \times d \times d}$. For fourth-order tensors C, D

satisfying the major symmetry, we write $C \leq D$ if $(C\xi) : \xi \leq (D\xi) : \xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is satisfied. We remark that a linear map from $\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}$ into itself is uniquely represented by a fourth-order tensor C with the minor symmetry as $\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d} \ni \xi \mapsto C\xi \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}$.

From this point on, we assume that the displacement $u = u(x, t) \in C^2([0, T]; H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d))$, the total strain $e = e(x, t) \in C^1([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}))$, and the total stress $\sigma(x, t) \in C^1([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}))$. Here, $H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ is the set of all \mathbb{R}^d -valued functions in the L^2 -based Sobolev space of order 1. Also, for any Banach space S , such as \mathbb{R} and $\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d \times d \times d}$, $L^p(\Omega; S)$ with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $C^m([0, T]; S)$ with $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ are the sets of all S -valued L^p -functions on Ω and the sets of all S -valued m -times continuously differentiable functions defined on $[0, T)$, respectively. Then, the EBM is a spring-dashpot model connecting one Maxwell model M_0 and n -number of Kelvin-Voigt models K_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ in series (see Figure 1). Corresponding to each of these models, we denote its pair of




Figure 1: Extended Burgers model: M_0 : Maxwell component, K_i : Kelvin-Voigt component ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

strain and stress as $(e_i, \sigma_i) \in C^1([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d})) \times C^1([0, T]; L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}))$ in accordance with the labeling $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Likewise, we denote their pair of elasticity tensor and viscosity $(C_i, \eta_i) \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d}) \times L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ as well. Then, their relation for each

model and the equation of motion for the EBM are given as follows

$$M_0 : \begin{cases} \sigma_0^s = C_0 e_0^s, & \eta_0 \dot{e}_0^d = \sigma_0^d \\ e_0 = e_0^s + e_0^d, & \sigma_0 = \sigma_0^s = \sigma_0^d \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

$$K_i : \begin{cases} \sigma_i^s = C_i e_i^s, & \eta_i \dot{e}_i^d = \sigma_i^d \\ e_i = e_i^s + e_i^d, & \sigma_i = \sigma_i^s + \sigma_i^d \end{cases} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \quad (2.2)$$

$$\text{EBM} : \begin{cases} e = e[u] \\ \rho \ddot{u} = \text{div } \sigma \\ e = \sum_{i=0}^n e_i, & \sigma = \sigma_0 = \sigma_1 = \dots = \sigma_n. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

Here, “.” stands for the t -derivative.

Without duplication of notation, (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3) can be given more concisely as follows:

$$\begin{cases} e_0 = e_0^s + e_0^d, & e = e_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i, \\ \sigma = C_0 e_0^s = \eta_0 \dot{e}_0^d = C_i e_i + \eta_i \dot{e}_i, & i = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

We impose

Assumption 2.1.

- (i) *There exists $\eta_* > 0$ such that each η_i satisfies $\eta_i(x) \geq \eta_*$, a.e. $x \in \Omega$.*
- (ii) *Each $C_i \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}_{\text{f-sym}}^{d \times d \times d \times d})$.*
- (iii) *Each $C_i(x) = (c_{pqrs}^{(i)}(x))$ satisfies the strong convexity condition:*

$$(C_i(x)\xi) : \xi \geq c_* |\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega$$

for some $c_* > 0$.

We set $\phi_i := e_i^d$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$ and define $\phi := (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d})^n$, $\bar{\phi} := (\phi_0, \phi) = (\phi_0, \dots, \phi_n) : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d})^{n+1}$, and also set $\psi := e_0^s : \Omega \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}$. It should be remarked here that throughout this paper, spatial variables will not be specified unless necessary. Also, a.e. $x \in \Omega$ will not be mentioned as long as it can be understood from the context.

By ignoring all other variables, the relaxation tensor relates the instantaneous change of total strain, $\dot{e}(t)$, to the total stress, $\sigma(t)$. This relation can be considered as a convolutional operator \mathcal{R} of a one-port mechanical system (see [17] and subsections 10.1-10.3 of [19]). More precisely, \mathcal{R} is an operator on the space \mathcal{D}'_R of right-sided real Schwartz distributions in \mathbb{R} ; that is, the set of real Schwartz distributions in \mathbb{R} with supports bounded

from below by a real constant depending on each distribution. In this setting, we assume $e(t), \sigma(t) \in \mathcal{D}'_R$. The mentioned regularities for $e(t), \sigma(t)$ are those over the time interval $[0, T)$. Then, the relaxation tensor $G(t)$ is given as a real Schwartz distribution $G(t)$ in \mathbb{R} such that $\mathcal{R}\cdot = G * \cdot$ satisfying the causality, $G(t) = 0, t < 0$. Concerning the other variables, we will look for $G(t)$ in the space $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}; L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d}))$, the space of $L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d})$ -valued Schwartz distributions defined in \mathbb{R} . Hence, once having the relaxation tensor $G(t) = G(x, t)$, we have the constitutive equation $\sigma = G * \dot{e}$ which is formally written as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sigma(x, t) = \int_0^t G(x, t-s) \dot{e}(x, s) ds \\ \text{for any continuously differentiable right-sided } e(x, s) \text{ in } s. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.5)$$

Due to the symmetry of the strain tensor $e(x, s)$ and stress tensor $\sigma(t, s)$, it is natural to assume that $G(x, t)$ satisfies the minor symmetry. Furthermore, it turns out that $G(x, t)$ must be smooth with respect to $t \geq 0$ for each fixed a.e. $x \in \Omega$, and is exponentially decaying as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly with respect to a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Hence, we could have considered $G(x, t)$ as a $L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d})$ -valued rapidly decreasing function defined on $[0, \infty)$.

Summarizing what we have mentioned above, we a priori assume the following conditions for the relaxation tensor $G = G(x, t) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}; L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d}))$:

A priori condition 2.2.

- (i) *The fourth-order tensor $G(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d}$ is zero for $t < 0$, a.e. $x \in \Omega$, and it is continuous with respect to $t \geq 0$ for each fixed a.e. $x \in \Omega$.*
- (ii) *$G(x, t) = (G_{ijkl}(x, t))$ satisfies the minor symmetry given as*

$$G_{ijkl}(x, t) = G_{jikl}(x, t) = G_{ijlk}(x, t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega$$

for $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq d$.

Now, we prepare to gradually move towards getting the relaxation tensor. To begin with, we assume that

$$e_i(0) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (2.6)$$

Then, we can derive the following integro-differential equation,

$$\dot{e}(t) = (C_0^{-1} \dot{\sigma}(t) + \eta_0^{-1} \sigma(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \eta_i^{-1} \sigma(t) - \eta_i^{-2} C_i \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\eta_i^{-1} C_i} \sigma(s) ds \right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

In fact, from (2.1) and (2.3), we deduce that

$$\dot{e}_0 = C_0^{-1} \dot{\sigma} + \eta_0^{-1} \sigma. \quad (2.8)$$

Also, from (2.2),

$$\dot{e}_i + \eta_i^{-1} C_i e_i = \eta_i^{-1} \sigma, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (2.9)$$

Solving each of these ordinary differential equations for e_i using that $e_i(0) = 0$, we find that

$$e_i(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\eta_i^{-1}C_i}\eta_i^{-1}\sigma(s) ds, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (2.10)$$

Then, differentiating these by t , we obtain

$$\dot{e}_i(t) = \eta_i^{-1}\sigma(t) - \eta_i^{-2}C_i \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\eta_i^{-1}C_i}\sigma(s) ds, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \quad (2.11)$$

Then, from (2.8), (2.11) and (2.3), we immediately arrive at (2.7).

Remark 2.3. *As a matter of fact, (2.7) follows from just assuming $e(t), \sigma(t) \in \mathcal{D}'_R$ ignoring the other variables. This is because the primitive of any $z \in \mathcal{D}'_R$ with $z' \in \mathcal{D}'_R$ is given as $(1_+ * z)(t) = \int_0^t z(s)ds$ ($t > 0$), $= 0$ ($t \leq 0$), where $1_+(t)$ is the unit step function defined as*

$$1_+(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & t < 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} & t = 0, \\ 1 & t > 0 \end{cases}$$

(see page 274, (2) of [19]).

Next, we will formulate an initial boundary value problem for $(u, \bar{\phi})$. For that, let Γ_N be a non-empty open subset of $\partial\Omega$, and define $\Gamma_D := \partial\Omega \setminus \bar{\Gamma}_N$. If $d = 3$ and $\Gamma_D \neq \emptyset$, we assume that $\bar{\Gamma}_D \cap \bar{\Gamma}_N$ is Lipschitz smooth. Here, for a set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, we denoted its closure by $\bar{\Lambda}$. Assume that the displacement u is fixed to zero at Γ_D , the traction at Γ_N is free, and no external force is applied to Ω .

Then, the initial boundary value problem for the EBM with an initial data $(u^0, \dot{u}^0, \bar{\phi}^0)$ for $(u, \dot{u}, \bar{\phi})$ at the initial time $t = 0$ is to find $(u, \bar{\phi}) : \Omega \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d})^{n+1}$ which satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \rho \ddot{u} = \text{div } \sigma & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \eta_0 \dot{\bar{\phi}}_0 = \sigma & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \eta_i \dot{\bar{\phi}}_i = \sigma - C_i \bar{\phi}_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D \times (0, T), \\ \sigma \nu = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N \times (0, T), \\ (u, \dot{u}, \bar{\phi})|_{t=0} = (u^0, \dot{u}^0, \bar{\phi}^0) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

where the total stress tensor σ is defined by

$$\sigma := C_0 \psi, \quad \psi := e[u] - \sum_{i=0}^n \bar{\phi}_i, \quad (2.13)$$

and “ $|_{t=0}$ ” denotes the restriction to $t = 0$.

The importance of the relaxation tensor is that it converts the EBM to the BVS. In fact, we can give the following initial boundary value problem with mixed-type boundary conditions for the BVS. Suppose that there is no external force, Γ_D is fixed, and Γ_N is traction free. Then, since $\dot{e} = e[\dot{u}]$ due to $e = e[u]$, an initial and boundary value problem

of the BVS with the constitutive equation (2.5) of the convolutional type is given as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \rho \ddot{u} = \operatorname{div} \sigma & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \sigma(x, t) = \int_0^t G(x, t-s) e[\dot{u}](x, s) ds & \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_D \times (0, T), \\ \sigma \nu = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_N \times (0, T), \\ (u, \dot{u})|_{t=0} = (u^0, \dot{u}^0) & \text{in } \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

Then, according to the study [8], the sufficient conditions for the relaxation tensor $G(x, t)$ to have the solution of (2.14) with the initial data $(u^0, \dot{u}^0) \in H_+ \times H_+$, $H_+ := \{f \in H^1(\Omega) : f|_{\Gamma_D} = 0\}$ exponentially decaying as $t \rightarrow \infty$ are given in:

Assumption 2.4. (Exponential decay of solutions)

(i) $\Gamma_D \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) $K(x) := G(x, 0) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $H(x, t) := -\dot{G}(x, t) \in C^2([0, \infty); L^\infty(\Omega))$. There exist constants $\kappa_j > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, 4$ and $\tilde{\kappa}_4 > 0$ such that for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, $t \in [0, \infty)$,

$$-\kappa_1 H(x, t) \leq \dot{H}(x, t) \leq -\kappa_2 H(x, t), \quad \ddot{H}(x, t) := \partial_t^2 H(x, t) \leq \kappa_3 H(x, t) \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$|H(x, t)| + |\dot{H}(x, t)| \leq \kappa_4 e^{-\tilde{\kappa}_4 t}. \quad (2.16)$$

(iii) $K(x)$, $H(x, t)$ satisfy the major symmetry condition.

(iv) $K(x)$, $H(x, t)$ satisfy the strong convexity condition.

(v) $e^{-\kappa_5 T} |\xi|^2 \leq \left\{ \left(K(x) - \int_0^T H(x, t) dt \right) \xi \right\} : \xi \leq e^{-\kappa_6 T} |\xi|^2$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}_{sym}^{d \times d}$, $T > 0$ holds for some constants $\kappa_5 \geq \kappa_6 > 0$.

3 Brief summary of the application of the L-method

In this section, we give a brief summary of the application of the L-method. We first clarify the conditions that we invoke in this section for the viscosities of dashpots and elasticity tensors of springs. Besides Assumption 2.1, we impose:

Assumption 3.1.

(i) Unless otherwise noted, η_j , C_j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ are independent of a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

(ii) (Commutativity)

$$C_j C_l = C_l C_j, \quad 0 \leq j, l \leq n \text{ holds}, \quad (3.1)$$

where, for $C_j = \left(c_{pqrs}^{(j)}(x) \right)$, $C_l = \left(c_{pqrs}^{(l)}(x) \right)$, the multiplication $B = (b_{pqrs}) = C_j C_l$ is defined by

$$b_{pqrs} = c_{pq\alpha\beta}^{(j)} c_{\alpha\beta rs}^{(l)}, \quad 1 \leq p, q, r, s \leq d.$$

We consider each C_l as a linear map $\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d} \ni \xi \mapsto C_l \xi \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}$. Then, from Assumption 3.1, each C_l can have the following spectral representation

$$C_l = \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{d}} \lambda_k^{(l)} P_k \text{ with each } \lambda_k^{(l)} > 0, \tilde{d} := d(d+1)/2, \quad (3.2)$$

where each P_k is the common spectral projection which does not depend on $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$. The common projections were used in [5, 6] for analyzing waves in anisotropic elastic media.

We suppose that $e(t), \sigma(t)$ are right-sided tempered distribution defined over \mathbb{R} with supports bounded from below at $t = 0$. Note that this is consistent to the argument for causality given in Section 2. Then, taking the Laplace transform of (2.7), we have

$$s \hat{e} = M(s) \hat{\sigma}, \quad s > 0 \quad (3.3)$$

with the tensorial factor $M(s)$ given by

$$M(s) := a_0 I + s C_0^{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i s (s I + a_i C_i)^{-1} \quad (3.4)$$

with $a_i := \eta_i^{-1} > 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, where $\hat{e}, \hat{\sigma}$ are the Laplace transforms of e, σ .

In (3.4), if $a_i C_i = a_j C_j$ for a single pair (i, j) with $i < j$, then we have

$$a_i s (s I + a_i C_i)^{-1} + a_j s (s I + a_j C_j)^{-1} = 2a_i s (s I + a_i C_i)^{-1} = \tilde{a}_i s (s I + \tilde{a}_i \tilde{C}_i)^{-1},$$

with $\tilde{a}_i = 2a_i$ and $\tilde{C}_i = \frac{1}{2} C_i$. Thus the formula $M(s)$ in (3.4) will only change n to $n - 1$. Since we can argue similarly when several $a_i C_i$'s coincide, to simplify the argument, we will assume that for each k ($1 \leq k \leq \tilde{d}$), $A_l^k = a_l \lambda_k^{(l)}$ ($l = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are all distinct.

On the other hand, from the second equation of the Laplace transformed (2.14), we observe that $\hat{G}(s) := (\mathcal{L}G)(s)$, the Laplace transform of the relaxation tensor $G(t)$, satisfies

$$\hat{\sigma}(s) = \hat{G}(s) s \hat{e}. \quad (3.5)$$

It then follows that

$$\hat{G}(s) = M(s)^{-1}, \quad s > 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Hence, the remaining tasks are to compute the inverse Laplace transform of $M(s)^{-1}$ and examine its properties. Substituting (3.2) into (3.4) and (3.6), we see that

$$\hat{G}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{d}} \left(a_0 + \left(\lambda_k^{(0)} \right)^{-1} s + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i s \left(s + a_i \lambda_k^{(i)} \right)^{-1} \right)^{-1} P_k \quad (3.7)$$

with $a_i := \eta_i^{-1}$.

Now, we define

$$D(s; k) = a_0 + \left(\lambda_k^{(0)} \right)^{-1} s + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i s \left(s + a_i \lambda_k^{(i)} \right)^{-1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \tilde{d}.$$

To prove the property of $G(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, the following lemma is essential.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $s = p + iq$ be the roots of $D(s; k) = 0$, where $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, we have $p < 0, q = 0$.*

Proof. For $s = p + \sqrt{-1}q$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$D(s; k) = \left(a_0 + \left(\lambda_k^{(0)} \right)^{-1} p + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{p \left(p + a_i \lambda_k^{(i)} \right) + q^2}{\left(p + a_i \lambda_k^{(i)} \right)^2 + q^2} \right) + \sqrt{-1} \left(\left(\lambda_k^{(0)} \right)^{-1} q + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{a_i \lambda_k^{(i)} q}{\left(p + a_i \lambda_k^{(i)} \right)^2 + q^2} \right). \quad (3.8)$$

The real part $\operatorname{Re} D(s; \lambda)$ of $D(s; \lambda)$ is positive for $p \geq 0, q \in \mathbb{R}$. Further, if $q \neq 0$, the imaginary part of $D(s, \lambda)$ never vanishes. Hence, we have the conclusion. \square

The expression for $D(s; k)^{-1}$ has a unique expansion into partial fractions:

Lemma 3.3. *For each $1 \leq k \leq \tilde{d}$, $D(s; k) \prod_{i=1}^n (s + a_i \lambda_k^{(i)}) = (\lambda_k^{(0)})^{-1} (s - r_1^k)^{j_1} \cdots (s - r_{m_k}^k)^{j_{m_k}}$ only has real roots $r_1^k, \dots, r_{m_k}^k$, which are the roots of $D(s; k) = 0$ with multiplicities j_i such that $\sum_{l=1}^{m_k} j_l = n + 1$. Moreover, we have the unique representation $D(s; k)^{-1} = \sum_{l=1}^{m_k} \sum_{q=1}^{j_l} g_{k,l,q} (s - r_l^k)^{-q}$ with some non-zero real constants $g_{k,l,q}$, $1 \leq k \leq \tilde{d}$, $1 \leq l \leq n$, $1 \leq k \leq j_l$.*

Proof. Define $Q_{n+1}(s; k) = \prod_{l=1}^n (s + A_l^k) D(s; k)$ with $A_l^k := a_l \lambda_k^{(l)}$. It is clear that $Q_{n+1}(s; k)$ is a polynomial of s with degree $n + 1$. Also, $s = -A_l^k$ for $l = 1, \dots, n$ are not roots of $Q_{n+1}(s; k)$. Thus, we conclude that $D(s; k) \prod_{l=1}^n (s + A_l^k) = (\lambda_k^{(0)})^{-1} (s - r_1^k)^{j_1} \cdots (s - r_{m_k}^k)^{j_{m_k}}$, where $r_1^k, \dots, r_{m_k}^k$ are roots of $D(s; k) = 0$ and $\sum_{l=1}^{m_k} j_l = n + 1$.

Since $D(s; k)^{-1} = (s - r_1^k)^{-j_1} \cdots (s - r_{m_k}^k)^{-j_{m_k}} \lambda_k^{(0)} \prod_{l=1}^n (s + A_l^k)$, there exist unique real constants $g_{k,l,q}$, $1 \leq k \leq \tilde{d}$, $1 \leq l \leq n$, $1 \leq k \leq j_l$ such that $D(s; k)^{-1} = \sum_{l=1}^{m_k} \sum_{q=1}^{j_l} g_{k,l,q} (s - r_l^k)^{-q}$. \square

The inverse Laplace transform $\mathcal{L}^{-1}[(\cdot - \alpha)^{-M}](t)$ of $(s - \alpha)^{-M}$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$ is $t^{M-1} e^{\alpha t} ((M - 1)!)^{-1} 1_+(t)$. Hence, by (3.5), (3.7) and Lemma 3.3, we have

$$G(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{d}} \mathcal{L}^{-1}(D(\cdot; k)^{-1}) P_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\tilde{d}} \left(\sum_{l^k=1}^{m_k} \sum_{q_{l^k}=1}^{j_{l^k}} g_{k,l^k,q_{l^k}} t^{q_{l^k}-1} e^{r_{l^k}^k t} ((q_{l^k} - 1)!)^{-1} 1_+(t) \right) P_k. \quad (3.9)$$

Since each $r_{l^k}^k < 0$ from Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3, we conclude that $G(t)$ decays exponentially as t tends to ∞ . Therefore, we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *The relaxation tensor $G(t)$ for the homogeneous EBM is a tempered distribution in \mathbb{R} given as (3.9), which satisfies causality and is differentiable infinitely many times on $[0, \infty)$. Also, for $t \geq 0$, $G(t)$ satisfies the full symmetry, strong convexity, and exponential decay properties.*

Remark 3.5. *Theorem 3.4 can be generalized to some extent for the case that the elements of each C_l belong to the broken Sobolev space $\hat{H}^r(\Omega)$ with $r > d/2$ and C_l 's share the same interfaces compactly embedded in Ω (see [15]) by using the joint spectral measure (see Theorem 1 on page 154 of [4]).*

4 Alternative construction of the relaxation tensor: Preliminaries

In this section, we first derive an ordinary differential system of equations for (ψ, ϕ) with $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n)$, and then give an outline of the new method. After that, as a preliminary to the next section, we introduce some relevant tensor block matrices.

Suppose $e[\dot{u}]$ is given. Then from (2.12) and (2.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\psi} &= e[\dot{u}] - \sum_{i=0}^n \dot{\phi}_i = e[\dot{u}] - \eta_0^{-1} \sigma - \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} (\sigma - C_i \phi_i) \\ &= e[\dot{u}] - \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \eta_i^{-1} \right) \sigma + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} C_i \phi_i = e[\dot{u}] - \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \eta_i^{-1} \right) C_0 \psi + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} C_i \phi_i. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.12), we have the following system for (ψ, ϕ) :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \psi = e[\dot{u}] - \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \eta_i^{-1} \right) C_0 \psi + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} C_i \phi_i \\ \partial_t \phi_i = \eta_i^{-1} C_0 \psi - \eta_i^{-1} C_i \phi_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Here, we recall that $C_0 \psi = \sigma$ and $\phi_i = e_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then, from (4.1), it is easy to derive again (2.7) using (2.6). Therefore, (2.7) and (4.1) are equivalent under (2.6).

Now, we write (4.1) as

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \phi \end{pmatrix} = L_b(x) \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ \phi \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e[\dot{u}] \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$L_b(x) := \begin{pmatrix} - \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \eta_i^{-1} \right) C_0 & \eta_1^{-1} C_1 & \cdots & \eta_{n-1}^{-1} C_{n-1} & \eta_n^{-1} C_n \\ \eta_1^{-1} C_0 & -\eta_1^{-1} C_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \eta_{n-1}^{-1} C_0 & 0 & \cdots & -\eta_{n-1}^{-1} C_{n-1} & 0 \\ \eta_n^{-1} C_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\eta_n^{-1} C_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.3)$$

and $L_b(x)$ can be considered as a linear map from $(\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d})^{n+1}$ into itself for a fixed a.e. $x \in \Omega$. $L_b = L_b(x)$ is an example of a tensor block matrix (cf. [16]). In general, a tensor block matrix $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}$ which represents a linear map from $(\mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d})^{n+1}$ into itself for a fixed a.e. $x \in \Omega$ is given as

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{11} & \mathcal{H}_{12} & \cdots & \mathcal{H}_{1(n+1)} \\ \mathcal{H}_{21} & \mathcal{H}_{22} & \cdots & \mathcal{H}_{2(n+1)} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathcal{H}_{(n+1)1} & \mathcal{H}_{(n+1)2} & \cdots & \mathcal{H}_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.4)$$

where each $\mathcal{H}_{ij} = \left(\mathbb{H}_{pqrs}^{(ij)} \right)$ is a full symmetric fourth-order tensor. Here, note that we are using the convention suppressing a.e. $x \in \Omega$ dependency for $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}$, which is applied not only for square tensor block matrices but also for non-square tensor block matrices. The transpose $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}^t$ of $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}$ is defined as

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}^t := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{H}_{11}^t & \mathcal{H}_{21}^t & \cdots & \mathcal{H}_{(n+1)1}^t \\ \mathcal{H}_{12}^t & \mathcal{H}_{22}^t & \cdots & \mathcal{H}_{(n+1)2}^t \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathcal{H}_{1(n+1)}^t & \mathcal{H}_{2(n+1)}^t & \cdots & \mathcal{H}_{(n+1)(n+1)}^t \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.5)$$

Since each $\mathcal{H}_{ij}^t = \mathcal{H}_{ij}$, we have $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}^t = \overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}$ if $\mathcal{H}_{ij} = \mathcal{H}_{ji}$ for each $1 \leq i, j \leq n+1$. We denote the set of such $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}$ by \mathbb{M} . For another tensor block matrix $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{J}}}$ similar to $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}$ given as

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{J}_{11} & \mathcal{J}_{12} & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_{1(n+1)} \\ \mathcal{J}_{21} & \mathcal{J}_{22} & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_{2(n+1)} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \mathcal{J}_{(n+1)1} & \mathcal{J}_{(n+1)2} & \cdots & \mathcal{J}_{(n+1)(n+1)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.6)$$

with $\mathcal{J}_{ij} = \left(\mathbb{J}_{pqrs}^{(ij)} \right)$, we define the product $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{J}}}$ by giving its il -blockwise component $(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{J}}})_{il}$ as

$$\left(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{J}}} \right)_{il} = \sum_k \mathcal{H}_{ik} \mathcal{J}_{kl}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{ik} \mathcal{J}_{kl} = \sum_{\varepsilon \zeta} \mathbb{H}_{pq\varepsilon\zeta}^{(ik)} \mathbb{J}_{\varepsilon\zeta rs}^{(kl)}. \quad (4.7)$$

Also, for

$$\underline{\mathcal{E}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{E}_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with each matrix } \mathcal{E}_i = \left(e_{kl}^{(i)} \right) \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d}, \quad (4.8)$$

we define the product $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \underline{\mathcal{E}}$ by giving its i -blockwise component $(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \underline{\mathcal{E}})_i$ as

$$\left(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \underline{\mathcal{E}} \right)_i = \sum_k \mathcal{H}_{ik} \mathcal{E}_k, \quad \mathcal{H}_{ik} \mathcal{E}_k = \sum_{\varepsilon, \zeta} \mathbb{H}_{pq\varepsilon\zeta}^{(ik)} e_{\varepsilon\zeta}^{(k)}. \quad (4.9)$$

We denote by \mathbb{V} the set of all $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ given as (4.8). Finally, we define the inner product $\langle \underline{\mathcal{E}}, \underline{\mathcal{F}} \rangle$ as

$$\langle \underline{\mathcal{E}}, \underline{\mathcal{F}} \rangle := \sum_k \mathcal{E}_k : \mathcal{F}_k, \quad (4.10)$$

where $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ is similar to $\underline{\mathcal{E}}$ given as

$$\underline{\mathcal{F}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \text{ with each matrix } \mathcal{F}_i = \begin{pmatrix} f^{(i)} \\ f_{kl} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{d \times d},$$

and $\mathcal{E}_k : \mathcal{F}_k = \sum_{\varepsilon, \zeta} e_{\varepsilon\zeta}^{(k)} f_{\varepsilon\zeta}^{(k)}$ is the Frobenius inner product defined before. Then, we say $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}$ is positive if there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $\langle \overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}\underline{\mathcal{E}}, \underline{\mathcal{E}} \rangle \geq \gamma \|\underline{\mathcal{E}}\|^2$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$ for all $\underline{\mathcal{E}} \neq 0$, and write this as $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \geq \gamma \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}}$, with the tensor block identity matrix $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}} \in \mathbb{M}$ and $\|\underline{\mathcal{E}}\| := \sqrt{\langle \underline{\mathcal{E}}, \underline{\mathcal{E}} \rangle}$. Similarly, we define $\gamma' \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}} \geq \overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}$ with $\gamma' > 0$. Also, we denote by \mathbb{M}_+ the set of all $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \in \mathbb{M}$ such that $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} \geq \gamma \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}}$ with $\gamma > 0$ depending on $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{H}}}$.

The brief outline for obtaining a causal relaxation tensor $G(t)$ is as follows. We first give factorizations of some matrices into matrices in \mathbb{M} . To begin with, let

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{C}}} := \text{diag}(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n), \quad \overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}} := \sqrt{\overline{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}} \in \mathbb{M}_+, \quad (4.11)$$

where $\text{diag}(C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n)$ is the tensor block diagonal matrix with block diagonal components C_0, C_1, \dots, C_n . Moreover we define $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{L}}} \in \mathbb{M}$ by

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{L}}} := \text{diag} \left(-\sum_{i=0}^n \eta_i^{-1} I_d, -\eta_1^{-1} I_d, \dots, -\eta_n^{-1} I_d \right) + \overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}} + \overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}^t, \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}} := \begin{pmatrix} O_d, \eta_1^{-1} I_d, \dots, \eta_n^{-1} I_d \\ \\ O \end{pmatrix}$$

with fourth-order zero tensor $O_d \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d}$, fourth-order identity tensor $I_d \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d}$, and $(\mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d})^{n-1} \times (\mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d})^n$ zero tensor O . Then, L_b and $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{C}}} e^{tL_b}$ have the following factorizations:

$$\begin{cases} L_b = \overline{\overline{\mathcal{L}}}\overline{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}, \\ \overline{\overline{\mathcal{C}}} e^{tL_b} = \overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}} e^{t\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}} \text{ with } \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} := \overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}\overline{\overline{\mathcal{L}}}\overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}. \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

For any fixed a.e. $x \in \Omega$, we first obtain causal $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M})$ which satisfies

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} \right) \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}} = \delta(t) \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}}. \quad (4.14)$$

Then, any solution $\underline{\mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{V})$ of

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} \right) \underline{\mathcal{U}} = \underline{\mathcal{F}}, \quad \underline{\mathcal{F}} := \begin{pmatrix} e^{[u]} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4.15)$$

is given as

$$\underline{U}(t) = \left(\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}} * \underline{\mathcal{F}} \right) (t), \quad (4.16)$$

where we have assumed that $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ is right-sided. From (2.13) and (4.16), we extract $G(t)$ as

$$G(t) = \text{the } (1, 1) \text{ tensor block component of } \overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}(t) \overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}. \quad (4.17)$$

5 Relaxation tensor for the EBM, its properties, and the BVS

In this section, we first give the details of the proof for obtaining a causal relaxation tensor $G(t)$ outlined in the previous section. After that, we show that the relaxation tensor has properties which satisfy Assumption 2.4 for the exponential decay property of solutions to the initial boundary value problem for BVS given as (2.14).

As for the derivation of $G(t)$, since (4.13) and (4.17) are easy to prove, we will focus on obtaining $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}$. To begin with, for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, consider the Cauchy problem

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} \right) \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}_f = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}, \quad \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}_f = \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}} \text{ at } t = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Of course, $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}_f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M})$ is given as

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}_f(t) := e^{t\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (5.2)$$

Define $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M})$, a.e. $x \in \Omega$ by

$$\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}(t) = \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}_f(t) \text{ (} t \geq 0 \text{)}, \quad 0 \text{ (} t < 0 \text{)}. \quad (5.3)$$

Lemma 5.1. $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M})$ satisfies

$$\left[\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} \right) \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}, \Phi \right] = \left[\delta(t) \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}}, \Phi \right] \quad (5.4)$$

for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M})$, where $[\ , \]$ denotes the dual pairing of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M})$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{M})$ by identifying \mathbb{M} with its dual space via the standard inner product $\langle \ , \ \rangle_{\mathbb{M}}$.

Proof. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{d}{dt} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}, \Phi \right] &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left\langle \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}(t), \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{M}} dt = - \int_0^\infty \left\langle \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}_f(t), \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{M}} dt \\ &= \left(\left\langle \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}_f, \Phi(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{M}} \right) \Big|_{t=0} + \int_0^\infty \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}_f(t), \Phi(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{M}} dt \\ &= \left\langle \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}}, \Phi(0) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{M}} + \int_0^\infty \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}_f(t), \Phi(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{M}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\left[\left(\frac{d}{dt} - \bar{\mathcal{A}} \right) \bar{\mathcal{E}}, \Phi \right] = \left\langle \bar{\mathcal{I}}, \Phi(0) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{M}} + \int_0^\infty \left\langle \left(\frac{d}{dt} - \bar{\mathcal{A}} \right) \bar{\mathcal{E}}_f(t), \Phi(t) \right\rangle_{\mathbb{M}} dt = \left[\delta(t) \bar{\mathcal{I}}, \Phi \right],$$

which implies (5.4). \square

Next, we will derive the properties of the relaxation tensor. We first state the following proposition:

Proposition 5.2. *There exist constants $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > 0$ independent of a.e. $x \in \Omega$ such that*

$$\alpha_2 \bar{\bar{\mathcal{I}}} \leq -\bar{\mathcal{A}} \leq \alpha_1 \bar{\bar{\mathcal{I}}} \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega. \quad (5.5)$$

Since

$$\beta_1 \bar{\bar{\mathcal{I}}} \leq \bar{\mathcal{D}} \leq \beta_2 \bar{\bar{\mathcal{I}}} \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \quad (5.6)$$

for some constants $0 < \beta_1 < \beta_2$ independent of a.e. $x \in \Omega$, this easily follows from the identity

$$\left\langle (\bar{\mathcal{C}}L_b) \underline{\mathcal{Y}}, \underline{\mathcal{Y}} \right\rangle = \left\langle (\bar{\mathcal{D}} \bar{\mathcal{A}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}) \underline{\mathcal{Y}}, \underline{\mathcal{Y}} \right\rangle = \left\langle (\bar{\mathcal{A}} \bar{\mathcal{D}}) \underline{\mathcal{Y}}, \bar{\mathcal{D}} \underline{\mathcal{Y}} \right\rangle, \quad \underline{\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathbb{V}, \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \quad (5.7)$$

and the following lemma:

Lemma 5.3. *For any $\underline{\mathcal{Y}} = (\psi, \phi)^t \in \mathbb{V}$, we have*

$$\left\langle -(\bar{\mathcal{C}}L_b) \underline{\mathcal{Y}}, \underline{\mathcal{Y}} \right\rangle = \eta_0^{-1} |C_0 \psi|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} |C_0 \psi - C_i \phi_i|^2 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega, \quad (5.8)$$

which immediately yields

$$\left\langle -(\bar{\mathcal{C}}L_b) \underline{\mathcal{Y}}, \underline{\mathcal{Y}} \right\rangle \geq c \|\underline{\mathcal{Y}}\|^2 \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \Omega \quad (5.9)$$

for some constant $c > 0$ independent of $\underline{\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathbb{V}$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$.

Proof. It is enough to prove (5.8). By the definition of L_b , we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\left\langle (\bar{\mathcal{C}}L_b) \underline{\mathcal{Y}}, \underline{\mathcal{Y}} \right\rangle &= \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \eta_i^{-1} \right) C_0 \psi - \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} C_i \phi_i \right\} : (C_0 \psi) - \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \eta_i^{-1} (C_0 \psi - C_i \phi_i) \} : (C_i \phi_i) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \eta_i^{-1} \right) |C_0 \psi|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} \{ (C_i \phi_i) : (C_0 \psi) \} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} \{ (C_0 \psi) : (C_i \phi_i) \} + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} |C_i \phi_i|^2 \\ &= \eta_0^{-1} |C_0 \psi|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} |C_0 \psi - C_i \phi_i|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, setting $\alpha := \frac{1}{2}\eta_0^{-1}(\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1})^{-1}$ and $\beta := \sqrt{1 + \alpha}$ and using the inequality

$$2(C_0\psi) : (C_i\phi_i) = 2(\beta C_0\psi) : (\beta^{-1}C_i\phi_i) \leq \beta^2|C_0\psi|^2 + \beta^{-2}|C_i\phi_i|^2,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \eta_0^{-1}|C_0\psi|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1}|C_0\psi - C_i\phi_i|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\eta_0^{-1}|C_0\psi|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} (\alpha|C_0\psi|^2 + |C_0\psi - C_i\phi_i|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\eta_0^{-1}|C_0\psi|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} ((1 + \alpha)|C_0\psi|^2 - 2(C_0\psi, C_i\phi_i) + |C_i\phi_i|^2) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}\eta_0^{-1}|C_0\psi|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} ((1 + \alpha)|C_0\psi|^2 - \beta^2|C_0\psi|^2 - \beta^{-2}|C_i\phi_i|^2 + |C_i\phi_i|^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\eta_0^{-1}|C_0\psi|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i^{-1} \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha}|C_i\phi_i|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

For estimating $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}_f$, we provide the following lemma:

Lemma 5.4.

$$e^{-\alpha_1 t \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}}} \leq \overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}_f(t) \leq e^{-\alpha_2 t \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}}} \quad a.e. \ x \in \Omega \quad (5.10)$$

holds.

Proof. By (5.5), $\alpha_2 \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}} \leq -\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}} \leq \alpha_1 \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}}$ a.e. $x \in \Omega$. This yields

$$e^{\alpha_2 t \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}}} \leq e^{-t \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}}} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (j!)^{-1} t^j (-\overline{\overline{\mathcal{A}}})^j \leq e^{\alpha_1 t \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}}} \quad a.e. \ x \in \Omega.$$

Then, since

$$0 < m_1 \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}} \leq \overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}} \leq m_2 \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}} \implies m_2^{-1} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}} \leq \overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}^{-1} \leq m_1^{-1} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{I}}}$$

for some positive numbers $m_1 < m_2$, due to $\|\underline{\mathcal{Y}}\|^2 = \left[\overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}^2 (\overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}^{-1} \underline{\mathcal{Y}}), \overline{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}^{-1} \underline{\mathcal{Y}} \right]$ for any $\underline{\mathcal{Y}} \in \mathbb{V}$, we immediately have (5.10). □

Via (4.17) and the full symmetry of $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}(t)$ (see (4.13), (5.2), (5.3)), we can list properties of $G(t)$ which follow from those of $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}(t)$:

Properties of the relaxation tensor.

- (i) *Causality of $G(t)$ follows from that of $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}(t)$.*
- (ii) *Positivity and full symmetry of $G(t)$ follow from those of $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}(t)$ and $\overline{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}$.*

(iii) $G(t) \in C^\infty([0, \infty); L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^{d \times d \times d \times d}))$ follows from that of $\bar{\mathcal{E}}(t)|_{t \geq 0} = \bar{\mathcal{E}}_f(t)$.

(iv) *The estimates*

$$\begin{cases} \beta_1^2 \alpha_2^{2j} e^{-\alpha_1 t} I_d \leq G^{(2j)}(t) \leq \beta_2^2 \alpha_1^{2j} e^{-\alpha_2 t} I_d \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega, \\ -\beta_2^2 \alpha_1^{2j+1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} I_d \leq G^{(2j+1)}(t) \leq -\beta_1^2 \alpha_2^{2j+1} e^{-\alpha_2 t} I_d \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega \end{cases} \quad (5.11)$$

hold for $j = 0, 1, \dots$, where $G^{(k)}(t)$ denote the k -th derivative of $G(t)$ with respect to time. These estimates follow from (5.5), (5.6), and the following fact:

$$\begin{cases} \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{2j} e^{t\bar{\mathcal{A}}} = (-\bar{\mathcal{A}})^j e^{-t(-\bar{\mathcal{A}})} (-\bar{\mathcal{A}})^j, \\ \left(\frac{d}{dt}\right)^{2j+1} e^{t\bar{\mathcal{A}}} = -(-\bar{\mathcal{A}})^{j+1/2} e^{-t(-\bar{\mathcal{A}})} (-\bar{\mathcal{A}})^{j+1/2} \end{cases}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots$.

Remark 5.5. *Positivity of the relaxation tensor obtained by the usual method does not directly follow from (3.9). Nevertheless, we have seen that (2.7) together with (2.8) and (2.9) is equivalent to (4.1), so the usual method and that of (4.17) produce the same relaxation tensors. Hence, the relaxation tensor obtained by the usual method also satisfies positivity.*

From these properties of the relaxation tensor, it is almost immediate to see that the relaxation tensor $G(t)$ satisfies Assumption 2.4. Hence, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 5.6. *The BVS with the derived $G(t)$ has the exponential decay property for its solutions of the initial boundary value problem (2.14).*

6 Discussion

We introduced a new method for constructing the relaxation tensor for the EBM in the most general setting. That is, we only assume that the elastic tensors of the springs and viscosity of the dashpots are bounded measurable in the reference domain Ω , and the tensors are anisotropic satisfying the full symmetry and strong convexity conditions. Furthermore, our method enabled to prove the sufficient properties needed to guarantee the exponential decay property of solutions of the initial boundary value problem for the VBS with mixed-type homogeneous boundary conditions and without external force.

The method presented, here, can be applied to other spring-dashpot models, such as the extended Maxwell model, the standard linear solid model, and the Kelvin-Voigt model in the most general setting. The EBM is the most cumbersome model for which to construct the relaxation tensor. For the L-method to apply, we need commutativity of the elasticity tensors.

The Maxwell component in the EBM appears to be essential. If we were to omit it, the following would happen. From (2.13) and (4.1), we would get

$$e[u] = \sum_{j=1}^n \phi_j, \quad \partial_t \phi_i = \eta_i^{-1} \sigma - \eta_i^{-1} C_i \phi_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

By expressing each ϕ_i in terms of σ , we then straightforwardly find that

$$\hat{\sigma}(s) = s^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (sI + \eta_i^{-1} C_i)^{-1} \right)^{-1} (s\hat{\epsilon}(s)).$$

Thus, due to the presence of the factor s^{-1} on the right-hand side of this equation, the relaxation tensor could not decay exponentially.

We claim that we obtained a complete description of the most general EBM with implied properties which are natural in the physics context.

Acknowledgements: The first author was supported by the Simons Foundation under the MATH + X program, the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-2108175, and the corporate members of the Geo-Mathematical Imaging Group at Rice University. The third author was partially supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology of Taiwan. The fourth author was partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI (Grant Nos. JP22K03366). The fifth author was partially supported by JP19K03559.

References

- [1] D. Anderson and J. Minster, The Frequency Dependence of Q in the Earth and Implications for Mantle Rheology and Chandler Wobble, *Geophysical Journal International*, 58 (1979) pp. 431-440.
- [2] A. Jazia, B. Lombard and C. Bellis, Wave Propagation in a Fractional Viscoelastic Andrade Medium: Diffusive Approximation and Numerical Modeling, *Wave Motion* (2014), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wavemoti.2014.03.011>.
- [3] C. Birk and C. Song, An Improved Non-Classical Method for the Solution of Fractional Differential Equations, *Computational Mechanics*, 46 (2010) pp. 721-734.
- [4] M. Birman and M. Solomjak, *Spectra Theory of Self-Adjoint Operators in Hilbert Space*, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht/Boston/Lancaster/Tokyo, 1987.
- [5] J. Browaeys and S. Chevrot, Decomposition of the elastic tensor and geophysical applications, *Geophysical Journal International*, 159 (2004) pp. 667-678.
- [6] J. Carcione and F. Cavallini, A rheological model for anelastic anisotropic media with application to seismic wave propagation, *Geophys. J. Int.* , 119 (1994) pp. 338-348.
- [7] C. Dafermos, An abstract Volterra equation with application to linear viscoelasticity, *J. Differential Equations* 7 (1970) 554-569.
- [8] M. de Hoop, C-L. Lin and G. Nakamura, Uniform decaying property of solutions for anisotropic viscoelastic systems, *arXiv 2308.03988* (2023).
- [9] M. de Hoop, M. Kimura, C-L. Lin and G. Nakamura, Resolvent estimates for viscoelastic systems of extended Maxwell Type and their applications, *arXiv 2308.1622v* (2023) to appear in *SIAM J. Math. Analysis*.

- [10] M. Efroimsky and V. Lainey, Physics of Bodily Tides in Terrestrial Planets and the Appropriate Scales of Dynamical Evolution, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Planets*, 112 (2007) pp. 2007JE002908.
- [11] Y. Gevorgyan, G. Boué, C. Ragazzo, L. Ruiz, S. Lucas and A. Correia, Andrade Rheology in Time-Domain. Application to Enceladus' Dissipation of Energy Due to Forced Libration, *Icarus*, 343 (2020) pp. 113610.
- [12] E. Hetland and B. Hager, The effects of rheological layering on post-seismic deformation, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 166 (2006) pp. 277-292.
- [13] E. Ivins, L. Caron, S. Adhikari and E. Larour, Notes on an compressible extended Burgers model of rheology, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 228 (2022) pp. 1975-1991.
- [14] H. Lau and U. Faul, Anelasticity from Seismic to Tidal Timescales: Theory and Observations, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, 508 (2019) pp. 18-29.
- [15] H. Li, V. Nistor and Y. Qiao, Uniform Shift Estimates for Transmission Problems and Optimal Rates of Convergence for the Parametric Finite Element Method. In: I. Dimov, I. Farago and L. Vulkov (eds), *Numerical Analysis and Its Applications. NAA 2012. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 8236. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- [16] M. Nikagadze, Eigenvalue problems for tensor-block matrices and their applications to mechanics, *J. Math. Sci.*, 250 (2020) pp.895-931.
- [17] M. Smith, Synthesis of mechanical networks: The inerter. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 47 (2002) pp. 1648-1662.
- [18] D. Yuen and W. Peltier, Normal Modes of the Viscoelastic Earth, *Geophysical Journal International*, 69 (1982) pp. 495-526.
- [19] A. Zemanian, *Distribution Theory and Transform Analysis*, Dover Publications, New York, 1987.